2015 Annual Report

69th/Ashland Redevelopment Project Area



Pursuant to 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)

JUNE 30, 2016

FY 2015 ANNUAL TAX INCREMENT FINANCE REPORT



| Name of Mi County; Unit Code: | unicipality: | City of Chicago Cook 016/620/30 | Reporting F Fiscal Year | | | 2015 12/31/2015 |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|--|--------------------------|---|--------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | | TIF Adr | ninistrator Contact Inf | ormation | | |
| First Name: | David L. | | Last Name: | Reifman | | |
| Address: | City Hall, 1 | 21 N. La Salle | Title: | Administrator | | |
| Telephone: | (312) 744- | 4190 | City: | Chicago | Zip: | 60602 |
| Mobile | n/a | , | E-mail- required | TIFReports@cityofo | hicago.org | |
| Mobile Provider | n/a | | Best way to contact | X Email Mobile | | _Phone _Mail |
| is complete Act [65 ILCS | and accura 5 5/11-74.4 | te anthe end of this reports at the end of this reports at seq.] Or the Industrial | orting Fiscal year under | the Tax Increment A w [65 ILCS 5/11-74.6 | Allocation Re 3-10 et. seq. | edevelopment |
| vvritten sign | ature of !!! | - Administrator | | Date | | |

Section 1 (65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (1.5) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (1.5)*)

| FILL OUT ONE FO | R <u>EACH</u> TIF DISTICT | |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
| Name of Redevelopment Project Area | Date Designated | Date Terminated |
| 24th/Michigan | 7/21/1999 | 7/21/2022 |
| 26th and King Drive | 1/11/2006 | 12/31/2030 |
| 35th and Wallace | 12/15/1999 | 12/31/2023 |
| 35th/Halsted | 1/14/1997 | 12/31/2021 |
| 35th/State | 1/14/2004 | 12/31/2028 |
| 43rd/Coltage Grove | 7/8/1998 | 12/31/2022 |
| 47th/Ashland | 3/27/2002 | 12/31/2026 |
| 47th/Halsted | 5/29/2002 | 12/31/2026 |
| 47th/King Drive | 3/27/2002 | 12/31/2026 |
| 47th/State | 7/21/2004 | 12/31/2028 |
| 49th Street/St. Lawrence Avenue | 1/10/1996 | 12/31/2020 |
| 51st/ Archer | 5/17/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| 51st/Lake Park | 11/15/2012 | 12/31/2036 |
| 53rd Street | 1/10/2001 | 12/31/2025 |
| 60th and Western | 5/9/1996 | 5/9/2019 |
| 63rd/Ashland | 3/29/2006 | 12/31/2030 |
| 63rd/Pulaski | 5/17/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| 67th/Cicero | 10/2/2002 | 12/31/2026 |
| 67th/Wentworth | 5/4/2011 | 12/31/2035 |
| 69th/Ashiand | 11/3/2004 | 12/31/2028 |
| 71st and Stony Island | 10/7/1998 | 10/7/2021 |
| 73rd/University | 9/13/2006 | 12/31/2030 |
| 79th and Cicero | 6/8/2005 | 12/31/2029 |
| | | |

^{*}All statutory citations refer to one of two sections of the Illinois Municipal Code: the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-3 et. seq.] or the Industrial Jobs Recovery Law [65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-10 et. seq.]

Name of Municipality:ChicagoReporting Fiscal Year:2015County:CookFiscal Year End:12 /31/2015

Unit Code: 016/620/30

| 79th Street Corridor | 7/8/1998 | 7/8/2021 |
|--|------------|--------------|
| 79th Street/Southwest Highway | 10/3/2001 | 12/31/2025 |
| 79th/Vincennes | 9/27/2007 | 12/31/2031 |
| 83rd/Stewart | 3/31/2004 | 12/31/2028 |
| 87th/Cottage Grove | 11/13/2002 | 12/31/2026 |
| 95th and Western | 7/13/1995 | 12/31/2019 |
| 105th/Vincennes | 10/3/2001 | 12/31/2025 |
| 107th Halsted | 4/2/2014 | 12/31/2038 |
| 111th Street/Kedzie Avenue Business District | 9/29/1999 | 9/29/2022 |
| 119th and Haisted | 2/6/2002 | 12/31/2026 |
| 119th/I-57 | 11/6/2002 | 12/31/2026 |
| 126th and Torrence | 12/21/1994 | 12/21/2017 |
| Addison Corridor North | 6/4/1997 | 6/4/2020 |
| Addison South | 5/9/2007 | 12/31/2031 |
| Archer Courts | 5/12/1999 | 12/31/2023 |
| Archer/ Central | 5/17/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| Archer/Western | 2/11/2009 | 12/31/2033 |
| Armitage/Pulaski | 6/13/2007 | 12/31/2031 |
| Austin Commercial | 9/27/2007 | 12/31/2031 |
| Avalon Park/South Shore | 7/31/2002 | 12/31/2026 |
| Avondale | 7/29/2009 | 12/31/2033 |
| Belmont/Central | 1/12/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| Belmont/Cicero | 1/12/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| Bronzeville | 11/4/1998 | 12/31/2022 |
| Bryn Mawr/Broadway | 12/11/1996 | 12/11/2019 |
| Calumet Avenue/Cermak Road | 7/29/1998 | 7/29/2021 |
| Calumet River | 3/10/2010 | 12/31/2034 |
| Canal/Congress | 11/12/1998 | 12/31/2022 |
| Central West | 2/16/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| Chicago/ Kingsbury | 4/12/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| Chicago/Central Park | 2/27/2002 | 12/31/2026 |
| Chicago Lakeside Development - Phase 1 (USX) | 5/12/2010 | 12/31/2034 |
| Cicero/Archer · | 5/17/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| Clark Street and Ridge Avenue | 9/29/1999 | 9/29/2022 |
| Clark/Montrose | 7/7/1999 | 7/7/2022 |
| Commercial Avenue ' | 11/13/2002 | 12/31/2026 |
| Devon/Sheridan | 3/31/2004 | 12/31/2028 |
| Devon/Western | 11/3/1999 | 12/31/2023 |
| Diversey/Narragansett | 2/5/2003 | 12/31/2027 |
| Division/Homan | 6/27/2001 | . 12/31/2025 |
| Drexel Boulevard | 7/10/2002 | 12/31/2026 |
| Edgewater/ Ashland | 10/1/2003 | 12/31/2027 |

| Name of Municipality: | Chicago | Reporting Fiscal Year: | 2015 |
|-----------------------|------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| County: | Cook | Fiscal Year End: | 12 /31/2015 |
| Unit Code: | 016/620/30 | · · · · · · · · · · · · | |

| Elston/Armstrong Industrial Corridor | 7/19/2007 | 12/31/2031 |
|--|------------|------------|
| Englewood Mall | 11/29/1989 | 12/31/2025 |
| Englewood Neighborhood | 6/27/2001 | 12/31/2025 |
| Ewing Avenue | 3/10/2010 | 12/31/2034 |
| Forty-first Street and Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive | 7/13/1994 | 12/31/2018 |
| Foster California | 4/2/2014 | 12/31/2038 |
| Fullerton/ Milwaukee | 2/16/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| Galewood/Armitage Industrial | 7/7/1999 | 12/31/2023 |
| Goose Island | 7/10/1996 | 7/10/2019 |
| Greater Southwest Industrial Corridor (East) | 3/10/1999 | 12/31/2023 |
| Greater Southwest Industrial Corridor (West) | 4/12/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| Harlem Industrial Park Conservation Area | 3/14/2007 | 12/31/2031 |
| Harrison/Central · | 7/26/2006 | 12/31/2030 |
| Hollywood/Sheridan | 11/7/2007 | 12/31/2031 |
| Homan-Arthington | 2/5/1998 | 2/5/2021 |
| Humboldt Park Commercial | 6/27/2001 | 12/31/2025 |
| rving Park/Elston | 5/13/2009 | 12/31/2033 |
| rving/Cicero | 6/10/1996 | 12/31/2020 |
| Jefferson Park Business District | 9/9/1998 | 9/9/2021 |
| lefferson/ Roosevelt | 8/30/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| Kennedy/Kimball | 3/12/2008 | 12/31/2032 |
| Kinzie Industrial Corridor | 6/10/1998 | 12/31/2022 |
| ake Calumet Area Industrial | 12/13/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| akefront | 3/27/2002 | 12/31/2026 |
| aSalle Central | 11/15/2006 | 12/31/2030 |
| .awrence/ Kedzie | 2/16/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| .awrence/Broadway | 6/27/2001 | 12/31/2025 |
| awrence/Pulaski | 2/27/2002 | 12/31/2026 |
| incoln Avenue | 11/3/1999 | 12/31/2023 |
| incoln-Belmont-Ashland | 11/2/1994 | 12/31/2018 |
| ittle Village East | 4/22/2009 | 12/31/2033 |
| ittle Village Industrial Corridor | 6/13/2007 | 12/31/2031 |
| /ladden/Wells | 11/6/2002 | 12/31/2026 |
| Madison/Austin Corridor | 9/29/1999 | 12/31/2023 |
| fichigan/Cermak | 9/13/1989 | 12/31/2025 |
| Nidway Industrial Corridor | 2/16/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| 1idwest · | 5/17/2000 | 12/31/2036 |
| Iontclare | 8/30/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| iontrose/Clarendon | 6/30/2010 | 12/31/2034 |
| lear North | 7/30/1997 | 7/30/2020 |
| orth Branch (North) | 7/2/1997 | 12/31/2021 |
| lorth Branch (South) | 2/5/1998 | 2/5/2021 |
| orth Pullman | 6/30/2009 | 12/31/2033 |
| orth-Cicero | 7/30/1997 | 7/30/2020 |

| Name of Municipality: | Chicago | Reporting Fiscal Year: | 2015 |
|-----------------------|------------|------------------------|--------------|
| County: | Cook | Fiscal Year End: | 12 /31 /2015 |
| Unit Code: | 016/620/30 | | |

| Northwest Industrial Corridor | 12/2/1998 | 12/31/2022 |
|--|-------------|------------|
| Ogden/Pulaski | 4/9/2008 | 12/31/2032 |
| Ohio/Wabash | 6/7/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| Pershing/King | 9/5/2007 | 12/31/2031 |
| Peterson/Cicero | 2/16/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| Peterson/Pulaski | 2/16/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| Pilsen Industrial Corridor | 6/10/1998 | 12/31/2022 |
| Portage Park | 9/9/1998 | 9/9/2021 |
| Pratt/Ridge Industrial Park Conservation Area | 6/23/2004 | 12/31/2028 |
| Pulaski Corridor | 6/9/1999 | 12/31/2023 |
| Randolph and Wells | 6/9/2010 | 12/31/2034 |
| Ravenswood Corridor | 3/9/2005 | 12/31/2029 |
| Read-Dunning | 1/11/1991 | 12/31/2027 |
| River South | 7/30/1997 | 7/30/2020 |
| River West | 1/10/2001 | 12/31/2025 |
| Roosevelt/Canal | 3/19/1997 | 12/31/2015 |
| Roosevelt/Cicero | 2/5/1998 | 2/5/2021 |
| Roosevelt/Racine | 11/4/1998 | 12/31/2034 |
| Roosevelt/Union | 5/12/1999 | 5/12/2022 |
| Roseland/Michigan | 1/16/2002 | 12/31/2026 |
| Sanitary Drainage and Ship Canal | 7/24/1991 | 12/31/2027 |
| South Chicago | 4/12/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| South Works Industrial | 11/3/1999 | 12/31/2023 |
| Stevenson/Brighton | 4/11/2007 · | 12/31/2031 |
| Stockyards Annex | 12/11/1996 | 12/31/2020 |
| Stockyards Southeast Quadrant Industrial | 2/26/1992 | 12/31/2016 |
| Stony Island Avenue Commercial and Burnside Industrial Corridors | 6/10/1998 | 12/31/2034 |
| Touhy/Western | 9/13/2006 | 12/31/2030 |
| Washington Park | 10/8/2014 | 12/31/2038 |
| Weed/Fremont | 1/8/2008 | 12/31/2032 |
| West Irving Park | 1/12/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| West Woodlawn | 5/12/2010 | 12/31/2034 |
| Western Avenue North | 1/12/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| Western Avenue Rock Island | 2/8/2006 | 12/31/2030 |
| Western Avenue South | 1/12/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| Western/Ogden | 2/5/1998 | 2/5/2021 |
| Wilson Yard | 6/27/2001 | 12/31/2025 |
| Woodlawn | 1/20/1999 | 1/20/2022 |
| | | |

SECTION 2 [Sections 2 through 5 must be completed for <u>each</u> redevelopment project area listed in Section 1.] FY 2015

| Name of Redevelopment Project Area: 69th/Ashland Redevelopment Project Area | | |
|--|--|--|
| Primary Use of Redevelopment Project Area*: Combination/Mixed | | |
| If "Combination/Mixed" List Component Types: Residential/Commercial | | |
| Under which section of the Illinois Municipal Code was Redevelopment Project Area designated? (check one): | | |
| Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act X Industrial Jobs Recovery Law | | |

| | No | Yes |
|---|----|-----|
| Were there any amendments to the redevelopment plan, the redevelopment project area, or the State | | |
| Sales Tax Boundary? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (1) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (1)] | | |
| If yes, please enclose the amendment labeled Attachment A | X | |
| Certification of the Chief Executive Officer of the municipality that the municipality has complied with all of | | |
| the requirements of the Act during the preceding fiscal year. [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (3) and 5/11-74.6- | | |
| 22 (d) (3)] | | |
| Please enclose the CEO Certification labeled Attachment B | | Х |
| Opinion of legal counsel that municipality is in compliance with the Act. [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (4) and | | |
| 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (4)] | | |
| Please enclose the Legal Counsel Opinion labeled Attachment C | | X |
| Were there any activities undertaken in furtherance of the objectives of the redevelopment plan, including | | |
| any project implemented in the preceding fiscal year and a description of the activities undertaken? [65] | | |
| ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (A and B) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (7) (A and B)] | | |
| If yes, please enclose the Activities Statement labeled Attachment D | Χ | |
| Were any agreements entered into by the municipality with regard to the disposition or redevelopment of | | |
| any property within the redevelopment project area or the area within the State Sales Tax Boundary? [65] | | |
| ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (C) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (7) (C)] | | |
| If yes, please enclose the Agreement(s) labeled Attachment E | Χ | |
| Is there additional information on the use of all funds received under this Division and steps taken by the | | |
| municipality to achieve the objectives of the redevelopment plan? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (D) and | | |
| | | |
| 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (7) (D)] | Χ | |
| If yes, please enclose the Additional Information labeled Attachment F | | |
| Did the municipality's TIF advisors or consultants enter into contracts with entities or persons that have | | |
| received or are receiving payments financed by tax increment revenues produced by the same TIF? [65 | | |
| ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (E) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (7) (E)] | v | |
| If yes, please enclose the contract(s) or description of the contract(s) labeled Attachment G | X | |
| Were there any reports or meeting minutes submitted to the municipality by the joint review board? [65] | | |
| ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (F) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (7) (F)] | v | |
| If yes, please enclose the Joint Review Board Report labeled Attachment H | X | |
| Were any obligations issued by municipality? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (8) (A) and | | |
| 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (8) (A)] | | |
| If yes, please enclose the Official Statement labeled Attachment I | Χ | |
| Was analysis prepared by a financial advisor or underwriter setting forth the nature and term of obligation | | |
| and projected debt service including required reserves and debt coverage? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (8) | | |
| (B) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (8) (B)] | | |
| If yes, please enclose the Analysis labeled Attachment J | Х | |
| Cumulatively, have deposits equal or greater than \$100,000 been made into the special tax allocation | | |
| fund? 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (2) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (2) | | |
| If yes, please enclose Audited financial statements of the special tax allocation fund | | |
| labeled Attachment K | | X |
| Cumulatively, have deposits of incremental revenue equal to or greater than \$100,000 been made into | | |
| the special tax allocation fund? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (9) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (9)] | | |
| If yes, please enclose a certified letter statement reviewing compliance with the Act labeled | | |
| Attachment L | | Χ |
| A list of all intergovernmental agreements in effect in FY 2015, to which the municipality is a part, and an | | |
| accounting of any money transferred or received by the municipality during that fiscal year pursuant to | | |
| those intergovernmental agreements. [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (10)] | | |
| If yes, please enclose list only of the intergovernmental agreements labeled Attachment M | Х | |
| y y y y y y y | | |

^{*} Types include: Central Business District, Retail, Other Commercial, Industrial, Residential, and Combination/Mixed.

SECTION 3.1 - (65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (5) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (5)) Provide an analysis of the special tax allocation fund.

FY 2015

TIF NAME:

69th/Ashland Redevelopment Project Area

Fund Balance at Beginning of Reporting Period

\$ 1,432,327

| Revenue/Cash Receipts Deposited in Fund During Reporting FY: | Reporting Year | Cumulative* | % of Total |
|--|-----------------|---------------------|------------|
| Property Tax Increment | 627,015 | \$ 4,574,686 | 100% |
| State Sales Tax Increment | | | 0% |
| Local Sales Tax Increment | | | 0% |
| State Utility Tax Increment | | | 0% |
| Local Utility Tax Increment | | | 0% |
| Interest | 4,468 | 18,189 | 0% |
| Land/Building Sale Proceeds | | | 0% |
| Bond Proceeds | T | | 0% |
| Transfers from Municipal Sources | | | 0% |
| Private Sources | | | 0% |
| Other (identify source; if multiple other sources, attach schedule) | | | |
| | | | 0% |
| | *must be comple | ted where 'Reportin | |
| | populated | | 9 |
| Total Amount Deposited in Special Tax Allocation | | | |
| Fund During Reporting Period | 631,483 | | |
| | | | |
| Cumulative Total Revenues/Cash Receipts | | \$ 4,592,875 | 100% |
| · | | | |
| TALE WAS A DIA CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF T | 404.404 | | |
| Total Expenditures/Cash Disbursements (Carried forward from Section 3.2) | 191,484 | | |
| Block War Council Council and | | Ī | |
| Distribution of Surplus | - | | |
| WALE IN 1991 I A | 404.404 | 1 | |
| Total Expenditures/Disbursements | 191,484 | | |
| NET INCOME/CARLI DECEIDTS OVED/HINDED) CARLI DISDUIGSTMENTS | 430,000 | 1 | |
| NET INCOME/CASH RECEIPTS OVER/(UNDER) CASH DISBURSEMENTS | 439,999 | | |
| FUND BALANCE, END OF REPORTING PERIOD* | \$ 1,872,326 | I | |
| * if there is a positive fund balance at the end of the reporting period, you must | φ 1,072,320 | ł | |
| complete Section 3.3 | | | |
| complete desiration | | | |
| | | | |
| Total Amount Restricted (Carried forward from Section 3.3) | \$ 328,326 | 1 | |

FY 2015

TIF NAME: 69th/Ashland Redevelopment Project Area

ITEMIZED LIST OF ALL EXPENDITURES FROM THE SPECIAL TAX ALLOCATION FUND

(by category of permissible redevelopment cost, amounts expended during reporting period)

| | FOR AMOUNTS >\$10,000 SECTION 3.2 B MUST BE COMPLET | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| Category of Permissible Redevelopment Cost [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-3 (q) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6 10 (o)] | Amounts | Reporting Fiscal Year | |
| 1. Costs of studies, administration and professional services—Subsections (q)(1) and (o) (1) | as in roll of the | Andrewski State Problems | |
| | 21,182 | male a section to be a section | |
| | 1 | Commence of the Commence of th | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | The Burney of Children | |
| | | | |
| | | \$ 21,182 | |
| 0. Cont. of annulus line of the Contractions (a)(4.6) and (a)(4.6) | | Δ1,102 | |
| 2. Cost of marketing sites—Subsections (q)(1.6) and (o)(1.6) | 100000000000000000000000000000000000000 | | |
| | | | |
| | | THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF TH | |
| | | THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | CANCARON DO CALCADO | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | Vertical and the second | |
| | | The second secon | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | AND SECURITION OF SECURITIONS | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
| | | - \$ | |
| 3. Property assembly, demolition, site preparation and environmental site improvement costs. | | eaperates to the | |
| Subsection (q)(2), (o)(2) and (o)(3) | resolvation disclosures | Service Control of the Control of th | |
| | 165,829 | 9.0 | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | 17 (14 th 14 th | |
| | | | |
| , | | MERCHANICAL AND A | |
| | | Charles and Charles and Charles | |
| | | | |
| | | \$ 165,829 | |
| Costs of rehabilitation, reconstruction, repair or remodeling of existing public or private | | \$ 165,829 | |
| buildings. Subsection (q)(3) and (o)(4) | 19 12 12 1 E 19 1 E 19 1 | | |
| buildings. Subsection (q)(3) and (0)(4) | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | AND AND PROPERTY. | |
| | <u> </u> | TOWNSHIP OF STREET | |
| | | 4.55-94.6075-64.09.6175-74. | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | \$ - | |
| 5. Costs of construction of public works and improvements. Subsection (q)(4) and (o)(5) | | saucini se trak est de | |
| | 4,473 | AND COLUMN TO SERVE AND CO | |
| | | to carry a factor action | |
| | | | |
| | | 77121310(St. 1112) | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | MARKET STREET | |
| | 1 | Fig. 1 | |
| | | \$ 4,473 | |
| 6. Costs of removing contaminants required by environmental laws or rules (o)(6) - Industrial Jobs | | | |
| b. Costs of removing contaminants required by environmental laws of rules (o)(6) - industrial Jobs Recovery TIFs ONLY | | | |
| IVECOACIÀ III 2 OMFI | | | |
| <u> </u> | | CONTRACTOR SERVICE | |
| | | | |
| | | 575 F. L. SERVETTE CO. F. S. | |
| | _ | | |
| | | | |
| | | Many and the second | |
| | | | |
| | <u> </u> | | |
| | I | - 1 | |

| PAGE 2 7. Cost of job training and retraining, including "welfare to work" programs Subsection (q)(5), (o)(7) and (o)(12) 8. Financing costs. Subsection (q) (6) and (o)(8) 9. Approved capital costs. Subsection (q)(7) and (o)(9) | SECTION 3.2 A | | • |
|--|--|--|--|
| (o)(7) and (o)(12) 8. Financing costs. Subsection (q) (6) and (o)(8) 9. Approved capital costs. Subsection (q)(7) and (o)(9) | | | - |
| 8. Financing costs. Subsection (q) (6) and (o)(8) 9. Approved capital costs. Subsection (q)(7) and (o)(9) | | | (P) (E) (E) (E) (E) |
| 8. Financing costs. Subsection (q) (6) and (o)(8) 9. Approved capital costs. Subsection (q)(7) and (o)(9) | | | |
| 8. Financing costs. Subsection (q) (6) and (o)(8) 9. Approved capital costs. Subsection (q)(7) and (o)(9) | | | |
| 8. Financing costs. Subsection (q) (6) and (o)(8) 9. Approved capital costs. Subsection (q)(7) and (o)(9) | | | The state of the s |
| 8. Financing costs. Subsection (q) (6) and (o)(8) 9. Approved capital costs. Subsection (q)(7) and (o)(9) | | | CHARLES AND TO MAKE THE |
| 9. Approved capital costs. Subsection (q)(7) and (o)(9) | | | \$ - |
| 9. Approved capital costs. Subsection (q)(7) and (o)(9) | 8. Financing costs. Subsection (q) (6) and (o)(8) | | |
| 9. Approved capital costs. Subsection (q)(7) and (o)(9) | | | |
| 9. Approved capital costs. Subsection (q)(7) and (o)(9) | | | |
| 9. Approved capital costs. Subsection (q)(7) and (o)(9) | | | al tracers are a substituting in. |
| 9. Approved capital costs. Subsection (q)(7) and (o)(9) | | | 3670 3554453 |
| | 9. Approved capital costs. Subsection (q)(7) and (o)(9) | | |
| | | | |
| | | | CONTRACTOR |
| | | | a de constante de la constante |
| S S | | | a survivos efectos de electron |
| | | | \$ - |
| 10. Cost of Reimbursing school districts for their increased costs caused by TIF assisted housing projects. Subsection (q)(7.5) - Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment TIFs ONLY | 10. Cost of Reimbursing school districts for their increased costs caused by TIF assisted housing projects. Subsection (q)(7.5) - Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment TIFs ONLY | | 1. Linda de 11. |
| | | | |
| Example 1 to 1 t | | | |
| | | <u> </u> | unterioristica de la companya del companya del companya de la comp |
| | | | A SAME AND |
| \$ | | | \$ - |
| 11. Relocation costs. Subsection (q)(8) and (o)(10) | 11. Relocation costs. Subsection (q)(8) and (o)(10) | | production of the second second second |
| | | | |
| | | | To a Section 18 |
| | | | |
| | | | S |
| | 12. Payments in lieu of taxes. Subsection (q)(9) and (o)(11) | Harita de Antica de Carrio | |
| | | | Commence of the second |
| | | | As more propagation of the factors |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| \$ 13. Costs of job training, retraining advanced vocational or career education provided by other | 13. Costs of job training, retraining advanced vocational or career education provided by other | | \$ - |
| taxing bodies. Subsection (q)(10) and (o)(12) | taxing bodies. Subsection (q)(10) and (o)(12) | | and the same of th |
| | | | SCHOOL STATES |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| The control of the co | | | |

.

| SECTION 3.2 A | | |
|---|----------|---|
| PAGE 3 | | |
| 14. Costs of reimbursing private developers for interest expenses incurred on approved redevelopment projects. Subsection (q)(11)(A-E) and (o)(13)(A-E) | | |
| | | Seas a property of the season of the |
| | | Laste Christian Institution |
| | | |
| | | 中国的14年25年3月1日 |
| | | |
| | | 1 (actives 5 (20) (C) (120) (15) |
| | <u> </u> | |
| | | \$ - |
| 15. Costs of construction of new housing units for low income and very low-income households. Subsection (q)(11)(F) - Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment TIFs ONLY | | 计算程序的图象 |
| | | CONTRACTOR OF STREET |
| | | Strength Action 1985 |
| | | MESONESS OF THE STATE OF |
| | | and desirates our months in the |
| | | |
| | <u> </u> | AND PARTY OF A |
| | | |
| | | - |
| 16. Cost of day care services and operational costs of day care centers. Subsection (q) (11.5) - Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment TIFs ONLY | | |
| | | |
| | <u> </u> | 经产业人员 经产品有效 重点 |
| <u> </u> | <u> </u> | 《全部》 图148 中国的现在分词 |
| | | 计数据数据编制数据数据数据 |
| | | Ment de la company de la co |
| | | |
| ······································ | | |
| | | - |
| TOTAL ITEMIZED EXPENDITURES | | \$ 191,484 |
| TOTAL IT LIVINGED EXITERDITORES | | Ψ 181,404 |

.

Section 3.2 B

FY 2015

TIF NAME: 69th/Ashland Redevelopment Project Area

List all vendors, including other municipal funds, that were paid in excess of \$10,000 during the current reporting year.

| Name | Service | Amount |
|-------------------------------|----------------|-----------|
| City Staff Costs ¹ | Administration | \$15,472 |
| Finch LP | Development | \$165,829 |

¹ Costs relate directly to the salaries and fringe benefits of employees working solely on tax increment financing districts.

^{*} This table may include payments for Projects that were undertaken prior to 11/1/1999.

SECTION 3.3 - (65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (5) 65 ILCS 11-74.6-22 (d) (5))

Breakdown of the Balance in the Special Tax Allocation Fund At the End of the Reporting Period

FY 2015

SURPLUS*/(DEFICIT)

TIF NAME: 69th/Ashland Redevelopment Project Area

| FUND BALANCE, END OF REPORTING PERIOD | | | \$ | 1,872,326 |
|---|------------------|----------|------|----------------|
| | Amount of Issuar | | Amou | ınt Restricted |
| 1. Description of Debt Obligations | | | | |
| Restricted for debt service | \$ | | \$ | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Total Amount Restricted for Obligations | \$ | <u>-</u> | \$ | -] |
| 2. Description of Project Costs to be Paid | | | | 220.226 |
| Restricted for future redevelopment project costs | | | \$ | 328,326 |
| | | | | |
| | mindense at each | | | |
| | 77.77.04 | | | |
| | | | | |
| | present a source | | | |
| | | | | |
| | 4.62464 | | | |
| | | 1000 | | |
| Total Amount Restricted for Project Costs | | | \$ | 328,326 |
| | | | | |
| TOTAL AMOUNT RESTRICTED | | | \$ | 328,326 |

\$

1,544,000

^{*} NOTE: If a surplus is calculated, the municipality may be required to repay the amount to overlapping taxing districts (See instructions and statutes)

SECTION 4 [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (6) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (6)]

FY 2015

TIF NAME: 69th/Ashland Redevelopment Project Area

Provide a description of all property purchased by the municipality during the reporting fiscal year within the redevelopment project area.

X No property was acquired by the Municipality Within the Redevelopment Project Area

SECTION 5 - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (G) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (7) (G) PAGE 1

FY 2015

TIF NAME: 69th/Ashland Redevelopment Project Area

SECTION 5 PROVIDES PAGES 1-3 TO ACCOMMODATE UP TO 25 PROJECTS. PAGE 1 MUST BE INCLUDED WITH TIF REPORT. PAGES 2-3 SHOULD BE INCLUDED IF PROJECTS ARE LISTED ON THESE PAGES

Check here if NO projects were undertaken by the Municipality Within the Redevelopment Project Area: ENTER total number of projects undertaken by the Municipality Within the Redevelopment Project Area and list them in detail below*. 2 Estimated Investment for Subsequent Fiscal Total Estimated to TOTAL: 11/1/99 to Date Year Complete Project Private Investment Undertaken 16,426,193 \$ \$ \$ Public Investment Undertaken \$ 2,550,894 \$ \$ -Ratio of Private/Public Investment 6 29/66 0 British (Calagoria Golde) Project 1: Food for Less **Project Complete** 10,626,014 Private Investment Undertaken \$ \$ Public Investment Undertaken 1,252,864 Ratio of Private/Public Investment 8 13/27 0 Project 2: Finch L.P. Project is Complete Private Investment Undertaken \$ 5,800,179 Public Investment Undertaken \$ 1,298,030 Ratio of Private/Public Investment 4 37/79 0 Project 3: Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions) Public Investment Undertaken Ratio of Private/Public Investment 0 0 Project 4: Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions) Public Investment Undertaken Ratio of Private/Public Investment Ö 0 Project 5: Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions) Public Investment Undertaken Ratio of Private/Public Investment 0 0 acido Calor Caldella Project 6: Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions) Public Investment Undertaken Ratio of Private/Public Investment 0 0

PAGE 2

| Project 7: | 7 | | |
|---|----------------|--|----------|
| Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions) | | | |
| Public Investment Undertaken | | | |
| Ratio of Private/Public Investment | 0 | | 0 |
| Traile of the Invaler upile investment | <u>. l</u> | ALERO HOLLEN MANAGEMENT IN | |
| Project 8; | 7 | | |
| | | | |
| Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions) | | | |
| Public Investment Undertaken | - | CONNECTION FOR A COLUMN CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRA | |
| Ratio of Private/Public Investment | 0 | | 0 |
| Due in et 0 | - ¬ | | |
| Project 9: | | | |
| Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions) | - | - | |
| Public Investment Undertaken | - | | |
| Ratio of Private/Public Investment | 0 | | 0 |
| | | BYSHO IN COLORS CARRY COLOR CARRY W. CALL CARRY AND | |
| Project 10: | 7 | | |
| | | | |
| Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions) | | | |
| Public Investment Undertaken | | | |
| Ratio of Private/Public Investment | 0 | | 0 |
| | | | |
| Project 11: | | | |
| Director la contraction (Occupations) | | | |
| Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions) Public Investment Undertaken | | | |
| | 0 | | |
| Ratio of Private/Public Investment | | | |
| Project 12: | 7 | | |
| | | | |
| Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions) | | | |
| Public Investment Undertaken | | | |
| Ratio of Private/Public Investment | 0 | | 0 |
| | | | |
| Project 13: | 7 | | |
| | | | <u> </u> |
| Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions) | | | |
| Public Investment Undertaken | | | |
| Ratio of Private/Public Investment | 0 | | 0 |

General Notes

- (a) Each actual or estimated Public Investment reported here is, to the extent possible, comprised only of payments financed by tax increment revenue, and may include interest amounts paid to finance the Public Investment amount. In contrast, each actual or estimated Private Investment reported here is, to the extent possible, comprised of payments financed by revenues that are not tax increment revenues and, therefore, may include private equity, private lender financing, private grants, other public monies, or other local, state or federal grants or loans.
- (b) Each amount reported here under Public Investment Undertaken, Total Estimated to Complete Project, is the maximum amount of payments financed by tax increment revenues that could be made pursuant to the corresponding Project's operating documents, but not including interest that may later be payable on developer notes, and may not necessarily reflect actual expenditures, if any, as reported in Section 3 herein. The total public investment amount ultimately made under each Project will depend upon the future occurrence of various conditions, including interest that may be payable on developer notes as set forth in the Project's operating documents.

^{***} As of the last date of the reporting fiscal year, the construction of this Project was ongoing; the Private Investment Undertaken and Ratio figures for this Project will be reported on the Annual Report for the fiscal year in which the construction of the Project is completed and the total Private Investment figure is available.

Optional: Information in the following sections is not required by law, but would be helpful in evaluating the performance of TIF in Illinois.

| ì |
|---|
| |

FY 2015

TIF NAME:

69th/Ashland Redevelopment Project Area

Provide the base EAV (at the time of designation) and the EAV for the year reported for the redevelopment project area

| Year | rede | evel | opment | |
|------|------|------|--------|--|
| | 4 | | | |

project area was Reporting Fiscal Year designated Base EAV EAV

List all overlapping tax districts in the redevelopment project area. If overlapping taxing district received a surplus, list the surplus.

____ The overlapping taxing districts did not receive a surplus.

| Overlapping Taxing District | Surplus Distributed from redevelopment |
|-----------------------------|--|
| | - |
| | - |
| | \$ |
| | \$ - |
| * | - \$ |
| | \$ - |
| | \$ - |
| | - |
| , | \$ - |
| | \$ |
| | . \$ |
| • | - |
| | \$ - |
| | \$ - |
| | \$ |

SECTION 7

Provide information about job creation and retention

| Number of Jobs | Number of Jobs | Description and Type (Temporary or | |
|----------------|----------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Retained | Created | Permanent) of Jobs | Total Salaries Paid |
| · | | i dimanditi, di cobo | \$ - |
| | | | \$ |
| | | | \$ - |
| | | | \$ |
| · | | | \$ |
| | , | | \$ |
| | | | \$ - |

SECTION 8

Provide a general description of the redevelopment project area using only major boundaries:

| Optional Documents | Enclosed | |
|---|----------|--|
| Legal description of redevelopment project area | | |
| Map of District | X | |

69th/Ashland Redevelopment Project Area 2015 Annual Report



| STATE OF ILLINOIS |)) SS | Attachment B |
|-------------------|---------------|--------------|
| COUNTY OF COOK |) | · |
| | CERTIFICATION | |

TO:

Leslie Geissler Munger Comptroller of the State of Illinois James R. Thompson Center 100 West Randolph Street, Suite 15-500 Chicago, Illinois 60601 Attention: June Canello, Director of Local Government

James R. Dempsey Associate Vice Chancellor-Finance City Colleges of Chicago 226 West Jackson Boulevard, Room 1125 Chicago, Illinois 60606

Michael Jasso Bureau Chief Cook County Bureau of Economic Dev. 69 West Washington Street, Suite 3000 Chicago, Illinois 60602

Lawrence Wilson, Comptroller Forest Preserve District of Cook County 69 W. Washington Street, Suite 2060 Chicago, IL 60602 Forrest Claypool
Chief Executive Officer
Chicago Board of Education
42 West Madison Street
Chicago, Illinois 60603

Jacqueline Torres, Director of Finance Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago 100 East Erie Street, Room 2429 Chicago, Illinois 60611

Douglas Wright
South Cook County Mosquito Abatement
District
155th & Dixie Highway
P.O. Box 1030
Harvey, Illinois 60426

Michael P. Kelly, General Superintendent & CEO Chicago Park District 541 North Fairbanks, 7th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60611

I, Rahm Emanuel, in connection with the annual report (the "Report") of information required by Section 11-74.4-5(d) of the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS5/11-74.4-1 et seq, (the "Act") with regard to the 69th/Ashland Redevelopment Project Area (the "Redevelopment Project Area"), do hereby certify as follows:

Attachment B

- 1. I am the duly qualified and acting Mayor of the City of Chicago, Illinois (the "City") and, as such, I am the City's Chief Executive Officer. This Certification is being given by me in such capacity.
- 2. During the preceding fiscal year of the City, being January 1 through December 31, 2015, the City complied, in all material respects, with the requirements of the Act, as applicable from time to time, regarding the Redevelopment Project Area.
- 3. In giving this Certification, I have relied on the opinion of the Corporation Counsel of the City furnished in connection with the Report.
 - 4. This Certification may be relied upon only by the addressees hereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto affixed my official signature as of this 30th day of June, 2016.

Rahm Emanuel, Mayor City of Chicago, Illinois

ſ



DEPARTMENT OF LAW

June 30, 2016

CITY OF CHICAGO

Attachment C

Leslie Geissler Munger Comptroller of the State of Illinois James R. Thompson Center 100 West Randolph Street, Suite 15-500 Chicago, Illinois 60601 Attention: June Canello, Director of Local Government

James R. Dempsey Associate Vice Chancellor-Finance City Colleges of Chicago 226 West Jackson Boulevard, Room 1125 Chicago, Illinois 60606

Michael Jasso Bureau Chief Cook County Bureau of Economic Dev. 69 West Washington Street, Suite 3000 Chicago, Illinois 60602

Lawrence Wilson, Comptroller Forest Preserve District of Cook County 69 W. Washington Street, Suite 2060 Chicago, IL 60602 Forrest Claypool Chief Executive Officer Chicago Board of Education 42 West Madison Street Chicago, Illinois 60603

Jacqueline Torres, Director of Finance Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago 100 East Erie Street, Room 2429 Chicago, Illinois 60611

Douglas Wright South Cook County Mosquito Abatement District 155th & Dixie Highway P.O. Box 1030 Harvey, Illinois 60426

Michael P. Kelly, General Superintendent & CEO Chicago Park District 541 North Fairbanks, 7th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60611

Re: 69th/Ashland

Redevelopment Project Area (the "Redevelopment Project Area")

Dear Addressees:

I am the Corporation Counsel of the City of Chicago, Illinois (the "City") and, in such capacity, I am the head of the City's Law Department. In such capacity, I am providing the opinion required by Section 11-74.4-5(d)(4) of the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-1 et seq. (the "Act"), in connection with the submission of the report (the "Report") in accordance with, and containing the information required by, Section 11-74.4-5(d) of the Act for the Redevelopment Project Area.

Opinion of Counsel for 2015 Annual Report Page 2

June 30, 2016

Attorneys, past and present, in the Law Department of the City and familiar with the requirements of the Act, have had general involvement in the proceedings affecting the Redevelopment Project Area, including the preparation of ordinances adopted by the City Council of the City with respect to the following matters: approval of the redevelopment plan and project for the Redevelopment Project Area, designation of the Redevelopment Project Area as a redevelopment project area, and adoption of tax increment allocation financing for the Redevelopment Project Area, all in accordance with the then applicable provisions of the Act. Various departments of the City, including, if applicable, the Law Department, Department of Planning and Development, Department of Finance and Office of Budget and Management (collectively, the "City Departments"), have personnel responsible for and familiar with the activities in the Redevelopment Project Area affecting such Department(s) and with the requirements of the Act in connection therewith. Such personnel are encouraged to seek and obtain, and do seek and obtain, the legal guidance of the Law Department with respect to issues that may arise from time to time regarding the requirements of, and compliance with, the Act.

In my capacity as Corporation Counsel, I have relied on the general knowledge and actions of the appropriately designated and trained staff of the Law Department and other applicable City Departments involved with the activities affecting the Redevelopment Project Area. In addition, I have caused to be examined or reviewed by members of the Law Department of the City the certified audit report, to the extent required to be obtained by Section 11-74.4-5(d)(9) of the Act and submitted as part of the Report, which is required to review compliance with the Act in certain respects, to determine if such audit report contains information that might affect my opinion. I have also caused to be examined or reviewed such other documents and records as were deemed necessary to enable me to render this opinion. Nothing has come to my attention that would result in my need to qualify the opinion hereinafter expressed, subject to the limitations hereinafter set forth, unless and except to the extent set forth in an Exception Schedule attached hereto as Schedule 1.

Based on the foregoing, I am of the opinion that, in all material respects, the City is in compliance with the provisions and requirements of the Act in effect and then applicable at the time actions were taken from time to time with respect to the Redevelopment Project Area.

This opinion is given in an official capacity and not personally and no personal liability shall derive herefrom. Furthermore, the only opinion that is expressed is the opinion specifically set forth herein, and no opinion is implied or should be inferred as to any other matter. Further, this opinion may be relied upon only by the addressees hereof and the Mayor of the City in providing his required certification in connection with the Report, and not by any other party.

Verv tradv vours.

Stephen R. Patton
Corporation Counsel

ATTACHMENT K

CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 69TH/ASHLAND REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

FINANCIAL REPORT

DECEMBER 31, 2015

CONTENTS

| | <u>Page</u> |
|--|-------------|
| INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION | 1-2 |
| Management's discussion and analysis Statement of net position and governmental fund balance sheet Statement of activities and governmental fund revenues, | 3-5 6 |
| expenditures and changes in fund balance Notes to financial statements | 7 8-10 |
| SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION | |
| Schedule of expenditures by statutory code | 11 |



O'HARE PLAZA 8745 WEST HIGGINS ROAD SUITE 200 CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60631

Tel: (312) 263-2700 Fax: (312) 263-6935 www.bk-cpa.com

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

The Honorable Rahm Emanuel, Mayor Members of the City Council City of Chicago, Illinois

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the 69th/Ashland Redevelopment Project of the City of Chicago, Illinois, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Project's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

The financial statements present only the 69th/Ashland Redevelopment Project and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the financial position of the City of Chicago, Illinois, as of December 31, 2015, and the changes in its financial position for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the 69th/Ashland Redevelopment Project of the City of Chicago, Illinois, as of December 31, 2015, and the respective changes in financial position for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.



Other Matters

Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis on pages 3-5 be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The Schedule of Expenditures by Statutory Code is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, such information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

Certified Public Accountants

Bandley and Kiener, L.L.P.

June 20, 2016

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (UNAUDITED)

As management of the 69th/Ashland Tax Increment Redevelopment Project Area (Project), we offer the readers of the Project's financial statements this narrative overview and analysis of the Project's financial performance for the year ended December 31, 2015. Please read it in conjunction with the Project's financial statements, which follow this section.

Overview of the Financial Statements

This discussion and analysis is intended to serve as an introduction to the Project's basic financial statements. The Project's basic financial statements include three components: 1) government-wide financial statements, 2) governmental fund financial statements, and 3) notes to the financial statements. This report also contains other supplementary information concerning the Project's expenditures by statutory code.

Basic Financial Statements

The basic financial statements include two kinds of financial statements that present different views of the Project – the *Government-Wide Financial Statements* and the *Governmental Fund Financial Statements*. These financial statements also include the notes to the financial statements that explain some of the information in the financial statements and provide more detail.

Government-Wide Financial Statements

The government-wide financial statements provide both long-term and short-term information about the Project's financial status and use accounting methods similar to those used by private-sector companies. The statement of net position includes all of the project's assets and liabilities. All of the current year's revenues and expenses are accounted for in the statement of activities regardless of when cash is received or paid. The two government-wide statements report the Project's net position and how they have changed. Net position – the difference between the Project's assets and liabilities – is one way to measure the Project's financial health, or position.

Governmental Fund Financial Statements

The governmental fund financial statements provide more detailed information about the Project's significant funds – not the Project as a whole. Governmental funds focus on: 1) how cash and other financial assets can readily be converted to cash flows and 2) the year-end balances that are available for spending. Consequently, the governmental fund statements provide a detailed short-term view that helps determine whether there are more financial resources that can be spent in the near future to finance the Project. Because this information does not encompass the additional long-term focus of the government-wide statements, we provide additional information at the bottom of the statements to explain the relationship (or differences) between them.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (UNAUDITED) (Continued)

Notes to the Financial Statements

The notes provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the government-wide and governmental fund financial statements. The notes to the financial statements follow the basic financial statements.

Other Supplementary Information

In addition to the basic financial statements and accompanying notes, this report also presents a schedule of expenditures by statutory code. This supplementary information follows the notes to the financial statements.

Condensed Comparative Financial Statements

The condensed comparative financial statements are presented on the following page.

Analysis of Overall Financial Position and Results of Operations

Property tax revenue for the Project was \$693,845 for the year. This was an increase of 94 percent over the prior year. The change in net position produced an increase in net position of \$506,829. The Project's net position increased by 30 percent from the prior year making available \$674,579 (net of surplus distribution) of funding to be provided for purposes of future redevelopment in the Project's designated area. Revenues increased this year due to the Project's economic growth and accordingly increasing the total equalized assessed value of parcels and subsequent tax increment and related collections. Expenses increased this year due to the Project's formulation of a redevelopment plan or necessary funding was substantially complete and available.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (UNAUDITED) (Concluded)

Government-Wide

| | 2015 | 2014 | Change | % Change |
|-------------------------|--------------|--------------|------------|----------|
| Total assets | \$ 2,245,724 | \$ 1,722,319 | \$ 523,405 | 30% |
| Total liabilities | 27,145 | 10,569 | 16,576 | 157% |
| Total net position | \$ 2,218,579 | \$ 1,711,750 | \$ 506,829 | 30% |
| | | | | |
| Total revenues | \$ 698,313 | \$ 361,341 | \$ 336,972 | 93% |
| Total expenses | 191,484 | 16,418 | 175,066 | 1,066% |
| Changes in net position | 506,829 | 344,923 | 161,906 | 47% |
| Ending net position | \$ 2,218,579 | \$1,711,750 | \$ 506,829 | 30% |

STATEMENT OF NET POSITION AND GOVERNMENTAL FUND BALANCE SHEET DECEMBER 31, 2015

| Cash and investments \$ 1,690,392 \$ - \$1,690,392 Property taxes receivable 551,798 - 551,798 Accrued interest receivable 3,534 - 3,534 Total assets \$ 2,245,724 \$ - \$ 2,245,724 Uses to other City funds 19,358 - 19,358 Total liabilities 27,145 - 27,145 Deferred inflows 346,253 (346,253) - FUND BALANCE/NET POSITION Fund balance: Restricted for surplus distribution (Note 2) 1,544,000 (1,544,000) - Restricted for surplus distribution (Note 2) 328,326 (328,326) - Total fund balance 1,872,326 (1,872,326) - Restricted for surplus distribution (Note 2) 1,544,000 1,544,000 - Restricted for surplus distribution (Note 2) 1,544,000 1,544,000 - Restricted for surplus distribution (Note 2) 1,544,000 - - 674,579 674,579 674,579 | <u>ASSETS</u> | Gc | overnmental Fund | Adjustments | | | atement of Position | | |
|--|--|---------|---------------------|-------------|----------|-------|---------------------------|--|--|
| Accrued interest receivable 3,534 — 3,534 Total assets \$ 2,245,724 \$ - \$ 2,245,724 LIABILITIES AND DEFERRED INFLOWS Vouchers payable \$ 7,787 \$ - \$ 7,787 Due to other City funds 19,358 - 19,358 Total liabilities 27,145 - 27,145 Deferred inflows 346,253 (346,253) - FUND BALANCE/NET POSITION \$ 1,544,000 (1,544,000) - Restricted for surplus distribution (Note 2) 1,544,000 (1,544,000) - Restricted for future redevelopment project costs 328,326 (328,326) - Total fund balance 1,872,326 (1,872,326) - Restricted for surplus distribution (Note 2) 1,544,000 1,544,000 Restricted for future redevelopment project costs 1,544,000 1,544,000 Restricted for future redevelopment project costs 674,579 674,579 674,579 Armounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net position are different because: <td>Cash and investments</td> <td>\$</td> <td>1,690,392</td> <td>\$</td> <td>-</td> <td>\$1,</td> <td>690,392</td> | Cash and investments | \$ | 1,690,392 | \$ | - | \$1, | 690,392 | | |
| Total assets \$ 2,245,724 \$ - \$2,245,724 LIABILITIES AND DEFERRED INFLOWS Vouchers payable \$ 7,787 \$ - \$ 7,787 Due to other City funds 19,358 - 19,358 Total liabilities 27,145 - 27,145 Deferred inflows 346,253 (346,253) - FUND BALANCE/NET POSITION Fund balance: Restricted for surplus distribution (Note 2) 1,544,000 (1,544,000) - Restricted for surplus distribution (Note 2) 328,326 (328,326) - Total fund balance 1,872,326 (1,872,326) - Total find balance for surplus distribution (Note 2) 1,544,000 1,544,000 Restricted for surplus distribution (Note 2) 1,544,000 1,544,000 Restricted for surplus distribution (Note 2) 1,544,000 1,544,000 Restricted for future redevelopment project costs 674,579 674,579 674,579 Armounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net position are different because: 1,372,326 1,372,326 <td>Property taxes receivable</td> <td></td> <td>551,798</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>551,798</td> | Property taxes receivable | | 551,798 | | - | | 551,798 | | |
| LIABILITIES AND DEFERRED INFLOWS Vouchers payable \$ 7,787 \$ - \$ 7,787 Due to other City funds 19,358 - 19,358 Total liabilities 27,145 - 27,145 EUND BALANCE/NET POSITION Fund balance: | Accrued interest receivable | | 3,534 | | | | 3,534 | | |
| Vouchers payable \$ 7,787 \$ - \$ 7,787 Due to other City funds 19,358 - 19,358 Total liabilities 27,145 - 27,145 Deferred inflows 346,253 (346,253) - FUND BALANCE/NET POSITION Fund balance: Restricted for surplus distribution (Note 2) 1,544,000 (1,544,000) - Restricted for surplus distribution (Note 2) 328,326 (328,326) - Total fund balance 1,872,326 (1,872,326) - Net position: Restricted for surplus distribution (Note 2) 1,544,000 1,544,000 1,544,000 Restricted for future redevelopment project costs 674,579 674,579 674,579 674,579 Total net position \$ 2,218,579 \$ 2,218,579 \$ 2,218,579 Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net position are different because: 1,872,326 Total fund balance - governmental fund \$ 1,872,326 Property tax revenue is recognized in the period for which levied rather than when "available". A portion of the deferred property tax revenue is not available | Total assets | \$ | 2,245,724 | \$ | | \$ 2, | 245,724 | | |
| Due to other City funds 19,358 - 19,358 Total liabilities 27,145 - 27,145 EUND BALANCE/NET POSITION FUND BALANCE/NET POSITION FUND BALANCE/NET POSITION FUND BALANCE/NET POSITION Fund balance: Restricted for surplus distribution (Note 2) 1,544,000 (1,544,000) - Restricted for future redevelopment project costs 328,326 (328,326) - Total fund balance 1,872,326 (1,872,326) - Net position: Restricted for surplus distribution (Note 2) 1,544,000 1,544,000 1,544,000 Restricted for future redevelopment project costs 674,579 674,579 674,579 674,579 674,579 70 at 1,544,000 \$2,218,579 \$2,218,579 Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net position are different because: 1,872,326 \$1,872,326 \$1,872,326 \$1,872,326 \$1,872,326 \$1,872,326 \$1,872,326 \$1,872,326 \$1,872,326 \$1,872,326 \$1,872,326 \$1,872,326 \$1,872,326 \$1,872,326 | LIABILITIES AND DEFERRED INFLOWS | | | | | | | | |
| Total liabilities 27,145 - 27,145 Deferred inflows 346,253 (346,253) - FUND BALANCE/NET POSITION Fund balance: Restricted for surplus distribution (Note 2) 1,544,000 (1,544,000) - Restricted for future redevelopment project costs 328,326 (328,326) - Total fund balance 1,872,326 (1,872,326) - Total liabilities, deferred inflows and fund balance 2,245,724 1 544,000 - Restricted for surplus distribution (Note 2) 1,544,000 1,544,000 1,544,000 - - Restricted for future redevelopment project costs 674,579 674,579 674,579 674,579 - - Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net position are different because: \$1,872,326 - - Total fund balance - governmental fund \$1,872,326 \$1,872,326 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - | Vouchers payable | \$ | 7,787 | \$ | - | \$ | 7,787 | | |
| Deferred inflows 346,253 (346,253) - FUND BALANCE/NET POSITION Fund balance: Restricted for surplus distribution (Note 2) 1,544,000 (1,544,000) - Restricted for future redevelopment project costs 328,326 (328,326) - Total fund balance 1,872,326 (1,872,326) - Total liabilities, deferred inflows and fund balance 2,245,724 Net position: Restricted for surplus distribution (Note 2) 1,544,000 1,544,000 Restricted for future redevelopment project costs 674,579 674,579 Total net position \$2,218,579\$ \$2,218,579 Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net position are different because: Total fund balance - governmental fund \$1,872,326 Property tax revenue is recognized in the period for which levied rather than when "available". A portion of the deferred property tax revenue is not available. | Due to other City funds | | 19,358 | | | | 19,358 | | |
| FUND BALANCE/NET POSITION Fund balance: Restricted for surplus distribution (Note 2) 1,544,000 (1,544,000) - Restricted for future redevelopment project costs 328,326 (328,326) - Total fund balance 1,872,326 (1,872,326) - Total liabilities, deferred inflows and fund balance \$2,245,724 Net position: Restricted for surplus distribution (Note 2) 1,544,000 1,544,000 Restricted for future redevelopment project costs 674,579 674,579 Total net position \$2,218,579 \$2,218,579 Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net position are different because: Total fund balance - governmental fund \$1,872,326 Property tax revenue is recognized in the period for which levied rather than when "available". A portion of the deferred property tax revenue is not available. 346,253 | Total liabilities | | 27,145 | | <u>-</u> | | 27,145 | | |
| Fund balance: Restricted for surplus distribution (Note 2) 1,544,000 (1,544,000) - Restricted for future redevelopment project costs 328,326 (328,326) - Total fund balance 1,872,326 (1,872,326) - Total liabilities, deferred inflows and fund balance \$2,245,724 Net position: Restricted for surplus distribution (Note 2) 1,544,000 Restricted for future redevelopment project costs 674,579 674,579 Total net position \$2,218,579\$ Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net position are different because: Total fund balance - governmental fund \$1,872,326 Property tax revenue is recognized in the period for which levied rather than when "available". A portion of the deferred property tax revenue is not available. 346,253 | Deferred inflows | | 346,253 | (346,253) | | | | | |
| Restricted for surplus distribution (Note 2) Restricted for future redevelopment project costs Total fund balance Total liabilities, deferred inflows and fund balance Restricted for surplus distribution (Note 2) Restricted for surplus distribution (Note 2) Restricted for surplus distribution (Note 2) Restricted for future redevelopment project costs Total net position Total net position Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net position are different because: Total fund balance - governmental fund Property tax revenue is recognized in the period for which levied rather than when "available". A portion of the deferred property tax revenue is not available. 1,544,000 1,544,0 | FUND BALANCE/NET POSITION | | | | | | | | |
| Total fund balance 1,872,326 (328,326) - Total liabilities, deferred inflows and fund balance \$2,245,724 Net position: Restricted for surplus distribution (Note 2) Restricted for future redevelopment project costs 674,579 674,579 Total net position Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net position are different because: Total fund balance - governmental fund \$1,872,326 Property tax revenue is recognized in the period for which levied rather than when "available". A portion of the deferred property tax revenue is not available. 346,253 | Restricted for surplus distribution (Note 2) | | 1,544,000 | (1,544,000) | | | - | | |
| Total liabilities, deferred inflows and fund balance \$2,245,724 Net position: Restricted for surplus distribution (Note 2) 1,544,000 1,544,000 Restricted for future redevelopment project costs 674,579 674,579 Total net position \$2,218,579 \$2,218,579 Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net position are different because: Total fund balance - governmental fund \$1,872,326 Property tax revenue is recognized in the period for which levied rather than when "available". A portion of the deferred property tax revenue is not available. 346,253 | · · | 328,326 | (328,326) | | | - | | | |
| Net position: Restricted for surplus distribution (Note 2) Restricted for future redevelopment project costs Total net position Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net position are different because: Total fund balance - governmental fund Property tax revenue is recognized in the period for which levied rather than when "available". A portion of the deferred property tax revenue is not available. 1,544,000 1,544,000 6,74,579 6,74,579 6,74,579 1,872,326 1,872,326 1,872,326 1,872,326 | Total fund balance | | 1,872,326 | (1,8 | 72,326) | | | | |
| Restricted for surplus distribution (Note 2) Restricted for future redevelopment project costs Total net position Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net position are different because: Total fund balance - governmental fund Property tax revenue is recognized in the period for which levied rather than when "available". A portion of the deferred property tax revenue is not available. 1,544,000 674,579 674,579 52,218,579 \$2,218,579 \$1,872,326 | Total liabilities, deferred inflows and fund balance | \$ | 2,245,724 | | | | | | |
| Total net position \$2,218,579 \$2,218,579 Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net position are different because: Total fund balance - governmental fund \$1,872,326 Property tax revenue is recognized in the period for which levied rather than when "available". A portion of the deferred property tax revenue is not available. 346,253 | Restricted for surplus distribution (Note 2) Restricted for future redevelopment | | | | - | | - | | |
| Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net position are different because: Total fund balance - governmental fund \$ 1,872,326 Property tax revenue is recognized in the period for which levied rather than when "available". A portion of the deferred property tax revenue is not available. 346,253 | | | | | | | | | |
| Total fund balance - governmental fund \$1,872,326 Property tax revenue is recognized in the period for which levied rather than when "available". A portion of the deferred property tax revenue is not available. 346,253 | Total Het position | | | Ψ Ζ,Ζ | 10,019 | ΨΖ, | 210,319 | | |
| Property tax revenue is recognized in the period for which levied rather than when "available". A portion of the deferred property tax revenue is not available. 346,253 | Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net position are different because: | | | | | | | | |
| "available". A portion of the deferred property tax revenue is not available. 346,253 | Total fund balance - governmental fund | | | | | | | | |
| Total net position - governmental activities \$2,218,579 | · · · | | | | | | | | |
| | Total net position - governmental activities | | | | | \$2, | 218,579 | | |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES AND GOVERNMENTAL FUND REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2015

| | Governmental Fund Adjus | | Statement of Activities | | |
|--|-------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| Revenues: Property tax Interest | \$ 627,015 4,468 | \$ 66,830 | \$ 693,845 4,468 | | |
| Total revenues | 631,483 | 66,830 | 698,313 | | |
| Expenditures/expenses: Economic development projects | 191,484 | - | 191,484 | | |
| Excess of revenues over expenditures | 439,999 | (439,999) | - | | |
| Change in net position | - | 506,829 | 506,829 | | |
| Fund balance/net position: Beginning of year | 1,432,327 | 279,423 | 1,711,750 | | |
| End of year | \$ 1,872,326 | \$ 346,253 | \$ 2,218,579 | | |
| Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of act | vities are differen | t because: | | | |
| Net change in fund balance - governmental fund | | | \$ 439,999 | | |
| Property tax revenue is recognized in the period for which levied rather than when "available". A portion of the deferred property tax revenue is not available. | | | | | |
| Change in net position - governmental activities | | | \$ 506,829 | | |

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

(a) Reporting Entity

In November 2004, the City of Chicago (City) established the 69th/Ashland Tax Increment Redevelopment Project Area (Project). The area has been established to finance improvements, leverage private investment and create and retain jobs. The Project is accounted for within the special revenue funds of the City.

(b) Government-Wide and Fund Financial Statements

The accompanying financial statements of the Project have been prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles as prescribed by the Government Accounting Standards Board (GASB). GASB Statement No. 63, Financial Reporting of Deferred Outflows of Resources, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Net Position, standardized the presentation of deferred outflows and inflows of resources and their effect on the Project's net position. The financial impact resulting from the implementation of GASB Statement No. 63 is primarily the change in terminology from Net Assets to Net Position. In addition, GASB Statement No. 65, Items Previously Reported as Assets and Liabilities, was implemented to establish accounting and financial reporting standards that reclassify as deferred inflows of resources, certain items that were previously reported as liabilities.

(c) Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting and Financial Statements Presentation

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Property taxes are recognized as revenues in the year for which they are levied.

The governmental fund financial statements are prepared on the *modified accrual basis of accounting* with only current assets and liabilities included on the balance sheet. Under *the modified accrual basis of accounting*, revenues are recorded when susceptible to accrual, i.e., both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period. Available means collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to be used to pay liabilities of the current period. Property taxes are susceptible to accrual and recognized as a receivable in the year levied. Revenue recognition is deferred unless the taxes are received within 60 days subsequent to year-end. Expenditures are recorded when the liability is incurred.

Private-sector standards of accounting and financial reporting issued prior to December 1, 1989, generally are followed in government-wide financial statements to the extent that those standards do not conflict with or contradict guidance of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. The City has elected not to follow subsequent private-sector guidance.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Accordingly, actual results could differ from these estimates.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 1 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Concluded)

(d) Assets, Liabilities and Net Position

Cash and Investments

Cash being held by the City is generally deposited with the City Treasurer as required by the Municipal Code of Chicago. The City Comptroller issues warrants for authorized City expenditures which represent a claim for payment when presented to the City Treasurer. Payment for all City warrants clearing is made by checks drawn on the City's various operating bank accounts.

The City Treasurer and City Comptroller share responsibility for investing in authorized investments. Interest earned on pooled investments is allocated to participating funds based upon their average combined cash and investment balances.

The City values its investments at fair value or amortized cost. U.S. Government securities purchased at a price other than par with a maturity of less than one year are reported at amortized cost.

Deferred Inflows

Deferred inflows represent deferred property tax revenue amounts to be recognized as revenue in future years in the governmental fund financial statements.

Capital Assets

Capital assets are not capitalized in the governmental fund but, instead, are charged as current expenditures when purchased. The Government-wide financial statements (i.e., the statement of net position and the statement of changes in net position) of the City includes the capital assets and related depreciation, if any, of the Project in which ownership of the capital asset will remain with the City (i.e. infrastructure, or municipal building). All other construction will be expensed in both the government-wide financial statements and the governmental fund as the City nor Project will retain the right of ownership.

(e) Stewardship, Compliance and Accountability

Illinois Tax Increment Redevelopment Allocation Act Compliance

The Project's expenditures include reimbursements for various eligible costs as described in subsection (q) of Section 11-74.4-3 of the Illinois Tax Increment Redevelopment Allocation Act and the Redevelopment Agreement relating specifically to the Project. Eligible costs include but are not limited to survey, property assembly, rehabilitation, public infrastructure, financing and relocation costs.

Reimbursements

Reimbursements, if any, are made to the developer for project costs, as public improvements are completed and pass City inspection.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Concluded)

Note 2 - Surplus Distribution

In December 2015, the City declared a surplus within the fund balance of the Project in the amount of \$1,544,000. In February 2016, the surplus funds were sent to the Cook County Treasurer's Office to be redistributed to the various taxing agencies.

Note 3 - Commitments

The City has pledged certain amounts solely from available excess incremental taxes to provide financial assistance to a developer under the terms of a redevelopment agreement for the purpose of paying costs of certain eligible redevelopment project costs.

As of December 31, 2015, the Project has entered into contracts for approximately \$15,000 for services and construction projects.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES BY STATUTORY CODE

Code Description

Costs of studies, surveys, development of plans and specifications, implementation and administration of the redevelopment plan including but not limited to staff and professional service costs for architectural, engineering, legal, marketing

\$ 21,182

Costs of property assembly, including but not limited to acquisition of land and other property, real or personal, or rights or interests therein, demolition of buildings, and the clearing and grading of land

165,829

Costs of the construction of public works or improvements

4,473

\$191,484

ATTACHMENT L



O'HARE PLAZA 8745 WEST HIGGINS ROAD SUITE 200 CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60631

Tel: (312) 263-2700 Fax: (312) 263-6935 www.bk-cpa.com

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

The Honorable Rahm Emanuel, Mayor Members of the City Council City of Chicago, Illinois

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the financial statements of 69th/Ashland Redevelopment Project of the City of Chicago, Illinois, which comprise the statement of net position and governmental fund balance sheet as of December 31, 2015, and the related statement of activities and governmental fund revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balance for the year then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements, and we have issued our report thereon dated June 20, 2016.

In connection with our audit, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe that the Project failed to comply with the regulatory provisions in Subsection (q) of Section 11-74.4-3 of the Illinois Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act and Subsection (o) of Section 11-74.6-10 of the Illinois Industrial Jobs Recovery Law as they relate to the eligibility for costs incurred incidental to the implementation of the 69th/Ashland Redevelopment Project of the City of Chicago, Illinois.

However, our audit was not directed primarily toward obtaining knowledge of such noncompliance. Accordingly, had we performed additional procedures, other matters may have come to our attention regarding the Project's noncompliance with the above referenced regulatory provisions, insofar as they relate to accounting matters.

This report is intended for the information of the City of Chicago's management. However, this report is a matter of public record, and its distribution is not limited.

Certified Public Accountants

Banaley and Kiener, L.L.P.

June 20, 2016





MEMBERS: AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF CPA'S • ILLINOIS CPA SOCIETY