

**CITY OF CHICAGO
ECONOMIC DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
AND AFFIDAVIT**

SECTION I -- GENERAL INFORMATION

A. Legal name of the Disclosing Party submitting this EDS. Include d/b/a/ if applicable:

Fifth Third Bank, National Association

Check ONE of the following three boxes:

Indicate whether the Disclosing Party submitting this EDS is:

1. the Applicant

OR

2. a legal entity currently holding, or anticipated to hold within six months after City action on the contract, transaction or other undertaking to which this EDS pertains (referred to below as the "Matter"), a direct or indirect interest in excess of 7.5% in the Applicant. State the Applicant's legal name: _____

OR

3. a legal entity with a direct or indirect right of control of the Applicant (see Section II(B)(1))
State the legal name of the entity in which the Disclosing Party holds a right of control:

B. Business address of the Disclosing Party: 38 Fountain Square Plaza

Cincinnati, OH 45263

C. Telephone: 312-704-7307 Fax: NA Email: mark.lester@53.com

D. Name of contact person: Mark Lester

E. Federal Employer Identification No. (if you have one): 31-0676865

F. Brief description of the Matter to which this EDS pertains. (Include project number and location of property, if applicable):

Designation as a 2024 Municipal Depository

G. Which City agency or department is requesting this EDS? Department of Finance

If the Matter is a contract being handled by the City's Department of Procurement Services, please complete the following:

Specification # _____ and Contract # _____

SECTION II -- DISCLOSURE OF OWNERSHIP INTERESTS

A. NATURE OF THE DISCLOSING PARTY

1. Indicate the nature of the Disclosing Party:

- Person
 - Publicly registered business corporation
 - Privately held business corporation
 - Sole proprietorship
 - General partnership
 - Limited partnership
 - Trust
 - Limited liability company
 - Limited liability partnership
 - Joint venture
 - Not-for-profit corporation
- (Is the not-for-profit corporation also a 501(c)(3))?
 Yes No
 Other (please specify)

2. For legal entities, the state (or foreign country) of incorporation or organization, if applicable:

Fifth Third Bank, National Association is a national bank registered under the laws of the United States

3. For legal entities not organized in the State of Illinois: Has the organization registered to do business in the State of Illinois as a foreign entity?

- Yes
- No
- Organized in Illinois

B. IF THE DISCLOSING PARTY IS A LEGAL ENTITY:

1. List below the full names and titles, if applicable, of: (i) all executive officers and all directors of the entity; (ii) **for not-for-profit corporations**, all members, if any, which are legal entities (if there are no such members, write "no members which are legal entities"); (iii) **for trusts, estates or other similar entities**, the trustee, executor, administrator, or similarly situated party; (iv) **for general or limited partnerships, limited liability companies, limited liability partnerships or joint ventures**, each general partner, managing member, manager or any other person or legal entity that directly or indirectly controls the day-to-day management of the Applicant.

NOTE: Each legal entity listed below must submit an EDS on its own behalf.

Name	Title
------	-------

Please see attached list

2. Please provide the following information concerning each person or legal entity having a direct or indirect, current or prospective (i.e. within 6 months after City action) beneficial interest (including ownership) in excess of 7.5% of the Applicant. Examples of such an interest include shares in a corporation, partnership interest in a partnership or joint venture, interest of a member or manager in a

limited liability company, or interest of a beneficiary of a trust, estate or other similar entity. If none, state "None."

NOTE: Each legal entity listed below may be required to submit an EDS on its own behalf.

Name	Business Address	Percentage Interest in the Applicant
See Exhibit A		

SECTION III -- INCOME OR COMPENSATION TO, OR OWNERSHIP BY, CITY ELECTED OFFICIALS

Has the Disclosing Party provided any income or compensation to any City elected official during the 12-month period preceding the date of this EDS? Yes No

Does the Disclosing Party reasonably expect to provide any income or compensation to any City elected official during the 12-month period following the date of this EDS? Yes No

If "yes" to either of the above, please identify below the name(s) of such City elected official(s) and describe such income or compensation:

Does any City elected official or, to the best of the Disclosing Party's knowledge after reasonable inquiry, any City elected official's spouse or domestic partner, have a financial interest (as defined in Chapter 2-156 of the Municipal Code of Chicago ("MCC")) in the Disclosing Party?

Yes No

If "yes," please identify below the name(s) of such City elected official(s) and/or spouse(s)/domestic partner(s) and describe the financial interest(s).

SECTION IV -- DISCLOSURE OF SUBCONTRACTORS AND OTHER RETAINED PARTIES

The Disclosing Party must disclose the name and business address of each subcontractor, attorney, lobbyist (as defined in MCC Chapter 2-156), accountant, consultant and any other person or entity whom the Disclosing Party has retained or expects to retain in connection with the Matter, as well as the nature of the relationship, and the total amount of the fees paid or estimated to be paid. The Disclosing Party is not required to disclose employees who are paid solely through the Disclosing Party's regular payroll. If the Disclosing Party is uncertain whether a disclosure is required under this Section, the Disclosing Party must either ask the City whether disclosure is required or make the disclosure.

Name (indicate whether retained or anticipated to be retained)	Business Address	Relationship to Disclosing Party (subcontractor, attorney, lobbyist, etc.)	Fees (indicate whether paid or estimated.) NOTE: "hourly rate" or "t.b.d." is not an acceptable response.
--	------------------	--	---

(Add sheets if necessary)

Check here if the Disclosing Party has not retained, nor expects to retain, any such persons or entities.

SECTION V -- CERTIFICATIONS

A. COURT-ORDERED CHILD SUPPORT COMPLIANCE

Under MCC Section 2-92-415, substantial owners of business entities that contract with the City must remain in compliance with their child support obligations throughout the contract's term.

Has any person who directly or indirectly owns 10% or more of the Disclosing Party been declared in arrearage on any child support obligations by any Illinois court of competent jurisdiction?

Yes No No person directly or indirectly owns 10% or more of the Disclosing Party.

If "Yes," has the person entered into a court-approved agreement for payment of all support owed and is the person in compliance with that agreement?

Yes No

B. FURTHER CERTIFICATIONS

1. [This paragraph 1 applies only if the Matter is a contract being handled by the City's Department of Procurement Services.] In the 5-year period preceding the date of this EDS, neither the Disclosing Party nor any Affiliated Entity [see definition in (5) below] has engaged, in connection with the performance of any public contract, the services of an integrity monitor, independent private sector inspector general, or integrity compliance consultant (i.e., an individual or entity with legal, auditing, investigative, or other similar skills, designated by a public agency to help the agency monitor the activity of specified agency vendors as well as help the vendors reform their business practices so they can be considered for agency contracts in the future, or continue with a contract in progress).

2. The Disclosing Party and its Affiliated Entities are not delinquent in the payment of any fine, fee, tax or other source of indebtedness owed to the City of Chicago, including, but not limited to, water and sewer charges, license fees, parking tickets, property taxes and sales taxes, nor is the Disclosing Party delinquent in the payment of any tax administered by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

3. The Disclosing Party and, if the Disclosing Party is a legal entity, all of those persons or entities identified in Section II(B)(1) of this EDS:

- a. are not presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible or voluntarily excluded from any transactions by any federal, state or local unit of government;
- b. have not, during the 5 years before the date of this EDS, been convicted of a criminal offense, adjudged guilty, or had a civil judgment rendered against them in connection with: obtaining, attempting to obtain, or performing a public (federal, state or local) transaction or contract under a public transaction; a violation of federal or state antitrust statutes; fraud; embezzlement; theft; forgery; bribery; falsification or destruction of records; making false statements; or receiving stolen property;
- c. are not presently indicted for, or criminally or civilly charged by, a governmental entity (federal, state or local) with committing any of the offenses set forth in subparagraph (b) above;
- d. have not, during the 5 years before the date of this EDS, had one or more public transactions (federal, state or local) terminated for cause or default; and
- e. have not, during the 5 years before the date of this EDS, been convicted, adjudged guilty, or found liable in a civil proceeding, or in any criminal or civil action, including actions concerning environmental violations, instituted by the City or by the federal government, any state, or any other unit of local government.

4. The Disclosing Party understands and shall comply with the applicable requirements of MCC Chapters 2-56 (Inspector General) and 2-156 (Governmental Ethics).

5. Certifications (5), (6) and (7) concern:

- the Disclosing Party;
- any "Contractor" (meaning any contractor or subcontractor used by the Disclosing Party in connection with the Matter, including but not limited to all persons or legal entities disclosed under Section IV, "Disclosure of Subcontractors and Other Retained Parties");
- any "Affiliated Entity" (meaning a person or entity that, directly or indirectly: controls the Disclosing Party, is controlled by the Disclosing Party, or is, with the Disclosing Party, under common control of another person or entity). Indicia of control include, without limitation: interlocking management or ownership; identity of interests among family members, shared facilities and equipment; common use of employees; or organization of a business entity following the ineligibility of a business entity to do business with federal or state or local government, including the City, using substantially the same management, ownership, or principals as the ineligible entity. With respect to Contractors, the term Affiliated Entity means a person or entity that directly or indirectly controls the Contractor, is controlled by it, or, with the Contractor, is under common control of another person or entity;
- any responsible official of the Disclosing Party, any Contractor or any Affiliated Entity or any other official, agent or employee of the Disclosing Party, any Contractor or any Affiliated Entity, acting pursuant to the direction or authorization of a responsible official of the Disclosing Party, any Contractor or any Affiliated Entity (collectively "Agents").

Neither the Disclosing Party, nor any Contractor, nor any Affiliated Entity of either the Disclosing Party or any Contractor, nor any Agents have, during the 5 years before the date of this EDS, or, with respect to a Contractor, an Affiliated Entity, or an Affiliated Entity of a Contractor during the 5 years before the date of such Contractor's or Affiliated Entity's contract or engagement in connection with the Matter:

- a. bribed or attempted to bribe, or been convicted or adjudged guilty of bribery or attempting to bribe, a public officer or employee of the City, the State of Illinois, or any agency of the federal government or of any state or local government in the United States of America, in that officer's or employee's official capacity;
 - b. agreed or colluded with other bidders or prospective bidders, or been a party to any such agreement, or been convicted or adjudged guilty of agreement or collusion among bidders or prospective bidders, in restraint of freedom of competition by agreement to bid a fixed price or otherwise; or
 - c. made an admission of such conduct described in subparagraph (a) or (b) above that is a matter of record, but have not been prosecuted for such conduct; or
 - d. violated the provisions referenced in MCC Subsection 2-92-320(a)(4)(Contracts Requiring a Base Wage); (a)(5)(Debarment Regulations); or (a)(6)(Minimum Wage Ordinance).
6. Neither the Disclosing Party, nor any Affiliated Entity or Contractor, or any of their employees, officials, agents or partners, is barred from contracting with any unit of state or local government as a result of engaging in or being convicted of (1) bid-rigging in violation of 720 ILCS 5/33E-3; (2) bid-rotating in violation of 720 ILCS 5/33E-4; or (3) any similar offense of any state or of the United States of America that contains the same elements as the offense of bid-rigging or bid-rotating.
7. Neither the Disclosing Party nor any Affiliated Entity is listed on a Sanctions List maintained by the United States Department of Commerce, State, or Treasury, or any successor federal agency.
8. [FOR APPLICANT ONLY] (i) Neither the Applicant nor any "controlling person" [see MCC Chapter 1-23, Article I for applicability and defined terms] of the Applicant is currently indicted or charged with, or has admitted guilt of, or has ever been convicted of, or placed under supervision for, any criminal offense involving actual, attempted, or conspiracy to commit bribery, theft, fraud, forgery, perjury, dishonesty or deceit against an officer or employee of the City or any "sister agency"; and (ii) the Applicant understands and acknowledges that compliance with Article I is a continuing requirement for doing business with the City. NOTE: If MCC Chapter 1-23, Article I applies to the Applicant, that Article's permanent compliance timeframe supersedes 5-year compliance timeframes in this Section V.
9. [FOR APPLICANT ONLY] The Applicant and its Affiliated Entities will not use, nor permit their subcontractors to use, any facility listed as having an active exclusion by the U.S. EPA on the federal System for Award Management ("SAM").
10. [FOR APPLICANT ONLY] The Applicant will obtain from any contractors/subcontractors hired or to be hired in connection with the Matter certifications equal in form and substance to those in Certifications (2) and (9) above and will not, without the prior written consent of the City, use any such

contractor/subcontractor that does not provide such certifications or that the Applicant has reason to believe has not provided or cannot provide truthful certifications.

11. If the Disclosing Party is unable to certify to any of the above statements in this Part B (Further Certifications), the Disclosing Party must explain below:

If the letters "NA," the word "None," or no response appears on the lines above, it will be conclusively presumed that the Disclosing Party certified to the above statements.

12. To the best of the Disclosing Party's knowledge after reasonable inquiry, the following is a complete list of all current employees of the Disclosing Party who were, at any time during the 12-month period preceding the date of this EDS, an employee, or elected or appointed official, of the City of Chicago (if none, indicate with "N/A" or "none").

N/A

13. To the best of the Disclosing Party's knowledge after reasonable inquiry, the following is a complete list of all gifts that the Disclosing Party has given or caused to be given, at any time during the 12-month period preceding the execution date of this EDS, to an employee, or elected or appointed official, of the City of Chicago. For purposes of this statement, a "gift" does not include: (i) anything made generally available to City employees or to the general public, or (ii) food or drink provided in the course of official City business and having a retail value of less than \$25 per recipient, or (iii) a political contribution otherwise duly reported as required by law (if none, indicate with "N/A" or "none"). As to any gift listed below, please also list the name of the City recipient.

N/A

C. CERTIFICATION OF STATUS AS FINANCIAL INSTITUTION

1. The Disclosing Party certifies that the Disclosing Party (check one)
 is is not

a "financial institution" as defined in MCC Section 2-32-455(b).

2. If the Disclosing Party IS a financial institution, then the Disclosing Party pledges:

"We are not and will not become a predatory lender as defined in MCC Chapter 2-32. We further pledge that none of our affiliates is, and none of them will become, a predatory lender as defined in MCC Chapter 2-32. We understand that becoming a predatory lender or becoming an affiliate of a predatory lender may result in the loss of the privilege of doing business with the City."

If the Disclosing Party is unable to make this pledge because it or any of its affiliates (as defined in MCC Section 2-32-455(b)) is a predatory lender within the meaning of MCC Chapter 2-32, explain here (attach additional pages if necessary):

If the letters "NA," the word "None," or no response appears on the lines above, it will be conclusively presumed that the Disclosing Party certified to the above statements.

D. CERTIFICATION REGARDING FINANCIAL INTEREST IN CITY BUSINESS

Any words or terms defined in MCC Chapter 2-156 have the same meanings if used in this Part D.

1. In accordance with MCC Section 2-156-110: To the best of the Disclosing Party's knowledge after reasonable inquiry, does any official or employee of the City have a financial interest in his or her own name or in the name of any other person or entity in the Matter?

Yes No

NOTE: If you checked "Yes" to Item D(1), proceed to Items D(2) and D(3). If you checked "No" to Item D(1), skip Items D(2) and D(3) and proceed to Part E.

2. Unless sold pursuant to a process of competitive bidding, or otherwise permitted, no City elected official or employee shall have a financial interest in his or her own name or in the name of any other person or entity in the purchase of any property that (i) belongs to the City, or (ii) is sold for taxes or assessments, or (iii) is sold by virtue of legal process at the suit of the City (collectively, "City Property Sale"). Compensation for property taken pursuant to the City's eminent domain power does not constitute a financial interest within the meaning of this Part D.

Does the Matter involve a City Property Sale?

Yes No

3. If you checked "Yes" to Item D(1), provide the names and business addresses of the City officials or employees having such financial interest and identify the nature of the financial interest:

Name	Business Address	Nature of Financial Interest
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____

4. The Disclosing Party further certifies that no prohibited financial interest in the Matter will be acquired by any City official or employee.

E. CERTIFICATION REGARDING SLAVERY ERA BUSINESS

Please check either (1) or (2) below. If the Disclosing Party checks (2), the Disclosing Party must disclose below or in an attachment to this EDS all information required by (2). Failure to comply with these disclosure requirements may make any contract entered into with the City in connection with the Matter voidable by the City.

X 1. The Disclosing Party verifies that the Disclosing Party has searched any and all records of the Disclosing Party and any and all predecessor entities regarding records of investments or profits from slavery or slaveholder insurance policies during the slavery era (including insurance policies issued to slaveholders that provided coverage for damage to or injury or death of their slaves), and the Disclosing Party has found no such records.

2. The Disclosing Party verifies that, as a result of conducting the search in step (1) above, the Disclosing Party has found records of investments or profits from slavery or slaveholder insurance policies. The Disclosing Party verifies that the following constitutes full disclosure of all such records, including the names of any and all slaves or slaveholders described in those records:

SECTION VI -- CERTIFICATIONS FOR FEDERALLY FUNDED MATTERS

NOTE: If the Matter is federally funded, complete this Section VI. If the Matter is not federally funded, proceed to Section VII. For purposes of this Section VI, tax credits allocated by the City and proceeds of debt obligations of the City are not federal funding.

A. CERTIFICATION REGARDING LOBBYING

1. List below the names of all persons or entities registered under the federal Lobbying Disclosure Act of 1995, as amended, who have made lobbying contacts on behalf of the Disclosing Party with respect to the Matter: (Add sheets if necessary):

N/A

(If no explanation appears or begins on the lines above, or if the letters "NA" or if the word "None" appear, it will be conclusively presumed that the Disclosing Party means that NO persons or entities registered under the Lobbying Disclosure Act of 1995, as amended, have made lobbying contacts on behalf of the Disclosing Party with respect to the Matter.)

2. The Disclosing Party has not spent and will not expend any federally appropriated funds to pay any person or entity listed in paragraph A(1) above for his or her lobbying activities or to pay any person or entity to influence or attempt to influence an officer or employee of any agency, as defined by applicable federal law, a member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee

of a member of Congress, in connection with the award of any federally funded contract, making any federally funded grant or loan, entering into any cooperative agreement, or to extend, continue, renew, amend, or modify any federally funded contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement.

3. The Disclosing Party will submit an updated certification at the end of each calendar quarter in which there occurs any event that materially affects the accuracy of the statements and information set forth in paragraphs A(1) and A(2) above.

4. The Disclosing Party certifies that either: (i) it is not an organization described in section 501(c)(4) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986; or (ii) it is an organization described in section 501(c)(4) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 but has not engaged and will not engage in "Lobbying Activities," as that term is defined in the Lobbying Disclosure Act of 1995, as amended.

5. If the Disclosing Party is the Applicant, the Disclosing Party must obtain certifications equal in form and substance to paragraphs A(1) through A(4) above from all subcontractors before it awards any subcontract and the Disclosing Party must maintain all such subcontractors' certifications for the duration of the Matter and must make such certifications promptly available to the City upon request.

B. CERTIFICATION REGARDING EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY

If the Matter is federally funded, federal regulations require the Applicant and all proposed subcontractors to submit the following information with their bids or in writing at the outset of negotiations.

Is the Disclosing Party the Applicant?

Yes No

If "Yes," answer the three questions below:

1. Have you developed and do you have on file affirmative action programs pursuant to applicable federal regulations? (See 41 CFR Part 60-2.)

Yes No

2. Have you filed with the Joint Reporting Committee, the Director of the Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs, or the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission all reports due under the applicable filing requirements?

Yes No Reports not required

3. Have you participated in any previous contracts or subcontracts subject to the equal opportunity clause?

Yes No

If you checked "No" to question (1) or (2) above, please provide an explanation:

SECTION VII -- FURTHER ACKNOWLEDGMENTS AND CERTIFICATION

The Disclosing Party understands and agrees that:

A. The certifications, disclosures, and acknowledgments contained in this EDS will become part of any contract or other agreement between the Applicant and the City in connection with the Matter, whether procurement, City assistance, or other City action, and are material inducements to the City's execution of any contract or taking other action with respect to the Matter. The Disclosing Party understands that it must comply with all statutes, ordinances, and regulations on which this EDS is based.

B. The City's Governmental Ethics Ordinance, MCC Chapter 2-156, imposes certain duties and obligations on persons or entities seeking City contracts, work, business, or transactions. The full text of this ordinance and a training program is available on line at www.cityofchicago.org/Ethics, and may also be obtained from the City's Board of Ethics, 740 N. Sedgwick St., Suite 500, Chicago, IL 60610, (312) 744-9660. The Disclosing Party must comply fully with this ordinance.

C. If the City determines that any information provided in this EDS is false, incomplete or inaccurate, any contract or other agreement in connection with which it is submitted may be rescinded or be void or voidable, and the City may pursue any remedies under the contract or agreement (if not rescinded or void), at law, or in equity, including terminating the Disclosing Party's participation in the Matter and/or declining to allow the Disclosing Party to participate in other City transactions. Remedies at law for a false statement of material fact may include incarceration and an award to the City of treble damages.

D. It is the City's policy to make this document available to the public on its Internet site and/or upon request. Some or all of the information provided in, and appended to, this EDS may be made publicly available on the Internet, in response to a Freedom of Information Act request, or otherwise. By completing and signing this EDS, the Disclosing Party waives and releases any possible rights or claims which it may have against the City in connection with the public release of information contained in this EDS and also authorizes the City to verify the accuracy of any information submitted in this EDS.

E. The information provided in this EDS must be kept current. In the event of changes, the Disclosing Party must supplement this EDS up to the time the City takes action on the Matter. If the Matter is a contract being handled by the City's Department of Procurement Services, the Disclosing Party must update this EDS as the contract requires. **NOTE:** With respect to Matters subject to MCC Chapter 1-23, Article I (imposing **PERMANENT INELIGIBILITY** for certain specified offenses), the information provided herein regarding eligibility must be kept current for a longer period, as required by MCC Chapter 1-23 and Section 2-154-020.

CERTIFICATION

Under penalty of perjury, the person signing below: (1) warrants that he/she is authorized to execute this EDS, and all applicable Appendices, on behalf of the Disclosing Party, and (2) warrants that all certifications and statements contained in this EDS, and all applicable Appendices, are true, accurate and complete as of the date furnished to the City.

Fifth Third Bank, National Association
(Print or type exact legal name of Disclosing Party)

By: H. Samuel Lind
(Sign here)

H. Samuel Lind
(Print or type name of person signing)

Assistant Corporate Secretary
(Print or type title of person signing)

Signed and sworn to before me on (date) October 24, 2023

at HAMILTON County, OHIO (state).

Mike Keefe
Notary Public



Michael Richard Keefe, Attorney At Law
NOTARY PUBLIC - STATE OF OHIO
My commission has no expiration date
Sec. 147.03 R.C.

Commission expires: N/A

**CITY OF CHICAGO
ECONOMIC DISCLOSURE STATEMENT AND AFFIDAVIT
APPENDIX A**

**FAMILIAL RELATIONSHIPS WITH ELECTED CITY OFFICIALS
AND DEPARTMENT HEADS**

This Appendix is to be completed only by (a) the Applicant, and (b) any legal entity which has a direct ownership interest in the Applicant exceeding 7.5%. It is not to be completed by any legal entity which has only an indirect ownership interest in the Applicant.

Under MCC Section 2-154-015, the Disclosing Party must disclose whether such Disclosing Party or any "Applicable Party" or any Spouse or Domestic Partner thereof currently has a "familial relationship" with any elected city official or department head. A "familial relationship" exists if, as of the date this EDS is signed, the Disclosing Party or any "Applicable Party" or any Spouse or Domestic Partner thereof is related to the mayor, any alderman, the city clerk, the city treasurer or any city department head as spouse or domestic partner or as any of the following, whether by blood or adoption: parent, child, brother or sister, aunt or uncle, niece or nephew, grandparent, grandchild, father-in-law, mother-in-law, son-in-law, daughter-in-law, stepfather or stepmother, stepson or stepdaughter, stepbrother or stepsister or half-brother or half-sister.

"Applicable Party" means (1) all executive officers of the Disclosing Party listed in Section II.B.1.a., if the Disclosing Party is a corporation; all partners of the Disclosing Party, if the Disclosing Party is a general partnership; all general partners and limited partners of the Disclosing Party, if the Disclosing Party is a limited partnership; all managers, managing members and members of the Disclosing Party, if the Disclosing Party is a limited liability company; (2) all principal officers of the Disclosing Party; and (3) any person having more than a 7.5% ownership interest in the Disclosing Party. "Principal officers" means the president, chief operating officer, executive director, chief financial officer, treasurer or secretary of a legal entity or any person exercising similar authority.

Does the Disclosing Party or any "Applicable Party" or any Spouse or Domestic Partner thereof currently have a "familial relationship" with an elected city official or department head?

Yes

No

If yes, please identify below (1) the name and title of such person, (2) the name of the legal entity to which such person is connected; (3) the name and title of the elected city official or department head to whom such person has a familial relationship, and (4) the precise nature of such familial relationship.

**CITY OF CHICAGO
ECONOMIC DISCLOSURE STATEMENT AND AFFIDAVIT
APPENDIX B**

BUILDING CODE SCOFFLAW/PROBLEM LANDLORD CERTIFICATION

This Appendix is to be completed only by (a) the Applicant, and (b) any legal entity which has a direct ownership interest in the Applicant exceeding 7.5% (an "Owner"). It is not to be completed by any legal entity which has only an indirect ownership interest in the Applicant.

1. Pursuant to MCC Section 2-154-010, is the Applicant or any Owner identified as a building code scofflaw or problem landlord pursuant to MCC Section 2-92-416?

Yes No

2. If the Applicant is a legal entity publicly traded on any exchange, is any officer or director of the Applicant identified as a building code scofflaw or problem landlord pursuant to MCC Section 2-92-416?

Yes No The Applicant is not publicly traded on any exchange.

3. If yes to (1) or (2) above, please identify below the name of each person or legal entity identified as a building code scofflaw or problem landlord and the address of each building or buildings to which the pertinent code violations apply.

CITY OF CHICAGO
ECONOMIC DISCLOSURE STATEMENT AND AFFIDAVIT
APPENDIX C
PROHIBITION ON WAGE & SALARY HISTORY SCREENING - CERTIFICATION

This Appendix is to be completed only by an Applicant that is completing this EDS as a “contractor” as defined in MCC Section 2-92-385. That section, which should be consulted (www.amlegal.com), generally covers a party to any agreement pursuant to which they: (i) receive City of Chicago funds in consideration for services, work or goods provided (including for legal or other professional services), or (ii) pay the City money for a license, grant or concession allowing them to conduct a business on City premises.

On behalf of an Applicant that is a contractor pursuant to MCC Section 2-92-385, I hereby certify that the Applicant is in compliance with MCC Section 2-92-385(b)(1) and (2), which prohibit: (i) screening job applicants based on their wage or salary history, or (ii) seeking job applicants’ wage or salary history from current or former employers. I also certify that the Applicant has adopted a policy that includes those prohibitions.

Yes

No

N/A – I am not an Applicant that is a “contractor” as defined in MCC Section 2-92-385.

This certification shall serve as the affidavit required by MCC Section 2-92-385(c)(1).

If you checked “no” to the above, please explain.

Fifth Third Bank, National Association

Name	Title
Nicholas K. Akins	Board Chair
B. Evan Bayh, III	Director
Jorge L. Benitez	Director
Katherine B. Blackburn	Director
Emerson L. Brumback	Director
Linda W. Clement-Holmes	Director
C. Bryan Daniels	Director
Laurent Desmangles	Director
Mitchell S. Feiger	Director
Thomas H. Harvey	Director
Gary R. Heminger	Director
Eileen A. Mallesch	Director
Michael B. McCallister	Director
Kathleen A. Rogers	Director
Timothy N. Spence	Director
Marsha C. Williams	Director
Timothy N. Spence	President & Chief Executive Officer
Kristine R. Garrett	EVP, Group Regional President & Head of WAM
Kala J. Gibson	EVP & Chief Corporate Responsibility Officer
Howard Hammond	EVP & Head of Consumer Banking
Mark D. Hazel	EVP & Controller
Benjamin J. Hoffman	EVP & Chief Strategy Officer
Nancy C. Pinckney	EVP & Chief Human Resources Officer
Kevin P. Lavender	EVP & Head of Commercial Banking
James C. Leonard	EVP & Chief Financial Officer
Jude A. Schramm	EVP & Chief Information Officer
Robert P. Shaffer	EVP & Chief Risk Officer
Melissa S. Stevens	EVP & Chief Marketing Officer
Susan B. Zaunbrecher	EVP, Chief Legal Officer & Corporate Secretary
Bryan D. Preston	EVP & Treasurer
Brian S. Duba	Assistant Corporate Secretary
Lori G. Heilman	Assistant Corporate Secretary
H. Samuel Lind	Assistant Corporate Secretary
Shaun B. Patsy	Assistant Corporate Secretary
Nicholas J. Podsiadly	Assistant Corporate Secretary

Exhibit A

Fifth Third Financial Corporation, 38 Fountain Square Plaza, Cincinnati, OH 45263 – 100% owner of Fifth Third Bank, National Association.

Fifth Third Bancorp, 38 Fountain Square Plaza, Cincinnati, OH 45263 – 100% owner of Fifth Third Financial Corporation.

The Vanguard Group, 100 Vanguard Blvd, Malvern, PA 19355 – owns 12.8% interest in Fifth Third Bancorp. See ADV

Blackrock, Inc., 55 East 52nd Street, New York, NY 10055 – owns a 8.67% interest in Fifth Third Bancorp. See ADV



FIFTH THIRD BANCORP

SECRETARY CERTIFICATE

The undersigned does hereby certify that he is the duly elected, qualified, and acting Assistant Secretary of Fifth Third Bancorp (the "Bancorp") and the undersigned does hereby certify that:

1. In my role as Assistant Secretary of the Bancorp, I am familiar with the Bancorp subsidiaries and their officers including, but not limited to, Fifth Third Financial Corporation and Fifth Third Bank, National Association.

2. The individual listed below has achieved the title set forth opposite his name and is acting in his capacity at this date.

Name	Subsidiary	Title
H. Samuel Lind	Fifth Third Bancorp	Assistant Corporate Secretary
	Fifth Third Financial Corporation	Assistant Secretary
	Fifth Third Bank, National Association	Assistant Corporate Secretary

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my name as of the 12th day of October, 2023.

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Shaun Patsy".

Shaun B. Patsy
Assistant Secretary

**CITY OF CHICAGO
ECONOMIC DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
AND AFFIDAVIT**

SECTION I -- GENERAL INFORMATION

A. Legal name of the Disclosing Party submitting this EDS. Include d/b/a/ if applicable:

Fifth Third Financial Corporation

Check ONE of the following three boxes:

Indicate whether the Disclosing Party submitting this EDS is:

1. the Applicant

OR

2. a legal entity currently holding, or anticipated to hold within six months after City action on the contract, transaction or other undertaking to which this EDS pertains (referred to below as the "Matter"), a direct or indirect interest in excess of 7.5% in the Applicant. State the Applicant's legal name: Fifth Third Bank, National Association

OR

3. a legal entity with a direct or indirect right of control of the Applicant (see Section II(B)(1))
State the legal name of the entity in which the Disclosing Party holds a right of control:

B. Business address of the Disclosing Party: 38 Fountain Square Plaza
Cincinnati, OH 45263

C. Telephone: 312-704-7307 Fax: NA Email: mark.lester@53.com

D. Name of contact person: Mark Lester

E. Federal Employer Identification No. (if you have one): 31-1755886

F. Brief description of the Matter to which this EDS pertains. (Include project number and location of property, if applicable):

Designation as a 2024 Municipal Depository

G. Which City agency or department is requesting this EDS? Department of Finance

If the Matter is a contract being handled by the City's Department of Procurement Services, please complete the following:

Specification # _____ and Contract # _____

SECTION II -- DISCLOSURE OF OWNERSHIP INTERESTS

A. NATURE OF THE DISCLOSING PARTY

1. Indicate the nature of the Disclosing Party:

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Person | <input type="checkbox"/> Limited liability company |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Publicly registered business corporation | <input type="checkbox"/> Limited liability partnership |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Privately held business corporation | <input type="checkbox"/> Joint venture |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Sole proprietorship | <input type="checkbox"/> Not-for-profit corporation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> General partnership | (Is the not-for-profit corporation also a 501(c)(3))? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Limited partnership | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Trust | <input type="checkbox"/> Other (please specify) |

2. For legal entities, the state (or foreign country) of incorporation or organization, if applicable:

Ohio

3. For legal entities not organized in the State of Illinois: Has the organization registered to do business in the State of Illinois as a foreign entity?

- Yes No Organized in Illinois

B. IF THE DISCLOSING PARTY IS A LEGAL ENTITY:

1. List below the full names and titles, if applicable, of: (i) all executive officers and all directors of the entity; (ii) **for not-for-profit corporations**, all members, if any, which are legal entities (if there are no such members, write "no members which are legal entities"); (iii) **for trusts, estates or other similar entities**, the trustee, executor, administrator, or similarly situated party; (iv) **for general or limited partnerships, limited liability companies, limited liability partnerships or joint ventures**, each general partner, managing member, manager or any other person or legal entity that directly or indirectly controls the day-to-day management of the Applicant.

NOTE: Each legal entity listed below must submit an EDS on its own behalf.

Name	Title
------	-------

See attached list

2. Please provide the following information concerning each person or legal entity having a direct or indirect, current or prospective (i.e. within 6 months after City action) beneficial interest (including ownership) in excess of 7.5% of the Applicant. Examples of such an interest include shares in a corporation, partnership interest in a partnership or joint venture, interest of a member or manager in a

limited liability company, or interest of a beneficiary of a trust, estate or other similar entity. If none, state "None."

NOTE: Each legal entity listed below may be required to submit an EDS on its own behalf.

Name	Business Address	Percentage Interest in the Applicant
------	------------------	--------------------------------------

See Exhibit A		
---------------	--	--

SECTION III -- INCOME OR COMPENSATION TO, OR OWNERSHIP BY, CITY ELECTED OFFICIALS

Has the Disclosing Party provided any income or compensation to any City elected official during the 12-month period preceding the date of this EDS? Yes No

Does the Disclosing Party reasonably expect to provide any income or compensation to any City elected official during the 12-month period following the date of this EDS? Yes No

If "yes" to either of the above, please identify below the name(s) of such City elected official(s) and describe such income or compensation:

Does any City elected official or, to the best of the Disclosing Party's knowledge after reasonable inquiry, any City elected official's spouse or domestic partner, have a financial interest (as defined in Chapter 2-156 of the Municipal Code of Chicago ("MCC")) in the Disclosing Party?

Yes No

If "yes," please identify below the name(s) of such City elected official(s) and/or spouse(s)/domestic partner(s) and describe the financial interest(s).

SECTION IV -- DISCLOSURE OF SUBCONTRACTORS AND OTHER RETAINED PARTIES

The Disclosing Party must disclose the name and business address of each subcontractor, attorney, lobbyist (as defined in MCC Chapter 2-156), accountant, consultant and any other person or entity whom the Disclosing Party has retained or expects to retain in connection with the Matter, as well as the nature of the relationship, and the total amount of the fees paid or estimated to be paid. The Disclosing Party is not required to disclose employees who are paid solely through the Disclosing Party's regular payroll. If the Disclosing Party is uncertain whether a disclosure is required under this Section, the Disclosing Party must either ask the City whether disclosure is required or make the disclosure.

Name (indicate whether retained or anticipated to be retained)	Business Address	Relationship to Disclosing Party (subcontractor, attorney, lobbyist, etc.)	Fees (<u>indicate whether paid or estimated.</u>) NOTE: "hourly rate" or "t.b.d." is not an acceptable response.
--	------------------	--	---

(Add sheets if necessary)

Check here if the Disclosing Party has not retained, nor expects to retain, any such persons or entities.

SECTION V -- CERTIFICATIONS

A. COURT-ORDERED CHILD SUPPORT COMPLIANCE

Under MCC Section 2-92-415, substantial owners of business entities that contract with the City must remain in compliance with their child support obligations throughout the contract's term.

Has any person who directly or indirectly owns 10% or more of the Disclosing Party been declared in arrearage on any child support obligations by any Illinois court of competent jurisdiction?

Yes No No person directly or indirectly owns 10% or more of the Disclosing Party.

If "Yes," has the person entered into a court-approved agreement for payment of all support owed and is the person in compliance with that agreement?

Yes No

B. FURTHER CERTIFICATIONS

1. [This paragraph 1 applies only if the Matter is a contract being handled by the City's Department of Procurement Services.] In the 5-year period preceding the date of this EDS, neither the Disclosing Party nor any Affiliated Entity [see definition in (5) below] has engaged, in connection with the performance of any public contract, the services of an integrity monitor, independent private sector inspector general, or integrity compliance consultant (i.e., an individual or entity with legal, auditing, investigative, or other similar skills, designated by a public agency to help the agency monitor the activity of specified agency vendors as well as help the vendors reform their business practices so they can be considered for agency contracts in the future, or continue with a contract in progress).

2. The Disclosing Party and its Affiliated Entities are not delinquent in the payment of any fine, fee, tax or other source of indebtedness owed to the City of Chicago, including, but not limited to, water and sewer charges, license fees, parking tickets, property taxes and sales taxes, nor is the Disclosing Party delinquent in the payment of any tax administered by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

3. The Disclosing Party and, if the Disclosing Party is a legal entity, all of those persons or entities identified in Section II(B)(1) of this EDS:

- a. are not presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible or voluntarily excluded from any transactions by any federal, state or local unit of government;
- b. have not, during the 5 years before the date of this EDS, been convicted of a criminal offense, adjudged guilty, or had a civil judgment rendered against them in connection with: obtaining, attempting to obtain, or performing a public (federal, state or local) transaction or contract under a public transaction; a violation of federal or state antitrust statutes; fraud; embezzlement; theft; forgery; bribery; falsification or destruction of records; making false statements; or receiving stolen property;
- c. are not presently indicted for, or criminally or civilly charged by, a governmental entity (federal, state or local) with committing any of the offenses set forth in subparagraph (b) above;
- d. have not, during the 5 years before the date of this EDS, had one or more public transactions (federal, state or local) terminated for cause or default; and
- e. have not, during the 5 years before the date of this EDS, been convicted, adjudged guilty, or found liable in a civil proceeding, or in any criminal or civil action, including actions concerning environmental violations, instituted by the City or by the federal government, any state, or any other unit of local government.

4. The Disclosing Party understands and shall comply with the applicable requirements of MCC Chapters 2-56 (Inspector General) and 2-156 (Governmental Ethics).

5. Certifications (5), (6) and (7) concern:

- the Disclosing Party;
- any "Contractor" (meaning any contractor or subcontractor used by the Disclosing Party in connection with the Matter, including but not limited to all persons or legal entities disclosed under Section IV, "Disclosure of Subcontractors and Other Retained Parties");
- any "Affiliated Entity" (meaning a person or entity that, directly or indirectly: controls the Disclosing Party, is controlled by the Disclosing Party, or is, with the Disclosing Party, under common control of another person or entity). Indicia of control include, without limitation: interlocking management or ownership; identity of interests among family members, shared facilities and equipment; common use of employees; or organization of a business entity following the ineligibility of a business entity to do business with federal or state or local government, including the City, using substantially the same management, ownership, or principals as the ineligible entity. With respect to Contractors, the term Affiliated Entity means a person or entity that directly or indirectly controls the Contractor, is controlled by it, or, with the Contractor, is under common control of another person or entity;
- any responsible official of the Disclosing Party, any Contractor or any Affiliated Entity or any other official, agent or employee of the Disclosing Party, any Contractor or any Affiliated Entity, acting pursuant to the direction or authorization of a responsible official of the Disclosing Party, any Contractor or any Affiliated Entity (collectively "Agents").

Neither the Disclosing Party, nor any Contractor, nor any Affiliated Entity of either the Disclosing Party or any Contractor, nor any Agents have, during the 5 years before the date of this EDS, or, with respect to a Contractor, an Affiliated Entity, or an Affiliated Entity of a Contractor during the 5 years before the date of such Contractor's or Affiliated Entity's contract or engagement in connection with the Matter:

a. bribed or attempted to bribe, or been convicted or adjudged guilty of bribery or attempting to bribe, a public officer or employee of the City, the State of Illinois, or any agency of the federal government or of any state or local government in the United States of America, in that officer's or employee's official capacity;

b. agreed or colluded with other bidders or prospective bidders, or been a party to any such agreement, or been convicted or adjudged guilty of agreement or collusion among bidders or prospective bidders, in restraint of freedom of competition by agreement to bid a fixed price or otherwise; or

c. made an admission of such conduct described in subparagraph (a) or (b) above that is a matter of record, but have not been prosecuted for such conduct; or

d. violated the provisions referenced in MCC Subsection 2-92-320(a)(4)(Contracts Requiring a Base Wage); (a)(5)(Debarment Regulations); or (a)(6)(Minimum Wage Ordinance).

6. Neither the Disclosing Party, nor any Affiliated Entity or Contractor, or any of their employees, officials, agents or partners, is barred from contracting with any unit of state or local government as a result of engaging in or being convicted of (1) bid-rigging in violation of 720 ILCS 5/33E-3; (2) bid-rotating in violation of 720 ILCS 5/33E-4; or (3) any similar offense of any state or of the United States of America that contains the same elements as the offense of bid-rigging or bid-rotating.

7. Neither the Disclosing Party nor any Affiliated Entity is listed on a Sanctions List maintained by the United States Department of Commerce, State, or Treasury, or any successor federal agency.

8. [FOR APPLICANT ONLY] (i) Neither the Applicant nor any "controlling person" [see MCC Chapter 1-23, Article I for applicability and defined terms] of the Applicant is currently indicted or charged with, or has admitted guilt of, or has ever been convicted of, or placed under supervision for, any criminal offense involving actual, attempted, or conspiracy to commit bribery, theft, fraud, forgery, perjury, dishonesty or deceit against an officer or employee of the City or any "sister agency"; and (ii) the Applicant understands and acknowledges that compliance with Article I is a continuing requirement for doing business with the City. NOTE: If MCC Chapter 1-23, Article I applies to the Applicant, that Article's permanent compliance timeframe supersedes 5-year compliance timeframes in this Section V.

9. [FOR APPLICANT ONLY] The Applicant and its Affiliated Entities will not use, nor permit their subcontractors to use, any facility listed as having an active exclusion by the U.S. EPA on the federal System for Award Management ("SAM").

10. [FOR APPLICANT ONLY] The Applicant will obtain from any contractors/subcontractors hired or to be hired in connection with the Matter certifications equal in form and substance to those in Certifications (2) and (9) above and will not, without the prior written consent of the City, use any such

contractor/subcontractor that does not provide such certifications or that the Applicant has reason to believe has not provided or cannot provide truthful certifications.

11. If the Disclosing Party is unable to certify to any of the above statements in this Part B (Further Certifications), the Disclosing Party must explain below:

Certifying to all except B8, B9, B10 as they do not apply as Fifth Third Financial is not the applicant.

If the letters "NA," the word "None," or no response appears on the lines above, it will be conclusively presumed that the Disclosing Party certified to the above statements.

12. To the best of the Disclosing Party's knowledge after reasonable inquiry, the following is a complete list of all current employees of the Disclosing Party who were, at any time during the 12-month period preceding the date of this EDS, an employee, or elected or appointed official, of the City of Chicago (if none, indicate with "N/A" or "none").

None

13. To the best of the Disclosing Party's knowledge after reasonable inquiry, the following is a complete list of all gifts that the Disclosing Party has given or caused to be given, at any time during the 12-month period preceding the execution date of this EDS, to an employee, or elected or appointed official, of the City of Chicago. For purposes of this statement, a "gift" does not include: (i) anything made generally available to City employees or to the general public, or (ii) food or drink provided in the course of official City business and having a retail value of less than \$25 per recipient, or (iii) a political contribution otherwise duly reported as required by law (if none, indicate with "N/A" or "none"). As to any gift listed below, please also list the name of the City recipient.

None

C. CERTIFICATION OF STATUS AS FINANCIAL INSTITUTION

1. The Disclosing Party certifies that the Disclosing Party (check one)

is is not

a "financial institution" as defined in MCC Section 2-32-455(b).

2. If the Disclosing Party IS a financial institution, then the Disclosing Party pledges:

"We are not and will not become a predatory lender as defined in MCC Chapter 2-32. We further pledge that none of our affiliates is, and none of them will become, a predatory lender as defined in MCC Chapter 2-32. We understand that becoming a predatory lender or becoming an affiliate of a predatory lender may result in the loss of the privilege of doing business with the City."

If the Disclosing Party is unable to make this pledge because it or any of its affiliates (as defined in MCC Section 2-32-455(b)) is a predatory lender within the meaning of MCC Chapter 2-32, explain here (attach additional pages if necessary):

If the letters "NA," the word "None," or no response appears on the lines above, it will be conclusively presumed that the Disclosing Party certified to the above statements.

D. CERTIFICATION REGARDING FINANCIAL INTEREST IN CITY BUSINESS

Any words or terms defined in MCC Chapter 2-156 have the same meanings if used in this Part D.

1. In accordance with MCC Section 2-156-110: To the best of the Disclosing Party's knowledge after reasonable inquiry, does any official or employee of the City have a financial interest in his or her own name or in the name of any other person or entity in the Matter?

Yes No

NOTE: If you checked "Yes" to Item D(1), proceed to Items D(2) and D(3). If you checked "No" to Item D(1), skip Items D(2) and D(3) and proceed to Part E.

2. Unless sold pursuant to a process of competitive bidding, or otherwise permitted, no City elected official or employee shall have a financial interest in his or her own name or in the name of any other person or entity in the purchase of any property that (i) belongs to the City, or (ii) is sold for taxes or assessments, or (iii) is sold by virtue of legal process at the suit of the City (collectively, "City Property Sale"). Compensation for property taken pursuant to the City's eminent domain power does not constitute a financial interest within the meaning of this Part D.

Does the Matter involve a City Property Sale?

Yes No

3. If you checked "Yes" to Item D(1), provide the names and business addresses of the City officials or employees having such financial interest and identify the nature of the financial interest:

Name	Business Address	Nature of Financial Interest
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____

4. The Disclosing Party further certifies that no prohibited financial interest in the Matter will be acquired by any City official or employee.

E. CERTIFICATION REGARDING SLAVERY ERA BUSINESS

Please check either (1) or (2) below. If the Disclosing Party checks (2), the Disclosing Party must disclose below or in an attachment to this EDS all information required by (2). Failure to comply with these disclosure requirements may make any contract entered into with the City in connection with the Matter voidable by the City.

X 1. The Disclosing Party verifies that the Disclosing Party has searched any and all records of the Disclosing Party and any and all predecessor entities regarding records of investments or profits from slavery or slaveholder insurance policies during the slavery era (including insurance policies issued to slaveholders that provided coverage for damage to or injury or death of their slaves), and the Disclosing Party has found no such records.

2. The Disclosing Party verifies that, as a result of conducting the search in step (1) above, the Disclosing Party has found records of investments or profits from slavery or slaveholder insurance policies. The Disclosing Party verifies that the following constitutes full disclosure of all such records, including the names of any and all slaves or slaveholders described in those records:

SECTION VI -- CERTIFICATIONS FOR FEDERALLY FUNDED MATTERS

NOTE: If the Matter is federally funded, complete this Section VI. If the Matter is not federally funded, proceed to Section VII. For purposes of this Section VI, tax credits allocated by the City and proceeds of debt obligations of the City are not federal funding.

A. CERTIFICATION REGARDING LOBBYING

1. List below the names of all persons or entities registered under the federal Lobbying Disclosure Act of 1995, as amended, who have made lobbying contacts on behalf of the Disclosing Party with respect to the Matter: (Add sheets if necessary):

N/A

(If no explanation appears or begins on the lines above, or if the letters "NA" or if the word "None" appear, it will be conclusively presumed that the Disclosing Party means that NO persons or entities registered under the Lobbying Disclosure Act of 1995, as amended, have made lobbying contacts on behalf of the Disclosing Party with respect to the Matter.)

2. The Disclosing Party has not spent and will not expend any federally appropriated funds to pay any person or entity listed in paragraph A(1) above for his or her lobbying activities or to pay any person or entity to influence or attempt to influence an officer or employee of any agency, as defined by applicable federal law, a member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee

of a member of Congress, in connection with the award of any federally funded contract, making any federally funded grant or loan, entering into any cooperative agreement, or to extend, continue, renew, amend, or modify any federally funded contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement.

3. The Disclosing Party will submit an updated certification at the end of each calendar quarter in which there occurs any event that materially affects the accuracy of the statements and information set forth in paragraphs A(1) and A(2) above.

4. The Disclosing Party certifies that either: (i) it is not an organization described in section 501(c)(4) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986; or (ii) it is an organization described in section 501(c)(4) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 but has not engaged and will not engage in "Lobbying Activities," as that term is defined in the Lobbying Disclosure Act of 1995, as amended.

5. If the Disclosing Party is the Applicant, the Disclosing Party must obtain certifications equal in form and substance to paragraphs A(1) through A(4) above from all subcontractors before it awards any subcontract and the Disclosing Party must maintain all such subcontractors' certifications for the duration of the Matter and must make such certifications promptly available to the City upon request.

B. CERTIFICATION REGARDING EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY

If the Matter is federally funded, federal regulations require the Applicant and all proposed subcontractors to submit the following information with their bids or in writing at the outset of negotiations.

Is the Disclosing Party the Applicant?

Yes No

If "Yes," answer the three questions below:

1. Have you developed and do you have on file affirmative action programs pursuant to applicable federal regulations? (See 41 CFR Part 60-2.)

Yes No

2. Have you filed with the Joint Reporting Committee, the Director of the Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs, or the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission all reports due under the applicable filing requirements?

Yes No Reports not required

3. Have you participated in any previous contracts or subcontracts subject to the equal opportunity clause?

Yes No

If you checked "No" to question (1) or (2) above, please provide an explanation:

SECTION VII -- FURTHER ACKNOWLEDGMENTS AND CERTIFICATION

The Disclosing Party understands and agrees that:

A. The certifications, disclosures, and acknowledgments contained in this EDS will become part of any contract or other agreement between the Applicant and the City in connection with the Matter, whether procurement, City assistance, or other City action, and are material inducements to the City's execution of any contract or taking other action with respect to the Matter. The Disclosing Party understands that it must comply with all statutes, ordinances, and regulations on which this EDS is based.

B. The City's Governmental Ethics Ordinance, MCC Chapter 2-156, imposes certain duties and obligations on persons or entities seeking City contracts, work, business, or transactions. The full text of this ordinance and a training program is available on line at www.cityofchicago.org/Ethics, and may also be obtained from the City's Board of Ethics, 740 N. Sedgwick St., Suite 500, Chicago, IL 60610, (312) 744-9660. The Disclosing Party must comply fully with this ordinance.

C. If the City determines that any information provided in this EDS is false, incomplete or inaccurate, any contract or other agreement in connection with which it is submitted may be rescinded or be void or voidable, and the City may pursue any remedies under the contract or agreement (if not rescinded or void), at law, or in equity, including terminating the Disclosing Party's participation in the Matter and/or declining to allow the Disclosing Party to participate in other City transactions. Remedies at law for a false statement of material fact may include incarceration and an award to the City of treble damages.

D. It is the City's policy to make this document available to the public on its Internet site and/or upon request. Some or all of the information provided in, and appended to, this EDS may be made publicly available on the Internet, in response to a Freedom of Information Act request, or otherwise. By completing and signing this EDS, the Disclosing Party waives and releases any possible rights or claims which it may have against the City in connection with the public release of information contained in this EDS and also authorizes the City to verify the accuracy of any information submitted in this EDS.

E. The information provided in this EDS must be kept current. In the event of changes, the Disclosing Party must supplement this EDS up to the time the City takes action on the Matter. If the Matter is a contract being handled by the City's Department of Procurement Services, the Disclosing Party must update this EDS as the contract requires. **NOTE:** With respect to Matters subject to MCC Chapter 1-23, Article I (imposing **PERMANENT INELIGIBILITY** for certain specified offenses), the information provided herein regarding eligibility must be kept current for a longer period, as required by MCC Chapter 1-23 and Section 2-154-020.

CERTIFICATION

Under penalty of perjury, the person signing below: (1) warrants that he/she is authorized to execute this EDS, and all applicable Appendices, on behalf of the Disclosing Party, and (2) warrants that all certifications and statements contained in this EDS, and all applicable Appendices, are true, accurate and complete as of the date furnished to the City.

Fifth Third Financial Corporation
(Print or type exact legal name of Disclosing Party)

By: H. Samuel Lind
(Sign here)

H. Samuel Lind
(Print or type name of person signing)

Assistant Secretary
(Print or type title of person signing)

Signed and sworn to before me on (date) October 24, 2023,

at HAMILTON County, OHIO (state).

Mike Keefe
Notary Public

Commission expires: N/A



Michael Richard Keefe, Attorney At Law
NOTARY PUBLIC - STATE OF OHIO
My commission has no expiration date
Sec. 147.03 R.C.

**CITY OF CHICAGO
ECONOMIC DISCLOSURE STATEMENT AND AFFIDAVIT
APPENDIX A**

**FAMILIAL RELATIONSHIPS WITH ELECTED CITY OFFICIALS
AND DEPARTMENT HEADS**

This Appendix is to be completed only by (a) the Applicant, and (b) any legal entity which has a direct ownership interest in the Applicant exceeding 7.5%. It is not to be completed by any legal entity which has only an indirect ownership interest in the Applicant.

Under MCC Section 2-154-015, the Disclosing Party must disclose whether such Disclosing Party or any "Applicable Party" or any Spouse or Domestic Partner thereof currently has a "familial relationship" with any elected city official or department head. A "familial relationship" exists if, as of the date this EDS is signed, the Disclosing Party or any "Applicable Party" or any Spouse or Domestic Partner thereof is related to the mayor, any alderman, the city clerk, the city treasurer or any city department head as spouse or domestic partner or as any of the following, whether by blood or adoption: parent, child, brother or sister, aunt or uncle, niece or nephew, grandparent, grandchild, father-in-law, mother-in-law, son-in-law, daughter-in-law, stepfather or stepmother, stepson or stepdaughter, stepbrother or stepsister or half-brother or half-sister.

"Applicable Party" means (1) all executive officers of the Disclosing Party listed in Section II.B.1.a., if the Disclosing Party is a corporation; all partners of the Disclosing Party, if the Disclosing Party is a general partnership; all general partners and limited partners of the Disclosing Party, if the Disclosing Party is a limited partnership; all managers, managing members and members of the Disclosing Party, if the Disclosing Party is a limited liability company; (2) all principal officers of the Disclosing Party; and (3) any person having more than a 7.5% ownership interest in the Disclosing Party. "Principal officers" means the president, chief operating officer, executive director, chief financial officer, treasurer or secretary of a legal entity or any person exercising similar authority.

Does the Disclosing Party or any "Applicable Party" or any Spouse or Domestic Partner thereof currently have a "familial relationship" with an elected city official or department head?

Yes

No

If yes, please identify below (1) the name and title of such person, (2) the name of the legal entity to which such person is connected; (3) the name and title of the elected city official or department head to whom such person has a familial relationship, and (4) the precise nature of such familial relationship.

**CITY OF CHICAGO
ECONOMIC DISCLOSURE STATEMENT AND AFFIDAVIT
APPENDIX B**

BUILDING CODE SCOFFLAW/PROBLEM LANDLORD CERTIFICATION

This Appendix is to be completed only by (a) the Applicant, and (b) any legal entity which has a direct ownership interest in the Applicant exceeding 7.5% (an "Owner"). It is not to be completed by any legal entity which has only an indirect ownership interest in the Applicant.

1. Pursuant to MCC Section 2-154-010, is the Applicant or any Owner identified as a building code scofflaw or problem landlord pursuant to MCC Section 2-92-416?

Yes No

2. If the Applicant is a legal entity publicly traded on any exchange, is any officer or director of the Applicant identified as a building code scofflaw or problem landlord pursuant to MCC Section 2-92-416?

Yes No The Applicant is not publicly traded on any exchange.

3. If yes to (1) or (2) above, please identify below the name of each person or legal entity identified as a building code scofflaw or problem landlord and the address of each building or buildings to which the pertinent code violations apply.

**CITY OF CHICAGO
ECONOMIC DISCLOSURE STATEMENT AND AFFIDAVIT
APPENDIX C**

PROHIBITION ON WAGE & SALARY HISTORY SCREENING - CERTIFICATION

This Appendix is to be completed only by an Applicant that is completing this EDS as a “contractor” as defined in MCC Section 2-92-385. That section, which should be consulted (www.amlegal.com), generally covers a party to any agreement pursuant to which they: (i) receive City of Chicago funds in consideration for services, work or goods provided (including for legal or other professional services), or (ii) pay the City money for a license, grant or concession allowing them to conduct a business on City premises.

On behalf of an Applicant that is a contractor pursuant to MCC Section 2-92-385, I hereby certify that the Applicant is in compliance with MCC Section 2-92-385(b)(1) and (2), which prohibit: (i) screening job applicants based on their wage or salary history, or (ii) seeking job applicants’ wage or salary history from current or former employers. I also certify that the Applicant has adopted a policy that includes those prohibitions.

Yes

No

N/A – I am not an Applicant that is a “contractor” as defined in MCC Section 2-92-385.

This certification shall serve as the affidavit required by MCC Section 2-92-385(c)(1).

If you checked “no” to the above, please explain.

Fifth Third Financial Corporation

Name	Title
Timothy N. Spence	Director, President and Chief Executive Officer
Kristine R. Garrett	EVP, Group Regional President & Head of Wealth and Asset Management
Kala J. Gibson	EVP & Chief Corporate Responsibility Officer
Howard Hammond	EVP & Head of Consumer Banking
Mark D. Hazel	EVP & Controller
Kevin P. Lavender	EVP & Head of Commercial Banking
James C. Leonard	EVP & Chief Financial Officer
Nancy C. Pinckney	EVP & Chief Human Resources Officer
Bryan D. Preston	EVP & Treasurer
Jude A. Schramm	EVP & Chief Information Officer
Robert P. Shaffer	EVP & Chief Risk Officer
Melissa S. Stevens	EVP & Chief Marketing Officer
Susan B. Zaunbrecher	Director, EVP, Chief Legal Officer & Corporate Secretary
Albert P. Cliffel, III	SVP & Corporate Tax Director
Lori G. Heilman	Assistant Secretary
H. Samuel Lind	Assistant Secretary
Nicholas J. Podsiadly	Assistant Secretary

Exhibit A

Fifth Third Financial Corporation, 38 Fountain Square Plaza, Cincinnati, OH 45263 – 100% owner of Fifth Third Bank, National Association.

Fifth Third Bancorp, 38 Fountain Square Plaza, Cincinnati, OH 45263 – 100% owner of Fifth Third Financial Corporation.

The Vanguard Group, 100 Vanguard Blvd, Malvern, PA 19355 – owns 12.8% interest in Fifth Third Bancorp. See ADV

Blackrock, Inc., 55 East 52nd Street, New York, NY 10055 – owns a 8.67% interest in Fifth Third Bancorp. See ADV



FIFTH THIRD BANCORP

SECRETARY CERTIFICATE

The undersigned does hereby certify that he is the duly elected, qualified, and acting Assistant Secretary of Fifth Third Bancorp (the "Bancorp") and the undersigned does hereby certify that:

1. In my role as Assistant Secretary of the Bancorp, I am familiar with the Bancorp subsidiaries and their officers including, but not limited to, Fifth Third Financial Corporation and Fifth Third Bank, National Association.

2. The individual listed below has achieved the title set forth opposite his name and is acting in his capacity at this date.

<u>Name</u>	<u>Subsidiary</u>	<u>Title</u>
H. Samuel Lind	Fifth Third Bancorp	Assistant Corporate Secretary
	Fifth Third Financial Corporation	Assistant Secretary
	Fifth Third Bank, National Association	Assistant Corporate Secretary

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my name as of the 12th day of October, 2023.

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Shaun Patsy".

Shaun B. Patsy
Assistant Secretary

**CITY OF CHICAGO
ECONOMIC DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
AND AFFIDAVIT**

SECTION I -- GENERAL INFORMATION

A. Legal name of the Disclosing Party submitting this EDS. Include d/b/a/ if applicable:

Fifth Third Bancorp

Check ONE of the following three boxes:

Indicate whether the Disclosing Party submitting this EDS is:

1. the Applicant

OR

2. a legal entity currently holding, or anticipated to hold within six months after City action on the contract, transaction or other undertaking to which this EDS pertains (referred to below as the "Matter"), a direct or indirect interest in excess of 7.5% in the Applicant. State the Applicant's legal name: Fifth Third Bank, National Association

OR

3. a legal entity with a direct or indirect right of control of the Applicant (see Section II(B)(1))
State the legal name of the entity in which the Disclosing Party holds a right of control:

B. Business address of the Disclosing Party: 38 Fountain Square Plaza

Cincinnati, OH 45263

C. Telephone: 312-704-7307 Fax: NA Email: mark.lester@53.com

D. Name of contact person: Mark Lester

E. Federal Employer Identification No. (if you have one): 31-0854434

F. Brief description of the Matter to which this EDS pertains. (Include project number and location of property, if applicable):

Designation as a 2024 Municipal Depository

G. Which City agency or department is requesting this EDS? Department of Finance

If the Matter is a contract being handled by the City's Department of Procurement Services, please complete the following:

Specification # _____ and Contract # _____

SECTION II -- DISCLOSURE OF OWNERSHIP INTERESTS

A. NATURE OF THE DISCLOSING PARTY

1. Indicate the nature of the Disclosing Party:

- Person
- Publicly registered business corporation
- Privately held business corporation
- Sole proprietorship
- General partnership
- Limited partnership
- Trust
- Limited liability company
- Limited liability partnership
- Joint venture
- Not-for-profit corporation
- (Is the not-for-profit corporation also a 501(c)(3))?
 Yes No
- Other (please specify)

2. For legal entities, the state (or foreign country) of incorporation or organization, if applicable:

Ohio

3. For legal entities not organized in the State of Illinois: Has the organization registered to do business in the State of Illinois as a foreign entity?

- Yes
- No
- Organized in Illinois

B. IF THE DISCLOSING PARTY IS A LEGAL ENTITY:

1. List below the full names and titles, if applicable, of: (i) all executive officers and all directors of the entity; (ii) **for not-for-profit corporations**, all members, if any, which are legal entities (if there are no such members, write "no members which are legal entities"); (iii) **for trusts, estates or other similar entities**, the trustee, executor, administrator, or similarly situated party; (iv) **for general or limited partnerships, limited liability companies, limited liability partnerships or joint ventures**, each general partner, managing member, manager or any other person or legal entity that directly or indirectly controls the day-to-day management of the Applicant.

NOTE: Each legal entity listed below must submit an EDS on its own behalf.

Name	Title
------	-------

See attached list

2. Please provide the following information concerning each person or legal entity having a direct or indirect, current or prospective (i.e. within 6 months after City action) beneficial interest (including ownership) in excess of 7.5% of the Applicant. Examples of such an interest include shares in a corporation, partnership interest in a partnership or joint venture, interest of a member or manager in a

limited liability company, or interest of a beneficiary of a trust, estate or other similar entity. If none, state "None."

NOTE: Each legal entity listed below may be required to submit an EDS on its own behalf.

Name	Business Address	Percentage Interest in the Applicant
------	------------------	--------------------------------------

See Exhibit A		
---------------	--	--

SECTION III -- INCOME OR COMPENSATION TO, OR OWNERSHIP BY, CITY ELECTED OFFICIALS

Has the Disclosing Party provided any income or compensation to any City elected official during the 12-month period preceding the date of this EDS? Yes No

Does the Disclosing Party reasonably expect to provide any income or compensation to any City elected official during the 12-month period following the date of this EDS? Yes No

If "yes" to either of the above, please identify below the name(s) of such City elected official(s) and describe such income or compensation:

Does any City elected official or, to the best of the Disclosing Party's knowledge after reasonable inquiry, any City elected official's spouse or domestic partner, have a financial interest (as defined in Chapter 2-156 of the Municipal Code of Chicago ("MCC")) in the Disclosing Party? Yes No

If "yes," please identify below the name(s) of such City elected official(s) and/or spouse(s)/domestic partner(s) and describe the financial interest(s).

SECTION IV -- DISCLOSURE OF SUBCONTRACTORS AND OTHER RETAINED PARTIES

The Disclosing Party must disclose the name and business address of each subcontractor, attorney, lobbyist (as defined in MCC Chapter 2-156), accountant, consultant and any other person or entity whom the Disclosing Party has retained or expects to retain in connection with the Matter, as well as the nature of the relationship, and the total amount of the fees paid or estimated to be paid. The Disclosing Party is not required to disclose employees who are paid solely through the Disclosing Party's regular payroll. If the Disclosing Party is uncertain whether a disclosure is required under this Section, the Disclosing Party must either ask the City whether disclosure is required or make the disclosure.

Name (indicate whether retained or anticipated to be retained)	Business Address	Relationship to Disclosing Party (subcontractor, attorney, lobbyist, etc.)	Fees (<u>indicate whether paid or estimated.</u>) NOTE: "hourly rate" or "t.b.d." is not an acceptable response.
--	------------------	--	---

(Add sheets if necessary)

Check here if the Disclosing Party has not retained, nor expects to retain, any such persons or entities.

SECTION V -- CERTIFICATIONS

A. COURT-ORDERED CHILD SUPPORT COMPLIANCE

Under MCC Section 2-92-415, substantial owners of business entities that contract with the City must remain in compliance with their child support obligations throughout the contract's term.

Has any person who directly or indirectly owns 10% or more of the Disclosing Party been declared in arrearage on any child support obligations by any Illinois court of competent jurisdiction?

Yes No No person directly or indirectly owns 10% or more of the Disclosing Party.

If "Yes," has the person entered into a court-approved agreement for payment of all support owed and is the person in compliance with that agreement?

Yes No

B. FURTHER CERTIFICATIONS

1. [This paragraph 1 applies only if the Matter is a contract being handled by the City's Department of Procurement Services.] In the 5-year period preceding the date of this EDS, neither the Disclosing Party nor any Affiliated Entity [see definition in (5) below] has engaged, in connection with the performance of any public contract, the services of an integrity monitor, independent private sector inspector general, or integrity compliance consultant (i.e., an individual or entity with legal, auditing, investigative, or other similar skills, designated by a public agency to help the agency monitor the activity of specified agency vendors as well as help the vendors reform their business practices so they can be considered for agency contracts in the future, or continue with a contract in progress).

2. The Disclosing Party and its Affiliated Entities are not delinquent in the payment of any fine, fee, tax or other source of indebtedness owed to the City of Chicago, including, but not limited to, water and sewer charges, license fees, parking tickets, property taxes and sales taxes, nor is the Disclosing Party delinquent in the payment of any tax administered by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

3. The Disclosing Party and, if the Disclosing Party is a legal entity, all of those persons or entities identified in Section II(B)(1) of this EDS:

- a. are not presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible or voluntarily excluded from any transactions by any federal, state or local unit of government;
- b. have not, during the 5 years before the date of this EDS, been convicted of a criminal offense, adjudged guilty, or had a civil judgment rendered against them in connection with: obtaining, attempting to obtain, or performing a public (federal, state or local) transaction or contract under a public transaction; a violation of federal or state antitrust statutes; fraud; embezzlement; theft; forgery; bribery; falsification or destruction of records; making false statements; or receiving stolen property;
- c. are not presently indicted for, or criminally or civilly charged by, a governmental entity (federal, state or local) with committing any of the offenses set forth in subparagraph (b) above;
- d. have not, during the 5 years before the date of this EDS, had one or more public transactions (federal, state or local) terminated for cause or default; and
- e. have not, during the 5 years before the date of this EDS, been convicted, adjudged guilty, or found liable in a civil proceeding, or in any criminal or civil action, including actions concerning environmental violations, instituted by the City or by the federal government, any state, or any other unit of local government.

4. The Disclosing Party understands and shall comply with the applicable requirements of MCC Chapters 2-56 (Inspector General) and 2-156 (Governmental Ethics).

5. Certifications (5), (6) and (7) concern:

- the Disclosing Party;
- any "Contractor" (meaning any contractor or subcontractor used by the Disclosing Party in connection with the Matter, including but not limited to all persons or legal entities disclosed under Section IV, "Disclosure of Subcontractors and Other Retained Parties");
- any "Affiliated Entity" (meaning a person or entity that, directly or indirectly: controls the Disclosing Party, is controlled by the Disclosing Party, or is, with the Disclosing Party, under common control of another person or entity). Indicia of control include, without limitation: interlocking management or ownership; identity of interests among family members, shared facilities and equipment; common use of employees; or organization of a business entity following the ineligibility of a business entity to do business with federal or state or local government, including the City, using substantially the same management, ownership, or principals as the ineligible entity. With respect to Contractors, the term Affiliated Entity means a person or entity that directly or indirectly controls the Contractor, is controlled by it, or, with the Contractor, is under common control of another person or entity;
- any responsible official of the Disclosing Party, any Contractor or any Affiliated Entity or any other official, agent or employee of the Disclosing Party, any Contractor or any Affiliated Entity, acting pursuant to the direction or authorization of a responsible official of the Disclosing Party, any Contractor or any Affiliated Entity (collectively "Agents").

Neither the Disclosing Party, nor any Contractor, nor any Affiliated Entity of either the Disclosing Party or any Contractor, nor any Agents have, during the 5 years before the date of this EDS, or, with respect to a Contractor, an Affiliated Entity, or an Affiliated Entity of a Contractor during the 5 years before the date of such Contractor's or Affiliated Entity's contract or engagement in connection with the Matter:

- a. bribed or attempted to bribe, or been convicted or adjudged guilty of bribery or attempting to bribe, a public officer or employee of the City, the State of Illinois, or any agency of the federal government or of any state or local government in the United States of America, in that officer's or employee's official capacity;
- b. agreed or colluded with other bidders or prospective bidders, or been a party to any such agreement, or been convicted or adjudged guilty of agreement or collusion among bidders or prospective bidders, in restraint of freedom of competition by agreement to bid a fixed price or otherwise; or
- c. made an admission of such conduct described in subparagraph (a) or (b) above that is a matter of record, but have not been prosecuted for such conduct; or
- d. violated the provisions referenced in MCC Subsection 2-92-320(a)(4)(Contracts Requiring a Base Wage); (a)(5)(Debarment Regulations); or (a)(6)(Minimum Wage Ordinance).

6. Neither the Disclosing Party, nor any Affiliated Entity or Contractor, or any of their employees, officials, agents or partners, is barred from contracting with any unit of state or local government as a result of engaging in or being convicted of (1) bid-rigging in violation of 720 ILCS 5/33E-3; (2) bid-rotating in violation of 720 ILCS 5/33E-4; or (3) any similar offense of any state or of the United States of America that contains the same elements as the offense of bid-rigging or bid-rotating.

7. Neither the Disclosing Party nor any Affiliated Entity is listed on a Sanctions List maintained by the United States Department of Commerce, State, or Treasury, or any successor federal agency.

8. [FOR APPLICANT ONLY] (i) Neither the Applicant nor any "controlling person" [see MCC Chapter 1-23, Article I for applicability and defined terms] of the Applicant is currently indicted or charged with, or has admitted guilt of, or has ever been convicted of, or placed under supervision for, any criminal offense involving actual, attempted, or conspiracy to commit bribery, theft, fraud, forgery, perjury, dishonesty or deceit against an officer or employee of the City or any "sister agency"; and (ii) the Applicant understands and acknowledges that compliance with Article I is a continuing requirement for doing business with the City. NOTE: If MCC Chapter 1-23, Article I applies to the Applicant, that Article's permanent compliance timeframe supersedes 5-year compliance timeframes in this Section V.

9. [FOR APPLICANT ONLY] The Applicant and its Affiliated Entities will not use, nor permit their subcontractors to use, any facility listed as having an active exclusion by the U.S. EPA on the federal System for Award Management ("SAM").

10. [FOR APPLICANT ONLY] The Applicant will obtain from any contractors/subcontractors hired or to be hired in connection with the Matter certifications equal in form and substance to those in Certifications (2) and (9) above and will not, without the prior written consent of the City, use any such

contractor/subcontractor that does not provide such certifications or that the Applicant has reason to believe has not provided or cannot provide truthful certifications.

11. If the Disclosing Party is unable to certify to any of the above statements in this Part B (Further Certifications), the Disclosing Party must explain below:

Certifying to all except B8, B9, B10 as they do not apply as Fifth Third Bancorp is not the applicant.

If the letters "NA," the word "None," or no response appears on the lines above, it will be conclusively presumed that the Disclosing Party certified to the above statements.

12. To the best of the Disclosing Party's knowledge after reasonable inquiry, the following is a complete list of all current employees of the Disclosing Party who were, at any time during the 12-month period preceding the date of this EDS, an employee, or elected or appointed official, of the City of Chicago (if none, indicate with "N/A" or "none").

None

13. To the best of the Disclosing Party's knowledge after reasonable inquiry, the following is a complete list of all gifts that the Disclosing Party has given or caused to be given, at any time during the 12-month period preceding the execution date of this EDS, to an employee, or elected or appointed official, of the City of Chicago. For purposes of this statement, a "gift" does not include: (i) anything made generally available to City employees or to the general public, or (ii) food or drink provided in the course of official City business and having a retail value of less than \$25 per recipient, or (iii) a political contribution otherwise duly reported as required by law (if none, indicate with "N/A" or "none"). As to any gift listed below, please also list the name of the City recipient.

None

C. CERTIFICATION OF STATUS AS FINANCIAL INSTITUTION

1. The Disclosing Party certifies that the Disclosing Party (check one)
 is is not

a "financial institution" as defined in MCC Section 2-32-455(b).

2. If the Disclosing Party IS a financial institution, then the Disclosing Party pledges:

"We are not and will not become a predatory lender as defined in MCC Chapter 2-32. We further pledge that none of our affiliates is, and none of them will become, a predatory lender as defined in MCC Chapter 2-32. We understand that becoming a predatory lender or becoming an affiliate of a predatory lender may result in the loss of the privilege of doing business with the City."

If the Disclosing Party is unable to make this pledge because it or any of its affiliates (as defined in MCC Section 2-32-455(b)) is a predatory lender within the meaning of MCC Chapter 2-32, explain here (attach additional pages if necessary):

If the letters "NA," the word "None," or no response appears on the lines above, it will be conclusively presumed that the Disclosing Party certified to the above statements.

D. CERTIFICATION REGARDING FINANCIAL INTEREST IN CITY BUSINESS

Any words or terms defined in MCC Chapter 2-156 have the same meanings if used in this Part D.

1. In accordance with MCC Section 2-156-110: To the best of the Disclosing Party's knowledge after reasonable inquiry, does any official or employee of the City have a financial interest in his or her own name or in the name of any other person or entity in the Matter?

Yes No

NOTE: If you checked "Yes" to Item D(1), proceed to Items D(2) and D(3). If you checked "No" to Item D(1), skip Items D(2) and D(3) and proceed to Part E.

2. Unless sold pursuant to a process of competitive bidding, or otherwise permitted, no City elected official or employee shall have a financial interest in his or her own name or in the name of any other person or entity in the purchase of any property that (i) belongs to the City, or (ii) is sold for taxes or assessments, or (iii) is sold by virtue of legal process at the suit of the City (collectively, "City Property Sale"). Compensation for property taken pursuant to the City's eminent domain power does not constitute a financial interest within the meaning of this Part D.

Does the Matter involve a City Property Sale?

Yes No

3. If you checked "Yes" to Item D(1), provide the names and business addresses of the City officials or employees having such financial interest and identify the nature of the financial interest:

Name	Business Address	Nature of Financial Interest
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____

4. The Disclosing Party further certifies that no prohibited financial interest in the Matter will be acquired by any City official or employee.

E. CERTIFICATION REGARDING SLAVERY ERA BUSINESS

Please check either (1) or (2) below. If the Disclosing Party checks (2), the Disclosing Party must disclose below or in an attachment to this EDS all information required by (2). Failure to comply with these disclosure requirements may make any contract entered into with the City in connection with the Matter voidable by the City.

X 1. The Disclosing Party verifies that the Disclosing Party has searched any and all records of the Disclosing Party and any and all predecessor entities regarding records of investments or profits from slavery or slaveholder insurance policies during the slavery era (including insurance policies issued to slaveholders that provided coverage for damage to or injury or death of their slaves), and the Disclosing Party has found no such records.

2. The Disclosing Party verifies that, as a result of conducting the search in step (1) above, the Disclosing Party has found records of investments or profits from slavery or slaveholder insurance policies. The Disclosing Party verifies that the following constitutes full disclosure of all such records, including the names of any and all slaves or slaveholders described in those records:

SECTION VI -- CERTIFICATIONS FOR FEDERALLY FUNDED MATTERS

NOTE: If the Matter is federally funded, complete this Section VI. If the Matter is not federally funded, proceed to Section VII. For purposes of this Section VI, tax credits allocated by the City and proceeds of debt obligations of the City are not federal funding.

A. CERTIFICATION REGARDING LOBBYING

1. List below the names of all persons or entities registered under the federal Lobbying Disclosure Act of 1995, as amended, who have made lobbying contacts on behalf of the Disclosing Party with respect to the Matter: (Add sheets if necessary):

N/A

(If no explanation appears or begins on the lines above, or if the letters "NA" or if the word "None" appear, it will be conclusively presumed that the Disclosing Party means that NO persons or entities registered under the Lobbying Disclosure Act of 1995, as amended, have made lobbying contacts on behalf of the Disclosing Party with respect to the Matter.)

2. The Disclosing Party has not spent and will not expend any federally appropriated funds to pay any person or entity listed in paragraph A(1) above for his or her lobbying activities or to pay any person or entity to influence or attempt to influence an officer or employee of any agency, as defined by applicable federal law, a member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee

of a member of Congress, in connection with the award of any federally funded contract, making any federally funded grant or loan, entering into any cooperative agreement, or to extend, continue, renew, amend, or modify any federally funded contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement.

3. The Disclosing Party will submit an updated certification at the end of each calendar quarter in which there occurs any event that materially affects the accuracy of the statements and information set forth in paragraphs A(1) and A(2) above.

4. The Disclosing Party certifies that either: (i) it is not an organization described in section 501(c)(4) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986; or (ii) it is an organization described in section 501(c)(4) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 but has not engaged and will not engage in "Lobbying Activities," as that term is defined in the Lobbying Disclosure Act of 1995, as amended.

5. If the Disclosing Party is the Applicant, the Disclosing Party must obtain certifications equal in form and substance to paragraphs A(1) through A(4) above from all subcontractors before it awards any subcontract and the Disclosing Party must maintain all such subcontractors' certifications for the duration of the Matter and must make such certifications promptly available to the City upon request.

B. CERTIFICATION REGARDING EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY

If the Matter is federally funded, federal regulations require the Applicant and all proposed subcontractors to submit the following information with their bids or in writing at the outset of negotiations.

Is the Disclosing Party the Applicant?

Yes

No

If "Yes," answer the three questions below:

1. Have you developed and do you have on file affirmative action programs pursuant to applicable federal regulations? (See 41 CFR Part 60-2.)

Yes

No

2. Have you filed with the Joint Reporting Committee, the Director of the Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs, or the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission all reports due under the applicable filing requirements?

Yes

No

Reports not required

3. Have you participated in any previous contracts or subcontracts subject to the equal opportunity clause?

Yes

No

If you checked "No" to question (1) or (2) above, please provide an explanation:

CERTIFICATION

Under penalty of perjury, the person signing below: (1) warrants that he/she is authorized to execute this EDS, and all applicable Appendices, on behalf of the Disclosing Party, and (2) warrants that all certifications and statements contained in this EDS, and all applicable Appendices, are true, accurate and complete as of the date furnished to the City.

Fifth Third Bancorp
(Print or type exact legal name of Disclosing Party)

By: H. Samuel Lind
(Sign here)

H. Samuel Lind
(Print or type name of person signing)

Assistant Corporate Secretary
(Print or type title of person signing)

Signed and sworn to before me on (date) October 24, 2023

at HAMILTON County, OHIO (state).

Mike Keefe
Notary Public



Michael Richard Keefe, Attorney At Law
NOTARY PUBLIC - STATE OF OHIO
My commission has no expiration date
Sec. 147.03 R.C.

Commission expires: N/A

SECTION VII -- FURTHER ACKNOWLEDGMENTS AND CERTIFICATION

The Disclosing Party understands and agrees that:

A. The certifications, disclosures, and acknowledgments contained in this EDS will become part of any contract or other agreement between the Applicant and the City in connection with the Matter, whether procurement, City assistance, or other City action, and are material inducements to the City's execution of any contract or taking other action with respect to the Matter. The Disclosing Party understands that it must comply with all statutes, ordinances, and regulations on which this EDS is based.

B. The City's Governmental Ethics Ordinance, MCC Chapter 2-156, imposes certain duties and obligations on persons or entities seeking City contracts, work, business, or transactions. The full text of this ordinance and a training program is available on line at www.cityofchicago.org/Ethics, and may also be obtained from the City's Board of Ethics, 740 N. Sedgwick St., Suite 500, Chicago, IL 60610, (312) 744-9660. The Disclosing Party must comply fully with this ordinance.

C. If the City determines that any information provided in this EDS is false, incomplete or inaccurate, any contract or other agreement in connection with which it is submitted may be rescinded or be void or voidable, and the City may pursue any remedies under the contract or agreement (if not rescinded or void), at law, or in equity, including terminating the Disclosing Party's participation in the Matter and/or declining to allow the Disclosing Party to participate in other City transactions. Remedies at law for a false statement of material fact may include incarceration and an award to the City of treble damages.

D. It is the City's policy to make this document available to the public on its Internet site and/or upon request. Some or all of the information provided in, and appended to, this EDS may be made publicly available on the Internet, in response to a Freedom of Information Act request, or otherwise. By completing and signing this EDS, the Disclosing Party waives and releases any possible rights or claims which it may have against the City in connection with the public release of information contained in this EDS and also authorizes the City to verify the accuracy of any information submitted in this EDS.

E. The information provided in this EDS must be kept current. In the event of changes, the Disclosing Party must supplement this EDS up to the time the City takes action on the Matter. If the Matter is a contract being handled by the City's Department of Procurement Services, the Disclosing Party must update this EDS as the contract requires. **NOTE:** With respect to Matters subject to MCC Chapter 1-23, Article I (imposing **PERMANENT INELIGIBILITY** for certain specified offenses), the information provided herein regarding eligibility must be kept current for a longer period, as required by MCC Chapter 1-23 and Section 2-154-020.

**CITY OF CHICAGO
ECONOMIC DISCLOSURE STATEMENT AND AFFIDAVIT
APPENDIX A**

**FAMILIAL RELATIONSHIPS WITH ELECTED CITY OFFICIALS
AND DEPARTMENT HEADS**

This Appendix is to be completed only by (a) the Applicant, and (b) any legal entity which has a direct ownership interest in the Applicant exceeding 7.5%. It is not to be completed by any legal entity which has only an indirect ownership interest in the Applicant.

Under MCC Section 2-154-015, the Disclosing Party must disclose whether such Disclosing Party or any "Applicable Party" or any Spouse or Domestic Partner thereof currently has a "familial relationship" with any elected city official or department head. A "familial relationship" exists if, as of the date this EDS is signed, the Disclosing Party or any "Applicable Party" or any Spouse or Domestic Partner thereof is related to the mayor, any alderman, the city clerk, the city treasurer or any city department head as spouse or domestic partner or as any of the following, whether by blood or adoption: parent, child, brother or sister, aunt or uncle, niece or nephew, grandparent, grandchild, father-in-law, mother-in-law, son-in-law, daughter-in-law, stepfather or stepmother, stepson or stepdaughter, stepbrother or stepsister or half-brother or half-sister.

"Applicable Party" means (1) all executive officers of the Disclosing Party listed in Section II.B.1.a., if the Disclosing Party is a corporation; all partners of the Disclosing Party, if the Disclosing Party is a general partnership; all general partners and limited partners of the Disclosing Party, if the Disclosing Party is a limited partnership; all managers, managing members and members of the Disclosing Party, if the Disclosing Party is a limited liability company; (2) all principal officers of the Disclosing Party; and (3) any person having more than a 7.5% ownership interest in the Disclosing Party. "Principal officers" means the president, chief operating officer, executive director, chief financial officer, treasurer or secretary of a legal entity or any person exercising similar authority.

Does the Disclosing Party or any "Applicable Party" or any Spouse or Domestic Partner thereof currently have a "familial relationship" with an elected city official or department head?

Yes

No

If yes, please identify below (1) the name and title of such person, (2) the name of the legal entity to which such person is connected; (3) the name and title of the elected city official or department head to whom such person has a familial relationship, and (4) the precise nature of such familial relationship.

Not applicable as Fifth Third Bancorp has indirect ownership over the applicant.

**CITY OF CHICAGO
ECONOMIC DISCLOSURE STATEMENT AND AFFIDAVIT
APPENDIX B**

BUILDING CODE SCOFFLAW/PROBLEM LANDLORD CERTIFICATION

This Appendix is to be completed only by (a) the Applicant, and (b) any legal entity which has a direct ownership interest in the Applicant exceeding 7.5% (an "Owner"). It is not to be completed by any legal entity which has only an indirect ownership interest in the Applicant.

1. Pursuant to MCC Section 2-154-010, is the Applicant or any Owner identified as a building code scofflaw or problem landlord pursuant to MCC Section 2-92-416?

Yes No

2. If the Applicant is a legal entity publicly traded on any exchange, is any officer or director of the Applicant identified as a building code scofflaw or problem landlord pursuant to MCC Section 2-92-416?

Yes No The Applicant is not publicly traded on any exchange.

3. If yes to (1) or (2) above, please identify below the name of each person or legal entity identified as a building code scofflaw or problem landlord and the address of each building or buildings to which the pertinent code violations apply.

Not applicable as Fifth Third Bancorp has indirect ownership over the applicant

**CITY OF CHICAGO
ECONOMIC DISCLOSURE STATEMENT AND AFFIDAVIT
APPENDIX C**

PROHIBITION ON WAGE & SALARY HISTORY SCREENING - CERTIFICATION

This Appendix is to be completed only by an Applicant that is completing this EDS as a “contractor” as defined in MCC Section 2-92-385. That section, which should be consulted (www.amlegal.com), generally covers a party to any agreement pursuant to which they: (i) receive City of Chicago funds in consideration for services, work or goods provided (including for legal or other professional services), or (ii) pay the City money for a license, grant or concession allowing them to conduct a business on City premises.

On behalf of an Applicant that is a contractor pursuant to MCC Section 2-92-385, I hereby certify that the Applicant is in compliance with MCC Section 2-92-385(b)(1) and (2), which prohibit: (i) screening job applicants based on their wage or salary history, or (ii) seeking job applicants’ wage or salary history from current or former employers. I also certify that the Applicant has adopted a policy that includes those prohibitions.

Yes

No

N/A – I am not an Applicant that is a “contractor” as defined in MCC Section 2-92-385.

This certification shall serve as the affidavit required by MCC Section 2-92-385(c)(1).

If you checked “no” to the above, please explain.

Fifth Third Bancorp

Name	Title
Nicholas K. Akins	Board Chair
B. Evan Bayh, III	Director
Jorge L. Benitez	Director
Katherine B. Blackburn	Director
Emerson L. Brumback	Director
Linda W. Clement-Holmes	Director
C. Bryan Daniels	Director
Laurent Desmangles	Director
Mitchell S. Feiger	Director
Thomas H. Harvey	Director
Gary R. Heminger	Director
Eileen A. Mallesch	Director
Michael B. McCallister	Director
Kathleen A. Rogers	Director
Timothy N. Spence	Director
Marsha C. Williams	Director
Timothy N. Spence	President & Chief Executive Officer
Kristine R. Garrett	EVP, Group Regional President & Head of WAM
Kala J. Gibson	EVP & Chief Corporate Responsibility Officer
Howard Hammond	EVP & Head of Consumer Banking
Mark D. Hazel	EVP & Controller
Benjamin J. Hoffman	EVP & Chief Strategy Officer
Nancy C. Pinckney	EVP & Chief Human Resources Officer
Kevin P. Lavender	EVP & Head of Commercial Banking
James C. Leonard	EVP & Chief Financial Officer
Jude A. Schramm	EVP & Chief Information Officer
Robert P. Shaffer	EVP & Chief Risk Officer
Melissa S. Stevens	EVP & Chief Marketing Officer
Susan B. Zaunbrecher	EVP, Chief Legal Officer & Corporate Secretary
Bryan D. Preston	EVP & Treasurer
Brian S. Duba	Assistant Corporate Secretary
Lori G. Heilman	Assistant Corporate Secretary
H. Samuel Lind	Assistant Corporate Secretary
Shaun B. Patsy	Assistant Corporate Secretary
Nicholas J. Podsiadly	Assistant Corporate Secretary

Exhibit A

Fifth Third Financial Corporation, 38 Fountain Square Plaza, Cincinnati, OH 45263 – 100% owner of Fifth Third Bank, National Association.

Fifth Third Bancorp, 38 Fountain Square Plaza, Cincinnati, OH 45263 – 100% owner of Fifth Third Financial Corporation.

The Vanguard Group, 100 Vanguard Blvd, Malvern, PA 19355 – owns 12.8% interest in Fifth Third Bancorp. See ADV

Blackrock, Inc., 55 East 52nd Street, New York, NY 10055 – owns a 8.67% interest in Fifth Third Bancorp. See ADV



FIFTH THIRD BANCORP

SECRETARY CERTIFICATE

The undersigned does hereby certify that he is the duly elected, qualified, and acting Assistant Secretary of Fifth Third Bancorp (the "Bancorp") and the undersigned does hereby certify that:

1. In my role as Assistant Secretary of the Bancorp, I am familiar with the Bancorp subsidiaries and their officers including, but not limited to, Fifth Third Financial Corporation and Fifth Third Bank, National Association.
2. The individual listed below has achieved the title set forth opposite his name and is acting in his capacity at this date.

Name	Subsidiary	Title
H. Samuel Lind	Fifth Third Bancorp	Assistant Corporate Secretary
	Fifth Third Financial Corporation	Assistant Secretary
	Fifth Third Bank, National Association	Assistant Corporate Secretary

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my name as of the 12th day of October, 2023.

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Shaun Patsy".

Shaun B. Patsy
Assistant Secretary

UNIFORM APPLICATION FOR INVESTMENT ADVISER REGISTRATION AND REPORT BY EXEMPT REPORTING ADVISERS

Primary Business Name: BLACKROCK ADVISORS, LLC

CRD Number: 106614

Other-Than-Annual Amendment - All Sections

Rev. 10/2021

8/3/2023 2:25:44 PM

WARNING: Complete this form truthfully. False statements or omissions may result in denial of your application, revocation of your registration, or criminal prosecution. You must keep this form updated by filing periodic amendments. See Form ADV General Instruction 4.

Item 1 Identifying Information

Responses to this Item tell us who you are, where you are doing business, and how we can contact you. If you are filing an *umbrella registration*, the information in Item 1 should be provided for the *filing adviser* only. General Instruction 5 provides information to assist you with filing an *umbrella registration*.

A. Your full legal name (if you are a sole proprietor, your last, first, and middle names):

BLACKROCK ADVISORS, LLC

B. (1) Name under which you primarily conduct your advisory business, if different from Item 1.A.

BLACKROCK ADVISORS, LLC

List on *Section 1.B. of Schedule D* any additional names under which you conduct your advisory business.

(2) If you are using this Form ADV to register more than one investment adviser under an *umbrella registration*, check this box

If you check this box, complete a *Schedule R* for each relying adviser.

C. If this filing is reporting a change in your legal name (Item 1.A.) or primary business name (Item 1.B.(1)), enter the new name and specify whether the name change is of your legal name or your primary business name:

D. (1) If you are registered with the SEC as an investment adviser, your SEC file number: **801-47710**

(2) If you report to the SEC as an *exempt reporting adviser*, your SEC file number:

(3) If you have one or more Central Index Key numbers assigned by the SEC ("CIK Numbers"), all of your CIK numbers:

CIK Number

1086364

E. (1) If you have a number ("CRD Number") assigned by the *FINRA's CRD* system or by the *IARD* system, your *CRD* number: **106614**

If your firm does not have a *CRD* number, skip this Item 1.E. Do not provide the *CRD* number of one of your officers, employees, or affiliates.

(2) If you have additional *CRD* Numbers, your additional *CRD* numbers:

No Information Filed

F. *Principal Office and Place of Business*

(1) Address (do not use a P.O. Box):

Number and Street 1:

50 HUDSON YARDS

City:

NEW YORK

State:

New York

Number and Street 2:

Country:

United States

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

10001

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

List on *Section 1.F. of Schedule D* any office, other than your principal office and place of business, at which you conduct investment advisory business. If you are applying for registration, or are registered, with one or more state securities authorities, you must list all of your offices in the state or states to which you are applying for registration or with whom you are registered. If you are applying for SEC registration, if you are registered only with the SEC, or if you are reporting to the SEC as an exempt reporting adviser, list the largest twenty-five offices in terms of numbers of employees as of the end of your most recently completed fiscal year.

(2) Days of week that you normally conduct business at your *principal office and place of business*:

Monday - Friday Other:

Normal business hours at this location:

7:30AM TO 5:30PM

(3) Telephone number at this location:

212 810 5300

(4) Facsimile number at this location, if any:

(5) What is the total number of offices, other than your *principal office and place of business*, at which you conduct investment advisory business as of the end of your most recently completed fiscal year?

10

G. Mailing address, if different from your *principal office and place of business* address:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

H. If you are a sole proprietor, state your full residence address, if different from your *principal office and place of business* address in Item 1.F.:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

Yes No

I. Do you have one or more websites or accounts on publicly available social media platforms (including, but not limited to, Twitter, Facebook and LinkedIn)?

If "yes," list all firm website addresses and the address for each of the firm's accounts on publicly available social media platforms on [Section 1.I. of Schedule D](#). If a website address serves as a portal through which to access other information you have published on the web, you may list the portal without listing addresses for all of the other information. You may need to list more than one portal address. Do not provide the addresses of websites or accounts on publicly available social media platforms where you do not control the content. Do not provide the individual electronic mail (e-mail) addresses of employees or the addresses of employee accounts on publicly available social media platforms.

J. Chief Compliance Officer

(1) Provide the name and contact information of your Chief Compliance Officer. If you are an *exempt reporting adviser*, you must provide the contact information for your Chief Compliance Officer, if you have one. If not, you must complete Item 1.K. below.

Name:

Other titles, if any:

Telephone number:

Facsimile number, if any:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

Electronic mail (e-mail) address, if Chief Compliance Officer has one:

(2) If your Chief Compliance Officer is compensated or employed by any *person* other than you, a *related person* or an investment company registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940 that you advise for providing chief compliance officer services to you, provide the *person's* name and IRS Employer Identification Number (if any):

Name:

IRS Employer Identification Number:

K. Additional Regulatory Contact Person: If a person other than the Chief Compliance Officer is authorized to receive information and respond to questions about this Form ADV, you may provide that information here.

Name:

Titles:

Telephone number:

Facsimile number, if any:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

Electronic mail (e-mail) address, if contact person has one:

Yes No

L. Do you maintain some or all of the books and records you are required to keep under Section 204 of the Advisers Act, or similar state law, somewhere other than your *principal office and place of business*?

If "yes," complete [Section 1.L. of Schedule D](#).

Yes No

M. Are you registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

Answer "no" if you are not registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*, even if you have an affiliate that is registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*. If "yes," complete [Section 1.M. of Schedule D](#).

Yes No

N. Are you a public reporting company under Sections 12 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934?

Yes No

O. Did you have \$1 billion or more in assets on the last day of your most recent fiscal year?

If yes, what is the approximate amount of your assets:

\$1 billion to less than \$10 billion

\$10 billion to less than \$50 billion

\$50 billion or more

For purposes of Item 1.O. only, "assets" refers to your total assets, rather than the assets you manage on behalf of clients. Determine your total assets using the total assets shown on the balance

sheet for your most recent fiscal year end.

P. Provide your *Legal Entity Identifier* if you have one:

5493001LN9MRM6A35J74

A *legal entity identifier* is a unique number that companies use to identify each other in the financial marketplace. You may not have a *legal entity identifier*.

SECTION 1.B. Other Business Names

No Information Filed

SECTION 1.F. Other Offices

Complete the following information for each office, other than your *principal office and place of business*, at which you conduct investment advisory business. You must complete a separate Schedule D Section 1.F. for each location. If you are applying for SEC registration, if you are registered only with the SEC, or if you are an *exempt reporting adviser*, list only the largest twenty-five offices (in terms of numbers of *employees*).

Number and Street 1:

49 EAST 52ND ST

Number and Street 2:

City:

NEW YORK

State:

New York

Country:

United States

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

10022

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:

212-810-3500

Facsimile Number, if any:

If this office location is also required to be registered with FINRA or a *state securities authority* as a branch office location for a broker-dealer or investment adviser on the Uniform Branch Office Registration Form (Form BR), please provide the *CRD* Branch Number here:

238819

How many *employees* perform investment advisory functions from this office location?

5

Are other business activities conducted at this office location? (check all that apply)

(1) Broker-dealer (registered or unregistered)

(2) Bank (including a separately identifiable department or division of a bank)

(3) Insurance broker or agent

(4) Commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)

(5) Registered municipal advisor

(6) Accountant or accounting firm

(7) Lawyer or law firm

Describe any other *investment-related* business activities conducted from this office location:

Complete the following information for each office, other than your *principal office and place of business*, at which you conduct investment advisory business. You must complete a separate Schedule D Section 1.F. for each location. If you are applying for SEC registration, if you are registered only with the SEC, or if you are an *exempt reporting adviser*, list only the largest twenty-five offices (in terms of numbers of *employees*).

Number and Street 1:

44 COOK STREET

Number and Street 2:

SUITE 800

City:

DENVER

State:

Colorado

Country:

United States

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

80206

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:

303 468 5500

Facsimile Number, if any:

If this office location is also required to be registered with FINRA or a *state securities authority* as a branch office location for a broker-dealer or investment adviser on the Uniform Branch Office

Registration Form (Form BR), please provide the CRD Branch Number here:

How many *employees* perform investment advisory functions from this office location?

1

Are other business activities conducted at this office location? (check all that apply)

- (1) Broker-dealer (registered or unregistered)
- (2) Bank (including a separately identifiable department or division of a bank)
- (3) Insurance broker or agent
- (4) Commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (5) Registered municipal advisor
- (6) Accountant or accounting firm
- (7) Lawyer or law firm

Describe any other *investment-related* business activities conducted from this office location:

Complete the following information for each office, other than your *principal office and place of business*, at which you conduct investment advisory business. You must complete a separate Schedule D Section 1.F. for each location. If you are applying for SEC registration, if you are registered only with the SEC, or if you are an *exempt reporting adviser*, list only the largest twenty-five offices (in terms of numbers of *employees*).

Number and Street 1:

725 PONCE DE LEON AVE NE

Number and Street 2:

City:

ATLANTA

State:

Georgia

Country:

United States

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

30306

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:

470 520 5000

Facsimile Number, if any:

If this office location is also required to be registered with FINRA or a *state securities authority* as a branch office location for a broker-dealer or investment adviser on the Uniform Branch Office Registration Form (Form BR), please provide the *CRD* Branch Number here:

491784

How many *employees* perform investment advisory functions from this office location?

3

Are other business activities conducted at this office location? (check all that apply)

- (1) Broker-dealer (registered or unregistered)
- (2) Bank (including a separately identifiable department or division of a bank)
- (3) Insurance broker or agent
- (4) Commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (5) Registered municipal advisor
- (6) Accountant or accounting firm
- (7) Lawyer or law firm

Describe any other *investment-related* business activities conducted from this office location:

Complete the following information for each office, other than your *principal office and place of business*, at which you conduct investment advisory business. You must complete a separate Schedule D Section 1.F. for each location. If you are applying for SEC registration, if you are registered only with the SEC, or if you are an *exempt reporting adviser*, list only the largest twenty-five offices (in terms of numbers of *employees*).

Number and Street 1:

60 STATE STREET

Number and Street 2:

19TH/20TH FLOOR

City:

BOSTON

State:

Massachusetts

Country:

United States

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

02109

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:

Facsimile Number, if any:

If this office location is also required to be registered with FINRA or a *state securities authority* as a branch office location for a broker-dealer or investment adviser on the Uniform Branch Office Registration Form (Form BR), please provide the *CRD* Branch Number here:

237771

How many *employees* perform investment advisory functions from this office location?

5

Are other business activities conducted at this office location? (check all that apply)

- (1) Broker-dealer (registered or unregistered)
- (2) Bank (including a separately identifiable department or division of a bank)
- (3) Insurance broker or agent
- (4) Commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (5) Registered municipal advisor
- (6) Accountant or accounting firm
- (7) Lawyer or law firm

Describe any other *investment-related* business activities conducted from this office location:

Complete the following information for each office, other than your *principal office and place of business*, at which you conduct investment advisory business. You must complete a separate Schedule D Section 1.F. for each location. If you are applying for SEC registration, if you are registered only with the SEC, or if you are an *exempt reporting adviser*, list only the largest twenty-five offices (in terms of numbers of *employees*).

Number and Street 1:

3801 PGA BOULEVARD

Number and Street 2:

SUITE 600

City:

PALM BEACH GARDEN

State:

Florida

Country:

United States

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

33410

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:

212 810 3500

Facsimile Number, if any:

If this office location is also required to be registered with FINRA or a *state securities authority* as a branch office location for a broker-dealer or investment adviser on the Uniform Branch Office Registration Form (Form BR), please provide the *CRD* Branch Number here:

238819

How many *employees* perform investment advisory functions from this office location?

1

Are other business activities conducted at this office location? (check all that apply)

- (1) Broker-dealer (registered or unregistered)
- (2) Bank (including a separately identifiable department or division of a bank)
- (3) Insurance broker or agent
- (4) Commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (5) Registered municipal advisor
- (6) Accountant or accounting firm
- (7) Lawyer or law firm

Describe any other *investment-related* business activities conducted from this office location:

Complete the following information for each office, other than your *principal office and place of business*, at which you conduct investment advisory business. You must complete a separate Schedule D Section 1.F. for each location. If you are applying for SEC registration, if you are registered only with the SEC, or if you are an *exempt reporting adviser*, list only the largest twenty-five offices (in terms of numbers of *employees*).

Number and Street 1:

4400 MACARTHUR BOULEVARD

Number and Street 2:

SUITE 700

City:

NEWPORT BEACH

State:

California

Country:

United States

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

92660

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:

949 623 0700

Facsimile Number, if any:

If this office location is also required to be registered with FINRA or a *state securities authority* as a branch office location for a broker-dealer or investment adviser on the Uniform Branch Office Registration Form (Form BR), please provide the *CRD* Branch Number here:

630549

How many *employees* perform investment advisory functions from this office location?

1

Are other business activities conducted at this office location? (check all that apply)

- (1) Broker-dealer (registered or unregistered)
- (2) Bank (including a separately identifiable department or division of a bank)
- (3) Insurance broker or agent
- (4) Commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (5) Registered municipal advisor
- (6) Accountant or accounting firm
- (7) Lawyer or law firm

Describe any other *investment-related* business activities conducted from this office location:

Complete the following information for each office, other than your *principal office and place of business*, at which you conduct investment advisory business. You must complete a separate Schedule D Section 1.F. for each location. If you are applying for SEC registration, if you are registered only with the SEC, or if you are an *exempt reporting adviser*, list only the largest twenty-five offices (in terms of numbers of *employees*).

Number and Street 1:

2929 ARCH STREET, 16TH FLOOR

Number and Street 2:

SUITE 1600

City:

PHILADELPHIA

State:

Pennsylvania

Country:

United States

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

19104

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:

215 349 9700

Facsimile Number, if any:

If this office location is also required to be registered with FINRA or a *state securities authority* as a branch office location for a broker-dealer or investment adviser on the Uniform Branch Office Registration Form (Form BR), please provide the *CRD* Branch Number here:

567305

How many *employees* perform investment advisory functions from this office location?

5

Are other business activities conducted at this office location? (check all that apply)

- (1) Broker-dealer (registered or unregistered)
- (2) Bank (including a separately identifiable department or division of a bank)
- (3) Insurance broker or agent
- (4) Commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (5) Registered municipal advisor
- (6) Accountant or accounting firm
- (7) Lawyer or law firm

Describe any other *investment-related* business activities conducted from this office location:

Complete the following information for each office, other than your *principal office and place of business*, at which you conduct investment advisory business. You must complete a separate Schedule D Section 1.F. for each location. If you are applying for SEC registration, if you are registered only with the SEC, or if you are an *exempt reporting adviser*, list only the largest twenty-five offices (in terms of numbers of *employees*).

Number and Street 1:
1 UNIVERSITY SQUARE DRIVE

Number and Street 2:

City:
PRINCETON

State:
New Jersey

Country:
United States

ZIP+4/Postal Code:
08540-6455

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:
609 853 6500

Facsimile Number, if any:

If this office location is also required to be registered with FINRA or a *state securities authority* as a branch office location for a broker-dealer or investment adviser on the Uniform Branch Office Registration Form (Form BR), please provide the *CRD* Branch Number here:
307367

How many *employees* perform investment advisory functions from this office location?
32

Are other business activities conducted at this office location? (check all that apply)

- (1) Broker-dealer (registered or unregistered)
- (2) Bank (including a separately identifiable department or division of a bank)
- (3) Insurance broker or agent
- (4) Commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (5) Registered municipal advisor
- (6) Accountant or accounting firm
- (7) Lawyer or law firm

Describe any other *investment-related* business activities conducted from this office location:

Complete the following information for each office, other than your *principal office and place of business*, at which you conduct investment advisory business. You must complete a separate Schedule D Section 1.F. for each location. If you are applying for SEC registration, if you are registered only with the SEC, or if you are an *exempt reporting adviser*, list only the largest twenty-five offices (in terms of numbers of *employees*).

Number and Street 1:
400 HOWARD STREET

Number and Street 2:

City:
SAN FRANCISCO

State:
California

Country:
United States

ZIP+4/Postal Code:
94105

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:
415 670 2000

Facsimile Number, if any:

If this office location is also required to be registered with FINRA or a *state securities authority* as a branch office location for a broker-dealer or investment adviser on the Uniform Branch Office Registration Form (Form BR), please provide the *CRD* Branch Number here:
100031

How many *employees* perform investment advisory functions from this office location?
53

Are other business activities conducted at this office location? (check all that apply)

- (1) Broker-dealer (registered or unregistered)
- (2) Bank (including a separately identifiable department or division of a bank)
- (3) Insurance broker or agent
- (4) Commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (5) Registered municipal advisor
- (6) Accountant or accounting firm
- (7) Lawyer or law firm

Describe any other *investment-related* business activities conducted from this office location:

Complete the following information for each office, other than your *principal office and place of business*, at which you conduct investment advisory business. You must complete a separate Schedule D Section 1.F. for each location. If you are applying for SEC registration, if you are registered only with the SEC, or if you are an *exempt reporting adviser*, list only the largest twenty-five offices (in terms of numbers of *employees*).

Number and Street 1:

50 HUDSON YARDS

Number and Street 2:

City:

NEW YORK

State:

New York

Country:

United States

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

10001

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:

212 810 5300

Facsimile Number, if any:

If this office location is also required to be registered with FINRA or a *state securities authority* as a branch office location for a broker-dealer or investment adviser on the Uniform Branch Office Registration Form (Form BR), please provide the *CRD* Branch Number here:

491702

How many *employees* perform investment advisory functions from this office location?

61

Are other business activities conducted at this office location? (check all that apply)

- (1) Broker-dealer (registered or unregistered)
- (2) Bank (including a separately identifiable department or division of a bank)
- (3) Insurance broker or agent
- (4) Commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (5) Registered municipal advisor
- (6) Accountant or accounting firm
- (7) Lawyer or law firm

Describe any other *investment-related* business activities conducted from this office location:

SECTION 1.I. Website Addresses

List your website addresses, including addresses for accounts on publicly available social media platforms where you control the content (including, but not limited to, Twitter, Facebook and/or LinkedIn). You must complete a separate Schedule D Section 1.I. for each website or account on a publicly available social media platform.

Address of Website/Account on Publicly Available Social Media Platform: <https://www.douyin.com/user/MS4wLjABAAAATX0BkoY3SXPImjHUWTdWi02SNLWYACHJdmAnkjp298Iiw88FuDLmYo-nIn-UitiK>

Address of Website/Account on Publicly Available Social Media Platform: <https://www.youtube.com/channel/UC61MWzrpcsazp23LOxCsTcg>

Address of Website/Account on Publicly Available Social Media Platform: https://mp.weixin.qq.com/mp/profile_ext?action=home&__biz=MzIzMTC3MTQ2Mw==&scene=124#wechat_redirect

Address of Website/Account on Publicly Available Social Media Platform: <https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCrIXkHfv2tMzebAI1TcdDhg>

Address of Website/Account on Publicly Available Social Media Platform: <https://www.facebook.com/BlackRock>

Address of Website/Account on Publicly Available Social Media Platform: <https://www.linkedin.com/company/blackrock>

Address of Website/Account on Publicly Available Social Media Platform: <https://twitter.com/blackrock>

Address of Website/Account on Publicly Available Social Media Platform: <https://www.linkedin.com/company/ishares>

Address of Website/Account on Publicly Available Social Media Platform: <https://www.blackrock.com>

Address of Website/Account on Publicly Available Social Media Platform: <https://www.facebook.com/iShares/>

Address of Website/Account on Publicly Available Social Media Platform: <https://line.naver.jp/ti/p/@blackrocktw>

Address of Website/Account on Publicly Available Social Media Platform: <https://twitter.com/ishares>

Address of Website/Account on Publicly Available Social Media Platform: <https://www.instagram.com/blackrock>

Address of Website/Account on Publicly Available Social Media Platform: <https://www.ishares.com>

Address of Website/Account on Publicly Available Social Media Platform: <https://www.tiktok.com/@blackrock?lang=en>

SECTION 1.L. Location of Books and Records

Complete the following information for each location at which you keep your books and records, other than your *principal office and place of business*. You must complete a separate Schedule D, Section 1.L. for each location.

Name of entity where books and records are kept:
IRON MOUNTAIN RECORDS MANAGEMENT SHANGHAI COMPANY LIMITED

Number and Street 1:
STE 702 JIANFA INTL BLD, 288 YANGSHUPU RD

City:
SHANGHAI

State:

Number and Street 2:

Country:
China

ZIP+4/Postal Code:
200000

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:
4001664766

Facsimile number, if any:

This is (check one):

- one of your branch offices or affiliates.
 a third-party unaffiliated recordkeeper.
 other.

Briefly describe the books and records kept at this location.
BOOKS AND RECORDS REQUIRED TO BE KEPT IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940.

Name of entity where books and records are kept:
MERRILL LYNCH

Number and Street 1:
101 HUDSON STREET, 9TH FLOOR

City:
JERSEY CITY

State:
New Jersey

Number and Street 2:

Country:
United States

ZIP+4/Postal Code:
07302

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:
201 946 4000

Facsimile number, if any:

This is (check one):

- one of your branch offices or affiliates.
- a third-party unaffiliated recordkeeper.
- other.

Briefly describe the books and records kept at this location.

BOOKS AND RECORDS REQUIRED TO BE KEPT IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940.

Name of entity where books and records are kept:

UBS FINANCIAL SERVICES INC.

Number and Street 1:

1000 HARBOR BOULEVARD

Number and Street 2:

City:

WEEHAWKEN

State:

New Jersey

Country:

United States

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

07086

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:

201 352 3000

Facsimile number, if any:

This is (check one):

- one of your branch offices or affiliates.
- a third-party unaffiliated recordkeeper.
- other.

Briefly describe the books and records kept at this location.

BOOKS AND RECORDS REQUIRED TO BE KEPT IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940.

Name of entity where books and records are kept:

FIDELITY INSTITUTIONAL WEALTH ADVISER LLC

Number and Street 1:

245 SUMMER STREET

Number and Street 2:

City:

BOSTON

State:

Massachusetts

Country:

United States

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

02210

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:

617 563 7000

Facsimile number, if any:

This is (check one):

- one of your branch offices or affiliates.
- a third-party unaffiliated recordkeeper.
- other.

Briefly describe the books and records kept at this location.

BOOKS AND RECORDS REQUIRED TO BE KEPT IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940.

Name of entity where books and records are kept:

STIFEL

Number and Street 1:

501 NORTH BROADWAY

Number and Street 2:

City:

ST LOUIS

State:

Missouri

Country:

United States

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

63102

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:

314 342 2000

Facsimile number, if any:

This is (check one):

- one of your branch offices or affiliates.
 a third-party unaffiliated recordkeeper.
 other.

Briefly describe the books and records kept at this location.

BOOKS AND RECORDS REQUIRED TO BE KEPT IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940.

Name of entity where books and records are kept:

NX WANBISHI ARCHIVES

Number and Street 1:

4-1-28 TORANOMON, MINATO-KU

Number and Street 2:

City:

TOKYO

State:

Country:

Japan

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

105-0001

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:

81 354255100

Facsimile number, if any:

This is (check one):

- one of your branch offices or affiliates.
 a third-party unaffiliated recordkeeper.
 other.

Briefly describe the books and records kept at this location.

BOOKS AND RECORDS REQUIRED TO BE KEPT IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940.

Name of entity where books and records are kept:

IRON MOUNTAIN LTD

Number and Street 1:

600 DISTRIBUTION DRIVE

Number and Street 2:

City:

ATLANTA

State:

Georgia

Country:

United States

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

30336

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:

800 899 4766

Facsimile number, if any:

This is (check one):

- one of your branch offices or affiliates.
 a third-party unaffiliated recordkeeper.
 other.

Briefly describe the books and records kept at this location.

BOOKS AND RECORDS REQUIRED TO BE KEPT IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940.

Name of entity where books and records are kept:

IRON MOUNTAIN POLSKA SP ZOO

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

REGULSKA 2

City:
REGULY

State:

Country:
Poland

ZIP+4/Postal Code:
05-816

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:
48227536141

Facsimile number, if any:

This is (check one):

- one of your branch offices or affiliates.
 a third-party unaffiliated recordkeeper.
 other.

Briefly describe the books and records kept at this location.

BOOKS AND RECORDS REQUIRED TO BE KEPT IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940.

Name of entity where books and records are kept:
FDM BUSINESS SERVICES SRL

Number and Street 1:
VIA DEI VALTORTA, 47

Number and Street 2:

City:
MILANO MI

State:

Country:
Italy

ZIP+4/Postal Code:
20127

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:
3902905921

Facsimile number, if any:

This is (check one):

- one of your branch offices or affiliates.
 a third-party unaffiliated recordkeeper.
 other.

Briefly describe the books and records kept at this location.

BOOKS AND RECORDS REQUIRED TO BE KEPT IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940.

Name of entity where books and records are kept:
TONG IN

Number and Street 1:
32, INSADONG-GIL, JONGNO-GU

Number and Street 2:

City:
SEOUL

State:

Country:
Korea, South

ZIP+4/Postal Code:
03148

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:
8223857551

Facsimile number, if any:

This is (check one):

- one of your branch offices or affiliates.
 a third-party unaffiliated recordkeeper.
 other.

Briefly describe the books and records kept at this location.

BOOKS AND RECORDS REQUIRED TO BE KEPT IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940.

Name of entity where books and records are kept:
SHRED IT SINGAPORE PTE LTD

Number and Street 1:
51 UBI AVENUE 1 #03-11 PAYA UBI INDUSTRIAL PARK

Number and Street 2:

City:
SINGAPORE

State:

Country:
Singapore

ZIP+4/Postal Code:
408933

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:
6567877777

Facsimile number, if any:

This is (check one):

- one of your branch offices or affiliates.
 a third-party unaffiliated recordkeeper.
 other.

Briefly describe the books and records kept at this location.

BOOKS AND RECORDS REQUIRED TO BE KEPT IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940.

Name of entity where books and records are kept:
JANNEY

Number and Street 1:
1717 ARCH STREET

Number and Street 2:

City:
PHILADELPHIA

State:
Pennsylvania

Country:
United States

ZIP+4/Postal Code:
19103

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:
215-665-6000

Facsimile number, if any:

This is (check one):

- one of your branch offices or affiliates.
 a third-party unaffiliated recordkeeper.
 other.

Briefly describe the books and records kept at this location.

BOOKS AND RECORDS REQUIRED TO BE KEPT IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940.

Name of entity where books and records are kept:
VERTIV INFRASTRUCTURE LIMITED

Number and Street 1:
STONEHAM PLACE, STONEHAM LN.

Number and Street 2:

City:
SOUTHAMPTON

State:

Country:
United Kingdom

ZIP+4/Postal Code:
SO50 9NW

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:
442380610311

Facsimile number, if any:

This is (check one):

- one of your branch offices or affiliates.
 a third-party unaffiliated recordkeeper.
 other.

Briefly describe the books and records kept at this location.

BOOKS AND RECORDS REQUIRED TO BE KEPT IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940.

Name of entity where books and records are kept:

MITSUI SOKO CO LTD KANTO BRANCH OFFICE

Number and Street 1:

MSC CENTER BUILDING, 3-22-23 KAIGAN, MINATO-KU

Number and Street 2:

City:

TOKYO

State:

Country:

Japan

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

108-0022

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:

81364008300

Facsimile number, if any:

This is (check one):

one of your branch offices or affiliates.

a third-party unaffiliated recordkeeper.

other.

Briefly describe the books and records kept at this location.

BOOKS AND RECORDS REQUIRED TO BE KEPT IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940.

Name of entity where books and records are kept:

PNC

Number and Street 1:

300 FIFTH AVENUE, 26TH FLOOR

Number and Street 2:

City:

PITTSBURGH

State:

Pennsylvania

Country:

United States

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

15222

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:

412 762 2021

Facsimile number, if any:

This is (check one):

one of your branch offices or affiliates.

a third-party unaffiliated recordkeeper.

other.

Briefly describe the books and records kept at this location.

BOOKS AND RECORDS REQUIRED TO BE KEPT IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940.

Name of entity where books and records are kept:

CROWN WORLDWIDE (HK) LTD.

Number and Street 1:

1 LEUNG YIP STREET

Number and Street 2:

City:

HONG KONG

State:

Country:

China

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:

852 2636 83

Facsimile number, if any:

This is (check one):

- one of your branch offices or affiliates.
- a third-party unaffiliated recordkeeper.
- other.

Briefly describe the books and records kept at this location.

BOOKS AND RECORDS REQUIRED TO BE KEPT IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940.

Name of entity where books and records are kept:

IRON MOUNTAIN INDIA PVT LTD

Number and Street 1:

B-13B-15B PANDURANG BUDHKAR MARG, 1ST FL

City:

MUMBAI

State:

Number and Street 2:

Country:

India

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

400 013

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:

91 22 24988416

Facsimile number, if any:

This is (check one):

- one of your branch offices or affiliates.
- a third-party unaffiliated recordkeeper.
- other.

Briefly describe the books and records kept at this location.

CERTAIN BOOKS AND RECORDS REQUIRED TO BE KEPT IN COMPLIANCE WITH APPROPRIATE REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS.

Name of entity where books and records are kept:

IRON MOUNTAIN LTD

Number and Street 1:

5443 S. 108TH E AVE

City:

TULSA

State:

Oklahoma

Number and Street 2:

Country:

United States

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

74146

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:

918 280 0841

Facsimile number, if any:

This is (check one):

- one of your branch offices or affiliates.
- a third-party unaffiliated recordkeeper.
- other.

Briefly describe the books and records kept at this location.

BOOKS AND RECORDS REQUIRED TO BE KEPT IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940.

Name of entity where books and records are kept:

IRON MOUNTAIN AUSTRALIA GROUP PTY LTD

Number and Street 1:

465 PLUMMER STREET PORT

City:

MELBOURNE

State:

Number and Street 2:

Country:

Australia

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

3207

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:

61 1300 476668

Facsimile number, if any:

This is (check one):

- one of your branch offices or affiliates.
 a third-party unaffiliated recordkeeper.
 other.

Briefly describe the books and records kept at this location.

CERTAIN BOOKS AND RECORDS REQUIRED TO BE KEPT IN COMPLIANCE WITH APPROPRIATE REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS.

Name of entity where books and records are kept:

SHRED IT SOUTH AFRICA (PTY) LTD

Number and Street 1:

UNIT 5 COMMERCIAL PARK

Number and Street 2:

City:

JOHANNESBURG NORTH

State:

Country:

South Africa

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

086127

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:

861274733

Facsimile number, if any:

This is (check one):

- one of your branch offices or affiliates.
 a third-party unaffiliated recordkeeper.
 other.

Briefly describe the books and records kept at this location.

BOOKS AND RECORDS REQUIRED TO BE KEPT IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940.

Name of entity where books and records are kept:

IRON MOUNTAIN LTD

Number and Street 1:

100 HARBOR DRIVE

Number and Street 2:

City:

JERSEY CITY

State:

New Jersey

Country:

United States

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

07305

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:

800 934 3453

Facsimile number, if any:

This is (check one):

- one of your branch offices or affiliates.
 a third-party unaffiliated recordkeeper.
 other.

Briefly describe the books and records kept at this location.

BOOKS AND RECORDS REQUIRED TO BE KEPT IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940.

Name of entity where books and records are kept:

IRON MOUNTAIN LTD

Number and Street 1:

6935 FLANDERS DRIVE

Number and Street 2:

City: SAN DIEGO State: California Country: United States ZIP+4/Postal Code: 92121

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number: 800 899 4766 Facsimile number, if any:

This is (check one):
 one of your branch offices or affiliates.
 a third-party unaffiliated recordkeeper.
 other.

Briefly describe the books and records kept at this location.
BOOKS AND RECORDS REQUIRED TO BE KEPT IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940.

Name of entity where books and records are kept:
CROWN WORLDWIDE PTE LTD

Number and Street 1: MALLARDS ROAD Number and Street 2:
City: PETERBOROUGH State: Country: United Kingdom ZIP+4/Postal Code: PE3 8YN

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number: 020 7510 9892 Facsimile number, if any:

This is (check one):
 one of your branch offices or affiliates.
 a third-party unaffiliated recordkeeper.
 other.

Briefly describe the books and records kept at this location.
BOOKS AND RECORDS REQUIRED TO BE KEPT IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940.

Name of entity where books and records are kept:
IRON MOUNTAIN SWITZERLAND LTD

Number and Street 1: GRUBENSTRASSE 11 Number and Street 2:
City: URTENEN-SCHÖNBÜHL State: Country: Switzerland ZIP+4/Postal Code: 3322

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number: 41800002424 Facsimile number, if any:

This is (check one):
 one of your branch offices or affiliates.
 a third-party unaffiliated recordkeeper.
 other.

Briefly describe the books and records kept at this location.
BOOKS AND RECORDS REQUIRED TO BE KEPT IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940.

Name of entity where books and records are kept:

Number and Street 1:

HUGO-JUNKERS-STRASSE 4

City:

FURSTENFELDBRUCK

State:

Number and Street 2:

Country:

Germany

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

82256

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:

49 8141 527860

Facsimile number, if any:

This is (check one):

one of your branch offices or affiliates.

a third-party unaffiliated recordkeeper.

other.

Briefly describe the books and records kept at this location.

CERTAIN BOOKS AND RECORDS REQUIRED TO BE KEPT IN COMPLIANCE WITH APPROPRIATE REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS.

Name of entity where books and records are kept:

mitsui soko business partners

Number and Street 1:

5-1-1, OMAGARIKOGYODANCHI, KITAHIROSHIMA SHI

City:

HOKKAIDO

State:

Number and Street 2:

Country:

Japan

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

061-1274

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:

81 11 376 2801

Facsimile number, if any:

This is (check one):

one of your branch offices or affiliates.

a third-party unaffiliated recordkeeper.

other.

Briefly describe the books and records kept at this location.

CERTAIN BOOKS AND RECORDS REQUIRED TO BE KEPT IN COMPLIANCE WITH APPROPRIATE REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS.

Name of entity where books and records are kept:

CROWN WORLDWIDE KOREA

Number and Street 1:

2201 GWANGHWAMOON OFFICIA 163

City:

SEOUL

State:

Number and Street 2:

Country:

Korea, South

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

110-999

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:

82 2 796 5717

Facsimile number, if any:

This is (check one):

one of your branch offices or affiliates.

a third-party unaffiliated recordkeeper.

other.

Briefly describe the books and records kept at this location.

Name of entity where books and records are kept:

IRON MOUNTAIN CANADA CORPORATION

Number and Street 1:

70 TALMAN COURT

Number and Street 2:

City:

CONCORD

State:

Country:

Canada

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

L4K 4L5

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:

905 695 0564

Facsimile number, if any:

This is (check one):

one of your branch offices or affiliates.

a third-party unaffiliated recordkeeper.

other.

Briefly describe the books and records kept at this location.

BOOKS AND RECORDS REQUIRED TO BE MAINTAINED IN ACCORDANCE WITH CANADIAN LAWS AND REGULATIONS.

Name of entity where books and records are kept:

IRON MOUNTAIN LTD

Number and Street 1:

175 BEARFOOT RD.

Number and Street 2:

City:

NORTHBOROUGH

State:

Massachusetts

Country:

United States

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

01532

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:

800 934 3453

Facsimile number, if any:

This is (check one):

one of your branch offices or affiliates.

a third-party unaffiliated recordkeeper.

other.

Briefly describe the books and records kept at this location.

BOOKS AND RECORDS REQUIRED TO BE KEPT IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940.

Name of entity where books and records are kept:

SHRED IT USA LLC

Number and Street 1:

81 WALSH DRIVE PARSIPPANY

Number and Street 2:

City:

PARSIPPANY

State:

New Jersey

Country:

United States

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

07054

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:

877 542 3992

Facsimile number, if any:

This is (check one):

one of your branch offices or affiliates.

a third-party unaffiliated recordkeeper.

other.

Briefly describe the books and records kept at this location.

BOOKS AND RECORDS REQUIRED TO BE KEPT IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940.

Name of entity where books and records are kept:

IRON MOUNTAIN ESPANA SAU

Number and Street 1:

CALLE DE LOS REYES CATÓLICOS, 6

Number and Street 2:

City:

MADRID

State:

Country:

Spain

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

28108

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:

34 958200697

Facsimile number, if any:

This is (check one):

one of your branch offices or affiliates.

a third-party unaffiliated recordkeeper.

other.

Briefly describe the books and records kept at this location.

BOOKS AND RECORDS REQUIRED TO BE KEPT IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940.

Name of entity where books and records are kept:

ZIRCODATA PTY LTD

Number and Street 1:

LEVEL 4/973 NEPEAN HWY

Number and Street 2:

City:

BENTLEIGH

State:

Country:

Australia

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

VIC 3204

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:

61139472

Facsimile number, if any:

This is (check one):

one of your branch offices or affiliates.

a third-party unaffiliated recordkeeper.

other.

Briefly describe the books and records kept at this location.

BOOKS AND RECORDS REQUIRED TO BE KEPT IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940.

Name of entity where books and records are kept:

CROWN WORLDWIDE GROUP SDN BHD

Number and Street 1:

7, JALAN DELIMA 1/1, SUBANG HITECH IND PARK

Number and Street 2:

City:

SUBANG JAYA, SELANGOR

State:

Country:

Malaysia

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

40000

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:

Facsimile number, if any:

This is (check one):

- one of your branch offices or affiliates.
 a third-party unaffiliated recordkeeper.
 other.

Briefly describe the books and records kept at this location.

BOOKS AND RECORDS REQUIRED TO BE KEPT IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940.

Name of entity where books and records are kept:

VESTMARK ADVISORY SERVICES

Number and Street 1:

1 EVERTRUST PLACE, FIRST FLOOR

Number and Street 2:

City:

JERSEY CITY

State:

New Jersey

Country:

United States

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

07302

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:

781 224 3640

Facsimile number, if any:

This is (check one):

- one of your branch offices or affiliates.
 a third-party unaffiliated recordkeeper.
 other.

Briefly describe the books and records kept at this location.

BOOKS AND RECORDS REQUIRED TO BE KEPT IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940.

Name of entity where books and records are kept:

DATASITE UK LTD

Number and Street 1:

15 BONHILL STREET

Number and Street 2:

City:

LONDON

State:

Country:

United Kingdom

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

EC2A 4DN

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:

2039280300

Facsimile number, if any:

This is (check one):

- one of your branch offices or affiliates.
 a third-party unaffiliated recordkeeper.
 other.

Briefly describe the books and records kept at this location.

BOOKS AND RECORDS REQUIRED TO BE KEPT IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940.

Name of entity where books and records are kept:

ASSET MARK, INC

Number and Street 1:

1655 GRANT ST

Number and Street 2:

City:

CONCORD

State:

California

Country:

United States

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

94520

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:

800 664 5345

Facsimile number, if any:

This is (check one):

- one of your branch offices or affiliates.
 a third-party unaffiliated recordkeeper.
 other.

Briefly describe the books and records kept at this location.

BOOKS AND RECORDS REQUIRED TO BE KEPT IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940.

Name of entity where books and records are kept:

ORION PORTFOLIO SOLUTIONS

Number and Street 1:

17605 WRIGHT ST

Number and Street 2:

City:

OMAHA

State:

Nevada

Country:

United States

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

68130

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:

402 496 3513

Facsimile number, if any:

This is (check one):

- one of your branch offices or affiliates.
 a third-party unaffiliated recordkeeper.
 other.

Briefly describe the books and records kept at this location.

BOOKS AND RECORDS REQUIRED TO BE KEPT IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940.

Name of entity where books and records are kept:

IRON MOUNTAIN MEXICO S DE RL DE CV

Number and Street 1:

PARQUE INDUSTRIAL TOLUCA 2000

Number and Street 2:

City:

TOLUCA

State:

Country:

Mexico

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

50200

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:

800 5526246

Facsimile number, if any:

This is (check one):

- one of your branch offices or affiliates.
 a third-party unaffiliated recordkeeper.
 other.

Briefly describe the books and records kept at this location.

BOOKS AND RECORDS REQUIRED TO BE KEPT IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940.

Name of entity where books and records are kept:

IRON MOUNTAIN LTD

Number and Street 1:
11333 E. 53RD AVE

Number and Street 2:

City:
DENVER

State:
Colorado

Country:
United States

ZIP+4/Postal Code:
80239

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:
800 934 3453

Facsimile number, if any:

This is (check one):

- one of your branch offices or affiliates.
 a third-party unaffiliated recordkeeper.
 other.

Briefly describe the books and records kept at this location.

BOOKS AND RECORDS REQUIRED TO BE KEPT IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940.

Name of entity where books and records are kept:
SHRED IT DUBAI

Number and Street 1:
10 SAN'A RD - RAS AL KHOR INDUSTRIAL AREA

Number and Street 2:

City:
DUBAI

State:

Country:
United Arab Emirates

ZIP+4/Postal Code:
48852

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:
97143403588

Facsimile number, if any:

This is (check one):

- one of your branch offices or affiliates.
 a third-party unaffiliated recordkeeper.
 other.

Briefly describe the books and records kept at this location.

BOOKS AND RECORDS REQUIRED TO BE KEPT IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940.

Name of entity where books and records are kept:
IRON MOUNTAIN LTD

Number and Street 1:
1202 FREEDOM ROAD

Number and Street 2:

City:
CRANBERRY TWP

State:
Pennsylvania

Country:
United States

ZIP+4/Postal Code:
16066

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:
800 899 4766

Facsimile number, if any:

This is (check one):

- one of your branch offices or affiliates.
 a third-party unaffiliated recordkeeper.
 other.

Briefly describe the books and records kept at this location.

BOOKS AND RECORDS REQUIRED TO BE KEPT IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940.

Name of entity where books and records are kept:

IRON MOUNTAIN

Number and Street 1:

195 SUMMERLEA RD

Number and Street 2:

City:

BRAMPTON

State:

Country:

Canada

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

L6T 4P6

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:

18008994766

Facsimile number, if any:

This is (check one):

one of your branch offices or affiliates.

a third-party unaffiliated recordkeeper.

other.

Briefly describe the books and records kept at this location.

BOOKS AND RECORDS REQUIRED TO BE MAINTAINED IN ACCORDANCE WITH CANADIAN LAWS AND REGULATIONS.

Name of entity where books and records are kept:

CROWN RECORDS MANAGEMENT LTD

Number and Street 1:

UNIT D, PROLOGIS BUSINESS PARK

Number and Street 2:

City:

LONDON

State:

Country:

United Kingdom

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

E3 3JG

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:

4410000000000

Facsimile number, if any:

This is (check one):

one of your branch offices or affiliates.

a third-party unaffiliated recordkeeper.

other.

Briefly describe the books and records kept at this location.

BOOKS AND RECORDS REQUIRED TO BE KEPT IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940.

Name of entity where books and records are kept:

IRON MOUNTAIN DO BRASIL LTDA.

Number and Street 1:

AV PREFEITO JOÃO VILALLOBO QUERO, 1200

Number and Street 2:

City:

BARUERI- SÃO PAULO

State:

Country:

Brazil

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

06400-001

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:

55 11 37670818

Facsimile number, if any:

This is (check one):

one of your branch offices or affiliates.

a third-party unaffiliated recordkeeper.

other.

Briefly describe the books and records kept at this location.

BOOKS AND RECORDS REQUIRED TO BE KEPT IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940.

Name of entity where books and records are kept:

IRON MOUNTAIN LTD

Number and Street 1:

19826 RUSSELL ROAD

Number and Street 2:

City:

KENT

State:

Washington

Country:

United States

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

98032

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:

800 899 4766

Facsimile number, if any:

This is (check one):

one of your branch offices or affiliates.

a third-party unaffiliated recordkeeper.

other.

Briefly describe the books and records kept at this location.

BOOKS AND RECORDS REQUIRED TO BE KEPT IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940.

Name of entity where books and records are kept:

IRON MOUNTAIN LTD

Number and Street 1:

8150 SIGNAL CT.

Number and Street 2:

City:

SACRAMENTO

State:

California

Country:

United States

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

95824

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:

833 630 7484

Facsimile number, if any:

This is (check one):

one of your branch offices or affiliates.

a third-party unaffiliated recordkeeper.

other.

Briefly describe the books and records kept at this location.

BOOKS AND RECORDS REQUIRED TO BE KEPT IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940.

Name of entity where books and records are kept:

IRON MOUNTAIN LTD

Number and Street 1:

6933 PRESTON AVE.

Number and Street 2:

City:

LIVERMORE

State:

California

Country:

United States

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

94551

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:

800 899 4766

Facsimile number, if any:

This is (check one):

- one of your branch offices or affiliates.
- a third-party unaffiliated recordkeeper.
- other.

Briefly describe the books and records kept at this location.

BOOKS AND RECORDS REQUIRED TO BE KEPT IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940.

Name of entity where books and records are kept:

IRON MOUNTAIN NEDERLAND B.V.

Number and Street 1:

J. KEPLERWEG 2

Number and Street 2:

City:

ALPHEN AAN DEN RIJN

State:

Country:

Netherlands

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

2408 AC

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:

317 204 60070

Facsimile number, if any:

This is (check one):

- one of your branch offices or affiliates.
- a third-party unaffiliated recordkeeper.
- other.

Briefly describe the books and records kept at this location.

BOOKS AND RECORDS REQUIRED TO BE KEPT IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940.

Name of entity where books and records are kept:

AKTENEINLAGERUNG

Number and Street 1:

STROOFSTRASSE 27

Number and Street 2:

City:

FRANKFURT

State:

Country:

Germany

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

65933

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:

496159717960

Facsimile number, if any:

This is (check one):

- one of your branch offices or affiliates.
- a third-party unaffiliated recordkeeper.
- other.

Briefly describe the books and records kept at this location.

BOOKS AND RECORDS REQUIRED TO BE KEPT IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940.

Name of entity where books and records are kept:

CISCRA SPA

Number and Street 1:

VIA SAN MICHELE, 36

Number and Street 2:

City:

VILLANOVA DEL GHEBBO RO

State:

Country:

Italy

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

45020

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:
390425651111

Facsimile number, if any:

This is (check one):

- one of your branch offices or affiliates.
 a third-party unaffiliated recordkeeper.
 other.

Briefly describe the books and records kept at this location.

BOOKS AND RECORDS REQUIRED TO BE KEPT IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940.

Name of entity where books and records are kept:
MICROSOFT CORPORATION

Number and Street 1:
6100 NEIL ROAD SUITE 210

Number and Street 2:

City:
RENO

State:
California

Country:
United States

ZIP+4/Postal Code:
89511-1137

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:
775 823 5600

Facsimile number, if any:

This is (check one):

- one of your branch offices or affiliates.
 a third-party unaffiliated recordkeeper.
 other.

Briefly describe the books and records kept at this location.

BOOKS AND RECORDS KEPT IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE STATE REGULATORS

Name of entity where books and records are kept:
AMAZON WEB SERVICES, INC

Number and Street 1:
410 TERRY AVENUE NORTH

Number and Street 2:

City:
SEATTLE

State:
Washington

Country:
United States

ZIP+4/Postal Code:
98109-5210

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:
206 266 1000

Facsimile number, if any:

This is (check one):

- one of your branch offices or affiliates.
 a third-party unaffiliated recordkeeper.
 other.

Briefly describe the books and records kept at this location.

BOOKS AND RECORDS KEPT WITH THE ACCORDANCE OF APPLICABLE LAWS OF THE STATE REGULATOR

Name of entity where books and records are kept:
SNOWFLAKE INC

Number and Street 1:
450 CONCAR DR

Number and Street 2:

City:	State:	Country:	ZIP+4/Postal Code:
SAN MATEO	California	United States	94402-2681

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:	Facsimile number, if any:
844 766 9355	

This is (check one):

- one of your branch offices or affiliates.
- a third-party unaffiliated recordkeeper.
- other.

Briefly describe the books and records kept at this location.
BOOKS AND RECORDS KEPT WITH ACCORDANCE OF STATE REGULATORS

SECTION 1.M. Registration with Foreign Financial Regulatory Authorities

List the name and country, in English, of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which you are registered. You must complete a separate Schedule D Section 1.M. for each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with whom you are registered.

Name of Country/*Foreign Financial Regulatory Authority*:

India - Securities and Exchange Board of India

Other:

Item 2 SEC Registration/Reporting

Responses to this Item help us (and you) determine whether you are eligible to register with the SEC. Complete this Item 2.A. only if you are applying for SEC registration or submitting an *annual updating amendment* to your SEC registration. If you are filing an *umbrella registration*, the information in Item 2 should be provided for the *filing adviser* only.

A. To register (or remain registered) with the SEC, you must check **at least one** of the Items 2.A.(1) through 2.A.(12), below. If you are submitting an *annual updating amendment* to your SEC registration and you are no longer eligible to register with the SEC, check Item 2.A.(13). [Part 1A Instruction 2](#) provides information to help you determine whether you may affirmatively respond to each of these items.

You (the adviser):

- (1) are a **large advisory firm** that either:
- (a) has regulatory assets under management of \$100 million (in U.S. dollars) or more; or
 - (b) has regulatory assets under management of \$90 million (in U.S. dollars) or more at the time of filing its most recent *annual updating amendment* and is registered with the SEC;
- (2) are a **mid-sized advisory firm** that has regulatory assets under management of \$25 million (in U.S. dollars) or more but less than \$100 million (in U.S. dollars) and you are either:
- (a) not required to be registered as an adviser with the *state securities authority* of the state where you maintain your *principal office and place of business*; or
 - (b) not subject to examination by the *state securities authority* of the state where you maintain your *principal office and place of business*;
- Click [HERE](#) for a list of states in which an investment adviser, if registered, would not be subject to examination by the state securities authority.*
- (3) Reserved
- (4) have your *principal office and place of business* **outside the United States**;
- (5) are an **investment adviser (or subadviser) to an investment company** registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940;
- (6) are an **investment adviser to a company which has elected to be a business development company** pursuant to section 54 of the Investment Company Act of 1940 and has not withdrawn the election, and you have at least \$25 million of regulatory assets under management;
- (7) are a **pension consultant** with respect to assets of plans having an aggregate value of at least \$200,000,000 that qualifies for the exemption in rule 203A-2(a);
- (8) are a **related adviser** under rule 203A-2(b) that *controls*, is *controlled* by, or is under common *control* with, an investment adviser that is registered with the SEC, and your *principal office and place of business* is the same as the registered adviser;
- If you check this box, complete [Section 2.A.\(8\)](#) of Schedule D.*
- (9) are an **adviser** relying on rule 203A-2(c) because you **expect to be eligible for SEC registration within 120 days**;
- If you check this box, complete [Section 2.A.\(9\)](#) of Schedule D.*
- (10) are a **multi-state adviser** that is required to register in 15 or more states and is relying on rule 203A-2(d);
- If you check this box, complete [Section 2.A.\(10\)](#) of Schedule D.*
- (11) are an **Internet adviser** relying on rule 203A-2(e);

(12) have **received an SEC order** exempting you from the prohibition against registration with the SEC;

If you check this box, complete Section 2.A.(12) of Schedule D.

(13) are **no longer eligible** to remain registered with the SEC.

State Securities Authority Notice Filings and State Reporting by Exempt Reporting Advisers

C. Under state laws, SEC-registered advisers may be required to provide to *state securities authorities* a copy of the Form ADV and any amendments they file with the SEC. These are called *notice filings*. In addition, *exempt reporting advisers* may be required to provide *state securities authorities* with a copy of reports and any amendments they file with the SEC. If this is an initial application or report, check the box(es) next to the state(s) that you would like to receive notice of this and all subsequent filings or reports you submit to the SEC. If this is an amendment to direct your *notice filings* or reports to additional state(s), check the box(es) next to the state(s) that you would like to receive notice of this and all subsequent filings or reports you submit to the SEC. If this is an amendment to your registration to stop your *notice filings* or reports from going to state(s) that currently receive them, uncheck the box(es) next to those state(s).

Jurisdictions

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> AL	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IL	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SC
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> AK	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IN	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NV	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SD
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> AZ	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TN
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> AR	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> KS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NJ	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TX
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> KY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NM	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UT
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> LA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VT
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CT	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ME	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NC	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VI
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MD	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ND	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VA
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DC	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WA
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FL	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MI	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OK	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WV
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> GA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MN	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OR	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WI
<input type="checkbox"/> GU	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WY
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> HI	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PR	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ID	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MT	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RI	

If you are amending your registration to stop your notice filings or reports from going to a state that currently receives them and you do not want to pay that state's notice filing or report filing fee for the coming year, your amendment must be filed before the end of the year (December 31).

SECTION 2.A.(8) Related Adviser

If you are relying on the exemption in rule 203A-2(b) from the prohibition on registration because you *control*, are *controlled by*, or are under common *control* with an investment adviser that is registered with the SEC and your *principal office and place of business* is the same as that of the registered adviser, provide the following information:

Name of Registered Investment Adviser

CRD Number of Registered Investment Adviser

SEC Number of Registered Investment Adviser

-

SECTION 2.A.(9) Investment Adviser Expecting to be Eligible for Commission Registration within 120 Days

If you are relying on rule 203A-2(c), the exemption from the prohibition on registration available to an adviser that expects to be eligible for SEC registration within 120 days, you are required to make certain representations about your eligibility for SEC registration. By checking the appropriate boxes, you will be deemed to have made the required representations. You must make both of these representations:

I am not registered or required to be registered with the SEC or a *state securities authority* and I have a reasonable expectation that I will be eligible to register with the SEC within 120 days after the date my registration with the SEC becomes effective.

I undertake to withdraw from SEC registration if, on the 120th day after my registration with the SEC becomes effective, I would be prohibited by Section 203A(a) of the Advisers Act from registering with the SEC.

SECTION 2.A.(10) Multi-State Adviser

If you are relying on rule 203A-2(d), the multi-state adviser exemption from the prohibition on registration, you are required to make certain representations about your eligibility for SEC registration. By checking the appropriate boxes, you will be deemed to have made the required representations.

If you are applying for registration as an investment adviser with the SEC, you must make both of these representations:

I have reviewed the applicable state and federal laws and have concluded that I am required by the laws of 15 or more states to register as an investment adviser with the *state securities authorities* in those states.

I undertake to withdraw from SEC registration if I file an amendment to this registration indicating that I would be required by the laws of fewer than 15 states to register as an investment adviser with the *state securities authorities* of those states.

If you are submitting your *annual updating amendment*, you must make this representation:

- Within 90 days prior to the date of filing this amendment, I have reviewed the applicable state and federal laws and have concluded that I am required by the laws of at least 15 states to register as an investment adviser with the *state securities authorities* in those states.

SECTION 2.A.(12) SEC Exemptive Order

If you are relying upon an SEC *order* exempting you from the prohibition on registration, provide the following information:

Application Number:

803-

Date of *order*:

Item 3 Form of Organization

If you are filing an *umbrella registration*, the information in Item 3 should be provided for the *filing adviser* only.

A. How are you organized?

- Corporation
- Sole Proprietorship
- Limited Liability Partnership (LLP)
- Partnership
- Limited Liability Company (LLC)
- Limited Partnership (LP)
- Other (specify):

If you are changing your response to this Item, see Part 1A Instruction 4.

B. In what month does your fiscal year end each year?

DECEMBER

C. Under the laws of what state or country are you organized?

State Country
Delaware United States

If you are a partnership, provide the name of the state or country under whose laws your partnership was formed. If you are a sole proprietor, provide the name of the state or country where you reside.

If you are changing your response to this Item, see Part 1A Instruction 4.

Item 4 Successions

A. Are you, at the time of this filing, succeeding to the business of a registered investment adviser, including, for example, a change of your structure or legal status (e.g., form of organization or state of incorporation)?

Yes No

If "yes", complete Item 4.B. and Section 4 of Schedule D.

B. Date of Succession: (MM/DD/YYYY)

If you have already reported this succession on a previous Form ADV filing, do not report the succession again. Instead, check "No." See Part 1A Instruction 4.

SECTION 4 Successions

No Information Filed

Item 5 Information About Your Advisory Business - Employees, Clients, and Compensation

Responses to this Item help us understand your business, assist us in preparing for on-site examinations, and provide us with data we use when making regulatory policy. Part 1A Instruction 5.a. provides additional guidance to newly formed advisers for completing this Item 5.

Employees

If you are organized as a sole proprietorship, include yourself as an employee in your responses to Item 5.A. and Items 5.B.(1), (2), (3), (4), and (5). If an employee performs more than one function, you should count that employee in each of your responses to Items 5.B.(1), (2), (3), (4), and (5).

A. Approximately how many *employees* do you have? Include full- and part-time *employees* but do not include any clerical workers.

256

B. (1) Approximately how many of the *employees* reported in 5.A. perform investment advisory functions (including research)?

176

(2) Approximately how many of the *employees* reported in 5.A. are registered representatives of a broker-dealer?

72

(3) Approximately how many of the *employees* reported in 5.A. are registered with one or more *state securities authorities* as *investment adviser representatives*?

5

(4) Approximately how many of the *employees* reported in 5.A. are registered with one or more *state securities authorities* as *investment adviser representatives* for an investment adviser other than you?

4

(5) Approximately how many of the *employees* reported in 5.A. are licensed agents of an insurance company or agency?

0

(6) Approximately how many firms or other *persons* solicit advisory *clients* on your behalf?

0

In your response to Item 5.B.(6), do not count any of your *employees* and count a firm only once – do not count each of the firm's *employees* that solicit on your behalf.

Clients

In your responses to Items 5.C. and 5.D. do not include as "clients" the investors in a private fund you advise, unless you have a separate advisory relationship with those investors.

C. (1) To approximately how many *clients* for whom you do not have regulatory assets under management did you provide investment advisory services during your most recently completed fiscal year?

5

(2) Approximately what percentage of your *clients* are non-United States persons?

2%

D. For purposes of this Item 5.D., the category "individuals" includes trusts, estates, and 401(k) plans and IRAs of individuals and their family members, but does not include businesses organized as sole proprietorships.

The category "business development companies" consists of companies that have made an election pursuant to section 54 of the Investment Company Act of 1940. Unless you provide advisory services pursuant to an investment advisory contract to an investment company registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, do not answer (1)(d) or (3)(d) below.

Indicate the approximate number of your *clients* and amount of your total regulatory assets under management (reported in Item 5.F. below) attributable to each of the following type of *client*. If you have fewer than 5 *clients* in a particular category (other than (d), (e), and (f)) you may check Item 5.D.(2) rather than respond to Item 5.D.(1).

The aggregate amount of regulatory assets under management reported in Item 5.D.(3) should equal the total amount of regulatory assets under management reported in Item 5.F.(2)(c) below.

If a *client* fits into more than one category, select one category that most accurately represents the *client* to avoid double counting *clients* and assets. If you advise a registered investment company, business development company, or pooled investment vehicle, report those assets in categories (d), (e), and (f) as applicable.

Type of Client	(1) Number of Client(s)	(2) Fewer than 5 Clients	(3) Amount of Regulatory Assets under Management
(a) Individuals (other than <i>high net worth individuals</i>)		<input type="checkbox"/>	\$
(b) <i>High net worth individuals</i>		<input type="checkbox"/>	\$
(c) Banking or thrift institutions		<input type="checkbox"/>	\$
(d) Investment companies	220		\$ 728,195,198,819
(e) Business development companies			\$
(f) Pooled investment vehicles (other than investment companies and business development companies)	8		\$ 1,330,272,351
(g) Pension and profit sharing plans (but not the plan participants or government pension plans)		<input type="checkbox"/>	\$
(h) Charitable organizations		<input type="checkbox"/>	\$
(i) State or municipal <i>government entities</i> (including government pension plans)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	\$ 16,033,306,455
(j) Other investment advisers		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	\$ 267,675,854
(k) Insurance companies		<input type="checkbox"/>	\$
(l) Sovereign wealth funds and foreign official institutions		<input type="checkbox"/>	\$

(m) Corporations or other businesses not listed above		<input type="checkbox"/>	\$
(n) Other:		<input type="checkbox"/>	\$

Compensation Arrangements

E. You are compensated for your investment advisory services by (check all that apply):

- (1) A percentage of assets under your management
- (2) Hourly charges
- (3) Subscription fees (for a newsletter or periodical)
- (4) Fixed fees (other than subscription fees)
- (5) Commissions
- (6) *Performance-based fees*
- (7) Other (specify):

Item 5 Information About Your Advisory Business - Regulatory Assets Under Management

Regulatory Assets Under Management

F. (1) Do you provide continuous and regular supervisory or management services to securities portfolios? Yes No

(2) If yes, what is the amount of your regulatory assets under management and total number of accounts?

	U.S. Dollar Amount	Total Number of Accounts
Discretionary:	(a) \$ 745,826,453,477	(d) 321
Non-Discretionary:	(b) \$ 2	(e) 1
Total:	(c) \$ 745,826,453,479	(f) 322

Part 1A Instruction 5.b. explains how to calculate your regulatory assets under management. You must follow these instructions carefully when completing this Item.

(3) What is the approximate amount of your total regulatory assets under management (reported in Item 5.F.(2)(c) above) attributable to *clients* who are non-United States persons?

\$ 1,108,751,268

Item 5 Information About Your Advisory Business - Advisory Activities

Advisory Activities

G. What type(s) of advisory services do you provide? Check all that apply.

- (1) Financial planning services
- (2) Portfolio management for individuals and/or small businesses
- (3) Portfolio management for investment companies (as well as "business development companies" that have made an election pursuant to section 54 of the Investment Company Act of 1940)
- (4) Portfolio management for pooled investment vehicles (other than investment companies)
- (5) Portfolio management for businesses (other than small businesses) or institutional *clients* (other than registered investment companies and other pooled investment vehicles)
- (6) Pension consulting services
- (7) Selection of other advisers (including *private fund* managers)
- (8) Publication of periodicals or newsletters
- (9) Security ratings or pricing services
- (10) Market timing services
- (11) Educational seminars/workshops
- (12) Other(specify):

Do not check Item 5.G.(3) unless you provide advisory services pursuant to an investment advisory contract to an investment company registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, including as a subadviser. If you check Item 5.G.(3), report the 811 or 814 number of the investment company or investment companies to which you provide advice in Section 5.G.(3) of Schedule D.

H. If you provide financial planning services, to how many *clients* did you provide these services during your last fiscal year?

- 0
- 1 - 10
- 11 - 25
- 26 - 50
- 51 - 100
- 101 - 250
- 251 - 500
- More than 500

If more than 500, how many?
(round to the nearest 500)

In your responses to this Item 5.H., do not include as "clients" the investors in a private fund you advise, unless you have a separate advisory relationship with those investors.

I. (1) Do you participate in a *wrap fee program*? Yes No

(2) If you participate in a *wrap fee program*, what is the amount of your regulatory assets under management attributable to acting as:

(a) *sponsor* to a *wrap fee program*

\$

(b) portfolio manager for a *wrap fee program*?

\$

(c) *sponsor* to and portfolio manager for the same *wrap fee program*?

\$

If you report an amount in Item 5.I.(2)(c), do not report that amount in Item 5.I.(2)(a) or Item 5.I.(2)(b).

If you are a portfolio manager for a wrap fee program, list the names of the programs, their sponsors and related information in Section 5.I.(2) of Schedule D.

If your involvement in a wrap fee program is limited to recommending wrap fee programs to your clients, or you advise a mutual fund that is offered through a wrap fee program, do not check Item 5.I.(1) or enter any amounts in response to Item 5.I.(2).

Yes No

J. (1) In response to Item 4.B. of Part 2A of Form ADV, do you indicate that you provide investment advice only with respect to limited types of investments?

(2) Do you report *client* assets in Item 4.E. of Part 2A that are computed using a different method than the method used to compute your regulatory assets under management?

K. Separately Managed Account *Clients*

Yes No

(1) Do you have regulatory assets under management attributable to *clients* other than those listed in Item 5.D.(3)(d)-(f) (separately managed account *clients*)?

If yes, complete Section 5.K.(1) of Schedule D.

(2) Do you engage in borrowing transactions on behalf of any of the separately managed account *clients* that you advise?

If yes, complete Section 5.K.(2) of Schedule D.

(3) Do you engage in derivative transactions on behalf of any of the separately managed account *clients* that you advise?

If yes, complete Section 5.K.(2) of Schedule D.

(4) After subtracting the amounts in Item 5.D.(3)(d)-(f) above from your total regulatory assets under management, does any custodian hold ten percent or more of this remaining amount of regulatory assets under management?

If yes, complete Section 5.K.(3) of Schedule D for each custodian.

L. Marketing Activities

Yes No

(1) Do any of your *advertisements* include:

(a) Performance results?

(b) A reference to specific investment advice provided by you (as that phrase is used in rule 206(4)-1(a)(5))?

(c) *Testimonials* (other than those that satisfy rule 206(4)-1(b)(4)(ii))?

(d) *Endorsements* (other than those that satisfy rule 206(4)-1(b)(4)(ii))?

(e) *Third-party ratings*?

(2) If you answer "yes" to L(1)(c), (d), or (e) above, do you pay or otherwise provide cash or non-cash compensation, directly or indirectly, in connection with the use of *testimonials*, *endorsements*, or *third-party ratings*?

(3) Do any of your *advertisements* include *hypothetical performance*?

(4) Do any of your *advertisements* include *predecessor performance*?

SECTION 5.G.(3) Advisers to Registered Investment Companies and Business Development Companies

If you check Item 5.G.(3), what is the SEC file number (811 or 814 number) of each of the registered investment companies and business development companies to which you act as an adviser pursuant to an advisory contract? You must complete a separate Schedule D Section 5.G.(3) for each registered investment company and business development company to which you act as an adviser.

SEC File Number
811 - 03091

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	<i>Parallel Managed Account</i> Regulatory assets under management
S000003864	\$ 982,842,317

SEC File Number
811 - 03290

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	<i>Parallel Managed Account</i> Regulatory assets under management
S000002880	\$ 9,303,725,831

SEC File Number
811 - 03618

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	<i>Parallel Managed Account</i> Regulatory assets under management
S000006533	\$ 5,479,522,465

SEC File Number
811 - 05178

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	<i>Parallel Managed Account</i> Regulatory assets under management
S000002243	\$ 18,514,760,922

SEC File Number
811 - 05576

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	<i>Parallel Managed Account</i> Regulatory assets under management
S000002245	\$ 18,207,117,554

SEC File Number
811 - 05723

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	<i>Parallel Managed Account</i> Regulatory assets under management
S000002250	\$ 3,543,799,208

SEC File Number
811 - 05742

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	<i>Parallel Managed Account</i> Regulatory assets under management
S000008399	\$ 49,655,377,984

SEC File Number
811 - 06669

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	<i>Parallel Managed Account</i> Regulatory assets under management
S000002520	\$ 2,671,410,933

SEC File Number
811 - 09651

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	<i>Parallel Managed Account</i> Regulatory assets under management
S000002341	\$ 1,094,415,433

SEC File Number
811 - 09739

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	<i>Parallel Managed Account</i> Regulatory assets under management
S000001970	\$ 2,680,845,909

SEC File Number
811 - 10263

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	<i>Parallel Managed Account</i> Regulatory assets under management
	\$ 1,574,302,264

SEC File Number
811 - 10331

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	<i>Parallel Managed Account</i> Regulatory assets under management
	\$ 388,567,534

SEC File Number
811 - 10337

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	<i>Parallel Managed Account</i> Regulatory assets under management
	\$ 284,661,133

SEC File Number
811 - 10339

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	<i>Parallel Managed Account</i> Regulatory assets under management
	\$ 506,468,154

SEC File Number
811 - 10543

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	Parallel Managed Account Regulatory assets under management
	\$ 588,195,195

SEC File Number
811 - 21053

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	Parallel Managed Account Regulatory assets under management
	\$ 19,680,290

SEC File Number
811 - 21126

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	Parallel Managed Account Regulatory assets under management
	\$ 568,915,847

SEC File Number
811 - 21178

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	Parallel Managed Account Regulatory assets under management
	\$ 328,287,126

SEC File Number
811 - 21318

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	Parallel Managed Account Regulatory assets under management
	\$ 1,319,744,094

SEC File Number
811 - 21348

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	Parallel Managed Account Regulatory assets under management
	\$ 946,034,516

SEC File Number
811 - 21349

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	Parallel Managed Account Regulatory assets under management
	\$ 482,624,166

SEC File Number
811 - 21413

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	Parallel Managed Account Regulatory assets under management
	\$ 451,066,075

SEC File Number
811 - 21434

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	Parallel Managed Account Regulatory assets under management
S000004067	\$ 17,309,306,114

SEC File Number
811 - 21457

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	Parallel Managed Account Regulatory assets under management
S000001470	\$ 4,607,043,859

SEC File Number
811 - 21506

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	Parallel Managed Account Regulatory assets under management
	\$ 774,680,237

SEC File Number
811 - 21566

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	Parallel Managed Account Regulatory assets under management
	\$ 277,910,460

SEC File Number
811 - 21656

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	Parallel Managed Account Regulatory assets under management
	\$ 398,622,052

SEC File Number
811 - 21702

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	Parallel Managed Account Regulatory assets under management
	\$ 600,039,063

SEC File Number
811 - 21729

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	Parallel Managed Account Regulatory assets under management
	\$ 688,609,387

SEC File Number
811 - 21759

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	<i>Parallel Managed Account</i> Regulatory assets under management
S000002718	\$ 204,707,122

SEC File Number
811 - 21763

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	<i>Parallel Managed Account</i> Regulatory assets under management
S000057851	\$ 194,592,777

SEC File Number
811 - 21784

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	<i>Parallel Managed Account</i> Regulatory assets under management
	\$ 1,626,682,104

SEC File Number
811 - 21793

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	<i>Parallel Managed Account</i> Regulatory assets under management
	\$ 40,895,577

SEC File Number
811 - 21835

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	<i>Parallel Managed Account</i> Regulatory assets under management
	\$ 135,876,645

SEC File Number
811 - 21972

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	<i>Parallel Managed Account</i> Regulatory assets under management
	\$ 1,046,265,873

SEC File Number
811 - 22032

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	<i>Parallel Managed Account</i> Regulatory assets under management
	\$ 593,396,105

SEC File Number
811 - 22061

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	<i>Parallel Managed Account</i> Regulatory assets under management
S000020792	\$ 19,427,768,997

SEC File Number
811 - 22426

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	<i>Parallel Managed Account</i> Regulatory assets under management
	\$ 1,069,114,069

SEC File Number
811 - 22501

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	<i>Parallel Managed Account</i> Regulatory assets under management
	\$ 982,921,502

SEC File Number
811 - 22603

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	<i>Parallel Managed Account</i> Regulatory assets under management
	\$ 1,640,329,167

SEC File Number
811 - 22606

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	<i>Parallel Managed Account</i> Regulatory assets under management
	\$ 498,407,529

SEC File Number
811 - 22774

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	<i>Parallel Managed Account</i> Regulatory assets under management
	\$ 555,499,381

SEC File Number
811 - 23285

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	<i>Parallel Managed Account</i> Regulatory assets under management
	\$ 213,844,633

SEC File Number
811 - 23339

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	Parallel Managed Account Regulatory assets under management
S000062360	\$ 75,510,591,093

SEC File Number
811 - 23340

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	Parallel Managed Account Regulatory assets under management
S000062368	\$ 268,150,364

SEC File Number
811 - 23341

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	Parallel Managed Account Regulatory assets under management
S000062369	\$ 10,671,903,323

SEC File Number
811 - 23343

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	Parallel Managed Account Regulatory assets under management
S000062381	\$ 1,038,706,002

SEC File Number
811 - 23345

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	Parallel Managed Account Regulatory assets under management
S000062374	\$ 53,798,184

SEC File Number
811 - 23346

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	Parallel Managed Account Regulatory assets under management
S000062376	\$ 1,464,751,146

SEC File Number
811 - 23357

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	Parallel Managed Account Regulatory assets under management
	\$ 86,983,489

SEC File Number
811 - 23380

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	Parallel Managed Account Regulatory assets under management
	\$ 428,423,002

SEC File Number
811 - 2354

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	Parallel Managed Account Regulatory assets under management
S000001481	\$ 353,056,507,279

SEC File Number
811 - 2405

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	Parallel Managed Account Regulatory assets under management
S000002175	\$ 1,476,180,547

SEC File Number
811 - 2661

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	Parallel Managed Account Regulatory assets under management
	\$ 98,191,293

SEC File Number
811 - 2688

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	Parallel Managed Account Regulatory assets under management
S000002334	\$ 12,224,213,973

SEC File Number
811 - 2739

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	Parallel Managed Account Regulatory assets under management
S000002321	\$ 1,885,144,715

SEC File Number
811 - 2809

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	Parallel Managed Account Regulatory assets under management
	\$ 321,318,324

SEC File Number
811 - 2857

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	Parallel Managed Account Regulatory assets under management
	\$ 41,014,838

SEC File Number
811 - 3189

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	<i>Parallel Managed Account</i> Regulatory assets under management
S000004031	\$ 575,914,345

SEC File Number
811 - 3451

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	<i>Parallel Managed Account</i> Regulatory assets under management
	\$ 11,330,096,238

SEC File Number
811 - 3990

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	<i>Parallel Managed Account</i> Regulatory assets under management
	\$ 5,009,079,361

SEC File Number
811 - 4264

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	<i>Parallel Managed Account</i> Regulatory assets under management
S000004030	\$ 2,492,544,995

SEC File Number
811 - 4282

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	Parallel Managed Account Regulatory assets under management
S000002176	\$ 260,145,634

SEC File Number
811 - 4375

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	Parallel Managed Account Regulatory assets under management
S000004033	\$ 1,924,020,078

SEC File Number
811 - 4379

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	Parallel Managed Account Regulatory assets under management
	\$ 1,371,988,091

SEC File Number
811 - 4612

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	Parallel Managed Account Regulatory assets under management
S000002177	\$ 91,215,395

SEC File Number
811 - 4802

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	Parallel Managed Account Regulatory assets under management
S000004053	\$ 5,137,231,463

SEC File Number
811 - 4878

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	Parallel Managed Account Regulatory assets under management
	\$ 531,500,232

SEC File Number
811 - 5542

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	Parallel Managed Account Regulatory assets under management
	\$ 279,106,870

SEC File Number
811 - 5603

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	Parallel Managed Account Regulatory assets under management
S000002866	\$ 700,448,021

SEC File Number
811 - 5611

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	Parallel Managed Account Regulatory assets under management
	\$ 497,536,292

SEC File Number
811 - 6414

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	Parallel Managed Account Regulatory assets under management
	\$ 551,302,829

SEC File Number
811 - 6500

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	Parallel Managed Account Regulatory assets under management
	\$ 443,591,680

SEC File Number
811 - 6540

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	Parallel Managed Account Regulatory assets under management
	\$ 831,191,326

SEC File Number
811 - 6660

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	Parallel Managed Account Regulatory assets under management
	\$ 942,331,331

SEC File Number
811 - 6728

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	Parallel Managed Account Regulatory assets under management
	\$ 257,837,448

SEC File Number
811 - 6800

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	Parallel Managed Account Regulatory assets under management
	\$ 374,143,137

SEC File Number
811 - 7136

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	<i>Parallel Managed Account</i> Regulatory assets under management
	\$ 170,059,170

SEC File Number
811 - 7171

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	<i>Parallel Managed Account</i> Regulatory assets under management
S000002724	\$ 368,323,295

SEC File Number
811 - 7177

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	<i>Parallel Managed Account</i> Regulatory assets under management
S000002541	\$ 1,078,310,790

SEC File Number
811 - 7354

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	<i>Parallel Managed Account</i> Regulatory assets under management
	\$ 225,937,321

SEC File Number
811 - 7478

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	<i>Parallel Managed Account</i> Regulatory assets under management
	\$ 253,770,197

SEC File Number
811 - 7642

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	<i>Parallel Managed Account</i> Regulatory assets under management
	\$ 434,300,454

SEC File Number
811 - 7885

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	<i>Parallel Managed Account</i> Regulatory assets under management
	\$ 3,635,496,090

SEC File Number
811 - 7899

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	<i>Parallel Managed Account</i> Regulatory assets under management
S000003116	\$ 7,771,259,260

SEC File Number
811 - 8081

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	<i>Parallel Managed Account</i> Regulatory assets under management
	\$ 704,188,633

SEC File Number
811 - 8090

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	Parallel Managed Account Regulatory assets under management
	\$ 1,230,069,343

SEC File Number
811 - 8162

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	Parallel Managed Account Regulatory assets under management
S000043688	\$ 253,266,593

SEC File Number
811 - 8217

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	Parallel Managed Account Regulatory assets under management
	\$ 361,766,964

SEC File Number
811 - 8573

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	Parallel Managed Account Regulatory assets under management
	\$ 1,208,711,903

SEC File Number
811 - 8621

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	Parallel Managed Account Regulatory assets under management
	\$ 692,213,702

SEC File Number
811 - 8797

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	Parallel Managed Account Regulatory assets under management
S000002291	\$ 1,685,612,404

SEC File Number
811 - 9191

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	Parallel Managed Account Regulatory assets under management
	\$ 252,078,276

SEC File Number
811 - 9491

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	Parallel Managed Account Regulatory assets under management
	\$ 492,400,619

SEC File Number
811 - 9637

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

Series ID	Parallel Managed Account Regulatory assets under management
S000001972	\$ 8,469,821,571

SECTION 5.I.(2) Wrap Fee Programs

No Information Filed

SECTION 5.K.(1) Separately Managed Accounts

After subtracting the amounts reported in Item 5.D.(3)(d)-(f) from your total regulatory assets under management, indicate the approximate percentage of this remaining amount attributable to each of the following categories of assets. If the remaining amount is at least \$10 billion in regulatory assets under management, complete Question (a). If the remaining amount is less than \$10 billion in regulatory assets under management, complete Question (b).

Any regulatory assets under management reported in Item 5.D.(3)(d), (e), and (f) should not be reported below.

If you are a subadviser to a separately managed account, you should only provide information with respect to the portion of the account that you subadvise.

End of year refers to the date used to calculate your regulatory assets under management for purposes of your *annual updating amendment*. Mid-year is the date six months before the end of year date. Each column should add up to 100% and numbers should be rounded to the nearest percent.

Investments in derivatives, registered investment companies, business development companies, and pooled investment vehicles should be reported in those categories. Do not report those investments based on related or underlying portfolio assets. Cash equivalents include bank deposits, certificates of deposit, bankers' acceptances and similar bank instruments.

Some assets could be classified into more than one category or require discretion about which category applies. You may use your own internal methodologies and the conventions of your service providers in determining how to categorize assets, so long as the methodologies or conventions are consistently applied and consistent with information you report internally and to current and prospective clients. However, you should not double count assets, and your responses must be consistent with any instructions or other guidance relating to this Section.

(a) Asset Type	Mid-year	End of year
(i) Exchange-Traded Equity Securities	1 %	1 %
(ii) Non Exchange-Traded Equity Securities	0 %	0 %
(iii) U.S. Government/Agency Bonds	0 %	0 %
(iv) U.S. State and Local Bonds	0 %	0 %
(v) <i>Sovereign Bonds</i>	0 %	0 %
(vi) Investment Grade Corporate Bonds	0 %	0 %
(vii) Non-Investment Grade Corporate Bonds	0 %	0 %
(viii) Derivatives	0 %	0 %
(ix) Securities Issued by Registered Investment Companies or Business Development Companies	76 %	77 %
(x) Securities Issued by Pooled Investment Vehicles (other than Registered Investment Companies or Business Development Companies)	17 %	16 %
(xi) Cash and Cash Equivalents	4 %	5 %
(xii) Other	0 %	0 %

Generally describe any assets included in "Other"

(b) Asset Type	End of year
(i) Exchange-Traded Equity Securities	%
(ii) Non Exchange-Traded Equity Securities	%
(iii) U.S. Government/Agency Bonds	%
(iv) U.S. State and Local Bonds	%
(v) <i>Sovereign Bonds</i>	%
(vi) Investment Grade Corporate Bonds	%
(vii) Non-Investment Grade Corporate Bonds	%
(viii) Derivatives	%
(ix) Securities Issued by Registered Investment Companies or Business Development Companies	%
(x) Securities Issued by Pooled Investment Vehicles (other than Registered Investment Companies or Business Development Companies)	%
(xi) Cash and Cash Equivalents	%
(xii) Other	%

Generally describe any assets included in "Other"

SECTION 5.K.(2) Separately Managed Accounts - Use of *Borrowings* and Derivatives

No information is required to be reported in this Section 5.K.(2) per the instructions of this Section 5.K.(2)

If your regulatory assets under management attributable to separately managed accounts are at least \$10 billion, you should complete Question (a). If your regulatory assets under management attributable to separately managed accounts are at least \$500 million but less than \$10 billion, you should complete Question (b).

(a) In the table below, provide the following information regarding the separately managed accounts you advise. If you are a subadviser to a separately managed account, you should only provide information with respect to the portion of the account that you subadvise. End of year refers to the date used to calculate your regulatory assets under management for purposes of your *annual updating amendment*. Mid-year is the date six months before the end of year date.

In column 1, indicate the regulatory assets under management attributable to separately managed accounts associated with each level of gross notional exposure. For purposes of this table, the gross notional exposure of an account is the percentage obtained by dividing (i) the sum of (a) the dollar amount of any *borrowings* and (b) the *gross notional value* of all derivatives, by (ii) the regulatory assets under management of the account.

In column 2, provide the dollar amount of *borrowings* for the accounts included in column 1.

In column 3, provide aggregate *gross notional value* of derivatives divided by the aggregate regulatory assets under management of the accounts included in column 1 with respect to each category of derivatives specified in 3(a) through (f).

You may, but are not required to, complete the table with respect to any separately managed account with regulatory assets under management of less than \$10,000,000.

Any regulatory assets under management reported in Item 5.D.(3)(d), (e), and (f) should not be reported below.

(i) Mid-Year

Gross Notional Exposure	(1) Regulatory Assets Under Management	(2) Borrowings	(3) Derivative Exposures					
			(a) Interest Rate Derivative	(b) Foreign Exchange Derivative	(c) Credit Derivative	(d) Equity Derivative	(e) Commodity Derivative	(f) Other Derivative
Less than 10%	\$ 16,405,170,480	\$	%	%	%	%	%	%
10-149%	\$	\$	%	%	%	%	%	%
150% or more	\$	\$	%	%	%	%	%	%

Optional: Use the space below to provide a narrative description of the strategies and/or manner in which *borrowings* and derivatives are used in the management of the separately managed accounts that you advise.

(ii) End of Year

Gross Notional Exposure	(1) Regulatory Assets Under Management	(2) Borrowings	(3) Derivative Exposures					
			(a) Interest Rate Derivative	(b) Foreign Exchange Derivative	(c) Credit Derivative	(d) Equity Derivative	(e) Commodity Derivative	(f) Other Derivative
Less than 10%	\$ 16,300,982,309	\$	%	%	%	%	%	%
10-149%	\$	\$	%	%	%	%	%	%
150% or more	\$	\$	%	%	%	%	%	%

Optional: Use the space below to provide a narrative description of the strategies and/or manner in which *borrowings* and derivatives are used in the management of the separately managed accounts that you advise.

(b) In the table below, provide the following information regarding the separately managed accounts you advise as of the date used to calculate your regulatory assets under management for purposes of your *annual updating amendment*. If you are a subadvisor to a separately managed account, you should only provide information with respect to the portion of the account that you subadvise.

In column 1, indicate the regulatory assets under management attributable to separately managed accounts associated with each level of gross notional exposure. For purposes of this table, the gross notional exposure of an account is the percentage obtained by dividing (i) the sum of (a) the dollar amount of any *borrowings* and (b) the *gross notional value* of all derivatives, by (ii) the regulatory assets under management of the account.

In column 2, provide the dollar amount of *borrowings* for the accounts included in column 1.

You may, but are not required to, complete the table with respect to any separately managed accounts with regulatory assets under management of less than \$10,000,000.

Any regulatory assets under management reported in Item 5.D.(3)(d), (e), and (f) should not be reported below.

Gross Notional Exposure	(1) Regulatory Assets Under Management	(2) Borrowings
Less than 10%	\$	\$
10-149%	\$	\$
150% or more	\$	\$

Optional: Use the space below to provide a narrative description of the strategies and/or manner in which *borrowings* and derivatives are used in the management of the separately managed accounts that you advise.

SECTION 5.K.(3) Custodians for Separately Managed Accounts

Complete a separate Schedule D Section 5.K.(3) for each custodian that holds ten percent or more of your aggregate separately managed account regulatory assets under management.

(a) Legal name of custodian:

STATE STREET BANK & TRUST COMPANY

(b) Primary business name of custodian:

STATE STREET BANK & TRUST COMPANY

(c) The location(s) of the custodian's office(s) responsible for *custody* of the assets :

City:
BOSTON

State:
Massachusetts

Country:
United States

Yes No

- (d) Is the custodian a *related person* of your firm?
- (e) If the custodian is a broker-dealer, provide its SEC registration number (if any)
-
- (f) If the custodian is not a broker-dealer, or is a broker-dealer but does not have an SEC registration number, provide its *legal entity identifier* (if any)
571474TGEMMWANRLN572
- (g) What amount of your regulatory assets under management attributable to separately managed accounts is held at the custodian?
\$ 11,718,671,166

- (a) Legal name of custodian:
US BANK N.A.
- (b) Primary business name of custodian:
US BANK N.A.
- (c) The location(s) of the custodian's office(s) responsible for *custody* of the assets :

City: CINCINATTI	State: Ohio	Country: United States
---------------------	----------------	---------------------------
- Yes No**
- (d) Is the custodian a *related person* of your firm?
- (e) If the custodian is a broker-dealer, provide its SEC registration number (if any)
-
- (f) If the custodian is not a broker-dealer, or is a broker-dealer but does not have an SEC registration number, provide its *legal entity identifier* (if any)
5493001WC5CQQI9L9G80
- (g) What amount of your regulatory assets under management attributable to separately managed accounts is held at the custodian?
\$ 11,861,596,467

Item 6 Other Business Activities

In this Item, we request information about your firm's other business activities.

A. You are actively engaged in business as a (check all that apply):

- (1) broker-dealer (registered or unregistered)
- (2) registered representative of a broker-dealer
- (3) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (4) futures commission merchant
- (5) real estate broker, dealer, or agent
- (6) insurance broker or agent
- (7) bank (including a separately identifiable department or division of a bank)
- (8) trust company
- (9) registered municipal advisor
- (10) registered security-based swap dealer
- (11) major security-based swap participant
- (12) accountant or accounting firm
- (13) lawyer or law firm
- (14) other financial product salesperson (specify):

If you engage in other business using a name that is different from the names reported in Items 1.A. or 1.B.(1), complete Section 6.A. of Schedule D.

- B. (1) Are you actively engaged in any other business not listed in Item 6.A. (other than giving investment advice)? **Yes No**
- (2) If yes, is this other business your primary business?
- If "yes," describe this other business on Section 6.B.(2) of Schedule D, and if you engage in this business under a different name, provide that name.*
- Yes No**
- (3) Do you sell products or provide services other than investment advice to your advisory *clients*?
- If "yes," describe this other business on Section 6.B.(3) of Schedule D, and if you engage in this business under a different name, provide that name.*

SECTION 6.A. Names of Your Other Businesses

No Information Filed

SECTION 6.B.(2) Description of Primary Business

Describe your primary business (not your investment advisory business):

If you engage in that business under a different name, provide that name:

SECTION 6.B.(3) Description of Other Products and Services

Describe other products or services you sell to your *client*. You may omit products and services that you listed in Section 6.B.(2) above.

If you engage in that business under a different name, provide that name:

Item 7 Financial Industry Affiliations

In this Item, we request information about your financial industry affiliations and activities. This information identifies areas in which conflicts of interest may occur between you and your *clients*.

A. This part of Item 7 requires you to provide information about you and your *related persons*, including foreign affiliates. Your *related persons* are all of your *advisory affiliates* and any *person* that is under common *control* with you.

You have a *related person* that is a (check all that apply):

- (1) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer (registered or unregistered)
- (2) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (3) registered municipal advisor
- (4) registered security-based swap dealer
- (5) major security-based swap participant
- (6) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (7) futures commission merchant
- (8) banking or thrift institution
- (9) trust company
- (10) accountant or accounting firm
- (11) lawyer or law firm
- (12) insurance company or agency
- (13) pension consultant
- (14) real estate broker or dealer
- (15) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (16) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Note that Item 7.A. should not be used to disclose that some of your employees perform investment advisory functions or are registered representatives of a broker-dealer. The number of your firm's employees who perform investment advisory functions should be disclosed under Item 5.B.(1). The number of your firm's employees who are registered representatives of a broker-dealer should be disclosed under Item 5.B.(2).

Note that if you are filing an umbrella registration, you should not check Item 7.A.(2) with respect to your relying advisers, and you do not have to complete Section 7.A. in Schedule D for your relying advisers. You should complete a Schedule R for each relying adviser.

For each related person, including foreign affiliates that may not be registered or required to be registered in the United States, complete Section 7.A. of Schedule D.

You do not need to complete Section 7.A. of Schedule D for any related person if: (1) you have no business dealings with the related person in connection with advisory services you provide to your clients; (2) you do not conduct shared operations with the related person; (3) you do not refer clients or business to the related person, and the related person does not refer prospective clients or business to you; (4) you do not share supervised persons or premises with the related person; and (5) you have no reason to believe that your relationship with the related person otherwise creates a conflict of interest with your clients.

You must complete Section 7.A. of Schedule D for each related person acting as qualified custodian in connection with advisory services you provide to your clients (other than any mutual fund transfer agent pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(b)(1)), regardless of whether you have determined the related person to be operationally independent under rule 206(4)-2 of the Advisers Act.

SECTION 7.A. Financial Industry Affiliations

Complete a separate Schedule D Section 7.A. for each *related person* listed in Item 7.A.

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
HEATHROW FOREST OPPORTUNITIES FUND GP
2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
HEATHROW FOREST OPPORTUNITIES FUND GP
3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
-
or
Other
4. *Related Person's*
(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8. (a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8. (a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK ALTERNATIVE ADVISORS (GENPAR), LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK ALTERNATIVE ADVISORS (GENPAR), LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer

- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8. (a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8. (a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

DIVPEP V, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

DIVPEP V, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

(a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer

(b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)

(c) registered municipal advisor

(d) registered security-based swap dealer

(e) major security-based swap participant

(f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)

(g) futures commission merchant

- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK ERI PRIVATE OPPORTUNITIES GP S.À R.L.

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK ERI PRIVATE OPPORTUNITIES GP S.À R.L.

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant

- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK GEMINI PRIVATE OPPORTUNITIES GP, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK GEMINI PRIVATE OPPORTUNITIES GP, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common control?
8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?
- (b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?
- (c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:
 Number and Street 1: _____ Number and Street 2: _____
 City: _____ State: _____ Country: _____ ZIP+4/Postal Code: _____
 If this address is a private residence, check this box:
9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration? **Yes No**
- (b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption? _____
10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?
- (b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.
 No Information Filed
11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?
12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
 NDSIB PRIVATE OPPORTUNITIES GP, LLC
2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
 NDSIB PRIVATE OPPORTUNITIES GP, LLC
3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
 -
 or
 Other
4. *Related Person's*
 (a) CRD Number (if any):
 (b) CIK Number(s) (if any):
 No Information Filed
5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)
- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*? **Yes No**
7. Are you and the *related person* under common control?
8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?
- (b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK HAJAR PRIVATE OPPORTUNITIES GP, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK HAJAR PRIVATE OPPORTUNITIES GP, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

PRIVATE EQUITY PARTNERS VII GP (US) L.P.

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

PRIVATE EQUITY PARTNERS VII GP (US) L.P.

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?



1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
BLK2020 PRIVATE OPPORTUNITIES GP, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
BLK2020 PRIVATE OPPORTUNITIES GP, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

Yes No

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
BIS BRAZOS INFRASTRUCTURE GP, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
-
or
Other

4. *Related Person's*
(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)
- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
 - (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
 - (c) registered municipal advisor
 - (d) registered security-based swap dealer
 - (e) major security-based swap participant
 - (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
 - (g) futures commission merchant
 - (h) banking or thrift institution
 - (i) trust company
 - (j) accountant or accounting firm
 - (k) lawyer or law firm
 - (l) insurance company or agency
 - (m) pension consultant
 - (n) real estate broker or dealer
 - (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
 - (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

Yes No

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?
- (b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8. (a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8. (a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1: _____ Number and Street 2: _____
 City: _____ State: _____ Country: _____ ZIP+4/Postal Code: _____
 If this address is a private residence, check this box:

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?
- (b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

Yes No

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?
- (b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
BAA CUSTOM PARTNERSHIPS (GENPAR), LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
BAA CUSTOM PARTNERSHIPS (GENPAR), LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-
or
Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

ISHARES DELAWARE TRUST SPONSOR LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

ISHARES DELAWARE TRUST SPONSOR LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City: State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BAA PRIVATE CAPITAL 2002 (GENPAR), LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BAA PRIVATE CAPITAL 2002 (GENPAR), LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) *CRD* Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City: State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

MP AVIATION HOLDINGS (GENPAR), LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

MP AVIATION HOLDINGS (GENPAR), LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)

- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8. (a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8. (a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City: State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK MD PRIVATE OPPORTUNITIES GP, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK MD PRIVATE OPPORTUNITIES GP, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency

- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLK2018 PRIVATE OPPORTUNITIES GP, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLK2018 PRIVATE OPPORTUNITIES GP, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?
8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?
- (b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?
- (c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:
 Number and Street 1: _____ Number and Street 2: _____
 City: _____ State: _____ Country: _____ ZIP+4/Postal Code: _____
 If this address is a private residence, check this box:
9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration? **Yes No**
- (b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption? _____
10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?
- (b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.
 No Information Filed
11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?
12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
BLACKROCK POF III (GP), LLC
2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
BLACKROCK POF III (GP), LLC
3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
-
or
Other
4. *Related Person's*
 (a) CRD Number (if any): _____
 (b) CIK Number(s) (if any): _____
 No Information Filed
5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)
- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?
7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?
8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?
- (b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are

maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLUE PENINSULA FUND (GENPAR), LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLUE PENINSULA FUND (GENPAR), LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?
- (b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?
10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?
- (b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.
No Information Filed
11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?
12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
DECARBONIZATION PARTNERS GP S.Ä.R.L

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
DECARBONIZATION PARTNERS GP S.Ä.R.L

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) *CRD* Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

Yes No

Yes No

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?



12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?



1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

PROJECT TETL INVESTCO (GENPAR), LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

PROJECT TETL INVESTCO (GENPAR), LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?



7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?



8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?



(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?



(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?



(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?



(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?



12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?



1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

FONOA (GENPAR) LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

FONOA (GENPAR) LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

Yes No

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

FM PRIVATE OPPORTUNITIES GP, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

FM PRIVATE OPPORTUNITIES GP, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g. 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-
or
Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

Yes No

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City: State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

GLOBAL ENERGY & POWER INFRASTRUCTURE GP, L.P.

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

GLOBAL ENERGY & POWER INFRASTRUCTURE GP, L.P.

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-
or
Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

FUTUREADVISOR, INC.

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

FUTUREADVISOR, INC.

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

801 - 71929

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

154604

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

CIK Number

1556846

1556846

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
 (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
 (c) registered municipal advisor
 (d) registered security-based swap dealer
 (e) major security-based swap participant
 (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
 (g) futures commission merchant
 (h) banking or thrift institution
 (i) trust company
 (j) accountant or accounting firm
 (k) lawyer or law firm
 (l) insurance company or agency
 (m) pension consultant
 (n) real estate broker or dealer
 (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
 (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK ENERGY OPPORTUNITY FUND GP, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK ENERGY OPPORTUNITY FUND GP, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
 (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)

- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT, INC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT, INC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

801 - 48433

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) *CRD* Number (if any):

107105

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution

- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

Name of Country/English Name of Foreign Financial Regulatory Authority

Canada - Alberta Securities Commission

Canada - British Columbia Securities Commission

Canada - Manitoba Securities Commission

Canada - New Brunswick Securities Commission

Canada - Newfoundland and Labrador, Financial Services Regulation Division

Canada - Northwest Territories, Office of the Registrar of Securities

Canada - Nova Scotia Securities Commission

Canada - Nunavut, Registrar of Securities

Canada - Ontario Securities Commission

Canada - Prince Edward Island, Securities Office

Canada - Quebec, Financial Markets Authority

Canada - Saskatchewan Financial Services Commission

Canada - Yukon Territories, Registrar of Securities

Ireland - Central Bank of Ireland

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK GLOBAL RENEWABLE POWER FUND II (UK GENPAR) LLP

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK GLOBAL RENEWABLE POWER FUND II (UK GENPAR) LLP

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)
- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
 - (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
 - (c) registered municipal advisor
 - (d) registered security-based swap dealer
 - (e) major security-based swap participant
 - (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
 - (g) futures commission merchant
 - (h) banking or thrift institution
 - (i) trust company
 - (j) accountant or accounting firm
 - (k) lawyer or law firm
 - (l) insurance company or agency
 - (m) pension consultant
 - (n) real estate broker or dealer
 - (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
 - (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City: State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

FACULTAS FUND 2 (GENPAR), LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

FACULTAS FUND 2 (GENPAR), LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer

- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

GEPIF III (GENPAR), LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

GEPIF III (GENPAR), LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm

- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

TCP DIRECT LENDING MM VIII-S, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

TCP DIRECT LENDING MM VIII-S, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

- 6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?
- 7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?
- 8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?
 (b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?
 (c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:
 Number and Street 1: _____ Number and Street 2: _____
 City: _____ State: _____ Country: _____ ZIP+4/Postal Code: _____
 If this address is a private residence, check this box:
- 9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration? **Yes No**

 (b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?
- 10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?
 (b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.
 No Information Filed
- 11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?
- 12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
 BLACKROCK HOMESTEAD PRIVATE OPPORTUNITIES GP, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
 BLACKROCK HOMESTEAD PRIVATE OPPORTUNITIES GP, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
 -
 or
 Other

4. *Related Person's*
 (a) CRD Number (if any):
 (b) CIK Number(s) (if any):
 No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)
- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
 - (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
 - (c) registered municipal advisor
 - (d) registered security-based swap dealer
 - (e) major security-based swap participant
 - (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
 - (g) futures commission merchant
 - (h) banking or thrift institution
 - (i) trust company
 - (j) accountant or accounting firm
 - (k) lawyer or law firm
 - (l) insurance company or agency
 - (m) pension consultant
 - (n) real estate broker or dealer
 - (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
 - (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

- (b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?
- (c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:
 Number and Street 1: _____ Number and Street 2: _____
 City: _____ State: _____ Country: _____ ZIP+4/Postal Code: _____
 If this address is a private residence, check this box:
9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration? **Yes No**
 (b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?
10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?
 (b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.
 No Information Filed
11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?
12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
BR/OV GP, LLC
2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
BR/OV GP, LLC
3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
-
or
Other
4. *Related Person's*
 (a) CRD Number (if any):
 (b) CIK Number(s) (if any):
 No Information Filed
5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)
- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
 - (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
 - (c) registered municipal advisor
 - (d) registered security-based swap dealer
 - (e) major security-based swap participant
 - (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
 - (g) futures commission merchant
 - (h) banking or thrift institution
 - (i) trust company
 - (j) accountant or accounting firm
 - (k) lawyer or law firm
 - (l) insurance company or agency
 - (m) pension consultant
 - (n) real estate broker or dealer
 - (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
 - (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*? **Yes No**
7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?
8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?
 (b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?
 (c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:
 Number and Street 1: _____ Number and Street 2: _____
 City: _____ State: _____ Country: _____ ZIP+4/Postal Code: _____

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

TENNENBAUM SENIOR LOAN GP IV-B, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

TENNENBAUM SENIOR LOAN GP IV-B, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

TENNENBAUM WATERMAN GP, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

TENNENBAUM WATERMAN GP, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

HIGH CEDAR GP, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

HIGH CEDAR GP, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK ASSET MANAGEMENT SCHWEIZ AG

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

801 - 78476

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) *CRD* Number (if any):

161987

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

CIK Number

1654470

1654470

1654470

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

Yes No

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

Name of Country/English Name of Foreign Financial Regulatory Authority

Switzerland - Swiss Financial Market Supervisory Authority

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

PROJECT GLOW CO-INVESTMENT FUND (GENPAR), L.L.C.

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

PROJECT GLOW CO-INVESTMENT FUND (GENPAR), L.L.C.

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
(b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
(c) registered municipal advisor
(d) registered security-based swap dealer
(e) major security-based swap participant
(f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
(g) futures commission merchant
(h) banking or thrift institution
(i) trust company
(j) accountant or accounting firm
(k) lawyer or law firm
(l) insurance company or agency
(m) pension consultant
(n) real estate broker or dealer
(o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
(p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

Yes No

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK ASSET MANAGEMENT IRELAND LIMITED

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK ASSET MANAGEMENT IRELAND LIMITED

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
(b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
(c) registered municipal advisor
(d) registered security-based swap dealer
(e) major security-based swap participant
(f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
(g) futures commission merchant
(h) banking or thrift institution
(i) trust company
(j) accountant or accounting firm
(k) lawyer or law firm
(l) insurance company or agency
(m) pension consultant
(n) real estate broker or dealer
(o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
(p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

FOREIGN PRIVATE ADVISER

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

Name of Country/English Name of Foreign Financial Regulatory Authority
Ireland - Central Bank of Ireland
United Kingdom - Financial Conduct Authority

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

GLOBAL CREDIT OPPORTUNITIES FUND (GENPAR), LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

GLOBAL CREDIT OPPORTUNITIES FUND (GENPAR), LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8. (a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8. (a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City: State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK ALTERNATIVES MANAGEMENT, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK ALTERNATIVES MANAGEMENT, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

801 - 110250

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

288041

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

(a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer

- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8. (a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8. (a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BOPA1 (GENPAR), LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BOPA1 (GENPAR), LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

(a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer

(b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)

(c) registered municipal advisor

(d) registered security-based swap dealer

(e) major security-based swap participant

(f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)

(g) futures commission merchant

- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK PRIVATE EQUITY ERB GP, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK PRIVATE EQUITY ERB GP, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant

- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

FONOA (GENPAR), LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

FONOA (GENPAR), LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) *CRD* Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common control?
8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?
- (b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?
- (c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:
Number and Street 1: _____ Number and Street 2: _____
City: _____ State: _____ Country: _____ ZIP+4/Postal Code: _____
If this address is a private residence, check this box:
9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?
- (b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption? _____
10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?
- (b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.
No Information Filed
11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?
12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
BOARDWALK I (GENPAR), LLC
2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
BOARDWALK I (GENPAR), LLC
3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
-
or
Other
4. *Related Person's*
(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):
No Information Filed
5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)
- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?
7. Are you and the *related person* under common control?
8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?
- (b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK ASSET MANAGEMENT CANADA LIMITED / GESTION D'ACTIFS BLK

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK ASSET MANAGEMENT CANADA LIMITED

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

802 - 76230

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

162262

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

CIK Number
1050459
1050459
1050459

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

(a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer

(b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)

(c) registered municipal advisor

(d) registered security-based swap dealer

(e) major security-based swap participant

(f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)

(g) futures commission merchant

(h) banking or thrift institution

(i) trust company

(j) accountant or accounting firm

(k) lawyer or law firm

(l) insurance company or agency

(m) pension consultant

(n) real estate broker or dealer

(o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles

(p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

PRIVATE FUND ADVISER

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

Name of Country/English Name of Foreign Financial Regulatory Authority

Canada - Alberta Securities Commission

Canada - British Columbia Securities Commission

Canada - Manitoba Securities Commission

Canada - New Brunswick Securities Commission

Canada - Newfoundland and Labrador, Financial Services Regulation Division

Canada - Northwest Territories, Office of the Registrar of Securities

Canada - Nova Scotia Securities Commission

Canada - Nunavut, Registrar of Securities

Canada - Ontario Securities Commission

Canada - Prince Edward Island, Securities Office

Canada - Quebec, Financial Markets Authority

Canada - Saskatchewan Financial Services Commission

Canada - Yukon Territories, Registrar of Securities

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK DIVPEP I, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK DIVPEP I, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) *CRD* Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?
7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?
8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?
- (b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?
- (c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:
- Number and Street 1: _____ Number and Street 2: _____
City: _____ State: _____ Country: _____ ZIP+4/Postal Code: _____
If this address is a private residence, check this box:
9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration? **Yes No**
- (b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?
10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?
- (b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.
No Information Filed
11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?
12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
BLACKROCK CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC.
2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
BLACKROCK CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC.
3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
801 - 57038
or
Other

4. *Related Person's*
- (a) CRD Number (if any):
108069
- (b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

CIK Number
108069
108069
108069
108069
108069
108069

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)
- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

- 6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?
- 7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?
- 8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?
- (b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?
- (c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:
 Number and Street 1: _____ Number and Street 2: _____
 City: _____ State: _____ Country: _____ ZIP+4/Postal Code: _____
 If this address is a private residence, check this box:
- 9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?
- (b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption? _____
- 10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?
- (b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

 No Information Filed
- 11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?
- 12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

- 1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
CO-INVESTMENT INCOME FUND (GENPAR), LLC
- 2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
CO-INVESTMENT INCOME FUND (GENPAR), LLC
- 3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
-
or
Other _____
- 4. *Related Person's*
 (a) *CRD* Number (if any): _____
 (b) CIK Number(s) (if any): _____
 No Information Filed
- 5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)
 - (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
 - (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
 - (c) registered municipal advisor
 - (d) registered security-based swap dealer
 - (e) major security-based swap participant
 - (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
 - (g) futures commission merchant
 - (h) banking or thrift institution
 - (i) trust company
 - (j) accountant or accounting firm
 - (k) lawyer or law firm
 - (l) insurance company or agency
 - (m) pension consultant
 - (n) real estate broker or dealer
 - (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
 - (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

- 6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?
- 7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?
- 8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

- (b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?
- (c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:
- Number and Street 1: _____ Number and Street 2: _____
 City: _____ State: _____ Country: _____ ZIP+4/Postal Code: _____
 If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

-

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.
 No Information Filed

-

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

-

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

-

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
 BLACKROCK CAPITAL INVESTMENT ADVISORS, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
 BLACKROCK CAPITAL INVESTMENT ADVISORS, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
 801 - 112118
 or
 Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) *CRD* Number (if any):
 290336

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

CIK Number
290336
290336
290336

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

-

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

-

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

-

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are

-

maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

EMPIREPM B 2017-10 (GENPAR), LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

EMPIREPM B 2017-10 (GENPAR), LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) *CRD* Number (if any):

(b) *CIK* Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?
- (b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?
10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?
- (b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.
No Information Filed
11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?
12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
SVOF/MM, LLC
2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
SVOF/MM, LLC
3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
801 - 63473
or
Other
4. *Related Person's*
(a) *CRD* Number (if any):
132205
(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):
No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)
- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*? **Yes No**
7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?
8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?
- (b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?
- (c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:
Number and Street 1: _____ Number and Street 2: _____
City: _____ State: _____ Country: _____ ZIP+4/Postal Code: _____
If this address is a private residence, check this box:

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration? **Yes No**
- (b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?
10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?
- (b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

Name of Country/English Name of Foreign Financial Regulatory Authority

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK DIRECT LENDING IX GP, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK DIRECT LENDING IX GP, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City: State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BAA GAIA IMC (GENPAR), LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BAA GAIA IMC (GENPAR), LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK LISI CREDIT FUND GP, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK LISI CREDIT FUND GP, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8. (a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8. (a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

TENNENBAUM SPECIAL SITUATIONS MM IX, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

TENNENBAUM SPECIAL SITUATIONS MM IX, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK GLOBAL RENEWABLE POWER FUND III (LUX GENPAR), S.A R.L.

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK GLOBAL RENEWABLE POWER FUND III (LUX GENPAR), S.A.R.L.

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)
- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
 - (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
 - (c) registered municipal advisor
 - (d) registered security-based swap dealer
 - (e) major security-based swap participant
 - (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
 - (g) futures commission merchant
 - (h) banking or thrift institution
 - (i) trust company
 - (j) accountant or accounting firm
 - (k) lawyer or law firm
 - (l) insurance company or agency
 - (m) pension consultant
 - (n) real estate broker or dealer
 - (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
 - (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City: State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK BRASIL GESTORA DE INVESTTIMENTOS LTDA.

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK BRASIL GESTORA DE INVESTTIMENTOS LTDA.

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

(a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer

(b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)

(c) registered municipal advisor

(d) registered security-based swap dealer

- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

FOREIGN PRIVATE ADVISER

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

Name of Country/English Name of Foreign Financial Regulatory Authority

Brazil - Securities and Exchange Commission

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK PA2014 GP, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK PA2014 GP, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution

- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City: State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

FACULTAS FUND 2 (GENPAR) LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

FACULTAS FUND 2 (GENPAR) LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer

- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
(p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

GCO II FUND A GP S.Ã R.L.

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

GCO II FUND A GP S.Ã R.L.

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) *CRD* Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
(b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
(c) registered municipal advisor
(d) registered security-based swap dealer
(e) major security-based swap participant
(f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
(g) futures commission merchant
(h) banking or thrift institution
(i) trust company
(j) accountant or accounting firm
(k) lawyer or law firm
(l) insurance company or agency
(m) pension consultant
(n) real estate broker or dealer
(o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
(p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?
- (b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?
- (c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:
- Number and Street 1: _____ Number and Street 2: _____
City: _____ State: _____ Country: _____ ZIP+4/Postal Code: _____
If this address is a private residence, check this box:
9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration? **Yes No**
- (b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?
10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?
- (b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.
No Information Filed
11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?
12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
TENNENBAUM IX-O SLP, LLC
2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
TENNENBAUM IX-O SLP, LLC
3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
-
or
Other
4. *Related Person's*
(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed
5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)
- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
(b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
(c) registered municipal advisor
(d) registered security-based swap dealer
(e) major security-based swap participant
(f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
(g) futures commission merchant
(h) banking or thrift institution
(i) trust company
(j) accountant or accounting firm
(k) lawyer or law firm
(l) insurance company or agency
(m) pension consultant
(n) real estate broker or dealer
(o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
(p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*? **Yes No**
7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?
8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?
- (b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?
- (c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

City:

State:

Number and Street 2:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK GLOBAL RENEWABLE POWER FUND II (UK GENPAR), LLP

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK GLOBAL RENEWABLE POWER FUND II (UK GENPAR), LLP

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) *CRD* Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?
 (b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.
 No Information Filed
11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?
12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
 BLACKROCK CASCADE OPPORTUNITIES FUND (GENPAR), LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
 BLACKROCK CASCADE OPPORTUNITIES FUND (GENPAR), LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
 -
 or
 Other

4. *Related Person's*
 (a) CRD Number (if any):

 (b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)
- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
 - (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
 - (c) registered municipal advisor
 - (d) registered security-based swap dealer
 - (e) major security-based swap participant
 - (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
 - (g) futures commission merchant
 - (h) banking or thrift institution
 - (i) trust company
 - (j) accountant or accounting firm
 - (k) lawyer or law firm
 - (l) insurance company or agency
 - (m) pension consultant
 - (n) real estate broker or dealer
 - (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
 - (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?
 (b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?
 (c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:
 Number and Street 1: _____ Number and Street 2: _____
 City: _____ State: _____ Country: _____ ZIP+4/Postal Code: _____
 If this address is a private residence, check this box:

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?
 (b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?
 (b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.
 No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?



1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
HR US INFRA EQUITY (GENPAR), LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
HR US INFRA EQUITY (GENPAR), LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
-
or
Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

Yes No



7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?



8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?



(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?



(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No



9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?



(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?



(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?



12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?



1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
AVIATION HOLDINGS III (GENPAR), LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
 AVIATION HOLDINGS III (GENPAR), LLC
3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
 -
 or
 Other

4. *Related Person's*
- (a) CRD Number (if any):
- (b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

Yes No

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?
- (b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?
- (c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:
- Number and Street 1: _____ Number and Street 2: _____
 City: _____ State: _____ Country: _____ ZIP+4/Postal Code: _____
 If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?
- (b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?
- (b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.
- No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
 AVIATION HOLDINGS GENPAR, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
 AVIATION HOLDINGS GENPAR, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
 -

or
Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) *CRD* Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
(b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
(c) registered municipal advisor
(d) registered security-based swap dealer
(e) major security-based swap participant
(f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
(g) futures commission merchant
(h) banking or thrift institution
(i) trust company
(j) accountant or accounting firm
(k) lawyer or law firm
(l) insurance company or agency
(m) pension consultant
(n) real estate broker or dealer
(o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
(p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

Yes No

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

MERCURY CARRY COMPANY LIMITED

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

MERCURY CARRY COMPANY LIMITED

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) *CRD* Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8. (a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8. (a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK NTR RENEWABLE POWER FUND (GENPAR), L.P.

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK NTR RENEWABLE POWER FUND (GENPAR), L.P.

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

(a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer

- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8. (a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8. (a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

AVIATION HOLDINGS III (GENPAR) LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

AVIATION HOLDINGS III (GENPAR) LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

(a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer

(b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)

(c) registered municipal advisor

(d) registered security-based swap dealer

(e) major security-based swap participant

(f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)

(g) futures commission merchant

- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK GROWTH EQUITY FUND (GENPAR) S.Ã R.L

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK GROWTH EQUITY FUND (GENPAR) S.Ã R.L

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant

- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

GCO II FUND A GP SARL

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

GCO II FUND A GP SARL

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) *CRD* Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common control?
8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?
- (b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?
- (c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:
 Number and Street 1: _____ Number and Street 2: _____
 City: _____ State: _____ Country: _____ ZIP+4/Postal Code: _____
 If this address is a private residence, check this box:
9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?
- (b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption? _____
10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?
- (b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.
 No Information Filed
11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?
12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
GCO LUX GP S.A.R.L.
2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
GCO LUX GP S.A.R.L.
3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
-
or
Other
4. *Related Person's*
 (a) CRD Number (if any):
 (b) CIK Number(s) (if any):
 No Information Filed
5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)
- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?
7. Are you and the *related person* under common control?
8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?
- (b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT (AUSTRALIA) LIMITED

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT (AUSTRALIA) LIMITED

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

CIK Number
1461626
1461626
1461626

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

PARTICIPATING AFFILIATE

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

Name of Country/English Name of Foreign Financial Regulatory Authority

Australia - Australian Securities and Investments Commission

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

TENNENBAUM IX-C SLP, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

TENNENBAUM IX-C SLP, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) *CRD* Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8. (a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8. (a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK BROADWAY FUND (GENPAR), LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK BROADWAY FUND (GENPAR), LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

(a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer

(b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)

(c) registered municipal advisor

(d) registered security-based swap dealer

(e) major security-based swap participant

(f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)

(g) futures commission merchant

(h) banking or thrift institution

(i) trust company

(j) accountant or accounting firm

(k) lawyer or law firm

(l) insurance company or agency

(m) pension consultant

(n) real estate broker or dealer

(o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles

(p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?



1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
BLACKROCK INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT (KOREA) LIMITED

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
BLACKROCK INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT (KOREA) LIMITED

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

CIK Number
1559919
1559919
1559919

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

Yes No



7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?



8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?



(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?



(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?



(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

FOREIGN PRIVATE ADVISER

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?



(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

Name of Country/English Name of Foreign Financial Regulatory Authority
South Korea - Financial Supervisory Commission / Financial Supervisory Service

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?



12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?



1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
BLACKROCK ASSET MANAGEMENT DEUTSCHLAND AG

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
BLACKROCK ASSET MANAGEMENT DEUTSCHLAND AG

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

CIK Number
1415064
1415064
1415064

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

Yes No

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8. (a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8. (a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

FOREIGN PRIVATE ADVISER

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

Name of Country/English Name of Foreign Financial Regulatory Authority
Germany - German Federal Financial Supervisory Agency

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?



1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

LINCOLN PENSION PRIVATE EQUITY GP, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

LINCOLN PENSION PRIVATE EQUITY GP, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?



7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?



8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?



(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?



(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?



(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?



(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?



12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?



1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

CAPITOL HILL CO-INVESTMENT FUND (GENPAR), LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
CAPITOL HILL CO-INVESTMENT FUND (GENPAR), LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
-
or
Other

4. *Related Person's*
(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

Yes No

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
APERIO GROUP, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
APERIO GROUP, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
-

or
Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) *CRD* Number (if any):

(b) *CIK* Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
(b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
(c) registered municipal advisor
(d) registered security-based swap dealer
(e) major security-based swap participant
(f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
(g) futures commission merchant
(h) banking or thrift institution
(i) trust company
(j) accountant or accounting firm
(k) lawyer or law firm
(l) insurance company or agency
(m) pension consultant
(n) real estate broker or dealer
(o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
(p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

Yes No

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

GCO II FUND B GP S.À R.L.

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

GCO II FUND B GP S.À R.L.

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) *CRD* Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8. (a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8. (a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK (NETHERLANDS) B.V.

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK (NETHERLANDS) B.V.

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer

- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8. (a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8. (a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

FOREIGN PRIVATE ADVISOR

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

Name of Country/English Name of Foreign Financial Regulatory Authority
Netherlands - The Netherlands Authority for the Financial Markets

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK REALTY ADVISORS INC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK REALTY ADVISORS INC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

801 - 54217

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) *CRD* Number (if any):

109457

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

CIK Number
1579407
1579407
1579407

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

(a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer

- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8. (a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8. (a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City: State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK GSA PRIVATE OPPORTUNITIES GP, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK GSA PRIVATE OPPORTUNITIES GP, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

(a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer

(b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)

(c) registered municipal advisor

(d) registered security-based swap dealer

(e) major security-based swap participant

(f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)

(g) futures commission merchant

- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

RED RIVER PRIVATE EQUITY III, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

RED RIVER PRIVATE EQUITY III, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant

- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK PRIVATE EQUITY IMPACT CAPITAL (GENPAR), S.Ã? R.L

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK PRIVATE EQUITY IMPACT CAPITAL (GENPAR), S.Ã? R.L

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) *CRD* Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common control?
8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?
- (b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?
- (c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:
 Number and Street 1: _____ Number and Street 2: _____
 City: _____ State: _____ Country: _____ ZIP+4/Postal Code: _____
 If this address is a private residence, check this box:
9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?
- (b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption? _____
10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?
- (b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.
 No Information Filed
11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?
12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
 BLACKROCK POF IV (GP), LLC
2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
 BLACKROCK POF IV (GP), LLC
3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
 -
 or
 Other
4. *Related Person's*
 (a) CRD Number (if any):
 (b) CIK Number(s) (if any):
 No Information Filed
5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)
- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?
7. Are you and the *related person* under common control?
8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?
- (b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

POF IV GP S.A.R.L.

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

POF IV GP S.A.R.L.

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) *CRD* Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

1824 PRIVATE EQUITY GP, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

1824 PRIVATE EQUITY GP, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

(a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer

(b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)

(c) registered municipal advisor

(d) registered security-based swap dealer

(e) major security-based swap participant

(f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)

(g) futures commission merchant

(h) banking or thrift institution

(i) trust company

(j) accountant or accounting firm

(k) lawyer or law firm

(l) insurance company or agency

(m) pension consultant

(n) real estate broker or dealer

(o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles

(p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?



1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK MEXICO INFRAESTRUCTURA II, S. DE R.L. DE C.V.

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK MEXICO INFRAESTRUCTURA II, S. DE R.L. DE C.V.

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

Yes No

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
BLACKROCK BOWLING GREEN (GENPAR), LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
-
or
Other

4. *Related Person's*
(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

Yes No

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?
(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8. (a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8. (a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
BLACKROCK DIVERSIFIED PRIVATE OPPORTUNITIES II GP, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
BLACKROCK DIVERSIFIED PRIVATE OPPORTUNITIES II GP, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

or
Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BAA CO-INVESTMENT FUND (GENPAR), LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BAA CO-INVESTMENT FUND (GENPAR), LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK LUXEMBOURG MULTI-ALTERNATIVE INCOME G.P. S.A R.L.

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK LUXEMBOURG MULTI-ALTERNATIVE INCOME G.P. S.A R.L.

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) *CRD* Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City: State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK SPECIAL OPPORTUNITIES GP, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK SPECIAL OPPORTUNITIES GP, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)

- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City: State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK ASSET MANAGEMENT NORTH ASIA LIMITED

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK ASSET MANAGEMENT NORTH ASIA LIMITED

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

801 - 77343

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) *CRD* Number (if any):

165482

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency

- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

Name of Country/English Name of Foreign Financial Regulatory Authority
China, People's Republic of - China Securities Regulatory Commission
Hong Kong - Securities and Futures Commission
India - Securities and Exchange Board of India
South Korea - Financial Supervisory Commission / Financial Supervisory Service

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

TOTAL ALTERNATIVES FUND (GENPAR), LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

TOTAL ALTERNATIVES FUND (GENPAR), LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant

- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK MEXICO INFRAESTRUCTURA III, S DE R.L. DE C.V.

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK MEXICO INFRAESTRUCTURA III, S DE R.L. DE C.V.

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common control?
8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?
- (b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?
- (c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:
Number and Street 1: _____ Number and Street 2: _____
City: _____ State: _____ Country: _____ ZIP+4/Postal Code: _____
If this address is a private residence, check this box:
9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?
- (b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption? _____
10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?
- (b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.
No Information Filed
11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?
12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
BLACKROCK INFRASTRUCTURE MANAGEMENT I, LLC
2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
BLACKROCK INFRASTRUCTURE MANAGEMENT I, LLC
3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
-
or
Other
4. *Related Person's*
(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):
No Information Filed
5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)
- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?
7. Are you and the *related person* under common control?
8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?
- (b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

FOREIGN PRIVATE ADVISER

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

Name of Country/English Name of Foreign Financial Regulatory Authority

Cayman Islands - Cayman Islands Monetary Authority

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

TOPANGA PRIVATE OPPORTUNITIES GP, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

TOPANGA PRIVATE OPPORTUNITIES GP, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

- 9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?
- (b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?
- 10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?
- (b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.
No Information Filed
- 11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?
- 12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
BLACKROCK INTERNATIONAL LIMITED

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
BLACKROCK INTERNATIONAL LIMITED

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
801 - 51087
or
Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) *CRD* Number (if any):
106843

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

CIK Number
1322981
1322981
1322981

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

- 6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?
- 7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?
- 8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?
- (b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?
- (c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:
 Number and Street 1: _____ Number and Street 2: _____
 City: _____ State: _____ Country: _____ ZIP+4/Postal Code: _____
 If this address is a private residence, check this box:

- 9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?



(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

Name of Country/English Name of Foreign Financial Regulatory Authority
South Korea - Financial Supervisory Commission / Financial Supervisory Service
United Kingdom - Financial Conduct Authority

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?



12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?



1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK ASSET MANAGEMENT INTERNATIONAL INC.

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK ASSET MANAGEMENT INTERNATIONAL INC.

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

CIK Number
1579406
1579406
1579406

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

(a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer

(b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)

(c) registered municipal advisor

(d) registered security-based swap dealer

(e) major security-based swap participant

(f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)

(g) futures commission merchant

(h) banking or thrift institution

(i) trust company

(j) accountant or accounting firm

(k) lawyer or law firm

(l) insurance company or agency

(m) pension consultant

(n) real estate broker or dealer

(o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles

(p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?



7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?



8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?



(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?



(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?



(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BR CO-INVESTMENT ALFA BETA GP, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BR CO-INVESTMENT ALFA BETA GP, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
(b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
(c) registered municipal advisor
(d) registered security-based swap dealer
(e) major security-based swap participant
(f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
(g) futures commission merchant
(h) banking or thrift institution
(i) trust company
(j) accountant or accounting firm
(k) lawyer or law firm
(l) insurance company or agency
(m) pension consultant
(n) real estate broker or dealer
(o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
(p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?



1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
BLACKROCK (SINGAPORE) LIMITED

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
BLACKROCK (SINGAPORE) LIMITED

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
801 - 76926

or
Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):
164594

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

CIK Number
1559921
1559921
1559921

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
(b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
(c) registered municipal advisor
(d) registered security-based swap dealer
(e) major security-based swap participant
(f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
(g) futures commission merchant
(h) banking or thrift institution
(i) trust company
(j) accountant or accounting firm
(k) lawyer or law firm
(l) insurance company or agency
(m) pension consultant
(n) real estate broker or dealer
(o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
(p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

Yes No

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

Yes No

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

Name of Country/English Name of Foreign Financial Regulatory Authority
China, People's Republic of - China Securities Regulatory Commission
India - Securities and Exchange Board of India
Singapore - Monetary Authority of Singapore

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*? Yes No

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location? Yes No

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
TANGO CAPITAL OPPORTUNITIES GP, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
TANGO CAPITAL OPPORTUNITIES GP, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
-
or
Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*? Yes No

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*? Yes No

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*? Yes No

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*? Yes No

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration? Yes No

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*? Yes No

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*? Yes No

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location? Yes No

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
TENNENBAUM SENIOR LOAN GP III, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
TENNENBAUM SENIOR LOAN GP III, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
-
or
Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
(b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
(c) registered municipal advisor
(d) registered security-based swap dealer
(e) major security-based swap participant
(f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
(g) futures commission merchant
(h) banking or thrift institution
(i) trust company
(j) accountant or accounting firm
(k) lawyer or law firm
(l) insurance company or agency
(m) pension consultant
(n) real estate broker or dealer
(o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
(p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

Yes No

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8. (a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8. (a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
COIN PRIVATE OPPORTUNITIES GP, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
COIN PRIVATE OPPORTUNITIES GP, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City: State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

801 - 56972

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) *CRD* Number (if any):

108928

(b) *CIK* Number(s) (if any):

CIK Number
108928
108928
108928

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City: State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLK 2019 EVERGREEN GP S.A.R.L.

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLK 2019 EVERGREEN GP S.A.R.L.

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) *CRD* Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK INVERWOOD PRIVATE OPPORTUNITIES GP, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK INVERWOOD PRIVATE OPPORTUNITIES GP, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer

- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8. (a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8. (a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City: State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

TENNENBAUM SENIOR LOAN GP IV-A, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

TENNENBAUM SENIOR LOAN GP IV-A, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

(a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer

(b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)

(c) registered municipal advisor

(d) registered security-based swap dealer

(e) major security-based swap participant

(f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)

(g) futures commission merchant

- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

TENNENBAUM SENIOR LOAN MM V, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

TENNENBAUM SENIOR LOAN MM V, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant

- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK JAPAN CO., LTD.

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK JAPAN CO., LTD.

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) *CRD* Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common control?
8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?
- (b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?
- (c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:
 Number and Street 1: _____ Number and Street 2: _____
 City: _____ State: _____ Country: _____ ZIP+4/Postal Code: _____
 If this address is a private residence, check this box:
9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration? Yes No
- (b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?
 PARTICIPATING AFFILIATE
10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?
- (b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.
- | Name of Country/English Name of Foreign Financial Regulatory Authority |
|--|
| Japan - Financial Services Agency |
| Other - JAPAN - KANTO FINANCIAL BUREAU |
| Other - JAPAN - TOKYO METROPOLITAN GOVERNMENT |
11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?
12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
 TCP DIRECT LENDING MM VIII-T, LLC
2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
 TCP DIRECT LENDING MM VIII-T, LLC
3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
 -
 or
 Other
4. *Related Person's*
 (a) CRD Number (if any):
 (b) CIK Number(s) (if any):
 No Information Filed
5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)
- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
 (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
 (c) registered municipal advisor
 (d) registered security-based swap dealer
 (e) major security-based swap participant
 (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
 (g) futures commission merchant
 (h) banking or thrift institution
 (i) trust company
 (j) accountant or accounting firm
 (k) lawyer or law firm
 (l) insurance company or agency
 (m) pension consultant
 (n) real estate broker or dealer
 (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
 (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*? Yes No
7. Are you and the *related person* under common control?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?
- (b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?
- (c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:
 Number and Street 1: _____ Number and Street 2: _____
 City: _____ State: _____ Country: _____ ZIP+4/Postal Code: _____
 If this address is a private residence, check this box:
9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration? **Yes No**
 (b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?
10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?
 (b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.
 No Information Filed
11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?
12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
 BLACKROCK ALTERNATIVE FUNDS GP S.A.R.L.
2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
 BLACKROCK ALTERNATIVE FUNDS GP S.A.R.L.
3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
 -
 or
 Other
4. *Related Person's*
 (a) *CRD* Number (if any):
 (b) CIK Number(s) (if any):
 No Information Filed
5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)
 (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
 (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
 (c) registered municipal advisor
 (d) registered security-based swap dealer
 (e) major security-based swap participant
 (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
 (g) futures commission merchant
 (h) banking or thrift institution
 (i) trust company
 (j) accountant or accounting firm
 (k) lawyer or law firm
 (l) insurance company or agency
 (m) pension consultant
 (n) real estate broker or dealer
 (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
 (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*? **Yes No**
7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?
8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?
 (b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?
 (c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

City:

State:

Number and Street 2:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK SECONDARIES & LIQUIDITY SOLUTIONS (GENPAR), S.A R.L.

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK SECONDARIES & LIQUIDITY SOLUTIONS (GENPAR), S.A R.L.

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) *CRD* Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?
 (b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.
 No Information Filed
11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?
12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
 BLACKROCK PRIVATE EQUITY PRIMARIES 2021 (GENPAR) S.À R.L.

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
 BLACKROCK PRIVATE EQUITY PRIMARIES 2021 (GENPAR) S.À R.L.

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
 (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
 (c) registered municipal advisor
 (d) registered security-based swap dealer
 (e) major security-based swap participant
 (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
 (g) futures commission merchant
 (h) banking or thrift institution
 (i) trust company
 (j) accountant or accounting firm
 (k) lawyer or law firm
 (l) insurance company or agency
 (m) pension consultant
 (n) real estate broker or dealer
 (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
 (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*? Yes No

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?
 (b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?
 (c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:
 Number and Street 1: _____ Number and Street 2: _____
 City: _____ State: _____ Country: _____ ZIP+ 4/Postal Code: _____
 If this address is a private residence, check this box:


9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration? Yes No

 (b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?
 (b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.
 No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?



1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
BLACKROCK PRIVATE EQUITY CO-INVESTMENTS 2021 (GENPAR) S.À.R.L.

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
BLACKROCK PRIVATE EQUITY CO-INVESTMENTS 2021 (GENPAR) S.À.R.L.

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
-
or
Other

4. *Related Person's*
(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)
- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
 - (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
 - (c) registered municipal advisor
 - (d) registered security-based swap dealer
 - (e) major security-based swap participant
 - (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
 - (g) futures commission merchant
 - (h) banking or thrift institution
 - (i) trust company
 - (j) accountant or accounting firm
 - (k) lawyer or law firm
 - (l) insurance company or agency
 - (m) pension consultant
 - (n) real estate broker or dealer
 - (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
 - (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?
(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:
Number and Street 1: _____ Number and Street 2: _____
City: _____ State: _____ Country: _____ ZIP+4/Postal Code: _____
If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?
(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?
(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

Name of Country/English Name of Foreign Financial Regulatory Authority
Luxembourg, Grand Duchy of - Commission to Surveillance of the Finance Sector

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK MÉXICO MANAGER II, S. DE R.L. DE C.V.

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

Yes No

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8. (a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8. (a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

Name of Country/English Name of Foreign Financial Regulatory Authority

Mexico - National Commission for Banking and Securities

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT (UK) LIMITED

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT (UK) LIMITED

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g. 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

802 - 76129

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) *CRD* Number (if any):

162379

(b) *CIK* Number(s) (if any):

CIK Number
1388401
1388401
1388401

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*? Yes No

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*? Yes No

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*? Yes No

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*? Yes No

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration? Yes No

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

PRIVATE FUND ADVISER

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*? Yes No

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

Name of Country/English Name of Foreign Financial Regulatory Authority
India - Securities and Exchange Board of India
United Kingdom - Financial Conduct Authority

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*? Yes No

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location? Yes No

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

TCP DIRECT LENDING VIII MM, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

TCP DIRECT LENDING VIII MM, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) *CRD* Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

HIGH ROCK GP, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

HIGH ROCK GP, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City: State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

LONG TERM PRIVATE CAPITAL GP S.A R.L.

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

LONG TERM PRIVATE CAPITAL GP S.A R.L.

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)
- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
 - (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
 - (c) registered municipal advisor
 - (d) registered security-based swap dealer
 - (e) major security-based swap participant
 - (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
 - (g) futures commission merchant
 - (h) banking or thrift institution
 - (i) trust company
 - (j) accountant or accounting firm
 - (k) lawyer or law firm
 - (l) insurance company or agency
 - (m) pension consultant
 - (n) real estate broker or dealer
 - (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
 - (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City: State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK DIVPEP IV LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK DIVPEP IV LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

(a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer

(b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)

(c) registered municipal advisor

(d) registered security-based swap dealer

- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

MIDDLE MARKET SENIOR FUND GP S.A.R.L.

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

MIDDLE MARKET SENIOR FUND GP S.A.R.L.

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm

- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK US COMMERCIAL REAL ESTATE WHOLE LOAN FUND (GENPAR), LTD.

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK US COMMERCIAL REAL ESTATE WHOLE LOAN FUND (GENPAR), LTD.

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

- 6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?
 - 7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?
 - 8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?
 - (b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?
 - (c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:	Number and Street 2:	
City:	State:	Country:
If this address is a private residence, check this box: <input type="checkbox"/>		
- Yes No**
- 9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?
 - (b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?
 - 10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?
 - (b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed
 - 11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?
 - 12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

- 1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
FAIR LANE INVESTMENT PARTNERS (GENPAR), LLC
- 2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
FAIR LANE INVESTMENT PARTNERS (GENPAR), LLC
- 3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
-
or
Other
- 4. *Related Person's*
 - (a) *CRD* Number (if any):
 - (b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed
- 5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)
 - (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
 - (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
 - (c) registered municipal advisor
 - (d) registered security-based swap dealer
 - (e) major security-based swap participant
 - (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
 - (g) futures commission merchant
 - (h) banking or thrift institution
 - (i) trust company
 - (j) accountant or accounting firm
 - (k) lawyer or law firm
 - (l) insurance company or agency
 - (m) pension consultant
 - (n) real estate broker or dealer
 - (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
 - (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

- 6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?
- 7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?
- 8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

- (b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?
- (c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:
 Number and Street 1: _____ Number and Street 2: _____
 City: _____ State: _____ Country: _____ ZIP+4/Postal Code: _____
 If this address is a private residence, check this box:
9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration? **Yes No**
 (b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?
10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?
 (b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.
 No Information Filed
11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?
12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
TOTAL ALTERNATIVES FUND (GENPAR) LLC
2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
TOTAL ALTERNATIVES FUND (GENPAR) LLC
3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
-
or
Other
4. *Related Person's*
 (a) CRD Number (if any):

 (b) CIK Number(s) (if any):
 No Information Filed
5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)
 (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
 (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
 (c) registered municipal advisor
 (d) registered security-based swap dealer
 (e) major security-based swap participant
 (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
 (g) futures commission merchant
 (h) banking or thrift institution
 (i) trust company
 (j) accountant or accounting firm
 (k) lawyer or law firm
 (l) insurance company or agency
 (m) pension consultant
 (n) real estate broker or dealer
 (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
 (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*? **Yes No**
7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?
8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?
 (b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?
 (c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:
 Number and Street 1: _____ Number and Street 2: _____
 City: _____ State: _____ Country: _____ ZIP+4/P: _____

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

APO GLOBAL HEALTHCARE GP S.A R.L.

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

APO GLOBAL HEALTHCARE GP S.A R.L.

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK OPERATIONS (LUXEMBOURG) S.A R.L.

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK OPERATIONS (LUXEMBOURG) S.A R.L.

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

FOREIGN PRIVATE ADVISER

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BAA REAL ASSETS (GENPAR), LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BAA REAL ASSETS (GENPAR), LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BAA REAL ASSETS II (GENPAR), LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
BAA REAL ASSETS II (GENPAR), LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
(b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
(c) registered municipal advisor
(d) registered security-based swap dealer
(e) major security-based swap participant
(f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
(g) futures commission merchant
(h) banking or thrift institution
(i) trust company
(j) accountant or accounting firm
(k) lawyer or law firm
(l) insurance company or agency
(m) pension consultant
(n) real estate broker or dealer
(o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
(p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

Yes No

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK EXECUTION SERVICES

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK EXECUTION SERVICES

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

8 - 48719

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

39438

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

CIK Number
1002197
1002197
1002197

5. *Related Person is:* (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
(b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
(c) registered municipal advisor
(d) registered security-based swap dealer
(e) major security-based swap participant
(f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
(g) futures commission merchant
(h) banking or thrift institution
(i) trust company
(j) accountant or accounting firm
(k) lawyer or law firm
(l) insurance company or agency
(m) pension consultant
(n) real estate broker or dealer
(o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
(p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

Yes No

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City: State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

ASIA-PACIFIC PRIVATE CREDIT OPPORTUNITIES FUND I (GENPAR), L.P.

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

ASIA-PACIFIC PRIVATE CREDIT OPPORTUNITIES FUND I (GENPAR), L.P.

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City: State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

PRIVATE EQUITY PARTNERS GPLP VII, L.P.

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

PRIVATE EQUITY PARTNERS GPLP VII, L.P.

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)
- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
 - (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
 - (c) registered municipal advisor
 - (d) registered security-based swap dealer
 - (e) major security-based swap participant
 - (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
 - (g) futures commission merchant
 - (h) banking or thrift institution
 - (i) trust company
 - (j) accountant or accounting firm
 - (k) lawyer or law firm
 - (l) insurance company or agency
 - (m) pension consultant
 - (n) real estate broker or dealer
 - (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
 - (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City: State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

ISHARES (DE) I INVESTMENTAKTIENGESELLSCHAFT MIT TEILGESELLSCHAFTSVERMAGEN

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

ISHARES (DE) I INVESTMENTAKTIENGESELLSCHAFT MIT TEILGESELLSCHAFTSVERMAGEN

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

CIK Number
1512562
1512562
1512562

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

(a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer

- other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City: State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

PARTICIPATING AFFILIATE

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

Name of Country/English Name of Foreign Financial Regulatory Authority

Germany - German Federal Financial Supervisory Agency

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

GLOBAL ENERGY & POWER INFRASTRUCTURE ADVISORS, L.L.C.

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

GLOBAL ENERGY & POWER INFRASTRUCTURE ADVISORS, L.L.C.

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

(a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer

(b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)

(c) registered municipal advisor

(d) registered security-based swap dealer

(e) major security-based swap participant

- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City: State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

FV GP, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

FV GP, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) *CRD* Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm

- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City: State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK PRIVATE EQUITY PRIMARIES 2021 (GENPAR), LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK PRIVATE EQUITY PRIMARIES 2021 (GENPAR), LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?
7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?
8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?
- (b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?
- (c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:
- Number and Street 1: _____ Number and Street 2: _____
City: _____ State: _____ Country: _____ ZIP+4/Postal Code: _____
If this address is a private residence, check this box:
9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration? **Yes No**
- (b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?
10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?
- (b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.
No Information Filed
11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?
12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
BLACKROCK ASIA-PACIFIC PRIVATE CREDIT OPP. FUND II (GENPAR) LLC
2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
BLACKROCK ASIA-PACIFIC PRIVATE CREDIT OPP. FUND II (GENPAR) LLC
3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
-
or
Other
4. *Related Person's*
(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):
No Information Filed
5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)
- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
(b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
(c) registered municipal advisor
(d) registered security-based swap dealer
(e) major security-based swap participant
(f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
(g) futures commission merchant
(h) banking or thrift institution
(i) trust company
(j) accountant or accounting firm
(k) lawyer or law firm
(l) insurance company or agency
(m) pension consultant
(n) real estate broker or dealer
(o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
(p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?
7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?
8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?
- (b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally

independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK PRIVATE INCOME SOLUTIONS (GENPAR), LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK PRIVATE INCOME SOLUTIONS (GENPAR), LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?
- (b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?
10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?
- (b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.
No Information Filed
11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?
12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

GCO LUX GP SARL

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

GCO LUX GP SARL

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
TCP DIRECT LENDING FUND VIII-A MM, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
TCP DIRECT LENDING FUND VIII-A MM, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
-
or
Other

4. *Related Person's*
(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

(a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer

(b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)

(c) registered municipal advisor

(d) registered security-based swap dealer

(e) major security-based swap participant

(f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)

(g) futures commission merchant

(h) banking or thrift institution

(i) trust company

(j) accountant or accounting firm

(k) lawyer or law firm

(l) insurance company or agency

(m) pension consultant

(n) real estate broker or dealer

(o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles

(p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
NMERB SIERRA BLANCA GP, LLC,

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
NMERB SIERRA BLANCA GP, LLC,

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
-
or
Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
(b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
(c) registered municipal advisor
(d) registered security-based swap dealer
(e) major security-based swap participant
(f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
(g) futures commission merchant
(h) banking or thrift institution
(i) trust company
(j) accountant or accounting firm
(k) lawyer or law firm
(l) insurance company or agency
(m) pension consultant
(n) real estate broker or dealer
(o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
(p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

Yes No

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK GLOBAL RENEWABLE POWER FUND III (GENPAR), L.P.

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK GLOBAL RENEWABLE POWER FUND III (GENPAR), L.P.

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City: State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

SCALA GP S.A R.L.

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

SCALA GP S.A R.L.

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City: State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

FAIR (GENPAR), LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

FAIR (GENPAR), LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)
- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
 - (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
 - (c) registered municipal advisor
 - (d) registered security-based swap dealer
 - (e) major security-based swap participant
 - (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
 - (g) futures commission merchant
 - (h) banking or thrift institution
 - (i) trust company
 - (j) accountant or accounting firm
 - (k) lawyer or law firm
 - (l) insurance company or agency
 - (m) pension consultant
 - (n) real estate broker or dealer
 - (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
 - (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City: State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK PROPERTY CONSULTING (BEIJING) CO., LTD.

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK PROPERTY CONSULTING (BEIJING) CO., LTD.

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

(a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer

(b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)

(c) registered municipal advisor

(d) registered security-based swap dealer

- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

FOREIGN PRIVATE ADVISER

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

Name of Country/English Name of Foreign Financial Regulatory Authority
China, People's Republic of - China Securities Regulatory Commission

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK INSTITUTIONAL TRUST COMPANY, N.A.

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK INSTITUTIONAL TRUST COMPANY, N.A.

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

CIK Number
913414
913414
913414

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

(a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer

(b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)

(c) registered municipal advisor

(d) registered security-based swap dealer

- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

EXCLUDED FROM THE DEFINITION "INVESTMENT ADVISOR" BY VIRTUE OF BEING A BANK

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

Name of Country/English Name of Foreign Financial Regulatory Authority
China, People's Republic of - China Securities Regulatory Commission
India - Securities and Exchange Board of India
United Kingdom - Financial Conduct Authority

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BIS NYC INFRASTRUCTURE EMERGING MANAGER OPPORTUNITIES GP, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BIS NYC INFRASTRUCTURE EMERGING MANAGER OPPORTUNITIES GP, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

(a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer

(b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)

(c) registered municipal advisor

(d) registered security-based swap dealer

(e) major security-based swap participant

(f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)

- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK ERI PRIVATE OPPORTUNITIES GP S.A R.L.

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK ERI PRIVATE OPPORTUNITIES GP S.A R.L.

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) *CRD* Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency

- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BAA PRIVATE CAPITAL III (GENPAR), LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BAA PRIVATE CAPITAL III (GENPAR), LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) *CRD* Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

Yes No

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?
8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?
- (b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?
- (c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:
- Number and Street 1: _____ Number and Street 2: _____
City: _____ State: _____ Country: _____ ZIP+4/Postal Code: _____
If this address is a private residence, check this box:
9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?
- (b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption? _____
10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?
- (b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.
No Information Filed
11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?
12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

Yes No

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
BLACKROCK MCKINNEY OPPORTUNITIES GP, LLC
2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
BLACKROCK MCKINNEY OPPORTUNITIES GP, LLC
3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
-
or
Other _____
4. *Related Person's*
(a) CRD Number (if any): _____
(b) CIK Number(s) (if any): _____
No Information Filed
5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)
- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
(b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
(c) registered municipal advisor
(d) registered security-based swap dealer
(e) major security-based swap participant
(f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
(g) futures commission merchant
(h) banking or thrift institution
(i) trust company
(j) accountant or accounting firm
(k) lawyer or law firm
(l) insurance company or agency
(m) pension consultant
(n) real estate broker or dealer
(o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
(p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?
7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?
8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?
- (b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are

Yes No

Yes No

maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK (CHANNEL ISLANDS) LIMITED

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK (CHANNEL ISLANDS) LIMITED

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

CIK Number
1388403
1388403
1388403

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

(a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer

(b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)

(c) registered municipal advisor

(d) registered security-based swap dealer

(e) major security-based swap participant

(f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)

(g) futures commission merchant

(h) banking or thrift institution

(i) trust company

(j) accountant or accounting firm

(k) lawyer or law firm

(l) insurance company or agency

(m) pension consultant

(n) real estate broker or dealer

(o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles

(p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

City:

State:

Number and Street 2:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

FOREIGN PRIVATE ADVISER

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

Name of Country/English Name of Foreign Financial Regulatory Authority

Jersey - Jersey Financial Services Commission

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK HEARTLAND PRIVATE OPPORTUNITIES GP, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK HEARTLAND PRIVATE OPPORTUNITIES GP, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?
- (b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?
10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?
- (b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.
No Information Filed
11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?
12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
BLACKROCK MASTER HEDGE FUND (GENPAR), LLC
2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
BLACKROCK MASTER HEDGE FUND (GENPAR), LLC
3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
-
or
Other
4. *Related Person's*
(a) *CRD* Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):
No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)
- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*? **Yes No**
7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?
8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?
- (b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?
- (c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:
Number and Street 1: _____ Number and Street 2: _____
City: _____ State: _____ Country: _____ ZIP+4/Postal Code: _____
If this address is a private residence, check this box:

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration? **Yes No**
- (b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?
10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?
- (b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.
No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?



12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?



1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

OAK MARSH GLOBAL OPPORTUNITIES FUND (GENPAR), LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

OAK MARSH GLOBAL OPPORTUNITIES FUND (GENPAR), LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?



7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?



8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?



(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?



(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?



(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?



(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?



12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?



1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
BAA CO-INVESTMENT FUND III (GENPAR), LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
BAA CO-INVESTMENT FUND III (GENPAR), LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-
or
Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

Yes No

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
SULLIVAN WAY PRIVATE OPPORTUNITIES GP, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
SULLIVAN WAY PRIVATE OPPORTUNITIES GP, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g. 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-
or
Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

Yes No

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1: _____ Number and Street 2: _____
 City: _____ State: _____ Country: _____ ZIP+4/Postal Code: _____
 If this address is a private residence, check this box:

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

Yes No

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
ORANGE 2015 DISLOCREDIT FUND (GENPAR), LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
ORANGE 2015 DISLOCREDIT FUND (GENPAR), LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
-
or
Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
(b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
(c) registered municipal advisor
(d) registered security-based swap dealer
(e) major security-based swap participant
(f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
(g) futures commission merchant
(h) banking or thrift institution
(i) trust company
(j) accountant or accounting firm
(k) lawyer or law firm
(l) insurance company or agency
(m) pension consultant
(n) real estate broker or dealer
(o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
(p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK PRIVATE EQUITY NM II, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK PRIVATE EQUITY NM II, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK SECURITIZED INVESTORS (GENPAR), LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK SECURITIZED INVESTORS (GENPAR), LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant

- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City: State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT (DUBLIN) LIMITED

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT (DUBLIN) LIMITED

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm

- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

FOREIGN PRIVATE ADVISER

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

Name of Country/English Name of Foreign Financial Regulatory Authority

Ireland - Central Bank of Ireland

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

RED RIVER PRIVATE EQUITY II, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

RED RIVER PRIVATE EQUITY II, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles

(p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK MSV PRIVATE OPPORTUNITIES GP, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK MSV PRIVATE OPPORTUNITIES GP, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?
- (b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?
- (c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:
 Number and Street 1: _____ Number and Street 2: _____
 City: _____ State: _____ Country: _____ ZIP+4/Postal Code: _____
 If this address is a private residence, check this box:
9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration? **Yes No**
 (b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?
10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?
 (b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.
 No Information Filed
11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?
12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
1885 PRIVATE OPPORTUNITIES GP, LLC
2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
1885 PRIVATE OPPORTUNITIES GP, LLC
3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
-
or
Other
4. *Related Person's*
 (a) CRD Number (if any):
 (b) CIK Number(s) (if any):
 No Information Filed
5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)
 (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
 (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
 (c) registered municipal advisor
 (d) registered security-based swap dealer
 (e) major security-based swap participant
 (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
 (g) futures commission merchant
 (h) banking or thrift institution
 (i) trust company
 (j) accountant or accounting firm
 (k) lawyer or law firm
 (l) insurance company or agency
 (m) pension consultant
 (n) real estate broker or dealer
 (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
 (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*? **Yes No**
7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?
8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?
 (b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?
 (c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:
 Number and Street 1: _____ Number and Street 2: _____

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLK2018 CORE PRIVATE EQUITY GP, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLK2018 CORE PRIVATE EQUITY GP, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) *CRD* Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ? ○ ○
- (b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered. ○ ○
- No Information Filed
11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*? ○ ○
12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location? ○ ○

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
BLACKROCK PROPERTY FRANCE SARL
2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
BLACKROCK PROPERTY FRANCE SARL
3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
-
or
Other
4. *Related Person's*
(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed
5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)
- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*? Yes No
○ ○
7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*? ○ ○
8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*? ○ ○
- (b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*? ○ ○
- (c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:
- Number and Street 1: Number and Street 2:
City: State: Country: ZIP+4/Postal Code:
If this address is a private residence, check this box:

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration? Yes No
○ ○
- (b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?
FOREIGN PRIVATE ADVISER

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ? ○ ○
- (b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered. ○ ○
- | Name of Country/English Name of Foreign Financial Regulatory Authority |
|--|
| France - Financial Markets Authority |

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*? ○ ○

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?



1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
BV PE OPPORTUNITIES GP S.A R.L.

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
BV PE OPPORTUNITIES GP S.A R.L.

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
-
or
Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

Yes No

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
COASTLINE FUND (GENPAR), LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
-
or
Other

4. *Related Person's*
(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)
- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
 - (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
 - (c) registered municipal advisor
 - (d) registered security-based swap dealer
 - (e) major security-based swap participant
 - (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
 - (g) futures commission merchant
 - (h) banking or thrift institution
 - (i) trust company
 - (j) accountant or accounting firm
 - (k) lawyer or law firm
 - (l) insurance company or agency
 - (m) pension consultant
 - (n) real estate broker or dealer
 - (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
 - (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

Yes No

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8. (a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8. (a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

Yes No

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
BLACKROCK ASIA-PACIFIC PRIVATE CREDIT OPP. FUND II (GENPAR) S.À R.L

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
BLACKROCK ASIA-PACIFIC PRIVATE CREDIT OPP. FUND II (GENPAR) S.À R.L

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

or
Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City: State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLK2019 PRIVATE OPPORTUNITIES GP, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLK2019 PRIVATE OPPORTUNITIES GP, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or
Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City: State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK ASF PRIVATE OPPORTUNITIES GP, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK ASF PRIVATE OPPORTUNITIES GP, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City: State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK GEMINI II PRIVATE OPPORTUNITIES GP, LLC,

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK GEMINI II PRIVATE OPPORTUNITIES GP, LLC,

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)

- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City: State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK HOF (GP), LLC,

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK HOF (GP), LLC,

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency

- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

MULTI-ALTERNATIVE OPPORTUNITIES FUND (GENPAR), LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

MULTI-ALTERNATIVE OPPORTUNITIES FUND (GENPAR), LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?
8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?
- (b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?
- (c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:
 Number and Street 1: _____ Number and Street 2: _____
 City: _____ State: _____ Country: _____ ZIP+4/Postal Code: _____
 If this address is a private residence, check this box:
9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration? **Yes No**
- (b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption? _____
10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?
- (b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.
 No Information Filed
11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?
12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
 BLACKROCK DIVERSIFIED PRIVATE OPPORTUNITIES GP, LLC
2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
 BLACKROCK DIVERSIFIED PRIVATE OPPORTUNITIES GP, LLC
3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
 -
 or
 Other
4. *Related Person's*
 (a) CRD Number (if any):
 (b) CIK Number(s) (if any):
 No Information Filed
5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)
- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?
7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?
8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?
- (b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are

maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

TENNENBAUM SENIOR LOAN GP II, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

TENNENBAUM SENIOR LOAN GP II, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) *CRD* Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?
- (b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?
10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?
- (b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.
No Information Filed
11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?
12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
BLACKROCK SECONDARIES & LIQUIDITY SOLUTIONS (GENPAR), LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
BLACKROCK SECONDARIES & LIQUIDITY SOLUTIONS (GENPAR), LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
-
or
Other

4. *Related Person's*
(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?
- (b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?
- (c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:
Number and Street 1: _____ Number and Street 2: _____
City: _____ State: _____ Country: _____ ZIP+4/Postal Code: _____
If this address is a private residence, check this box:

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.
No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?



12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?



1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK LIFE LIMITED

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK LIFE LIMITED

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

CIK Number
1549649
1549649
1549649

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?



7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?



8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?



(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?



(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?



(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

FOREIGN INSURANCE COMPANY

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?



(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

Name of Country/English Name of Foreign Financial Regulatory Authority
United Kingdom - Financial Conduct Authority

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
CAMP BAY FUND (GENPAR), LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
CAMP BAY FUND (GENPAR), LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
-
or
Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
BAA CO-INVESTMENT FUND V (GENPAR), LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
BAA CO-INVESTMENT FUND V (GENPAR), LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
-
or
Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
(b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
(c) registered municipal advisor
(d) registered security-based swap dealer
(e) major security-based swap participant
(f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
(g) futures commission merchant
(h) banking or thrift institution
(i) trust company
(j) accountant or accounting firm
(k) lawyer or law firm
(l) insurance company or agency
(m) pension consultant
(n) real estate broker or dealer
(o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
(p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

Yes No

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
ORANGE PEP GP, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
ORANGE PEP GP, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City: State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

GLOBAL ENERGY & POWER INFRASTRUCTURE II ADVISORS, L.L.C.

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

GLOBAL ENERGY & POWER INFRASTRUCTURE II ADVISORS, L.L.C.

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
(b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
(c) registered municipal advisor
(d) registered security-based swap dealer
(e) major security-based swap participant
(f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
(g) futures commission merchant
(h) banking or thrift institution
(i) trust company
(j) accountant or accounting firm
(k) lawyer or law firm
(l) insurance company or agency
(m) pension consultant
(n) real estate broker or dealer
(o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
(p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City: State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

HIGH STREET GP, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

HIGH STREET GP, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)
- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
 - (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
 - (c) registered municipal advisor
 - (d) registered security-based swap dealer
 - (e) major security-based swap participant
 - (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
 - (g) futures commission merchant
 - (h) banking or thrift institution
 - (i) trust company
 - (j) accountant or accounting firm
 - (k) lawyer or law firm
 - (l) insurance company or agency
 - (m) pension consultant
 - (n) real estate broker or dealer
 - (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
 - (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK DIVPEP V, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK DIVPEP V, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

(a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer

(b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)

(c) registered municipal advisor

(d) registered security-based swap dealer

- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK FUND MANAGEMENT COMPANY S.A.

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK FUND MANAGEMENT COMPANY S.A.

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

CIK Number
1559920
1559920
1559920

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)

- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City: State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

FOREIGN PRIVATE ADVISER

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

Name of Country/English Name of Foreign Financial Regulatory Authority
China, People's Republic of - China Securities Regulatory Commission
Luxembourg, Grand Duchy of - Commission to Surveillance of the Finance Sector

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

FACULTAS FUND (GENPAR), LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

FACULTAS FUND (GENPAR), LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

(a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer

(b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)

(c) registered municipal advisor

(d) registered security-based swap dealer

(e) major security-based swap participant

(f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)

(g) futures commission merchant

(h) banking or thrift institution

(i) trust company

- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

VFL CO INVEST PARTNERS GP, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

VFL CO INVEST PARTNERS GP, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles

(p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

GID PROGRAM GENERAL PARTNER S.A.R.L.

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

GID PROGRAM GENERAL PARTNER S.A.R.L.

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?
- (b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?
- (c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:
 Number and Street 1: _____ Number and Street 2: _____
 City: _____ State: _____ Country: _____ ZIP+4/Postal Code: _____
 If this address is a private residence, check this box:
9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration? **Yes No**
 (b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?
10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?
 (b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.
 No Information Filed
11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?
12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
BAA CO-INVESTMENT FUND IV (GENPAR), LLC
2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
BAA CO-INVESTMENT FUND IV (GENPAR), LLC
3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
-
or
Other
4. *Related Person's*
 (a) CRD Number (if any):
 (b) CIK Number(s) (if any):
 No Information Filed
5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)
 (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
 (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
 (c) registered municipal advisor
 (d) registered security-based swap dealer
 (e) major security-based swap participant
 (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
 (g) futures commission merchant
 (h) banking or thrift institution
 (i) trust company
 (j) accountant or accounting firm
 (k) lawyer or law firm
 (l) insurance company or agency
 (m) pension consultant
 (n) real estate broker or dealer
 (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
 (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*? **Yes No**
7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?
8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?
 (b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?
 (c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:
 Number and Street 1: _____ Number and Street 2: _____

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

NEIL ENHANCED OPPORTUNITIES FUND (GENPAR), LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

NEIL ENHANCED OPPORTUNITIES FUND (GENPAR), LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ? ○ ○
- (b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered. ○ ○
- No Information Filed
11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*? ○ ○
12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location? ○ ○

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
BLACKROCK IMPACT OPPORTUNITIES (GENPAR), LLC
2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
BLACKROCK IMPACT OPPORTUNITIES (GENPAR), LLC
3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
-
or
Other
4. *Related Person's*
(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed
5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)
- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*? Yes No
○ ○
7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*? ○ ○
8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*? ○ ○
- (b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*? ○ ○
- (c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:
- Number and Street 1: Number and Street 2:
- City: State: Country: ZIP+4/Postal Code:
- If this address is a private residence, check this box:

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration? Yes No
○ ○
- (b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?
10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ? ○ ○
- (b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered. ○ ○
- No Information Filed
11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*? ○ ○
12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location? ○ ○

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
BLACKROCK MEXICO MANAGER III, S. DE R.L. DE C.V.

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
BLACKROCK MEXICO MANAGER III, S. DE R.L. DE C.V.

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
-
or
Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

Name of Country/English Name of Foreign Financial Regulatory Authority

Mexico - National Commission for Banking and Securities

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
MARKWOOD PRIVATE OPPORTUNITIES GP, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
MARKWOOD PRIVATE OPPORTUNITIES GP, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
-
or
Other

4. *Related Person's*
(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

Yes No

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
BLACKROCK GLOBAL RENEWABLE POWER FUND III (LUX GENPAR), S.A.R.L.

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
BLACKROCK GLOBAL RENEWABLE POWER FUND III (LUX GENPAR), S.A.R.L.

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
-

or
Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

SPECIAL CREDIT OPPORTUNITIES (PARALLEL) (GENPAR) II, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

SPECIAL CREDIT OPPORTUNITIES (PARALLEL) (GENPAR) II, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8. (a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8. (a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK MEXICO INFRAESTRUCTURA I, S. DE R.L. DE C.V.

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK MEXICO INFRAESTRUCTURA I, S. DE R.L. DE C.V.

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

(a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer

- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8. (a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8. (a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK (LUXEMBOURG) S.A.

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK (LUXEMBOURG) S.A.

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

(a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer

(b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)

(c) registered municipal advisor

(d) registered security-based swap dealer

(e) major security-based swap participant

(f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)

(g) futures commission merchant

- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

FOREIGN PRIVATE ADVISER

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

Name of Country/English Name of Foreign Financial Regulatory Authority

Luxembourg, Grand Duchy of - Commission to Surveillance of the Finance Sector

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

PROJECT FINALE CO-INVESTMENT FUND (GENPAR), L.L.C.

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

PROJECT FINALE CO-INVESTMENT FUND (GENPAR), L.L.C.

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm

- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

SPECIAL CREDIT OPPORTUNITIES (GENPAR), LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

SPECIAL CREDIT OPPORTUNITIES (GENPAR), LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?
7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?
8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?
 (b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?
 (c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:
 Number and Street 1: _____ Number and Street 2: _____
 City: _____ State: _____ Country: _____ ZIP+4/Postal Code: _____
 If this address is a private residence, check this box:
9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?
 (b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?
10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?
 (b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.
 No Information Filed
11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?
12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
SPECIAL CREDIT OPPORTUNITIES (PARALLEL) (GENPAR) IV, LLC
2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
SPECIAL CREDIT OPPORTUNITIES (PARALLEL) (GENPAR) IV, LLC
3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
-
or
Other
4. *Related Person's*
 (a) CRD Number (if any):
 (b) CIK Number(s) (if any):
 No Information Filed
5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)
 (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
 (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
 (c) registered municipal advisor
 (d) registered security-based swap dealer
 (e) major security-based swap participant
 (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
 (g) futures commission merchant
 (h) banking or thrift institution
 (i) trust company
 (j) accountant or accounting firm
 (k) lawyer or law firm
 (l) insurance company or agency
 (m) pension consultant
 (n) real estate broker or dealer
 (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
 (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles
6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?
7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?
8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?
 (b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally

independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

TENNENBAUM SPECIAL SITUATIONS IX-S GP, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

TENNENBAUM SPECIAL SITUATIONS IX-S GP, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

TENNENBAUM HEARTLAND GP, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

TENNENBAUM HEARTLAND GP, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

Yes No

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City: State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

Yes No

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT (TAIWAN) LIMITED

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT (TAIWAN) LIMITED

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

FOREIGN PRIVATE ADVISER

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

Name of Country/English Name of Foreign Financial Regulatory Authority

Taiwan - Financial Supervisory Commission

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK DIVPEP II, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK DIVPEP II, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

Yes No

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK DIVPEP III LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK DIVPEP III LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

Yes No

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BAA PRIVATE CAPITAL II (GENPAR), LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BAA PRIVATE CAPITAL II (GENPAR), LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or
Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

RED RIVER PRIVATE EQUITY, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

RED RIVER PRIVATE EQUITY, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8. (a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8. (a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK RRR (GENPAR), LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK RRR (GENPAR), LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer

- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8. (a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8. (a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK PRIVATE EQUITY WY, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK PRIVATE EQUITY WY, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

(a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer

(b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)

(c) registered municipal advisor

(d) registered security-based swap dealer

(e) major security-based swap participant

(f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)

(g) futures commission merchant

- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

GID PROGRAM US GP LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

GID PROGRAM US GP LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant

- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLK2021 PRIVATE OPPORTUNITIES GP, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLK2021 PRIVATE OPPORTUNITIES GP, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common control?
8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?
- (b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?
- (c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:
 Number and Street 1: _____ Number and Street 2: _____
 City: _____ State: _____ Country: _____ ZIP+4/Postal Code: _____
 If this address is a private residence, check this box:
9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?
- (b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption? _____
10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?
- (b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.
 No Information Filed
11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?
12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
 GCO II FUND A GP S.À R.L.
2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
 GCO II FUND A GP S.À R.L.
3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
 -
 or
 Other _____
4. *Related Person's*
 (a) CRD Number (if any): _____
 (b) CIK Number(s) (if any): _____
 No Information Filed
5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)
- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
 (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
 (c) registered municipal advisor
 (d) registered security-based swap dealer
 (e) major security-based swap participant
 (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
 (g) futures commission merchant
 (h) banking or thrift institution
 (i) trust company
 (j) accountant or accounting firm
 (k) lawyer or law firm
 (l) insurance company or agency
 (m) pension consultant
 (n) real estate broker or dealer
 (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
 (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?
7. Are you and the *related person* under common control?
8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?
- (b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

PORTFOLIO ADMINISTRATION & MANAGEMENT LTD.

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

PORTFOLIO ADMINISTRATION & MANAGEMENT LTD.

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

(a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer

(b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)

(c) registered municipal advisor

(d) registered security-based swap dealer

(e) major security-based swap participant

(f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)

(g) futures commission merchant

(h) banking or thrift institution

(i) trust company

(j) accountant or accounting firm

(k) lawyer or law firm

(l) insurance company or agency

(m) pension consultant

(n) real estate broker or dealer

(o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles

(p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK FUND ADVISORS

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK FUND ADVISORS

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

801 - 22609

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) *CRD* Number (if any):

105247

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

CIK Number
1006249
1006249
1006249

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

(a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer

(b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)

(c) registered municipal advisor

(d) registered security-based swap dealer

(e) major security-based swap participant

(f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)

(g) futures commission merchant

(h) banking or thrift institution

(i) trust company

(j) accountant or accounting firm

(k) lawyer or law firm

(l) insurance company or agency

(m) pension consultant

(n) real estate broker or dealer

(o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles

(p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?



10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

Name of Country/English Name of Foreign Financial Regulatory Authority

India - Securities and Exchange Board of India



11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?



12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK GLOBAL RENEWABLE POWER FUND III (GENPAR), LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK GLOBAL RENEWABLE POWER FUND III (GENPAR), LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?



7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?



8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?



(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?



(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?



(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?



(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?



12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?



1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

NC GARNET FUND (GENPAR), LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

NC GARNET FUND (GENPAR), LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

Yes No



7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?



8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?



(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?



(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?



(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?



(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?



12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?



1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

TFO PRIVATE OPPORTUNITIES GP, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

TFO PRIVATE OPPORTUNITIES GP, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

Yes No

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK ADVISORS (UK) LIMITED

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK ADVISORS (UK) LIMITED

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

802 - 76133

or
Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) *CRD* Number (if any):
162380

(b) *CIK* Number(s) (if any):

CIK Number
1034551
1034551
1034551
1034551
1034551

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
(b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
(c) registered municipal advisor
(d) registered security-based swap dealer
(e) major security-based swap participant
(f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
(g) futures commission merchant
(h) banking or thrift institution
(i) trust company
(j) accountant or accounting firm
(k) lawyer or law firm
(l) insurance company or agency
(m) pension consultant
(n) real estate broker or dealer
(o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
(p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

Yes No

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

PARTICIPATING AFFILIATE

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

Name of Country/English Name of Foreign Financial Regulatory Authority
China, People's Republic of - China Securities Regulatory Commission
Other - SAUDI ARABIA - CAPITAL MARKET AUTHORITY
South Korea - Financial Supervisory Commission / Financial Supervisory Service
United Kingdom - Financial Conduct Authority

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK CREDIT ALPHA (GENPAR), L.L.C.

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
BLACKROCK CREDIT ALPHA (GENPAR), L.L.C.

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
(b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
(c) registered municipal advisor
(d) registered security-based swap dealer
(e) major security-based swap participant
(f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
(g) futures commission merchant
(h) banking or thrift institution
(i) trust company
(j) accountant or accounting firm
(k) lawyer or law firm
(l) insurance company or agency
(m) pension consultant
(n) real estate broker or dealer
(o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
(p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

Yes No

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City: State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BL LEPUS GENPAR LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BL LEPUS GENPAR LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
(b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
(c) registered municipal advisor
(d) registered security-based swap dealer
(e) major security-based swap participant
(f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
(g) futures commission merchant
(h) banking or thrift institution
(i) trust company
(j) accountant or accounting firm
(k) lawyer or law firm
(l) insurance company or agency
(m) pension consultant
(n) real estate broker or dealer
(o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
(p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

Yes No

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

FIXED INCOME OPPORTUNITIES NERO (GENPAR), LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

FIXED INCOME OPPORTUNITIES NERO (GENPAR), LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
 (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
 (c) registered municipal advisor
 (d) registered security-based swap dealer
 (e) major security-based swap participant
 (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
 (g) futures commission merchant
 (h) banking or thrift institution
 (i) trust company
 (j) accountant or accounting firm
 (k) lawyer or law firm
 (l) insurance company or agency
 (m) pension consultant
 (n) real estate broker or dealer
 (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
 (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

TENNENBAUM ENHANCED YIELD MM I, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

TENNENBAUM ENHANCED YIELD MM I, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
 (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)

- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

GLOBAL ENERGY & POWER INFRASTRUCTURE GP II, L.P.

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

GLOBAL ENERGY & POWER INFRASTRUCTURE GP II, L.P.

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution

- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City: State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK PRIVATE EQUITY AK, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK PRIVATE EQUITY AK, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer

- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8. (a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients*' funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8. (a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients*' assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK FUND MANAGERS LIMITED

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK FUND MANAGERS LIMITED

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

CIK Number
1388402
1388402
1388402

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1: _____ Number and Street 2: _____
City: _____ State: _____ Country: _____ ZIP+4/Postal Code: _____
If this address is a private residence, check this box:

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?
FOREIGN PRIVATE ADVISER

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

Name of Country/English Name of Foreign Financial Regulatory Authority
United Kingdom - Financial Conduct Authority

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
BLACKROCK PRIVATE EQUITY NM, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
BLACKROCK PRIVATE EQUITY NM, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
-
or
Other

4. *Related Person's*
(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):
No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)
- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
 - (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
 - (c) registered municipal advisor
 - (d) registered security-based swap dealer
 - (e) major security-based swap participant
 - (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
 - (g) futures commission merchant
 - (h) banking or thrift institution
 - (i) trust company
 - (j) accountant or accounting firm
 - (k) lawyer or law firm
 - (l) insurance company or agency
 - (m) pension consultant
 - (n) real estate broker or dealer
 - (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
 - (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?
- (b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?
- (c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:
 Number and Street 1: _____ Number and Street 2: _____
 City: _____ State: _____ Country: _____ ZIP+4/Postal Code: _____
 If this address is a private residence, check this box:
9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration? **Yes No**
 (b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?
10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?
 (b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.
 No Information Filed
11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?
12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
 BLACKROCK GLOBAL RENEWABLE POWER FUND II (GENPAR), L.P.
2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
 BLACKROCK GLOBAL RENEWABLE POWER FUND II (GENPAR), L.P.
3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
 -
 or
 Other
4. *Related Person's*
 (a) CRD Number (if any):
 (b) CIK Number(s) (if any):
 No Information Filed
5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)
- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
 (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
 (c) registered municipal advisor
 (d) registered security-based swap dealer
 (e) major security-based swap participant
 (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
 (g) futures commission merchant
 (h) banking or thrift institution
 (i) trust company
 (j) accountant or accounting firm
 (k) lawyer or law firm
 (l) insurance company or agency
 (m) pension consultant
 (n) real estate broker or dealer
 (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
 (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*? **Yes No**
7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?
8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?
 (b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?
 (c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

City:

State:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Number and Street 2:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

DECARBONIZATION PARTNERS (GENPAR) LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

DECARBONIZATION PARTNERS (GENPAR) LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) *CRD* Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

City:

State:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Number and Street 2:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?
 (b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.
 No Information Filed
11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?
12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
 BLACKROCK GEMINI II PRIVATE OPPORTUNITIES GP, LLC
2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
 BLACKROCK GEMINI II PRIVATE OPPORTUNITIES GP, LLC
3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
 -
 or
 Other
4. *Related Person's*
 (a) CRD Number (if any):

 (b) CIK Number(s) (if any):
 No Information Filed
5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)
- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
 - (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
 - (c) registered municipal advisor
 - (d) registered security-based swap dealer
 - (e) major security-based swap participant
 - (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
 - (g) futures commission merchant
 - (h) banking or thrift institution
 - (i) trust company
 - (j) accountant or accounting firm
 - (k) lawyer or law firm
 - (l) insurance company or agency
 - (m) pension consultant
 - (n) real estate broker or dealer
 - (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
 - (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles
6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*? Yes No
7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?
8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?
 (b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?
 (c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:
 Number and Street 1: _____ Number and Street 2: _____
 City: _____ State: _____ Country: _____ ZIP+4/Postal Code: _____
 If this address is a private residence, check this box:
9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration? Yes No

 (b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?
10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?
 (b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.
 No Information Filed
11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?



1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
EUROPEAN HEDGE FUND (GENPAR), LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
EUROPEAN HEDGE FUND (GENPAR), LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

Yes No



7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?



8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?



(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?



(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?



(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?



(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?



12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?



1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
BLACKROCK DIVERSIFIED PRIVATE DEBT FUND GP LTD

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
BLACKROCK DIVERSIFIED PRIVATE DEBT FUND GP LTD

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
-
or
Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

Yes No

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BIS NYC INFRASTRUCTURE EMERGING MANAGER OPPORTUNITIES GP, LLC.

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BIS NYC INFRASTRUCTURE EMERGING MANAGER OPPORTUNITIES GP, LLC.

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or
Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) *CRD* Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK GROWTH EQUITY FUND (GENPAR), LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK GROWTH EQUITY FUND (GENPAR), LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) *CRD* Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8. (a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8. (a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
NMERB SIERRA BLANCA GP, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
NMERB SIERRA BLANCA GP, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
-
or
Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

(a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer

- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8. (a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8. (a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City: State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK PRIVATE EQUITY IMPACT CAPITAL (GENPAR) LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK PRIVATE EQUITY IMPACT CAPITAL (GENPAR) LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

(a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer

(b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)

(c) registered municipal advisor

(d) registered security-based swap dealer

(e) major security-based swap participant

(f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)

(g) futures commission merchant

- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK PRIVATE EQUITY, L.P.

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK PRIVATE EQUITY, L.P.

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant

- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

MIDDLE MARKET SENIOR FUND GP S.À R.L.

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

MIDDLE MARKET SENIOR FUND GP S.À R.L.

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) *CRD* Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common control?
8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?
- (b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?
- (c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:
 Number and Street 1: _____ Number and Street 2: _____
 City: _____ State: _____ Country: _____ ZIP+4/Postal Code: _____
 If this address is a private residence, check this box:
9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?
- (b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption? _____
10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?
- (b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.
 No Information Filed
11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?
12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
 BLACKROCK DIVERSIFIED PRIVATE OPPORTUNITIES II, GP, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
 BLACKROCK DIVERSIFIED PRIVATE OPPORTUNITIES II, GP, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
 -
 or
 Other

4. *Related Person's*
 (a) CRD Number (if any):
 (b) CIK Number(s) (if any):
 No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)
- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
 (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
 (c) registered municipal advisor
 (d) registered security-based swap dealer
 (e) major security-based swap participant
 (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
 (g) futures commission merchant
 (h) banking or thrift institution
 (i) trust company
 (j) accountant or accounting firm
 (k) lawyer or law firm
 (l) insurance company or agency
 (m) pension consultant
 (n) real estate broker or dealer
 (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
 (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common control?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?
- (b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

CAMPS BAY FUND (GENPAR), LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

CAMPS BAY FUND (GENPAR), LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK SECONDARIES & LIQUIDITY SOLUTIONS (GENPAR) S.A R.L.

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK SECONDARIES & LIQUIDITY SOLUTIONS (GENPAR) S.A R.L.

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) *CRD* Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

(a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer

(b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)

(c) registered municipal advisor

(d) registered security-based swap dealer

(e) major security-based swap participant

(f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)

(g) futures commission merchant

(h) banking or thrift institution

(i) trust company

(j) accountant or accounting firm

(k) lawyer or law firm

(l) insurance company or agency

(m) pension consultant

(n) real estate broker or dealer

(o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles

(p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?



1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
HEATHROW FOREST OPPORTUNITIES GP, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
HEATHROW FOREST OPPORTUNITIES GP, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

Yes No

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK INVESTMENTS, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

8 - 48436

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

38642

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

CIK Number
1528987
1528987
1528987

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

Yes No

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City: State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK MÉXICO OPERADORA, S.A. DE C.V., SOCIEDAD OPERADORA DE FONDOS DE INVERSION

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
BLACKROCK MÉXICO OPERADORA, S.A. DE C.V., SOCIEDAD OPERADORA DE FONDOS DE INVERSION

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or
Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
(b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
(c) registered municipal advisor
(d) registered security-based swap dealer
(e) major security-based swap participant
(f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
(g) futures commission merchant
(h) banking or thrift institution
(i) trust company
(j) accountant or accounting firm
(k) lawyer or law firm
(l) insurance company or agency
(m) pension consultant
(n) real estate broker or dealer
(o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
(p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

Yes No

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

FOREIGN PRIVATE ADVISER

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

Name of Country/English Name of Foreign Financial Regulatory Authority

Mexico - National Commission for Banking and Securities

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK PRIVATE EQUITY CO-INVESTMENTS 2021 (GENPAR), LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK PRIVATE EQUITY CO-INVESTMENTS 2021 (GENPAR), LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-
or
Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City: State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

TENNENBAUM SPECIAL SITUATIONS MM IX-A, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

TENNENBAUM SPECIAL SITUATIONS MM IX-A, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-
or
Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

TENNENBAUM CAPITAL PARTNERS, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

TENNENBAUM CAPITAL PARTNERS, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

801 - 60384

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) *CRD* Number (if any):

113203

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City: State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK POF I, L.P.

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK POF I, L.P.

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)

- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8. (a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8. (a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City: State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

GCO LUX MULTI-FEEDER GP S.A.R.L.

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

GCO LUX MULTI-FEEDER GP S.A.R.L.

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency

- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK GP VI LTD

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

BLACKROCK GP VI LTD

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?
8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?
- (b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?
- (c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:
 Number and Street 1: _____ Number and Street 2: _____
 City: _____ State: _____ Country: _____ ZIP+4/Postal Code: _____
 If this address is a private residence, check this box:
9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration? **Yes No**
- (b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption? _____
10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?
- (b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.
 No Information Filed
11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?
12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
 TENNENBAUM ENERGY OPPORTUNITIES GP, LLC
2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
 TENNENBAUM ENERGY OPPORTUNITIES GP, LLC
3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
 -
 or
 Other
4. *Related Person's*
 (a) CRD Number (if any): _____
 (b) CIK Number(s) (if any): _____
 No Information Filed
5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)
- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?
7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?
8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?
- (b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are

maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

TACL PRIVATE MARKETS GP, LLC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

TACL PRIVATE MARKETS GP, LLC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) *CRD* Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?
- (b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?
10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?
- (b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.
- No Information Filed
11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?
12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

Item 7 Private Fund Reporting

- Yes No
- B. Are you an adviser to any *private fund*?

If "yes," then for each private fund that you advise, you must complete a Section 7.B.(1) of Schedule D, except in certain circumstances described in the next sentence and in Instruction 6 of the Instructions to Part 1A. If you are registered or applying for registration with the SEC or reporting as an SEC exempt reporting adviser, and another SEC-registered adviser or SEC exempt reporting adviser reports this information with respect to any such private fund in Section 7.B.(1) of Schedule D of its Form ADV (e.g., if you are a subadviser), do not complete Section 7.B.(1) of Schedule D with respect to that private fund. You must, instead, complete Section 7.B.(2) of Schedule D.

In either case, if you seek to preserve the anonymity of a private fund client by maintaining its identity in your books and records in numerical or alphabetical code, or similar designation, pursuant to rule 204-2(d), you may identify the private fund in Section 7.B.(1) or 7.B.(2) of Schedule D using the same code or designation in place of the fund's name.

SECTION 7.B.(1) Private Fund Reporting

No Information Filed

SECTION 7.B.(2) Private Fund Reporting

No Information Filed

Item 8 Participation or Interest in Client Transactions

In this Item, we request information about your participation and interest in your *clients'* transactions. This information identifies additional areas in which conflicts of interest may occur between you and your *clients*. Newly-formed advisers should base responses to these questions on the types of participation and interest that you expect to engage in during the next year.

Like Item 7, Item 8 requires you to provide information about you and your *related persons*, including foreign affiliates.

Proprietary Interest in Client Transactions

- A. Do you or any *related person*:
- | | Yes | No |
|--|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| (1) buy securities for yourself from advisory <i>clients</i> , or sell securities you own to advisory <i>clients</i> (principal transactions)? | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| (2) buy or sell for yourself securities (other than shares of mutual funds) that you also recommend to advisory <i>clients</i> ? | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| (3) recommend securities (or other investment products) to advisory <i>clients</i> in which you or any <i>related person</i> has some other proprietary (ownership) interest (other than those mentioned in Items 8.A.(1) or (2))? | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

Sales Interest in Client Transactions

- B. Do you or any *related person*:
- | | Yes | No |
|--|----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| (1) as a broker-dealer or registered representative of a broker-dealer, execute securities trades for brokerage customers in which advisory <i>client</i> securities are sold to or bought from the brokerage customer (agency cross transactions)? | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| (2) recommend to advisory <i>clients</i> , or act as a purchaser representative for advisory <i>clients</i> with respect to, the purchase of securities for which you or any <i>related person</i> serves as underwriter or general or managing partner? | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| (3) recommend purchase or sale of securities to advisory <i>clients</i> for which you or any <i>related person</i> has any other sales interest (other than the receipt of sales commissions as a broker or registered representative of a broker-dealer)? | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

Investment or Brokerage Discretion

- C. Do you or any *related person* have *discretionary authority* to determine the:
- | | Yes | No |
|--|----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| (1) securities to be bought or sold for a <i>client's</i> account? | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| (2) amount of securities to be bought or sold for a <i>client's</i> account? | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| (3) broker or dealer to be used for a purchase or sale of securities for a <i>client's</i> account? | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| (4) commission rates to be paid to a broker or dealer for a <i>client's</i> securities transactions? | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

- D. If you answer "yes" to C.(3) above, are any of the brokers or dealers *related persons*?
- E. Do you or any *related person* recommend brokers or dealers to *clients*?
- F. If you answer "yes" to E. above, are any of the brokers or dealers *related persons*?
- G. (1) Do you or any *related person* receive research or other products or services other than execution from a broker-dealer or a third party ("soft dollar benefits") in connection with *client* securities transactions?
- (2) If "yes" to G.(1) above, are all the "soft dollar benefits" you or any *related persons* receive eligible "research or brokerage services" under section 28(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934?
- H. (1) Do you or any *related person*, directly or indirectly, compensate any *person* that is not an *employee* for *client* referrals?
- (2) Do you or any *related person*, directly or indirectly, provide any *employee* compensation that is specifically related to obtaining *clients* for the firm (cash or non-cash compensation in addition to the *employee's* regular salary)?
- I. Do you or any *related person*, including any *employee*, directly or indirectly, receive compensation from any *person* (other than you or any *related person*) for *client* referrals?
- In your response to Item 8.I., do not include the regular salary you pay to an employee.*
- In responding to Items 8.H. and 8.I., consider all cash and non-cash compensation that you or a related person gave to (in answering Item 8.H.) or received from (in answering Item 8.I.) any person in exchange for client referrals, including any bonus that is based, at least in part, on the number or amount of client referrals.*

Item 9 Custody

In this Item, we ask you whether you or a *related person* has *custody* of *client* (other than *clients* that are investment companies registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940) assets and about your custodial practices.

- A. (1) Do you have *custody* of any advisory *clients'*: **Yes No**
- (a) cash or bank accounts?
- (b) securities?

If you are registering or registered with the SEC, answer "No" to Item 9.A.(1)(a) and (b) if you have custody solely because (i) you deduct your advisory fees directly from your clients' accounts, or (ii) a related person has custody of client assets in connection with advisory services you provide to clients, but you have overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to Advisers Act rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the related person.

- (2) If you checked "yes" to Item 9.A.(1)(a) or (b), what is the approximate amount of *client* funds and securities and total number of *clients* for which you have *custody*:
- | U.S. Dollar Amount | Total Number of <i>Clients</i> |
|--------------------|--------------------------------|
| (a) \$ | (b) |

If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have custody solely because you deduct your advisory fees directly from your clients' accounts, do not include the amount of those assets and the number of those clients in your response to Item 9.A.(2). If your related person has custody of client assets in connection with advisory services you provide to clients, do not include the amount of those assets and number of those clients in your response to 9.A.(2). Instead, include that information in your response to Item 9.B.(2).

- B. (1) In connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*, do any of your *related persons* have *custody* of any of your advisory *clients'*: **Yes No**
- (a) cash or bank accounts?
- (b) securities?

You are required to answer this item regardless of how you answered Item 9.A.(1)(a) or (b).

- (2) If you checked "yes" to Item 9.B.(1)(a) or (b), what is the approximate amount of *client* funds and securities and total number of *clients* for which your *related persons* have *custody*:
- | U.S. Dollar Amount | Total Number of <i>Clients</i> |
|--------------------|--------------------------------|
| (a) \$ | (b) |

- C. If you or your *related persons* have *custody* of *client* funds or securities in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*, check all the following that apply:
- (1) A qualified custodian(s) sends account statements at least quarterly to the investors in the pooled investment vehicle(s) you manage.
- (2) An *independent public accountant* audits annually the pooled investment vehicle(s) that you manage and the audited financial statements are distributed to the investors in the pools.
- (3) An *independent public accountant* conducts an annual surprise examination of *client* funds and securities.
- (4) An *independent public accountant* prepares an internal control report with respect to custodial services when you or your *related persons* are qualified custodians for *client* funds and securities.

If you checked Item 9.C.(2), C.(3) or C.(4), list in Section 9.C. of Schedule D the accountants that are engaged to perform the audit or examination or prepare an internal control report. (If you checked Item 9.C.(2), you do not have to list auditor information in Section 9.C. of Schedule D if you already provided this information with respect to the private funds you advise in Section 7.B.(1) of Schedule D).

Yes No

D. Do you or your *related person(s)* act as qualified custodians for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(1) you act as a qualified custodian

(2) your *related person(s)* act as qualified custodian(s)

If you checked "yes" to Item 9.D.(2), all related persons that act as qualified custodians (other than any mutual fund transfer agent pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(b)(1)) must be identified in Section 7.A. of Schedule D, regardless of whether you have determined the related person to be operationally independent under rule 206(4)-2 of the Advisers Act.

E. If you are filing your *annual updating amendment* and you were subject to a surprise examination by an *independent public accountant* during your last fiscal year, provide the date (MM/YYYY) the examination commenced:

F. If you or your *related persons* have *custody of client* funds or securities, how many *persons*, including, but not limited to, you and your *related persons*, act as qualified custodians for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

SECTION 9.C. Independent Public Accountant

No Information Filed

Item 10 Control Persons

In this Item, we ask you to identify every *person* that, directly or indirectly, *controls* you. If you are filing an *umbrella registration*, the information in Item 10 should be provided for the *filing adviser* only.

If you are submitting an initial application or report, you must complete Schedule A and Schedule B. Schedule A asks for information about your direct owners and executive officers. Schedule B asks for information about your indirect owners. If this is an amendment and you are updating information you reported on either Schedule A or Schedule B (or both) that you filed with your initial application or report, you must complete Schedule C.

Yes No

A. Does any *person* not named in Item 1.A. or Schedules A, B, or C, directly or indirectly, *control* your management or policies?

If yes, complete Section 10.A. of Schedule D.

B. If any *person* named in Schedules A, B, or C or in Section 10.A. of Schedule D is a public reporting company under Sections 12 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, please complete Section 10.B. of Schedule D.

SECTION 10.A. Control Persons

No Information Filed

SECTION 10.B. Control Person Public Reporting Companies

B. If any *person* named in Schedules A, B, or C, or in Section 10.A. of Schedule D is a public reporting company under Sections 12 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, please provide the following information (you must complete a separate Schedule D Section 10.B. for each public reporting company):

(1) Full legal name of the public reporting company:

BLACKROCK, INC.

(2) The public reporting company's CIK number (Central Index Key number that the SEC assigns to each reporting company):

1364742

Item 11 Disclosure Information

In this Item, we ask for information about your disciplinary history and the disciplinary history of all your *advisory affiliates*. We use this information to determine whether to grant your application for registration, to decide whether to revoke your registration or to place limitations on your activities as an investment adviser, and to identify potential problem areas to focus on during our on-site examinations. One event may result in "yes" answers to more than one of the questions below. In accordance with General Instruction 5 to Form ADV, "you" and "your" include the *filing adviser* and all *relying advisers* under an *umbrella registration*.

Your *advisory affiliates* are: (1) all of your current *employees* (other than *employees* performing only clerical, administrative, support or similar functions); (2) all of your officers, partners, or directors (or any *person* performing similar functions); and (3) all *persons* directly or indirectly *controlling* you or *controlled* by you. If you are a "separately identifiable department or division" (SID) of a bank, see the Glossary of Terms to determine who your *advisory affiliates* are.

If you are registered or registering with the SEC or if you are an exempt reporting adviser, you may limit your disclosure of any event listed in Item 11 to ten years following the date of the event. If you are registered or registering with a state, you must respond to the questions as posed; you may, therefore, limit your disclosure to ten years following the date of an event only in responding to Items 11.A.(1), 11.A.(2), 11.B.(1), 11.B.(2), 11.D.(4), and 11.H.(1)(a). For purposes of calculating this ten-year period, the date of an event is the date the final order, judgment, or decree was entered, or the date any rights of appeal from preliminary orders, judgments, or decrees lapsed.

You must complete the appropriate Disclosure Reporting Page ("DRP") for "yes" answers to the questions in this Item 11.

Yes No

Do any of the events below involve you or any of your *supervised persons*?

For "yes" answers to the following questions, complete a Criminal Action DRP:

- | | Yes | No |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------------------|
| A. In the past ten years, have you or any <i>advisory affiliate</i> : | | |
| (1) been convicted of or pled guilty or nolo contendere ("no contest") in a domestic, foreign, or military court to any <i>felony</i> ? | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| (2) been <i>charged</i> with any <i>felony</i> ? | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |

If you are registered or registering with the SEC, or if you are reporting as an exempt reporting adviser, you may limit your response to Item 11.A.(2) to charges that are currently pending.

- | | | |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------------------|
| B. In the past ten years, have you or any <i>advisory affiliate</i> : | | |
| (1) been convicted of or pled guilty or nolo contendere ("no contest") in a domestic, foreign, or military court to a <i>misdemeanor</i> involving: investments or an <i>investment-related</i> business, or any fraud, false statements, or omissions, wrongful taking of property, bribery, perjury, forgery, counterfeiting, extortion, or a conspiracy to commit any of these offenses? | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| (2) been <i>charged</i> with a <i>misdemeanor</i> listed in Item 11.B.(1)? | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |

If you are registered or registering with the SEC, or if you are reporting as an exempt reporting adviser, you may limit your response to Item 11.B.(2) to charges that are currently pending.

For "yes" answers to the following questions, complete a Regulatory Action DRP:

- | | Yes | No |
|--|----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| C. Has the SEC or the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) ever: | | |
| (1) <i>found</i> you or any <i>advisory affiliate</i> to have made a false statement or omission? | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| (2) <i>found</i> you or any <i>advisory affiliate</i> to have been <i>involved</i> in a violation of SEC or CFTC regulations or statutes? | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| (3) <i>found</i> you or any <i>advisory affiliate</i> to have been a cause of an <i>investment-related</i> business having its authorization to do business denied, suspended, revoked, or restricted? | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| (4) entered an <i>order</i> against you or any <i>advisory affiliate</i> in connection with <i>investment-related</i> activity? | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| (5) imposed a civil money penalty on you or any <i>advisory affiliate</i> , or <i>ordered</i> you or any <i>advisory affiliate</i> to cease and desist from any activity? | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

- | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| D. Has any other federal regulatory agency, any state regulatory agency, or any <i>foreign financial regulatory authority</i> : | | |
| (1) ever <i>found</i> you or any <i>advisory affiliate</i> to have made a false statement or omission, or been dishonest, unfair, or unethical? | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| (2) ever <i>found</i> you or any <i>advisory affiliate</i> to have been <i>involved</i> in a violation of <i>investment-related</i> regulations or statutes? | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| (3) ever <i>found</i> you or any <i>advisory affiliate</i> to have been a cause of an <i>investment-related</i> business having its authorization to do business denied, suspended, revoked, or restricted? | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| (4) in the past ten years, entered an <i>order</i> against you or any <i>advisory affiliate</i> in connection with an <i>investment-related</i> activity? | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| (5) ever denied, suspended, or revoked your or any <i>advisory affiliate's</i> registration or license, or otherwise prevented you or any <i>advisory affiliate</i> , by <i>order</i> , from associating with an <i>investment-related</i> business or restricted your or any <i>advisory affiliate's</i> activity? | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

- | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| E. Has any <i>self-regulatory organization</i> or commodities exchange ever: | | |
| (1) <i>found</i> you or any <i>advisory affiliate</i> to have made a false statement or omission? | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| (2) <i>found</i> you or any <i>advisory affiliate</i> to have been <i>involved</i> in a violation of its rules (other than a violation designated as a " <i>minor rule violation</i> " under a plan approved by the SEC)? | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| (3) <i>found</i> you or any <i>advisory affiliate</i> to have been the cause of an <i>investment-related</i> business having its authorization to do business denied, suspended, revoked, or restricted? | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| (4) disciplined you or any <i>advisory affiliate</i> by expelling or suspending you or the <i>advisory affiliate</i> from membership, barring or suspending you or the <i>advisory affiliate</i> from association with other members, or otherwise restricting your or the <i>advisory affiliate's</i> activities? | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |

- | | | |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------------------|
| F. Has an authorization to act as an attorney, accountant, or federal contractor granted to you or any <i>advisory affiliate</i> ever been revoked or suspended? | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------------------|

- | | | |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------------------|
| G. Are you or any <i>advisory affiliate</i> now the subject of any regulatory <i>proceeding</i> that could result in a "yes" answer to any part of Item 11.C., 11.D., or 11.E.? | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------------------|

For "yes" answers to the following questions, complete a Civil Judicial Action DRP:

- | | Yes | No |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------------------|
| H. (1) Has any domestic or foreign court: | | |
| (a) in the past ten years, <i>enjoined</i> you or any <i>advisory affiliate</i> in connection with any <i>investment-related</i> activity? | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| (b) ever <i>found</i> that you or any <i>advisory affiliate</i> were <i>involved</i> in a violation of <i>investment-related</i> statutes or regulations? | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| (c) ever dismissed, pursuant to a settlement agreement, an <i>investment-related</i> civil action brought against you or any <i>advisory affiliate</i> by a state or <i>foreign financial regulatory authority</i> ? | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| (2) Are you or any <i>advisory affiliate</i> now the subject of any civil <i>proceeding</i> that could result in a "yes" answer to any part of Item 11.H.(1)? | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |

Item 12 Small Businesses

The SEC is required by the Regulatory Flexibility Act to consider the effect of its regulations on small entities. In order to do this, we need to determine whether you meet the definition of "small business" or "small organization" under rule 0-7.

Answer this Item 12 only if you are registered or registering with the SEC **and** you indicated in response to Item 5.F.(2)(c) that you have regulatory assets under management of less than \$25 million. You are not required to answer this Item 12 if you are filing for initial registration as a state adviser, amending a current state registration, or switching from SEC to state registration.

For purposes of this Item 12 only:

- Total Assets refers to the total assets of a firm, rather than the assets managed on behalf of *clients*. In determining your or another *person's* total assets, you may use the total assets shown on a current balance sheet (but use total assets reported on a consolidated balance sheet with subsidiaries included, if that amount is larger).
- *Control* means the power to direct or cause the direction of the management or policies of a *person*, whether through ownership of securities, by contract, or otherwise. Any *person* that directly or indirectly has the right to vote 25 percent or more of the voting securities, or is entitled to 25 percent or more of the profits, of another *person* is presumed to *control* the other *person*.

Yes No

A. Did you have total assets of \$5 million or more on the last day of your most recent fiscal year?

If "yes," you do not need to answer Items 12.B. and 12.C.

B. Do you:

(1) *control* another investment adviser that had regulatory assets under management (calculated in response to Item 5.F.(2)(c) of Form ADV) of \$25 million or more on the last day of its most recent fiscal year?

(2) *control* another *person* (other than a natural person) that had total assets of \$5 million or more on the last day of its most recent fiscal year?

C. Are you:

(1) *controlled* by or under common *control* with another investment adviser that had regulatory assets under management (calculated in response to Item 5.F.(2)(c) of Form ADV) of \$25 million or more on the last day of its most recent fiscal year?

(2) *controlled* by or under common *control* with another *person* (other than a natural person) that had total assets of \$5 million or more on the last day of its most recent fiscal year?

Schedule A

Direct Owners and Executive Officers

- Complete Schedule A only if you are submitting an initial application or report. Schedule A asks for information about your direct owners and executive officers. Use Schedule C to amend this information.
- Direct Owners and Executive Officers. List below the names of:
 - each Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Chief Operations Officer, Chief Legal Officer, Chief Compliance Officer (Chief Compliance Officer is required if you are registered or applying for registration and cannot be more than one individual), director, and any other individuals with similar status or functions;
 - if you are organized as a corporation, each shareholder that is a direct owner of 5% or more of a class of your voting securities, unless you are a public reporting company (a company subject to Section 12 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act);
Direct owners include any *person* that owns, beneficially owns, has the right to vote, or has the power to sell or direct the sale of, 5% or more of a class of your voting securities. For purposes of this Schedule, a *person* beneficially owns any securities: (i) owned by his/her child, stepchild, grandchild, parent, stepparent, grandparent, spouse, sibling, mother-in-law, father-in-law, son-in-law, daughter-in-law, brother-in-law, or sister-in-law, sharing the same residence; or (ii) that he/she has the right to acquire, within 60 days, through the exercise of any option, warrant, or right to purchase the security.
 - if you are organized as a partnership, all general partners and those limited and special partners that have the right to receive upon dissolution, or have contributed, 5% or more of your capital;
 - in the case of a trust that directly owns 5% or more of a class of your voting securities, or that has the right to receive upon dissolution, or has contributed, 5% or more of your capital, the trust and each trustee; and
 - if you are organized as a limited liability company ("LLC"), (i) those members that have the right to receive upon dissolution, or have contributed, 5% or more of your capital, and (ii) if managed by elected managers, all elected managers.
- Do you have any indirect owners to be reported on Schedule B? Yes No
- In the DE/FE/I column below, enter "DE" if the owner is a domestic entity, "FE" if the owner is an entity incorporated or domiciled in a foreign country, or "I" if the owner or executive officer is an individual.
- Complete the Title or Status column by entering board/management titles; status as partner, trustee, sole proprietor, elected manager, shareholder, or member; and for shareholders or members, the class of securities owned (if more than one is issued).
- Ownership codes are: NA - less than 5% B - 10% but less than 25% D - 50% but less than 75%
A - 5% but less than 10% C - 25% but less than 50% E - 75% or more
- (a) In the *Control Person* column, enter "Yes" if the *person* has *control* as defined in the Glossary of Terms to Form ADV, and enter "No" if the *person* does not have *control*. Note that under this definition, most executive officers and all 25% owners, general partners, elected managers, and trustees are *control persons*.
(b) In the PR column, enter "PR" if the owner is a public reporting company under Sections 12 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act.
(c) Complete each column.

FULL LEGAL NAME (Individuals: Last Name, First Name, Middle Name)	DE/FE/I	Title or Status	Date Title or Status Acquired MM/YYYY	Ownership Code	Control Person	PR	CRD No. If None: S.S. No. and Date of Birth, IRS Tax No. or Employer ID No.
BLACKROCK CAPITAL HOLDINGS, INC.	DE	SOLE MEMBER	09/2006	E	Y	N	
WALTCHER, DANIEL, RUSSELL	I	DIRECTOR	01/2010	NA	Y	N	2903300
SHEDLIN, GARY, STEPHEN	I	CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER	05/2013	NA	Y	N	2094106
PARK, CHARLES, CHOON SIK	I	CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER	06/2014	NA	Y	N	2704483
GOLDSTEIN, ROBERT, LAWRENCE	I	CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER	06/2014	NA	Y	N	2253416
FINK, LAURENCE, DOUGLAS	I	CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER	09/1994	NA	Y	N	830137
KAPITO, ROBERT, STEVEN	I	PRESIDENT	09/2007	NA	Y	N	728399
SHEDLIN, GARY, STEPHEN	I	DIRECTOR	10/2015	NA	Y	N	2094106
GOLDSTEIN, ROBERT, LAWRENCE	I	DIRECTOR	10/2015	NA	Y	N	2253416
Meade, Christopher, Joseph	I	GENERAL COUNSEL AND CHIEF LEGAL OFFICER	11/2016	NA	Y	N	6728448

Schedule B

Indirect Owners

- Complete Schedule B only if you are submitting an initial application or report. Schedule B asks for information about your indirect owners; you must first complete Schedule A, which asks for information about your direct owners. Use Schedule C to amend this information.

2. Indirect Owners. With respect to each owner listed on Schedule A (except individual owners), list below:

(a) in the case of an owner that is a corporation, each of its shareholders that beneficially owns, has the right to vote, or has the power to sell or direct the sale of, 25% or more of a class of a voting security of that corporation;

For purposes of this Schedule, a *person* beneficially owns any securities: (i) owned by his/her child, stepchild, grandchild, parent, stepparent, grandparent, spouse, sibling, mother-in-law, father-in-law, son-in-law, daughter-in-law, brother-in-law, or sister-in-law, sharing the same residence; or (ii) that he/she has the right to acquire, within 60 days, through the exercise of any option, warrant, or right to purchase the security.

(b) in the case of an owner that is a partnership, all general partners and those limited and special partners that have the right to receive upon dissolution, or have contributed, 25% or more of the partnership's capital;

(c) in the case of an owner that is a trust, the trust and each trustee; and

(d) in the case of an owner that is a limited liability company ("LLC"), (i) those members that have the right to receive upon dissolution, or have contributed, 25% or more of the LLC's capital, and (ii) if managed by elected managers, all elected managers.

3. Continue up the chain of ownership listing all 25% owners at each level. Once a public reporting company (a company subject to Sections 12 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act) is reached, no further ownership information need be given.

4. In the DE/FE/I column below, enter "DE" if the owner is a domestic entity, "FE" if the owner is an entity incorporated or domiciled in a foreign country, or "I" if the owner is an individual.

5. Complete the Status column by entering the owner's status as partner, trustee, elected manager, shareholder, or member; and for shareholders or members, the class of securities owned (if more than one is issued).

6. Ownership codes are: C - 25% but less than 50% E - 75% or more
D - 50% but less than 75% F - Other (general partner, trustee, or elected manager)

7. (a) In the *Control Person* column, enter "Yes" if the *person* has *control* as defined in the Glossary of Terms to Form ADV, and enter "No" if the *person* does not have *control*. Note that under this definition, most executive officers and all 25% owners, general partners, elected managers, and trustees are *control persons*.

(b) In the PR column, enter "PR" if the owner is a public reporting company under Sections 12 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act.

(c) Complete each column.

FULL LEGAL NAME (Individuals: Last Name, First Name, Middle Name)	DE/FE/I	Entity in Which Interest is Owned	Status	Date Status Acquired MM/YYYY	Ownership Code	Control Person	PR	CRD No. If None: S.S. No. and Date of Birth, IRS Tax No. or Employer ID No.
BLACKROCK, INC.	DE	BLACKROCK HOLDCO 2, INC.	SOLE SHAREHOLDER	09/2006	E	Y	Y	
BLACKROCK HOLDCO 2, INC.	DE	BLACKROCK FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT, INC.	SOLE SHAREHOLDER	03/2015	E	Y	N	
BLACKROCK FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT, INC.	DE	BLACKROCK CAPITAL HOLDINGS, INC.	SOLE SHAREHOLDER	03/2015	E	Y	N	

Schedule D - Miscellaneous

You may use the space below to explain a response to an Item or to provide any other information.

Schedule R

No Information Filed

DRP Pages

CRIMINAL DISCLOSURE REPORTING PAGE (ADV)

No Information Filed

REGULATORY ACTION DISCLOSURE REPORTING PAGE (ADV)

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

This Disclosure Reporting Page (DRP ADV) is an INITIAL **OR** AMENDED response used to report details for affirmative responses to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. of Form ADV.

Regulatory Action

Check item(s) being responded to:

- | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(1) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(2) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(3) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(4) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(5) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(1) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 11.D(2) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(3) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(4) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(5) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(1) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(2) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(3) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(4) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.F. | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.G. | | | |

Use a separate DRP for each event or *proceeding*. The same event or *proceeding* may be reported for more than one *person* or entity using one DRP. File with a completed Execution Page.

One event may result in more than one affirmative answer to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. Use only one DRP to report details related to the same event. If an event gives rise to actions by more than one regulator, provide details for each action on a separate DRP.

PART I

A. The *person(s)* or entity(ies) for whom this DRP is being filed is (are):

- You (the advisory firm)
- You and one or more of your *advisory affiliates*
- One or more of your *advisory affiliates*

If this DRP is being filed for an *advisory affiliate*, give the full name of the *advisory affiliate* below (for individuals, Last name, First name, Middle name).

If the *advisory affiliate* has a *CRD* number, provide that number. If not, indicate "non-registered" by checking the appropriate box.

ADV DRP - ADVISORY AFFILIATE

<i>CRD</i> Number:	This <i>advisory affiliate</i> is <input checked="" type="radio"/> a Firm <input type="radio"/> an Individual
Registered:	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Name:	BLACKROCK, INC. (For individuals, Last, First, Middle)

- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because the *advisory affiliate(s)* is no longer associated with the adviser.
- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because: (1) the event or *proceeding* occurred more than ten years ago or (2) the adviser is registered or applying for registration with the SEC or reporting as an *exempt reporting adviser* with the SEC and the event was resolved in the adviser's or *advisory affiliate's* favor.

If you are registered or registering with a *state securities authority*, you may remove a DRP for an event you reported only in response to Item 11.D(4), and only if that event occurred more than ten years ago. If you are registered or registering with the SEC, you may remove a DRP for any event listed in Item 11 that occurred more than ten years ago.

- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because it was filed in error, such as due to a clerical or data-entry mistake. Explain the circumstances:

B. If the *advisory affiliate* is registered through the IARD system or *CRD* system, has the *advisory affiliate* submitted a DRP (with Form ADV, BD or U-4) to the IARD or *CRD* for the event? If the answer is "Yes," no other information on this DRP must be provided.

- Yes No

NOTE: The completion of this form does not relieve the *advisory affiliate* of its obligation to update its IARD or *CRD* records.

PART II

1. Regulatory Action initiated by:

- SEC Other Federal State SRO Foreign

(Full name of regulator, *foreign financial regulatory authority*, federal, state, or SRO)

FINANSTILSYNET, THE SUPERVISORY AUTHORITY OF NORWAY

2. Principal Sanction:

Civil and Administrative Penalt(ies) /Fine(s)

Other Sanctions:

3. Date Initiated (MM/DD/YYYY):

10/31/2011 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

4. Docket/Case Number:

5. *Advisory Affiliate* Employing Firm when activity occurred which led to the regulatory action (if applicable):

6. Principal Product Type:

Other

Other Product Types:

INVESTMENT IN NORWEGIAN EQUITY

7. Describe the allegations related to this regulatory action (your response must fit within the space provided):

NOTIFICATION THAT BLACKROCK'S HOLDINGS EXCEEDED 5% OF THE SHARE CAPITAL OF THE NORWEGIAN COMPANY CERMAQ WAS SUBMITTED LATE.

8. Current Status? Pending On Appeal Final

9. If on appeal, regulatory action appealed to (SEC, SRO, Federal or State Court) and Date Appeal Filed:

If Final or On Appeal, complete all items below. For Pending Actions, complete Item 13 only.

10. How was matter resolved:

Decision

11. Resolution Date (MM/DD/YYYY):

10/31/2011 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

12. Resolution Detail:

A. Were any of the following Sanctions *Ordered* (check all appropriate items)?

Monetary/Fine Amount: \$

Revocation/Expulsion/Denial

Censure

Bar

Disgorgement/Restitution

Cease and Desist/Injunction

Suspension

B. Other Sanctions *Ordered*:

PAYMENT OF VIOLATION CHARGE IN NORWEGIAN CURRENCY.

Sanction detail: if suspended, *enjoined* or barred, provide duration including start date and capacities affected (General Securities Principal, Financial Operations Principal, etc.). If requalification by exam/retraining was a condition of the sanction, provide length of time given to requalify/retrain, type of exam required and whether condition has been satisfied. If disposition resulted in a fine, penalty, restitution, disgorgement or monetary compensation, provide total amount, portion levied against you or an *advisory affiliate*, date paid and if any portion of penalty was waived:

PAYMENT OF VIOLATION CHARGE OF 100,000 NOK

13. Provide a brief summary of details related to the action status and (or) disposition and include relevant terms, conditions and dates (your response must fit within the space provided).

VIOLATION CHARGE INCURRED DUE TO LATE DISCLOSURE NOTIFICATION.

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

This Disclosure Reporting Page (DRP ADV) is an INITIAL **OR** AMENDED response used to report details for affirmative responses to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. of Form ADV.

Regulatory Action

Check item(s) being responded to:

11.C(1)

11.C(2)

11.C(3)

11.C(4)

11.C(5)

11.D(1)

11.D(2)

11.D(3)

11.D(4)

11.D(5)

11.E(1)

11.E(2)

11.E(3)

11.E(4)

11.F.

11.G.

Use a separate DRP for each event or *proceeding*. The same event or *proceeding* may be reported for more than one *person* or entity using one DRP. File with a completed Execution Page.

One event may result in more than one affirmative answer to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. Use only one DRP to report details related to the same event. If an event gives rise to actions by more than one regulator, provide details for each action on a separate DRP.

PART I

A. The *person(s)* or entity(ies) for whom this DRP is being filed is (are):

You (the advisory firm)

You and one or more of your *advisory affiliates*

One or more of your *advisory affiliates*

If this DRP is being filed for an *advisory affiliate*, give the full name of the *advisory affiliate* below (for individuals, Last name, First name, Middle name).

If the *advisory affiliate* has a CRD number, provide that number. If not, indicate "non-registered" by checking the appropriate box.

ADV DRP - ADVISORY AFFILIATE

CRD Number:

This *advisory affiliate* is a Firm an Individual

Registered: Yes No

Name: BLACKROCK, INC.
(For individuals, Last, First, Middle)

This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because the *advisory affiliate(s)* is no longer associated with the adviser.

This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because: (1) the event or *proceeding* occurred more than ten years ago or (2) the adviser is registered or applying for registration with

the SEC or reporting as an *exempt reporting adviser* with the SEC and the event was resolved in the adviser's or *advisory affiliate's* favor.

If you are registered or registering with a *state securities authority*, you may remove a DRP for an event you reported only in response to Item 11.D(4), and only if that event occurred more than ten years ago. If you are registered or registering with the SEC, you may remove a DRP for any event listed in Item 11 that occurred more than ten years ago.

This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because it was filed in error, such as due to a clerical or data-entry mistake. Explain the circumstances:

B. If the *advisory affiliate* is registered through the IARD system or *CRD* system, has the *advisory affiliate* submitted a DRP (with Form ADV, BD or U-4) to the IARD or *CRD* for the event? If the answer is "Yes," no other information on this DRP must be provided.

Yes No

NOTE: The completion of this form does not relieve the *advisory affiliate* of its obligation to update its IARD or *CRD* records.

PART II

1. Regulatory Action initiated by:

SEC Other Federal State *SRO* Foreign

(Full name of regulator, *foreign financial regulatory authority*, federal, state, or *SRO*)

FINANSINSPEKTIONEN, THE SWEDISH FINANCIAL SUPERVISORY AUTHORITY

2. Principal Sanction:

Civil and Administrative Penalt(ies) /Fine(s)

Other Sanctions:

3. Date Initiated (MM/DD/YYYY):

12/13/2011 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

4. Docket/Case Number:

5. *Advisory Affiliate* Employing Firm when activity occurred which led to the regulatory action (if applicable):

6. Principal Product Type:

Options

Other Product Types:

INVESTMENT IN SWEDISH EQUITY

7. Describe the allegations related to this regulatory action (your response must fit within the space provided):

NOTIFICATION THAT BLACKROCK'S HOLDINGS GOING BELOW 5% OF THE SHARE CAPITAL OF THE SWEDISH COMPANY ELECTROLUX AB WAS SUBMITTED LATE.

8. Current Status? Pending On Appeal Final

9. If on appeal, regulatory action appealed to (SEC, *SRO*, Federal or State Court) and Date Appeal Filed:

If Final or On Appeal, complete all items below. For Pending Actions, complete Item 13 only.

10. How was matter resolved:

Decision

11. Resolution Date (MM/DD/YYYY):

12/13/2011 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

12. Resolution Detail:

A. Were any of the following Sanctions *Ordered* (check all appropriate items)?

Monetary/Fine Amount: \$

Revocation/Expulsion/Denial

Censure

Bar

Disgorgement/Restitution

Cease and Desist/Injunction

Suspension

B. Other Sanctions *Ordered*:

PAYMENT OF SPECIAL FEE IN SWEDISH CURRENCY.

Sanction detail: if suspended, *enjoined* or barred, provide duration including start date and capacities affected (General Securities Principal, Financial Operations Principal, etc.). If requalification by exam/retraining was a condition of the sanction, provide length of time given to requalify/retrain, type of exam required and whether condition has been satisfied. If

disposition resulted in a fine, penalty, restitution, disgorgement or monetary compensation, provide total amount, portion levied against you or an *advisory affiliate*, date paid and if any portion of penalty was waived:
PAYMENT OF SPECIAL FEE OF 1,000,000 SEK.

13. Provide a brief summary of details related to the action status and (or) disposition and include relevant terms, conditions and dates (your response must fit within the space provided).
SPECIAL FEE INCURRED DUE TO LATE DISCLOSURE NOTIFICATION.

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

This Disclosure Reporting Page (DRP ADV) is an INITIAL **OR** AMENDED response used to report details for affirmative responses to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. of Form ADV.

Regulatory Action

Check item(s) being responded to:

- | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(1) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(2) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(3) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(4) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(5) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(1) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 11.D(2) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(3) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(4) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(5) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(1) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(2) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(3) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(4) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.F. | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.G. | | | |

Use a separate DRP for each event or *proceeding*. The same event or *proceeding* may be reported for more than one *person* or entity using one DRP. File with a completed Execution Page.

One event may result in more than one affirmative answer to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. Use only one DRP to report details related to the same event. If an event gives rise to actions by more than one regulator, provide details for each action on a separate DRP.

PART I

A. The *person(s)* or entity(ies) for whom this DRP is being filed is (are):

- You (the advisory firm)
 You and one or more of your *advisory affiliates*
 One or more of your *advisory affiliates*

If this DRP is being filed for an *advisory affiliate*, give the full name of the *advisory affiliate* below (for individuals, Last name, First name, Middle name).

If the *advisory affiliate* has a *CRD* number, provide that number. If not, indicate "non-registered" by checking the appropriate box.

ADV DRP - ADVISORY AFFILIATE

<i>CRD</i> Number:	This <i>advisory affiliate</i> is <input checked="" type="radio"/> a Firm <input type="radio"/> an Individual
Registered:	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Name:	BLACKROCK, INC. (For individuals, Last, First, Middle)

- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because the *advisory affiliate(s)* is no longer associated with the adviser.
 This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because: (1) the event or *proceeding* occurred more than ten years ago or (2) the adviser is registered or applying for registration with the SEC or reporting as an *exempt reporting adviser* with the SEC and the event was resolved in the adviser's or *advisory affiliate's* favor.

If you are registered or registering with a *state securities authority*, you may remove a DRP for an event you reported only in response to Item 11.D(4), and only if that event occurred more than ten years ago. If you are registered or registering with the SEC, you may remove a DRP for any event listed in Item 11 that occurred more than ten years ago.

- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because it was filed in error, such as due to a clerical or data-entry mistake. Explain the circumstances:

B. If the *advisory affiliate* is registered through the IARD system or *CRD* system, has the *advisory affiliate* submitted a DRP (with Form ADV, BD or U-4) to the IARD or *CRD* for the event? If the answer is "Yes," no other information on this DRP must be provided.

- Yes No

NOTE: The completion of this form does not relieve the *advisory affiliate* of its obligation to update its IARD or *CRD* records.

PART II

1. Regulatory Action initiated by:

- SEC Other Federal State SRO Foreign

(Full name of regulator, *foreign financial regulatory authority*, federal, state, or SRO)

FINANSINSPEKTIONEN, SWEDISH FINANCIAL SUPERVISORY AUTHORITY

2. Principal Sanction:

Civil and Administrative Penalt(ies) /Fine(s)

Other Sanctions:

3. Date Initiated (MM/DD/YYYY):
02/20/2012 Exact Explanation
If not exact, provide explanation:
4. Docket/Case Number:
5. *Advisory Affiliate* Employing Firm when activity occurred which led to the regulatory action (if applicable):

6. Principal Product Type:
Other
Other Product Types:
INVESTMENT IN SWEDISH EQUITY

7. Describe the allegations related to this regulatory action (your response must fit within the space provided):
NOTIFICATION THAT BLACKROCK'S HOLDINGS BELOW 5% OF THE SHARE CAPITAL OF THE COMPANY WIHLBORGS WAS SUBMITTED LATE.

8. Current Status? Pending On Appeal Final

9. If on appeal, regulatory action appealed to (SEC, SRO, Federal or State Court) and Date Appeal Filed:

If Final or On Appeal, complete all items below. For Pending Actions, complete Item 13 only.

10. How was matter resolved:
Decision

11. Resolution Date (MM/DD/YYYY):
02/20/2012 Exact Explanation
If not exact, provide explanation:

12. Resolution Detail:

A. Were any of the following Sanctions *Ordered* (check all appropriate items)?

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Monetary/Fine Amount: \$ | <input type="checkbox"/> Disgorgement/Restitution |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Revocation/Expulsion/Denial | <input type="checkbox"/> Cease and Desist/Injunction |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Censure | <input type="checkbox"/> Suspension |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Bar | |

B. Other Sanctions *Ordered*:

PAYMENT OF SPECIAL FEE IN SWEDISH CURRENCY.

Sanction detail: if suspended, *enjoined* or barred, provide duration including start date and capacities affected (General Securities Principal, Financial Operations Principal, etc.). If requalification by exam/retraining was a condition of the sanction, provide length of time given to requalify/retrain, type of exam required and whether condition has been satisfied. If disposition resulted in a fine, penalty, restitution, disgorgement or monetary compensation, provide total amount, portion levied against you or an *advisory affiliate*, date paid and if any portion of penalty was waived:

PAYMENT OF SPECIAL FEE OF 50,000 SEK.

13. Provide a brief summary of details related to the action status and (or) disposition and include relevant terms, conditions and dates (your response must fit within the space provided).
SPECIAL FEE INCURRED DUE TO LATE DISCLOSURE NOTIFICATION.

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

This Disclosure Reporting Page (DRP ADV) is an INITIAL **OR** AMENDED response used to report details for affirmative responses to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. of Form ADV.

Regulatory Action

Check item(s) being responded to:

- | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(1) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(2) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(3) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(4) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(5) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(1) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 11.D(2) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(3) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(4) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(5) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(1) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(2) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(3) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(4) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.F. | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.G. | | | |

Use a separate DRP for each event or *proceeding*. The same event or *proceeding* may be reported for more than one *person* or entity using one DRP. File with a completed Execution Page.

One event may result in more than one affirmative answer to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. Use only one DRP to report details related to the same event. If an event gives rise to actions

by more than one regulator, provide details for each action on a separate DRP.

PART I

A. The *person(s)* or entity(ies) for whom this DRP is being filed is (are):

- You (the advisory firm)
- You and one or more of your *advisory affiliates*
- One or more of your *advisory affiliates*

If this DRP is being filed for an *advisory affiliate*, give the full name of the *advisory affiliate* below (for individuals, Last name, First name, Middle name).

If the *advisory affiliate* has a *CRD* number, provide that number. If not, indicate "non-registered" by checking the appropriate box.

ADV DRP - ADVISORY AFFILIATE

<i>CRD</i> Number:	This <i>advisory affiliate</i> is <input checked="" type="radio"/> a Firm <input type="radio"/> an Individual
Registered:	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Name:	BLACKROCK, INC. (For individuals, Last, First, Middle)

- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because the *advisory affiliate(s)* is no longer associated with the adviser.
- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because: (1) the event or *proceeding* occurred more than ten years ago or (2) the adviser is registered or applying for registration with the SEC or reporting as an *exempt reporting adviser* with the SEC and the event was resolved in the adviser's or *advisory affiliate's* favor.

If you are registered or registering with a *state securities authority*, you may remove a DRP for an event you reported only in response to Item 11.D(4), and only if that event occurred more than ten years ago. If you are registered or registering with the SEC, you may remove a DRP for any event listed in Item 11 that occurred more than ten years ago.

- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because it was filed in error, such as due to a clerical or data-entry mistake. Explain the circumstances:

B. If the *advisory affiliate* is registered through the IARD system or *CRD* system, has the *advisory affiliate* submitted a DRP (with Form ADV, BD or U-4) to the IARD or *CRD* for the event? If the answer is "Yes," no other information on this DRP must be provided.

- Yes No

NOTE: The completion of this form does not relieve the *advisory affiliate* of its obligation to update its IARD or *CRD* records.

PART II

1. Regulatory Action initiated by:

- SEC Other Federal State *SRO* Foreign

(Full name of regulator, *foreign financial regulatory authority*, federal, state, or *SRO*)

FINANSINSPEKTIONEN, SWEDISH FINANCIAL SUPERVISORY AUTHORITY

2. Principal Sanction:

Civil and Administrative Penalt(ies) /Fine(s)

Other Sanctions:

3. Date Initiated (MM/DD/YYYY):

05/24/2012 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

4. Docket/Case Number:

5. *Advisory Affiliate* Employing Firm when activity occurred which led to the regulatory action (if applicable):

6. Principal Product Type:

Other

Other Product Types:

INVESTMENT IN SWEDISH EQUITY

7. Describe the allegations related to this regulatory action (your response must fit within the space provided):

NOTIFICATION THAT BLACKROCK'S HOLDINGS GOING BELOW 5% OF THE SHARE CAPITAL OF THE COMPANY BOLIDEN WAS SUBMITTED LATE.

8. Current Status? Pending On Appeal Final

9. If on appeal, regulatory action appealed to (SEC, *SRO*, Federal or State Court) and Date Appeal Filed:

If Final or On Appeal, complete all items below. For Pending Actions, complete Item 13 only.

10. How was matter resolved:

Decision

11. Resolution Date (MM/DD/YYYY):

05/24/2012 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

12. Resolution Detail:

A. Were any of the following Sanctions *Ordered* (check all appropriate items)?

Monetary/Fine Amount: \$

Revocation/Expulsion/Denial

Censure

Bar

Disgorgement/Restitution

Cease and Desist/Injunction

Suspension

B. Other Sanctions *Ordered*:

PAYMENT OF SPECIAL FEE IN SWEDISH CURRENCY.

Sanction detail: if suspended, *enjoined* or barred, provide duration including start date and capacities affected (General Securities Principal, Financial Operations Principal, etc.). If requalification by exam/retraining was a condition of the sanction, provide length of time given to requalify/retrain, type of exam required and whether condition has been satisfied. If disposition resulted in a fine, penalty, restitution, disgorgement or monetary compensation, provide total amount, portion levied against you or an *advisory affiliate*, date paid and if any portion of penalty was waived:

PAYMENT OF SPECIAL FEE OF 430,000 SEK.

13. Provide a brief summary of details related to the action status and (or) disposition and include relevant terms, conditions and dates (your response must fit within the space provided).

SPECIAL FEE INCURRED DUE TO LATE DISCLOSURE NOTIFICATION.

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

This Disclosure Reporting Page (DRP ADV) is an INITIAL **OR** AMENDED response used to report details for affirmative responses to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. of Form ADV.

Regulatory Action

Check item(s) being responded to:

11.C(1)

11.C(2)

11.C(3)

11.C(4)

11.C(5)

11.D(1)

11.D(2)

11.D(3)

11.D(4)

11.D(5)

11.E(1)

11.E(2)

11.E(3)

11.E(4)

11.F.

11.G.

Use a separate DRP for each event or *proceeding*. The same event or *proceeding* may be reported for more than one *person* or entity using one DRP. File with a completed Execution Page.

One event may result in more than one affirmative answer to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. Use only one DRP to report details related to the same event. If an event gives rise to actions by more than one regulator, provide details for each action on a separate DRP.

PART I

A. The *person(s)* or entity(ies) for whom this DRP is being filed is (are):

You (the advisory firm)

You and one or more of your *advisory affiliates*

One or more of your *advisory affiliates*

If this DRP is being filed for an *advisory affiliate*, give the full name of the *advisory affiliate* below (for individuals, Last name, First name, Middle name).

If the *advisory affiliate* has a *CRD* number, provide that number. If not, indicate "non-registered" by checking the appropriate box.

ADV DRP - *ADVISORY AFFILIATE*

CRD Number:

This *advisory affiliate* is a Firm an Individual

Registered: Yes No

Name: BLACKROCK, INC.

(For individuals, Last, First, Middle)

This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because the *advisory affiliate(s)* is no longer associated with the adviser.

This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because: (1) the event or *proceeding* occurred more than ten years ago or (2) the adviser is registered or applying for registration with the SEC or reporting as an *exempt reporting adviser* with the SEC and the event was resolved in the adviser's or *advisory affiliate's* favor.

If you are registered or registering with a *state securities authority*, you may remove a DRP for an event you reported only in response to Item 11.D(4), and only if that event occurred more than ten years ago. If you are registered or registering with the SEC, you may remove a DRP for any event listed in Item 11 that occurred more than ten years ago.

This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because it was filed in error, such as due to a clerical or data-entry mistake. Explain the circumstances:

B. If the *advisory affiliate* is registered through the IARD system or *CRD* system, has the *advisory affiliate* submitted a DRP (with Form ADV, BD or U-4) to the IARD or *CRD* for the event? If the answer is "Yes," no other information on this DRP must be provided.

Yes No

NOTE: The completion of this form does not relieve the *advisory affiliate* of its obligation to update its IARD or *CRD* records.

PART II

1. Regulatory Action initiated by:

SEC Other Federal State SRO Foreign

(Full name of regulator, *foreign financial regulatory authority*, federal, state, or *SRO*)

BAFIN, GERMAN FEDERAL FINANCIAL SUPERVISORY AUTHORITY

2. Principal Sanction:

Civil and Administrative Penalt(ies) /Fine(s)

Other Sanctions:

3. Date Initiated (MM/DD/YYYY):

06/18/2012 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

4. Docket/Case Number:

5. *Advisory Affiliate* Employing Firm when activity occurred which led to the regulatory action (if applicable):

6. Principal Product Type:

Other

Other Product Types:

INVESTMENT IN GERMAN EQUITY

7. Describe the allegations related to this regulatory action (your response must fit within the space provided):

AN ENTITY IS REQUIRED TO FILE A NOTIFICATION ("NOTIFICATION FILING") WHEN ITS SHAREHOLDINGS OF A SECURITY, WHOSE HOME COUNTRY IS THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMAN, REACH, EXCEED OR FALL BELOW PRESCRIBED LIMITS ("THRESHOLD LIMITS"). WHEN THE THRESHOLD LIMITS ARE REACHED, NOTIFICATION FILINGS ARE TO BE FILLED WITH THE GERMAN FEDERAL FINANCIAL SUPERVISORY AUTHORITY ("BAFIN") AND ISSUER OF THE SECURITY WITHIN A SPECIFIED TIME PERIOD. WHILE BLACKROCK ("BLACKROCK, INC. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES") FILED THE NOTIFICATION FILINGS FOR CERTAIN SECURITIES, THE FILINGS WERE NOT COMPLETED WITHIN THE REQUIRED TIME PERIOD.

8. Current Status? Pending On Appeal Final

9. If on appeal, regulatory action appealed to (SEC, *SRO*, Federal or State Court) and Date Appeal Filed:

If Final or On Appeal, complete all items below. For Pending Actions, complete Item 13 only.

10. How was matter resolved:

Decision

11. Resolution Date (MM/DD/YYYY):

06/18/2012 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

12. Resolution Detail:

A. Were any of the following Sanctions *Ordered* (check all appropriate items)?

Monetary/Fine Amount: \$ 238,386.00

Revocation/Expulsion/Denial

Censure

Bar

Disgorgement/Restitution

Cease and Desist/Injunction

Suspension

B. Other Sanctions *Ordered*:

Sanction detail: if suspended, *enjoined* or barred, provide duration including start date and capacities affected (General Securities Principal, Financial Operations Principal, etc.). If

requalification by exam/retraining was a condition of the sanction, provide length of time given to requalify/retrain, type of exam required and whether condition has been satisfied. If disposition resulted in a fine, penalty, restitution, disgorgement or monetary compensation, provide total amount, portion levied against you or an *advisory affiliate*, date paid and if any portion of penalty was waived:

PAYMENT OF FINE 167,503.50 EUROS

13. Provide a brief summary of details related to the action status and (or) disposition and include relevant terms, conditions and dates (your response must fit within the space provided).

BAFIN IMPOSED A FINE OF 167,503.50 EUROS (APPROXIMATELY \$ 238,386 AS OF JUNE 18, 2012) FOR BLACKROCK BEING IN CONTRAVENTION OF THE REQUIREMENT TO FILE NOTIFICATION FILINGS WITHIN THE SPECIFIED TIME. BLACKROCK HAS AGREED TO PAY THE FINE.

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

This Disclosure Reporting Page (DRP ADV) is an INITIAL **OR** AMENDED response used to report details for affirmative responses to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. of Form ADV.

Regulatory Action

Check item(s) being responded to:

- | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(1) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(2) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(3) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(4) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(5) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(1) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 11.D(2) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(3) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(4) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(5) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(1) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(2) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(3) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(4) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.F. | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.G. | | | |

Use a separate DRP for each event or *proceeding*. The same event or *proceeding* may be reported for more than one *person* or entity using one DRP. File with a completed Execution Page.

One event may result in more than one affirmative answer to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. Use only one DRP to report details related to the same event. If an event gives rise to actions by more than one regulator, provide details for each action on a separate DRP.

PART I

A. The *person(s)* or entity(ies) for whom this DRP is being filed is (are):

- You (the advisory firm)
- You and one or more of your *advisory affiliates*
- One or more of your *advisory affiliates*

If this DRP is being filed for an *advisory affiliate*, give the full name of the *advisory affiliate* below (for individuals, Last name, First name, Middle name).

If the *advisory affiliate* has a *CRD* number, provide that number. If not, indicate "non-registered" by checking the appropriate box.

ADV DRP - ADVISORY AFFILIATE

CRD Number: _____ This *advisory affiliate* is a Firm an Individual

Registered: Yes No

Name: BLACKROCK, INC.
(For individuals, Last, First, Middle)

- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because the *advisory affiliate(s)* is no longer associated with the adviser.
- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because: (1) the event or *proceeding* occurred more than ten years ago or (2) the adviser is registered or applying for registration with the SEC or reporting as an *exempt reporting adviser* with the SEC and the event was resolved in the adviser's or *advisory affiliate's* favor.

If you are registered or registering with a *state securities authority*, you may remove a DRP for an event you reported only in response to Item 11.D(4), and only if that event occurred more than ten years ago. If you are registered or registering with the SEC, you may remove a DRP for any event listed in Item 11 that occurred more than ten years ago.

- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because it was filed in error, such as due to a clerical or data-entry mistake. Explain the circumstances:

B. If the *advisory affiliate* is registered through the IARD system or *CRD* system, has the *advisory affiliate* submitted a DRP (with Form ADV, BD or U-4) to the IARD or *CRD* for the event? If the answer is "Yes," no other information on this DRP must be provided.

- Yes No

NOTE: The completion of this form does not relieve the *advisory affiliate* of its obligation to update its IARD or *CRD* records.

PART II

1. Regulatory Action initiated by:

- SEC Other Federal State SRO Foreign

(Full name of regulator, *foreign financial regulatory authority*, federal, state, or *SRO*)

COMMISSIONE NAZIONALE PER LE SOCIETA E LA BORSA ("CONSOB") - ITALY

2. Principal Sanction:

Civil and Administrative Penalt(ies) /Fine(s)

Other Sanctions:

3. Date Initiated (MM/DD/YYYY):

12/29/2012 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

4. Docket/Case Number:

5. *Advisory Affiliate* Employing Firm when activity occurred which led to the regulatory action (if applicable):

6. Principal Product Type:

Other

Other Product Types:

INVESTMENT IN ITALIAN EQUITY

7. Describe the allegations related to this regulatory action (your response must fit within the space provided):

A RETRACTION AND SUBSEQUENT RE-NOTIFICATION OF A PREVIOUSLY FILED INCORRECT NOTIFICATION OF BLACKROCK'S HOLDINGS OF THE SHARE CAPITAL OF THE ITALIAN COMPANY UNICREDIT S.P.A. WAS SUBMITTED LATE.

8. Current Status? Pending On Appeal Final

9. If on appeal, regulatory action appealed to (SEC, SRO, Federal or State Court) and Date Appeal Filed:

If Final or On Appeal, complete all items below. For Pending Actions, complete Item 13 only.

10. How was matter resolved:

Decision

11. Resolution Date (MM/DD/YYYY):

01/11/2013 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

12. Resolution Detail:

A. Were any of the following Sanctions *Ordered* (check all appropriate items)?

Monetary/Fine Amount: \$ 12,900.00

Revocation/Expulsion/Denial

Censure

Bar

Disgorgement/Restitution

Cease and Desist/Injunction

Suspension

B. Other Sanctions *Ordered*:

Sanction detail: if suspended, *enjoined* or barred, provide duration including start date and capacities affected (General Securities Principal, Financial Operations Principal, etc.). If requalification by exam/retraining was a condition of the sanction, provide length of time given to requalify/retrain, type of exam required and whether condition has been satisfied. If disposition resulted in a fine, penalty, restitution, disgorgement or monetary compensation, provide total amount, portion levied against you or an *advisory affiliate*, date paid and if any portion of penalty was waived:

VIOLATION CHARGE INCURRED FOR LATE DISCLOSURE OF RETRACTION AND CORRECTED NOTIFICATION. MONETARY FINE OF 10,000 EURO (APPROXIMATELY \$12,900 USD).

13. Provide a brief summary of details related to the action status and (or) disposition and include relevant terms, conditions and dates (your response must fit within the space provided).

MONETARY FINE PAID.

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

This Disclosure Reporting Page (DRP ADV) is an INITIAL **OR** AMENDED response used to report details for affirmative responses to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. of Form ADV.

Regulatory Action

Check item(s) being responded to:

11.C(1)

11.C(2)

11.C(3)

11.C(4)

11.C(5)

11.D(1)

11.D(2)

11.D(3)

11.D(4)

11.D(5)

11.E(1)

11.E(2)

11.E(3)

11.E(4)

11.F.

11.G.

Use a separate DRP for each event or *proceeding*. The same event or *proceeding* may be reported for more than one *person* or entity using one DRP. File with a completed Execution Page.

One event may result in more than one affirmative answer to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. Use only one DRP to report details related to the same event. If an event gives rise to actions by more than one regulator, provide details for each action on a separate DRP.

PART I

A. The *person(s)* or entity(ies) for whom this DRP is being filed is (are):

- You (the advisory firm)
- You and one or more of your *advisory affiliates*
- One or more of your *advisory affiliates*

If this DRP is being filed for an *advisory affiliate*, give the full name of the *advisory affiliate* below (for individuals, Last name, First name, Middle name).

If the *advisory affiliate* has a *CRD* number, provide that number. If not, indicate "non-registered" by checking the appropriate box.

ADV DRP - ADVISORY AFFILIATE

<i>CRD</i> Number:	This <i>advisory affiliate</i> is <input checked="" type="radio"/> a Firm <input type="radio"/> an Individual
Registered:	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Name:	BLACKROCK INC (For individuals, Last, First, Middle)

- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because the *advisory affiliate(s)* is no longer associated with the adviser.
- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because: (1) the event or *proceeding* occurred more than ten years ago or (2) the adviser is registered or applying for registration with the SEC or reporting as an *exempt reporting adviser* with the SEC and the event was resolved in the adviser's or *advisory affiliate's* favor.

If you are registered or registering with a *state securities authority*, you may remove a DRP for an event you reported only in response to Item 11.D(4), and only if that event occurred more than ten years ago. If you are registered or registering with the SEC, you may remove a DRP for any event listed in Item 11 that occurred more than ten years ago.

- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because it was filed in error, such as due to a clerical or data-entry mistake. Explain the circumstances:

B. If the *advisory affiliate* is registered through the IARD system or *CRD* system, has the *advisory affiliate* submitted a DRP (with Form ADV, BD or U-4) to the IARD or *CRD* for the event? If the answer is "Yes," no other information on this DRP must be provided.

- Yes No

NOTE: The completion of this form does not relieve the *advisory affiliate* of its obligation to update its IARD or *CRD* records.

PART II

1. Regulatory Action initiated by:

- SEC Other Federal State *SRO* Foreign

(Full name of regulator, *foreign financial regulatory authority*, federal, state, or *SRO*)

FEDERAL DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE - SWITZERLAND ("FDF")

2. Principal Sanction:

Civil and Administrative Penalt(ies) /Fine(s)

Other Sanctions:

3. Date Initiated (MM/DD/YYYY):

02/28/2012 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

4. Docket/Case Number:

5. *Advisory Affiliate* Employing Firm when activity occurred which led to the regulatory action (if applicable):

6. Principal Product Type:

Other

Other Product Types:

INVESTMENT IN EQUITY SECURITIES OF SWISS ISSUERS

7. Describe the allegations related to this regulatory action (your response must fit within the space provided):

THE FDF ALLEGED THAT BLACKROCK HAD NOT FILED, WITHIN THE SPECIFIED TIME PERIOD, REPORTS THAT ITS COLLECTIVE OWNERSHIP OF SECURITIES IN SWISS ISSUERS CROSSED CERTAIN OWNERSHIP THRESHOLDS.

8. Current Status? Pending On Appeal Final

9. If on appeal, regulatory action appealed to (SEC, SRO, Federal or State Court) and Date Appeal Filed:

If Final or On Appeal, complete all items below. For Pending Actions, complete Item 13 only.

10. How was matter resolved:

Settled

11. Resolution Date (MM/DD/YYYY):

02/21/2013 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

12. Resolution Detail:

A. Were any of the following Sanctions *Ordered* (check all appropriate items)?

Monetary/Fine Amount: \$ 533,790.00

Revocation/Expulsion/Denial

Censure

Bar

Disgorgement/Restitution

Cease and Desist/Injunction

Suspension

B. Other Sanctions *Ordered*:

Sanction detail: if suspended, *enjoined* or barred, provide duration including start date and capacities affected (General Securities Principal, Financial Operations Principal, etc.). If requalification by exam/retraining was a condition of the sanction, provide length of time given to requalify/retrain, type of exam required and whether condition has been satisfied. If disposition resulted in a fine, penalty, restitution, disgorgement or monetary compensation, provide total amount, portion levied against you or an *advisory affiliate*, date paid and if any portion of penalty was waived:

FDF IMPOSED A FINE OF 500,000 CHF (APPROXIMATELY \$ 533,790 USD)

13. Provide a brief summary of details related to the action status and (or) disposition and include relevant terms, conditions and dates (your response must fit within the space provided).

MONETARY FIND PAID

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

This Disclosure Reporting Page (DRP ADV) is an INITIAL **OR** AMENDED response used to report details for affirmative responses to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. of Form ADV.

Regulatory Action

Check item(s) being responded to:

<input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(1)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(2)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(3)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(4)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(5)
<input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(1)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 11.D(2)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(3)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(4)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(5)
<input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(1)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(2)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(3)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(4)	
<input type="checkbox"/> 11.F.	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.G.			

Use a separate DRP for each event or *proceeding*. The same event or *proceeding* may be reported for more than one *person* or entity using one DRP. File with a completed Execution Page.

One event may result in more than one affirmative answer to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. Use only one DRP to report details related to the same event. If an event gives rise to actions by more than one regulator, provide details for each action on a separate DRP.

PART I

A. The *person(s)* or entity(ies) for whom this DRP is being filed is (are):

- You (the advisory firm)
- You and one or more of your *advisory affiliates*
- One or more of your *advisory affiliates*

If this DRP is being filed for an *advisory affiliate*, give the full name of the *advisory affiliate* below (for individuals, Last name, First name, Middle name).

If the *advisory affiliate* has a CRD number, provide that number. If not, indicate "non-registered" by checking the appropriate box.

ADV DRP - ADVISORY AFFILIATE

CRD Number:

This *advisory affiliate* is a Firm an Individual

Registered: Yes No

Name: BLACKROCK, INC.
(For individuals, Last, First, Middle)

- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because the *advisory affiliate(s)* is no longer associated with the adviser.
- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because: (1) the event or *proceeding* occurred more than ten years ago or (2) the adviser is registered or applying for registration with the SEC or reporting as an *exempt reporting adviser* with the SEC and the event was resolved in the adviser's or *advisory affiliate's* favor.

If you are registered or registering with a *state securities authority*, you may remove a DRP for an event you reported only in response to Item 11.D(4), and only if that event occurred more than ten years ago. If you are registered or registering with the SEC, you may remove a DRP for any event listed in Item 11 that occurred more than ten years ago.

- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because it was filed in error, such as due to a clerical or data-entry mistake. Explain the circumstances:

- B. If the *advisory affiliate* is registered through the IARD system or *CRD* system, has the *advisory affiliate* submitted a DRP (with Form ADV, BD or U-4) to the IARD or *CRD* for the event? If the answer is "Yes," no other information on this DRP must be provided.

Yes No

NOTE: The completion of this form does not relieve the *advisory affiliate* of its obligation to update its IARD or *CRD* records.

PART II

1. Regulatory Action initiated by:

SEC Other Federal State SRO Foreign

(Full name of regulator, *foreign financial regulatory authority*, federal, state, or *SRO*)

COMMISSIONE NAZIONALE PER LE SOCIETA E LA BORSA - ITALY ("CONSOB")

2. Principal Sanction:

Civil and Administrative Penalt(ies) /Fine(s)

Other Sanctions:

3. Date Initiated (MM/DD/YYYY):

06/28/2013 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

4. Docket/Case Number:

5. *Advisory Affiliate* Employing Firm when activity occurred which led to the regulatory action (if applicable):

6. Principal Product Type:

Other

Other Product Types:

INVESTMENT IN EQUITY SECURITY OF AN ITALIAN ISSUER

7. Describe the allegations related to this regulatory action (your response must fit within the space provided):

THE CONSOB ALLEGED THAT BLACKROCK HAD NOT FILED, WITHIN THE SPECIFIED TIME PERIOD, REPORTS THAT ITS COLLECTIVE OWNERSHIP OF SECURITIES IN AN ITALIAN ISSUER CROSSED CERTAIN OWNERSHIP THRESHOLDS.

8. Current Status? Pending On Appeal Final

9. If on appeal, regulatory action appealed to (SEC, *SRO*, Federal or State Court) and Date Appeal Filed:

If Final or On Appeal, complete all items below. For Pending Actions, complete Item 13 only.

10. How was matter resolved:

Settled

11. Resolution Date (MM/DD/YYYY):

08/12/2013 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

12. Resolution Detail:

- A. Were any of the following Sanctions *Ordered* (check all appropriate items)?

Monetary/Fine Amount: \$ 13,340.00

Revocation/Expulsion/Denial

Censure

Bar

Disgorgement/Restitution

Cease and Desist/Injunction

Suspension

B. Other Sanctions Ordered:

Sanction detail: if suspended, *enjoined* or barred, provide duration including start date and capacities affected (General Securities Principal, Financial Operations Principal, etc.). If requalification by exam/retraining was a condition of the sanction, provide length of time given to requalify/retrain, type of exam required and whether condition has been satisfied. If disposition resulted in a fine, penalty, restitution, disgorgement or monetary compensation, provide total amount, portion levied against you or an *advisory affiliate*, date paid and if any portion of penalty was waived:

CONSOB IMPOSED A FINE OF 10,000 EURO (APPROXIMATELY 13,340 USD)

13. Provide a brief summary of details related to the action status and (or) disposition and include relevant terms, conditions and dates (your response must fit within the space provided).

MONETARY FINE WAS PAID ON AUGUST 12, 2013.

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

This Disclosure Reporting Page (DRP ADV) is an INITIAL **OR** AMENDED response used to report details for affirmative responses to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. of Form ADV.

Regulatory Action

Check item(s) being responded to:

- | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(1) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(2) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(3) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(4) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(5) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(1) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 11.D(2) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(3) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(4) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(5) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(1) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(2) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(3) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(4) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.F. | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.G. | | | |

Use a separate DRP for each event or *proceeding*. The same event or *proceeding* may be reported for more than one *person* or entity using one DRP. File with a completed Execution Page.

One event may result in more than one affirmative answer to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. Use only one DRP to report details related to the same event. If an event gives rise to actions by more than one regulator, provide details for each action on a separate DRP.

PART I

A. The *person(s)* or entity(ies) for whom this DRP is being filed is (are):

- You (the advisory firm)
- You and one or more of your *advisory affiliates*
- One or more of your *advisory affiliates*

If this DRP is being filed for an *advisory affiliate*, give the full name of the *advisory affiliate* below (for individuals, Last name, First name, Middle name).

If the *advisory affiliate* has a *CRD* number, provide that number. If not, indicate "non-registered" by checking the appropriate box.

ADV DRP - ADVISORY AFFILIATE

CRD Number: _____ This *advisory affiliate* is a Firm an Individual

Registered: Yes No

Name: BLACKROCK INC
(For individuals, Last, First, Middle)

- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because the *advisory affiliate(s)* is no longer associated with the adviser.
- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because: (1) the event or *proceeding* occurred more than ten years ago or (2) the adviser is registered or applying for registration with the SEC or reporting as an *exempt reporting adviser* with the SEC and the event was resolved in the adviser's or *advisory affiliate's* favor.

If you are registered or registering with a *state securities authority*, you may remove a DRP for an event you reported only in response to Item 11.D(4), and only if that event occurred more than ten years ago. If you are registered or registering with the SEC, you may remove a DRP for any event listed in Item 11 that occurred more than ten years ago.

This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because it was filed in error, such as due to a clerical or data-entry mistake. Explain the circumstances:

B. If the *advisory affiliate* is registered through the IARD system or *CRD* system, has the *advisory affiliate* submitted a DRP (with Form ADV, BD or U-4) to the IARD or *CRD* for the event? If the answer is "Yes," no other information on this DRP must be provided.

- Yes No

NOTE: The completion of this form does not relieve the *advisory affiliate* of its obligation to update its IARD or *CRD* records.

PART II

1. Regulatory Action initiated by:

- SEC Other Federal State SRO Foreign

(Full name of regulator, *foreign financial regulatory authority*, federal, state, or SRO)

NEW YORK ATTORNEY GENERAL ("AG")

2. Principal Sanction:
Undertaking
Other Sanctions:

3. Date Initiated (MM/DD/YYYY):
01/08/2014 Exact Explanation
If not exact, provide explanation:

4. Docket/Case Number:
ASSURANCE NO. 14-007

5. *Advisory Affiliate* Employing Firm when activity occurred which led to the regulatory action (if applicable):

6. Principal Product Type:
Equity Listed (Common & Preferred Stock)
Other Product Types:

7. Describe the allegations related to this regulatory action (your response must fit within the space provided):
THE AG ALLEGED THAT BLACKROCK'S USE OF CERTAIN ANALYST SURVEYS VIOLATED NEW YORK'S MARTIN ACT AND EXECUTIVE LAW.

8. Current Status? Pending On Appeal Final

9. If on appeal, regulatory action appealed to (SEC, SRO, Federal or State Court) and Date Appeal Filed:

If Final or On Appeal, complete all items below. For Pending Actions, complete Item 13 only.

10. How was matter resolved:
Other

11. Resolution Date (MM/DD/YYYY):
01/08/2014 Exact Explanation
If not exact, provide explanation:

12. Resolution Detail:

A. Were any of the following Sanctions *Ordered* (check all appropriate items)?

Monetary/Fine Amount: \$

Revocation/Expulsion/Denial

Censure

Bar

Disgorgement/Restitution

Cease and Desist/Injunction

Suspension

B. Other Sanctions *Ordered*:

AGREEMENT OF DISCONTINUANCE

Sanction detail: if suspended, *enjoined* or barred, provide duration including start date and capacities affected (General Securities Principal, Financial Operations Principal, etc.). If requalification by exam/retraining was a condition of the sanction, provide length of time given to requalify/retrain, type of exam required and whether condition has been satisfied. If disposition resulted in a fine, penalty, restitution, disgorgement or monetary compensation, provide total amount, portion levied against you or an *advisory affiliate*, date paid and if any portion of penalty was waived:

BLACKROCK AGREED TO STOP USING ANALYST SURVEYS.

13. Provide a brief summary of details related to the action status and (or) disposition and include relevant terms, conditions and dates (your response must fit within the space provided).
ON JANUARY 8, 2014, BLACKROCK, INC. ("BLACKROCK") ENTERED INTO AN ASSURANCE OF DISCONTINUANCE ("AOD") WITH THE NEW YORK ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE ("AG") TO SETTLE ALLEGATIONS BY THE AG THAT BLACKROCK'S USE OF ANALYST SURVEYS VIOLATED NEW YORK'S MARTIN ACT AND EXECUTIVE LAW. THE AGREEMENT DID NOT INVOLVE THE PAYMENT OF ANY FINE OR OTHER PENALTY. WITHOUT ADMITTING OR DENYING THE ALLEGATIONS, BLACKROCK AGREED TO STOP USING ANALYST SURVEYS AND AGREED TO PAY THE AG \$400,000 TOWARDS THE COST OF ITS INVESTIGATION.

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

This Disclosure Reporting Page (DRP ADV) is an INITIAL **OR** AMENDED response used to report details for affirmative responses to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. of Form ADV.

Regulatory Action

Check item(s) being responded to:

11.C(1)

11.C(2)

11.C(3)

11.C(4)

11.C(5)

11.D(1)

11.D(2)

11.D(3)

11.D(4)

11.D(5)

11.E(1)

11.E(2)

11.E(3)

11.E(4)

11.F.

11.G.

Use a separate DRP for each event or *proceeding*. The same event or *proceeding* may be reported for more than one *person* or entity using one DRP. File with a completed Execution Page.

One event may result in more than one affirmative answer to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. Use only one DRP to report details related to the same event. If an event gives rise to actions by more than one regulator, provide details for each action on a separate DRP.

PART I

A. The *person(s)* or entity(ies) for whom this DRP is being filed is (are):

- You (the advisory firm)
- You and one or more of your *advisory affiliates*
- One or more of your *advisory affiliates*

If this DRP is being filed for an *advisory affiliate*, give the full name of the *advisory affiliate* below (for individuals, Last name, First name, Middle name).
If the *advisory affiliate* has a *CRD* number, provide that number. If not, indicate "non-registered" by checking the appropriate box.

ADV DRP - ADVISORY AFFILIATE

CRD Number: _____ This *advisory affiliate* is a Firm an Individual

Registered: Yes No

Name: BLACKROCK INC
(For individuals, Last, First, Middle)

- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because the *advisory affiliate(s)* is no longer associated with the adviser.
- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because: (1) the event or *proceeding* occurred more than ten years ago or (2) the adviser is registered or applying for registration with the SEC or reporting as an *exempt reporting adviser* with the SEC and the event was resolved in the adviser's or *advisory affiliate's* favor.

If you are registered or registering with a *state securities authority*, you may remove a DRP for an event you reported only in response to Item 11.D(4), and only if that event occurred more than ten years ago. If you are registered or registering with the SEC, you may remove a DRP for any event listed in Item 11 that occurred more than ten years ago.

- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because it was filed in error, such as due to a clerical or data-entry mistake. Explain the circumstances:

B. If the *advisory affiliate* is registered through the IARD system or *CRD* system, has the *advisory affiliate* submitted a DRP (with Form ADV, BD or U-4) to the IARD or *CRD* for the event? If the answer is "Yes," no other information on this DRP must be provided.

- Yes No

NOTE: The completion of this form does not relieve the *advisory affiliate* of its obligation to update its IARD or *CRD* records.

PART II

1. Regulatory Action initiated by:

- SEC Other Federal State SRO Foreign

(Full name of regulator, *foreign financial regulatory authority*, federal, state, or SRO)
FINANSINSPEKTIONEN (FINANCIAL SUPERVISORY AUTHORITY OF SWEDEN)

2. Principal Sanction:

Civil and Administrative Penalt(ies) /Fine(s)
Other Sanctions:

3. Date Initiated (MM/DD/YYYY):

09/11/2013 Exact Explanation
If not exact, provide explanation:

4. Docket/Case Number:

5. *Advisory Affiliate* Employing Firm when activity occurred which led to the regulatory action (if applicable):

6. Principal Product Type:

Other
Other Product Types:
INVESTMENT IN EQUITY SECURITIES OF A SWEDISH ISSUER.

7. Describe the allegations related to this regulatory action (your response must fit within the space provided):

8. Current Status? Pending On Appeal Final

9. If on appeal, regulatory action appealed to (SEC, SRO, Federal or State Court) and Date Appeal Filed:

If Final or On Appeal, complete all items below. For Pending Actions, complete Item 13 only.

10. How was matter resolved:

Settled

11. Resolution Date (MM/DD/YYYY):

01/27/2014 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

12. Resolution Detail:

A. Were any of the following Sanctions *Ordered* (check all appropriate items)?

Monetary/Fine Amount: \$ 46,300.00

Revocation/Expulsion/Denial

Censure

Bar

Disgorgement/Restitution

Cease and Desist/Injunction

Suspension

B. Other Sanctions *Ordered*:

Sanction detail: if suspended, *enjoined* or barred, provide duration including start date and capacities affected (General Securities Principal, Financial Operations Principal, etc.). If requalification by exam/retraining was a condition of the sanction, provide length of time given to requalify/retrain, type of exam required and whether condition has been satisfied. If disposition resulted in a fine, penalty, restitution, disgorgement or monetary compensation, provide total amount, portion levied against you or an *advisory affiliate*, date paid and if any portion of penalty was waived:

FINANCIAL SUPERVISORY AUTHORITY OF SWEDEN IMPOSED A SPECIAL FEE OF 300,000 SEK (APPROXIMATELY \$46,300 USD)

13. Provide a brief summary of details related to the action status and (or) disposition and include relevant terms, conditions and dates (your response must fit within the space provided).

BLACKROCK, INC., PAID THE SPECIAL FEE ON JANUARY 27, 2014

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

This Disclosure Reporting Page (DRP ADV) is an INITIAL **OR** AMENDED response used to report details for affirmative responses to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. of Form ADV.

Regulatory Action

Check item(s) being responded to:

11.C(1)

11.C(2)

11.C(3)

11.C(4)

11.C(5)

11.D(1)

11.D(2)

11.D(3)

11.D(4)

11.D(5)

11.E(1)

11.E(2)

11.E(3)

11.E(4)

11.F.

11.G.

Use a separate DRP for each event or *proceeding*. The same event or *proceeding* may be reported for more than one *person* or entity using one DRP. File with a completed Execution Page.

One event may result in more than one affirmative answer to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. Use only one DRP to report details related to the same event. If an event gives rise to actions by more than one regulator, provide details for each action on a separate DRP.

PART I

A. The *person(s)* or entity(ies) for whom this DRP is being filed is (are):

You (the advisory firm)

You and one or more of your *advisory affiliates*

One or more of your *advisory affiliates*

If this DRP is being filed for an *advisory affiliate*, give the full name of the *advisory affiliate* below (for individuals, Last name, First name, Middle name).

If the *advisory affiliate* has a CRD number, provide that number. If not, indicate "non-registered" by checking the appropriate box.

CRD Number:

This *advisory affiliate* is a Firm an Individual

Registered: Yes No

Name: BLACKROCK, INC.
(For individuals, Last, First, Middle)

- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because the *advisory affiliate(s)* is no longer associated with the adviser.
- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because: (1) the event or *proceeding* occurred more than ten years ago or (2) the adviser is registered or applying for registration with the SEC or reporting as an *exempt reporting adviser* with the SEC and the event was resolved in the adviser's or *advisory affiliate's* favor.

If you are registered or registering with a *state securities authority*, you may remove a DRP for an event you reported only in response to Item 11.D(4), and only if that event occurred more than ten years ago. If you are registered or registering with the SEC, you may remove a DRP for any event listed in Item 11 that occurred more than ten years ago.

- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because it was filed in error, such as due to a clerical or data-entry mistake. Explain the circumstances:

- B. If the *advisory affiliate* is registered through the IARD system or *CRD* system, has the *advisory affiliate* submitted a DRP (with Form ADV, BD or U-4) to the IARD or *CRD* for the event? If the answer is "Yes," no other information on this DRP must be provided.

Yes No

NOTE: The completion of this form does not relieve the *advisory affiliate* of its obligation to update its IARD or *CRD* records.

PART II

1. Regulatory Action initiated by:

SEC Other Federal State SRO Foreign

(Full name of regulator, *foreign financial regulatory authority*, federal, state, or *SRO*)
FINANSINSPEKTIONEN (SWEDISH FINANCIAL SUPERVISORY AUTHORITY (THE "SFSA"))

2. Principal Sanction:

Civil and Administrative Penalt(ies) /Fine(s)

Other Sanctions:

3. Date Initiated (MM/DD/YYYY):

07/15/2014 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

4. Docket/Case Number:

5. *Advisory Affiliate* Employing Firm when activity occurred which led to the regulatory action (if applicable):

6. Principal Product Type:

Other

Other Product Types:

INVESTMENT IN CANADIAN EQUITY SECURITIES

7. Describe the allegations related to this regulatory action (your response must fit within the space provided):

THE FINANCIAL SUPERVISORY AUTHORITY OF SWEDEN (THE "SFSA") ALLEGED THAT BLACKROCK, INC. VIOLATED LOCAL SWEDISH LAW BY NOT FILING IN SWEDEN, WITHIN A PRESCRIBED TIME, REPORTS THAT ITS COLLECTIVE SHAREHOLDINGS IN LUNDIN MINING CORPORATION (A CANADIAN COMPANY WITH A PRIMARY LISTING ON TORONTO STOCK EXCHANGE AND A LISTING OF SWEDISH DEPOSITARY RECEIPTS ON NASDAQ OMX STOCKHOLM) CROSSED CERTAIN OWNERSHIP THRESHOLDS.

8. Current Status? Pending On Appeal Final

9. If on appeal, regulatory action appealed to (SEC, *SRO*, Federal or State Court) and Date Appeal Filed:

If Final or On Appeal, complete all items below. For Pending Actions, complete Item 13 only.

10. How was matter resolved:

Decision

11. Resolution Date (MM/DD/YYYY):

10/15/2014 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

12. Resolution Detail:

A. Were any of the following Sanctions *Ordered* (check all appropriate items)?

Monetary/Fine Amount: \$ 138,200.00

Revocation/Expulsion/Denial

Censure

Bar

Disgorgement/Restitution

Cease and Desist/Injunction

Suspension

B. Other Sanctions *Ordered*:

Sanction detail: if suspended, *enjoined* or barred, provide duration including start date and capacities affected (General Securities Principal, Financial Operations Principal, etc.). If requalification by exam/retraining was a condition of the sanction, provide length of time given to requalify/retrain, type of exam required and whether condition has been satisfied. If disposition resulted in a fine, penalty, restitution, disgorgement or monetary compensation, provide total amount, portion levied against you or an *advisory affiliate*, date paid and if any portion of penalty was waived:

FINANCIAL SUPERVISORY AUTHORITY OF SWEDEN IMPOSED A SPECIAL FEE OF 1,000,000 SEK (APPROXIMATELY \$138,200 USD). BLACKROCK, INC. PAID THE SPECIAL FEE ON OCTOBER 15, 2014.

13. Provide a brief summary of details related to the action status and (or) disposition and include relevant terms, conditions and dates (your response must fit within the space provided).

BLACKROCK, INC. PAID THE SPECIAL FEE ON OCTOBER 15, 2014

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

This Disclosure Reporting Page (DRP ADV) is an INITIAL **OR** AMENDED response used to report details for affirmative responses to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. of Form ADV.

Regulatory Action

Check item(s) being responded to:

11.C(1)

11.C(2)

11.C(3)

11.C(4)

11.C(5)

11.D(1)

11.D(2)

11.D(3)

11.D(4)

11.D(5)

11.E(1)

11.E(2)

11.E(3)

11.E(4)

11.F.

11.G.

Use a separate DRP for each event or *proceeding*. The same event or *proceeding* may be reported for more than one *person* or entity using one DRP. File with a completed Execution Page.

One event may result in more than one affirmative answer to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. Use only one DRP to report details related to the same event. If an event gives rise to actions by more than one regulator, provide details for each action on a separate DRP.

PART I

A. The *person(s)* or entity(ies) for whom this DRP is being filed is (are):

You (the advisory firm)

You and one or more of your *advisory affiliates*

One or more of your *advisory affiliates*

If this DRP is being filed for an *advisory affiliate*, give the full name of the *advisory affiliate* below (for individuals, Last name, First name, Middle name).

If the *advisory affiliate* has a *CRD* number, provide that number. If not, indicate "non-registered" by checking the appropriate box.

ADV DRP - ADVISORY AFFILIATE

CRD Number:

This *advisory affiliate* is a Firm an Individual

Registered: Yes No

Name: BLACKROCK, INC
(For individuals, Last, First, Middle)

This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because the *advisory affiliate(s)* is no longer associated with the adviser.

This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because: (1) the event or *proceeding* occurred more than ten years ago or (2) the adviser is registered or applying for registration with the SEC or reporting as an *exempt reporting adviser* with the SEC and the event was resolved in the adviser's or *advisory affiliate's* favor.

If you are registered or registering with a *state securities authority*, you may remove a DRP for an event you reported only in response to Item 11.D(4), and only if that event occurred more than ten years ago. If you are registered or registering with the SEC, you may remove a DRP for any event listed in Item 11 that occurred more than ten years ago.

This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because it was filed in error, such as due to a clerical or data-entry mistake. Explain the circumstances:

B. If the *advisory affiliate* is registered through the IARD system or *CRD* system, has the *advisory affiliate* submitted a DRP (with Form ADV, BD or U-4) to the IARD or *CRD* for the event? If the answer is "Yes," no other information on this DRP must be provided.

Yes No

NOTE: The completion of this form does not relieve the *advisory affiliate* of its obligation to update its IARD or *CRD* records.

PART II

1. Regulatory Action initiated by:

 SEC Other Federal State SRO Foreign(Full name of regulator, *foreign financial regulatory authority*, federal, state, or *SRO*)

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

2. Principal Sanction:

Cease and Desist

Other Sanctions:

BLACKROCK ADVISORS, LLC ("BAL") IS TO: A) PAY A \$12 MILLION CIVIL MONEY PENALTY; B) CEASE AND DESIST FROM COMMITTING OR CAUSING ANY VIOLATIONS AND ANY FUTURE VIOLATIONS OF SECTION 206(2) AND SECTION 206(4) OF THE INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940 AND RULE 206(4)-7 THEREUNDER, AS WELL AS CAUSING A VIOLATION OF RULE 38A-1 OF THE INVESTMENT COMPANY ACT OF 1940; AND C) UNDERTAKE TO RETAIN AN INDEPENDENT COMPLIANCE CONSULTANT TO REVIEW ITS OUTSIDE ACTIVITY POLICY AND ANY RELATED CONFLICTS.

3. Date Initiated (MM/DD/YYYY):

04/20/2015 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

4. Docket/Case Number:

FILE NO. 3-16501

5. *Advisory Affiliate* Employing Firm when activity occurred which led to the regulatory action (if applicable):

6. Principal Product Type:

No Product

Other Product Types:

7. Describe the allegations related to this regulatory action (your response must fit within the space provided):

ON APRIL 20, 2015, THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION ("SEC") ANNOUNCED AN AGREEMENT WITH BAL, TO SETTLE FINDINGS RELATING TO BAL'S HANDLING AND DISCLOSURE OF A FORMER PORTFOLIO MANAGER'S PERSONAL INVESTMENTS AND INVOLVEMENT IN A FAMILY BUSINESS. WITHOUT ADMITTING OR DENYING WRONGDOING, BAL AGREED TO THE ENTRY OF AN ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER CONTAINING FINDINGS THAT BAL VIOLATED SECTION 206(2) AND SECTION 206(4) OF THE INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940 AND RULE 206(4)-7 THEREUNDER, AND CAUSED A VIOLATION OF RULE 38A-1 OF THE INVESTMENT COMPANY ACT OF 1940.

8. Current Status? Pending On Appeal Final9. If on appeal, regulatory action appealed to (SEC, *SRO*, Federal or State Court) and Date Appeal Filed:

If Final or On Appeal, complete all items below. For Pending Actions, complete Item 13 only.

10. How was matter resolved:

Decision & Order of Offer of Settlement

11. Resolution Date (MM/DD/YYYY):

04/20/2015 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

12. Resolution Detail:

A. Were any of the following Sanctions *Ordered* (check all appropriate items)? Monetary/Fine Amount: \$ 12,000,000.00 Revocation/Expulsion/Denial Censure Bar Disgorgement/Restitution Cease and Desist/Injunction SuspensionB. Other Sanctions *Ordered*:

UNDERTAKING

Sanction detail: if suspended, *enjoined* or barred, provide duration including start date and capacities affected (General Securities Principal, Financial Operations Principal, etc.). If requalification by exam/retraining was a condition of the sanction, provide length of time given to requalify/retrain, type of exam required and whether condition has been satisfied. If disposition resulted in a fine, penalty, restitution, disgorgement or monetary compensation, provide total amount, portion levied against you or an *advisory affiliate*, date paid and if any portion of penalty was waived:

PER THE SETTLEMENT, BAL PAID THE \$12 MILLION CIVIL MONEY PENALTY ON APRIL 24, 2015. BAL HAS RETAINED AN INDEPENDENT COMPLIANCE CONSULTANT TO REVIEW ITS OUTSIDE ACTIVITY POLICY AND ANY RELATED CONFLICTS.

13. Provide a brief summary of details related to the action status and (or) disposition and include relevant terms, conditions and dates (your response must fit within the space provided).

ON APRIL 20, 2015, THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION ("SEC") ANNOUNCED AN AGREEMENT WITH BAL, A SUBSIDIARY OF BLACKROCK, INC., TO SETTLE CHARGES RELATING TO BAL'S HANDLING AND DISCLOSURE OF A FORMER PORTFOLIO MANAGER'S PERSONAL INVESTMENTS AND INVOLVEMENT IN A FAMILY BUSINESS. BAL PAID THE \$12 MILLION CIVIL MONEY PENALTY ON APRIL 24, 2015 AND BAL HAS RETAINED AN INDEPENDENT COMPLIANCE CONSULTANT TO REVIEW ITS OUTSIDE ACTIVITY POLICY AND ANY RELATED CONFLICTS. BAL NEITHER ADMITS NOR DENIES THE FINDINGS IN THE SETTLEMENT AND DOES NOT EXPECT THE RESOLUTION OF THIS MATTER TO HAVE A MATERIAL ADVERSE EFFECT ON ITS FINANCIAL RESULTS OR OPERATIONS.

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

This Disclosure Reporting Page (DRP ADV) is an INITIAL **OR** AMENDED response used to report details for affirmative responses to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. of Form ADV.

Regulatory Action

Check item(s) being responded to:

- | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(1) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(2) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(3) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(4) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(5) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(1) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 11.D(2) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(3) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(4) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(5) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(1) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(2) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(3) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(4) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.F. | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.G. | | | |

Use a separate DRP for each event or *proceeding*. The same event or *proceeding* may be reported for more than one *person* or entity using one DRP. File with a completed Execution Page.

One event may result in more than one affirmative answer to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. Use only one DRP to report details related to the same event. If an event gives rise to actions by more than one regulator, provide details for each action on a separate DRP.

PART I

A. The *person(s)* or entity(ies) for whom this DRP is being filed is (are):

- You (the advisory firm)
- You and one or more of your *advisory affiliates*
- One or more of your *advisory affiliates*

If this DRP is being filed for an *advisory affiliate*, give the full name of the *advisory affiliate* below (for individuals, Last name, First name, Middle name).

If the *advisory affiliate* has a *CRD* number, provide that number. If not, indicate "non-registered" by checking the appropriate box.

ADV DRP - ADVISORY AFFILIATE

CRD Number: _____ This *advisory affiliate* is a Firm an Individual

Registered: Yes No

Name: BLACKROCK, INC.
(For individuals, Last, First, Middle)

- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because the *advisory affiliate(s)* is no longer associated with the adviser.
- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because: (1) the event or *proceeding* occurred more than ten years ago or (2) the adviser is registered or applying for registration with the SEC or reporting as an *exempt reporting adviser* with the SEC and the event was resolved in the adviser's or *advisory affiliate's* favor.

If you are registered or registering with a *state securities authority*, you may remove a DRP for an event you reported only in response to Item 11.D(4), and only if that event occurred more than ten years ago. If you are registered or registering with the SEC, you may remove a DRP for any event listed in Item 11 that occurred more than ten years ago.

- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because it was filed in error, such as due to a clerical or data-entry mistake. Explain the circumstances:

B. If the *advisory affiliate* is registered through the IARD system or *CRD* system, has the *advisory affiliate* submitted a DRP (with Form ADV, BD or U-4) to the IARD or *CRD* for the event? If the answer is "Yes," no other information on this DRP must be provided.

- Yes No

NOTE: The completion of this form does not relieve the *advisory affiliate* of its obligation to update its IARD or *CRD* records.

PART II

1. Regulatory Action initiated by:

- SEC Other Federal State SRO Foreign

(Full name of regulator, *foreign financial regulatory authority*, federal, state, or SRO)

FINANSSIVALVONTA - THE FINANCIAL SUPERVISORY AUTHORITY OF FINLAND

2. Principal Sanction:

Reprimand

Other Sanctions:

3. Date Initiated (MM/DD/YYYY):
05/26/2015 Exact Explanation
If not exact, provide explanation:

4. Docket/Case Number:

5. *Advisory Affiliate* Employing Firm when activity occurred which led to the regulatory action (if applicable):

6. Principal Product Type:

Other

Other Product Types:

INVESTMENT IN EQUITY SECURITIES OF A FINNISH ISSUER.

7. Describe the allegations related to this regulatory action (your response must fit within the space provided):

ON SEPTEMBER 12, 2013, BLACKROCK, INC. BROUGHT TO THE ATTENTION OF THE FINANCIAL SUPERVISORY AUTHORITY OF FINLAND ("FINNISH FSA") THAT BLACKROCK, INC. HAD NOT FILED, WITHIN THE SPECIFIED TIME PERIOD, A NOTIFICATION THAT THE INDIRECT AGGREGATE SHAREHOLDING IN A FINNISH ISSUER, OUTOTEC OYJ, CROSSED A CERTAIN THRESHOLD ("LATE NOTIFICATION").

8. Current Status? Pending On Appeal Final

9. If on appeal, regulatory action appealed to (SEC, SRO, Federal or State Court) and Date Appeal Filed:

If Final or On Appeal, complete all items below. For Pending Actions, complete Item 13 only.

10. How was matter resolved:

Decision

11. Resolution Date (MM/DD/YYYY):

06/05/2015 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

12. Resolution Detail:

A. Were any of the following Sanctions *Ordered* (check all appropriate items)?

Monetary/Fine Amount: \$

Revocation/Expulsion/Denial

Censure

Bar

Disgorgement/Restitution

Cease and Desist/Injunction

Suspension

B. Other Sanctions *Ordered*:

THE FINNISH FSA ISSUED A PUBLIC REPRIMAND TO BLACKROCK, INC.

Sanction detail: if suspended, *enjoined* or barred, provide duration including start date and capacities affected (General Securities Principal, Financial Operations Principal, etc.). If requalification by exam/retraining was a condition of the sanction, provide length of time given to requalify/retrain, type of exam required and whether condition has been satisfied. If disposition resulted in a fine, penalty, restitution, disgorgement or monetary compensation, provide total amount, portion levied against you or an *advisory affiliate*, date paid and if any portion of penalty was waived:

ON JUNE 5, 2015, THE FINNISH FSA ISSUED A PUBLIC REPRIMAND TO BLACKROCK, INC. BECAUSE OF THE LATE NOTIFICATION.

13. Provide a brief summary of details related to the action status and (or) disposition and include relevant terms, conditions and dates (your response must fit within the space provided).

BLACKROCK, INC. IS NOT APPEALING THE DECISION AND CONSIDERS THE MATTER CLOSED.

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

This Disclosure Reporting Page (DRP ADV) is an INITIAL **OR** AMENDED response used to report details for affirmative responses to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. of Form ADV.

Regulatory Action

Check item(s) being responded to:

11.C(1)

11.C(2)

11.C(3)

11.C(4)

11.C(5)

11.D(1)

11.D(2)

11.D(3)

11.D(4)

11.D(5)

11.E(1)

11.E(2)

11.E(3)

11.E(4)

11.F.

11.G.

Use a separate DRP for each event or *proceeding*. The same event or *proceeding* may be reported for more than one *person* or entity using one DRP. File with a completed Execution Page.

One event may result in more than one affirmative answer to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. Use only one DRP to report details related to the same event. If an event gives rise to actions by more than one regulator, provide details for each action on a separate DRP.

PART I

A. The *person(s)* or entity(ies) for whom this DRP is being filed is (are):

- You (the advisory firm)
- You and one or more of your *advisory affiliates*
- One or more of your *advisory affiliates*

If this DRP is being filed for an *advisory affiliate*, give the full name of the *advisory affiliate* below (for individuals, Last name, First name, Middle name).

If the *advisory affiliate* has a *CRD* number, provide that number. If not, indicate "non-registered" by checking the appropriate box.

ADV DRP - ADVISORY AFFILIATE

<i>CRD</i> Number:	This <i>advisory affiliate</i> is <input checked="" type="radio"/> a Firm <input type="radio"/> an Individual
Registered:	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Name:	BLACKROCK, INC. (For individuals, Last, First, Middle)

- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because the *advisory affiliate(s)* is no longer associated with the adviser.
- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because: (1) the event or *proceeding* occurred more than ten years ago or (2) the adviser is registered or applying for registration with the SEC or reporting as an *exempt reporting adviser* with the SEC and the event was resolved in the adviser's or *advisory affiliate's* favor.

If you are registered or registering with a *state securities authority*, you may remove a DRP for an event you reported only in response to Item 11.D(4), and only if that event occurred more than ten years ago. If you are registered or registering with the SEC, you may remove a DRP for any event listed in Item 11 that occurred more than ten years ago.

- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because it was filed in error, such as due to a clerical or data-entry mistake. Explain the circumstances:

B. If the *advisory affiliate* is registered through the IARD system or *CRD* system, has the *advisory affiliate* submitted a DRP (with Form ADV, BD or U-4) to the IARD or *CRD* for the event? If the answer is "Yes," no other information on this DRP must be provided.

- Yes No

NOTE: The completion of this form does not relieve the *advisory affiliate* of its obligation to update its IARD or *CRD* records.

PART II

1. Regulatory Action initiated by:

- SEC Other Federal State SRO Foreign

(Full name of regulator, *foreign financial regulatory authority*, federal, state, or SRO)

FINANSINSPEKTIONEN - THE FINANCIAL SUPERVISORY AUTHORITY OF SWEDEN

2. Principal Sanction:

Civil and Administrative Penalt(ies) /Fine(s)

Other Sanctions:

3. Date Initiated (MM/DD/YYYY):

04/29/2015 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

4. Docket/Case Number:

FI REG NO. 14-16729

5. *Advisory Affiliate* Employing Firm when activity occurred which led to the regulatory action (if applicable):

6. Principal Product Type:

Other

Other Product Types:

INVESTMENT IN EQUITY SECURITIES OF A SWEDISH ISSUER.

7. Describe the allegations related to this regulatory action (your response must fit within the space provided):

ON OCTOBER 31, 2014, BLACKROCK, INC. BROUGHT TO THE ATTENTION OF THE FINANCIAL SUPERVISORY AUTHORITY OF SWEDEN ("SWEDISH FSA") THAT BLACKROCK, INC. HAD NOT FILED, WITHIN THE SPECIFIED TIME PERIOD, A NOTIFICATION THAT ITS INDIRECT AGGREGATE SHAREHOLDING IN A SWEDISH ISSUER, ASSA ABLOY AB, CROSSED A CERTAIN THRESHOLD ("LATE NOTIFICATION").

8. Current Status? Pending On Appeal Final

9. If on appeal, regulatory action appealed to (SEC, SRO, Federal or State Court) and Date Appeal Filed:

If Final or On Appeal, complete all items below. For Pending Actions, complete Item 13 only.

10. How was matter resolved:

Decision

11. Resolution Date (MM/DD/YYYY):

06/10/2015 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

12. Resolution Detail:

A. Were any of the following Sanctions *Ordered* (check all appropriate items)?

Monetary/Fine Amount: \$ 120,700.00

Revocation/Expulsion/Denial

Censure

Bar

Disgorgement/Restitution

Cease and Desist/Injunction

Suspension

B. Other Sanctions *Ordered*:

Sanction detail: if suspended, *enjoined* or barred, provide duration including start date and capacities affected (General Securities Principal, Financial Operations Principal, etc.). If requalification by exam/retraining was a condition of the sanction, provide length of time given to requalify/retrain, type of exam required and whether condition has been satisfied. If disposition resulted in a fine, penalty, restitution, disgorgement or monetary compensation, provide total amount, portion levied against you or an *advisory affiliate*, date paid and if any portion of penalty was waived:

AS A RESULT OF THE LATE NOTIFICATION, THE SWEDISH FSA NOTIFIED BLACKROCK, INC., IN A LETTER DATED APRIL 29, 2015, OF ITS DECISION TO IMPOSE A PENALTY FEE OF SEK 1,000,000 (APPROXIMATELY \$120,700 USD). BLACKROCK, INC. PAID THE PENALTY FEE ON JUNE 10, 2015.

13. Provide a brief summary of details related to the action status and (or) disposition and include relevant terms, conditions and dates (your response must fit within the space provided).

THE PENALTY FEE, INVOICE DATE MAY 29, 2015, WAS PAID ON JUNE 10, 2015

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

This Disclosure Reporting Page (DRP ADV) is an INITIAL **OR** AMENDED response used to report details for affirmative responses to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. of Form ADV.

Regulatory Action

Check item(s) being responded to:

<input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(1)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 11.C(2)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(3)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 11.C(4)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 11.C(5)
<input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(1)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(2)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(3)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(4)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(5)
<input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(1)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(2)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(3)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(4)	
<input type="checkbox"/> 11.F.	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.G.			

Use a separate DRP for each event or *proceeding*. The same event or *proceeding* may be reported for more than one *person* or entity using one DRP. File with a completed Execution Page.

One event may result in more than one affirmative answer to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. Use only one DRP to report details related to the same event. If an event gives rise to actions by more than one regulator, provide details for each action on a separate DRP.

PART I

A. The *person(s)* or entity(ies) for whom this DRP is being filed is (are):

- You (the advisory firm)
- You and one or more of your *advisory affiliates*
- One or more of your *advisory affiliates*

If this DRP is being filed for an *advisory affiliate*, give the full name of the *advisory affiliate* below (for individuals, Last name, First name, Middle name).

If the *advisory affiliate* has a *CRD* number, provide that number. If not, indicate "non-registered" by checking the appropriate box.

ADV DRP - ADVISORY AFFILIATE

CRD Number:

This *advisory affiliate* is a Firm an Individual

Registered: Yes No

Name: BLACKROCK, INC.
(For individuals, Last, First, Middle)

- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because the *advisory affiliate(s)* is no longer associated with the adviser.
- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because: (1) the event or *proceeding* occurred more than ten years ago or (2) the adviser is registered or applying for registration with the SEC or reporting as an *exempt reporting adviser* with the SEC and the event was resolved in the adviser's or *advisory affiliate's* favor.

If you are registered or registering with a *state securities authority*, you may remove a DRP for an event you reported only in response to Item 11.D(4), and only if that event occurred more than ten years ago. If you are registered or registering with the SEC, you may remove a DRP for any event listed in Item 11 that occurred more than ten years ago.

- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because it was filed in error, such as due to a clerical or data-entry mistake. Explain the circumstances:

- B. If the *advisory affiliate* is registered through the IARD system or *CRD* system, has the *advisory affiliate* submitted a DRP (with Form ADV, BD or U-4) to the IARD or *CRD* for the event? If the answer is "Yes," no other information on this DRP must be provided.

Yes No

NOTE: The completion of this form does not relieve the *advisory affiliate* of its obligation to update its IARD or *CRD* records.

PART II

1. Regulatory Action initiated by:

SEC Other Federal State SRO Foreign

(Full name of regulator, *foreign financial regulatory authority*, federal, state, or *SRO*)

U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

2. Principal Sanction:

Civil and Administrative Penalt(ies) /Fine(s)

Other Sanctions:

CEASE AND DESIST UNDERTAKING

3. Date Initiated (MM/DD/YYYY):

01/17/2017 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

4. Docket/Case Number:

3-17786

5. *Advisory Affiliate* Employing Firm when activity occurred which led to the regulatory action (if applicable):

6. Principal Product Type:

No Product

Other Product Types:

7. Describe the allegations related to this regulatory action (your response must fit within the space provided):

THE U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION ("SEC") FOUND THAT A HISTORIC VERSION OF BLACKROCK INC.'S ("BLACKROCK") FORM EMPLOYEE SEPARATION AND RELEASE AGREEMENT ("AGREEMENT") VIOLATED DODD FRANK'S WHISTLEBLOWER PROVISIONS, (RULE 21F-17 UNDER THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934). THE AGREEMENT, WHICH WAS IN USE BETWEEN OCTOBER 2011 AND MARCH 2016, DID NOT PROHIBIT FORMER EMPLOYEES FROM REPORTING ALLEGED WRONGDOING TO THE SEC. HOWEVER, EMPLOYEES WHO ACCEPTED A VOLUNTARY SEPARATION PAYMENT FROM BLACKROCK WAIVED THEIR RIGHT TO RECOVER A MONETARY REWARD FROM THE SEC IN CONNECTION WITH ITS WHISTLEBLOWING PROGRAM. BLACKROCK STOPPED USING THE AGREEMENT AT ISSUE BEFORE BEING CONTACTED BY THE SEC AND THE SEC WAS UNAWARE OF ANY INSTANCES IN WHICH FORMER BLACKROCK EMPLOYEES WERE PREVENTED FROM COMMUNICATING WITH THE SEC.

8. Current Status? Pending On Appeal Final

9. If on appeal, regulatory action appealed to (SEC, *SRO*, Federal or State Court) and Date Appeal Filed:

If Final or On Appeal, complete all items below. For Pending Actions, complete Item 13 only.

10. How was matter resolved:

Order

11. Resolution Date (MM/DD/YYYY):

01/25/2017 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

12. Resolution Detail:

A. Were any of the following Sanctions *Ordered* (check all appropriate items)?

Monetary/Fine Amount: \$ 340,000.00

Revocation/Expulsion/Denial

Censure

Bar

Disgorgement/Restitution

Cease and Desist/Injunction

Suspension

B. Other Sanctions *Ordered*:

BLACKROCK AGREED TO NOTIFY FORMER EMPLOYEES WHO SIGNED AN AGREEMENT TO ADVISE THEM THAT BLACKROCK DOES NOT PREVENT FORMER EMPLOYEES FROM COLLECTING A MONETARY AWARD FOR REPORTING INFORMATION TO THE SEC UNDER ITS WHISTLEBLOWER PROGRAM.

Sanction detail: if suspended, *enjoined* or barred, provide duration including start date and capacities affected (General Securities Principal, Financial Operations Principal, etc.). If requalification by exam/retraining was a condition of the sanction, provide length of time given to requalify/retrain, type of exam required and whether condition has been satisfied. If disposition resulted in a fine, penalty, restitution, disgorgement or monetary compensation, provide total amount, portion levied against you or an *advisory affiliate*, date paid and if any portion of penalty was waived:

BLACKROCK PAID THE \$340,000 CIVIL MONETARY PENALTY ON JANUARY 25, 2017.

13. Provide a brief summary of details related to the action status and (or) disposition and include relevant terms, conditions and dates (your response must fit within the space provided).

ON JANUARY 17, 2017, BLACKROCK INC. REACHED AN AGREEMENT WITH THE SEC TO RESOLVE ALLEGATIONS THAT A HISTORIC VERSION OF BLACKROCK'S FORM EMPLOYEE SEPARATION AND RELEASE AGREEMENT ("AGREEMENT") VIOLATED DODD FRANK'S WHISTLEBLOWER PROVISIONS, (RULE 21F-17 UNDER THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934). THE SETTLEMENT WITH THE SEC INCLUDED A \$340,000 PAYMENT, WHICH WAS PAID ON JANUARY 25, 2017. BLACKROCK ALSO AGREED TO NOTIFY FORMER EMPLOYEES WHO SIGNED AN AGREEMENT TO ADVISE THEM THAT BLACKROCK DOES NOT PREVENT FORMER EMPLOYEES FROM COLLECTING A MONETARY AWARD FOR REPORTING INFORMATION TO THE SEC UNDER ITS WHISTLEBLOWER PROGRAM.

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

This Disclosure Reporting Page (DRP ADV) is an INITIAL **OR** AMENDED response used to report details for affirmative responses to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. of Form ADV.

Regulatory Action

Check item(s) being responded to:

<input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(1)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(2)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(3)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(4)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(5)
<input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(1)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(2)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(3)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(4)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(5)
<input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(1)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 11.E(2)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(3)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(4)	
<input type="checkbox"/> 11.F.	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.G.			

Use a separate DRP for each event or *proceeding*. The same event or *proceeding* may be reported for more than one *person* or entity using one DRP. File with a completed Execution Page.

One event may result in more than one affirmative answer to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. Use only one DRP to report details related to the same event. If an event gives rise to actions by more than one regulator, provide details for each action on a separate DRP.

PART I

A. The *person(s)* or entity(ies) for whom this DRP is being filed is (are):

- You (the advisory firm)
- You and one or more of your *advisory affiliates*
- One or more of your *advisory affiliates*

If this DRP is being filed for an *advisory affiliate*, give the full name of the *advisory affiliate* below (for individuals, Last name, First name, Middle name).

If the *advisory affiliate* has a *CRD* number, provide that number. If not, indicate "non-registered" by checking the appropriate box.

ADV DRP - ADVISORY AFFILIATE

CRD Number:

This *advisory affiliate* is a Firm an Individual

Registered: Yes No

Name: BLACKROCK, INC.
(For individuals, Last, First, Middle)

- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because the *advisory affiliate(s)* is no longer associated with the adviser.
- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because: (1) the event or *proceeding* occurred more than ten years ago or (2) the adviser is registered or applying for registration with the SEC or reporting as an *exempt reporting adviser* with the SEC and the event was resolved in the adviser's or *advisory affiliate's* favor.

If you are registered or registering with a *state securities authority*, you may remove a DRP for an event you reported only in response to Item 11.D(4), and only if that event occurred more than ten years ago. If you are registered or registering with the SEC, you may remove a DRP for any event listed in Item 11 that occurred more than ten years ago.

- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because it was filed in error, such as due to a clerical or data-entry mistake. Explain the circumstances:

B. If the *advisory affiliate* is registered through the IARD system or *CRD* system, has the *advisory affiliate* submitted a DRP (with Form ADV, BD or U-4) to the IARD or *CRD* for the event? If the answer is "Yes," no other information on this DRP must be provided.

Yes No

NOTE: The completion of this form does not relieve the *advisory affiliate* of its obligation to update its IARD or *CRD* records.

PART II

1. Regulatory Action initiated by:

SEC Other Federal State *SRO* Foreign

(Full name of regulator, *foreign financial regulatory authority*, federal, state, or *SRO*)

CHICAGO BOARD OF TRADE

2. Principal Sanction:

Civil and Administrative Penalt(ies) /Fine(s)

Other Sanctions:

DISGORGEMENT

3. Date Initiated (MM/DD/YYYY):

01/22/2021 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

4. Docket/Case Number:

CBOT 19-1230-BC

5. *Advisory Affiliate* Employing Firm when activity occurred which led to the regulatory action (if applicable):

6. Principal Product Type:

Futures - Commodity

Other Product Types:

7. Describe the allegations related to this regulatory action (your response must fit within the space provided):

THE CME CONCLUDED THAT BLACKROCK VIOLATED CHICAGO BOARD OF TRADE (CBOT) RULE 562, WHICH PROHIBITS FIRMS FROM HOLDING POSITIONS ON THE CME LARGER THAN THE LIMITS PROSCRIBED BY CME RULES.

8. Current Status? Pending On Appeal Final

9. If on appeal, regulatory action appealed to (SEC, *SRO*, Federal or State Court) and Date Appeal Filed:

If Final or On Appeal, complete all items below. For Pending Actions, complete Item 13 only.

10. How was matter resolved:

Settled

11. Resolution Date (MM/DD/YYYY):

07/28/2021 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

12. Resolution Detail:

A. Were any of the following Sanctions *Ordered* (check all appropriate items)?

Monetary/Fine Amount: \$ 119,605.60

Revocation/Expulsion/Denial

Censure

Bar

Disgorgement/Restitution

Cease and Desist/Injunction

Suspension

B. Other Sanctions *Ordered*:

Sanction detail: if suspended, *enjoined* or barred, provide duration including start date and capacities affected (General Securities Principal, Financial Operations Principal, etc.). If requalification by exam/retraining was a condition of the sanction, provide length of time given to requalify/retrain, type of exam required and whether condition has been satisfied. If disposition resulted in a fine, penalty, restitution, disgorgement or monetary compensation, provide total amount, portion levied against you or an *advisory affiliate*, date paid and if any portion of penalty was waived:

IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SETTLEMENT OFFER, THE PANEL ORDERED BLACKROCK TO PAY A FINE IN THE AMOUNT OF \$20,000 AND ORDERED DISGORGEMENT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$99,605.60 WHICH BLACKROCK PAID ON JULY 29TH, 2021.

13. Provide a brief summary of details related to the action status and (or) disposition and include relevant terms, conditions and dates (your response must fit within the space provided).

PURSUANT TO AN OFFER OF SETTLEMENT IN WHICH BLACKROCK INC. NEITHER ADMITTED NOR DENIED THE RULE VIOLATION UPON WHICH THE PENALTY IS BASED, ON JULY 26, 2021, A PANEL OF THE CHICAGO BOARD OF TRADE ("CBOT") BUSINESS CONDUCT COMMITTEE ("PANEL") FOUND THAT ON NOVEMBER 12, 2019, AND NOVEMBER 13, 2019, BLACKROCK-MANAGED ACCOUNTS HELD AN ALL MONTHS COMBINED CBOT SOYBEAN MEAL POSITION THAT EXCEEDED THE ALL MONTHS POSITION LIMIT ON AN END OF DAY AND INTRADAY BASIS. SPECIFICALLY, BLACKROCK-

MANAGED ACCOUNTS HELD AN AGGREGATE POSITION OF 6,919 CONTRACTS LONG ON NOVEMBER 12, AND 6,920 CONTRACTS LONG ON NOVEMBER 13, 2019. THIS EXCEEDED THE ALL MONTHS COMBINED POSITION LIMIT FOR SOYBEAN MEAL BY 419 CONTRACTS, OR 6.45%, ON NOVEMBER 12, AND BY 420 CONTRACTS, OR 6.46%, ON NOVEMBER 13. BLACKROCK RECOGNIZED THE OVERAGE ON NOVEMBER 13, AND ATTEMPTED TO REDUCE ITS POSITION VIA AN EXCHANGE FOR RISK ("EFR") TRANSACTION, BUT WAS UNABLE TO EXECUTE THE TRADE ON THAT DAY. HOWEVER, ON THE MORNING OF NOVEMBER 14, 2019, BLACKROCK EXECUTED THE EFR AND BROUGHT THE ACCOUNTS' POSITIONS INTO COMPLIANCE, WHICH RESULTED IN A TOTAL MONETARY BENEFIT OF \$99,605.60 TO THE ACCOUNTS. THE PANEL CONCLUDED THAT BLACKROCK THEREBY VIOLATED CBOT RULE 562. IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SETTLEMENT OFFER, THE PANEL ORDERED BLACKROCK TO PAY A FINE IN THE AMOUNT OF \$20,000 AND ORDERED DISGORGEMENT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$99,605.60 WHICH BLACKROCK PAID ON JULY 29TH, 2021.

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

This Disclosure Reporting Page (DRP ADV) is an INITIAL **OR** AMENDED response used to report details for affirmative responses to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. of Form ADV.

Regulatory Action

Check item(s) being responded to:

- | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(1) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(2) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(3) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(4) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(5) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(1) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 11.D(2) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(3) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(4) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(5) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(1) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(2) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(3) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(4) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.F. | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.G. | | | |

Use a separate DRP for each event or *proceeding*. The same event or *proceeding* may be reported for more than one *person* or entity using one DRP. File with a completed Execution Page.

One event may result in more than one affirmative answer to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. Use only one DRP to report details related to the same event. If an event gives rise to actions by more than one regulator, provide details for each action on a separate DRP.

PART I

A. The *person(s)* or entity(ies) for whom this DRP is being filed is (are):

- You (the advisory firm)
- You and one or more of your *advisory affiliates*
- One or more of your *advisory affiliates*

If this DRP is being filed for an *advisory affiliate*, give the full name of the *advisory affiliate* below (for individuals, Last name, First name, Middle name).

If the *advisory affiliate* has a *CRD* number, provide that number. If not, indicate "non-registered" by checking the appropriate box.

ADV DRP - ADVISORY AFFILIATE

CRD Number: _____ This *advisory affiliate* is a Firm an Individual

Registered: Yes No

Name: BLACKROCK, INC
(For individuals, Last, First, Middle)

- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because the *advisory affiliate(s)* is no longer associated with the adviser.
- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because: (1) the event or *proceeding* occurred more than ten years ago or (2) the adviser is registered or applying for registration with the SEC or reporting as an *exempt reporting adviser* with the SEC and the event was resolved in the adviser's or *advisory affiliate's* favor.

If you are registered or registering with a *state securities authority*, you may remove a DRP for an event you reported only in response to Item 11.D(4), and only if that event occurred more than ten years ago. If you are registered or registering with the SEC, you may remove a DRP for any event listed in Item 11 that occurred more than ten years ago.

- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because it was filed in error, such as due to a clerical or data-entry mistake. Explain the circumstances:

B. If the *advisory affiliate* is registered through the IARD system or *CRD* system, has the *advisory affiliate* submitted a DRP (with Form ADV, BD or U-4) to the IARD or *CRD* for the event? If the answer is "Yes," no other information on this DRP must be provided.

- Yes No

NOTE: The completion of this form does not relieve the *advisory affiliate* of its obligation to update its IARD or *CRD* records.

PART II

1. Regulatory Action initiated by:

- SEC Other Federal State SRO Foreign

(Full name of regulator, *foreign financial regulatory authority*, federal, state, or SRO)

BUNDESANSTALT FÜR FINANZDIENSTLEISTUNGS AUFSICHT ("BAFIN")

2. Principal Sanction:

Civil and Administrative Penalt(ies) /Fine(s)

Other Sanctions:

3. Date Initiated (MM/DD/YYYY):
03/20/2015 Exact Explanation
If not exact, provide explanation:

4. Docket/Case Number:

5. *Advisory Affiliate* Employing Firm when activity occurred which led to the regulatory action (if applicable):

6. Principal Product Type:

Other

Other Product Types:

INVESTMENT IN SHARES OF ISSUERS WHOSE HOME COUNTRY IS THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY ("GERMAN ISSUERS")

7. Describe the allegations related to this regulatory action (your response must fit within the space provided):

BAFIN ALLEGED THAT BLACKROCK INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT (UK) LIMITED ("BIM UK"), AS FILING AGENT FOR CERTAIN OF ITS AFFILIATES, HAD MADE INCORRECT OR LATE REPORTS IN CONTRAVENTION OF GERMAN THRESHOLDS REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.

8. Current Status? Pending On Appeal Final

9. If on appeal, regulatory action appealed to (SEC, SRO, Federal or State Court) and Date Appeal Filed:

If Final or On Appeal, complete all items below. For Pending Actions, complete Item 13 only.

10. How was matter resolved:

Other

11. Resolution Date (MM/DD/YYYY):

03/26/2015 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

12. Resolution Detail:

A. Were any of the following Sanctions *Ordered* (check all appropriate items)?

Monetary/Fine Amount: \$ 3,563,216.00

Revocation/Expulsion/Denial

Censure

Bar

Disgorgement/Restitution

Cease and Desist/Injunction

Suspension

B. Other Sanctions *Ordered*:

Sanction detail: if suspended, *enjoined* or barred, provide duration including start date and capacities affected (General Securities Principal, Financial Operations Principal, etc.). If requalification by exam/retraining was a condition of the sanction, provide length of time given to requalify/retrain, type of exam required and whether condition has been satisfied. If disposition resulted in a fine, penalty, restitution, disgorgement or monetary compensation, provide total amount, portion levied against you or an *advisory affiliate*, date paid and if any portion of penalty was waived:

BAFIN IMPOSED A FINE OF 3.25 MILLION EUROS AGAINST BIM UK. AN ADDITIONAL 7,500 EUROS WAS LEVIED IN RESPECT OF AN ASSOCIATED ADMINISTRATION CHARGE.

13. Provide a brief summary of details related to the action status and (or) disposition and include relevant terms, conditions and dates (your response must fit within the space provided).

IN 2014, BIM UK SELF-REPORTED TO BAFIN THAT CERTAIN DISCLOSURES WERE EITHER INCORRECT OR LATE. BIM UK WORKED CLOSELY WITH BAFIN TO REMEDY THE RELEVANT DISCLOSURES AND INFORMED THE APPROPRIATE ISSUERS, AND THE BROADER MARKET, ON SEPTEMBER 30, 2014. ON MARCH 20, 2015, BAFIN IMPOSED A FINE OF 3.25 MILLION EUROS AGAINST BIM UK. AN ADDITIONAL 7,500 EUROS WAS LEVIED IN RESPECT OF AN ASSOCIATED ADMINISTRATION CHARGE. BIM UK PAID THE FINE AND ADMINISTRATION CHARGE TOTALING 3,257,500 EUROS (APPROXIMATELY \$3,563,216 USD) ON MARCH 26, 2015.

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

This Disclosure Reporting Page (DRP ADV) is an INITIAL **OR** AMENDED response used to report details for affirmative responses to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. of Form ADV.

Regulatory Action

Check item(s) being responded to:

- | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(1) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(2) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(3) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(4) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 11.C(5) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(1) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(2) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(3) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(4) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(5) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(1) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(2) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(3) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(4) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.F. | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.G. | | | |

Use a separate DRP for each event or *proceeding*. The same event or *proceeding* may be reported for more than one *person* or entity using one DRP. File with a completed Execution Page.

One event may result in more than one affirmative answer to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. Use only one DRP to report details related to the same event. If an event gives rise to actions by more than one regulator, provide details for each action on a separate DRP.

PART I

A. The *person(s)* or entity(ies) for whom this DRP is being filed is (are):

- You (the advisory firm)
- You and one or more of your *advisory affiliates*
- One or more of your *advisory affiliates*

If this DRP is being filed for an *advisory affiliate*, give the full name of the *advisory affiliate* below (for individuals, Last name, First name, Middle name).

If the *advisory affiliate* has a *CRD* number, provide that number. If not, indicate "non-registered" by checking the appropriate box.

ADV DRP - ADVISORY AFFILIATE

<i>CRD</i> Number:	This <i>advisory affiliate</i> is <input checked="" type="radio"/> a Firm <input type="radio"/> an Individual
Registered:	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Name:	BLACKROCK, INC (For individuals, Last, First, Middle)

- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because the *advisory affiliate(s)* is no longer associated with the adviser.
- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because: (1) the event or *proceeding* occurred more than ten years ago or (2) the adviser is registered or applying for registration with the SEC or reporting as an *exempt reporting adviser* with the SEC and the event was resolved in the adviser's or *advisory affiliate's* favor.

If you are registered or registering with a *state securities authority*, you may remove a DRP for an event you reported only in response to Item 11.D(4), and only if that event occurred more than ten years ago. If you are registered or registering with the SEC, you may remove a DRP for any event listed in Item 11 that occurred more than ten years ago.

- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because it was filed in error, such as due to a clerical or data-entry mistake. Explain the circumstances:

B. If the *advisory affiliate* is registered through the IARD system or *CRD* system, has the *advisory affiliate* submitted a DRP (with Form ADV, BD or U-4) to the IARD or *CRD* for the event? If the answer is "Yes," no other information on this DRP must be provided.

- Yes No

NOTE: The completion of this form does not relieve the *advisory affiliate* of its obligation to update its IARD or *CRD* records.

PART II

1. Regulatory Action initiated by:

- SEC Other Federal State SRO Foreign

(Full name of regulator, *foreign financial regulatory authority*, federal, state, or SRO)

COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION

2. Principal Sanction:

Civil and Administrative Penalt(ies) /Fine(s)

Other Sanctions:

CEASE AND DESIST

3. Date Initiated (MM/DD/YYYY):

03/08/2012 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

4. Docket/Case Number:

12-13

5. *Advisory Affiliate* Employing Firm when activity occurred which led to the regulatory action (if applicable):

6. Principal Product Type:

Futures - Financial

Other Product Types:

7. Describe the allegations related to this regulatory action (your response must fit within the space provided):

THE CFTC ALLEGED THAT TWO TRADES BY BTC VIOLATED SECTION 4C(A)(1) OF THE COMMODITY EXCHANGE ACT AND CFTC REGULATION 1.38(A).

8. Current Status? Pending On Appeal Final

9. If on appeal, regulatory action appealed to (SEC, SRO, Federal or State Court) and Date Appeal Filed:

If Final or On Appeal, complete all items below. For Pending Actions, complete Item 13 only.

10. How was matter resolved:

Settled

11. Resolution Date (MM/DD/YYYY):

03/08/2012 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

12. Resolution Detail:

A. Were any of the following Sanctions *Ordered* (check all appropriate items)?

Monetary/Fine Amount: \$ 250,000.00

Revocation/Expulsion/Denial

Censure

Bar

Disgorgement/Restitution

Cease and Desist/Injunction

Suspension

B. Other Sanctions *Ordered*:

CEASE AND DESIST

Sanction detail: if suspended, *enjoined* or barred, provide duration including start date and capacities affected (General Securities Principal, Financial Operations Principal, etc.). If requalification by exam/retraining was a condition of the sanction, provide length of time given to requalify/retrain, type of exam required and whether condition has been satisfied. If disposition resulted in a fine, penalty, restitution, disgorgement or monetary compensation, provide total amount, portion levied against you or an *advisory affiliate*, date paid and if any portion of penalty was waived:

THE \$250,000 FINE WAS LEVIED AGAINST BLACKROCK INSTITUTIONAL TRUST COMPANY, N.A., AN AFFILIATE OF BLACKROCK FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT, INC, AND WAS PAID ON MARCH 23, 2012. NO PORTION OF THE PENALTY WAS WAIVED.

13. Provide a brief summary of details related to the action status and (or) disposition and include relevant terms, conditions and dates (your response must fit within the space provided).

"ON JANUARY 20, 2012, AN AFFILIATE OF BFM, BLACKROCK INSTITUTIONAL TRUST COMPANY, N.A. ("BTC"), ENTERED INTO AN OFFER OF SETTLEMENT WITH THE CFTC, WITHOUT ADMITTING OR DENYING WRONGDOING, UNDER WHICH BTC AGREED TO THE IMPOSITION OF A \$250,000 PENALTY AND THE ENTRY OF AN ORDER TO RESOLVE ALLEGATIONS BY THE CFTC THAT TWO TRADES BY BTC VIOLATED SECTION 4C(A)(1) OF THE COMMODITY EXCHANGE ACT AND CFTC REGULATION 1.38(A). BTC ALSO AGREED TO CEASE AND DESIST FROM ANY FURTHER VIOLATIONS OF THESE STATUTES. THE CFTC DID NOT ALLEGE THAT ANY CLIENTS OF BTC, BLACKROCK OR ANY RELATED AFFILIATE WERE HARMED IN ANY WAY IN THE EXECUTION OF THESE TWO TRADES."

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

This Disclosure Reporting Page (DRP ADV) is an INITIAL **OR** AMENDED response used to report details for affirmative responses to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. of Form ADV.

Regulatory Action

Check item(s) being responded to:

- | | | | | |
|---|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(1) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(2) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(3) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(4) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(5) |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 11.D(1) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 11.D(2) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(3) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(4) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(5) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(1) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(2) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(3) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(4) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.F. | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.G. | | | |

Use a separate DRP for each event or *proceeding*. The same event or *proceeding* may be reported for more than one *person* or entity using one DRP. File with a completed Execution Page.

One event may result in more than one affirmative answer to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. Use only one DRP to report details related to the same event. If an event gives rise to actions by more than one regulator, provide details for each action on a separate DRP.

PART I

A. The *person(s)* or entity(ies) for whom this DRP is being filed is (are):

- You (the advisory firm)
- You and one or more of your *advisory affiliates*
- One or more of your *advisory affiliates*

If this DRP is being filed for an *advisory affiliate*, give the full name of the *advisory affiliate* below (for individuals, Last name, First name, Middle name).

If the *advisory affiliate* has a *CRD* number, provide that number. If not, indicate "non-registered" by checking the appropriate box.

ADV DRP - ADVISORY AFFILIATE

CRD Number:

This *advisory affiliate* is a Firm an Individual

Registered: Yes No

Name: BLACKROCK, INC
(For individuals, Last, First, Middle)

- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because the *advisory affiliate(s)* is no longer associated with the adviser.
- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because: (1) the event or *proceeding* occurred more than ten years ago or (2) the adviser is registered or applying for registration with the SEC or reporting as an *exempt reporting adviser* with the SEC and the event was resolved in the adviser's or *advisory affiliate's* favor.

If you are registered or registering with a *state securities authority*, you may remove a DRP for an event you reported only in response to Item 11.D(4), and only if that event occurred more than ten years ago. If you are registered or registering with the SEC, you may remove a DRP for any event listed in Item 11 that occurred more than ten years ago.

- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because it was filed in error, such as due to a clerical or data-entry mistake. Explain the circumstances:

- B. If the *advisory affiliate* is registered through the IARD system or *CRD* system, has the *advisory affiliate* submitted a DRP (with Form ADV, BD or U-4) to the IARD or *CRD* for the event? If the answer is "Yes," no other information on this DRP must be provided.

Yes No

NOTE: The completion of this form does not relieve the *advisory affiliate* of its obligation to update its IARD or *CRD* records.

PART II

1. Regulatory Action initiated by:

SEC Other Federal State SRO Foreign

(Full name of regulator, *foreign financial regulatory authority*, federal, state, or *SRO*)

COMMISSIONE NAZIONALE PER LE SOCIETA E LA BORSA ("CONSOB")

2. Principal Sanction:

Civil and Administrative Penalt(ies) /Fine(s)

Other Sanctions:

3. Date Initiated (MM/DD/YYYY):

04/29/2014 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

4. Docket/Case Number:

5. *Advisory Affiliate* Employing Firm when activity occurred which led to the regulatory action (if applicable):

6. Principal Product Type:

Other

Other Product Types:

NVESTMENT IN ITALIAN EQUITY

7. Describe the allegations related to this regulatory action (your response must fit within the space provided):

BLACKROCK INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT (UK) LIMITED ("BIMUK") ON BEHALF OF THE BLACKROCK GROUP OF COMPANIES MADE AN INCORRECT LARGE SHAREHOLDER THRESHOLD FILING TO CONSOB IN DECEMBER 2011 REGARDING ITS HOLDINGS IN UNICREDIT S.P.A., ON BEHALF OF CLIENTS. BLACKROCK DETECTED THIS ERROR, SELF-REPORTED IT TO CONSOB AND INFORMED THE MARKET OF THIS MISTAKE BACK IN JANUARY 2012.

8. Current Status? Pending On Appeal Final

9. If on appeal, regulatory action appealed to (SEC, *SRO*, Federal or State Court) and Date Appeal Filed:

If Final or On Appeal, complete all items below. For Pending Actions, complete Item 13 only.

10. How was matter resolved:

Decision

11. Resolution Date (MM/DD/YYYY):

05/08/2014 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

12. Resolution Detail:

A. Were any of the following Sanctions *Ordered* (check all appropriate items)?

Monetary/Fine Amount: \$ 205,826.00

Revocation/Expulsion/Denial

Censure

Bar

Disgorgement/Restitution

Cease and Desist/Injunction

Suspension

B. Other Sanctions *Ordered*:

Sanction detail: if suspended, *enjoined* or barred, provide duration including start date and capacities affected (General Securities Principal, Financial Operations Principal, etc.). If requalification by exam/retraining was a condition of the sanction, provide length of time given to requalify/retrain, type of exam required and whether condition has been satisfied. If disposition resulted in a fine, penalty, restitution, disgorgement or monetary compensation, provide total amount, portion levied against you or an *advisory affiliate*, date paid and if any portion of penalty was waived:

CONSOB IMPOSED A FINE OF 150,000 EURO FOR NEGLIGENT MARKET MANIPULATION (APPROXIMATELY \$205,826 USD). THE FINE WAS PAID ON MAY 8, 2014.

13. Provide a brief summary of details related to the action status and (or) disposition and include relevant terms, conditions and dates (your response must fit within the space provided).

ON APRIL 29, 2014, CONSOB FINED BIMUK 150,000 EURO (APPROXIMATELY \$205,826 USD) ON THE BASIS OF NEGLIGENT MARKET MANIPULATION ARISING FROM ITS INCORRECT LARGE SHAREHOLDER REPORT IN DECEMBER 2011.

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

This Disclosure Reporting Page (DRP ADV) is an INITIAL **OR** AMENDED response used to report details for affirmative responses to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. of Form ADV.

Regulatory Action

Check item(s) being responded to:

- | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(1) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(2) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(3) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(4) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(5) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(1) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 11.D(2) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(3) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(4) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(5) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(1) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(2) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(3) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(4) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.F. | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.G. | | | |

Use a separate DRP for each event or *proceeding*. The same event or *proceeding* may be reported for more than one *person* or entity using one DRP. File with a completed Execution Page.

One event may result in more than one affirmative answer to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. Use only one DRP to report details related to the same event. If an event gives rise to actions by more than one regulator, provide details for each action on a separate DRP.

PART I

A. The *person(s)* or entity(ies) for whom this DRP is being filed is (are):

- You (the advisory firm)
- You and one or more of your *advisory affiliates*
- One or more of your *advisory affiliates*

If this DRP is being filed for an *advisory affiliate*, give the full name of the *advisory affiliate* below (for individuals, Last name, First name, Middle name).

If the *advisory affiliate* has a *CRD* number, provide that number. If not, indicate "non-registered" by checking the appropriate box.

ADV DRP - ADVISORY AFFILIATE

CRD Number: _____ This *advisory affiliate* is a Firm an Individual

Registered: Yes No

Name: BLACKROCK, INC
(For individuals, Last, First, Middle)

- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because the *advisory affiliate(s)* is no longer associated with the adviser.
- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because: (1) the event or *proceeding* occurred more than ten years ago or (2) the adviser is registered or applying for registration with the SEC or reporting as an *exempt reporting adviser* with the SEC and the event was resolved in the adviser's or *advisory affiliate's* favor.

If you are registered or registering with a *state securities authority*, you may remove a DRP for an event you reported only in response to Item 11.D(4), and only if that event occurred more than ten years ago. If you are registered or registering with the SEC, you may remove a DRP for any event listed in Item 11 that occurred more than ten years ago.

This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because it was filed in error, such as due to a clerical or data-entry mistake. Explain the circumstances:

B. If the *advisory affiliate* is registered through the IARD system or *CRD* system, has the *advisory affiliate* submitted a DRP (with Form ADV, BD or U-4) to the IARD or *CRD* for the event? If the answer is "Yes," no other information on this DRP must be provided.

Yes No

NOTE: The completion of this form does not relieve the *advisory affiliate* of its obligation to update its IARD or *CRD* records.

PART II

1. Regulatory Action initiated by:

SEC Other Federal State SRO Foreign

(Full name of regulator, *foreign financial regulatory authority*, federal, state, or *SRO*)

BAFIN (THE GERMAN FEDERAL FINANCIAL SUPERVISORY AUTHORITY)

2. Principal Sanction:

Civil and Administrative Penalt(ies) /Fine(s)

Other Sanctions:

3. Date Initiated (MM/DD/YYYY):

12/18/2013 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

4. Docket/Case Number:

5. *Advisory Affiliate* Employing Firm when activity occurred which led to the regulatory action (if applicable):

6. Principal Product Type:

Other

Other Product Types:

INVESTMENT IN EQUITY SECURITIES OF A GERMAN ISSUERS

7. Describe the allegations related to this regulatory action (your response must fit within the space provided):

THE BAFIN ALLEGED THAT BLACKROCK INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT (UK) LIMITED, AS AGENT FOR CERTAIN OF ITS ADVISORY AFFILIATES, HAD NOT FILED, WITHIN THE REQUIRED TIME PERIOD, REPORTS THAT THE COLLECTIVE OWNERSHIP OF SECURITIES IN GERMAN ISSUERS CROSSED CERTAIN OWNERSHIP THRESHOLDS

8. Current Status? Pending On Appeal Final

9. If on appeal, regulatory action appealed to (SEC, *SRO*, Federal or State Court) and Date Appeal Filed:

If Final or On Appeal, complete all items below. For Pending Actions, complete Item 13 only.

10. How was matter resolved:

Settled

11. Resolution Date (MM/DD/YYYY):

01/09/2014 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

12. Resolution Detail:

A. Were any of the following Sanctions *Ordered* (check all appropriate items)?

Monetary/Fine Amount: \$ 309,697.00

Revocation/Expulsion/Denial

Censure

Bar

Disgorgement/Restitution

Cease and Desist/Injunction

Suspension

B. Other Sanctions *Ordered*:

Sanction detail: if suspended, *enjoined* or barred, provide duration including start date and capacities affected (General Securities Principal, Financial Operations Principal, etc.). If requalification by exam/retraining was a condition of the sanction, provide length of time given to requalify/retrain, type of exam required and whether condition has been satisfied. If disposition resulted in a fine, penalty, restitution, disgorgement or monetary compensation, provide total amount, portion levied against you or an *advisory affiliate*, date paid and if any portion of penalty was waived:

BAFIN IMPOSED A FINE OF 220,000 EUROS (APPROXIMATELY \$299,488). AN ADDITIONAL 7,500 EUROS (APPROXIMATELY \$10,209) WAS LEVIED IN RESPECT FOR THE ASSOCIATED ADMINISTRATION CHARGES.

13. Provide a brief summary of details related to the action status and (or) disposition and include relevant terms, conditions and dates (your response must fit within the space provided).

BLACKROCK INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT (UK) LIMITED PAID THE FINE AND ADMINISTRATION CHARGES TOTALING 227,500 EUROS (APPROXIMATELY \$309,697) ON JANUARY 9, 2014.

Regulatory Action

Check item(s) being responded to:

- | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(1) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(2) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(3) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(4) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(5) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(1) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 11.D(2) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(3) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 11.D(4) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 11.D(5) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(1) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(2) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(3) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(4) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.F. | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.G. | | | |

Use a separate DRP for each event or *proceeding*. The same event or *proceeding* may be reported for more than one *person* or entity using one DRP. File with a completed Execution Page.

One event may result in more than one affirmative answer to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. Use only one DRP to report details related to the same event. If an event gives rise to actions by more than one regulator, provide details for each action on a separate DRP.

PART I

A. The *person(s)* or entity(ies) for whom this DRP is being filed is (are):

- You (the advisory firm)
- You and one or more of your *advisory affiliates*
- One or more of your *advisory affiliates*

If this DRP is being filed for an *advisory affiliate*, give the full name of the *advisory affiliate* below (for individuals, Last name, First name, Middle name).

If the *advisory affiliate* has a *CRD* number, provide that number. If not, indicate "non-registered" by checking the appropriate box.

ADV DRP - ADVISORY AFFILIATE

<p><i>CRD</i> Number:</p> <p>Registered: <input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No</p> <p>Name: BLACKROCK, INC (For individuals, Last, First, Middle)</p>	<p>This <i>advisory affiliate</i> is <input checked="" type="radio"/> a Firm <input type="radio"/> an Individual</p>
---	--

- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because the *advisory affiliate(s)* is no longer associated with the adviser.
- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because: (1) the event or *proceeding* occurred more than ten years ago or (2) the adviser is registered or applying for registration with the SEC or reporting as an *exempt reporting adviser* with the SEC and the event was resolved in the adviser's or *advisory affiliate's* favor.

If you are registered or registering with a *state securities authority*, you may remove a DRP for an event you reported only in response to Item 11.D(4), and only if that event occurred more than ten years ago. If you are registered or registering with the SEC, you may remove a DRP for any event listed in Item 11 that occurred more than ten years ago.

- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because it was filed in error, such as due to a clerical or data-entry mistake. Explain the circumstances:

B. If the *advisory affiliate* is registered through the IARD system or *CRD* system, has the *advisory affiliate* submitted a DRP (with Form ADV, BD or U-4) to the IARD or *CRD* for the event? If the answer is "Yes," no other information on this DRP must be provided.

- Yes No

NOTE: The completion of this form does not relieve the *advisory affiliate* of its obligation to update its IARD or *CRD* records.

PART II

1. Regulatory Action initiated by:

- SEC Other Federal State SRO Foreign

(Full name of regulator, *foreign financial regulatory authority*, federal, state, or SRO)

TAIWAN FINANCIAL SUPERVISORY COMMISSION ("FSC")

2. Principal Sanction:

Reprimand

Other Sanctions:

3. Date Initiated (MM/DD/YYYY):

12/25/2012 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

4. Docket/Case Number:

5. *Advisory Affiliate* Employing Firm when activity occurred which led to the regulatory action (if applicable):

6. Principal Product Type:
Money Market Fund(s)
Other Product Types:

7. Describe the allegations related to this regulatory action (your response must fit within the space provided):

"ON DECEMBER 25, 2012, THE TAIWAN FINANCIAL SUPERVISORY COMMISSION ("FSC") ISSUED A WARNING NOTICE THAT PROHIBITS BLACKROCK TAIWAN FROM OFFERING NEW ONSHORE OR OFFSHORE FUNDS, OR TAKING ON NEW ONSHORE MANDATES, FOR SIX MONTHS. NO ACTIONS WERE TAKEN AGAINST INDIVIDUAL BLACKROCK EMPLOYEES. THE FSC'S WARNING NOTICE FOUND THAT BLACKROCK TAIWAN VIOLATED LOCAL SECURITIES REGULATIONS DUE TO THE MANNER IN WHICH IT SOUGHT SUBSCRIPTIONS FROM FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS TO SUPPORT A PROPOSED INCREASE IN THE MANAGEMENT FEE OF TAIWAN DOLLAR MONEY MARKET FUND."

8. Current Status? Pending On Appeal Final

9. If on appeal, regulatory action appealed to (SEC, SRO, Federal or State Court) and Date Appeal Filed:

If Final or On Appeal, complete all items below. For Pending Actions, complete Item 13 only.

10. How was matter resolved:

Other

11. Resolution Date (MM/DD/YYYY):

12/25/2012 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

12. Resolution Detail:

A. Were any of the following Sanctions *Ordered* (check all appropriate items)?

Monetary/Fine Amount: \$

Revocation/Expulsion/Denial

Censure

Bar

Disgorgement/Restitution

Cease and Desist/Injunction

Suspension

B. Other Sanctions *Ordered*:

"BLACKROCK TAIWAN IS PROHIBITED FROM OFFERING NEW ONSHORE OR OFFSHORE FUNDS, OR TAKING ON NEW ONSHORE MANDATES, FOR SIX MONTHS FROM DECEMBER 25, 2012. THE WARNING NOTICE DOES NOT PROHIBIT BLACKROCK FROM ENGAGING IN OTHER ACTIVITIES.

Sanction detail: if suspended, *enjoined* or barred, provide duration including start date and capacities affected (General Securities Principal, Financial Operations Principal, etc.). If requalification by exam/retraining was a condition of the sanction, provide length of time given to requalify/retrain, type of exam required and whether condition has been satisfied. If disposition resulted in a fine, penalty, restitution, disgorgement or monetary compensation, provide total amount, portion levied against you or an *advisory affiliate*, date paid and if any portion of penalty was waived:

ON DECEMBER 25, 2012, THE FSC ISSUED A WARNING SANCTION TO BLACKROCK TAIWAN THAT PROHIBITS BLACKROCK TAIWAN FROM OFFERING NEW ONSHORE OR OFFSHORE FUNDS, OR TAKING ON NEW ONSHORE MANDATES, FOR SIX MONTHS. NO ACTIONS WERE TAKEN AGAINST INDIVIDUAL BLACKROCK EMPLOYEES."

13. Provide a brief summary of details related to the action status and (or) disposition and include relevant terms, conditions and dates (your response must fit within the space provided).

"THE WARNING NOTICE DOES NOT PROHIBIT BLACKROCK TAIWAN FROM ENGAGING IN OTHER INVESTMENT-RELATED ACTIVITIES, NOT SPECIFICALLY COVERED IN THE WARNING NOTICE. THE FSC DID NOT IMPOSE ANY MONETARY PENALTY ON BLACKROCK TAIWAN."

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

This Disclosure Reporting Page (DRP ADV) is an INITIAL **OR** AMENDED response used to report details for affirmative responses to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. of Form ADV.

Regulatory Action

Check item(s) being responded to:

<input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(1)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 11.C(2)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(3)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 11.C(4)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 11.C(5)
<input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(1)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(2)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(3)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(4)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(5)
<input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(1)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(2)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(3)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(4)	
<input type="checkbox"/> 11.F.	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.G.			

Use a separate DRP for each event or *proceeding*. The same event or *proceeding* may be reported for more than one *person* or entity using one DRP. File with a completed Execution Page.

One event may result in more than one affirmative answer to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. Use only one DRP to report details related to the same event. If an event gives rise to actions by more than one regulator, provide details for each action on a separate DRP.

PART I

A. The *person(s)* or entity(ies) for whom this DRP is being filed is (are):

You (the advisory firm)

You and one or more of your *advisory affiliates*

One or more of your *advisory affiliates*

If this DRP is being filed for an *advisory affiliate*, give the full name of the *advisory affiliate* below (for individuals, Last name, First name, Middle name).

If the *advisory affiliate* has a *CRD* number, provide that number. If not, indicate "non-registered" by checking the appropriate box.

ADV DRP - *ADVISORY AFFILIATE*

CRD Number:

This *advisory affiliate* is a Firm an Individual

Registered: Yes No

Name: BLACKROCK, INC
(For individuals, Last, First, Middle)

This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because the *advisory affiliate(s)* is no longer associated with the adviser.

This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because: (1) the event or *proceeding* occurred more than ten years ago or (2) the adviser is registered or applying for registration with the SEC or reporting as an *exempt reporting adviser* with the SEC and the event was resolved in the adviser's or *advisory affiliate's* favor.

If you are registered or registering with a *state securities authority*, you may remove a DRP for an event you reported only in response to Item 11.D(4), and only if that event occurred more than ten years ago. If you are registered or registering with the SEC, you may remove a DRP for any event listed in Item 11 that occurred more than ten years ago.

This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because it was filed in error, such as due to a clerical or data-entry mistake. Explain the circumstances:

B. If the *advisory affiliate* is registered through the IARD system or *CRD* system, has the *advisory affiliate* submitted a DRP (with Form ADV, BD or U-4) to the IARD or *CRD* for the event? If the answer is "Yes," no other information on this DRP must be provided.

Yes No

NOTE: The completion of this form does not relieve the *advisory affiliate* of its obligation to update its IARD or *CRD* records.

PART II

1. Regulatory Action initiated by:

SEC Other Federal State SRO Foreign

(Full name of regulator, *foreign financial regulatory authority*, federal, state, or *SRO*)

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION ("SEC")

2. Principal Sanction:

Civil and Administrative Penalt(ies) /Fine(s)

Other Sanctions:

PURSUANT TO THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION'S ORDER DATED APRIL 25, 2017 (THE ""ORDER""), BLACKROCK FUND ADVISORS (""BFA"") IS TO CEASE AND DESIST FROM COMMITTING OR CAUSING ANY VIOLATIONS AND ANY FUTURE VIOLATIONS OF SECTIONS 22(D), AND (E) OF THE INVESTMENT COMPANY ACT AND RULE 22C- 1 THEREUNDER."

3. Date Initiated (MM/DD/YYYY):

04/25/2017 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

4. Docket/Case Number:

3-17942

5. *Advisory Affiliate* Employing Firm when activity occurred which led to the regulatory action (if applicable):

6. Principal Product Type:

Other

Other Product Types:

EXCHANGE TRADED FUND (ETF)

7. Describe the allegations related to this regulatory action (your response must fit within the space provided):

"THE ORDER SPECIFICALLY CONCERNS THE ISHARES MSCI RUSSIA CAPPED ETF (""RUSSIA FUND ETF""), MANAGED BY BLACKROCK FUND ADVISORS (""BFA"") AND WHETHER IT WAS COVERED BY EXISTING EXEMPTIVE RELIEF GRANTED BY SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION (""SEC""). IN 2010, THE RUSSIA FUND ETF BEGAN SELLING ITS SHARES AS THE ONLY SERIES OF SHARES OF ISHARES MSCI RUSSIA CAPPED ETF, INC. (""RUSSIA FUND COMPANY""). THE RUSSIA FUND COMPANY DID NOT HAVE THE REQUIRED EXEMPTIVE RELIEF UNTIL JANUARY 2015. ACCORDINGLY, BY OPERATING THE RUSSIA FUND ETF FROM DECEMBER 2010 TO JANUARY 2015, BFA CAUSED THE RUSSIA FUND COMPANY TO VIOLATE THE INVESTMENT COMPANY ACT."

8. Current Status? Pending On Appeal Final

9. If on appeal, regulatory action appealed to (SEC, *SRO*, Federal or State Court) and Date Appeal Filed:

NA

If Final or On Appeal, complete all items below. For Pending Actions, complete Item 13 only.

10. How was matter resolved:

Order

11. Resolution Date (MM/DD/YYYY):

04/28/2017 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

12. Resolution Detail:

A. Were any of the following Sanctions *Ordered* (check all appropriate items)?

Monetary/Fine Amount: \$ 1,500,000.00

Revocation/Expulsion/Denial

Censure

Bar

Disgorgement/Restitution

Cease and Desist/Injunction

Suspension

B. Other Sanctions *Ordered*:

Sanction detail: if suspended, *enjoined* or barred, provide duration including start date and capacities affected (General Securities Principal, Financial Operations Principal, etc.). If requalification by exam/retraining was a condition of the sanction, provide length of time given to requalify/retrain, type of exam required and whether condition has been satisfied. If disposition resulted in a fine, penalty, restitution, disgorgement or monetary compensation, provide total amount, portion levied against you or an *advisory affiliate*, date paid and if any portion of penalty was waived:

A CIVIL MONETARY PENALTY IN THE AMOUNT OF \$1,500,000 WAS PAID ON APRIL 28, 2017"

13. Provide a brief summary of details related to the action status and (or) disposition and include relevant terms, conditions and dates (your response must fit within the space provided).

"ON APRIL 25, 2017, BFA REACHED AN AGREEMENT WITH THE SEC RESOLVING THE MATTER REGARDING THE RUSSIA FUND ETF. BFA, WHICH DID NOT ADMIT OR DENY ANY OF THE SEC'S FINDINGS, AGREED TO RESOLVE THE MATTER FOR A CIVIL MONETARY PENALTY OF \$1,500,000."

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

This Disclosure Reporting Page (DRP ADV) is an INITIAL **OR** AMENDED response used to report details for affirmative responses to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. of Form ADV.

Regulatory Action

Check item(s) being responded to:

11.C(1)

11.C(2)

11.C(3)

11.C(4)

11.C(5)

11.D(1)

11.D(2)

11.D(3)

11.D(4)

11.D(5)

11.E(1)

11.E(2)

11.E(3)

11.E(4)

11.F.

11.G.

Use a separate DRP for each event or *proceeding*. The same event or *proceeding* may be reported for more than one *person* or entity using one DRP. File with a completed Execution Page.

One event may result in more than one affirmative answer to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. Use only one DRP to report details related to the same event. If an event gives rise to actions by more than one regulator, provide details for each action on a separate DRP.

PART I

A. The *person(s)* or entity(ies) for whom this DRP is being filed is (are):

You (the advisory firm)

You and one or more of your *advisory affiliates*

One or more of your *advisory affiliates*

If this DRP is being filed for an *advisory affiliate*, give the full name of the *advisory affiliate* below (for individuals, Last name, First name, Middle name).

If the *advisory affiliate* has a *CRD* number, provide that number. If not, indicate "non-registered" by checking the appropriate box.

ADV DRP - *ADVISORY AFFILIATE*

CRD Number:

This *advisory affiliate* is a Firm an Individual

Registered: Yes No

Name: BLACKROCK, INC
(For individuals, Last, First, Middle)

This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because the *advisory affiliate(s)* is no longer associated with the adviser.

This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because: (1) the event or *proceeding* occurred more than ten years ago or (2) the adviser is registered or applying for registration with the SEC or reporting as an *exempt reporting adviser* with the SEC and the event was resolved in the adviser's or *advisory affiliate's* favor.

If you are registered or registering with a *state securities authority*, you may remove a DRP for an event you reported only in response to Item 11.D(4), and only if that event occurred more than ten years ago. If you are registered or registering with the SEC, you may remove a DRP for any event listed in Item 11 that occurred more than ten years ago.

This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because it was filed in error, such as due to a clerical or data-entry mistake. Explain the circumstances:

B. If the *advisory affiliate* is registered through the IARD system or *CRD* system, has the *advisory affiliate* submitted a DRP (with Form ADV, BD or U-4) to the IARD or *CRD* for the event? If the answer is "Yes," no other information on this DRP must be provided.

Yes No

NOTE: The completion of this form does not relieve the *advisory affiliate* of its obligation to update its IARD or *CRD* records.

PART II

1. Regulatory Action initiated by:

SEC Other Federal State SRO Foreign

(Full name of regulator, *foreign financial regulatory authority*, federal, state, or *SRO*)

FINANSINSPEKTIONEN - SWEDISH FINANCIAL SERVICES AUTHORITY ("SFSA")

2. Principal Sanction:

Civil and Administrative Penalt(ies) /Fine(s)

Other Sanctions:

3. Date Initiated (MM/DD/YYYY):

05/31/2018 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

4. Docket/Case Number:

5. *Advisory Affiliate* Employing Firm when activity occurred which led to the regulatory action (if applicable):

6. Principal Product Type:

Other

Other Product Types:

INVESTMENT IN SWEDISH EQUITY

7. Describe the allegations related to this regulatory action (your response must fit within the space provided):

BLACKROCK INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT (UK) LIMITED ("BIM UK") IS REQUIRED TO REPORT TO THE SWEDISH FINANCIAL SERVICES AUTHORITY ("SFSA"), WITHIN A SPECIFIED TIME PERIOD, ITS HOLDINGS IN LISTED ISSUERS WHERE THOSE HOLDINGS CROSS A CERTAIN THRESHOLD ("NOTIFICATIONS"). ON MAY 5, 2017, AN EXTERNAL VENDOR WAS UNABLE TO PROVIDE ACCURATE DATA RESULTING IN APPROXIMATELY A 5 MINUTE DELAY IN BIM UK'S SUBMISSION OF CERTAIN SHORT SELLING NOTIFICATIONS TO THE SFSA. THE SFSA WAS INFORMED IN ADVANCE OF THE FILING DEADLINE OF THE LIKELIHOOD OF A DELAY TO THE SUBMISSION OF CERTAIN SHORT SELLING NOTIFICATIONS. IN SEPTEMBER 2017, BIM UK RESPONDED TO AN INQUIRY FROM THE SFSA REGARDING THE LATE SUBMISSION ON MAY 5, 2017 OF SHORT SELLING NOTIFICATIONS FOR THREE LISTED ISSUERS (I.E., BYGGMAX GROUP AB, JM AB AND MYCRONIC AB). THAT RESPONSE EXPLAINED THE CIRCUMSTANCES AND NOTED THAT THE ISSUE AROSE AS A RESULT OF INACCURATE DATA RECEIVED FROM AN EXTERNAL DATA VENDOR. THE SWEDISH FSA TOOK A STRICT APPROACH TO THE INTERPRETATION OF THE REQUIREMENT TO SUBMIT THE NOTIFICATIONS ON TIME AND IMPOSED A SPECIAL FEE ON BIM UK FOR EACH OF THE THREE LATE NOTIFICATIONS.

8. Current Status? Pending On Appeal Final

9. If on appeal, regulatory action appealed to (SEC, *SRO*, Federal or State Court) and Date Appeal Filed:

If Final or On Appeal, complete all items below. For Pending Actions, complete Item 13 only.

10. How was matter resolved:

Decision

11. Resolution Date (MM/DD/YYYY):

09/20/2018 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

12. Resolution Detail:

A. Were any of the following Sanctions *Ordered* (check all appropriate items)?

Monetary/Fine Amount: \$ 2,020.00

Revocation/Expulsion/Denial

Censure

Bar

Disgorgement/Restitution

Cease and Desist/Injunction

Suspension

B. Other Sanctions *Ordered*:

Sanction detail: if suspended, *enjoined* or barred, provide duration including start date and capacities affected (General Securities Principal, Financial Operations Principal, etc.). If requalification by exam/retraining was a condition of the sanction, provide length of time given to requalify/retrain, type of exam required and whether condition has been satisfied. If disposition resulted in a fine, penalty, restitution, disgorgement or monetary compensation, provide total amount, portion levied against you or an *advisory affiliate*, date paid and if any portion of penalty was waived:

ON MAY 31, 2018, BIM UK LIMITED RECEIVED THREE LETTERS IMPOSING A SPECIAL FEE FOR EACH OF THE LATE SHORT SELLING NOTIFICATIONS. INVOICE(S) TOTALING 17,300 SEK (APPROXIMATELY \$2020 USD) WERE RECEIVED ON AUGUST 21, 2018 FOR THE SPECIAL FEE(S).

13. Provide a brief summary of details related to the action status and (or) disposition and include relevant terms, conditions and dates (your response must fit within the space provided).

BIM UK PAID THE SPECIAL FEE TO THE SFSA ON SEPTEMBER 20, 2018.

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

This Disclosure Reporting Page (DRP ADV) is an INITIAL **OR** AMENDED response used to report details for affirmative responses to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. of Form ADV.

Regulatory Action

Check item(s) being responded to:

<input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(1)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 11.C(2)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(3)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 11.C(4)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 11.C(5)
<input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(1)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(2)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(3)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(4)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(5)
<input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(1)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(2)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(3)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(4)	
<input type="checkbox"/> 11.F.	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.G.			

Use a separate DRP for each event or *proceeding*. The same event or *proceeding* may be reported for more than one *person* or entity using one DRP. File with a completed Execution Page.

One event may result in more than one affirmative answer to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. Use only one DRP to report details related to the same event. If an event gives rise to actions by more than one regulator, provide details for each action on a separate DRP.

PART I

A. The *person(s)* or entity(ies) for whom this DRP is being filed is (are):

- You (the advisory firm)
- You and one or more of your *advisory affiliates*
- One or more of your *advisory affiliates*

If this DRP is being filed for an *advisory affiliate*, give the full name of the *advisory affiliate* below (for individuals, Last name, First name, Middle name).

If the *advisory affiliate* has a *CRD* number, provide that number. If not, indicate "non-registered" by checking the appropriate box.

ADV DRP - ADVISORY AFFILIATE

CRD Number: _____ This *advisory affiliate* is a Firm an Individual

Registered: Yes No

Name: BLACKROCK, INC
(For individuals, Last, First, Middle)

- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because the *advisory affiliate(s)* is no longer associated with the adviser.
- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because: (1) the event or *proceeding* occurred more than ten years ago or (2) the adviser is registered or applying for registration with the SEC or reporting as an *exempt reporting adviser* with the SEC and the event was resolved in the adviser's or *advisory affiliate's* favor.

If you are registered or registering with a *state securities authority*, you may remove a DRP for an event you reported only in response to Item 11.D(4), and only if that event occurred more than ten years ago. If you are registered or registering with the SEC, you may remove a DRP for any event listed in Item 11 that occurred more than ten years ago.

- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because it was filed in error, such as due to a clerical or data-entry mistake. Explain the circumstances:

B. If the *advisory affiliate* is registered through the IARD system or *CRD* system, has the *advisory affiliate* submitted a DRP (with Form ADV, BD or U-4) to the IARD or *CRD* for the event? If the answer is "Yes," no other information on this DRP must be provided.

- Yes No

NOTE: The completion of this form does not relieve the *advisory affiliate* of its obligation to update its IARD or *CRD* records.

PART II

1. Regulatory Action initiated by:
 SEC Other Federal State SRO Foreign
(Full name of regulator, *foreign financial regulatory authority*, federal, state, or SRO)
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION ("SEC")

2. Principal Sanction:
Disgorgement
Other Sanctions:
CIVIL PENALTY; PREJUDGMENT INTEREST; CEASE AND DESIST"

3. Date Initiated (MM/DD/YYYY):
09/16/2014 Exact Explanation
If not exact, provide explanation:

4. Docket/Case Number:
3-16120

5. *Advisory Affiliate* Employing Firm when activity occurred which led to the regulatory action (if applicable):

6. Principal Product Type:
Equity Listed (Common & Preferred Stock)
Other Product Types:

7. Describe the allegations related to this regulatory action (your response must fit within the space provided):
"ON SEPTEMBER 16, 2014, THE U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION ("SEC") FOUND THAT, IN THREE INSTANCES IN 2010 AND 2011, BLACKROCK INSTITUTIONAL TRUST COMPANY, NA ("BTC") VIOLATED RULE 105 OF REGULATION M, UNDER THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 ("RULE 105"), WHICH PROHIBITS THE SHORT SALE OF AN EQUITY SECURITY DURING A RESTRICTED PERIOD AND THE PURCHASE OF THAT SAME SECURITY IN A SECONDARY OR FOLLOW-ON OFFERING."

8. Current Status? Pending On Appeal Final

9. If on appeal, regulatory action appealed to (SEC, SRO, Federal or State Court) and Date Appeal Filed:

If Final or On Appeal, complete all items below. For Pending Actions, complete Item 13 only.

10. How was matter resolved:
Order

11. Resolution Date (MM/DD/YYYY):
09/16/2014 Exact Explanation
If not exact, provide explanation:

12. Resolution Detail:

A. Were any of the following Sanctions *Ordered* (check all appropriate items)?

Monetary/Fine Amount: \$ 1,675,350.00

Revocation/Expulsion/Denial

Censure

Bar

Disgorgement/Restitution

Cease and Desist/Injunction

Suspension

B. Other Sanctions *Ordered*:

Sanction detail: if suspended, *enjoined* or barred, provide duration including start date and capacities affected (General Securities Principal, Financial Operations Principal, etc.). If requalification by exam/retraining was a condition of the sanction, provide length of time given to requalify/retrain, type of exam required and whether condition has been satisfied. If disposition resulted in a fine, penalty, restitution, disgorgement or monetary compensation, provide total amount, portion levied against you or an *advisory affiliate*, date paid and if any portion of penalty was waived:

THE MONETARY FINE OF \$1,675,350.13 INCLUDED PAYMENT OF (I) DISGORGEMENT OF \$1,122,400.00; (II) PREJUDGMENT INTEREST OF \$22,471.13; AND (III) A CIVIL MONEY PENALTY OF \$530,479.00. BTC ALSO WAS ORDERED TO CEASE AND DESIST FROM COMMITTING OR CAUSING ANY VIOLATIONS AND ANY FUTURE VIOLATIONS OF RULE 105 OF REGULATION M OF THE EXCHANGE ACT."

13. Provide a brief summary of details related to the action status and (or) disposition and include relevant terms, conditions and dates (your response must fit within the space provided).

"PURSUANT TO A SEPTEMBER 16, 2014 SEC ORDER, BTC RESOLVED THE MATTER THROUGH A \$1,675,350.13 SETTLEMENT WITH THE SEC, WHICH INCLUDED THE PAYMENT OF DISGORGEMENT, PREJUDGMENT INTEREST AND A CIVIL PENALTY (THE "PAYMENT"). THE PAYMENT WAS MADE ON SEPTEMBER 24, 2014. THE PAYMENT WAS FROM BTC, NOT FROM ANY CLIENT PORTFOLIOS. BTC ALSO AGREED TO CEASE AND DESIST FROM COMMITTING OR CAUSING ANY FUTURE VIOLATIONS OF RULE 105. BTC DID NOT ADMIT OR DENY ANY WRONGDOING AS PART OF THE SETTLEMENT."

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

This Disclosure Reporting Page (DRP ADV) is an INITIAL **OR** AMENDED response used to report details for affirmative responses to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. of Form ADV.

Regulatory Action

Check item(s) being responded to:

- | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(1) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(2) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(3) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(4) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(5) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(1) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 11.D(2) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(3) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(4) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(5) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(1) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(2) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(3) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(4) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.F. | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.G. | | | |

Use a separate DRP for each event or *proceeding*. The same event or *proceeding* may be reported for more than one *person* or entity using one DRP. File with a completed Execution Page.

One event may result in more than one affirmative answer to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. Use only one DRP to report details related to the same event. If an event gives rise to actions by more than one regulator, provide details for each action on a separate DRP.

PART I

A. The *person(s)* or entity(ies) for whom this DRP is being filed is (are):

- You (the advisory firm)
- You and one or more of your *advisory affiliates*
- One or more of your *advisory affiliates*

If this DRP is being filed for an *advisory affiliate*, give the full name of the *advisory affiliate* below (for individuals, Last name, First name, Middle name).

If the *advisory affiliate* has a *CRD* number, provide that number. If not, indicate "non-registered" by checking the appropriate box.

ADV DRP - ADVISORY AFFILIATE

<p><i>CRD</i> Number:</p> <p>Registered: <input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No</p> <p>Name: BLACKROCK, INC (For individuals, Last, First, Middle)</p>	<p>This <i>advisory affiliate</i> is <input checked="" type="radio"/> a Firm <input type="radio"/> an Individual</p>
---	--

- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because the *advisory affiliate(s)* is no longer associated with the adviser.
- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because: (1) the event or *proceeding* occurred more than ten years ago or (2) the adviser is registered or applying for registration with the SEC or reporting as an *exempt reporting adviser* with the SEC and the event was resolved in the adviser's or *advisory affiliate's* favor.

If you are registered or registering with a *state securities authority*, you may remove a DRP for an event you reported only in response to Item 11.D(4), and only if that event occurred more than ten years ago. If you are registered or registering with the SEC, you may remove a DRP for any event listed in Item 11 that occurred more than ten years ago.

- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because it was filed in error, such as due to a clerical or data-entry mistake. Explain the circumstances:

B. If the *advisory affiliate* is registered through the IARD system or *CRD* system, has the *advisory affiliate* submitted a DRP (with Form ADV, BD or U-4) to the IARD or *CRD* for the event? If the answer is "Yes," no other information on this DRP must be provided.

- Yes No

NOTE: The completion of this form does not relieve the *advisory affiliate* of its obligation to update its IARD or *CRD* records.

PART II

1. Regulatory Action initiated by:

- SEC Other Federal State SRO Foreign

(Full name of regulator, *foreign financial regulatory authority*, federal, state, or SRO)

FEDERAL FINANCIAL SUPERVISORY AUTHORITY ("BAFIN")

2. Principal Sanction:

Civil and Administrative Penalt(ies) /Fine(s)

Other Sanctions:

3. Date Initiated (MM/DD/YYYY):

04/20/2020 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

4. Docket/Case Number:

5. *Advisory Affiliate* Employing Firm when activity occurred which led to the regulatory action (if applicable):

6. Principal Product Type:
Other
Other Product Types:
THE MATTER RELATED TO OWNERSHIP FILINGS WITH THE GERMAN REGULATOR IN RELATION TO GERMAN LISTED ENTITIES.

7. Describe the allegations related to this regulatory action (your response must fit within the space provided):
BAFIN (THE GERMAN FINANCIAL REGULATOR) REACHED A DECISION AGAINST BIM UK FOR APPROXIMATELY 180 INCORRECT THRESHOLDS FILINGS BETWEEN AUGUST 2018 AND OCTOBER 2018 FOLLOWING BREXIT RESTRUCTURING.

8. Current Status? Pending On Appeal Final

9. If on appeal, regulatory action appealed to (SEC, SRO, Federal or State Court) and Date Appeal Filed:

If Final or On Appeal, complete all items below. For Pending Actions, complete Item 13 only.

10. How was matter resolved:

Decision

11. Resolution Date (MM/DD/YYYY):

05/11/2020 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

12. Resolution Detail:

A. Were any of the following Sanctions *Ordered* (check all appropriate items)?

Monetary/Fine Amount: \$ 801,000.00

Revocation/Expulsion/Denial

Censure

Bar

Disgorgement/Restitution

Cease and Desist/Injunction

Suspension

B. Other Sanctions *Ordered*:

Sanction detail: if suspended, *enjoined* or barred, provide duration including start date and capacities affected (General Securities Principal, Financial Operations Principal, etc.). If requalification by exam/retraining was a condition of the sanction, provide length of time given to requalify/retrain, type of exam required and whether condition has been satisfied. If disposition resulted in a fine, penalty, restitution, disgorgement or monetary compensation, provide total amount, portion levied against you or an *advisory affiliate*, date paid and if any portion of penalty was waived:

DECISION TO IMPOSE A 744.000 EURO (\$801,000 USD) SANCTION AGAINST BLACKROCK INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT (UK) LIMITED WHICH WAS PAID ON MAY 11, 2020.

13. Provide a brief summary of details related to the action status and (or) disposition and include relevant terms, conditions and dates (your response must fit within the space provided).

ON APRIL 20, 2020 BAFIN (THE GERMAN FINANCIAL REGULATOR) REACHED A DECISION TO IMPOSE A SANCTION OF 744.000 EURO (\$801,000 USD) AGAINST BLACKROCK INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT (UK) LIMITED FOR APPROXIMATELY 180 INCORRECT THRESHOLDS FILINGS BETWEEN AUGUST 2018 AND OCTOBER 2018 FOLLOWING BREXIT RESTRUCTURING. THRESHOLD INFORMATION EPORTED AT THE AGGREGATE BLACKROCK, INC. LEVEL WAS CORRECT, AND THE MARKET WAS NOT MISLED. THE SANCTION WAS PAID ON MAY 11, 2020.

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

This Disclosure Reporting Page (DRP ADV) is an INITIAL **OR** AMENDED response used to report details for affirmative responses to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. of Form ADV.

Regulatory Action

Check item(s) being responded to:

<input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(1)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(2)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(3)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(4)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(5)
<input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(1)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 11.D(2)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(3)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(4)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(5)
<input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(1)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(2)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(3)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(4)	
<input type="checkbox"/> 11.F.	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.G.			

Use a separate DRP for each event or *proceeding*. The same event or *proceeding* may be reported for more than one *person* or entity using one DRP. File with a completed Execution Page.

One event may result in more than one affirmative answer to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. Use only one DRP to report details related to the same event. If an event gives rise to actions by more than one regulator, provide details for each action on a separate DRP.

PART I

A. The *person(s)* or entity(ies) for whom this DRP is being filed is (are):

You (the advisory firm)

You and one or more of your *advisory affiliates*

One or more of your *advisory affiliates*

If this DRP is being filed for an *advisory affiliate*, give the full name of the *advisory affiliate* below (for individuals, Last name, First name, Middle name).

If the *advisory affiliate* has a *CRD* number, provide that number. If not, indicate "non-registered" by checking the appropriate box.

ADV DRP - *ADVISORY AFFILIATE*

CRD Number:

This *advisory affiliate* is a Firm an Individual

Registered: Yes No

Name: BLACKROCK, INC
(For individuals, Last, First, Middle)

This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because the *advisory affiliate(s)* is no longer associated with the adviser.

This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because: (1) the event or *proceeding* occurred more than ten years ago or (2) the adviser is registered or applying for registration with the SEC or reporting as an *exempt reporting adviser* with the SEC and the event was resolved in the adviser's or *advisory affiliate's* favor.

If you are registered or registering with a *state securities authority*, you may remove a DRP for an event you reported only in response to Item 11.D(4), and only if that event occurred more than ten years ago. If you are registered or registering with the SEC, you may remove a DRP for any event listed in Item 11 that occurred more than ten years ago.

This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because it was filed in error, such as due to a clerical or data-entry mistake. Explain the circumstances:

B. If the *advisory affiliate* is registered through the IARD system or *CRD* system, has the *advisory affiliate* submitted a DRP (with Form ADV, BD or U-4) to the IARD or *CRD* for the event? If the answer is "Yes," no other information on this DRP must be provided.

Yes No

NOTE: The completion of this form does not relieve the *advisory affiliate* of its obligation to update its IARD or *CRD* records.

PART II

1. Regulatory Action initiated by:

SEC Other Federal State *SRO* Foreign

(Full name of regulator, *foreign financial regulatory authority*, federal, state, or *SRO*)

THE SWISS FEDERAL DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ("SWISS FDF")

2. Principal Sanction:

Other

Other Sanctions:

SETTLEMENT SUM

3. Date Initiated (MM/DD/YYYY):

07/19/2021 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

4. Docket/Case Number:

5. *Advisory Affiliate* Employing Firm when activity occurred which led to the regulatory action (if applicable):

6. Principal Product Type:

Equity Listed (Common & Preferred Stock)

Other Product Types:

SWISS LISTED EQUITIES

7. Describe the allegations related to this regulatory action (your response must fit within the space provided):

ON DATES IN 2017 AND IN 2018, BLACKROCK, INC. WAS LATE IN MAKING CERTAIN THRESHOLD FILINGS WITH THE SWISS REGULATOR. THESE LATE FILINGS WERE CAUSED BY TWO SEPARATE ISSUES: (1) INCORRECT SHARE ISSUE INFORMATION PROVIDED TO BLACKROCK BY ITS THIRD PARTY VENDOR; AND, (2) BLACKROCK'S OUTGOING EMAIL QUARANTINE SYSTEM INCORRECTLY QUARANTINING THRESHOLD INFORMATION EMAILS FROM BLACKROCK TO THE SWISS REGULATOR.

8. Current Status? Pending On Appeal Final

9. If on appeal, regulatory action appealed to (SEC, *SRO*, Federal or State Court) and Date Appeal Filed:

If Final or On Appeal, complete all items below. For Pending Actions, complete Item 13 only.

10. How was matter resolved:

Settled

11. Resolution Date (MM/DD/YYYY):

04/22/2022 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

12. Resolution Detail:

A. Were any of the following Sanctions *Ordered* (check all appropriate items)?

Monetary/Fine Amount: \$ 50,000.00

Revocation/Expulsion/Denial

Censure

Bar

Disgorgement/Restitution

Cease and Desist/Injunction

Suspension

B. Other Sanctions *Ordered*:

Sanction detail: if suspended, *enjoined* or barred, provide duration including start date and capacities affected (General Securities Principal, Financial Operations Principal, etc.). If requalification by exam/retraining was a condition of the sanction, provide length of time given to requalify/retrain, type of exam required and whether condition has been satisfied. If disposition resulted in a fine, penalty, restitution, disgorgement or monetary compensation, provide total amount, portion levied against you or an *advisory affiliate*, date paid and if any portion of penalty was waived:

THE SWISS FEDERAL DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE IMPOSED A SETTLEMENT SUM OF CHF 50,000. BLACKROCK, INC. PAID THE FEE ON APRIL 22, 2022.

13. Provide a brief summary of details related to the action status and (or) disposition and include relevant terms, conditions and dates (your response must fit within the space provided).

BLACKROCK, INC. PAID SETTLEMENT SUM OF CHF 50,000 ON APRIL 22, 2022.

CIVIL JUDICIAL ACTION DISCLOSURE REPORTING PAGE (ADV)

No Information Filed

Part 2

Exemption from brochure delivery requirements for SEC-registered advisers

SEC rules exempt SEC-registered advisers from delivering a firm brochure to some kinds of clients. If these exemptions excuse you from delivering a brochure to *all* of your advisory clients, you do not have to prepare a brochure.

Are you exempt from delivering a brochure to all of your clients under these rules?

Yes No

If no, complete the ADV Part 2 filing below.

Amend, retire or file new brochures:

Part 3

CRS

Type(s)

Affiliate Info

Retire

There are no CRS filings to display.

Execution Pages

DOMESTIC INVESTMENT ADVISER EXECUTION PAGE

You must complete the following Execution Page to Form ADV. This execution page must be signed and attached to your initial submission of Form ADV to the SEC and all amendments.

Appointment of Agent for Service of Process

By signing this Form ADV Execution Page, you, the undersigned adviser, irrevocably appoint the Secretary of State or other legally designated officer, of the state in which you maintain your *principal office and place of business* and any other state in which you are submitting a *notice filing*, as your agents to receive service, and agree that such *persons* may accept service on your behalf, of any notice, subpoena, summons, *order* instituting *proceedings*, demand for arbitration, or other process or papers, and you further agree that such service may be made by registered or certified mail, in any federal or state action, administrative *proceeding* or arbitration brought against you in any place subject to the jurisdiction of the United States, if the action, *proceeding*, or arbitration (a) arises out of any activity in connection with your investment advisory business that is subject to the jurisdiction of the United States, and (b) is *founded*, directly or indirectly, upon the provisions of: (i) the Securities Act of 1933, the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Trust Indenture Act of 1939, the Investment Company Act of 1940, or the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, or any rule or regulation under any of these acts, or (ii) the laws of the state in which you maintain your *principal office and place of business* or of any state in which you are submitting a *notice filing*.

Signature

I, the undersigned, sign this Form ADV on behalf of, and with the authority of, the investment adviser. The investment adviser and I both certify, under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America, that the information and statements made in this ADV, including exhibits and any other information submitted, are true and correct, and that I am signing this Form ADV Execution Page as a free and voluntary act.

I certify that the adviser's books and records will be preserved and available for inspection as required by law. Finally, I authorize any *person* having *custody* or possession of these books and records to make them available to federal and state regulatory representatives.

Signature:	Date: MM/DD/YYYY
CHARLES PARK	08/03/2023
Printed Name:	Title:
CHARLES PARK	MANAGING DIRECTOR - CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER
Adviser <i>CRD</i> Number:	
106614	

NON-RESIDENT INVESTMENT ADVISER EXECUTION PAGE

You must complete the following Execution Page to Form ADV. This execution page must be signed and attached to your initial submission of Form ADV to the SEC and all amendments.

1. Appointment of Agent for Service of Process

By signing this Form ADV Execution Page, you, the undersigned adviser, irrevocably appoint each of the Secretary of the SEC, and the Secretary of State or other legally designated officer, of any other state in which you are submitting a *notice filing*, as your agents to receive service, and agree that such persons may accept service on your behalf, of any notice, subpoena, summons, *order* instituting *proceedings*, demand for arbitration, or other process or papers, and you further agree that such service may be made by registered or certified mail, in any federal or state action, administrative *proceeding* or arbitration brought against you in any place subject to the jurisdiction of the United States, if the action, *proceeding* or arbitration (a) arises out of any activity in connection with your investment advisory business that is subject to the jurisdiction of the United States, and (b) is *founded*, directly or indirectly, upon the provisions of: (i) the Securities Act of 1933, the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Trust Indenture Act of 1939, the Investment Company Act of 1940, or the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, or any rule or regulation under any of these acts, or (ii) the laws of any state in which you are submitting a *notice filing*.

2. Appointment and Consent: Effect on Partnerships

If you are organized as a partnership, this irrevocable power of attorney and consent to service of process will continue in effect if any partner withdraws from or is admitted to the partnership, provided that the admission or withdrawal does not create a new partnership. If the partnership dissolves, this irrevocable power of attorney and consent shall be in effect for any action brought against you or any of your former partners.

3. *Non-Resident* Investment Adviser Undertaking Regarding Books and Records

By signing this Form ADV, you also agree to provide, at your own expense, to the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission at its principal office in Washington D.C., at any Regional or District Office of the Commission, or at any one of its offices in the United States, as specified by the Commission, correct, current, and complete copies of any or all records that you are required to maintain under Rule 204-2 under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940. This undertaking shall be binding upon you, your heirs, successors and assigns, and any *person* subject to your written irrevocable consents or powers of attorney or any of your general partners and *managing agents*.

Signature

I, the undersigned, sign this Form ADV on behalf of, and with the authority of, the *non-resident* investment adviser. The investment adviser and I both certify, under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America, that the information and statements made in this ADV, including exhibits and any other information submitted, are true and correct, and that I am signing this Form ADV Execution Page as a free and voluntary act.

I certify that the adviser's books and records will be preserved and available for inspection as required by law. Finally, I authorize any *person* having *custody* or possession of these books and records to make them available to federal and state regulatory representatives.

Signature:	Date: MM/DD/YYYY
Printed Name:	Title:
Adviser <i>CRD</i> Number:	
106614	

FORM ADV

UNIFORM APPLICATION FOR INVESTMENT ADVISER REGISTRATION AND REPORT BY EXEMPT REPORTING ADVISERS

Primary Business Name: VANGUARD GROUP INC

CRD Number: 105958

Other-Than-Annual Amendment - All Sections

Rev. 10/2021

8/15/2023 3:09:56 PM

WARNING: Complete this form truthfully. False statements or omissions may result in denial of your application, revocation of your registration, or criminal prosecution. You must keep this form updated by filing periodic amendments. See Form ADV General Instruction 4.

Item 1 Identifying Information

Responses to this Item tell us who you are, where you are doing business, and how we can contact you. If you are filing an *umbrella registration*, the information in Item 1 should be provided for the *filing adviser* only. General Instruction 5 provides information to assist you with filing an *umbrella registration*.

A. Your full legal name (if you are a sole proprietor, your last, first, and middle names):

THE VANGUARD GROUP, INC.

B. (1) Name under which you primarily conduct your advisory business, if different from Item 1.A.

VANGUARD GROUP INC

List on *Section 1.B. of Schedule D* any additional names under which you conduct your advisory business.

(2) If you are using this Form ADV to register more than one investment adviser under an *umbrella registration*, check this box

If you check this box, complete a *Schedule R* for each relying adviser.

C. If this filing is reporting a change in your legal name (Item 1.A.) or primary business name (Item 1.B.(1)), enter the new name and specify whether the name change is of your legal name or your primary business name:

D. (1) If you are registered with the SEC as an investment adviser, your SEC file number: **801-11953**

(2) If you report to the SEC as an *exempt reporting adviser*, your SEC file number:

(3) If you have one or more Central Index Key numbers assigned by the SEC ("CIK Numbers"), all of your CIK numbers:

CIK Number

102909

E. (1) If you have a number ("CRD Number") assigned by the *FINRA's CRD* system or by the *IARD* system, your *CRD* number: **105958**

If your firm does not have a *CRD* number, skip this Item 1.E. Do not provide the *CRD* number of one of your officers, employees, or affiliates.

(2) If you have additional *CRD* Numbers, your additional *CRD* numbers:

No Information Filed

F. *Principal Office and Place of Business*

(1) Address (do not use a P.O. Box):

Number and Street 1:

100 VANGUARD BLVD

City:

MALVERN

State:

Pennsylvania

Number and Street 2:

V26

Country:

United States

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

19355

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

List on *Section 1.F. of Schedule D* any office, other than your principal office and place of business, at which you conduct investment advisory business. If you are applying for registration, or are registered, with one or more state securities authorities, you must list all of your offices in the state or states to which you are applying for registration or with whom you are registered. If you are applying for SEC registration, if you are registered only with the SEC, or if you are reporting to the SEC as an exempt reporting adviser, list the largest twenty-five offices in terms of numbers of employees as of the end of your most recently completed fiscal year.

(2) Days of week that you normally conduct business at your *principal office and place of business*:

Monday - Friday Other:

Normal business hours at this location:

8:30AM - 5:30PM

(3) Telephone number at this location:

610-669-1000

(4) Facsimile number at this location, if any:

610-669-6600

(5) What is the total number of offices, other than your *principal office and place of business*, at which you conduct investment advisory business as of the end of your most recently completed fiscal year?

G. Mailing address, if different from your *principal office and place of business* address:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

H. If you are a sole proprietor, state your full residence address, if different from your *principal office and place of business* address in Item 1.F.:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

Yes No

I. Do you have one or more websites or accounts on publicly available social media platforms (including, but not limited to, Twitter, Facebook and LinkedIn)?

If "yes," list all firm website addresses and the address for each of the firm's accounts on publicly available social media platforms on Section 1.I. of Schedule D. If a website address serves as a portal through which to access other information you have published on the web, you may list the portal without listing addresses for all of the other information. You may need to list more than one portal address. Do not provide the addresses of websites or accounts on publicly available social media platforms where you do not control the content. Do not provide the individual electronic mail (e-mail) addresses of employees or the addresses of employee accounts on publicly available social media platforms.

J. Chief Compliance Officer

(1) Provide the name and contact information of your Chief Compliance Officer. If you are an *exempt reporting adviser*, you must provide the contact information for your Chief Compliance Officer, if you have one. If not, you must complete Item 1.K. below.

Name:

Other titles, if any:

Telephone number:

Facsimile number, if any:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

Electronic mail (e-mail) address, if Chief Compliance Officer has one:

(2) If your Chief Compliance Officer is compensated or employed by any *person* other than you, a *related person* or an investment company registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940 that you advise for providing chief compliance officer services to you, provide the *person's* name and IRS Employer Identification Number (if any):

Name:

IRS Employer Identification Number:

K. Additional Regulatory Contact Person: If a person other than the Chief Compliance Officer is authorized to receive information and respond to questions about this Form ADV, you may provide that information here.

Name:

Titles:

Telephone number:

Facsimile number, if any:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

Electronic mail (e-mail) address, if contact person has one:

Yes No

L. Do you maintain some or all of the books and records you are required to keep under Section 204 of the Advisers Act, or similar state law, somewhere other than your *principal office and place of business*?

If "yes," complete Section 1.L. of Schedule D.

Yes No

M. Are you registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

Answer "no" if you are not registered with a foreign financial regulatory authority, even if you have an affiliate that is registered with a foreign financial regulatory authority. If "yes," complete Section 1.M. of Schedule D.

Yes No

N. Are you a public reporting company under Sections 12 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934?

Yes No

O. Did you have \$1 billion or more in assets on the last day of your most recent fiscal year?

If yes, what is the approximate amount of your assets:

\$1 billion to less than \$10 billion

\$10 billion to less than \$50 billion

\$50 billion or more

For purposes of Item 1.O. only, "assets" refers to your total assets, rather than the assets you manage on behalf of clients. Determine your total assets using the total assets shown on the balance sheet for your most recent fiscal year end.

P. Provide your *Legal Entity Identifier* if you have one:

5493002789CX3LOCJP65

A *legal entity identifier* is a unique number that companies use to identify each other in the financial marketplace. You may not have a *legal entity identifier*.

SECTION 1.B. Other Business Names

No Information Filed

SECTION 1.F. Other Offices

Complete the following information for each office, other than your *principal office and place of business*, at which you conduct investment advisory business. You must complete a separate Schedule D Section 1.F. for each location. If you are applying for SEC registration, if you are registered only with the SEC, or if you are an *exempt reporting adviser*, list only the largest twenty-five offices (in terms of numbers of *employees*).

Number and Street 1:

14321 NORTHSIGHT BLVD

Number and Street 2:

City:

SCOTTSDALE

State:

Arizona

Country:

United States

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

85260

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:

480-713-8456

Facsimile Number, if any:

If this office location is also required to be registered with FINRA or a *state securities authority* as a branch office location for a broker-dealer or investment adviser on the Uniform Branch Office Registration Form (Form BR), please provide the *CRD* Branch Number here:

155468

How many *employees* perform investment advisory functions from this office location?

13

Are other business activities conducted at this office location? (check all that apply)

(1) Broker-dealer (registered or unregistered)

(2) Bank (including a separately identifiable department or division of a bank)

(3) Insurance broker or agent

(4) Commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)

(5) Registered municipal advisor

(6) Accountant or accounting firm

(7) Lawyer or law firm

Describe any other *investment-related* business activities conducted from this office location:

SECTION 1.I. Website Addresses

List your website addresses, including addresses for accounts on publicly available social media platforms where you control the content (including, but not limited to, Twitter, Facebook and/or LinkedIn). You must complete a separate Schedule D Section 1.I. for each website or account on a publicly available social media platform.

Address of Website/Account on Publicly Available Social Media Platform: [HTTP://INSTAGRAM.COM/VANGUARDGROUP](http://instagram.com/vanguardgroup)

Address of Website/Account on Publicly Available Social Media Platform: [HTTP://WWW.INSTAGRAM.COM/LIFEATVANGUARD](http://www.instagram.com/lifeatvanguard)

Address of Website/Account on Publicly Available Social Media Platform: [HTTPS://WWW.YOUTUBE.COM/VANGUARD](https://www.youtube.com/vanguard)

Address of Website/Account on Publicly Available Social Media Platform: [HTTPS://TWITTER.COM/VANGUARD_INSTL](https://twitter.com/VANGUARD_INSTL)

Address of Website/Account on Publicly Available Social Media Platform: [HTTPS://WWW.LINKEDIN.COM/COMPANY/VANGUARD-INSTITUTIONAL-INVESTING](https://www.linkedin.com/company/vanguard-institutional-investing)

Address of Website/Account on Publicly Available Social Media Platform: [HTTPS://WWW.LINKEDIN.COM/IN/MORTIMERJBUCKLEY](https://www.linkedin.com/in/mortimerj Buckley)

Address of Website/Account on Publicly Available Social Media Platform: [HTTPS://WWW.LINKEDIN.COM/COMPANY/VANGUARD](https://www.linkedin.com/company/vanguard)

Address of Website/Account on Publicly Available Social Media Platform: [HTTPS://TWITTER.COM/VANGUARD_PR](https://twitter.com/VANGUARD_PR)

Address of Website/Account on Publicly Available Social Media Platform: [HTTPS://PRESSROOM.VANGUARD.COM/#/](https://pressroom.vanguard.com/#/)

Address of Website/Account on Publicly Available Social Media Platform: [HTTPS://WWW.VANGUARDJOBS.COM](https://www.vanguardjobs.com)

Address of Website/Account on Publicly Available Social Media Platform: [HTTPS://WWW.LINKEDIN.COM/COMPANY/VANGUARD-ADVISOR-SERVICES](https://www.linkedin.com/company/vanguard-advisor-services)

Address of Website/Account on Publicly Available Social Media Platform: [HTTP://WWW.VANGUARD.COM](http://www.vanguard.com)

Address of Website/Account on Publicly Available Social Media Platform: [HTTPS://WWW.FACEBOOK.COM/VANGUARD](https://www.facebook.com/vanguard)

Address of Website/Account on Publicly Available Social Media Platform: [HTTPS://TWITTER.COM/VANGUARD_GROUP](https://twitter.com/VANGUARD_GROUP)

Address of Website/Account on Publicly Available Social Media Platform: [HTTPS://TWITTER.COM/VANGUARD_FA](https://twitter.com/VANGUARD_FA)

Address of Website/Account on Publicly Available Social Media Platform: [HTTPS://PLUS.GOOGLE.COM/+VANGUARD#+VANGUARD/POSTS](https://plus.google.com/+VANGUARD#+VANGUARD/POSTS)

Address of Website/Account on Publicly Available Social Media Platform: <https://www.linkedin.com/showcase/vanguard-investment-research/>

Address of Website/Account on Publicly Available Social Media Platform: https://www.reddit.com/r/IAmA/comments/d95kxn/im_kahlilah_a_financial_advisor_at_vanguard_ama/

Address of Website/Account on Publicly Available Social Media Platform: <https://www.facebook.com/LifeatVanguard>

Address of Website/Account on Publicly Available Social Media Platform: https://www.pinterest.com/Vanguard_Group/

SECTION 1.L. Location of Books and Records

Complete the following information for each location at which you keep your books and records, other than your *principal office and place of business*. You must complete a separate Schedule D, Section 1.L. for each location.

Name of entity where books and records are kept:
IRON MOUNTAIN INC

Number and Street 1:
2500 HENDERSON DRIVE

Number and Street 2:

City:
SHARON HILL

State:
Pennsylvania

Country:
United States

ZIP+4/Postal Code:
19079

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:
610-725-0200 X3008

Facsimile number, if any:

This is (check one):

- one of your branch offices or affiliates.
- a third-party unaffiliated recordkeeper.
- other.

Briefly describe the books and records kept at this location.

STORAGE OF CLIENT AND OTHER FILES CONTAINING CONTRACTS, CORRESPONDENCE, RECOMMENDATIONS AND TRANSACTIONS, IN ADDITION TO PROGRAMMING CODE.

Name of entity where books and records are kept:
AMAZON.COM, INC.

Number and Street 1:
410 TERRY AVENUE NORTH

Number and Street 2:

City:
SEATTLE

State:
Washington

Country:
United States

ZIP+4/Postal Code:
98109

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:
206-266-1000

Facsimile number, if any:

This is (check one):

- one of your branch offices or affiliates.
- a third-party unaffiliated recordkeeper.
- other.

Briefly describe the books and records kept at this location.

STORAGE OF CLIENT AND OTHER FILES CONTAINING CONTRACTS, CORRESPONDENCE, RECOMMENDATIONS AND TRANSACTIONS, IN ADDITION TO PROGRAMMING CODE.

Name of entity where books and records are kept:
SMARSH, INC.

Number and Street 1:
851 SW 6TH AVE

Number and Street 2:
SUITE 800

City:
PORTLAND

State:
Oregon

Country:
United States

ZIP+4/Postal Code:
97204

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Telephone Number:
866-762-7741

Facsimile number, if any:

This is (check one):

- one of your branch offices or affiliates.
- a third-party unaffiliated recordkeeper.
- other.

Briefly describe the books and records kept at this location.

RETENTION OF ELECTRONIC MESSAGES

SECTION 1.M. Registration with Foreign Financial Regulatory Authorities

No Information Filed

Item 2 SEC Registration/Reporting

Responses to this Item help us (and you) determine whether you are eligible to register with the SEC. Complete this Item 2.A. only if you are applying for SEC registration or submitting an *annual updating amendment* to your SEC registration. If you are filing an *umbrella registration*, the information in Item 2 should be provided for the *filing adviser* only.

A. To register (or remain registered) with the SEC, you must check **at least one** of the Items 2.A.(1) through 2.A.(12), below. If you are submitting an *annual updating amendment* to your SEC registration and you are no longer eligible to register with the SEC, check Item 2.A.(13). [Part 1A Instruction 2](#) provides information to help you determine whether you may affirmatively respond to each of these items.

You (the adviser):

- (1) are a **large advisory firm** that either:
 - (a) has regulatory assets under management of \$100 million (in U.S. dollars) or more; or
 - (b) has regulatory assets under management of \$90 million (in U.S. dollars) or more at the time of filing its most recent *annual updating amendment* and is registered with the SEC;
- (2) are a **mid-sized advisory firm** that has regulatory assets under management of \$25 million (in U.S. dollars) or more but less than \$100 million (in U.S. dollars) and you are either:
 - (a) not required to be registered as an adviser with the *state securities authority* of the state where you maintain your *principal office and place of business*; or
 - (b) not subject to examination by the *state securities authority* of the state where you maintain your *principal office and place of business*;
Click [HERE](#) for a list of states in which an investment adviser, if registered, would not be subject to examination by the state securities authority.
- (3) Reserved
- (4) have your *principal office and place of business* **outside the United States**;
- (5) are an **investment adviser (or subadviser) to an investment company** registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940;
- (6) are an **investment adviser to a company which has elected to be a business development company** pursuant to section 54 of the Investment Company Act of 1940 and has not withdrawn the election, and you have at least \$25 million of regulatory assets under management;
- (7) are a **pension consultant** with respect to assets of plans having an aggregate value of at least \$200,000,000 that qualifies for the exemption in rule 203A-2(a);
- (8) are a **related adviser** under rule 203A-2(b) that *controls*, is *controlled by*, or is under common *control* with, an investment adviser that is registered with the SEC, and your *principal office and place of business* is the same as the registered adviser;
If you check this box, complete [Section 2.A.\(8\) of Schedule D](#).
- (9) are an **adviser** relying on rule 203A-2(c) because you **expect to be eligible for SEC registration within 120 days**;
If you check this box, complete [Section 2.A.\(9\) of Schedule D](#).
- (10) are a **multi-state adviser** that is required to register in 15 or more states and is relying on rule 203A-2(d);
If you check this box, complete [Section 2.A.\(10\) of Schedule D](#).
- (11) are an **Internet adviser** relying on rule 203A-2(e);
- (12) have **received an SEC order** exempting you from the prohibition against registration with the SEC;
If you check this box, complete [Section 2.A.\(12\) of Schedule D](#).
- (13) are **no longer eligible** to remain registered with the SEC.

State Securities Authority Notice Filings and State Reporting by Exempt Reporting Advisers

C. Under state laws, SEC-registered advisers may be required to provide to *state securities authorities* a copy of the Form ADV and any amendments they file with the SEC. These are called *notice filings*. In addition, *exempt reporting advisers* may be required to provide *state securities authorities* with a copy of reports and any amendments they file with the SEC. If this is an initial application or report, check the box(es) next to the state(s) that you would like to receive notice of this and all subsequent filings or reports you submit to the SEC. If this is an amendment to direct your *notice filings* or reports to additional state(s), check the box(es) next to the state(s) that you would like to receive notice of this and all subsequent filings or reports you submit to the SEC. If this is an amendment to your registration to stop your *notice filings* or reports from going to state(s) that currently receive them, uncheck the box(es) next to those state(s).

Jurisdictions

<input type="checkbox"/> AL	<input type="checkbox"/> IL	<input type="checkbox"/> NE	<input type="checkbox"/> SC
<input type="checkbox"/> AK	<input type="checkbox"/> IN	<input type="checkbox"/> NV	<input type="checkbox"/> SD
<input type="checkbox"/> AZ	<input type="checkbox"/> IA	<input type="checkbox"/> NH	<input type="checkbox"/> TN
<input type="checkbox"/> AR	<input type="checkbox"/> KS	<input type="checkbox"/> NJ	<input type="checkbox"/> TX
<input type="checkbox"/> CA	<input type="checkbox"/> KY	<input type="checkbox"/> NM	<input type="checkbox"/> UT
<input type="checkbox"/> CO	<input type="checkbox"/> LA	<input type="checkbox"/> NY	<input type="checkbox"/> VT

- CT
- DE
- DC
- FL
- GA
- GU
- HI
- ID

- ME
- MD
- MA
- MI
- MN
- MS
- MO
- MT

- NC
- ND
- OH
- OK
- OR
- PA
- PR
- RI

- VI
- VA
- WA
- WV
- WI
- WY

If you are amending your registration to stop your notice filings or reports from going to a state that currently receives them and you do not want to pay that state's notice filing or report filing fee for the coming year, your amendment must be filed before the end of the year (December 31).

SECTION 2.A.(8) Related Adviser

If you are relying on the exemption in rule 203A-2(b) from the prohibition on registration because you *control*, are *controlled* by, or are under common *control* with an investment adviser that is registered with the SEC and your *principal office and place of business* is the same as that of the registered adviser, provide the following information:

Name of Registered Investment Adviser

CRD Number of Registered Investment Adviser

SEC Number of Registered Investment Adviser

-

SECTION 2.A.(9) Investment Adviser Expecting to be Eligible for Commission Registration within 120 Days

If you are relying on rule 203A-2(c), the exemption from the prohibition on registration available to an adviser that expects to be eligible for SEC registration within 120 days, you are required to make certain representations about your eligibility for SEC registration. By checking the appropriate boxes, you will be deemed to have made the required representations. You must make both of these representations:

- I am not registered or required to be registered with the SEC or a *state securities authority* and I have a reasonable expectation that I will be eligible to register with the SEC within 120 days after the date my registration with the SEC becomes effective.
- I undertake to withdraw from SEC registration if, on the 120th day after my registration with the SEC becomes effective, I would be prohibited by Section 203A(a) of the Advisers Act from registering with the SEC.

SECTION 2.A.(10) Multi-State Adviser

If you are relying on rule 203A-2(d), the multi-state adviser exemption from the prohibition on registration, you are required to make certain representations about your eligibility for SEC registration. By checking the appropriate boxes, you will be deemed to have made the required representations.

If you are applying for registration as an investment adviser with the SEC, you must make both of these representations:

- I have reviewed the applicable state and federal laws and have concluded that I am required by the laws of 15 or more states to register as an investment adviser with the *state securities authorities* in those states.
- I undertake to withdraw from SEC registration if I file an amendment to this registration indicating that I would be required by the laws of fewer than 15 states to register as an investment adviser with the *state securities authorities* of those states.

If you are submitting your *annual updating amendment*, you must make this representation:

- Within 90 days prior to the date of filing this amendment, I have reviewed the applicable state and federal laws and have concluded that I am required by the laws of at least 15 states to register as an investment adviser with the *state securities authorities* in those states.

SECTION 2.A.(12) SEC Exemptive Order

If you are relying upon an SEC *order* exempting you from the prohibition on registration, provide the following information:

Application Number:

803-

Date of *order*:

Item 3 Form of Organization

If you are filing an *umbrella registration*, the information in Item 3 should be provided for the *filing adviser* only.

A. How are you organized?

- Corporation

- Sole Proprietorship
- Limited Liability Partnership (LLP)
- Partnership
- Limited Liability Company (LLC)
- Limited Partnership (LP)
- Other (specify):

If you are changing your response to this Item, see [Part 1A Instruction 4](#).

B. In what month does your fiscal year end each year?
DECEMBER

C. Under the laws of what state or country are you organized?
State Country
Pennsylvania United States

If you are a partnership, provide the name of the state or country under whose laws your partnership was formed. If you are a sole proprietor, provide the name of the state or country where you reside.

If you are changing your response to this Item, see [Part 1A Instruction 4](#).

Item 4 Successions

A. Are you, at the time of this filing, succeeding to the business of a registered investment adviser, including, for example, a change of your structure or legal status (e.g., form of organization or state of incorporation)?

Yes No

If "yes", complete [Item 4.B.](#) and [Section 4 of Schedule D](#).

B. Date of Succession: (MM/DD/YYYY)

If you have already reported this succession on a previous Form ADV filing, do not report the succession again. Instead, check "No." See [Part 1A Instruction 4](#).

SECTION 4 Successions

No Information Filed

Item 5 Information About Your Advisory Business - Employees, Clients, and Compensation

Responses to this Item help us understand your business, assist us in preparing for on-site examinations, and provide us with data we use when making regulatory policy. [Part 1A Instruction 5.a.](#) provides additional guidance to newly formed advisers for completing this Item 5.

Employees

If you are organized as a sole proprietorship, include yourself as an employee in your responses to [Item 5.A.](#) and [Items 5.B.\(1\), \(2\), \(3\), \(4\), and \(5\)](#). If an employee performs more than one function, you should count that employee in each of your responses to [Items 5.B.\(1\), \(2\), \(3\), \(4\), and \(5\)](#).

A. Approximately how many *employees* do you have? Include full- and part-time *employees* but do not include any clerical workers.
675

B. (1) Approximately how many of the *employees* reported in 5.A. perform investment advisory functions (including research)?
301

(2) Approximately how many of the *employees* reported in 5.A. are registered representatives of a broker-dealer?
35

(3) Approximately how many of the *employees* reported in 5.A. are registered with one or more *state securities authorities* as *investment adviser representatives*?
0

(4) Approximately how many of the *employees* reported in 5.A. are registered with one or more *state securities authorities* as *investment adviser representatives* for an investment adviser other than you?
0

(5) Approximately how many of the *employees* reported in 5.A. are licensed agents of an insurance company or agency?
0

- (6) Approximately how many firms or other persons solicit advisory clients on your behalf?
0

In your response to Item 5.B.(6), do not count any of your employees and count a firm only once – do not count each of the firm's employees that solicit on your behalf.

Clients

In your responses to Items 5.C. and 5.D. do not include as "clients" the investors in a private fund you advise, unless you have a separate advisory relationship with those investors.

- C. (1) To approximately how many clients for whom you do not have regulatory assets under management did you provide investment advisory services during your most recently completed fiscal year?
0
- (2) Approximately what percentage of your clients are non-United States persons?
0%

- D. For purposes of this Item 5.D., the category "individuals" includes trusts, estates, and 401(k) plans and IRAs of individuals and their family members, but does not include businesses organized as sole proprietorships.
The category "business development companies" consists of companies that have made an election pursuant to section 54 of the Investment Company Act of 1940. Unless you provide advisory services pursuant to an investment advisory contract to an investment company registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, do not answer (1)(d) or (3)(d) below.

Indicate the approximate number of your clients and amount of your total regulatory assets under management (reported in Item 5.F. below) attributable to each of the following type of client. If you have fewer than 5 clients in a particular category (other than (d), (e), and (f)) you may check Item 5.D.(2) rather than respond to Item 5.D.(1).

The aggregate amount of regulatory assets under management reported in Item 5.D.(3) should equal the total amount of regulatory assets under management reported in Item 5.F.(2)(c) below.

If a client fits into more than one category, select one category that most accurately represents the client to avoid double counting clients and assets. If you advise a registered investment company, business development company, or pooled investment vehicle, report those assets in categories (d), (e), and (f) as applicable.

Type of Client	(1) Number of Client(s)	(2) Fewer than 5 Clients	(3) Amount of Regulatory Assets under Management
(a) Individuals (other than high net worth individuals)	0	<input type="checkbox"/>	\$ 0
(b) High net worth individuals	0	<input type="checkbox"/>	\$ 0
(c) Banking or thrift institutions	0	<input type="checkbox"/>	\$ 0
(d) Investment companies	206		\$ 6,648,853,421,889
(e) Business development companies	0		\$ 0
(f) Pooled investment vehicles (other than investment companies and business development companies)	2		\$ 365,689,384
(g) Pension and profit sharing plans (but not the plan participants or government pension plans)	0	<input type="checkbox"/>	\$ 0
(h) Charitable organizations	0	<input type="checkbox"/>	\$ 0
(i) State or municipal government entities (including government pension plans)	0	<input type="checkbox"/>	\$ 0
(j) Other investment advisers	0	<input type="checkbox"/>	\$ 0
(k) Insurance companies	0	<input type="checkbox"/>	\$ 0
(l) Sovereign wealth funds and foreign official institutions	0	<input type="checkbox"/>	\$ 0
(m) Corporations or other businesses not listed above	0	<input type="checkbox"/>	\$ 0
(n) Other:	0	<input type="checkbox"/>	\$ 0

Compensation Arrangements

- E. You are compensated for your investment advisory services by (check all that apply):
- (1) A percentage of assets under your management
 - (2) Hourly charges
 - (3) Subscription fees (for a newsletter or periodical)
 - (4) Fixed fees (other than subscription fees)
 - (5) Commissions
 - (6) Performance-based fees
 - (7) Other (specify): ALLOCATED SHARE OF VANGUARD'S TOTAL COST OF OPERATIONS

Item 5 Information About Your Advisory Business - Regulatory Assets Under Management

Regulatory Assets Under Management

- F. (1) Do you provide continuous and regular supervisory or management services to securities portfolios?

Yes No



(2) If yes, what is the amount of your regulatory assets under management and total number of accounts?

	U.S. Dollar Amount	Total Number of Accounts
Discretionary:	(a) \$ 6,649,219,111,273	(d) 208
Non-Discretionary:	(b) \$ 0	(e) 0
Total:	(c) \$ 6,649,219,111,273	(f) 208

Part 1A Instruction 5.b. explains how to calculate your regulatory assets under management. You must follow these instructions carefully when completing this Item.

(3) What is the approximate amount of your total regulatory assets under management (reported in Item 5.F.(2)(c) above) attributable to *clients* who are non-United States persons?

\$ 365,689,384

Item 5 Information About Your Advisory Business - Advisory Activities

Advisory Activities

G. What type(s) of advisory services do you provide? Check all that apply.

- (1) Financial planning services
- (2) Portfolio management for individuals and/or small businesses
- (3) Portfolio management for investment companies (as well as "business development companies" that have made an election pursuant to section 54 of the Investment Company Act of 1940)
- (4) Portfolio management for pooled investment vehicles (other than investment companies)
- (5) Portfolio management for businesses (other than small businesses) or institutional *clients* (other than registered investment companies and other pooled investment vehicles)
- (6) Pension consulting services
- (7) Selection of other advisers (including *private fund* managers)
- (8) Publication of periodicals or newsletters
- (9) Security ratings or pricing services
- (10) Market timing services
- (11) Educational seminars/workshops
- (12) Other(specify):

Do not check Item 5.G.(3) unless you provide advisory services pursuant to an investment advisory contract to an investment company registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, including as a subadviser. If you check Item 5.G.(3), report the 811 or 814 number of the investment company or investment companies to which you provide advice in Section 5.G.(3) of Schedule D.

H. If you provide financial planning services, to how many *clients* did you provide these services during your last fiscal year?

- 0
- 1 - 10
- 11 - 25
- 26 - 50
- 51 - 100
- 101 - 250
- 251 - 500
- More than 500

If more than 500, how many?
(round to the nearest 500)

In your responses to this Item 5.H., do not include as "clients" the investors in a private fund you advise, unless you have a separate advisory relationship with those investors.

Yes No

I. (1) Do you participate in a *wrap fee program*? Yes No

(2) If you participate in a *wrap fee program*, what is the amount of your regulatory assets under management attributable to acting as:

(a) *sponsor* to a *wrap fee program*

\$

(b) portfolio manager for a *wrap fee program*?

\$

(c) *sponsor* to and portfolio manager for the same *wrap fee program*?

\$

If you report an amount in Item 5.I.(2)(c), do not report that amount in Item 5.I.(2)(a) or Item 5.I.(2)(b).

If you are a portfolio manager for a wrap fee program, list the names of the programs, their sponsors and related information in Section 5.I.(2) of Schedule D.

If your involvement in a wrap fee program is limited to recommending wrap fee programs to your clients, or you advise a mutual fund that is offered through a wrap fee program, do not check Item 5.I.(1) or enter any amounts in response to Item 5.I.(2).

Yes No

- J. (1) In response to Item 4.B. of Part 2A of Form ADV, do you indicate that you provide investment advice only with respect to limited types of investments?
- (2) Do you report *client* assets in Item 4.E. of Part 2A that are computed using a different method than the method used to compute your regulatory assets under management?

K. Separately Managed Account *Clients*

- (1) Do you have regulatory assets under management attributable to *clients* other than those listed in Item 5.D.(3)(d)-(f) (separately managed account *clients*)? **Yes No**

If yes, complete Section 5.K.(1) of Schedule D.

- (2) Do you engage in borrowing transactions on behalf of any of the separately managed account *clients* that you advise?

If yes, complete Section 5.K.(2) of Schedule D.

- (3) Do you engage in derivative transactions on behalf of any of the separately managed account *clients* that you advise?

If yes, complete Section 5.K.(2) of Schedule D.

- (4) After subtracting the amounts in Item 5.D.(3)(d)-(f) above from your total regulatory assets under management, does any custodian hold ten percent or more of this remaining amount of regulatory assets under management?

If yes, complete Section 5.K.(3) of Schedule D for each custodian.

L. Marketing Activities

- (1) Do any of your *advertisements* include: **Yes No**

- (a) Performance results?

- (b) A reference to specific investment advice provided by you (as that phrase is used in rule 206(4)-1(a)(5))?

- (c) *Testimonials* (other than those that satisfy rule 206(4)-1(b)(4)(ii))?

- (d) *Endorsements* (other than those that satisfy rule 206(4)-1(b)(4)(ii))?

- (e) *Third-party ratings*?

- (2) If you answer "yes" to L(1)(c), (d), or (e) above, do you pay or otherwise provide cash or non-cash compensation, directly or indirectly, in connection with the use of *testimonials*, *endorsements*, or *third-party ratings*?

- (3) Do any of your *advertisements* include *hypothetical performance*?

- (4) Do any of your *advertisements* include *predecessor performance*?

SECTION 5.G.(3) Advisers to Registered Investment Companies and Business Development Companies

If you check Item 5.G.(3), what is the SEC file number (811 or 814 number) of each of the registered investment companies and business development companies to which you act as an adviser pursuant to an advisory contract? You must complete a separate Schedule D Section 5.G.(3) for each registered investment company and business development company to which you act as an adviser.

SEC File Number
811 - 00121

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

No Information Filed

SEC File Number
811 - 00834

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

No Information Filed

SEC File Number

811 - 01027

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

No Information Filed

SEC File Number

811 - 01530

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

No Information Filed

SEC File Number

811 - 01766

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

No Information Filed

SEC File Number

811 - 02368

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

No Information Filed

SEC File Number

811 - 02554

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

No Information Filed

SEC File Number
811 - 02652

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

No Information Filed

SEC File Number
811 - 02687

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

No Information Filed

SEC File Number
811 - 02968

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

No Information Filed

SEC File Number
811 - 0296899

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

No Information Filed

SEC File Number
811 - 03916

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

No Information Filed

SEC File Number
811 - 03919

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

No Information Filed

SEC File Number
811 - 04098

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

No Information Filed

SEC File Number
811 - 04474

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

No Information Filed

SEC File Number
811 - 04526

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

No Information Filed

SEC File Number
811 - 04570

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

No Information Filed

SEC File Number
811 - 04571

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

No Information Filed

SEC File Number
811 - 04681

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

No Information Filed

SEC File Number
811 - 05340

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

No Information Filed

SEC File Number
811 - 05445

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

No Information Filed

SEC File Number
811 - 05628

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

No Information Filed

SEC File Number
811 - 05962

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

No Information Filed

SEC File Number

811 - 05972

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

No Information Filed

SEC File Number

811 - 06083

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

No Information Filed

SEC File Number

811 - 06093

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

No Information Filed

SEC File Number

811 - 07043

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

No Information Filed

SEC File Number

811 - 07175

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

No Information Filed

SEC File Number
811 - 07239

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

No Information Filed

SEC File Number
811 - 07443

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

No Information Filed

SEC File Number
811 - 07803

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

No Information Filed

SEC File Number
811 - 09005

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

No Information Filed

SEC File Number
811 - 21478

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

No Information Filed

SEC File Number

811 - 22114

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

No Information Filed

SEC File Number

811 - 22619

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

No Information Filed

SEC File Number

811 - 58431

Provide the regulatory assets under management of all *parallel managed accounts* related to a registered investment company (or series thereof) or business development company that you advise.

No Information Filed

SECTION 5.I.(2) *Wrap Fee Programs*

No Information Filed

SECTION 5.K.(1) *Separately Managed Accounts*

After subtracting the amounts reported in Item 5.D.(3)(d)-(f) from your total regulatory assets under management, indicate the approximate percentage of this remaining amount attributable to each of the following categories of assets. If the remaining amount is at least \$10 billion in regulatory assets under management, complete Question (a). If the remaining amount is less than \$10 billion in regulatory assets under management, complete Question (b).

Any regulatory assets under management reported in Item 5.D.(3)(d), (e), and (f) should not be reported below.

If you are a subadviser to a separately managed account, you should only provide information with respect to the portion of the account that you subadvise.

End of year refers to the date used to calculate your regulatory assets under management for purposes of your *annual updating amendment*. Mid-year is the date six months before the end of year date. Each column should add up to 100% and numbers should be rounded to the nearest percent.

Investments in derivatives, registered investment companies, business development companies, and pooled investment vehicles should be reported in those categories. Do not report those investments based on related or underlying portfolio assets. Cash equivalents include bank deposits, certificates of deposit, bankers' acceptances and similar bank instruments.

Some assets could be classified into more than one category or require discretion about which category applies. You may use your own internal methodologies and the conventions of your service providers in determining how to categorize assets, so long as the methodologies or conventions are consistently applied and consistent with information you report internally and to current and prospective clients. However, you should not double count assets, and your responses must be consistent with any instructions or other guidance relating to this Section.

(a)	Asset Type	Mid-year	End of year
(i)	Exchange-Traded Equity Securities	%	%
(ii)	Non Exchange-Traded Equity Securities	%	%
(iii)	U.S. Government/Agency Bonds	%	%

(iv) U.S. State and Local Bonds	%	%
(v) <i>Sovereign Bonds</i>	%	%
(vi) Investment Grade Corporate Bonds	%	%
(vii) Non-Investment Grade Corporate Bonds	%	%
(viii) Derivatives	%	%
(ix) Securities Issued by Registered Investment Companies or Business Development Companies	%	%
(x) Securities Issued by Pooled Investment Vehicles (other than Registered Investment Companies or Business Development Companies)	%	%
(xi) Cash and Cash Equivalents	%	%
(xii) Other	%	%

Generally describe any assets included in "Other"

(b) Asset Type	End of year
(i) Exchange-Traded Equity Securities	%
(ii) Non Exchange-Traded Equity Securities	%
(iii) U.S. Government/Agency Bonds	%
(iv) U.S. State and Local Bonds	%
(v) <i>Sovereign Bonds</i>	%
(vi) Investment Grade Corporate Bonds	%
(vii) Non-Investment Grade Corporate Bonds	%
(viii) Derivatives	%
(ix) Securities Issued by Registered Investment Companies or Business Development Companies	%
(x) Securities Issued by Pooled Investment Vehicles (other than Registered Investment Companies or Business Development Companies)	%
(xi) Cash and Cash Equivalents	%
(xii) Other	%

Generally describe any assets included in "Other"

SECTION 5.K.(2) Separately Managed Accounts - Use of *Borrowings* and Derivatives

No information is required to be reported in this Section 5.K.(2) per the instructions of this Section 5.K.(2)

If your regulatory assets under management attributable to separately managed accounts are at least \$10 billion, you should complete Question (a). If your regulatory assets under management attributable to separately managed accounts are at least \$500 million but less than \$10 billion, you should complete Question (b).

(a) In the table below, provide the following information regarding the separately managed accounts you advise. If you are a subadviser to a separately managed account, you should only provide information with respect to the portion of the account that you subadvise. End of year refers to the date used to calculate your regulatory assets under management for purposes of your *annual updating amendment*. Mid-year is the date six months before the end of year date.

In column 1, indicate the regulatory assets under management attributable to separately managed accounts associated with each level of gross notional exposure. For purposes of this table, the gross notional exposure of an account is the percentage obtained by dividing (i) the sum of (a) the dollar amount of any *borrowings* and (b) the *gross notional value* of all derivatives, by (ii) the regulatory assets under management of the account.

In column 2, provide the dollar amount of *borrowings* for the accounts included in column 1.

In column 3, provide aggregate *gross notional value* of derivatives divided by the aggregate regulatory assets under management of the accounts included in column 1 with respect to each category of derivatives specified in 3(a) through (f).

You may, but are not required to, complete the table with respect to any separately managed account with regulatory assets under management of less than \$10,000,000.

Any regulatory assets under management reported in Item 5.D.(3)(d), (e), and (f) should not be reported below.

(i) Mid-Year

Gross Notional Exposure	(1) Regulatory Assets Under Management	(2) Borrowings	(3) Derivative Exposures					
			(a) Interest Rate Derivative	(b) Foreign Exchange Derivative	(c) Credit Derivative	(d) Equity Derivative	(e) Commodity Derivative	(f) Other Derivative
Less than 10%	\$	\$	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %

10-149%	\$	\$ 0	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
150% or more	\$ 0	\$ 0	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %

Optional: Use the space below to provide a narrative description of the strategies and/or manner in which *borrowings* and derivatives are used in the management of the separately managed accounts that you advise.

(ii) End of Year

Gross Notional Exposure	(1) Regulatory Assets Under Management	(2) Borrowings	(3) Derivative Exposures					
			(a) Interest Rate Derivative	(b) Foreign Exchange Derivative	(c) Credit Derivative	(d) Equity Derivative	(e) Commodity Derivative	(f) Other Derivative
Less than 10%	\$ 0	\$ 0	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
10-149%	\$ 0	\$ 0	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
150% or more	\$ 0	\$ 0	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %

Optional: Use the space below to provide a narrative description of the strategies and/or manner in which *borrowings* and derivatives are used in the management of the separately managed accounts that you advise.

(b) In the table below, provide the following information regarding the separately managed accounts you advise as of the date used to calculate your regulatory assets under management for purposes of your *annual updating amendment*. If you are a subadvisor to a separately managed account, you should only provide information with respect to the portion of the account that you subadvise.

In column 1, indicate the regulatory assets under management attributable to separately managed accounts associated with each level of gross notional exposure. For purposes of this table, the gross notional exposure of an account is the percentage obtained by dividing (i) the sum of (a) the dollar amount of any *borrowings* and (b) the *gross notional value* of all derivatives, by (ii) the regulatory assets under management of the account.

In column 2, provide the dollar amount of *borrowings* for the accounts included in column 1.

You may, but are not required to, complete the table with respect to any separately managed accounts with regulatory assets under management of less than \$10,000,000.

Any regulatory assets under management reported in Item 5.D.(3)(d), (e), and (f) should not be reported below.

Gross Notional Exposure	(1) Regulatory Assets Under Management	(2) Borrowings
Less than 10%	\$ 0	\$ 0
10-149%	\$ 0	\$ 0
150% or more	\$ 0	\$ 0

Optional: Use the space below to provide a narrative description of the strategies and/or manner in which *borrowings* and derivatives are used in the management of the separately managed accounts that you advise.

SECTION 5.K.(3) Custodians for Separately Managed Accounts

No Information Filed

Item 6 Other Business Activities

In this Item, we request information about your firm's other business activities.

A. You are actively engaged in business as a (check all that apply):

- (1) broker-dealer (registered or unregistered)
- (2) registered representative of a broker-dealer
- (3) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (4) futures commission merchant
- (5) real estate broker, dealer, or agent
- (6) insurance broker or agent
- (7) bank (including a separately identifiable department or division of a bank)
- (8) trust company
- (9) registered municipal advisor
- (10) registered security-based swap dealer
- (11) major security-based swap participant
- (12) accountant or accounting firm
- (13) lawyer or law firm

(14) other financial product salesperson (specify):

If you engage in other business using a name that is different from the names reported in Items 1.A. or 1.B.(1), complete Section 6.A. of Schedule D.

Yes No

B. (1) Are you actively engaged in any other business not listed in Item 6.A. (other than giving investment advice)?

(2) If yes, is this other business your primary business?

If "yes," describe this other business on Section 6.B.(2) of Schedule D, and if you engage in this business under a different name, provide that name.

Yes No

(3) Do you sell products or provide services other than investment advice to your advisory clients?

If "yes," describe this other business on Section 6.B.(3) of Schedule D, and if you engage in this business under a different name, provide that name.

SECTION 6.A. Names of Your Other Businesses

No Information Filed

SECTION 6.B.(2) Description of Primary Business

Describe your primary business (not your investment advisory business):

VGI PROVIDES ITS CLIENTS WITH CORPORATE, MANAGEMENT & ADMINISTRATIVE MUTUAL FUND SERVICES, INCLUDING LEGAL, ACCOUNTING, TRANSFER AGENCY & DISTRIBUTION SERVICES.

If you engage in that business under a different name, provide that name:

SECTION 6.B.(3) Description of Other Products and Services

Describe other products or services you sell to your client. You may omit products and services that you listed in Section 6.B.(2) above.

SAME AS 6.B.(2).

If you engage in that business under a different name, provide that name:

Item 7 Financial Industry Affiliations

In this Item, we request information about your financial industry affiliations and activities. This information identifies areas in which conflicts of interest may occur between you and your clients.

A. This part of Item 7 requires you to provide information about you and your related persons, including foreign affiliates. Your related persons are all of your advisory affiliates and any person that is under common control with you.

You have a related person that is a (check all that apply):

- (1) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer (registered or unregistered)
- (2) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (3) registered municipal advisor
- (4) registered security-based swap dealer
- (5) major security-based swap participant
- (6) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (7) futures commission merchant
- (8) banking or thrift institution
- (9) trust company
- (10) accountant or accounting firm
- (11) lawyer or law firm
- (12) insurance company or agency
- (13) pension consultant
- (14) real estate broker or dealer
- (15) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (16) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Note that Item 7.A. should not be used to disclose that some of your employees perform investment advisory functions or are registered representatives of a broker-dealer. The number of your firm's employees who perform investment advisory functions should be disclosed under Item 5.B.(1). The number of your firm's employees who are registered representatives of a broker-dealer should be disclosed under Item 5.B.(2).

Note that if you are filing an umbrella registration, you should not check Item 7.A.(2) with respect to your relying advisers, and you do not have to complete Section 7.A. in Schedule D for your relying advisers. You should complete a Schedule R for each relying adviser.

For each related person, including foreign affiliates that may not be registered or required to be registered in the United States, complete Section 7.A. of Schedule D.

You do not need to complete Section 7.A. of Schedule D for any related person if: (1) you have no business dealings with the related person in connection with advisory services you

provide to your clients; (2) you do not conduct shared operations with the related person; (3) you do not refer clients or business to the related person, and the related person does not refer prospective clients or business to you; (4) you do not share supervised persons or premises with the related person; and (5) you have no reason to believe that your relationship with the related person otherwise creates a conflict of interest with your clients.

You must complete [Section 7.A. of Schedule D](#) for each related person acting as qualified custodian in connection with advisory services you provide to your clients (other than any mutual fund transfer agent pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(b)(1)), regardless of whether you have determined the related person to be operationally independent under rule 206(4)-2 of the Advisers Act.

SECTION 7.A. Financial Industry Affiliations

Complete a separate Schedule D Section 7.A. for each *related person* listed in Item 7.A.

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
VGIM, S.A. DE C.V., ASESOR EN INVERSIONES INDEPENDIENTE
2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
VANGUARD MÉXICO
3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
-
or
Other
4. *Related Person's*
 - (a) CRD Number (if any):
 - (b) CIK Number(s) (if any):
No Information Filed
5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)
 - (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
 - (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
 - (c) registered municipal advisor
 - (d) registered security-based swap dealer
 - (e) major security-based swap participant
 - (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
 - (g) futures commission merchant
 - (h) banking or thrift institution
 - (i) trust company
 - (j) accountant or accounting firm
 - (k) lawyer or law firm
 - (l) insurance company or agency
 - (m) pension consultant
 - (n) real estate broker or dealer
 - (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
 - (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles
6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*? Yes No
7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*? Yes No
8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*? Yes No
(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*? Yes No
(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:
Number and Street 1: _____ Number and Street 2: _____
City: _____ State: _____ Country: _____ ZIP+4/Postal Code: _____
If this address is a private residence, check this box:
9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration? Yes No
(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?
FOREIGN PRIVATE ADVISER EXEMPTION
10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*? Yes No
(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

Name of Country/English Name of Foreign Financial Regulatory Authority
--

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
VANGUARD SUPER PTY LTD.

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
VANGUARD SUPER PTY LTD.

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
-
or
Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
 (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
 (c) registered municipal advisor
 (d) registered security-based swap dealer
 (e) major security-based swap participant
 (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
 (g) futures commission merchant
 (h) banking or thrift institution
 (i) trust company
 (j) accountant or accounting firm
 (k) lawyer or law firm
 (l) insurance company or agency
 (m) pension consultant
 (n) real estate broker or dealer
 (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
 (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

Name of Country/English Name of Foreign Financial Regulatory Authority

Australia - Australian Securities and Investments Commission

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
VANGUARD GROUP EUROPE GMBH

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
VANGUARD GROUP EUROPE GMBH

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
-
or
Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

Name of Country/English Name of Foreign Financial Regulatory Authority

Germany - German Federal Financial Supervisory Agency

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
VANGUARD NATIONAL TRUST COMPANY, N.A.

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
VANGUARD NATIONAL TRUST COMPANY

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
-
or
Other

4. *Related Person's*
(a) CRD Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)
- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
 - (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
 - (c) registered municipal advisor
 - (d) registered security-based swap dealer
 - (e) major security-based swap participant
 - (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
 - (g) futures commission merchant
 - (h) banking or thrift institution
 - (i) trust company
 - (j) accountant or accounting firm
 - (k) lawyer or law firm
 - (l) insurance company or agency
 - (m) pension consultant
 - (n) real estate broker or dealer
 - (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
 - (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*? Yes No

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*? Yes No

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*? Yes No

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*? Yes No

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:
Number and Street 1: _____ Number and Street 2: _____
City: _____ State: _____ Country: _____ ZIP+4/Postal Code: _____
If this address is a private residence, check this box:

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration? Yes No

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*? Yes No

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.
No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*? Yes No

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location? Yes No

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
VANGUARD PERSONALIZED INDEXING MANAGEMENT

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
VANGUARD PERSONALIZED INDEXING MANAGEMENT

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
801 - 114487

or
Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) *CRD* Number (if any):
285366

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

VGI INSURANCE INC

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

VGI INSURANCE INC

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) *CRD* Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

No Information Filed

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

Yes No

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

No Information Filed

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

VANGUARD ASSET MANAGEMENT, LIMITED

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

VANGUARD ASSET MANAGEMENT, LIMITED

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

801 - 107653

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) *CRD* Number (if any):

282598

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

CIK Number

1680208

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

Name of Country/English Name of Foreign Financial Regulatory Authority

United Kingdom - Financial Conduct Authority

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

VANGUARD INVESTMENTS CANADA INC.

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

VANGUARD INVESTMENTS CANADA INC.

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

802 - 107926

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) CRD Number (if any):

283519

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

CIK Number

1816312

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

(a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer

(b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)

(c) registered municipal advisor

- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City: State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

PRIVATE FUND ADVISER EXEMPTION

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

Name of Country/English Name of Foreign Financial Regulatory Authority

Canada - Ontario Securities Commission

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

VANGUARD ADVISERS, INC.

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

VANGUARD ADVISERS, INC.

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

801 - 49601

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) *CRD* Number (if any):

106715

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

CIK Number

947529

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)

- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?
7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?
8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?
- (b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?
- (c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:
 Number and Street 1: _____ Number and Street 2: _____
 City: _____ State: _____ Country: _____ ZIP+4/Postal Code: _____
 If this address is a private residence, check this box:
9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?
- (b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption? _____
10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?
- (b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.
 No Information Filed
11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?
12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

Yes No

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
 VANGUARD MARKETING CORPORATION
2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
 VANGUARD MARKETING CORPORATION
3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
 8 - 21570
 or
 Other
4. *Related Person's*
 (a) *CRD* Number (if any):
 7452
 (b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

CIK Number
1114858
5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)
- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
 - (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
 - (c) registered municipal advisor
 - (d) registered security-based swap dealer
 - (e) major security-based swap participant
 - (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
 - (g) futures commission merchant
 - (h) banking or thrift institution
 - (i) trust company
 - (j) accountant or accounting firm

- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?
7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?
8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?
- (b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?
- (c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:
- Number and Street 1: _____ Number and Street 2: _____
- City: _____ State: _____ Country: _____ ZIP+4/Postal Code: _____
- If this address is a private residence, check this box:
9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?
- (b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption? **Yes No**
10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?
- (b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.
- No Information Filed
11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?
12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
VANGUARD FIDUCIARY TRUST COMPANY

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
VANGUARD FIDUCIARY TRUST COMPANY

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

-

or

Other

028-11554

4. *Related Person's*

(a) *CRD* Number (if any):

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

CIK Number

933478

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer

- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
 (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?
7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?
8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?
 (b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?
 (c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:
 Number and Street 1: _____ Number and Street 2: _____
 City: _____ State: _____ Country: _____ ZIP+4/Postal Code: _____
 If this address is a private residence, check this box:
9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?
 (b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption? _____
10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?
 (b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

 No Information Filed
11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?
12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

Yes No

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
 VANGUARD GROUP (IRELAND) LIMITED
2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
 VANGUARD GROUP (IRELAND) LIMITED
3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
 -
 or
 Other _____
4. *Related Person's*
 (a) *CRD* Number (if any): _____
 (b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

CIK Number
1816678
5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)
- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
 (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
 (c) registered municipal advisor
 (d) registered security-based swap dealer
 (e) major security-based swap participant
 (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
 (g) futures commission merchant
 (h) banking or thrift institution
 (i) trust company
 (j) accountant or accounting firm
 (k) lawyer or law firm
 (l) insurance company or agency
 (m) pension consultant
 (n) real estate broker or dealer
 (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
 (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common control?
8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?
- (b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?
- (c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:
- Number and Street 1: _____ Number and Street 2: _____
City: _____ State: _____ Country: _____ ZIP+4/Postal Code: _____
If this address is a private residence, check this box:
9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration? **Yes No**
- (b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?
PRIVATE FUND ADVISER EXEMPTION
10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*?
- (b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.
- | Name of Country/English Name of Foreign Financial Regulatory Authority |
|--|
| Ireland - Central Bank of Ireland |
11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?
12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
VANGUARD INVESTMENTS UK, LIMITED
2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
VANGUARD INVESTMENTS UK, LIMITED
3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
802 - 108191
or
Other
4. *Related Person's*
- (a) *CRD* Number (if any):
283775
- (b) CIK Number(s) (if any):
- | CIK Number |
|------------|
| 1816749 |
5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)
- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles
6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*? **Yes No**
7. Are you and the *related person* under common control?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*? Yes No
- (b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?
- (c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:
- Number and Street 1: _____ Number and Street 2: _____
City: _____ State: _____ Country: _____ ZIP+4/Postal Code: _____
If this address is a private residence, check this box:
9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration? Yes No
- (b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?
PRIVATE FUND ADVISER EXEMPTION
10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority*? Yes No
- (b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.
- | Name of Country/English Name of Foreign Financial Regulatory Authority |
|--|
| United Kingdom - Financial Conduct Authority |
11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*? Yes No
12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location? Yes No

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:
VANGUARD GLOBAL ADVISERS, LLC
2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:
VANGUARD GLOBAL ADVISERS, LLC
3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)
801 - 76825
or
Other
4. *Related Person's*
- (a) *CRD* Number (if any):
164593
- (b) CIK Number(s) (if any):
- | CIK Number |
|------------|
| 1811242 |
5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)
- (a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer
- (b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)
- (c) registered municipal advisor
- (d) registered security-based swap dealer
- (e) major security-based swap participant
- (f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)
- (g) futures commission merchant
- (h) banking or thrift institution
- (i) trust company
- (j) accountant or accounting firm
- (k) lawyer or law firm
- (l) insurance company or agency
- (m) pension consultant
- (n) real estate broker or dealer
- (o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles
- (p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*? Yes No

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*? Yes No

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*? Yes No

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'*

funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

Name of Country/English Name of Foreign Financial Regulatory Authority

Ireland - Central Bank of Ireland

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

1. Legal Name of *Related Person*:

VANGUARD INVESTMENTS AUSTRALIA LIMITED

2. Primary Business Name of *Related Person*:

VANGUARD INVESTMENTS AUSTRALIA LIMITED

3. *Related Person's* SEC File Number (if any) (e.g., 801-, 8-, 866-, 802-)

801 - 107761

or

Other

4. *Related Person's*

(a) *CRD* Number (if any):

282933

(b) CIK Number(s) (if any):

CIK Number

1550100

5. *Related Person* is: (check all that apply)

(a) broker-dealer, municipal securities dealer, or government securities broker or dealer

(b) other investment adviser (including financial planners)

(c) registered municipal advisor

(d) registered security-based swap dealer

(e) major security-based swap participant

(f) commodity pool operator or commodity trading advisor (whether registered or exempt from registration)

(g) futures commission merchant

(h) banking or thrift institution

(i) trust company

(j) accountant or accounting firm

(k) lawyer or law firm

(l) insurance company or agency

(m) pension consultant

(n) real estate broker or dealer

(o) sponsor or syndicator of limited partnerships (or equivalent), excluding pooled investment vehicles

(p) sponsor, general partner, managing member (or equivalent) of pooled investment vehicles

Yes No

6. Do you *control* or are you *controlled* by the *related person*?

7. Are you and the *related person* under common *control*?

8. (a) Does the *related person* act as a qualified custodian for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

(b) If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have answered "yes," to question 8.(a) above, have you overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the *related person* and thus are not required to obtain a surprise examination for your *clients'* funds or securities that are maintained at the *related person*?

(c) If you have answered "yes" to question 8.(a) above, provide the location of the *related person's* office responsible for *custody* of your *clients'* assets:

Number and Street 1:

Number and Street 2:

City:

State:

Country:

ZIP+4/Postal Code:

If this address is a private residence, check this box:

Yes No

9. (a) If the *related person* is an investment adviser, is it exempt from registration?

(b) If the answer is yes, under what exemption?

10. (a) Is the *related person* registered with a *foreign financial regulatory authority* ?

(b) If the answer is yes, list the name and country, in English of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *related person* is registered.

Name of Country/English Name of Foreign Financial Regulatory Authority

Australia - Australian Securities and Investments Commission

11. Do you and the *related person* share any *supervised persons*?

12. Do you and the *related person* share the same physical location?

Item 7 Private Fund Reporting

Yes No

B. Are you an adviser to any *private fund*?

If "yes," then for each private fund that you advise, you must complete a [Section 7.B.\(1\) of Schedule D](#), except in certain circumstances described in the next sentence and in [Instruction 6 of the Instructions to Part 1A](#). If you are registered or applying for registration with the SEC or reporting as an SEC exempt reporting adviser, and another SEC-registered adviser or SEC exempt reporting adviser reports this information with respect to any such private fund in [Section 7.B.\(1\) of Schedule D](#) of its Form ADV (e.g., if you are a subadviser), do not complete [Section 7.B.\(1\) of Schedule D](#) with respect to that private fund. You must, instead, complete [Section 7.B.\(2\) of Schedule D](#).

In either case, if you seek to preserve the anonymity of a private fund client by maintaining its identity in your books and records in numerical or alphabetical code, or similar designation, pursuant to rule 204-2(d), you may identify the private fund in [Section 7.B.\(1\) or 7.B.\(2\) of Schedule D](#) using the same code or designation in place of the fund's name.

SECTION 7.B.(1) Private Fund Reporting

Funds per Page: Total Funds: 2

A. PRIVATE FUND

Information About the Private Fund

1. (a) Name of the *private fund*:

ASF PRIVATE FUND

(b) *Private fund* identification number:

(include the "805-" prefix also)

805-9892811178

2. Under the laws of what state or country is the *private fund* organized:

State:

Country:

Cayman Islands

3. (a) Name(s) of General Partner, Manager, Trustee, or Directors (or *persons* serving in a similar capacity):

Name of General Partner, Manager, Trustee, or Director

VGMF I (CAYMAN) LIMITED

(b) If filing an *umbrella registration*, identify the *filing adviser* and/or *relying adviser(s)* that sponsor(s) or manage(s) this *private fund*.

No Information Filed

4. The *private fund* (check all that apply; you must check at least one):

(1) qualifies for the exclusion from the definition of investment company under section 3(c)(1) of the Investment Company Act of 1940

(2) qualifies for the exclusion from the definition of investment company under section 3(c)(7) of the Investment Company Act of 1940

5. List the name and country, in English, of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *private fund* is registered.

Name of Country/English Name of Foreign Financial Regulatory Authority

Other - CAYMAN ISLANDS REGISTRAR OF TRUSTS

6. (a) Is this a "master fund" in a master-feeder arrangement?

(b) If yes, what is the name and *private fund* identification number (if any) of the feeder funds investing in this *private fund*?

No Information Filed

Yes No

(c) Is this a "feeder fund" in a master-feeder arrangement?

(d) If yes, what is the name and *private fund* identification number (if any) of the master fund in which this *private fund* invests?

Name of *private fund*:

Private fund identification number:

(include the "805-" prefix also)

NOTE: You must complete question 6 for each master-feeder arrangement regardless of whether you are filing a single Schedule D, Section 7.B.(1) for the master-feeder arrangement or reporting on the funds separately.

7. If you are filing a single Schedule D, Section 7.B.(1) for a master-feeder arrangement according to the instructions to this Section 7.B.(1), for each of the feeder funds answer the following questions:

No Information Filed

NOTE: For purposes of questions 6 and 7, in a master-feeder arrangement, one or more funds ("feeder funds") invest all or substantially all of their assets in a single fund ("master fund"). A fund would also be a "feeder fund" investing in a "master fund" for purposes of this question if it issued multiple classes (or series) of shares or interests, and each class (or series) invests substantially all of its assets in a single master fund.

Yes No

8. (a) Is this *private fund* a "fund of funds"?

NOTE: For purposes of this question only, answer "yes" if the fund invests 10 percent or more of its total assets in other pooled investment vehicles, regardless of whether they are also *private funds* or registered investment companies.

(b) If yes, does the *private fund* invest in funds managed by you or by a *related person*?

Yes No

9. During your last fiscal year, did the *private fund* invest in securities issued by investment companies registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (other than "money market funds," to the extent provided in Instruction 6.e.)?

10. What type of fund is the *private fund*?

hedge fund liquidity fund private equity fund real estate fund securitized asset fund venture capital fund Other *private fund*:

NOTE: For definitions of these fund types, please see [Instruction 6 of the Instructions to Part 1A](#).

11. Current gross asset value of the *private fund*:

\$ 15,454,892

Ownership

12. Minimum investment commitment required of an investor in the *private fund*:

\$ 1

NOTE: Report the amount routinely required of investors who are not your *related persons* (even if different from the amount set forth in the organizational documents of the fund).

13. Approximate number of the *private fund's* beneficial owners:

1

14. What is the approximate percentage of the *private fund* beneficially owned by you and your *related persons*:

100%

15. (a) What is the approximate percentage of the *private fund* beneficially owned (in the aggregate) by funds of funds:

0%

Yes No

(b) If the private fund qualifies for the exclusion from the definition of investment company under section 3(c)(1) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, are sales of the fund limited to *qualified clients*? Yes No

16. What is the approximate percentage of the *private fund* beneficially owned by non-*United States persons*:

0%

Your Advisory Services

17. (a) Are you a subadviser to this *private fund*? Yes No

(b) If the answer to question 17.(a) is "yes," provide the name and SEC file number, if any, of the adviser of the *private fund*. If the answer to question 17.(a) is "no," leave this question blank.

No Information Filed

18. (a) Do any investment advisers (other than the investment advisers listed in Section 7.B.(1).A.3.(b)) advise the *private fund*? Yes No

(b) If the answer to question 18.(a) is "yes," provide the name and SEC file number, if any, of the other advisers to the *private fund*. If the answer to question 18.(a) is "no," leave this question blank.

No Information Filed

19. Are your *clients* solicited to invest in the *private fund*? Yes No

NOTE: For purposes of this question, do not consider feeder funds of the private fund.

20. Approximately what percentage of your *clients* has invested in the *private fund*?

1%

Private Offering

21. Has the *private fund* ever relied on an exemption from registration of its securities under Regulation D of the Securities Act of 1933? Yes No

22. If yes, provide the *private fund's* Form D file number (if any):

No Information Filed

B. SERVICE PROVIDERS

Auditors

23. (a) (1) Are the *private fund's* financial statements subject to an annual audit? Yes No

(2) If the answer to question 23.(a)(1) is "yes," are the financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP? Yes No

If the answer to question 23.(a)(1) is "yes," respond to questions (b) through (h) below. If the *private fund* uses more than one auditing firm, you must complete questions (b) through (f) separately for each auditing firm.

No Information Filed

(g) Are the *private fund's* audited financial statements for the most recently completed fiscal year distributed to the *private fund's* investors? Yes No

(h) Do all of the reports prepared by the auditing firm for the *private fund* since your last *annual updating amendment* contain unqualified opinions?

Yes No Report Not Yet Received

If you check "Report Not Yet Received," you must promptly file an amendment to your Form ADV to update your response when the report is available.

Prime Broker

24. (a) Does the *private fund* use one or more prime brokers? Yes No

If the answer to question 24.(a) is "yes," respond to questions (b) through (e) below for each prime broker the *private fund* uses. If the *private fund* uses more than one prime broker, you must complete questions (b) through (e) separately for each prime broker.

No Information Filed

Custodian

Yes No

25. (a) Does the *private fund* use any custodians (including the prime brokers listed above) to hold some or all of its assets?

If the answer to question 25.(a) is "yes," respond to questions (b) through (g) below for each custodian the *private fund* uses. If the *private fund* uses more than one custodian, you must complete questions (b) through (g) separately for each custodian.

Additional Custodian Information : 1 Record(s) Filed.

If the answer to question 25.(a) is "yes," respond to questions (b) through (g) below for each custodian the *private fund* uses. If the *private fund* uses more than one custodian, you must complete questions (b) through (g) separately for each custodian.

(b) Legal name of custodian:

STATE STREET BANK AND TRUST

(c) Primary business name of custodian:

STATE STREET BANK AND TRUST

(d) The location of the custodian's office responsible for *custody* of the *private fund's* assets (city, state and country):

City:

BOSTON

State:

Massachusetts

Country:

United States

Yes No

(e) Is the custodian a *related person* of your firm?

(f) If the custodian is a broker-dealer, provide its SEC registration number (if any):

-

CRD Number (if any):

(g) If the custodian is not a broker-dealer, or is a broker-dealer but does not have an SEC registration number, provide its *legal entity identifier* (if any)

Administrator

Yes No

26. (a) Does the *private fund* use an administrator other than your firm?

If the answer to question 26.(a) is "yes," respond to questions (b) through (f) below. If the *private fund* uses more than one administrator, you must complete questions (b) through (f) separately for each administrator.

No Information Filed

27. During your last fiscal year, what percentage of the *private fund's* assets (by value) was valued by a *person*, such as an administrator, that is not your *related person*?

0%

Include only those assets where (i) such *person* carried out the valuation procedure established for that asset, if any, including obtaining any relevant quotes, and (ii) the valuation used for purposes of investor subscriptions, redemptions or distributions, and fee calculations (including allocations) was the valuation determined by such *person*.

Marketers

Yes No

28. (a) Does the *private fund* use the services of someone other than you or your *employees* for marketing purposes?

You must answer "yes" whether the *person* acts as a placement agent, consultant, finder, introducer, municipal advisor or other solicitor, or similar *person*. If the answer to question 28.(a) is "yes," respond to questions (b) through (g) below for each such marketer the *private fund* uses. If the *private fund* uses more than one marketer you must complete questions (b) through (g) separately for each marketer.

No Information Filed

A. PRIVATE FUND

Information About the *Private Fund*

1. (a) Name of the *private fund*:

CSF PRIVATE FUND

(b) *Private fund* identification number:

(include the "805-" prefix also)

805-1178567505

2. Under the laws of what state or country is the *private fund* organized:

State:

Country:

Cayman Islands

3. (a) Name(s) of General Partner, Manager, Trustee, or Directors (or *persons* serving in a similar capacity):

Name of General Partner, Manager, Trustee, or Director

VGMF I (CAYMAN) LIMITED

(b) If filing an *umbrella registration*, identify the *filing adviser* and/or *relying adviser(s)* that sponsor(s) or manage(s) this *private fund*.

No Information Filed

4. The *private fund* (check all that apply; you must check at least one):

(1) qualifies for the exclusion from the definition of investment company under section 3(c)(1) of the Investment Company Act of 1940

(2) qualifies for the exclusion from the definition of investment company under section 3(c)(7) of the Investment Company Act of 1940

5. List the name and country, in English, of each *foreign financial regulatory authority* with which the *private fund* is registered.

Name of Country/English Name of Foreign Financial Regulatory Authority

Other - CAYMAN ISLANDS REGISTRAR OF TRUSTS

Yes No

6. (a) Is this a "master fund" in a master-feeder arrangement?

(b) If yes, what is the name and *private fund* identification number (if any) of the feeder funds investing in this *private fund*?

No Information Filed

Yes No

(c) Is this a "feeder fund" in a master-feeder arrangement?

(d) If yes, what is the name and *private fund* identification number (if any) of the master fund in which this *private fund* invests?

Name of *private fund*:

Private fund identification number:

(include the "805-" prefix also)

NOTE: You must complete question 6 for each master-feeder arrangement regardless of whether you are filing a single Schedule D, Section 7.B.(1) for the master-feeder arrangement or reporting on the funds separately.

7. If you are filing a single Schedule D, Section 7.B.(1) for a master-feeder arrangement according to the instructions to this Section 7.B.(1), for each of the feeder funds answer the following questions:

No Information Filed

NOTE: For purposes of questions 6 and 7, in a master-feeder arrangement, one or more funds ("feeder funds") invest all or substantially all of their assets in a single fund ("master fund"). A fund would also be a "feeder fund" investing in a "master fund" for purposes of this question if it issued multiple classes (or series) of shares or interests, and each class (or series) invests substantially all of its assets in a single master fund.

Yes No

8. (a) Is this *private fund* a "fund of funds"?

NOTE: For purposes of this question only, answer "yes" if the fund invests 10 percent or more of its total assets in other pooled investment vehicles, regardless of whether they are also *private funds* or registered investment companies.

(b) If yes, does the *private fund* invest in funds managed by you or by a *related person*?

Yes No

9. During your last fiscal year, did the *private fund* invest in securities issued by investment companies registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (other than "money market funds," to the extent provided in Instruction 6.e.)?

10. What type of fund is the *private fund*?

- hedge fund liquidity fund private equity fund real estate fund securitized asset fund venture capital fund Other *private fund*:

NOTE: For definitions of these fund types, please see [Instruction 6 of the Instructions to Part 1A](#).

11. Current gross asset value of the *private fund*:

\$ 350,433,577

Ownership

12. Minimum investment commitment required of an investor in the *private fund*:

\$ 1

NOTE: Report the amount routinely required of investors who are not your *related persons* (even if different from the amount set forth in the organizational documents of the fund).

13. Approximate number of the *private fund's* beneficial owners:

1

14. What is the approximate percentage of the *private fund* beneficially owned by you and your *related persons*:

100%

15. (a) What is the approximate percentage of the *private fund* beneficially owned (in the aggregate) by funds of funds:

0%

Yes No

(b) If the private fund qualifies for the exclusion from the definition of investment company under section 3(c)(1) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, are sales of the fund limited to *qualified clients*? Yes No

16. What is the approximate percentage of the *private fund* beneficially owned by non-*United States persons*:

0%

Your Advisory Services

Yes No

17. (a) Are you a subadviser to this *private fund*? Yes No

(b) If the answer to question 17.(a) is "yes," provide the name and SEC file number, if any, of the adviser of the *private fund*. If the answer to question 17.(a) is "no," leave this question blank.

No Information Filed

Yes No

18. (a) Do any investment advisers (other than the investment advisers listed in Section 7.B.(1).A.3.(b)) advise the *private fund*? Yes No

(b) If the answer to question 18.(a) is "yes," provide the name and SEC file number, if any, of the other advisers to the *private fund*. If the answer to question 18.(a) is "no," leave this question blank.

No Information Filed

Yes No

19. Are your *clients* solicited to invest in the *private fund*? Yes No

NOTE: For purposes of this question, do not consider feeder funds of the *private fund*.

20. Approximately what percentage of your *clients* has invested in the *private fund*?

1%

Private Offering

Yes No

21. Has the *private fund* ever relied on an exemption from registration of its securities under Regulation D of the Securities Act of 1933? Yes No

22. If yes, provide the *private fund's* Form D file number (if any):

No Information Filed

B. SERVICE PROVIDERS

Auditors

23. (a) (1) Are the *private fund's* financial statements subject to an annual audit?

Yes No

(2) If the answer to question 23.(a)(1) is "yes," are the financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP?

If the answer to question 23.(a)(1) is "yes," respond to questions (b) through (h) below. If the *private fund* uses more than one auditing firm, you must complete questions (b) through (f) separately for each auditing firm.

No Information Filed

Yes No

(g) Are the *private fund's* audited financial statements for the most recently completed fiscal year distributed to the *private fund's* investors?

(h) Do all of the reports prepared by the auditing firm for the *private fund* since your last *annual updating amendment* contain unqualified opinions?

Yes No Report Not Yet Received

If you check "Report Not Yet Received," you must promptly file an amendment to your Form ADV to update your response when the report is available.

Prime Broker

Yes No

24. (a) Does the *private fund* use one or more prime brokers?

If the answer to question 24.(a) is "yes," respond to questions (b) through (e) below for each prime broker the *private fund* uses. If the *private fund* uses more than one prime broker, you must complete questions (b) through (e) separately for each prime broker.

No Information Filed

Custodian

Yes No

25. (a) Does the *private fund* use any custodians (including the prime brokers listed above) to hold some or all of its assets?

If the answer to question 25.(a) is "yes," respond to questions (b) through (g) below for each custodian the *private fund* uses. If the *private fund* uses more than one custodian, you must complete questions (b) through (g) separately for each custodian.

Additional Custodian Information : 1 Record(s) Filed.

If the answer to question 25.(a) is "yes," respond to questions (b) through (g) below for each custodian the *private fund* uses. If the *private fund* uses more than one custodian, you must complete questions (b) through (g) separately for each custodian.

(b) Legal name of custodian:

STATE STREET BANK & TRUST COMPANY

(c) Primary business name of custodian:

STATE STREET BANK & TRUST COMPANY

(d) The location of the custodian's office responsible for *custody* of the *private fund's* assets (city, state and country):

City:

BOSTON

State:

Massachusetts

Country:

United States

Yes No

(e) Is the custodian a *related person* of your firm?

(f) If the custodian is a broker-dealer, provide its SEC registration number (if any):

-

CRD Number (if any):

(g) If the custodian is not a broker-dealer, or is a broker-dealer but does not have an SEC registration number, provide its *legal entity identifier* (if any)

Administrator

Yes No

26. (a) Does the *private fund* use an administrator other than your firm?

If the answer to question 26.(a) is "yes," respond to questions (b) through (f) below. If the *private fund* uses more than one administrator, you must complete

questions (b) through (f) separately for each administrator.

No Information Filed

27. During your last fiscal year, what percentage of the *private fund's* assets (by value) was valued by a *person*, such as an administrator, that is not your *related person*?
0%

Include only those assets where (i) such *person* carried out the valuation procedure established for that asset, if any, including obtaining any relevant quotes, and (ii) the valuation used for purposes of investor subscriptions, redemptions or distributions, and fee calculations (including allocations) was the valuation determined by such *person*.

Marketers

28. (a) Does the *private fund* use the services of someone other than you or your *employees* for marketing purposes? Yes No

You must answer "yes" whether the *person* acts as a placement agent, consultant, finder, introducer, municipal advisor or other solicitor, or similar *person*. If the answer to question 28.(a) is "yes," respond to questions (b) through (g) below for each such marketer the *private fund* uses. If the *private fund* uses more than one marketer you must complete questions (b) through (g) separately for each marketer.

No Information Filed

Funds per Page: 15 Total Funds: 2

SECTION 7.B.(2) Private Fund Reporting

No Information Filed

Item 8 Participation or Interest in *Client* Transactions

In this Item, we request information about your participation and interest in your *clients'* transactions. This information identifies additional areas in which conflicts of interest may occur between you and your *clients*. Newly-formed advisers should base responses to these questions on the types of participation and interest that you expect to engage in during the next year.

Like Item 7, Item 8 requires you to provide information about you and your *related persons*, including foreign affiliates.

Proprietary Interest in *Client* Transactions

A. Do you or any *related person*: Yes No

(1) buy securities for yourself from advisory *clients*, or sell securities you own to advisory *clients* (principal transactions)?

(2) buy or sell for yourself securities (other than shares of mutual funds) that you also recommend to advisory *clients*?

(3) recommend securities (or other investment products) to advisory *clients* in which you or any *related person* has some other proprietary (ownership) interest (other than those mentioned in Items 8.A.(1) or (2))?

Sales Interest in *Client* Transactions

B. Do you or any *related person*: Yes No

(1) as a broker-dealer or registered representative of a broker-dealer, execute securities trades for brokerage customers in which advisory *client* securities are sold to or bought from the brokerage customer (agency cross transactions)?

(2) recommend to advisory *clients*, or act as a purchaser representative for advisory *clients* with respect to, the purchase of securities for which you or any *related person* serves as underwriter or general or managing partner?

(3) recommend purchase or sale of securities to advisory *clients* for which you or any *related person* has any other sales interest (other than the receipt of sales commissions as a broker or registered representative of a broker-dealer)?

Investment or Brokerage Discretion

C. Do you or any *related person* have *discretionary authority* to determine the: Yes No

(1) securities to be bought or sold for a *client's* account?

(2) amount of securities to be bought or sold for a *client's* account?

(3) broker or dealer to be used for a purchase or sale of securities for a *client's* account?

(4) commission rates to be paid to a broker or dealer for a *client's* securities transactions?

D. If you answer "yes" to C.(3) above, are any of the brokers or dealers *related persons*?

E. Do you or any *related person* recommend brokers or dealers to *clients*?

- F. If you answer "yes" to E. above, are any of the brokers or dealers *related persons*?
- G. (1) Do you or any *related person* receive research or other products or services other than execution from a broker-dealer or a third party ("soft dollar benefits") in connection with *client* securities transactions?
- (2) If "yes" to G.(1) above, are all the "soft dollar benefits" you or any *related persons* receive eligible "research or brokerage services" under section 28(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934?
- H. (1) Do you or any *related person*, directly or indirectly, compensate any *person* that is not an *employee* for *client* referrals?
- (2) Do you or any *related person*, directly or indirectly, provide any *employee* compensation that is specifically related to obtaining *clients* for the firm (cash or non-cash compensation in addition to the *employee's* regular salary)?
- I. Do you or any *related person*, including any *employee*, directly or indirectly, receive compensation from any *person* (other than you or any *related person*) for *client* referrals?
- In your response to Item 8.I., do not include the regular salary you pay to an employee.*

In responding to Items 8.H. and 8.I., consider all cash and non-cash compensation that you or a related person gave to (in answering Item 8.H.) or received from (in answering Item 8.I.) any person in exchange for client referrals, including any bonus that is based, at least in part, on the number or amount of client referrals.

Item 9 Custody

In this Item, we ask you whether you or a *related person* has *custody* of *client* (other than *clients* that are investment companies registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940) assets and about your custodial practices.

- A. (1) Do you have *custody* of any advisory *clients*': **Yes No**
- (a) cash or bank accounts?
- (b) securities?

If you are registering or registered with the SEC, answer "No" to Item 9.A.(1)(a) and (b) if you have custody solely because (i) you deduct your advisory fees directly from your clients' accounts, or (ii) a related person has custody of client assets in connection with advisory services you provide to clients, but you have overcome the presumption that you are not operationally independent (pursuant to Advisers Act rule 206(4)-2(d)(5)) from the related person.

- (2) If you checked "yes" to Item 9.A.(1)(a) or (b), what is the approximate amount of *client* funds and securities and total number of *clients* for which you have *custody*:

U.S. Dollar Amount	Total Number of <i>Clients</i>
(a) \$	(b)

If you are registering or registered with the SEC and you have custody solely because you deduct your advisory fees directly from your clients' accounts, do not include the amount of those assets and the number of those clients in your response to Item 9.A.(2). If your related person has custody of client assets in connection with advisory services you provide to clients, do not include the amount of those assets and number of those clients in your response to 9.A.(2). Instead, include that information in your response to Item 9.B.(2).

- B. (1) In connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*, do any of your *related persons* have *custody* of any of your advisory *clients*': **Yes No**
- (a) cash or bank accounts?
- (b) securities?

You are required to answer this item regardless of how you answered Item 9.A.(1)(a) or (b).

- (2) If you checked "yes" to Item 9.B.(1)(a) or (b), what is the approximate amount of *client* funds and securities and total number of *clients* for which your *related persons* have *custody*:

U.S. Dollar Amount	Total Number of <i>Clients</i>
(a) \$	(b)

- C. If you or your *related persons* have *custody* of *client* funds or securities in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*, check all the following that apply:
- (1) A qualified custodian(s) sends account statements at least quarterly to the investors in the pooled investment vehicle(s) you manage.
- (2) An *independent public accountant* audits annually the pooled investment vehicle(s) that you manage and the audited financial statements are distributed to the investors in the pools.
- (3) An *independent public accountant* conducts an annual surprise examination of *client* funds and securities.
- (4) An *independent public accountant* prepares an internal control report with respect to custodial services when you or your *related persons* are qualified custodians for *client* funds and securities.

If you checked Item 9.C.(2), C.(3) or C.(4), list in Section 9.C. of Schedule D the accountants that are engaged to perform the audit or examination or prepare an internal control report. (If you checked Item 9.C.(2), you do not have to list auditor information in Section 9.C. of Schedule D if you already provided this information with respect to the private funds you advise in Section 7.B.(1) of Schedule D).

- D. Do you or your *related person(s)* act as qualified custodians for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*? **Yes No**

(1) you act as a qualified custodian

(2) your *related person(s)* act as qualified custodian(s)

If you checked "yes" to Item 9.D.(2), all related persons that act as qualified custodians (other than any mutual fund transfer agent pursuant to rule 206(4)-2(b)(1)) must be identified in Section 7.A. of Schedule D, regardless of whether you have determined the related person to be operationally independent under rule 206(4)-2 of the Advisers Act.



E. If you are filing your *annual updating amendment* and you were subject to a surprise examination by an *independent public accountant* during your last fiscal year, provide the date (MM/YYYY) the examination commenced:

F. If you or your *related persons* have *custody of client* funds or securities, how many *persons*, including, but not limited to, you and your *related persons*, act as qualified custodians for your *clients* in connection with advisory services you provide to *clients*?

SECTION 9.C. Independent Public Accountant

No Information Filed

Item 10 Control Persons

In this Item, we ask you to identify every *person* that, directly or indirectly, *controls* you. If you are filing an *umbrella registration*, the information in Item 10 should be provided for the *filing adviser* only.

If you are submitting an initial application or report, you must complete Schedule A and Schedule B. Schedule A asks for information about your direct owners and executive officers. Schedule B asks for information about your indirect owners. If this is an amendment and you are updating information you reported on either Schedule A or Schedule B (or both) that you filed with your initial application or report, you must complete Schedule C.

A. Does any *person* not named in Item 1.A. or Schedules A, B, or C, directly or indirectly, *control* your management or policies?

Yes No

If yes, complete Section 10.A. of Schedule D.

B. If any *person* named in Schedules A, B, or C or in Section 10.A. of Schedule D is a public reporting company under Sections 12 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, please complete Section 10.B. of Schedule D.

SECTION 10.A. Control Persons

No Information Filed

SECTION 10.B. Control Person Public Reporting Companies

No Information Filed

Item 11 Disclosure Information

In this Item, we ask for information about your disciplinary history and the disciplinary history of all your *advisory affiliates*. We use this information to determine whether to grant your application for registration, to decide whether to revoke your registration or to place limitations on your activities as an investment adviser, and to identify potential problem areas to focus on during our on-site examinations. One event may result in "yes" answers to more than one of the questions below. In accordance with General Instruction 5 to Form ADV, "you" and "your" include the *filing adviser* and all *relying advisers* under an *umbrella registration*.

Your *advisory affiliates* are: (1) all of your current *employees* (other than *employees* performing only clerical, administrative, support or similar functions); (2) all of your officers, partners, or directors (or any *person* performing similar functions); and (3) all *persons* directly or indirectly *controlling* you or *controlled* by you. If you are a "separately identifiable department or division" (SID) of a bank, see the Glossary of Terms to determine who your *advisory affiliates* are.

If you are registered or registering with the SEC or if you are an exempt reporting adviser, you may limit your disclosure of any event listed in Item 11 to ten years following the date of the event. If you are registered or registering with a state, you must respond to the questions as posed; you may, therefore, limit your disclosure to ten years following the date of an event only in responding to Items 11.A.(1), 11.A.(2), 11.B.(1), 11.B.(2), 11.D.(4), and 11.H.(1)(a). For purposes of calculating this ten-year period, the date of an event is the date the final order, judgment, or decree was entered, or the date any rights of appeal from preliminary orders, judgments, or decrees lapsed.

You must complete the appropriate Disclosure Reporting Page ("DRP") for "yes" answers to the questions in this Item 11.

Do any of the events below involve you or any of your *supervised persons*?

Yes No

For "yes" answers to the following questions, complete a Criminal Action DRP:

A. In the past ten years, have you or any *advisory affiliate*:

Yes No

(1) been convicted of or pled guilty or nolo contendere ("no contest") in a domestic, foreign, or military court to any *felony*?

(2) been *charged* with any *felony*?



If you are registered or registering with the SEC, or if you are reporting as an exempt reporting adviser, you may limit your response to Item 11.A.(2) to charges that are currently pending.

B. In the past ten years, have you or any *advisory affiliate*:

(1) been convicted of or pled guilty or nolo contendere ("no contest") in a domestic, foreign, or military court to a *misdemeanor* involving: investments or an *investment-related* business, or any fraud, false statements, or omissions, wrongful taking of property, bribery, perjury, forgery, counterfeiting, extortion, or a conspiracy to commit any of these offenses?



(2) been *charged* with a *misdemeanor* listed in Item 11.B.(1)?



If you are registered or registering with the SEC, or if you are reporting as an exempt reporting adviser, you may limit your response to Item 11.B.(2) to charges that are currently pending.

For "yes" answers to the following questions, complete a Regulatory Action DRP:

C. Has the SEC or the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) ever:

Yes No

(1) *found* you or any *advisory affiliate* to have made a false statement or omission?



(2) *found* you or any *advisory affiliate* to have been *involved* in a violation of SEC or CFTC regulations or statutes?



(3) *found* you or any *advisory affiliate* to have been a cause of an *investment-related* business having its authorization to do business denied, suspended, revoked, or restricted?



(4) entered an *order* against you or any *advisory affiliate* in connection with *investment-related* activity?



(5) imposed a civil money penalty on you or any *advisory affiliate*, or *ordered* you or any *advisory affiliate* to cease and desist from any activity?



D. Has any other federal regulatory agency, any state regulatory agency, or any *foreign financial regulatory authority*:

(1) ever *found* you or any *advisory affiliate* to have made a false statement or omission, or been dishonest, unfair, or unethical?



(2) ever *found* you or any *advisory affiliate* to have been *involved* in a violation of *investment-related* regulations or statutes?



(3) ever *found* you or any *advisory affiliate* to have been a cause of an *investment-related* business having its authorization to do business denied, suspended, revoked, or restricted?



(4) in the past ten years, entered an *order* against you or any *advisory affiliate* in connection with an *investment-related* activity?



(5) ever denied, suspended, or revoked your or any *advisory affiliate's* registration or license, or otherwise prevented you or any *advisory affiliate*, by *order*, from associating with an *investment-related* business or restricted your or any *advisory affiliate's* activity?



E. Has any *self-regulatory organization* or commodities exchange ever:

(1) *found* you or any *advisory affiliate* to have made a false statement or omission?



(2) *found* you or any *advisory affiliate* to have been *involved* in a violation of its rules (other than a violation designated as a "*minor rule violation*" under a plan approved by the SEC)?



(3) *found* you or any *advisory affiliate* to have been the cause of an *investment-related* business having its authorization to do business denied, suspended, revoked, or restricted?



(4) disciplined you or any *advisory affiliate* by expelling or suspending you or the *advisory affiliate* from membership, barring or suspending you or the *advisory affiliate* from association with other members, or otherwise restricting your or the *advisory affiliate's* activities?



F. Has an authorization to act as an attorney, accountant, or federal contractor granted to you or any *advisory affiliate* ever been revoked or suspended?



G. Are you or any *advisory affiliate* now the subject of any regulatory *proceeding* that could result in a "yes" answer to any part of Item 11.C., 11.D., or 11.E.?



For "yes" answers to the following questions, complete a Civil Judicial Action DRP:

H. (1) Has any domestic or foreign court:

Yes No

(a) in the past ten years, *enjoined* you or any *advisory affiliate* in connection with any *investment-related* activity?



(b) ever *found* that you or any *advisory affiliate* were *involved* in a violation of *investment-related* statutes or regulations?



(c) ever dismissed, pursuant to a settlement agreement, an *investment-related* civil action brought against you or any *advisory affiliate* by a state or *foreign financial regulatory authority*?



(2) Are you or any *advisory affiliate* now the subject of any civil *proceeding* that could result in a "yes" answer to any part of Item 11.H.(1)?



Item 12 Small Businesses

The SEC is required by the Regulatory Flexibility Act to consider the effect of its regulations on small entities. In order to do this, we need to determine whether you meet the definition of "small business" or "small organization" under rule 0-7.

Answer this Item 12 only if you are registered or registering with the SEC **and** you indicated in response to Item 5.F.(2)(c) that you have regulatory assets under management of less than \$25 million. You are not required to answer this Item 12 if you are filing for initial registration as a state adviser, amending a current state registration, or switching from SEC to state registration.

For purposes of this Item 12 only:

- Total Assets refers to the total assets of a firm, rather than the assets managed on behalf of *clients*. In determining your or another *person's* total assets, you may use the total assets shown on a current balance sheet (but use total assets reported on a consolidated balance sheet with subsidiaries included, if that amount is larger).
- *Control* means the power to direct or cause the direction of the management or policies of a *person*, whether through ownership of securities, by contract, or otherwise. Any *person* that directly or indirectly has the right to vote 25 percent or more of the voting securities, or is entitled to 25 percent or more of the profits, of another *person* is presumed to *control* the other *person*.

Yes No

A. Did you have total assets of \$5 million or more on the last day of your most recent fiscal year?

If "yes," you do not need to answer Items 12.B. and 12.C.

B. Do you:

(1) *control* another investment adviser that had regulatory assets under management (calculated in response to Item 5.F.(2)(c) of Form ADV) of \$25 million or more on the last day of its most recent fiscal year?

(2) *control* another *person* (other than a natural person) that had total assets of \$5 million or more on the last day of its most recent fiscal year?

C. Are you:

(1) *controlled* by or under common *control* with another investment adviser that had regulatory assets under management (calculated in response to Item 5.F.(2)(c) of Form ADV) of \$25 million or more on the last day of its most recent fiscal year?

(2) *controlled* by or under common *control* with another *person* (other than a natural person) that had total assets of \$5 million or more on the last day of its most recent fiscal year?

Schedule A

Direct Owners and Executive Officers

1. Complete Schedule A only if you are submitting an initial application or report. Schedule A asks for information about your direct owners and executive officers. Use Schedule C to amend this information.

2. Direct Owners and Executive Officers. List below the names of:

(a) each Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Chief Operations Officer, Chief Legal Officer, Chief Compliance Officer (Chief Compliance Officer is required if you are registered or applying for registration and cannot be more than one individual), director, and any other individuals with similar status or functions;

(b) if you are organized as a corporation, each shareholder that is a direct owner of 5% or more of a class of your voting securities, unless you are a public reporting company (a company subject to Section 12 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act);

Direct owners include any *person* that owns, beneficially owns, has the right to vote, or has the power to sell or direct the sale of, 5% or more of a class of your voting securities. For purposes of this Schedule, a *person* beneficially owns any securities: (i) owned by his/her child, stepchild, grandchild, parent, stepparent, grandparent, spouse, sibling, mother-in-law, father-in-law, son-in-law, daughter-in-law, brother-in-law, or sister-in-law, sharing the same residence; or (ii) that he/she has the right to acquire, within 60 days, through the exercise of any option, warrant, or right to purchase the security.

(c) if you are organized as a partnership, all general partners and those limited and special partners that have the right to receive upon dissolution, or have contributed, 5% or more of your capital;

(d) in the case of a trust that directly owns 5% or more of a class of your voting securities, or that has the right to receive upon dissolution, or has contributed, 5% or more of your capital, the trust and each trustee; and

(e) if you are organized as a limited liability company ("LLC"), (i) those members that have the right to receive upon dissolution, or have contributed, 5% or more of your capital, and (ii) if managed by elected managers, all elected managers.

3. Do you have any indirect owners to be reported on Schedule B? Yes No

4. In the DE/FE/I column below, enter "DE" if the owner is a domestic entity, "FE" if the owner is an entity incorporated or domiciled in a foreign country, or "I" if the owner or executive officer is an individual.

5. Complete the Title or Status column by entering board/management titles; status as partner, trustee, sole proprietor, elected manager, shareholder, or member; and for shareholders or members, the class of securities owned (if more than one is issued).

6. Ownership codes are: NA - less than 5% B - 10% but less than 25% D - 50% but less than 75%
A - 5% but less than 10% C - 25% but less than 50% E - 75% or more

7. (a) In the *Control Person* column, enter "Yes" if the *person* has *control* as defined in the Glossary of Terms to Form ADV, and enter "No" if the *person* does not have *control*. Note that under this definition, most executive officers and all 25% owners, general partners, elected managers, and trustees are *control persons*.

(b) In the PR column, enter "PR" if the owner is a public reporting company under Sections 12 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act.

(c) Complete each column.

FULL LEGAL NAME (Individuals: Last Name, First Name, Middle Name)	DE/FE/I	Title or Status	Date Title or Status Acquired MM/YYYY	Ownership Code	Control Person	PR	CRD No. If None: S.S. No. and Date of Birth, IRS Tax No. or Employer ID No.
VANGUARD ADMIRAL FUNDS	DE	STOCKHOLDER	12/1992	NA	N	N	
VANGUARD OHIO TAX-FREE FUNDS	DE	STOCKHOLDER	06/1990	NA	N	N	
VANGUARD VALLEY FORGE FUNDS	DE	STOCKHOLDER	11/1992	NA	N	N	
VANGUARD TAX-MANAGED FUNDS	DE	STOCKHOLDER	09/1994	NA	N	N	
VANGUARD WHITEHALL FUNDS	DE	STOCKHOLDER	02/1996	NA	N	N	
VANGUARD HORIZON FUNDS	DE	STOCKHOLDER	06/1995	NA	N	N	
VANGUARD SCOTTSDALE FUNDS	DE	STOCKHOLDER	11/2009	NA	N	N	
VANGUARD NEW JERSEY TAX-FREE FUNDS	DE	STOCKHOLDER	09/1987	NA	N	N	
VANGUARD CALIFORNIA TAX-FREE FUNDS	DE	STOCKHOLDER	10/1985	NA	N	N	
VANGUARD NEW YORK TAX-FREE FUNDS	DE	STOCKHOLDER	01/1986	NA	N	N	
VANGUARD PENNSYLVANIA TAX-FREE FUNDS	DE	STOCKHOLDER	01/1986	NA	N	N	
VANGUARD QUANTITATIVE FUNDS	DE	STOCKHOLDER	08/1986	NA	N	N	

VANGUARD VARIABLE INSURANCE FUNDS	DE	STOCKHOLDER	04/1991	NA	N	N	
VANGUARD MASSACHUSETTS TAX-EXEMPT FUNDS	DE	STOCKHOLDER	07/1992	NA	N	N	
VANGUARD WELLINGTON FUND	DE	STOCKHOLDER	05/1975	NA	N	N	
VANGUARD WINDSOR FUNDS	DE	STOCKHOLDER	05/1975	NA	N	N	
VANGUARD WORLD FUND	DE	STOCKHOLDER	05/1975	NA	N	N	
VANGUARD TRUSTEES' EQUITY FUND	DE	STOCKHOLDER	02/1980	NA	N	N	
VANGUARD EXPLORER FUND	DE	STOCKHOLDER	12/1975	NA	N	N	
VANGUARD WELLESLEY INCOME FUND	DE	STOCKHOLDER	05/1975	NA	N	N	
VANGUARD INDEX FUNDS	DE	STOCKHOLDER	08/1976	C	Y	N	
VANGUARD BOND INDEX FUNDS	DE	STOCKHOLDER	12/1986	A	N	N	
VANGUARD FENWAY FUNDS	DE	STOCKHOLDER	11/1987	NA	N	N	
VANGUARD MALVERN FUNDS	DE	STOCKHOLDER	11/1988	NA	N	N	
VANGUARD INTERNATIONAL EQUITY INDEX FUNDS	DE	STOCKHOLDER	04/1990	NA	N	N	
VANGUARD FIXED INCOME SECURITIES FUNDS	DE	STOCKHOLDER	05/1975	NA	N	N	
VANGUARD MONEY MARKET RESERVES	DE	STOCKHOLDER	05/1975	A	N	N	
VANGUARD MUNICIPAL BOND FUNDS	DE	STOCKHOLDER	09/1977	NA	N	N	
VANGUARD SPECIALIZED FUNDS	DE	STOCKHOLDER	10/1983	NA	N	N	
VANGUARD CHESTER FUNDS	DE	STOCKHOLDER	08/1984	NA	N	N	
BUCKLEY, MORTIMER, JOSEPH	I	CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD, CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER, AND PRESIDENT	01/2019	NA	Y	N	2167630
PEROLD, ANDRE, FRANCOIS	I	DIRECTOR	12/2004	NA	Y	N	4660204
VANGUARD MONTGOMERY FUNDS	DE	STOCKHOLDER	11/2007	NA	N	N	
FULLWOOD, EMERSON, U	I	DIRECTOR	01/2008	NA	Y	N	5511592
VOLANAKIS, PETER, FREDERICK	I	DIRECTOR	12/2008	NA	Y	N	5648569
LOUGHREY, FRANCIS, JOSEPH	I	DIRECTOR	10/2009	NA	Y	N	5755253
VANGUARD STAR FUNDS	DE	STOCKHOLDER	03/2009	A	N	N	
VANGUARD CHARLOTTE FUNDS	DE	STOCKHOLDER	10/2011	NA	N	N	
MCISAAC, CHRISTOPHER, DAVIS	I	MANAGING DIRECTOR	03/2012	NA	Y	N	2928259
MALPASS, SCOTT, CHARLES	I	DIRECTOR	03/2012	NA	Y	N	2532347
LOUGHRIDGE, MARK	I	DIRECTOR	03/2012	NA	Y	N	6048361
RAMPULLA, THOMAS, MARK	I	MANAGING DIRECTOR	07/2015	NA	Y	N	1816948
RISI, KARIN, ANN	I	MANAGING DIRECTOR	07/2015	NA	Y	N	3209445
ROLLINGS, MICHAEL, THOMAS	I	MANAGING DIRECTOR AND CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER	06/2016	NA	Y	N	1592402
ROBINSON, ANNE, ELIZABETH	I	GENERAL COUNSEL, MANAGING DIRECTOR, AND SECRETARY	09/2016	NA	Y	N	6706699
JAMES, JOHN, MARK	I	MANAGING DIRECTOR	12/2016	NA	Y	N	5594356
MULLIGAN, DEANNA, MARIE	I	DIRECTOR	07/2017	NA	Y	N	4562715
RASKIN, SARAH, BLOOM	I	DIRECTOR	07/2017	NA	Y	N	6844984
DAVIS, GREGORY	I	MANAGING DIRECTOR AND CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER	07/2017	NA	Y	N	2416369
VANGUARD INSTITUTIONAL INDEX FUNDS	DE	STOCKHOLDER	02/2018	A	N	N	
BRENNAN, JOSEPH, PATRICK	I	MANAGING DIRECTOR AND CHIEF RISK OFFICER	09/2018	NA	Y	N	2193881
VALENTE, LAUREN, MARIE	I	MANAGING DIRECTOR	09/2020	NA	Y	N	6119944
BENCHENER, MATTHEW, JOHN	I	MANAGING DIRECTOR	12/2020	NA	Y	N	5569805
Thomas, David, Anthony	I	DIRECTOR	07/2021	NA	Y	N	7423571
BUNCH, TARA, LYNN	I	DIRECTOR	11/2021	NA	Y	N	7470785
Tandon, Nitin	I	MANAGING DIRECTOR AND CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER	11/2021	NA	Y	N	7482798
ANGELL, JACQUELINE	I	CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER	11/2022	NA	Y	N	5606776
Reid, Grant, Fergus	I	DIRECTOR	07/2023	NA	Y	N	7790086

Schedule B

Indirect Owners

- Complete Schedule B only if you are submitting an initial application or report. Schedule B asks for information about your indirect owners; you must first complete Schedule A, which asks for information about your direct owners. Use Schedule C to amend this information.
- Indirect Owners. With respect to each owner listed on Schedule A (except individual owners), list below:
 - in the case of an owner that is a corporation, each of its shareholders that beneficially owns, has the right to vote, or has the power to sell or direct the sale of, 25% or more of a class of a voting security of that corporation;

For purposes of this Schedule, a *person* beneficially owns any securities: (i) owned by his/her child, stepchild, grandchild, parent, stepparent, grandparent, spouse, sibling,

mother-in-law, father-in-law, son-in-law, daughter-in-law, brother-in-law, or sister-in-law, sharing the same residence; or (ii) that he/she has the right to acquire, within 60 days, through the exercise of any option, warrant, or right to purchase the security.

(b) in the case of an owner that is a partnership, all general partners and those limited and special partners that have the right to receive upon dissolution, or have contributed, 25% or more of the partnership's capital;

(c) in the case of an owner that is a trust, the trust and each trustee; and

(d) in the case of an owner that is a limited liability company ("LLC"), (i) those members that have the right to receive upon dissolution, or have contributed, 25% or more of the LLC's capital, and (ii) if managed by elected managers, all elected managers.

3. Continue up the chain of ownership listing all 25% owners at each level. Once a public reporting company (a company subject to Sections 12 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act) is reached, no further ownership information need be given.

4. In the DE/FE/I column below, enter "DE" if the owner is a domestic entity, "FE" if the owner is an entity incorporated or domiciled in a foreign country, or "I" if the owner is an individual.

5. Complete the Status column by entering the owner's status as partner, trustee, elected manager, shareholder, or member; and for shareholders or members, the class of securities owned (if more than one is issued).

6. Ownership codes are: C - 25% but less than 50% E - 75% or more
D - 50% but less than 75% F - Other (general partner, trustee, or elected manager)

7. (a) In the *Control Person* column, enter "Yes" if the *person* has *control* as defined in the Glossary of Terms to Form ADV, and enter "No" if the *person* does not have *control*. Note that under this definition, most executive officers and all 25% owners, general partners, elected managers, and trustees are *control persons*.

(b) In the PR column, enter "PR" if the owner is a public reporting company under Sections 12 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act.

(c) Complete each column.

No Information Filed

Schedule D - Miscellaneous

You may use the space below to explain a response to an Item or to provide any other information.

Schedule R

No Information Filed

DRP Pages

CRIMINAL DISCLOSURE REPORTING PAGE (ADV)

No Information Filed

REGULATORY ACTION DISCLOSURE REPORTING PAGE (ADV)

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

This Disclosure Reporting Page (DRP ADV) is an INITIAL **OR** AMENDED response used to report details for affirmative responses to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. of Form ADV.

Regulatory Action

Check item(s) being responded to:

- | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(1) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(2) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(3) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(4) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(5) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(1) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(2) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(3) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(4) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(5) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(1) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 11.E(2) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(3) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(4) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.F. | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.G. | | | |

Use a separate DRP for each event or *proceeding*. The same event or *proceeding* may be reported for more than one *person* or entity using one DRP. File with a completed Execution Page.

One event may result in more than one affirmative answer to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. Use only one DRP to report details related to the same event. If an event gives rise to actions by more than one regulator, provide details for each action on a separate DRP.

PART I

A. The *person(s)* or entity(ies) for whom this DRP is being filed is (are):

- You (the advisory firm)
- You and one or more of your *advisory affiliates*

One or more of your *advisory affiliates*

If this DRP is being filed for an *advisory affiliate*, give the full name of the *advisory affiliate* below (for individuals, Last name, First name, Middle name).
If the *advisory affiliate* has a *CRD* number, provide that number. If not, indicate "non-registered" by checking the appropriate box.

ADV DRP - ADVISORY AFFILIATE

<i>CRD</i> Number:	7452	This <i>advisory affiliate</i> is <input checked="" type="radio"/> a Firm <input type="radio"/> an Individual
Registered:	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
Name:	VANGUARD MARKETING CORPORATION (For individuals, Last, First, Middle)	

- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because the *advisory affiliate(s)* is no longer associated with the adviser.
 This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because: (1) the event or *proceeding* occurred more than ten years ago or (2) the adviser is registered or applying for registration with the SEC or reporting as an *exempt reporting adviser* with the SEC and the event was resolved in the adviser's or *advisory affiliate's* favor.

If you are registered or registering with a *state securities authority*, you may remove a DRP for an event you reported only in response to Item 11.D(4), and only if that event occurred more than ten years ago. If you are registered or registering with the SEC, you may remove a DRP for any event listed in Item 11 that occurred more than ten years ago.

- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because it was filed in error, such as due to a clerical or data-entry mistake. Explain the circumstances:

B. If the *advisory affiliate* is registered through the IARD system or *CRD* system, has the *advisory affiliate* submitted a DRP (with Form ADV, BD or U-4) to the IARD or *CRD* for the event? If the answer is "Yes," no other information on this DRP must be provided.

Yes No

NOTE: The completion of this form does not relieve the *advisory affiliate* of its obligation to update its IARD or *CRD* records.

PART II

1. Regulatory Action initiated by:

SEC Other Federal State SRO Foreign

(Full name of regulator, *foreign financial regulatory authority*, federal, state, or *SRO*)
FINRA

2. Principal Sanction:

Other

Other Sanctions:

NA

3. Date Initiated (MM/DD/YYYY):

03/06/2015 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

4. Docket/Case Number:

2013038325801

5. *Advisory Affiliate* Employing Firm when activity occurred which led to the regulatory action (if applicable):

6. Principal Product Type:

No Product

Other Product Types:

NA

7. Describe the allegations related to this regulatory action (your response must fit within the space provided):

WITHOUT ADMITTING OR DENYING THE FINDINGS, THE FIRM CONSENTED TO THE SANCTIONS AND TO THE ENTRY OF FINDINGS THAT IT FAILED TO ESTABLISH AND MAINTAIN AN ADEQUATE SUPERVISORY SYSTEM, INCLUDING WRITTEN PROCEDURES, TO ENSURE THAT IT DISCLOSE UNSATISFIED JUDGMENTS AND LIENS OF REGISTERED REPRESENTATIVES ON UNIFORM APPLICATIONS FOR SECURITIES INDUSTRY REGISTRATION OR TRANSFER ("FORM U4S"), IN INSTANCES WHERE THE FIRMS' PAYROLL DEPARTMENT HAD NOTICE OF SUCH LIENS AND JUDGMENTS AS A RESULT OF GARNISHMENT ORDERS IT HAD RECEIVED. THE FINDINGS STATED THAT THE FIRM DID NOT HAVE ANY SUPERVISORY PROCEDURES IN PLACE TO ENSURE THAT THE PAYROLL DEPARTMENT NOTIFIED THE COMPLIANCE DEPARTMENT OF GARNISHMENTS, AND THE FIRM DID NOT REVIEW THE GARNISHMENTS TO DETERMINE WHETHER THEY TRIGGERED A REPORTABLE EVENT FOR REGISTERED REPRESENTATIVES. AS A RESULT, THE FIRM FAILED TO DISCLOSE OR TIMELY DISCLOSE UNSATISFIED JUDGMENTS AND LIENS OF WHICH IT HAD NOTICE BY REASON OF THE GARNISHMENT ORDERS. ALSO THE FIRM BECAME AWARE OF THE DEFICIENCY IN ITS SUPERVISORY SYSTEM AND VOLUNTARILY UNDERTOOK STEPS TO AMEND ITS WRITTEN SUPERVISORY PROCEDURES AND PUT A PROCESS IN PLACE FOR ITS PAYROLL DEPARTMENT TO NOTIFY ITS COMPLIANCE DEPARTMENT OF GARNISHMENT ORDERS. HOWEVER, THE FIRM FAILED TO PROPERLY IMPLEMENT THE AMENDED PROCEDURES. AS A RESULT, THE FIRM CONTINUED TO NOT DISCLOSE OR TIMELY DISCLOSE JUDGMENTS AND LIENS OF WHICH IT HAD RECEIVED NOTICE. IN TOTAL THE FIRM RECEIVED 80 GARNISHMENT ORDERS THAT TRIGGERED REPORTABLE EVENTS ON THE FORM U4. IT FAILED TO FILE AMENDMENTS TO ITS REGISTERED

8. Current Status? Pending On Appeal Final

9. If on appeal, regulatory action appealed to (SEC, SRO, Federal or State Court) and Date Appeal Filed:

If Final or On Appeal, complete all items below. For Pending Actions, complete Item 13 only.

10. How was matter resolved:

Consent

11. Resolution Date (MM/DD/YYYY):

03/06/2015 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

12. Resolution Detail:

A. Were any of the following Sanctions *Ordered* (check all appropriate items)?

Monetary/Fine Amount: \$ 350,000.00

Revocation/Expulsion/Denial

Censure

Bar

Disgorgement/Restitution

Cease and Desist/Injunction

Suspension

B. Other Sanctions *Ordered*:

UNDERTAKING.

Sanction detail: if suspended, *enjoined* or barred, provide duration including start date and capacities affected (General Securities Principal, Financial Operations Principal, etc.). If requalification by exam/retraining was a condition of the sanction, provide length of time given to requalify/retrain, type of exam required and whether condition has been satisfied. If disposition resulted in a fine, penalty, restitution, disgorgement or monetary compensation, provide total amount, portion levied against you or an *advisory affiliate*, date paid and if any portion of penalty was waived:

THE FIRM WAS CENSURED, FINED \$350,000 AND REQUIRED TO RETAIN AN INDEPENDENT CONSULTANT TO REVIEW AND SUGGEST REVISIONS TO ITS POLICIES, PROCEDURES, AND INTERNAL CONTROLS RELATING TO REPORTING DISCLOSURES ON FORM U4S FOR ITS REGISTERED REPRESENTATIVES. FINE PAID IN FULL ON MARCH 23, 2015.

13. Provide a brief summary of details related to the action status and (or) disposition and include relevant terms, conditions and dates (your response must fit within the space provided).

WITHOUT ADMITTING OR DENYING THE ALLEGATIONS OR FINDINGS, VMC (AFFILIATE) AGREED TO THE IMPOSITION OF A CENSURE, A FINE IN THE AMOUNT OF \$350,000, THE PRODUCTION OF A VMC RETAINED INDEPENDENT CONSULTANT'S REPORT, AND THE CERTIFICATION OF VMC'S IMPLEMENTATION OF THE IMPROVEMENTS OUTLINED IN THE INDEPENDENT CONSULTANT'S REPORT. VMC'S LETTER OF ACCEPTANCE, WAIVER AND CONSENT WAS ACCEPTED BY FINRA ON MARCH 6, 2015.

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

This Disclosure Reporting Page (DRP ADV) is an INITIAL **OR** AMENDED response used to report details for affirmative responses to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. of Form ADV.

Regulatory Action

Check item(s) being responded to:

- | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(1) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(2) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(3) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(4) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(5) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(1) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 11.D(2) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(3) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 11.D(4) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(5) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(1) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(2) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(3) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(4) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.F. | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.G. | | | |

Use a separate DRP for each event or *proceeding*. The same event or *proceeding* may be reported for more than one *person* or entity using one DRP. File with a completed Execution Page.

One event may result in more than one affirmative answer to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. Use only one DRP to report details related to the same event. If an event gives rise to actions by more than one regulator, provide details for each action on a separate DRP.

PART I

A. The *person(s)* or entity(ies) for whom this DRP is being filed is (are):

- You (the advisory firm)
- You and one or more of your *advisory affiliates*
- One or more of your *advisory affiliates*

If this DRP is being filed for an *advisory affiliate*, give the full name of the *advisory affiliate* below (for individuals, Last name, First name, Middle name).
If the *advisory affiliate* has a *CRD* number, provide that number. If not, indicate "non-registered" by checking the appropriate box.

ADV DRP - *ADVISORY AFFILIATE*

CRD Number: 106715
This *advisory affiliate* is a Firm an Individual
Registered: Yes No
Name: VANGUARD ADVISERS INC
(For individuals, Last, First, Middle)

- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because the *advisory affiliate(s)* is no longer associated with the adviser.
 This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because: (1) the event or *proceeding* occurred more than ten years ago or (2) the adviser is registered or applying for registration with the SEC or reporting as an *exempt reporting adviser* with the SEC and the event was resolved in the adviser's or *advisory affiliate's* favor.

If you are registered or registering with a *state securities authority*, you may remove a DRP for an event you reported only in response to Item 11.D(4), and only if that event occurred more than ten years ago. If you are registered or registering with the SEC, you may remove a DRP for any event listed in Item 11 that occurred more than ten years ago.

- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because it was filed in error, such as due to a clerical or data-entry mistake. Explain the circumstances:

- B. If the *advisory affiliate* is registered through the IARD system or *CRD* system, has the *advisory affiliate* submitted a DRP (with Form ADV, BD or U-4) to the IARD or *CRD* for the event? If the answer is "Yes," no other information on this DRP must be provided.

Yes No

NOTE: The completion of this form does not relieve the *advisory affiliate* of its obligation to update its IARD or *CRD* records.

PART II

- Regulatory Action initiated by:
 SEC Other Federal State SRO Foreign
(Full name of regulator, *foreign financial regulatory authority*, federal, state, or SRO)
COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, DEPARTMENT OF BANKING AND SECURITIES
- Principal Sanction:
Other
Other Sanctions:
ADMINISTRATIVE ASSESSMENT
- Date Initiated (MM/DD/YYYY):
10/10/2017 Exact Explanation
If not exact, provide explanation:
DATE INITIAL INQUIRY RELATED TO THIS MATTER WAS RECEIVED.
- Docket/Case Number:
17-0077
- Advisory Affiliate* Employing Firm when activity occurred which led to the regulatory action (if applicable):
- Principal Product Type:
No Product
Other Product Types:
- Describe the allegations related to this regulatory action (your response must fit within the space provided):
FROM FEBRUARY 2017 UNTIL DECEMBER 2017, VANGUARD ADVISERS, INC., DUE TO ADMINISTRATIVE ERROR, EMPLOYED AN INVESTMENT ADVISER REPRESENTATIVE WHO, ALTHOUGH REGISTERED AS AN INVESTMENT ADVISER REPRESENTATIVE IN ARIZONA, WAS NOT REGISTERED AS AN INVESTMENT ADVISER REPRESENTATIVE IN PENNSYLVANIA.
- Current Status? Pending On Appeal Final
- If on appeal, regulatory action appealed to (SEC, SRO, Federal or State Court) and Date Appeal Filed:

If Final or On Appeal, complete all items below. For Pending Actions, complete Item 13 only.

- How was matter resolved:
Consent

11. Resolution Date (MM/DD/YYYY):

12/20/2017 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

12. Resolution Detail:

A. Were any of the following Sanctions *Ordered* (check all appropriate items)?

Monetary/Fine Amount: \$ 23,480.00

Revocation/Expulsion/Denial

Censure

Bar

Disgorgement/Restitution

Cease and Desist/Injunction

Suspension

B. Other Sanctions *Ordered*:

Sanction detail: if suspended, *enjoined* or barred, provide duration including start date and capacities affected (General Securities Principal, Financial Operations Principal, etc.). If requalification by exam/retraining was a condition of the sanction, provide length of time given to requalify/retrain, type of exam required and whether condition has been satisfied. If disposition resulted in a fine, penalty, restitution, disgorgement or monetary compensation, provide total amount, portion levied against you or an *advisory affiliate*, date paid and if any portion of penalty was waived:

AN ADMINISTRATIVE ASSESSMENT OF \$23,480 WAS LEVIED AGAINST VAI. PAYMENT WAS MADE JANUARY 9, 2018.

13. Provide a brief summary of details related to the action status and (or) disposition and include relevant terms, conditions and dates (your response must fit within the space provided).

AN INVESTMENT ADVISER REPRESENTATIVE ("IAR") CHANGED HIS PERSONAL RESIDENCE FROM ARIZONA TO PENNSYLVANIA. AS A RESULT OF AN ADMINISTRATIVE ERROR, VANGUARD ADVISERS, INC. DID NOT IMMEDIATELY UPDATE HIS REGISTRATION TO REFLECT PENNSYLVANIA AS HIS NEW PLACE OF EMPLOYMENT. VANGUARD ADVISERS, INC. SELF IDENTIFIED THE ISSUE.

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

This Disclosure Reporting Page (DRP ADV) is an INITIAL **OR** AMENDED response used to report details for affirmative responses to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. of Form ADV.

Regulatory Action

Check item(s) being responded to:

- | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(1) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(2) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(3) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(4) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(5) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(1) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 11.D(2) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(3) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 11.D(4) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(5) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(1) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(2) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(3) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(4) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.F. | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.G. | | | |

Use a separate DRP for each event or *proceeding*. The same event or *proceeding* may be reported for more than one *person* or entity using one DRP. File with a completed Execution Page.

One event may result in more than one affirmative answer to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. Use only one DRP to report details related to the same event. If an event gives rise to actions by more than one regulator, provide details for each action on a separate DRP.

PART I

A. The *person(s)* or entity(ies) for whom this DRP is being filed is (are):

- You (the advisory firm)
- You and one or more of your *advisory affiliates*
- One or more of your *advisory affiliates*

If this DRP is being filed for an *advisory affiliate*, give the full name of the *advisory affiliate* below (for individuals, Last name, First name, Middle name). If the *advisory affiliate* has a *CRD* number, provide that number. If not, indicate "non-registered" by checking the appropriate box.

ADV DRP - ADVISORY AFFILIATE

No Information Filed

- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because the *advisory affiliate(s)* is no longer associated with the adviser.
- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because: (1) the event or *proceeding* occurred more than ten years ago or (2) the adviser is registered or applying for registration with the SEC or reporting as an *exempt reporting adviser* with the SEC and the event was resolved in the adviser's or *advisory affiliate's* favor.

If you are registered or registering with a *state securities authority*, you may remove a DRP for an event you reported only in response to Item 11.D(4), and only if that event occurred more than ten years ago. If you are registered or registering with the SEC, you may remove a DRP for any event listed in Item 11 that occurred more than ten years ago.

This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because it was filed in error, such as due to a clerical or data-entry mistake. Explain the circumstances:

B. If the *advisory affiliate* is registered through the IARD system or *CRD* system, has the *advisory affiliate* submitted a DRP (with Form ADV, BD or U-4) to the IARD or *CRD* for the event? If the answer is "Yes," no other information on this DRP must be provided.

Yes No

NOTE: The completion of this form does not relieve the *advisory affiliate* of its obligation to update its IARD or *CRD* records.

PART II

1. Regulatory Action initiated by:

SEC Other Federal State SRO Foreign

(Full name of regulator, *foreign financial regulatory authority*, federal, state, or *SRO*)

FINANCIAL SUPERVISORY SERVICE ("FSS"), SOUTH KOREA

2. Principal Sanction:

Civil and Administrative Penalt(ies) /Fine(s)

Other Sanctions:

NONE

3. Date Initiated (MM/DD/YYYY):

06/18/2020 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

4. Docket/Case Number:

CAPITAL MARKET INVESTIGATION DEPARTMENT, INVESTIGATION TEAM 3 - 1076

5. *Advisory Affiliate* Employing Firm when activity occurred which led to the regulatory action (if applicable):

N/A

6. Principal Product Type:

Equity Listed (Common & Preferred Stock)

Other Product Types:

7. Describe the allegations related to this regulatory action (your response must fit within the space provided):

THE SOUTH KOREAN FINANCIAL SUPERVISORY SERVICE CLAIMED THAT ON THREE OCCASIONS FROM 2018 TO 2019 THE VANGUARD GROUP, INC. ("VGI"), ENGAGED IN THE SALE OF TWO KOREAN-LISTED SECURITIES WITHOUT CORRESPONDING OWNERSHIP OF THE POSITIONS, A PRACTICE WHICH IS PROHIBITED UNDER SOUTH KOREAN LAW.

8. Current Status? Pending On Appeal Final

9. If on appeal, regulatory action appealed to (SEC, *SRO*, Federal or State Court) and Date Appeal Filed:

If Final or On Appeal, complete all items below. For Pending Actions, complete Item 13 only.

10. How was matter resolved:

Decision & Order of Offer of Settlement

11. Resolution Date (MM/DD/YYYY):

09/24/2020 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

12. Resolution Detail:

A. Were any of the following Sanctions *Ordered* (check all appropriate items)?

Monetary/Fine Amount: \$ 104,575.00

Revocation/Expulsion/Denial

Censure

Bar

Disgorgement/Restitution

Cease and Desist/Injunction

Suspension

B. Other Sanctions *Ordered*:

Sanction detail: if suspended, *enjoined* or barred, provide duration including start date and capacities affected (General Securities Principal, Financial Operations Principal, etc.). If requalification by exam/retraining was a condition of the sanction, provide length of time given to requalify/retrain, type of exam required and whether condition has been satisfied. If disposition resulted in a fine, penalty, restitution, disgorgement or monetary compensation, provide total amount, portion levied against you or an *advisory affiliate*, date paid and if any portion of penalty was waived:

ON OCTOBER 12, 2020, \$83,660 (KRW 96 MILLION) WAS ACCEPTED AS PAYMENT, WHICH REFLECTS A DISCOUNT FROM THE \$104,575 (KRW 120 MILLION) ORIGINALLY SOUGHT.

13. Provide a brief summary of details related to the action status and (or) disposition and include relevant terms, conditions and dates (your response must fit within the space provided).

ON SEPTEMBER 24, 2020, THE SOUTH KOREAN FINANCIAL SERVICES COMMISSION IMPOSED AN ADMINISTRATIVE FINE IN THE AMOUNT OF \$104,575 (KRW 120 MILLION) ON VGI, IN CONNECTION WITH THREE INSTANCES DURING 2018 AND 2019 IN WHICH VGI SOLD TWO KOREAN-LISTED SECURITIES WITHOUT CORRESPONDING OWNERSHIP OF THE POSITION, A PRACTICE WHICH IS PROHIBITED UNDER SOUTH KOREAN LAW.

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

This Disclosure Reporting Page (DRP ADV) is an INITIAL **OR** AMENDED response used to report details for affirmative responses to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. of Form ADV.

Regulatory Action

Check item(s) being responded to:

- | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(1) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(2) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(3) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(4) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(5) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(1) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 11.D(2) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(3) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 11.D(4) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(5) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(1) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(2) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(3) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(4) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.F. | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.G. | | | |

Use a separate DRP for each event or *proceeding*. The same event or *proceeding* may be reported for more than one *person* or entity using one DRP. File with a completed Execution Page.

One event may result in more than one affirmative answer to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. Use only one DRP to report details related to the same event. If an event gives rise to actions by more than one regulator, provide details for each action on a separate DRP.

PART I

- A. The *person(s)* or entity(ies) for whom this DRP is being filed is (are):

- You (the advisory firm)
 You and one or more of your *advisory affiliates*
 One or more of your *advisory affiliates*

If this DRP is being filed for an *advisory affiliate*, give the full name of the *advisory affiliate* below (for individuals, Last name, First name, Middle name). If the *advisory affiliate* has a *CRD* number, provide that number. If not, indicate "non-registered" by checking the appropriate box.

ADV DRP - *ADVISORY AFFILIATE*

No Information Filed

- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because the *advisory affiliate(s)* is no longer associated with the adviser.
 This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because: (1) the event or *proceeding* occurred more than ten years ago or (2) the adviser is registered or applying for registration with the SEC or reporting as an *exempt reporting adviser* with the SEC and the event was resolved in the adviser's or *advisory affiliate's* favor.

If you are registered or registering with a *state securities authority*, you may remove a DRP for an event you reported only in response to Item 11.D(4), and only if that event occurred more than ten years ago. If you are registered or registering with the SEC, you may remove a DRP for any event listed in Item 11 that occurred more than ten years ago.

- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because it was filed in error, such as due to a clerical or data-entry mistake. Explain the circumstances:

- B. If the *advisory affiliate* is registered through the IARD system or *CRD* system, has the *advisory affiliate* submitted a DRP (with Form ADV, BD or U-4) to the IARD or *CRD* for the event? If the answer is "Yes," no other information on this DRP must be provided.

- Yes No

NOTE: The completion of this form does not relieve the *advisory affiliate* of its obligation to update its IARD or *CRD* records.

PART II

1. Regulatory Action initiated by:

- SEC Other Federal State SRO Foreign

(Full name of regulator, *foreign financial regulatory authority*, federal, state, or SRO)

FINANCIAL SUPERVISORY SERVICE ("FSS"), SOUTH KOREA

2. Principal Sanction:

Civil and Administrative Penalt(ies) /Fine(s)

Other Sanctions:

3. Date Initiated (MM/DD/YYYY):

02/03/2021 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

4. Docket/Case Number:

CAPITAL MARKET INVESTIGATION DEPARTMENT, INVESTIGATION TEAM 3 - 116

5. *Advisory Affiliate* Employing Firm when activity occurred which led to the regulatory action (if applicable):

6. Principal Product Type:

Equity Listed (Common & Preferred Stock)

Other Product Types:

7. Describe the allegations related to this regulatory action (your response must fit within the space provided):

THE SOUTH KOREAN FINANCIAL SUPERVISORY SERVICE (FSS) CLAIMED THAT ON SEPTEMBER 27, 2019 THE VANGUARD GROUP, INC. ("VGI"), ENGAGED IN THE SALE OF ONE KOREAN-LISTED SECURITY WITHOUT CORRESPONDING OWNERSHIP OF THE POSITION, A PRACTICE WHICH IS PROHIBITED UNDER SOUTH KOREAN LAW.

8. Current Status? Pending On Appeal Final

9. If on appeal, regulatory action appealed to (SEC, SRO, Federal or State Court) and Date Appeal Filed:

If Final or On Appeal, complete all items below. For Pending Actions, complete Item 13 only.

10. How was matter resolved:

Decision & Order of Offer of Settlement

11. Resolution Date (MM/DD/YYYY):

12/22/2021 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

12. Resolution Detail:

A. Were any of the following Sanctions *Ordered* (check all appropriate items)?

Monetary/Fine Amount: \$ 15,409.00

Revocation/Expulsion/Denial

Censure

Bar

Disgorgement/Restitution

Cease and Desist/Injunction

Suspension

B. Other Sanctions *Ordered*:

Sanction detail: if suspended, *enjoined* or barred, provide duration including start date and capacities affected (General Securities Principal, Financial Operations Principal, etc.). If requalification by exam/retraining was a condition of the sanction, provide length of time given to requalify/retrain, type of exam required and whether condition has been satisfied. If disposition resulted in a fine, penalty, restitution, disgorgement or monetary compensation, provide total amount, portion levied against you or an *advisory affiliate*, date paid and if any portion of penalty was waived:

ON DECEMBER 22, 2021 \$15,409 (KRW 18 MILLION) WAS SUBMITTED AS PAYMENT.

13. Provide a brief summary of details related to the action status and (or) disposition and include relevant terms, conditions and dates (your response must fit within the space provided).

ON NOVEMBER 12, 2021, THE SOUTH KOREAN FINANCIAL SERVICES COMMISSION IMPOSED AN ADMINISTRATIVE FINE IN THE AMOUNT OF \$15,409 (KRW 18 MILLION) ON VGI, IN CONNECTION WITH ONE INCIDENT DURING 2019 IN WHICH VGI SOLD A KOREAN-LISTED SECURITY WITHOUT CORRESPONDING OWNERSHIP OF THE POSITION, A PRACTICE THAT IS PROHIBITED UNDER SOUTH KOREAN LAW.

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

This Disclosure Reporting Page (DRP ADV) is an INITIAL **OR** AMENDED response used to report details for affirmative responses to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. of Form ADV.

Regulatory Action

Check item(s) being responded to:

11.C(1)

11.C(2)

11.C(3)

11.C(4)

11.C(5)

11.D(1)

11.D(2)

11.D(3)

11.D(4)

11.D(5)

11.E(1)

11.E(2)

11.E(3)

11.E(4)

11.F.

11.G.

Use a separate DRP for each event or *proceeding*. The same event or *proceeding* may be reported for more than one *person* or entity using one DRP. File with a completed Execution Page.

One event may result in more than one affirmative answer to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. Use only one DRP to report details related to the same event. If an event gives rise to actions by more than one regulator, provide details for each action on a separate DRP.

PART I

A. The *person(s)* or entity(ies) for whom this DRP is being filed is (are):

- You (the advisory firm)
 You and one or more of your *advisory affiliates*
 One or more of your *advisory affiliates*

If this DRP is being filed for an *advisory affiliate*, give the full name of the *advisory affiliate* below (for individuals, Last name, First name, Middle name). If the *advisory affiliate* has a *CRD* number, provide that number. If not, indicate "non-registered" by checking the appropriate box.

ADV DRP - *ADVISORY AFFILIATE*

No Information Filed

- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because the *advisory affiliate(s)* is no longer associated with the adviser.
 This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because: (1) the event or *proceeding* occurred more than ten years ago or (2) the adviser is registered or applying for registration with the SEC or reporting as an *exempt reporting adviser* with the SEC and the event was resolved in the adviser's or *advisory affiliate's* favor.

If you are registered or registering with a *state securities authority*, you may remove a DRP for an event you reported only in response to Item 11.D(4), and only if that event occurred more than ten years ago. If you are registered or registering with the SEC, you may remove a DRP for any event listed in Item 11 that occurred more than ten years ago.

- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because it was filed in error, such as due to a clerical or data-entry mistake. Explain the circumstances:

B. If the *advisory affiliate* is registered through the IARD system or *CRD* system, has the *advisory affiliate* submitted a DRP (with Form ADV, BD or U-4) to the IARD or *CRD* for the event? If the answer is "Yes," no other information on this DRP must be provided.

- Yes No

NOTE: The completion of this form does not relieve the *advisory affiliate* of its obligation to update its IARD or *CRD* records.

PART II

1. Regulatory Action initiated by:

- SEC Other Federal State SRO Foreign

(Full name of regulator, *foreign financial regulatory authority*, federal, state, or *SRO*)
GERMAN FEDERAL FINANCIAL SUPERVISORY AUTHORITY ("BAFIN")

2. Principal Sanction:

Civil and Administrative Penalt(ies) /Fine(s)

Other Sanctions:

3. Date Initiated (MM/DD/YYYY):

05/11/2021 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

4. Docket/Case Number:

WA 17-WP 3120-2021/0030

5. *Advisory Affiliate* Employing Firm when activity occurred which led to the regulatory action (if applicable):

6. Principal Product Type:

Mutual Fund(s)

Other Product Types:

7. Describe the allegations related to this regulatory action (your response must fit within the space provided):

BAFIN CLAIMED THAT THE VANGUARD GROUP, INC. ("VGI") ERRED IN PERFORMING A TECHNICAL PROCESS FROM 2017 TO 2019, WHICH LED TO UNTIMELY VOTING RIGHTS NOTIFICATIONS FOR THREE POSITIONS IN CONNECTION WITH SECURITIES LENDING ARRANGEMENTS.

8. Current Status? Pending On Appeal Final

9. If on appeal, regulatory action appealed to (SEC, SRO, Federal or State Court) and Date Appeal Filed:

If Final or On Appeal, complete all items below. For Pending Actions, complete Item 13 only.

10. How was matter resolved:

Decision & Order of Offer of Settlement

11. Resolution Date (MM/DD/YYYY):

12/07/2021 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

12. Resolution Detail:

A. Were any of the following Sanctions *Ordered* (check all appropriate items)?

Monetary/Fine Amount: \$ 376,996.00

Revocation/Expulsion/Denial

Censure

Bar

Disgorgement/Restitution

Cease and Desist/Injunction

Suspension

B. Other Sanctions *Ordered*:

Sanction detail: if suspended, *enjoined* or barred, provide duration including start date and capacities affected (General Securities Principal, Financial Operations Principal, etc.). If requalification by exam/retraining was a condition of the sanction, provide length of time given to requalify/retrain, type of exam required and whether condition has been satisfied. If disposition resulted in a fine, penalty, restitution, disgorgement or monetary compensation, provide total amount, portion levied against you or an *advisory affiliate*, date paid and if any portion of penalty was waived:

ON DECEMBER 7, 2021 \$376,996 (EUR 290,000) WAS ACCEPTED AS PAYMENT.

13. Provide a brief summary of details related to the action status and (or) disposition and include relevant terms, conditions and dates (your response must fit within the space provided).

ON NOVEMBER 25 2021, BAFIN IMPOSED AN ADMINISTRATIVE FINE IN THE AMOUNT OF \$376,996 (EUR 290,000) ON VGI, RELATED TO A TECHNICAL ERROR IN FULFILLING VOTING RIGHTS NOTIFICATION OBLIGATIONS WITHIN THE PRESCRIBED PERIOD FOR THREE POSITIONS FROM 2017 THRU 2019.

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

This Disclosure Reporting Page (DRP ADV) is an INITIAL **OR** AMENDED response used to report details for affirmative responses to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. of Form ADV.

Regulatory Action

Check item(s) being responded to:

<input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(1)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(2)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(3)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(4)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(5)
<input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(1)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 11.D(2)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(3)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 11.D(4)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(5)
<input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(1)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(2)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(3)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(4)	
<input type="checkbox"/> 11.F.	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.G.			

Use a separate DRP for each event or *proceeding*. The same event or *proceeding* may be reported for more than one *person* or entity using one DRP. File with a completed Execution Page.

One event may result in more than one affirmative answer to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. Use only one DRP to report details related to the same event. If an event gives rise to actions by more than one regulator, provide details for each action on a separate DRP.

PART I

A. The *person(s)* or entity(ies) for whom this DRP is being filed is (are):

- You (the advisory firm)
- You and one or more of your *advisory affiliates*
- One or more of your *advisory affiliates*

If this DRP is being filed for an *advisory affiliate*, give the full name of the *advisory affiliate* below (for individuals, Last name, First name, Middle name).

If the *advisory affiliate* has a CRD number, provide that number. If not, indicate "non-registered" by checking the appropriate box.

ADV DRP - ADVISORY AFFILIATE

CRD Number: 164593

This *advisory affiliate* is a Firm an Individual

Registered: Yes No

Name: VANGUARD GLOBAL ADVISERS, LLC
(For individuals, Last, First, Middle)

- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because the *advisory affiliate(s)* is no longer associated with the adviser.
- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because: (1) the event or *proceeding* occurred more than ten years ago or (2) the adviser is registered or applying for registration with the SEC or reporting as an *exempt reporting adviser* with the SEC and the event was resolved in the adviser's or *advisory affiliate's* favor.

If you are registered or registering with a *state securities authority*, you may remove a DRP for an event you reported only in response to Item 11.D(4), and only if that event occurred more than ten years ago. If you are registered or registering with the SEC, you may remove a DRP for any event listed in Item 11 that occurred more than ten years ago.

- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because it was filed in error, such as due to a clerical or data-entry mistake. Explain the circumstances:

B. If the *advisory affiliate* is registered through the IARD system or *CRD* system, has the *advisory affiliate* submitted a DRP (with Form ADV, BD or U-4) to the IARD or *CRD* for the event? If the answer is "Yes," no other information on this DRP must be provided.

Yes No

NOTE: The completion of this form does not relieve the *advisory affiliate* of its obligation to update its IARD or *CRD* records.

PART II

1. Regulatory Action initiated by:

SEC Other Federal State SRO Foreign

(Full name of regulator, *foreign financial regulatory authority*, federal, state, or *SRO*)

FINANCIAL SUPERVISORY SERVICE ("FSS"), SOUTH KOREA

2. Principal Sanction:

Civil and Administrative Penalt(ies) /Fine(s)

Other Sanctions:

3. Date Initiated (MM/DD/YYYY):

02/03/2021 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

4. Docket/Case Number:

CAPITAL MARKET INVESTIGATION DEPARTMENT, INVESTIGATION TEAM 3 - 116

5. *Advisory Affiliate* Employing Firm when activity occurred which led to the regulatory action (if applicable):

6. Principal Product Type:

Equity Listed (Common & Preferred Stock)

Other Product Types:

7. Describe the allegations related to this regulatory action (your response must fit within the space provided):

THE SOUTH KOREAN FINANCIAL SUPERVISORY SERVICE CLAIMED THAT ON MARCH 18, 2020 VANGUARD GLOBAL ADVISERS, LLC ("VGA"), ENGAGED IN THE SALE OF ONE KOREAN-LISTED SECURITY WITHOUT CORRESPONDING OWNERSHIP OF THE POSITION, A PRACTICE WHICH IS PROHIBITED UNDER SOUTH KOREAN LAW.

8. Current Status? Pending On Appeal Final

9. If on appeal, regulatory action appealed to (SEC, *SRO*, Federal or State Court) and Date Appeal Filed:

If Final or On Appeal, complete all items below. For Pending Actions, complete Item 13 only.

10. How was matter resolved:

Decision & Order of Offer of Settlement

11. Resolution Date (MM/DD/YYYY):

12/22/2021 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

12. Resolution Detail:

A. Were any of the following Sanctions *Ordered* (check all appropriate items)?

Monetary/Fine Amount: \$ 19,177.00

Revocation/Expulsion/Denial

Censure

Bar

Disgorgement/Restitution

Cease and Desist/Injunction

Suspension

B. Other Sanctions *Ordered*:

Sanction detail: if suspended, *enjoined* or barred, provide duration including start date and capacities affected (General Securities Principal, Financial Operations Principal, etc.). If requalification by exam/retraining was a condition of the sanction, provide length of time given to requalify/retrain, type of exam required and whether condition has been satisfied. If disposition resulted in a fine, penalty, restitution, disgorgement or monetary compensation, provide total amount, portion levied against you or an *advisory affiliate*, date paid and if any portion of penalty was waived:

ON DECEMBER 22, 2021, \$19,177 (KRW 22.5 MILLION) WAS SUBMITTED AS PAYMENT.

13. Provide a brief summary of details related to the action status and (or) disposition and include relevant terms, conditions and dates (your response must fit within the space provided).

ON NOVEMBER 12, 2021, THE SOUTH KOREAN FINANCIAL SERVICES COMMISSION IMPOSED AN ADMINISTRATIVE FINE IN THE AMOUNT OF \$19,177 (KRW 22.5 MILLION) ON VGA, IN CONNECTION WITH ONE INCIDENT DURING 2020 IN WHICH VGA SOLD A KOREAN-LISTED SECURITY WITHOUT CORRESPONDING OWNERSHIP OF THE POSITION, WHICH IS A PRACTICE THAT IS PROHIBITED UNDER SOUTH KOREAN LAW.

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

This Disclosure Reporting Page (DRP ADV) is an INITIAL **OR** AMENDED response used to report details for affirmative responses to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. of Form ADV.

Regulatory Action

Check item(s) being responded to:

- | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(1) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(2) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(3) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(4) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(5) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(1) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 11.D(2) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(3) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 11.D(4) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(5) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(1) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(2) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(3) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(4) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.F. | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.G. | | | |

Use a separate DRP for each event or *proceeding*. The same event or *proceeding* may be reported for more than one *person* or entity using one DRP. File with a completed Execution Page.

One event may result in more than one affirmative answer to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. Use only one DRP to report details related to the same event. If an event gives rise to actions by more than one regulator, provide details for each action on a separate DRP.

PART I

A. The *person(s)* or entity(ies) for whom this DRP is being filed is (are):

- You (the advisory firm)
- You and one or more of your *advisory affiliates*
- One or more of your *advisory affiliates*

If this DRP is being filed for an *advisory affiliate*, give the full name of the *advisory affiliate* below (for individuals, Last name, First name, Middle name). If the *advisory affiliate* has a *CRD* number, provide that number. If not, indicate "non-registered" by checking the appropriate box.

ADV DRP - ADVISORY AFFILIATE

CRD Number: 282933
This *advisory affiliate* is a Firm an Individual
Registered: Yes No
Name: VANGUARD INVESTMENTS AUSTRALIA LIMITED
(For individuals, Last, First, Middle)

- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because the *advisory affiliate(s)* is no longer associated with the adviser.
- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because: (1) the event or *proceeding* occurred more than ten years ago or (2) the adviser is registered or applying for registration with the SEC or reporting as an *exempt reporting adviser* with the SEC and the event was resolved in the adviser's or *advisory affiliate's* favor.

If you are registered or registering with a *state securities authority*, you may remove a DRP for an event you reported only in response to Item 11.D(4), and only if that event occurred more than ten years ago. If you are registered or registering with the SEC, you may remove a DRP for any event listed in Item 11 that occurred more than ten years ago.

- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because it was filed in error, such as due to a clerical or data-entry mistake. Explain the circumstances:

B. If the *advisory affiliate* is registered through the IARD system or *CRD* system, has the *advisory affiliate* submitted a DRP (with Form ADV, BD or U-4) to the IARD or *CRD* for the event? If the answer is "Yes," no other information on this DRP must be provided.

Yes No

NOTE: The completion of this form does not relieve the *advisory affiliate* of its obligation to update its IARD or *CRD* records.

PART II

1. Regulatory Action initiated by:

SEC Other Federal State *SRO* Foreign

(Full name of regulator, *foreign financial regulatory authority*, federal, state, or *SRO*)

FINANCIAL SUPERVISORY SERVICE ("FSS"), SOUTH KOREA

2. Principal Sanction:

Civil and Administrative Penalt(ies) /Fine(s)

Other Sanctions:

3. Date Initiated (MM/DD/YYYY):

02/24/2022 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

4. Docket/Case Number:

CAPITAL MARKET INVESTIGATION DEPARTMENT, INVESTIGATION TEAM 3 - 116

5. *Advisory Affiliate* Employing Firm when activity occurred which led to the regulatory action (if applicable):

6. Principal Product Type:

Equity Listed (Common & Preferred Stock)

Other Product Types:

7. Describe the allegations related to this regulatory action (your response must fit within the space provided):

THE SOUTH KOREAN FINANCIAL SUPERVISORY SERVICE CLAIMED THAT ON MARCH 31, 2020 VANGUARD INVESTMENTS AUSTRALIA LIMITED ("VIA"), ENGAGED IN THE SALE OF TWO KOREAN-LISTED SECURITIES WITHOUT CORRESPONDING OWNERSHIP OF THE POSITIONS, A PRACTICE WHICH IS PROHIBITED UNDER SOUTH KOREAN LAW.

8. Current Status? Pending On Appeal Final

9. If on appeal, regulatory action appealed to (SEC, *SRO*, Federal or State Court) and Date Appeal Filed:

If Final or On Appeal, complete all items below. For Pending Actions, complete Item 13 only.

10. How was matter resolved:

Decision & Order of Offer of Settlement

11. Resolution Date (MM/DD/YYYY):

02/24/2022 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

12. Resolution Detail:

A. Were any of the following Sanctions *Ordered* (check all appropriate items)?

Monetary/Fine Amount: \$ 19,821.00

Revocation/Expulsion/Denial

Censure

Bar

Disgorgement/Restitution

Cease and Desist/Injunction

Suspension

B. Other Sanctions *Ordered*:

Sanction detail: if suspended, *enjoined* or barred, provide duration including start date and capacities affected (General Securities Principal, Financial Operations Principal, etc.). If requalification by exam/retraining was a condition of the sanction, provide length of time given to requalify/retrain, type of exam required and whether condition has been satisfied. If disposition resulted in a fine, penalty, restitution, disgorgement or monetary compensation, provide total amount, portion levied against you or an *advisory affiliate*, date paid and if any portion of penalty was waived:

ON FEBRUARY 02/24/2022 ~ \$ 19821 (KRW 24 MILLION) WAS SUBMITTED AS VOLUNTARY PAYMENT, A DISCOUNT FROM THE KRW 30 MILLION ORIGINALLY SOUGHT.

13. Provide a brief summary of details related to the action status and (or) disposition and include relevant terms, conditions and dates (your response must fit within the space provided).

ON FEBRUARY 24, 2022, THE FINANCIAL SERVICES COMMISSION IMPOSED AN ADMINISTRATIVE FINE IN THE AMOUNT OF ~\$ 19821 (KRW 24 MILLION) ON VIA, (A DISCOUNT FROM KRW 30 MILLION FOR VOLUNTARY PAYMENT) IN CONNECTION WITH ONE INCIDENT DURING 2020 IN WHICH VIA SOLD TWO KOREAN-LISTED SECURITIES WITHOUT CORRESPONDING OWNERSHIP OF THE POSITIONS, WHICH IS A PRACTICE THAT IS PROHIBITED UNDER SOUTH KOREAN LAW.

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

This Disclosure Reporting Page (DRP ADV) is an INITIAL **OR** AMENDED response used to report details for affirmative responses to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. of Form ADV.

Regulatory Action

Check item(s) being responded to:

- | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(1) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(2) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(3) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(4) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(5) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(1) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(2) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(3) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(4) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(5) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(1) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 11.E(2) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(3) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(4) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.F. | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.G. | | | |

Use a separate DRP for each event or *proceeding*. The same event or *proceeding* may be reported for more than one *person* or entity using one DRP. File with a completed Execution Page.

One event may result in more than one affirmative answer to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. Use only one DRP to report details related to the same event. If an event gives rise to actions by more than one regulator, provide details for each action on a separate DRP.

PART I

A. The *person(s)* or entity(ies) for whom this DRP is being filed is (are):

- You (the advisory firm)
- You and one or more of your *advisory affiliates*
- One or more of your *advisory affiliates*

If this DRP is being filed for an *advisory affiliate*, give the full name of the *advisory affiliate* below (for individuals, Last name, First name, Middle name). If the *advisory affiliate* has a *CRD* number, provide that number. If not, indicate "non-registered" by checking the appropriate box.

ADV DRP - ADVISORY AFFILIATE

<i>CRD</i> Number:	7452	This <i>advisory affiliate</i> is <input checked="" type="radio"/> a Firm <input type="radio"/> an Individual
Registered:	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
Name:	VANGUARD MARKETING CORPORATION (For individuals, Last, First, Middle)	

- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because the *advisory affiliate(s)* is no longer associated with the adviser.
- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because: (1) the event or *proceeding* occurred more than ten years ago or (2) the adviser is registered or applying for registration with the SEC or reporting as an *exempt reporting adviser* with the SEC and the event was resolved in the adviser's or *advisory affiliate's* favor.

If you are registered or registering with a *state securities authority*, you may remove a DRP for an event you reported only in response to Item 11.D(4), and only if that event occurred more than ten years ago. If you are registered or registering with the SEC, you may remove a DRP for any event listed in Item 11 that occurred more than ten years ago.

- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because it was filed in error, such as due to a clerical or data-entry mistake. Explain the circumstances:

B. If the *advisory affiliate* is registered through the IARD system or *CRD* system, has the *advisory affiliate* submitted a DRP (with Form ADV, BD or U-4) to the IARD or *CRD* for the event? If the answer is "Yes," no other information on this DRP must be provided.

- Yes No

NOTE: The completion of this form does not relieve the *advisory affiliate* of its obligation to update its IARD or *CRD* records.

PART II

1. Regulatory Action initiated by:

- SEC Other Federal State SRO Foreign

(Full name of regulator, *foreign financial regulatory authority*, federal, state, or SRO)
FINANCIAL INDUSTRY REGULATORY AUTHORITY (FINRA)

2. Principal Sanction:

Civil and Administrative Penalt(ies) /Fine(s)

Other Sanctions:
CENSURE

3. Date Initiated (MM/DD/YYYY):

11/04/2022 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

4. Docket/Case Number:

2020066956001

5. *Advisory Affiliate* Employing Firm when activity occurred which led to the regulatory action (if applicable):

6. Principal Product Type:

Options

Other Product Types:

7. Describe the allegations related to this regulatory action (your response must fit within the space provided):

FINRA ALLEGES THAT VANGUARD MARKETING CORPORATION ("VMC") VIOLATED FINRA RULES 2360(B)(23)(A) AND 2010 BY ACCEPTING A CLIENT'S OPTIONS EXERCISE INSTRUCTIONS ON MAY 22, 2020, AFTER THE 5:30 PM CUTOFF TIME, AND FINRA RULES 3110 AND 2010 BY FAILING TO ESTABLISH AND MAINTAIN A SUPERVISORY SYSTEM, INCLUDING WRITTEN SUPERVISORY PROCEDURES, REASONABLY DESIGNED TO ACHIEVE COMPLIANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS FOR HANDLING OPTION EXERCISE INSTRUCTIONS AFTER THE EXERCISE CUT-OFF TIME.

8. Current Status? Pending On Appeal Final

9. If on appeal, regulatory action appealed to (SEC, SRO, Federal or State Court) and Date Appeal Filed:

If Final or On Appeal, complete all items below. For Pending Actions, complete Item 13 only.

10. How was matter resolved:

Acceptance, Waiver & Consent(AWC)

11. Resolution Date (MM/DD/YYYY):

11/04/2022 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

12. Resolution Detail:

A. Were any of the following Sanctions *Ordered* (check all appropriate items)?

Monetary/Fine Amount: \$ 50,000.00

Revocation/Expulsion/Denial

Censure

Bar

Disgorgement/Restitution

Cease and Desist/Injunction

Suspension

B. Other Sanctions *Ordered*:

Sanction detail: if suspended, *enjoined* or barred, provide duration including start date and capacities affected (General Securities Principal, Financial Operations Principal, etc.). If requalification by exam/retraining was a condition of the sanction, provide length of time given to requalify/retrain, type of exam required and whether condition has been satisfied. If disposition resulted in a fine, penalty, restitution, disgorgement or monetary compensation, provide total amount, portion levied against you or an *advisory affiliate*, date paid and if any portion of penalty was waived:

FINRA ASSESSED A FINE OF \$50,000.00 AGAINST VMC (THE APPLICANT). VMC PAID THE FINE BY WIRE ON NOVEMBER 16, 2022.

13. Provide a brief summary of details related to the action status and (or) disposition and include relevant terms, conditions and dates (your response must fit within the space provided).

WITHOUT ADMITTING OR DENYING FINRA'S ALLEGATIONS OR FINDINGS, VMC AGREED TO THE IMPOSITION OF A CENSURE AND A FINE IN THE AMOUNT OF \$50,000.00.

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

This Disclosure Reporting Page (DRP ADV) is an INITIAL **OR** AMENDED response used to report details for affirmative responses to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. of Form ADV.

Regulatory Action

Check item(s) being responded to:

11.C(1)

11.C(2)

11.C(3)

11.C(4)

11.C(5)

11.D(1)

11.D(2)

11.D(3)

11.D(4)

11.D(5)

11.E(1)

11.E(2)

11.E(3)

11.E(4)

11.F.

11.G.

Use a separate DRP for each event or *proceeding*. The same event or *proceeding* may be reported for more than one *person* or entity using one DRP. File with a completed Execution Page.

One event may result in more than one affirmative answer to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. Use only one DRP to report details related to the same event. If an event gives rise to actions by more than one regulator, provide details for each action on a separate DRP.

PART I

A. The *person(s)* or entity(ies) for whom this DRP is being filed is (are):

- You (the advisory firm)
- You and one or more of your *advisory affiliates*
- One or more of your *advisory affiliates*

If this DRP is being filed for an *advisory affiliate*, give the full name of the *advisory affiliate* below (for individuals, Last name, First name, Middle name). If the *advisory affiliate* has a *CRD* number, provide that number. If not, indicate "non-registered" by checking the appropriate box.

ADV DRP - ADVISORY AFFILIATE

<i>CRD</i> Number:	282933	This <i>advisory affiliate</i> is	<input checked="" type="radio"/> a Firm	<input type="radio"/> an Individual
Registered:	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No			
Name:	VANGUARD INVESTMENTS AUSTRALIA LIMITED (For individuals, Last, First, Middle)			

- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because the *advisory affiliate(s)* is no longer associated with the adviser.
- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because: (1) the event or *proceeding* occurred more than ten years ago or (2) the adviser is registered or applying for registration with the SEC or reporting as an *exempt reporting adviser* with the SEC and the event was resolved in the adviser's or *advisory affiliate's* favor.

If you are registered or registering with a *state securities authority*, you may remove a DRP for an event you reported only in response to Item 11.D(4), and only if that event occurred more than ten years ago. If you are registered or registering with the SEC, you may remove a DRP for any event listed in Item 11 that occurred more than ten years ago.

This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because it was filed in error, such as due to a clerical or data-entry mistake. Explain the circumstances:

B. If the *advisory affiliate* is registered through the IARD system or *CRD* system, has the *advisory affiliate* submitted a DRP (with Form ADV, BD or U-4) to the IARD or *CRD* for the event? If the answer is "Yes," no other information on this DRP must be provided.

Yes No

NOTE: The completion of this form does not relieve the *advisory affiliate* of its obligation to update its IARD or *CRD* records.

PART II

1. Regulatory Action initiated by:

SEC Other Federal State SRO Foreign

(Full name of regulator, *foreign financial regulatory authority*, federal, state, or SRO)

AUSTRALIAN SECURITIES AND INVESTMENTS COMMISSION (ASIC)

2. Principal Sanction:

Civil and Administrative Penalt(ies) /Fine(s)

Other Sanctions:

3. Date Initiated (MM/DD/YYYY):

11/11/2022 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

4. Docket/Case Number:

S02553190, S02553191, S02553192

5. *Advisory Affiliate* Employing Firm when activity occurred which led to the regulatory action (if applicable):

6. Principal Product Type:

Other

Other Product Types:

AUSTRALIAN REGISTERED MANAGED INVESTMENT SCHEME

7. Describe the allegations related to this regulatory action (your response must fit within the space provided):

ASIC ALLEGED THAT VIA ISSUED A PRODUCT DISCLOSURE STATEMENT (PDS) FOR THREE FUNDS THAT STATED THAT THE INDEX TRACKED BY THE FUNDS EXCLUDED CERTAIN SECURITIES WHICH WERE NOT IN FACT EXCLUDED BY THE INDEX METHODOLOGY. ASIC HAS ACKNOWLEDGED THAT THE MISSTATEMENTS WERE AN INADVERTENT ERROR AND NOT INTENTIONAL.

8. Current Status? Pending On Appeal Final

9. If on appeal, regulatory action appealed to (SEC, SRO, Federal or State Court) and Date Appeal Filed:

If Final or On Appeal, complete all items below. For Pending Actions, complete Item 13 only.

10. How was matter resolved:

Other

11. Resolution Date (MM/DD/YYYY):

12/02/2022 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

12. Resolution Detail:

A. Were any of the following Sanctions *Ordered* (check all appropriate items)?

Monetary/Fine Amount: \$ 27,116.00

Revocation/Expulsion/Denial

Censure

Bar

Disgorgement/Restitution

Cease and Desist/Injunction

Suspension

B. Other Sanctions *Ordered*:

Sanction detail: if suspended, *enjoined* or barred, provide duration including start date and capacities affected (General Securities Principal, Financial Operations Principal, etc.). If requalification by exam/retraining was a condition of the sanction, provide length of time given to requalify/retrain, type of exam required and whether condition has been satisfied. If disposition resulted in a fine, penalty, restitution, disgorgement or monetary compensation, provide total amount, portion levied against you or an *advisory affiliate*, date paid and if any portion of penalty was waived:

VIA RECEIVED THREE INFRINGEMENT NOTICES FROM ASIC FOR AN INADVERTENT MISDESCRIPTION IN THE PDS DOCUMENTS.

13. Provide a brief summary of details related to the action status and (or) disposition and include relevant terms, conditions and dates (your response must fit within the space provided).

VIA SELF-IDENTIFIED AN ERROR IN THE PDS TO ASIC FOR THE VANGUARD INTERNATIONAL SHARES SELECT EXCLUSIONS INDEX FUNDS AND ISSUED A SUPPLEMENTARY PDS FOR EACH OF THE AFFECTED FUNDS, CORRECTING THE ERROR, AND COMMUNICATED THE UPDATE TO INVESTORS. THE NOTICES RESULTED IN A TOTAL FINE OF ~\$27,116 USD (\$39,960 AUD). THE NOTICES WERE PAID IN FULL ON OR BEFORE 12/2/2022.

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

This Disclosure Reporting Page (DRP ADV) is an INITIAL **OR** AMENDED response used to report details for affirmative responses to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. of Form ADV.

Regulatory Action

Check item(s) being responded to:

<input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(1)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(2)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(3)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(4)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(5)
<input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(1)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 11.D(2)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(3)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 11.D(4)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(5)
<input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(1)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(2)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(3)	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(4)	
<input type="checkbox"/> 11.F.	<input type="checkbox"/> 11.G.			

Use a separate DRP for each event or *proceeding*. The same event or *proceeding* may be reported for more than one *person* or entity using one DRP. File with a completed Execution Page.

One event may result in more than one affirmative answer to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. Use only one DRP to report details related to the same event. If an event gives rise to actions by more than one regulator, provide details for each action on a separate DRP.

PART I

A. The *person(s)* or entity(ies) for whom this DRP is being filed is (are):

You (the advisory firm)

You and one or more of your *advisory affiliates*

One or more of your *advisory affiliates*

If this DRP is being filed for an *advisory affiliate*, give the full name of the *advisory affiliate* below (for individuals, Last name, First name, Middle name).

If the *advisory affiliate* has a *CRD* number, provide that number. If not, indicate "non-registered" by checking the appropriate box.

ADV DRP - ADVISORY AFFILIATE

<i>CRD</i> Number:	282933	This <i>advisory affiliate</i> is <input checked="" type="radio"/> a Firm <input type="radio"/> an Individual
Registered:	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
Name:	VANGUARD INVESTMENTS AUSTRALIA LIMITED (For individuals, Last, First, Middle)	

This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because the *advisory affiliate(s)* is no longer associated with the adviser.

This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because: (1) the event or *proceeding* occurred more than ten years ago or (2) the adviser is registered or applying for registration with the SEC or reporting as an *exempt reporting adviser* with the SEC and the event was resolved in the adviser's or *advisory affiliate's* favor.

If you are registered or registering with a *state securities authority*, you may remove a DRP for an event you reported only in response to Item 11.D(4), and only if that event occurred more than ten years ago. If you are registered or registering with the SEC, you may remove a DRP for any event listed in Item 11 that occurred more than ten years ago.

This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because it was filed in error, such as due to a clerical or data-entry mistake. Explain the circumstances:

B. If the *advisory affiliate* is registered through the IARD system or *CRD* system, has the *advisory affiliate* submitted a DRP (with Form ADV, BD or U-4) to the IARD or *CRD* for the event? If the answer is "Yes," no other information on this DRP must be provided.

Yes No

NOTE: The completion of this form does not relieve the *advisory affiliate* of its obligation to update its IARD or *CRD* records.

PART II

1. Regulatory Action initiated by:

SEC Other Federal State *SRO* Foreign

(Full name of regulator, *foreign financial regulatory authority*, federal, state, or *SRO*)

FINANCIAL MARKETS AUTHORITY (FMA), NEW ZEALAND

2. Principal Sanction:

Reprimand

Other Sanctions:

3. Date Initiated (MM/DD/YYYY):

03/03/2023 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

4. Docket/Case Number:

NA

5. *Advisory Affiliate* Employing Firm when activity occurred which led to the regulatory action (if applicable):

NA

6. Principal Product Type:

Other

Other Product Types:

AUSTRALIAN REGISTERED MANAGED INVESTMENT SCHEME

7. Describe the allegations related to this regulatory action (your response must fit within the space provided):

THE FMA ISSUED A WARNING TO VANGUARD INVESTMENTS AUSTRALIA LIMITED (VIA) FOR FAILING TO COMPLY WITH ITS FINANCIAL MARKETS CONDUCT REGULATIONS 2014 REQUIRING DISCLOSURE OF THREE ASIC INFRINGEMENT NOTICES "AS SOON AS PRACTICABLE AFTER THE ACTION IS TAKEN OR THE POWER IS EXERCISED AND NO LATER THAN 5 WORKING DAYS AFTER THE DAY ON WHICH THE ACTION IS TAKEN OR THE POWER IS EXERCISED."

8. Current Status? Pending On Appeal Final

9. If on appeal, regulatory action appealed to (SEC, *SRO*, Federal or State Court) and Date Appeal Filed:

NA

If Final or On Appeal, complete all items below. For Pending Actions, complete Item 13 only.

10. How was matter resolved:

Other

11. Resolution Date (MM/DD/YYYY):

03/27/2023 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

12. Resolution Detail:

A. Were any of the following Sanctions *Ordered* (check all appropriate items)?

Monetary/Fine Amount: \$

Revocation/Expulsion/Denial

Censure

Bar

Disgorgement/Restitution

Cease and Desist/Injunction

Suspension

B. Other Sanctions *Ordered*:

NA

Sanction detail: if suspended, *enjoined* or barred, provide duration including start date and capacities affected (General Securities Principal, Financial Operations Principal, etc.). If requalification by exam/retraining was a condition of the sanction, provide length of time given to requalify/retrain, type of exam required and whether condition has been satisfied. If disposition resulted in a fine, penalty, restitution, disgorgement or monetary compensation, provide total amount, portion levied against you or an *advisory affiliate*, date paid and if any portion of penalty was waived:

A FORMAL WARNING WAS ISSUED.

13. Provide a brief summary of details related to the action status and (or) disposition and include relevant terms, conditions and dates (your response must fit within the space provided).

THE FMA ISSUED A FORMAL WARNING TO VIA FOR FAILING TO LODGE THE REQUIRED NOTICE ON THE NEW ZEALAND COMPANIES OFFICE DISCLOSE REGISTER WITHIN THE REQUIRED FIVE-DAY TIMEFRAME REGARDING THE THREE INFRINGEMENT NOTICES ISSUED BY ASIC.

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

This Disclosure Reporting Page (DRP ADV) is an INITIAL **OR** AMENDED response used to report details for affirmative responses to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. of Form ADV.

Regulatory Action

Check item(s) being responded to:

- | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(1) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(2) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(3) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(4) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.C(5) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(1) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(2) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(3) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(4) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.D(5) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(1) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 11.E(2) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(3) | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.E(4) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 11.F. | <input type="checkbox"/> 11.G. | | | |

Use a separate DRP for each event or *proceeding*. The same event or *proceeding* may be reported for more than one *person* or entity using one DRP. File with a completed Execution Page.

One event may result in more than one affirmative answer to Items 11.C., 11.D., 11.E., 11.F. or 11.G. Use only one DRP to report details related to the same event. If an event gives rise to actions by more than one regulator, provide details for each action on a separate DRP.

PART I

A. The *person(s)* or entity(ies) for whom this DRP is being filed is (are):

- You (the advisory firm)
- You and one or more of your *advisory affiliates*
- One or more of your *advisory affiliates*

If this DRP is being filed for an *advisory affiliate*, give the full name of the *advisory affiliate* below (for individuals, Last name, First name, Middle name).

If the *advisory affiliate* has a CRD number, provide that number. If not, indicate "non-registered" by checking the appropriate box.

ADV DRP - ADVISORY AFFILIATE

CRD Number: 7452

This *advisory affiliate* is a Firm an Individual

Registered: Yes No

Name: VANGUARD MARKETING CORPORATION
(For individuals, Last, First, Middle)

- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because the *advisory affiliate(s)* is no longer associated with the adviser.
- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because: (1) the event or *proceeding* occurred more than ten years ago or (2) the adviser is registered or applying for registration with the SEC or reporting as an *exempt reporting adviser* with the SEC and the event was resolved in the adviser's or *advisory affiliate's* favor.

If you are registered or registering with a *state securities authority*, you may remove a DRP for an event you reported only in response to Item 11.D(4), and only if that event occurred more than ten years ago. If you are registered or registering with the SEC, you may remove a DRP for any event listed in Item 11 that occurred more than ten years ago.

- This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because it was filed in error, such as due to a clerical or data-entry mistake. Explain the circumstances:

B. If the *advisory affiliate* is registered through the IARD system or *CRD* system, has the *advisory affiliate* submitted a DRP (with Form ADV, BD or U-4) to the IARD or *CRD* for the event? If the answer is "Yes," no other information on this DRP must be provided.

- Yes No

NOTE: The completion of this form does not relieve the *advisory affiliate* of its obligation to update its IARD or *CRD* records.

PART II

1. Regulatory Action initiated by:

- SEC Other Federal State SRO Foreign

(Full name of regulator, *foreign financial regulatory authority*, federal, state, or *SRO*)
FINANCIAL INDUSTRY REGULATORY AUTHORITY (FINRA)

2. Principal Sanction:

Other Sanctions:

3. Date Initiated (MM/DD/YYYY):

05/25/2023 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

4. Docket/Case Number:

2020068469601

5. *Advisory Affiliate* Employing Firm when activity occurred which led to the regulatory action (if applicable):

6. Principal Product Type:

No Product

Other Product Types:

UNSPECIFIED SECURITIES

7. Describe the allegations related to this regulatory action (your response must fit within the space provided):

FINRA FOUND THAT FROM NOVEMBER 2019 TO SEPTEMBER 2020, VMC OVERSTATED PROJECTED YIELD AND PROJECTED ANNUAL INCOME FOR NINE MONEY MARKET FUNDS ON CERTAIN BROKERAGE ACCOUNT STATEMENTS. FINRA ALSO FOUND THAT FROM AT LEAST OCTOBER 2019 TO JUNE 2021, CERTAIN ACCOUNT STATEMENTS INACCURATELY PRESENTED MARKET APPRECIATION/DEPRECIATION AND INVESTMENT RETURNS. THE AFOREMENTIONED ERRORS DID NOT AFFECT THE ACTUAL MARKET YIELD PAID TO CUSTOMERS OR HOLDINGS INFORMATION DISPLAYED ON CUSTOMER STATEMENTS AND HAVE BEEN RESOLVED. FINRA ALSO FOUND THAT THE FIRM FAILED TO REASONABLY SUPERVISE ITS ACCOUNT STATEMENTS BY FAILING TO TIMELY ADDRESS CUSTOMER REPORTS OF INACCURACIES. THEREFORE, FINRA FOUND THAT VMC VIOLATED FINRA RULES 2210, 3110, 4511 AND 2010.

8. Current Status? Pending On Appeal Final

9. If on appeal, regulatory action appealed to (SEC, *SRO*, Federal or State Court) and Date Appeal Filed:

If Final or On Appeal, complete all items below. For Pending Actions, complete Item 13 only.

10. How was matter resolved:

Acceptance, Waiver & Consent(AWC)

11. Resolution Date (MM/DD/YYYY):

05/25/2023 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

12. Resolution Detail:

A. Were any of the following Sanctions *Ordered* (check all appropriate items)?

Monetary/Fine Amount: \$ 800,000.00

Revocation/Expulsion/Denial

Censure

Bar

Disgorgement/Restitution

Cease and Desist/Injunction

Suspension

B. Other Sanctions *Ordered*:

NA

Sanction detail: if suspended, *enjoined* or barred, provide duration including start date and capacities affected (General Securities Principal, Financial Operations Principal, etc.). If requalification by exam/retraining was a condition of the sanction, provide length of time given to requalify/retrain, type of exam required and whether condition has been satisfied. If disposition resulted in a fine, penalty, restitution, disgorgement or monetary compensation, provide total amount, portion levied against you or an *advisory affiliate*, date paid and if any portion of penalty was waived:

THE FIRM WAS CENSURED AND FINED \$800,000. VMC PAID THE FINE BY WIRE ON JUNE 1, 2023.

13. Provide a brief summary of details related to the action status and (or) disposition and include relevant terms, conditions and dates (your response must fit within the space provided).

WITHOUT ADMITTING OR DENYING THE ALLEGATIONS OR FINDINGS, VMC AGREED TO THE IMPOSITION OF A CENSURE AND A FINE IN THE AMOUNT OF \$800,000, VMC'S LETTER OF ACCEPTANCE, WAIVER AND CONSENT WAS ACCEPTED BY FINRA ON MAY 25, 2023.

CIVIL JUDICIAL ACTION DISCLOSURE REPORTING PAGE (ADV)

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

This Disclosure Reporting Page (DRP ADV) is an INITIAL **OR** AMENDED response used to report details for affirmative responses to Item 11.H. of Part 1A or Item 2.F. of Part 1B of Form ADV.

Civil Judicial

Check Part 1A item(s) being responded to:

11.H(1)(a)

11.H(1)(b)

11.H(1)(c)

11.H(2)

Check Part 1B item(s) being responded to:

2.F(1)

2.F(2)

2.F(3)

2.F(4)

2.F(5)

Use a separate DRP for each event or *proceeding*. The same event or *proceeding* may be reported for more than one *person* or entity using one DRP. File with a completed Execution Page.

One event may result in more than one affirmative answer to Item 11.H. of Part 1A or Item 2.F. of Part 1B. Use only one DRP to report details related to the same event. Unrelated civil judicial actions must be reported on separate DRPs.

PART I

A. The *person(s)* or entity(ies) for whom this DRP is being filed is (are):

You (the advisory firm)

You and one or more of your *advisory affiliates*

One or more of your *advisory affiliates*

If this DRP is being filed for an *advisory affiliate*, give the full name of the *advisory affiliate* below (for individuals, Last name, First name, Middle name).

If the *advisory affiliate* has a *CRD* number, provide that number. If not, indicate "non-registered" by checking the appropriate box.

ADV DRP - ADVISORY AFFILIATE

CRD Number: 282933

This *advisory affiliate* is a Firm an Individual

Registered: Yes No

Name: VANGUARD INVESTMENTS AUSTRALIA LIMITED
(For individuals, Last, First, Middle)

This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because the *advisory affiliate(s)* is no longer associated with the adviser.

This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because: (1) the event or *proceeding* occurred more than ten years ago or (2) the adviser is registered or applying for registration with the SEC or reporting as an *exempt reporting adviser* with the SEC and the event was resolved in the adviser's or *advisory affiliate's* favor.

If you are registered or registering with a *state securities authority*, you may remove a DRP for an event you reported only in response to Item 11.H.(1)(a), and only if that event occurred more than ten years ago. If you are registered or registering with the SEC, you may remove a DRP for any event listed in Item 11 that occurred more

than ten years ago.

This DRP should be removed from the ADV record because it was filed in error, such as due to a clerical or data-entry mistake. Explain the circumstances:

B. If the *advisory affiliate* is registered through the IARD system or *CRD* system, has the *advisory affiliate* submitted a DRP (with Form ADV, BD or U-4) to the IARD or *CRD* for the event? If the answer is "Yes," no other information on this DRP must be provided.

Yes No

NOTE: The completion of this form does not relieve the *advisory affiliate* of its obligation to update its IARD or *CRD* records.

PART II

1. Court Action initiated by: (Name of regulator, *foreign financial regulatory authority*, *SRO*, commodities exchange, agency, firm, private plaintiff, etc.)

AUSTRALIAN SECURITIES AND INVESTMENTS COMMISSION

2. Principal Relief Sought:

Other

Other Relief Sought:

PROPOSED REMEDIATION FOR BREACH

3. Filing Date of Court Action (MM/DD/YYYY):

07/24/2023 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

4. Principal Product Type:

Mutual Fund(s)

Other Product Types:

5. Formal Action was brought in (include name of Federal, State or Foreign Court, Location of Court - City or County and State or Country, Docket/Case Number):

SERVED BY FEDERAL COURT OF AUSTRALIA, VICTORIA CASE# - VID563/2023

6. *Advisory Affiliate* Employing Firm when activity occurred which led to the civil judicial action (if applicable):

NA

7. Describe the allegations related to this civil action (your response must fit within the space provided):

ON 25 JULY 2023, ASIC LODGED CIVIL PENALTY PROCEEDINGS IN THE FEDERAL COURT OF AUSTRALIA AGAINST VANGUARD INVESTMENTS AUSTRALIA LTD, ALLEGING MISLEADING CONDUCT IN RELATION TO CLAIMS ABOUT CERTAIN ENVIRONMENTAL, SOCIAL AND GOVERNANCE (ESG) EXCLUSIONARY SCREENS APPLIED TO INVESTMENTS IN THE VANGUARD ETHICALLY CONSCIOUS GLOBAL AGGREGATE BOND INDEX AND ETF BETWEEN AUGUST 2018 AND FEBRUARY 2021. ASIC IS ALLEGING THAT THE DESCRIPTION OF THE EXCLUSIONARY SCREENS IN THE PRODUCT DISCLOSURE STATEMENT (PDS) DID NOT PROVIDE A SUFFICIENTLY DETAILED EXPLANATION THAT CERTAIN DEBT ISSUERS LACKING ESG RESEARCH COVERAGE WERE STILL INCLUDED IN THE BENCHMARK SUCH THAT THE FUND AND ETF MAY HAVE HAD EXPOSURE TO CERTAIN SECURITIES THAT MAY HAVE NOT REASONABLY BEEN EXPECTED BY INVESTORS.

8. Current Status? Pending On Appeal Final

9. If on appeal, action appealed to (provide name of court) and Date Appeal Filed (MM/DD/YYYY):

10. If pending, date notice/process was served (MM/DD/YYYY):

07/25/2023 Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

If Final or On Appeal, complete all items below. For Pending Actions, complete Item 14 only.

11. How was matter resolved:

12. Resolution Date (MM/DD/YYYY):

Exact Explanation

If not exact, provide explanation:

13. Resolution Detail:

A. Were any of the following Sanctions Ordered or Relief Granted(check appropriate items)?

Monetary/Fine Amount: \$

Revocation/Expulsion/Denial

Censure

Bar

Disgorgement/Restitution

Cease and Desist/Injunction

Suspension

B. Other Sanctions:

C. Sanction detail: if suspended, *enjoined* or barred, provide duration including start date and capacities affected (General Securities Principal, Financial Operations Principal, etc.). If requalification by exam/retraining was a condition of the sanction, provide length of time given to requalify/retrain, type of exam required and whether condition has been satisfied. If disposition resulted in a fine, penalty, restitution, disgorgement, or monetary compensation, provide total amount, portion levied against you or an *advisory affiliate*, date paid and if any portion of penalty was waived:

14. Provide a brief summary of circumstances related to the action(s), allegation(s), disposition(s) and/or finding(s) disclosed above (your response must fit within the space provided).

ON 25 JULY 2023, ASIC LODGED CIVIL PENALTY PROCEEDINGS IN THE FEDERAL COURT OF AUSTRALIA AGAINST VANGUARD INVESTMENTS AUSTRALIA LTD, ALLEGING MISLEADING CONDUCT IN RELATION TO CLAIMS ABOUT CERTAIN ENVIRONMENTAL, SOCIAL AND GOVERNANCE (ESG) EXCLUSIONARY SCREENS APPLIED TO INVESTMENTS IN THE VANGUARD ETHICALLY CONSCIOUS GLOBAL AGGREGATE BOND INDEX AND ETF BETWEEN AUGUST 2018 AND FEBRUARY 2021. ASIC IS ALLEGING THAT THE DESCRIPTION OF THE EXCLUSIONARY SCREENS IN THE PRODUCT DISCLOSURE STATEMENT (PDS) DID NOT PROVIDE A SUFFICIENTLY DETAILED EXPLANATION THAT CERTAIN DEBT ISSUERS LACKING ESG RESEARCH COVERAGE WERE STILL INCLUDED IN THE BENCHMARK SUCH THAT THE FUND AND ETF MAY HAVE HAD EXPOSURE TO CERTAIN SECURITIES THAT MAY HAVE NOT REASONABLY BEEN EXPECTED BY INVESTORS.

Part 2

Exemption from brochure delivery requirements for SEC-registered advisers

SEC rules exempt SEC-registered advisers from delivering a firm brochure to some kinds of clients. If these exemptions excuse you from delivering a brochure to *all* of your advisory clients, you do not have to prepare a brochure.

Are you exempt from delivering a brochure to all of your clients under these rules?

Yes No



If no, complete the ADV Part 2 filing below.

Amend, retire or file new brochures:

Part 3

CRS

Type(s)

Affiliate Info

Retire

There are no CRS filings to display.

Execution Pages

DOMESTIC INVESTMENT ADVISER EXECUTION PAGE

You must complete the following Execution Page to Form ADV. This execution page must be signed and attached to your initial submission of Form ADV to the SEC and all amendments.

Appointment of Agent for Service of Process

By signing this Form ADV Execution Page, you, the undersigned adviser, irrevocably appoint the Secretary of State or other legally designated officer, of the state in which you maintain your *principal office and place of business* and any other state in which you are submitting a *notice filing*, as your agents to receive service, and agree that such *persons* may accept service on your behalf, of any notice, subpoena, summons, *order* instituting *proceedings*, demand for arbitration, or other process or papers, and you further agree that such service may be made by registered or certified mail, in any federal or state action, administrative *proceeding* or arbitration brought against you in any place subject to the jurisdiction of the United States, if the action, *proceeding*, or arbitration (a) arises out of any activity in connection with your investment advisory business that is subject to the jurisdiction of the United States, and (b) is *founded*, directly or indirectly, upon the provisions of: (i) the Securities Act of 1933, the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Trust Indenture Act of 1939, the Investment Company Act of 1940, or the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, or any rule or regulation under any of these acts, or (ii) the laws of the state in which you maintain your *principal office and place of business* or of any state in which you are submitting a *notice filing*.

Signature

I, the undersigned, sign this Form ADV on behalf of, and with the authority of, the investment adviser. The investment adviser and I both certify, under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America, that the information and statements made in this ADV, including exhibits and any other information submitted, are true and correct, and that I am signing this Form ADV Execution Page as a free and voluntary act.

I certify that the adviser's books and records will be preserved and available for inspection as required by law. Finally, I authorize any *person* having *custody* or possession of these books and records to make them available to federal and state regulatory representatives.

Signature:
JACQUELINE ANGELL

Date: MM/DD/YYYY
08/15/2023

Printed Name:
JACQUELINE ANGELL

Title:
CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER

Adviser CRD Number:
105958

NON-RESIDENT INVESTMENT ADVISER EXECUTION PAGE

You must complete the following Execution Page to Form ADV. This execution page must be signed and attached to your initial submission of Form ADV to the SEC and all amendments.

1. Appointment of Agent for Service of Process

By signing this Form ADV Execution Page, you, the undersigned adviser, irrevocably appoint each of the Secretary of the SEC, and the Secretary of State or other legally designated officer, of any other state in which you are submitting a *notice filing*, as your agents to receive service, and agree that such persons may accept service on your behalf, of any notice, subpoena, summons, *order instituting proceedings*, demand for arbitration, or other process or papers, and you further agree that such service may be made by registered or certified mail, in any federal or state action, administrative *proceeding* or arbitration brought against you in any place subject to the jurisdiction of the United States, if the action, *proceeding* or arbitration (a) arises out of any activity in connection with your investment advisory business that is subject to the jurisdiction of the United States, and (b) is *founded*, directly or indirectly, upon the provisions of: (i) the Securities Act of 1933, the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Trust Indenture Act of 1939, the Investment Company Act of 1940, or the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, or any rule or regulation under any of these acts, or (ii) the laws of any state in which you are submitting a *notice filing*.

2. Appointment and Consent: Effect on Partnerships

If you are organized as a partnership, this irrevocable power of attorney and consent to service of process will continue in effect if any partner withdraws from or is admitted to the partnership, provided that the admission or withdrawal does not create a new partnership. If the partnership dissolves, this irrevocable power of attorney and consent shall be in effect for any action brought against you or any of your former partners.

3. Non-Resident Investment Adviser Undertaking Regarding Books and Records

By signing this Form ADV, you also agree to provide, at your own expense, to the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission at its principal office in Washington D.C., at any Regional or District Office of the Commission, or at any one of its offices in the United States, as specified by the Commission, correct, current, and complete copies of any or all records that you are required to maintain under Rule 204-2 under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940. This undertaking shall be binding upon you, your heirs, successors and assigns, and any *person* subject to your written irrevocable consents or powers of attorney or any of your general partners and *managing agents*.

Signature

I, the undersigned, sign this Form ADV on behalf of, and with the authority of, the *non-resident* investment adviser. The investment adviser and I both certify, under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America, that the information and statements made in this ADV, including exhibits and any other information submitted, are true and correct, and that I am signing this Form ADV Execution Page as a free and voluntary act.

I certify that the adviser's books and records will be preserved and available for inspection as required by law. Finally, I authorize any *person* having *custody* or possession of these books and records to make them available to federal and state regulatory representatives.

Signature:

Date: MM/DD/YYYY

Printed Name:

Title:

Adviser *CRD* Number:

105958

**Anti-Predatory Lending Pledge*
for Municipal Depositories**

We pledge that we are not and will not become a predatory lender as defined in Chapter 2-32 of the Municipal Code of Chicago. We further pledge that none of our affiliates is, and none of them will become, a predatory lender as defined in Chapter 2-32 of the Municipal Code of Chicago. We understand that becoming a predatory lender or becoming an affiliate of a predatory lender may result in the loss of our designation as a municipal depository.

Fifth Third Bank, N.A.

Name of Financial Institution



Signature of Authorized Officer

Senior Vice President, Relationship Manager

Title

Mark Lester


Name of Authorized Officer (Print or Type)

312-704-7307

Business Telephone Number

Subscribed and sworn to before me this

1st day of November, 2023



Notary Public

MIRSADA DURAKOVIC
Official Seal
Notary Public - State of Illinois
My Commission Expires Jan 15, 2025

Date: NOVEMBER 1, 2023

Name of transaction for which this certificate is submitted: 2023 RFP for Designation as a 2024 Municipal Depository

Contact Person: Mark Lester, Senior Vice President, Relationship Manager

Address: 222 Riverside Plaza

Chicago, IL 60606

Telephone: 312-704-7307

*The definitions of certain terms set forth in Chapter 2-32 of the Municipal Code of Chicago and used in the Anti-Predatory Lending Pledge are reproduced on the attached pages.

Loan Policy Pledge for Municipal Depositories

We pledge not to arbitrarily reject mortgage loans for residential properties within a specific geographic area in Chicago because of the location and/or age of the property, or in the case of proposed borrower to arbitrarily vary the terms of those loans or the application procedures for those loans on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, age, sex, marital status, ancestry, sexual orientation, parental status, source of income, disability or military discharge status. In addition, we pledge to make loans available to low- and moderate-income residential property in the neighborhoods of the City of Chicago within the limits of our legal restriction and prudent financial practices.

We understand that arbitrarily rejecting or varying the terms and/or application procedures of mortgage loans on the basis of the factors listed above may result in the lost of our designation as a municipal depository.

Fifth Third Bank, N.A.

Name of Financial Institution



Signature of Authorized Officer

Senior Vice President, Relationship Manager

Title

Mark Lester

Name of Authorized Officer (Print or Type)

312-704-7307

Business Telephone Number

Subscribed and sworn to before me this

7th day of November, 2023



Notary Public



Date: November 1, 2023

Name of transaction for which this certificate is submitted: 2023 RFP for Designation as a 2024 Municipal Depository

Contact Person: Mark Lester, Senior Vice President, Relationship Manager

Address: 222 Riverside Plaza
Chicago, IL 60606

Telephone: 312-704-7307

**AFFIDAVIT OF COMMITMENT TO COMMUNITY REINVESTMENT,
RESPONSIBILITY AND TRANSPARENCY
CITY OF CHICAGO OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER**

I, Mark Lester, a duly authorized representative of Fifth Third Bank, N.A. represent and say as follows:

That for the purpose of becoming an eligible depository for active deposits of the City of Chicago, the undersigned Affiant states that it will use reasonable efforts to provide lending, financing, and banking opportunities as a commitment of community reinvestment to Chicago's low and moderate income (LMI) communities; Affiant states that such reasonable efforts shall include, but are not limited to:

- A. Assignment of identifiable personnel to answer and respond to questions, concerns and inquiries by the citizens of Chicago, City Treasurer, City Comptroller, and the City Council regarding financial services, investment and lending products, services and related issues, including the Community Reinvestment Act (CRA) of 1977;
- B. Cooperate with and support non-profit neighborhood development/redevelopment organizations in the implementation of "neighborhood economic development" strategies that focus on revitalization of communities that are more locally focused where an institution has a branch or market presence;
- C. Commit to affirmatively market and make available banking services throughout Chicago's low and moderate income communities, by not meeting this commitment only through the installation of ATM distribution centers, but also by opening and/or maintaining branch locations within those communities;
- D. Prepare an annual report of CRA activities, presented to the Comptroller, the Treasurer, and the City Council, that is made publicly available, demonstrating investment in all Chicago Communities, as well as reinvestment in LMI communities of Chicago, by community area that includes the number of loans and amount of loans in the following (6) categories:
 1. Home Purchase within LMI communities;
 2. Refinancing within LMI communities;
 3. Home Improvement;
 4. Small Business Loans (to companies with revenues under \$1 Million);
 5. Community Development Loans including multi-family lending; and
 6. Community Development Investments to eligible Community Development Financial Institutions (CDFI) and other community intermediaries to further the goals of an institution to meet its obligations to the Community Reinvestment Act of 1977 and this Affidavit.

Such a Municipal Depository annual CRA report will include, by community area, the number of mortgage loans originated and other strategies to minimize the impact of foreclosure and neighborhood instability.

- E. An institution acknowledges that failure to comply with this Affidavit may result in discontinued status as a municipal depository.

Signed:  _____

Dated: November 1, 2023

Print Name: Mark Lester

Title: Senior Vice President, Relationship Manager



November 14, 2022

City Comptroller
City Hall
Department of Finance – 7th Floor
Attention Steve Sakai
121 N. LaSalle Street
Chicago IL 60602-1246

City Treasurer
City Hall
Treasurer's Office – Room 106
Attention Mauricio Banuelos
121 N. LaSalle Street
Chicago IL 60602-1242

Dear Steve and Mauricio:

On behalf of Fifth Third Bank, N.A, thank you for the opportunity to respond to the 2023 Request for Proposal ("RFP") for designation as a 2023 Municipal Depository for the City of Chicago and Chicago Board of Education Funds. Fifth Third Bank, N.A. acknowledges receipt of Addendums #1 and #2. No information in the original version was deemed to be required for redaction, so no redacted version shall be submitted. We know how important it is for the City to select a financial team that understands your unique requirements and exhibits sound leadership and stability. As your relationship team, we are committed to leveraging Fifth Third Bank, N.A.'s vast resources and extensive expertise to aid the City in pursuing its strategies to move forward with the City's top priorities. Fifth Third Bank, N.A. strives to be the leading provider of financial solutions and services for tax-exempt entities:

Commitment:

- The Government and Institutional Banking Group is located in Chicago and is dedicated exclusively to working with tax-exempt entities including governmental entities, educational institutions, social service organizations, and cultural institutions.
- Fifth Third Bank, NA offers innovative, data-driven solutions that help tax-exempt entities reduce costs, improve operational efficiencies, and mitigate risk.

Competitive Advantages:

- Government and Institutional segment focus
- Industry-focused client team in Chicago: experience, knowledge, and credibility

Thank you for the opportunity to present our solutions and capabilities. We look forward to hearing your feedback and discussing any questions you may have.

Sincerely,

Mark Lester
Senior Vice President
222 South Riverside Plaza, 31st Floor
Chicago, IL 60606
(312) 704-7307
Mark.Lester@53.com

Beau Walker
Associate Relationship Manager
222 South Riverside Plaza, 31st Floor
Chicago, IL 60606
(630) 360-1510
Michael.Walker@53.com

Classification: Internal Use

CITY OF CHICAGO

**REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL
FOR DESIGNATION AS A 2024 MUNICIPAL DEPOSITORY FOR CITY OF
CHICAGO AND CHICAGO BOARD OF EDUCATION FUNDS**



FIFTH THIRD BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION

PROPOSAL

NOVEMBER 6TH, 2023

PRESENTED BY:

**MARK LESTER
SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT**

&

**BEAU WALKER
ASSOCIATE RELATIONSHIP MANAGER**

Contents of Proposal:

The following sections are labeled in accordance with the City of Chicago's 2023 Municipal Depository RFP.

Fillable Excel Workbook Forms ("Fifth Third Bank, N.A. Forms A-H")

Section 1	Form A (1) Residential Loans
Section 2	Form A (2) Construction Loans
Section 3	Form B Residential Lending Loan Foreclosures, 1-4 Units, Condominium or Cooperative Units, (Including loans for properties located in Chicago only)
Section 4	Form C Commercial Lending
Section 5	Form D Consumer Lending
Section 6	Form E Savings Account Data
Section 7	Form F Checking Account Data
Section 8	Form G Depository Information – by Census Tract and Address
Section 9	Form H Summary Residential Lending (1-4 Units)

PDF Forms

Section 10a	Economic Disclosure Statement and Affidavit – Fifth Third Bank, National Association
10b	Economic Disclosure Statement and Affidavit – Fifth Third Financial Corporation
10c	Economic Disclosure Statement and Affidavit – Fifth Third Bancorp
10d	Form ADV – The Vanguard Group, Inc.
10e	Form ADV – Blackrock, Inc.
Section 11	Anti-Predatory Lending Pledge for Municipal Deposits
Section 12	Loan Policy Pledge for Municipal Deposits

Fillable Excel Sheets

Section 13	EEOC Enterprise-wide and Chicago Diversity Data
Section 14	Community Reinvestment Commitment Affidavit

Applicant Provided Documents List

Section 15a	Cover Letter (<i>this document</i>)
15b	Executive Summary
Section 16a	Sworn Statements (6/30/2023)
16b	Sworn Statements (3/30/2023)
Section 17	FDIC Coverage Rate
Section 18	Statement of Community Involvement
Section 19	Community Reinvestment Act
Section 20	Form 10-K for Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2022
Section 21	Secretary's Certificate – Authorizing H. Samuel Lind to sign on behalf of Fifth Third, National Association, Fifth Third Financial Corporation, and Fifth Third Bancorp

Executive Summary

Fifth Third Bank greatly appreciates the opportunity to respond to the 2022 City of Chicago Request for Proposal and application for designation as a Municipal Depository in fiscal year 2023. The entire Fifth Third team looks forward to continuing to work with the City of Chicago and provide outstanding service while further strengthening our commitment to do so in equitable, diverse, and inclusive ways.

OUR BACKGROUND

Fifth Third Bank develops and maintains relationships with business, government, and professional clients through customized financial solutions. Our comprehensive and competitive offerings span from traditional lending, depository and treasury management products to global cash management, foreign exchange and international trade finance, derivatives and capital markets services, asset-based lending, real estate finance, public finance, commercial leasing, and syndicated finance.

Fifth Third Bancorp

Fifth Third's growth and innovation reflects the economic strength, vitality, and can-do attitude of the markets we serve. The Fifth Third brand began in 1908; however, our company's roots extend back over 160 years when our original institution, The Bank of the Ohio Valley, was founded in 1858. The bank expanded into Chicago and Northern Indiana in 2001, acquiring market share through investment in the best people, outstanding client service, and an unwavering commitment to the community.

Fifth Third Bank, Chicago

Unlike most in our industry, Fifth Third manages growth through an organizational structure that accentuates regional banks in each of our major metropolitan areas. Our emphasis is on local rather than central decision-making, executing on operational tasks efficiently, and planning thoroughly. We believe that local banking structures delivering the products and expertise of a large institution represent the best long run formula for financial success and customer satisfaction. However, while we market ourselves with a local organizational structure, Fifth Third Bank operates all of its systems at the Bancorp level. We have one depository platform for all of the regions with same day posting of transactions no matter where they are performed.

Our Fifth Third Bank, Chicago Affiliate (FTCH), is based in Chicago, Illinois with Mark Hoppe as our Regional Market President. Fifth Third Bank's regional business model features local leadership with decision making authority, innovative customer-centric solutions and national resources from one of the strongest banks in the country. Our "One Bank" approach allows us to leverage the expertise of every area of the bank to deliver comprehensive solutions to each client.

Fifth Third has the financial strength and demonstrates the thought leadership that you should demand from your bank partner. Our length in business is testimony to our adherence to the principles of hard work and our commitment to follow disciplined and sound business practices. Our reputation for excellent service and financial strength stems from an unwavering practice of listening to our clients and building financial products and delivery models that solve increasingly complex financial needs.

SPECIALIZED APPROACH WITH GOVERNMENTAL ENTITIES

- Our Government and Institutional Banking Group provides experienced Relationship Managers and Treasury Management Officers who serve the unique needs of governmental entities, non-profit organizations, and educational institutions.
- This group is knowledgeable in determining the most valuable solutions for governmental entities, including deposit accounts, treasury management services, investments, and financing.
- The Fifth Third approach provides a dedicated relationship team that works closely with clients to provide valuable solutions and consultative services for current and future growth objectives.
- Dedicated Client Advisors and a highly skilled Premier Commercial Support Center offer special service for day-to-day operational issues and contact.

We appreciate this opportunity to present Fifth Third's capabilities. We welcome your feedback and look forward to discussing any questions you may have regarding any information included in our response.

Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council



Consolidated Reports of Condition and Income for A Bank With Domestic and Foreign Offices - FFIEC 031

Institution Name	FIFTH THIRD BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
City	CINCINNATI
State	OH
Zip Code	45263
Call Report Report Date	9/30/2023
Report Type	031
RSSD-ID	723112
FDIC Certificate Number	6672
OCC Charter Number	25190
ABA Routing Number	42000314
Last updated on	10/27/2023



Consolidated Reports of Condition and Income for A Bank With Domestic and Foreign Offices - FFIEC 031

Report at the close of business September 30, 2023

(20230930)
(RCON 9999)

This report is required by law: 12 U.S.C. §324 (State member banks); 12 U.S.C. §1817 (State non member banks); 12 U.S.C. §161 (National banks); and 12 U.S.C. §1464 (Savings associations).

Unless the context indicates otherwise, the term "bank" in this report form refers to both banks and savings associations.

NOTE: Each bank's board of directors and senior management are responsible for establishing and maintaining an effective system of internal control, including controls over the Reports of Condition and Income. The Reports of Condition and Income are to be prepared in accordance with federal regulatory authority instructions. The Reports of Condition and Income must be signed by the Chief Financial Officer (CFO) of the reporting bank (or by the individual performing an equivalent function) and attested to by not less than two directors (trustees) for state non member banks and three directors for state member banks, national banks, and savings associations.

schedules) for this report date have been prepared in conformance with the instructions issued by the appropriate Federal regulatory authority and are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

We, the undersigned directors (trustees), attest to the correctness of the Reports of Condition and Income (including the supporting schedules) for this report date and declare that the Reports of Condition and Income have been examined by us and to the best of our knowledge and belief have been prepared in conformance with the instructions issued by the appropriate Federal regulatory authority and are true and correct.

I, the undersigned CFO (or equivalent) of the named bank, attest that the Reports of Condition and Income (including the supporting

Signature of Chief Financial Officer (or Equivalent)

Director (Trustee)

Date of Signature

Director (Trustee)

Director (Trustee)

Submission of Reports

Each bank must file its Reports of Condition and Income (Call Report) data by either:

- (a) Using computer software to prepare its Call Report and then submitting the report data directly to the FFIEC's Central Data Repository (CDR), an Internet-based system for datacollection (<https://cdr.ffiec.gov/cdr/>), or
- (b) Completing its Call Report in paper form and arranging with a software vendor or another party to convert the data in to the electronic format that can be processed by the CDR. The software vendor or other party then must electronically submit the bank's data file to the CDR.

FDIC Certificate Number **6672** (RSSD 9050)

To fulfill the signature and attestation requirement for the Reports of Condition and Income for this report date, attach your bank's completed signature page (or a photocopy or a computer generated version of this page) to the hard-copy record of the data file submitted to the CDR that your bank must place in its files.

The appearance of your bank's hard-copy record of the submitted data file need not match exactly the appearance of the FFIEC's sample report forms, but should show at least the caption of each Call Report item and the reported amount.

For technical assistance with submissions to the CDR, please contact the CDR Help Desk by telephone at (888) CDR-3111, by fax at (703) 774-3946, or by e-mail at CDR.Help@cdr.ffiec.gov.

FIFTH THIRD BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION

Legal Title of Bank (RSSD 9017)

CINCINNATI

City (RSSD 9130)

OH

State Abbreviation (RSSD 9200)

45263

Zip Code (RSSD 9220)

The estimated average burden associated with this information collection is 50.4 hours per respondent and is estimated to vary from 20 to 775 hours per response, depending on individual circumstances. Burden estimates include the time for reviewing instructions, gathering and maintaining data in the required form, and completing the information collection, but exclude the time for compiling and maintaining business records in the normal course of a respondent's activities. A Federal agency may not conduct or sponsor, and an organization (or a person) is not required to respond to a collection of information, unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. Comments concerning the accuracy of this burden estimate and suggestions for reducing this burden should be directed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503, and to one of the following: Secretary, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, 20th and C Streets, NW, Washington, DC 20551; Legislative and Regulatory Analysis Division, Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, Washington, DC 20219; Assistant Executive Secretary, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, Washington, DC 20429.

Consolidated Reports of Condition and Income for A Bank With Domestic and Foreign Offices - FFIEC 031

Table of Contents

Signature Page.....	1	Schedule RC-C Part I - Loans and Leases(Form Type - 031).....	24
Table of Contents.....	2	Schedule RC-C Part II - Loans to Small Businesses and Small Farms(Form Type - 031).....	29
Emergency Contact Information.....	4	Schedule RC-D - Trading Assets and Liabilities(Form Type - 031).....	30
Contact Information for the Reports of Condition and Income.....	4	Schedule RC-E Part I - Deposits in Domestic Offices(Form Type - 031).....	32
USA PATRIOT Act Section 314(a) Anti-Money Laundering Contact Information.....	5	Schedule RC-E Part II - Deposits in Foreign Offices including Edge and Agreement subsidiaries and IBFs(Form Type - 031).....	34
Bank Demographic Information(Form Type - 031).....	6	Schedule RC-F - Other Assets(Form Type - 031).....	35
Contact Information(Form Type - 031).....	6	Schedule RC-G - Other Liabilities(Form Type - 031).....	36
Schedule RI - Income Statement(Form Type - 031).....	8	Schedule RC-H - Selected Balance Sheet Items for Domestic Offices(Form Type - 031).....	37
Schedule RI-A - Changes in Bank Equity Capital(Form Type - 031).....	11	Schedule RC-I - Assets and Liabilities of IBFs(Form Type - 031).....	38
Schedule RI-B Part I - Charge-offs and Recoveries on Loans and Leases(Form Type - 031).....	12	Schedule RC-K - Quarterly Averages(Form Type - 031).....	38
Schedule RI-B Part II - Changes in Allowances for Credit Losses(Form Type - 031).....	13	Schedule RC-L - Derivatives and Off-Balance Sheet Items(Form Type - 031).....	39
Schedule RI-C Part I - Disaggregated Data on the Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses(Form Type - 031).....	14	Schedule RC-M - Memoranda(Form Type - 031).....	43
Schedule RI-C Part II - Disaggregated Data on the Allowances for Credit Losses(Form Type - 031).....	15	Schedule RC-N - Past Due and Nonaccrual Loans Leases and Other Assets(Form Type - 031).....	46
Schedule RI-D - Income from Foreign Offices(Form Type - 031).....	15	Schedule RC-O - Other Data for Deposit Insurance and FICO Assessments(Form Type - 031).....	49
Schedule RI-E - Explanations (Form Type - 031).....	16	Schedule RC-P - 1-4 Family Residential Mortgage Banking Activities in Domestic Offices(Form Type - 031).....	53
Schedule RC - Balance Sheet(Form Type - 031).....	19		
Schedule RC-A - Cash and Balances Due From Depository Institutions(Form Type - 031).....	20		
Schedule RC-B - Securities(Form Type - 031).....	21		

For information or assistance, national banks, state nonmember banks, and savings associations should contact the FDIC's Data Collection and Analysis Section, 550 17th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20429, toll free on (800) 688-FDIC(3342), Monday through Friday between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., Eastern Time. State member banks should contact their Federal Reserve District Bank.

Schedule RC-Q - Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis(Form Type - 031).....	53
Schedule RC-R Part I - Regulatory Capital Components and Ratios(Form Type - 031).....	58
Schedule RC-R Part II - Risk-Weighted Assets(Form Type - 031).....	62
Schedule RC-S - Servicing Securitization and Asset Sale Activities(Form Type - 031).....	72
Schedule RC-T - Fiduciary and Related Services(Form Type - 031).....	73
Schedule RC-V - Variable Interest Entities(Form Type - 031).....	75
Optional Narrative Statement Concerning the Amounts Reported in the Consolidated Reports of Condition and Income(Form Type - 031).....	76

For information or assistance, national banks, state nonmember banks, and savings associations should contact the FDIC's Data Collection and Analysis Section, 550 17th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20429, toll free on (800) 688-FDIC(3342), Monday through Friday between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., Eastern Time. State member banks should contact their Federal Reserve District Bank.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, Office of the Comptroller of the Currency

Legend: NR - Not Reported, CONF - Confidential

Contact Information for the Reports of Condition and Income

To facilitate communication between the Agencies and the bank concerning the Reports of Condition and Income, please provide contact information for (1) the Chief Financial Officer (or equivalent) of the bank signing the reports for this quarter, and (2) the person at the bank—other than the Chief Financial Officer (or equivalent)—to whom questions about the reports should be directed. If the Chief Financial Officer (or equivalent) is the primary contact for questions about the reports, please provide contact information for another person at the bank who will serve as a secondary contact for communications between the Agencies and the bank concerning the Reports of Condition and Income. Enter “none” for the contact’s e-mail address or fax number if not available. Contact information for the Reports of Condition and Income is for the confidential use of the Agencies and will not be released to the public.

Chief Financial Officer (or Equivalent) Signing the Reports

CONF
Name (TEXT C490)

CONF
Title (TEXT C491)

CONF
E-mail Address (TEXT C492)

CONF
Area Code / Phone Number / Extension (TEXT C493)

CONF
Area Code / FAX Number (TEXT C494)

Other Person to Whom Questions about the Reports Should be Directed

CONF
Name (TEXT C495)

CONF
Title (TEXT C496)

CONF
E-mail Address (TEXT 4086)

CONF
Area Code / Phone Number / Extension (TEXT 8902)

CONF
Area Code / FAX Number (TEXT 9116)

Emergency Contact Information

This information is being requested so the Agencies can distribute critical, time-sensitive information to emergency contacts at banks. Please provide primary contact information for a senior official of the bank who has decision-making authority. Also provide information for a secondary contact if available. Enter “none” for the contact’s e-mail address or fax number if not available. Emergency contact information is for the confidential use of the Agencies and will not be released to the public.

Primary Contact

CONF
Name (TEXT C366)

CONF
Title (TEXT C367)

CONF
E-mail Address (TEXT C368)

CONF
Area Code / Phone Number / Extension (TEXT C369)

CONF
Area Code / FAX Number (TEXT C370)

Secondary Contact

CONF
Name (TEXT C371)

CONF
Title (TEXT C372)

CONF
E-mail Address (TEXT C373)

CONF
Area Code / Phone Number / Extension (TEXT C374)

CONF
Area Code / FAX Number (TEXT C375)

USA PATRIOT Act Section 314(a) Anti-Money Laundering

Contact Information

This information is being requested to identify points-of-contact who are in charge of your bank's USA PATRIOT Act Section 314(a) information requests. Bank personnel listed could be contacted by law enforcement officers or the Financial Crimes Enforcement Network (FinCEN) for additional information related to specific Section 314(a) search requests or other anti-terrorist financing and anti- money laundering matters. Communications sent by FinCEN to the bank for purposes other than Section 314(a) notifications will state the intended purpose and should be directed to the appropriate bank personnel for review. Any disclosure of customer records to law enforcement officers or FinCEN must be done in compliance with applicable law, including the Right to Financial Privacy Act (12 U.S.C. 3401 et seq.).

Please provide information for a primary and secondary contact. Information for a third and fourth contact may be provided at the bank's option. Enter "none" for the contact's e-mail address if not available. This contact information is for the confidential use of the Agencies, FinCEN, and law enforcement officers and will not be released to the public.

Primary Contact

CONF
Name (TEXT C437)

CONF
Title (TEXT C438)

CONF
E-mail Address (TEXT C439)

CONF
Area Code / Phone Number / Extension (TEXT C440)

Secondary Contact

CONF
Name (TEXT C442)

CONF
Title (TEXT C443)

CONF
E-mail Address (TEXT C444)

CONF
Area Code / Phone Number / Extension (TEXT 8902)

Third Contact

CONF
Name (TEXT C870)

CONF
Title (TEXT C871)

CONF
E-mail Address (TEXT C368)

CONF
Area Code / Phone Number / Extension (TEXT C873)

Fourth Contact

CONF
Name (TEXT C875)

CONF
Title (TEXT C876)

CONF
E-mail Address (TEXT C877)

CONF
Area Code / Phone Number / Extension (TEXT C878)

Bank Demographic Information(Form Type - 031)

Dollar amounts in thousands

1. Reporting date.....	RCON9999	20230930	1.
2. FDIC certificate number.....	RSSD9050	6672	2.
3. Legal title of bank.....	RSSD9017	Click here for value	3.
4. City.....	RSSD9130	Cincinnati	4.
5. State abbreviation.....	RSSD9200	OH	5.
6. Zip code.....	RSSD9220	45263	6.
7. Legal Entity Identifier (LEI) (Report only if your institution already has an LEI.).....	RCON9224	Click here for value	7.

(RCON9224) QFROUN1UWUYU0DVIWD51

(RSSD9017) Fifth Third Bank, National Association

Contact Information(Form Type - 031)

Dollar amounts in thousands

1. Contact Information for the Reports of Condition and Income			1.
a. Chief Financial Officer (or Equivalent) Signing the Reports			1.a.
1. Name.....	TEXTC490	CONF	1.a.1.
2. Title.....	TEXTC491	CONF	1.a.2.
3. E-mail Address.....	TEXTC492	CONF	1.a.3.
4. Telephone.....	TEXTC493	CONF	1.a.4.
5. FAX.....	TEXTC494	CONF	1.a.5.
b. Other Person to Whom Questions about the Reports Should be Directed			1.b.
1. Name.....	TEXTC495	CONF	1.b.1.
2. Title.....	TEXTC496	CONF	1.b.2.
3. E-mail Address.....	TEXT4086	CONF	1.b.3.
4. Telephone.....	TEXT8902	CONF	1.b.4.
5. FAX.....	TEXT9116	CONF	1.b.5.
2. Person to whom questions about Schedule RC-T - Fiduciary and Related Services should be directed			2.
a. Name and Title.....	TEXTB962	CONF	2.a.
b. E-mail Address.....	TEXTB926	CONF	2.b.
c. Telephone.....	TEXTB963	CONF	2.c.
d. FAX.....	TEXTB964	CONF	2.d.
3. Emergency Contact Information			3.
a. Primary Contact			3.a.
1. Name.....	TEXTC366	CONF	3.a.1.
2. Title.....	TEXTC367	CONF	3.a.2.
3. E-mail Address.....	TEXTC368	CONF	3.a.3.
4. Telephone.....	TEXTC369	CONF	3.a.4.
5. FAX.....	TEXTC370	CONF	3.a.5.
b. Secondary Contact			3.b.
1. Name.....	TEXTC371	CONF	3.b.1.
2. Title.....	TEXTC372	CONF	3.b.2.
3. E-mail Address.....	TEXTC373	CONF	3.b.3.
4. Telephone.....	TEXTC374	CONF	3.b.4.
5. FAX.....	TEXTC375	CONF	3.b.5.
4. USA PATRIOT Act Section 314(a) Anti-Money Laundering Contact Information			4.
a. Primary Contact			4.a.

Dollar amounts in thousands

1. Name.....	TEXTC437	CONF	4.a.1.
2. Title.....	TEXTC438	CONF	4.a.2.
3. E-mail Address.....	TEXTC439	CONF	4.a.3.
4. Telephone.....	TEXTC440	CONF	4.a.4.
b. Secondary Contact			4.b.
1. Name.....	TEXTC442	CONF	4.b.1.
2. Title.....	TEXTC443	CONF	4.b.2.
3. E-mail Address.....	TEXTC444	CONF	4.b.3.
4. Telephone.....	TEXTC445	CONF	4.b.4.
c. Third Contact			4.c.
1. Name.....	TEXTC870	CONF	4.c.1.
2. Title.....	TEXTC871	CONF	4.c.2.
3. E-mail Address.....	TEXTC872	CONF	4.c.3.
4. Telephone.....	TEXTC873	CONF	4.c.4.
d. Fourth Contact			4.d.
1. Name.....	TEXTC875	CONF	4.d.1.
2. Title.....	TEXTC876	CONF	4.d.2.
3. E-mail Address.....	TEXTC877	CONF	4.d.3.
4. Telephone.....	TEXTC878	CONF	4.d.4.
5. Chief Executive Officer Contact Information			5.
a. Chief Executive Officer			5.a.
1. Name.....	TEXTFT42	CONF	5.a.1.
2. E-mail Address.....	TEXTFT44	CONF	5.a.2.
3. Telephone.....	TEXTFT43	CONF	5.a.3.
4. FAX.....	TEXTFT45	CONF	5.a.4.

Schedule RI - Income Statement(Form Type - 031)

All Report of Income schedules are to be reported on a calendar year-to-date basis in thousands of dollars.

Dollar amounts in thousands

1. Interest income:			1.
a. Interest and fee income on loans:			1.a.
1. In domestic offices:			1.a.1.
a. Loans secured by real estate:			1.a.1.a.
1. Loans secured by 1-4 family residential properties.....	RIAD4435	664,000	1.a.1.a.1.
2. All other loans secured by real estate.....	RIAD4436	738,000	1.a.1.a.2.
b. Loans to finance agricultural production and other loans to farmers.....	RIAD4024	2,000	1.a.1.b.
c. Commercial and industrial loans.....	RIAD4012	2,424,000	1.a.1.c.
d. Loans to individuals for household, family, and other personal expenditures:			1.a.1.d.
1. Credit cards.....	RIADB485	201,000	1.a.1.d.1.
2. Other (includes revolving credit plans other than credit cards, automobile loans, and other consumer loans).....	RIADB486	845,000	1.a.1.d.2.
e. Loans to foreign governments and official institutions.....	RIAD4056	0	1.a.1.e.
f. All other loans in domestic offices.....	RIADB487	496,000	1.a.1.f.
2. In foreign offices, Edge and Agreement subsidiaries, and IBFs.....	RIAD4059	29,000	1.a.2.
3. Total interest and fee income on loans (sum of items 1.a.(1)(a) through 1.a.(2)).....	RIAD4010	5,399,000	1.a.3.
b. Income from lease financing receivables.....	RIAD4065	71,000	1.b.
c. Interest income on balances due from depository institutions ¹	RIAD4115	346,000	1.c.
d. Interest and dividend income on securities:			1.d.
1. U.S. Treasury securities and U.S. Government agency obligations (excluding mortgage-backed securities).....	RIADB488	62,000	1.d.1.
2. Mortgage-backed securities.....	RIADB489	908,000	1.d.2.
3. All other securities (includes securities issued by states and political subdivisions in the U.S.).....	RIAD4060	270,000	1.d.3.
e. Interest income from trading assets.....	RIAD4069	45,000	1.e.
f. Interest income on federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell.....	RIAD4020	0	1.f.
g. Other interest income.....	RIAD4518	33,000	1.g.
h. Total interest income (sum of items 1.a.(3) through 1.g.).....	RIAD4107	7,134,000	1.h.
2. Interest expense:			2.
a. Interest on deposits:			2.a.
1. Interest on deposits in domestic offices:			2.a.1.
a. Transaction accounts (interest-bearing demand deposits, NOW accounts, ATS accounts, and telephone and preauthorized transfer accounts).....	RIAD4508	1,063,000	2.a.1.a.
b. Nontransaction accounts:			2.a.1.b.
1. Savings deposits (includes MMDAs).....	RIAD0093	492,000	2.a.1.b.1.
2. Time deposits of \$250,000 or less.....	RIADHK03	361,000	2.a.1.b.2.
3. Time deposits of more than \$250,000.....	RIADHK04	15,000	2.a.1.b.3.
2. Interest on deposits in foreign offices, Edge and Agreement subsidiaries, and IBFs.....	RIAD4172	2,000	2.a.2.
b. Expense of federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase.....	RIAD4180	16,000	2.b.
c. Interest on trading liabilities and other borrowed money.....	RIAD4185	389,000	2.c.
d. Interest on subordinated notes and debentures.....	RIAD4200	24,000	2.d.
e. Total interest expense (sum of items 2.a through 2.d.).....	RIAD4073	2,362,000	2.e.
3. Net interest income (item 1.h minus 2.e.).....	RIAD4074	4,772,000	3.
4. Provision for loan and lease losses ¹	RIADJJ33	460,000	4.
5. Noninterest income:			5.
a. Income from fiduciary activities ²	RIAD4070	278,000	5.a.
b. Service charges on deposit accounts in domestic offices.....	RIAD4080	449,000	5.b.

1. Includes interest income on time certificates of deposit not held for trading.

1. Institutions that have adopted ASU 2016-13 should report in item 4, the provisions for credit losses for all financial assets and off-balance-sheet credit exposures that fall within the scope of the standard.

2. For banks required to complete Schedule RC-T, items 14 through 22, income from fiduciary activities reported in Schedule RI, item 5.a, must equal the amount reported in Schedule RC-T, item 22.

Dollar amounts in thousands

c. Trading revenue ³	RIADA220	215,000	5.c.
d. Income from securities-related and insurance activities:			5.d.
1. Fees and commissions from securities brokerage.....	RIADC886	22,000	5.d.1.
2. Investment banking, advisory, and underwriting fees and commissions.....	RIADC888	150,000	5.d.2.
3. Fees and commissions from annuity sales.....	RIADC887	37,000	5.d.3.
4. Underwriting income from insurance and reinsurance activities.....	RIADC386	0	5.d.4.
5. Income from other insurance activities.....	RIADC387	13,000	5.d.5.
e. Venture capital revenue.....	RIADB491	26,000	5.e.
f. Net servicing fees.....	RIADB492	236,000	5.f.
g. Net securitization income.....	RIADB493	0	5.g.
h. Not applicable			5.h.
i. Net gains (losses) on sales of loans and leases.....	RIAD5416	23,000	5.i.
j. Net gains (losses) on sales of other real estate owned.....	RIAD5415	-6,000	5.j.
k. Net gains (losses) on sales of other assets ⁴	RIADB496	20,000	5.k.
l. Other noninterest income [*]	RIADB497	621,000	5.l.
m. Total noninterest income (sum of items 5.a through 5.l.).....	RIAD4079	2,084,000	5.m.
6. Not available			6.
a. Realized gains (losses) on held-to-maturity securities.....	RIAD3521	0	6.a.
b. Realized gains (losses) on available-for-sale debt securities.....	RIAD3196	-1,000	6.b.
7. Noninterest expense:			7.
a. Salaries and employee benefits.....	RIAD4135	2,039,000	7.a.
b. Expenses of premises and fixed assets (net of rental income) (excluding salaries and employee benefits and mortgage interest).....	RIAD4217	358,000	7.b.
c. Not available			7.c.
1. Goodwill impairment losses.....	RIADC216	0	7.c.1.
2. Amortization expense and impairment losses for other intangible assets.....	RIADC232	32,000	7.c.2.
d. Other noninterest expense [*]	RIAD4092	1,300,000	7.d.
e. Total noninterest expense (sum of items 7.a through 7.d.).....	RIAD4093	3,729,000	7.e.
8. Not available			8.
a. Income (loss) before change in net unrealized holding gains (losses) on equity securities not held for trading, applicable income taxes, and discontinued operations (item 3 plus or minus items 4, 5.m, 6.a, 6.b, and 7.e.).....	RIADHT69	2,666,000	8.a.
b. Change in net unrealized holding gains (losses) on equity securities not held for trading ⁵	RIADHT70	1,000	8.b.
c. Income (loss) before applicable income taxes and discontinued operations (sum of items 8.a and 8.b.).....	RIAD4301	2,667,000	8.c.
9. Applicable income taxes (on item 8.c.).....	RIAD4302	607,000	9.
10. Income (loss) before discontinued operations (item 8.c minus item 9.).....	RIAD4300	2,060,000	10.
11. Discontinued operations, net of applicable income taxes (Describe on Schedule RI-E - Explanations) [*]	RIADFT28	0	11.
12. Net income (loss) attributable to bank and noncontrolling (minority) interests (sum of items 10 and 11.).....	RIADG104	2,060,000	12.
13. LESS: Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling (minority) interests (if net income, report as a positive value; if net loss, report as a negative value).....	RIADG103	0	13.
14. Net income (loss) attributable to bank (item 12 minus item 13).....	RIAD4340	2,060,000	14.
1. Interest expense incurred to carry tax-exempt securities, loans, and leases acquired after August 7, 1986, that is not deductible for federal income tax purposes.....	RIAD4513	0	M.1.
<i>Memorandum item 2 is to be completed by banks with \$1 billion or more in total assets</i>			
2. Income from the sale and servicing of mutual funds and annuities in domestic offices (included in Schedule RI, item 8).....	RIAD8431	52,000	M.2.
3. Income on tax-exempt loans and leases to states and political subdivisions in the U.S. (included in Schedule RI, items 1.a and 1.b.).....	RIAD4313	41,000	M.3.
4. Income on tax-exempt securities issued by states and political subdivisions in the U.S. (included in Schedule RI, item 1.d.(3)).....	RIAD4507	27,000	M.4.
5. Number of full-time equivalent employees at end of current period (round to nearest whole number).....	RIAD4150	18783	M.5.
6. Not applicable			M.6.

3. For banks required to complete Schedule RI, Memorandum item 8, trading revenue reported in Schedule RI, item 5.c, must equal the sum of Memorandum items 8.a through 8.e.

4. Exclude net gains (losses) on sales of trading assets and held-to-maturity and available-for-sale debt securities.

*. Describe on Schedule RI-E—Explanations.

5. Item 8.b is to be completed by all institutions. See the instructions this item and the Glossary entry for "Securities Activities" for further detail on accounting for investments in equity securities.

Dollar amounts in thousands

7. If the reporting institution has applied pushdown accounting this calendar year, report the date of the institution's acquisition (see instructions) ²	RIAD9106	0	M.7.
8. Trading revenue (from cash instruments and derivative instruments) (sum of Memorandum items 8.a through 8.e must equal Schedule RI, item 5.c):			M.8.
<i>Memorandum items 8.a through 8.e are to be completed by banks that reported average trading assets (Schedule RC-K, item 7) of \$2 million or more for any quarter of the preceding calendar year.</i>			
a. Interest rate exposures.....	RIAD8757	104,000	M.8.a.
b. Foreign exchange exposures.....	RIAD8758	70,000	M.8.b.
c. Equity security and index exposures.....	RIAD8759	10,000	M.8.c.
d. Commodity and other exposures.....	RIAD8760	30,000	M.8.d.
e. Credit exposures.....	RIADF186	1,000	M.8.e.
<i>Memorandum items 8.f through 8.h are to be completed by banks with \$100 billion or more in total assets that are required to complete Schedule RI, Memorandum items 8.a through 8.e, above.</i>			
f. Impact on trading revenue of changes in the creditworthiness of the bank's derivatives counterparties on the bank's derivative assets (year-to-date changes) (included in Memorandum items 8.a through 8.e above):			M.8.f.
1. Gross credit valuation adjustment (CVA).....	RIADFT36	5,000	M.8.f.1.
2. CVA hedge.....	RIADFT37	0	M.8.f.2.
g. Impact on trading revenue of changes in the creditworthiness of the bank on the bank's derivative liabilities (year-to-date changes) (included in Memorandum items 8.a through 8.e above):			M.8.g.
1. Gross debit valuation adjustment (DVA).....	RIADFT38	0	M.8.g.1.
2. DVA hedge.....	RIADFT39	0	M.8.g.2.
h. Gross trading revenue, before including positive or negative net CVA and net DVA.....	RIADFT40	210,000	M.8.h.
9. Net gains (losses) recognized in earnings on credit derivatives that economically hedge credit exposures held outside the trading account:			M.9.
a. Net gains (losses) on credit derivatives held for trading.....	RIADC889	0	M.9.a.
b. Net gains (losses) on credit derivatives held for purposes other than trading.....	RIADC890	0	M.9.b.
10. Credit losses on derivatives (see instructions).....	RIADA251	0	M.10.
11. Does the reporting bank have a Subchapter S election in effect for federal income tax purposes for the current tax year?.....	RIADA530	No	M.11.
12. Not applicable			M.12.
<i>Memorandum item 13 is to be completed by banks that have elected to account for assets and liabilities under a fair value option.</i>			
13. Net gains (losses) recognized in earnings on assets and liabilities that are reported at fair value under a fair value option:			M.13.
a. Net gains (losses) on assets.....	RIADF551	-10,000	M.13.a.
1. Estimated net gains (losses) on loans attributable to changes in instrument-specific credit risk.....	RIADF552	0	M.13.a.1.
b. Net gains (losses) on liabilities.....	RIADF553	0	M.13.b.
1. Estimated net gains (losses) on liabilities attributable to changes in instrument-specific credit risk.....	RIADF554	0	M.13.b.1.
14. Other-than-temporary impairment losses on held-to-maturity and available-for-sale debt securities ²	RIADJ321	NR	M.14.
<i>Memorandum item 15 is to be completed by institutions with \$1 billion or more in total assets that answered "Yes" to Schedule RC-E, Part I, Memorandum item 5.</i>			
15. Components of service charges on deposit accounts in domestic offices (sum of Memorandum items 15.a through 15.d must equal Schedule RI, item 5.b):			M.15.
a. Consumer overdraft-related service charges levied on those transaction account and nontransaction savings account deposit products intended primarily for individuals for personal, household, or family use.....	RIADH032	81,000	M.15.a.
b. Consumer account periodic maintenance charges levied on those transaction account and nontransaction savings account deposit products intended primarily for individuals for personal, household, or family use.....	RIADH033	12,000	M.15.b.
c. Consumer customer automated teller machine (ATM) fees levied on those transaction account and nontransaction savings account deposit products intended primarily for individuals for personal, household, or family use.....	RIADH034	24,000	M.15.c.
d. All other service charges on deposit accounts.....	RIADH035	332,000	M.15.d.

2. Report the date in YYYYMMDD format. For example, a bank acquired on March 1, 2023, would report 20230301.
 2. Memorandum item 14 is to be completed only by institutions that have not adopted ASU 2016-13.

Schedule RI-A - Changes in Bank Equity Capital(Form Type - 031)

Dollar amounts in thousands

1. Total bank equity capital most recently reported for the December 31, 2022, Reports of Condition and Income (i.e., after adjustments from amended Reports of Income).....	RIAD3217	19,481,000	1.
2. Cumulative effect of changes in accounting principles and corrections of material accounting errors *	RIADB507	37,000	2.
3. Balance end of previous calendar year as restated (sum of items 1 and 2).....	RIADB508	19,518,000	3.
4. Net income (loss) attributable to bank (must equal Schedule RI, item 14).....	RIAD4340	2,060,000	4.
5. Sale, conversion, acquisition, or retirement of capital stock, net (excluding treasury stock transactions).....	RIADB509	138,000	5.
6. Treasury stock transactions, net.....	RIADB510	0	6.
7. Changes incident to business combinations, net.....	RIAD4356	0	7.
8. LESS: Cash dividends declared on preferred stock.....	RIAD4470	0	8.
9. LESS: Cash dividends declared on common stock.....	RIAD4460	1,302,000	9.
10. Other comprehensive income ¹	RIADB511	-1,729,000	10.
11. Other transactions with stockholders (including a parent holding company) (not included in items 5, 6, 8, or 9 above) *	RIAD4415	0	11.
12. Total bank equity capital end of current period (sum of items 3 through 11) (must equal Schedule RC, item 27.a)..	RIAD3210	18,685,000	12.

*. Describe on Schedule RI-E—Explanations

1. Includes, but is not limited to, changes in net unrealized holding gains (losses) on available-for-sale debt securities, changes in accumulated net gains (losses) on cash flow hedges, foreign currency translation adjustments, and pension and other postretirement plan-related changes other than net periodic benefit cost.

Schedule RI-B Part I - Charge-offs and Recoveries on Loans and Leases (Form Type - 031)

Part I includes charge-offs and recoveries through the allocated transfer risk reserve.

Dollar amounts in thousands		(Column A) Charge-offs Calendar year-to-date		(Column B) Recoveries Calendar year-to-date		
1. Loans secured by real estate:						1.
a. Construction, land development, and other land loans in domestic offices:						1.a.
1. 1-4 family residential construction loans.....	RIADC891	0	RIADC892	0		1.a.1.
2. Other construction loans and all land development and other land loans.....	RIADC893	1,000	RIADC894	0		1.a.2.
b. Secured by farmland in domestic offices.....	RIAD3584	0	RIAD3585	0		1.b.
c. Secured by 1-4 family residential properties in domestic offices:						1.c.
1. Revolving, open-end loans secured by 1-4 family residential properties and extended under lines of credit.....	RIAD5411	5,000	RIAD5412	5,000		1.c.1.
2. Closed-end loans secured by 1-4 family residential properties:						1.c.2.
a. Secured by first liens.....	RIADC234	3,000	RIADC217	3,000		1.c.2.a.
b. Secured by junior liens.....	RIADC235	0	RIADC218	1,000		1.c.2.b.
d. Secured by multifamily (5 or more) residential properties in domestic offices.....	RIAD3588	0	RIAD3589	0		1.d.
e. Secured by nonfarm nonresidential properties in domestic offices:						1.e.
1. Loans secured by owner-occupied nonfarm nonresidential properties.....	RIADC895	0	RIADC896	0		1.e.1.
2. Loans secured by other nonfarm nonresidential properties.....	RIADC897	0	RIADC898	0		1.e.2.
f. In foreign offices.....	RIADB512	0	RIADB513	0		1.f.
2. Not applicable						2.
3. Loans to finance agricultural production and other loans to farmers.....	RIAD4655	0	RIAD4665	0		3.
4. Commercial and industrial loans:						4.
a. To U.S. addressees (domicile).....	RIAD4645	133,000	RIAD4617	9,000		4.a.
b. To non-U.S. addressees (domicile).....	RIAD4646	4,000	RIAD4618	0		4.b.
5. Loans to individuals for household, family, and other personal expenditures:						5.
a. Credit cards.....	RIADB514	59,000	RIADB515	14,000		5.a.
b. Automobile loans.....	RIADK129	58,000	RIADK133	24,000		5.b.
c. Other (includes revolving credit plans other than credit cards and other consumer loans).....	RIADK205	125,000	RIADK206	40,000		5.c.
6. Loans to foreign governments and official institutions.....	RIAD4643	0	RIAD4627	0		6.
7. All other loans.....	RIAD4644	1,000	RIAD4628	1,000		7.
8. Lease financing receivables:						8.
a. Leases to individuals for household, family, and other personal expenditures.....	RIADF185	0	RIADF187	0		8.a.
b. All other leases.....	RIADC880	0	RIADF188	0		8.b.
9. Total (sum of items 1 through 8).....	RIAD4635	389,000	RIAD4605	97,000		9.
1. Loans to finance commercial real estate, construction, and land development activities (not secured by real estate) included in Schedule RI-B, part I, items 4 and 7, above.....	RIAD5409	0	RIAD5410	0		M.1.
2. Loans secured by real estate to non-U.S. addressees (domicile) (included in Schedule RI-B, part I, item 1, above).....	RIAD4652	0	RIAD4662	0		M.2.
3. Not applicable						M.3.

Dollar amounts in thousands

Memorandum item 4 is to be completed by banks that (1) together with affiliated institutions, have outstanding credit card receivables (as defined in the instructions) that exceed \$500 million as of the report date, or (2) are credit card specialty banks as defined for Uniform Bank Performance Report purposes.

4. Uncollectible retail credit card fees and finance charges reversed against income (i.e., not included in charge-offs against the allowance for loan and lease losses)².....

RIADC388	11,000	M.4.
----------	--------	------

2. Institutions that have adopted ASU 2016-13 should report in Memorandum item 4 uncollectible retail credit card fees and finance charges reversed against income (i.e. not included in charge-offs against the allowance for credit losses on loans and leases).

Schedule RI-B Part II - Changes in Allowances for Credit Losses(Form Type - 031)

Dollar amounts in thousands	(Column A) Loans and Leases Held for Investment		(Column B) Held-to-maturity Debt Securities		(Column C) Available-for-sale Debt Securities		
1. Balance most recently reported for the December 31, 2022, Reports of Condition and Income (i.e., after adjustments from amended Reports of Income).....	RIADB522	2,194,000	RIADJH88	0	RIADJH94	0	1.
2. Recoveries (column A must equal Part I, item 9, column B, above).....	RIAD4605	97,000	RIADJH89	0	RIADJH95	0	2.
3. LESS: Charge-offs (column A must equal Part I, item 9, column A, above less Schedule RI-B, Part II, item 4, column A).....	RIADC079	389,000	RIADJH92	0	RIADJH98	0	3.
4. LESS: Write-downs arising from transfers of financial assets ³	RIAD5523	0	RIADJJ00	0	RIADJJ01	0	4.
5. Provisions for credit losses ⁴	RIAD4230	487,000	RIADJH90	0	RIADJH96	0	5.
6. Adjustments* (see instructions for this schedule) [*]	RIADC233	-49,000	RIADJH91	0	RIADJH97	0	6.
7. Balance end of current period (sum of items 1, 2, 5, and 6, less items 3 and 4) (column A must equal Schedule RC, item 4.c).....	RIAD3123	2,340,000	RIADJH93	0	RIADJH99	0	7.

Dollar amounts in thousands			
1. Allocated transfer risk reserve included in Schedule RI-B, Part II, item 7, column A, above.....	RIADC435	0	M.1.
<i>Memorandum items 2 and 3 are to be completed by banks that (1) together with affiliated institutions, have outstanding credit card receivables (as defined in the instructions) that exceed \$500 million as of the report date, or (2) are credit card specialty banks as defined for Uniform Bank Performance Report purposes.</i>	RIADC389	0	M.2.
2. Separate valuation allowance for uncollectible retail credit card fees and finance charges.....	RIADC390	3,000	M.3.
3. Amount of allowance for loan and lease losses attributable to retail credit card fees and finance charges ¹	RIADC781	NR	M.4.
4. Amount of allowance for post-acquisition credit losses on purchased credit-impaired loans accounted for in accordance with FASB ASC 310-30 (former AICPA Statement of Position 03-3) (included in Schedule RI-B, Part II, item 7, column A, above) ²	RIADJJ02	0	M.5.
5. Provisions for credit losses on other financial assets measured at amortized cost (not included in item 5, above) ³ ...	RCFDJJ03	0	M.6.
6. Allowance for credit losses on other financial assets measured at amortized cost (not included in item 7, above) ³ ...	RIADMG93	-27,000	M.7.
7. Provisions for credit losses on off-balance-sheet credit exposures ³	RIADMG94	71,000	M.8.
8. Estimated amount of expected recoveries of amounts previously written off included within the allowance for credit losses on loans and leases held for investment (included in item 7, column A, "Balance end of current period," above) ³			

3. Institutions that have not yet adopted ASU 2016-13 should report write-downs arising from transfers of loans to a held-for-sale account in item 4, column A.

4. Institutions that have not yet adopted ASU 2016-13 should report the provision for loan and lease losses in item 5, column A and the amount reported must equal Schedule RI, item 4.

*. Describe on Schedule RI-E - Explanations.

1. Institutions that have adopted ASU 2016-13 should report in Memorandum item 3 the amount of allowance for credit losses on loans and leases attributable to retail credit card fees and finance charges.

2. Memorandum item 4 is to be completed only by institutions that have not yet adopted ASU 2016-13.

3. Memorandum items 5, 6, 7, and 8 are to be completed only by institutions that have adopted ASU 2016-13.

3. Memorandum items 5, 6, 7, and 8 are to be completed only by institutions that have adopted ASU 2016-13.

3. Memorandum items 5, 6, 7, and 8 are to be completed only by institutions that have adopted ASU 2016-13.

3. Memorandum items 5, 6, 7, and 8 are to be completed only by institutions that have adopted ASU 2016-13.

Schedule RI-C Part I - Disaggregated Data on the Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses(Form Type - 031)

Schedule RI-C is to be completed by institutions with \$1 billion or more in total assets.

	(Column A) Recorded Investment: Individually Evaluated for Impairment and Determined to be Impaired (ASC 310-10-35)	(Column B) Allowance Balance: Individually Evaluated for Impairment and Determined to be Impaired (ASC 310-10-35)	(Column C) Recorded Investment: Collectively Evaluated for Impairment (ASC 450-20)	(Column D) Allowance Balance: Collectively Evaluated for Impairment (ASC 450-20)	(Column E) Recorded Investment: Purchased Credit-Impaired Loans (ASC 310-30)	(Column F) Allowance Balance: Purchased Credit-Impaired Loans (ASC 310-30)
Dollar amounts in thousands						
1. Real estate loans:						
a. Construction loans.....	RCFDM708 NR	RCFDM709 NR	RCFDM710 NR	RCFDM711 NR	RCFDM712 NR	RCFDM713 NR
b. Commercial real estate loans.....	RCFDM714 NR	RCFDM715 NR	RCFDM716 NR	RCFDM717 NR	RCFDM719 NR	RCFDM720 NR
c. Residential real estate loans.....	RCFDM721 NR	RCFDM722 NR	RCFDM723 NR	RCFDM724 NR	RCFDM725 NR	RCFDM726 NR
2. Commercial loans ³	RCFDM727 NR	RCFDM728 NR	RCFDM729 NR	RCFDM730 NR	RCFDM731 NR	RCFDM732 NR
3. Credit cards.....	RCFDM733 NR	RCFDM734 NR	RCFDM735 NR	RCFDM736 NR	RCFDM737 NR	RCFDM738 NR
4. Other consumer loans.....	RCFDM739 NR	RCFDM740 NR	RCFDM741 NR	RCFDM742 NR	RCFDM743 NR	RCFDM744 NR
5. Unallocated, if any.....				RCFDM745 NR		
6. Total (for each column, sum of items 1.a through 5) ⁴	RCFDM746 NR	RCFDM747 NR	RCFDM748 NR	RCFDM749 NR	RCFDM750 NR	RCFDM751 NR

3. Include all loans and leases not reported as real estate loans, credit cards, or other consumer loans in items 1, 3, or 4 of Schedule RI-C.

4. The sum of item 6, columns B, D, and F, must equal Schedule RC, item 4.c. Item 6, column E, must equal Schedule RC-C, Part I, Memorandum item 7.b. Item 6, column F, must equal Schedule RI-B, Part II, Memorandum item 4.

Schedule RI-C Part II - Disaggregated Data on the Allowances for Credit Losses(Form Type - 031)

Dollar amounts in thousands		(Column A) Amortized Cost	(Column B) Allowance Balance		
1. Real estate loans:				1.	
a. Construction loans.....	RCFDJJ04	5,582,000	RCFDJJ12	67,000	1.a.
b. Commercial real estate loans.....	RCFDJJ05	11,122,000	RCFDJJ13	276,000	1.b.
c. Residential real estate loans.....	RCFDJJ06	21,078,000	RCFDJJ14	264,000	1.c.
2. Commercial loans ³	RCFDJJ07	58,414,000	RCFDJJ15	844,000	2.
3. Credit cards.....	RCFDJJ08	1,817,000	RCFDJJ16	229,000	3.
4. Other consumer loans.....	RCFDJJ09	21,962,000	RCFDJJ17	660,000	4.
5. Unallocated, if any.....			RCFDJJ18	0	5.
6. Total (sum of items 1.a. through 5) ⁴	RCFDJJ11	119,975,000	RCFDJJ19	2,340,000	6.

Dollar amounts in thousands					
7. Securities issued by states and political subdivisions in the U.S.....	RCFDJJ20			0	7.
8. Mortgage-backed securities (MBS) (including CMOs, REMICs, and stripped MBS).....	RCFDJJ21			0	8.
9. Asset-backed securities and structured financial products.....	RCFDJJ23			0	9.
10. Other debt securities.....	RCFDJJ24			0	10.
11. Total (sum of items 7 through 10) ⁵	RCFDJJ25			0	11.

Schedule RI-D - Income from Foreign Offices(Form Type - 031)

For all banks with foreign offices (including Edge or Agreement subsidiaries and IBFs) and total foreign office assets of \$10 billion or more where foreign office revenues, assets, or net income exceed 10 percent of consolidated total revenues, total assets, or net income.

Dollar amounts in thousands					
1. Total interest income in foreign offices.....	RIADC899			0	1.
2. Total interest expense in foreign offices.....	RIADC900			0	2.
3. Provision for loan and lease losses in foreign offices ¹	RIADKW02			0	3.
4. Noninterest income in foreign offices:					4.
a. Trading revenue.....	RIADC902			0	4.a.
b. Investment banking, advisory, brokerage, and underwriting fees and commissions.....	RIADC903			0	4.b.
c. Net securitization income.....	RIADC904			0	4.c.
d. Other noninterest income.....	RIADC905			0	4.d.
5. Realized gains (losses) on held-to-maturity and available-for-sale debt securities and change in net unrealized holding gains (losses) on equity securities not held for trading in foreign offices.....	RIADJA28			0	5.
6. Total noninterest expense in foreign offices.....	RIADC907			0	6.
7. Adjustments to pretax income in foreign offices for internal allocations to foreign offices to reflect the effects of equity capital on overall bank funding costs.....	RIADC908			0	7.
8. Applicable income taxes (on items 1 through 7).....	RIADC909			0	8.
9. Discontinued operations, net of applicable income taxes, in foreign offices.....	RIADGW64			0	9.
10. Net income attributable to foreign offices before internal allocations of income and expense (item 1 plus or minus items 2 through 9).....	RIADC911			0	10.
11. Not applicable					11.
12. Eliminations arising from the consolidation of foreign offices with domestic offices.....	RIADC913			0	12.
13. Consolidated net income attributable to foreign offices (sum of items 10 and 12).....	RIADC914			0	13.

3. Include all loans and leases not reported as real estate loans, credit cards, or other consumer loans in item 1, 3, or 4 of Schedule RI-C, Part II.
 4. Item 6, column B must equal schedule RC, item 4.c.
 5. Item 11 must equal Schedule RI-B, Part II, item 7, column B.
 1. Institutions that have adopted ASU 2016-13 should report the provisions for credit losses in foreign offices for all financial assets and off-balance-sheet credit exposures that fall within the scope of the standard in item 3.

Schedule RI-E - Explanations (Form Type - 031)

Schedule RI-E is to be completed each quarter on a calendar year-to-date basis.

Detail all adjustments in Schedule RI-A and RI-B, all extraordinary items and other adjustments in Schedule RI, and all significant items of other noninterest income and other noninterest expense in Schedule RI. (See instructions for details.)

Dollar amounts in thousands

1. Other noninterest income (from Schedule RI, item 5.) Itemize and describe amounts greater than \$100,000 that exceed 7 percent of Schedule RI, item 5.:			1.
a. Income and fees from the printing and sale of checks.....	RIADC013	0	1.a.
b. Earnings on/increase in value of cash surrender value of life insurance.....	RIADC014	45,000	1.b.
c. Income and fees from automated teller machines (ATMs).....	RIADC016	0	1.c.
d. Rent and other income from other real estate owned.....	RIAD4042	0	1.d.
e. Safe deposit box rent.....	RIADC015	0	1.e.
f. Bank card and credit card interchange fees.....	RIADF555	267,000	1.f.
g. Income and fees from wire transfers.....	RIADT047	0	1.g.
h. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:			1.h.
1. Describe component.....	TEXT4461	Click here for value	1.h.1.
2. Amount of component.....	RIAD4461	105,000	1.h.2.
i. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:			1.i.
1. Describe component.....	TEXT4462	Click here for value	1.i.1.
2. Amount of component.....	RIAD4462	101,000	1.i.2.
j. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:			1.j.
1. Describe component.....	TEXT4463	See Line 7	1.j.1.
2. Amount of component.....	RIAD4463	-85,000	1.j.2.
2. Other noninterest expense (from Schedule RI, item 7.d) Itemize and describe amounts greater than \$100,000 that exceed 7 percent of Schedule RI, item 7.d:			2.
a. Data processing expenses.....	RIADC017	0	2.a.
b. Advertising and marketing expenses.....	RIAD0497	96,000	2.b.
c. Directors' fees.....	RIAD4136	0	2.c.
d. Printing, stationery, and supplies.....	RIADC018	0	2.d.
e. Postage.....	RIAD8403	0	2.e.
f. Legal fees and expenses.....	RIAD4141	0	2.f.
g. FDIC deposit insurance assessments.....	RIAD4146	CONF	2.g.
h. Accounting and auditing expenses.....	RIADF556	0	2.h.
i. Consulting and advisory expenses.....	RIADF557	0	2.i.
j. Automated teller machine (ATM) and interchange expenses.....	RIADF558	0	2.j.
k. Telecommunications expenses.....	RIADF559	0	2.k.
l. Other real estate owned expenses.....	RIADY923	0	2.l.
m. Insurance expenses (not included in employee expenses, premises and fixed asset expenses, and other real estate owned expenses).....	RIADY924	0	2.m.
n. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:			2.n.
1. Describe component.....	TEXT4464	Click here for value	2.n.1.
2. Amount of component.....	RIAD4464	325,000	2.n.2.
o. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:			2.o.
1. Describe component.....	TEXT4467	Click here for value	2.o.1.
2. Amount of component.....	RIAD4467	99,000	2.o.2.
p. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:			2.p.
1. Describe component.....	TEXT4468	Click here for value	2.p.1.
2. Amount of component.....	RIAD4468	94,000	2.p.2.
3. Discontinued operations and applicable income tax effect (from Schedule RI, item 11) (itemize and describe each discontinued operation):			3.
a. Disclose component, the gross dollar amount of that component, and its related income tax:			3.a.
1. Describe component.....	TEXTFT29	NR	3.a.1.
2. Amount of component.....	RIADFT29	0	3.a.2.

Dollar amounts in thousands

3. Applicable income tax effect.....	RIADFT30	0	3.a.3.
b. Disclose component, the gross dollar amount of that component, and its related income tax:			3.b.
1. Describe component.....	TEXTFT31	NR	3.b.1.
2. Amount of component.....	RIADFT31	0	3.b.2.
3. Applicable income tax effect.....	RIADFT32	0	3.b.3.
4. Cumulative effect of changes in accounting principles and corrections of material accounting errors (from Schedule RI-A, item 2) (itemize and describe all such effects):			4.
a. Effect of adoption of Current Expected Credit Losses Methodology - ASU 2016-13 ¹	RIADJJ26	NR	4.a.
b. Not applicable			4.b.
c. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:			4.c.
1. Describe component.....	TEXTB526	Click here for value	4.c.1.
2. Amount of component.....	RIADB526	37,000	4.c.2.
d. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:			4.d.
1. Describe component.....	TEXTB527	NR	4.d.1.
2. Amount of component.....	RIADB527	0	4.d.2.
5. Other transactions with stockholders (including a parent holding company) (from Schedule RI-A, item 11) (itemize and describe all such transactions):			5.
a. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:			5.a.
1. Describe component.....	TEXT4498	NR	5.a.1.
2. Amount of component.....	RIAD4498	0	5.a.2.
b. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:			5.b.
1. Describe component.....	TEXT4499	NR	5.b.1.
2. Amount of component.....	RIAD4499	0	5.b.2.
6. Adjustments to allowances for credit losses (from Schedule RI-B, part II, item 6) (itemize and describe all adjustments): ³			6.
a. Initial allowances for credit losses recognized upon the acquisition of purchased credit-deteriorated assets on or after the effective date of ASU 2016-13 ¹	RIADJJ27	0	6.a.
b. Effect of adoption of current expected credit losses methodology on allowances for credit losses ¹	RIADJJ28	NR	6.b.
c. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:			6.c.
1. Describe component.....	TEXT4521	Click here for value	6.c.1.
2. Amount of component.....	RIAD4521	-49,000	6.c.2.
d. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:			6.d.
1. Describe component.....	TEXT4522	NR	6.d.1.
2. Amount of component.....	RIAD4522	0	6.d.2.
7. Other explanations (the space below is provided for the bank to briefly describe, at its option, any other significant items affecting the Report of Income):			7.
a. Comments?.....	RIAD4769	Yes	7.a.
b. Other explanations.....	TEXT4769	Click here for value	7.b.

(TEXT4461) Operating lease income

(TEXT4462) Commercial commitment fees

(TEXT4464) Software expense

(TEXT4467) Loan and lease expense

(TEXT4468) Operating lease expense

1. Only institutions that have adopted ASU 2016-13 should report amounts in items 4.a, 6.a and 6.b, if applicable.
 3. Institutions that have not adopted ASU 2016-13 should report the allowance for loan and lease losses in item 6, where applicable.
 1. Only institutions that have adopted ASU 2016-13 should report amounts in items 4.a, 6.a and 6.b, if applicable.
 1. Only institutions that have adopted ASU 2016-13 should report amounts in items 4.a, 6.a and 6.b, if applicable.

(TEXT4521) Effect of adoption of ASU 2022-02 Financial Instruments-Credit Losses (Topic 326): Troubled Debt Restructurings and Vintage Disclosures

(TEXT4769) Commercial syndication fees \$97MM, Loss on MSR derivative hedges (\$110MM), Mark-to-market free-standing equity derivatives (\$72MM)

(TEXTB526) Effect of adoption of ASU 2022-02 Financial Instruments-Credit Losses (Topic 326): Troubled Debt Restructurings and Vintage Disclosures

Schedule RC - Balance Sheet(Form Type - 031)

All schedules are to be reported in thousands of dollars. Unless otherwise indicated, report the amount outstanding as of the last business day of the quarter.

Dollar amounts in thousands

1. Cash and balances due from depository institutions (from Schedule RC-A):			1.
a. Noninterest-bearing balances and currency and coin ¹	RCFD0081	2,797,000	1.a.
b. Interest-bearing balances ²	RCFD0071	18,916,000	1.b.
2. Securities:			2.
a. Held-to-maturity securities (from Schedule RC-B, column A) ³	RCFDJJ34	0	2.a.
b. Available-for-sale debt securities (from Schedule RC-B, column D).....	RCFD1773	47,115,000	2.b.
c. Equity securities with readily determinable fair values not held for trading ⁴	RCFDJA22	20,000	2.c.
3. Federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell:			3.
a. Federal funds sold in domestic offices.....	RCONB987	0	3.a.
b. Securities purchased under agreements to resell ⁵	RCFDB989	0	3.b.
4. Loans and lease financing receivables (from Schedule RC-C):			4.
a. Loans and leases held for sale.....	RCFD5369	614,000	4.a.
b. Loans and leases held for investment.....	RCFDB528	120,088,000	4.b.
c. LESS: Allowance for loan and lease losses ⁷	RCFD3123	2,340,000	4.c.
d. Loans and leases held for investment, net of allowance (item 4.b minus 4.c).....	RCFDB529	117,748,000	4.d.
5. Trading assets (from Schedule RC-D).....	RCFD3545	4,624,000	5.
6. Premises and fixed assets (including capitalized leases).....	RCFD2145	2,486,000	6.
7. Other real estate owned (from Schedule RC-M).....	RCFD2150	32,000	7.
8. Investments in unconsolidated subsidiaries and associated companies.....	RCFD2130	0	8.
9. Direct and indirect investments in real estate ventures.....	RCFD3656	1,408,000	9.
10. Intangible assets (from Schedule RC-M).....	RCFD2143	6,774,000	10.
11. Other assets (from Schedule RC-F) ⁶	RCFD2160	9,614,000	11.
12. Total assets (sum of items 1 through 11).....	RCFD2170	212,148,000	12.
13. Deposits:			13.
a. In domestic offices (sum of totals of columns A and C from Schedule RC-E, part I).....	RCON2200	174,031,000	13.a.
1. Noninterest-bearing ⁸	RCON6631	43,963,000	13.a.1.
2. Interest-bearing.....	RCON6636	130,068,000	13.a.2.
b. In foreign offices, Edge and Agreement subsidiaries, and IBFs (from Schedule RC-E, part II).....	RCFN2200	168,000	13.b.
1. Noninterest-bearing.....	RCFN6631	0	13.b.1.
2. Interest-bearing.....	RCFN6636	168,000	13.b.2.
14. Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase:			14.
a. Federal funds purchased in domestic offices ⁹	RCONB993	205,000	14.a.
b. Securities sold under agreements to repurchase ¹⁰	RCFDB995	320,000	14.b.
15. Trading liabilities (from Schedule RC-D).....	RCFD3548	3,778,000	15.
16. Other borrowed money (includes mortgage indebtedness and obligations under capitalized leases) (from Schedule RC-M).....	RCFD3190	10,870,000	16.
17. Not applicable			17.
18. Not applicable			18.
19. Subordinated notes and debentures ¹	RCFD3200	749,000	19.

1. Includes cash items in process of collection and unposted debits.
2. Includes time certificates of deposit not held for trading.
3. Institutions that have adopted ASU 2016-13 should report in item 2.a, amounts net of any applicable allowance for credit losses, and should equal to Schedule RC-B, item 8, column A less Schedule RI-B, Part II, item 7, column B.
4. Item 2.c is to be completed by all institutions. See the instructions for this item and the Glossary entry for "Securities Activities" for further detail on accounting for investments in equity securities.
5. Includes all securities resale agreements, regardless of maturity.
7. Institutions that have adopted ASU 2016-13 should report in item 4.c the allowance for credit losses on loans and leases.
6. Institutions that have adopted ASU 2016-13 should report in items 3.b and 11 amounts net of any applicable allowance for credit losses.
8. Includes noninterest-bearing demand, time, and savings deposits.
9. Report overnight Federal Home Loan Bank advances in Schedule RC, item 16, "Other borrowed money."
10. Includes all securities repurchase agreements, regardless of maturity.
1. Includes limited-life preferred stock and related surplus.

Dollar amounts in thousands

20. Other liabilities (from Schedule RC-G).....	RCFD2930	3,342,000	20.
21. Total liabilities (sum of items 13 through 20).....	RCFD2948	193,463,000	21.
22. Not applicable			22.
23. Perpetual preferred stock and related surplus.....	RCFD3838	0	23.
24. Common stock.....	RCFD3230	5,000	24.
25. Surplus (exclude all surplus related to preferred stock).....	RCFD3839	16,513,000	25.
26. Not available			26.
a. Retained earnings.....	RCFD3632	9,002,000	26.a.
b. Accumulated other comprehensive income ²	RCFDB530	-6,835,000	26.b.
c. Other equity capital components ³	RCFDA130	0	26.c.
27. Not available			27.
a. Total bank equity capital (sum of items 23 through 26.c).....	RCFD3210	18,685,000	27.a.
b. Noncontrolling (minority) interests in consolidated subsidiaries.....	RCFD3000	0	27.b.
28. Total equity capital (sum of items 27.a and 27.b).....	RCFDG105	18,685,000	28.
29. Total liabilities and equity capital (sum of items 21 and 28).....	RCFD3300	212,148,000	29.
1. Indicate in the box at the right the number of the statement below that best describes the most comprehensive level of auditing work performed for the bank by independent external auditors as of any date during 2022.....	RCFD6724	NR	M.1.
2. Bank's fiscal year-end date (report the date in MMDD format).....	RCON8678	NR	M.2.

Schedule RC-A - Cash and Balances Due From Depository Institutions(Form Type - 031)

Exclude assets held for trading.

Dollar amounts in thousands

	(Column A) Consolidated Bank		(Column B) Domestic Offices		
1. Cash items in process of collection, unposted debits, and currency and coin.....	RCFD0022	2,472,000			1.
a. Cash items in process of collection and unposted debits.....			RCON0020	1,281,000	1.a.
b. Currency and coin.....			RCON0080	1,191,000	1.b.
2. Balances due from depository institutions in the U.S.....	RCFD0082	327,000	RCON0082	327,000	2.
3. Balances due from banks in foreign countries and foreign central banks.....	RCFD0070	385,000	RCON0070	179,000	3.
4. Balances due from Federal Reserve Banks.....	RCFD0090	18,529,000	RCON0090	18,529,000	4.
5. Total.....	RCFD0010	21,713,000	RCON0010	21,507,000	5.

2. Includes, but is not limited to, net unrealized holding gains (losses) on available-for-sale securities, accumulated net gains (losses) on cash flow hedges, cumulative foreign currency translation adjustments, and accumulated defined benefit pension and other postretirement plan adjustments.

3. Includes treasury stock and unearned Employee Stock Ownership Plan shares.

Schedule RC-B - Securities(Form Type - 031)

Exclude assets held for trading.

Dollar amounts in thousands	(Column A) Held-to-maturity Amortized Cost		(Column B) Held-to-maturity Fair Value		(Column C) Available-for-sale Amortized Cost		(Column D) Available-for-sale Fair Value		
1. U.S. Treasury securities.....	RCFD0211	0	RCFD0213	0	RCFD1286	3,680,000	RCFD1287	3,462,000	1.
2. U.S. Government agency and sponsored agency obligations (exclude mortgage-backed securities) ¹	RCFDHT50	0	RCFDHT51	0	RCFDHT52	0	RCFDHT53	0	2.
3. Securities issued by states and political subdivisions in the U.S.....	RCFD8496	0	RCFD8497	0	RCFD8498	2,000	RCFD8499	2,000	3.
4. Mortgage-backed securities (MBS):									4.
a. Residential mortgage pass-through securities:									4.a.
1. Guaranteed by GNMA.....	RCFDG300	0	RCFDG301	0	RCFDG302	3,977,000	RCFDG303	3,395,000	4.a.1.
2. Issued by FNMA and FHLMC.....	RCFDG304	0	RCFDG305	0	RCFDG306	1,992,000	RCFDG307	1,678,000	4.a.2.
3. Other pass-through securities.....	RCFDG308	0	RCFDG309	0	RCFDG310	0	RCFDG311	0	4.a.3.
b. Other residential mortgage-backed securities (include CMOs, REMICs, and stripped MBS):									4.b.
1. Issued or guaranteed by U.S. Government agencies or sponsored agencies ¹	RCFDG312	0	RCFDG313	0	RCFDG314	5,774,000	RCFDG315	4,803,000	4.b.1.
2. Collateralized by MBS issued or guaranteed by U.S. Government agencies or sponsored agencies ¹	RCFDG316	0	RCFDG317	0	RCFDG318	0	RCFDG319	0	4.b.2.
3. All other residential MBS.....	RCFDG320	0	RCFDG321	0	RCFDG322	0	RCFDG323	0	4.b.3.
c. Commercial MBS:									4.c.
1. Commercial mortgage pass-through securities:									4.c.1.
a. Issued or guaranteed by FNMA, FHLMC, or GNMA.....	RCFDK142	0	RCFDK143	0	RCFDK144	15,918,000	RCFDK145	13,397,000	4.c.1a.
b. Other pass-through securities.....	RCFDK146	0	RCFDK147	0	RCFDK148	0	RCFDK149	0	4.c.1b.
2. Other commercial MBS:									4.c.2.
a. Issued or guaranteed by U.S. Government agencies or sponsored agencies ¹	RCFDK150	0	RCFDK151	0	RCFDK152	7,448,000	RCFDK153	6,101,000	4.c.2a.
b. All other commercial MBS.....	RCFDK154	0	RCFDK155	0	RCFDK156	4,922,000	RCFDK157	4,324,000	4.c.2b.
5. Asset-backed securities and structured financial products:									5.
a. Asset-backed securities (ABS).....	RCFDC026	0	RCFDC988	0	RCFDC989	5,376,000	RCFDC027	4,988,000	5.a.
b. Structured financial products.....	RCFDHT58	0	RCFDHT59	0	RCFDHT60	5,688,000	RCFDHT61	4,963,000	5.b.
6. Other debt securities:									6.
a. Other domestic debt securities.....	RCFD1737	0	RCFD1738	0	RCFD1739	0	RCFD1741	0	6.a.
b. Other foreign debt securities.....	RCFD1742	0	RCFD1743	0	RCFD1744	2,000	RCFD1746	2,000	6.b.
7. Unallocated portfolio layer fair value hedge basis adjustments...					RCFDMG95	NR			7.
8. Total (sum of items 1 through 7) ²	RCFD1754	0	RCFD1771	0	RCFD1772	54,779,000	RCFD1773	47,115,000	8.

Dollar amounts in thousands

1. Pledged securities ¹	RCFD0416	22,624,000	M.1.
2. Maturity and repricing data for debt securities (excluding those in nonaccrual status): ¹			M.2.
a. Securities issued by the U.S. Treasury, U.S. Government agencies, and states and political subdivisions in the U.S.; other non-mortgage debt securities; and mortgage pass-through securities other than those backed by closed-end first lien 1-4 family residential mortgages with a remaining maturity or next repricing date of: ²			M.2.a.
1. Three months or less.....	RCFDA549	3,864,000	M.2.a.1.
2. Over three months through 12 months.....	RCFDA550	2,000	M.2.a.2.
3. Over one year through three years.....	RCFDA551	1,050,000	M.2.a.3.
4. Over three years through five years.....	RCFDA552	4,627,000	M.2.a.4.
5. Over five years through 15 years.....	RCFDA553	14,288,000	M.2.a.5.
6. Over 15 years.....	RCFDA554	2,983,000	M.2.a.6.
b. Mortgage pass-through securities backed by closed-end first lien 1-4 family residential mortgages with a remaining maturity or next repricing date of: ²			M.2.b.
1. Three months or less.....	RCFDA555	0	M.2.b.1.
2. Over three months through 12 months.....	RCFDA556	0	M.2.b.2.
3. Over one year through three years.....	RCFDA557	0	M.2.b.3.
4. Over three years through five years.....	RCFDA558	0	M.2.b.4.
5. Over five years through 15 years.....	RCFDA559	0	M.2.b.5.
6. Over 15 years.....	RCFDA560	5,073,000	M.2.b.6.
c. Other mortgage-backed securities (include CMOs, REMICs, and stripped MBS; exclude mortgage pass-through securities) with an expected average life of: ⁵			M.2.c.
1. Three years or less.....	RCFDA561	2,733,000	M.2.c.1.
2. Over three years.....	RCFDA562	12,495,000	M.2.c.2.
d. Debt securities with a REMAINING MATURITY of one year or less (included in Memorandum items 2.a through 2.c above).....	RCFDA248	2,000	M.2.d.
<i>Memorandum item 3 is to be completed semiannually in the June and December reports only.</i>			
3. Amortized cost of held-to-maturity securities sold or transferred to available-for-sale or trading securities during the calendar year-to-date (report the amortized cost at date of sale or transfer).....	RCFD1778	NR	M.3.
4. Structured notes (included in the held-to-maturity and available-for-sale accounts in Schedule RC-B, items 2, 3, 5, and 6):			M.4.
a. Amortized cost.....	RCFD8782	0	M.4.a.
b. Fair value.....	RCFD8783	0	M.4.b.

1. Includes Small Business Administration "Guaranteed Loan Pool Certificates"; U.S. Maritime Administration obligations; Export-Import Bank participation certificates; and obligations (other than mortgage-backed securities) issued by the Farm Credit System, the Federal Home Loan Bank System, the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, the Federal National Mortgage Association, the Financing Corporation, Resolution Funding Corporation, the Student Loan Marketing Association, and the Tennessee Valley Authority.

1. U.S. Government agencies include, but are not limited to, such agencies as the Government National Mortgage Association (GNMA), the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC), and the National Credit Union Administration (NCUA). U.S. Government-sponsored agencies include, but are not limited to, such agencies as the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (FHLMC) and the Federal National Mortgage Association (FNMA).

1. U.S. Government agencies include, but are not limited to, such agencies as the Government National Mortgage Association (GNMA), the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC), and the National Credit Union Administration (NCUA). U.S. Government-sponsored agencies include, but are not limited to, such agencies as the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (FHLMC) and the Federal National Mortgage Association (FNMA).

2. For institutions that have adopted ASU 2016-13, the total reported in column A must equal Schedule RC, item 2.a, plus Schedule RI-B, Part II, item 7, column B. For institutions that have not adopted ASU 2016-13, the total reported in column A must equal Schedule RC, item 2.a. For all institutions, the total reported in column D must equal Schedule RC, item 2.b.

Dollar amounts in thousands

	(Column A) Held-to-maturity Amortized Cost		(Column B) Held-to-maturity Fair Value		(Column C) Available-for-sale Amortized Cost		(Column D) Available-for-sale Fair Value		
<i>Memorandum items 5.a through 5.f and 6.a through 6.g are to be completed by banks with \$10 billion or more in total assets.</i>									
5. Asset-backed securities (ABS) (for each column, sum of Memorandum items 5.a through 5.f must equal Schedule RC-B, item 5.a): ¹									
a. Credit card receivables.....	RCFDB838	0	RCFDB839	0	RCFDB840	0	RCFDB841	0	M5a
b. Home equity lines.....	RCFDB842	0	RCFDB843	0	RCFDB844	0	RCFDB845	0	M5b
c. Automobile loans.....	RCFDB846	0	RCFDB847	0	RCFDB848	1,232,000	RCFDB849	1,164,000	M5c
d. Other consumer loans.....	RCFDB850	0	RCFDB851	0	RCFDB852	3,189,000	RCFDB853	2,969,000	M5d
e. Commercial and industrial loans.....	RCFDB854	0	RCFDB855	0	RCFDB856	0	RCFDB857	0	M5e
f. Other.....	RCFDB858	0	RCFDB859	0	RCFDB860	955,000	RCFDB861	855,000	M5.f
6. Structured financial products by underlying collateral or reference assets (for each column, sum of Memorandum items 6.a through 6.g must equal Schedule RC-B item 5.b):									
a. Trust preferred securities issued by financial institutions.....	RCFDG348	0	RCFDG349	0	RCFDG350	0	RCFDG351	0	M6a
b. Trust preferred securities issued by real estate investment trusts.....	RCFDG352	0	RCFDG353	0	RCFDG354	0	RCFDG355	0	M6b
c. Corporate and similar loans.....	RCFDG356	0	RCFDG357	0	RCFDG358	0	RCFDG359	0	M6c
d. 1-4 family residential MBS issued or guaranteed by U.S. government-sponsored enterprises (GSEs).....	RCFDG360	0	RCFDG361	0	RCFDG362	0	RCFDG363	0	M6d
e. 1-4 family residential MBS not issued or guaranteed by GSEs.....	RCFDG364	0	RCFDG365	0	RCFDG366	0	RCFDG367	0	M6e
f. Diversified (mixed) pools of structured financial products.....	RCFDG368	0	RCFDG369	0	RCFDG370	0	RCFDG371	0	M6.f
g. Other collateral or reference assets.....	RCFDG372	0	RCFDG373	0	RCFDG374	5,688,000	RCFDG375	4,963,000	M6g

1. Includes held-to-maturity securities at amortized cost, available-for-sale debt securities at fair value, and equity securities with readily determinable fair values not held for trading (reported in Schedule RC, item 2.c) at fair value.

1. Includes held-to-maturity securities at amortized cost, available-for-sale debt securities at fair value, and equity securities with readily determinable fair values not held for trading (reported in Schedule RC, item 2.c) at fair value.

2. Report fixed-rate debt securities by remaining maturity and floating-rate debt securities by next repricing date.

2. Report fixed-rate debt securities by remaining maturity and floating-rate debt securities by next repricing date.

5. Sum of Memorandum items 2.c.(1) and 2.c.(2) plus any nonaccrual "Other mortgage-backed securities" included in Schedule RC-N, item 10, column C, must equal Schedule RC-B, sum of items 4.b and 4.c.(2), columns A and D.

1. The \$10 billion asset size test is based on the total assets reported on the June 30, 2022, Report of Condition.

Schedule RC-C Part I - Loans and Leases(Form Type - 031)

Do not deduct the allowance for loan and lease losses or the allocated transfer risk reserve from amounts reported in this schedule. Report (1) loans and leases held for sale at the lower of cost or fair value, (2) loans and leases held for investment, net of unearned income, and (3) loans and leases accounted for at fair value under a fair value option. Exclude assets held for trading and commercial paper.

Dollar amounts in thousands		(Column A) Consolidated Bank		(Column B) Domestic Offices	
1. Loans secured by real estate ²	RCFD1410	NR			1.
a. Construction, land development, and other land loans:					1.a.
1. 1-4 family residential construction loans	RCFDF158	372,000	RCONF158	372,000	1.a.1.
2. Other construction loans and all land development and other land loans	RCFDF159	5,367,000	RCONF159	5,367,000	1.a.2.
b. Secured by farmland (including farm residential and other improvements)	RCFD1420	51,000	RCON1420	51,000	1.b.
c. Secured by 1-4 family residential properties:					1.c.
1. Revolving, open-end loans secured by 1-4 family residential properties and extended under lines of credit	RCFD1797	3,574,000	RCON1797	3,574,000	1.c.1.
2. Closed-end loans secured by 1-4 family residential properties:					1.c.2.
a. Secured by first liens	RCFD5367	17,515,000	RCON5367	17,515,000	1.c.2.a.
b. Secured by junior liens	RCFD5368	177,000	RCON5368	177,000	1.c.2.b.
d. Secured by multifamily (5 or more) residential properties	RCFD1460	1,128,000	RCON1460	1,128,000	1.d.
e. Secured by nonfarm nonresidential properties:					1.e.
1. Loans secured by owner-occupied nonfarm nonresidential properties	RCFDF160	5,513,000	RCONF160	5,513,000	1.e.1.
2. Loans secured by other nonfarm nonresidential properties	RCFDF161	3,620,000	RCONF161	3,620,000	1.e.2.
2. Loans to depository institutions and acceptances of other banks:					2.
a. To commercial banks in the U.S.			RCONB531	2,000	2.a.
1. To U.S. branches and agencies of foreign banks	RCFDB532	0			2.a.1.
2. To other commercial banks in the U.S.	RCFDB533	2,000			2.a.2.
b. To other depository institutions in the U.S.	RCFDB534	0	RCONB534	0	2.b.
c. To banks in foreign countries			RCONB535	3,000	2.c.
1. To foreign branches of other U.S. banks	RCFDB536	0			2.c.1.
2. To other banks in foreign countries	RCFDB537	3,000			2.c.2.
3. Loans to finance agricultural production and other loans to farmers	RCFD1590	28,000	RCON1590	28,000	3.
4. Commercial and industrial loans:					4.
a. To U.S. addressees (domicile)	RCFD1763	44,099,000	RCON1763	44,081,000	4.a.
b. To non-U.S. addressees (domicile)	RCFD1764	3,010,000	RCON1764	2,544,000	4.b.
5. Not applicable					5.
6. Loans to individuals for household, family, and other personal expenditures (i.e., consumer loans) (includes purchased paper):					6.
a. Credit cards	RCFDB538	1,822,000	RCONB538	1,822,000	6.a.
b. Other revolving credit plans	RCFDB539	1,028,000	RCONB539	1,028,000	6.b.
c. Automobile loans	RCFDK137	12,265,000	RCONK137	12,265,000	6.c.
d. Other consumer loans (includes single payment and installment loans other than automobile loans, and all student loans)	RCFDK207	8,722,000	RCONK207	8,722,000	6.d.
7. Loans to foreign governments and official institutions (including foreign central banks)	RCFD2081	0	RCON2081	0	7.
8. Obligations (other than securities and leases) of states and political subdivisions in the U.S.	RCFD2107	257,000	RCON2107	257,000	8.
9. Loans to nondepository financial institutions and other loans:	RCFD1563	9,491,000			9.
a. Loans to nondepository financial institutions			RCONJ454	7,048,000	9.a.
b. Other loans:					9.b.
1. Loans for purchasing or carrying securities (secured and unsecured)			RCON1545	229,000	9.b.1.
2. All other loans (exclude consumer loans)			RCONJ451	2,212,000	9.b.2.
10. Lease financing receivables (net of unearned income)			RCON2165	2,658,000	10.
a. Leases to individuals for household, family, and other personal expenditures (i.e., consumer leases)	RCFDF162	0			10.a.
b. All other leases	RCFDF163	2,658,000			10.b.
11. LESS: Any unearned income on loans reflected in items 1-9 above	RCFD2123	0	RCON2123	0	11.
12. Total loans and leases held for investment and held for sale (item 12, column A must equal Schedule RC, sum of items 4.a and 4.b)	RCFD2122	120,702,000	RCON2122	120,216,000	12.

2. When reporting "Loans secured by real estate," "large institutions" and "highly complex institutions," as defined for deposit insurance assessment purposes in FDIC regulations, should complete items 1.a.(1) through 1.e.(2) in columns A and B (but not item 1 in column A); all other institutions should complete item 1 in column A and items 1.a.(1) through 1.e.(2) in column B (but not items 1.a.(1) through 1.e.(2) in column A).

Dollar amounts in thousands

1. Loans restructured in troubled debt restructurings that are in compliance with their modified terms (included in Schedule RC-C, part 1, and not reported as past due or nonaccrual in Schedule RC-N, Memorandum item 1):			M.1.
a. Construction, land development, and other land loans in domestic offices:			M.1.a.
1. 1-4 family residential construction loans.....	RCONK158	0	M.1.a.1.
2. Other construction loans and all land development and other land loans.....	RCONK159	117,000	M.1.a.2.
b. Loans secured by 1-4 family residential properties in domestic offices.....	RCONF576	71,000	M.1.b.
c. Secured by multifamily (5 or more) residential properties in domestic offices.....	RCONK160	0	M.1.c.
d. Secured by nonfarm nonresidential properties in domestic offices:			M.1.d.
1. Loans secured by owner-occupied nonfarm nonresidential properties.....	RCONK161	22,000	M.1.d.1.
2. Loans secured by other nonfarm nonresidential properties.....	RCONK162	21,000	M.1.d.2.
e. Commercial and industrial loans:			M.1.e.
1. To U.S. addressees (domicile).....	RCFDK163	142,000	M.1.e.1.
2. To non-U.S. addressees (domicile).....	RCFDK164	0	M.1.e.2.
f. All other loans (include loans to individuals for household, family, and other personal expenditures).....	RCFDK165	28,000	M.1.f.
<i>Itemize loan categories included in Memorandum item 1.f, above that exceed 10 percent of total loans restructured in troubled debt restructurings that are in compliance with their modified terms (sum of Memorandum items 1.a through 1.f):</i>			
1. Loans secured by farmland in domestic offices.....	RCONK166	0	M.1.f.1.
2. Not applicable			M.1.f.2.
3. Loans to finance agricultural production and other loans to farmers.....	RCFDK168	0	M.1.f.3.
4. Loans to individuals for household, family, and other personal expenditures:			M.1.f.4.
a. Credit cards.....	RCFDK098	0	M.1.f.4.a.
b. Automobile loans.....	RCFDK203	0	M.1.f.4.b.
c. Other (includes revolving credit plans other than credit cards and other consumer loans).....	RCFDK204	0	M.1.f.4.c.
g. Total loans restructured in troubled debt restructurings that are in compliance with their modified terms (sum of Memorandum items 1.a.(1) through 1.f.).....	RCFDHK25	401,000	M.1.g.
2. Maturity and repricing data for loans and leases (excluding those in nonaccrual status):			M.2.
a. Closed-end loans secured by first liens on 1-4 family residential properties in domestic offices (reported in Schedule RC-C, part I, item 1.c.(2)(a), column B) with a remaining maturity or next repricing date of:			M.2.a.
1. Three months or less.....	RCONA564	181,000	M.2.a.1.
2. Over three months through 12 months.....	RCONA565	404,000	M.2.a.2.
3. Over one year through three years.....	RCONA566	340,000	M.2.a.3.
4. Over three years through five years.....	RCONA567	714,000	M.2.a.4.
5. Over five years through 15 years.....	RCONA568	5,920,000	M.2.a.5.
6. Over 15 years.....	RCONA569	9,834,000	M.2.a.6.
b. All loans and leases (reported in Schedule RC-C, part I, items 1 through 10, column A) EXCLUDING closed-end loans secured by first liens on 1-4 family residential properties in domestic offices (reported in Schedule RC-C, part I, item 1.c.(2)(a), column B) with a remaining maturity or next repricing date of:			M.2.b.
1. Three months or less.....	RCFDA570	67,393,000	M.2.b.1.
2. Over three months through 12 months.....	RCFDA571	1,913,000	M.2.b.2.
3. Over one year through three years.....	RCFDA572	6,235,000	M.2.b.3.
4. Over three years through five years.....	RCFDA573	10,009,000	M.2.b.4.
5. Over five years through 15 years.....	RCFDA574	11,749,000	M.2.b.5.
6. Over 15 years.....	RCFDA575	5,434,000	M.2.b.6.
c. Loans and leases (reported in Schedule RC-C, part I, items 1 through 10, column A) with a REMAINING MATURITY of one year or less (excluding those in nonaccrual status).....	RCFDA247	14,210,000	M.2.c.
3. Loans to finance commercial real estate, construction, and land development activities (not secured by real estate) included in Schedule RC-C, part I, items 4 and 9, column A ⁴	RCFD2746	1,637,000	M.3.
4. Adjustable rate closed-end loans secured by first liens on 1-4 family residential properties in domestic offices (included in Schedule RC-C, part I, item 1.c.(2)(a), column B).....	RCON5370	3,085,000	M.4.
5. Loans secured by real estate to non-U.S. addressees (domicile) (included in Schedule RC-C, Part I, item 1, column A, or Schedule RC-C, Part I, items 1.a.(1) through 1.e.(2), column A, as appropriate).....	RCFDB837	9,000	M.5.
6. Outstanding credit card fees and finance charges included in Schedule RC-C, part I, item 6.a, column A.....	RCFDC391	21,000	M.6.

Memorandum item 6 is to be completed by banks that (1) together with affiliated institutions, have outstanding credit card receivables (as defined in the instructions) that exceed \$500 million as of the report date, or (2) are credit card specialty banks as defined for Uniform Bank Performance Report purposes.

4. Exclude loans secured by real estate that are included in Schedule RC-C, Part I, item 1, column A.

Dollar amounts in thousands

Memorandum items 7.a and 7.b are to be completed by all banks semiannually in the June and December reports only.

7. Purchased credit-impaired loans held for investment accounted for in accordance with FASB ASC 310-30 (former AICPA Statement of Position 03-3) (exclude loans held for sale):⁵

a. Outstanding balance.....

b. Amount included in Schedule RC-C, part I, items 1 through 9.....

		M.7.
--	--	------

RCFDC779	NR	M.7.a.
----------	-----------	--------

RCFDC780	NR	M.7.b.
----------	-----------	--------

Memorandum items 8.a, 8.b, and 8.c are to be completed semiannually in the June and December reports only.

8. Closed-end loans with negative amortization features secured by 1-4 family residential properties in domestic offices:

a. Total amount of closed-end loans with negative amortization features secured by 1-4 family residential properties (included in Schedule RC-C, part I, items 1.c.(2)(a) and 1.c.(2)(b)).....

Memorandum items 8.b and 8.c are to be completed semiannually in the June and December reports only by banks that had closed-end loans with negative amortization features secured by 1-4 family residential properties (as reported in Schedule RC-C, Part I, Memorandum item 8.a) as of December 31, 2021, that exceeded the lesser of \$100 million or 5 percent of total loans and leases held for investment and held for sale in domestic offices (as reported in Schedule RC-C, Part I, item 12, column B).

b. Total maximum remaining amount of negative amortization contractually permitted on closed-end loans secured by 1-4 family residential properties.....

c. Total amount of negative amortization on closed-end loans secured by 1-4 family residential properties included in the amount reported in Memorandum item 8.a above.....

		M.8.
--	--	------

RCONF230	NR	M.8.a.
----------	-----------	--------

RCONF231	NR	M.8.b.
----------	-----------	--------

RCONF232	NR	M.8.c.
----------	-----------	--------

5. Memorandum item 7 is to be completed only by institutions that have not yet adopted ASU 2016-13.

Dollar amounts in thousands

9. Loans secured by 1-4 family residential properties in domestic offices in process of foreclosure (included in Schedule RC-C, part I, items 1.c.(1), 1.c.(2)(a), and 1.c.(2)(b)).....	RCONF577	127,000	M.9.
---	----------	---------	------

Dollar amounts in thousands

10. Not applicable			M.10.
11. Not applicable			M.11.

Dollar amounts in thousands

	(Column A) Fair value of acquired loans and leases at acquisition date	(Column B) Gross contractual amounts receivable at acquisition date	(Column C) Best estimate at acquisition date of contractual cash flows not expected to be collected				
<i>Memorandum items 12.a, 12.b, 12.c, and 12.d are to be completed semiannually in the June and December reports only.</i>							
12. Loans (not subject to the requirements of FASB ASC 310-30 (former AICPA Statement of Position 03-3)) and leases held for investment that were acquired in business combinations with acquisition dates in the current calendar year: ¹				M.12.			
a. Loans secured by real estate.....	RCFDG091	NR	RCFDG092	NR	RCFDG093	NR	M.12a
b. Commercial and industrial loans.....	RCFDG094	NR	RCFDG095	NR	RCFDG096	NR	M.12b
c. Loans to individuals for household, family, and other personal expenditures.....	RCFDG097	NR	RCFDG098	NR	RCFDG099	NR	M.12c
d. All other loans and all leases.....	RCFDG100	NR	RCFDG101	NR	RCFDG102	NR	M.12d

Dollar amounts in thousands

<i>Memoranda item 13 is to be completed by banks that had construction, land development, and other land loans in domestic offices (as reported in Schedule RC-C, Part I, item 1.a., column B) that exceeded 100 percent of the sum of tier 1 capital (as reported in Schedule RC-R, Part I, item 26) plus the allowance for loan and lease losses or the allowance for credit losses on loans and leases, as applicable (as reported in Schedule RC, item 4.c) as of December 31, 2021.</i>				M.13.
13. Construction, land development, and other land loans in domestic offices with interest reserves:				
a. Amount of loans that provide for the use of interest reserves (included in Schedule RC-C, part I, item 1.a, column B).....	RCONG376		0	M.13.a.
b. Amount of interest capitalized from interest reserves on construction, land development, and other land loans that is included in interest and fee income on loans during the quarter (included in Schedule RI, item 1.a.(1)(a)(2)).	RIADG377		0	M.13.b.
<i>Memorandum item 14 is to be completed by all banks.</i>				
14. Pledged loans and leases.....	RCFDG378		67,839,000	M.14.
<i>Memorandum item 15 is to be completed for the December report only.</i>				
15. Reverse mortgages in domestic offices:				M.15.
a. Reverse mortgages outstanding that are held for investment (included in Schedule RC-C, item 1.c, above).....	RCONPR04		NR	M.15.a.
b. Estimated number of reverse mortgage loan referrals to other lenders during the year from whom compensation has been received for services performed in connection with the origination of the reverse mortgages.....	RCONPR05		NR	M.15.b.
c. Principal amount of reverse mortgage originations that have been sold during the year.....	RCONPR06		NR	M.15.c.
<i>Memorandum item 16 is to be completed by all banks.</i>				
16. Revolving, open-end loans secured by 1-4 family residential properties and extended under lines of credit in domestic offices that have converted to non-revolving closed-end status (included in item 1.c.(1) above).....	RCONLE75		198,000	M.16.
<i>Amounts reported in Memorandum items 17.a and 17.b will not be made available to the public on an individual institution basis.</i>				
17. Eligible loan modifications under Section 4013, Temporary Relief from Troubled Debt Restructurings, of the 2020 Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act:				M.17.
a. Number of Section 4013 loans outstanding.....	RCONLG24		CONF	M.17.a.
b. Outstanding balance of Section 4013 loans.....	RCONLG25		CONF	M.17.b.

1. Institutions that have adopted ASU 2016-13 should report only loans held for investment not considered purchased credit-deteriorated in Memorandum item 12.

Schedule RC-C Part II - Loans to Small Businesses and Small Farms(Form Type - 031)

Report the number and amount currently outstanding as of the report date of business loans with "original amounts" of \$1,000,000 or less and farm loans with "original amounts" of \$500,000 or less. The following guidelines should be used to determine the "original amount" of a loan:
 (1) For loans drawn down under lines of credit or loan commitments, the "original amount" of the loan is the size of the line of credit or loan commitment when the line of credit or loan commitment was most recently approved, extended, or renewed prior to the report date. However, if the amount currently outstanding as of the report date exceeds this size, the "original amount" is the amount currently outstanding on the report date. (2) For loan participations and syndications, the "original amount" of the loan participation or syndication is the entire amount of the credit originated by the lead lender. (3) For all other loans, the "original amount" is the total amount of the loan at origination or the amount currently outstanding as of the report date, whichever is larger.

Dollar amounts in thousands

1. Not applicable			1.
2. Not applicable			2.

Dollar amounts in thousands

	(Column A) Number of Loans		(Column B) Amount Currently Outstanding		
3. Number and amount currently outstanding of "Loans secured by nonfarm nonresidential properties" in domestic offices reported in Schedule RC-C, part I, items 1.e.(1) and 1.e.(2), column B:					3.
a. With original amounts of \$100,000 or less.....	RCON5564	307	RCON5565	13,000	3.a.
b. With original amounts of more than \$100,000 through \$250,000.....	RCON5566	792	RCON5567	106,000	3.b.
c. With original amounts of more than \$250,000 through \$1,000,000.....	RCON5568	1807	RCON5569	810,000	3.c.
4. Number and amount currently outstanding of "Commercial and industrial loans to U.S. addressees" in domestic offices reported in Schedule RC-C, part I, item 4.a, column B:					4.
a. With original amounts of \$100,000 or less.....	RCON5570	33595	RCON5571	463,000	4.a.
b. With original amounts of more than \$100,000 through \$250,000.....	RCON5572	2230	RCON5573	228,000	4.b.
c. With original amounts of more than \$250,000 through \$1,000,000.....	RCON5574	4554	RCON5575	1,838,000	4.c.

Dollar amounts in thousands

5. Not applicable			5.
6. Not applicable			6.

Dollar amounts in thousands

	(Column A) Number of Loans		(Column B) Amount Currently Outstanding		
7. Number and amount currently outstanding of "Loans secured by farmland (including farm residential and other improvements)" in domestic offices reported in Schedule RC-C, part I, item 1.b, column B:					7.
a. With original amounts of \$100,000 or less.....	RCON5578	605	RCON5579	12,000	7.a.
b. With original amounts of more than \$100,000 through \$250,000.....	RCON5580	144	RCON5581	8,000	7.b.
c. With original amounts of more than \$250,000 through \$500,000.....	RCON5582	41	RCON5583	4,000	7.c.
8. Number and amount currently outstanding of "Loans to finance agricultural production and other loans to farmers" in domestic offices reported in Schedule RC-C, part I, item 3, column B:					8.
a. With original amounts of \$100,000 or less.....	RCON5584	24	RCON5585	0	8.a.
b. With original amounts of more than \$100,000 through \$250,000.....	RCON5586	5	RCON5587	0	8.b.
c. With original amounts of more than \$250,000 through \$500,000.....	RCON5588	0	RCON5589	0	8.c.

Schedule RC-D - Trading Assets and Liabilities(Form Type - 031)

Schedule RC-D is to be completed by banks that reported total trading assets of \$10 million or more in any of the four preceding calendar quarters, and all banks meeting the FDIC's definition of a large or highly complex institution for deposit insurance assessment purposes.

Dollar amounts in thousands		Consolidated Bank		
1. U.S. Treasury securities.....		RCFD3531	610,000	1.
2. U.S. Government agency obligations (exclude mortgage-backed securities).....		RCFD3532	31,000	2.
3. Securities issued by states and political subdivisions in the U.S.....		RCFD3533	43,000	3.
4. Mortgage-backed securities (MBS):				4.
a. Residential mortgage pass-through securities issued or guaranteed by FNMA, FHLMC, or GNMA.....		RCFDG379	0	4.a.
b. Other residential MBS issued or guaranteed by U.S. Government agencies or sponsored agencies (include CMOs, REMICs, and stripped MBS) ¹		RCFDG380	7,000	4.b.
c. All other residential MBS.....		RCFDG381	0	4.c.
d. Commercial MBS issued or guaranteed by U.S. Government agencies or sponsored agencies ¹		RCFDK197	0	4.d.
e. All other commercial MBS.....		RCFDK198	0	4.e.
5. Other debt securities:				5.
a. Structured financial products.....		RCFDHT62	0	5.a.
b. All other debt securities.....		RCFDG386	417,000	5.b.
6. Loans:				6.
a. Loans secured by real estate				6.a.
1. Loans secured by 1-4 family residential properties.....		RCFDHT63	0	6.a.1.
2. All other loans secured by real estate.....		RCFDHT64	0	6.a.2.
b. Commercial and industrial loans.....		RCFDF614	0	6.b.
c. Loans to individuals for household, family, and other personal expenditures (i.e., consumer loans) (includes purchased paper).....		RCFDHT65	0	6.c.
d. Other loans.....		RCFDF618	0	6.d.
7. Not applicable				7.
8. Not applicable				8.
9. Other trading assets.....		RCFD3541	298,000	9.
10. Not applicable				10.
11. Derivatives with a positive fair value.....		RCFD3543	3,218,000	11.
12. Total trading assets (sum of items 1 through 11) (total of column A must equal Schedule RC, item 5).....		RCFD3545	4,624,000	12.
13. Not available				13.
a. Liability for short positions.....		RCFD3546	264,000	13.a.
b. Other trading liabilities.....		RCFDF624	0	13.b.
14. Derivatives with a negative fair value.....		RCFD3547	3,514,000	14.
15. Total trading liabilities (sum of items 13.a through 14) (total of column A must equal Schedule RC, item 15).....		RCFD3548	3,778,000	15.
1. Unpaid principal balance of loans measured at fair value (reported in Schedule RC-D, items 6.a through 6.d):				M.1.
a. Loans secured by real estate				M.1.a.
1. Loans secured by 1-4 family residential properties.....		RCFDHT66	0	M.1.a.1.
2. All other loans secured by real estate.....		RCFDHT67	0	M.1.a.2.
b. Commercial and industrial loans.....		RCFDF632	0	M.1.b.
c. Loans to individuals for household, family, and other personal expenditures (i.e., consumer loans) (includes purchased paper).....		RCFDHT68	0	M.1.c.
d. Other loans.....		RCFDF636	0	M.1.d.
<i>Memorandum items 2 through 10 are to be completed by banks with \$10 billion or more in total trading assets.</i>				M.2.
2. Loans measured at fair value that are past due 90 days or more: ¹				
a. Fair value.....		RCFDF639	NR	M.2.a.
b. Unpaid principal balance.....		RCFDF640	NR	M.2.b.

1. U.S. Government agencies include, but are not limited to, such agencies as the Government National Mortgage Association (GNMA), the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC), and the National Credit Union Administration (NCUA). U.S. Government-sponsored agencies include, but are not limited to, such agencies as the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (FHLMC) and the Federal National Mortgage Association (FNMA).

1. The \$10 billion trading asset-size test is based on total trading assets reported on the June 30, 2022, Report of Condition.

Dollar amounts in thousands

Memorandum items 3 through 10 are to be completed by banks with \$10 billion or more in total trading assets.

3. Structured financial products by underlying collateral or reference assets (for each column, sum of Memorandum items 3.a through 3.g must equal Schedule RC-D, sum of items 5.a.(1) through (3)):

		Consolidated Bank		
				M.3.
a.	Trust preferred securities issued by financial institutions.....	RCFDG299	NR	M.3.a.
b.	Trust preferred securities issued by real estate investment trusts.....	RCFDG332	NR	M.3.b.
c.	Corporate and similar loans.....	RCFDG333	NR	M.3.c.
d.	1-4 family residential MBS issued or guaranteed by U.S. government-sponsored enterprises (GSEs).....	RCFDG334	NR	M.3.d.
e.	1-4 family residential MBS not issued or guaranteed by GSEs.....	RCFDG335	NR	M.3.e.
f.	Diversified (mixed) pools of structured financial products.....	RCFDG651	NR	M.3.f.
g.	Other collateral or reference assets.....	RCFDG652	NR	M.3.g.
4. Pledged trading assets:				M.4.
a.	Pledged securities.....	RCFDG387	NR	M.4.a.
b.	Pledged loans.....	RCFDG388	NR	M.4.b.

Dollar amounts in thousands

5. Asset-backed securities:

a.	Credit card receivables.....	RCFDF643	NR	M.5.a.
b.	Home equity lines.....	RCFDF644	NR	M.5.b.
c.	Automobile loans.....	RCFDF645	NR	M.5.c.
d.	Other consumer loans.....	RCFDF646	NR	M.5.d.
e.	Commercial and industrial loans.....	RCFDF647	NR	M.5.e.
f.	Other.....	RCFDF648	NR	M.5.f.
6. Retained beneficial interests in securitizations (first-loss or equity tranches)				M.6.
7. Equity securities (included in Schedule RC-D, item 9, above):				M.7.
a.	Readily determinable fair values.....	RCFDF652	NR	M.7.a.
b.	Other.....	RCFDF653	NR	M.7.b.
8. Loans pending securitization.....		RCFDF654	NR	M.8.
9. Other trading assets (itemize and describe amounts included in Schedule RC-D, item 9, that are greater than \$1,000,000 and exceed 25% of the item): ¹				M.9.
a. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:				M.9.a.
1.	Describe component.....	TEXTF655	NR	M.9.a.1.
2.	Amount of component.....	RCFDF655	NR	M.9.a.2.
b. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:				M.9.b.
(TEXTF656) NR		RCFDF656	NR	M.9.b.1.
c. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:				M.9.c.
(TEXTF657) NR		RCFDF657	NR	M.9.c.1.
10. Other trading liabilities (itemize and describe amounts included in Schedule RC-D, item 13.b, that are greater than \$1,000,000 and exceed 25% of the item):				M.10.
a. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:				M.10.a.
1.	Describe component.....	TEXTF658	NR	M.10.a.1.
2.	Amount of component.....	RCFDF658	NR	M.10.a.2.
b. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:				M.10.b.
(TEXTF659) NR		RCFDF659	NR	M.10.b.1.
c. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:				M.10.c.
(TEXTF660) NR		RCFDF660	NR	M.10.c.1.

1. Exclude equity securities.

Schedule RC-E Part I - Deposits in Domestic Offices(Form Type - 031)

Dollar amounts in thousands		(Column A) Transaction Accounts Total Transaction accounts (including total demand deposits)	(Column B) Transaction Accounts Memo: Total demand deposits (included in column A)	(Column C) Nontransaction Accounts Total nontransaction accounts (including MMDAs)
Deposits of:				
1. Individuals, partnerships, and corporations (include all certified and official checks).....	RCONB549	97,695,000		RCONB550 69,576,000 1.
2. U.S. Government.....	RCON2202	0		RCON2520 0 2.
3. States and political subdivisions in the U.S.....	RCON2203	5,760,000		RCON2530 663,000 3.
4. Commercial banks and other depository institutions in the U.S.....	RCONB551	337,000		RCONB552 0 4.
5. Banks in foreign countries.....	RCON2213	0		RCON2236 0 5.
6. Foreign governments and official institutions (including foreign central banks).....	RCON2216	0		RCON2377 0 6.
7. Total (sum of items 1 through 6) (sum of columns A and C must equal Schedule RC, item 13.a).....	RCON2215	103,792,000	RCON2210 101,422,000	RCON2385 70,239,000 7.

Dollar amounts in thousands

1. Selected components of total deposits (i.e., sum of item 7, columns A and C):			M.1.
a. Total Individual Retirement Accounts (IRAs) and Keogh Plan accounts.....	RCON6835	2,251,000	M.1.a.
b. Total brokered deposits.....	RCON2365	7,023,000	M.1.b.
c. Brokered deposits of \$250,000 or less (fully insured brokered deposits) ²	RCONHK05	7,023,000	M.1.c.
d. Maturity data for brokered deposits:			M.1.d.
1. Brokered deposits of \$250,000 or less with a remaining maturity of one year or less (included in Memorandum item 1.c above).....	RCONHK06	7,022,000	M.1.d.1.
2. Not applicable			M.1.d.2.
3. Brokered deposits of more than \$250,000 with a remaining maturity of one year or less (included in Memorandum item 1.b above).....	RCONK220	0	M.1.d.3.
e. Preferred deposits (uninsured deposits of states and political subdivisions in the U.S. reported in item 3 above which are secured or collateralized as required under state law) (to be completed for the December report only).	RCON5590	NR	M.1.e.
f. Estimated amount of deposits obtained through the use of deposit listing services that are not brokered deposits.....	RCONK223	0	M.1.f.
g. Total reciprocal deposits (as of the report date).....	RCONJH83	0	M.1.g.
<i>Memorandum items 1.h.(1)(a), 1.h.(2)(a), 1.h.(3)(a), and 1.h.(4)(a) are to be completed by banks with \$100 billion or more in total assets</i>			M.1.h.
h. Sweep deposits:			
1. Fully insured, affiliate sweep deposits.....	RCONMT87	406,000	M.1.h.1.
a. Fully insured, affiliate, retail sweep deposits.....	RCONMT88	406,000	M.1.h.1.a.
2. Not fully insured, affiliate sweep deposits.....	RCONMT89	0	M.1.h.2.
a. Not fully insured, affiliate, retail sweep deposits.....	RCONMT90	0	M.1.h.2.a.
3. Fully insured, non-affiliate sweep deposits.....	RCONMT91	2,879,000	M.1.h.3.
a. Fully insured, non-affiliate, retail sweep deposits.....	RCONMT92	2,879,000	M.1.h.3.a.
4. Not fully insured, non-affiliate sweep deposits.....	RCONMT93	0	M.1.h.4.
a. Not fully insured, non-affiliate, retail sweep deposits.....	RCONMT94	0	M.1.h.4.a.
i. Total sweep deposits that are not brokered deposits.....	RCONMT95	2,699,000	M.1.i.
2. Components of total nontransaction accounts (sum of Memorandum items 2.a through 2.d must equal item 7, column C above):			M.2.
a. Savings deposits:			M.2.a.
1. Money market deposit accounts (MMDAs).....	RCON6810	33,492,000	M.2.a.1.
2. Other savings deposits (excludes MMDAs).....	RCON0352	20,195,000	M.2.a.2.
b. Total time deposits of less than \$100,000.....	RCON6648	7,891,000	M.2.b.
c. Total time deposits of \$100,000 through \$250,000.....	RCONJ473	7,818,000	M.2.c.
d. Total time deposits of more than \$250,000.....	RCONJ474	843,000	M.2.d.
e. Individual Retirement Accounts (IRAs) and Keogh Plan accounts of \$100,000 or more included in Memorandum items 2.c and 2.d above.....	RCONF233	175,000	M.2.e.
3. Maturity and repricing data for time deposits of \$250,000 or less:			M.3.
a. Time deposits of \$250,000 or less with a remaining maturity or next repricing date of:			M.3.a.
1. Three months or less.....	RCONHK07	6,195,000	M.3.a.1.
2. Over three months through 12 months.....	RCONHK08	9,276,000	M.3.a.2.
3. Over one year through three years.....	RCONHK09	217,000	M.3.a.3.
4. Over three years.....	RCONHK10	21,000	M.3.a.4.
b. Time deposits of \$250,000 or less with a REMAINING MATURITY of one year or less (included in Memorandum items 3.a.(1) and 3.a.(2) above) ³	RCONHK11	15,470,000	M.3.b.
4. Maturity and repricing data for time deposits of more than \$250,000:			M.4.
a. Time deposits of more than \$250,000 with a remaining maturity or next repricing date of:			M.4.a.
1. Three months or less.....	RCONHK12	338,000	M.4.a.1.
2. Over three months through 12 months.....	RCONHK13	495,000	M.4.a.2.
3. Over one year through three years.....	RCONHK14	8,000	M.4.a.3.
4. Over three years.....	RCONHK15	2,000	M.4.a.4.

2. The dollar amount used as the basis for reporting in Memorandum item 1.c reflects the deposit insurance limit in effect on the report date.
 3. Report both fixed- and floating-rate time deposits by remaining maturity. Exclude floating rate time deposits with a next repricing date of one year or less that have a remaining maturity of over one year.

Dollar amounts in thousands

b. Time deposits of more than \$250,000 with a REMAINING MATURITY of one year or less (included in Memorandum items 4.a.(1) and 4.a.(2) above) ³	RCONK222	833,000	M.4.b.
5. Does your institution offer one or more consumer deposit account products, i.e., transaction account or nontransaction savings account deposit products intended primarily for individuals for personal, household, or family use?.....	RCONP752	Yes	M.5.
<i>Memorandum items 6 and 7 are to be completed by institutions with \$1 billion or more in total assets that answered "Yes" to Memorandum item 5 above.</i>			
6. Components of total transaction account deposits of individuals, partnerships, and corporations (sum of Memorandum items 6.a and 6.b must be less than or equal to item 1, column A, above): ⁵			M.6.
a. Total deposits in those noninterest-bearing transaction account deposit products intended primarily for individuals for personal, household, or family use.....	RCONP753	12,860,000	M.6.a.
b. Total deposits in those interest-bearing transaction account deposit products intended primarily for individuals for personal, household, or family use.....	RCONP754	15,658,000	M.6.b.
7. Components of total nontransaction account deposits of individuals, partnerships, and corporations (sum of Memorandum items 7.a.(1), 7.a.(2), 7.b.(1), and 7.b.(2) plus all time deposits of individuals, partnerships, and corporations must equal item 1, column C, above):			M.7.
a. Money market deposit accounts (MMDAs) of individuals, partnerships, and corporations (sum of Memorandum items 7.a.(1) and 7.a.(2) must be less than or equal to Memorandum item 2.a.(1) above):			M.7.a.
1. Total deposits in those MMDA deposit products intended primarily for individuals for personal, household, or family use.....	RCONP756	26,013,000	M.7.a.1.
2. Deposits in all other MMDAs of individuals, partnerships, and corporations.....	RCONP757	6,873,000	M.7.a.2.
b. Other savings deposit accounts of individuals, partnerships, and corporations (sum of Memorandum items 7.b.(1) and 7.b.(2) must be less than or equal to Memorandum item 2.a.(2) above):			M.7.b.
1. Total deposits in those other savings deposit account deposit products intended primarily for individuals for personal, household, or family use.....	RCONP758	18,236,000	M.7.b.1.
2. Deposits in all other savings deposit accounts of individuals, partnerships, and corporations.....	RCONP759	1,930,000	M.7.b.2.

Schedule RC-E Part II - Deposits in Foreign Offices including Edge and Agreement subsidiaries and IBFs(Form Type - 031)

Dollar amounts in thousands

Deposits of:			
1. Individuals, partnerships, and corporations (include all certified and official checks).....	RCFNB553	168,000	1.
2. U.S. banks (including IBFs and foreign branches of U.S. banks) and other U.S. depository institutions.....	RCFNB554	0	2.
3. Foreign banks (including U.S. branches and agencies of foreign banks, including their IBFs).....	RCFN2625	0	3.
4. Foreign governments and official institutions (including foreign central banks).....	RCFN2650	0	4.
5. U.S. Government and states and political subdivisions in the U.S.....	RCFNB555	0	5.
6. Total.....	RCFN2200	168,000	6.
1. Time deposits with a remaining maturity of one year or less (included in Schedule RC, item 13.b).....	RCFNA245	0	M.1.

5. The \$1 billion asset size test is based on the total assets reported on the June 30, 2022, Report of Condition.

Schedule RC-F - Other Assets(Form Type - 031)

Dollar amounts in thousands

1. Accrued interest receivable ²	RCFDB556	734,000	1.
2. Net deferred tax assets ³	RCFD2148	2,194,000	2.
3. Interest-only strips receivable (not in the form of a security) ⁴	RCFDHT80	1,000	3.
4. Equity investments without readily determinable fair values ⁵	RCFD1752	1,105,000	4.
5. Life insurance assets:			5.
a. General account life insurance assets.....	RCFDK201	582,000	5.a.
b. Separate account life insurance assets.....	RCFDK202	542,000	5.b.
c. Hybrid account life insurance assets.....	RCFDK270	966,000	5.c.
6. All other assets (itemize and describe amounts greater than \$100,000 that exceed 25% of this item).....	RCFD2168	3,490,000	6.
a. Prepaid expenses.....	RCFD2166	0	6.a.
b. Repossessed personal property (including vehicles).....	RCFD1578	0	6.b.
c. Derivatives with a positive fair value held for purposes other than trading.....	RCFDC010	0	6.c.
d. Not applicable			6.d.
e. Computer software.....	RCFDFT33	0	6.e.
f. Accounts receivable.....	RCFDFT34	2,038,000	6.f.
g. Receivables from foreclosed government-guaranteed mortgage loans.....	RCFDFT35	0	6.g.
h. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:			6.h.
1. Describe component.....	TEXT3549	NR	6.h.1.
2. Amount of component.....	RCFD3549	0	6.h.2.
i. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:			6.i.
1. Describe component.....	TEXT3550	NR	6.i.1.
2. Amount of component.....	RCFD3550	0	6.i.2.
j. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:			6.j.
1. Describe component.....	TEXT3551	NR	6.j.1.
2. Amount of component.....	RCFD3551	0	6.j.2.
7. Total (sum of items 1 through 6) (must equal Schedule RC, item 11).....	RCFD2160	9,614,000	7.

2. Include accrued interest receivable on loans, leases, debt securities, and other interest-bearing assets. Exclude accrued interest receivables on financial assets that are reported elsewhere on the balance sheet.
3. See discussion of deferred income taxes in Glossary entry on "income taxes."
4. Report interest-only strips receivable in the form of a security as available-for-sale securities in Schedule RC, item 2.b, or as trading assets in Schedule RC, item 5, as appropriate.
5. Include Federal Reserve stock, Federal Home Loan Bank stock, and bankers' bank stock.

Schedule RC-G - Other Liabilities(Form Type - 031)

Dollar amounts in thousands

1. Not available			1.
a. Interest accrued and unpaid on deposits in domestic offices ⁶	RCON3645	177,000	1.a.
b. Other expenses accrued and unpaid (includes accrued income taxes payable).....	RCFD3646	936,000	1.b.
2. Net deferred tax liabilities ²	RCFD3049	4,000	2.
3. Allowance for credit losses on off-balance sheet credit exposures ⁷	RCFDB557	189,000	3.
4. All other liabilities (itemize and describe amounts greater than \$100,000 that exceed 25 percent of this item).....	RCFD2938	2,036,000	4.
a. Accounts payable.....	RCFD3066	0	4.a.
b. Deferred compensation liabilities.....	RCFDC011	0	4.b.
c. Dividends declared but not yet payable.....	RCFD2932	0	4.c.
d. Derivatives with a negative fair value held for purposes other than trading.....	RCFDC012	0	4.d.
e. Operating lease liabilities.....	RCFDLB56	604,000	4.e.
f. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:			4.f.
1. Describe component.....	TEXT3552	Click here for value	4.f.1.
2. Amount of component.....	RCFD3552	625,000	4.f.2.
g. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:			4.g.
1. Describe component.....	TEXT3553	NR	4.g.1.
2. Amount of component.....	RCFD3553	0	4.g.2.
h. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:			4.h.
1. Describe component.....	TEXT3554	NR	4.h.1.
2. Amount of component.....	RCFD3554	0	4.h.2.
5. Total.....	RCFD2930	3,342,000	5.

(TEXT3552) Commitments to fund low-income housing investments

6. For savings banks, include "dividends" accrued and unpaid on deposits.

2. See discussion of deferred income taxes in Glossary entry on "income taxes."

7. Institutions that have adopted ASU 2016-13 should report in Schedule RC-G, item 3 the allowance for credit losses on those off-balance sheet credit exposures that are not unconditionally cancelable.

Schedule RC-H - Selected Balance Sheet Items for Domestic Offices(Form Type - 031)

To be completed only by banks with foreign offices.

Dollar amounts in thousands

1. Not applicable			1.
2. Not applicable			2.
3. Securities purchased under agreements to resell.....	RCONB989	0	3.
4. Securities sold under agreements to repurchase.....	RCONB995	320,000	4.
5. Other borrowed money.....	RCON3190	10,870,000	5.
<i>EITHER</i>			
6. Net due from own foreign offices, Edge and Agreement subsidiaries, and IBFs.....	RCON2163	529,000	6.
<i>OR</i>			
7. Net due to own foreign offices, Edge and Agreement subsidiaries, and IBFs.....	RCON2941	0	7.
8. Total assets (excludes net due from foreign offices, Edge and Agreement subsidiaries, and IBFs).....	RCON2192	211,450,000	8.
9. Total liabilities (excludes net due to foreign offices, Edge and Agreement subsidiaries, and IBFs).....	RCON3129	193,294,000	9.

Dollar amounts in thousands

	(Column A) Amortized Cost of Held-to-Maturity Securities		(Column B) Fair Value of Available-for-Sale Securities		
10. U.S. Treasury securities.....	RCON0211	0	RCON1287	3,462,000	10.
11. U.S. Government agency obligations (exclude mortgage-backed securities).....	RCON8492	0	RCON8495	0	11.
12. Securities issued by states and political subdivisions in the U.S.....	RCON8496	0	RCON8499	2,000	12.
13. Mortgage-backed securities (MBS):					13.
a. Mortgage pass-through securities:					13.a.
1. Issued or guaranteed by FNMA, FHLMC, or GNMA.....	RCONG389	0	RCONG390	18,470,000	13.a.1.
2. Other mortgage pass-through securities.....	RCON1709	0	RCON1713	0	13.a.2.
b. Other mortgage-backed securities (include CMOs, REMICs, and stripped MBS):					13.b.
1. Issued or guaranteed by U.S. Government agencies or sponsored agencies ¹	RCONG393	0	RCONG394	10,904,000	13.b.1.
2. All other mortgage-backed securities.....	RCON1733	0	RCON1736	4,324,000	13.b.2.
14. Other domestic debt securities (include domestic structured financial products and domestic asset-backed securities).....	RCONG397	0	RCONG398	9,951,000	14.
15. Other foreign debt securities (include foreign structured financial products and foreign asset-backed securities).....	RCONG399	0	RCONG400	2,000	15.
16. Not applicable.					16.
17. Total held-to-maturity and available-for-sale debt securities (sum of items 10 through 15).....	RCON1754	0	RCON1773	47,115,000	17.

Dollar amounts in thousands

18. Equity investments not held for trading:			18.
a. Equity securities with readily determinable fair values ⁴	RCONJA22	20,000	18.a.
b. Equity investments without readily determinable fair values.....	RCON1752	1,105,000	18.b.
<i>Items 19, 20 and 21 are to be completed by banks that reported total trading assets of \$10 million or more in any of the four preceding calendar quarters and all banks meeting the FDIC's definition of a large or highly complex institution for deposit insurance assessment purposes.</i>			
19. Total trading assets.....	RCON3545	4,624,000	19.
20. Total trading liabilities.....	RCON3548	3,778,000	20.
21. Total loans held for trading.....	RCONHT71	0	21.
<i>Item 22 is to be completed by banks that: (1) have elected to report financial instruments or servicing assets and liabilities at fair value under a fair value option with changes in fair value recognized in earnings, or (2) are required to complete Schedule RC-D, Trading Assets and Liabilities.</i>			
22. Total amount of fair value option loans held for investment and held for sale.....	RCONJF75	610,000	22.

1. U.S. Government agencies include, but are not limited to, such agencies as the Government National Mortgage Association (GNMA), the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC), and the National Credit Union Administration (NCUA). U.S. Government-sponsored agencies include, but are not limited to, such agencies as the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (FHLMC) and the Federal National Mortgage Association (FNMA).

4. Item 18.a is to be completed by all institutions. See the instructions for this item and the Glossary entry for "Securities Activities" for further detail on accounting for investments in equity securities.

Schedule RC-I - Assets and Liabilities of IBFs(Form Type - 031)

To be completed only by banks with IBFs and other "foreign" offices.

Dollar amounts in thousands

1. Total IBF assets of the consolidated bank (component of Schedule RC, item 12).....	RCFN2133	NR	1.
2. Total IBF liabilities (component of Schedule RC, item 21).....	RCFN2898	NR	2.

Schedule RC-K - Quarterly Averages(Form Type - 031)

Dollar amounts in thousands

1. Interest-bearing balances due from depository institutions.....	RCFD3381	12,951,000	1.
2. U.S. Treasury securities and U.S. Government agency obligations (excluding mortgage-backed securities) ²	RCFDB558	3,202,000	2.
3. Mortgage-backed securities ²	RCFDB559	40,035,000	3.
4. All other debt securities and equity securities with readily determinable fair values not held for trading ²	RCFDB560	11,385,000	4.
5. Federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell.....	RCFD3365	0	5.
6. Loans:			6.
a. Loans in domestic offices:			6.a.
1. Total loans.....	RCON3360	119,182,000	6.a.1.
2. Loans secured by real estate:			6.a.2.
a. Loans secured by 1-4 family residential properties.....	RCON3465	21,479,000	6.a.2.a.
b. All other loans secured by real estate.....	RCON3466	16,078,000	6.a.2.b.
3. Loans to finance agricultural production and other loans to farmers	RCON3386	28,000	6.a.3.
4. Commercial and industrial loans.....	RCON3387	47,965,000	6.a.4.
5. Loans to individuals for household, family, and other personal expenditures:			6.a.5.
a. Credit cards.....	RCONB561	1,819,000	6.a.5.a.
b. Other (includes revolving credit plans other than credit cards, automobile loans, and other consumer loans).....	RCONB562	22,239,000	6.a.5.b.
b. Total loans in foreign offices, Edge and Agreement subsidiaries, and IBFs.....	RCFN3360	540,000	6.b.
<i>Item 7 is to be completed by banks with total trading assets of \$10 million or more in any of the four preceding calendar quarters and all banks meeting the FDIC's definition of a large or highly complex institution for deposit insurance assessment purposes.</i>			
7. Trading assets.....	RCFD3401	4,715,000	7.
8. Lease financing receivables (net of unearned income).....	RCFD3484	2,544,000	8.
9. Total assets ⁴	RCFD3368	214,192,000	9.
10. Interest-bearing transaction accounts in domestic offices (interest-bearing demand deposits, NOW accounts, ATS accounts, and telephone and preauthorized transfer accounts).....	RCON3485	59,263,000	10.
11. Nontransaction accounts in domestic offices:			11.
a. Savings deposits (includes MMDAs).....	RCONB563	52,583,000	11.a.
b. Time deposits of \$250,000 or less.....	RCONHK16	14,781,000	11.b.
c. Time deposits of more than \$250,000.....	RCONHK17	774,000	11.c.
12. Interest-bearing deposits in foreign offices, EDGE and Agreement subsidiaries, and IBFs.....	RCFN3404	168,000	12.
13. Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase.....	RCFD3353	533,000	13.
14. Other borrowed money (includes mortgage indebtedness and obligations under capitalized leases).....	RCFD3355	8,115,000	14.

2. Quarterly averages for all debt securities should be based on amortized cost.

2. Quarterly averages for all debt securities should be based on amortized cost.

4. The quarterly average for total assets should reflect securities not held for trading as follows: a) Debt securities at amortized cost, b) Equity securities with readily determinable fair values at fair value, c) Equity investments without readily determinable fair values, their balance sheet carrying values (i.e., fair value or, if elected, cost minus impairment, if any, plus or minus changes resulting from observable price changes).

Schedule RC-L - Derivatives and Off-Balance Sheet Items(Form Type - 031)

Please read carefully the instructions for the preparation of Schedule RC-L. Some of the amounts reported in Schedule RC-L are regarded as volume indicators and not necessarily as measures of risk.

Dollar amounts in thousands

1. Unused commitments:					1.
a. Revolving, open-end lines secured by 1-4 family residential properties, i.e., home equity lines.....	RCFD3814		7,938,000		1.a.
<i>Item 1.a.(1) is to be completed for the December report only.</i>					
1. Unused commitments for reverse mortgages outstanding that are held for investment in domestic offices..	RCONHT72		NR		1.a.1.
b. Credit card lines (Sum of items 1.b.(1) and 1.b.(2) must equal item 1.b.).....	RCFD3815		11,389,000		1.b.
<i>Items 1.b.(1) and 1.b.(2) are to be completed by banks with either \$300 million or more in total assets or \$300 million or more in credit card lines. (Sum of items 1.b.(1) and 1.b.(2) must equal item 1.b.)</i>					
<i>Items 1.b.(1) and 1.b.(2) are to be completed semiannually in the June and December reports only.</i>					
1. Unused consumer credit card lines.....	RCFDJ455		NR		1.b.1.
2. Other unused credit card lines.....	RCFDJ456		NR		1.b.2.
c. Commitments to fund commercial real estate, construction, and land development loans:					1.c.
1. Secured by real estate:					1.c.1.
a. 1-4 family residential construction loan commitments.....	RCFDF164		318,000		1.c.1.a.
b. Commercial real estate, other construction loan, and land development loan commitments.....	RCFDF165		4,879,000		1.c.1.b.
2. Not secured by real estate.....	RCFD6550		1,791,000		1.c.2.
d. Securities underwriting.....	RCFD3817		0		1.d.
e. Other unused commitments:					1.e.
1. Commercial and industrial loans.....	RCFDJ457		46,158,000		1.e.1.
2. Loans to financial institutions.....	RCFDJ458		6,140,000		1.e.2.
3. All other unused commitments.....	RCFDJ459		6,006,000		1.e.3.
2. Financial standby letters of credit and foreign office guarantees.....	RCFD3819		1,201,000		2.
<i>Item 2.a is to be completed by banks with \$1 billion or more in total assets.</i>					
a. Amount of financial standby letters of credit conveyed to others ¹	RCFD3820		0		2.a.
3. Performance standby letters of credit and foreign office guarantees.....	RCFD3821		925,000		3.
<i>Item 3.a is to be completed by banks with \$1 billion or more in total assets.</i>					
a. Amount of performance standby letters of credit conveyed to others ¹	RCFD3822		0		3.a.
4. Commercial and similar letters of credit.....	RCFD3411		11,000		4.
5. Not applicable					5.
6. Securities lent and borrowed:					6.
a. Securities lent (including customers' securities lent where the customer is indemnified against loss by the reporting bank).....	RCFD3433		0		6.a.
b. Securities borrowed.....	RCFD3432		0		6.b.

Dollar amounts in thousands

	(Column A) Sold Protection		(Column B) Purchased Protection		
7. Credit derivatives:					7.
a. Notional amounts:					7.a.
1. Credit default swaps.....	RCFDC968	0	RCFDC969	0	7.a.1.
2. Total return swaps.....	RCFDC970	0	RCFDC971	0	7.a.2.
3. Credit options.....	RCFDC972	0	RCFDC973	0	7.a.3.
4. Other credit derivatives.....	RCFDC974	3,897,000	RCFDC975	1,227,000	7.a.4.
b. Gross fair values:					7.b.
1. Gross positive fair value.....	RCFDC219	0	RCFDC221	0	7.b.1.
2. Gross negative fair value.....	RCFDC220	7,000	RCFDC222	0	7.b.2.

Dollar amounts in thousands

c. Notional amounts by regulatory capital treatment: ¹			7.c.
1. Positions covered under the Market Risk Rule:			7.c.1.
a. Sold protection.....	RCFDG401	0	7.c.1.a.
b. Purchased protection.....	RCFDG402	0	7.c.1.b.
2. All other positions:			7.c.2.
a. Sold protection.....	RCFDG403	3,897,000	7.c.2.a.
b. Purchased protection that is recognized as a guarantee for regulatory capital purposes.....	RCFDG404	1,227,000	7.c.2.b.
c. Purchased protection that is not recognized as a guarantee for regulatory capital purposes.....	RCFDG405	0	7.c.2.c.

Dollar amounts in thousands

	(Column A) Remaining Maturity of One Year or Less		(Column B) Remaining Maturity of Over One Year Through Five Years		(Column C) Remaining Maturity of Over Five Years		
d. Notional amounts by remaining maturity:							7.d.
1. Sold credit protection: ²							7.d.1.
a. Investment grade.....	RCFDG406	212,000	RCFDG407	1,062,000	RCFDG408	18,000	7d1a.
b. Subinvestment grade.....	RCFDG409	237,000	RCFDG410	2,093,000	RCFDG411	275,000	7d1b.
2. Purchased credit protection: ³							7.d.2.
a. Investment grade.....	RCFDG412	0	RCFDG413	149,000	RCFDG414	247,000	7d2a.
b. Subinvestment grade.....	RCFDG415	228,000	RCFDG416	406,000	RCFDG417	197,000	7d2b.

1. The asset-size tests and the \$300 million credit card lines test are based on the total assets and credit card lines reported in the June 30, 2022, Report of Condition.
 1. The asset-size tests and the \$300 million credit card lines test are based on the total assets and credit card lines reported in the June 30, 2022, Report of Condition.

Dollar amounts in thousands

8. Spot foreign exchange contracts.....	RCFD8765	205,000	8.
9. All other off-balance sheet liabilities (exclude derivatives) (itemize and describe each component of this item over 25% of Schedule RC, item 27.a, "Total bank equity capital").....	RCFD3430	0	9.
a. Not applicable			9.a.
b. Commitments to purchase when-issued securities.....	RCFD3434	0	9.b.
c. Standby letters of credit issued by another party (e.g., a Federal Home Loan Bank) on the bank's behalf.....	RCFDC978	0	9.c.
d. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:			9.d.
1. Describe component.....	TEXT3555	NR	9.d.1.
2. Amount of component.....	RCFD3555	0	9.d.2.
e. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:			9.e.
1. Describe component.....	TEXT3556	NR	9.e.1.
2. Amount of component.....	RCFD3556	0	9.e.2.
f. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:			9.f.
(TEXT3557) NR	RCFD3557	0	9.f.1.
10. All other off-balance sheet assets (exclude derivatives) (itemize and describe each component of this item over 25% of Schedule RC, item 27.a, "Total bank equity capital").....	RCFD5591	0	10.
a. Commitments to sell when-issued securities.....	RCFD3435	0	10.a.
b. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:			10.b.
1. Describe component.....	TEXT5592	NR	10.b.1.
2. Amount of component.....	RCFD5592	0	10.b.2.
c. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:			10.c.
1. Describe component.....	TEXT5593	NR	10.c.1.
2. Amount of component.....	RCFD5593	0	10.c.2.
d. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:			10.d.
1. Describe component.....	TEXT5594	NR	10.d.1.
2. Amount of component.....	RCFD5594	0	10.d.2.
e. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:			10.e.
1. Describe component.....	TEXT5595	NR	10.e.1.
2. Amount of component.....	RCFD5595	0	10.e.2.
<i>Items 11.a and 11.b are to be completed semiannually in the June and December reports only.</i>			11.
11. Year-to-date merchant credit card sales volume:			
a. Sales for which the reporting bank is the acquiring bank.....	RCFDC223	NR	11.a.
b. Sales for which the reporting bank is the agent bank with risk.....	RCFDC224	NR	11.b.

1. Sum of items 7.c.(1)(a) and 7.c.(2)(a), must equal sum of items 7.a.(1) through (4), column A. Sum of items 7.c.(1)(b), 7.c.(2)(b), and 7.c.(2)(c) must equal sum of items 7.a.(1) through (4), column B.
 2. Sum of items 7.d.(1)(a) and (b), columns A through C, must equal sum of items 7.a.(1) through (4), column A.
 3. Sum of items 7.d.(2)(a) and (b), columns A through C, must equal sum of items 7.a.(1) through (4), column B.

Dollar amounts in thousands		(Column A) Interest Rate Contracts	(Column B) Foreign Exchange Contracts	(Column C) Equity Derivative Contracts	(Column D) Commodity and Other Contracts				
12. Gross amounts (e.g., notional amounts):						12.			
a. Futures contracts.....	RCFD8693	35,000	RCFD8694	0	RCFD8695	0	RCFD8696	2,285,000	12.a.
b. Forward contracts.....	RCFD8697	1,304,000	RCFD8698	4,629,000	RCFD8699	0	RCFD8700	0	12.b.
c. Exchange-traded option contracts:									12.c.
1. Written options.....	RCFD8701	0	RCFD8702	0	RCFD8703	0	RCFD8704	130,000	12.c1.
2. Purchased options.....	RCFD8705	198,000	RCFD8706	0	RCFD8707	0	RCFD8708	98,000	12.c2.
d. Over-the-counter option contracts:									12.d.
1. Written options.....	RCFD8709	16,415,000	RCFD8710	8,707,000	RCFD8711	0	RCFD8712	4,145,000	12.d1.
2. Purchased options.....	RCFD8713	21,120,000	RCFD8714	8,707,000	RCFD8715	0	RCFD8716	4,136,000	12.d2.
e. Swaps.....	RCFD3450	95,101,000	RCFD3826	10,949,000	RCFD8719	3,691,000	RCFD8720	7,637,000	12.e.
13. Total gross notional amount of derivative contracts held for trading.....	RCFDA126	87,362,000	RCFDA127	32,992,000	RCFD8723	0	RCFD8724	18,417,000	13.
14. Total gross notional amount of derivative contracts held for purposes other than trading.....	RCFD8725	46,811,000	RCFD8726	0	RCFD8727	3,691,000	RCFD8728	14,000	14.
a. Interest rate swaps where the bank has agreed to pay a fixed rate.....	RCFDA589	0							14.a.
15. Gross fair values of derivative contracts:									15.
a. Contracts held for trading:									15.a.
1. Gross positive fair value.....	RCFD8733	1,398,000	RCFD8734	705,000	RCFD8735	0	RCFD8736	1,115,000	15.a1.
2. Gross negative fair value.....	RCFD8737	1,818,000	RCFD8738	644,000	RCFD8739	0	RCFD8740	1,045,000	15.a2.
b. Contracts held for purposes other than trading:									15.b.
1. Gross positive fair value.....	RCFD8741	90,000	RCFD8742	0	RCFD8743	0	RCFD8744	0	15.b1.
2. Gross negative fair value.....	RCFD8745	65,000	RCFD8746	0	RCFD8747	172,000	RCFD8748	0	15.b2.

Dollar amounts in thousands		(Column A) Banks and Securities Firms	(Column B)	(Column C) Hedge Funds	(Column D) Sovereign Governments	(Column E) Corporations and All Other Counterparties				
Item 16 is to be completed only by banks with total assets of \$10 billion or more.							16.			
16. Over-the counter derivatives: ¹										
a. Net current credit exposure.....	RCFDG418	1,542,000		RCFDG420	0	RCFDG421	0	RCFDG422	626,000	16.a.
b. Fair value of collateral:									16.b.	
1. Cash - U.S. dollar.....	RCFDG423	1,696,000		RCFDG425	0	RCFDG426	0	RCFDG427	13,000	16.b.1.
2. Cash - Other currencies.....	RCFDG428	0		RCFDG430	0	RCFDG431	0	RCFDG432	0	16.b.2.
3. U.S. Treasury securities.....	RCFDG433	0		RCFDG435	0	RCFDG436	0	RCFDG437	0	16.b.3.
4. U.S. Government agency and U.S. Government-sponsored agency debt securities.....	RCFDG438	0		RCFDG440	0	RCFDG441	0	RCFDG442	0	16.b.4.
5. Corporate bonds.....	RCFDG443	0		RCFDG445	0	RCFDG446	0	RCFDG447	0	16.b.5.
6. Equity securities.....	RCFDG448	0		RCFDG450	0	RCFDG451	0	RCFDG452	0	16.b.6.
7. All other collateral.....	RCFDG453	0		RCFDG455	0	RCFDG456	0	RCFDG457	15,000	16.b.7.
8. Total fair value of collateral (sum of items 16.b.(1) through (7)).....	RCFDG458	1,696,000		RCFDG460	0	RCFDG461	0	RCFDG462	28,000	16.b.8.

1. The \$10 billion asset-size test is based on the total assets reported on the June 30, 2022, Report of Condition.

Schedule RC-M - Memoranda(Form Type - 031)

Dollar amounts in thousands

1. Extensions of credit by the reporting bank to its executive officers, directors, principal shareholders, and their related interests as of the report date:			1.
a. Aggregate amount of all extensions of credit to all executive officers, directors, principal shareholders, and their related interests.....	RCFD6164	172,000	1.a.
b. Number of executive officers, directors, and principal shareholders to whom the amount of all extensions of credit by the reporting bank (including extensions of credit to related interests) equals or exceeds the lesser of \$500,000 or 5 percent of total capital as defined for this purpose in agency regulations.....	RCFD6165	9	1.b.
2. Intangible assets:			2.
a. Mortgage servicing assets.....	RCFD3164	1,822,000	2.a.
1. Estimated fair value of mortgage servicing assets.....	RCFDA590	1,822,000	2.a.1.
b. Goodwill.....	RCFD3163	4,816,000	2.b.
c. All other intangible assets.....	RCFDJF76	136,000	2.c.
d. Total (sum of items 2.a, 2.b, and 2.c) (must equal Schedule RC, item 10).....	RCFD2143	6,774,000	2.d.
3. Other real estate owned:			3.
a. Construction, land development, and other land in domestic offices.....	RCON5508	0	3.a.
b. Farmland in domestic offices.....	RCON5509	0	3.b.
c. 1-4 family residential properties in domestic offices.....	RCON5510	10,000	3.c.
d. Multifamily (5 or more) residential properties in domestic offices.....	RCON5511	0	3.d.
e. Nonfarm nonresidential properties in domestic offices.....	RCON5512	22,000	3.e.
f. In foreign offices.....	RCFN5513	0	3.f.
g. Total (sum of items 3.a through 3.g) (must equal Schedule RC, item 7).....	RCFD2150	32,000	3.g.
4. Cost of equity securities with readily determinable fair values not held for trading (the fair value of which is reported in Schedule RC, item 2.c) ¹	RCFDJA29	20,000	4.
5. Other borrowed money:			5.
a. Federal Home Loan Bank advances:			5.a.
1. Advances with a remaining maturity or next repricing date of: ¹			5.a.1.
a. One year or less.....	RCFDF055	4,250,000	5.a.1.a.
b. Over one year through three years.....	RCFDF056	1,504,000	5.a.1.b.
c. Over three years through five years.....	RCFDF057	0	5.a.1.c.
d. Over five years.....	RCFDF058	7,000	5.a.1.d.
2. Advances with a remaining maturity of one year or less (included in item 5.a.(1)(a) above) ²	RCFD2651	4,250,000	5.a.2.
3. Structured advances (included in items 5.a.(1)(a) - (d) above).....	RCFDF059	0	5.a.3.
b. Other borrowings:			5.b.
1. Other borrowings with a remaining maturity or next repricing date of: ³			5.b.1.
a. One year or less.....	RCFDF060	1,455,000	5.b.1.a.
b. Over one year through three years.....	RCFDF061	1,754,000	5.b.1.b.
c. Over three years through five years.....	RCFDF062	1,574,000	5.b.1.c.
d. Over five years.....	RCFDF063	326,000	5.b.1.d.
2. Other borrowings with a remaining maturity of one year or less (included in item 5.b.(1)(a) above) ⁴	RCFDB571	241,000	5.b.2.
c. Total (sum of items 5.a.(1)(a)-(d) and items 5.b.(1)(a)-(d)) (must equal Schedule RC, item 16).....	RCFD3190	10,870,000	5.c.
6. Does the reporting bank sell private label or third party mutual funds and annuities?.....	RCFDB569	Yes	6.
7. Assets under the reporting bank's management in proprietary mutual funds and annuities.....	RCFDB570	0	7.
8. Internet Web site addresses and physical office trade names:			8.
a. Uniform Resource Locator (URL) of the reporting institution's primary Internet Web site (home page), if any (Example: www.examplebank.com):.....	TEXT4087	www.53.com	8.a.

1. Item 4 is to be completed only by insured state banks that have been approved by the FDIC to hold grandfathered equity investments. See instructions for this item and the Glossary entry for "Securities Activities" for further detail on accounting for investments in equity securities.

1. Report fixed-rate advances by remaining maturity and floating-rate advances by next repricing date.

2. Report both fixed- and floating-rate advances by remaining maturity. Exclude floating-rate advances with a next repricing date of one year or less that have a remaining maturity of over one year.

3. Report fixed-rate other borrowings by remaining maturity and floating-rate other borrowings by next repricing date.

4. Report both fixed- and floating-rate other borrowings by remaining maturity. Exclude floating rate other borrowings with a next repricing date of one year or less that have a remaining maturity of over one year.

Dollar amounts in thousands

b. URLs of all other public-facing Internet Web sites that the reporting institution uses to accept or solicit deposits from the public, if any (Example: www.examplebank.biz): ¹			8.b.
1. URL 1.....	TE01N528	NR	8.b.1.
2. URL 2.....	TE02N528	NR	8.b.2.
3. URL 3.....	TE03N528	NR	8.b.3.
4. URL 4.....	TE04N528	NR	8.b.4.
5. URL 5.....	TE05N528	NR	8.b.5.
6. URL 6.....	TE06N528	NR	8.b.6.
7. URL 7.....	TE07N528	NR	8.b.7.
8. URL 8.....	TE08N528	NR	8.b.8.
9. URL 9.....	TE09N528	NR	8.b.9.
10. URL 10.....	TE10N528	NR	8.b.10.
c. Trade names other than the reporting institution's legal title used to identify one or more of the institution's physical offices at which deposits are accepted or solicited from the public, if any:			8.c.
1. Trade name 1.....	TE01N529	NR	8.c.1.
2. Trade name 2.....	TE02N529	NR	8.c.2.
3. Trade name 3.....	TE03N529	NR	8.c.3.
4. Trade name 4.....	TE04N529	NR	8.c.4.
5. Trade name 5.....	TE05N529	NR	8.c.5.
6. Trade name 6.....	TE06N529	NR	8.c.6.
<i>Item 9 is to be completed annually in the December report only.</i>			
9. Do any of the bank's Internet Web sites have transactional capability, i.e., allow the bank's customers to execute transactions on their accounts through the Web site?.....	RCFD4088	NR	9.
10. Secured liabilities:			10.
a. Amount of "Federal funds purchased in domestic offices" that are secured (included in Schedule RC, item 14.a).....	RCONF064	0	10.a.
b. Amount of "Other borrowings" that are secured (included in Schedule RC-M, items 5.b.(1)(a) - (d)).....	RCFDF065	1,683,000	10.b.
11. Does the bank act as trustee or custodian for Individual Retirement Accounts, Health Savings Accounts, and other similar accounts?.....	RCONG463	Yes	11.
12. Does the bank provide custody, safekeeping, or other services involving the acceptance of orders for the sale or purchase of securities?.....	RCONG464	Yes	12.
13. Portion of covered other real estate owned included in Schedule RC, item 7 that is protected by FDIC loss-sharing agreements.....	RCFDK192	0	13.
<i>Items 14.a and 14.b are to be completed annually in the December report only.</i>			
14. Captive insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries:			14.
a. Total assets of captive insurance subsidiaries ²	RCFDK193	NR	14.a.
b. Total assets of captive reinsurance subsidiaries ²	RCFDK194	NR	14.b.
<i>Item 15 is to be completed by institutions that are required or have elected to be treated as a Qualified Thrift Lender.</i>			
15. Qualified Thrift Lender (QTL) test:			15.
a. Does the institution use the Home Owners' Loan Act (HOLA) QTL test or the Internal Revenue Service Domestic Building and Loan Association (IRS DBLA) test to determine its QTL compliance? (for the HOLA QTL test, enter 1; for the IRS DBLA test, enter 2).....	RCONL133	NR	15.a.
b. Has the institution been in compliance with the HOLA QTL test as of each month end during the quarter or the IRS DBLA test for its most recent taxable year, as applicable?.....	RCONL135	NR	15.b.
<i>Item 16.a and, if appropriate, items 16.b.(1) through 16.b.(3) are to be completed annually in the December report only.</i>			
16. International remittance transfers offered to consumers: ¹			16.
a. Estimated number of international remittance transfers provided by your institution during the calendar year ending on the report date.....	RCONN523	NR	16.a.
<i>Items 16.b.(1) through 16.b.(3) are to be completed by institutions that reported 501 or more international remittance transfers in item 16.a in either or both of the current report or the most recent prior report in which item 16.a was required to be completed.</i>			
b. Estimated dollar value of remittance transfers provided by your institution and usage of regulatory exceptions during the calendar year ending on the report date:			16.b.
1. Estimated dollar value of international remittance transfers.....	RCONN524	NR	16.b.1.

1. Report only highest level URLs (for example, report www.examplebank.biz, but do not also report www.examplebank.biz/checking). Report each top level domain name used (for example, report both www.examplebank.biz and www.examplebank.net).

2. Report total assets before eliminating intercompany transactions between the consolidated insurance or reinsurance subsidiary and other offices or consolidated subsidiaries of the reporting bank.

1. Report information about international electronic transfers of funds offered to consumers in the United States that: (a) are "remittance transfers" as defined by subpart B of Regulation E (12 CFR § 1005.30(e)), or (b) would qualify as "remittance transfers" under subpart B of Regulation E (12 CFR § 1005.30(e)) but are excluded from that definition only because the provider is not providing those transfers in the normal course of its business. See 12 CFR § 1005.30(f). For purposes of this item 16, such trans

Dollar amounts in thousands

2. Estimated number of international remittance transfers for which your institution applied the permanent exchange rate exception.....	RCONMM07	NR	16.b.2.
3. Estimated number of international remittance transfers for which your institution applied the permanent covered third-party fee exception.....	RCONMQ52	NR	16.b.3.
17. U.S. Small Business Administration Paycheck Protection Program (PPP) loans and the Federal Reserve PPP Liquidity Facility (PPPLF): ³			17.
a. Number of PPP loans outstanding.....	RCONLG26	379	17.a.
b. Outstanding balance of PPP loans.....	RCONLG27	19,000	17.b.
c. Outstanding balance of PPP loans pledged to the PPPLF.....	RCONLG28	0	17.c.
d. Outstanding balance of borrowings from Federal Reserve Banks under the PPPLF with a remaining maturity of:			17.d.
1. One year or less.....	RCONLL59	0	17.d.1.
2. More than one year.....	RCONLL60	0	17.d.2.
e. Quarterly average amount of PPP loans pledged to the PPPLF and excluded from "Total assets for the leverage ratio" reported in Schedule RC-R, Part I, item 30.....	RCONLL57	0	17.e.

3. Paycheck Protection Program (PPP) covered loans as defined in sections 7(a)(36) and 7(a)(37) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 636(a)(36) and (37)). The PPP was established by Section 1102 of the 2020 Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act.

Dollar amounts in thousands	(Column A) Past due 30 through 89 days and still accruing		(Column B) Past due 90 days or more and still accruing		(Column C) Nonaccrual		
1. 1-4 family residential construction loans.....	RCONK105	0	RCONK106	0	RCONK107	0	M1a1.
2. Other construction loans and all land development and other land loans.....	RCONK108	0	RCONK109	0	RCONK110	0	M1a2.
b. Loans secured by 1-4 family residential properties in domestic offices..	RCONF661	10,000	RCONF662	2,000	RCONF663	19,000	M1.b.
c. Secured by multifamily (5 or more) residential properties in domestic offices.....	RCONK111	0	RCONK112	0	RCONK113	0	M1.c.
d. Secured by nonfarm nonresidential properties in domestic offices:							M1.d.
1. Loans secured by owner-occupied nonfarm nonresidential properties.....	RCONK114	0	RCONK115	0	RCONK116	2,000	M1d1.
2. Loans secured by other nonfarm nonresidential properties.....	RCONK117	0	RCONK118	0	RCONK119	2,000	M1d2.
e. Commercial and industrial loans:							M1.e.
1. To U.S. addressees (domicile).....	RCFDK120	0	RCFDK121	0	RCFDK122	11,000	M1e1.
2. To non-U.S. addressees (domicile).....	RCFDK123	0	RCFDK124	0	RCFDK125	11,000	M1e2.
f. All other loans (include loans to individuals for household, family, and other personal expenditures).....	RCFDK126	0	RCFDK127	0	RCFDK128	26,000	M1.f.
<i>Itemize loan categories included in Memorandum item 1.f, above that exceed 10 percent of total loans restructured in troubled debt restructurings that are past due 30 days or more or in nonaccrual status (sum of Memorandum items 1.a through 1.f, columns A through C):</i>							
1. Loans secured by farmland in domestic offices.....	RCONK130	0	RCONK131	0	RCONK132	0	M1f1.
2. Not applicable							M1f2.
3. Loans to finance agricultural production and other loans to farmers.....	RCFDK138	0	RCFDK139	0	RCFDK140	0	M1f3.
4. Loans to individuals for household, family, and other personal expenditures:							M1f4.
a. Credit cards.....	RCFDK274	0	RCFDK275	0	RCFDK276	23,000	M1f4a.
b. Automobile loans.....	RCFDK277	0	RCFDK278	0	RCFDK279	0	M1f4b.
c. Other (includes revolving credit plans other than credit cards and other consumer loans).....	RCFDK280	0	RCFDK281	0	RCFDK282	0	M1f4c.
g. Total loans restructured in troubled debt restructurings included in Schedule RC-N, items 1 through 7, above and not reported in Schedule RC-C, Part I, Memorandum item 1 (sum of items Memorandum item 1.a.(1) through Memorandum item 1.f) ¹	RCFDHK26	10,000	RCFDHK27	2,000	RCFDHK28	71,000	M1.g.
2. Loans to finance commercial real estate, construction, and land development activities (not secured by real estate) included in Schedule RC-N, items 4 and 7, above.....	RCFD6558	0	RCFD6559	0	RCFD6560	0	M.2.
3. Loans secured by real estate to non-U.S. addressees (domicile) (included in Schedule RC-N, item 1, above).....	RCFD1248	0	RCFD1249	0	RCFD1250	0	M.3.
4. Not applicable							M.4.

1. Exclude amounts reported in Memorandum items 1.f.(1) through 1.f.(4) when calculating the total in Memorandum item 1.g.

Dollar amounts in thousands	(Column A) Past due 30 through 89 days and still accruing		(Column B) Past due 90 days or more and still accruing		(Column C) Nonaccrual	
	RCFDC240	0	RCFDC241	0	RCFDC226	5,000
5. Loans and leases held for sale (included in Schedule RC-N, items 1 through 8, above).....						M.5.

Dollar amounts in thousands	(Column A) Past due 30 through 89 days		(Column B) Past due 90 days or more	
	RCFD3529	0	RCFD3530	0
6. Derivative contracts: Fair value of amounts carried as assets.....				M.6.

Dollar amounts in thousands	
<i>Memorandum items 7, 8, 9.a, and 9.b are to be completed semiannually in the June and December reports only.</i>	
7. Additions to nonaccrual assets during the previous six months.....	M.7.
8. Nonaccrual assets sold during the previous six months.....	M.8.

Dollar amounts in thousands	(Column A) Past due 30 through 89 days and still accruing		(Column B) Past due 90 days or more and still accruing		(Column C) Nonaccrual	
	RCFDL183	NR	RCFDL184	NR	RCFDL185	NR
9. Purchased credit-impaired loans accounted for in accordance with FASB ASC 310-30 (former AICPA Stament of Position 03-3): ²						M.9.
a. Outstanding balance.....						M.9.a.
b. Amount included in Schedule RC-N, items 1 through 7, above.....						M.9.b.

2. Memorandum items 9.a and 9.b should be completed only by institutions that have not yet adopted ASU 2016-13.

Schedule RC-O - Other Data for Deposit Insurance and FICO Assessments(Form Type - 031)

All FDIC-insured depository institutions must complete items 1 through 9, 10, and 11, Memorandum item 1, and, if applicable, item 9.a, Memorandum items 2, 3, and 6 through 18 each quarter. Unless otherwise indicated, complete items 1 through 11 and Memorandum items 1 through 3 on an "unconsolidated single FDIC certificate number basis" (see instructions) and complete Memorandum items 6 through 18 on a fully consolidated basis.

Dollar amounts in thousands

1. Total deposit liabilities before exclusions (gross) as defined in Section 3(l) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act and FDIC regulations.....	RCFDF236	180,403,000	1.
2. Total allowable exclusions, including interest accrued and unpaid on allowable exclusions (including foreign deposits).....	RCFDF237	168,000	2.
3. Total foreign deposits, including interest accrued and unpaid thereon (included in item 2 above).....	RCFNF234	168,000	3.
4. Average consolidated total assets for the calendar quarter.....	RCFDK652	214,192,000	4.
a. Averaging method used (for daily averaging, enter 1; for weekly averaging, enter 2).....	RCFDK653	1	4.a.
5. Average tangible equity for the calendar quarter ¹	RCFDK654	19,585,000	5.
6. Holdings of long-term unsecured debt issued by other FDIC-insured depository institutions.....	RCFDK655	6,000	6.
7. Unsecured "Other borrowings" with a remaining maturity of (sum of items 7.a through 7.d must be less than or equal to Schedule RC-M, items 5.b.(1)(a)-(d) minus item 10.b):			7.
a. One year or less.....	RCFDG465	0	7.a.
b. Over one year through three years.....	RCFDG466	2,714,000	7.b.
c. Over three years through five years.....	RCFDG467	641,000	7.c.
d. Over five years.....	RCFDG468	71,000	7.d.
8. Subordinated notes and debentures with a remaining maturity of (sum of items 8.a through 8.d must equal Schedule RC, item 19):			8.
a. One year or less.....	RCFDG469	0	8.a.
b. Over one year through three years.....	RCFDG470	749,000	8.b.
c. Over three years through five years.....	RCFDG471	0	8.c.
d. Over five years.....	RCFDG472	0	8.d.
9. Brokered reciprocal deposits (included in Schedule RC-E, Part I, Memorandum item 1.b).....	RCONG803	0	9.
<i>Item 9.a is to be completed on a fully consolidated basis by all institutions that own another insured depository institution.</i>			
a. Fully consolidated brokered reciprocal deposits.....	RCONL190	NR	9.a.
10. Banker's bank certification: Does the reporting institution meet both the statutory definition of a banker's bank and the business conduct test set forth in FDIC regulations? If the answer to item 10 is "YES," complete items 10.a and 10.b.....	RCFDK656	No	10.
<i>If the answer to item 10 is "YES," complete items 10.a and 10.b.</i>			
a. Banker's bank deduction.....	RCFDK657	NR	10.a.
b. Banker's bank deduction limit.....	RCFDK658	NR	10.b.
11. Custodial bank certification: Does the reporting institution meet the definition of a custodial bank set forth in FDIC regulations? If the answer to item 11 is "YES," complete items 11.a and 11.b.....	RCFDK659	Yes	11.
<i>If the answer to item 11 is "YES," complete items 11.a and 11.b.</i>			
a. Custodial bank deduction.....	RCFDK660	43,745,000	11.a.
b. Custodial bank deduction limit.....	RCFDK661	5,169,000	11.b.
1. Total deposit liabilities of the bank (including related interest accrued and unpaid) less allowable exclusions (including related interest accrued and unpaid) (sum of Memorandum items 1.a.(1), 1.b.(1), 1.c.(1), and 1.d.(1) must equal Schedule RC-O, item 1 less item 2):			M.1.
a. Deposit accounts (excluding retirement accounts) of \$250,000 or less: ¹			M.1.a.
1. Amount of deposit accounts (excluding retirement accounts) of \$250,000 or less.....	RCONF049	86,828,000	M.1.a.1.
2. Number of deposit accounts (excluding retirement accounts) of \$250,000 or less.....	RCONF050	6445734	M.1.a.2.
b. Deposit accounts (excluding retirement accounts) of more than \$250,000: ¹			M.1.b.
1. Amount of deposit accounts (excluding retirement accounts) of more than \$250,000.....	RCONF051	91,156,000	M.1.b.1.
2. Number of deposit accounts (excluding retirement accounts) of more than \$250,000.....	RCONF052	57946	M.1.b.2.
c. Retirement deposit accounts of \$250,000 or less: ¹			M.1.c.
1. Amount of retirement deposit accounts of \$250,000 or less.....	RCONF045	2,202,000	M.1.c.1.
2. Number of retirement deposit accounts of \$250,000 or less.....	RCONF046	357049	M.1.c.2.
d. Retirement deposit accounts of more than \$250,000: ¹			M.1.d.

1. See instructions for averaging methods. For deposit insurance assessment purposes, tangible equity is defined as Tier 1 capital as set forth in the banking agencies' regulatory capital standards and reported in Schedule RC-R, Part I, item 26, except as described in the instructions.
 1. The dollar amounts used as the basis for reporting in Memorandum items 1.a through 1.d reflect the deposit insurance limits in effect on the report date.

Dollar amounts in thousands

1. Amount of retirement deposit accounts of more than \$250,000.....	RCONF047	49,000	M.1.d.1.
2. Number of retirement deposit accounts of more than \$250,000.....	RCONF048	134	M.1.d.2.
<i>Memorandum item 2 is to be completed by banks with \$1 billion or more in total assets.</i>			
2. Estimated amount of uninsured deposits in domestic offices of the bank and in insured branches in Puerto Rico and U.S. territories and possessions, including related interest accrued and unpaid (see instructions) ³	RCON5597	80,578,000	M.2.
3. Has the reporting institution been consolidated with a parent bank or savings association in that parent bank's or parent savings association's Call Report? If so, report the legal title and FDIC Certificate Number of the parent bank or parent savings association:			M.3.
a. Legal title.....	TEXTA545	NR	M.3.a.
b. FDIC Certificate Number.....	RCONA545	0	M.3.b.
4. Dually payable deposits in the reporting institution's foreign branches.....	RCFNGW43	0	M.4.
<i>Memorandum items 5 through 12 are to be completed by "large institutions" and "highly complex institutions" as defined in FDIC regulations.</i>			
5. Applicable portion of the CECL transitional amount or modified CECL transitional amount that has been added to retained earnings for regulatory capital purposes as of the current report date and is attributable to loans and leases held for investment.....	RCFDMW53	241,000	M.5.
6. Criticized and classified items:			M.6.
a. Special mention.....	RCFDK663	CONF	M.6.a.
b. Substandard.....	RCFDK664	CONF	M.6.b.
c. Doubtful.....	RCFDK665	CONF	M.6.c.
d. Loss.....	RCFDK666	CONF	M.6.d.
7. "Nontraditional 1-4 family residential mortgage loans" as defined for assessment purposes only in FDIC regulations:			M.7.
a. Nontraditional 1-4 family residential mortgage loans.....	RCFDN025	CONF	M.7.a.
b. Securitizations of nontraditional 1-4 family residential mortgage loans.....	RCFDN026	CONF	M.7.b.
8. "Higher-risk consumer loans" as defined for assessment purposes only in FDIC regulations:			M.8.
a. Higher-risk consumer loans.....	RCFDN027	CONF	M.8.a.
b. Securitizations of higher-risk consumer loans.....	RCFDN028	CONF	M.8.b.
9. "Higher-risk commercial and industrial loans and securities" as defined for assessment purposes only in FDIC regulations:			M.9.
a. Higher-risk commercial and industrial loans and securities.....	RCFDN029	CONF	M.9.a.
b. Securitizations of higher-risk commercial and industrial loans and securities.....	RCFDN030	CONF	M.9.b.
10. Commitments to fund construction, land development, and other land loans secured by real estate for the consolidated bank:			M.10.
a. Total unfunded commitments.....	RCFDK676	4,680,000	M.10.a.
b. Portion of unfunded commitments guaranteed or insured by the U.S. government (including the FDIC).....	RCFDK677	6,000	M.10.b.
11. Amount of other real estate owned recoverable from the U.S. government under guarantee or insurance provisions (excluding FDIC loss-sharing agreements).....	RCFDK669	1,000	M.11.
12. Nonbrokered time deposits of more than \$250,000 in domestic offices (included in Schedule RC-E, Memorandum item 2.d).....	RCONK678	843,000	M.12.
<i>Memorandum item 13.a is to be completed by "large institutions" and "highly complex institutions" as defined in FDIC regulations. Memorandum items 13.b through 13.h are to be completed by "large institutions" only.</i>			
13. Portion of funded loans and securities in domestic and foreign offices guaranteed or insured by the U.S. government (including FDIC loss-sharing agreements):			M.13.
a. Construction, land development, and other land loans secured by real estate.....	RCFDN177	25,000	M.13.a.
b. Loans secured by multifamily residential and nonfarm nonresidential properties.....	RCFDN178	105,000	M.13.b.
c. Closed-end loans secured by first liens on 1-4 family residential properties.....	RCFDN179	1,055,000	M.13.c.
d. Closed-end loans secured by junior liens on 1-4 family residential properties and revolving, open-end loans secured by 1-4 family residential properties and extended under lines of credit.....	RCFDN180	6,000	M.13.d.
e. Commercial and industrial loans.....	RCFDN181	107,000	M.13.e.
f. Credit card loans to individuals for household, family, and other personal expenditures.....	RCFDN182	0	M.13.f.
g. All other loans to individuals for household, family, and other personal expenditures.....	RCFDN183	0	M.13.g.
h. Non-agency residential mortgage-backed securities.....	RCFDM963	0	M.13.h.
<i>Memorandum items 14 and 15 are to be completed by "highly complex institutions" as defined in FDIC regulations.</i>			
14. Amount of the institution's largest counterparty exposure.....	RCFDK673	CONF	M.14.
15. Total amount of the institution's 20 largest counterparty exposures.....	RCFDK674	CONF	M.15.

3. Uninsured deposits should be estimated based on the deposit insurance limits set forth in Memorandum items 1.a through 1.d.

Dollar amounts in thousands

Memorandum item 16 is to be completed by "large institutions" and "highly complex institutions" as defined in FDIC regulations.

16. Portion of loans restructured in troubled debt restructurings that are in compliance with their modified terms and are guaranteed or insured by the U.S. government (including the FDIC) (included in Schedule RC-C, part I, Memorandum item 1).....

RCFDL189	53,000	M.16.
		M.17.
RCFDL194	NR	M.17.a.
RCFDL195	NR	M.17.b.
RCFDL196	NR	M.17.c.
RCONL197	NR	M.17.d.

Memorandum item 17 is to be completed on a fully consolidated basis by those "large institutions" and "highly complex institutions" as defined in FDIC regulations that own another insured depository institution.

17. Selected fully consolidated data for deposit insurance assessment purposes:

a. Total deposit liabilities before exclusions (gross) as defined in Section 3(l) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act and FDIC regulations.....

b. Total allowable exclusions, including interest accrued and unpaid on allowable exclusions (including foreign deposits).....

c. Unsecured "Other borrowings" with a remaining maturity of one year or less.....

d. Estimated amount of uninsured deposits in domestic offices of the institution and in insured branches in Puerto Rico and U.S. territories and possessions, including related interest accrued and unpaid.....

	(Column A) Two-Year Probability of Default (PD) <= 1%	(Column B) Two-Year Probability of Default (PD) 1.01-4%	(Column C) Two-Year Probability of Default (PD) 4.01-7%	(Column D) Two-Year Probability of Default (PD) 7.01-10%	(Column E) Two-Year Probability of Default (PD) 10.01-14%	(Column F) Two-Year Probability of Default (PD) 14.01-16%	(Column G) Two-Year Probability of Default (PD) 16.01-18%	(Column H) Two-Year Probability of Default (PD) 18.01-20%	(Column I) Two-Year Probability of Default (PD) 20.01-22%	(Column J) Two-Year Probability of Default (PD) 22.01-26%	(Column K) Two-Year Probability of Default (PD) 26.01-30%	(Column L) Two-Year Probability of Default (PD) > 30%	(Column M) Two-Year Probability of Default (PD) Unscoreable	(Column N) Two-Year Probability of Default (PD) Total	(Column O) PDS Were Derived Using	
Dollar amounts in thousands																
18. Outstanding balance of 1-4 family residential mortgage loans, consumer loans, and consumer leases by two-year probability of default:																
a. "Nontraditional 1-4 family residential mortgage loans" as defined for assessment purposes only in FDIC regulations.....	RCFDM964 CONF	RCFDM965 CONF	RCFDM966 CONF	RCFDM967 CONF	RCFDM968 CONF	RCFDM969 CONF	RCFDM970 CONF	RCFDM971 CONF	RCFDM972 CONF	RCFDM973 CONF	RCFDM974 CONF	RCFDM975 CONF	RCFDM976 CONF	RCFDM977 CONF	RCFDM978 CONF	M18a
b. Closed-end loans secured by first liens on 1-4 family residential properties.....	RCFDM979 CONF	RCFDM980 CONF	RCFDM981 CONF	RCFDM982 CONF	RCFDM983 CONF	RCFDM984 CONF	RCFDM985 CONF	RCFDM986 CONF	RCFDM987 CONF	RCFDM988 CONF	RCFDM989 CONF	RCFDM990 CONF	RCFDM991 CONF	RCFDM992 CONF	RCFDM993 CONF	M18b
c. Closed-end loans secured by junior liens on 1-4 family residential properties.....	RCFDM994 CONF	RCFDM995 CONF	RCFDM996 CONF	RCFDM997 CONF	RCFDM998 CONF	RCFDM999 CONF	RCFDN001 CONF	RCFDN002 CONF	RCFDN003 CONF	RCFDN004 CONF	RCFDN005 CONF	RCFDN006 CONF	RCFDN007 CONF	RCFDN008 CONF	RCFDN009 CONF	M18c
d. Revolving, open-end loans secured by 1-4 family residential properties and extended under lines of credit.....	RCFDN010 CONF	RCFDN011 CONF	RCFDN012 CONF	RCFDN013 CONF	RCFDN014 CONF	RCFDN015 CONF	RCFDN016 CONF	RCFDN017 CONF	RCFDN018 CONF	RCFDN019 CONF	RCFDN020 CONF	RCFDN021 CONF	RCFDN022 CONF	RCFDN023 CONF	RCFDN024 CONF	M18d
e. Credit cards.....	RCFDN040 CONF	RCFDN041 CONF	RCFDN042 CONF	RCFDN043 CONF	RCFDN044 CONF	RCFDN045 CONF	RCFDN046 CONF	RCFDN047 CONF	RCFDN048 CONF	RCFDN049 CONF	RCFDN050 CONF	RCFDN051 CONF	RCFDN052 CONF	RCFDN053 CONF	RCFDN054 CONF	M18e
f. Automobile loans.....	RCFDN055 CONF	RCFDN056 CONF	RCFDN057 CONF	RCFDN058 CONF	RCFDN059 CONF	RCFDN060 CONF	RCFDN061 CONF	RCFDN062 CONF	RCFDN063 CONF	RCFDN064 CONF	RCFDN065 CONF	RCFDN066 CONF	RCFDN067 CONF	RCFDN068 CONF	RCFDN069 CONF	M18f
g. Student loans.....	RCFDN070 CONF	RCFDN071 CONF	RCFDN072 CONF	RCFDN073 CONF	RCFDN074 CONF	RCFDN075 CONF	RCFDN076 CONF	RCFDN077 CONF	RCFDN078 CONF	RCFDN079 CONF	RCFDN080 CONF	RCFDN081 CONF	RCFDN082 CONF	RCFDN083 CONF	RCFDN084 CONF	M18g
h. Other consumer loans and revolving credit plans other than credit cards.....	RCFDN085 CONF	RCFDN086 CONF	RCFDN087 CONF	RCFDN088 CONF	RCFDN089 CONF	RCFDN090 CONF	RCFDN091 CONF	RCFDN092 CONF	RCFDN093 CONF	RCFDN094 CONF	RCFDN095 CONF	RCFDN096 CONF	RCFDN097 CONF	RCFDN098 CONF	RCFDN099 CONF	M18h
i. Consumer leases.....	RCFDN100 CONF	RCFDN101 CONF	RCFDN102 CONF	RCFDN103 CONF	RCFDN104 CONF	RCFDN105 CONF	RCFDN106 CONF	RCFDN107 CONF	RCFDN108 CONF	RCFDN109 CONF	RCFDN110 CONF	RCFDN111 CONF	RCFDN112 CONF	RCFDN113 CONF	RCFDN114 CONF	M18i
j. Total.....	RCFDN115 CONF	RCFDN116 CONF	RCFDN117 CONF	RCFDN118 CONF	RCFDN119 CONF	RCFDN120 CONF	RCFDN121 CONF	RCFDN122 CONF	RCFDN123 CONF	RCFDN124 CONF	RCFDN125 CONF	RCFDN126 CONF	RCFDN127 CONF	RCFDN128 CONF		M18j

Schedule RC-P - 1-4 Family Residential Mortgage Banking Activities in Domestic Offices(Form Type - 031)

Schedule RC-P is to be completed by banks at which either 1-4 family residential mortgage loan originations and purchases for resale from all sources, loan sales, or quarter-end loans held for sale or trading in domestic offices exceed \$10 million for two consecutive quarters.

Dollar amounts in thousands

1. Retail originations during the quarter of 1-4 family residential mortgage loans for sale ¹	RCONHT81	570,000	1.
2. Wholesale originations and purchases during the quarter of 1-4 family residential mortgage loans for sale ²	RCONHT82	638,000	2.
3. 1-4 family residential mortgage loans sold during the quarter.....	RCONFT04	1,374,000	3.
4. 1-4 family residential mortgage loans held for sale or trading at quarter-end (included in Schedule RC, items 4.a and 5).....	RCONFT05	533,000	4.
5. Noninterest income for the quarter from the sale, securitization, and servicing of 1-4 family residential mortgage loans (included in Schedule RI, items 5.c, 5.f, 5.g, and 5.i).....	RIADHT85	123,000	5.
6. Repurchases and indemnifications of 1-4 family residential mortgage loans during the quarter.....	RCONHT86	13,000	6.
7. Representation and warranty reserves for 1-4 family residential mortgage loans sold:			7.
a. For representations and warranties made to U.S. government agencies and government-sponsored agencies..	RCONL191	CONF	7.a.
b. For representations and warranties made to other parties.....	RCONL192	CONF	7.b.
c. Total representation and warranty reserves (sum of items 7.a and 7.b).....	RCONM288	8,000	7.c.

Schedule RC-Q - Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis(Form Type - 031)

Schedule RC-Q is to be completed by banks that:

- (1) Have elected to report financial instruments or servicing assets and liabilities at fair value under a fair value option with changes in fair value recognized in earnings, or
 (2) Are required to complete Schedule RC-D, Trading Assets and Liabilities.

	(Column A) Total Fair Value Reported on Schedule RC	(Column B) LESS: Amounts Netted in the Determination of Total Fair Value	(Column C) Level 1 Fair Value Measurements	(Column D) Level 2 Fair Value Measurements	(Column E) Level 3 Fair Value Measurements	
Dollar amounts in thousands						
1. Available-for-sale debt securities and equity securities with readily determinable fair values not held for trading ¹	RCFDJA36 47,135,000	RCFDG474 0	RCFDG475 3,470,000	RCFDG476 43,665,000	RCFDG477 0	1.
2. Federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell.....	RCFDG478 0	RCFDG479 0	RCFDG480 0	RCFDG481 0	RCFDG482 0	2.
3. Loans and leases held for sale.....	RCFDG483 497,000	RCFDG484 0	RCFDG485 0	RCFDG486 497,000	RCFDG487 0	3.
4. Loans and leases held for investment.....	RCFDG488 113,000	RCFDG489 0	RCFDG490 0	RCFDG491 0	RCFDG492 113,000	4.
5. Trading assets:						5.
a. Derivative assets.....	RCFD3543 3,218,000	RCFDG493 0	RCFDG494 91,000	RCFDG495 3,123,000	RCFDG496 4,000	5.a.
b. Other trading assets.....	RCFDG497 1,406,000	RCFDG498 0	RCFDG499 794,000	RCFDG500 612,000	RCFDG501 0	5.b.
1. Nontrading securities at fair value with changes in fair value reported in current earnings (included in Schedule RC-Q, item 5.b, above).....	RCFDF240 0	RCFDF684 0	RCFDF692 0	RCFDF241 0	RCFDF242 0	5.b.1.
6. All other assets.....	RCFDG391 1,912,000	RCFDG392 0	RCFDG395 12,000	RCFDG396 78,000	RCFDG804 1,822,000	6.
7. Total assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis (sum of items 1 through 5.b plus item 6).....	RCFDG502 54,281,000	RCFDG503 0	RCFDG504 4,367,000	RCFDG505 47,975,000	RCFDG506 1,939,000	7.
8. Deposits.....	RCFDF252 0	RCFDF686 0	RCFDF694 0	RCFDF253 0	RCFDF254 0	8.
9. Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase.....	RCFDG507 0	RCFDG508 0	RCFDG509 0	RCFDG510 0	RCFDG511 0	9.
10. Trading liabilities:						10.
a. Derivative liabilities.....	RCFD3547 3,514,000	RCFDG512 0	RCFDG513 141,000	RCFDG514 3,365,000	RCFDG515 8,000	10.a.
b. Other trading liabilities.....	RCFDG516 264,000	RCFDG517 0	RCFDG518 109,000	RCFDG519 155,000	RCFDG520 0	10.b.

1. Exclude originations and purchases of 1-4 family residential mortgage loans that are held for investment.
 1. The amount reported in item 1, column A, must equal the sum of Schedule RC, items 2.b and 2.c.

	(Column A) Total Fair Value Reported on Schedule RC	(Column B) LESS: Amounts Netted in the Determination of Total Fair Value	(Column C) Level 1 Fair Value Measurements	(Column D) Level 2 Fair Value Measurements	(Column E) Level 3 Fair Value Measurements	
Dollar amounts in thousands						
11. Other borrowed money.....	RCFDG521 0	RCFDG522 0	RCFDG523 0	RCFDG524 0	RCFDG525 0	11.
12. Subordinated notes and debentures.....	RCFDG526 0	RCFDG527 0	RCFDG528 0	RCFDG529 0	RCFDG530 0	12.
13. All other liabilities.....	RCFDG805 238,000	RCFDG806 0	RCFDG807 1,000	RCFDG808 65,000	RCFDG809 172,000	13.
14. Total liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis (sum of items 8 through 13).....	RCFDG531 4,016,000	RCFDG532 0	RCFDG533 251,000	RCFDG534 3,585,000	RCFDG535 180,000	14.
1. All other assets (itemize and describe amounts included in Schedule RC-Q, item 6, that are greater than \$100,000 and exceed 25% of item 6):						M.1.
a. Mortgage servicing assets.....	RCFDG536 1,822,000	RCFDG537 0	RCFDG538 0	RCFDG539 0	RCFDG540 1,822,000	M.1.a.

Dollar amounts in thousands	(Column A) Total Fair Value Reported on Schedule RC	(Column B) LESS: Amounts Netted in the Determination of Total Fair Value	(Column C) Level 1 Fair Value Measurements	(Column D) Level 2 Fair Value Measurements	(Column E) Level 3 Fair Value Measurements	
b. Nontrading derivative assets.....	RCFDG541 90,000	RCFDG542 0	RCFDG543 12,000	RCFDG544 78,000	RCFDG545 0	M.1.b.

Dollar amounts in thousands			
c. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:			M.1.c.
1. Describe component.....	TEXTG546	NR	M.1.c.1.

Dollar amounts in thousands	(Column A) Total Fair Value Reported on Schedule RC	(Column B) LESS: Amounts Netted in the Determination of Total Fair Value	(Column C) Level 1 Fair Value Measurements	(Column D) Level 2 Fair Value Measurements	(Column E) Level 3 Fair Value Measurements	
2. Amount of component.....	RCFDG546 0	RCFDG547 0	RCFDG548 0	RCFDG549 0	RCFDG550 0	M.1.c.2.

Dollar amounts in thousands			
d. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:			M.1.d.
1. Describe component.....	TEXTG551	NR	M.1.d.1.

Dollar amounts in thousands	(Column A) Total Fair Value Reported on Schedule RC	(Column B) LESS: Amounts Netted in the Determination of Total Fair Value	(Column C) Level 1 Fair Value Measurements	(Column D) Level 2 Fair Value Measurements	(Column E) Level 3 Fair Value Measurements	
2. Amount of component.....	RCFDG551 0	RCFDG552 0	RCFDG553 0	RCFDG554 0	RCFDG555 0	M.1.d.2.

Dollar amounts in thousands			
e. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:			M.1.e.
1. Describe component.....	TEXTG556	NR	M.1.e.1.

Dollar amounts in thousands	(Column A) Total Fair Value Reported on Schedule RC	(Column B) LESS: Amounts Netted in the Determination of Total Fair Value	(Column C) Level 1 Fair Value Measurements	(Column D) Level 2 Fair Value Measurements	(Column E) Level 3 Fair Value Measurements	
2. Amount of component.....	RCFDG556 0	RCFDG557 0	RCFDG558 0	RCFDG559 0	RCFDG560 0	M.1.e.2.

Dollar amounts in thousands			
f. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:			M.1.f.
1. Describe component.....	TEXTG561	NR	M.1.f.1.

	(Column A) Total Fair Value Reported on Schedule RC	(Column B) LESS: Amounts Netted in the Determination of Total Fair Value	(Column C) Level 1 Fair Value Measurements	(Column D) Level 2 Fair Value Measurements	(Column E) Level 3 Fair Value Measurements	
Dollar amounts in thousands						
2. Amount of component.....	RCFDG561 0	RCFDG562 0	RCFDG563 0	RCFDG564 0	RCFDG565 0	M.1.f.2.
2. All other liabilities (itemize and describe amounts included in Schedule RC-Q, item 13, that are greater than \$100,000 and exceed 25% of item 13):						M.2.
a. Loan commitments (not accounted for as derivatives).....	RCFDF261 0	RCFDF689 0	RCFDF697 0	RCFDF262 0	RCFDF263 0	M.2.a.
b. Nontrading derivative liabilities.....	RCFDG566 238,000	RCFDG567 0	RCFDG568 1,000	RCFDG569 65,000	RCFDG570 172,000	M.2.b.

Dollar amounts in thousands		
c. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:		M.2.c.
1. Describe component.....	TEXTG571	NR M.2.c.1.

	(Column A) Total Fair Value Reported on Schedule RC	(Column B) LESS: Amounts Netted in the Determination of Total Fair Value	(Column C) Level 1 Fair Value Measurements	(Column D) Level 2 Fair Value Measurements	(Column E) Level 3 Fair Value Measurements	
Dollar amounts in thousands						
2. Amount of component.....	RCFDG571 0	RCFDG572 0	RCFDG573 0	RCFDG574 0	RCFDG575 0	M.2.c.2.

Dollar amounts in thousands		
d. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:		M.2.d.
1. Describe component.....	TEXTG576	NR M.2.d.1.

	(Column A) Total Fair Value Reported on Schedule RC	(Column B) LESS: Amounts Netted in the Determination of Total Fair Value	(Column C) Level 1 Fair Value Measurements	(Column D) Level 2 Fair Value Measurements	(Column E) Level 3 Fair Value Measurements	
Dollar amounts in thousands						
2. Amount of component.....	RCFDG576 0	RCFDG577 0	RCFDG578 0	RCFDG579 0	RCFDG580 0	M.2.d.2.

Dollar amounts in thousands		
e. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:		M.2.e.
1. Describe component.....	TEXTG581	NR M.2.e.1.

	(Column A) Total Fair Value Reported on Schedule RC	(Column B) LESS: Amounts Netted in the Determination of Total Fair Value	(Column C) Level 1 Fair Value Measurements	(Column D) Level 2 Fair Value Measurements	(Column E) Level 3 Fair Value Measurements	
Dollar amounts in thousands						
2. Amount of component.....	RCFDG581 0	RCFDG582 0	RCFDG583 0	RCFDG584 0	RCFDG585 0	M.2.e.2.

Dollar amounts in thousands		
f. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:		M.2.f.
1. Describe component		
(TEXTG586) NR		M.2.f.1.

Dollar amounts in thousands	(Column A) Total Fair Value Reported on Schedule RC	(Column B) LESS: Amounts Netted in the Determination of Total Fair Value	(Column C) Level 1 Fair Value Measurements	(Column D) Level 2 Fair Value Measurements	(Column E) Level 3 Fair Value Measurements	
2. Amount of component.....	RCFDG586 0	RCFDG587 0	RCFDG588 0	RCFDG589 0	RCFDG590 0	M.2.f.2.

Dollar amounts in thousands	Consolidated Bank		
3. Loans measured at fair value (included in Schedule RC-C, Part I, items 1 through 9):			M.3.
a. Loans secured by real estate:			M.3.a.
1. Secured by 1-4 family residential properties.....	RCFDHT87	610,000	M.3.a.1.
2. All other loans secured by real estate.....	RCFDHT88	0	M.3.a.2.
b. Commercial and industrial loans.....	RCFDF585	0	M.3.b.
c. Loans to individuals for household, family, and other personal expenditures (i.e., consumer loans) (includes purchased paper).....	RCFDHT89	0	M.3.c.
d. Other loans.....	RCFDF589	0	M.3.d.
4. Unpaid principal balance of loans measured at fair value (reported in Schedule RC-Q, Memorandum item 3):			M.4.
a. Loans secured by real estate:			M.4.a.
1. Secured by 1-4 family residential properties.....	RCFDHT91	634,000	M.4.a.1.
2. All other loans secured by real estate.....	RCFDHT92	0	M.4.a.2.
b. Commercial and industrial loans.....	RCFDF597	0	M.4.b.
c. Loans to individuals for household, family, and other personal expenditures (i.e., consumer loans) (includes purchased paper).....	RCFDHT93	0	M.4.c.
d. Other loans.....	RCFDF601	0	M.4.d.

Schedule RC-R Part I - Regulatory Capital Components and Ratios(Form Type - 031)

Part I is to be completed on a consolidated basis.

Dollar amounts in thousands

1. Common stock plus related surplus, net of treasury stock and unearned employee stock ownership plan (ESOP) shares.....	RCFAP742	16,518,000	1.
2. Retained earnings ¹	RCFAKW00	9,251,000	2.
<i>To be completed only by institutions that have adopted ASU 2016-13:</i>			
a. Does your institution have a CECL transition election in effect as of the quarter-end report date? (enter "0" for No; enter "1" for Yes with a 3-year CECL transition election; enter "2" for Yes with a 5-year 2020 CECL transition election.).....	RCOAJJ29	2	2.a.
3. Accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI).....	RCFAB530	-6,835,000	3.
a. AOCI opt-out election (enter "1" for Yes; enter "0" for No.) (Advanced approaches institutions must enter "0" for No.).....	RCOAP838	1	3.a.
4. Common equity tier 1 minority interest includable in common equity tier 1 capital.....	RCFAP839	0	4.
5. Common equity tier 1 capital before adjustments and deductions (sum of items 1 through 4).....	RCFAP840	18,934,000	5.
6. LESS: Goodwill net of associated deferred tax liabilities (DTLs).....	RCFAP841	4,770,000	6.
7. LESS: Intangible assets (other than goodwill and mortgage servicing assets (MSAs)), net of associated DTLs.....	RCFAP842	110,000	7.
8. LESS: Deferred tax assets (DTAs) that arise from net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards, net of any related valuation allowances and net of DTLs.....	RCFAP843	22,000	8.
9. AOCI-related adjustments (items 9.a through 9.e are effective January 1, 2015) (if entered "1" for Yes in item 3.a, complete only items 9.a through 9.e; if entered "0" for No in item 3.a, complete only item 9.f):			9.
a. LESS: Net unrealized gains (losses) on available-for-sale debt securities (if a gain, report as a positive value; if a loss, report as a negative value).....	RCFAP844	-5,840,000	9.a.
b. Not applicable.			9.b.
c. LESS: Accumulated net gains (losses) on cash flow hedges (if a gain, report as a positive value; if a loss, report as a negative value).....	RCFAP846	-977,000	9.c.
d. LESS: Amounts recorded in AOCI attributed to defined benefit postretirement plans resulting from the initial and subsequent application of the relevant GAAP standards that pertain to such plans (if a gain, report as a positive value; if a loss, report as a negative value).....	RCFAP847	-18,000	9.d.
e. LESS: Net unrealized gains (losses) on held-to-maturity securities that are included in AOCI (if a gain, report as a positive value; if a loss, report as a negative value).....	RCFAP848	0	9.e.
f. LESS: Accumulated net gain (loss) on cash flow hedges included in AOCI, net of applicable income taxes, that relate to the hedging of items that are not recognized at fair value on the balance sheet (if a gain, report as a positive value; if a loss, report as a negative value) (To be completed only by institutions that entered "0" for No in item 3.a.).....	RCFAP849	NR	9.f.
10. Other deductions from (additions to) common equity tier 1 capital before threshold-based deductions:			10.
a. LESS: Unrealized net gain (loss) related to changes in the fair value of liabilities that are due to changes in own credit risk (if a gain, report as a positive value; if a loss, report as a negative value).....	RCFAQ258	0	10.a.
b. LESS: All other deductions from (additions to) common equity tier 1 capital before threshold-based deductions.	RCFAP850	862,000	10.b.

Dollar amounts in thousands		(Column A) Non-advanced Approaches Institutions	(Column B) Advanced Approaches Institutions	
11. LESS: Non-significant investments in the capital of unconsolidated financial institutions in the form of common stock that exceed the 10 percent threshold for non-significant investments.....			RCFWP851	NR 11.
12. Subtotal (for column A, item 5 minus items 6 through 10.b; for column B, item 5 minus items 6 through 11).....	RCFAP852	20,005,000	RCFWP852	NR 12.
13. Not available				13.
a. LESS: Investments in the capital of unconsolidated financial institutions, net of associated DTLs, that exceed 25 percent of item 12.....	RCFALB58	0		13.a.
b. LESS: Significant investments in the capital of unconsolidated financial institutions in the form of common stock, net of associated DTLs, that exceed the 10 percent common equity tier 1 capital deduction threshold.....			RCFWP853	NR 13.b.
14. Not available				14.
a. LESS: MSAs, net of associated DTLs, that exceed 25 percent of item 12.....	RCFALB59	0		14.a.
b. LESS: MSAs, net of associated DTLs, that exceed the 10 percent common equity tier 1 capital deduction threshold.....			RCFWP854	NR 14.b.
15. Not available				15.
a. LESS: DTAs arising from temporary differences that could not be realized through net operating loss carrybacks, net of related valuation allowances and net of DTLs, that exceed 25 percent of item 12.....	RCFALB60	0		15.a.
b. LESS: DTAs arising from temporary differences that could not be realized through net operating loss carrybacks, net of related valuation allowances and net of DTLs, that exceed the 10 percent common equity tier 1 capital deduction threshold.....			RCFWP855	NR 15.b.
16. LESS: Amount of significant investments in the capital of unconsolidated financial institutions in the form of common stock, net of associated DTLs; MSAs, net of associated DTLs; and DTAs arising from temporary differences that could not be realized through net operating loss carrybacks, net of related valuation allowances and net of DTLs; that exceeds the 15 percent common equity tier 1 capital deduction threshold.....			RCFWP856	NR 16.
17. LESS: Deductions applied to common equity tier 1 capital due to insufficient amounts of additional tier 1 capital and tier 2 capital to cover deductions.....	RCFAP857	0	RCFWP857	NR 17.
18. Total adjustments and deductions for common equity tier 1 capital ³	RCFAP858	0	RCFWP858	NR 18.
19. Common equity tier 1 capital (item 12 minus item 18).....	RCFAP859	20,005,000	RCFWP859	NR 19.

Dollar amounts in thousands				
20. Additional tier 1 capital instruments plus related surplus.....	RCFAP860		0	20.
21. Non-qualifying capital instruments subject to phase out from additional tier 1 capital	RCFAP861		0	21.
22. Tier 1 minority interest not included in common equity tier 1 capital.....	RCFAP862		0	22.
23. Additional tier 1 capital before deductions (sum of items 20, 21, and 22).....	RCFAP863		0	23.
24. LESS: Additional tier 1 capital deductions.....	RCFAP864		0	24.
25. Additional tier 1 capital (greater of item 23 minus item 24, or zero).....	RCFAP865		0	25.
26. Tier 1 capital ¹	RCFA8274		20,005,000	26.
27. Average total consolidated assets ²	RCFAKW03		214,510,000	27.
28. LESS: Deductions from common equity tier 1 capital and additional tier 1 capital (sum of items 6, 7, 8, 10.b, 13 through 15, 17, and certain elements of item 24 - see instructions) ³	RCFAP875		5,764,000	28.
29. LESS: Other deductions from (additions to) assets for leverage ratio purposes.....	RCFAB596		123,000	29.
30. Total assets for the leverage ratio (item 27 minus items 28 and 29).....	RCFAA224		208,623,000	30.
31. Leverage ratio (item 26 divided by 30).....	RCFA7204		9.5891%	31.
a. Does your institution have a community bank leverage ratio (CBLR) framework election in effect as of the quarter-end report date? (enter "1" for Yes; enter "0" for No).....	RCOALE74		0	31.a.
<i>Item 31.b is to be completed only by non-advanced approaches institutions that elect to use the Standardized Approach for Counterparty Credit Risk (SA-CCR) for purposes of the standardized approach and supplementary leverage ratio.</i>				
b. Standardized Approach for Counterparty Credit Risk opt-in election (enter "1" for Yes; leave blank for No.) ⁴	RCOANC99		NR	31.b.

1. Institutions that have adopted ASU 2016-13 and have elected to apply the 3-year or the 5-year 2020 CECL transition provision should include the applicable portion of the CECL transitional amount or the modified CECL transitional amount, respectively, in this item.

Dollar amounts in thousands		(Column A) Amount		(Column B) Percentage	
32. Total assets (Schedule RC, item 12); (must be less than \$10 billion).....	RCFA2170	NR			32.
33. Trading assets and trading liabilities (Schedule RC, sum of items 5 and 15). Report as a dollar amount in Column A and as a percentage of total assets (5% limit) in Column B.....	RCFAKX77	NR	RCFAKX78	NR	33.
34. Off-balance sheet exposures:					34.
a. Unused portion of conditionally cancellable commitments.....	RCFAKX79	NR			34.a.
b. Securities lent and borrowed (Schedule RC-L, sum of items 6.a and 6.b).....	RCFAKX80	NR			34.b.
c. Other off-balance sheet exposures.....	RCFAKX81	NR			34.c.
d. Total off-balance sheet exposures (sum of items 34.a through 34.c). Report as a dollar amount in Column A and as a percentage of total assets (25% limit) in Column B.....	RCFAKX82	NR	RCFAKX83	NR	34.d.

Dollar amounts in thousands			
35. Unconditionally cancellable commitments.....	RCFAS540	NR	35.
36. Investments in the tier 2 capital of unconsolidated financial institutions.....	RCFALB61	NR	36.
37. Allocated transfer risk reserve.....	RCFA3128	NR	37.
38. Amount of allowances for credit losses on purchased credit-deteriorated assets: ¹			38.
a. Loans and leases held for investment.....	RCFAJJ30	NR	38.a.
b. Held-to-maturity debt securities.....	RCFAJJ31	NR	38.b.
c. Other financial assets measured at amortized cost.....	RCFAJJ32	NR	38.c.
39. Tier 2 capital instruments plus related surplus.....	RCFAP866	300,000	39.
40. Non-qualifying capital instruments subject to phase-out from tier 2 capital.....	RCFAP867	0	40.
41. Total capital minority interest that is not included in tier 1 capital.....	RCFAP868	0	41.
42. Allowance for loan and lease losses and eligible credit reserves includable in tier 2 capital			42.
a. Allowance for loan and lease losses includable in tier 2 capital ³	RCFA5310	2,078,000	42.a.
b. (Advanced approaches institutions that exit parallel run only): Eligible credit reserves includable in tier 2 capital.....	RCFW5310	NR	42.b.
43. Not applicable.			43.
44. Tier 2 capital before deductions			44.
a. Tier 2 capital before deductions (sum of items 39 through 42).....	RCFAP870	2,378,000	44.a.
b. (Advanced approaches institutions that exit parallel run only): Tier 2 capital before deductions (sum of items 39 through 41, plus item 42.b).....	RCFWP870	NR	44.b.
45. LESS: Tier 2 capital deductions.....	RCFAP872	0	45.
46. Tier 2 capital			46.
a. Tier 2 capital (greater of item 44.a minus item 45, or zero).....	RCFA5311	2,378,000	46.a.
b. (Advanced approaches institutions that exit parallel run only): Tier 2 capital (greater of item 44.b minus item 45, or zero).....	RCFW5311	NR	46.b.
47. Total capital			47.
a. Total capital (sum of items 26 and 46.a).....	RCFA3792	22,383,000	47.a.
b. (Advanced approaches institutions that exit parallel run only): Total capital (sum of items 26 and 46.b).....	RCFW3792	NR	47.b.
48. Total risk-weighted assets			48.
a. Total risk-weighted assets (from Schedule RC-R, Part II, item 31).....	RCFAA223	167,285,700	48.a.
b. (Advanced approaches institutions that exit parallel run only): Total risk-weighted assets using advanced approaches rule (from FFIEC 101 Schedule A, item 60).....	RCFWA223	NR	48.b.

3. Beginning with the June 30, 2020, report date, all non-advanced approaches institutions should report in item 18, column A, the sum of items 13.a, 14.a, 15.a, and 17, column A; all advanced approaches institutions should report in item 18, column B, the sum of items 13.b, 14.b, 15.b, 16, and 17, column B.

1. Beginning with the June 30, 2020, report date, all non-advanced approaches institutions should report the sum of item 19, column A, and item 25 in item 26; all advanced approaches institutions should report the sum of item 19, column B, and item 25 in item 26.

2. Institutions that have adopted ASU 2016-13 and have elected to apply the 3-year or the 5-year 2020 CECL transition provision should include the applicable portion of the CECL transitional amount or the modified CECL transitional amount, respectively, in item 27.

3. Beginning with the June 30, 2020, report date, all non-advanced approaches institutions should report in item 28 the sum of items 6, 7, 8, 10.b, 13.a, 14.a, 15.a, 17 (column A), and certain elements of item 24 - see instructions; all advanced approaches institutions should report in item 28, the sum of items 6, 7, 8, 10.b, 11, 13.b, 14.b, 15.b, 16, 17 (column B), and certain elements of item 24 - see instructions.

4. For the December 31, 2021, report date only, advanced approaches institutions that adopt SA-CCR prior to the mandatory compliance date should enter "1" in item 31.b.

Dollar amounts in thousands		(Column A) Percentage		(Column B) Percentage	
49. Common equity tier 1 capital ratio (Column A: item 19, column A or B, as applicable, divided by item 48.a) (Advanced approaches institutions that exit parallel run only: Column B: item 19, column B, divided by item 48.b).....	RCFAP793	11.9586%	RCFWP793	NR	49.
50. Tier 1 capital ratio (Column A: item 26 divided by item 48.a) (Advanced approaches institutions that exit parallel run only: Column B: item 26 divided by item 48.b).....	RCFA7206	11.9586%	RCFW7206	NR	50.
51. Total capital ratio (Column A: item 47.a divided by item 48.a) (Advanced approaches institutions that exit parallel run only: Column B: item 47.b divided by item 48.b).....	RCFA7205	13.3801%	RCFW7205	NR	51.

Dollar amounts in thousands				
52. Institution-specific capital buffer necessary to avoid limitations on distributions and discretionary bonus payments:				52.
a. Capital conservation buffer.....	RCFAH311	5.3801%		52.a.
b. Advanced approaches institutions and institutions subject to Category III capital standards only: Total applicable capital buffer.....	RCFWH312	NR		52.b.
53. Eligible retained income ¹	RCFAH313	NR		53.
54. Distributions and discretionary bonus payments during the quarter ²	RCFAH314	NR		54.
55. Advanced approaches institutions and institutions subject to Category III capital standards only: Supplementary leverage ratio information:				55.
a. Total leverage exposure ³	RCFAH015	NR		55.a.
b. Supplementary leverage ratio.....	RCFAH036	NR		55.b.

1. Items 38.a through 38.c should be completed only by institutions that have adopted ASU 2016-13.

3. Institutions that have adopted ASU 2016-13 should report the amount of adjusted allowances for credit losses (AACL), as defined in the regulatory capital rule, includable in tier 2 capital in item 42.a.

1. Institutions must complete item 53 only if the amount reported in item 52.a above is less than or equal to 2.5000 percent (plus any other applicable buffer if the institution is an advanced approaches institution or a Category III institution).

2. Institutions must complete item 54 only if the amount reported in Schedule RC-R, Part I, item 46.a, in the Call Report for the December 31, 2019, report date was less than or equal to 2.5000 percent (plus any other applicable buffer if the institution is an advanced approaches institution or a Category III institution).

3. Institutions that have adopted ASU 2016-13 and have elected to apply the 3-year or the 5-year 2020 CECL transition provision should include the applicable portion of the CECL transitional amount or the modified CECL transitional amount, respectively, in item 55.a.

Schedule RC-R Part II - Risk-Weighted Assets(Form Type - 031)

Institutions are required to assign a 100 percent risk weight to all assets not specifically assigned a risk weight under Subpart D of the federal banking agencies' regulatory capital rules and not deducted from tier 1 or tier 2 capital.

	(Column A) Totals from Schedule RC	(Column B) Adjustments to Totals Reported in Column A	(Column C) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 0%	(Column D) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 2%	(Column E) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 4%	(Column F) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 10%	(Column G) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 20%	(Column H) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 50%	(Column I) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 100%	(Column J) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 150%	
Dollar amounts in thousands											
1. Cash and balances due from depository institutions.....	RCFDD957 21,713,000	RCFDS396 13,000	RCFDD958 19,720,000				RCFDD959 1,978,000	RCFDS397 0	RCFDD960 2,000	RCFDS398 0	1.
2. Securities:											2.
a. Held-to-maturity securities ³	RCFDD961 0	RCFDS399 0	RCFDD962 0	RCFDHJ74 0	RCFDHJ75 0		RCFDD963 0	RCFDD964 0	RCFDD965 0	RCFDS400 0	2.a.
b. Available-for-sale debt securities and equity securities with readily determinable fair values not held for trading.....	RCFDJA21 37,822,000	RCFDS402 -6,683,000	RCFDD967 13,551,000	RCFDHJ76 0	RCFDHJ77 0		RCFDD968 30,940,000	RCFDD969 0	RCFDD970 14,000	RCFDS403 0	2.b.
3. Federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell:											3.
a. Federal funds sold in domestic offices.....	RCOND971 0		RCOND972 0				RCOND973 0	RCONS410 0	RCOND974 0	RCONS411 0	3.a.
b. Securities purchased under agreements to resell.....	RCFDH171 0	RCFDH172 0									3.b.
4. Loans and leases held for sale:											4.
a. Residential mortgage exposures.....	RCFDS413 533,000	RCFDS414 0	RCFDH173 0				RCFDS415 87,000	RCFDS416 311,000	RCFDS417 135,000		4.a.
b. High volatility commercial real estate exposures.....	RCFDS419 0	RCFDS420 0	RCFDH174 0				RCFDH175 0	RCFDH176 0	RCFDH177 0	RCFDS421 0	4.b.
c. Exposures past due 90 days or more or on nonaccrual ³	RCFDS423 5,000	RCFDS424 0	RCFDS425 0	RCFDHJ78 0	RCFDHJ79 0		RCFDS426 0	RCFDS427 0	RCFDS428 0	RCFDS429 5,000	4.c.

	(Column K) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 250%	(Column L) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 300%	(Column M) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 400%	(Column N) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 600%	(Column O) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 625%	(Column P) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 937.5%	(Column Q) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 1,250%	(Column R) Application of Other Risk-Weighting Approaches Exposure Amount	(Column S) Application of Other Risk-Weighting Approaches Risk-Weighted Asset Amount	
Dollar amounts in thousands										
1. Cash and balances due from depository institutions										1.
2. Securities:										2.
a. Held-to-maturity securities										2.a.
b. Available-for-sale debt securities and equity securities with readily determinable fair values not held for trading.....	RCFDH270 NR	RCFDS405 0		RCFDS406 0				RCFDH271 0	RCFDH272 0	2.b.
3. Federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell:										3.
a. Federal funds sold in domestic offices										3.a.

3. Institutions that have adopted ASU 2016-13 should report as a negative number allowances eligible for inclusion in tier 2 capital in Column B, which excludes PCD allowances.
 3. For loans and leases held for sale, exclude residential mortgage exposures, high volatility commercial real estate exposures, or sovereign exposures that are past due 90 days or more or on nonaccrual.

	(Column K) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 250%	(Column L) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 300%	(Column M) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 400%	(Column N) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 600%	(Column O) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 625%	(Column P) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 937.5%	(Column Q) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 1,250%	(Column R) Application of Other Risk-Weighting Approaches Exposure Amount	(Column S) Application of Other Risk-Weighting Approaches Risk-Weighted Asset Amount	
Dollar amounts in thousands										
b. Securities purchased under agreements to resell										3.b.
4. Loans and leases held for sale:										4.
a. Residential mortgage exposures.....								RCFDH273 0	RCFDH274 0	4.a.
b. High volatility commercial real estate exposures.....								RCFDH275 0	RCFDH276 0	4.b.

	(Column K) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 250%	(Column L) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 300%	(Column M) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 400%	(Column N) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 600%	(Column O) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 625%	(Column P) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 937.5%	(Column Q) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 1,250%	(Column R) Application of Other Risk-Weighting Approaches Exposure Amount	(Column S) Application of Other Risk-Weighting Approaches Risk-Weighted Asset Amount	
Dollar amounts in thousands										
4. Loans and leases held for sale (continued):										4.
d. All other exposures.....								RCFDH279 0	RCFDH280 0	4.d.
5. Loans and leases held for investment:										5.
a. Residential mortgage exposures.....								RCFDH281 0	RCFDH282 0	5.a.
b. High volatility commercial real estate exposures.....								RCFDH283 0	RCFDH284 0	5.b.
c. Exposures past due 90 days or more or on nonaccrual ¹¹								RCFDH285 0	RCFDH286 0	5.c.
d. All other exposures.....								RCFDH287 0	RCFDH288 0	5.d.
6. LESS: Allowance for loan and lease losses										6.
7. Trading assets.....	RCFDH289 NR	RCFDH186 0	RCFDH290 0	RCFDH187 0				RCFDH291 0	RCFDH292 0	7.
8. All other assets ¹²	RCFDH293 2,112,000	RCFDH188 0	RCFDS470 0	RCFDS471 0				RCFDH294 0	RCFDH295 0	8.
a. Separate account bank-owned life insurance.....								RCFDH296 1,531,000	RCFDH297 1,059,000	8.a.
b. Default fund contributions to central counterparties.....								RCFDH298 0	RCFDH299 0	8.b.

6. For loans and leases held for sale, exclude residential mortgage exposures, high volatility commercial real estate exposures, or sovereign exposures that are past due 90 days or more or on nonaccrual.
 7. For loans and leases, net of unearned income, exclude residential mortgage exposures, high volatility commercial real estate exposures, or sovereign exposures that are past due 90 days or more or on nonaccrual.
 8. Includes premises and fixed assets; other real estate owned; investments in unconsolidated subsidiaries and associated companies; direct and indirect investments in real estate ventures; intangible assets; and other assets.
 11. For loans and leases, net of unearned income, exclude residential mortgage exposures, high volatility commercial real estate exposures, or sovereign exposures that are past due 90 days or more or on nonaccrual.
 12. Includes premises and fixed assets; other real estate owned; investments in unconsolidated subsidiaries and associated companies; direct and indirect investments in real estate ventures; intangible assets; and other assets.

	(Column A) Totals	(Column B) Adjustments to Totals Reported in Column A	(Column Q) Exposure Amount 1,250%	(Column T) Total Risk-Weighted Asset Amount by Calculation Methodology SSFA	(Column U) Total Risk-Weighted Asset Amount by Calculation Methodology Gross-Up	
Dollar amounts in thousands						
9. On-balance sheet securitization exposures:						9.
a. Held-to-maturity securities.....	RCFDS475 0	RCFDS476 0	RCFDS477 0	RCFDS478 0	RCFDS479 0	9.a.
b. Available-for-sale securities.....	RCFDS480 9,313,000	RCFDS481 9,313,000	RCFDS482 0	RCFDS483 2,109,000	RCFDS484 0	9.b.
c. Trading assets.....	RCFDS485 0	RCFDS486 0	RCFDS487 0	RCFDS488 0	RCFDS489 0	9.c.
d. All other on-balance sheet securitization exposures.....	RCFDS490 4,011,000	RCFDS491 4,011,000	RCFDS492 0	RCFDS493 845,000	RCFDS494 0	9.d.
10. Off-balance sheet securitization exposures.....	RCFDS495 1,146,000	RCFDS496 1,146,000	RCFDS497 0	RCFDS498 229,000	RCFDS499 0	10.

	(Column A) Totals From Schedule RC	(Column B) Adjustments to Totals Reported in Column A	(Column C) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 0%	(Column D) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 2%	(Column E) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 4%	(Column F) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 10%	(Column G) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 20%	(Column H) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 50%	(Column I) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 100%	(Column J) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 150%
Dollar amounts in thousands										
11. Total balance sheet assets ¹⁴	RCFDD2170 212,148,000	RCFDS500 13,571,000	RCFDD987 34,446,000	RCFDHJ90 0	RCFDHJ91 0		RCFDD988 34,562,000	RCFDD989 17,708,000	RCFDD990 107,555,000	RCFDS503 663,000

	(Column K) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 250%	(Column L) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 300%	(Column M) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 400%	(Column N) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 600%	(Column O) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 625%	(Column P) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 937.5%	(Column Q) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 1,250%	(Column R) Application of Other Risk-Weighting Approaches Exposure Amount
Dollar amounts in thousands								
11. Total balance sheet assets ¹⁴	RCFDS504 2,112,000	RCFDS505 0	RCFDS506 0	RCFDS507 0			RCFDS510 0	RCFDH300 1,531,000

	(Column A) Face, Notional, or Other Amount	(Column B) Credit Equivalent Amount	(Column C) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 0%	(Column D) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 2%	(Column E) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 4%	(Column F) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 10%	(Column G) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 20%	(Column H) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 50%	(Column I) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 100%	(Column J) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 150%
Dollar amounts in thousands										
12. Financial standby letters of credit	RCFDD991 1,201,000	RCFDD992 1,201,000	RCFDD993 147,000	RCFDHJ92 0	RCFDHJ93 0		RCFDD994 11,000	RCFDD995 0	RCFDD996 1,043,000	RCFDS511 0
13. Performance standby letters of credit and transaction-related contingent items	RCFDD997 925,000	RCFDD998 462,500	RCFDD999 8,500				RCFDG603 0	RCFDG604 0	RCFDG605 454,000	RCFDS512 0
14. Commercial and similar letters of credit with an original maturity of one year or less	RCFDG606 10,000	RCFDG607 2,000	RCFDG608 0	RCFDHJ94 0	RCFDHJ95 0		RCFDG609 0	RCFDG610 0	RCFDG611 2,000	RCFDS513 0
15. Retained recourse on small business obligations sold with recourse	RCFDG612 0	RCFDG613 0	RCFDG614 0				RCFDG615 0	RCFDG616 0	RCFDG617 0	RCFDS514 0

	(Column A) Face, Notional, or Other Amount	(Column B) Credit Equivalent Amount	(Column C) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 0%	(Column D) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 2%	(Column E) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 4%	(Column F) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 10%	(Column G) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 20%	(Column H) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 50%	(Column I) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 100%	(Column J) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 150%
Dollar amounts in thousands										
16. Repo-style transactions ²¹	RCFDS515 333,000	RCFDS516 333,000	RCFDS517 320,000	RCFDS518 0	RCFDS519 0		RCFDS520 0	RCFDS521 0	RCFDS522 13,000	RCFDS523 0
17. All other off-balance sheet liabilities	RCFDG618 96,000	RCFDG619 96,000	RCFDG620 0				RCFDG621 0	RCFDG622 33,000	RCFDG623 63,000	RCFDS524 0
18. Unused commitments:										
a. Original maturity of one year or less	RCFDS525 10,160,000	RCFDS526 2,032,000	RCFDS527 0	RCFDHJ96 0	RCFDHJ97 0		RCFDS528 0	RCFDS529 7,000	RCFDS530 2,024,000	RCFDS531 1,000

14. For each of columns A through R of item 11, report the sum of items 1 through 9. For item 11, the sum of columns B through R must equal column A. Item 11, column A, must equal Schedule RC, item 12.

21. Includes securities purchased under agreements to resell (reverse repos), securities sold under agreements to repurchase (repos), securities borrowed, and securities lent.

*. Excludes unused commitments to asset-backed commercial paper conduits.

	(Column A) Face, Notional, or Other Amount	(Column B) Credit Equivalent Amount	(Column C) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 0%	(Column D) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 2%	(Column E) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 4%	(Column F) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 10%	(Column G) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 20%	(Column H) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 50%	(Column I) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 100%	(Column J) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 150%	
Dollar amounts in thousands											
b. Original maturity exceeding one year.....	RCFDG624 53,024,000	RCFDG625 26,512,000	RCFDG626 0	RCFDHJ98 0	RCFDHJ99 0		RCFDG627 0	RCFDG628 40,000	RCFDG629 26,441,000	RCFDS539 31,000	18.b.
19. Unconditionally cancelable commitments.....	RCFDS540 19,780,000	RCFDS541 0									19.
20. Over-the-counter derivatives.....		RCFDS542 5,121,000	RCFDS543 470,000	RCFDHK00 0	RCFDHK01 0	RCFDS544 0	RCFDS545 2,963,000	RCFDS546 0	RCFDS547 1,688,000	RCFDS548 0	20.
21. Centrally cleared derivatives.....		RCFDS549 352,000	RCFDS550 2,000	RCFDS551 0	RCFDS552 350,000		RCFDS554 0	RCFDS555 0	RCFDS556 0	RCFDS557 0	21.
22. Unsettled transactions (failed trades) ²²	RCFDH191 0		RCFDH193 0				RCFDH194 0	RCFDH195 0	RCFDH196 0	RCFDH197 0	22.

22. For item 22, the sum of columns C through Q must equal column A.

	(Column O) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 625%	(Column P) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 937.5%	(Column Q) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 1,250%	(Column R) Application of Other Risk-Weighting Approaches Credit Equivalent Amount	(Column S) Application of Other Risk-Weighting Approaches Risk-Weighted Asset Amount	
Dollar amounts in thousands						
16. Repo-style transactions ²⁴				RCFDH301 0	RCFDH302 0	16.
17. All other off-balance sheet liabilities						17.
18. Unused commitments: [*]						18.
a. Original maturity of one year or less.....				RCFDH303 0	RCFDH304 0	18.a.
b. Original maturity exceeding one year.....				RCFDH307 0	RCFDH308 0	18.b.
19. Unconditionally cancelable commitments						19.
20. Over-the-counter derivatives.....				RCFDH309 0	RCFDH310 0	20.
21. Centrally cleared derivatives						21.
22. Unsettled transactions (failed trades) ²⁵	RCFDH198 0	RCFDH199 0	RCFDH200 0			22.

24. Includes securities purchased under agreements to resell (reverse repos), securities sold under agreements to repurchase (repos), securities borrowed, and securities lent.
 *. Excludes unused commitments to asset-backed commercial paper conduits.
 25. For item 22, the sum of columns C through Q must equal column A.

Dollar amounts in thousands		(Column C) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 0%	(Column D) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 2%	(Column E) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 4%	(Column F) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 10%	(Column G) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 20%	(Column H) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 50%	(Column I) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 100%	(Column J) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 150%	
23. Total assets, derivatives, off-balance sheet items, and other items subject to risk weighting by risk-weight category (for each of columns C through P, sum of items 11 through 22; for column Q, sum of items 10 through 22).....		RCFDG630 35,393,500	RCFDS558 0	RCFDS559 350,000	RCFDS560 0	RCFDG631 37,536,000	RCFDG632 17,788,000	RCFDG633 139,283,000	RCFDS561 695,000	23.
24. Risk weight factor										24.
25. Risk-weighted assets by risk-weight category (for each column, item 23 multiplied by item 24).....		RCFDG634 0	RCFDS569 0	RCFDS570 14,000	RCFDS571 0	RCFDG635 7,507,200	RCFDG636 8,894,000	RCFDG637 139,283,000	RCFDS572 1,042,500	25.

Dollar amounts in thousands		(Column K) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 250%	(Column L) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 300%	(Column M) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 400%	(Column N) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 600%	(Column O) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 625%	(Column P) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 937.5%	(Column Q) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 1,250%	
23. Total assets, derivatives, off-balance sheet items, and other items subject to risk weighting by risk-weight category (for each of columns C through P, sum of items 11 through 22; for column Q, sum of items 10 through 22).....		RCFDS562 2,112,000	RCFDS563 0	RCFDS564 0	RCFDS565 0	RCFDS566 0	RCFDS567 0	RCFDS568 0	23.
24. Risk weight factor									24.
25. Risk-weighted assets by risk-weight category (for each column, item 23 multiplied by item 24).....		RCFDS573 5,280,000	RCFDS574 0	RCFDS575 0	RCFDS576 0	RCFDS577 0	RCFDS578 0	RCFDS579 0	25.

Dollar amounts in thousands

26. Risk-weighted assets base for purposes of calculating the allowance for loan and lease losses 1.25 percent threshold.....	RCFDS580	166,262,700	26.
27. Standardized market-risk weighted assets (applicable only to banks that are covered by the market risk capital rule).....	RCFDS581	1,149,000	27.
28. Risk-weighted assets before deductions for excess allowance of loan and lease losses and allocated risk transfer risk reserve ²⁷	RCFDB704	167,411,700	28.
29. LESS: Excess allowance for loan and lease losses.....	RCFDA222	126,000	29.
30. LESS: Allocated transfer risk reserve.....	RCFD3128	0	30.
31. Total risk-weighted assets (item 28 minus items 29 and 30).....	RCFDG641	167,285,700	31.
1. Current credit exposure across all derivative contracts covered by the regulatory capital rules.....	RCFDG642	2,225,000	M.1.

Dollar amounts in thousands	(Column A) With a remaining maturity of One year or less		(Column B) With a remaining maturity of Over one year through five years		(Column C) With a remaining maturity of Over five years		
2. Notional principal amounts of over-the-counter derivative contracts:							M.2.
a. Interest rate.....	RCFDS582	11,579,000	RCFDS583	44,051,000	RCFDS584	11,257,000	M.2.a.
b. Foreign exchange rate and gold.....	RCFDS585	12,883,000	RCFDS586	11,403,000	RCFDS587	0	M.2.b.
c. Credit (investment grade reference asset).....	RCFDS588	212,000	RCFDS589	1,211,000	RCFDS590	265,000	M.2.c.
d. Credit (non-investment grade reference asset).....	RCFDS591	465,000	RCFDS592	2,499,000	RCFDS593	472,000	M.2.d.
e. Equity.....	RCFDS594	0	RCFDS595	3,691,000	RCFDS596	0	M.2.e.
f. Precious metals (except gold).....	RCFDS597	0	RCFDS598	0	RCFDS599	0	M.2.f.
g. Other.....	RCFDS600	6,432,000	RCFDS601	5,341,000	RCFDS602	0	M.2.g.
3. Notional principal amounts of centrally cleared derivative contracts:							M.3.
a. Interest rate.....	RCFDS603	50,589,000	RCFDS604	24,000	RCFDS605	0	M.3.a.
b. Foreign exchange rate and gold.....	RCFDS606	0	RCFDS607	0	RCFDS608	0	M.3.b.
c. Credit (investment grade reference asset).....	RCFDS609	0	RCFDS610	0	RCFDS611	0	M.3.c.
d. Credit (non-investment grade reference asset).....	RCFDS612	0	RCFDS613	0	RCFDS614	0	M.3.d.
e. Equity.....	RCFDS615	0	RCFDS616	0	RCFDS617	0	M.3.e.
f. Precious metals (except gold).....	RCFDS618	0	RCFDS619	0	RCFDS620	0	M.3.f.
g. Other.....	RCFDS621	1,515,000	RCFDS622	867,000	RCFDS623	0	M.3.g.

Dollar amounts in thousands

4. Amount of allowances for credit losses on purchased credit-deteriorated assets: ¹			M.4.
a. Loans and leases held for investment.....	RCFDJJ30	2,000	M.4.a.
b. Held-to-maturity debt securities.....	RCFDJJ31	0	M.4.b.
c. Other financial assets measured at amortized cost.....	RCFDJJ32	0	M.4.c.

27. Sum of items 2.b through 20, column S; items 9.a, 9.b, 9.c, 9.d, and 10, columns T and U; item 25, columns C through Q; and item 27 (if applicable).
 1. Memorandum items 4.a through 4.c should be completed only by institutions that have adopted ASU 2016-13.

Schedule RC-S - Servicing Securitization and Asset Sale Activities(Form Type - 031)

	(Column A) 1-4 Family Residential Loans	(Column B) Home Equity Lines	(Column C) Credit Card Receivables	(Column D) Auto Loans	(Column E) Other Consumer Loans	(Column F) Commercial and Industrial Loans	(Column G) All Other Loans, All Leases, and All Other Assets	
Dollar amounts in thousands								
1. Outstanding principal balance of assets sold and securitized by the reporting bank with servicing retained or with recourse or other seller-provided credit enhancements.....	RCFDB705 0	RCFDB706 0	RCFDB707 0	RCFDB708 0	RCFDB709 200,000	RCFDB710 0	RCFDB711 0	1.
2. Maximum amount of credit exposure arising from recourse or other seller-provided credit enhancements provided to structures reported in item 1.....	RCFDHU09 0	RCFDHU10 0	RCFDHU11 0	RCFDHU12 0	RCFDHU13 0	RCFDHU14 0	RCFDHU15 0	2.
<i>Item 3 is to be completed by banks with \$100 billion or more in total assets.</i>								
3. Reporting bank's unused commitments to provide liquidity to structures reported in item 1 ¹	RCFDB726 0	RCFDB727 0	RCFDB728 0	RCFDB729 0	RCFDB730 0	RCFDB731 0	RCFDB732 0	3.
4. Past due loan amounts included in item 1:								4.
a. 30-89 days past due.....	RCFDB733 0	RCFDB734 0	RCFDB735 0	RCFDB736 0	RCFDB737 1,000	RCFDB738 0	RCFDB739 0	4.a.
b. 90 days or more past due.....	RCFDB740 0	RCFDB741 0	RCFDB742 0	RCFDB743 0	RCFDB744 1,000	RCFDB745 0	RCFDB746 0	4.b.
5. Charge-offs and recoveries on assets sold and securitized with servicing retained or with recourse or other seller-provided credit enhancements (calendar year-to-date):								5.
a. Charge-offs.....	RIADB747 0	RIADB748 0	RIADB749 0	RIADB750 0	RIADB751 3,000	RIADB752 0	RIADB753 0	5.a.
b. Recoveries.....	RIADB754 0	RIADB755 0	RIADB756 0	RIADB757 0	RIADB758 1,000	RIADB759 0	RIADB760 0	5.b.
<i>Item 6 is to be completed by banks with \$10 billion or more in total assets.</i>								
6. Total amount of ownership (or seller's) interest carried as securities or loans ¹		RCFDHU16 0	RCFDHU17 0			RCFDHU18 0		6.
7. Not applicable								7.
8. Not applicable								8.
9. Maximum amount of credit exposure arising from credit enhancements provided by the reporting bank to other institutions' securitization structures in the form of standby letters of credit, purchased subordinated securities, and other enhancements.....	RCFDB776 0			RCFDB779 0	RCFDB780 0	RCFDB781 0	RCFDB782 0	9.
<i>Item 10 is to be completed by banks with \$10 billion or more in total assets.</i>								
10. Reporting bank's unused commitments to provide liquidity to other institutions' securitization structures ¹	RCFDB783 0			RCFDB786 0	RCFDB787 0	RCFDB788 0	RCFDB789 0	10.
11. Assets sold with recourse or other seller-provided credit enhancements and not securitized by the reporting bank.....	RCFDB790 96,000						RCFDB796 0	11.
12. Maximum amount of credit exposure arising from recourse or other seller-provided credit enhancements provided to assets reported in item 11....	RCFDB797 96,000						RCFDB803 0	12.

1. The \$100 billion asset-size test is based on the total assets reported on the June 30, 2022, Report of Condition.
 1. The \$10 billion asset-size test is based on the total assets reported on the June 30, 2022, Report of Condition.
 1. The \$10 billion asset-size test is based on the total assets reported on the June 30, 2022, Report of Condition.

Dollar amounts in thousands

1. Not applicable			M.1.
2. Outstanding principal balance of assets serviced for others (includes participations serviced for others):			M.2.
a. Closed-end 1-4 family residential mortgages serviced with recourse or other servicer-provided credit enhancements.....	RCFDB804	96,000	M.2.a.
b. Closed-end 1-4 family residential mortgages serviced with no recourse or other servicer-provided credit enhancements.....	RCFDB805	101,793,000	M.2.b.
c. Other financial assets (includes home equity lines) ¹	RCFDA591	3,723,000	M.2.c.
d. 1-4 family residential mortgages serviced for others that are in process of foreclosure at quarter-end (includes closed-end and open-end loans).....	RCFDF699	257,000	M.2.d.
<i>Memorandum item 3 is to be completed by banks with \$10 billion or more in total assets.</i>			M.3.
3. Asset-backed commercial paper conduits: ²			M.3.a.
a. Maximum amount of credit exposure arising from credit enhancements provided to conduit structures in the form of standby letters of credit, subordinated securities, and other enhancements:			M.3.a.
1. Conduits sponsored by the bank, a bank affiliate, or the bank's holding company.....	RCFDB806	0	M.3.a.1.
2. Conduits sponsored by other unrelated institutions.....	RCFDB807	27,000	M.3.a.2.
b. Unused commitments to provide liquidity to conduit structures:			M.3.b.
1. Conduits sponsored by the bank, a bank affiliate, or the bank's holding company.....	RCFDB808	0	M.3.b.1.
2. Conduits sponsored by other unrelated institutions.....	RCFDB809	33,000	M.3.b.2.
4. Outstanding credit card fees and finance charges included in Schedule RC-S, item 1, column C ²	RCFDC407	0	M.4.

Schedule RC-T - Fiduciary and Related Services(Form Type - 031)

Dollar amounts in thousands

1. Does the institution have fiduciary powers? (If "NO," do not complete Schedule RC-T.).....	RCFDA345	Yes	1.
2. Does the institution exercise the fiduciary powers it has been granted?.....	RCFDA346	Yes	2.
3. Does the institution have any fiduciary or related activity (in the form of assets or accounts) to report in this schedule? (If "NO," do not complete the rest of Schedule RC-T.).....	RCFDB867	Yes	3.

Dollar amounts in thousands	(Column A) Managed Assets		(Column B) Non-Managed Assets		(Column C) Number of Managed Accounts		(Column D) Number of Non-Managed Accounts		
4. Personal trust and agency accounts.....	RCFDB868	10,826,000	RCFDB869	1,883,000	RCFDB870	4532	RCFDB871	154	4.
5. Employee benefit and retirement-related trust and agency accounts:									5.
a. Employee benefit - defined contribution.....	RCFDB872	2,218,000	RCFDB873	8,993,000	RCFDB874	148	RCFDB875	242	5.a.
b. Employee benefit - defined benefit.....	RCFDB876	665,000	RCFDB877	2,018,000	RCFDB878	46	RCFDB879	38	5.b.
c. Other employee benefit and retirement-related accounts.....	RCFDB880	4,954,000	RCFDB881	337,000	RCFDB882	10071	RCFDB883	168	5.c.
6. Corporate trust and agency accounts.....	RCFDB884	0	RCFDB885	0	RCFDC001	0	RCFDC002	0	6.
7. Investment management and investment advisory agency accounts.....	RCFDB886	24,401,000	RCFDJ253	0	RCFDB888	10700	RCFDJ254	0	7.
8. Foundation and endowment trust and agency accounts.....	RCFDJ255	2,970,000	RCFDJ256	38,000	RCFDJ257	667	RCFDJ258	2	8.
9. Other fiduciary accounts.....	RCFDB890	438,000	RCFDB891	1,139,000	RCFDB892	173	RCFDB893	62	9.
10. Total fiduciary accounts (sum of items 4 through 9).....	RCFDB894	46,472,000	RCFDB895	14,408,000	RCFDB896	26337	RCFDB897	666	10.
11. Custody and safekeeping accounts.....			RCFDB898	442,120,000			RCFDB899	3189	11.
12. Fiduciary accounts held in foreign offices (included in items 10 and 11).....	RCFNB900	0	RCFNB901	0	RCFNB902	0	RCFNB903	0	12.
13. Individual Retirement Accounts, Health Savings Accounts, and other similar accounts (included in items 5.c and 11).....	RCFDJ259	4,809,000	RCFDJ260	103,000	RCFDJ261	10033	RCFDJ262	139	13.

1. Memorandum item 2.c is to be completed if the principal balance of other financial assets serviced for others is more than \$10 million.
 2. The \$10 billion asset-size test is based on the total assets reported on the June 30, 2022, Report of Condition.
 2. Memorandum item 4 is to be completed by banks that (1) together with affiliated institutions, have outstanding credit card receivables (as defined in the instructions) that exceed \$500 million as of the report date, or (2) are credit card specialty banks as defined for Uniform Bank Performance Report purposes.

Dollar amounts in thousands

14. Personal trust and agency accounts.....	RIADB904	56,000	14.
15. Employee benefit and retirement-related trust and agency accounts:			15.
a. Employee benefit - defined contribution.....	RIADB905	4,000	15.a.
b. Employee benefit - defined benefit.....	RIADB906	2,000	15.b.
c. Other employee benefit and retirement-related accounts.....	RIADB907	37,000	15.c.
16. Corporate trust and agency accounts.....	RIADA479	0	16.
17. Investment management and investment advisory agency accounts.....	RIADJ315	116,000	17.
18. Foundation and endowment trust and agency accounts.....	RIADJ316	13,000	18.
19. Other fiduciary accounts.....	RIADA480	1,000	19.
20. Custody and safekeeping accounts.....	RIADB909	27,000	20.
21. Other fiduciary and related services income.....	RIADB910	22,000	21.
22. Total gross fiduciary and related services income (sum of items 14 through 21) (must equal Schedule RI, item 5.a).....	RIAD4070	278,000	22.
a. Fiduciary and related services income - foreign offices (included in item 22).....	RIADB912	0	22.a.
23. Less: Expenses.....	RIADC058	NR	23.
24. Less: Net losses from fiduciary and related services.....	RIADA488	NR	24.
25. Plus: Intracompany income credits for fiduciary and related services.....	RIADB911	NR	25.
26. Net fiduciary and related services income.....	RIADA491	278,000	26.

Dollar amounts in thousands

	(Column A) Personal Trust and Agency and Investment Management Agency Accounts		(Column B) Employee Benefit and Retirement-Related Trust and Agency Accounts		(Column C) All Other Accounts		
1. Managed assets held in fiduciary accounts:							M.1.
a. Noninterest-bearing deposits.....	RCFDJ263	NR	RCFDJ264	NR	RCFDJ265	NR	M.1.a.
b. Interest-bearing deposits.....	RCFDJ266	NR	RCFDJ267	NR	RCFDJ268	NR	M.1.b.
c. U.S. Treasury and U.S. Government agency obligations.....	RCFDJ269	NR	RCFDJ270	NR	RCFDJ271	NR	M.1.c.
d. State, county, and municipal obligations.....	RCFDJ272	NR	RCFDJ273	NR	RCFDJ274	NR	M.1.d.
e. Money market mutual funds.....	RCFDJ275	NR	RCFDJ276	NR	RCFDJ277	NR	M.1.e.
f. Equity mutual funds.....	RCFDJ278	NR	RCFDJ279	NR	RCFDJ280	NR	M.1.f.
g. Other mutual funds.....	RCFDJ281	NR	RCFDJ282	NR	RCFDJ283	NR	M.1.g.
h. Common trust funds and collective investment funds.....	RCFDJ284	NR	RCFDJ285	NR	RCFDJ286	NR	M.1.h.
i. Other short-term obligations.....	RCFDJ287	NR	RCFDJ288	NR	RCFDJ289	NR	M.1.i.
j. Other notes and bonds.....	RCFDJ290	NR	RCFDJ291	NR	RCFDJ292	NR	M.1.j.
k. Investments in unregistered funds and private equity investments.....	RCFDJ293	NR	RCFDJ294	NR	RCFDJ295	NR	M.1.k.
l. Other common and preferred stocks.....	RCFDJ296	NR	RCFDJ297	NR	RCFDJ298	NR	M.1.l.
m. Real estate mortgages.....	RCFDJ299	NR	RCFDJ300	NR	RCFDJ301	NR	M.1.m.
n. Real estate.....	RCFDJ302	NR	RCFDJ303	NR	RCFDJ304	NR	M.1.n.
o. Miscellaneous assets.....	RCFDJ305	NR	RCFDJ306	NR	RCFDJ307	NR	M.1.o.
p. Total managed assets held in fiduciary accounts (for each column, sum of Memorandum items 1.a through 1.o).....	RCFDJ308	NR	RCFDJ309	NR	RCFDJ310	NR	M.1.p.

Dollar amounts in thousands

	(Column A) Managed Assets		(Column B) Number of Managed Accounts		
q. Investments of managed fiduciary accounts in advised or sponsored mutual funds.....	RCFDJ311	NR	RCFDJ312	NR	M.1.q.

Dollar amounts in thousands		(Column A) Number of Issues	(Column B) Principal Amount Outstanding	
2. Corporate trust and agency accounts:				
a. Corporate and municipal trusteeships.....	RCFDB927	NR	RCFDB928	NR
1. Issues reported in Memorandum item 2.a that are in default.....	RCFDJ313	NR	RCFDJ314	NR
b. Transfer agent, registrar, paying agent, and other corporate agency.....	RCFDB929	NR		

Dollar amounts in thousands		(Column A) Number of Funds	(Column B) Market Value of Fund Assets	
<i>Memoranda items 3.a through 3.g are to be completed by banks with collective investment funds and common trust funds with a total market value of \$1 billion or more as of the preceding December 31.</i>				
3. Collective investment funds and common trust funds:				
a. Domestic equity.....	RCFDB931	NR	RCFDB932	NR
b. International/Global equity.....	RCFDB933	NR	RCFDB934	NR
c. Stock/Bond blend.....	RCFDB935	NR	RCFDB936	NR
d. Taxable bond.....	RCFDB937	NR	RCFDB938	NR
e. Municipal bond.....	RCFDB939	NR	RCFDB940	NR
f. Short term investments/Money market.....	RCFDB941	NR	RCFDB942	NR
g. Specialty/Other.....	RCFDB943	NR	RCFDB944	NR
h. Total collective investment funds (sum of Memorandum items 3.a through 3.g).....	RCFDB945	0	RCFDB946	0

Dollar amounts in thousands		(Column A) Gross Losses Managed Accounts	(Column B) Gross Losses Non-Managed Accounts	(Column C) Recoveries		
4. Fiduciary settlements, surcharges, and other losses:						
a. Personal trust and agency accounts.....	RIADB947	NR	RIADB948	NR	RIADB949	NR
b. Employee benefit and retirement-related trust and agency accounts.....	RIADB950	NR	RIADB951	NR	RIADB952	NR
c. Investment management agency accounts.....	RIADB953	NR	RIADB954	NR	RIADB955	NR
d. Other fiduciary accounts and related services.....	RIADB956	NR	RIADB957	NR	RIADB958	NR
e. Total fiduciary settlements, surcharges, and other losses (sum of Memorandum items 4.a through 4.d) (sum of columns A and B minus column C must equal Schedule RC-T, item 24).....	RIADB959	NR	RIADB960	NR	RIADB961	NR

Schedule RC-V - Variable Interest Entities(Form Type - 031)

Dollar amounts in thousands		(Column A) Securitization Vehicles	(Column B) Other VIEs	
1. Assets of consolidated variable interest entities (VIEs) that can be used only to settle obligations of the consolidated VIEs:				
a. Cash and balances due from depository institutions.....	RCFDJ981	59,000	RCFDJF84	0
b. Securities not held for trading.....	RCFDHU20	0	RCFDHU21	0
c. Loans and leases held for investment, net of allowance, and held for sale.....	RCFDHU22	1,701,000	RCFDHU23	0
d. Other real estate owned.....	RCFDK009	0	RCFDJF89	0
e. Other assets.....	RCFDJF91	11,000	RCFDJF90	0
2. Liabilities of consolidated VIEs for which creditors do not have recourse to the general credit of the reporting bank:				
a. Other borrowed money.....	RCFDJF92	1,553,000	RCFDJF85	0
b. Other liabilities.....	RCFDJF93	15,000	RCFDJF86	0
3. All other assets of consolidated VIEs (not included in items 1.a. through 1.e. above).....	RCFDK030	0	RCFDJF87	0
4. All other liabilities of consolidated VIEs (not included in items 2.a through 2.b. above).....	RCFDK033	0	RCFDJF88	0

Dollar amounts in thousands			
5. Total assets of asset-backed commercial paper (ABCP) conduit VIEs.....	RCFDJF77		0
6. Total liabilities of ABCP conduit VIEs.....	RCFDJF78		0

Optional Narrative Statement Concerning the Amounts Reported in the Consolidated Reports of Condition and Income(Form Type - 031)

Dollar amounts in thousands

1. Comments?.....	RCON6979	No	1.
2. Bank Management Statement.....	TEXT6980	NR	2.

Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council



Consolidated Reports of Condition and Income for A Bank With Domestic and Foreign Offices - FFIEC 031

Institution Name	FIFTH THIRD BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
City	CINCINNATI
State	OH
Zip Code	45263
Call Report Report Date	12/31/2022
Report Type	031
RSSD-ID	723112
FDIC Certificate Number	6672
OCC Charter Number	25190
ABA Routing Number	42000314
Last updated on	1/30/2023



Consolidated Reports of Condition and Income for A Bank With Domestic and Foreign Offices - FFIEC 031

Report at the close of business December 31, 2022

(20221231)
(RCON 9999)

This report is required by law: 12 U.S.C. §324 (State member banks); 12 U.S.C. §1817 (State non member banks); 12 U.S.C. §161 (National banks); and 12 U.S.C. §1464 (Savings associations).

Unless the context indicates otherwise, the term "bank" in this report form refers to both banks and savings associations.

NOTE: Each bank's board of directors and senior management are responsible for establishing and maintaining an effective system of internal control, including controls over the Reports of Condition and Income. The Reports of Condition and Income are to be prepared in accordance with federal regulatory authority instructions. The Reports of Condition and Income must be signed by the Chief Financial Officer (CFO) of the reporting bank (or by the individual performing an equivalent function) and attested to by not less than two directors (trustees) for state non member banks and three directors for state member banks, national banks, and savings associations.

schedules) for this report date have been prepared in conformance with the instructions issued by the appropriate Federal regulatory authority and are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

We, the undersigned directors (trustees), attest to the correctness of the Reports of Condition and Income (including the supporting schedules) for this report date and declare that the Reports of Condition and Income have been examined by us and to the best of our knowledge and belief have been prepared in conformance with the instructions issued by the appropriate Federal regulatory authority and are true and correct.

I, the undersigned CFO (or equivalent) of the named bank, attest that the Reports of Condition and Income (including the supporting

Signature of Chief Financial Officer (or Equivalent)

Director (Trustee)

Date of Signature

Director (Trustee)

Director (Trustee)

Submission of Reports

Each bank must file its Reports of Condition and Income (Call Report) data by either:

- (a) Using computer software to prepare its Call Report and then submitting the report data directly to the FFIEC's Central Data Repository (CDR), an Internet-based system for datacollection (<https://cdr.ffiec.gov/cdr/>), or
- (b) Completing its Call Report in paper form and arranging with a software vendor or another party to convert the data in to the electronic format that can be processed by the CDR. The software vendor or other party then must electronically submit the bank's data file to the CDR.

FDIC Certificate Number **6672** (RSSD 9050)

To fulfill the signature and attestation requirement for the Reports of Condition and Income for this report date, attach your bank's completed signature page (or a photocopy or a computer generated version of this page) to the hard-copy record of the data file submitted to the CDR that your bank must place in its files.

The appearance of your bank's hard-copy record of the submitted data file need not match exactly the appearance of the FFIEC's sample report forms, but should show at least the caption of each Call Report item and the reported amount.

For technical assistance with submissions to the CDR, please contact the CDR Help Desk by telephone at (888) CDR-3111, by fax at (703) 774-3946, or by e-mail at CDR.Help@cdr.ffiec.gov.

FIFTH THIRD BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION

Legal Title of Bank (RSSD 9017)

CINCINNATI

City (RSSD 9130)

OH

State Abbreviation (RSSD 9200)

45263

Zip Code (RSSD 9220)

The estimated average burden associated with this information collection is 50.4 hours per respondent and is estimated to vary from 20 to 775 hours per response, depending on individual circumstances. Burden estimates include the time for reviewing instructions, gathering and maintaining data in the required form, and completing the information collection, but exclude the time for compiling and maintaining business records in the normal course of a respondent's activities. A Federal agency may not conduct or sponsor, and an organization (or a person) is not required to respond to a collection of information, unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. Comments concerning the accuracy of this burden estimate and suggestions for reducing this burden should be directed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503, and to one of the following: Secretary, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, 20th and C Streets, NW, Washington, DC 20551; Legislative and Regulatory Analysis Division, Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, Washington, DC 20219; Assistant Executive Secretary, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, Washington, DC 20429.

Consolidated Reports of Condition and Income for A Bank With Domestic and Foreign Offices - FFIEC 031

Table of Contents

Signature Page.....	1	Schedule RC-C Part I - Loans and Leases(Form Type - 031).....	24
Table of Contents.....	2	Schedule RC-C Part II - Loans to Small Businesses and Small Farms(Form Type - 031).....	29
Emergency Contact Information.....	4	Schedule RC-D - Trading Assets and Liabilities(Form Type - 031).....	30
Contact Information for the Reports of Condition and Income.....	4	Schedule RC-E Part I - Deposits in Domestic Offices(Form Type - 031).....	32
USA PATRIOT Act Section 314(a) Anti-Money Laundering Contact Information.....	5	Schedule RC-E Part II - Deposits in Foreign Offices including Edge and Agreement subsidiaries and IBFs(Form Type - 031).....	34
Bank Demographic Information(Form Type - 031).....	6	Schedule RC-F - Other Assets(Form Type - 031).....	35
Contact Information(Form Type - 031).....	6	Schedule RC-G - Other Liabilities(Form Type - 031).....	36
Schedule RI - Income Statement(Form Type - 031).....	8	Schedule RC-H - Selected Balance Sheet Items for Domestic Offices(Form Type - 031).....	37
Schedule RI-A - Changes in Bank Equity Capital(Form Type - 031).....	11	Schedule RC-I - Assets and Liabilities of IBFs(Form Type - 031).....	38
Schedule RI-B Part I - Charge-offs and Recoveries on Loans and Leases(Form Type - 031).....	12	Schedule RC-K - Quarterly Averages(Form Type - 031).....	38
Schedule RI-B Part II - Changes in Allowances for Credit Losses(Form Type - 031).....	13	Schedule RC-L - Derivatives and Off-Balance Sheet Items(Form Type - 031).....	39
Schedule RI-C Part I - Disaggregated Data on the Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses(Form Type - 031).....	14	Schedule RC-M - Memoranda(Form Type - 031).....	43
Schedule RI-C Part II - Disaggregated Data on the Allowances for Credit Losses(Form Type - 031).....	15	Schedule RC-N - Past Due and Nonaccrual Loans Leases and Other Assets(Form Type - 031).....	46
Schedule RI-D - Income from Foreign Offices(Form Type - 031).....	15	Schedule RC-O - Other Data for Deposit Insurance and FICO Assessments(Form Type - 031).....	49
Schedule RI-E - Explanations (Form Type - 031).....	16	Schedule RC-P - 1-4 Family Residential Mortgage Banking Activities in Domestic Offices(Form Type - 031).....	53
Schedule RC - Balance Sheet(Form Type - 031).....	19		
Schedule RC-A - Cash and Balances Due From Depository Institutions(Form Type - 031).....	20		
Schedule RC-B - Securities(Form Type - 031).....	21		

For information or assistance, national banks, state nonmember banks, and savings associations should contact the FDIC's Data Collection and Analysis Section, 550 17th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20429, toll free on (800) 688-FDIC(3342), Monday through Friday between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., Eastern Time. State member banks should contact their Federal Reserve District Bank.

Schedule RC-Q - Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis(Form Type - 031).....	53
Schedule RC-R Part I - Regulatory Capital Components and Ratios(Form Type - 031).....	58
Schedule RC-R Part II - Risk-Weighted Assets(Form Type - 031).....	62
Schedule RC-S - Servicing Securitization and Asset Sale Activities(Form Type - 031).....	72
Schedule RC-T - Fiduciary and Related Services(Form Type - 031).....	73
Schedule RC-V - Variable Interest Entities(Form Type - 031).....	75
Optional Narrative Statement Concerning the Amounts Reported in the Consolidated Reports of Condition and Income(Form Type - 031).....	76

For information or assistance, national banks, state nonmember banks, and savings associations should contact the FDIC's Data Collection and Analysis Section, 550 17th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20429, toll free on (800) 688-FDIC(3342), Monday through Friday between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., Eastern Time. State member banks should contact their Federal Reserve District Bank.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, Office of the Comptroller of the Currency

Legend: NR - Not Reported, CONF - Confidential

Contact Information for the Reports of Condition and Income

To facilitate communication between the Agencies and the bank concerning the Reports of Condition and Income, please provide contact information for (1) the Chief Financial Officer (or equivalent) of the bank signing the reports for this quarter, and (2) the person at the bank—other than the Chief Financial Officer (or equivalent)—to whom questions about the reports should be directed. If the Chief Financial Officer (or equivalent) is the primary contact for questions about the reports, please provide contact information for another person at the bank who will serve as a secondary contact for communications between the Agencies and the bank concerning the Reports of Condition and Income. Enter “none” for the contact’s e-mail address or fax number if not available. Contact information for the Reports of Condition and Income is for the confidential use of the Agencies and will not be released to the public.

Chief Financial Officer (or Equivalent) Signing the Reports

CONF
Name (TEXT C490)

CONF
Title (TEXT C491)

CONF
E-mail Address (TEXT C492)

CONF
Area Code / Phone Number / Extension (TEXT C493)

CONF
Area Code / FAX Number (TEXT C494)

Other Person to Whom Questions about the Reports Should be Directed

CONF
Name (TEXT C495)

CONF
Title (TEXT C496)

CONF
E-mail Address (TEXT 4086)

CONF
Area Code / Phone Number / Extension (TEXT 8902)

CONF
Area Code / FAX Number (TEXT 9116)

Emergency Contact Information

This information is being requested so the Agencies can distribute critical, time-sensitive information to emergency contacts at banks. Please provide primary contact information for a senior official of the bank who has decision-making authority. Also provide information for a secondary contact if available. Enter “none” for the contact’s e-mail address or fax number if not available. Emergency contact information is for the confidential use of the Agencies and will not be released to the public.

Primary Contact

CONF
Name (TEXT C366)

CONF
Title (TEXT C367)

CONF
E-mail Address (TEXT C368)

CONF
Area Code / Phone Number / Extension (TEXT C369)

CONF
Area Code / FAX Number (TEXT C370)

Secondary Contact

CONF
Name (TEXT C371)

CONF
Title (TEXT C372)

CONF
E-mail Address (TEXT C373)

CONF
Area Code / Phone Number / Extension (TEXT C374)

CONF
Area Code / FAX Number (TEXT C375)

USA PATRIOT Act Section 314(a) Anti-Money Laundering

Contact Information

This information is being requested to identify points-of-contact who are in charge of your bank's USA PATRIOT Act Section 314(a) information requests. Bank personnel listed could be contacted by law enforcement officers or the Financial Crimes Enforcement Network (FinCEN) for additional information related to specific Section 314(a) search requests or other anti-terrorist financing and anti- money laundering matters. Communications sent by FinCEN to the bank for purposes other than Section 314(a) notifications will state the intended purpose and should be directed to the appropriate bank personnel for review. Any disclosure of customer records to law enforcement officers or FinCEN must be done in compliance with applicable law, including the Right to Financial Privacy Act (12 U.S.C. 3401 et seq.).

Please provide information for a primary and secondary contact. Information for a third and fourth contact may be provided at the bank's option. Enter "none" for the contact's e-mail address if not available. This contact information is for the confidential use of the Agencies, FinCEN, and law enforcement officers and will not be released to the public.

Primary Contact

CONF
Name (TEXT C437)

CONF
Title (TEXT C438)

CONF
E-mail Address (TEXT C439)

CONF
Area Code / Phone Number / Extension (TEXT C440)

Secondary Contact

CONF
Name (TEXT C442)

CONF
Title (TEXT C443)

CONF
E-mail Address (TEXT C444)

CONF
Area Code / Phone Number / Extension (TEXT 8902)

Third Contact

CONF
Name (TEXT C870)

CONF
Title (TEXT C871)

CONF
E-mail Address (TEXT C368)

CONF
Area Code / Phone Number / Extension (TEXT C873)

Fourth Contact

CONF
Name (TEXT C875)

CONF
Title (TEXT C876)

CONF
E-mail Address (TEXT C877)

CONF
Area Code / Phone Number / Extension (TEXT C878)

Bank Demographic Information(Form Type - 031)

Dollar amounts in thousands

1. Reporting date.....	RCON9999	20221231	1.
2. FDIC certificate number.....	RSSD9050	6672	2.
3. Legal title of bank.....	RSSD9017	Click here for value	3.
4. City.....	RSSD9130	Cincinnati	4.
5. State abbreviation.....	RSSD9200	OH	5.
6. Zip code.....	RSSD9220	45263	6.
7. Legal Entity Identifier (LEI) (Report only if your institution already has an LEI.).....	RCON9224	Click here for value	7.

(RCON9224) QFROUN1UWUYU0DVIWD51

(RSSD9017) Fifth Third Bank, National Association

Contact Information(Form Type - 031)

Dollar amounts in thousands

1. Contact Information for the Reports of Condition and Income			1.
a. Chief Financial Officer (or Equivalent) Signing the Reports			1.a.
1. Name.....	TEXTC490	CONF	1.a.1.
2. Title.....	TEXTC491	CONF	1.a.2.
3. E-mail Address.....	TEXTC492	CONF	1.a.3.
4. Telephone.....	TEXTC493	CONF	1.a.4.
5. FAX.....	TEXTC494	CONF	1.a.5.
b. Other Person to Whom Questions about the Reports Should be Directed			1.b.
1. Name.....	TEXTC495	CONF	1.b.1.
2. Title.....	TEXTC496	CONF	1.b.2.
3. E-mail Address.....	TEXT4086	CONF	1.b.3.
4. Telephone.....	TEXT8902	CONF	1.b.4.
5. FAX.....	TEXT9116	CONF	1.b.5.
2. Person to whom questions about Schedule RC-T - Fiduciary and Related Services should be directed			2.
a. Name and Title.....	TEXTB962	CONF	2.a.
b. E-mail Address.....	TEXTB926	CONF	2.b.
c. Telephone.....	TEXTB963	CONF	2.c.
d. FAX.....	TEXTB964	CONF	2.d.
3. Emergency Contact Information			3.
a. Primary Contact			3.a.
1. Name.....	TEXTC366	CONF	3.a.1.
2. Title.....	TEXTC367	CONF	3.a.2.
3. E-mail Address.....	TEXTC368	CONF	3.a.3.
4. Telephone.....	TEXTC369	CONF	3.a.4.
5. FAX.....	TEXTC370	CONF	3.a.5.
b. Secondary Contact			3.b.
1. Name.....	TEXTC371	CONF	3.b.1.
2. Title.....	TEXTC372	CONF	3.b.2.
3. E-mail Address.....	TEXTC373	CONF	3.b.3.
4. Telephone.....	TEXTC374	CONF	3.b.4.
5. FAX.....	TEXTC375	CONF	3.b.5.
4. USA PATRIOT Act Section 314(a) Anti-Money Laundering Contact Information			4.
a. Primary Contact			4.a.

Dollar amounts in thousands

1. Name.....	TEXTC437	CONF	4.a.1.
2. Title.....	TEXTC438	CONF	4.a.2.
3. E-mail Address.....	TEXTC439	CONF	4.a.3.
4. Telephone.....	TEXTC440	CONF	4.a.4.
b. Secondary Contact			4.b.
1. Name.....	TEXTC442	CONF	4.b.1.
2. Title.....	TEXTC443	CONF	4.b.2.
3. E-mail Address.....	TEXTC444	CONF	4.b.3.
4. Telephone.....	TEXTC445	CONF	4.b.4.
c. Third Contact			4.c.
1. Name.....	TEXTC870	CONF	4.c.1.
2. Title.....	TEXTC871	CONF	4.c.2.
3. E-mail Address.....	TEXTC872	CONF	4.c.3.
4. Telephone.....	TEXTC873	CONF	4.c.4.
d. Fourth Contact			4.d.
1. Name.....	TEXTC875	CONF	4.d.1.
2. Title.....	TEXTC876	CONF	4.d.2.
3. E-mail Address.....	TEXTC877	CONF	4.d.3.
4. Telephone.....	TEXTC878	CONF	4.d.4.
5. Chief Executive Officer Contact Information			5.
a. Chief Executive Officer			5.a.
1. Name.....	TEXTFT42	CONF	5.a.1.
2. E-mail Address.....	TEXTFT44	CONF	5.a.2.
3. Telephone.....	TEXTFT43	CONF	5.a.3.
4. FAX.....	TEXTFT45	CONF	5.a.4.

Schedule RI - Income Statement(Form Type - 031)

All Report of Income schedules are to be reported on a calendar year-to-date basis in thousands of dollars.

Dollar amounts in thousands

1. Interest income:			1.
a. Interest and fee income on loans:			1.a.
1. In domestic offices:			1.a.1.
a. Loans secured by real estate:			1.a.1.a.
1. Loans secured by 1-4 family residential properties.....	RIAD4435	807,279	1.a.1.a.1.
2. All other loans secured by real estate.....	RIAD4436	628,913	1.a.1.a.2.
b. Loans to finance agricultural production and other loans to farmers.....	RIAD4024	1,024	1.a.1.b.
c. Commercial and industrial loans.....	RIAD4012	2,053,862	1.a.1.c.
d. Loans to individuals for household, family, and other personal expenditures:			1.a.1.d.
1. Credit cards.....	RIADB485	238,800	1.a.1.d.1.
2. Other (includes revolving credit plans other than credit cards, automobile loans, and other consumer loans).....	RIADB486	795,300	1.a.1.d.2.
e. Loans to foreign governments and official institutions.....	RIAD4056	0	1.a.1.e.
f. All other loans in domestic offices.....	RIADB487	358,601	1.a.1.f.
2. In foreign offices, Edge and Agreement subsidiaries, and IBFs.....	RIAD4059	20,794	1.a.2.
3. Total interest and fee income on loans (sum of items 1.a.(1)(a) through 1.a.(2)).....	RIAD4010	4,904,573	1.a.3.
b. Income from lease financing receivables.....	RIAD4065	85,345	1.b.
c. Interest income on balances due from depository institutions ¹	RIAD4115	113,797	1.c.
d. Interest and dividend income on securities:			1.d.
1. U.S. Treasury securities and U.S. Government agency obligations (excluding mortgage-backed securities).....	RIADB488	44,631	1.d.1.
2. Mortgage-backed securities.....	RIADB489	1,264,683	1.d.2.
3. All other securities (includes securities issued by states and political subdivisions in the U.S.).....	RIAD4060	166,049	1.d.3.
e. Interest income from trading assets.....	RIAD4069	14,603	1.e.
f. Interest income on federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell.....	RIAD4020	5	1.f.
g. Other interest income.....	RIAD4518	24,036	1.g.
h. Total interest income (sum of items 1.a.(3) through 1.g.).....	RIAD4107	6,617,722	1.h.
2. Interest expense:			2.
a. Interest on deposits:			2.a.
1. Interest on deposits in domestic offices:			2.a.1.
a. Transaction accounts (interest-bearing demand deposits, NOW accounts, ATS accounts, and telephone and preauthorized transfer accounts).....	RIAD4508	299,522	2.a.1.a.
b. Nontransaction accounts:			2.a.1.b.
1. Savings deposits (includes MMDAs).....	RIAD0093	98,947	2.a.1.b.1.
2. Time deposits of \$250,000 or less.....	RIADHK03	50,192	2.a.1.b.2.
3. Time deposits of more than \$250,000.....	RIADHK04	540	2.a.1.b.3.
2. Interest on deposits in foreign offices, Edge and Agreement subsidiaries, and IBFs.....	RIAD4172	1,258	2.a.2.
b. Expense of federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase.....	RIAD4180	7,268	2.b.
c. Interest on trading liabilities and other borrowed money.....	RIAD4185	180,219	2.c.
d. Interest on subordinated notes and debentures.....	RIAD4200	36,739	2.d.
e. Total interest expense (sum of items 2.a through 2.d.).....	RIAD4073	674,685	2.e.
3. Net interest income (item 1.h minus 2.e.).....	RIAD4074	5,943,037	3.
4. Provision for loan and lease losses ¹	RIADJJ33	562,913	4.
5. Noninterest income:			5.
a. Income from fiduciary activities ²	RIAD4070	362,849	5.a.
b. Service charges on deposit accounts in domestic offices.....	RIAD4080	607,357	5.b.

1. Includes interest income on time certificates of deposit not held for trading.

1. Institutions that have adopted ASU 2016-13 should report in item 4, the provisions for credit losses for all financial assets and off-balance-sheet credit exposures that fall within the scope of the standard.

2. For banks required to complete Schedule RC-T, items 14 through 22, income from fiduciary activities reported in Schedule RI, item 5.a, must equal the amount reported in Schedule RC-T, item 22.

Dollar amounts in thousands

c. Trading revenue ³	RIADA220	215,337	5.c.
d. Income from securities-related and insurance activities:			5.d.
1. Fees and commissions from securities brokerage.....	RIADC886	30,501	5.d.1.
2. Investment banking, advisory, and underwriting fees and commissions.....	RIADC888	209,866	5.d.2.
3. Fees and commissions from annuity sales.....	RIADC887	39,615	5.d.3.
4. Underwriting income from insurance and reinsurance activities.....	RIADC386	0	5.d.4.
5. Income from other insurance activities.....	RIADC387	18,648	5.d.5.
e. Venture capital revenue.....	RIADB491	20,662	5.e.
f. Net servicing fees.....	RIADB492	488,451	5.f.
g. Net securitization income.....	RIADB493	0	5.g.
h. Not applicable			5.h.
i. Net gains (losses) on sales of loans and leases.....	RIAD5416	153,892	5.i.
j. Net gains (losses) on sales of other real estate owned.....	RIAD5415	17,087	5.j.
k. Net gains (losses) on sales of other assets ⁴	RIADB496	20,343	5.k.
l. Other noninterest income [*]	RIADB497	563,394	5.l.
m. Total noninterest income (sum of items 5.a through 5.l.).....	RIAD4079	2,748,002	5.m.
6. Not available			6.
a. Realized gains (losses) on held-to-maturity securities.....	RIAD3521	0	6.a.
b. Realized gains (losses) on available-for-sale debt securities.....	RIAD3196	2,095	6.b.
7. Noninterest expense:			7.
a. Salaries and employee benefits.....	RIAD4135	2,572,940	7.a.
b. Expenses of premises and fixed assets (net of rental income) (excluding salaries and employee benefits and mortgage interest).....	RIAD4217	452,122	7.b.
c. Not available			7.c.
1. Goodwill impairment losses.....	RIADC216	0	7.c.1.
2. Amortization expense and impairment losses for other intangible assets.....	RIADC232	46,658	7.c.2.
d. Other noninterest expense [*]	RIAD4092	1,639,530	7.d.
e. Total noninterest expense (sum of items 7.a through 7.d.).....	RIAD4093	4,711,250	7.e.
8. Not available			8.
a. Income (loss) before change in net unrealized holding gains (losses) on equity securities not held for trading, applicable income taxes, and discontinued operations (item 3 plus or minus items 4, 5.m, 6.a, 6.b, and 7.e.).....	RIADHT69	3,418,971	8.a.
b. Change in net unrealized holding gains (losses) on equity securities not held for trading ⁵	RIADHT70	-1,838	8.b.
c. Income (loss) before applicable income taxes and discontinued operations (sum of items 8.a and 8.b.).....	RIAD4301	3,417,133	8.c.
9. Applicable income taxes (on item 8.c.).....	RIAD4302	747,120	9.
10. Income (loss) before discontinued operations (item 8.c minus item 9.).....	RIAD4300	2,670,013	10.
11. Discontinued operations, net of applicable income taxes (Describe on Schedule RI-E - Explanations) [*]	RIADFT28	0	11.
12. Net income (loss) attributable to bank and noncontrolling (minority) interests (sum of items 10 and 11.).....	RIADG104	2,670,013	12.
13. LESS: Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling (minority) interests (if net income, report as a positive value; if net loss, report as a negative value).....	RIADG103	8	13.
14. Net income (loss) attributable to bank (item 12 minus item 13).....	RIAD4340	2,670,005	14.
1. Interest expense incurred to carry tax-exempt securities, loans, and leases acquired after August 7, 1986, that is not deductible for federal income tax purposes.....	RIAD4513	0	M.1.
<i>Memorandum item 2 is to be completed by banks with \$1 billion or more in total assets</i>			
2. Income from the sale and servicing of mutual funds and annuities in domestic offices (included in Schedule RI, item 8).....	RIAD8431	60,944	M.2.
3. Income on tax-exempt loans and leases to states and political subdivisions in the U.S. (included in Schedule RI, items 1.a and 1.b.).....	RIAD4313	37,342	M.3.
4. Income on tax-exempt securities issued by states and political subdivisions in the U.S. (included in Schedule RI, item 1.d.(3)).....	RIAD4507	23,886	M.4.
5. Number of full-time equivalent employees at end of current period (round to nearest whole number).....	RIAD4150	19297	M.5.
6. Not applicable			M.6.

3. For banks required to complete Schedule RI, Memorandum item 8, trading revenue reported in Schedule RI, item 5.c, must equal the sum of Memorandum items 8.a through 8.e.
 4. Exclude net gains (losses) on sales of trading assets and held-to-maturity and available-for-sale debt securities.
 *. Describe on Schedule RI-E—Explanations.
 5. Item 8.b is to be completed by all institutions. See the instructions this item and the Glossary entry for "Securities Activities" for further detail on accounting for investments in equity securities.

Dollar amounts in thousands

7. If the reporting institution has applied pushdown accounting this calendar year, report the date of the institution's acquisition (see instructions) ²	RIAD9106	0	M.7.
8. Trading revenue (from cash instruments and derivative instruments) (sum of Memorandum items 8.a through 8.e must equal Schedule RI, item 5.c):			M.8.
<i>Memorandum items 8.a through 8.e are to be completed by banks that reported average trading assets (Schedule RC-K, item 7) of \$2 million or more for any quarter of the preceding calendar year.</i>			
a. Interest rate exposures.....	RIAD8757	141,158	M.8.a.
b. Foreign exchange exposures.....	RIAD8758	67,252	M.8.b.
c. Equity security and index exposures.....	RIAD8759	-36,156	M.8.c.
d. Commodity and other exposures.....	RIAD8760	40,529	M.8.d.
e. Credit exposures.....	RIADF186	2,554	M.8.e.
<i>Memorandum items 8.f through 8.h are to be completed by banks with \$100 billion or more in total assets that are required to complete Schedule RI, Memorandum items 8.a through 8.e, above.</i>			
f. Impact on trading revenue of changes in the creditworthiness of the bank's derivatives counterparties on the bank's derivative assets (year-to-date changes) (included in Memorandum items 8.a through 8.e above):			M.8.f.
1. Gross credit valuation adjustment (CVA).....	RIADFT36	6,840	M.8.f.1.
2. CVA hedge.....	RIADFT37	0	M.8.f.2.
g. Impact on trading revenue of changes in the creditworthiness of the bank on the bank's derivative liabilities (year-to-date changes) (included in Memorandum items 8.a through 8.e above):			M.8.g.
1. Gross debit valuation adjustment (DVA).....	RIADFT38	0	M.8.g.1.
2. DVA hedge.....	RIADFT39	0	M.8.g.2.
h. Gross trading revenue, before including positive or negative net CVA and net DVA.....	RIADFT40	208,498	M.8.h.
9. Net gains (losses) recognized in earnings on credit derivatives that economically hedge credit exposures held outside the trading account:			M.9.
a. Net gains (losses) on credit derivatives held for trading.....	RIADC889	0	M.9.a.
b. Net gains (losses) on credit derivatives held for purposes other than trading.....	RIADC890	0	M.9.b.
10. Credit losses on derivatives (see instructions).....	RIADA251	0	M.10.
11. Does the reporting bank have a Subchapter S election in effect for federal income tax purposes for the current tax year?.....	RIADA530	No	M.11.
<i>Memorandum item 12 is to be completed by banks that are required to complete Schedule RC-C, Part I, Memorandum items 8.b and 8.c and is to be completed semiannually in the June and December reports only.</i>			
12. Noncash income from negative amortization on closed-end loans secured by 1-4 family residential properties (included in Schedule RI, item 1.a.(1)(a)(1)).....	RIADF228	NR	M.12.
<i>Memorandum item 13 is to be completed by banks that have elected to account for assets and liabilities under a fair value option.</i>			
13. Net gains (losses) recognized in earnings on assets and liabilities that are reported at fair value under a fair value option:			M.13.
a. Net gains (losses) on assets.....	RIADF551	-146,293	M.13.a.
1. Estimated net gains (losses) on loans attributable to changes in instrument-specific credit risk.....	RIADF552	-232	M.13.a.1.
b. Net gains (losses) on liabilities.....	RIADF553	0	M.13.b.
1. Estimated net gains (losses) on liabilities attributable to changes in instrument-specific credit risk.....	RIADF554	0	M.13.b.1.
14. Other-than-temporary impairment losses on held-to-maturity and available-for-sale debt securities ²	RIADJ321	NR	M.14.
<i>Memorandum item 15 is to be completed by institutions with \$1 billion or more in total assets that answered "Yes" to Schedule RC-E, Part I, Memorandum item 5.</i>			
15. Components of service charges on deposit accounts in domestic offices (sum of Memorandum items 15.a through 15.d must equal Schedule RI, item 5.b):			M.15.
a. Consumer overdraft-related service charges levied on those transaction account and nontransaction savings account deposit products intended primarily for individuals for personal, household, or family use.....	RIADH032	105,405	M.15.a.
b. Consumer account periodic maintenance charges levied on those transaction account and nontransaction savings account deposit products intended primarily for individuals for personal, household, or family use.....	RIADH033	19,132	M.15.b.
c. Consumer customer automated teller machine (ATM) fees levied on those transaction account and nontransaction savings account deposit products intended primarily for individuals for personal, household, or family use.....	RIADH034	27,616	M.15.c.
d. All other service charges on deposit accounts.....	RIADH035	455,204	M.15.d.

2. Report the date in YYYYMMDD format. For example, a bank acquired on March 1, 2022, would report 20220301.
 2. Memorandum item 14 is to be completed only by institutions that have not adopted ASU 2016-13.

Schedule RI-A - Changes in Bank Equity Capital(Form Type - 031)

Dollar amounts in thousands

1. Total bank equity capital most recently reported for the December 31, 2021, Reports of Condition and Income (i.e., after adjustments from amended Reports of Income).....	RIAD3217	22,964,184	1.
2. Cumulative effect of changes in accounting principles and corrections of material accounting errors *	RIADB507	0	2.
3. Balance end of previous calendar year as restated (sum of items 1 and 2).....	RIADB508	22,964,184	3.
4. Net income (loss) attributable to bank (must equal Schedule RI, item 14).....	RIAD4340	2,670,005	4.
5. Sale, conversion, acquisition, or retirement of capital stock, net (excluding treasury stock transactions).....	RIADB509	163,396	5.
6. Treasury stock transactions, net.....	RIADB510	0	6.
7. Changes incident to business combinations, net.....	RIAD4356	0	7.
8. LESS: Cash dividends declared on preferred stock.....	RIAD4470	0	8.
9. LESS: Cash dividends declared on common stock.....	RIAD4460	0	9.
10. Other comprehensive income ¹	RIADB511	-6,316,572	10.
11. Other transactions with stockholders (including a parent holding company) (not included in items 5, 6, 8, or 9 above) *	RIAD4415	0	11.
12. Total bank equity capital end of current period (sum of items 3 through 11) (must equal Schedule RC, item 27.a)..	RIAD3210	19,481,013	12.

*. Describe on Schedule RI-E—Explanations

1. Includes, but is not limited to, changes in net unrealized holding gains (losses) on available-for-sale debt securities, changes in accumulated net gains (losses) on cash flow hedges, foreign currency translation adjustments, and pension and other postretirement plan-related changes other than net periodic benefit cost.

Schedule RI-B Part I - Charge-offs and Recoveries on Loans and Leases (Form Type - 031)

Part I includes charge-offs and recoveries through the allocated transfer risk reserve.

Dollar amounts in thousands		(Column A) Charge-offs Calendar year-to-date		(Column B) Recoveries Calendar year-to-date		
1. Loans secured by real estate:						1.
a. Construction, land development, and other land loans in domestic offices:						1.a.
1. 1-4 family residential construction loans.....	RIADC891	69	RIADC892	315		1.a.1.
2. Other construction loans and all land development and other land loans.....	RIADC893	213	RIADC894	213		1.a.2.
b. Secured by farmland in domestic offices.....	RIAD3584	39	RIAD3585	16		1.b.
c. Secured by 1-4 family residential properties in domestic offices:						1.c.
1. Revolving, open-end loans secured by 1-4 family residential properties and extended under lines of credit.....	RIAD5411	7,431	RIAD5412	6,829		1.c.1.
2. Closed-end loans secured by 1-4 family residential properties:						1.c.2.
a. Secured by first liens.....	RIADC234	3,161	RIADC217	4,885		1.c.2.a.
b. Secured by junior liens.....	RIADC235	414	RIADC218	2,307		1.c.2.b.
d. Secured by multifamily (5 or more) residential properties in domestic offices.....	RIAD3588	153	RIAD3589	38		1.d.
e. Secured by nonfarm nonresidential properties in domestic offices:						1.e.
1. Loans secured by owner-occupied nonfarm nonresidential properties.....	RIADC895	232	RIADC896	5,245		1.e.1.
2. Loans secured by other nonfarm nonresidential properties.....	RIADC897	0	RIADC898	106		1.e.2.
f. In foreign offices.....	RIADB512	0	RIADB513	0		1.f.
2. Not applicable						2.
3. Loans to finance agricultural production and other loans to farmers.....	RIAD4655	0	RIAD4665	13		3.
4. Commercial and industrial loans:						4.
a. To U.S. addressees (domicile).....	RIAD4645	123,521	RIAD4617	18,149		4.a.
b. To non-U.S. addressees (domicile).....	RIAD4646	0	RIAD4618	0		4.b.
5. Loans to individuals for household, family, and other personal expenditures:						5.
a. Credit cards.....	RIADB514	67,866	RIADB515	16,183		5.a.
b. Automobile loans.....	RIADK129	67,827	RIADK133	31,160		5.b.
c. Other (includes revolving credit plans other than credit cards and other consumer loans).....	RIADK205	83,935	RIADK206	43,419		5.c.
6. Loans to foreign governments and official institutions.....	RIAD4643	0	RIAD4627	0		6.
7. All other loans.....	RIAD4644	7,103	RIAD4628	6,763		7.
8. Lease financing receivables:						8.
a. Leases to individuals for household, family, and other personal expenditures.....	RIADF185	0	RIADF187	0		8.a.
b. All other leases.....	RIADC880	0	RIADF188	0		8.b.
9. Total (sum of items 1 through 8).....	RIAD4635	361,964	RIAD4605	135,641		9.
1. Loans to finance commercial real estate, construction, and land development activities (not secured by real estate) included in Schedule RI-B, part I, items 4 and 7, above.....	RIAD5409	3,014	RIAD5410	143		M.1.
2. Loans secured by real estate to non-U.S. addressees (domicile) (included in Schedule RI-B, part I, item 1, above).....	RIAD4652	0	RIAD4662	0		M.2.
3. Not applicable						M.3.

Dollar amounts in thousands				
Memorandum item 4 is to be completed by banks that (1) together with affiliated institutions, have outstanding credit card receivables (as defined in the instructions) that exceed \$500 million as of the report date, or (2) are credit card specialty banks as defined for Uniform Bank Performance Report purposes.				
4. Uncollectible retail credit card fees and finance charges reversed against income (i.e., not included in charge-offs against the allowance for loan and lease losses) ²	RIADC388	10,783		M.4.

2. Institutions that have adopted ASU 2016-13 should report in Memorandum item 4 uncollectible retail credit card fees and finance charges reversed against income (i.e. not included in charge-offs against the allowance for credit losses on loans and leases).

Schedule RI-B Part II - Changes in Allowances for Credit Losses(Form Type - 031)

Dollar amounts in thousands	(Column A) Loans and Leases Held for Investment		(Column B) Held-to-maturity Debt Securities		(Column C) Available-for-sale Debt Securities		
1. Balance most recently reported for the December 31, 2021, Reports of Condition and Income (i.e., after adjustments from amended Reports of Income).....	RIADB522	1,892,292	RIADJH88	0	RIADJH94	0	1.
2. Recoveries (column A must equal Part I, item 9, column B, above).....	RIAD4605	135,641	RIADJH89	0	RIADJH95	0	2.
3. LESS: Charge-offs (column A must equal Part I, item 9, column A, above less Schedule RI-B, Part II, item 4, column A).....	RIADC079	361,964	RIADJH92	0	RIADJH98	0	3.
4. LESS: Write-downs arising from transfers of financial assets ³	RIAD5523	0	RIADJJ00	0	RIADJJ01	0	4.
5. Provisions for credit losses ⁴	RIAD4230	528,041	RIADJH90	0	RIADJH96	0	5.
6. Adjustments* (see instructions for this schedule) [*]	RIADC233	-1	RIADJH91	0	RIADJH97	0	6.
7. Balance end of current period (sum of items 1, 2, 5, and 6, less items 3 and 4) (column A must equal Schedule RC, item 4.c).....	RIAD3123	2,194,009	RIADJH93	0	RIADJH99	0	7.

Dollar amounts in thousands			
1. Allocated transfer risk reserve included in Schedule RI-B, Part II, item 7, column A, above.....	RIADC435	0	M.1.
<i>Memorandum items 2 and 3 are to be completed by banks that (1) together with affiliated institutions, have outstanding credit card receivables (as defined in the instructions) that exceed \$500 million as of the report date, or (2) are credit card specialty banks as defined for Uniform Bank Performance Report purposes.</i>	RIADC389	0	M.2.
2. Separate valuation allowance for uncollectible retail credit card fees and finance charges.....	RIADC390	2,589	M.3.
3. Amount of allowance for loan and lease losses attributable to retail credit card fees and finance charges ¹	RIADC781	NR	M.4.
4. Amount of allowance for post-acquisition credit losses on purchased credit-impaired loans accounted for in accordance with FASB ASC 310-30 (former AICPA Statement of Position 03-3) (included in Schedule RI-B, Part II, item 7, column A, above) ²	RIADJJ02	0	M.5.
5. Provisions for credit losses on other financial assets measured at amortized cost (not included in item 5, above) ³ ...	RCFDJJ03	0	M.6.
6. Allowance for credit losses on other financial assets measured at amortized cost (not included in item 7, above) ³ ...	RIADMG93	34,872	M.7.
7. Provisions for credit losses on off-balance-sheet credit exposures ³	RIADMG94	58,879	M.8.
8. Estimated amount of expected recoveries of amounts previously written off included within the allowance for credit losses on loans and leases held for investment (included in item 7, column A, "Balance end of current period," above) ³			

3. Institutions that have not yet adopted ASU 2016-13 should report write-downs arising from transfers of loans to a held-for-sale account in item 4, column A.
 4. Institutions that have not yet adopted ASU 2016-13 should report the provision for loan and lease losses in item 5, column A and the amount reported must equal Schedule RI, item 4.
 *. Describe on Schedule RI-E - Explanations.
 1. Institutions that have adopted ASU 2016-13 should report in Memorandum item 3 the amount of allowance for credit losses on loans and leases attributable to retail credit card fees and finance charges.
 2. Memorandum item 4 is to be completed only by institutions that have not yet adopted ASU 2016-13.
 3. Memorandum items 5, 6, 7, and 8 are to be completed only by institutions that have adopted ASU 2016-13.
 3. Memorandum items 5, 6, 7, and 8 are to be completed only by institutions that have adopted ASU 2016-13.
 3. Memorandum items 5, 6, 7, and 8 are to be completed only by institutions that have adopted ASU 2016-13.
 3. Memorandum items 5, 6, 7, and 8 are to be completed only by institutions that have adopted ASU 2016-13.

Schedule RI-C Part I - Disaggregated Data on the Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses(Form Type - 031)

Schedule RI-C is to be completed by institutions with \$1 billion or more in total assets.

	(Column A) Recorded Investment: Individually Evaluated for Impairment and Determined to be Impaired (ASC 310-10-35)	(Column B) Allowance Balance: Individually Evaluated for Impairment and Determined to be Impaired (ASC 310-10-35)	(Column C) Recorded Investment: Collectively Evaluated for Impairment (ASC 450-20)	(Column D) Allowance Balance: Collectively Evaluated for Impairment (ASC 450-20)	(Column E) Recorded Investment: Purchased Credit-Impaired Loans (ASC 310-30)	(Column F) Allowance Balance: Purchased Credit-Impaired Loans (ASC 310-30)	
Dollar amounts in thousands							
1. Real estate loans:							1.
a. Construction loans.....	RCFDM708 NR	RCFDM709 NR	RCFDM710 NR	RCFDM711 NR	RCFDM712 NR	RCFDM713 NR	1.a.
b. Commercial real estate loans.....	RCFDM714 NR	RCFDM715 NR	RCFDM716 NR	RCFDM717 NR	RCFDM719 NR	RCFDM720 NR	1.b.
c. Residential real estate loans.....	RCFDM721 NR	RCFDM722 NR	RCFDM723 NR	RCFDM724 NR	RCFDM725 NR	RCFDM726 NR	1.c.
2. Commercial loans ³	RCFDM727 NR	RCFDM728 NR	RCFDM729 NR	RCFDM730 NR	RCFDM731 NR	RCFDM732 NR	2.
3. Credit cards.....	RCFDM733 NR	RCFDM734 NR	RCFDM735 NR	RCFDM736 NR	RCFDM737 NR	RCFDM738 NR	3.
4. Other consumer loans.....	RCFDM739 NR	RCFDM740 NR	RCFDM741 NR	RCFDM742 NR	RCFDM743 NR	RCFDM744 NR	4.
5. Unallocated, if any.....				RCFDM745 NR			5.
6. Total (for each column, sum of items 1.a through 5) ⁴	RCFDM746 NR	RCFDM747 NR	RCFDM748 NR	RCFDM749 NR	RCFDM750 NR	RCFDM751 NR	6.

3. Include all loans and leases not reported as real estate loans, credit cards, or other consumer loans in items 1, 3, or 4 of Schedule RI-C.

4. The sum of item 6, columns B, D, and F, must equal Schedule RC, item 4.c. Item 6, column E, must equal Schedule RC-C, Part I, Memorandum item 7.b. Item 6, column F, must equal Schedule RI-B, Part II, Memorandum item 4.

Schedule RI-C Part II - Disaggregated Data on the Allowances for Credit Losses(Form Type - 031)

Dollar amounts in thousands		(Column A) Amortized Cost	(Column B) Allowance Balance	
1. Real estate loans:				1.
a. Construction loans.....	RCFDJJ04	5,198,664	RCFDJJ12	90,459 1.a.
b. Commercial real estate loans.....	RCFDJJ05	10,545,297	RCFDJJ13	245,970 1.b.
c. Residential real estate loans.....	RCFDJJ06	20,734,600	RCFDJJ14	378,026 1.c.
2. Commercial loans ³	RCFDJJ07	61,375,803	RCFDJJ15	790,820 2.
3. Credit cards.....	RCFDJJ08	1,874,415	RCFDJJ16	253,788 3.
4. Other consumer loans.....	RCFDJJ09	21,627,607	RCFDJJ17	434,946 4.
5. Unallocated, if any.....			RCFDJJ18	0 5.
6. Total (sum of items 1.a. through 5) ⁴	RCFDJJ11	121,356,386	RCFDJJ19	2,194,009 6.

Dollar amounts in thousands				
7. Securities issued by states and political subdivisions in the U.S.....	RCFDJJ20			0 7.
8. Mortgage-backed securities (MBS) (including CMOs, REMICs, and stripped MBS).....	RCFDJJ21			0 8.
9. Asset-backed securities and structured financial products.....	RCFDJJ23			0 9.
10. Other debt securities.....	RCFDJJ24			0 10.
11. Total (sum of items 7 through 10) ⁵	RCFDJJ25			0 11.

Schedule RI-D - Income from Foreign Offices(Form Type - 031)

For all banks with foreign offices (including Edge or Agreement subsidiaries and IBFs) and total foreign office assets of \$10 billion or more where foreign office revenues, assets, or net income exceed 10 percent of consolidated total revenues, total assets, or net income.

Dollar amounts in thousands				
1. Total interest income in foreign offices.....	RIADC899			0 1.
2. Total interest expense in foreign offices.....	RIADC900			0 2.
3. Provision for loan and lease losses in foreign offices ¹	RIADKW02			0 3.
4. Noninterest income in foreign offices:				
a. Trading revenue.....	RIADC902			0 4.a.
b. Investment banking, advisory, brokerage, and underwriting fees and commissions.....	RIADC903			0 4.b.
c. Net securitization income.....	RIADC904			0 4.c.
d. Other noninterest income.....	RIADC905			0 4.d.
5. Realized gains (losses) on held-to-maturity and available-for-sale debt securities and change in net unrealized holding gains (losses) on equity securities not held for trading in foreign offices.....	RIADJA28			0 5.
6. Total noninterest expense in foreign offices.....	RIADC907			0 6.
7. Adjustments to pretax income in foreign offices for internal allocations to foreign offices to reflect the effects of equity capital on overall bank funding costs.....	RIADC908			0 7.
8. Applicable income taxes (on items 1 through 7).....	RIADC909			0 8.
9. Discontinued operations, net of applicable income taxes, in foreign offices.....	RIADGW64			0 9.
10. Net income attributable to foreign offices before internal allocations of income and expense (item 1 plus or minus items 2 through 9).....	RIADC911			0 10.
11. Not applicable				
12. Eliminations arising from the consolidation of foreign offices with domestic offices.....	RIADC913			0 12.
13. Consolidated net income attributable to foreign offices (sum of items 10 and 12).....	RIADC914			0 13.

3. Include all loans and leases not reported as real estate loans, credit cards, or other consumer loans in item 1, 3, or 4 of Schedule RI-C, Part II.
 4. Item 6, column B must equal schedule RC, item 4.c.
 5. Item 11 must equal Schedule RI-B, Part II, item 7, column B.
 1. Institutions that have adopted ASU 2016-13 should report the provisions for credit losses in foreign offices for all financial assets and off-balance-sheet credit exposures that fall within the scope of the standard in item 3.

Schedule RI-E - Explanations (Form Type - 031)

Schedule RI-E is to be completed each quarter on a calendar year-to-date basis.

Detail all adjustments in Schedule RI-A and RI-B, all extraordinary items and other adjustments in Schedule RI, and all significant items of other noninterest income and other noninterest expense in Schedule RI. (See instructions for details.)

Dollar amounts in thousands

1. Other noninterest income (from Schedule RI, item 5.) Itemize and describe amounts greater than \$100,000 that exceed 7 percent of Schedule RI, item 5.:			1.
a. Income and fees from the printing and sale of checks.....	RIADC013	0	1.a.
b. Earnings on/increase in value of cash surrender value of life insurance.....	RIADC014	63,883	1.b.
c. Income and fees from automated teller machines (ATMs).....	RIADC016	0	1.c.
d. Rent and other income from other real estate owned.....	RIAD4042	0	1.d.
e. Safe deposit box rent.....	RIADC015	0	1.e.
f. Bank card and credit card interchange fees.....	RIADF555	353,834	1.f.
g. Income and fees from wire transfers.....	RIADT047	0	1.g.
h. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:			1.h.
1. Describe component.....	TEXT4461	Click here for value	1.h.1.
2. Amount of component.....	RIAD4461	146,325	1.h.2.
i. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:			1.i.
1. Describe component.....	TEXT4462	Click here for value	1.i.1.
2. Amount of component.....	RIAD4462	125,019	1.i.2.
j. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:			1.j.
1. Describe component.....	TEXT4463	See Line 7	1.j.1.
2. Amount of component.....	RIAD4463	-361,543	1.j.2.
2. Other noninterest expense (from Schedule RI, item 7.d) Itemize and describe amounts greater than \$100,000 that exceed 7 percent of Schedule RI, item 7.d:			2.
a. Data processing expenses.....	RIADC017	0	2.a.
b. Advertising and marketing expenses.....	RIAD0497	118,334	2.b.
c. Directors' fees.....	RIAD4136	0	2.c.
d. Printing, stationery, and supplies.....	RIADC018	0	2.d.
e. Postage.....	RIAD8403	0	2.e.
f. Legal fees and expenses.....	RIAD4141	0	2.f.
g. FDIC deposit insurance assessments.....	RIAD4146	CONF	2.g.
h. Accounting and auditing expenses.....	RIADF556	0	2.h.
i. Consulting and advisory expenses.....	RIADF557	0	2.i.
j. Automated teller machine (ATM) and interchange expenses.....	RIADF558	0	2.j.
k. Telecommunications expenses.....	RIADF559	0	2.k.
l. Other real estate owned expenses.....	RIADY923	0	2.l.
m. Insurance expenses (not included in employee expenses, premises and fixed asset expenses, and other real estate owned expenses).....	RIADY924	0	2.m.
n. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:			2.n.
1. Describe component.....	TEXT4464	Click here for value	2.n.1.
2. Amount of component.....	RIAD4464	384,429	2.n.2.
o. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:			2.o.
1. Describe component.....	TEXT4467	Click here for value	2.o.1.
2. Amount of component.....	RIAD4467	166,850	2.o.2.
p. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:			2.p.
1. Describe component.....	TEXT4468	Click here for value	2.p.1.
2. Amount of component.....	RIAD4468	131,053	2.p.2.
3. Discontinued operations and applicable income tax effect (from Schedule RI, item 11) (itemize and describe each discontinued operation):			3.
a. Disclose component, the gross dollar amount of that component, and its related income tax:			3.a.
1. Describe component.....	TEXTFT29	NR	3.a.1.
2. Amount of component.....	RIADFT29	0	3.a.2.

Dollar amounts in thousands

3. Applicable income tax effect.....	RIADFT30	0	3.a.3.
b. Disclose component, the gross dollar amount of that component, and its related income tax:			3.b.
1. Describe component.....	TEXTFT31	NR	3.b.1.
2. Amount of component.....	RIADFT31	0	3.b.2.
3. Applicable income tax effect.....	RIADFT32	0	3.b.3.
4. Cumulative effect of changes in accounting principles and corrections of material accounting errors (from Schedule RI-A, item 2) (itemize and describe all such effects):			4.
a. Effect of adoption of Current Expected Credit Losses Methodology - ASU 2016-13 ¹	RIADJJ26	NR	4.a.
b. Effect of adoption of lease accounting standard - ASC Topic 842.....	RIADKW17	NR	4.b.
c. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:			4.c.
1. Describe component.....	TEXTB526	NR	4.c.1.
2. Amount of component.....	RIADB526	0	4.c.2.
d. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:			4.d.
1. Describe component.....	TEXTB527	NR	4.d.1.
2. Amount of component.....	RIADB527	0	4.d.2.
5. Other transactions with stockholders (including a parent holding company) (from Schedule RI-A, item 11) (itemize and describe all such transactions):			5.
a. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:			5.a.
1. Describe component.....	TEXT4498	NR	5.a.1.
2. Amount of component.....	RIAD4498	0	5.a.2.
b. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:			5.b.
1. Describe component.....	TEXT4499	NR	5.b.1.
2. Amount of component.....	RIAD4499	0	5.b.2.
6. Adjustments to allowances for credit losses (from Schedule RI-B, part II, item 6) (itemize and describe all adjustments): ³			6.
a. Initial allowances for credit losses recognized upon the acquisition of purchased credit-deteriorated assets on or after the effective date of ASU 2016-13 ¹	RIADJJ27	0	6.a.
b. Effect of adoption of current expected credit losses methodology on allowances for credit losses ¹	RIADJJ28	NR	6.b.
c. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:			6.c.
1. Describe component.....	TEXT4521	Click here for value	6.c.1.
2. Amount of component.....	RIAD4521	-1	6.c.2.
d. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:			6.d.
1. Describe component.....	TEXT4522	NR	6.d.1.
2. Amount of component.....	RIAD4522	0	6.d.2.
7. Other explanations (the space below is provided for the bank to briefly describe, at its option, any other significant items affecting the Report of Income):			7.
a. Comments?.....	RIAD4769	Yes	7.a.
b. Other explanations.....	TEXT4769	Click here for value	7.b.

(TEXT4461) Operating lease income

(TEXT4462) Commercial commitment fees

(TEXT4464) Software expense

(TEXT4467) Loan and lease expense

(TEXT4468) Operating lease expense

1. Only institutions that have adopted ASU 2016-13 should report amounts in items 4.a, 6.a and 6.b, if applicable.
 3. Institutions that have not adopted ASU 2016-13 should report the allowance for loan and lease losses in item 6, where applicable.
 1. Only institutions that have adopted ASU 2016-13 should report amounts in items 4.a, 6.a and 6.b, if applicable.
 1. Only institutions that have adopted ASU 2016-13 should report amounts in items 4.a, 6.a and 6.b, if applicable.

(TEXT4521) Foreign currency translation adjustment

(TEXT4769) Commercial syndication fees \$100MM, Income from the TRA associated with Worldpay, Inc. \$46MM, Loss on MSR derivative hedges (\$363MM), Mark-to-market free-standing equity derivatives (\$84MM), and Mortgage loan fees net of broker premiums (\$61MM)

Schedule RC - Balance Sheet(Form Type - 031)

All schedules are to be reported in thousands of dollars. Unless otherwise indicated, report the amount outstanding as of the last business day of the quarter.

Dollar amounts in thousands

1. Cash and balances due from depository institutions (from Schedule RC-A):			1.
a. Noninterest-bearing balances and currency and coin ¹	RCFD0081	3,448,624	1.a.
b. Interest-bearing balances ²	RCFD0071	8,213,975	1.b.
2. Securities:			2.
a. Held-to-maturity securities (from Schedule RC-B, column A) ³	RCFDJJ34	3,411	2.a.
b. Available-for-sale debt securities (from Schedule RC-B, column D).....	RCFD1773	50,614,079	2.b.
c. Equity securities with readily determinable fair values not held for trading ⁴	RCFDJA22	29,320	2.c.
3. Federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell:			3.
a. Federal funds sold in domestic offices.....	RCONB987	0	3.a.
b. Securities purchased under agreements to resell ⁵	RCFDB989	0	3.b.
4. Loans and lease financing receivables (from Schedule RC-C):			4.
a. Loans and leases held for sale.....	RCFD5369	1,006,611	4.a.
b. Loans and leases held for investment.....	RCFDB528	121,479,587	4.b.
c. LESS: Allowance for loan and lease losses ⁷	RCFD3123	2,194,009	4.c.
d. Loans and leases held for investment, net of allowance (item 4.b minus 4.c).....	RCFDB529	119,285,578	4.d.
5. Trading assets (from Schedule RC-D).....	RCFD3545	3,538,686	5.
6. Premises and fixed assets (including capitalized leases).....	RCFD2145	2,444,507	6.
7. Other real estate owned (from Schedule RC-M).....	RCFD2150	19,736	7.
8. Investments in unconsolidated subsidiaries and associated companies.....	RCFD2130	0	8.
9. Direct and indirect investments in real estate ventures.....	RCFD3656	1,285,750	9.
10. Intangible assets (from Schedule RC-M).....	RCFD2143	6,726,359	10.
11. Other assets (from Schedule RC-F) ⁶	RCFD2160	9,672,543	11.
12. Total assets (sum of items 1 through 11).....	RCFD2170	206,289,179	12.
13. Deposits:			13.
a. In domestic offices (sum of totals of columns A and C from Schedule RC-E, part I).....	RCON2200	169,348,160	13.a.
1. Noninterest-bearing ⁸	RCON6631	53,244,007	13.a.1.
2. Interest-bearing.....	RCON6636	116,104,153	13.a.2.
b. In foreign offices, Edge and Agreement subsidiaries, and IBFs (from Schedule RC-E, part II).....	RCFN2200	182,390	13.b.
1. Noninterest-bearing.....	RCFN6631	36	13.b.1.
2. Interest-bearing.....	RCFN6636	182,354	13.b.2.
14. Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase:			14.
a. Federal funds purchased in domestic offices ⁹	RCONB993	180,212	14.a.
b. Securities sold under agreements to repurchase ¹⁰	RCFDB995	387,957	14.b.
15. Trading liabilities (from Schedule RC-D).....	RCFD3548	3,612,519	15.
16. Other borrowed money (includes mortgage indebtedness and obligations under capitalized leases) (from Schedule RC-M).....	RCFD3190	8,737,131	16.
17. Not applicable			17.
18. Not applicable			18.
19. Subordinated notes and debentures ¹	RCFD3200	921,432	19.

1. Includes cash items in process of collection and unposted debits.
2. Includes time certificates of deposit not held for trading.
3. Institutions that have adopted ASU 2016-13 should report in item 2.a, amounts net of any applicable allowance for credit losses, and should equal to Schedule RC-B, item 8, column A less Schedule RI-B, Part II, item 7, column B.
4. Item 2.c is to be completed by all institutions. See the instructions for this item and the Glossary entry for "Securities Activities" for further detail on accounting for investments in equity securities.
5. Includes all securities resale agreements, regardless of maturity.
7. Institutions that have adopted ASU 2016-13 should report in item 4.c the allowance for credit losses on loans and leases.
6. Institutions that have adopted ASU 2016-13 should report in items 3.b and 11 amounts net of any applicable allowance for credit losses.
8. Includes noninterest-bearing demand, time, and savings deposits.
9. Report overnight Federal Home Loan Bank advances in Schedule RC, item 16, "Other borrowed money."
10. Includes all securities repurchase agreements, regardless of maturity.
1. Includes limited-life preferred stock and related surplus.

Dollar amounts in thousands

20. Other liabilities (from Schedule RC-G).....	RCFD2930	3,438,261	20.
21. Total liabilities (sum of items 13 through 20).....	RCFD2948	186,808,062	21.
22. Not applicable			22.
23. Perpetual preferred stock and related surplus.....	RCFD3838	0	23.
24. Common stock.....	RCFD3230	4,540	24.
25. Surplus (exclude all surplus related to preferred stock).....	RCFD3839	16,374,503	25.
26. Not available			26.
a. Retained earnings.....	RCFD3632	8,207,493	26.a.
b. Accumulated other comprehensive income ²	RCFDB530	-5,105,523	26.b.
c. Other equity capital components ³	RCFDA130	0	26.c.
27. Not available			27.
a. Total bank equity capital (sum of items 23 through 26.c).....	RCFD3210	19,481,013	27.a.
b. Noncontrolling (minority) interests in consolidated subsidiaries.....	RCFD3000	104	27.b.
28. Total equity capital (sum of items 27.a and 27.b).....	RCFDG105	19,481,117	28.
29. Total liabilities and equity capital (sum of items 21 and 28).....	RCFD3300	206,289,179	29.
1. Indicate in the box at the right the number of the statement below that best describes the most comprehensive level of auditing work performed for the bank by independent external auditors as of any date during 2021.....	RCFD6724	NR	M.1.
2. Bank's fiscal year-end date (report the date in MMDD format).....	RCON8678	NR	M.2.

Schedule RC-A - Cash and Balances Due From Depository Institutions(Form Type - 031)

Exclude assets held for trading.

Dollar amounts in thousands

	(Column A) Consolidated Bank		(Column B) Domestic Offices		
1. Cash items in process of collection, unposted debits, and currency and coin.....	RCFD0022	3,075,294			1.
a. Cash items in process of collection and unposted debits.....			RCON0020	1,099,908	1.a.
b. Currency and coin.....			RCON0080	1,975,386	1.b.
2. Balances due from depository institutions in the U.S.....	RCFD0082	607,379	RCON0082	607,379	2.
3. Balances due from banks in foreign countries and foreign central banks.....	RCFD0070	391,925	RCON0070	169,978	3.
4. Balances due from Federal Reserve Banks.....	RCFD0090	7,588,001	RCON0090	7,588,001	4.
5. Total.....	RCFD0010	11,662,599	RCON0010	11,440,652	5.

2. Includes, but is not limited to, net unrealized holding gains (losses) on available-for-sale securities, accumulated net gains (losses) on cash flow hedges, cumulative foreign currency translation adjustments, and accumulated defined benefit pension and other postretirement plan adjustments.
 3. Includes treasury stock and unearned Employee Stock Ownership Plan shares.

Schedule RC-B - Securities(Form Type - 031)

Exclude assets held for trading.

Dollar amounts in thousands	(Column A) Held-to-maturity Amortized Cost		(Column B) Held-to-maturity Fair Value		(Column C) Available-for-sale Amortized Cost		(Column D) Available-for-sale Fair Value		
1. U.S. Treasury securities.....	RCFD0211	0	RCFD0213	0	RCFD1286	2,683,184	RCFD1287	2,495,067	1.
2. U.S. Government agency and sponsored agency obligations (exclude mortgage-backed securities) ¹	RCFDHT50	0	RCFDHT51	0	RCFDHT52	0	RCFDHT53	0	2.
3. Securities issued by states and political subdivisions in the U.S.....	RCFD8496	3,411	RCFD8497	3,411	RCFD8498	2,755	RCFD8499	2,619	3.
4. Mortgage-backed securities (MBS):									4.
a. Residential mortgage pass-through securities:									4.a.
1. Guaranteed by GNMA.....	RCFDG300	0	RCFDG301	0	RCFDG302	4,241,068	RCFDG303	3,819,355	4.a.1.
2. Issued by FNMA and FHLMC.....	RCFDG304	0	RCFDG305	0	RCFDG306	2,101,585	RCFDG307	1,885,291	4.a.2.
3. Other pass-through securities.....	RCFDG308	0	RCFDG309	0	RCFDG310	73	RCFDG311	73	4.a.3.
b. Other residential mortgage-backed securities (include CMOs, REMICs, and stripped MBS):									4.b.
1. Issued or guaranteed by U.S. Government agencies or sponsored agencies ¹	RCFDG312	0	RCFDG313	0	RCFDG314	6,261,326	RCFDG315	5,532,447	4.b.1.
2. Collateralized by MBS issued or guaranteed by U.S. Government agencies or sponsored agencies ¹	RCFDG316	0	RCFDG317	0	RCFDG318	0	RCFDG319	0	4.b.2.
3. All other residential MBS.....	RCFDG320	0	RCFDG321	0	RCFDG322	1	RCFDG323	1	4.b.3.
c. Commercial MBS:									4.c.
1. Commercial mortgage pass-through securities:									4.c.1.
a. Issued or guaranteed by FNMA, FHLMC, or GNMA.....	RCFDK142	0	RCFDK143	0	RCFDK144	16,757,301	RCFDK145	14,771,349	4.c.1a.
b. Other pass-through securities.....	RCFDK146	0	RCFDK147	0	RCFDK148	0	RCFDK149	0	4.c.1b.
2. Other commercial MBS:									4.c.2.
a. Issued or guaranteed by U.S. Government agencies or sponsored agencies ¹	RCFDK150	0	RCFDK151	0	RCFDK152	7,077,899	RCFDK153	6,166,824	4.c.2a.
b. All other commercial MBS.....	RCFDK154	0	RCFDK155	0	RCFDK156	5,234,681	RCFDK157	4,714,542	4.c.2b.
5. Asset-backed securities and structured financial products:									5.
a. Asset-backed securities (ABS).....	RCFDC026	0	RCFDC988	0	RCFDC989	6,289,953	RCFDC027	5,839,733	5.a.
b. Structured financial products.....	RCFDHT58	0	RCFDHT59	0	RCFDHT60	5,989,059	RCFDHT61	5,384,778	5.b.
6. Other debt securities:									6.
a. Other domestic debt securities.....	RCFD1737	0	RCFD1738	0	RCFD1739	0	RCFD1741	0	6.a.
b. Other foreign debt securities.....	RCFD1742	0	RCFD1743	0	RCFD1744	2,000	RCFD1746	2,000	6.b.
7. Unallocated portfolio layer fair value hedge basis adjustments...					RCFDMG95	NR			7.
8. Total (sum of items 1 through 7) ²	RCFD1754	3,411	RCFD1771	3,411	RCFD1772	56,640,885	RCFD1773	50,614,079	8.

Dollar amounts in thousands

1. Pledged securities ¹	RCFD0416	10,594,474	M.1.
2. Maturity and repricing data for debt securities (excluding those in nonaccrual status): ¹			M.2.
a. Securities issued by the U.S. Treasury, U.S. Government agencies, and states and political subdivisions in the U.S.; other non-mortgage debt securities; and mortgage pass-through securities other than those backed by closed-end first lien 1-4 family residential mortgages with a remaining maturity or next repricing date of: ²			M.2.a.
1. Three months or less.....	RCFDA549	3,336,109	M.2.a.1.
2. Over three months through 12 months.....	RCFDA550	3,356	M.2.a.2.
3. Over one year through three years.....	RCFDA551	465,910	M.2.a.3.
4. Over three years through five years.....	RCFDA552	4,328,568	M.2.a.4.
5. Over five years through 15 years.....	RCFDA553	16,929,328	M.2.a.5.
6. Over 15 years.....	RCFDA554	3,435,686	M.2.a.6.
b. Mortgage pass-through securities backed by closed-end first lien 1-4 family residential mortgages with a remaining maturity or next repricing date of: ²			M.2.b.
1. Three months or less.....	RCFDA555	73	M.2.b.1.
2. Over three months through 12 months.....	RCFDA556	0	M.2.b.2.
3. Over one year through three years.....	RCFDA557	0	M.2.b.3.
4. Over three years through five years.....	RCFDA558	0	M.2.b.4.
5. Over five years through 15 years.....	RCFDA559	0	M.2.b.5.
6. Over 15 years.....	RCFDA560	5,704,646	M.2.b.6.
c. Other mortgage-backed securities (include CMOs, REMICs, and stripped MBS; exclude mortgage pass-through securities) with an expected average life of: ⁵			M.2.c.
1. Three years or less.....	RCFDA561	2,882,975	M.2.c.1.
2. Over three years.....	RCFDA562	13,530,839	M.2.c.2.
d. Debt securities with a REMAINING MATURITY of one year or less (included in Memorandum items 2.a through 2.c above).....	RCFDA248	3,447	M.2.d.
<i>Memorandum item 3 is to be completed semiannually in the June and December reports only.</i>			
3. Amortized cost of held-to-maturity securities sold or transferred to available-for-sale or trading securities during the calendar year-to-date (report the amortized cost at date of sale or transfer).....	RCFD1778	0	M.3.
4. Structured notes (included in the held-to-maturity and available-for-sale accounts in Schedule RC-B, items 2, 3, 5, and 6):			M.4.
a. Amortized cost.....	RCFD8782	0	M.4.a.
b. Fair value.....	RCFD8783	0	M.4.b.

1. Includes Small Business Administration "Guaranteed Loan Pool Certificates"; U.S. Maritime Administration obligations; Export-Import Bank participation certificates; and obligations (other than mortgage-backed securities) issued by the Farm Credit System, the Federal Home Loan Bank System, the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, the Federal National Mortgage Association, the Financing Corporation, Resolution Funding Corporation, the Student Loan Marketing Association, and the Tennessee Valley Authority.

1. U.S. Government agencies include, but are not limited to, such agencies as the Government National Mortgage Association (GNMA), the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC), and the National Credit Union Administration (NCUA). U.S. Government-sponsored agencies include, but are not limited to, such agencies as the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (FHLMC) and the Federal National Mortgage Association (FNMA).

1. U.S. Government agencies include, but are not limited to, such agencies as the Government National Mortgage Association (GNMA), the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC), and the National Credit Union Administration (NCUA). U.S. Government-sponsored agencies include, but are not limited to, such agencies as the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (FHLMC) and the Federal National Mortgage Association (FNMA).

2. For institutions that have adopted ASU 2016-13, the total reported in column A must equal Schedule RC, item 2.a, plus Schedule RI-B, Part II, item 7, column B. For institutions that have not adopted ASU 2016-13, the total reported in column A must equal Schedule RC, item 2.a. For all institutions, the total reported in column D must equal Schedule RC, item 2.b.

Dollar amounts in thousands

	(Column A) Held-to-maturity Amortized Cost		(Column B) Held-to-maturity Fair Value		(Column C) Available-for-sale Amortized Cost		(Column D) Available-for-sale Fair Value		
<i>Memorandum items 5.a through 5.f and 6.a through 6.g are to be completed by banks with \$10 billion or more in total assets.</i>									
5. Asset-backed securities (ABS) (for each column, sum of Memorandum items 5.a through 5.f must equal Schedule RC-B, item 5.a): ¹									M.5.
a. Credit card receivables.....	RCFDB838	0	RCFDB839	0	RCFDB840	0	RCFDB841	0	M5a.
b. Home equity lines.....	RCFDB842	0	RCFDB843	0	RCFDB844	0	RCFDB845	0	M5b.
c. Automobile loans.....	RCFDB846	0	RCFDB847	0	RCFDB848	1,519,218	RCFDB849	1,430,887	M5c.
d. Other consumer loans.....	RCFDB850	0	RCFDB851	0	RCFDB852	3,572,929	RCFDB853	3,322,709	M5d.
e. Commercial and industrial loans.....	RCFDB854	0	RCFDB855	0	RCFDB856	0	RCFDB857	0	M5e.
f. Other.....	RCFDB858	0	RCFDB859	0	RCFDB860	1,197,806	RCFDB861	1,086,137	M5.f.
6. Structured financial products by underlying collateral or reference assets (for each column, sum of Memorandum items 6.a through 6.g must equal Schedule RC-B item 5.b):									M.6.
a. Trust preferred securities issued by financial institutions.....	RCFDG348	0	RCFDG349	0	RCFDG350	0	RCFDG351	0	M6a.
b. Trust preferred securities issued by real estate investment trusts.....	RCFDG352	0	RCFDG353	0	RCFDG354	0	RCFDG355	0	M6b.
c. Corporate and similar loans.....	RCFDG356	0	RCFDG357	0	RCFDG358	0	RCFDG359	0	M6c.
d. 1-4 family residential MBS issued or guaranteed by U.S. government-sponsored enterprises (GSEs).....	RCFDG360	0	RCFDG361	0	RCFDG362	0	RCFDG363	0	M6d.
e. 1-4 family residential MBS not issued or guaranteed by GSEs.....	RCFDG364	0	RCFDG365	0	RCFDG366	0	RCFDG367	0	M6e.
f. Diversified (mixed) pools of structured financial products.....	RCFDG368	0	RCFDG369	0	RCFDG370	0	RCFDG371	0	M6.f.
g. Other collateral or reference assets.....	RCFDG372	0	RCFDG373	0	RCFDG374	5,989,059	RCFDG375	5,384,778	M6g.

1. Includes held-to-maturity securities at amortized cost, available-for-sale debt securities at fair value, and equity securities with readily determinable fair values not held for trading (reported in Schedule RC, item 2.c) at fair value.

1. Includes held-to-maturity securities at amortized cost, available-for-sale debt securities at fair value, and equity securities with readily determinable fair values not held for trading (reported in Schedule RC, item 2.c) at fair value.

2. Report fixed-rate debt securities by remaining maturity and floating-rate debt securities by next repricing date.

2. Report fixed-rate debt securities by remaining maturity and floating-rate debt securities by next repricing date.

5. Sum of Memorandum items 2.c.(1) and 2.c.(2) plus any nonaccrual "Other mortgage-backed securities" included in Schedule RC-N, item 10, column C, must equal Schedule RC-B, sum of items 4.b and 4.c.(2), columns A and D.

1. The \$10 billion asset size test is based on the total assets reported on the June 30, 2018, Report of Condition.

Schedule RC-C Part I - Loans and Leases(Form Type - 031)

Do not deduct the allowance for loan and lease losses or the allocated transfer risk reserve from amounts reported in this schedule. Report (1) loans and leases held for sale at the lower of cost or fair value, (2) loans and leases held for investment, net of unearned income, and (3) loans and leases accounted for at fair value under a fair value option. Exclude assets held for trading and commercial paper.

Dollar amounts in thousands		(Column A) Consolidated Bank		(Column B) Domestic Offices	
1. Loans secured by real estate ²	RCFD1410	NR			1.
a. Construction, land development, and other land loans:					1.a.
1. 1-4 family residential construction loans.....	RCFDF158	297,500	RCONF158	297,500	1.a.1.
2. Other construction loans and all land development and other land loans.....	RCFDF159	4,811,595	RCONF159	4,811,595	1.a.2.
b. Secured by farmland (including farm residential and other improvements).....	RCFD1420	51,861	RCON1420	51,861	1.b.
c. Secured by 1-4 family residential properties:					1.c.
1. Revolving, open-end loans secured by 1-4 family residential properties and extended under lines of credit.....	RCFD1797	3,731,356	RCON1797	3,731,356	1.c.1.
2. Closed-end loans secured by 1-4 family residential properties:					1.c.2.
a. Secured by first liens.....	RCFD5367	18,337,921	RCON5367	18,337,921	1.c.2.a.
b. Secured by junior liens.....	RCFD5368	135,002	RCON5368	135,002	1.c.2.b.
d. Secured by multifamily (5 or more) residential properties.....	RCFD1460	1,134,382	RCON1460	1,134,382	1.d.
e. Secured by nonfarm nonresidential properties:					1.e.
1. Loans secured by owner-occupied nonfarm nonresidential properties.....	RCFDF160	5,370,242	RCONF160	5,369,917	1.e.1.
2. Loans secured by other nonfarm nonresidential properties.....	RCFDF161	3,665,605	RCONF161	3,665,605	1.e.2.
2. Loans to depository institutions and acceptances of other banks:					2.
a. To commercial banks in the U.S.....			RCONB531	7,277	2.a.
1. To U.S. branches and agencies of foreign banks.....	RCFDB532	0			2.a.1.
2. To other commercial banks in the U.S.....	RCFDB533	7,277			2.a.2.
b. To other depository institutions in the U.S.....	RCFDB534	0	RCONB534	0	2.b.
c. To banks in foreign countries.....			RCONB535	4,744	2.c.
1. To foreign branches of other U.S. banks.....	RCFDB536	0			2.c.1.
2. To other banks in foreign countries.....	RCFDB537	4,744			2.c.2.
3. Loans to finance agricultural production and other loans to farmers.....	RCFD1590	32,536	RCON1590	32,536	3.
4. Commercial and industrial loans:					4.
a. To U.S. addressees (domicile).....	RCFD1763	46,011,267	RCON1763	45,930,421	4.a.
b. To non-U.S. addressees (domicile).....	RCFD1764	2,982,852	RCON1764	2,571,430	4.b.
5. Not applicable					5.
6. Loans to individuals for household, family, and other personal expenditures (i.e., consumer loans) (includes purchased paper):					6.
a. Credit cards.....	RCFDB538	1,874,415	RCONB538	1,874,415	6.a.
b. Other revolving credit plans.....	RCFDB539	1,088,384	RCONB539	1,088,384	6.b.
c. Automobile loans.....	RCFDK137	13,961,134	RCONK137	13,961,134	6.c.
d. Other consumer loans (includes single payment and installment loans other than automobile loans, and all student loans).....	RCFDK207	6,578,089	RCONK207	6,578,089	6.d.
7. Loans to foreign governments and official institutions (including foreign central banks).....	RCFD2081	0	RCON2081	0	7.
8. Obligations (other than securities and leases) of states and political subdivisions in the U.S.....	RCFD2107	416,671	RCON2107	416,671	8.
9. Loans to nondepository financial institutions and other loans.....	RCFD1563	9,289,056			9.
a. Loans to nondepository financial institutions.....			RCONJ454	6,641,245	9.a.
b. Other loans:					9.b.
1. Loans for purchasing or carrying securities (secured and unsecured).....			RCON1545	247,449	9.b.1.
2. All other loans (exclude consumer loans).....			RCONJ451	2,388,659	9.b.2.
10. Lease financing receivables (net of unearned income).....			RCON2165	2,701,519	10.
a. Leases to individuals for household, family, and other personal expenditures (i.e., consumer leases).....	RCFDF162	0			10.a.
b. All other leases.....	RCFDF163	2,704,309			10.b.
11. LESS: Any unearned income on loans reflected in items 1-9 above.....	RCFD2123	0	RCON2123	0	11.
12. Total loans and leases held for investment and held for sale (item 12, column A must equal Schedule RC, sum of items 4.a and 4.b).....	RCFD2122	122,486,198	RCON2122	121,979,112	12.

2. When reporting "Loans secured by real estate," "large institutions" and "highly complex institutions," as defined for deposit insurance assessment purposes in FDIC regulations, should complete items 1.a.(1) through 1.e.(2) in columns A and B (but not item 1 in column A); all other institutions should complete item 1 in column A and items 1.a.(1) through 1.e.(2) in column B (but not items 1.a.(1) through 1.e.(2) in column A).

Dollar amounts in thousands

1. Loans restructured in troubled debt restructurings that are in compliance with their modified terms (included in Schedule RC-C, part 1, and not reported as past due or nonaccrual in Schedule RC-N, Memorandum item 1):			M.1.
a. Construction, land development, and other land loans in domestic offices:			M.1.a.
1. 1-4 family residential construction loans.....	RCONK158	2,956	M.1.a.1.
2. Other construction loans and all land development and other land loans.....	RCONK159	65,962	M.1.a.2.
b. Loans secured by 1-4 family residential properties in domestic offices.....	RCONF576	501,592	M.1.b.
c. Secured by multifamily (5 or more) residential properties in domestic offices.....	RCONK160	0	M.1.c.
d. Secured by nonfarm nonresidential properties in domestic offices:			M.1.d.
1. Loans secured by owner-occupied nonfarm nonresidential properties.....	RCONK161	6,141	M.1.d.1.
2. Loans secured by other nonfarm nonresidential properties.....	RCONK162	0	M.1.d.2.
e. Commercial and industrial loans:			M.1.e.
1. To U.S. addressees (domicile).....	RCFDK163	190,064	M.1.e.1.
2. To non-U.S. addressees (domicile).....	RCFDK164	0	M.1.e.2.
f. All other loans (include loans to individuals for household, family, and other personal expenditures).....	RCFDK165	100,468	M.1.f.
<i>Itemize loan categories included in Memorandum item 1.f, above that exceed 10 percent of total loans restructured in troubled debt restructurings that are in compliance with their modified terms (sum of Memorandum items 1.a through 1.f):</i>			
1. Loans secured by farmland in domestic offices.....	RCONK166	0	M.1.f.1.
2. Not applicable			M.1.f.2.
3. Loans to finance agricultural production and other loans to farmers.....	RCFDK168	0	M.1.f.3.
4. Loans to individuals for household, family, and other personal expenditures:			M.1.f.4.
a. Credit cards.....	RCFDK098	0	M.1.f.4.a.
b. Automobile loans.....	RCFDK203	0	M.1.f.4.b.
c. Other (includes revolving credit plans other than credit cards and other consumer loans).....	RCFDK204	0	M.1.f.4.c.
g. Total loans restructured in troubled debt restructurings that are in compliance with their modified terms (sum of Memorandum items 1.a.(1) through 1.f.).....	RCFDHK25	867,183	M.1.g.
2. Maturity and repricing data for loans and leases (excluding those in nonaccrual status):			M.2.
a. Closed-end loans secured by first liens on 1-4 family residential properties in domestic offices (reported in Schedule RC-C, part I, item 1.c.(2)(a), column B) with a remaining maturity or next repricing date of:			M.2.a.
1. Three months or less.....	RCONA564	185,467	M.2.a.1.
2. Over three months through 12 months.....	RCONA565	433,050	M.2.a.2.
3. Over one year through three years.....	RCONA566	412,260	M.2.a.3.
4. Over three years through five years.....	RCONA567	615,099	M.2.a.4.
5. Over five years through 15 years.....	RCONA568	6,112,517	M.2.a.5.
6. Over 15 years.....	RCONA569	10,460,552	M.2.a.6.
b. All loans and leases (reported in Schedule RC-C, part I, items 1 through 10, column A) EXCLUDING closed-end loans secured by first liens on 1-4 family residential properties in domestic offices (reported in Schedule RC-C, part I, item 1.c.(2)(a), column B) with a remaining maturity or next repricing date of:			M.2.b.
1. Three months or less.....	RCFDA570	68,694,245	M.2.b.1.
2. Over three months through 12 months.....	RCFDA571	2,333,872	M.2.b.2.
3. Over one year through three years.....	RCFDA572	5,962,738	M.2.b.3.
4. Over three years through five years.....	RCFDA573	10,846,756	M.2.b.4.
5. Over five years through 15 years.....	RCFDA574	12,517,959	M.2.b.5.
6. Over 15 years.....	RCFDA575	3,396,750	M.2.b.6.
c. Loans and leases (reported in Schedule RC-C, part I, items 1 through 10, column A) with a REMAINING MATURITY of one year or less (excluding those in nonaccrual status).....	RCFDA247	14,127,877	M.2.c.
3. Loans to finance commercial real estate, construction, and land development activities (not secured by real estate) included in Schedule RC-C, part I, items 4 and 9, column A ⁴	RCFD2746	1,972,327	M.3.
4. Adjustable rate closed-end loans secured by first liens on 1-4 family residential properties in domestic offices (included in Schedule RC-C, part I, item 1.c.(2)(a), column B).....	RCON5370	2,842,284	M.4.
5. Loans secured by real estate to non-U.S. addressees (domicile) (included in Schedule RC-C, Part I, item 1, column A, or Schedule RC-C, Part I, items 1.a.(1) through 1.e.(2), column A, as appropriate).....	RCFDB837	8,870	M.5.
6. Outstanding credit card fees and finance charges included in Schedule RC-C, part I, item 6.a, column A.....	RCFDC391	18,838	M.6.

Memorandum item 6 is to be completed by banks that (1) together with affiliated institutions, have outstanding credit card receivables (as defined in the instructions) that exceed \$500 million as of the report date, or (2) are credit card specialty banks as defined for Uniform Bank Performance Report purposes.

4. Exclude loans secured by real estate that are included in Schedule RC-C, Part I, item 1, column A.

Dollar amounts in thousands

Memorandum items 7.a and 7.b are to be completed by all banks semiannually in the June and December reports only.

7. Purchased credit-impaired loans held for investment accounted for in accordance with FASB ASC 310-30 (former AICPA Statement of Position 03-3) (exclude loans held for sale):⁵

- a. Outstanding balance.....
- b. Amount included in Schedule RC-C, part I, items 1 through 9.....

Memorandum items 8.a, 8.b, and 8.c are to be completed semiannually in the June and December reports only.

8. Closed-end loans with negative amortization features secured by 1-4 family residential properties in domestic offices:

- a. Total amount of closed-end loans with negative amortization features secured by 1-4 family residential properties (included in Schedule RC-C, part I, items 1.c.(2)(a) and 1.c.(2)(b)).....

Memorandum items 8.b and 8.c are to be completed semiannually in the June and December reports only by banks that had closed-end loans with negative amortization features secured by 1-4 family residential properties (as reported in Schedule RC-C, Part I, Memorandum item 8.a) as of December 31, 2021, that exceeded the lesser of \$100 million or 5 percent of total loans and leases held for investment and held for sale in domestic offices (as reported in Schedule RC-C, Part I, item 12, column B).

- b. Total maximum remaining amount of negative amortization contractually permitted on closed-end loans secured by 1-4 family residential properties.....
- c. Total amount of negative amortization on closed-end loans secured by 1-4 family residential properties included in the amount reported in Memorandum item 8.a above.....

			M.7.
RCFDC779		NR	M.7.a.
RCFDC780		NR	M.7.b.
			M.8.
RCONF230		0	M.8.a.
RCONF231		NR	M.8.b.
RCONF232		NR	M.8.c.

5. Memorandum item 7 is to be completed only by institutions that have not yet adopted ASU 2016-13.

Dollar amounts in thousands

9. Loans secured by 1-4 family residential properties in domestic offices in process of foreclosure (included in Schedule RC-C, part I, items 1.c.(1), 1.c.(2)(a), and 1.c.(2)(b)).....	RCONF577	156,259	M.9.
---	----------	---------	------

Dollar amounts in thousands

10. Not applicable			M.10.
11. Not applicable			M.11.

Dollar amounts in thousands

	(Column A) Fair value of acquired loans and leases at acquisition date	(Column B) Gross contractual amounts receivable at acquisition date	(Column C) Best estimate at acquisition date of contractual cash flows not expected to be collected				
<i>Memorandum items 12.a, 12.b, 12.c, and 12.d are to be completed semiannually in the June and December reports only.</i>							
12. Loans (not subject to the requirements of FASB ASC 310-30 (former AICPA Statement of Position 03-3)) and leases held for investment that were acquired in business combinations with acquisition dates in the current calendar year: ¹				M.12.			
a. Loans secured by real estate.....	RCFDG091	0	RCFDG092	0	RCFDG093	0	M12a
b. Commercial and industrial loans.....	RCFDG094	0	RCFDG095	0	RCFDG096	0	M12b
c. Loans to individuals for household, family, and other personal expenditures.....	RCFDG097	404,684	RCFDG098	438,117	RCFDG099	53,160	M12c
d. All other loans and all leases.....	RCFDG100	0	RCFDG101	0	RCFDG102	0	M12d

Dollar amounts in thousands

<i>Memoranda item 13 is to be completed by banks that had construction, land development, and other land loans in domestic offices (as reported in Schedule RC-C, Part I, item 1.a., column B) that exceeded 100 percent of the sum of tier 1 capital (as reported in Schedule RC-R, Part I, item 26) plus the allowance for loan and lease losses or the allowance for credit losses on loans and leases, as applicable (as reported in Schedule RC, item 4.c) as of December 31, 2021.</i>				M.13.
13. Construction, land development, and other land loans in domestic offices with interest reserves:				
a. Amount of loans that provide for the use of interest reserves (included in Schedule RC-C, part I, item 1.a, column B).....	RCONG376	0		M.13.a.
b. Amount of interest capitalized from interest reserves on construction, land development, and other land loans that is included in interest and fee income on loans during the quarter (included in Schedule RI, item 1.a.(1)(a)(2)).	RIADG377	0		M.13.b.
<i>Memorandum item 14 is to be completed by all banks.</i>				
14. Pledged loans and leases.....	RCFDG378	73,095,901		M.14.
<i>Memorandum item 15 is to be completed for the December report only.</i>				
15. Reverse mortgages in domestic offices:				
a. Reverse mortgages outstanding that are held for investment (included in Schedule RC-C, item 1.c, above):				M.15.a.
1. Home Equity Conversion Mortgage (HECM) reverse mortgages.....	RCONJ466	0		M.15.a.1.
2. Proprietary reverse mortgages.....	RCONJ467	0		M.15.a.2.
b. Estimated number of reverse mortgage loan referrals to other lenders during the year from whom compensation has been received for services performed in connection with the origination of the reverse mortgages:				M.15.b.
1. Home Equity Conversion Mortgage (HECM) reverse mortgages.....	RCONJ468	0		M.15.b.1.
2. Proprietary reverse mortgages.....	RCONJ469	0		M.15.b.2.
c. Principal amount of reverse mortgage originations that have been sold during the year:				M.15.c.
1. Home Equity Conversion Mortgage (HECM) reverse mortgages.....	RCONJ470	0		M.15.c.1.
2. Proprietary reverse mortgages.....	RCONJ471	0		M.15.c.2.
<i>Memorandum item 16 is to be completed by all banks.</i>				
16. Revolving, open-end loans secured by 1-4 family residential properties and extended under lines of credit in domestic offices that have converted to non-revolving closed-end status (included in item 1.c.(1) above).....	RCONLE75	192,506		M.16.
<i>Amounts reported in Memorandum items 17.a and 17.b will not be made available to the public on an individual institution basis.</i>				
17. Eligible loan modifications under Section 4013, Temporary Relief from Troubled Debt Restructurings, of the 2020 Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act:				M.17.
a. Number of Section 4013 loans outstanding.....	RCONLG24	CONF		M.17.a.
b. Outstanding balance of Section 4013 loans.....	RCONLG25	CONF		M.17.b.

1. Institutions that have adopted ASU 2016-13 should report only loans held for investment not considered purchased credit-deteriorated in Memorandum item 12.

Schedule RC-C Part II - Loans to Small Businesses and Small Farms(Form Type - 031)

Report the number and amount currently outstanding as of the report date of business loans with "original amounts" of \$1,000,000 or less and farm loans with "original amounts" of \$500,000 or less. The following guidelines should be used to determine the "original amount" of a loan:
 (1) For loans drawn down under lines of credit or loan commitments, the "original amount" of the loan is the size of the line of credit or loan commitment when the line of credit or loan commitment was most recently approved, extended, or renewed prior to the report date. However, if the amount currently outstanding as of the report date exceeds this size, the "original amount" is the amount currently outstanding on the report date. (2) For loan participations and syndications, the "original amount" of the loan participation or syndication is the entire amount of the credit originated by the lead lender. (3) For all other loans, the "original amount" is the total amount of the loan at origination or the amount currently outstanding as of the report date, whichever is larger.

Dollar amounts in thousands

1. Not applicable			1.
2. Not applicable			2.

Dollar amounts in thousands

	(Column A) Number of Loans		(Column B) Amount Currently Outstanding		
3. Number and amount currently outstanding of "Loans secured by nonfarm nonresidential properties" in domestic offices reported in Schedule RC-C, part I, items 1.e.(1) and 1.e.(2), column B:					3.
a. With original amounts of \$100,000 or less.....	RCON5564	372	RCON5565	15,895	3.a.
b. With original amounts of more than \$100,000 through \$250,000.....	RCON5566	859	RCON5567	114,761	3.b.
c. With original amounts of more than \$250,000 through \$1,000,000.....	RCON5568	1771	RCON5569	788,730	3.c.
4. Number and amount currently outstanding of "Commercial and industrial loans to U.S. addressees" in domestic offices reported in Schedule RC-C, part I, item 4.a, column B:					4.
a. With original amounts of \$100,000 or less.....	RCON5570	33602	RCON5571	437,991	4.a.
b. With original amounts of more than \$100,000 through \$250,000.....	RCON5572	2405	RCON5573	246,897	4.b.
c. With original amounts of more than \$250,000 through \$1,000,000.....	RCON5574	4150	RCON5575	1,631,203	4.c.

Dollar amounts in thousands

5. Not applicable			5.
6. Not applicable			6.

Dollar amounts in thousands

	(Column A) Number of Loans		(Column B) Amount Currently Outstanding		
7. Number and amount currently outstanding of "Loans secured by farmland (including farm residential and other improvements)" in domestic offices reported in Schedule RC-C, part I, item 1.b, column B:					7.
a. With original amounts of \$100,000 or less.....	RCON5578	568	RCON5579	11,786	7.a.
b. With original amounts of more than \$100,000 through \$250,000.....	RCON5580	127	RCON5581	6,988	7.b.
c. With original amounts of more than \$250,000 through \$500,000.....	RCON5582	40	RCON5583	4,603	7.c.
8. Number and amount currently outstanding of "Loans to finance agricultural production and other loans to farmers" in domestic offices reported in Schedule RC-C, part I, item 3, column B:					8.
a. With original amounts of \$100,000 or less.....	RCON5584	31	RCON5585	476	8.a.
b. With original amounts of more than \$100,000 through \$250,000.....	RCON5586	8	RCON5587	582	8.b.
c. With original amounts of more than \$250,000 through \$500,000.....	RCON5588	0	RCON5589	0	8.c.

Schedule RC-D - Trading Assets and Liabilities(Form Type - 031)

Schedule RC-D is to be completed by banks that reported total trading assets of \$10 million or more in any of the four preceding calendar quarters, and all banks meeting the FDIC's definition of a large or highly complex institution for deposit insurance assessment purposes.

Dollar amounts in thousands		Consolidated Bank		
1. U.S. Treasury securities.....		RCFD3531	22,881	1.
2. U.S. Government agency obligations (exclude mortgage-backed securities).....		RCFD3532	21,649	2.
3. Securities issued by states and political subdivisions in the U.S.....		RCFD3533	13,522	3.
4. Mortgage-backed securities (MBS):				4.
a. Residential mortgage pass-through securities issued or guaranteed by FNMA, FHLMC, or GNMA.....		RCFDG379	3	4.a.
b. Other residential MBS issued or guaranteed by U.S. Government agencies or sponsored agencies (include CMOs, REMICs, and stripped MBS) ¹		RCFDG380	8,003	4.b.
c. All other residential MBS.....		RCFDG381	0	4.c.
d. Commercial MBS issued or guaranteed by U.S. Government agencies or sponsored agencies ¹		RCFDK197	0	4.d.
e. All other commercial MBS.....		RCFDK198	0	4.e.
5. Other debt securities:				5.
a. Structured financial products.....		RCFDHT62	0	5.a.
b. All other debt securities.....		RCFDG386	241,038	5.b.
6. Loans:				6.
a. Loans secured by real estate				6.a.
1. Loans secured by 1-4 family residential properties.....		RCFDHT63	0	6.a.1.
2. All other loans secured by real estate.....		RCFDHT64	0	6.a.2.
b. Commercial and industrial loans.....		RCFDF614	0	6.b.
c. Loans to individuals for household, family, and other personal expenditures (i.e., consumer loans) (includes purchased paper).....		RCFDHT65	0	6.c.
d. Other loans.....		RCFDF618	0	6.d.
7. Not applicable				7.
8. Not applicable				8.
9. Other trading assets.....		RCFD3541	300,120	9.
10. Not applicable				10.
11. Derivatives with a positive fair value.....		RCFD3543	2,931,470	11.
12. Total trading assets (sum of items 1 through 11) (total of column A must equal Schedule RC, item 5).....		RCFD3545	3,538,686	12.
13. Not available				13.
a. Liability for short positions.....		RCFD3546	178,363	13.a.
b. Other trading liabilities.....		RCFDF624	0	13.b.
14. Derivatives with a negative fair value.....		RCFD3547	3,434,156	14.
15. Total trading liabilities (sum of items 13.a through 14) (total of column A must equal Schedule RC, item 15).....		RCFD3548	3,612,519	15.
1. Unpaid principal balance of loans measured at fair value (reported in Schedule RC-D, items 6.a through 6.d):				M.1.
a. Loans secured by real estate				M.1.a.
1. Loans secured by 1-4 family residential properties.....		RCFDHT66	0	M.1.a.1.
2. All other loans secured by real estate.....		RCFDHT67	0	M.1.a.2.
b. Commercial and industrial loans.....		RCFDF632	0	M.1.b.
c. Loans to individuals for household, family, and other personal expenditures (i.e., consumer loans) (includes purchased paper).....		RCFDHT68	0	M.1.c.
d. Other loans.....		RCFDF636	0	M.1.d.
Memorandum items 2 through 10 are to be completed by banks with \$10 billion or more in total trading assets.				M.2.
2. Loans measured at fair value that are past due 90 days or more: ¹				
a. Fair value.....		RCFDF639	NR	M.2.a.
b. Unpaid principal balance.....		RCFDF640	NR	M.2.b.

1. U.S. Government agencies include, but are not limited to, such agencies as the Government National Mortgage Association (GNMA), the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC), and the National Credit Union Administration (NCUA). U.S. Government-sponsored agencies include, but are not limited to, such agencies as the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (FHLMC) and the Federal National Mortgage Association (FNMA).

1. The \$10 billion trading asset-size test is based on total trading assets reported on the June 30, 2018, Report of Condition.

Dollar amounts in thousands

Memorandum items 3 through 10 are to be completed by banks with \$10 billion or more in total trading assets.

3. Structured financial products by underlying collateral or reference assets (for each column, sum of Memorandum items 3.a through 3.g must equal Schedule RC-D, sum of items 5.a.(1) through (3)):

		Consolidated Bank		
				M.3.
a.	Trust preferred securities issued by financial institutions.....	RCFDG299	NR	M.3.a.
b.	Trust preferred securities issued by real estate investment trusts.....	RCFDG332	NR	M.3.b.
c.	Corporate and similar loans.....	RCFDG333	NR	M.3.c.
d.	1-4 family residential MBS issued or guaranteed by U.S. government-sponsored enterprises (GSEs).....	RCFDG334	NR	M.3.d.
e.	1-4 family residential MBS not issued or guaranteed by GSEs.....	RCFDG335	NR	M.3.e.
f.	Diversified (mixed) pools of structured financial products.....	RCFDG651	NR	M.3.f.
g.	Other collateral or reference assets.....	RCFDG652	NR	M.3.g.
4. Pledged trading assets:				M.4.
a.	Pledged securities.....	RCFDG387	NR	M.4.a.
b.	Pledged loans.....	RCFDG388	NR	M.4.b.

Dollar amounts in thousands

5. Asset-backed securities:

a.	Credit card receivables.....	RCFDF643	NR	M.5.a.
b.	Home equity lines.....	RCFDF644	NR	M.5.b.
c.	Automobile loans.....	RCFDF645	NR	M.5.c.
d.	Other consumer loans.....	RCFDF646	NR	M.5.d.
e.	Commercial and industrial loans.....	RCFDF647	NR	M.5.e.
f.	Other.....	RCFDF648	NR	M.5.f.
6. Retained beneficial interests in securitizations (first-loss or equity tranches)				M.6.
7. Equity securities (included in Schedule RC-D, item 9, above):				M.7.
a.	Readily determinable fair values.....	RCFDF652	NR	M.7.a.
b.	Other.....	RCFDF653	NR	M.7.b.
8. Loans pending securitization.....		RCFDF654	NR	M.8.
9. Other trading assets (itemize and describe amounts included in Schedule RC-D, item 9, that are greater than \$1,000,000 and exceed 25% of the item): ¹				M.9.
a. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:				M.9.a.
1. Describe component.....		TEXTF655	NR	M.9.a.1.
2. Amount of component.....		RCFDF655	NR	M.9.a.2.
b. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:				M.9.b.
(TEXTF656) NR		RCFDF656	NR	M.9.b.1.
c. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:				M.9.c.
(TEXTF657) NR		RCFDF657	NR	M.9.c.1.
10. Other trading liabilities (itemize and describe amounts included in Schedule RC-D, item 13.b, that are greater than \$1,000,000 and exceed 25% of the item):				M.10.
a. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:				M.10.a.
1. Describe component.....		TEXTF658	NR	M.10.a.1.
2. Amount of component.....		RCFDF658	NR	M.10.a.2.
b. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:				M.10.b.
(TEXTF659) NR		RCFDF659	NR	M.10.b.1.
c. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:				M.10.c.
(TEXTF660) NR		RCFDF660	NR	M.10.c.1.

1. Exclude equity securities.

Schedule RC-E Part I - Deposits in Domestic Offices(Form Type - 031)

Dollar amounts in thousands		(Column A) Transaction Accounts Total Transaction accounts (including total demand deposits)		(Column B) Transaction Accounts Memo: Total demand deposits (included in column A)		(Column C) Nontransaction Accounts Total nontransaction accounts (including MMDAs)	
Deposits of:							
1. Individuals, partnerships, and corporations (include all certified and official checks).....	RCONB549	103,834,768			RCONB550	58,042,357	1.
2. U.S. Government.....	RCON2202	333			RCON2520	0	2.
3. States and political subdivisions in the U.S.....	RCON2203	6,406,546			RCON2530	687,986	3.
4. Commercial banks and other depository institutions in the U.S.....	RCONB551	376,053			RCONB552	0	4.
5. Banks in foreign countries.....	RCON2213	117			RCON2236	0	5.
6. Foreign governments and official institutions (including foreign central banks).....	RCON2216	0			RCON2377	0	6.
7. Total (sum of items 1 through 6) (sum of columns A and C must equal Schedule RC, item 13.a).....	RCON2215	110,617,817	RCON2210	108,925,244	RCON2385	58,730,343	7.

Dollar amounts in thousands

1. Selected components of total deposits (i.e., sum of item 7, columns A and C):			M.1.
a. Total Individual Retirement Accounts (IRAs) and Keogh Plan accounts.....	RCON6835	2,372,994	M.1.a.
b. Total brokered deposits.....	RCON2365	4,991,236	M.1.b.
c. Brokered deposits of \$250,000 or less (fully insured brokered deposits) ²	RCONHK05	4,991,236	M.1.c.
d. Maturity data for brokered deposits:			M.1.d.
1. Brokered deposits of \$250,000 or less with a remaining maturity of one year or less (included in Memorandum item 1.c above).....	RCONHK06	4,990,625	M.1.d.1.
2. Not applicable			M.1.d.2.
3. Brokered deposits of more than \$250,000 with a remaining maturity of one year or less (included in Memorandum item 1.b above).....	RCONK220	0	M.1.d.3.
e. Preferred deposits (uninsured deposits of states and political subdivisions in the U.S. reported in item 3 above which are secured or collateralized as required under state law) (to be completed for the December report only).	RCON5590	6,606,895	M.1.e.
f. Estimated amount of deposits obtained through the use of deposit listing services that are not brokered deposits.....	RCONK223	0	M.1.f.
g. Total reciprocal deposits (as of the report date).....	RCONJH83	0	M.1.g.
<i>Memorandum items 1.h.(1)(a), 1.h.(2)(a), 1.h.(3)(a), and 1.h.(4)(a) are to be completed by banks with \$100 billion or more in total assets</i>			M.1.h.
h. Sweep deposits:			
1. Fully insured, affiliate sweep deposits.....	RCONMT87	567,353	M.1.h.1.
a. Fully insured, affiliate, retail sweep deposits.....	RCONMT88	567,353	M.1.h.1.a.
2. Not fully insured, affiliate sweep deposits.....	RCONMT89	0	M.1.h.2.
a. Not fully insured, affiliate, retail sweep deposits.....	RCONMT90	0	M.1.h.2.a.
3. Fully insured, non-affiliate sweep deposits.....	RCONMT91	3,430,839	M.1.h.3.
a. Fully insured, non-affiliate, retail sweep deposits.....	RCONMT92	3,430,839	M.1.h.3.a.
4. Not fully insured, non-affiliate sweep deposits.....	RCONMT93	0	M.1.h.4.
a. Not fully insured, non-affiliate, retail sweep deposits.....	RCONMT94	0	M.1.h.4.a.
i. Total sweep deposits that are not brokered deposits.....	RCONMT95	3,132,464	M.1.i.
2. Components of total nontransaction accounts (sum of Memorandum items 2.a through 2.d must equal item 7, column C above):			M.2.
a. Savings deposits:			M.2.a.
1. Money market deposit accounts (MMDAs).....	RCON6810	28,220,401	M.2.a.1.
2. Other savings deposits (excludes MMDAs).....	RCON0352	23,469,141	M.2.a.2.
b. Total time deposits of less than \$100,000.....	RCON6648	3,185,787	M.2.b.
c. Total time deposits of \$100,000 through \$250,000.....	RCONJ473	3,712,760	M.2.c.
d. Total time deposits of more than \$250,000.....	RCONJ474	142,254	M.2.d.
e. Individual Retirement Accounts (IRAs) and Keogh Plan accounts of \$100,000 or more included in Memorandum items 2.c and 2.d above.....	RCONF233	114,259	M.2.e.
3. Maturity and repricing data for time deposits of \$250,000 or less:			M.3.
a. Time deposits of \$250,000 or less with a remaining maturity or next repricing date of:			M.3.a.
1. Three months or less.....	RCONHK07	1,143,685	M.3.a.1.
2. Over three months through 12 months.....	RCONHK08	5,456,274	M.3.a.2.
3. Over one year through three years.....	RCONHK09	240,136	M.3.a.3.
4. Over three years.....	RCONHK10	58,452	M.3.a.4.
b. Time deposits of \$250,000 or less with a REMAINING MATURITY of one year or less (included in Memorandum items 3.a.(1) and 3.a.(2) above) ³	RCONHK11	6,599,407	M.3.b.
4. Maturity and repricing data for time deposits of more than \$250,000:			M.4.
a. Time deposits of more than \$250,000 with a remaining maturity or next repricing date of:			M.4.a.
1. Three months or less.....	RCONHK12	28,304	M.4.a.1.
2. Over three months through 12 months.....	RCONHK13	105,929	M.4.a.2.
3. Over one year through three years.....	RCONHK14	6,925	M.4.a.3.
4. Over three years.....	RCONHK15	1,096	M.4.a.4.

2. The dollar amount used as the basis for reporting in Memorandum item 1.c reflects the deposit insurance limit in effect on the report date.
 3. Report both fixed- and floating-rate time deposits by remaining maturity. Exclude floating rate time deposits with a next repricing date of one year or less that have a remaining maturity of over one year.

Dollar amounts in thousands

b. Time deposits of more than \$250,000 with a REMAINING MATURITY of one year or less (included in Memorandum items 4.a.(1) and 4.a.(2) above) ³	RCONK222	134,233	M.4.b.
5. Does your institution offer one or more consumer deposit account products, i.e., transaction account or nontransaction savings account deposit products intended primarily for individuals for personal, household, or family use?.....	RCONP752	Yes	M.5.
<i>Memorandum items 6 and 7 are to be completed by institutions with \$1 billion or more in total assets that answered "Yes" to Memorandum item 5 above.</i>			
6. Components of total transaction account deposits of individuals, partnerships, and corporations (sum of Memorandum items 6.a and 6.b must be less than or equal to item 1, column A, above): ⁵			M.6.
a. Total deposits in those noninterest-bearing transaction account deposit products intended primarily for individuals for personal, household, or family use.....	RCONP753	13,927,961	M.6.a.
b. Total deposits in those interest-bearing transaction account deposit products intended primarily for individuals for personal, household, or family use.....	RCONP754	21,833,613	M.6.b.
7. Components of total nontransaction account deposits of individuals, partnerships, and corporations (sum of Memorandum items 7.a.(1), 7.a.(2), 7.b.(1), and 7.b.(2) plus all time deposits of individuals, partnerships, and corporations must equal item 1, column C, above):			M.7.
a. Money market deposit accounts (MMDAs) of individuals, partnerships, and corporations (sum of Memorandum items 7.a.(1) and 7.a.(2) must be less than or equal to Memorandum item 2.a.(1) above):			M.7.a.
1. Total deposits in those MMDA deposit products intended primarily for individuals for personal, household, or family use.....	RCONP756	20,755,568	M.7.a.1.
2. Deposits in all other MMDAs of individuals, partnerships, and corporations.....	RCONP757	6,808,267	M.7.a.2.
b. Other savings deposit accounts of individuals, partnerships, and corporations (sum of Memorandum items 7.b.(1) and 7.b.(2) must be less than or equal to Memorandum item 2.a.(2) above):			M.7.b.
1. Total deposits in those other savings deposit account deposit products intended primarily for individuals for personal, household, or family use.....	RCONP758	22,003,114	M.7.b.1.
2. Deposits in all other savings deposit accounts of individuals, partnerships, and corporations.....	RCONP759	1,448,209	M.7.b.2.

Schedule RC-E Part II - Deposits in Foreign Offices including Edge and Agreement subsidiaries and IBFs(Form Type - 031)

Dollar amounts in thousands

Deposits of:			
1. Individuals, partnerships, and corporations (include all certified and official checks).....	RCFNB553	182,361	1.
2. U.S. banks (including IBFs and foreign branches of U.S. banks) and other U.S. depository institutions.....	RCFNB554	0	2.
3. Foreign banks (including U.S. branches and agencies of foreign banks, including their IBFs).....	RCFN2625	0	3.
4. Foreign governments and official institutions (including foreign central banks).....	RCFN2650	0	4.
5. U.S. Government and states and political subdivisions in the U.S.....	RCFNB555	29	5.
6. Total.....	RCFN2200	182,390	6.
1. Time deposits with a remaining maturity of one year or less (included in Schedule RC, item 13.b).....	RCFNA245	0	M.1.

5. The \$1 billion asset size test is based on the total assets reported on the June 30, 2018, Report of Condition.

Schedule RC-F - Other Assets(Form Type - 031)

Dollar amounts in thousands

1. Accrued interest receivable ²	RCFDB556	650,833	1.
2. Net deferred tax assets ³	RCFD2148	1,564,242	2.
3. Interest-only strips receivable (not in the form of a security) ⁴	RCFDHT80	4,468	3.
4. Equity investments without readily determinable fair values ⁵	RCFD1752	1,186,389	4.
5. Life insurance assets:			5.
a. General account life insurance assets.....	RCFDK201	570,529	5.a.
b. Separate account life insurance assets.....	RCFDK202	545,079	5.b.
c. Hybrid account life insurance assets.....	RCFDK270	940,298	5.c.
6. All other assets (itemize and describe amounts greater than \$100,000 that exceed 25% of this item).....	RCFD2168	4,210,705	6.
a. Prepaid expenses.....	RCFD2166	0	6.a.
b. Repossessed personal property (including vehicles).....	RCFD1578	0	6.b.
c. Derivatives with a positive fair value held for purposes other than trading.....	RCFDC010	0	6.c.
d. FDIC loss-sharing indemnification assets.....	RCFDJ448	0	6.d.
e. Computer software.....	RCFDFT33	0	6.e.
f. Accounts receivable.....	RCFDFT34	2,556,201	6.f.
g. Receivables from foreclosed government-guaranteed mortgage loans.....	RCFDFT35	0	6.g.
h. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:			6.h.
1. Describe component.....	TEXT3549	NR	6.h.1.
2. Amount of component.....	RCFD3549	0	6.h.2.
i. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:			6.i.
1. Describe component.....	TEXT3550	NR	6.i.1.
2. Amount of component.....	RCFD3550	0	6.i.2.
j. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:			6.j.
1. Describe component.....	TEXT3551	NR	6.j.1.
2. Amount of component.....	RCFD3551	0	6.j.2.
7. Total (sum of items 1 through 6) (must equal Schedule RC, item 11).....	RCFD2160	9,672,543	7.

2. Include accrued interest receivable on loans, leases, debt securities, and other interest-bearing assets. Exclude accrued interest receivables on financial assets that are reported elsewhere on the balance sheet.
3. See discussion of deferred income taxes in Glossary entry on "income taxes."
4. Report interest-only strips receivable in the form of a security as available-for-sale securities in Schedule RC, item 2.b, or as trading assets in Schedule RC, item 5, as appropriate.
5. Include Federal Reserve stock, Federal Home Loan Bank stock, and bankers' bank stock.

Schedule RC-G - Other Liabilities(Form Type - 031)

Dollar amounts in thousands

1. Not available			1.
a. Interest accrued and unpaid on deposits in domestic offices ⁶	RCON3645	46,571	1.a.
b. Other expenses accrued and unpaid (includes accrued income taxes payable).....	RCFD3646	1,042,509	1.b.
2. Net deferred tax liabilities ²	RCFD3049	3,817	2.
3. Allowance for credit losses on off-balance sheet credit exposures ⁷	RCFDB557	216,418	3.
4. All other liabilities (itemize and describe amounts greater than \$100,000 that exceed 25 percent of this item).....	RCFD2938	2,128,946	4.
a. Accounts payable.....	RCFD3066	0	4.a.
b. Deferred compensation liabilities.....	RCFDC011	0	4.b.
c. Dividends declared but not yet payable.....	RCFD2932	0	4.c.
d. Derivatives with a negative fair value held for purposes other than trading.....	RCFDC012	0	4.d.
e. Operating lease liabilities.....	RCFDLB56	598,965	4.e.
f. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:			4.f.
1. Describe component.....	TEXT3552	Click here for value	4.f.1.
2. Amount of component.....	RCFD3552	599,817	4.f.2.
g. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:			4.g.
1. Describe component.....	TEXT3553	NR	4.g.1.
2. Amount of component.....	RCFD3553	0	4.g.2.
h. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:			4.h.
1. Describe component.....	TEXT3554	NR	4.h.1.
2. Amount of component.....	RCFD3554	0	4.h.2.
5. Total.....	RCFD2930	3,438,261	5.

(TEXT3552) Commitments to fund low-income housing investments

6. For savings banks, include "dividends" accrued and unpaid on deposits.
 2. See discussion of deferred income taxes in Glossary entry on "income taxes."
 7. Institutions that have adopted ASU 2016-13 should report in Schedule RC-G, item 3 the allowance for credit losses on those off-balance sheet credit exposures that are not unconditionally cancelable.

Schedule RC-H - Selected Balance Sheet Items for Domestic Offices (Form Type - 031)

To be completed only by banks with foreign offices.

Dollar amounts in thousands

1. Not applicable			1.
2. Not applicable			2.
3. Securities purchased under agreements to resell.....	RCONB989	0	3.
4. Securities sold under agreements to repurchase.....	RCONB995	387,957	4.
5. Other borrowed money.....	RCON3190	8,736,944	5.
<i>EITHER</i>			
6. Net due from own foreign offices, Edge and Agreement subsidiaries, and IBFs.....	RCON2163	548,732	6.
<i>OR</i>			
7. Net due to own foreign offices, Edge and Agreement subsidiaries, and IBFs.....	RCON2941	0	7.
8. Total assets (excludes net due from foreign offices, Edge and Agreement subsidiaries, and IBFs).....	RCON2192	205,549,941	8.
9. Total liabilities (excludes net due to foreign offices, Edge and Agreement subsidiaries, and IBFs).....	RCON3129	186,617,556	9.

Dollar amounts in thousands

	(Column A) Amortized Cost of Held-to-Maturity Securities		(Column B) Fair Value of Available-for-Sale Securities		
10. U.S. Treasury securities.....	RCON0211	0	RCON1287	2,495,067	10.
11. U.S. Government agency obligations (exclude mortgage-backed securities).....	RCON8492	0	RCON8495	0	11.
12. Securities issued by states and political subdivisions in the U.S.....	RCON8496	3,411	RCON8499	2,619	12.
13. Mortgage-backed securities (MBS):					13.
a. Mortgage pass-through securities:					13.a.
1. Issued or guaranteed by FNMA, FHLMC, or GNMA.....	RCONG389	0	RCONG390	20,475,995	13.a.1.
2. Other mortgage pass-through securities.....	RCON1709	0	RCON1713	73	13.a.2.
b. Other mortgage-backed securities (include CMOs, REMICs, and stripped MBS):					13.b.
1. Issued or guaranteed by U.S. Government agencies or sponsored agencies ¹	RCONG393	0	RCONG394	11,699,271	13.b.1.
2. All other mortgage-backed securities.....	RCON1733	0	RCON1736	4,714,543	13.b.2.
14. Other domestic debt securities (include domestic structured financial products and domestic asset-backed securities).....	RCONG397	0	RCONG398	11,224,511	14.
15. Other foreign debt securities (include foreign structured financial products and foreign asset-backed securities).....	RCONG399	0	RCONG400	2,000	15.
16. Not applicable.					16.
17. Total held-to-maturity and available-for-sale debt securities (sum of items 10 through 15).....	RCON1754	3,411	RCON1773	50,614,079	17.

Dollar amounts in thousands

18. Equity investments not held for trading:			18.
a. Equity securities with readily determinable fair values ⁴	RCONJA22	29,320	18.a.
b. Equity investments without readily determinable fair values.....	RCON1752	1,186,389	18.b.
<i>Items 19, 20 and 21 are to be completed by banks that reported total trading assets of \$10 million or more in any of the four preceding calendar quarters and all banks meeting the FDIC's definition of a large or highly complex institution for deposit insurance assessment purposes.</i>			
19. Total trading assets.....	RCON3545	3,538,686	19.
20. Total trading liabilities.....	RCON3548	3,612,519	20.
21. Total loans held for trading.....	RCONHT71	0	21.
<i>Item 22 is to be completed by banks that: (1) have elected to report financial instruments or servicing assets and liabilities at fair value under a fair value option with changes in fair value recognized in earnings, or (2) are required to complete Schedule RC-D, Trading Assets and Liabilities.</i>			
22. Total amount of fair value option loans held for investment and held for sale.....	RCONJF75	722,751	22.

1. U.S. Government agencies include, but are not limited to, such agencies as the Government National Mortgage Association (GNMA), the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC), and the National Credit Union Administration (NCUA). U.S. Government-sponsored agencies include, but are not limited to, such agencies as the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (FHLMC) and the Federal National Mortgage Association (FNMA).

4. Item 18.a is to be completed by all institutions. See the instructions for this item and the Glossary entry for "Securities Activities" for further detail on accounting for investments in equity securities.

Schedule RC-I - Assets and Liabilities of IBFs(Form Type - 031)

To be completed only by banks with IBFs and other "foreign" offices.

Dollar amounts in thousands

1. Total IBF assets of the consolidated bank (component of Schedule RC, item 12).....	RCFN2133	NR	1.
2. Total IBF liabilities (component of Schedule RC, item 21).....	RCFN2898	NR	2.

Schedule RC-K - Quarterly Averages(Form Type - 031)

Dollar amounts in thousands

1. Interest-bearing balances due from depository institutions.....	RCFD3381	6,119,956	1.
2. U.S. Treasury securities and U.S. Government agency obligations (excluding mortgage-backed securities) ²	RCFDB558	2,671,122	2.
3. Mortgage-backed securities ²	RCFDB559	42,150,323	3.
4. All other debt securities and equity securities with readily determinable fair values not held for trading ²	RCFDB560	11,942,189	4.
5. Federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell.....	RCFD3365	112	5.
6. Loans:			6.
a. Loans in domestic offices:			6.a.
1. Total loans.....	RCON3360	119,680,521	6.a.1.
2. Loans secured by real estate:			6.a.2.
a. Loans secured by 1-4 family residential properties.....	RCON3465	22,617,344	6.a.2.a.
b. All other loans secured by real estate.....	RCON3466	15,196,142	6.a.2.b.
3. Loans to finance agricultural production and other loans to farmers	RCON3386	26,193	6.a.3.
4. Commercial and industrial loans.....	RCON3387	49,159,813	6.a.4.
5. Loans to individuals for household, family, and other personal expenditures:			6.a.5.
a. Credit cards.....	RCONB561	1,807,236	6.a.5.a.
b. Other (includes revolving credit plans other than credit cards, automobile loans, and other consumer loans).....	RCONB562	21,235,889	6.a.5.b.
b. Total loans in foreign offices, Edge and Agreement subsidiaries, and IBFs.....	RCFN3360	512,711	6.b.
<i>Item 7 is to be completed by banks with total trading assets of \$10 million or more in any of the four preceding calendar quarters and all banks meeting the FDIC's definition of a large or highly complex institution for deposit insurance assessment purposes.</i>			
7. Trading assets.....	RCFD3401	4,622,970	7.
8. Lease financing receivables (net of unearned income).....	RCFD3484	2,672,767	8.
9. Total assets ⁴	RCFD3368	211,093,094	9.
10. Interest-bearing transaction accounts in domestic offices (interest-bearing demand deposits, NOW accounts, ATS accounts, and telephone and preauthorized transfer accounts).....	RCON3485	54,196,557	10.
11. Nontransaction accounts in domestic offices:			11.
a. Savings deposits (includes MMDAs).....	RCONB563	52,186,631	11.a.
b. Time deposits of \$250,000 or less.....	RCONHK16	6,170,316	11.b.
c. Time deposits of more than \$250,000.....	RCONHK17	143,235	11.c.
12. Interest-bearing deposits in foreign offices, EDGE and Agreement subsidiaries, and IBFs.....	RCFN3404	208,710	12.
13. Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase.....	RCFD3353	740,551	13.
14. Other borrowed money (includes mortgage indebtedness and obligations under capitalized leases).....	RCFD3355	8,867,979	14.

2. Quarterly averages for all debt securities should be based on amortized cost.

2. Quarterly averages for all debt securities should be based on amortized cost.

4. The quarterly average for total assets should reflect securities not held for trading as follows: a) Debt securities at amortized cost, b) Equity securities with readily determinable fair values at fair value, c) Equity investments without readily determinable fair values, their balance sheet carrying values (i.e., fair value or, if elected, cost minus impairment, if any, plus or minus changes resulting from observable price changes).

Schedule RC-L - Derivatives and Off-Balance Sheet Items(Form Type - 031)

Please read carefully the instructions for the preparation of Schedule RC-L. Some of the amounts reported in Schedule RC-L are regarded as volume indicators and not necessarily as measures of risk.

Dollar amounts in thousands

1. Unused commitments:					1.
a. Revolving, open-end lines secured by 1-4 family residential properties, i.e., home equity lines.....	RCFD3814		7,899,341		1.a.
<i>Item 1.a.(1) is to be completed for the December report only.</i>					
1. Unused commitments for reverse mortgages outstanding that are held for investment in domestic offices..	RCONHT72		0		1.a.1.
b. Credit card lines (Sum of items 1.b.(1) and 1.b.(2) must equal item 1.b.).....	RCFD3815		11,885,457		1.b.
<i>Items 1.b.(1) and 1.b.(2) are to be completed by banks with either \$300 million or more in total assets or \$300 million or more in credit card lines. (Sum of items 1.b.(1) and 1.b.(2) must equal item 1.b.)</i>					
<i>Items 1.b.(1) and 1.b.(2) are to be completed semiannually in the June and December reports only.</i>					
1. Unused consumer credit card lines.....	RCFDJ455		9,376,536		1.b.1.
2. Other unused credit card lines.....	RCFDJ456		2,508,921		1.b.2.
c. Commitments to fund commercial real estate, construction, and land development loans:					1.c.
1. Secured by real estate:					1.c.1.
a. 1-4 family residential construction loan commitments.....	RCFDF164		397,658		1.c.1.a.
b. Commercial real estate, other construction loan, and land development loan commitments.....	RCFDF165		4,612,992		1.c.1.b.
2. Not secured by real estate.....	RCFD6550		1,711,631		1.c.2.
d. Securities underwriting.....	RCFD3817		0		1.d.
e. Other unused commitments:					1.e.
1. Commercial and industrial loans.....	RCFDJ457		46,079,586		1.e.1.
2. Loans to financial institutions.....	RCFDJ458		5,303,215		1.e.2.
3. All other unused commitments.....	RCFDJ459		5,647,856		1.e.3.
2. Financial standby letters of credit and foreign office guarantees.....	RCFD3819		1,139,570		2.
<i>Item 2.a is to be completed by banks with \$1 billion or more in total assets.</i>					
a. Amount of financial standby letters of credit conveyed to others ¹	RCFD3820		5,785		2.a.
3. Performance standby letters of credit and foreign office guarantees.....	RCFD3821		871,748		3.
<i>Item 3.a is to be completed by banks with \$1 billion or more in total assets.</i>					
a. Amount of performance standby letters of credit conveyed to others ¹	RCFD3822		0		3.a.
4. Commercial and similar letters of credit.....	RCFD3411		3,253		4.
5. Not applicable					5.
6. Securities lent and borrowed:					6.
a. Securities lent (including customers' securities lent where the customer is indemnified against loss by the reporting bank).....	RCFD3433		0		6.a.
b. Securities borrowed.....	RCFD3432		0		6.b.

Dollar amounts in thousands

	(Column A) Sold Protection		(Column B) Purchased Protection		
7. Credit derivatives:					7.
a. Notional amounts:					7.a.
1. Credit default swaps.....	RCFDC968	0	RCFDC969	0	7.a.1.
2. Total return swaps.....	RCFDC970	0	RCFDC971	0	7.a.2.
3. Credit options.....	RCFDC972	0	RCFDC973	0	7.a.3.
4. Other credit derivatives.....	RCFDC974	3,710,146	RCFDC975	1,256,828	7.a.4.
b. Gross fair values:					7.b.
1. Gross positive fair value.....	RCFDC219	0	RCFDC221	0	7.b.1.
2. Gross negative fair value.....	RCFDC220	7,440	RCFDC222	0	7.b.2.

Dollar amounts in thousands

c. Notional amounts by regulatory capital treatment: ¹				7.c.
1. Positions covered under the Market Risk Rule:				7.c.1.
a. Sold protection.....	RCFDG401		0	7.c.1.a.
b. Purchased protection.....	RCFDG402		0	7.c.1.b.
2. All other positions:				7.c.2.
a. Sold protection.....	RCFDG403		3,710,146	7.c.2.a.
b. Purchased protection that is recognized as a guarantee for regulatory capital purposes.....	RCFDG404		1,256,828	7.c.2.b.
c. Purchased protection that is not recognized as a guarantee for regulatory capital purposes.....	RCFDG405		0	7.c.2.c.

Dollar amounts in thousands

	(Column A) Remaining Maturity of One Year or Less		(Column B) Remaining Maturity of Over One Year Through Five Years		(Column C) Remaining Maturity of Over Five Years		
d. Notional amounts by remaining maturity:							7.d.
1. Sold credit protection: ²							7.d.1.
a. Investment grade.....	RCFDG406	362,121	RCFDG407	974,792	RCFDG408	335,005	7d1a.
b. Subinvestment grade.....	RCFDG409	311,628	RCFDG410	1,278,330	RCFDG411	448,270	7d1b.
2. Purchased credit protection: ³							7.d.2.
a. Investment grade.....	RCFDG412	0	RCFDG413	34,304	RCFDG414	168,235	7d2a.
b. Subinvestment grade.....	RCFDG415	263,176	RCFDG416	548,421	RCFDG417	242,692	7d2b.

1. The asset-size tests and the \$300 million credit card lines test are based on the total assets and credit card lines reported in the June 30, 2018, Report of Condition.
 1. The asset-size tests and the \$300 million credit card lines test are based on the total assets and credit card lines reported in the June 30, 2018, Report of Condition.

Dollar amounts in thousands

8. Spot foreign exchange contracts.....	RCFD8765	251,667	8.
9. All other off-balance sheet liabilities (exclude derivatives) (itemize and describe each component of this item over 25% of Schedule RC, item 27.a, "Total bank equity capital").....	RCFD3430	0	9.
a. Not applicable			9.a.
b. Commitments to purchase when-issued securities.....	RCFD3434	0	9.b.
c. Standby letters of credit issued by another party (e.g., a Federal Home Loan Bank) on the bank's behalf.....	RCFDC978	0	9.c.
d. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:			9.d.
1. Describe component.....	TEXT3555	NR	9.d.1.
2. Amount of component.....	RCFD3555	0	9.d.2.
e. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:			9.e.
1. Describe component.....	TEXT3556	NR	9.e.1.
2. Amount of component.....	RCFD3556	0	9.e.2.
f. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:			9.f.
(TEXT3557) NR	RCFD3557	0	9.f.1.
10. All other off-balance sheet assets (exclude derivatives) (itemize and describe each component of this item over 25% of Schedule RC, item 27.a, "Total bank equity capital").....	RCFD5591	0	10.
a. Commitments to sell when-issued securities.....	RCFD3435	0	10.a.
b. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:			10.b.
1. Describe component.....	TEXT5592	NR	10.b.1.
2. Amount of component.....	RCFD5592	0	10.b.2.
c. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:			10.c.
1. Describe component.....	TEXT5593	NR	10.c.1.
2. Amount of component.....	RCFD5593	0	10.c.2.
d. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:			10.d.
1. Describe component.....	TEXT5594	NR	10.d.1.
2. Amount of component.....	RCFD5594	0	10.d.2.
e. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:			10.e.
1. Describe component.....	TEXT5595	NR	10.e.1.
2. Amount of component.....	RCFD5595	0	10.e.2.
<i>Items 11.a and 11.b are to be completed semiannually in the June and December reports only.</i>			11.
11. Year-to-date merchant credit card sales volume:			
a. Sales for which the reporting bank is the acquiring bank.....	RCFDC223	0	11.a.
b. Sales for which the reporting bank is the agent bank with risk.....	RCFDC224	0	11.b.

1. Sum of items 7.c.(1)(a) and 7.c.(2)(a), must equal sum of items 7.a.(1) through (4), column A. Sum of items 7.c.(1)(b), 7.c.(2)(b), and 7.c.(2)(c) must equal sum of items 7.a.(1) through (4), column B.
 2. Sum of items 7.d.(1)(a) and (b), columns A through C, must equal sum of items 7.a.(1) through (4), column A.
 3. Sum of items 7.d.(2)(a) and (b), columns A through C, must equal sum of items 7.a.(1) through (4), column B.

Dollar amounts in thousands		(Column A) Interest Rate Contracts	(Column B) Foreign Exchange Contracts	(Column C) Equity Derivative Contracts	(Column D) Commodity and Other Contracts				
12. Gross amounts (e.g., notional amounts):						12.			
a. Futures contracts.....	RCFD8693	68,462	RCFD8694	0	RCFD8695	0	RCFD8696	1,646,985	12.a.
b. Forward contracts.....	RCFD8697	2,180,779	RCFD8698	5,108,029	RCFD8699	0	RCFD8700	0	12.b.
c. Exchange-traded option contracts:									12.c.
1. Written options.....	RCFD8701	0	RCFD8702	0	RCFD8703	0	RCFD8704	105,340	12c1.
2. Purchased options.....	RCFD8705	127,500	RCFD8706	0	RCFD8707	0	RCFD8708	92,684	12c2.
d. Over-the-counter option contracts:									12.d.
1. Written options.....	RCFD8709	13,668,384	RCFD8710	4,741,418	RCFD8711	0	RCFD8712	2,932,835	12d1.
2. Purchased options.....	RCFD8713	19,931,166	RCFD8714	4,741,418	RCFD8715	0	RCFD8716	2,957,130	12d2.
e. Swaps.....	RCFD3450	93,444,232	RCFD3826	10,634,740	RCFD8719	3,358,290	RCFD8720	8,386,720	12.e.
13. Total gross notional amount of derivative contracts held for trading.....	RCFDA126	80,149,310	RCFDA127	25,225,605	RCFD8723	0	RCFD8724	16,121,694	13.
14. Total gross notional amount of derivative contracts held for purposes other than trading.....	RCFD8725	49,271,213	RCFD8726	0	RCFD8727	3,358,290	RCFD8728	0	14.
a. Interest rate swaps where the bank has agreed to pay a fixed rate.....	RCFDA589	0							14.a.
15. Gross fair values of derivative contracts:									15.
a. Contracts held for trading:									15.a.
1. Gross positive fair value.....	RCFD8733	1,000,269	RCFD8734	454,166	RCFD8735	0	RCFD8736	1,477,700	15a1.
2. Gross negative fair value.....	RCFD8737	1,656,155	RCFD8738	421,333	RCFD8739	0	RCFD8740	1,349,853	15a2.
b. Contracts held for purposes other than trading:									15.b.
1. Gross positive fair value.....	RCFD8741	116,261	RCFD8742	0	RCFD8743	0	RCFD8744	0	15b1.
2. Gross negative fair value.....	RCFD8745	152,702	RCFD8746	0	RCFD8747	194,545	RCFD8748	0	15b2.

Dollar amounts in thousands		(Column A) Banks and Securities Firms	(Column B)	(Column C) Hedge Funds	(Column D) Sovereign Governments	(Column E) Corporations and All Other Counterparties				
<i>Item 16 is to be completed only by banks with total assets of \$10 billion or more.</i>										
16. Over-the counter derivatives: ¹							16.			
a. Net current credit exposure.....	RCFDG418	1,052,187		RCFDG420	0	RCFDG421	0	RCFDG422	871,005	16.a.
b. Fair value of collateral:										16.b.
1. Cash - U.S. dollar.....	RCFDG423	1,146,805		RCFDG425	0	RCFDG426	0	RCFDG427	29,526	16.b.1.
2. Cash - Other currencies.....	RCFDG428	0		RCFDG430	0	RCFDG431	0	RCFDG432	0	16.b.2.
3. U.S. Treasury securities.....	RCFDG433	0		RCFDG435	0	RCFDG436	0	RCFDG437	0	16.b.3.
4. U.S. Government agency and U.S. Government-sponsored agency debt securities.....	RCFDG438	0		RCFDG440	0	RCFDG441	0	RCFDG442	0	16.b.4.
5. Corporate bonds.....	RCFDG443	0		RCFDG445	0	RCFDG446	0	RCFDG447	0	16.b.5.
6. Equity securities.....	RCFDG448	0		RCFDG450	0	RCFDG451	0	RCFDG452	0	16.b.6.
7. All other collateral.....	RCFDG453	0		RCFDG455	0	RCFDG456	0	RCFDG457	4,500	16.b.7.
8. Total fair value of collateral (sum of items 16.b.(1) through (7)).....	RCFDG458	1,146,805		RCFDG460	0	RCFDG461	0	RCFDG462	34,026	16.b.8.

1. The \$10 billion asset-size test is based on the total assets reported on the June 30, 2018, Report of Condition.

Schedule RC-M - Memoranda(Form Type - 031)

Dollar amounts in thousands

1. Extensions of credit by the reporting bank to its executive officers, directors, principal shareholders, and their related interests as of the report date:			1.
a. Aggregate amount of all extensions of credit to all executive officers, directors, principal shareholders, and their related interests.....	RCFD6164	186,708	1.a.
b. Number of executive officers, directors, and principal shareholders to whom the amount of all extensions of credit by the reporting bank (including extensions of credit to related interests) equals or exceeds the lesser of \$500,000 or 5 percent of total capital as defined for this purpose in agency regulations.....	RCFD6165	11	1.b.
2. Intangible assets:			2.
a. Mortgage servicing assets.....	RCFD3164	1,745,739	2.a.
1. Estimated fair value of mortgage servicing assets.....	RCFDA590	1,745,739	2.a.1.
b. Goodwill.....	RCFD3163	4,811,664	2.b.
c. All other intangible assets.....	RCFDJF76	168,956	2.c.
d. Total (sum of items 2.a, 2.b, and 2.c) (must equal Schedule RC, item 10).....	RCFD2143	6,726,359	2.d.
3. Other real estate owned:			3.
a. Construction, land development, and other land in domestic offices.....	RCON5508	22	3.a.
b. Farmland in domestic offices.....	RCON5509	0	3.b.
c. 1-4 family residential properties in domestic offices.....	RCON5510	10,201	3.c.
d. Multifamily (5 or more) residential properties in domestic offices.....	RCON5511	0	3.d.
e. Nonfarm nonresidential properties in domestic offices.....	RCON5512	9,513	3.e.
f. In foreign offices.....	RCFN5513	0	3.f.
g. Total (sum of items 3.a through 3.g) (must equal Schedule RC, item 7).....	RCFD2150	19,736	3.g.
4. Cost of equity securities with readily determinable fair values not held for trading (the fair value of which is reported in Schedule RC, item 2.c) ¹	RCFDJA29	30,585	4.
5. Other borrowed money:			5.
a. Federal Home Loan Bank advances:			5.a.
1. Advances with a remaining maturity or next repricing date of: ¹			5.a.1.
a. One year or less.....	RCFDF055	4,308,469	5.a.1.a.
b. Over one year through three years.....	RCFDF056	4,407	5.a.1.b.
c. Over three years through five years.....	RCFDF057	290	5.a.1.c.
d. Over five years.....	RCFDF058	7,636	5.a.1.d.
2. Advances with a remaining maturity of one year or less (included in item 5.a.(1)(a) above) ²	RCFD2651	4,308,469	5.a.2.
3. Structured advances (included in items 5.a.(1)(a) - (d) above).....	RCFDF059	0	5.a.3.
b. Other borrowings:			5.b.
1. Other borrowings with a remaining maturity or next repricing date of: ³			5.b.1.
a. One year or less.....	RCFDF060	1,694,781	5.b.1.a.
b. Over one year through three years.....	RCFDF061	1,779,261	5.b.1.b.
c. Over three years through five years.....	RCFDF062	709,654	5.b.1.c.
d. Over five years.....	RCFDF063	232,633	5.b.1.d.
2. Other borrowings with a remaining maturity of one year or less (included in item 5.b.(1)(a) above) ⁴	RCFDB571	694,781	5.b.2.
c. Total (sum of items 5.a.(1)(a)-(d) and items 5.b.(1)(a)-(d)) (must equal Schedule RC, item 16).....	RCFD3190	8,737,131	5.c.
6. Does the reporting bank sell private label or third party mutual funds and annuities?.....	RCFDB569	Yes	6.
7. Assets under the reporting bank's management in proprietary mutual funds and annuities.....	RCFDB570	0	7.
8. Internet Web site addresses and physical office trade names:			8.
a. Uniform Resource Locator (URL) of the reporting institution's primary Internet Web site (home page), if any (Example: www.examplebank.com):.....	TEXT4087	www.53.com	8.a.

1. Item 4 is to be completed only by insured state banks that have been approved by the FDIC to hold grandfathered equity investments. See instructions for this item and the Glossary entry for "Securities Activities" for further detail on accounting for investments in equity securities.

1. Report fixed-rate advances by remaining maturity and floating-rate advances by next repricing date.

2. Report both fixed- and floating-rate advances by remaining maturity. Exclude floating-rate advances with a next repricing date of one year or less that have a remaining maturity of over one year.

3. Report fixed-rate other borrowings by remaining maturity and floating-rate other borrowings by next repricing date.

4. Report both fixed- and floating-rate other borrowings by remaining maturity. Exclude floating rate other borrowings with a next repricing date of one year or less that have a remaining maturity of over one year.

Dollar amounts in thousands

b. URLs of all other public-facing Internet Web sites that the reporting institution uses to accept or solicit deposits from the public, if any (Example: www.examplebank.biz): ¹			8.b.
1. URL 1.....	TE01N528	NR	8.b.1.
2. URL 2.....	TE02N528	NR	8.b.2.
3. URL 3.....	TE03N528	NR	8.b.3.
4. URL 4.....	TE04N528	NR	8.b.4.
5. URL 5.....	TE05N528	NR	8.b.5.
6. URL 6.....	TE06N528	NR	8.b.6.
7. URL 7.....	TE07N528	NR	8.b.7.
8. URL 8.....	TE08N528	NR	8.b.8.
9. URL 9.....	TE09N528	NR	8.b.9.
10. URL 10.....	TE10N528	NR	8.b.10.
c. Trade names other than the reporting institution's legal title used to identify one or more of the institution's physical offices at which deposits are accepted or solicited from the public, if any:			8.c.
1. Trade name 1.....	TE01N529	NR	8.c.1.
2. Trade name 2.....	TE02N529	NR	8.c.2.
3. Trade name 3.....	TE03N529	NR	8.c.3.
4. Trade name 4.....	TE04N529	NR	8.c.4.
5. Trade name 5.....	TE05N529	NR	8.c.5.
6. Trade name 6.....	TE06N529	NR	8.c.6.
9. Do any of the bank's Internet Web sites have transactional capability, i.e., allow the bank's customers to execute transactions on their accounts through the Web site?.....	RCFD4088	Yes	9.
10. Secured liabilities:			10.
a. Amount of "Federal funds purchased in domestic offices" that are secured (included in Schedule RC, item 14.a).....	RCONF064	0	10.a.
b. Amount of "Other borrowings" that are secured (included in Schedule RC-M, items 5.b.(1)(a) - (d)).....	RCFDF065	332,081	10.b.
11. Does the bank act as trustee or custodian for Individual Retirement Accounts, Health Savings Accounts, and other similar accounts?.....	RCONG463	Yes	11.
12. Does the bank provide custody, safekeeping, or other services involving the acceptance of orders for the sale or purchase of securities?.....	RCONG464	Yes	12.
13. Assets covered by loss-sharing agreements with the FDIC:			13.
a. Loans and leases (included in Schedule RC, items 4.a and 4.b):			13.a.
1. Loans secured by real estate in domestic offices:			13.a.1.
a. Construction, land development, and other land loans:			13.a.1.a.
1. 1-4 family residential construction loans.....	RCONK169	0	13.a.1.a.1.
2. Other construction loans and all land development and other land loans.....	RCONK170	0	13.a.1.a.2.
b. Secured by farmland.....	RCONK171	0	13.a.1.b.
c. Secured by 1-4 family residential properties:			13.a.1.c.
1. Revolving, open-end loans secured by 1-4 family residential properties and extended under lines of credit.....	RCONK172	0	13.a.1.c.1.
2. Closed-end loans secured by 1-4 family residential properties:			13.a.1.c.2.
a. Secured by first liens.....	RCONK173	0	13.a.1.c.2a.
b. Secured by junior liens.....	RCONK174	0	13.a.1.c.2b.
d. Secured by multifamily (5 or more) residential properties.....	RCONK175	0	13.a.1.d.
e. Secured by nonfarm nonresidential properties:			13.a.1.e.
1. Loans secured by owner-occupied nonfarm nonresidential properties.....	RCONK176	0	13.a.1.e.1.
2. Loans secured by other nonfarm nonresidential properties.....	RCONK177	0	13.a.1.e.2.
2. Not applicable			13.a.2.
3. Not applicable			13.a.3.
4. Not applicable			13.a.4.
5. All other loans and all leases.....	RCFDK183	0	13.a.5.

1. Report only highest level URLs (for example, report www.examplebank.biz, but do not also report www.examplebank.biz/checking). Report each top level domain name used (for example, report both www.examplebank.biz and www.examplebank.net).

Dollar amounts in thousands

b. Other real estate owned (included in Schedule RC, item 7):			13.b.
1. Construction, land development, and other land in domestic offices.....	RCONK187	0	13.b.1.
2. Farmland in domestic offices.....	RCONK188	0	13.b.2.
3. 1-4 family residential properties in domestic offices.....	RCONK189	0	13.b.3.
4. Multifamily (5 or more) residential properties in domestic offices.....	RCONK190	0	13.b.4.
5. Nonfarm nonresidential properties in domestic offices.....	RCONK191	0	13.b.5.
6. In foreign offices.....	RCFNK260	0	13.b.6.
7. Portion of covered other real estate owned included in items 13.b.(1) through (6) above that is protected by FDIC loss-sharing agreements.....	RCFDK192	0	13.b.7.
c. Debt securities (included in Schedule RC, items 2.a and 2.b).....	RCFDJ461	0	13.c.
d. Other assets (exclude FDIC loss-sharing indemnification assets).....	RCFDJ462	0	13.d.
<i>Items 14.a and 14.b are to be completed annually in the December report only.</i>			14.
14. Captive insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries:			
a. Total assets of captive insurance subsidiaries ²	RCFDK193	0	14.a.
b. Total assets of captive reinsurance subsidiaries ²	RCFDK194	0	14.b.
<i>Item 15 is to be completed by institutions that are required or have elected to be treated as a Qualified Thrift Lender.</i>			15.
15. Qualified Thrift Lender (QTL) test:			
a. Does the institution use the Home Owners' Loan Act (HOLA) QTL test or the Internal Revenue Service Domestic Building and Loan Association (IRS DBLA) test to determine its QTL compliance? (for the HOLA QTL test, enter 1; for the IRS DBLA test, enter 2).....	RCONL133	NR	15.a.
b. Has the institution been in compliance with the HOLA QTL test as of each month end during the quarter or the IRS DBLA test for its most recent taxable year, as applicable?.....	RCONL135	NR	15.b.
<i>Item 16.a and, if appropriate, items 16.b.(1) through 16.b.(3) are to be completed annually in the December report only.</i>			16.
16. International remittance transfers offered to consumers: ¹			
a. Estimated number of international remittance transfers provided by your institution during the calendar year ending on the report date.....	RCONN523	17788	16.a.
<i>Items 16.b.(1) through 16.b.(3) are to be completed by institutions that reported 501 or more international remittance transfers in item 16.a in either or both of the current report or the most recent prior report in which item 16.a was required to be completed.</i>			16.b.
b. Estimated dollar value of remittance transfers provided by your institution and usage of regulatory exceptions during the calendar year ending on the report date:			
1. Estimated dollar value of international remittance transfers.....	RCONN524	426,485	16.b.1.
2. Estimated number of international remittance transfers for which your institution applied the permanent exchange rate exception.....	RCONMM07	0	16.b.2.
3. Estimated number of international remittance transfers for which your institution applied the permanent covered third-party fee exception.....	RCONMQ52	0	16.b.3.
17. U.S. Small Business Administration Paycheck Protection Program (PPP) loans and the Federal Reserve PPP Liquidity Facility (PPPLF): ³			17.
a. Number of PPP loans outstanding.....	RCONLG26	1469	17.a.
b. Outstanding balance of PPP loans.....	RCONLG27	93,663	17.b.
c. Outstanding balance of PPP loans pledged to the PPPLF.....	RCONLG28	0	17.c.
d. Outstanding balance of borrowings from Federal Reserve Banks under the PPPLF with a remaining maturity of:			17.d.
1. One year or less.....	RCONLL59	0	17.d.1.
2. More than one year.....	RCONLL60	0	17.d.2.
e. Quarterly average amount of PPP loans pledged to the PPPLF and excluded from "Total assets for the leverage ratio" reported in Schedule RC-R, Part I, item 30.....	RCONLL57	0	17.e.
18. Money Market Mutual Fund Liquidity Facility (MMLF):			18.
a. Outstanding balance of assets purchased under the MMLF.....	RCONLL61	0	18.a.
b. Quarterly average amount of assets purchased under the MMLF and excluded from "Total assets for the leverage ratio" reported in Schedule RC-R, Part I, item 30.....	RCONLL58	0	18.b.

2. Report total assets before eliminating intercompany transactions between the consolidated insurance or reinsurance subsidiary and other offices or consolidated subsidiaries of the reporting bank.

1. Report information about international electronic transfers of funds offered to consumers in the United States that: (a) are "remittance transfers" as defined by subpart B of Regulation E (12 CFR § 1005.30(e)), or (b) would qualify as "remittance transfers" under subpart B of Regulation E (12 CFR § 1005.30(e)) but are excluded from that definition only because the provider is not providing those transfers in the normal course of its business. See 12 CFR § 1005.30(f). For purposes of this item 16, such trans

3. Paycheck Protection Program (PPP) covered loans as defined in sections 7(a)(36) and 7(a)(37) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 636(a)(36) and (37)). The PPP was established by Section 1102 of the 2020 Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act.

Schedule RC-N - Past Due and Nonaccrual Loans Leases and Other Assets(Form Type - 031)

Dollar amounts in thousands		(Column A) Past due 30 through 89 days and still accruing	(Column B) Past due 90 days or more and still accruing	(Column C) Nonaccrual			
1. Loans secured by real estate:				1.			
a. Construction, land development, and other land loans in domestic offices:				1.a.			
1. 1-4 family residential construction loans.....	RCONF172	83	RCONF174	0	RCONF176	579	1.a.1.
2. Other construction loans and all land development and other land loans.....	RCONF173	10,276	RCONF175	980	RCONF177	15,277	1.a.2.
b. Secured by farmland in domestic offices.....	RCON3493	174	RCON3494	0	RCON3495	186	1.b.
c. Secured by 1-4 family residential properties in domestic offices:							1.c.
1. Revolving, open-end loans secured by 1-4 family residential properties and extended under lines of credit.....	RCON5398	26,891	RCON5399	333	RCON5400	58,791	1.c.1.
2. Closed-end loans secured by 1-4 family residential properties:							1.c.2.
a. Secured by first liens.....	RCONC236	110,733	RCONC237	216,647	RCONC229	118,976	1.c.2a.
b. Secured by junior liens.....	RCONC238	1,358	RCONC239	1,471	RCONC230	4,830	1.c.2b.
d. Secured by multifamily (5 or more) residential properties in domestic offices.....	RCON3499	0	RCON3500	0	RCON3501	613	1.d.
e. Secured by nonfarm nonresidential properties in domestic offices:							1.e.
1. Loans secured by owner-occupied nonfarm nonresidential properties.....	RCONF178	14,027	RCONF180	100	RCONF182	15,303	1.e.1.
2. Loans secured by other nonfarm nonresidential properties.....	RCONF179	573	RCONF181	0	RCONF183	2,900	1.e.2.
f. In foreign offices.....	RCFNB572	0	RCFNB573	0	RCFNB574	0	1.f.
2. Loans to depository institutions and acceptances of other banks:							2.
a. To U.S. banks and other U.S. depository institutions.....	RCFD5377	0	RCFD5378	0	RCFD5379	0	2.a.
b. To foreign banks.....	RCFD5380	0	RCFD5381	0	RCFD5382	0	2.b.
3. Loans to finance agricultural production and other loans to farmers.....	RCFD1594	0	RCFD1597	0	RCFD1583	30	3.
4. Commercial and industrial loans:							4.
a. To U.S. addressees (domicile).....	RCFD1251	77,125	RCFD1252	11,463	RCFD1253	118,970	4.a.
b. To non-U.S. addressees (domicile).....	RCFD1254	267	RCFD1255	384	RCFD1256	49,757	4.b.
5. Loans to individuals for household, family, and other personal expenditures:							5.
a. Credit cards.....	RCFDB575	20,742	RCFDB576	17,169	RCFDB577	27,123	5.a.
b. Automobile loans.....	RCFDK213	127,343	RCFDK214	2	RCFDK215	25,284	5.b.
c. Other (includes revolving credit plans other than credit cards and other consumer loans).....	RCFDK216	48,307	RCFDK217	1,242	RCFDK218	8,976	5.c.
6. Loans to foreign governments and official institutions.....	RCFD5389	0	RCFD5390	0	RCFD5391	0	6.
7. All other loans.....	RCFD5459	7,962	RCFD5460	499	RCFD5461	66,052	7.
8. Lease financing receivables:							8.
a. Leases to individuals for household, family, and other personal expenditures.....	RCFDF166	0	RCFDF167	0	RCFDF168	0	8.a.
b. All other leases.....	RCFDF169	0	RCFDF170	0	RCFDF171	1,286	8.b.
9. Total loans and leases (sum of items 1 through 8.b).....	RCFD1406	445,861	RCFD1407	250,290	RCFD1403	514,933	9.
10. Debt securities and other assets (exclude other real estate owned and other repossessed assets).....	RCFD3505	0	RCFD3506	0	RCFD3507	0	10.
11. Loans and leases reported in items 1 through 8 above that are wholly or partially guaranteed by the U.S. Government, excluding loans and leases covered by loss-sharing agreements with the FDIC:.....	RCFDK036	100,760	RCFDK037	222,680	RCFDK038	19,996	11.
a. Guaranteed portion of loans and leases included in item 11 above, excluding rebooked "GNMA loans".....	RCFDK039	49,247	RCFDK040	63,291	RCFDK041	14,551	11.a.
b. Rebooked "GNMA loans" that have been repurchased or are eligible for repurchase included in item 11 above.....	RCFDK042	51,513	RCFDK043	159,389	RCFDK044	0	11.b.
12. Loans and leases reported in items 1 through 8 above that are covered by loss-sharing agreements with the FDIC:							12.
a. Loans secured by real estate in domestic offices:							12.a.
1. Construction, land development, and other land loans:							12.a.1.
a. 1-4 family residential construction loans.....	RCONK045	0	RCONK046	0	RCONK047	0	12.a.1a.

Dollar amounts in thousands	(Column A) Past due 30 through 89 days and still accruing		(Column B) Past due 90 days or more and still accruing		(Column C) Nonaccrual		
b. Other construction loans and all land development and other land loans.....	RCONK048	0	RCONK049	0	RCONK050	0	12a1b
2. Secured by farmland.....	RCONK051	0	RCONK052	0	RCONK053	0	12a2.
3. Secured by 1-4 family residential properties:							12a3.
a. Revolving, open-end loans secured by 1-4 family residential properties and extended under lines of credit.....	RCONK054	0	RCONK055	0	RCONK056	0	12a3a
b. Closed-end loans secured by 1-4 family residential properties:							12a3b
1. Secured by first liens.....	RCONK057	0	RCONK058	0	RCONK059	0	12a31.
2. Secured by junior liens.....	RCONK060	0	RCONK061	0	RCONK062	0	12a32
4. Secured by multifamily (5 or more) residential properties.....	RCONK063	0	RCONK064	0	RCONK065	0	12a4.
5. Secured by nonfarm nonresidential properties:							12a5.
a. Loans secured by owner-occupied nonfarm nonresidential properties.....	RCONK066	0	RCONK067	0	RCONK068	0	12a5a
b. Loans secured by other nonfarm nonresidential properties.....	RCONK069	0	RCONK070	0	RCONK071	0	12a5b
b. Not applicable							12.b.
c. Not applicable							12.c.
d. Not applicable							12.d.
e. All other loans and all leases.....	RCFDK087	0	RCFDK088	0	RCFDK089	0	12.e.
f. Portion of covered loans and leases included in items 12.a through 12.e above that is protected by FDIC loss-sharing agreements.....	RCFDK102	0	RCFDK103	0	RCFDK104	0	12.f.
1. Loans restructured in troubled debt restructurings included in Schedule RC-N, items 1 through 7, above (and not reported in Schedule RC-C, Part 1, Memorandum item 1):							M.1.
a. Construction, land development, and other land loans in domestic offices:							M.1.a.
1. 1-4 family residential construction loans.....	RCONK105	44	RCONK106	0	RCONK107	408	M1a1.
2. Other construction loans and all land development and other land loans.....	RCONK108	237	RCONK109	0	RCONK110	7,343	M1a2
b. Loans secured by 1-4 family residential properties in domestic offices..	RCONF661	33,238	RCONF662	65,503	RCONF663	94,338	M.1.b.
c. Secured by multifamily (5 or more) residential properties in domestic offices.....	RCONK111	0	RCONK112	0	RCONK113	0	M.1.c.
d. Secured by nonfarm nonresidential properties in domestic offices:							M.1.d.
1. Loans secured by owner-occupied nonfarm nonresidential properties.....	RCONK114	0	RCONK115	0	RCONK116	6,729	M1d1.
2. Loans secured by other nonfarm nonresidential properties.....	RCONK117	0	RCONK118	0	RCONK119	2,511	M1d2
e. Commercial and industrial loans:							M.1.e.
1. To U.S. addressees (domicile).....	RCFDK120	385	RCFDK121	0	RCFDK122	80,759	M1e1.
2. To non-U.S. addressees (domicile).....	RCFDK123	0	RCFDK124	0	RCFDK125	0	M1e2
f. All other loans (include loans to individuals for household, family, and other personal expenditures).....	RCFDK126	12,387	RCFDK127	0	RCFDK128	101,696	M.1.f.
<i>Itemize loan categories included in Memorandum item 1.f, above that exceed 10 percent of total loans restructured in troubled debt restructurings that are past due 30 days or more or in nonaccrual status (sum of Memorandum items 1.a through 1.f, columns A through C):</i>							
1. Loans secured by farmland in domestic offices.....	RCONK130	0	RCONK131	0	RCONK132	0	M1f1.
2. Not applicable							M1f2.
3. Loans to finance agricultural production and other loans to farmers.....	RCFDK138	0	RCFDK139	0	RCFDK140	0	M1f3.
4. Loans to individuals for household, family, and other personal expenditures:							M1f4.
a. Credit cards.....	RCFDK274	0	RCFDK275	0	RCFDK276	0	M1f4a
b. Automobile loans.....	RCFDK277	0	RCFDK278	0	RCFDK279	0	M1f4b
c. Other (includes revolving credit plans other than credit cards and other consumer loans).....	RCFDK280	0	RCFDK281	0	RCFDK282	0	M1f4c
g. Total loans restructured in troubled debt restructurings included in Schedule RC-N, items 1 through 7, above and not reported in Schedule RC-C, Part I, Memorandum item 1 (sum of items Memorandum item 1.a.(1) through Memorandum item 1.f) ¹	RCFDHK26	46,291	RCFDHK27	65,503	RCFDHK28	293,784	M.1.g.

1. Exclude amounts reported in Memorandum items 1.f.(1) through 1.f.(4) when calculating the total in Memorandum item 1.g.

Dollar amounts in thousands	(Column A) Past due 30 through 89 days and still accruing		(Column B) Past due 90 days or more and still accruing		(Column C) Nonaccrual	
	2. Loans to finance commercial real estate, construction, and land development activities (not secured by real estate) included in Schedule RC-N, items 4 and 7, above.....	RCFD6558	2,866	RCFD6559	0	RCFD6560
3. Loans secured by real estate to non-U.S. addressees (domicile) (included in Schedule RC-N, item 1, above).....	RCFD1248	0	RCFD1249	0	RCFD1250	393
4. Not applicable						
5. Loans and leases held for sale (included in Schedule RC-N, items 1 through 8, above).....	RCFDC240	233	RCFDC241	0	RCFDC226	95

Dollar amounts in thousands	(Column A) Past due 30 through 89 days		(Column B) Past due 90 days or more	
	6. Derivative contracts: Fair value of amounts carried as assets.....	RCFD3529	0	RCFD3530

Dollar amounts in thousands	
<i>Memorandum items 7, 8, 9.a, and 9.b are to be completed semiannually in the June and December reports only.</i>	
7. Additions to nonaccrual assets during the previous six months.....	RCFDC410 300,646
8. Nonaccrual assets sold during the previous six months.....	RCFDC411 655

Dollar amounts in thousands	(Column A) Past due 30 through 89 days and still accruing		(Column B) Past due 90 days or more and still accruing		(Column C) Nonaccrual	
	9. Purchased credit-impaired loans accounted for in accordance with FASB ASC 310-30 (former AICPA Stament of Position 03-3): ²					
a. Outstanding balance.....	RCFDL183	NR	RCFDL184	NR	RCFDL185	NR
b. Amount included in Schedule RC-N, items 1 through 7, above.....	RCFDL186	NR	RCFDL187	NR	RCFDL188	NR

2. Memorandum items 9.a and 9.b should be completed only by institutions that have not yet adopted ASU 2016-13.

Schedule RC-O - Other Data for Deposit Insurance and FICO Assessments(Form Type - 031)

All FDIC-insured depository institutions must complete items 1 through 9, 10, and 11, Memorandum item 1, and, if applicable, item 9.a, Memorandum items 2, 3, and 6 through 18 each quarter. Unless otherwise indicated, complete items 1 through 11 and Memorandum items 1 through 3 on an "unconsolidated single FDIC certificate number basis" (see instructions) and complete Memorandum items 6 through 18 on a fully consolidated basis.

Dollar amounts in thousands

1. Total deposit liabilities before exclusions (gross) as defined in Section 3(l) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act and FDIC regulations.....	RCFDF236	182,746,962	1.
2. Total allowable exclusions, including interest accrued and unpaid on allowable exclusions (including foreign deposits).....	RCFDF237	182,390	2.
3. Total foreign deposits, including interest accrued and unpaid thereon (included in item 2 above).....	RCFNF234	182,390	3.
4. Average consolidated total assets for the calendar quarter.....	RCFDK652	211,093,094	4.
a. Averaging method used (for daily averaging, enter 1; for weekly averaging, enter 2).....	RCFDK653	1	4.a.
5. Average tangible equity for the calendar quarter ¹	RCFDK654	18,683,303	5.
6. Holdings of long-term unsecured debt issued by other FDIC-insured depository institutions.....	RCFDK655	7,465	6.
7. Unsecured "Other borrowings" with a remaining maturity of (sum of items 7.a through 7.d must be less than or equal to Schedule RC-M, items 5.b.(1)(a)-(d) minus item 10.b):			7.
a. One year or less.....	RCFDG465	679,264	7.a.
b. Over one year through three years.....	RCFDG466	2,725,981	7.b.
c. Over three years through five years.....	RCFDG467	611,769	7.c.
d. Over five years.....	RCFDG468	67,234	7.d.
8. Subordinated notes and debentures with a remaining maturity of (sum of items 8.a through 8.d must equal Schedule RC, item 19):			8.
a. One year or less.....	RCFDG469	0	8.a.
b. Over one year through three years.....	RCFDG470	0	8.b.
c. Over three years through five years.....	RCFDG471	921,432	8.c.
d. Over five years.....	RCFDG472	0	8.d.
9. Brokered reciprocal deposits (included in Schedule RC-E, Part I, Memorandum item 1.b).....	RCONG803	0	9.
<i>Item 9.a is to be completed on a fully consolidated basis by all institutions that own another insured depository institution.</i>			
a. Fully consolidated brokered reciprocal deposits.....	RCONL190	NR	9.a.
10. Banker's bank certification: Does the reporting institution meet both the statutory definition of a banker's bank and the business conduct test set forth in FDIC regulations? If the answer to item 10 is "YES," complete items 10.a and 10.b.....	RCFDK656	No	10.
<i>If the answer to item 10 is "YES," complete items 10.a and 10.b.</i>			
a. Banker's bank deduction.....	RCFDK657	NR	10.a.
b. Banker's bank deduction limit.....	RCFDK658	NR	10.b.
11. Custodial bank certification: Does the reporting institution meet the definition of a custodial bank set forth in FDIC regulations? If the answer to item 11 is "YES," complete items 11.a and 11.b.....	RCFDK659	Yes	11.
<i>If the answer to item 11 is "YES," complete items 11.a and 11.b.</i>			
a. Custodial bank deduction.....	RCFDK660	37,955,796	11.a.
b. Custodial bank deduction limit.....	RCFDK661	4,530,206	11.b.
1. Total deposit liabilities of the bank (including related interest accrued and unpaid) less allowable exclusions (including related interest accrued and unpaid) (sum of Memorandum items 1.a.(1), 1.b.(1), 1.c.(1), and 1.d.(1) must equal Schedule RC-O, item 1 less item 2):			M.1.
a. Deposit accounts (excluding retirement accounts) of \$250,000 or less: ¹			M.1.a.
1. Amount of deposit accounts (excluding retirement accounts) of \$250,000 or less.....	RCONF049	80,615,160	M.1.a.1.
2. Number of deposit accounts (excluding retirement accounts) of \$250,000 or less.....	RCONF050	6172578	M.1.a.2.
b. Deposit accounts (excluding retirement accounts) of more than \$250,000: ¹			M.1.b.
1. Amount of deposit accounts (excluding retirement accounts) of more than \$250,000.....	RCONF051	99,576,418	M.1.b.1.
2. Number of deposit accounts (excluding retirement accounts) of more than \$250,000.....	RCONF052	58819	M.1.b.2.
c. Retirement deposit accounts of \$250,000 or less: ¹			M.1.c.
1. Amount of retirement deposit accounts of \$250,000 or less.....	RCONF045	2,333,180	M.1.c.1.
2. Number of retirement deposit accounts of \$250,000 or less.....	RCONF046	389301	M.1.c.2.
d. Retirement deposit accounts of more than \$250,000: ¹			M.1.d.

1. See instructions for averaging methods. For deposit insurance assessment purposes, tangible equity is defined as Tier 1 capital as set forth in the banking agencies' regulatory capital standards and reported in Schedule RC-R, Part I, item 26, except as described in the instructions.

1. The dollar amounts used as the basis for reporting in Memorandum items 1.a through 1.d reflect the deposit insurance limits in effect on the report date.

Dollar amounts in thousands

1. Amount of retirement deposit accounts of more than \$250,000.....	RCONF047	39,814	M.1.d.1.
2. Number of retirement deposit accounts of more than \$250,000.....	RCONF048	111	M.1.d.2.
<i>Memorandum item 2 is to be completed by banks with \$1 billion or more in total assets.</i>			
2. Estimated amount of uninsured deposits in domestic offices of the bank and in insured branches in Puerto Rico and U.S. territories and possessions, including related interest accrued and unpaid (see instructions) ³	RCON5597	88,345,349	M.2.
3. Has the reporting institution been consolidated with a parent bank or savings association in that parent bank's or parent savings association's Call Report? If so, report the legal title and FDIC Certificate Number of the parent bank or parent savings association:			M.3.
a. Legal title.....	TEXTA545	NR	M.3.a.
b. FDIC Certificate Number.....	RCONA545	0	M.3.b.
4. Dually payable deposits in the reporting institution's foreign branches.....	RCFNGW43	0	M.4.
<i>Memorandum items 5 through 12 are to be completed by "large institutions" and "highly complex institutions" as defined in FDIC regulations.</i>			
5. Applicable portion of the CECL transitional amount or modified CECL transitional amount that has been added to retained earnings for regulatory capital purposes as of the current report date and is attributable to loans and leases held for investment.....	RCFDMW53	362,211	M.5.
6. Criticized and classified items:			M.6.
a. Special mention.....	RCFDK663	CONF	M.6.a.
b. Substandard.....	RCFDK664	CONF	M.6.b.
c. Doubtful.....	RCFDK665	CONF	M.6.c.
d. Loss.....	RCFDK666	CONF	M.6.d.
7. "Nontraditional 1-4 family residential mortgage loans" as defined for assessment purposes only in FDIC regulations:			M.7.
a. Nontraditional 1-4 family residential mortgage loans.....	RCFDN025	CONF	M.7.a.
b. Securitizations of nontraditional 1-4 family residential mortgage loans.....	RCFDN026	CONF	M.7.b.
8. "Higher-risk consumer loans" as defined for assessment purposes only in FDIC regulations:			M.8.
a. Higher-risk consumer loans.....	RCFDN027	CONF	M.8.a.
b. Securitizations of higher-risk consumer loans.....	RCFDN028	CONF	M.8.b.
9. "Higher-risk commercial and industrial loans and securities" as defined for assessment purposes only in FDIC regulations:			M.9.
a. Higher-risk commercial and industrial loans and securities.....	RCFDN029	CONF	M.9.a.
b. Securitizations of higher-risk commercial and industrial loans and securities.....	RCFDN030	CONF	M.9.b.
10. Commitments to fund construction, land development, and other land loans secured by real estate for the consolidated bank:			M.10.
a. Total unfunded commitments.....	RCFDK676	4,385,999	M.10.a.
b. Portion of unfunded commitments guaranteed or insured by the U.S. government (including the FDIC).....	RCFDK677	2,983	M.10.b.
11. Amount of other real estate owned recoverable from the U.S. government under guarantee or insurance provisions (excluding FDIC loss-sharing agreements).....	RCFDK669	1,084	M.11.
12. Nonbrokered time deposits of more than \$250,000 in domestic offices (included in Schedule RC-E, Memorandum item 2.d).....	RCONK678	134,254	M.12.
<i>Memorandum item 13.a is to be completed by "large institutions" and "highly complex institutions" as defined in FDIC regulations. Memorandum items 13.b through 13.h are to be completed by "large institutions" only.</i>			
13. Portion of funded loans and securities in domestic and foreign offices guaranteed or insured by the U.S. government (including FDIC loss-sharing agreements):			M.13.
a. Construction, land development, and other land loans secured by real estate.....	RCFDN177	16,045	M.13.a.
b. Loans secured by multifamily residential and nonfarm nonresidential properties.....	RCFDN178	100,290	M.13.b.
c. Closed-end loans secured by first liens on 1-4 family residential properties.....	RCFDN179	1,372,790	M.13.c.
d. Closed-end loans secured by junior liens on 1-4 family residential properties and revolving, open-end loans secured by 1-4 family residential properties and extended under lines of credit.....	RCFDN180	4,703	M.13.d.
e. Commercial and industrial loans.....	RCFDN181	183,170	M.13.e.
f. Credit card loans to individuals for household, family, and other personal expenditures.....	RCFDN182	0	M.13.f.
g. All other loans to individuals for household, family, and other personal expenditures.....	RCFDN183	0	M.13.g.
h. Non-agency residential mortgage-backed securities.....	RCFDM963	0	M.13.h.
<i>Memorandum items 14 and 15 are to be completed by "highly complex institutions" as defined in FDIC regulations.</i>			
14. Amount of the institution's largest counterparty exposure.....	RCFDK673	CONF	M.14.
15. Total amount of the institution's 20 largest counterparty exposures.....	RCFDK674	CONF	M.15.

3. Uninsured deposits should be estimated based on the deposit insurance limits set forth in Memorandum items 1.a through 1.d.

Dollar amounts in thousands

Memorandum item 16 is to be completed by "large institutions" and "highly complex institutions" as defined in FDIC regulations.

16. Portion of loans restructured in troubled debt restructurings that are in compliance with their modified terms and are guaranteed or insured by the U.S. government (including the FDIC) (included in Schedule RC-C, part I, Memorandum item 1).....

RCFDL189	200,033	M.16.
----------	----------------	-------

Memorandum item 17 is to be completed on a fully consolidated basis by those "large institutions" and "highly complex institutions" as defined in FDIC regulations that own another insured depository institution.

17. Selected fully consolidated data for deposit insurance assessment purposes:

		M.17.
--	--	-------

a. Total deposit liabilities before exclusions (gross) as defined in Section 3(l) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act and FDIC regulations.....

RCFDL194	NR	M.17.a.
----------	-----------	---------

b. Total allowable exclusions, including interest accrued and unpaid on allowable exclusions (including foreign deposits).....

RCFDL195	NR	M.17.b.
----------	-----------	---------

c. Unsecured "Other borrowings" with a remaining maturity of one year or less.....

RCFDL196	NR	M.17.c.
----------	-----------	---------

d. Estimated amount of uninsured deposits in domestic offices of the institution and in insured branches in Puerto Rico and U.S. territories and possessions, including related interest accrued and unpaid.....

RCONL197	NR	M.17.d.
----------	-----------	---------

	(Column A) Two-Year Probability of Default (PD) <= 1%	(Column B) Two-Year Probability of Default (PD) 1.01-4%	(Column C) Two-Year Probability of Default (PD) 4.01-7%	(Column D) Two-Year Probability of Default (PD) 7.01-10%	(Column E) Two-Year Probability of Default (PD) 10.01-14%	(Column F) Two-Year Probability of Default (PD) 14.01-16%	(Column G) Two-Year Probability of Default (PD) 16.01-18%	(Column H) Two-Year Probability of Default (PD) 18.01-20%	(Column I) Two-Year Probability of Default (PD) 20.01-22%	(Column J) Two-Year Probability of Default (PD) 22.01-26%	(Column K) Two-Year Probability of Default (PD) 26.01-30%	(Column L) Two-Year Probability of Default (PD) > 30%	(Column M) Two-Year Probability of Default (PD) Unscoreable	(Column N) Two-Year Probability of Default (PD) Total	(Column O) PDS Were Derived Using	
Dollar amounts in thousands																
18. Outstanding balance of 1-4 family residential mortgage loans, consumer loans, and consumer leases by two-year probability of default:															M18	
a. "Nontraditional 1-4 family residential mortgage loans" as defined for assessment purposes only in FDIC regulations.....	RCFDM964 CONF	RCFDM965 CONF	RCFDM966 CONF	RCFDM967 CONF	RCFDM968 CONF	RCFDM969 CONF	RCFDM970 CONF	RCFDM971 CONF	RCFDM972 CONF	RCFDM973 CONF	RCFDM974 CONF	RCFDM975 CONF	RCFDM976 CONF	RCFDM977 CONF	RCFDM978 CONF	M18a
b. Closed-end loans secured by first liens on 1-4 family residential properties.....	RCFDM979 CONF	RCFDM980 CONF	RCFDM981 CONF	RCFDM982 CONF	RCFDM983 CONF	RCFDM984 CONF	RCFDM985 CONF	RCFDM986 CONF	RCFDM987 CONF	RCFDM988 CONF	RCFDM989 CONF	RCFDM990 CONF	RCFDM991 CONF	RCFDM992 CONF	RCFDM993 CONF	M18b
c. Closed-end loans secured by junior liens on 1-4 family residential properties.....	RCFDM994 CONF	RCFDM995 CONF	RCFDM996 CONF	RCFDM997 CONF	RCFDM998 CONF	RCFDM999 CONF	RCFDN001 CONF	RCFDN002 CONF	RCFDN003 CONF	RCFDN004 CONF	RCFDN005 CONF	RCFDN006 CONF	RCFDN007 CONF	RCFDN008 CONF	RCFDN009 CONF	M18c
d. Revolving, open-end loans secured by 1-4 family residential properties and extended under lines of credit.....	RCFDN010 CONF	RCFDN011 CONF	RCFDN012 CONF	RCFDN013 CONF	RCFDN014 CONF	RCFDN015 CONF	RCFDN016 CONF	RCFDN017 CONF	RCFDN018 CONF	RCFDN019 CONF	RCFDN020 CONF	RCFDN021 CONF	RCFDN022 CONF	RCFDN023 CONF	RCFDN024 CONF	M18d
e. Credit cards.....	RCFDN040 CONF	RCFDN041 CONF	RCFDN042 CONF	RCFDN043 CONF	RCFDN044 CONF	RCFDN045 CONF	RCFDN046 CONF	RCFDN047 CONF	RCFDN048 CONF	RCFDN049 CONF	RCFDN050 CONF	RCFDN051 CONF	RCFDN052 CONF	RCFDN053 CONF	RCFDN054 CONF	M18e
f. Automobile loans.....	RCFDN055 CONF	RCFDN056 CONF	RCFDN057 CONF	RCFDN058 CONF	RCFDN059 CONF	RCFDN060 CONF	RCFDN061 CONF	RCFDN062 CONF	RCFDN063 CONF	RCFDN064 CONF	RCFDN065 CONF	RCFDN066 CONF	RCFDN067 CONF	RCFDN068 CONF	RCFDN069 CONF	M18f
g. Student loans.....	RCFDN070 CONF	RCFDN071 CONF	RCFDN072 CONF	RCFDN073 CONF	RCFDN074 CONF	RCFDN075 CONF	RCFDN076 CONF	RCFDN077 CONF	RCFDN078 CONF	RCFDN079 CONF	RCFDN080 CONF	RCFDN081 CONF	RCFDN082 CONF	RCFDN083 CONF	RCFDN084 CONF	M18g
h. Other consumer loans and revolving credit plans other than credit cards.....	RCFDN085 CONF	RCFDN086 CONF	RCFDN087 CONF	RCFDN088 CONF	RCFDN089 CONF	RCFDN090 CONF	RCFDN091 CONF	RCFDN092 CONF	RCFDN093 CONF	RCFDN094 CONF	RCFDN095 CONF	RCFDN096 CONF	RCFDN097 CONF	RCFDN098 CONF	RCFDN099 CONF	M18h
i. Consumer leases.....	RCFDN100 CONF	RCFDN101 CONF	RCFDN102 CONF	RCFDN103 CONF	RCFDN104 CONF	RCFDN105 CONF	RCFDN106 CONF	RCFDN107 CONF	RCFDN108 CONF	RCFDN109 CONF	RCFDN110 CONF	RCFDN111 CONF	RCFDN112 CONF	RCFDN113 CONF	RCFDN114 CONF	M18i
j. Total.....	RCFDN115 CONF	RCFDN116 CONF	RCFDN117 CONF	RCFDN118 CONF	RCFDN119 CONF	RCFDN120 CONF	RCFDN121 CONF	RCFDN122 CONF	RCFDN123 CONF	RCFDN124 CONF	RCFDN125 CONF	RCFDN126 CONF	RCFDN127 CONF	RCFDN128 CONF		M18j

Schedule RC-P - 1-4 Family Residential Mortgage Banking Activities in Domestic Offices(Form Type - 031)

Schedule RC-P is to be completed by banks at which either 1-4 family residential mortgage loan originations and purchases for resale from all sources, loan sales, or quarter-end loans held for sale or trading in domestic offices exceed \$10 million for two consecutive quarters.

Dollar amounts in thousands

1. Retail originations during the quarter of 1-4 family residential mortgage loans for sale ¹	RCONHT81	303,627	1.
2. Wholesale originations and purchases during the quarter of 1-4 family residential mortgage loans for sale ²	RCONHT82	1,573,215	2.
3. 1-4 family residential mortgage loans sold during the quarter.....	RCONFT04	2,862,016	3.
4. 1-4 family residential mortgage loans held for sale or trading at quarter-end (included in Schedule RC, items 4.a and 5).....	RCONFT05	933,702	4.
5. Noninterest income for the quarter from the sale, securitization, and servicing of 1-4 family residential mortgage loans (included in Schedule RI, items 5.c, 5.f, 5.g, and 5.i).....	RIADHT85	91,790	5.
6. Repurchases and indemnifications of 1-4 family residential mortgage loans during the quarter.....	RCONHT86	18,876	6.
7. Representation and warranty reserves for 1-4 family residential mortgage loans sold:			7.
a. For representations and warranties made to U.S. government agencies and government-sponsored agencies..	RCONL191	CONF	7.a.
b. For representations and warranties made to other parties.....	RCONL192	CONF	7.b.
c. Total representation and warranty reserves (sum of items 7.a and 7.b).....	RCONM288	8,686	7.c.

Schedule RC-Q - Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis(Form Type - 031)

Schedule RC-Q is to be completed by banks that:

- (1) Have elected to report financial instruments or servicing assets and liabilities at fair value under a fair value option with changes in fair value recognized in earnings, or
 (2) Are required to complete Schedule RC-D, Trading Assets and Liabilities.

	(Column A) Total Fair Value Reported on Schedule RC	(Column B) LESS: Amounts Netted in the Determination of Total Fair Value	(Column C) Level 1 Fair Value Measurements	(Column D) Level 2 Fair Value Measurements	(Column E) Level 3 Fair Value Measurements	
Dollar amounts in thousands						
1. Available-for-sale debt securities and equity securities with readily determinable fair values not held for trading ¹	RCFDJA36 50,643,399	RCFDG474 0	RCFDG475 2,513,643	RCFDG476 48,129,756	RCFDG477 0	1.
2. Federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell.....	RCFDG478 0	RCFDG479 0	RCFDG480 0	RCFDG481 0	RCFDG482 0	2.
3. Loans and leases held for sale.....	RCFDG483 599,550	RCFDG484 0	RCFDG485 0	RCFDG486 599,550	RCFDG487 0	3.
4. Loans and leases held for investment.....	RCFDG488 123,201	RCFDG489 0	RCFDG490 0	RCFDG491 0	RCFDG492 123,201	4.
5. Trading assets:						5.
a. Derivative assets.....	RCFD3543 2,931,470	RCFDG493 0	RCFDG494 59,434	RCFDG495 2,869,731	RCFDG496 2,305	5.a.
b. Other trading assets.....	RCFDG497 607,216	RCFDG498 0	RCFDG499 216,478	RCFDG500 390,738	RCFDG501 0	5.b.
1. Nontrading securities at fair value with changes in fair value reported in current earnings (included in Schedule RC-Q, item 5.b, above).....	RCFDF240 0	RCFDF684 0	RCFDF692 0	RCFDF241 0	RCFDF242 0	5.b.1.
6. All other assets.....	RCFDG391 1,862,722	RCFDG392 0	RCFDG395 9,469	RCFDG396 103,047	RCFDG804 1,750,206	6.
7. Total assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis (sum of items 1 through 5.b plus item 6).....	RCFDG502 56,767,558	RCFDG503 0	RCFDG504 2,799,024	RCFDG505 52,092,822	RCFDG506 1,875,712	7.
8. Deposits.....	RCFDF252 0	RCFDF686 0	RCFDF694 0	RCFDF253 0	RCFDF254 0	8.
9. Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase.....	RCFDG507 0	RCFDG508 0	RCFDG509 0	RCFDG510 0	RCFDG511 0	9.
10. Trading liabilities:						10.
a. Derivative liabilities.....	RCFD3547 3,434,156	RCFDG512 0	RCFDG513 92,069	RCFDG514 3,333,932	RCFDG515 8,155	10.a.
b. Other trading liabilities.....	RCFDG516 178,363	RCFDG517 0	RCFDG518 66,308	RCFDG519 112,055	RCFDG520 0	10.b.

1. Exclude originations and purchases of 1-4 family residential mortgage loans that are held for investment.
 1. The amount reported in item 1, column A, must equal the sum of Schedule RC, items 2.b and 2.c.

	(Column A) Total Fair Value Reported on Schedule RC	(Column B) LESS: Amounts Netted in the Determination of Total Fair Value	(Column C) Level 1 Fair Value Measurements	(Column D) Level 2 Fair Value Measurements	(Column E) Level 3 Fair Value Measurements	
Dollar amounts in thousands						
11. Other borrowed money.....	RCFDG521 0	RCFDG522 0	RCFDG523 0	RCFDG524 0	RCFDG525 0	11.
12. Subordinated notes and debentures.....	RCFDG526 0	RCFDG527 0	RCFDG528 0	RCFDG529 0	RCFDG530 0	12.
13. All other liabilities.....	RCFDG805 347,969	RCFDG806 0	RCFDG807 7,477	RCFDG808 145,947	RCFDG809 194,545	13.
14. Total liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis (sum of items 8 through 13).....	RCFDG531 3,960,488	RCFDG532 0	RCFDG533 165,854	RCFDG534 3,591,934	RCFDG535 202,700	14.
1. All other assets (itemize and describe amounts included in Schedule RC-Q, item 6, that are greater than \$100,000 and exceed 25% of item 6):						M.1.
a. Mortgage servicing assets.....	RCFDG536 1,745,738	RCFDG537 0	RCFDG538 0	RCFDG539 0	RCFDG540 1,745,738	M.1.a.

Dollar amounts in thousands	(Column A) Total Fair Value Reported on Schedule RC	(Column B) LESS: Amounts Netted in the Determination of Total Fair Value	(Column C) Level 1 Fair Value Measurements	(Column D) Level 2 Fair Value Measurements	(Column E) Level 3 Fair Value Measurements	
b. Nontrading derivative assets.....	RCFDG541 116,984	RCFDG542 0	RCFDG543 9,469	RCFDG544 103,047	RCFDG545 4,468	M.1.b.

Dollar amounts in thousands			
c. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:			M.1.c.
1. Describe component.....	TEXTG546	NR	M.1.c.1.

Dollar amounts in thousands	(Column A) Total Fair Value Reported on Schedule RC	(Column B) LESS: Amounts Netted in the Determination of Total Fair Value	(Column C) Level 1 Fair Value Measurements	(Column D) Level 2 Fair Value Measurements	(Column E) Level 3 Fair Value Measurements	
2. Amount of component.....	RCFDG546 0	RCFDG547 0	RCFDG548 0	RCFDG549 0	RCFDG550 0	M.1.c.2.

Dollar amounts in thousands			
d. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:			M.1.d.
1. Describe component.....	TEXTG551	NR	M.1.d.1.

Dollar amounts in thousands	(Column A) Total Fair Value Reported on Schedule RC	(Column B) LESS: Amounts Netted in the Determination of Total Fair Value	(Column C) Level 1 Fair Value Measurements	(Column D) Level 2 Fair Value Measurements	(Column E) Level 3 Fair Value Measurements	
2. Amount of component.....	RCFDG551 0	RCFDG552 0	RCFDG553 0	RCFDG554 0	RCFDG555 0	M.1.d.2.

Dollar amounts in thousands			
e. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:			M.1.e.
1. Describe component.....	TEXTG556	NR	M.1.e.1.

Dollar amounts in thousands	(Column A) Total Fair Value Reported on Schedule RC	(Column B) LESS: Amounts Netted in the Determination of Total Fair Value	(Column C) Level 1 Fair Value Measurements	(Column D) Level 2 Fair Value Measurements	(Column E) Level 3 Fair Value Measurements	
2. Amount of component.....	RCFDG556 0	RCFDG557 0	RCFDG558 0	RCFDG559 0	RCFDG560 0	M.1.e.2.

Dollar amounts in thousands			
f. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:			M.1.f.
1. Describe component.....	TEXTG561	NR	M.1.f.1.

	(Column A) Total Fair Value Reported on Schedule RC	(Column B) LESS: Amounts Netted in the Determination of Total Fair Value	(Column C) Level 1 Fair Value Measurements	(Column D) Level 2 Fair Value Measurements	(Column E) Level 3 Fair Value Measurements	
Dollar amounts in thousands						
2. Amount of component.....	RCFDG561 0	RCFDG562 0	RCFDG563 0	RCFDG564 0	RCFDG565 0	M.1.f.2.
2. All other liabilities (itemize and describe amounts included in Schedule RC-Q, item 13, that are greater than \$100,000 and exceed 25% of item 13):						M.2.
a. Loan commitments (not accounted for as derivatives).....	RCFDF261 0	RCFDF689 0	RCFDF697 0	RCFDF262 0	RCFDF263 0	M.2.a.
b. Nontrading derivative liabilities.....	RCFDG566 347,969	RCFDG567 0	RCFDG568 7,477	RCFDG569 145,947	RCFDG570 194,545	M.2.b.

Dollar amounts in thousands		
c. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:		M.2.c.
1. Describe component.....	TEXTG571	NR M.2.c.1.

	(Column A) Total Fair Value Reported on Schedule RC	(Column B) LESS: Amounts Netted in the Determination of Total Fair Value	(Column C) Level 1 Fair Value Measurements	(Column D) Level 2 Fair Value Measurements	(Column E) Level 3 Fair Value Measurements	
Dollar amounts in thousands						
2. Amount of component.....	RCFDG571 0	RCFDG572 0	RCFDG573 0	RCFDG574 0	RCFDG575 0	M.2.c.2.

Dollar amounts in thousands		
d. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:		M.2.d.
1. Describe component.....	TEXTG576	NR M.2.d.1.

	(Column A) Total Fair Value Reported on Schedule RC	(Column B) LESS: Amounts Netted in the Determination of Total Fair Value	(Column C) Level 1 Fair Value Measurements	(Column D) Level 2 Fair Value Measurements	(Column E) Level 3 Fair Value Measurements	
Dollar amounts in thousands						
2. Amount of component.....	RCFDG576 0	RCFDG577 0	RCFDG578 0	RCFDG579 0	RCFDG580 0	M.2.d.2.

Dollar amounts in thousands		
e. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:		M.2.e.
1. Describe component.....	TEXTG581	NR M.2.e.1.

	(Column A) Total Fair Value Reported on Schedule RC	(Column B) LESS: Amounts Netted in the Determination of Total Fair Value	(Column C) Level 1 Fair Value Measurements	(Column D) Level 2 Fair Value Measurements	(Column E) Level 3 Fair Value Measurements	
Dollar amounts in thousands						
2. Amount of component.....	RCFDG581 0	RCFDG582 0	RCFDG583 0	RCFDG584 0	RCFDG585 0	M.2.e.2.

Dollar amounts in thousands		
f. Disclose component and the dollar amount of that component:		M.2.f.
1. Describe component		
(TEXTG586) NR		M.2.f.1.

Dollar amounts in thousands	(Column A) Total Fair Value Reported on Schedule RC	(Column B) LESS: Amounts Netted in the Determination of Total Fair Value	(Column C) Level 1 Fair Value Measurements	(Column D) Level 2 Fair Value Measurements	(Column E) Level 3 Fair Value Measurements	
2. Amount of component.....	RCFDG586 0	RCFDG587 0	RCFDG588 0	RCFDG589 0	RCFDG590 0	M.2.f.2.

Dollar amounts in thousands	Consolidated Bank		
3. Loans measured at fair value (included in Schedule RC-C, Part I, items 1 through 9):			M.3.
a. Loans secured by real estate:			M.3.a.
1. Secured by 1-4 family residential properties.....	RCFDHT87	722,751	M.3.a.1.
2. All other loans secured by real estate.....	RCFDHT88	0	M.3.a.2.
b. Commercial and industrial loans.....	RCFDF585	0	M.3.b.
c. Loans to individuals for household, family, and other personal expenditures (i.e., consumer loans) (includes purchased paper).....	RCFDHT89	0	M.3.c.
d. Other loans.....	RCFDF589	0	M.3.d.
4. Unpaid principal balance of loans measured at fair value (reported in Schedule RC-Q, Memorandum item 3):			M.4.
a. Loans secured by real estate:			M.4.a.
1. Secured by 1-4 family residential properties.....	RCFDHT91	732,718	M.4.a.1.
2. All other loans secured by real estate.....	RCFDHT92	0	M.4.a.2.
b. Commercial and industrial loans.....	RCFDF597	0	M.4.b.
c. Loans to individuals for household, family, and other personal expenditures (i.e., consumer loans) (includes purchased paper).....	RCFDHT93	0	M.4.c.
d. Other loans.....	RCFDF601	0	M.4.d.

Schedule RC-R Part I - Regulatory Capital Components and Ratios(Form Type - 031)

Part I is to be completed on a consolidated basis.

Dollar amounts in thousands

1. Common stock plus related surplus, net of treasury stock and unearned employee stock ownership plan (ESOP) shares.....	RCFAP742	16,379,043	1.
2. Retained earnings ¹	RCFAKW00	8,580,706	2.
<i>To be completed only by institutions that have adopted ASU 2016-13:</i>			
a. Does your institution have a CECL transition election in effect as of the quarter-end report date? (enter "0" for No; enter "1" for Yes with a 3-year CECL transition election; enter "2" for Yes with a 5-year 2020 CECL transition election.).....	RCOAJJ29	2	2.a.
3. Accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI).....	RCFAB530	-5,105,523	3.
a. AOCI opt-out election (enter "1" for Yes; enter "0" for No.) (Advanced approaches institutions must enter "0" for No.).....	RCOAP838	1	3.a.
4. Common equity tier 1 minority interest includable in common equity tier 1 capital.....	RCFAP839	0	4.
5. Common equity tier 1 capital before adjustments and deductions (sum of items 1 through 4).....	RCFAP840	19,854,226	5.
6. LESS: Goodwill net of associated deferred tax liabilities (DTLs).....	RCFAP841	4,765,632	6.
7. LESS: Intangible assets (other than goodwill and mortgage servicing assets (MSAs)), net of associated DTLs.....	RCFAP842	134,819	7.
8. LESS: Deferred tax assets (DTAs) that arise from net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards, net of any related valuation allowances and net of DTLs.....	RCFAP843	18,431	8.
9. AOCI-related adjustments (items 9.a through 9.e are effective January 1, 2015) (if entered "1" for Yes in item 3.a, complete only items 9.a through 9.e; if entered "0" for No in item 3.a, complete only item 9.f):			9.
a. LESS: Net unrealized gains (losses) on available-for-sale debt securities (if a gain, report as a positive value; if a loss, report as a negative value).....	RCFAP844	-4,589,129	9.a.
b. Not applicable.			9.b.
c. LESS: Accumulated net gains (losses) on cash flow hedges (if a gain, report as a positive value; if a loss, report as a negative value).....	RCFAP846	-497,069	9.c.
d. LESS: Amounts recorded in AOCI attributed to defined benefit postretirement plans resulting from the initial and subsequent application of the relevant GAAP standards that pertain to such plans (if a gain, report as a positive value; if a loss, report as a negative value).....	RCFAP847	-19,325	9.d.
e. LESS: Net unrealized gains (losses) on held-to-maturity securities that are included in AOCI (if a gain, report as a positive value; if a loss, report as a negative value).....	RCFAP848	0	9.e.
f. LESS: Accumulated net gain (loss) on cash flow hedges included in AOCI, net of applicable income taxes, that relate to the hedging of items that are not recognized at fair value on the balance sheet (if a gain, report as a positive value; if a loss, report as a negative value) (To be completed only by institutions that entered "0" for No in item 3.a.).....	RCFAP849	NR	9.f.
10. Other deductions from (additions to) common equity tier 1 capital before threshold-based deductions:			10.
a. LESS: Unrealized net gain (loss) related to changes in the fair value of liabilities that are due to changes in own credit risk (if a gain, report as a positive value; if a loss, report as a negative value).....	RCFAQ258	0	10.a.
b. LESS: All other deductions from (additions to) common equity tier 1 capital before threshold-based deductions.	RCFAP850	1,088,565	10.b.

Dollar amounts in thousands		(Column A) Non-advanced Approaches Institutions	(Column B) Advanced Approaches Institutions	
11. LESS: Non-significant investments in the capital of unconsolidated financial institutions in the form of common stock that exceed the 10 percent threshold for non-significant investments.....			RCFWP851	NR 11.
12. Subtotal (for column A, item 5 minus items 6 through 10.b; for column B, item 5 minus items 6 through 11).....	RCFAP852	18,952,302	RCFWP852	NR 12.
13. Not available				13.
a. LESS: Investments in the capital of unconsolidated financial institutions, net of associated DTLs, that exceed 25 percent of item 12.....	RCFALB58	0		13.a.
b. LESS: Significant investments in the capital of unconsolidated financial institutions in the form of common stock, net of associated DTLs, that exceed the 10 percent common equity tier 1 capital deduction threshold.....			RCFWP853	NR 13.b.
14. Not available				14.
a. LESS: MSAs, net of associated DTLs, that exceed 25 percent of item 12.....	RCFALB59	0		14.a.
b. LESS: MSAs, net of associated DTLs, that exceed the 10 percent common equity tier 1 capital deduction threshold.....			RCFWP854	NR 14.b.
15. Not available				15.
a. LESS: DTAs arising from temporary differences that could not be realized through net operating loss carrybacks, net of related valuation allowances and net of DTLs, that exceed 25 percent of item 12.....	RCFALB60	0		15.a.
b. LESS: DTAs arising from temporary differences that could not be realized through net operating loss carrybacks, net of related valuation allowances and net of DTLs, that exceed the 10 percent common equity tier 1 capital deduction threshold.....			RCFWP855	NR 15.b.
16. LESS: Amount of significant investments in the capital of unconsolidated financial institutions in the form of common stock, net of associated DTLs; MSAs, net of associated DTLs; and DTAs arising from temporary differences that could not be realized through net operating loss carrybacks, net of related valuation allowances and net of DTLs; that exceeds the 15 percent common equity tier 1 capital deduction threshold.....			RCFWP856	NR 16.
17. LESS: Deductions applied to common equity tier 1 capital due to insufficient amounts of additional tier 1 capital and tier 2 capital to cover deductions.....	RCFAP857	0	RCFWP857	NR 17.
18. Total adjustments and deductions for common equity tier 1 capital ³	RCFAP858	0	RCFWP858	NR 18.
19. Common equity tier 1 capital (item 12 minus item 18).....	RCFAP859	18,952,302	RCFWP859	NR 19.

Dollar amounts in thousands				
20. Additional tier 1 capital instruments plus related surplus.....		RCFAP860	0	20.
21. Non-qualifying capital instruments subject to phase out from additional tier 1 capital		RCFAP861	0	21.
22. Tier 1 minority interest not included in common equity tier 1 capital.....		RCFAP862	0	22.
23. Additional tier 1 capital before deductions (sum of items 20, 21, and 22).....		RCFAP863	0	23.
24. LESS: Additional tier 1 capital deductions.....		RCFAP864	0	24.
25. Additional tier 1 capital (greater of item 23 minus item 24, or zero).....		RCFAP865	0	25.
26. Tier 1 capital ¹		RCFA8274	18,952,302	26.
27. Average total consolidated assets ²		RCFAKW03	211,569,844	27.
28. LESS: Deductions from common equity tier 1 capital and additional tier 1 capital (sum of items 6, 7, 8, 10.b, 13 through 15, 17, and certain elements of item 24 - see instructions) ³		RCFAP875	6,007,447	28.
29. LESS: Other deductions from (additions to) assets for leverage ratio purposes.....		RCFAB596	172,954	29.
30. Total assets for the leverage ratio (item 27 minus items 28 and 29).....		RCFAA224	205,389,443	30.
31. Leverage ratio (item 26 divided by 30).....		RCFA7204	9.2275%	31.
a. Does your institution have a community bank leverage ratio (CBLR) framework election in effect as of the quarter-end report date? (enter "1" for Yes; enter "0" for No).....		RCOALE74	0	31.a.
<i>Item 31.b is to be completed only by non-advanced approaches institutions that elect to use the Standardized Approach for Counterparty Credit Risk (SA-CCR) for purposes of the standardized approach and supplementary leverage ratio.</i>				
b. Standardized Approach for Counterparty Credit Risk opt-in election (enter "1" for Yes; leave blank for No.) ⁴		RCOANC99	NR	31.b.

1. Institutions that have adopted ASU 2016-13 and have elected to apply the 3-year or the 5-year 2020 CECL transition provision should include the applicable portion of the CECL transitional amount or the modified CECL transitional amount, respectively, in this item.

Dollar amounts in thousands		(Column A) Amount		(Column B) Percentage	
32. Total assets (Schedule RC, item 12); (must be less than \$10 billion).....	RCFA2170	NR			32.
33. Trading assets and trading liabilities (Schedule RC, sum of items 5 and 15). Report as a dollar amount in Column A and as a percentage of total assets (5% limit) in Column B.....	RCFAKX77	NR	RCFAKX78	NR	33.
34. Off-balance sheet exposures:					34.
a. Unused portion of conditionally cancellable commitments.....	RCFAKX79	NR			34.a.
b. Securities lent and borrowed (Schedule RC-L, sum of items 6.a and 6.b).....	RCFAKX80	NR			34.b.
c. Other off-balance sheet exposures.....	RCFAKX81	NR			34.c.
d. Total off-balance sheet exposures (sum of items 34.a through 34.c). Report as a dollar amount in Column A and as a percentage of total assets (25% limit) in Column B.....	RCFAKX82	NR	RCFAKX83	NR	34.d.

Dollar amounts in thousands			
35. Unconditionally cancellable commitments.....	RCFAS540	NR	35.
36. Investments in the tier 2 capital of unconsolidated financial institutions.....	RCFALB61	NR	36.
37. Allocated transfer risk reserve.....	RCFA3128	NR	37.
38. Amount of allowances for credit losses on purchased credit-deteriorated assets: ¹			38.
a. Loans and leases held for investment.....	RCFAJJ30	NR	38.a.
b. Held-to-maturity debt securities.....	RCFAJJ31	NR	38.b.
c. Other financial assets measured at amortized cost.....	RCFAJJ32	NR	38.c.
39. Tier 2 capital instruments plus related surplus.....	RCFAP866	587,399	39.
40. Non-qualifying capital instruments subject to phase-out from tier 2 capital.....	RCFAP867	0	40.
41. Total capital minority interest that is not included in tier 1 capital.....	RCFAP868	0	41.
42. Allowance for loan and lease losses and eligible credit reserves includable in tier 2 capital			42.
a. Allowance for loan and lease losses includable in tier 2 capital ³	RCFA5310	1,923,197	42.a.
b. (Advanced approaches institutions that exit parallel run only): Eligible credit reserves includable in tier 2 capital.....	RCFW5310	NR	42.b.
43. Not applicable.			43.
44. Tier 2 capital before deductions			44.
a. Tier 2 capital before deductions (sum of items 39 through 42).....	RCFAP870	2,510,596	44.a.
b. (Advanced approaches institutions that exit parallel run only): Tier 2 capital before deductions (sum of items 39 through 41, plus item 42.b).....	RCFWP870	NR	44.b.
45. LESS: Tier 2 capital deductions.....	RCFAP872	0	45.
46. Tier 2 capital			46.
a. Tier 2 capital (greater of item 44.a minus item 45, or zero).....	RCFA5311	2,510,596	46.a.
b. (Advanced approaches institutions that exit parallel run only): Tier 2 capital (greater of item 44.b minus item 45, or zero).....	RCFW5311	NR	46.b.
47. Total capital			47.
a. Total capital (sum of items 26 and 46.a).....	RCFA3792	21,462,898	47.a.
b. (Advanced approaches institutions that exit parallel run only): Total capital (sum of items 26 and 46.b).....	RCFW3792	NR	47.b.
48. Total risk-weighted assets			48.
a. Total risk-weighted assets (from Schedule RC-R, Part II, item 31).....	RCFAA223	167,607,818	48.a.
b. (Advanced approaches institutions that exit parallel run only): Total risk-weighted assets using advanced approaches rule (from FFIEC 101 Schedule A, item 60).....	RCFWA223	NR	48.b.

3. Beginning with the June 30, 2020, report date, all non-advanced approaches institutions should report in item 18, column A, the sum of items 13.a, 14.a, 15.a, and 17, column A; all advanced approaches institutions should report in item 18, column B, the sum of items 13.b, 14.b, 15.b, 16, and 17, column B.

1. Beginning with the June 30, 2020, report date, all non-advanced approaches institutions should report the sum of item 19, column A, and item 25 in item 26; all advanced approaches institutions should report the sum of item 19, column B, and item 25 in item 26.

2. Institutions that have adopted ASU 2016-13 and have elected to apply the 3-year or the 5-year 2020 CECL transition provision should include the applicable portion of the CECL transitional amount or the modified CECL transitional amount, respectively, in item 27.

3. Beginning with the June 30, 2020, report date, all non-advanced approaches institutions should report in item 28 the sum of items 6, 7, 8, 10.b, 13.a, 14.a, 15.a, 17 (column A), and certain elements of item 24 - see instructions; all advanced approaches institutions should report in item 28, the sum of items 6, 7, 8, 10.b, 11, 13.b, 14.b, 15.b, 16, 17 (column B), and certain elements of item 24 - see instructions.

4. For the December 31, 2021, report date only, advanced approaches institutions that adopt SA-CCR prior to the mandatory compliance date should enter "1" in item 31.b.

Dollar amounts in thousands		(Column A) Percentage		(Column B) Percentage	
49. Common equity tier 1 capital ratio (Column A: item 19, column A or B, as applicable, divided by item 48.a) (Advanced approaches institutions that exit parallel run only: Column B: item 19, column B, divided by item 48.b).....	RCFAP793	11.3075%	RCFWP793	NR	49.
50. Tier 1 capital ratio (Column A: item 26 divided by item 48.a) (Advanced approaches institutions that exit parallel run only: Column B: item 26 divided by item 48.b).....	RCFA7206	11.3075%	RCFW7206	NR	50.
51. Total capital ratio (Column A: item 47.a divided by item 48.a) (Advanced approaches institutions that exit parallel run only: Column B: item 47.b divided by item 48.b).....	RCFA7205	12.8054%	RCFW7205	NR	51.

Dollar amounts in thousands				
52. Institution-specific capital buffer necessary to avoid limitations on distributions and discretionary bonus payments:				52.
a. Capital conservation buffer.....	RCFAH311	4.8054%		52.a.
b. Advanced approaches institutions and institutions subject to Category III capital standards only: Total applicable capital buffer.....	RCFWH312	NR		52.b.
53. Eligible retained income ¹	RCFAH313	NR		53.
54. Distributions and discretionary bonus payments during the quarter ²	RCFAH314	NR		54.
55. Advanced approaches institutions and institutions subject to Category III capital standards only: Supplementary leverage ratio information:				55.
a. Total leverage exposure ³	RCFAH015	NR		55.a.
b. Supplementary leverage ratio.....	RCFAH036	NR		55.b.

1. Items 38.a through 38.c should be completed only by institutions that have adopted ASU 2016-13.

3. Institutions that have adopted ASU 2016-13 should report the amount of adjusted allowances for credit losses (AACL), as defined in the regulatory capital rule, includable in tier 2 capital in item 42.a.

1. Institutions must complete item 53 only if the amount reported in item 52.a above is less than or equal to 2.5000 percent (plus any other applicable buffer if the institution is an advanced approaches institution or a Category III institution).

2. Institutions must complete item 54 only if the amount reported in Schedule RC-R, Part I, item 46.a, in the Call Report for the December 31, 2019, report date was less than or equal to 2.5000 percent (plus any other applicable buffer if the institution is an advanced approaches institution or a Category III institution).

3. Institutions that have adopted ASU 2016-13 and have elected to apply the 3-year or the 5-year 2020 CECL transition provision should include the applicable portion of the CECL transitional amount or the modified CECL transitional amount, respectively, in item 55.a.

Schedule RC-R Part II - Risk-Weighted Assets(Form Type - 031)

Institutions are required to assign a 100 percent risk weight to all assets not specifically assigned a risk weight under Subpart D of the federal banking agencies' regulatory capital rules and not deducted from tier 1 or tier 2 capital.

Dollar amounts in thousands											
(Column A) Totals from Schedule RC	(Column B) Adjustments to Totals Reported in Column A	(Column C) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 0%	(Column D) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 2%	(Column E) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 4%	(Column F) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 10%	(Column G) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 20%	(Column H) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 50%	(Column I) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 100%	(Column J) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 150%		
1. Cash and balances due from depository institutions.....	RCFDD957 11,662,599	RCFDS396 3,412	RCFDD958 9,563,387				RCFDD959 2,094,174	RCFDS397 147	RCFDD960 1,471	RCFDS398 8	1.
2. Securities:											2.
a. Held-to-maturity securities ³	RCFDD961 3,411	RCFDS399 0	RCFDD962 0	RCFDHJ74 0	RCFDHJ75 0		RCFDD963 3,411	RCFDD964 0	RCFDD965 0	RCFDS400 0	2.a.
b. Available-for-sale debt securities and equity securities with readily determinable fair values not held for trading.....	RCFDJA21 40,089,124	RCFDS402 -5,062,917	RCFDD967 13,259,883	RCFDHJ76 0	RCFDHJ77 0		RCFDD968 31,866,918	RCFDD969 1,055	RCFDD970 24,185	RCFDS403 0	2.b.
3. Federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell:											3.
a. Federal funds sold in domestic offices.....	RCOND971 0		RCOND972 0				RCOND973 0	RCONS410 0	RCOND974 0	RCONS411 0	3.a.
b. Securities purchased under agreements to resell.....	RCFDH171 0	RCFDH172 0									3.b.
4. Loans and leases held for sale:											4.
a. Residential mortgage exposures.....	RCFDS413 933,701	RCFDS414 0	RCFDH173 0				RCFDS415 389,596	RCFDS416 383,454	RCFDS417 160,651		4.a.
b. High volatility commercial real estate exposures.....	RCFDS419 0	RCFDS420 0	RCFDH174 0				RCFDH175 0	RCFDH176 0	RCFDH177 0	RCFDS421 0	4.b.
c. Exposures past due 90 days or more or on nonaccrual ³	RCFDS423 95	RCFDS424 0	RCFDS425 0	RCFDHJ78 0	RCFDHJ79 0		RCFDS426 0	RCFDS427 0	RCFDS428 0	RCFDS429 95	4.c.

Dollar amounts in thousands											
(Column K) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 250%	(Column L) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 300%	(Column M) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 400%	(Column N) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 600%	(Column O) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 625%	(Column P) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 937.5%	(Column Q) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 1,250%	(Column R) Application of Other Risk-Weighting Approaches Exposure Amount	(Column S) Application of Other Risk-Weighting Approaches Risk-Weighted Asset Amount			
1. Cash and balances due from depository institutions											1.
2. Securities:											2.
a. Held-to-maturity securities											2.a.
b. Available-for-sale debt securities and equity securities with readily determinable fair values not held for trading.....	RCFDH270 NR	RCFDS405 0		RCFDS406 0				RCFDH271 0	RCFDH272 0		2.b.
3. Federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell:											3.
a. Federal funds sold in domestic offices											3.a.

3. Institutions that have adopted ASU 2016-13 should report as a negative number allowances eligible for inclusion in tier 2 capital in Column B, which excludes PCD allowances.
 3. For loans and leases held for sale, exclude residential mortgage exposures, high volatility commercial real estate exposures, or sovereign exposures that are past due 90 days or more or on nonaccrual.

	(Column K) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 250%	(Column L) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 300%	(Column M) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 400%	(Column N) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 600%	(Column O) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 625%	(Column P) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 937.5%	(Column Q) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 1,250%	(Column R) Application of Other Risk-Weighting Approaches Exposure Amount	(Column S) Application of Other Risk-Weighting Approaches Risk-Weighted Asset Amount	
Dollar amounts in thousands										
b. Securities purchased under agreements to resell										3.b.
4. Loans and leases held for sale:										4.
a. Residential mortgage exposures.....								RCFDH273 0	RCFDH274 0	4.a.
b. High volatility commercial real estate exposures.....								RCFDH275 0	RCFDH276 0	4.b.

	(Column K) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 250%	(Column L) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 300%	(Column M) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 400%	(Column N) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 600%	(Column O) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 625%	(Column P) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 937.5%	(Column Q) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 1,250%	(Column R) Application of Other Risk-Weighting Approaches Exposure Amount	(Column S) Application of Other Risk-Weighting Approaches Risk-Weighted Asset Amount	
Dollar amounts in thousands										
4. Loans and leases held for sale (continued):										4.
d. All other exposures.....								RCFDH279 0	RCFDH280 0	4.d.
5. Loans and leases held for investment:										5.
a. Residential mortgage exposures.....								RCFDH281 0	RCFDH282 0	5.a.
b. High volatility commercial real estate exposures.....								RCFDH283 0	RCFDH284 0	5.b.
c. Exposures past due 90 days or more or on nonaccrual ¹¹								RCFDH285 0	RCFDH286 0	5.c.
d. All other exposures.....								RCFDH287 0	RCFDH288 0	5.d.
6. LESS: Allowance for loan and lease losses										6.
7. Trading assets.....	RCFDH289 NR	RCFDH186 0	RCFDH290 0	RCFDH187 0				RCFDH291 20,256	RCFDH292 4,051	7.
8. All other assets ¹²	RCFDH293 1,745,739	RCFDH188 0	RCFDS470 0	RCFDS471 0				RCFDH294 0	RCFDH295 0	8.
a. Separate account bank-owned life insurance.....								RCFDH296 1,504,818	RCFDH297 1,091,168	8.a.
b. Default fund contributions to central counterparties.....								RCFDH298 0	RCFDH299 0	8.b.

6. For loans and leases held for sale, exclude residential mortgage exposures, high volatility commercial real estate exposures, or sovereign exposures that are past due 90 days or more or on nonaccrual.
 7. For loans and leases, net of unearned income, exclude residential mortgage exposures, high volatility commercial real estate exposures, or sovereign exposures that are past due 90 days or more or on nonaccrual.
 8. Includes premises and fixed assets; other real estate owned; investments in unconsolidated subsidiaries and associated companies; direct and indirect investments in real estate ventures; intangible assets; and other assets.
 11. For loans and leases, net of unearned income, exclude residential mortgage exposures, high volatility commercial real estate exposures, or sovereign exposures that are past due 90 days or more or on nonaccrual.
 12. Includes premises and fixed assets; other real estate owned; investments in unconsolidated subsidiaries and associated companies; direct and indirect investments in real estate ventures; intangible assets; and other assets.

Dollar amounts in thousands						
(Column A) Totals	(Column B) Adjustments to Totals Reported in Column A	(Column Q) Exposure Amount 1,250%	(Column T) Total Risk-Weighted Asset Amount by Calculation Methodology SSFA	(Column U) Total Risk-Weighted Asset Amount by Calculation Methodology Gross-Up		
9. On-balance sheet securitization exposures:					9.	
a. Held-to-maturity securities.....	RCFDS475 0	RCFDS476 0	RCFDS477 0	RCFDS478 0	RCFDS479 0	9.a.
b. Available-for-sale securities.....	RCFDS480 10,554,275	RCFDS481 10,554,275	RCFDS482 0	RCFDS483 2,406,022	RCFDS484 0	9.b.
c. Trading assets.....	RCFDS485 0	RCFDS486 0	RCFDS487 0	RCFDS488 0	RCFDS489 0	9.c.
d. All other on-balance sheet securitization exposures.....	RCFDS490 4,373,969	RCFDS491 4,373,969	RCFDS492 0	RCFDS493 1,231,510	RCFDS494 0	9.d.
10. Off-balance sheet securitization exposures.....	RCFDS495 1,258,420	RCFDS496 1,258,420	RCFDS497 0	RCFDS498 292,407	RCFDS499 0	10.

	(Column A) Totals From Schedule RC	(Column B) Adjustments to Totals Reported in Column A	(Column C) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 0%	(Column D) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 2%	(Column E) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 4%	(Column F) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 10%	(Column G) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 20%	(Column H) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 50%	(Column I) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 100%	(Column J) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 150%
Dollar amounts in thousands										
11. Total balance sheet assets ¹⁴	RCFDD2170 206,289,179	RCFDS500 16,601,531	RCFDD987 23,460,850	RCFDHJ90 0	RCFDHJ91 0		RCFDD988 36,009,508	RCFDD989 18,207,817	RCFDD990 108,272,339	RCFDS503 466,321

	(Column K) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 250%	(Column L) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 300%	(Column M) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 400%	(Column N) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 600%	(Column O) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 625%	(Column P) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 937.5%	(Column Q) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 1,250%	(Column R) Application of Other Risk-Weighting Approaches Exposure Amount
Dollar amounts in thousands								
11. Total balance sheet assets ¹⁴	RCFDS504 1,745,739	RCFDS505 0	RCFDS506 0	RCFDS507 0			RCFDS510 0	RCFDH300 1,525,074

	(Column A) Face, Notional, or Other Amount	(Column B) Credit Equivalent Amount	(Column C) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 0%	(Column D) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 2%	(Column E) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 4%	(Column F) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 10%	(Column G) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 20%	(Column H) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 50%	(Column I) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 100%	(Column J) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 150%
Dollar amounts in thousands										
12. Financial standby letters of credit	RCFDD991 1,139,570	RCFDD992 1,139,570	RCFDD993 119,734	RCFDHJ92 0	RCFDHJ93 0		RCFDD994 7,483	RCFDD995 0	RCFDD996 1,012,353	RCFDS511 0
13. Performance standby letters of credit and transaction-related contingent items	RCFDD997 871,748	RCFDD998 435,874	RCFDD999 4,692				RCFDG603 210	RCFDG604 0	RCFDG605 430,972	RCFDS512 0
14. Commercial and similar letters of credit with an original maturity of one year or less	RCFDG606 1,025	RCFDG607 205	RCFDG608 0	RCFDHJ94 0	RCFDHJ95 0		RCFDG609 0	RCFDG610 0	RCFDG611 205	RCFDS513 0
15. Retained recourse on small business obligations sold with recourse	RCFDG612 0	RCFDG613 0	RCFDG614 0				RCFDG615 0	RCFDG616 0	RCFDG617 0	RCFDS514 0

	(Column A) Face, Notional, or Other Amount	(Column B) Credit Equivalent Amount	(Column C) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 0%	(Column D) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 2%	(Column E) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 4%	(Column F) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 10%	(Column G) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 20%	(Column H) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 50%	(Column I) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 100%	(Column J) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 150%
Dollar amounts in thousands										
16. Repo-style transactions ²¹	RCFDS515 387,957	RCFDS516 387,957	RCFDS517 387,957	RCFDS518 0	RCFDS519 0		RCFDS520 0	RCFDS521 0	RCFDS522 0	RCFDS523 0
17. All other off-balance sheet liabilities	RCFDG618 106,735	RCFDG619 106,735	RCFDG620 0				RCFDG621 0	RCFDG622 31,117	RCFDG623 75,618	RCFDS524 0
18. Unused commitments:										
a. Original maturity of one year or less	RCFDS525 9,446,165	RCFDS526 1,889,233	RCFDS527 0	RCFDHJ96 0	RCFDHJ97 0		RCFDS528 0	RCFDS529 9,920	RCFDS530 1,879,245	RCFDS531 68

14. For each of columns A through R of item 11, report the sum of items 1 through 9. For item 11, the sum of columns B through R must equal column A. Item 11, column A, must equal Schedule RC, item 12.

21. Includes securities purchased under agreements to resell (reverse repos), securities sold under agreements to repurchase (repos), securities borrowed, and securities lent.

*. Excludes unused commitments to asset-backed commercial paper conduits.

	(Column A) Face, Notional, or Other Amount	(Column B) Credit Equivalent Amount	(Column C) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 0%	(Column D) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 2%	(Column E) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 4%	(Column F) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 10%	(Column G) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 20%	(Column H) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 50%	(Column I) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 100%	(Column J) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 150%	
Dollar amounts in thousands											
b. Original maturity exceeding one year.....	RCFDG624 52,122,574	RCFDG625 26,061,287	RCFDG626 0	RCFDHJ98 0	RCFDHJ99 0		RCFDG627 0	RCFDG628 13,527	RCFDG629 25,977,397	RCFDS539 70,363	18.b.
19. Unconditionally cancelable commitments.....	RCFDS540 20,281,315	RCFDS541 0									19.
20. Over-the-counter derivatives.....		RCFDS542 4,526,773	RCFDS543 375,555	RCFDHK00 0	RCFDHK01 0	RCFDS544 0	RCFDS545 2,200,076	RCFDS546 0	RCFDS547 1,951,142	RCFDS548 0	20.
21. Centrally cleared derivatives.....		RCFDS549 291,948	RCFDS550 3,792	RCFDS551 0	RCFDS552 288,156		RCFDS554 0	RCFDS555 0	RCFDS556 0	RCFDS557 0	21.
22. Unsettled transactions (failed trades) ²²	RCFDH191 0		RCFDH193 0				RCFDH194 0	RCFDH195 0	RCFDH196 0	RCFDH197 0	22.

22. For item 22, the sum of columns C through Q must equal column A.

	(Column O) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 625%	(Column P) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 937.5%	(Column Q) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 1,250%	(Column R) Application of Other Risk-Weighting Approaches Credit Equivalent Amount	(Column S) Application of Other Risk-Weighting Approaches Risk-Weighted Asset Amount	
Dollar amounts in thousands						
16. Repo-style transactions ²⁴				RCFDH301 0	RCFDH302 0	16.
17. All other off-balance sheet liabilities						17.
18. Unused commitments: [*]						18.
a. Original maturity of one year or less.....				RCFDH303 0	RCFDH304 0	18.a.
b. Original maturity exceeding one year.....				RCFDH307 0	RCFDH308 0	18.b.
19. Unconditionally cancelable commitments						19.
20. Over-the-counter derivatives.....				RCFDH309 0	RCFDH310 0	20.
21. Centrally cleared derivatives						21.
22. Unsettled transactions (failed trades) ²⁵	RCFDH198 0	RCFDH199 0	RCFDH200 0			22.

24. Includes securities purchased under agreements to resell (reverse repos), securities sold under agreements to repurchase (repos), securities borrowed, and securities lent.
 *. Excludes unused commitments to asset-backed commercial paper conduits.
 25. For item 22, the sum of columns C through Q must equal column A.

Dollar amounts in thousands		(Column C) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 0%	(Column D) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 2%	(Column E) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 4%	(Column F) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 10%	(Column G) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 20%	(Column H) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 50%	(Column I) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 100%	(Column J) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 150%
23. Total assets, derivatives, off-balance sheet items, and other items subject to risk weighting by risk-weight category (for each of columns C through P, sum of items 11 through 22; for column Q, sum of items 10 through 22).....		RCFDG630 24,352,580	RCFDS558 0	RCFDS559 288,156	RCFDS560 0	RCFDG631 38,217,277	RCFDG632 18,262,381	RCFDG633 139,599,271	RCFDS561 536,752
24. Risk weight factor									
25. Risk-weighted assets by risk-weight category (for each column, item 23 multiplied by item 24).....		RCFDG634 0	RCFDS569 0	RCFDS570 11,526	RCFDS571 0	RCFDG635 7,643,455	RCFDG636 9,131,191	RCFDG637 139,599,271	RCFDS572 805,128

Dollar amounts in thousands		(Column K) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 250%	(Column L) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 300%	(Column M) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 400%	(Column N) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 600%	(Column O) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 625%	(Column P) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 937.5%	(Column Q) Allocation by Risk-Weight Category 1,250%
23. Total assets, derivatives, off-balance sheet items, and other items subject to risk weighting by risk-weight category (for each of columns C through P, sum of items 11 through 22; for column Q, sum of items 10 through 22).....		RCFDS562 1,745,739	RCFDS563 0	RCFDS564 0	RCFDS565 0	RCFDS566 0	RCFDS567 0	RCFDS568 0
24. Risk weight factor								
25. Risk-weighted assets by risk-weight category (for each column, item 23 multiplied by item 24).....		RCFDS573 4,364,348	RCFDS574 0	RCFDS575 0	RCFDS576 0	RCFDS577 0	RCFDS578 0	RCFDS579 0

Dollar amounts in thousands

26. Risk-weighted assets base for purposes of calculating the allowance for loan and lease losses 1.25 percent threshold.....	RCFDS580	166,580,077	26.
27. Standardized market-risk weighted assets (applicable only to banks that are covered by the market risk capital rule).....	RCFDS581	1,027,741	27.
28. Risk-weighted assets before deductions for excess allowance of loan and lease losses and allocated risk transfer risk reserve ²⁷	RCFDB704	167,607,818	28.
29. LESS: Excess allowance for loan and lease losses.....	RCFDA222	0	29.
30. LESS: Allocated transfer risk reserve.....	RCFD3128	0	30.
31. Total risk-weighted assets (item 28 minus items 29 and 30).....	RCFDG641	167,607,818	31.
1. Current credit exposure across all derivative contracts covered by the regulatory capital rules.....	RCFDG642	2,008,556	M.1.

Dollar amounts in thousands	(Column A) With a remaining maturity of One year or less		(Column B) With a remaining maturity of Over one year through five years		(Column C) With a remaining maturity of Over five years		
2. Notional principal amounts of over-the-counter derivative contracts:							M.2.
a. Interest rate.....	RCFDS582	7,902,326	RCFDS583	39,033,870	RCFDS584	11,497,543	M.2.a.
b. Foreign exchange rate and gold.....	RCFDS585	13,054,343	RCFDS586	7,429,844	RCFDS587	0	M.2.b.
c. Credit (investment grade reference asset).....	RCFDS588	362,121	RCFDS589	1,009,096	RCFDS590	503,240	M.2.c.
d. Credit (non-investment grade reference asset).....	RCFDS591	574,804	RCFDS592	1,826,751	RCFDS593	690,962	M.2.d.
e. Equity.....	RCFDS594	0	RCFDS595	3,358,290	RCFDS596	0	M.2.e.
f. Precious metals (except gold).....	RCFDS597	0	RCFDS598	0	RCFDS599	0	M.2.f.
g. Other.....	RCFDS600	8,000,287	RCFDS601	3,337,524	RCFDS602	6,039	M.2.g.
3. Notional principal amounts of centrally cleared derivative contracts:							M.3.
a. Interest rate.....	RCFDS603	57,120,971	RCFDS604	7,930	RCFDS605	0	M.3.a.
b. Foreign exchange rate and gold.....	RCFDS606	0	RCFDS607	0	RCFDS608	0	M.3.b.
c. Credit (investment grade reference asset).....	RCFDS609	0	RCFDS610	0	RCFDS611	0	M.3.c.
d. Credit (non-investment grade reference asset).....	RCFDS612	0	RCFDS613	0	RCFDS614	0	M.3.d.
e. Equity.....	RCFDS615	0	RCFDS616	0	RCFDS617	0	M.3.e.
f. Precious metals (except gold).....	RCFDS618	0	RCFDS619	0	RCFDS620	0	M.3.f.
g. Other.....	RCFDS621	1,264,553	RCFDS622	475,116	RCFDS623	0	M.3.g.

Dollar amounts in thousands

4. Amount of allowances for credit losses on purchased credit-deteriorated assets: ¹			M.4.
a. Loans and leases held for investment.....	RCFDJJ30	2,877	M.4.a.
b. Held-to-maturity debt securities.....	RCFDJJ31	0	M.4.b.
c. Other financial assets measured at amortized cost.....	RCFDJJ32	0	M.4.c.

27. Sum of items 2.b through 20, column S; items 9.a, 9.b, 9.c, 9.d, and 10, columns T and U; item 25, columns C through Q; and item 27 (if applicable).

1. Memorandum items 4.a through 4.c should be completed only by institutions that have adopted ASU 2016-13.

Schedule RC-S - Servicing Securitization and Asset Sale Activities(Form Type - 031)

	(Column A) 1-4 Family Residential Loans	(Column B) Home Equity Lines	(Column C) Credit Card Receivables	(Column D) Auto Loans	(Column E) Other Consumer Loans	(Column F) Commercial and Industrial Loans	(Column G) All Other Loans, All Leases, and All Other Assets	
Dollar amounts in thousands								
1. Outstanding principal balance of assets sold and securitized by the reporting bank with servicing retained or with recourse or other seller-provided credit enhancements.....	RCFDB705 0	RCFDB706 0	RCFDB707 0	RCFDB708 0	RCFDB709 221,076	RCFDB710 0	RCFDB711 0	1.
2. Maximum amount of credit exposure arising from recourse or other seller-provided credit enhancements provided to structures reported in item 1.....	RCFDHU09 0	RCFDHU10 0	RCFDHU11 0	RCFDHU12 0	RCFDHU13 0	RCFDHU14 0	RCFDHU15 0	2.
<i>Item 3 is to be completed by banks with \$100 billion or more in total assets.</i>								
3. Reporting bank's unused commitments to provide liquidity to structures reported in item 1 ¹	RCFDB726 0	RCFDB727 0	RCFDB728 0	RCFDB729 0	RCFDB730 0	RCFDB731 0	RCFDB732 0	3.
4. Past due loan amounts included in item 1:								4.
a. 30-89 days past due.....	RCFDB733 0	RCFDB734 0	RCFDB735 0	RCFDB736 0	RCFDB737 1,524	RCFDB738 0	RCFDB739 0	4.a.
b. 90 days or more past due.....	RCFDB740 0	RCFDB741 0	RCFDB742 0	RCFDB743 0	RCFDB744 793	RCFDB745 0	RCFDB746 0	4.b.
5. Charge-offs and recoveries on assets sold and securitized with servicing retained or with recourse or other seller-provided credit enhancements (calendar year-to-date):								5.
a. Charge-offs.....	RIADB747 0	RIADB748 0	RIADB749 0	RIADB750 0	RIADB751 1,532	RIADB752 0	RIADB753 0	5.a.
b. Recoveries.....	RIADB754 0	RIADB755 0	RIADB756 0	RIADB757 0	RIADB758 557	RIADB759 0	RIADB760 0	5.b.
<i>Item 6 is to be completed by banks with \$10 billion or more in total assets.</i>								
6. Total amount of ownership (or seller's) interest carried as securities or loans ¹		RCFDHU16 0	RCFDHU17 0			RCFDHU18 0		6.
7. Not applicable								7.
8. Not applicable								8.
9. Maximum amount of credit exposure arising from credit enhancements provided by the reporting bank to other institutions' securitization structures in the form of standby letters of credit, purchased subordinated securities, and other enhancements.....	RCFDB776 0			RCFDB779 0	RCFDB780 0	RCFDB781 0	RCFDB782 0	9.
<i>Item 10 is to be completed by banks with \$10 billion or more in total assets.</i>								
10. Reporting bank's unused commitments to provide liquidity to other institutions' securitization structures ¹	RCFDB783 0			RCFDB786 0	RCFDB787 0	RCFDB788 0	RCFDB789 0	10.
11. Assets sold with recourse or other seller-provided credit enhancements and not securitized by the reporting bank.....	RCFDB790 106,735						RCFDB796 0	11.
12. Maximum amount of credit exposure arising from recourse or other seller-provided credit enhancements provided to assets reported in item 11....	RCFDB797 106,735						RCFDB803 0	12.

1. The \$100 billion asset-size test is based on the total assets reported on the June 30, 2018, Report of Condition.
 1. The \$10 billion asset-size test is based on the total assets reported on the June 30, 2018, Report of Condition.
 1. The \$10 billion asset-size test is based on the total assets reported on the June 30, 2018, Report of Condition.

Dollar amounts in thousands

1. Not applicable			M.1.
2. Outstanding principal balance of assets serviced for others (includes participations serviced for others):			M.2.
a. Closed-end 1-4 family residential mortgages serviced with recourse or other servicer-provided credit enhancements.....	RCFDB804	106,735	M.2.a.
b. Closed-end 1-4 family residential mortgages serviced with no recourse or other servicer-provided credit enhancements.....	RCFDB805	103,046,810	M.2.b.
c. Other financial assets (includes home equity lines) ¹	RCFDA591	3,672,753	M.2.c.
d. 1-4 family residential mortgages serviced for others that are in process of foreclosure at quarter-end (includes closed-end and open-end loans).....	RCFDF699	182,151	M.2.d.
<i>Memorandum item 3 is to be completed by banks with \$10 billion or more in total assets.</i>			M.3.
3. Asset-backed commercial paper conduits: ²			M.3.a.
a. Maximum amount of credit exposure arising from credit enhancements provided to conduit structures in the form of standby letters of credit, subordinated securities, and other enhancements:			M.3.a.1.
1. Conduits sponsored by the bank, a bank affiliate, or the bank's holding company.....	RCFDB806	0	M.3.a.2.
2. Conduits sponsored by other unrelated institutions.....	RCFDB807	0	M.3.b.
b. Unused commitments to provide liquidity to conduit structures:			M.3.b.1.
1. Conduits sponsored by the bank, a bank affiliate, or the bank's holding company.....	RCFDB808	0	M.3.b.2.
2. Conduits sponsored by other unrelated institutions.....	RCFDB809	42,700	M.4.
4. Outstanding credit card fees and finance charges included in Schedule RC-S, item 1, column C ²	RCFDC407	0	

Schedule RC-T - Fiduciary and Related Services(Form Type - 031)

Dollar amounts in thousands

1. Does the institution have fiduciary powers? (If "NO," do not complete Schedule RC-T.).....	RCFDA345	Yes	1.
2. Does the institution exercise the fiduciary powers it has been granted?.....	RCFDA346	Yes	2.
3. Does the institution have any fiduciary or related activity (in the form of assets or accounts) to report in this schedule? (If "NO," do not complete the rest of Schedule RC-T.).....	RCFDB867	Yes	3.

Dollar amounts in thousands	(Column A) Managed Assets		(Column B) Non-Managed Assets		(Column C) Number of Managed Accounts		(Column D) Number of Non-Managed Accounts		
4. Personal trust and agency accounts.....	RCFDB868	10,412,293	RCFDB869	1,271,614	RCFDB870	4660	RCFDB871	142	4.
5. Employee benefit and retirement-related trust and agency accounts:									5.
a. Employee benefit - defined contribution.....	RCFDB872	2,072,924	RCFDB873	9,267,114	RCFDB874	158	RCFDB875	267	5.a.
b. Employee benefit - defined benefit.....	RCFDB876	696,490	RCFDB877	2,056,590	RCFDB878	49	RCFDB879	37	5.b.
c. Other employee benefit and retirement-related accounts.....	RCFDB880	4,533,401	RCFDB881	369,650	RCFDB882	9672	RCFDB883	180	5.c.
6. Corporate trust and agency accounts.....	RCFDB884	0	RCFDB885	0	RCFDC001	0	RCFDC002	0	6.
7. Investment management and investment advisory agency accounts.....	RCFDB886	22,967,571	RCFDJ253	469,331	RCFDB888	10556	RCFDJ254	6	7.
8. Foundation and endowment trust and agency accounts.....	RCFDJ255	2,777,531	RCFDJ256	38,461	RCFDJ257	659	RCFDJ258	3	8.
9. Other fiduciary accounts.....	RCFDB890	1,217,271	RCFDB891	1,599,799	RCFDB892	1385	RCFDB893	127	9.
10. Total fiduciary accounts (sum of items 4 through 9).....	RCFDB894	44,677,481	RCFDB895	15,072,559	RCFDB896	27139	RCFDB897	762	10.
11. Custody and safekeeping accounts.....			RCFDB898	418,967,313			RCFDB899	3163	11.
12. Fiduciary accounts held in foreign offices (included in items 10 and 11).....	RCFNB900	0	RCFNB901	0	RCFNB902	0	RCFNB903	0	12.
13. Individual Retirement Accounts, Health Savings Accounts, and other similar accounts (included in items 5.c and 11).....	RCFDJ259	4,392,635	RCFDJ260	133,035	RCFDJ261	9634	RCFDJ262	150	13.

1. Memorandum item 2.c is to be completed if the principal balance of other financial assets serviced for others is more than \$10 million.
 2. The \$10 billion asset-size test is based on the total assets reported on the June 30, 2018, Report of Condition.
 2. Memorandum item 4 is to be completed by banks that (1) together with affiliated institutions, have outstanding credit card receivables (as defined in the instructions) that exceed \$500 million as of the report date, or (2) are credit card specialty banks as defined for Uniform Bank Performance Report purposes.

Dollar amounts in thousands

14. Personal trust and agency accounts.....	RIADB904	73,178	14.
15. Employee benefit and retirement-related trust and agency accounts:			15.
a. Employee benefit - defined contribution.....	RIADB905	9,324	15.a.
b. Employee benefit - defined benefit.....	RIADB906	3,303	15.b.
c. Other employee benefit and retirement-related accounts.....	RIADB907	46,721	15.c.
16. Corporate trust and agency accounts.....	RIADA479	0	16.
17. Investment management and investment advisory agency accounts.....	RIADJ315	145,156	17.
18. Foundation and endowment trust and agency accounts.....	RIADJ316	17,074	18.
19. Other fiduciary accounts.....	RIADA480	3,422	19.
20. Custody and safekeeping accounts.....	RIADB909	30,212	20.
21. Other fiduciary and related services income.....	RIADB910	34,459	21.
22. Total gross fiduciary and related services income (sum of items 14 through 21) (must equal Schedule RI, item 5.a).....	RIAD4070	362,849	22.
a. Fiduciary and related services income - foreign offices (included in item 22).....	RIADB912	0	22.a.
23. Less: Expenses.....	RIADC058	146,495	23.
24. Less: Net losses from fiduciary and related services.....	RIADA488	579	24.
25. Plus: Intracompany income credits for fiduciary and related services.....	RIADB911	45,184	25.
26. Net fiduciary and related services income.....	RIADA491	260,959	26.

Dollar amounts in thousands

	(Column A) Personal Trust and Agency and Investment Management Agency Accounts		(Column B) Employee Benefit and Retirement-Related Trust and Agency Accounts		(Column C) All Other Accounts		
1. Managed assets held in fiduciary accounts:							M.1.
a. Noninterest-bearing deposits.....	RCFDJ263	14,299	RCFDJ264	3,190	RCFDJ265	1,706	M.1.a.
b. Interest-bearing deposits.....	RCFDJ266	2,684,740	RCFDJ267	326,603	RCFDJ268	419,279	M.1.b.
c. U.S. Treasury and U.S. Government agency obligations.....	RCFDJ269	2,336,967	RCFDJ270	180,467	RCFDJ271	327,681	M.1.c.
d. State, county, and municipal obligations.....	RCFDJ272	2,363,018	RCFDJ273	14,170	RCFDJ274	17,708	M.1.d.
e. Money market mutual funds.....	RCFDJ275	300,915	RCFDJ276	63,396	RCFDJ277	162,855	M.1.e.
f. Equity mutual funds.....	RCFDJ278	7,102,074	RCFDJ279	2,902,429	RCFDJ280	1,177,492	M.1.f.
g. Other mutual funds.....	RCFDJ281	2,887,263	RCFDJ282	1,374,588	RCFDJ283	286,818	M.1.g.
h. Common trust funds and collective investment funds.....	RCFDJ284	0	RCFDJ285	0	RCFDJ286	550,527	M.1.h.
i. Other short-term obligations.....	RCFDJ287	28,628	RCFDJ288	0	RCFDJ289	0	M.1.i.
j. Other notes and bonds.....	RCFDJ290	1,131,763	RCFDJ291	378,225	RCFDJ292	294,303	M.1.j.
k. Investments in unregistered funds and private equity investments.....	RCFDJ293	113,417	RCFDJ294	22,962	RCFDJ295	25,571	M.1.k.
l. Other common and preferred stocks.....	RCFDJ296	12,723,305	RCFDJ297	1,651,482	RCFDJ298	704,273	M.1.l.
m. Real estate mortgages.....	RCFDJ299	20,223	RCFDJ300	0	RCFDJ301	0	M.1.m.
n. Real estate.....	RCFDJ302	330,264	RCFDJ303	726	RCFDJ304	89	M.1.n.
o. Miscellaneous assets.....	RCFDJ305	1,342,987	RCFDJ306	384,578	RCFDJ307	26,500	M.1.o.
p. Total managed assets held in fiduciary accounts (for each column, sum of Memorandum items 1.a through 1.o).....	RCFDJ308	33,379,863	RCFDJ309	7,302,816	RCFDJ310	3,994,802	M.1.p.

Dollar amounts in thousands

	(Column A) Managed Assets		(Column B) Number of Managed Accounts		
q. Investments of managed fiduciary accounts in advised or sponsored mutual funds.....	RCFDJ311	0	RCFDJ312	0	M.1.q.

Dollar amounts in thousands		(Column A) Number of Issues	(Column B) Principal Amount Outstanding		
2. Corporate trust and agency accounts:					
a. Corporate and municipal trusteeships.....	RCFDB927	0	RCFDB928	0	M.2.a.
1. Issues reported in Memorandum item 2.a that are in default.....	RCFDJ313	0	RCFDJ314	0	M.2.a.1.
b. Transfer agent, registrar, paying agent, and other corporate agency.....	RCFDB929	0			M.2.b.

Dollar amounts in thousands		(Column A) Number of Funds	(Column B) Market Value of Fund Assets		
<i>Memoranda items 3.a through 3.g are to be completed by banks with collective investment funds and common trust funds with a total market value of \$1 billion or more as of the preceding December 31.</i>					
3. Collective investment funds and common trust funds:					
a. Domestic equity.....	RCFDB931	NR	RCFDB932	NR	M.3.a.
b. International/Global equity.....	RCFDB933	NR	RCFDB934	NR	M.3.b.
c. Stock/Bond blend.....	RCFDB935	NR	RCFDB936	NR	M.3.c.
d. Taxable bond.....	RCFDB937	NR	RCFDB938	NR	M.3.d.
e. Municipal bond.....	RCFDB939	NR	RCFDB940	NR	M.3.e.
f. Short term investments/Money market.....	RCFDB941	NR	RCFDB942	NR	M.3.f.
g. Specialty/Other.....	RCFDB943	NR	RCFDB944	NR	M.3.g.
h. Total collective investment funds (sum of Memorandum items 3.a through 3.g).....	RCFDB945	6	RCFDB946	550,527	M.3.h.

Dollar amounts in thousands		(Column A) Gross Losses Managed Accounts	(Column B) Gross Losses Non-Managed Accounts	(Column C) Recoveries			
4. Fiduciary settlements, surcharges, and other losses:							
a. Personal trust and agency accounts.....	RIADB947	275	RIADB948	0	RIADB949	103	M.4.a.
b. Employee benefit and retirement-related trust and agency accounts.....	RIADB950	0	RIADB951	1	RIADB952	0	M.4.b.
c. Investment management agency accounts.....	RIADB953	153	RIADB954	0	RIADB955	0	M.4.c.
d. Other fiduciary accounts and related services.....	RIADB956	71	RIADB957	201	RIADB958	19	M.4.d.
e. Total fiduciary settlements, surcharges, and other losses (sum of Memorandum items 4.a through 4.d) (sum of columns A and B minus column C must equal Schedule RC-T, item 24).....	RIADB959	499	RIADB960	202	RIADB961	122	M.4.e.

Schedule RC-V - Variable Interest Entities(Form Type - 031)

Dollar amounts in thousands		(Column A) Securitization Vehicles	(Column B) Other VIEs		
1. Assets of consolidated variable interest entities (VIEs) that can be used only to settle obligations of the consolidated VIEs:					
a. Cash and balances due from depository institutions.....	RCFDJ981	17,286	RCFDJF84	0	1.a.
b. Securities not held for trading.....	RCFDHU20	0	RCFDHU21	0	1.b.
c. Loans and leases held for investment, net of allowance, and held for sale.....	RCFDHU22	183,368	RCFDHU23	0	1.c.
d. Other real estate owned.....	RCFDK009	0	RCFDJF89	0	1.d.
e. Other assets.....	RCFDJF91	1,603	RCFDJF90	0	1.e.
2. Liabilities of consolidated VIEs for which creditors do not have recourse to the general credit of the reporting bank:					
a. Other borrowed money.....	RCFDJF92	117,676	RCFDJF85	0	2.a.
b. Other liabilities.....	RCFDJF93	9,488	RCFDJF86	0	2.b.
3. All other assets of consolidated VIEs (not included in items 1.a. through 1.e. above).....	RCFDK030	0	RCFDJF87	0	3.
4. All other liabilities of consolidated VIEs (not included in items 2.a through 2.b. above).....	RCFDK033	0	RCFDJF88	0	4.

Dollar amounts in thousands				
5. Total assets of asset-backed commercial paper (ABCP) conduit VIEs.....	RCFDJF77		0	5.
6. Total liabilities of ABCP conduit VIEs.....	RCFDJF78		0	6.

Optional Narrative Statement Concerning the Amounts Reported in the Consolidated Reports of Condition and Income(Form Type - 031)

Dollar amounts in thousands

1. Comments?.....	RCON6979	No	1.
2. Bank Management Statement.....	TEXT6980	NR	2.

FDIC Coverage Rate

FDIC insurance covers all types of deposits received at an insured bank, including:

- checking accounts,
- negotiable order of withdrawal (NOW) accounts,
- savings accounts,
- money market deposit accounts (MMDAs),
- certificates of deposit (CD) and other time deposits, and
- official items issued by a bank (such as cashier's checks or money orders).

FDIC insurance covers depositors' accounts at each insured bank, dollar-for-dollar, including principal and any accrued interest through the date of the insured bank's closing, up to the insurance limit. The standard insurance amount is \$250,000 per person, per bank, per ownership category.



Statement of Community Involvement:

Fifth Third Bank is committed to promoting growth in the greater Chicago region. Our ongoing investments help build strong, vibrant communities throughout the area:



Advancing Equity in Banking Commission (AEBE). City Treasurer Melissa Conye: Treasurer Michael Frerichs convened the Advancing Equity in Banking Commission (AEBE) with local banks and enhance their accountability. Fifth Third voluntarily joined the AEBE, which is taking a collaborative, flexible approach to working with lenders on actionable solutions — including ongoing dialogues with local banking leaders, educational forums, identifying lending and investment gaps, and working with community partners to ensure that financial resources reach Chicagoans. Fifth Third Regional President Mark Hoppe is excited to serve on the AEBE as an inaugural commissioner.

\$2.8 Billion Diversity and Inclusion Initiative. Fifth Third announced a significant [diversity and inclusion initiative](#) in December 2020, with a \$2.8 billion investment to accelerate racial equity, equality and inclusion. This initiative will provide \$2.2 billion in lending, \$500 million in investments, \$60 million in financial accessibility and \$40 million in philanthropy over the next three years.

As part of the initiative above, we announced on **October 13, 2021**, the establishment of a \$180 million neighborhood program to support and revitalize nine key **majority-Black** communities across seven states that have suffered from the effects of disinvestment. One of the areas chosen for investment was the **South Chicago neighborhood, which will receive up to \$20 million in lending and investments**, including philanthropic support from the Fifth Third Foundation, to contribute toward Claretian Associates' transformation of over 700 acres of vacant land and undeveloped lakefront real estate. In total, [the proposal](#) will preserve and **create 235 affordable homes, generate 28 full-time jobs and produce over 160 construction jobs.**

\$32 Billion Commitment Through National Community Reinvestment Coalition. In December 2020, Fifth Third Bank completed a commitment of \$30 billion made in January 2016 through a partnership with the National Community Reinvestment Coalition. In 2018, the Bank increased our commitment to \$32 billion to reflect our expansion in Chicago. The **additional \$2 billion was invested entirely in Chicagoland** and increased the total Chicago-related commitment from \$3.6 billion to \$5.6 billion and was all made by the end of last year, the original timeframe for the commitment.

Our Community Commitment included product innovation to address community needs, and included down payment assistance, support for housing counseling, and other activities, including affirmative marketing and outreach, evaluation and improvement of our Fair Housing/Lending program, and the continuation of its current policy of not imposing minimum loan amounts. Moreover, the Community Commitment had a holistic focus on housing and included our investment of **over \$174 million in equity for affordable housing projects.** This includes investing **\$5 million in loan pools with Neighborhood Housing Services of Chicago and \$30 million to Community Investment Corporation** to maintain affordable housing.

Fifth Third Bank Community Mortgage. In May 2019, the Bank announced a new mortgage product that provides an affordable option for low-income families and borrowers purchasing homes in low-to-moderate income communities. The Fifth Third Bank Community Mortgage helps pay certain **closing costs and fees, up to \$1,500 for qualified borrowers.** The Fifth Third Community Mortgage requires a low down payment of about 3%.

Down Payment Assistance Program. Additionally, the Fifth Third Bank Community Mortgage can be combined with another successful program that the Bank operates, our Down Payment Assistance (DPA) program. The



FIFTH THIRD BANK

DPA is a grant program and currently offers **up to \$8,600 in total DPA for qualified buyers to help with a down payment** when purchasing a home. That assistance does not need to be paid back and is part of our Community Commitment referenced above. We have so far provided **over \$1.5 billion to low-to-moderate income borrowers and to borrowers in low-to-moderate income communities** through affordable mortgage lending in Illinois.

Philanthropic Commitments. We recently made an **\$8.75 million** philanthropic commitment to support the needs of our community members who have been affected by the pandemic. The \$8.75 million commitment is being funded by the Fifth Third Foundation and the Fifth Third Chicagoland Foundation.

Among the areas funded are **\$3.25 million for COVID-19 response needs** in the regions served by the Bank and **\$5.5 million in Strengthening our Communities Fund grants** to support the long-term sustainability of our economy. This includes funding to support small businesses, affordable housing and homeownership, and economic development. In Chicago specifically, the bank provided a **\$1 million grant in support of the City of Chicago Small Business Resiliency Fund.**

Fifth Third Bank Family Pavilion at Navy Pier—committed to multi-year partnership investing in the future of Chicago, and serving as the official bank of **Navy Pier**

Fifth Third Arena—official naming rights to the **Chicago Blackhawks** training and practice facility, also serving as a community center for youth hockey development programs

\$2.5 million investment in **Allies for Community Business** and **LISC**, not-for-profit lenders that provide access to capital for small businesses

\$3 million investment in **Neighborhood Housing Services**, a not-for-profit-organization specializing in affordable housing

\$2.5 million investment in the **Entrepreneurs of Color Fund**, fueling business expansion, job growth and economic health on the south and west sides of Chicago

\$1 million charitable donation to **After School Matters** in support of the Gately Center in South Chicago

\$30 million investment to **Community Investment Corporation** to help stabilize affordable housing

\$5 million investment into **Chicago Community Loan Fund** to support capital access for small businesses

A few additional highlights:

Fifth Third Invests in Historic Bronzeville Community

Fifth Third, through the Fifth Third CDC, is investing in the 43Green housing development in historic Bronzeville. 43Green is a 99-unit housing development (49 market rate and 50 affordable units) that will provide clean, safe, and transit-oriented housing on Chicago's southside. The project will also include commercial space for businesses.

Combined, 43Green will revitalize the 43rd Street corridor, which used to be one of the most vibrant corridors in the City of Chicago, dating all the back to the early 1900s when the area was hub for early pioneers in jazz and blues music.



FIFTH THIRD BANK

Bank Helps Prepare Tax Returns for Chicago Residents

Fifth Third and Ladder Up partnered to help community members during tax time in March 2023. Ladder Up serves low-income individuals and families who need their tax refunds for everyday essentials like food and gas and are often less able to understand how to prepare an accurate tax return.

Fifth Third Bank volunteers prepared tax returns for dozens of individuals at Olive Harvey Community College, located in Chicago's Pullman community. Fifth Third Bank employee volunteers underwent a training and certification process, to become eligible to complete individual and family tax returns.

Capital Readiness Grants Enhance Small Businesses

In an effort to revitalize small businesses in South Chicago and provide vital technical assistance, Fifth Third and the Association for Enterprise Opportunity awarded Empowering Black Futures Capital Readiness Grants to small business owners in Chicago's South Chicago community.

Part of the technical assistance process was working with the business owners to help them in completing Business Health Assessments, accessing personalized learning plans through AEO's learning platform, RESILI™, and receiving guidance from AEO's network of small business coaches.



FIFTH THIRD BANK

PUBLIC DISCLOSURE

November 28, 2016

COMMUNITY REINVESTMENT ACT PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

Fifth Third Bank
RSSD #723112

38 Fountain Square Plaza
Cincinnati, Ohio

Federal Reserve Bank of Cleveland

P.O. Box 6387
Cleveland, Ohio 44101-1387

NOTE: This document is an evaluation of this institution's record of meeting the credit needs of its entire community, including low- and moderate-income neighborhoods, consistent with the safe and sound operation of the institution. This evaluation is not, nor should it be construed as, an assessment of the financial condition of this institution. The rating assigned to this institution does not represent an analysis, conclusion, or opinion of the federal financial supervisory agency concerning the safety and soundness of this financial institution.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Institution’s CRA Rating	1
Description of Institution	2
Scope of Examination	11
Conclusions with Respect to Performance Tests	15
Fair Lending or Other Illegal Credit Practices Review	25

Multi-state

Full-scope Reviews

Chicago-Naperville IL-IN-WI CSA	26
Cincinnati OH-KY-IN MSA.....	55
Evansville IN-KY MSA.....	81
Huntington-Ashland WV-KY-OH MSA	102
Louisville-Jefferson County KY-IN MSA.....	124
South Bend-Elkhart-Mishawaka IN-MI CSA.....	147

Florida

State of Florida.....	169
-----------------------	-----

Full-scope Reviews

Orlando-Deltona-Daytona Beach FL CSA	173
Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater FL MSA	196

<i>Limited-scope Reviews</i>	217
---	-----

Cape Coral-Fort Myers FL MSA

Jacksonville FL MSA

Lakeland-Winter Haven FL MSA

Miami-Fort Lauderdale-West Palm Beach FL MSA

Naples-Immokalee-Marco Island FL MSA

North Port-Sarasota FL CSA

Georgia

State of Georgia219

Full-scope Review

Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Roswell GA MSA223

Limited-scope Review245

 Augusta-Richmond County GA-SC MSA

Illinois

State of Illinois246

Full-scope Reviews

Non-metropolitan Southern Illinois250

Limited-scope Reviews265

 Carbondale-Marion IL MSA

 Non-metropolitan Northern Illinois

 Rockford IL MSA

Indiana

State of Indiana267

Full-scope Reviews

Indianapolis-Carmel-Muncie IN CSA271

Non-metropolitan Southern Indiana293

Limited-scope Reviews312

 Bloomington IN MSA

 Fort Wayne IN MSA

 Lafayette-West Lafayette IN MSA

 Non-metropolitan Northern Indiana

 Terre Haute IN MSA

Kentucky

Commonwealth of Kentucky314

Full-scope Reviews

Lexington-Fayette KY MSA.....318

Limited-scope Reviews336

Non-metropolitan Eastern Kentucky

Non-metropolitan Western Kentucky

Owensboro KY MSA

Michigan

State of Michigan338

Full-scope Reviews

Detroit-Warren-Ann Arbor MI CSA342

Grand Rapids-Wyoming-Muskegon MI CSA366

Limited-scope Reviews388

Battle Creek MI MSA

Jackson MI MSA

Kalamazoo-Portage MI MSA

Lansing-East Lansing MI MSA

Non-metropolitan Northern Michigan

Non-metropolitan Southern Michigan

Saginaw-Midland-Bay City MI CSA

Missouri

State of Missouri390

Full-scope Review

St. Louis MO-IL MSA391

North Carolina

State of North Carolina407

Full-scope Reviews

Charlotte-Gastonia-Concord NC-SC MSA.....411

Limited-scope Reviews431

- Asheville NC MSA
- Hickory-Lenoir-Morganton NC MSA
- Non-metropolitan Western North Carolina
- Raleigh-Cary NC MSA

Ohio

State of Ohio433

Full-scope Reviews

- Cleveland-Akron-Canton OH CSA437
- Columbus OH MSA.....460

Limited-scope Reviews483

- Dayton-Springfield-Sidney OH CSA
- Lima OH MSA
- Non-metropolitan Northwestern Ohio
- Non-metropolitan Southwestern Ohio
- Toledo OH MSA

Pennsylvania

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania485

Full-scope Review

- Pittsburgh PA MSA486

Tennessee

State of Tennessee.....502

Full-scope Review

- Nashville-Davidson-Murfreesboro-Franklin TN MSA506

Limited-scope Review528

- Knoxville TN MSA

West Virginia

State of West Virginia.....529

Full-scope Review

Charleston WV MSA.....530

Appendices

Appendix A: Scope of Examination550
Appendix B: Summary of Institution, State, and Multi-state MSA Ratings553
Appendix C: Summary of Limited-scope Reviews554
Appendix D: Assessment Area Maps556
Appendix E: Full-scope Lending Tables585
Appendix F: Limited-scope Lending Tables674
Appendix G: Limited-scope Demographics Tables.....746
Appendix H: Glossary of Terms782

INSTITUTION’S CRA RATING: Outstanding

The following table indicates the performance level of Fifth Third Bank with respect to the lending, investment, and service tests.

PERFORMANCE LEVELS	FIFTH THIRD BANK PERFORMANCE TESTS		
	Lending Test*	Investment Test	Service Test
Outstanding	X	X	
High Satisfactory			X
Low Satisfactory			
Needs to Improve			
Substantial Noncompliance			

* Note: The lending test is weighted more heavily than the investment and service tests when arriving at an overall rating.

The major factors supporting the institution’s rating include:

- An excellent responsiveness to credit needs;
- An excellent geographic distribution of loans throughout the assessment area;
- An excellent distribution of loans among borrowers of different income levels and a good distribution of loans to businesses of different revenue sizes;
- Exhibits a good record of serving the credit needs of low-income individuals and areas and very small businesses;
- A leader in making community development loans;
- An extensive use of flexible lending practices in serving the assessment area’s credit needs;
- An excellent level of qualified community development investments and grants;
- Often in a leadership role in providing community development investments and grants;
- Retail delivery systems that are accessible to all geographies and individuals of different income levels and businesses of different revenue sizes;
- A record of opening and closing banking centers that has not adversely affected the accessibility (good) of delivery systems;
- Banking services and hours that do not vary in a way that inconveniences any portions of the assessment areas; and,
- A leader in providing community development services.

DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION

Overview

Fifth Third Bank (Fifth Third) is a wholly owned subsidiary of Fifth Third Bancorp, a bank holding company headquartered in Cincinnati, Ohio. As of September 30, 2016, Fifth Third Bancorp reported total assets of \$143.3 billion and Fifth Third reported total assets of \$140.8 billion. As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third had approximately 1,263 branches across its footprint in 12 states, including Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Michigan, Missouri, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, and West Virginia. During the evaluation period, Fifth Third exited both the St. Louis, Missouri and Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania markets; the branches were sold in these assessment areas by January 2016 and April 2016, respectively.

Nonbank Subsidiaries

Fifth Third requested to include lending by its nonbank subsidiaries in this performance evaluation. These subsidiaries include Fifth Third Mortgage Company, which processes and funds most purchase money and refinance mortgage lending for the corporation and services all mortgage lending for the corporation, and Fifth Third Mortgage MI LLC, which processes and funds purchase money and refinance mortgage lending primarily in Michigan.

Fifth Third Community Development Corporation (CDC) is a holding company nonbank subsidiary organized primarily for making investments in small business investment companies, other qualifying business ventures, and affordable housing tax credit deals. As of September 30, 2016, the CDC had assets of \$1.7 billion. The CDC is a primary contributor to Fifth Third's investment test under CRA.

Business Lines

Fifth Third operates with three primary business lines. Consumer banking consists of branch banking, consumer lending, and real estate lending. Commercial banking provides loans, deposits, cash management, capital markets, leasing, and financing to small and large companies. The Investment Advisor area is comprised of five businesses:

- Private banking – provides financial services to affluent clients;
- Fifth Third Securities – offers retirement, investment, and brokerage services;
- Fifth Third Insurance – sells insurance products and services;
- ClearArc Capital, Inc. – provides asset management services to institutional clients; and,
- Fifth Third Institutional Services – offers consulting, investment, and recordkeeping services for profit and non-profit institutions.

Assessment Areas

The following summarizes Fifth Third's assessment areas evaluated as part of this CRA performance evaluation:

Multi-state

- Chicago-Naperville IL-IN-WI Combined Statistical Area (CSA) #176, consisting of the following three Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs):
 - Chicago-Naperville-Elgin IL-IN-WI MSA #16980, consisting of the following four Metropolitan Divisions (MDs):
 - Chicago-Naperville-Arlington Heights IL MD #16974, consisting of Cook, DuPage, Kendall, McHenry, and Will counties (excluding Grundy County)
 - Elgin IL MD #20994, consisting of DeKalb and Kane counties
 - Gary IN MD #23844, consisting of Jasper, Lake, and Porter counties (excluding Newton County)
 - Lake County-Kenosha County IL-WI MD #29404, consisting of Lake County in Illinois (excluding Kenosha County in Wisconsin)
 - Kankakee IL MSA #28100, consisting of Kankakee County
 - Michigan City-LaPorte IN MSA #33140, consisting of LaPorte County
- Cincinnati OH-KY-IN MSA #17140, consisting of Brown, Butler, Clermont, Hamilton, and Warren counties in Ohio; Dearborn and Ohio counties in Indiana; and Boone, Campbell, Gallatin, Grant, Kenton, and Pendleton counties in Kentucky (excluding Union County in Indiana and Bracken County in Kentucky)
- Evansville IN-KY MSA #21780, consisting of Posey, Vanderburgh, and Warrick counties in Indiana and Henderson County in Kentucky
- Huntington-Ashland WV-KY-OH MSA #26580, consisting of Boyd and Greenup counties in Kentucky, Lawrence County in Ohio, and Cabell, Lincoln, Putnam, and Wayne counties in West Virginia
- Louisville/Jefferson County KY-IN MSA #31140, consisting of Clark, Floyd, and Harrison counties in Indiana and Bullitt, Jefferson, Oldham, and Shelby counties in Kentucky (excluding Scott and Washington counties in Indiana and Henry, Spencer, and Trimble counties in Kentucky)
- South Bend-Elkhart-Mishawaka IN-MI CSA #515, consisting of the following three MSAs:
 - Elkhart-Goshen IN MSA #21140, consisting of Elkhart County
 - Niles-Benton Harbor MI MSA #35660, consisting of Berrien County
 - South Bend-Mishawaka IN-MI MSA #43780, consisting of St. Joseph County in Indiana and Cass County in Michigan

Florida

- Cape Coral-Fort Myers FL MSA #15980, consisting of Lee County
- Jacksonville FL MSA #27260, consisting of Clay, Duval, and St. Johns counties (excluding Baker and Nassau counties)
- Lakeland-Winter Haven FL MSA #29460, consisting of Polk County
- Miami-Fort Lauderdale-West Palm Beach FL MSA #33100, consisting of the following two MDs (excluding Miami-Dade County¹):
 - Fort Lauderdale-Pompano Beach-Deerfield Beach FL MD #22744, consisting of Broward County
 - West Palm Beach-Boca Raton-Delray Beach FL MD #48424, consisting of Palm Beach County
- Naples-Immokalee-Marco Island FL MSA #34940, consisting of Collier County
- Orlando-Deltona-Daytona Beach FL CSA #422, consisting of the following two MSAs:
 - Deltona-Daytona Beach-Ormond Beach FL MSA #19660, consisting of Volusia County (excluding Flagler County)
 - Orlando-Kissimmee-Sanford FL MSA #36740, consisting of Lake, Orange, Osceola, and Seminole counties
- North Port-Sarasota FL CSA #412, consisting of the following two MSAs:
 - North Port-Bradenton-Sarasota FL MSA #35840, consisting of Manatee and Sarasota counties
 - Punta Gorda FL MSA #39460, consisting of Charlotte County
- Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater FL MSA #45300, consisting of Hillsborough, Pasco, and Pinellas counties (excluding Hernando County)

Georgia

- Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Roswell GA MSA #12060, consisting of Clayton, Cobb, DeKalb, Douglas, Forsyth, Fulton, Gwinnett, Paulding, Rockdale, and Walton counties (excluding Barrow, Bartow, Butts, Cherokee, Coweta, Dawson, Fayette, Haralson, Heard, Henry, Jasper, Lamar, Morgan, Meriwether, Newton, Pickens, Pike, and Spalding counties)
- Augusta-Richmond County GA-SC MSA #12260, consisting of Columbia and Richmond Counties in Georgia (excluding Burke, Lincoln, and McDuffie counties in Georgia and Aiken and Edgefield counties in South Carolina)

Illinois

- Carbondale-Marion IL MSA #16060, consisting of Williamson County (excluding Jackson County)

¹ Miami-Miami Beach-Kendall FL MD #33124

- Non-metropolitan Northern Illinois, consisting of Lee, Stephenson, and Whiteside counties
- Non-metropolitan Southern Illinois, consisting of Effingham and Jefferson counties
- Rockford IL MSA #40420, consisting of Boone and Winnebago counties

Indiana

- Bloomington IN MSA #14020, consisting of Monroe and Owen counties
- Fort Wayne IN MSA #23060, consisting of Allen County (excluding Wells and Whitley counties)
- Indianapolis-Carmel-Muncie IN CSA #294, consisting of following two MSAs:
 - Columbus IN MSA #18020, which encompasses all of Bartholomew County
 - Indianapolis-Carmel-Anderson IN MSA #26900, consisting of Boone, Brown, Hamilton, Hancock, Hendricks, Johnson, Madison, Marion, Morgan, Putnam, and Shelby counties
- Lafayette-W. Lafayette IN MSA #29200, consisting of Benton and Tippecanoe counties (excluding Carroll County)
- Non-metropolitan Northern Indiana, consisting of Adams and Steuben counties
- Non-metropolitan Southern Indiana, consisting of Decatur, Dubois, Fayette, Franklin, Gibson, Knox, Orange, Parke, Perry, Pike, Ripley, Rush, and Spencer counties
- Terre Haute IN MSA #45460, consisting of Clay, Sullivan, Vermillion, and Vigo counties

Kentucky

- Lexington-Fayette KY MSA #30460, consisting of Bourbon, Clark, Fayette, Jessamine, Scott, and Woodford counties
- Non-metropolitan Eastern Kentucky, consisting of Anderson, Franklin, Harrison, Madison, and Mercer counties
- Non-metropolitan Western Kentucky, consisting of Crittenden, Hopkins, Lyon, and Union counties
- Owensboro KY MSA #36980, consisting of Daviess County (excluding Hancock and McLean Counties)

Michigan

- Battle Creek MI MSA #12980, consisting of Calhoun County
- Detroit-Warren-Ann Arbor MI CSA #220, consisting of the following four MSAs:
 - Ann Arbor MI MSA #11460, consisting of Washtenaw County
 - Detroit-Warren-Dearborn MI MSA #19820, which encompasses the following two MDs:
 - Detroit-Livonia-Dearborn MI MD #19804, consisting of Wayne County

- Warren-Farmington Hills-Troy MI MD #47644, consisting of Livingston, Macomb, Oakland, and St. Clair Counties (excluding Lapeer County)
- Flint MI MSA #22420, consisting of Genesee County
- Monroe MI MSA #33780, consisting of Monroe County
- Grand Rapids-Wyoming-Muskegon MI CSA #266, consisting of the following two MSAs:
 - Grand Rapids-Wyoming MI MSA #24340, which encompasses Barry, Kent, Montcalm, and Ottawa counties
 - Muskegon MI MSA #34740, consisting of Muskegon County
- Jackson MI MSA #27100, consisting of Jackson County
- Kalamazoo-Portage MI MSA #28020, consisting of Kalamazoo and Van Buren counties
- Lansing-East Lansing MI MSA #29620, consisting of Clinton, Eaton, and Ingham counties
- Non-metropolitan Northern Michigan, consisting of Antrim, Benzie, Charlevoix, Clare, Crawford, Emmet, Grand Traverse, Isabella, Kalkaska, Lake, Leelanau, Mason, Mecosta, Missaukee, Oceana, Oscoda, Otsego, Roscommon, and Wexford counties
- Non-metropolitan Southern Michigan, consisting of Allegan, Hillsdale, Ionia, Newaygo, St. Joseph, and Shiawassee counties
- Saginaw-Midland-Bay City MI CSA #474, consisting of the following three MSAs:
 - Bay City MI MSA #13020, consisting of Bay County
 - Midland MI MSA #33220, consisting of Midland County
 - Saginaw MI MSA #40980, consisting of Saginaw County

Missouri

- St. Louis MO-IL MSA #41180, consisting of St. Louis City, St. Louis County, and St. Charles County in Missouri (excluding Bond, Calhoun, Clinton, Jersey, Macoupin, Madison, Monroe, and St. Clair counties in Illinois and Franklin, Jefferson, Lincoln, and Warren Counties in Missouri)

North Carolina

- Asheville NC MSA #11700, consisting of Buncombe County (excluding Haywood, Henderson, and Madison counties)
- Charlotte-Gastonia-Concord NC-SC MSA #16740, consisting of Cabarrus, Gaston, Iredell, Lincoln, Mecklenburg, Rowan, and Union counties in North Carolina (excluding Chester, Lancaster, and York counties in South Carolina)
- Hickory-Lenoir-Morganton NC MSA #25860, consisting of Catawba County (excluding Alexander, Burke, and Caldwell counties)

- Non-metropolitan Western North Carolina, consisting of Alleghany, Ashe, Avery, Cleveland, Lincoln, McDowell, Rutherford, Transylvania, and Watauga counties
- Raleigh-Cary NC MSA #39580, consisting of Wake County (excluding Franklin and Johnston Counties)

Ohio

- Cleveland-Akron-Canton OH CSA #184, consisting of the following three MSAs:
 - Akron OH MSA #10420, consisting of Portage and Summit counties
 - Canton-Massillon OH MSA #15940, consisting of Stark County (excluding Carroll County)
 - Cleveland-Elyria OH MSA #17460, consisting of Cuyahoga, Geauga, Lake, Lorain, and Medina counties
- Columbus OH MSA #18140, consisting of Delaware, Fairfield, Franklin, Licking, Madison, Pickaway, and Union counties (excluding Hocking, Morrow, and Perry counties)
- Dayton-Springfield-Sidney OH CSA #212, consisting of the following two MSAs:
 - Dayton OH MSA #19380, consisting of Greene, Miami, and Montgomery counties
 - Springfield OH MSA #44220, consisting of Clark County
- Lima OH MSA #30620, consisting of Allen County
- Non-metropolitan Northwestern Ohio, consisting of Auglaize, Champaign, Darke, Defiance, Erie, Hancock, Huron, Logan, Marion, Ottawa, Sandusky, Seneca, Shelby, and Williams counties
- Non-metropolitan Southwestern Ohio, consisting of Adams, Athens, Clinton, Fayette, Highland, Pike, Preble, Ross, and Scioto counties
- Toledo OH MSA #45780, consisting of Fulton, Lucas, and Wood counties

Pennsylvania

- Pittsburgh PA MSA #38300, consisting of Allegheny County and portions of Washington and Westmoreland counties (excluding Armstrong, Beaver, Butler, and Fayette counties)

Tennessee

- Knoxville TN MSA #28940, consisting of Knox County (excluding Anderson, Blount, Campbell, Grainger, Loudon, Morgan, Roane, and Union counties)
- Nashville-Davidson-Murfreesboro-Franklin TN MSA #34980, consisting of Davidson, Rutherford, Sumner, Williamson, and Wilson counties (excluding Cannon, Cheatham, Dickson, Hickman, Macon, Maury, Robertson, Smith, and Trousdale counties)

West Virginia

- Charleston WV MSA #16620, consisting of Kanawha County (excluding Boone and Clay counties)


Financial Overview

Fifth Third offers a wide variety of consumer, residential real estate, commercial, and agricultural loan products to fulfill the credit needs of the residents and businesses in its assessment areas. Consumer loan products include auto loans, personal lines of credit, installment loans, home equity loans, mortgage loans, and credit cards. Fifth Third also offers construction lending and commercial loan products, including loans and lines of credit, business credit cards, and Small Business Administration (SBA) loans.

The following charts display Fifth Third’s loan portfolio composition as of September 30, 2016.

COMPOSITION OF LOAN PORTFOLIO						
Loan Type	9/30/2016		12/31/2015		12/31/2014	
	\$ (000s)	Percent	\$ (000s)	Percent	\$ (000s)	Percent
Construction and Development	4,465,600	5.3%	3,666,825	4.4%	2,565,345	3.2%
Secured by One- to Four- Family Dwellin	22,719,047	27.1%	22,027,731	26.5%	21,815,424	26.8%
Other Real Estate: Farmland	60,397	0.1%	57,637	0.1%	64,461	0.1%
Other Real Estate: Multifamily	344,062	0.4%	337,490	0.4%	338,622	0.4%
Other Real Estate: Nonfarm nonresidenti	6,248,301	7.4%	6,352,442	7.6%	6,784,396	8.3%
Commercial and Industrial	36,741,176	43.8%	36,010,773	43.3%	34,424,958	42.4%
Loans to Individuals	13,321,924	15.9%	14,680,522	17.6%	15,150,132	18.6%
Agricultural Loans	51,433	0.1%	84,606	0.1%	109,590	0.1%
Total	\$83,951,940	100.00%	\$83,218,026	100.00%	\$81,252,928	100.00%

* This table does not include the entire loan portfolio. Specifically, it excludes loans to depository institutions, bankers acceptances, lease financing receivables, obligations of state and political subdivisions, and other loans that do not meet any other category. Contra assets are also not included in this table.



Fifth Third’s loan portfolio includes products that provide flexible repayment terms to help meet the credit needs of low- and moderate-income borrowers and small businesses. Fifth Third also originates Federal Housing Administration (FHA) loans for home purchase and refinances and works with many state and local agencies that offer first-time homebuyer mortgages and/or down payment assistance for low- and moderate-income borrowers. Finally, Fifth Third developed a department to better align CRA and the CDC to achieve a comprehensive strategy for CRA lending performance and community connectivity.

For small businesses, Fifth Third made changes to streamline the financial document submission process to reduce duplicate requests to customers and reduce time from application submission to decision. Fifth Third is an active SBA lender. The SBA ranked Fifth Third the 36th highest volume lender nationwide by dollar volume for its 7(a) loan program through the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2016.² The 7(a) program is the SBA’s most common loan program, offering funds to small businesses with flexible repayment terms for multiple purposes. Fifth Third improved the SBAExpress underwriting process in order to reduce the application to funding time by half and updated underwriting guidelines for the business line of credit on deals less than \$100,000 to improve the number approved and remove the inconvenience of closing. Several community contacts expressed the need to streamline the small business application process and increase access to small-dollar loans up to \$100,000.

² <https://www.sba.gov/lenders-top-100>

Fifth Third's investment portfolio as of September 30, 2016, was \$34.2 billion, which represented 24.3% of total assets. Investments in U.S. Treasuries and Agency Securities accounted for 47.0% of investments, while interest-bearing bank balances comprised 8.8% of investments. The remaining 44.2% of total investments consisted of municipal securities, foreign debt securities, trading account assets, and all other securities.

No known legal impediments exist that would restrain Fifth Third from meeting the credit needs of its assessment areas.

Previous Public Evaluation

Fifth Third received a "Needs to Improve" rating as a result of the January 6, 2014 CRA Performance Evaluation completed by the Federal Reserve Bank of Cleveland. The lending test was rated "High Satisfactory," the investment test was rated "Outstanding," and the service test was rated "High Satisfactory." However, the rating was adjusted downward based on evidence of discriminatory and other illegal credit practices noted during the evaluation period and considered in assigning the overall rating.

SCOPE OF EXAMINATION

For the purpose of this evaluation, Fifth Third had a total of 57 CRA assessment areas across 12 states. Fifth Third is an interstate bank; therefore, the scope of this evaluation includes a full-scope review of at least one assessment area in each state where Fifth Third has branches. Each assessment area was reviewed for lending, investment, and service performance utilizing either full- or limited-scope examination procedures. Twenty-two assessment areas were chosen for full-scope review, including six multistate MSAs. Criteria used to select full-scope assessment areas include the volume of HMDA-reportable and CRA small business lending by number of loans and dollar amount as a percentage of statewide lending activity, deposit market share, number of branches, percentage of deposits, amount of community development activity, and other non-financial considerations. Full-scope assessment areas represent the most active markets in each state based on these criteria. Where comparable activity was noted, full-scope assessment areas were considered that were not selected at the previous examination.

The following assessment areas received full-scope reviews:

- **Multi-state(s):** Chicago-Naperville, Cincinnati, Evansville, Huntington-Ashland, Louisville-Jefferson County, and South Bend-Elkhart-Mishawaka
- **Florida:** Orlando-Deltona-Daytona Beach and Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater
- **Georgia:** Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Roswell
- **Illinois:** Non-metropolitan area – Southern IL
- **Indiana:** Indianapolis-Carmel-Muncie and Non-metropolitan Southern IN
- **Kentucky:** Lexington-Fayette
- **Michigan:** Detroit-Warren-Ann Arbor and Grand Rapids-Wyoming-Muskegon
- **Missouri:** St. Louis
- **North Carolina:** Charlotte-Concord-Gastonia
- **Ohio:** Cleveland-Akron-Canton and Columbus
- **Pennsylvania:** Pittsburgh
- **Tennessee:** Nashville-Davidson-Murfreesboro-Franklin
- **West Virginia:** Charleston

Limited-scope reviews were completed for the remaining assessment areas.

Michigan, Ohio, and the Cincinnati and Chicago-Naperville multi-state assessment areas had the highest number of branches and largest concentration of lending and deposit activity. Together, these four areas represent more than half of Fifth Third banking centers, ATMs, lending activity, and market share of deposits in this evaluation period. Further, Fifth Third was among the ten largest institutions in each of these markets. As a result, performance in these areas received the greatest weight in determining the overall rating for each test and the institution overall.

Examination Evaluation Period and Products Reviewed

This evaluation included an analysis of HMDA-reportable loans and CRA-reportable small business loans originated between January 1, 2014 and June 30, 2016. HMDA-reportable home purchase, home refinance, and home improvement loans and CRA-reportable small business loans were the major lending products reviewed in this evaluation. HMDA-reportable multi-family loans and CRA-reportable small farm loans were not considered in the overall evaluation due to low activity levels. Fifth Third elected to include loan activity originated through affiliated mortgage companies and in its overall lending analysis, but only loans originated by these affiliates within its assessment areas were included. Other types of consumer loans that can be reported optionally were not included in the analysis.

Community development loans and investments funded between January 1, 2014 and June 30, 2016 were reviewed as part of the lending and investment tests, respectively. Investments funded by its affiliate CDC, the Foundation, and regional banking centers were included in the analysis. Also, investments with community development as a primary purpose that were funded during a prior evaluation period, but still outstanding as of June 30, 2016, were also considered. Finally, community development services that occurred during the evaluation period were included in the service test evaluation.

A summary of the scope of the examination is listed in Appendix A.

Examination Analysis

This evaluation of Fifth Third's lending record in individual assessment areas includes the utilization of and comparison to demographic characteristics. The primary sources for demographic data are the 2010 U.S. Census and 2015 Dun & Bradstreet data. Demographic characteristics of a particular assessment area are useful in analyzing a financial institution's lending record, since they provide a means of estimating loan demand and identifying lending opportunities. To understand small business demand, self-reported data on revenue size and geographic location from business entities are collected and published by Dun & Bradstreet. The demographic data should not be construed as defining an expected level of lending in a particular area or to a particular group of borrowers. The data, along with information about housing and economic conditions, is used to establish performance context and evaluate Fifth Third accordingly.

Loans are evaluated to determine the lending activity inside and outside Fifth Third's assessment areas. In addition, loans inside the assessment area are evaluated based on the geographic and borrower income distribution for each assessment area. The geographic distribution of HMDA loans is assessed by comparing the percentage of loans made in each geography type (low-, moderate-, middle-, and upper-income) to the percentage of owner-occupied units in each geography type. Small business loans are compared to the percentage of small businesses within each geographic income category.

The distribution of HMDA loans by borrower income is assessed by comparing the percentage of loans made to borrowers in each income category (low-, moderate-, middle-, and upper-income) to the percentage of families in each income category. The distribution of small business loans by borrower income is assessed by comparing the percentage of loans made to businesses in each revenue category (less than or equal to \$1 million and greater than \$1 million) to the percentage of total businesses in each revenue category.

Fifth Third's lending performance was also compared to the performance of aggregate lenders in 2014 and 2015; due to the timing of this evaluation, only 2014 and 2015 aggregate lending data was available. Aggregate lenders include all lenders required to report HMDA-reportable and CRA small business lending data within the respective assessment areas. Lending market share is also discussed to give a better understanding of where Fifth Third ranks within the respective areas. For retail services, Fifth Third's branch distribution analysis was conducted using data as of June 30, 2016.

Changes in the median family income level of branch locations that resulted from changes in census data were considered as part of this analysis. Community development activities were reviewed to determine whether they have community development as a primary purpose and meet the geographic requirements of CRA. The eligibility of a loan, investment, or service is based on demographic information available to Fifth Third at the time the community development activity was undertaken. Qualified community development activities were analyzed from both the quantitative and qualitative perspectives to better understand the volume of activity impacting a particular assessment area, the innovativeness of those activities, and the responsiveness to local community development and credit needs. When appropriate, peer comparisons were conducted using annualized metrics to gauge the relative performance of the institution in a particular assessment area.

In order to better understand assessment area community development and credit needs, several sources were utilized, including publicly accessible data, information submitted by Fifth Third, and plans that describe the community development environment in local markets. Community contact interviews were conducted with representatives from affordable housing, economic development, social service, community revitalization, and governmental organizations operating inside Fifth Third's assessment areas. These individuals have expertise in their respective fields and are familiar with the economic, social, and demographic characteristics and community development opportunities in the assessment area. Information obtained from these interviews helped establish a context for the communities in which Fifth Third operates and gather information on its performance.

In most of Fifth Third's markets, community contacts noted that affordable housing was the most significant concern. In urban communities, homeownership is unattainable for many low-wage workers due to significant housing price increases and many more low- and moderate-income renters are paying a significantly higher share of their income for rent. In rural communities, substandard housing is a significant concern. Community contacts also identified the need for more technical assistance and capital, particularly in the form of small-dollar loans up to \$100,000 for small businesses.

Finally, a number of the community contacts stated that low- and moderate-income families and communities are still struggling financially and there is an ongoing need for programs to address financial stability and financial education. Contacts in each market identified opportunities in these key areas for bank participation. More detailed information obtained from individual community contacts is included in the “Description of the Institution’s Operations” sections for each full-scope assessment area.

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS

Lending Test

Fifth Third's lending test performance is rated "Outstanding." Lending performance is excellent in the Chicago-Naperville and Cincinnati multi-state assessment areas and in Florida, Indiana, Michigan, and Ohio. Lending performance is good in the Evansville, Louisville-Jefferson County, and South Bend-Elkhart-Mishawaka multi-state assessment areas and in Georgia, Kentucky, Missouri, North Carolina, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, and West Virginia. Lending performance is adequate in the Huntington-Ashland multi-state assessment area and in Illinois. The geographic distribution of loans throughout the assessment areas is excellent. The penetration of loans among borrowers of different income levels is excellent, and the distribution of loans to businesses of different sizes is good. Additionally, Fifth Third made an excellent level of community development loans. Community development lending was excellent in the Chicago-Naperville and Cincinnati multi-state assessment areas and in Florida, Indiana, and Michigan; good in the Louisville-Jefferson County and South Bend-Elkhart-Mishawaka multi-state assessment areas and in Georgia, Missouri, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, and Tennessee; adequate in the Evansville multi-state assessment area and in Illinois, Kentucky, and West Virginia; and poor in the Huntington-Ashland multi-state assessment area. As discussed earlier, Fifth Third's performance in Michigan, Ohio, and the Cincinnati and Chicago-Naperville multi-state assessment areas had the greatest impact on its overall lending performance.

While Fifth Third's lending distribution by geography and borrower income is referenced throughout this report, detailed information about HMDA-reportable and CRA small business loans can be found in Appendices E and F for full- and limited-scope assessment areas, respectively. In some assessment areas and product discussions, specific numbers are quoted from these tables to support relevant points; otherwise, general references are made about performance and the reader should refer to the appendices for specific data.

Lending Activity

Fifth Third's lending activity reflects an excellent responsiveness to the credit needs of its assessment areas, taking into consideration Fifth Third's strategic objectives, economic conditions, and competitive factors.

While no substantial concentration of loans was identified in excluded counties within Fifth Third's delineated footprint, there were some small concentrations of lending noted in a few assessment areas. In addition to lending, Fifth Third modified existing loans to borrowers under the Home Affordable Modification Program (HAMP) and other real-estate secured modification programs. For example, the 53 Select product offers current Fifth Third borrowers the opportunity to refinance a maturing balloon into an amortizing refinance product. This product may benefit borrowers who do not qualify for another mortgage product due to insufficient equity. Fifth Third provided data about the number and dollar amount of loan modifications made to low- and moderate-income individuals and in low- and moderate-income geographies.

When there was sufficient volume to conduct a meaningful analysis, modified loans were evaluated in order to determine Fifth Third’s ability to assist low- and moderate-income homeowners and homeowners in low- and moderate-income geographies avoid foreclosure.

The following table summarizes Fifth Third’s lending activity for 2014, 2015, and the first half of 2016. As the data shows, Fifth Third originated more HMDA-related loans than small business loans by number and dollar amount. Due to the higher percentage of loans by number and dollar amount, HMDA-related lending typically had a greater impact on lending ratings. Lending was considered responsive to credit needs in all states and commensurate with deposits in each state and no conspicuous gaps in lending activity by income category were identified. Detailed information about lending activity can be found in each of the multi-state and state sections of this report.

Summary of Lending Activity				
Loan Type	#	%	\$(000)	%
Home Improvement	4,658		336,995	
Home Purchase	52,874		9,252,623	
Multi-Family	69		150,645	
Refinancing	46,694		7,344,471	
Total HMDA related	104,295	72.4	17,084,734	76.6
Small Business	39,184		5,168,689	
Total Small Business related	39,184	27.2	5,168,689	23.2
Small Farm	509		48,796	
Total Small Farm related	509	0.4	48,796	0.2
TOTAL LOANS	143,988	100	22,302,219	100

Note: Affiliate loans include only loans originated or purchased within Fifth Third’s assessment areas.

Assessment Area Concentration

The following table shows the number and percentage of loans located inside and outside of Fifth Third’s assessment areas by loan type. As indicated below, Fifth Third originated a substantial majority of the total loans to borrowers and businesses located within its assessment areas. Originated affiliate loans are not included in the table below, but are considered as part of the lending test evaluation.

Lending Inside and Outside the Assessment Area

Loan Types	Inside				Outside			
	#	%	\$(000s)	%	#	%	\$(000s)	%
Home Improvement	3,629	95.6	\$194,798	95.8	169	4.4	\$8,557	4.2
Home Purchase - Conventional	133	93.7	\$17,924	80.2	9	6.3	\$4,433	19.8
Multi-Family Housing	22	84.6	\$143,816	73.2	4	15.4	\$52,610	26.8
Refinancing	10,505	96.3	\$920,267	96.0	403	3.7	\$37,888	4.0
Total HMDA related	14,289	96.1	\$1,276,805	92.5	585	3.9	\$103,488	7.5
Small Business	39,184	97.0	\$5,168,689	94.9	1,204	3.0	\$278,559	5.1
Total Small Bus. related	39,184	97.0	\$5,168,689	94.9	1,204	3.0	\$278,559	5.1
Small Farm	509	90.9	\$48,841	90.0	51	9.1	\$5,435	10.0
Total Small Farm related	509	90.9	\$48,841	90.0	51	9.1	\$5,435	10.0
TOTAL LOANS	53,982	96.7	\$6,494,335	94.4	1,840	3.3	\$387,482	5.6

Note: Affiliate loans not included

Fifth Third originated 96.1% of HMDA-related loans by volume and 92.5% by dollar amount and 97.0% of small business loans by volume and 94.9% by dollar amount to borrowers and businesses located inside its assessment areas. This indicates Fifth Third's willingness to originate loans that meet the credit needs of its delineated assessment areas.

Distribution of Lending by Geography, Borrower Income, and Business Revenue Size

The overall geographic distribution of HMDA and small business lending reflects an excellent penetration in low- and moderate-income geographies. Of the six multi-state and 16 full-scope assessment areas, 11 are considered excellent, ten are considered good, and one is considered adequate.

The overall distribution of loans among borrowers of different income levels is excellent and good to businesses of different sizes. HMDA-reportable lending to borrowers of different income levels is excellent. Of the six multi-state and 16 full-scope assessment areas, 14 are considered excellent, six are considered good, and two are considered adequate. Small business lending to businesses of different revenue sizes is good. Of the six multi-state and 16 full-scope assessment areas, four are considered excellent, 12 are considered good, and six are considered adequate.

HMDA-reportable and small business lending analyses within each assessment area are discussed in detail later in this report.

Community Development Loans

Fifth Third made an excellent level of community development loans during the evaluation period. Since the previous examination, Fifth Third originated or renewed 949 loans totaling approximately \$6.0 billion. This volume of community development lending is considered excellent given the size and presence of the institution in its assessment areas and community development lending opportunities.

As noted earlier, performance in Michigan and Ohio and in the Cincinnati and Chicago-Naperville multi-state assessment areas had the greatest impact on the assessment. Responsiveness to community development needs at the assessment area level is also excellent. The community development loans originated or renewed during the evaluation period were for a variety of purposes, including affordable housing for low- and moderate-income individuals, community services targeted to low- and moderate-income individuals, the promotion of economic development by financing small businesses that resulted in permanent job creation and/or retention, and the revitalization/stabilization of targeted low- and moderate-income census tracts or other qualified geographies. The following table reflects the total number community development loans by purpose, number, and dollar amount.

Purpose of CD Loan	#	\$
Revitalization & Stabilization	473	\$3,669,590,982
Economic Development	258	\$1,365,281,492
Community Services	161	\$309,539,500
Affordable Housing	57	\$648,980,512
Total	949	\$5,993,392,486

Nearly 26.0% of Fifth Third’s community development lending in the evaluation period took place in the Cincinnati and Chicago-Naperville multi-state assessment areas (representing \$996.4 million and \$586.3 million, respectively). Fifth Third’s performance was considered excellent in these multi-states considering its size and presence in these areas, performance in the full-scope assessment areas, competition, and community development lending opportunities. The largest concentration of community development loans by state was in Michigan with \$1.2 billion, accounting for 19.9% of bank-wide community development lending. Performance in Michigan was considered excellent. The second-largest concentration of community development loans by state was in Ohio with \$823.9 million, accounting for 13.8% of bank-wide community development lending. Performance in Ohio was considered good. The remaining ten states and four multi-state assessment areas accounted for \$2.4 billion (40.3%) in community development loans.

Finally, consideration was given to community development loans without a purpose, mandate, or function of serving any of Fifth Third’s delineated assessment areas. Fifth Third made three qualified community development loans totaling \$8.5 million. These loans supported economic development and revitalization projects that benefited counties within the state, but outside of Fifth Third’s delineated assessment areas within Pennsylvania and Tennessee.

More information on individual community development loans can be found in the full-scope assessment area sections of this report.

Flexible Lending Programs

Fifth Third offers and participates in several flexible lending programs to provide borrowers with various options to obtain mortgage loans. These programs include government-guaranteed loans through the FHA, Veterans Administration (VA), and United States Department of Agriculture (USDA).

Other flexible lending programs include state loan programs, Fifth Third-specific products, and down payment assistance. Product offerings to promote low- and moderate-income lending include, but are not limited to, the following: no minimum FICO³ scores for FHA streamline refinances, 97.0% financing and flexible down payment sources for underserved markets, and down payment and closing cost assistance. Fifth Third provided data on the number and dollar amount of loans made through these programs to low- and moderate-income individuals and in low- and moderate-income geographies. In 2015, Fifth Third launched the Community Reinvestment Mortgage Special, whereby all lender fees are waived for borrowers purchasing properties located in a low-income tract. Fifth Third's use of these programs was evaluated when there was sufficient volume necessary to conduct a meaningful analysis. Overall, Fifth Third made extensive use of flexible lending practices in serving low- and moderate-income needs within its assessment areas.

More information on individual flexible lending programs can be found in the full-scope assessment area sections of this report.

Investment Test

Fifth Third made an "Outstanding" level of qualified community development investments during the evaluation period. Fifth Third's performance was excellent in all six multi-state assessment areas and in Florida, Georgia, Indiana, Michigan, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, and Tennessee. Investment performance was good in Illinois, Kentucky, and West Virginia; and adequate in Missouri.

Fifth Third had the highest volume of qualified community development investments in the Cincinnati and Chicago-Naperville multi-state assessment areas, followed by Michigan, Florida, Ohio, Indiana, North Carolina, Georgia, Evansville multi-state assessment area, Louisville-Jefferson County multi-state assessment area, Tennessee, South Bend-Elkhart-Mishawaka multi-state assessment area, Pennsylvania, Kentucky, Missouri, Huntington-Ashland multi-state assessment area, Illinois, and West Virginia.

Community development investments, grants, and charitable contributions are made from three sources: the CDC, Fifth Third Foundation (Foundation), and the Bank. The CDC is a nonbank subsidiary of Fifth Third Bancorp organized primarily for making venture capital investments in small business investment corporations (SBICs), other qualifying business ventures, and affordable housing tax credit deals. The CDC is the primary contributor of investments for Fifth Third and responsible for 53.0% of total qualified investments made during the evaluation period. The Foundation is a charitable trust funded by Fifth Third Bancorp and managed by Fifth Third Investment Advisors to provide funding for community development and other charitable purposes throughout Fifth Third's assessment areas.

³ FICO: Fair, Isaac and Company (data analytics company that provided credit scoring services or a measure of consumer credit risk)

Qualified investments obtained during the current evaluation period totaled approximately \$980.6 million (as shown in the table below). The majority of Fifth Third’s qualified investments by dollar and number supported affordable housing through Low-Income Housing Tax Credits (LIHTCs), Historic Tax Credits (HTCs), New Markets Tax Credits (NMTCs), and Small Business Investment Companies (SBICs). Completed tax credit housing projects provide valuable affordable housing units and wraparound services and/or amenities for veterans, the elderly, and low- to moderate-income families and individuals. SBIC investments provide needed capital for business growth. Many of Fifth Third’s tax credit projects, including HTC and NMTC, have sustained or been the catalyst for neighborhood revitalization projects. To a lesser extent, Fifth Third also makes investments in mortgage-backed securities through its Treasury department.

Qualified investments also took the form of contributions, grants, and donations (approximately 2.1% of total investments). Fifth Third partners with a wide array of organizations and non-profits to fund outreach activities, educational programs, and initiatives aimed at responding to community needs, improving the financial stability of individuals and families, and revitalizing underserved communities. These contributions help to support a multitude of priority needs such as youth education, homelessness, affordable housing, small business development, neighborhood development, financial services and education, and community services targeting low- and moderate-income individuals and communities.

Purpose of CD Investment	#	\$
Affordable Housing	2,721	\$931,983,601
Community Services	2,237	\$12,860,857
Economic Development	660	\$4,857,782
Revitalization & Stabilization	97	\$30,843,482
Total	5,715	\$980,545,721

From prior periods, Fifth Third holds outstanding LIHTC equity investments that have a current book value of approximately \$643.1 million. Finally, consideration was given to investments without a purpose, mandate, or function of serving any of Fifth Third’s delineated assessment areas. Fifth Third made \$31.7 million in qualified investments, typically in the form of LIHTCs that benefited counties within the state, but outside Fifth Third’s delineated assessment areas within Florida, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, North Carolina, and Ohio.

More information detailing specific investments and contributions can be found in the full-scope assessment area sections of this report.

Service Test

Fifth Third’s performance under the service test is rated “High Satisfactory.” Fifth Third had excellent performance the Huntington-Ashland multi-state assessment area and in Indiana, Michigan, North Carolina, Tennessee, and West Virginia. Service test performance is good in the Chicago-Naperville, Cincinnati, Evansville, Louisville-Jefferson County, and South Bend-Elkhart-Mishawaka multi-state assessment areas and in Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, and Ohio. Performance is adequate in Illinois, Missouri, and Pennsylvania.

Retail Services

Retail delivery systems are accessible to geographies and individuals of different income levels. Retail services are excellent in the South Bend-Elkhart-Mishawaka multi-state assessment area and West Virginia. Retail services are good in the Cincinnati, Evansville, Huntington-Ashland, and Louisville-Jefferson County multi-state assessment areas and in Florida, Georgia, Indiana, Kentucky, Michigan, North Carolina, Pennsylvania, and Tennessee. Finally, retail services are adequate in the Chicago-Naperville multi-state assessment area and in Illinois, Missouri, and Ohio.

Fifth Third has a total of 1,263 branches and 1,788 full-service ATMs. During the evaluation period, Fifth Third opened 15 new branches and closed 112 branches, including opening six branches in moderate-income tracts and closing five branches in low-income and 20 branches in moderate-income tracts. A specific listing of branches opened or closed during the evaluation period may be obtained by accessing Fifth Third’s CRA public file. Overall, Fifth Third’s record of opening and closing branches has not adversely affected the accessibility of its delivery systems, particularly in low- and moderate-income geographies and/or for low- and moderate-income individuals. The geographic distribution of Fifth Third’s branches as of June 30, 2016 is shown in the table below and is based on 2010 U.S. Census tracts.

Geographic Distribution of Branches & ATMS

Institution

Tract Category	Branches				ATMs						Demographics				
	Total Branches		Open	Closed	Total ATMs		Full Service ATMs		Cash only ATMs		Census Tracts		House holds	Total Businesses	
	#	%			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%			
Low	62	4.9%	0	5	Total	158	6.4%	101	5.6%	57	8.6%	1,433	9.5%	6.4%	4.6%
DTO	2		0	0	SA	158		101		57					
Moderate	261	20.7%	6	20	Total	557	22.7%	378	21.1%	179	27.0%	3,407	22.5%	21.5%	18.1%
DTO	8		0	0	SA	557		378		179					
Middle	515	40.8%	2	35	Total	973	39.7%	728	40.7%	245	37.0%	5,868	38.8%	41.7%	38.0%
DTO	7		0	0	SA	973		728		245					
Upper	421	33.3%	5	51	Total	734	29.9%	573	32.0%	161	24.3%	4,247	28.1%	30.4%	39.0%
DTO	3		0	0	SA	734		573		161					
Unknown	4	0.3%	2	1	Total	29	1.2%	8	0.4%	21	3.2%	180	1.2%	0.0%	0.3%
DTO	0		0	0	SA	29		8		21					
Total	1,263	100.0%	15	112	Total	2451	100.0%	1,788	100.0%	663	100.0%	15,135	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
DTO	20		0	0	SA	2451		1,788		663					

2015 FFIEC Census Data, 2010 ACS Data, and 2015 D&B Information

Closed branches/ATMs are only included in "closed" columns and are not included in any other totals.

DTO - Drive thru only is a subset of total branches

SA = Stand Alone ATM is a subset of total

Banking services do not vary in a way that inconveniences any portions of Fifth Third's assessment areas. Fifth Third banking centers are generally open six days a week, while Fifth Third Bank Marts, located inside certain grocery stores, are open seven days a week. Banking centers generally provide lobby and/or drive-thru services full days Monday through Friday and half days on Saturday. Bank Mart locations provide extended evening and weekend hours. Lastly, Fifth Third has three loan production offices within its delineated footprint located in the Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, Detroit-Warren-Ann Arbor, and Asheville assessment areas. None of these offices is located in low- or moderate-income tracts. Physical banking centers and ATMs represent 15.0% of customer interactions.

Alternative delivery systems primarily consist of digital online and mobile banking (53.com, mobile app, text alerts/notifications). Digital banking represents 75-80% of customer interactions. Call center or voice banking represents 5-10% of customer interactions. Fifth Third provided data showing non-branch sales (internet and call center) have become more meaningful over the evaluation period and that low- and moderate-income customers purchase through non-branch channels more frequently than middle- and upper-income customers.

In an effort to expand access to retail banking services, Fifth Third offers several no- or low-cost deposit products, including regular checking accounts, student checking accounts, goal setter savings accounts, military checking, and Express Banking. Express Banking is designed to meet the financial needs of the underbanked/low- and moderate-income customers by offering immediate access to deposits for purchases and bills; real-time deposits can be made at the branch or via the mobile app. Previous checking closures are accepted and there are no service charges for check writing or check deposits at ATMs, no credit score requirements, no overdraft capabilities, and no minimum balance requirements. Benefits include check cashing, direct deposit, and a Fifth Third debit card.

Community Development Services

Fifth Third is a leader in providing community development services throughout its assessment area with 118,861 hours of community development services supporting various service activities during the evaluation period. Community development services are excellent in the Chicago-Naperville, Cincinnati, and Huntington-Ashland multi-state assessment areas and in Florida, Georgia, Indiana, Michigan, North Carolina, Ohio, Tennessee, and West Virginia. Community development services are good in the Evansville, Louisville-Jefferson County, and South Bend-Elkhart-Mishawaka multi-state assessment areas and in Kentucky. Community development services are adequate in Illinois, Missouri, and Pennsylvania.

The following table provides a breakdown of qualified community development services by hours. Fifth Third employees had extensive involvement with organizations and activities that promote or facilitate community services targeted to low- and moderate-income individuals, economic development by financing small businesses, promote or facilitate affordable housing to low- and moderate-income individuals, and revitalization/stabilization of low- and moderate-income areas.

Purpose of CD Service	# Hours
Community Services	90,943
Economic Development	15,557
Affordable Housing	9,365
Revitalization & Stabilization	2,996
Total	118,861

Fifth Third’s directors, officers, and staff members provided their financial expertise to the community by engaging in activities that promoted or facilitated affordable housing, services for low- and moderate-income individuals, economic development, and revitalization of low- and moderate-income areas.

The variety of community development services, the use of innovative techniques in delivering these services (e.g., E-bus), the impact and responsiveness to community development needs in various individual assessment areas, and the number of organizations and individuals that benefitted were the primary reasons for the overall assessment.

Community development services included, but were not limited to, the following:

E-bus

The institution continued its unique partnership with Freddie Mac and The Community College Foundation to sponsor the Homeownership Mobile, also known as the E-Bus. This bus is equipped with a satellite dish and computers to provide homeownership counseling, financial literacy, credit reports, volunteer income tax assistance (VITA), and lending services primarily to low- and moderate-income geographies and occasionally is used for marketing events, such as a new banking center location or community events. The E-Bus operated in 48 (84.2%) of Fifth Third’s 57 assessment areas during the evaluation period. Communities served were primarily those identified as underserved and/or designated as one of Fifth Third’s partner community organizations. Details regarding the bus’s performance in individual assessment areas can be found in the individual assessment area sections.

Financial Education

Fifth Third continued its involvement in providing financial education programs through partnerships with schools, local organizations, government agencies, businesses, and local churches, including the following programs:

- The “Young Banker’s Club,” targeted to elementary schools located in low- and moderate-income tracts, is a proprietary program that educated students on the importance of financial responsibility over a five- to ten-week curriculum in money management and economics. The program meets local and state educational standards for both mathematics and social studies.
- Homebuyer training was provided either through onsite facilities of Fifth Third or the offices of community organizations conveniently located in or near low- and moderate-income communities.

- General financial education was provided to low- and moderate-income individuals covering topics such as saving money, credit repair, banking basics, banking products, and budgeting.

Board and Committee Memberships

Fifth Third officers and managers provided financial expertise through their involvement with community development organizations throughout the assessment areas by serving as board directors, loan committee members, or treasurers.

Technical Assistance

Fifth Third's employees provided technical assistance to community development and non-profit organizations. Technical assistance included fund raising, accounting and bookkeeping, applying for government grants, volunteer income tax assistance, and reviewing loan application requests.

FAIR LENDING OR OTHER ILLEGAL CREDIT PRACTICES REVIEW

Pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Act, the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB) has examination and enforcement authority over insured depository institutions with total assets of more than \$10 billion, including Fifth Third, when assessing compliance with the requirements of many federal consumer protection laws. The Federal Reserve, however, retains responsibility for certain consumer protection laws and regulations and for CRA. Pursuant to 12 CFR §228.28(c), a state member bank's CRA performance is adversely affected by evidence of discriminatory or other illegal credit practices in any geography by Fifth Third, or in any assessment area by any affiliate whose loans have been considered as part of Fifth Third's lending performance in connection with any type of lending activity described in §228.22(a). As part of the CRA evaluation process for state member banks with assets of more than \$10 billion, the Federal Reserve considers information from the CFPB. The Federal Reserve also may consider information from other federal agencies that have enforcement responsibilities, such as the U.S. Department of Justice and the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. The CRA evaluation and ratings process includes information that is public, as well as information that is made available to the Federal Reserve on a confidential basis.

The Federal Reserve Bank of Cleveland does not have public information regarding non-compliance with statutes and regulations prohibiting discriminatory or other illegal credit practices with respect to Fifth Third. In determining this institution's overall CRA rating, the Federal Reserve has considered information that was made available on a confidential basis during its consultations and has considered this information in conjunction with the factors in 12 CFR §228.28(c)(2) and thereby determined that an adjustment to the CRA Performance Evaluation rating is not warranted.

MULTI-STATE METROPOLITAN AREA
(Full-scope Review)

CRA RATING for Chicago-Naperville IL-IN-WI CSA #176: Outstanding

The lending test is rated: Outstanding

The investment test is rated: Outstanding

The service test is rated: High Satisfactory

The major factors supporting this rating include:

- An excellent responsiveness to credit needs;
- An excellent geographic distribution of loans throughout the assessment area;
- An excellent distribution of loans among borrowers of different income levels and to businesses of different revenue sizes;
- Exhibits a good record of serving the credit needs of low-income individuals and areas and very small businesses;
- A leader in making community development loans;
- Use of flexible lending practices in serving assessment area credit needs;
- An excellent level of qualified community development investments and grants;
- Often in a leadership position in providing community development investments and grants;
- Retail delivery systems are reasonably accessible to all geographies and individuals of different income levels and businesses of different revenue sizes;
- A record of opening and closing banking centers that generally has not adversely affected the accessibility of delivery systems;
- Banking services and hours that do not vary in a way that inconveniences any portions of the assessment areas; and,
- A leader in providing community development services.

SCOPE OF EXAMINATION

A full-scope review was conducted for the Chicago-Naperville multistate assessment area. The time period, products, and affiliates evaluated for this assessment area are consistent with the scope discussed in the “Institution” section of this report.

DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION'S OPERATIONS IN THE CHICAGO-NAPERVILLE IL-IN-WI CSA

The Chicago-Naperville IL-IN-WI CSA consists of the following three MSAs:

- Chicago-Naperville-Elgin IL-IN-WI MSA #16980, consisting of the following four metropolitan divisions (MDs):
 - Chicago-Naperville-Arlington Heights IL MD #16974, consisting of Cook, DuPage, Kendall, McHenry, and Will counties, but excluding Grundy County
 - Elgin IL MD #20994, consisting of DeKalb and Kane counties
 - Gary IN MD #23844, consisting of Jasper, Lake, and Porter counties, but excluding Newton County
 - Lake County-Kenosha County IL-WI MD #29404, consisting of Lake County in Illinois, but excluding Kenosha County in Wisconsin
- Kankakee-Bradley IL MSA #28100, consisting of Kankakee County
- Michigan City-La Porte IN MSA #33140, consisting of LaPorte County

The assessment area is comprised of 280 low-, 515 moderate-, 742 middle-, and 672 upper-income tracts. There are also 14 tracts with no income designation that are primarily composed of correctional institutions, military establishments, education facilities, or medical establishments that do not report income information.

As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third ranked eighth out of 193 institutions with 3.2% of the deposit market share. JPMorgan Chase Bank had the majority of the market share with 21.8% of deposits. The next three largest institutions, BMO Harris Bank N.A., Bank of America, and the Northern Trust Company, had 13.6%, 10.9%, and 7.7% of the market share, respectively. Deposits in this assessment area accounted for 11.3% of Fifth Third's total deposits. This was the second-highest percentage of deposits within Fifth Third's CRA footprint.

From January 1, 2014 through June 30, 2016, Fifth Third originated 12,135 HMDA loans and 5,001 CRA loans, which represented 11.6% and 12.8% of the total loans originated during the evaluation period, respectively. This was the largest HMDA market and second-largest CRA market for loans originated during the evaluation period.

In 2015, Fifth Third Mortgage Company ranked tenth among 977 HMDA reporters in the assessment area, while Fifth Third ranked 98th. JPMorgan Chase Bank, Wells Fargo Bank, Guaranteed Rate, and U.S. Bank were the top four HMDA lenders in the assessment area. Fifth Third ranked 16th of 225 CRA reporters in the assessment area in 2015. The top four CRA lenders in the assessment area were American Express Bank, Chase Bank USA, Citibank, and U.S. Bank. These lenders are mostly issuers of credit cards and their CRA loans primarily consist of commercial credit card accounts.

Fifteen community contact interviews were conducted to provide additional information regarding the assessment area. The first contact, representing an affordable housing organization, indicated there has been an increased need for rental counseling services in the past two years, as foreclosed homeowners are forced to seek rental housing. The time to foreclose on a property has been significantly reduced over the past several years and this organization has seen a decline in the number of foreclosure counseling requests since 2012. This organization works with local community banks involved with first-time homebuyer programs and the contact indicated there continues to be a need for more affordable housing, since most residents are employed in minimum-wage jobs and housing and rental costs are dramatically increasing. The contact stated that banking consolidation has not hindered products and services being offered to low- and moderate-income individuals by the local financial institutions.

The second contact, representing an affordable housing organization, stated the cost of living remains high and there continues to be a need for more affordable housing, as evidenced by the organization's waiting list of individuals wanting to participate in the program. The contact also indicated that HUD is unable to provide the necessary level of funding required by the organization to adequately serve its clientele, but that financial institutions could assist by providing grant money.

The third contact, representing an organization that provides services to lower-income individuals, indicated there is a growing number of homeless individuals and families in need of immediate assistance. The contact mentioned that funding is very limited and there are only a few organizations to help all the individuals and families in need. The contact also stated there is a need for basic financial literacy, specifically understanding credit and developing long-term savings habits. The contact indicated that a few of the small banks have responded by volunteering time for financial literacy programs in the area, specifically West Suburban and Lisle Community Bank. The contact implied the larger banks have been uninterested in helping low- and moderate-income individuals in the community.

The fourth contact, representing a community development agency, stated that foreclosures in the area have slowed significantly since lower-value market housing (homes <\$300K) has started to stabilize and increase in value. The contact indicated while the rate of new housing construction is sluggish, it has improved in the past year and continues to improve slowly. The contact also stated that while poverty levels have stabilized, the community is concerned about possible increased poverty levels due to the upcoming layoffs of many manufacturers. Manufacturers are affected by the increased value of the U.S. dollar, which slows its exports. The contact mentioned that small businesses continue to be conservative and decide to not assume additional debt, as many are still feeling cautious about the local and world market economic conditions. The contact specifically mentioned that small businesses are not interested in seeking loans because they are not confident about the value of their commercial collateral remaining stable or increasing in value, as it was prior to the economic downturn. The contact believed that local bankers are looking to lend to businesses of all sizes, but these challenges would need to be overcome before area businesses would be willing to assume more debt. The contact specifically mentioned American Community Bank, WinTrust Bank, and Home State Bank as being active in the community.

The fifth contact, representing an affordable housing organization, stated that financial institutions need to better understand the needs of low- and moderate-income individuals within the county. For example, additional funding that supports housing development for seniors and disabled individuals would be helpful, as there is an increasing senior population in the area. The contact also stated that many financial institutions are not open to assist developers in constructing and/or rehabilitating housing for seniors and the disabled. The contact indicated that banks could help the community by developing a homeownership program to assist low- and moderate-income individuals buy homes. The contact believes financial institutions are unwilling to take a chance on these individuals because of low salaries, but financial institutions need to realize that many of these individuals could manage a mortgage payment with some assistance. Lastly, credit counseling programs would benefit lower-income individuals in the area.

The sixth contact, representing a community development agency, stated that banks are active in the community and have partnered with the organization to provide financial awareness and education. The contact mentioned HSBC, Citibank, Charter One, BMO Harris, ABC Bank, Republic Bank, TCF Bank, and Hinsdale Bank and Trust as being active in the community. The contact noted that some financial institutions are willing to tailor products for young adults and the unbanked. The contact believes large banks are more focused on national programs, rather than local communities.

The seventh contact, representing a community development agency, stated that a major economic factor affecting the community is the lack of jobs. The unemployment rates in some areas within the county are higher than the state's average and, according to the contact, there are various opportunities within the area for financial institutions to become involved. The contact stated that the organization partners with several local banks to provide services, including homebuyer education programs and financial literacy courses. Through these programs, consumers are educated on the importance of credit and basic money management. The contact believes banks are currently very active within the community and support the organization's mission. The contact specifically mentioned Marquette Bank, BMO Harris Bank, First Personal Bank, Citibank, and U.S. Bank as being active in the community.

The eighth contact, representing a community development and affordable housing agency, stated that although housing values have remained stable in the surrounding areas, they have not grown at the same rate as other regions around the country. The contact mentioned that many of the residents are over-mortgaged on the value of their property and believed that some home values may not return to pre-recession levels. While low property values continue to plague the community, foreclosure rates continue to improve and have stabilized to pre-recession levels. Additionally, the contact mentioned the significant need for affordable housing, both for sale and rental. However, these projects require some degree of self-financing and government financing and although the city and state are under serious financial constraints, the contact has seen development in the area. The contact also explained that unemployment rates, particularly for lower-income individuals in the area, are higher than Chicago and national averages.

The contact mentioned that opportunity exists for financial institutions to offer entry-level banking products and credit building products for the unbanked, in addition to access to small business capital (which remains a challenge for small and emerging business owners) and opportunities for commercial real estate projects. For neighborhood banks, providing service work, such as joining boards of community groups/organizations and providing financial expertise, would be helpful. The contact stated that the organization works closely with larger institutions, such as Bank of America, Wells Fargo, and Chase Bank USA. Overall, the contact believes that banks in the area are actively engaged, but additional opportunities exist for local banks to become more involved with community partners.

The ninth contact, representing a community development agency, stated that current economic trends in the area are improving and there is tremendous opportunity for Fifth Thirds to reach out and be more helpful to the small business community. The contact explained that growth in the area was limited because small business owners were unaware of how banks could help them. The south side of town is underserved and does not have a significant community bank presence. The contact specifically mentioned Village Bank, MB Financial, and Mount Prospect State Bank as being involved in the community and noted that national and larger regional banks like Fifth Third could increase its involvement in the community.

The tenth contact, representing an affordable housing organization, stated that current economic conditions are improving slightly, although several communities are improving at a slower rate than others. The contact also noted that foreclosures impacted rental rates, which, in turn, disproportionately impacted low-income communities. The contact also stated there is always the opportunity for more community involvement from local financial institutions, specifically regarding lending for first-time homeowners. The contact believed that not enough information was provided to these borrowers about condominium options, especially since the area has experienced significant growth in condominium development. Additionally, the contact felt that the aging population, especially minority seniors, required more assistance from local financial institutions and specifically mentioned that Fifth Third was a very helpful institution.

The eleventh contact, representing an organization that provides services to low-income individuals, stated that the economy has been on a slow, uphill climb, with an increase in jobs and construction projects. The contact stated that local financial institutions could assist the community by providing more construction loans and offering lines of credit to community organizations. Otherwise, the contact believes that local financial institutions are providing needed services to the community, such as outreach activities in low- and moderate-income areas, and specifically mentioned Heartland and First Midwest Bank as institutions that have helped the community.

The twelfth contact, representing a community development organization, indicated that economic conditions are improving. Historically, there have been a lack of employment opportunities in the community that resulted from many people commuting to jobs in the Chicago suburbs. However, over the last several years, the area has attracted new businesses and retained businesses that are beginning to capitalize on some expansion opportunities. The contact explained there are a large number of employment opportunities in the area, but the local talent pool does not always have the appropriate skill set to fill available job openings.

In addition, this area has been impacted by a high amount of drug abuse, which contributes to the higher unemployment rates in the area. The contact explained that local banks have conducted several educational breakfasts to provide financial assistance and training and believes Fifth Thirds in the area are very active and provide assistance as much as possible. There are a number of entrepreneurs in the area who have not been able to secure bank loans due to a lack of credit. In these cases, Fifth Thirds often refer them to local organizations with which they have partnerships to assist these entrepreneurs in securing loans.

The thirteenth contact, representing a community development organization, felt that banks were making an effort to meet the credit needs of the community. The contact explained this organization serves a very low-income population; a large percentage of these residents have poor credit ratings and as a result, banks cannot always assist these individuals. According to the contact, there is insufficient affordable housing in the area and the housing that is affordable is often in disrepair. Generally, not many developers are interested in building low-income housing, but recently, more developers have been showing increased interest in developing mixed-income, mixed-use housing developments. The contact believes there are opportunities available to banks to begin to finance large blocks of land that can be sold for this type of mixed-use development. While this organization primarily works with community banks, the contact indicated that Fifth Third is also helpful and specifically mentioned the closing of several JP Morgan Chase branches in the area.

The fourteenth contact, representing a community development organization in Indiana, stated that housing and small business startups are experiencing difficulty in obtaining credit. For workforce housing, defined as poor working class, there is a gap where people looking for housing do not meet low-income qualifications. The contact indicated that not much first-time homeowner training is provided in the area. The contact also stated that small businesses have a difficult time meeting lending requirements because banks do not want to assume the risk and are much more cautious due to regulatory requirements. The contact noted that while there is affordable housing in the area, most of it is in disrepair. There is no money for rehabilitating the structures primarily because banks are more focused on funding loans for newer, move-in ready housing. Further, the contact explained that banks claim that construction loans pose too many challenges and tend to avoid these types of loans. The contact believes there are opportunities for banks to fund projects related to single- and multi-family housing, specifically condominium developments and home mortgages with down payment assistance. The contact stated Fifth Third is somewhat active, but Horizon and First Source are very active within the community.

The final contact, representing an organization that provides services to low-income individuals, stated there are numerous banks and credit unions in the area and many make an effort to attend outreach and community events. The contact indicated while more banks in the area have bilingual employees, there is a growing need for multilingual financial literacy and debt counseling programs to better assist the area's diverse population.

Population Characteristics

According to 2010 U.S. Census data, the population in the assessment area was 9.5 million. Slightly less than a third (31.4%) of the population lived in low- and moderate-income tracts. In addition, 74.9% of the population was 18 years of age or older, the legal age to enter into a contract.

As of July 1, 2015, the Chicago MSA remains the third largest in terms of population in the nation, while the Michigan City MSA is the 338th and the Kankakee MSA is the 339th largest. Cook County is by far the largest county in the assessment area and is the second-most populous county in the U.S.; however, Cook County experienced its first population decline since 2007 (a decline of 10,488 between 2014 and 2015) to 5.2 million residents. More than 40.0% of all residents in Illinois live in Cook County.⁴

Chicago is the largest city in Illinois and is the third-most populous city in the U.S., behind New York and Los Angeles. Although Chicago has 2.7 million residents, its population growth declined by 6.0% between 2000 and 2015. According to the Illinois Policy Center, the Chicago metropolitan area is failing to attract and maintain population. Compared to other major cities in the U.S., Chicago is the only city losing more people to other parts of the country than it gains from other parts of the world. In the Midwest from July 2014-July 2015, only Chicago and Cleveland decreased in population, with other Midwest cities in Fifth Third's delineated CRA footprint growing in population (e.g., Detroit, Fort Wayne, St. Louis, Louisville, Indianapolis, and Columbus).⁵ According to Moody's Analytics in 2015, the Chicago metropolitan area lost 67,203 people in net domestic migration and gained only 27,840 people from international immigration. In contrast, the cities of Naperville, Illinois; Gary, Indiana; and Michigan City, Indiana only have 147,100, 77,909, and 31,487 residents, respectively.⁶ According to 2015 U.S. Census data,⁷ Naperville is the fifth largest city in Illinois. Lake County is the second-largest county in Indiana and the most populous county in Indiana in this assessment area. Gary is the eighth-largest city in Indiana is located in Lake County.⁸

The following table shows the population in the assessment area by county for 2010 and 2015, with the percentage of the population increase or decrease.⁹ For the most part, the population within the CSA remained relatively stable between 2010 and 2015, with Kendall County experiencing the greatest growth and Kankakee County experiencing the greatest decline in population.

⁴ MSA population data is derived from the U.S. Census Data 2015 Statistical Abstract: <https://factfinder.census.gov>

⁵ Illinois Policy Center: <https://www.illinoispolicy.org/chicago-area-sees-greatest-population-loss-of-any-major-u-s-city-in-2015/>

⁶ City-Data.com: <http://www.city-data.com/> (main page – must enter city, county or zip code)

⁷ US Census Bureau: <http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/table/PST045216/00> (main page – must enter state, county, city, town or zip code)

⁸ City-Data.com: <http://www.city-data.com/> (main page – must enter city, county or zip code)

⁹ Population Estimates derived from U.S. Census Data (April 1, 2010 – July 1, 2015): <http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/table/PST045216/00>

County	2010 Population	2015 Population	Population Percent Change
Cook, IL	5,194,675	5,238,216	0.8%
DuPage, IL	916,924	933,736	1.8%
Kendall, IL	114,736	123,355	7.5%
McHenry, IL	308,760	307,343	-0.5%
Will, IL	677,560	687,263	1.4%
DeKalb, IL	105,160	104,352	-0.8%
Kane, IL	515,269	530,847	3.0%
Jasper, IN	33,478	33,470	0.0%
Lake, IN	496,005	487,865	-1.6%
Porter, IN	164,343	167,688	2.0%
Lake, IL	703,462	703,910	0.1%
Kankakee, IL	113,449	110,879	-2.3%
LaPorte, IN	111,467	110,884	-0.5%
Total	9,455,288	9,539,808	0.8%

Income Characteristics

The 2010 assessment area median family income was significantly higher (\$72,527) than Illinois and Indiana at \$68,236 and \$58,944, respectively. As shown in the table below, since 2010, the median family income increased across all MDs and MSAs within the CSA, with the exception of the Lake County-Kenosha County MD. Between 2014 and 2015, the median family income increased in all areas except for the Elgin MD and Michigan City MSA. However, between 2015 and 2016, the median family income increased in the Elgin MD and Michigan City MSA and decreased in all other areas within the CSA.

FFIEC Estimated Median Family Income	2010	2014	2015	2016
Chicago-Naperville-Arlington Heights IL MD	\$72,196	\$76,300	\$77,700	\$74,700
Elgin IL MD	\$76,576	\$76,300	\$75,400	\$82,500
Gary IN MD	\$62,512	\$63,700	\$66,400	\$63,900
Lake County-Kenosha County IL-WI MD	\$86,241	\$84,200	\$87,500	\$85,000
Kankakee IL MSA	\$59,998	\$53,800	\$68,100	\$60,500
Michigan City-La Porte IL MSA	\$56,679	\$68,200	\$55,100	\$59,400

Poverty rates increased in each county in the assessment area from 1999 to 2015.¹⁰ In 2015, Lake (IN), Cook, DeKalb, LaPorte, and Kankakee counties had the highest poverty rates compared to the same counties in 1999. In 2015, Cook, DeKalb, and Kankakee counties had poverty rates higher than Illinois and Lake and LaPorte counties had poverty rates higher than Indiana. However, McHenry, DuPage, and Porter counties experienced the largest increase in poverty rates during this period. Indiana experienced the biggest increase in its poverty rate compared to Illinois and the nation between 1999 and 2015. According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the national poverty rate in 2015 was 13.5%, down 1.3 percentage points from 14.8% in 2014. For most demographic groups, the 2015 poverty rates and number of people in poverty decreased from 2014.¹¹ Nonetheless, poverty rates remain elevated in some of the most populous counties in the region. The following table shows the poverty rates for 1999¹² and 2015.

County	1999 Poverty Rate	2015 Poverty Rate	Change
Cook	13.5%	16.2%	20.0%
DeKalb	11.4%	16.2%	42.1%
DuPage	3.6%	7.1%	97.2%
Kane	6.7%	10.8%	61.2%
Kankakee	11.4%	15.2%	33.3%
Kendall	3.0%	4.8%	60.0%
Lake	5.7%	9.0%	57.9%
McHenry	3.7%	8.1%	118.9%
Will	4.9%	8.0%	63.3%
Illinois	10.7%	13.6%	27.1%
Jasper	6.7%	9.3%	38.8%
Lake	12.2%	16.6%	36.1%
LaPorte	8.7%	15.7%	80.5%
Porter	5.9%	11.6%	96.6%
Indiana	9.5%	14.4%	51.6%
U.S.	11.8%	13.5%	14.4%

Housing Characteristics

According to 2010 U.S. Census data, there are 3.8 million housing units and 2.3 million families in the assessment area. From an income perspective, 31.3% of housing units, 21.2% of owner-occupied units, and 28.9% of families are located in low- or moderate-income tracts.

¹⁰ U.S. Department of Agriculture Economic Research Service Poverty Rates (for 1999 and 2015): <https://data.ers.usda.gov/reports.aspx?ID=17826>

¹¹ 2015 National Poverty: <http://www.census.gov/library/publications/2016/demo/p60-256.html>

¹² 1999 National Poverty Rate: <http://www.census.gov/prod/2000pubs/p60-210.pdf>

Nearly three-quarters of the housing units in the low-income census tracts are either rental or vacant (74.8%) and 25.2% are owner-occupied. In the moderate-income census tracts, over half (51.5%) of the housing units are either rental or vacant and nearly half (48.5%) are owner-occupied. Therefore, based on the number of housing units compared to the number of families in low- and moderate-income census tracts, there appear to be credit-related opportunities for Fifth Third to provide various aspects of affordable housing in the assessment area.

The 2010 U.S. Census data shows the median age of housing stock in the assessment area was 46 years old, with 29.5% of the stock built before 1950. The oldest housing stock was in Cook County with a median age of 53 years, while the newest was 12 years in Kendall County. However, within the assessment area, the median age of housing stock was 61 years in low-income tracts and 57 years in moderate-income tracts, which indicates that there is ample opportunity for Fifth Third to provide home improvement and rehabilitation loans in these lower-income areas.

According to 2010 U.S. Census data, the median housing value in the assessment area was \$249,828, with an affordability ratio of 24.15. The affordability ratio is derived by dividing the median household income by the median housing value. The higher the affordability ratio, the more affordable a home is considered. The median housing value decreased between 2010 and 2011-2015 and, as a result, housing became more affordable across the assessment area. According to Moody’s Analytics, single-family housing price growth is on the upswing. During the evaluation period, the most affordable housing was in Kendall County, with the least affordable in Cook County. Median gross rents increased at a substantial rate across the assessment area, with renters in Will County experiencing the largest increase in rental rates and renters in LaPorte County experiencing the smallest increase. The table below presents housing characteristics from the U.S. Census data between 2010 and 2015 in the assessment area, Illinois, and Indiana.

County	2010 Median Housing Value	2010 Affordability Ratio	2011-2015 Median Housing Value	2011-2015 Affordability Ratio	2010 Median Gross Rent	2011-2015 Median Gross Rent	Percent of Change
Cook	\$265,800	20.29	\$218,700	25.26	\$900	\$980	8.9%
DeKalb	\$192,300	28.08	\$165,200	32.75	\$797	\$860	7.9%
DuPage	\$316,900	24.17	\$278,500	28.60	\$1,008	\$1,143	13.4%
Kane	\$245,000	27.66	\$213,200	33.16	\$929	\$1,011	8.8%
Kankakee	\$148,400	34.02	\$138,700	37.57	\$721	\$820	13.7%
Kendall	\$248,300	32.18	\$200,200	42.15	\$1,099	\$1,305	18.7%
Lake	\$287,300	27.48	\$245,300	31.81	\$963	\$1,069	11.0%
McHenry	\$249,700	30.63	\$208,200	37.09	\$998	\$1,074	7.6%
Will	\$240,500	31.56	\$209,800	36.27	\$890	\$1,039	16.7%
Illinois	\$202,500	27.52	\$173,800	31.01	\$834	\$907	8.8%
Jasper	\$142,200	38.74	\$148,300	37.34	\$657	\$733	11.6%
Lake	\$135,400	35.98	\$136,100	36.84	\$748	\$819	9.5%
LaPorte	\$119,800	38.41	\$123,300	38.01	\$664	\$701	5.6%
Porter	\$164,500	37.01	\$156,000	40.98	\$791	\$862	9.0%
Indiana	\$123,000	38.78	\$178,600	30.17	\$683	\$753	10.2%

According to Bankrate.com,¹³ Illinois ranked seventh and Indiana ranked 15th for foreclosure filings in November 2016. The national average for foreclosure filings was one in every 1,533 housing units. The following table contains information about foreclosure filings in the assessment area, according to Realtytrac:¹⁴

Geography Name	Ratio of Properties Receiving Foreclosure Filings in November 2016
Cook	1:857
DeKalb	1:993
DuPage	1:1,314
Kane	1:1,033
Kankakee	1:1,517
Kendall	1:742
Lake	1:705
McHenry	1:734
Will	1:619
Illinois	1:1,036
Jasper	1:1,153
Lake	1:778
LaPorte	1:2,702
Porter	1:6,367
Indiana	1:1,590
U.S.	1:1,533

In November 2016, Will County had the highest rate of foreclosure and Porter County had the lowest foreclosure rate within the assessment area.

Building permits in the three MSAs, Illinois, Indiana, and the nation are included in the following table for 2014, 2015, and 2016.¹⁵

Geography	2014	2015	Percent of Change 2014-2015	2016	Percent of Change 2015-2016
Chicago-Naperville-Elgin IL-IN-WI MSA	15,679	15,813	0.9%	19,469	23.1%
Kankakee IL MSA	127	89	-29.9%	17	-80.9%
Michigan City-La Porte IN MSA	125	159	27.2%	96	-39.6%
Illinois	20,602	19,571	-5.0%	22,580	15.4%
Indiana	17,813	18,483	3.8%	18,317	-0.9%
U.S.	1,052,124	1,182,582	12.4%	1,190,191	0.6%

¹³ Bankrate.com: <http://www.bankrate.com/finance/real-estate/foreclosures-by-state/default.aspx>

¹⁴ Realtytrac: <http://www.realtytrac.com/statsandtrends/foreclosuretrends/>

¹⁵ U.S. Census Bureau Building Permits Survey: <http://www.census.gov/construction/bps/>

The Chicago MSA experienced its greatest increase of housing permits between 2015 and 2016, while the Michigan City MSA experienced its greatest increase of housing permits between 2014 and 2015. Conversely, the Kankakee MSA experienced substantial decreases in the number of housing permits issued between 2014-2015 and 2015-2016. The rise in the number of permits could indicate the demand for home purchase loans increased in the Chicago MSA, but decreased dramatically in the Kankakee and Michigan City MSAs during the evaluation period.

Labor, Employment, and Economic Characteristics

According to Moody's Analytics, the Chicago area economy is making some progress (business cycle in recovery); however, manufacturing weaknesses, population losses, and Chicago's budget troubles are sapping momentum. Service industries are responsible for the majority of new jobs, with some from construction created the last half of 2016.

According to *World Business Chicago*,¹⁶ the Chicago metropolitan area is home to 36 Fortune 500 headquarters, including 12 in Chicago. Seven of the 12 companies headquartered in Chicago held steady or improved over the last year. The only company to drop off the list since 2015 was Integrys Energy Group, Inc. which was acquired by Milwaukee-based WEC Energy Group.

¹⁶ World Business Chicago: <http://www.worldbusinesschicago.com/2016-fortune-500/>

Chicago Fortune 500 Companies (2016)¹⁷		
Rank	Company	Location
19	Walgreens Boots Alliance	Deerfield, IL
24	Boeing	Chicago, IL
41	Archer Daniels Midland	Chicago, IL
80	United Continental Holdings	Chicago, IL
81	Allstate	Northbrook, IL
94	Mondelez International	Deerfield, IL
95	Excelon	Chicago, IL
109	McDonald's	Oak Brook, IL
111	Sears Holdings	Hoffman Estates, IL
122	US Foods Holding	Rosemont, IL
123	AbbVie	Chicago, IL
138	Abbott Laboratories	Abbott Park, IL
153	Kraft Heinz	Northfield, IL
176	ConAgra Foods	Chicago, IL
211	Illinois Tool Works	Glenview, IL
220	CDW	Lincolnshire, IL
255	R.R. Donnelley & Sons	Chicago, IL
281	Navistar International	Lisle, IL
283	Discover Financial Services	Riverwoods, IL
285	W.W. Grainger	Lake Forest, IL
286	Baxter International	Deerfield, IL
315	Univar	Downers Grove, IL
334	Tenneco	Lake Forest, IL
369	LKQ	Chicago, IL
377	Dover	Downers Grove, IL
391	Anixter International	Glenview, IL
420	Baxalta	Bannockburn, IL
436	Jones Lang LaSalle	Chicago, IL
442	Old Republic International	Chicago, IL
446	Packaging Corp of America	Lake Forest, IL
451	Motorola Solutions	Chicago, IL
456	Ingredion	Westchester, IL
471	Arthur J. Gallagher	Itasca, IL
477	Essendant	Deerfield, IL
483	NiSource	Merrillville, IN
496	Telephone & Data Systems	Chicago, IL


¹⁷ Bold type indicates company new to the list in 2016

According to Moody’s Analytics, the top ten employers in the Chicago MSA in 2015 were:

Company	Number of Employees
Advocate Health Care System	18,556
University of Chicago	16,025
JP Morgan Chase & Co	15,015
Northwestern Memorial Healthcare	14,550
Walgreen Co.	14,528
United Continental Holdings, Inc.	14,000
AT&T	13,000
Arcelor Mittal	5,000+
Riverside HealthCare	2,600
Blue Chip Hotel & Casino	1,800
Porter Valparaiso Hospital	1,600
La Porte Regional Health Systems	1,500

The following table illustrates the average unemployment rates for 2014, 2015, and June 2016 for the counties in the CSA, Illinois, and Indiana.

Unemployment Rates - Multi-State Chicago



Overall, the unemployment rates declined from 2014 to 2015 and increased between 2015 and June 2016. Kankakee County (IL) and Lake County (IN) had the highest unemployment rates and Jasper County (IN) had the lowest unemployment rates all three years.

According to an article in *Crain's Chicago Business*,¹⁸ more than 677 workers in Cook, Lake, and DuPage counties will lose their jobs in 2016. The article went on to highlight the following layoffs:

- Elk Grove Village-based Glazer Stoller Wholesale (sells alcoholic beverages) is closing and laying off more than 170 workers.
- Grocery chain Meijer is shutting down one of its stores in Niles, affecting 118 workers.
- Grace Davison Discovery Sciences (asphalt manufacturer and paver) is closing its Deerfield location, affecting more than 100 workers.
- Packers Sanitation Services (janitorial services) is laying off more than 50 workers at its Chicago location.
- Assembled Products (metal stamping) is shutting down its Buffalo Grove location, affecting 81 workers.
- WestRock (box manufacturer) is laying off 45 people at its Chicago location.
- JPMorgan Chase is closing a branch in Elgin, affecting 44 workers.
- Artco (transportation subsidiary of Archer Daniels Midland) is laying off 40 workers at its Lemont location.
- Siemens Medical Solutions USA (sells refurbished medical imaging equipment) is closing its Wood Dale location and laying off 29 workers.

¹⁸ Davis, Katherine. "Chicago-area Employers Plan Hundreds of Layoffs." *Crain's Chicago Business*. April 8, 2016. - <http://www.chicagobusiness.com/article/20160408/EMPLOYMENT/160409830/chicago-area-employers-plan-hundreds-of-layoffs>

Combined Demographics Report

Assessment Area: Multi Chicago

Income Categories	Tract Distribution		Families by Tract Income		Families < Poverty Level as % of Families by Tract		Families by Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	280	12.6	172,989	7.6	58,177	33.6	503,776	22.1
Moderate-income	515	23.2	486,204	21.3	76,810	15.8	385,857	16.9
Middle-income	742	33.4	842,762	36.9	53,504	6.3	452,575	19.8
Upper-income	672	30.2	781,173	34.2	21,141	2.7	940,934	41.2
Unknown-income	14	0.6	14	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	2,223	100.0	2,283,142	100.0	209,632	9.2	2,283,14	100.0
	Housing	Housing Types by Tract						
	Units by Tract	Owner-Occupied			Rental		Vacant	
		#	%	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	348,549	87,814	3.8	25.2	189,408	54.3	71,327	20.5
Moderate-income	833,389	404,064	17.4	48.5	330,672	39.7	98,653	11.8
Middle-income	1,364,044	917,285	39.5	67.2	347,888	25.5	98,871	7.2
Upper-income	1,226,412	914,605	39.4	74.6	229,748	18.7	82,059	6.7
Unknown-income	14	3	0	21.4	11	78.6	0	0
Total Assessment Area	3,772,408	2,323,771	100.0	61.6	1,097,727	29.1	350,910	9.3
	Total Businesses by Tract	Businesses by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	17,215	4.3	15,567	4.3	1,581	4.1	67	4.6
Moderate-income	60,337	15.1	53,753	14.9	6,357	16.5	227	15.6
Middle-income	140,205	35	126,649	35.1	12,953	33.6	603	41.6
Upper-income	182,373	45.5	164,255	45.6	17,564	45.6	554	38.2
Unknown-income	307	0.1	233	0.1	74	0.2	0	0
Total Assessment Area	400,437	100.0	360,457	100.0	38,529	100.0	1,451	100.0
	Percentage of Total Businesses:			90.0		9.6		.4
	Total Farms by Tract	Farms by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	48	1.3	47	1.3	1	1.1	0	0
Moderate-income	203	5.5	196	5.4	7	7.8	0	0
Middle-income	2,207	59.7	2,156	59.8	51	56.7	0	0
Upper-income	1,237	33.5	1,205	33.4	31	34.4	1	100
Unknown-income	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	3,696	100.0	3,605	100.0	90	100.0	1	100.0
	Percentage of Total Farms:			97.5		2.4		.0

**CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN THE
CHICAGO-NAPERVILLE IL-IN-WI CSA**

Lending Test

Fifth Third’s performance under the lending test in this assessment area is rated “Outstanding.” Fifth Third has demonstrated an excellent responsiveness to the credit needs of the community. In addition, Fifth Third originated 77 community development loans totaling \$586.3 million in the area. Fifth Third has an excellent geographic distribution of loans in the area and there is a low level of lending gaps. Fifth Third has an excellent distribution among borrowers of different income levels and to businesses of different revenue sizes. Fifth Third exhibits a good record of serving the credit needs of highly economically disadvantaged areas in its assessment area, low-income individuals, or businesses with gross annual revenues of \$1 million or less. The excellent level of community development loans and use of flexible lending practices augmented Fifth Third’s performance in this assessment area.

Greatest consideration was given to the evaluation of refinance lending based on the overall volume of lending, followed by home purchase, small business, and home improvement. Details of Fifth Third’s residential mortgage and small business lending, as well as information regarding lending by peers, can be found in Appendix E.

Lending Activity

Lending activity reflects an excellent responsiveness to the credit needs within the assessment area. Fifth Third originated 5,919 refinance, 5,835 home purchase, 356 home improvement, 5,001 small business, and 77 community development loans during the evaluation period. The percentage of Fifth Third’s total lending at 11.9% is greater than the percentage of total deposits at 11.3% in this area.

Fifth Third made 99.0% of the HMDA and 99.6% of the CRA lending within this designated assessment area. There was no concentration of loans in any of the excluded counties within the assessment area; however, 69 loans were made in Kenosha County, Wisconsin during the evaluation period. Fifth Third does not have a physical presence in Wisconsin.

In addition to lending, Fifth Third modified existing loans to borrowers. The table below shows the distribution of Home Affordable Modification Program (HAMP) and other real-estate secured modifications within the assessment area by census tract income and by borrower income.

	Distribution by Census Tract Income							
	Low		Mod		Middle		Upper	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
HAMP Modifications	8	9.6%	19	22.9%	33	39.8%	23	27.7%
Other Real Estate Secured Modifications	31	3.8%	180	22.0%	398	48.5%	211	25.7%
<i>Percentage of Owner Occupied Units</i>		<i>3.8%</i>		<i>17.4%</i>		<i>39.5%</i>		<i>39.4%</i>

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

	Distribution by Borrower Income							
	Low		Mod		Middle		Upper	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Other Real Estate Secured Modifications	134	16.3%	267	32.6%	252	30.7%	160	19.5%
Percentage of Families by Family Income		22.1%		16.9%		19.8%		41.2%

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

The percentage of HAMP and other modifications in low- and moderate-income tracts exceeded or were comparable to the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies; therefore, modifications helped to expand lending activities in these areas.

Most of the borrower incomes for HAMP modifications were unknown; therefore, it would not be meaningful to review the income distribution for these modifications. The percentage of other real estate-secured modifications made to low-income borrowers was below the percentage of low-income families in the assessment area. However, the percentage of other modifications to moderate-income borrowers was substantially higher than the percentage of moderate-income families; therefore, modifications enhanced Fifth Third’s ability to reach moderate-income borrowers and low-income borrowers to a lesser extent. Further, several community contacts mentioned the need to help homeowners avoid foreclosure.

Geographic Distribution of Loans

Fifth Third’s overall distribution of lending among geographies is excellent. Refinance lending; the largest loan category is good, while performance for home purchase and home improvement lending is excellent. Small business lending is also excellent. There is also an overall low level of lending gaps. The following gaps in lending were noted in the assessment area:

Tract Income Levels	Number of Tracts	Tracts with no Loans	Penetration
Low	280	101	63.9%
Moderate	515	50	90.3%
Middle	742	21	97.2%
Upper	672	7	99.0%
Unknown	14	13	7.1%
Total	2,223	192	91.4%

Lending gaps are considered minimal, because there is a 90+ percent penetration rate in moderate-, middle-, and upper-income tracts. The lower penetration rate in low-income tracts is primarily due to the relatively low owner-occupancy rate and high percentage of rentals and vacancies in these areas.

Refinance Loans

Fifth Third made 181 refinance loans totaling \$32.9 million in low-income tracts. This represents 3.1% of refinance loans by volume and 2.3% by dollar amount, which is below the percentage of owner-occupied units at 3.8%. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate at 2.5% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate at 2.0%.

Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and that the majority of housing units are either rental or vacant, the geographic distribution of refinance loans in low-income tracts is good.

Fifth Third made 917 refinance loans totaling \$125.1 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 15.5% of refinance loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of owner-occupied units at 17.4%, and 8.6% by dollar amount, is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate at 12.3% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate at 10.4%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and 48.5% of the housing units in these geographies are owner-occupied, the geographic distribution of refinance loans in moderate-income tracts is good.

Fifth Third made 2,031 refinance loans totaling \$335.3 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 34.3% of refinance loans by volume, which is comparable to the owner-occupied units in these tracts at 39.5%, and 23.1% by dollar amount, is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate at 36.4% and was comparable to the 2015 aggregate at 34.9%.

Fifth Third made 2,790 refinance loans totaling \$957.8 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 47.1% of refinance loans by volume, which exceeds the owner-occupied units in these tracts at 39.4%, but the dollar amount at 66.0% is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate at 48.8% and was below the 2015 aggregate at 52.7%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of refinance loans is good.

Home Purchase Loans

Fifth Third made 210 home purchase loans totaling \$44.9 million in low-income tracts. This represents 3.6% of home purchase loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 3.8%, and 3.2% by dollar amount, which is comparable to the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate at 2.2% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate at 2.3%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and was comparable to the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies, the geographic distribution of home purchase loans in low-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 1,046 home purchase loans totaling \$161.2 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 17.9% of its home purchase loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 17.4%, and 11.4% by dollar amount, which was below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate at 13.3% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate at 13.2%. Since Fifth Third's performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and proxy, the geographic distribution of home purchase loans in moderate-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 2,086 home purchase loans totaling \$374.4 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 35.7% of home purchase loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 39.5%, and 26.4% by dollar amount, which is less than the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate of 38.7% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 39.3% in 2015.

Fifth Third made 2,493 home purchase loans totaling \$839.3 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 42.7% of home purchase loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 39.4%, and 59.1% by dollar amount, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 45.8% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 45.2%.

One of the community contacts stated the need for affordable housing in low- and moderate-income areas. Since Fifth Third was able to effectively penetrate these tracts, this supports the excellent geographic distribution of home purchase loans.

Home Improvement Loans

Fifth Third made 18 home improvement loans totaling \$1.8 million in low-income tracts. This represents 5.1% of home improvement loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 3.8%, and 5.5% by dollar amount, which also exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate of 3.6% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 3.3%. Given Fifth Third's performance and the median age of housing in low-income tracts of 61 years, which would likely indicate the need for home improvement loans, the geographic distribution of home improvement loans in low-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 62 home improvement loans totaling \$5.2 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 17.4% of home improvement loans by volume, which equals the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 17.4%, and 15.9% by dollar amount, which is less than the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 15.7% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 14.4%. The geographic distribution of home improvement loans in moderate-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 166 home improvement loans totaling \$11.9 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 46.6% of home improvement loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 39.5%, and 36.1% by dollar amount, which is less than the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 41.4% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 38.7% in 2015.

Fifth Third made 110 home improvement loans totaling \$14.0 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 30.9% of home improvements loans by volume, which is less than the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 39.4%, and 42.5% by dollar amount, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies.

The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 39.4% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 43.6%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of home improvement loans is excellent.

Small Business Loans

Fifth Third made 150 small business loans totaling \$23.7 million in low-income tracts. This represents 3.0% of small business loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of small businesses in these tracts at 4.3%, and 4.2% by dollar amount, which is also comparable to the percentage of businesses in these geographies. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate of 3.3% and was comparable to the 2015 aggregate of 3.5%. Given that Fifth Third's performance was comparable to the proxy and aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of small business loans in low-income tracts is good.

Fifth Third made 767 small business loans totaling \$87.4 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 15.3% of small business loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 14.9%, and is comparable to proxy by dollar amount at 15.4%. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 14.2% and was comparable to the 2015 aggregate of 15.0%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and exceeds proxy, the geographic distribution of small business loans in moderate-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 1,891 small business loans totaling \$197.2 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 37.8% of small business loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 35.1%, and 34.8% by dollar amount, which is comparable to the percentage of businesses in these geographies. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 34.9% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 35.0%.

Fifth Third made 2,189 small business loans totaling \$257.9 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 43.8% of small business loans by volume, which is less than the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 45.6%, and 45.5% by dollar amount, which is comparable to the percentage of businesses in these geographies. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate of 46.5% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 45.6%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of small business loans is excellent.

Distribution by Borrower Income and Revenue Size of the Business

Overall, the distribution of loans is excellent based on borrower income and for businesses of different revenue sizes. The borrower distribution is excellent for refinance, home purchase, and home improvement loans.

Refinance Loans

Fifth Third made 790 loans totaling \$80.4 million to low-income borrowers. This represents 13.3% of refinance loans by volume, which is significantly below the percentage of low-income families at 22.1%. The percentage of loans by dollar amount in these geographies at 5.5% is also significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 7.1% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 4.6%. Given that Fifth Third's performance was significantly greater than the aggregate of all lenders and comparable to proxy, the borrower distribution of refinance loans to low-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 965 loans totaling \$120.8 million to moderate-income borrowers. This represents 16.3% of refinance loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of moderate-income families at 16.9%, and 8.3% by dollar volume, which is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 13.1% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 11.3%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded the aggregate of lenders and was comparable to proxy, the borrower distribution of refinance loans to moderate-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 1,169 loans totaling \$182.7 million to middle-income borrowers. This represents 19.7% of refinance loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of middle-income families at 19.8%, and 12.6% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 18.8% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 17.9%.

Fifth Third made 2,682 loans totaling \$994.7 million to upper-income borrowers. This represents 45.3% of refinance loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of upper-income families at 41.2%, while the percentage of loans by dollar amount at 68.5% significantly exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 43.5% and was comparable to the 2015 aggregate of 44.5%.

Overall, the borrower distribution of refinance loans is excellent.

Home Purchase Loans

Fifth Third made 1,150 loans totaling \$121.6 million to low-income borrowers. This represents 19.7% of home purchase loans by volume, which is slightly below the percentage of low-income families at 22.1%, and 8.6% of loans by dollar amount, which is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 7.8% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 7.6%.

Given that Fifth Third's performance is significantly greater than the aggregate of all lenders and comparable to proxy, the borrower distribution of home purchase loans to low-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 1,133 loans totaling \$163.1 million to moderate-income borrowers. This represents 19.4% of home purchase loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of moderate-income families at 16.9%, and 11.5% of loans by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 19.0% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 18.9%. The borrower distribution of home purchase loans to moderate-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 972 loans totaling \$180.2 million to middle-income borrowers. This represents 16.7% of home purchase loans by volume, which is less than the percentage of middle-income families at 19.8%, and 12.7% by dollar amount, which is also below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate of 19.4% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 19.7%.

Fifth Third made 2,331 loans totaling \$895.9 million to upper-income borrowers. This represents 39.9% of home purchase loans by volume, which is slightly below the percentage of upper-income families at 41.2%, and 63.1% by dollar amount, which significantly exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 35.2% and was comparable to the 2015 aggregate of 34.6%.

A community contact stated that a lack of jobs, particularly for low- and moderate-income individuals, affected the ability of these individuals to buy homes. An excellent borrower distribution of home purchase loans demonstrates that Fifth Third was able to effectively reach these borrowers.

Home Improvement Loans

Fifth Third made 64 loans totaling \$4.2 million to low-income borrowers. This represents 18.0% of home improvement loans by volume, which is below the percentage of low-income families at 22.1%, and 12.8% by dollar amount, which is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 7.7% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 7.6%. Given that Fifth Third's performance significantly exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and the volume of loans was comparable to proxy, the borrower distribution of home improvement loans to low-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 95 loans totaling \$6.4 million to moderate-income borrowers. This represents 26.7% of its home improvement loans by volume, which substantially exceeds the percentage of moderate-income families at 16.9%, and 19.5% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 15.1% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 15.6%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and proxy, the borrower distribution of home improvement loans to moderate-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 78 loans totaling \$6.1 million to middle-income borrowers. This represents 21.9% of home improvement loans by volume, which is more than the percentage of middle-income families at 19.8%, and 18.6% by dollar amount, which is slightly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 19.9% and was slightly less than the 2015 aggregate of 22.9%.

Fifth Third made 117 loans totaling \$15.8 million to upper-income borrowers. This represents 32.9% of home improvement loans by volume, which was below the percentage of upper-income families at 41.2%, and 47.8% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 38.8% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 46.4%.

The overall borrower distribution of home improvement loans is excellent.

Small Business Loans

The distribution of small business loans to businesses of different sizes is excellent, considering Fifth Third’s performance relative to the aggregate of all lenders. Fifth Third was able to make 56.7% of small business loans to businesses with revenues of \$1 million or less. Fifth Third’s performance exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 39.8% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 45.0%, but was significantly below the percentage of small businesses in the assessment area at 90.0%. Also, during the evaluation period, Fifth Third was able to make a relatively high percentage of small-dollar loans (76.2%) up to \$100,000, indicating a willingness to lend in smaller amounts typically requested by small businesses.

Community Development Loans

Fifth Third originated 77 community development loans totaling \$586.3 million during the evaluation period as shown in the table below:

Affordable Housing		Economic Development		Revitalization and Stabilization		Community Services	
#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$
4	25,383,000	30	211,048,525	28	309,040,501	15	40,814,150

Community development lending in this assessment area represents 9.8% of the total dollar volume of community development loans originated by Fifth Third during the evaluation period. This ranks as Fifth Third’s second-highest percentage of community development lending during the evaluation period. Fifth Third’s performance is especially strong, considering the high competition for community development loans and a number of large national banks in the area. Fifth Third only has 3.2% of the deposit market share. As such, Fifth Third is considered a leader in community development lending in this assessment area.

Examples of community development lending include, but are not limited to:

- A renewal of a revolving line of credit to a Community Development Financial Institution (CDFI) that provides loans to LIHTC projects and commercial real estate developments in target communities

- A bridge loan to a development corporation that supports affordable housing and built 1,300 units of new affordable housing for families earning less than 80.0% of the area median income
- A construction loan to a development corporation to construct and renovate a HUD Rental Assistance Demonstration project – 150 units of new affordable housing for seniors. This construction project is in response to the City of Elgin 2015-2019 Consolidated Plan that states the need for affordable housing for seniors.
- Multiple working capital loans that promote economic development by financing businesses to support job retention, add new jobs, and promote growth to continue operations in low- and moderate-income geographies
- Loan to support a FEMA-declared disaster area
- Loans that support eligible activities in designated Empowerment Zones
- Working capital loans that support a school that serves low- and moderate-income students
- Working capital loans that support a nonprofit that provides services to developmentally disabled low- and moderate-income individuals
- Working capital loans to a nonprofit that provides job training to low- and moderate-income individuals
- Loan to purchase a building to nonprofit that provides job training and community services to low- and moderate-income Latino immigrants

The affordable housing loans and loans that provide services to low- and moderate-income areas were deemed to be responsive, as community contacts specifically mentioned the need for these types of loans.

Flexible Lending Programs

Fifth Third had 1,895 flexible lending loans in this assessment area: 972 government loans, 126 down payment assistance loans, and 800 other flexible lending programs. The following tables show the percentage by volume and by dollar amount of the three types of flexible lending programs made in this assessment area during the evaluation period and the distribution of Fifth Third's flexible lending programs within the assessment area by census tract income and borrower income.

Flexible Loan Programs												
	Geographic Distribution											
	Low			Moderate			Middle			Upper		
	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units
Government Loan Programs	3.3%	2.9%	3.8%	23.0%	19.0%	17.4%	50.0%	48.6%	39.5%	23.8%	29.5%	39.4%
Down Payment Assistance Programs	36.7%	42.2%	3.8%	31.3%	25.5%	17.4%	21.9%	13.2%	39.5%	10.2%	19.0%	39.4%
Other Flexible Lending Programs	1.9%	1.8%	3.8%	17.7%	12.3%	17.4%	45.5%	42.2%	39.5%	35.0%	43.7%	39.4%
Totals	4.9%	5.6%	3.8%	21.3%	16.6%	17.4%	46.2%	43.1%	39.5%	27.5%	34.6%	39.4%

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

Flexible Loan Programs												
	Borrower Distribution											
	Low			Moderate			Middle			Upper		
	% - #	% - \$	% Fam	% - #	% - \$	% Fam	% - #	% - \$	% Fam	% - #	% - \$	% Fam
Government Loan Programs	14.9%	9.6%	22.1%	30.6%	26.0%	16.9%	29.0%	31.0%	19.8%	22.7%	30.4%	41.2%
Down Payment Assistance Programs	47.6%	25.9%	22.1%	17.5%	11.1%	16.9%	11.9%	12.6%	19.8%	22.2%	50.1%	41.2%
Other Flexible Lending Programs	16.6%	11.7%	22.1%	20.1%	17.0%	16.9%	23.6%	21.4%	19.8%	36.5%	46.3%	41.2%
Totals	17.8%	11.8%	22.1%	25.3%	21.1%	16.9%	25.6%	25.6%	19.8%	28.5%	38.6%	41.2%

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

Overall, Fifth Third’s lending in low-income tracts, by number and dollar amount, exceeded the percentage of owner-occupied units, particularly for down payment assistance programs. The percentage of lending by volume in moderate-income tracts exceeded the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies, particularly for down payment assistance programs. Overall, the percentage of lending by dollar amount was below proxy.

Fifth Third’s lending by volume to low-income borrowers was below the percentage of low-income families, but significantly exceeded proxy for down payment assistance programs. The percentage of lending by volume and dollar amount to moderate-income borrowers exceeded the percentage of moderate-income families, particularly for government loan programs.

Despite high foreclosure rates in this assessment area, Fifth Third was able to assist low- and moderate-income borrowers or borrowers purchasing properties in a low- or moderate-income area to purchase homes through the use of several down payment assistance programs. Therefore, Fifth Third made use of flexible lending practices in serving assessment area credit needs since lending through flexible loan programs in low-income tracts and to low-income borrowers was good and lending in moderate-income tracts and to moderate-income borrowers was excellent.

Investment Test

Fifth Third’s performance relative to the investment test in the assessment area is rated “Outstanding.”

Fifth Third made an excellent level of qualified community development investments and grants, particularly those not routinely provided by private investors. As such, Fifth Third was often in a leadership position. Fifth Third has 481 qualified investments totaling \$212.5 million during the evaluation period. Shown in the table below are the total current period investments:

Affordable Housing		Economic Development		Revitalization and Stabilization		Community Services	
#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$
158	161,654,485	39	202,959	10	76,250	227	1,711,212

Also included in the total number of qualified investments are 47 prior period investments totaling \$48.9 million. Fifth Third made 13.0% of its total community development investments in this assessment area, which is greater than the percentage of total deposits at 11.3% and equivalent to the percentage of branch offices at 12.8%. Included in the total investments are 303 donations totaling \$9.8 million that supported local schools, small businesses, churches, food banks, health care, and affordable housing.

Fifth Third exhibits an excellent responsiveness to credit and community development needs in the assessment area, including investments in several affordable housing projects throughout the assessment area, which was an important need expressed by several community contacts.

Service Test

Fifth Third’s performance under the service test in this assessment area is rated “High Satisfactory.” Retail services are reasonably accessible and Fifth Third is a leader in providing community development services.

Retail Services

Fifth Third’s record of opening and closing offices has generally not adversely affected the accessibility of its delivery systems, particularly in low- and moderate-income geographies and to low- and moderate-income households. One banking center was opened and twelve were closed since the previous evaluation period, which resulted in the closure of two banking centers in low- and moderate-income tracts. Delivery services are reasonably accessible to Fifth Third’s geographies and individuals of different income levels.

Business hours and services provided do not vary in a way that inconveniences certain portions of the assessment area, including low- and moderate-income geographies or households and are consistent with the services and hours discussed in the “Institution” assessment.

Fifth Third maintains 166 banking centers within this assessment area, including eight in low-, 26 in moderate-, 63 in middle-, and 69 in upper-income census tracts. Fifth Third banking centers in this assessment area represent 12.8% of all its banking centers. Fifth Third has a total of 250 full-service ATMs within this assessment area, including one in low-, 41 in moderate-, 88 in middle-, and 110 in upper-income census tracts.

The following table illustrates the percentage of banking centers and ATMs in low-, moderate-, middle-, and upper-income census tracts in comparison to the number and percentage of census tracts and the percentage of households and businesses in those tracts.

Geographic Distribution of Branches & ATMS

Assessment Area: Multi Chicago

Tract Category	Branches				Stand Alone ATMs						Demographics				
	Total Branches		Open	Closed	Total ATMs		Full Service ATMs		Cash only ATMs		Census Tracts		House holds	Total Businesses	
	#	%			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%			
Low	8	4.8%	0	1	Total	13	4.3%	11	4.4%	2	4.0%	280	12.6%	8.1%	4.2%
Moderate	26	15.7%	1	1	Total	47	15.7%	41	16.4%	6	12.0%	515	23.2%	21.5%	14.9%
Middle	63	38.0%	0	5	Total	102	34.0%	88	35.2%	14	28.0%	742	33.4%	37.0%	34.9%
Upper	69	41.6%	0	5	Total	138	46.0%	110	44.0%	28	56.0%	672	30.2%	33.4%	45.8%
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0	Total	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	14	0.6%	0.0%	0.1%
Total	166	100.0%	1	12	Total	300	100.0%	250	100.0%	50	100.0%	2223	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

2016 FFIEC Census Data, 2010 ACS Data, and 2015 D&B Information

Closed branches/ATMs are only included in "closed" columns and are not included in any other totals.

DTO - Drive thru only is a subset of total branches

SA = Stand Alone ATM is a subset of total ATMs

Branch distribution within low-income tracts was considered poor, as the distribution of branches was below the percentage of census tracts and households in these tracts. However, the branch distribution within moderate-income tracts was considered adequate.

Community Development Services

Fifth Third is a leader in providing community development services in this assessment area. During the evaluation period, Fifth Third employees provided 12,006 hours of community development service to local organizations serving low- and moderate-income individuals, which represents 10.1% of all community development services provided and equates to 5.77 annualized persons (ANP).

Affordable Housing	Economic Development	Revitalization and Stabilization	Community Services
<i># of Hours</i>	<i># of Hours</i>	<i># of Hours</i>	<i># of Hours</i>
800	1,239	1	9,966

Employees provided financial expertise through leadership positions in multiple community organizations that provide affordable housing and promote community and economic development and area revitalization and stabilization.

Community development services include 5,092 hours of providing financial literacy through local nonprofits and school programs, 4,671 hours serving on boards and committees, 1,811 hours providing technical assistance to non-profits and local business, and 432 hours participating in foreclosure prevention outreach.

Fifth Third is considered particularly responsive with regard to hours dedicated to financial literacy and foreclosure outreach prevention activities. Several community contacts mentioned the need for financial literacy training to provide debt counseling and assist first-time homebuyers. Also, the rate of foreclosures remains significantly higher in multiple counties throughout this assessment area compared to the foreclosure rates in Illinois, Indiana, and the nation.

MULTI-STATE METROPOLITAN AREA
(Full-scope Review)

CRA RATING for Cincinnati OH-KY-IN MSA #17140: Outstanding

The lending test is rated: Outstanding

The investment test is rated: Outstanding

The service test is rated: High Satisfactory

The major factors supporting this rating include:

- An excellent responsiveness to credit needs;
- An excellent geographic distribution of loans throughout the assessment area;
- An excellent distribution of loans among borrowers of different income levels and good to businesses of different revenue sizes;
- Exhibits a good record of serving the credit needs of low-income individuals and areas and very small businesses;
- A leader in making community development loans;
- An extensive use of flexible lending practices in serving the assessment area's credit needs;
- An excellent level of qualified community development investments and grants;
- Often in a leadership position in providing community development investments and grants;
- Retail delivery systems are accessible to all geographies and individuals of different income levels and businesses of different revenue sizes;
- A record of opening and closing banking centers has not adversely affected the accessibility of delivery systems;
- Banking services and hours that do not vary in a way that inconveniences any portions of the assessment areas; and,
- A leader in providing community development services.

SCOPE OF EXAMINATION

A full-scope review was conducted for the Cincinnati multistate assessment area. The time period, products, and affiliates evaluated for this assessment area are consistent with the scope discussed in the "Institution" section of this report.

DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION'S OPERATIONS IN THE CINCINNATI OH-KY-IN MSA

The Cincinnati OH-KY-IN MSA includes Brown, Butler, Clermont, Hamilton and Warren counties in Ohio; Dearborn and Ohio counties in Indiana; and Boone, Campbell, Gallatin, Grant, Kenton, and Pendleton counties in Kentucky. Fifth Third's assessment area excludes Union County in Indiana and Bracken County in Kentucky. The assessment area is comprised of 56 low-, 120 moderate-, 196 middle-, and 117 upper-income tracts. There are also four tracts with no income designation that are primarily composed of correctional institutions, military establishments, education facilities, or medical establishments that do not report income information.

As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third ranked second out of 64 institutions with 27.8% of the deposit market share. U.S. Bank had 49.0% of the market share. Deposits in this assessment area accounted for 28.0% of Fifth Third's total deposits. This was the highest percentage of deposits within Fifth Third's CRA footprint.¹⁹

From January 1, 2014 through June 30, 2016, Fifth Third originated 10,527 HMDA loans and 5,120 CRA loans, which represented 10.1% and 13.1% of the total loans originated during the evaluation period, respectively. This was the second-largest HMDA market and largest CRA market for loans originated during the evaluation period.

In 2015, Fifth Third Mortgage Company ranked fourth among 522 HMDA reporters in the assessment area and Fifth Third ranked 20th. Union Savings Bank, Guardian Savings Bank, and Wells Fargo Bank were the top three HMDA lenders in the assessment area. Fifth Third ranked sixth of 116 CRA reporters in the assessment area in 2015. The top four CRA lenders in the assessment area were U.S. Bank, American Express Bank, PNC Bank, Capital One, and Citibank. These lenders are mostly issuers of credit cards and their CRA loans primarily consist of commercial credit card accounts.

Seven community contact interviews were conducted to provide additional information regarding the assessment area. The first contact, representing a community development fund that underwrites and services community development real estate loans supporting the creation or preservation of affordable housing and the revitalization of urban communities, stated the area has experienced growth and repopulation of the city, which has resulted in available funds to help subsidize lower-income housing. The contact indicated involvement from banks in the fund is very strong, although regulatory burdens may impact a bank's ability to conduct outreach.

The second contact, representing a community development organization in Hamilton County, stated that there are limited banking services available in low-income areas. There are only two regional bank branches and two local bank branches that service this community. The contact mentioned that through a tax credit project, PNC Bank provided funding for a new theater and parking garage. The contact also mentioned Fifth Third periodically participates in a funding pool and tax credit projects that help support local real estate development projects.

¹⁹ The high percentage of total deposits (27.8%) is primarily due to deposits that cannot be allocated to specific areas are allocated to Fifth Third's corporate headquarters located in this MSA.

The third contact, representing a community development organization that provides funding to small businesses in Clermont County, stated that the area is experiencing low unemployment rates. Employers are providing technical training to high school students and are offering them jobs after graduation. Several local banks have assisted with funding to construct a new school which will serve 6,000 students. In addition, there are two hospital construction projects underway in the community. The contact believed there is a need for banks to fund new construction projects and extend more working capital loans to small businesses. The contact specifically mentioned Fifth Third as being active in the community.

The fourth contact, representing an affordable housing and community development organization in Butler County, stated there is a substantial need for quality affordable housing in the area. While there is an abundance of affordable housing in the county, what is available is mostly dilapidated and in need of rehabilitation. As a result, the contact believed there is a substantial need for home improvement-type lending in the area. The contact stated several area banks partner with this organization to offer first-time homebuyer assistance to its clients; however, the contact believed it would be nice to see more banks in the area get involved. Overall, the contact believed area banks are doing a good job of being involved in the community.

The fifth contact, representing a community development organization in the Northern Kentucky and Cincinnati region, stated there are available jobs in the area, but employers state they cannot find workers with the right skills to take the jobs. The contact also stated small businesses want to invest and expand, but require working capital. The contact believed that loans are being originated at a steady pace; that many banks are still apprehensive to make loans to start-up businesses.

The sixth contact, representing an organization that provides services to low-income individuals, stated there still individuals struggling to fully recover from the foreclosure crisis and in certain portions of Hamilton County, there are a significant number of vacant properties. However, there are a number of redevelopment projects in progress in several low- and moderate-income communities. The contact stated this organization serves a large number of low-income renters and rental rates are increasing considerably in the area; therefore, affordable rents for lower income individuals are a significant concern. Also, the contact believed that banks offered first-time homebuyer assistance more generously prior to the financial crises and that larger banks have cut back on grant money. The contact explained before the financial crisis, the organization used to receive \$30,000-\$40,000 a year in grants and now it receives about \$5,000-\$10,000 a year. Most of the assistance received from banks is in the form of financial literacy and first-time homebuyer classes. The contact noted that banks are beginning to inquire about community development needs again and specifically noted that Fifth Third recently contacted this organization.

The seventh contact, representing an affordable housing organization in Hamilton County, stated there is a need for lower-income housing in the area and reliable public transportation to provide access to jobs. The contact believed there are opportunities for banks to finance building rehabilitation projects within the city, invest in funds that provide tax credits to organizations such as this one, and fund more construction loans.

The contact specifically mentioned PNC Bank, First Financial, BB&T, Fifth Third, and River Hills Bank as being active with this organization.

Population Characteristics

According to 2010 U.S. Census data, the population in the assessment area was 2.1 million. About 27.2% of the population lived in low- and moderate-income tracts. In addition, 75.1% of the population was 18 years of age or older, the legal age to enter into a contract.

As of July 1, 2015, the Cincinnati MSA is the 28th largest in terms of population in the nation and the largest metropolitan area in Ohio.²⁰ Hamilton County is by far the largest county in the assessment area, which includes Cincinnati and is the third-most populous county in Ohio.²¹ Cincinnati is the third-largest city in Ohio and is the 66th most populous city in the U.S. Cincinnati has 298,550 residents and its population decreased by 9.8% between 2000 and 2015; however, the worst of the decline seems to be over, since the population increased by 0.5% between 2010 and 2015.²² According to Moody's Analytics, Cincinnati has below-average population growth and in 2015, the migration flow into and out of Cincinnati resulted in a loss of 2,545 area residents. In contrast, the next largest cities in the MSA are Hamilton and Middletown, which have 62,407 and 48,760, respectively.²³

The following table shows the population in the assessment area by county for 2010 and 2015, with the percentage of the population increase or decrease.²⁴ For the most part, the population within the MSA experienced positive growth between 2010 and 2015, with Boone County experiencing the greatest growth and Pendleton County experiencing the greatest decline in population.

²⁰ MSA population data is derived from the U.S. Census Data 2015 Statistical Abstract:

<https://factfinder.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productview.xhtml?src=bkmk>

²¹ U.S. Places: <http://us-places.com> (main page – enter state, choose population by county)

²² Cincinnati population: <http://worldpopulationreview.com/us-cities/cincinnati-population>

²³ U.S. Census QuickFacts: <https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/> (main page – enter state, county, city, town, or zip code)

²⁴ Population Estimates derived from U.S. Census Data (April 1, 2010 – July 1, 2015):

<http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/table/PST045216/00>

County	2010 Population	2015 Population	Population Percent Change
Dearborn, IN	50,047	49,455	-1.2%
Ohio, IN	6,128	5,938	-3.1%
Boone, KY	118,811	127,712	7.5%
Campbell, KY	90,336	92,066	1.9%
Gallatin, KY	8,589	8,636	0.5%
Grant, KY	24,662	24,757	0.4%
Kenton, KY	159,720	165,012	3.3%
Pendleton, KY	14,877	14,408	-3.2%
Brown, OH	44,846	43,839	-2.2%
Butler, OH	368,130	376,353	2.2%
Clermont, OH	197,363	201,973	2.3%
Hamilton, OH	802,374	807,598	-0.7%
Warren, OH	212,693	224,469	5.5%
Total	2,098,576	2,142,216	2.1%

Income Characteristics

In 2010 the MSA median family income was significantly higher (\$67,016) than Ohio, Kentucky, and Indiana at \$59,680, \$52,046, and \$58,944, respectively. Between 2014 and 2015, the median family income increased; however, between 2015 and 2016, the median family income decreased by 5.0% in this MSA.

Borrower Income Levels Cincinnati, OH-KY-IN MSA

FFIEC Estimated Median Family Income		Low 0 - 49.99%	Moderate 50% - 79.99%	Middle 80% - 119.99%	Upper 120% - & above
2014	\$71,100	0 - \$35,549	\$35,550 - \$56,879	\$56,880 - \$85,319	\$85,320 - & above
2015	\$72,400	0 - \$36,199	\$36,200 - \$57,919	\$57,920 - \$86,879	\$86,880 - & above
2016	\$68,800	0 - \$34,399	\$34,400 - \$55,039	\$55,040 - \$82,559	\$82,560 - & above

Poverty rates increased in each county in the assessment area from 1999 to 2015.²⁵ In 2015, Hamilton, Grant, and Pendleton counties had the highest poverty rates compared to Gallatin, Hamilton, and Pendleton counties in 1999. In 2015, only Hamilton and Brown counties had poverty rates higher than the state-level poverty rate (Ohio). However, Butler and Campbell counties experienced the largest increase in poverty rates during this period.

²⁵ U.S. Department of Agriculture Economic Research Service Poverty Rates (for 1999 and 2015): <https://data.ers.usda.gov/reports.aspx?ID=17826>

In 2015, Kentucky’s, Ohio’s, and Indiana’s poverty rates exceeded the national poverty rate. According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the national poverty rate in 2015 was 13.5%, down 1.3 percentage points from 14.8% in 2014. For most demographic groups, the 2015 poverty rates and number of people in poverty decreased from 2014.²⁶ The following table shows the poverty rates for 1999²⁷ and 2015.

County	1999 Poverty Rate	2015 Poverty Rate	Change
Dearborn	6.6%	8.9%	34.8%
Ohio	7.1%	10.2%	43.7%
Indiana	9.5%	14.4%	51.6%
Boone	5.6%	8.2%	46.4%
Campbell	9.3%	14.4%	54.8%
Gallatin	13.4%	15.0%	11.9%
Grant	11.1%	16.4%	47.7%
Kenton	9.0%	12.8%	42.2%
Pendleton	11.4%	16.4%	43.9%
Kentucky	15.8%	18.3%	15.8%
Brown	11.6%	14.9%	28.4%
Butler	8.7%	14.4%	65.5%
Clermont	7.1%	9.5%	33.8%
Hamilton	11.8%	16.6%	40.7%
Warren	4.2%	5.2%	23.8%
Ohio	10.6%	14.8%	39.6%
U.S.	11.8%	13.5%	14.4%

Housing Characteristics

According to 2010 U.S. Census data, there are 899,127 housing units and 533,195 million families in the assessment area. From an income perspective, 31.3% of housing units, 20.2% of owner-occupied units, and 24.7% of families are located in low- or moderate-income tracts. Over three quarters of the housing units in the low-income census tracts are either rental or vacant (78.8%) and 21.2% are owner-occupied. In the moderate-income census tracts, over half of the housing units are either rental or vacant (54.1%) and 45.9% are owner-occupied. Therefore, based on the number of housing units compared to the number of families in low- and moderate-income census tracts, there appear to be credit-related opportunities for Fifth Third to provide various aspects of affordable housing in the assessment area.

²⁶ 2015 National Poverty: <http://www.census.gov/library/publications/2016/demo/p60-256.html>

²⁷ 1999 National Poverty Rate: <http://www.census.gov/prod/2000pubs/p60-210.pdf>

The 2010 U.S. Census data shows the median age of housing stock in the assessment area was 40 years old, with 25.3% of the stock built before 1950. The oldest housing stock was in Campbell and Kenton counties with a median age of 46 and 41 years, respectively, while the newest was 17 years in Boone County. However, within the assessment area, the median age of housing stock was 61 years in low-income tracts and 53 years in moderate-income tracts, which indicates that there is ample opportunity for Fifth Third to provide home improvement and rehabilitation loans in these lower-income areas.

According to 2010 U.S. Census data, the median housing value in the assessment area was \$156,715, with an affordability ratio of 34.22. The affordability ratio is derived by dividing the median household income by the median housing value. The higher the affordability ratio, the more affordable a home is considered. While median housing values fluctuated between 2010 and 2011-2015, housing largely became more affordable across the assessment area. According to Moody's Analytics, Cincinnati has low living costs and affordable housing. During the evaluation period, the most affordable housing was in Pendleton and Gallatin counties, with the least affordable in Hamilton and Ohio counties. Median gross rents increased at a fairly substantial rate across the assessment area, with renters in Dearborn and Gallatin counties experiencing the largest increase in rental rates, renters in Ohio County experiencing the smallest increase, and renters in Pendleton experiencing a decrease in gross rental rates. The table below presents housing characteristics from the U.S. Census data between 2010 and 2015 in the assessment area, Indiana, Kentucky, and Ohio.

County	2010 Median Housing Value	2010 Affordability Ratio	2011-2015 Median Housing Value	2011-2015 Affordability Ratio	2010 Median Gross Rent	2011-2015 Median Gross Rent	Percent of Change
Dearborn	\$160,300	35.43	\$159,100	36.88	\$631	\$747	18.4%
Ohio	\$134,200	37.98	\$141,000	34.60	\$657	\$671	2.1%
Indiana	\$123,000	38.78	\$124,200	39.66	\$683	\$747	9.4%
Boone	\$175,900	37.83	\$175,100	38.11	\$797	\$905	13.6%
Campbell	\$146,300	35.19	\$150,400	36.32	\$672	\$748	11.3%
Gallatin	\$105,500	39.16	\$107,500	45.00	\$576	\$669	16.1%
Grant	\$117,900	36.03	\$124,900	35.89	\$665	\$715	7.5%
Kenton	\$145,200	36.65	\$145,200	37.39	\$667	\$747	12.0%
Pendleton	\$101,200	44.14	\$104,800	46.14	\$611	\$583	-4.6%
Kentucky	\$116,800	35.60	\$123,200	35.50	\$601	\$675	12.3%
Brown	\$124,100	36.98	\$113,800	40.04	\$624	\$649	4.0%
Butler	\$160,600	34.11	\$157,200	36.60	\$752	\$817	8.6%
Clermont	\$162,000	36.09	\$155,500	39.10	\$698	\$764	9.5%
Hamilton	\$148,200	32.55	\$142,000	34.52	\$652	\$709	8.7%
Warren	\$194,700	36.61	\$190,900	38.96	\$890	\$923	3.7%
Ohio	\$136,400	34.72	\$129,900	38.05	\$678	\$730	7.7%

According to Bankrate.com,²⁸ Ohio ranked eighth, Indiana ranked 15th, and Kentucky ranked 43rd for foreclosure filings in November 2016. The national average for foreclosure filings was one in every 1,533 housing units. The following table contains information about foreclosure filings in the assessment area, according to Realtytrac.²⁹

Geography Name	Ratio of Properties Receiving Foreclosure Filings in November 2016
Dearborn	1:745
Ohio	NA
Indiana	1:1,590
Boone	1:2,082
Campbell	1:2,826
Gallatin	NA
Grant	NA
Kenton	1:1,381
Pendleton	NA
Kentucky	1:4,270
Brown	1:1,056
Butler	1:861
Clermont	1:1,543
Hamilton	1:788
Warren	1:1,491
Ohio	1:1,053
U.S.	1:1,533

In November 2016, Dearborn, Hamilton, and Butler counties had the highest rates of foreclosure and Campbell and Boone counties had the lowest rates of foreclosure in the assessment area.

Building permits for this MSA, Indiana, Kentucky, Ohio, and the nation are included in the following table for 2014, 2015, and 2016.³⁰

Geography	2014	2015	Percent of Change 2014-2015	2016	Percent of Change 2015-2016
MSA	5,206	4,661	-10.5%	5,859	25.7%
Indiana	17,813	18,483	3.8%	18,317	-0.9%
Kentucky	9,421	10,566	12.2%	12,798	21.1%
Ohio	19,965	20,047	0.4%	22,269	11.1%
U.S.	1,052,124	1,182,582	12.4%	1,190,191	0.6%

²⁸ Bankrate.com: <http://www.bankrate.com/finance/real-estate/foreclosures-by-state/default.aspx>

²⁹ Realtytrac: <http://www.realtytrac.com/statsandrends/foreclosurestrends/>

³⁰ U.S. Census Bureau Building Permits Survey: <http://www.census.gov/construction/bps/>

While the MSA experienced a decrease in the number of housing permits issued between 2014 and 2015, the MSA also experienced a substantial increase of housing permits between 2015 and 2016. The rise in the number of permits could indicate the demand for home purchase loans increased in the MSA during the evaluation period.

Labor, Employment, and Economic Characteristics

According to Moody's Analytics, Cincinnati's economy is outpacing the rest of Ohio and the nation. Factory output is contracting more quickly than in other parts of the state, whereas goods industries have been cutting jobs. Cincinnati is expected to add slightly more jobs in 2017 than in 2016 due to robust growth in white-collar services and healthcare.

According to Cincinnati Business Courier,³¹ Greater Cincinnati is home to ten of the nation's largest companies in 2016. A new Fortune 500 firm was added to the list, but the following two firms fell off the list:

- Omnicare (acquired by CVS Health Corp. last year), which was No. 414 on the list in 2015
- General Cable Corp. (fell to No. 544 this year), which was No. 443 on the list in 2015

Cincinnati Fortune 500 Companies (2016)³²		
Rank	Company	Annual Revenue
17	Kroger Co.	\$109.8 billion
21	Cardinal Health	\$102.5 billion
34	Procter & Gamble Co.	\$78.8 billion
103	Macy's Inc.	\$27 billion
376	Fifth Third Bancorp	\$7 billion
383	AK Steel Holding Corp.	\$6.7 billion
421	American Financial Group	\$6.1 billion
472	Ashland Inc.	\$5.4 billion
479	Western & Southern Financial Group	\$5.4 billion
499	Cincinnati Financial	\$5.1 billion


According to Moody's Analytics, the top ten employers in the MSA in 2015/2016 were:

Company	Number of Employees
Local Government	83,810
State Government	30,688
Kroger Co.	21,646
University of Cincinnati	16,016
Federal Government	15,366
Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center	14,944
TriHealth Inc.	11,800
Procter & Gamble Co.	11,000
UC Health	10,000
GE Aviation	7,800

³¹ Cincinnati Business Courier: <http://www.bizjournals.com/cincinnati/news/2016/06/06/these-cincinnati-firms-made-this-year-s-fortune.html>

³² Bold type indicates company new to the list in 2016 (returned to the list this year – it was No. 525 in 2015)

The following table illustrates the average unemployment rates for 2014, 2015, and June 2016 for the counties in the MSA, Ohio, Kentucky, and Indiana.



Overall, the unemployment rates declined from 2014 to 2015 and varied between 2015 and June 2016. Brown County (OH) had the highest unemployment rates all three years. Warren County (OH) had the lowest unemployment rates in 2014 and 2015, while Campbell County (KY) had the lowest unemployment rate in 2016.

According to *The Enquirer*, GE Aviation cut 307 engineering jobs (238 of which are located in the Cincinnati area) or 7.0% of its workforce at the end of January 2017. The company said the cuts are due to engine development programs that have been completed.³³ According to an article in the *Cincinnati Business Courier*, Omnicare Inc. laid off more of its employees following its acquisition in 2015 by CVS Pharmacy Inc. The Cincinnati-based health care firm initially laid off 232 employees, including numerous high-level executives (who worked in its downtown headquarters), and by May 2016, 13 more employees will receive layoff notices.³⁴

³³The Enquirer. "Omnicare continues layoffs." *Cincinnati.com*. January 28, 2017.

http://www.bizjournals.com/cincinnati/morning_call/2016/02/omnicare-continues-layoffs.html

³⁴ Caproni, Erin. "GE Aviation laying off 238 engineers locally." *Cincinnati Business Courier*. February 10, 2016. -

<http://www.cincinnati.com/story/money/2016/01/28/ge-aviation-laying-off-234-engineers-locally/79459654/>

According to an article in *Cincinnati!com*, Procter & Gamble (P&G) will cut another 3,000-6,000 office jobs worldwide in the next two years (an unknown number of cuts could occur in Cincinnati, where the company is headquartered and employs roughly 11,000 in the region). In 2012, P&G first announced it would slash 5,700 jobs or 10.0% of its nonmanufacturing jobs. From 2012-2015, P&G has eliminated a total of nearly 11,000 office positions and an additional 10,000 manufacturing jobs worldwide. In April 2015, P&G announced it would be eliminating 25.0-30.0% of office positions by mid-2017 and has already eliminated 19.0% of those positions. The company has achieved a net reduction in jobs despite a worldwide factory building boom. The company plans at least another 18 projects for new or expanded plants in overseas markets.³⁵

³⁵ Coolidge, Alexander. "P&G to cut thousands of jobs in next 2 years." *Cincinnati!com*. April 23, 2015. - <http://www.cincinnati.com/story/money/2015/04/23/pg-reports-profit/26228245/>

Combined Demographics Report

Assessment Area: Multi Cincinnati

Income Categories	Tract Distribution		Families by Tract Income		Families < Poverty Level as % of Families by Tract		Families by Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	56	11.4	27,494	5.2	10,982	39.9	110,650	20.8
Moderate-income	120	24.3	104,050	19.5	16,685	16	91,799	17.2
Middle-income	196	39.8	236,437	44.3	14,952	6.3	112,467	21.1
Upper-income	117	23.7	165,196	31	4,158	2.5	218,279	40.9
Unknown-income	4	0.8	18	0	8	44.4	0	0
Total Assessment Area	493	100.0	533,195	100.0	46,785	8.8	533,195	100.0
	Housing Units by Tract	Housing Types by Tract						
		Owner-Occupied			Rental		Vacant	
		#	%	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	72,129	15,288	2.8	21.2	36,892	51.1	19,949	27.7
Moderate-income	209,570	96,151	17.4	45.9	83,782	40	29,637	14.1
Middle-income	381,165	255,870	46.3	67.1	92,728	24.3	32,567	8.5
Upper-income	236,160	185,514	33.6	78.6	35,768	15.1	14,878	6.3
Unknown-income	103	9	0	8.7	50	48.5	44	42.7
Total Assessment Area	899,127	552,832	100.0	61.5	249,220	27.7	97,075	10.8
	Total Businesses by Tract	Businesses by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Low-income	4,797	5.2	4,040	4.9	733	9.4	24	5.3
Moderate-income	19,463	21.3	17,089	20.5	2,264	29.1	110	24.5
Middle-income	36,867	40.3	33,919	40.7	2,728	35.1	220	49
Upper-income	30,308	33.1	28,168	33.8	2,045	26.3	95	21.2
Unknown-income	35	0	24	0	11	0.1	0	0
Total Assessment Area	91,470	100.0	83,240	100.0	7,781	100.0	449	100.0
Percentage of Total Businesses:			91.0		8.5		.5	
	Total Farms by Tract	Farms by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Low-income	13	0.8	11	0.7	2	20	0	0
Moderate-income	250	15.3	249	15.3	1	10	0	0
Middle-income	1,064	65	1,061	65.3	3	30	0	0
Upper-income	309	18.9	305	18.8	4	40	0	0
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	1,636	100.0	1,626	100.0	10	100.0	0	.0
Percentage of Total Farms:			99.4		.6		.0	

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN THE CINCINNATI OH-KY-IN MSA

Lending Test

Fifth Third's performance under the lending test in this assessment area is rated "Outstanding." Fifth Third has demonstrated an excellent responsiveness to the credit needs of the community. In addition, Fifth Third originated 93 community development loans totaling \$996.4 million in the area. Fifth Third has an excellent geographic distribution of loans and a low level of lending gaps and an excellent distribution among borrowers of different income levels and a good distribution of loans to businesses of different revenue sizes. Fifth Third exhibits a good record of serving the credit needs of highly economically disadvantaged areas in its assessment area, low-income individuals, or businesses with gross annual revenues of \$1 million or less. The excellent level of community development loans and extensive use of flexible lending practices augmented Fifth Third's performance in this assessment area.

Greatest consideration was given to the evaluation of refinance lending based on the overall volume of lending, followed by small business, home purchase, and home improvement lending. Details of Fifth Third's residential mortgage and small business lending, as well as information regarding lending by peers, can be found in Appendix E.

Lending Activity

Lending activity reflects an excellent responsiveness to the credit needs within the assessment area. The percentage of Fifth Third's lending in Cincinnati is 10.9%, while the percentage of total deposits is much higher at 28.0%. As previously mentioned, the large disparity between the percentage of deposits and loans in this AA is primarily because deposits that cannot be assigned to one specific area are assigned to Fifth Third's headquarters in Cincinnati. Fifth Third originated 5,129 refinance, 4,974 home purchase, 410 home improvement, 5,120 small business, and 93 community development loans during the evaluation period.

Fifth Third made 99.7% of the HMDA and 99.9% of the CRA lending within this designated assessment area. There was no concentration of loans identified in any of the excluded counties within the assessment area.

In addition to lending, Fifth Third modified existing loans to borrowers. Refer to the distribution of HAMP and other real-estate secured modifications within the assessment area by census tract income and by borrower income.

	Distribution by Census Tract Income							
	Low		Moderate		Middle		Upper	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
HAMP Modifications	0	0.0%	19	29.2%	38	58.5%	8	12.3%
Other Real Estate Secured Modifications	26	5.6%	124	26.7%	200	43.0%	115	24.7%
<i>Percentage of Owner Occupied Units</i>		<i>2.8%</i>		<i>17.4%</i>		<i>46.3%</i>		<i>33.6%</i>

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

	Distribution by Borrower Income							
	Low		Moderate		Middle		Upper	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Other Real Estate Secured Modifications	137	29.5%	145	31.2%	91	19.6%	89	19.1%
<i>Percentage of Families by Family Income</i>		<i>20.8%</i>		<i>17.2%</i>		<i>21.1%</i>		<i>40.9%</i>

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

The percentage of HAMP modifications in moderate-income tracts and the percentage of other modifications in low- and moderate-income tracts exceeded the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies; therefore, modifications helped to expand lending activities in these areas.

Most of the borrower incomes for HAMP modifications were unknown; therefore, it would not be meaningful to review the income distribution for these modifications. The percentage of other modifications made to low- and moderate-income borrowers exceeded the percentage of low- and moderate-income families in the assessment area. Thus, modifications enhanced Fifth Third’s ability to reach low- and moderate-income borrowers.

Geographic Distribution of Loans

Fifth Third’s overall distribution of lending among geographies is excellent. Refinance lending is excellent. Home purchase and home improvement lending is good. Small business lending is excellent. There is also a low overall level of gaps in lending. There is also an overall low level of lending gaps. The following gaps in lending were noted in the assessment area:

Tract Income Levels	Number of Tracts	Tracts with No Loans	Penetration
Low	56	2	96.4%
Moderate	120	0	100.0%
Middle	196	1	99.5%
Upper	117	0	100.0%
Unknown	4	3	25.0%
Total	493	6	98.8%

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table

Lending gaps are considered minimal, as there are no lending gaps in moderate- and upper-income geographies and minimal lending gaps in low-and middle-income geographies.

Refinance Loans

Fifth Third made 135 refinance loans totaling \$10.8 million in low-income tracts. This represents 2.6% of refinance loans by volume and 1.5% by dollar amount, which is below the percentage of owner-occupied units at 2.8%. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate at 1.9% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate at 1.5% in 2015. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and the owner-occupancy rate in low-income rates is only 21.2%, the geographic distribution of refinance loans in low-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 849 refinance loans totaling \$74.2 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 16.6% of refinance loans by volume, which is comparable to the owner-occupied units in these tracts at 17.4%. The percentage of loans by dollar amount at 10.2% is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate at 14.5% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate at 13.0%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and that less than half the housing units are owner-occupied (45.9%), the geographic distribution of refinance loans in moderate-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 2,189 refinance loans totaling \$250.5 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 42.7% of refinance loans by volume, which is comparable to the owner-occupied units in these tracts at 46.3%. However, refinance loans by dollar amount (34.6%) was below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate at 45.1% and was below the 2015 aggregate at 43.8%.

Fifth Third made 1,956 refinance loans totaling \$389.5 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 38.1% of refinance loans by volume, which exceeds the owner-occupied units in these tracts at 33.6%, and 53.7% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate at 38.4% and was comparable to the 2015 aggregate at 41.7%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of refinance loans is excellent.

Home Purchase Loans

Fifth Third made 131 home purchase loans totaling \$14.1 million in low-income tracts. This represents 2.6% of home purchase loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 2.8%, and 1.7% by dollar amount, which is comparable to the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate at 1.8% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate at 1.8%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and was comparable to the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies, the geographic distribution in low-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 890 home purchase loans totaling \$92.1 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 17.9% of its home purchase loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 17.4%, and 11.1% by dollar amount, which is less than the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate at 13.6% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate at 14.9%. Since Fifth Third performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and proxy, the geographic distribution in moderate-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 2,078 home purchase loans totaling \$277.1 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 41.8% of home purchase loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 46.3%, and 33.5% by dollar amount, which is less than the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 45.8% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 45.8% in 2015.

Fifth Third made 1,875 home purchase loans totaling \$443.5 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 37.7% of home purchase loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 33.6%, and 53.6% by dollar amount, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 38.8% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 37.6%.

Several of the community contacts stated the need for affordable housing in low-and moderate-income areas. Since Fifth Third was able to penetrate these areas at an excellent level, the geographic distribution of home purchase loans is excellent.

Home Improvement Loans

Fifth Third made ten home improvement loans totaling \$373,000 in low-income tracts. This represents 2.4% of home improvement loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 2.8%, and 1.4% by dollar amount, which is below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate of 2.2% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 1.8%. Given Fifth Third's performance and the median age of housing in low-income tracts at 61 years, which would likely indicate the need for home improvement loans, the geographic distribution of home improvement loans in low-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 72 home improvement loans totaling \$3.2 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 17.6% of home improvement loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 17.4%, and 11.8% by dollar amount, which is less than the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 13.5% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 15.3%. A community contact mentioned the need for home improvement-type lending in this area. Also, as Fifth Third's performance exceeded proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of home improvement loans in moderate-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 209 home improvement loans totaling \$12.8 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 51.0% of home improvement loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 46.3%, and 47.2% by dollar amount, which is comparable to the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 47.7% and was comparable to the 2015 aggregate of 44.7%.

Fifth Third made 119 home improvement loans totaling \$10.7 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 29.0% of home improvements loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 33.6%, and 39.6% by dollar amount, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 36.6% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 38.3%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of home improvement loans is excellent.

Small Business Loans

Fifth Third made 333 small business loans totaling \$65.3 million in low-income tracts. This represents 6.5% of small business loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of small businesses in these tracts at 4.9%, and at 9.1% by dollar amount, which is comparable to the percentage of businesses in these geographies. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded 2014 aggregate of 5.0% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 5.1%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded the aggregate of lenders and proxy, the geographic distribution of small business loans in low-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 889 small business loans totaling \$164.7 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 17.4% of small business loans by volume, which is below the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 20.5%, and 23.0% by dollar amount, which is comparable to the percentage of small businesses in these geographies. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 19.6% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 19.7%. Given that Fifth Third's performance was slightly below proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of small business loans in moderate-income tracts is good.

Fifth Third made 1,924 small business loans totaling \$254.3 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 37.6% of small business loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 40.7%, and 35.6% by dollar amount, which is comparable to the percentage of businesses in these geographies. The percentage of loans by volume equaled the 2014 aggregate of 37.6% and slightly exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 37.4%.

Fifth Third made 1,973 small business loans totaling \$230.6 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 38.5% of small business loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of small businesses in these tracts at 33.8%, and 32.3% by dollar amount, which is comparable to the percentage of businesses in these geographies. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 36.8% and was comparable to the 2015 aggregate of 37.0%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of small business loans is excellent.

Distribution by Borrower Income and Revenue Size of the Business

The distribution of loans is considered excellent based on borrower income and good for businesses of different revenue sizes. Borrower distribution is excellent for refinance, home purchase and home improvement loans.

Refinance Loans

Fifth Third made 809 loans totaling \$61.0 million to low-income borrowers. This represents 15.8% of refinance loans by volume, which is below the percentage of low-income families at 20.8%. The percentage of loans by dollar amount in these geographies at 8.4% is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 8.3% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 7.2%. Given that Fifth Third's performance significantly exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and was comparable to proxy, the borrower distribution of refinance loans to low-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 1,071 loans totaling \$98.3 million to moderate-income borrowers. This represents 20.9% of refinance loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of moderate-income families at 17.2%, and 13.6% by dollar volume, which is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 16.4% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 14.6%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded the aggregate of lenders and proxy, the borrower distribution of refinance loans in moderate-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 1,159 loans totaling \$136.4 million to middle-income borrowers. This represents 22.6% of refinance loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of middle-income families at 21.1%, and 18.8% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 20.8% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 19.6%.

Fifth Third made 1,697 loans totaling \$360.4 million to upper-income borrowers. This represents 33.1% of refinance loans by volume, which is less than the percentage of upper-income families at 40.9%, while the percentage of loans by dollar amount at 49.7% is above proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 35.6% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 37.6%.

Overall, the borrower distribution of refinance loans is excellent.

Home Purchase Loans

Fifth Third made 927 loans totaling \$76.8 million to low-income borrowers. This represents 18.6% of home purchase loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of low-income families at 20.8%, and 9.3% of loans by dollar amount, which is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 10.3% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 11.2%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and was comparable to proxy, the borrower distribution of home purchase loans to low-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 1,113 loans totaling \$125.4 million to moderate-income borrowers. This represents 22.4% of home purchase loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of moderate-income families at 17.2%, and 15.2% of loans by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate of 21.1% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 21.3%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, the borrower distribution of home purchase loans to moderate-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 904 loans totaling \$131.3 million to middle-income borrowers. This represents 18.2% of home purchase loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of middle-income families at 21.1%, and 15.9% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate of 20.3% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 19.4%.

Fifth Third made 1,450 loans totaling \$376.6 million to upper-income borrowers. This represents 29.2% of home purchase loans by volume, which is less than the percentage of upper-income families at 40.9%, and 45.5% of loans by dollar amount, which is comparable to proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate of 32.0% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 31.4%.

The borrower distribution of home purchase loans is excellent.

Home Improvement Loans

Fifth Third made 87 loans totaling \$3.7 million to low-income borrowers. This represents 21.2% of home improvement loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of low-income families at 20.8%, and 13.6% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 9.8% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 10.0%. Given that Fifth Third's performance significantly exceeds the aggregate of all lenders and proxy, the borrower distribution of home improvement loans to low-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 119 loans totaling \$6.0 million to moderate-income borrowers. This represents 29.0% of its home improvement loans by volume, which substantially exceeded the percentage of moderate-income families at 17.2%, and 22.1% by dollar amount, which is above proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 18.3% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 19.0%.

A community contact specifically mentioned the need for home improvement-type lending in this area. Given that Fifth Third’s performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and proxy, the borrower distribution of home improvement loans to moderate-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 81 loans totaling \$5.4 million to middle-income borrowers. This represents 19.8% of home improvement loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of middle-income families at 21.1%, and 20.1% by dollar amount, which is also comparable to proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 23.4% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 24.0%.

Fifth Third made 112 loans totaling \$10.9 million to upper-income borrowers. This represents 27.3% of home improvement loans by volume, which is significantly below the percentage of upper-income families at 40.9%, and 40.1% by dollar amount, which is comparable to proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 41.8% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 42.0%.

The overall borrower distribution of home improvement loans is excellent.

Small Business Loans

Considering Fifth Third’s headquarters is in this market, the need to extend working capital loans to small businesses, as expressed by several community contacts, and Fifth Third’s performance relative to the aggregate of all lenders, the distribution of small business loans to businesses of different sizes is good. Fifth Third was able to make 52.8% of small business loans to businesses with revenues of \$1 million or less. Fifth Third’s performance exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 45.9% and was comparable to the 2015 aggregate of 49.2% in 2015, but was significantly below the percentage of small businesses in the assessment area at 91.0%. Also, during the evaluation period, Fifth Third was able to make an acceptable percentage of small-dollar loans (71.2%) up to \$100,000, indicating a willingness to lend in smaller amounts typically requested by small businesses.

Community Development Loans

Fifth Third originated 93 community development loans totaling \$996.4 million during the evaluation period as shown in the table below:

Affordable Housing		Economic Development		Revitalization and Stabilization		Community Services	
#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$
6	71,343,200	11	76,132,899	70	842,767,350	6	6,150,000

Community development lending in this assessment area represents 16.6% of the total dollar volume of community development loans originated by Fifth Third during the evaluation period. This ranks as Fifth Third's highest percentage of community development lending during the evaluation period. Fifth Third ranks second in this market with 27.8% of the deposit market share.³⁶ As such, Fifth Third is considered a leader in community development lending in this assessment area.

Examples of community development lending include but are not limited to:

- A renewal of a revolving line of credit to nonprofit financial intermediary that raises private capital for investment in affordable housing developments that utilize LIHTC projects in target communities
- Construction loan to a development corporation that supports affordable housing for U.S. military veterans earning less than 80% of the area median family income – 34 units
- New loan to improve 100 multi-family rental units for families earning less than 80% of area median family income
- Renewal of a revolving line of credit to provide bridge financing for investments by a Small Business Investment Company that funds loans to small businesses in the Greater Cincinnati area.
- Loans to businesses in an area designated by Cincinnati City Council to focus on retaining and expanding existing businesses.
- Renewal of working capital loans that support eligible activities in designated Empowerment Zone(s)
- Working capital loan to support a nonprofit that provides services to homeless individuals
- New loan to construct a 118-bed facility to serve homeless individuals
- Working capital loan to support a nonprofit that provides a multitude of community services to low- and moderate-income individuals

The affordable housing loans, revitalization and stabilization loans to retain and expand existing businesses, and loans that provide services to low- and moderate-individuals were deemed to be responsive as community contacts specifically mentioned the need for these types of loans.

³⁶ The high percentage of total deposits (27.8%) is primarily due to deposits that cannot be allocated to specific areas are allocated to Fifth Third's corporate headquarters located in this MSA.

Flexible Lending Programs

Overall, Fifth Third had 2,569 flexible lending loans in the geographies: 1,287 government loans, 186 down payment assistance loans, and 1,096 were other flexible lending programs. The following tables show the percentage by volume and by dollar amount of the three types of flexible lending programs made in this assessment area during the evaluation period and distribution of Fifth Third’s flexible lending programs within the assessment area by census tract income and by borrower income.

Flexible Loan Programs												
	Geographic Distribution											
	Low			Moderate			Middle			Upper		
	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units
Government Loan Programs	1.7%	1.2%	2.8%	20.8%	15.0%	17.4%	52.7%	51.3%	46.3%	24.8%	32.5%	33.6%
Down Payment Assistance Programs	26.9%	25.6%	2.8%	28.0%	23.3%	17.4%	34.4%	37.9%	46.3%	10.8%	13.3%	33.6%
Other Flexible Lending Programs	3.9%	2.6%	2.8%	23.0%	18.2%	17.4%	46.0%	42.8%	46.3%	21.1%	36.4%	33.6%
Total	4.5%	3.0%	2.8%	22.3%	16.7%	17.4%	48.5%	47.3%	46.3%	24.8%	33.0%	33.6%

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

Flexible Loan Programs												
	Borrower Distribution											
	Low			Moderate			Middle			Upper		
	% - #	% - \$	% Fam	% - #	% - \$	% Fam	% - #	% - \$	% Fam	% - #	% - \$	% Fam
Government Loan Programs	17.6%	11.1%	20.8%	33.9%	29.2%	17.2%	26.1%	28.7%	21.1%	18.0%	26.2%	40.9%
Down Payment Assistance Programs	61.3%	54.5%	20.8%	24.2%	27.9%	17.2%	4.3%	5.2%	21.1%	8.6%	10.7%	40.9%
Other Flexible Lending Programs	19.8%	15.5%	20.8%	24.0%	21.0%	17.2%	22.0%	21.4%	21.1%	29.7%	37.7%	40.9%
Total	27.1%	15.1%	20.8%	29.0%	25.8%	17.2%	22.8%	24.6%	21.1%	22.3%	30.0%	40.9%

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

Fifth Third’s lending in low-income tracts by number and dollar amount exceeded the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts; particularly for down payment assistance programs. The percentage of lending by volume in moderate-income tracts exceeded the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies, while the percentage of lending by dollar amount was slightly below proxy.

Fifth Third’s lending by volume to low-income borrowers exceeded the percentage of low-income families in the assessment area; however, lending by dollar amount was below proxy. The percentage of lending by volume and dollar amount to moderate-income borrowers exceeded the percentage of moderate-income families, particularly for government loan programs.

Therefore, Fifth Third made extensive use of flexible lending practices in serving the assessment area’s credit needs, as lending through flexible loan programs consistently exceeded proxy.

Investment Test

Fifth Third’s performance relative to the investment test in the assessment area is rated “Outstanding.”

Fifth Third made an excellent level of qualified community development investments and grants, particularly those not routinely provided by private investors. As such, Fifth Third was often in a leadership position. Fifth Third has 421 qualified investments totaling \$179.0 during the evaluation period. Shown in the table below are the total current period investments:

Affordable Housing		Economic Development		Revitalization and Stabilization		Community Services	
#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$
229	82,352,988	2	12,000	47	8,422,666	78	2,337,384

Also included in the total number of qualified investment are 65 prior period investments totaling \$85.9 million. Fifth Third made 11.0% of its total community development investments in this assessment area, which is less than the percentage of total deposits at 28.0% and slightly less than the percentage of branch offices at 10.0%. The percentage of deposits is higher in this market, as the deposits that cannot be allocated elsewhere are allocated to the Fifth Third’s corporate headquarters located in this assessment area.

Fifth Third exhibits an excellent responsiveness to credit and community development needs in the assessment area, including investments in several affordable housing projects throughout the assessment area, which was an important need expressed by several community contacts. In particular, Fifth Third had a \$4.2 million LIHTC investment that supported the multi-family affordable housing for U.S. military veterans. Fifth Third made 130 donations at \$10.8 million that supported local schools, small businesses, churches, food banks, health care, and affordable housing. The majority of Fifth Third’s donations (77.8%) went toward economic development; in particular, \$7.3 million supported a small business investment company that provides funds to small business development in the Greater Cincinnati area and an \$85,000 charitable donation that supported the multi-family affordable housing for U.S. military veterans. Providing funding to support small business development was a need expressed by several community contacts.

Service Test

Fifth Third’s performance under the service test in this assessment area is rated “High Satisfactory.” Retail services are accessible and Fifth Third is a leader in providing community development services.

Retail Services

Fifth Third's record of opening and closing offices has not adversely affected the accessibility of its delivery systems, particularly in low- and moderate-income geographies and to low- and moderate-income households. Five banking centers were opened and ten closed since the previous evaluation period, resulting in no net change in the number of banking centers in low- and moderate-income tracts. Delivery services are accessible to Fifth Third's geographies and individuals of different income levels.

Business hours and services provided do not vary in a way that inconveniences certain portions of the assessment area, including low- and moderate-income geographies or households and are consistent with the services and hours discussed in the "Institution" assessment.

Fifth Third maintains 130 banking centers within this assessment area, including six in low-, 30 in moderate-, 58 in middle-, and 34 in upper-income census tracts. There are also two branches located in unknown income tracts. Fifth Third's banking centers in this assessment area represent 10.0% of all its banking centers.

Fifth Third has a total of 273 full-service ATMs within this assessment area, including 20 in low-, 85 in moderate-, 162 in middle-, and 76 in upper-income census tracts. There are also six full-service ATMs located in unknown-income tracts.

The following table illustrates the percentage of banking centers and ATMs in low-, moderate-, middle-, and upper-income census tracts in comparison to the number and percentage of census tracts and the percentage of households and businesses in those tracts.

Geographic Distribution of Branches & ATMS
Assessment Area: Multi Cincinnati

Tract Category	Branches				Stand Alone ATMs						Demographics				
	Total Branches		Open	Closed	Total ATMs		Full Service ATMs		Cash only ATMs		Census Tracts		House holds	Total Businesses	
	#	%			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%			%
Low	6	4.6%	0	0	Total	31	8.6%	20	7.3%	11	12.6%	56	11.4%	6.5%	5.2%
Moderate	30	23.1%	1	1	Total	85	23.6%	61	22.3%	24	27.6%	120	24.3%	22.4%	21.3%
Middle	58	44.6%	2	4	Total	162	45.0%	123	45.1%	39	44.8%	196	39.8%	43.5%	40.0%
Upper	34	26.2%	1	5	Total	76	21.1%	67	24.5%	9	10.3%	117	23.7%	27.6%	33.4%
Unknown	2	1.5%	1	0	Total	6	1.7%	2	0.7%	4	4.6%	4	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Total	130	100.0%	5	10	Total	360	100.0%	273	100.0%	87	100.0%	493	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

2016 FFIEC Census Data, 2010 ACS Data, and 2015 D&B Information
 Closed branches/ATMs are only included in "closed" columns and are not included in any other totals.
 DT O - Drive thru only is a subset of total branches
 SA = Stand Alone ATM is a subset of total ATMs

Branch distribution within low-income tracts was considered adequate, as the distribution of branches was slightly below the percentage of census tracts and households in these tracts. However, the branch distribution within moderate-income tracts was considered good.

Community Development Services

Fifth Third is a leader in providing community development services in this assessment area. During the evaluation period, Fifth Third employees provided 16,574 hours of community development service to local organizations serving low- and moderate-income individuals, which represents 13.9% of all community development services provided and equates to 7.97 annualized persons (ANP).

Affordable Housing	Economic Development	Revitalization and Stabilization	Community Services
<i># of Hours</i>	<i># of Hours</i>	<i># of Hours</i>	<i># of Hours</i>
266	566	214	15,528

Employees provided financial expertise through leadership positions in multiple community organizations that provide affordable housing and promote community and economic development and area revitalization and stabilization.

Community development services include 11,658 hours providing financial literacy through local nonprofits and school programs, 3,413 hours serving on boards and committees, 975 hours providing technical assistance to non-profits and local business, and 528 hours participating in foreclosure prevention outreach.

Particularly responsive are hours dedicated to financial literacy, first-time homebuyer assistance, and foreclosure outreach prevention activities. Several community contacts mentioned the need for financial literacy training and first-time homebuyer education. Also, the rate of foreclosures remains significantly higher in multiple counties throughout this assessment area compared to the foreclosure rates in Ohio and the nation.

MULTI-STATE METROPOLITAN AREA
(Full-scope Review)

CRA RATING for Evansville IN-KY MSA #21780: Satisfactory

The lending test is rated: High Satisfactory

The investment test is rated: Outstanding

The service test is rated: High Satisfactory

The major factors supporting this rating include:

- A good responsiveness to credit needs;
- A good geographic distribution of loans throughout the assessment area;
- An excellent distribution of loans among borrowers of different income levels and good to businesses of different revenue sizes;
- Exhibits a good record of serving the credit needs of low-income individuals and areas and very small businesses;
- An adequate level of making community development loans;
- An extensive use of flexible lending practices in serving the assessment area's credit needs;
- An excellent level of qualified community development investments and grants;
- Often in a leadership position in providing community development investments and grants;
- Retail delivery systems are accessible to all geographies and individuals of different income levels and businesses of different revenue sizes;
- A record of opening and closing banking centers that has not adversely affected the accessibility of delivery systems;
- Banking services and hours that do not vary in a way that inconveniences any portions of the assessment areas; and,
- Provides a relatively high level of community development services.

SCOPE OF EXAMINATION

A full-scope review was conducted for the Evansville multistate assessment area. The time period, products, and affiliates evaluated for this assessment area are consistent with the scope discussed in the "Institution" section of this report.

DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION'S OPERATIONS IN THE EVANSVILLE IN-KY MSA

The Evansville IN-KY MSA consists of Posey, Vanderburgh, and Warrick counties in Indiana and Henderson County in Kentucky. The assessment area is comprised of ten low-, 20 moderate-, 28 middle-, and 19 upper-income tracts. There is also one tract with no income designation that is primarily composed of correctional institutions, military establishments, education facilities, or medical establishments that do not report income information.

As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third ranked second out of 23 institutions with 26.6% of the deposit market share in the MSA. Old National Bank had 34.6% of the market share. Deposits in this assessment area accounted for 1.4% of Fifth Third's total deposits. This was the 15th highest percentage of deposits within Fifth Third's CRA footprint.

From January 1, 2014 through June 30, 2016, Fifth Third originated 1,472 HMDA loans and 419 CRA loans, which represented 1.4% and 1.1% of the total loans originated during the evaluation period, respectively. This was the 19th largest HMDA market and 20th largest CRA market for loans originated during the evaluation period.

In 2015, Fifth Third Mortgage Company ranked third among 245 HMDA reporters in the assessment area and Fifth Third ranked 22nd. Evansville Teachers Federal Credit Union and Heritage Federal Credit Union were the top two HMDA lenders in the assessment area. Fifth Third ranked 11th of 55 CRA reporters in the assessment area in 2015. The top four CRA lenders in the assessment area were Old National Bank, American Express, German American Bancorp, and Synchrony Bank. These lenders are mostly issuers of credit cards and their CRA loans primarily consist of commercial credit card accounts.

Three community contact interviews were conducted to provide additional information regarding the assessment area. The first contact, representing an affordable housing agency, stated while the economic conditions of the area remain strong; their clients do not always qualify for traditional bank financing and must rely on high-cost credit alternatives, such as check cashing entities or credit cards. The contact believed financial education can help individuals learn how to strengthen their credit position. The contact stated they have partnered with banks to in order to provide their clients with help in obtaining mortgage loans, completing grant applications, and attending homebuyer education and financial literacy programs. In addition, the contact mentioned First Federal Savings Bank, Old National Bank, and German American Bancorp as being actively involved in project fund raising.

The second contact, representing a community development organization that serves Northwestern Kentucky, stated the organization often works with local banks to help ascertain a business' financial needs and connect them with the right type of creditor and to provide financial literacy training. The contact believed the overall distribution of banking branches and ATMs is accessible throughout the region, including rural areas. The contact stated that Field and Main Bank, Old National Bank, and United Community Bank are actively involved in the community.

The third contact, representing an organization that provides services to low-income individuals within Indiana, stated there is a need for homeownership education programs which the contact believed most area banks are helping to provide.

Population Characteristics

According to 2010 U.S. Census data, the population in the assessment area was 311,552. About 27.7% of the population lived in low- and moderate-income tracts. In addition, 76.8% of the population was 18 years of age or older, the legal age to enter into a contract.

As of July 1, 2015, the Evansville MSA is the 158th largest in terms of population in the nation.³⁷ Vanderburgh County is the largest county in the assessment area, which includes Evansville and is the sixth-most populous county in Indiana.³⁸ Evansville is the third-largest city in Indiana and is the 228th most populous city in the U.S. Evansville has 119,943 residents and its population growth decreased by 1.1% between 2000 and 2015; however, the population of Evansville decreased by only 0.1% between 2010 and 2015.³⁹ According to Moody’s Analytics, migration flow in and out of Evansville was stable in 2015. In contrast, the next largest cities in the MSA, Boonville, IN and Henderson, KY, only have populations of 28,890 and 6,180, respectively.⁴⁰

The following table shows the population in the assessment area by county for 2010 and 2015 with the percentage of the population increase or decrease.⁴¹ For the most part, the population within the MSA experienced positive growth between 2010 and 2015, with Warrick County experiencing the greatest growth and Posey County experiencing a decline in population.

County	2010 Population	2015 Population	Population Percent Change
Posey, IN	25,910	25,512	-1.5%
Vanderburgh, IN	179,703	181,877	1.2%
Warrick, IN	59,689	61,897	3.7%
Henderson, KY	46,250	46,407	0.3%
Total	311,552	315,693	1.3%

³⁷ MSA population data is derived from the U.S. Census Data 2015 Statistical Abstract:

<https://factfinder.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productview.xhtml?src=bkmk>

³⁸ U.S. Places: <http://us-places.com> (main page – enter state, choose population by county)

³⁹ U.S. Census QuickFacts: <https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/> (main page – enter state, county, city, town, or zip code)

⁴⁰ U.S. Census QuickFacts: <https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/> (main page – enter state, county, city, town, or zip code)

⁴¹ Population Estimates derived from U.S. Census Data (April 1, 2010 – July 1, 2015):

<http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/table/PST045216/00>

Income Characteristics

In 2010 the MSA median family income was significantly higher (\$60,793) than Indiana and Kentucky at \$58,944 and \$52,046, respectively. Between 2014 and 2015, the median family income increased; however, between 2015 and 2016 the median family income decreased by 5.3% in this MSA.

Borrower Income Levels Evansville, IN-KY MSA

FFIEC Estimated Median Family Income		Low 0 - 49.99%	Moderate 50% - 79.99%	Middle 80% - 119.99%	Upper 120% - & above
2014	\$63,600	0 - \$31,799	\$31,800 - \$50,879	\$50,880 - \$76,319	\$76,320 - & above
2015	\$64,800	0 - \$32,399	\$32,400 - \$51,839	\$51,840 - \$77,759	\$77,760 - & above
2016	\$61,400	0 - \$30,699	\$30,700 - \$49,119	\$49,120 - \$73,679	\$73,680 - & above

Poverty rates increased in each county in the assessment area from 1999 to 2015.⁴² Vanderburgh and Henderson counties had the highest poverty rates in 2015 and 1999. In 2015, Vanderburgh County's poverty rate exceeded Indiana's poverty rate, but Henderson County's poverty rate did not exceed Kentucky's. Vanderburgh County also experienced the largest increase in poverty rate during this period. In 2015, Kentucky's and Indiana's poverty rates exceeded the national poverty rate. According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the national poverty rate in 2015 was 13.5%, down 1.3 percentage points from 14.8% in 2014. For most demographic groups, the 2015 poverty rates and number of people in poverty decreased from 2014.⁴³ The following table shows the poverty rates for 1999⁴⁴ and 2015.

County	1999 Poverty Rate	2015 Poverty Rate	Change
Posey	7.4%	9.7%	31.1%
Vanderburgh	11.2%	17.2%	53.6%
Warrick	5.3%	7.5%	41.5%
Indiana	9.5%	14.4%	51.6%
Henderson	12.3%	17.0%	38.2%
Kentucky	15.8%	18.3%	15.8%
U.S.	11.8%	13.5%	14.4%

⁴² U.S. Department of Agriculture Economic Research Service Poverty Rates (for 1999 and 2015): <https://data.ers.usda.gov/reports.aspx?ID=17826>

⁴³ 2015 National Poverty: <http://www.census.gov/library/publications/2016/demo/p60-256.html>

⁴⁴ 1999 National Poverty Rate: <http://www.census.gov/prod/2000pubs/p60-210.pdf>

Housing Characteristics

According to 2010 U.S. Census data, there are 137,924 housing units and 82,318 million families in the assessment area. From an income perspective, 31.6% of housing units, 22.3% of owner-occupied units, and 25.8% of families are located in low- or moderate-income tracts. Nearly two-thirds of the housing units in the low-income census tracts are either rental or vacant (64.2%). In the moderate-income census tracts, over half of the housing units are either rental or vacant (52.4%) and 47.6% are owner-occupied. Therefore, based on the number of housing units compared to the number of families in low- and moderate-income census tracts, there appear to be credit-related opportunities for Fifth Third to provide various aspects of affordable housing in the assessment area.

The 2010 U.S. Census data shows the median age of housing stock in the assessment area was 42 years old, with 26.4% of the stock built before 1950. The oldest housing stock was in Vanderburgh County with a median age of 48 years, while the newest was 31 years in Warrick County. However, within the assessment area, the median age of housing stock was 61 years in low-income tracts and 55 years in moderate-income tracts, which indicates that there is ample opportunity for Fifth Third to provide home improvement and rehabilitation loans in these lower-income areas.

According to 2010 U.S. Census data, the median housing value in the assessment area was \$117,885, with an affordability ratio of 39.54. The affordability ratio is derived by dividing the median household income by the median housing value. The higher the affordability ratio, the more affordable a home is considered. The median housing value increased between 2010 and 2011-2015; as a result, housing became less affordable across the assessment area. During the evaluation period, the most affordable housing was in Posey County and the least affordable was in Vanderburgh County. Median gross rents increased at a fairly substantial rate across the assessment area, with renters in Posey County experiencing the largest increase in rental rates and renters in Warrick County experiencing the smallest increase. The table below presents housing characteristics from the U.S. Census data between 2010 and 2015 in the assessment area, Indiana, and Kentucky.

County	2010 Median Housing Value	2010 Affordability Ratio	2011-2015 Median Housing Value	2011-2015 Affordability Ratio	2010 Median Gross Rent	2011-2015 Median Gross Rent	Percent of Change
Posey	\$120,500	47.74	\$133,800	43.42	\$535	\$666	24.5%
Vanderburgh	\$111,700	37.96	\$115,500	37.27	\$659	\$716	8.6%
Warrick	\$140,200	44.48	\$148,400	41.90	\$714	\$771	8.0%
Indiana	\$123,000	38.78	\$124,200	39.66	\$683	\$747	9.4%
Henderson	\$101,200	39.96	\$105,500	38.90	\$541	\$612	13.1%
Kentucky	\$116,800	35.60	\$123,200	35.50	\$601	\$675	12.3%

According to Bankrate.com,⁴⁵ Indiana ranked 15th and Kentucky ranked 43rd for foreclosure filings in November 2016. The national average for foreclosure filings was one in every 1,533 housing units. The following table contains information about foreclosure filings in the assessment area, according to Realtytrac:⁴⁶

Geography Name	Ratio of Properties Receiving Foreclosure Filings in November 2016
Posey	1:5,780
Vanderburgh	1:1,091
Warrick	1:1,629
Indiana	1:1,590
Henderson	1:8,752
Kentucky	1:4,270
U.S.	1:1,533

In November 2016, Vanderburgh County had the highest rate of foreclosure and Henderson County had the lowest rate of foreclosure in the assessment area.

Building permits for this MSA, Indiana, Kentucky, Ohio, and the nation are included in the following table for 2014, 2015, and 2016.⁴⁷

Geography	2014	2015	Percent of Change 2014-2015	2016	Percent of Change 2015-2016
MSA	727	763	5.0%	926	21.4%
Indiana	17,813	18,483	3.8%	18,317	-0.9%
Kentucky	9,421	10,566	12.2%	12,798	21.1%
U.S.	1,052,124	1,182,582	12.4%	1,190,191	0.6%

The MSA experienced a small increase in the number of housing permits issued between 2014 and 2015 and a substantial increase of housing permits between 2015 and 2016. The rise in the number of permits could indicate the demand for home purchase loans increased in the MSA during the evaluation period.

Labor, Employment, and Economic Characteristics

According to Moody’s Analytics, this MSA has a dearth of high-paying jobs and the economy is at risk due its high reliance on manufacturing, which has weak prospects for job growth. In addition, there is a lack of growth in private service jobs. Expansion in healthcare industry and increased higher education enrollment are anticipated to support any growth in the metropolitan area economy in the medium term.

⁴⁵ Bankrate.com: <http://www.bankrate.com/finance/real-estate/foreclosures-by-state/default.aspx>


⁴⁶ Realtytrac: <http://www.realtytrac.com/statsandtrends/foreclosuretrends/>

⁴⁷ U.S. Census Bureau Building Permits Survey: <http://www.census.gov/construction/bps/>

According to Moody’s Analytics, the top ten employers in the MSA in 2016 were:

Company	Number of Employees
Local Government	11,527
Deaconess Hospital	5,600
Toyota Motor Manufacturing	5,000
State Government	4,140
St. Mary’s Medical Center	3,529
Evansville Vanderburgh School Corp.	3,264
University of Southern Indiana	2,813
Berry Plastics	2,699
SKANSKA	2,460
T.J. Maxx	1,500

The following table illustrates the average unemployment rates for 2014, 2015, and June 2016 for the counties in the MSA, Kentucky, and Indiana.



Overall, the unemployment rates declined each year in the MSA and were below either the unemployment rates of Indiana or Kentucky.

According to an article in the *St. Louis Post-Dispatch*, Alcoa announced it will cut 600 jobs as it permanently shuts down its Warrick Operations smelter at the end of March (2016). The company will keep about 1,200 people working at the facility ten miles east of Evansville. The Chamber of Commerce director said the layoffs will be a major economic event in Warrick County, as the smelter has been in operation for 55 years. Alcoa will continue to operate the rolling mill and power plant at the site.⁴⁸

⁴⁸ Associated Press. “Alcoa’s layoff of 600 worries may in southwestern Indiana.” *St. Louis Post-Dispatch*. January 8, 2016. - http://www.stltoday.com/business/local/alcoa-s-layoff-of-worries-many-in-southwestern-indiana/article_e725e6bc-0696-5643-8ffa-e89eb753a797.html

According to an article in the *Evansville Courier & Press*, in June 2015 Peabody Energy Corp., announced it will lay off a quarter of its corporate and regional employees, immediate closing its 70-employee office in Evansville as part of a company-wide cost-cutting measure (40 employees were transferred to mines in Indiana and Illinois or to corporate headquarters in St. Louis, while 30 were laid off).⁴⁹

⁴⁹ Stinnett, Chuck, Evansville Courier & Press. "Peabody Energy leaving Evansville announces layoffs." *Indiana Economic Digest*. June 9, 2015. - <http://www.indianaeconomicdigest.net/main.asp?SectionID=31&SubSectionID=135&ArticleID=79967>

Combined Demographics Report

Assessment Area: Multi Evansville

Income Categories	Tract Distribution		Families by Tract Income		Families < Poverty Level as % of Families by Tract		Families by Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	10	12.8	4,583	5.6	1,483	32.4	17,641	21.4
Moderate-income	20	25.6	16,652	20.2	2,675	16.1	14,193	17.2
Middle-income	28	35.9	31,926	38.8	2,307	7.2	17,779	21.6
Upper-income	19	24.4	29,157	35.4	952	3.3	32,705	39.7
Unknown-income	1	1.3	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	78	100.0	82,318	100.0	7,417	9.0	82,318	100.0
	Housing	Housing Types by Tract						
	Units by Tract	Owner-Occupied		Rental		Vacant		
		#	%	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	10,477	3,751	4.3	35.8	4,587	43.8	2,139	20.4
Moderate-income	33,087	15,763	18	47.6	13,894	42	3,430	10.4
Middle-income	52,446	35,606	40.6	67.9	11,552	22	5,288	10.1
Upper-income	41,914	32,536	37.1	77.6	6,911	16.5	2,467	5.9
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	137,924	87,656	100.0	63.6	36,944	26.8	13,324	9.7
	Total Businesses by Tract	Businesses by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	927	7.3	778	6.8	141	11.5	8	10.1
Moderate-income	2,889	22.7	2,512	22	374	30.4	3	3.8
Middle-income	4,984	39.1	4,432	38.8	506	41.2	46	58.2
Upper-income	3,944	30.9	3,714	32.5	208	16.9	22	27.8
Unknown-income	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	12,745	100.0	11,437	100.0	1,229	100.0	79	100.0
	Percentage of Total Businesses:			89.7		9.6		.6
	Total Farms by Tract	Farms by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	2	0.3	2	0.4	0	0	0	0
Moderate-income	25	4.4	25	4.4	0	0	0	0
Middle-income	332	57.8	332	58.1	0	0	0	0
Upper-income	215	37.5	212	37.1	3	100	0	0
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	574	100.0	571	100.0	3	100.0	0	.0
	Percentage of Total Farms:			99.5		.5		.0

**CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN THE
EVANSVILLE IN-KY MSA**

Lending Test

Fifth Third’s performance under the lending test in this assessment area is rated “High Satisfactory.” Fifth Third has demonstrated good responsiveness to the credit needs of the community. In addition, Fifth Third originated 13 community development loans totaling \$66.5 million in the area. Fifth Third has a good geographic distribution of loans and no lending gaps. Fifth Third has an excellent distribution among borrowers of different income levels and a good distribution of loans to businesses of different revenue sizes. Fifth Third exhibits a good record of serving the credit needs of highly economically disadvantaged areas in its assessment area, low-income individuals, or businesses with gross annual revenues of \$1 million or less. The adequate level of community development loans and extensive use of flexible lending practices augmented Fifth Third’s performance in this assessment area.

Greatest consideration was given to the evaluation of home purchase lending based on the overall volume of lending, followed by refinance, small business, and home improvement lending. Details of Fifth Third’s residential mortgage and small business lending, as well as information regarding lending by peers, can be found in Appendix E.

Lending Activity

Lending activity reflects a good responsiveness to the credit needs within the assessment area. Fifth Third originated 789 home purchase, 597 refinance, 85 home improvement, 419 small business loans, and 13 community development loans during the evaluation period. The percentage of Fifth Third’s total lending at 1.3% is slightly less than the percentage of total deposits at 1.4% in this area.

In addition to lending, Fifth Third modified existing loans to borrowers. Refer to the distribution of HAMP and other real-estate secured modifications within the assessment area by census tract income and by borrower income.

	Distribution by Census Tract Income							
	Low		Mod		Middle		Upper	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
HAMP Modifications	0	0.0%	4	40.0%	1	10.0%	5	50.0%
Other Real Estate Secured Modifications	3	5.5%	17	30.9%	20	36.4%	15	27.3%
<i>Percentage of Owner Occupied Units</i>		<i>4.3%</i>		<i>18.0%</i>		<i>40.6%</i>		<i>37.1%</i>

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

	Distribution by Borrower Income							
	Low		Mod		Middle		Upper	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Other Real Estate Secured Modifications	15	27.3%	18	32.7%	15	27.3%	7	12.7%
Percentage of Families by Family Income		21.4%		17.2%		21.6%		39.7%

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

The percentage of other modifications in low- and moderate-income tracts significantly exceeded the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies; therefore, modifications helped to expand lending activities in these areas. Most of the borrower incomes for HAMP modifications were unknown; therefore, there it would not be meaningful to review the income distribution for these modifications. The percentage of other modifications made to low- and moderate-income borrowers exceeded the percentage of low- and moderate-income families; therefore, modifications enhanced Fifth Third’s ability to reach low- and moderate-income borrowers.

Geographic Distribution of Loans

Fifth Third’s overall distribution of lending among geographies is good. The largest category, home purchase, is poor, while refinance and home improvement lending are good. Small business lending is excellent. There are also no lending gaps.

The following lending gap was noted in the assessment area:

Tract Income Levels	Number of Tracts	Tracts with No Loans	Penetration
Low	10	0	100.0%
Moderate	20	0	100.0%
Middle	28	0	100.0%
Upper	19	0	100.0%
Unknown	1	1	0
Total	79	1	98.7%

There are no gaps in lending in low-, moderate-, middle- and upper-income tracts in this assessment area. There are no owner-occupied units in the unknown tract.

Home Purchase Loans

Fifth Third made six home purchase loans totaling \$531,000 in low-income tracts. This represents 0.8% of home purchase loans by volume, which is significantly below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 4.3%, and 0.5% by dollar amount, which is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate at 1.9% and was below the 2015 aggregate at 1.6%. Given that Fifth Third’s performance is significantly below proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of home purchase loans in low-income tracts is poor.

Fifth Third made 142 home purchase loans totaling \$11.8 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 18.0% of home purchase loans by volume, which equals the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 18.0%, and 11.1% by dollar amount, which is less than the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate at 15.2% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate at 15.8%. Since Fifth Third's performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and was comparable to proxy, the geographic distribution of home purchase loans in moderate-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 257 home purchase loans totaling \$30.3 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 32.6% of home purchase loans by volume, which is below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 40.6%, and 28.5% by dollar amount, which is also below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 36.7% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 34.7% in 2015.

Fifth Third made 384 home purchase loans totaling \$63.7 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 48.7% of home purchase loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 37.1%, and 59.9% by dollar amount, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 46.2% and was comparable to the 2015 aggregate of 47.9% in 2015.

Fifth Third could have improved its penetration of low-income tracts, as over one-third of the housing units are owner-occupied and a community contact indicated that families need assistance qualifying for home loans. However, Fifth Third's performance in penetrating moderate-income tracts was excellent. Therefore, the geographic distribution of home purchase loans is good.

Refinance Loans

Fifth Third made 15 refinance loans totaling \$587,000 in low-income tracts. This represents 2.5% of refinance loans by volume and 0.9% by dollar amount, which is below the percentage of owner-occupied units at 4.3%. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate at 2.6% and was comparable to the 2015 aggregate at 2.1% in 2015. Given that Fifth Third's performance was comparable to the aggregate of all lenders and only 35.8% of the units are owner-occupied, the geographic distribution of refinance loans in low-income tracts is good.

Fifth Third made 109 refinance loans totaling \$6.2 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 18.3% of refinance loans by volume, which exceeds the owner-occupied units in these tracts at 18.0%. The percentage of loans by dollar amount at 9.9% is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate at 17.8% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate at 13.5%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and that only 46.7% of the housing units are owner-occupied, the geographic distribution of refinance loans in moderate-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 216 refinance loans totaling \$21.5 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 36.2% of refinance loans by volume, which is comparable to the owner-occupied units in these tracts at 40.6%. The percentage of refinance loans by dollar amount at 34.5% is comparable to proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate at 37.5% and was comparable to the 2015 aggregate at 36.8%.

Fifth Third made 257 refinance loans totaling \$33.9 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 43.0% of refinance loans by volume, which exceeds the owner-occupied units in these tracts at 37.1%, and the dollar amount at 54.6% exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate at 42.2% and was comparable to the 2015 aggregate at 47.7%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of refinance loans is good.

Home Improvement Loans

Fifth Third made four home improvement loans totaling \$99,000 in low-income tracts. This represents 4.7% of home improvement loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 4.3%, and 1.8% by dollar amount, which is less than percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 3.9% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 2.3%. Given Fifth Third's performance, and because the median age of housing in low-income tracts is 61 years (likely indicating the need for home improvement loans), the geographic distribution in low-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 13 home improvement loans totaling \$500,000 in moderate-income tracts. This represents 15.3% of home improvement loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 18.0%, and 8.9% by dollar amount, which is less than the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 17.6 % and was below the 2015 aggregate of 16.6%. The geographic distribution of home improvement loans in moderate-income tracts is good.

Fifth Third made 31 home improvement loans totaling \$1.2 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 36.5% of home improvement loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 40.6%, and 22.0% by dollar amount, which is less than the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 44.8% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 37.6%.

Fifth Third made 37 home improvement loans totaling \$3.8 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 43.5% of home improvements loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 37.1%, and 67.3% by dollar amount, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 33.8% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 43.6%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of home improvement loans is good.

Small Business Loans

Fifth Third made 33 small business loans totaling \$4.1 million in low-income tracts. This represents 7.9% of business loans by volume, which exceeded the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 6.8%, and 8.2% by dollar amount, which exceeded the percentage of businesses in these geographies. The percentage of lending was below the 2014 aggregate of 6.8% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 7.1%. Therefore, the geographic distribution of small business loans in low-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 106 small business loans totaling \$13.5 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 25.3% of business loans by volume, which exceeded the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 22.0%, and 26.7% by dollar amount, which exceeded the percentage of businesses in these geographies. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 24.2% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 24.2%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and proxy, the geographic distribution of small business loans in moderate-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 156 small business loans totaling \$18.0 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 37.2% of small business loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 38.8%, and 35.6% by dollar amount, which is comparable to the percentage of businesses in these geographies. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 35.8% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 39.1%.

Fifth Third made 124 small business loans totaling \$15.0 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 29.6% of small business loans by volume, which is less than the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 32.5%, and 29.6% by dollar amount, which is comparable to the percentage of businesses in these geographies. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate of 31.3% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 28.5%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of small business loans is excellent.

Distribution by Borrower Income and Revenue Size of the Business

Overall, the distribution of loans is excellent based on borrower income and good for businesses of different revenue sizes. Borrower distribution is excellent for home purchase and refinances. Borrower distribution is good for home improvement loans.

Home Purchase Loans

Fifth Third made 121 loans totaling \$9.1 million to low-income borrowers. This represents 15.3% of home purchase loans by volume, which is below the percentage of low-income families at 21.4%, and 8.5% by dollar amount, which is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 9.1% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 10.1%.

Given that Fifth Third's performance significantly exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and was comparable to proxy, the borrower distribution of home purchase loans to low-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 216 loans totaling \$21.8 million to moderate-income borrowers. This represents 27.4% of home purchase loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of moderate-income families at 17.2%, and 20.5% of loans by dollar amount, which is also above proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 21.2% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 21.7%. The borrower distribution of home purchase loans to moderate-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 183 loans totaling \$24.1 million to middle-income borrowers. This represents 23.2% of home purchase loans by volume, which is less than the percentage of middle-income families at 21.6%, and 22.6% by dollar amount, which is comparable to proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 18.5% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 20.4%.

Fifth Third made 247 loans totaling \$48.6 million to upper-income borrowers. This represents 31.3% of home purchase loans by volume, which is below the percentage of upper-income families at 39.7%, and 45.7% of loans by dollar amount, which is above proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 25.8% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 26.0%.

Overall, the borrower distribution of home purchase loans is excellent.

Refinance Loans

Fifth Third made 101 loans totaling \$6.5 million to low-income borrowers. This represents 16.9% of refinance loans by volume, which is below the percentage of low-income families at 21.4%. The percentage of loans by dollar amount in these geographies at 10.4% is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 9.8% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 8.1%. Given that Fifth Third's performance significantly exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and was comparable to proxy, the borrower distribution of refinance loans to low-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 139 loans totaling \$10.1 million to moderate-income borrowers. This represents 23.3% of refinance loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of moderate-income families at 17.2%, and 16.2% by dollar volume, which is comparable to proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 18.4% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 24.3%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and proxy, the borrower distribution of refinance loans to moderate-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 161 loans totaling \$16.2 million to middle-income borrowers. This represents 27.0% of refinance loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of middle-income families at 21.6%, and 26.0% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 21.0% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 20.4%.

Fifth Third made 170 loans totaling \$26.3 million to upper-income borrowers. This represents 28.5% of refinance loans by volume, which is less than the percentage of upper-income families at 39.7%, while the percentage of loans by dollar amount at 42.2% exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate of 30.9% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 26.4%.

Overall, the borrower distribution of refinance loans is excellent.

Home Improvement Loans

Fifth Third made 17 loans totaling \$616,000 to low-income borrowers. This represents 20.0% of home improvement loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of low-income families at 21.4%, and 11.0% by dollar amount, which is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 13.4% and in 2015 exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 12.0%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and the volume of loans is comparable to proxy, the borrower distribution of home improvement loans to low-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 18 loans totaling \$957,000 to moderate-income borrowers. This represents 21.2% of its home improvement loans by volume, which exceeded the percentage of moderate-income families at 17.2%, and 17.1% by dollar amount, which is comparable to proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate of 20.8% and was comparable to the 2015 aggregate of 21.4%. Given that Fifth Third's performance was comparable to the aggregate of lenders and proxy, the borrower distribution of home improvement loans to moderate-income borrowers is good.

Fifth Third made 23 loans totaling \$1.4 million to middle-income borrowers. This represents 27.1% of home improvement loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of middle-income families at 21.6%, and 25.5% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate of 25.9% and below the 2015 aggregate of 28.9%.

Fifth Third made 27 loans totaling \$2.6 million to upper-income borrowers. This represents 31.8% of home improvement loans by volume, which was below the percentage of upper-income families at 39.7%, and 46.5% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 34.5% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 34.5%.

Overall, the borrower distribution of home improvement loans is good.

Small Business Loans

The distribution of small business loans to businesses of different sizes is good, considering Fifth Third’s performance relative to the aggregate of all lenders. Fifth Third was able to make 50.6% of small business loans to businesses with revenues of \$1 million or less. Fifth Third’s performance exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 37.5% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 41.2%, but was significantly below the percentage of small businesses in the assessment area at 89.7%. Also, Fifth Third made a relatively high percentage of small-dollar loans (76.8%) up to \$100,000, indicating a willingness to lend in smaller amounts typically requested by small businesses.

Community Development Loans

Fifth Third originated 13 community development loans totaling \$66.5 million during the evaluation period as shown in the table below:

Affordable Housing		Economic Development		Revitalization and Stabilization		Community Services	
#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$
1	9,930,000	2	3,250,000	8	53,130,337	2	230,000

Community development lending in this assessment area represents 1.1% of the total dollar volume of community development loans originated by Fifth Third during the evaluation period. This ranks as Fifth Third’s 23rd highest percentage of community development lending during the evaluation period; however, Fifth Third ranks second with 26.6% of the deposit market share. Therefore, Fifth Third has an adequate level of community development lending.

Examples of community development lending include, but are not limited to:

- Loan to construct affordable rental housing for families earning less than 80.0% of the area median income
- Working capital to a non-profit agency that provides housing and services to homeless men, women, and children
- Working capital loan that promotes economic development by financing businesses to support job retention and add new jobs
- Working capital loan that helps to support essential community-wide infrastructure and community services in low- and moderate-income neighborhoods

Flexible Lending Programs

Overall, Fifth Third had 362 flexible lending loans in the geographies: 241 government loans, 24 down payment assistance loans, and 97 other flexible lending programs. The following tables show the percentage by volume and by dollar amount of the three types of flexible lending programs made in this assessment area during the evaluation period and the distribution of Fifth Third’s flexible lending programs within the assessment area by census tract income and by borrower income.

Flexible Loan Programs												
	Geographic Distribution											
	Low			Moderate			Middle			Upper		
	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units
Government Loan Programs	0.4%	0.2%	4.3%	17.0%	11.0%	18.0%	36.5%	34.4%	40.6%	46.1%	54.3%	37.1%
Down Payment Assistance Programs	25.0%	23.3%	4.3%	12.5%	9.0%	18.0%	50.0%	51.0%	40.6%	12.5%	16.7%	37.1%
Other Flexible Lending Programs	1.0%	0.5%	4.3%	27.8%	19.6%	18.0%	42.3%	37.7%	40.6%	28.9%	42.2%	37.1%
Total	2.2%	1.4%	4.3%	19.6%	12.9%	18.0%	39.0%	36.0%	40.6%	39.2%	49.8%	37.1%

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

Flexible Loan Programs												
	Borrower Distribution											
	Low			Moderate			Middle			Upper		
	% - #	% - \$	% Fam	% - #	% - \$	% Fam	% - #	% - \$	% Fam	% - #	% - \$	% Fam
Government Loan Programs	15.8%	10.6%	21.4%	42.3%	37.5%	17.2%	26.1%	31.2%	21.6%	14.1%	19.0%	39.7%
Down Payment Assistance Programs	81.8%	80.1%	21.4%	13.6%	8.5%	17.2%	0.0%	0.0%	21.6%	4.5%	11.4%	39.7%
Other Flexible Lending Programs	27.3%	21.7%	21.4%	23.2%	17.7%	17.2%	27.3%	30.4%	21.6%	22.2%	30.3%	39.7%
Total	22.9%	16.1%	21.4%	35.4%	31.7%	17.2%	24.9%	29.7%	21.6%	15.7%	21.3%	39.7%

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

Fifth Third’s lending in low-income tracts, by number and dollar amount, are below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts; however, the down payment assistance programs significantly exceeded the percentage of owner-occupied units in low-income tracts. The percentage of lending by volume in moderate-income tracts exceeded the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies, while the percentage of lending by dollar amount was below proxy.

Fifth Third’s lending by volume to low-income borrowers exceeded the percentage of low-income families, particularly for down payment assistance programs. Lending by dollar amount was below proxy. The percentage of lending by volume and dollar amount to moderate-income borrowers significantly exceeded the percentage of moderate-income families, particularly for government loan programs. Therefore, Fifth Third made extensive use of flexible lending practices is serving the assessment area’s credit needs, since lending through flexible loan programs exceeded proxy.

Investment Test

Fifth Third’s performance relative to the investment test in the assessment area is rated “Outstanding.”

Fifth Third made an excellent level of qualified community development investments and grants, particularly those not routinely provided by private investors. As such, Fifth Third was often in a leadership position. Fifth Third has 113 qualified investments totaling \$33.8 million during the evaluation period. Shown in the table below are the total current period investments:

Affordable Housing		Economic Development		Revitalization and Stabilization		Community Services	
#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$
29	24,806,508	15	48,236	2	880	56	181,206

Also included in the total number of qualified investments are 11 prior period investments totaling \$8.8 million. Overall, Fifth Third made 2.1% of its total community development investments in this assessment area, which is greater than the percentage of total deposits at 1.4% and the percentage of branch offices at 1.4%.

Fifth Third exhibits an excellent responsiveness to credit and community development needs in the assessment area. Included in total investments are 74 donations totaling \$235,322 that supported United Way, local schools, small businesses, recreation centers, food banks, churches, and affordable housing. Investments in affordable housing and community services to low- and moderate-income individuals are considered to be responsive based on the assessment area’s at-risk economy, dearth of high-paying jobs, and higher poverty rates.

Service Test

Fifth Third’s performance under the service test in this assessment area is rated “High Satisfactory.” Retail services are accessible and Fifth Third provides a relatively high level of providing community development services.

Retail Services

Fifth Third’s record of opening and closing offices has not adversely affected the accessibility of its delivery systems, particularly in low- and moderate-income geographies and to low- and moderate-income households. Three banking centers were closed since the previous evaluation period and none were opened. Two banking centers were closed in low- and moderate-income tracts. Delivery services are accessible to Fifth Third’s geographies and individuals of different income levels.

Business hours and services provided do not vary in a way that inconveniences certain portions of the assessment area, including low- and moderate-income geographies or households, and are consistent with the services and hours discussed in the “Institution” assessment.

Fifth Third maintains 18 banking centers within this assessment area, including none in low-, six in moderate-, seven in middle-, and five in upper-income census tracts. Fifth Third banking centers in this assessment area represent 1.4% of all its banking centers.

Fifth Third has a total of 22 full-service ATMs within this assessment area, including one in low-, five in moderate-, eight in middle-, and eight in upper-income census tracts.

The following table illustrates the percentage of banking centers and ATMs in low-, moderate-, middle-, and upper-income census tracts in comparison to the number and percentage of census tracts and the percentage of households and businesses in those tracts.

Geographic Distribution of Branches & ATMS

Assessment Area: Multi Evansville

Tract Category	Branches				Stand Alone ATMs						Demographics				
	Total Branches		Open	Closed	Total ATMs		Full Service ATMs		Cash only ATMs		Census Tracts		House holds	Total Businesses	
	#	%			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%			
Low	0	0.0%	0	0	Total	1	4.0%	1	4.5%	0	0.0%	10	12.8%	6.7%	7.4%
Moderate	6	33.3%	0	2	Total	6	24.0%	5	22.7%	1	33.3%	20	25.6%	23.8%	23.0%
Middle	7	38.9%	0	1	Total	9	36.0%	8	36.4%	1	33.3%	28	35.9%	37.8%	38.8%
Upper	5	27.8%	0	0	Total	9	36.0%	8	36.4%	1	33.3%	19	24.4%	31.7%	30.8%
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0	Total	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Total	18	100.0%	0	3	Total	25	100.0%	22	100.0%	3	100.0%	78	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

2016 FFIEC Census Data, 2010 ACS Data, and 2015 D&B Information

Closed branches/ATMs are only included in "closed" columns and are not included in any other totals.

DTO - Drive thru only is a subset of total branches

SA = Stand Alone ATM is a subset of total ATMs

Branch distribution within low-income tracts was considered poor, as the distribution of branches was below the percentage of census tracts and households in these tracts. However, the branch distribution within moderate-income tracts was considered excellent.

Community Development Services

Fifth Third provides a relatively high level of community development services in this assessment area. During the evaluation period, Fifth Third employees provided 1,772 hours of community development service to local organizations serving low- and moderate-income individuals, which represents 1.5% of all community development services provided and equates to 0.85 annualized persons (ANP).

Affordable Housing	Economic Development	Community Services
<i># of Hours</i>	<i># of Hours</i>	<i># of Hours</i>
44	3	1,725

Employees provided financial expertise through leadership positions in multiple community organizations that provide affordable housing and promote community and economic development. Community development services include 1,034 hours serving on boards and committees, 589 hours of providing financial literacy through local nonprofits and school programs, 109 hours providing technical assistance to non-profits, and 40 hours participating in foreclosure prevention outreach.

Particularly responsive are hours dedicated to financial literacy, first-time homebuyer assistance, and foreclosure outreach prevention activities. Several community contacts mentioned the need for financial literacy training and first-time homebuyer education.

MULTI-STATE METROPOLITAN AREA
(Full-scope Review)

CRA RATING for Huntington-Ashland WV-KY-OH MSA #26580: Satisfactory

The lending test is rated:	Low Satisfactory
The investment test is rated:	Outstanding
The service test is rated:	Outstanding

The major factors supporting this rating include:

- An adequate responsiveness to credit needs;
- An adequate geographic distribution of loans throughout the assessment area;
- A good distribution of loans among borrowers of different income levels and adequate to businesses of different revenue sizes;
- Exhibits an adequate record of serving the credit needs of low-income individuals and areas and very small businesses;
- Few, if any community development loans;
- Extensive use of flexible lending practices in serving the assessment area's credit needs;
- An excellent level of qualified community development investments and grants;
- Often in a leadership position in providing community development investments and grants;
- Retail delivery systems are accessible to all geographies and individuals of different income levels and businesses of different revenue sizes;
- A record of opening and closing banking centers that has not adversely affected the accessibility of delivery systems;
- Banking services and hours that do not vary in a way that inconveniences any portions of the assessment areas; and,
- A leader in providing community development services.

SCOPE OF EXAMINATION

A full-scope review was conducted for the Huntington-Ashland multistate assessment area. The time period, products, and affiliates evaluated for this assessment area are consistent with the scope discussed in the "Institution" section of this report.

DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION'S OPERATIONS IN THE HUNTINGTON-ASHLAND WV-KY-OH MSA

The Huntington-Ashland WV-KY-OH MSA consists of Boyd and Greenup counties in Kentucky, Lawrence County in Ohio, and Cabell, Lincoln, Putnam, and Wayne counties in West Virginia. The assessment area is comprised of five low-, 23 moderate-, 48 middle-, and 17 upper-income tracts.

As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third ranked 11th out of 29 institutions with 4.1% of the deposit market share in assessment area. City National Bank of West Virginia had the majority of the market share with 10.9% of deposits. The next three largest institutions, Putnam County Bank, Huntington Federal Savings Bank, and JPMorgan Chase, had 10.1%, 8.5%, and 8.0% of the market share, respectively. Deposits in this assessment area accounted for 0.2% of the Fifth Third's total deposits. This was the 35th highest percentage of deposits within Fifth Third's CRA footprint.

From January 1, 2014 through June 30, 2016, Fifth Third originated 649 HMDA loans and 75 CRA loans, which represented 0.6% and 0.2% of the total loans originated during the evaluation period, respectively. This was the 32nd largest HMDA market and 40th largest CRA market for loans originated during the evaluation period.

In 2015, Fifth Third Mortgage Company ranked 11th among 222 HMDA reporters in the assessment area and Fifth Third ranked 23rd. City National Bank of West Virginia, Quicken Loans, Town Square Bank, and Wells Fargo Bank were the top four HMDA lenders in the assessment area. Fifth Third ranked 22nd of 50 CRA reporters in the assessment area in 2015. The top four CRA lenders in the assessment area were American Express, Synchrony Bank, U.S. Bank, and Capital One. These lenders are mostly issuers of credit cards and their CRA loans primarily consist of commercial credit card accounts.

Four community contact interviews were conducted to provide additional information regarding the assessment area. The first contact, representing a community development group, stated the economic condition of Boyd County is lagging behind the rest of the country, but beginning to stabilize. The contact noted several large area employers have left or reduced operations in the area primarily due to an increase in foreign steel imports. While the organization works with the county and state to help provide funding, incentives, and grants to businesses entering the area, a local industrial park has remained unsold for over ten years. The contact felt that banks are meeting the credit needs of small businesses in the area, but may remain unwilling to take a risk on newer or start-up businesses. The contact stated several area employers work with local colleges to provide technical job training (e.g., one college offered welding classes during non-standard hours).

The second contact, representing an affordable housing organization, stated the Ashland area economy continues to struggle due to a lack of employment opportunities and shrinking industries. The contact stated that federal regulations (e.g., the Clean Air Act) have impacted the local coal industry and rail industry, but there has been recent recovery in these industries.

Additionally, King's Daughters Medical Center received a landmark fine (\$41 million) in 2014 that may have resulted in layoffs and lack of pay raises over the past three years. The contact also stated that many college graduates are leaving this area to relocate to larger metropolitan areas that tend to have more employment opportunities. The contact stated there is not much new housing construction in the area and homes for sale typically stay on the market for more than 180 days. The contact believed if the job market was better, there would be more demand for home refinance and home improvement lending. For the most part, banks are meeting the credit needs of the community; however, the contact believed banks could offer more home equity loan products. The contact mentioned larger financial institutions such as PNC, Fifth Third, Community Trust, and Town Square are prominent lenders in the area and seem willing to help customers. Local area credit unions are also a good option for individuals seeking smaller loans.

The third contact, representing another affordable housing organization, expressed concern that housing and economic conditions in the Ashland area have been flat for several years and are showing little improvement. The contact stated that home values have remained relatively steady and there was little-to-no new home construction. The contact believed the supply of affordable housing in the area appears to be sufficient and foreclosure levels have stabilized. The contact stated that consumer loan needs are being met by area banks and most individuals seek traditional mortgage financing through FHA, Fannie Mae, or Freddie Mac. However, the contact believed banks could offer more small-dollar lending options to small businesses and credit counseling to individuals, as most consumer lending is credit-score based.

The final contact, representing an affordable housing organization, stated the local economy has been relatively stable over the last ten years and Huntington, West Virginia was not affected by the financial crisis or downturn in the coal industry as much as other West Virginia communities. The largest obstacle Huntington faces is the aged housing stock. The community has a very large aging housing stock and infrastructure. At its peak in the 1920s, the city's housing stock met the needs of nearly 100,000 residents, whereas currently, the population is about 49,000 residents, resulting in a significant number of vacant, dilapidated properties. The contact stated the best way for financial institutions to become involved with affordable housing is to volunteer time and resources. For example, local financial institutions volunteered and supported a home-build project for low- and moderate-income individuals. The build was completely sponsored by local financial institutions that contributed manpower and money toward supplies. The contact also expressed the need for financial literacy programs to help lower-income individuals understand banking and credit. The contact believed local financial institutions are adequately meeting the credit needs of the community and supporting this organization. The contact specifically mentioned Fifth Third's E-Bus that travels to different parts of the community to help educate individuals about banking, saving, and improving their credit scores as beneficial to the community and believed more programs like this are needed in the area.

Population Characteristics

According to 2010 U.S. Census data, the population in the assessment area was 364,908. About 20.5% of the population lived in low- and moderate-income tracts. In addition, 78.1% of the population was 18 years of age or older, the legal age to enter into a contract.

As of July 1, 2015, the Huntington-Ashland MSA is the 146th largest in terms of population in the nation.⁵⁰ Cabell County is the largest county in the assessment area, which includes Huntington, the fourth most populous county in West Virginia.⁵¹ Huntington is the largest city in the MSA and is the 782nd most populous city in the U.S. Huntington has 48,638 residents and its population growth decreased by 6.0% between 2000 and 2015, but only decreased by 1.0% between 2010 and 2015.⁵² According to Moody’s Analytics, the migration flow into and out of Huntington resulted in a loss of 800 to 1,500 area residents. A community contact mentioned the impact of the out-flow migration of college graduates on the area’s economy. In contrast, the next largest cities in the MSA are Ashland, KY and Ironton, OH, which have 21,108 and 10,900, respectively.⁵³

The following table shows the population in the assessment area by county for 2010 and 2015 with the percentage of the population increase or decrease.⁵⁴ For the most part, the population within the MSA experienced negative growth between 2010 and 2015, with Putnam County experiencing the greatest growth and Wayne County experiencing the greatest decline in population.

County	2010 Population	2015 Population	Population Percent Change
Boyd, KY	49,542	48,325	-2.5%
Greenup, KY	36,910	36,068	-2.3%
Lawrence, OH	62,450	61,109	-2.1%
Cabell, WV	96,319	96,844	0.5%
Lincoln, WV	21,720	21,415	-1.4%
Putnam, WV	55,486	56,848	2.5%
Wayne, WV	42,481	40,971	-3.6%
Total	364,908	361,580	-0.9%

Income Characteristics

In 2010 the MSA median family income was lower (\$49,771) than Kentucky and Ohio at \$52,046 and \$59,680, respectively and slightly higher than West Virginia at \$48,896. The MSA median family income increased in 2014, 2015, and 2016.

⁵⁰ MSA population data is derived from the U.S. Census Data 2015 Statistical Abstract:

<https://factfinder.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productview.xhtml?src=bkmk>

⁵¹ U.S. Places: <http://us-places.com> (main page – enter state, choose population by county)

⁵² U.S. Census QuickFacts: <https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/> (main page – enter state, county, city, town, or zip code)

⁵³ U.S. Census QuickFacts: <https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/> (main page – enter state, county, city, town, or zip code)

⁵⁴ Population Estimates derived from U.S. Census Data (April 1, 2010 – July 1, 2015):

<http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/table/PST045216/00>

**Borrower Income Levels
Huntington-Ashland, WV-KY-OH MSA**

FFIEC Estimated Median Family Income		Low 0 - 49.99%	Moderate 50% - 79.99%	Middle 80% - 119.99%	Upper 120% - & above
2014	\$52,700	0 - \$26,349	\$26,350 - \$42,159	\$42,160 - \$63,239	\$63,240 - & above
2015	\$55,400	0 - \$27,699	\$27,700 - \$44,319	\$44,320 - \$66,479	\$66,480 - & above
2016	\$56,900	0 - \$28,449	\$28,450 - \$45,519	\$45,520 - \$68,279	\$68,280 - & above

Poverty rates increased in each county in the assessment area from 1999 to 2015.⁵⁵ Putnam County had the lowest poverty rates and Lincoln County had the highest poverty rates in 1999 and 2015. In 2015, only Greenup and Putnam counties had poverty rates below the state-level poverty rates of Kentucky and West Virginia, respectively. Boyd County experienced the largest increase in poverty rates during this period. In 2015, Kentucky's, Ohio's, and West Virginia's poverty rates exceeded the national poverty rate. According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the national poverty rate in 2015 was 13.5%, down 1.3 percentage points from 14.8% in 2014. For most demographic groups, the 2015 poverty rates and number of people in poverty decreased from 2014.⁵⁶ The following table shows the poverty rates for 1999⁵⁷ and 2015.

County	1999 Poverty Rate	2015 Poverty Rate	Change
Boyd	15.5%	20.5%	32.3%
Greenup	14.1%	16.8%	19.1%
Kentucky	15.8%	18.3%	15.8%
Lawrence	18.9%	21.0%	11.1%
Ohio	10.6%	14.8%	39.6%
Cabell	19.2%	20.2%	5.2%
Lincoln	27.9%	28.3%	1.4%
Putnam	9.3%	10.4%	11.8%
Wayne	19.6%	22.5%	14.8%
West Virginia	17.9%	18.0%	0.6%
U.S.	11.8%	13.5%	14.4%

⁵⁵ U.S. Department of Agriculture Economic Research Service Poverty Rates (for 1999 and 2015): <https://data.ers.usda.gov/reports.aspx?ID=17826>

⁵⁶ 2015 National Poverty: <http://www.census.gov/library/publications/2016/demo/p60-256.html>

⁵⁷ 1999 National Poverty Rate: <http://www.census.gov/prod/2000pubs/p60-210.pdf>

Housing Characteristics

According to 2010 U.S. Census data, there are 164,545 housing units and 98,909 million families in the assessment area. From an income perspective, 22.2% of housing units, 16.1% of owner-occupied units, and 18.2% of families are located in low- or moderate-income tracts. Over three quarters of the housing units in the low-income census tracts are either rental or vacant (77.0%) and 23.0% are owner-occupied. In the moderate-income census tracts, nearly half of the housing units are either rental or vacant (48.6%) and 51.5% are owner-occupied. Therefore, based on the number of housing units compared to the number of families in low- and moderate-income census tracts, there appear to be credit-related opportunities for Fifth Third to provide various aspects of affordable housing in the assessment area.

The 2010 U.S. Census data shows the median age of housing stock in the assessment area was 39 years old, with 22.7% of the stock built before 1950. The oldest housing stock was in Cabell and Boyd counties with a median age of 47 and 43 years, respectively, while the newest was 17 years in Boone County. However, within the assessment area, the median age of housing stock was 59 years in low-income tracts and 53 years in moderate-income tracts. In addition, a community contact stated that Cabell County has a large aging housing stock and infrastructure that includes a significant number of vacant, dilapidated properties. Therefore, there appears to be ample opportunity for Fifth Third to provide home improvement and rehabilitation loans in these lower-income areas.

According to 2010 U.S. Census data, the median housing value in the assessment area was \$95,820, with an affordability ratio of 39.98. The affordability ratio is derived by dividing the median household income by the median housing value. The higher the affordability ratio, the more affordable a home is considered. While median housing values fluctuated between 2010 and 2011-2015, housing generally became less affordable across the assessment area. During the evaluation period, the most affordable housing was in Greenup County and the least affordable was in Cabell County. Median gross rents increased at a fairly substantial rate across the assessment area, with renters in Boyd, Lawrence, and Putnam counties experiencing the largest increase in rental rates and renters in Lincoln County experiencing the smallest increase in gross rental rates. The table below presents housing characteristics from the U.S. Census data between 2010 and 2015 in the assessment area Kentucky, Ohio, and West Virginia.

County	2010 Median Housing Value	2010 Affordability Ratio	2011-2015 Median Housing Value	2011-2015 Affordability Ratio	2010 Median Gross Rent	2011-2015 Median Gross Rent	Percent of Change
Boyd	\$93,200	41.63	\$99,700	42.45	\$533	\$625	17.3%
Greenup	\$88,400	47.94	\$97,700	46.44	\$550	\$629	14.4%
Kentucky	\$116,800	35.60	\$123,200	35.50	\$601	\$675	12.3%
Lawrence	\$92,300	39.50	\$98,900	43.35	\$556	\$652	17.3%
Ohio	\$136,400	34.72	\$129,900	38.05	\$678	\$730	7.7%
Cabell	\$97,500	35.38	\$112,800	33.99	\$590	\$653	10.7%
Lincoln	\$65,100	47.42	\$81,000	44.20	\$485	\$524	8.0%
Putnam	\$135,200	38.92	\$148,600	38.21	\$626	\$731	16.8%
Wayne	\$85,500	41.03	\$82,800	43.86	\$525	\$589	12.2%
West Virginia	\$94,500	40.61	\$103,800	40.22	\$549	\$643	17.1%

According to Bankrate.com,⁵⁸ Ohio ranked eighth, Indiana ranked 15th, and Kentucky ranked 43rd for foreclosure filings in November 2016. The national average for foreclosure filings was one in every 1,533 housing units. The following table contains information about foreclosure filings in the assessment area, according to Realtytrac:⁵⁹

Geography Name	Ratio of Properties Receiving Foreclosure Filings in November 2016
Boyd	1:1,484
Greenup	1:1,182
Kentucky	1:4,270
Lawrence	1:985
Ohio	1:1,053
Cabell	1:7,371
Lincoln	NA
Putnam	1:3,130
Wayne	1:5,210
West Virginia	1:8,311
U.S.	1:1,533

In November 2016, Cabell County had the highest rate of foreclosure and Lawrence County had the lowest rates of foreclosure in the assessment area.

Building permits for this MSA, Indiana, Kentucky, Ohio, and the nation are included in the following table for 2014, 2015, and 2016.⁶⁰

Geography	2014	2015	Percent of Change 2014-2015	2016	Percent of Change 2015-2016
MSA	359	291	-18.9%	154	-47.1%
Kentucky	9,421	10,566	12.2%	12,798	21.1%
Ohio	19,965	20,047	0.4%	22,269	11.1%
West Virginia	2,686	2,814	4.8%	2,525	-10.3%
U.S.	1,052,124	1,182,582	12.4%	1,190,191	0.6%

While the MSA experienced a decrease in the number of housing permits issued between 2014 and 2015 and between 2015 and 2016. The decrease in the number of permits could indicate there is not a strong demand for home purchase loans in the MSA during the evaluation period. Also, two community contacts mentioned a reduced demand for new housing construction and home refinance and home improvement lending in the area.

⁵⁸ Bankrate.com: <http://www.bankrate.com/finance/real-estate/foreclosures-by-state/default.aspx>

⁵⁹ Realtytrac: <http://www.realtytrac.com/statsandtrends/foreclosuretrends/>

⁶⁰ U.S. Census Bureau Building Permits Survey: <http://www.census.gov/construction/bps/>

Labor, Employment, and Economic Characteristics

According to Moody’s Analytics, the Huntington-Ashland area economy is at risk due to over exposure to coal and steel industries. The recent merger of Cabell Huntington Hospital and St. Mary’s Medical Center may result in healthcare job losses and the area’s declining population may reduce patient volumes at local hospitals. Currently, rebounding coal production should boost the rail industry’s prospects. This area is a hub for rail and water transport.


According to Ashland Alliance⁶¹ and The Huntington Area Development Council,⁶² the top 12 employers in the MSA in 2015/2016 were:

Company	Number of Employees
King’s Daughters Medical Center	3,753
St. Mary’s Medical Center	2,600
Cabell Huntington Hospital	2,300
Marshall University	2,000
Marathon Petroleum	1,554
Our Lady of Bellefonte Hospital	1,259
Toyota Motor Manufacturing	1,200
CSX, Huntington Division	1,100
VA Medical Center	1,078
Special Metals	996
AK Steel	937
University Physicians & Surgeons	850
Also:	
Steel of West Virginia	527

The following table illustrates the average unemployment rates for 2014, 2015, and 2016 for the MSA, Kentucky, Ohio, and West Virginia.

⁶¹ <http://ashlandalliance.com/economic-development/top-employers/>

⁶² <http://www.hadco.org/community-profile/business-and-industry/>



Unemployment rates declined each year in the MSA and area unemployment was slightly higher than Kentucky’s and considerably below West Virginia’s during this time period.

According to the *West Virginia Record*, eight months after Cabell Huntington Hospital announced an agreement to acquire St. Mary’s Medical Center (November 2014), the attorney general announced a deal to ensure the acquisition is in compliance with the West Virginia Antitrust Act and all other applicable state and federal laws. This highly contested acquisition represents the combination of two of the largest employers in West Virginia. St. Mary’s Medical Center represents the seventh largest private employer and Cabell Huntington Hospital represents the 11th largest private employer in the state.⁶³ According to WCHS TV, Steel of West Virginia dropped its attempt to block the merger (October 2016). After dismissing its appeal, the president and chief executive officer of Steel of West Virginia expressed his ongoing concern that this merger will create a monopoly and lead to higher cost and lower quality of care. He noted the Federal Trade Commission expressed similar concerns when it dismissed its complaint against the merger. According to the CEO, not enough people stepped forward to stop the monopoly from forming. The merger has been approved by the West Virginia Healthcare Authority, the state, and the attorney general.⁶⁴

According to the *Courier-Journal*, Kings Daughters Medical Center (Ashland, WV), agreed to pay \$40.9 million to the government to settle claims for falsely billing Medicaid and Medicare for unnecessary (heart) surgeries. It is described as the largest ever settlement involving a hospital in the state’s eastern district.⁶⁵

⁶³ Karmasek, Jessica. “Deal Reached.” *West Virginia Record*. July 31, 2015. - <http://wvrecord.com/stories/510631143-morrisey-deal-reached-with-cabell-huntington-hospital-in-st-mary-s-acquisition>

⁶⁴ Aaron, Bob. “Steel of West Virginia drops effort to halt merger.” *WCHS-TV*. October 19, 2016. - <http://wchstv.com/news/local/steel-of-west-virginia-drops-effort-to-halt-cabell-huntington-hospital-st-marys-merger>

⁶⁵ Wolfson, Andrew. “Ashland hospital to pay \$41 million...” *Courier-Journal*. May 29, 2014. - <http://www.courier-journal.com/story/news/local/2014/05/28/ashland-hospital-pays-million-unnecessary-surgeries/9673553/>

Combined Demographics Report

Assessment Area: Multi Huntington

Income Categories	Tract Distribution		Families by Tract Income		Families < Poverty Level as % of Families by Tract		Families by Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	5	5.4	1,856	1.9	710	38.3	21,838	22.1
Moderate-income	23	24.7	16,101	16.3	4,056	25.2	17,447	17.6
Middle-income	48	51.6	58,428	59.1	7,672	13.1	20,182	20.4
Upper-income	17	18.3	22,524	22.8	1,501	6.7	39,442	39.9
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	93	100.0	98,909	100.0	13,939	14.1	98,909	100.0
	Housing Units by Tract	Housing Types by Tract						
		Owner-Occupied			Rental		Vacant	
		#	%	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	5,623	1,293	1.2	23	3,112	55.3	1,218	21.7
Moderate-income	30,940	15,918	14.9	51.4	10,599	34.3	4,423	14.3
Middle-income	93,374	63,943	59.9	68.5	19,023	20.4	10,408	11.1
Upper-income	34,608	25,623	24	74	6,037	17.4	2,948	8.5
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	164,545	106,777	100.0	64.9	38,771	23.6	18,997	11.5
	Total Businesses by Tract	Businesses by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Low-income	827	6.6	709	6.3	113	11	5	3.1
Moderate-income	2,508	20.1	2,211	19.5	260	25.4	37	22.7
Middle-income	5,822	46.6	5,325	47.1	394	38.5	103	63.2
Upper-income	3,341	26.7	3,066	27.1	257	25.1	18	11
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	12,498	100.0	11,311	100.0	1,024	100.0	163	100.0
Percentage of Total Businesses:			90.5		8.2		1.3	
	Total Farms by Tract	Farms by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Low-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Moderate-income	36	10.6	36	10.7	0	0	0	0
Middle-income	241	71.1	239	70.9	2	100	0	0
Upper-income	62	18.3	62	18.4	0	0	0	0
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	339	100.0	337	100.0	2	100.0	0	.0
Percentage of Total Farms:			99.4		.6		.0	

**CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN THE
HUNTINGTON-ASHLAND WV-KY-OH MSA**

Lending Test

Fifth Third’s performance under the lending test in this assessment area is rated “Low Satisfactory.” Fifth Third has demonstrated an adequate responsiveness to the credit needs of the community. In addition, Fifth Third originated one community development loan totaling \$1.0 million in the area. Fifth Third has an adequate geographic distribution of loans and moderate lending gaps. Fifth Third has a good distribution among borrowers of different income levels and an adequate distribution of loans to businesses of different revenue sizes. Fifth Third exhibits an adequate record of serving the credit needs of highly economically disadvantaged areas, low-income individuals, and businesses with gross annual revenues of \$1 million or less. The extensive use of flexible lending practices augmented Fifth Third’s performance in this assessment area.

Greatest consideration was given to the evaluation of home purchase lending based on the overall volume of lending, followed by refinance, small business, and home improvement lending. Details of Fifth Third’s residential mortgage and small business lending, as well as information regarding lending by peers, can be found in Appendix E.

Lending Activity

Lending activity reflects an adequate responsiveness to the credit needs within the assessment area. Fifth Third originated 306 home purchase, 275 refinance, 68 home improvement, 75 small business loans, and one community development loan during the evaluation period. The percentage of Fifth Third’s total lending at 0.5% is greater than the percentage of total deposits at 0.2% in this area.

In addition to lending, Fifth Third modified existing loans to borrowers. Below shows the distribution of other real-estate secured modifications within the assessment area by borrower income.

	Distribution by Borrower Income							
	Low		Mod		Middle		Upper	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Other Real Estate Secured Modifications	7	20.0%	8	22.9%	9	25.7%	10	28.6%
<i>Percentage of Families by Family Income</i>		22.1%		17.6%		20.4%		39.9%

The percentage of HAMP and other modifications by geography was not considered in evaluating Fifth Third’s lending activity due to lack of volume. The percentage of other modifications made to low-income borrowers was comparable to the percentage of low-income families in the assessment area and the percentage of other modifications to moderate-income borrowers exceeded the percentage of moderate-income families. Therefore, other modifications enhanced Fifth Third’s ability to reach low- and moderate-income borrowers.

Geographic Distribution of Loans

Fifth Third’s overall distribution of lending among geographies is adequate. Refinance lending, which was the largest loan category, is good, while performance for home purchase and home improvement lending is adequate. Small business lending is excellent. Further, there is a moderate level of lending gaps. The following lending gaps were noted in the assessment area:

Tract Income Levels	Number of Tracts	Tracts with No Loans	Penetration
Low	5	1	80.0%
Moderate	23	5	78.3%
Middle	48	1	97.9%
Upper	17	0	100.0%
Total	93	7	92.5%

Overall, lending gaps are considered reasonable. Fifth Third had minimal lending gaps in low-income tracts and reasonable lending gaps in moderate-income tracts, as there is a higher owner-occupancy rate in these tracts.

Refinance Loans

Fifth Third made one refinance loan totaling \$58,000 in low-income tracts. This represents 0.4% of refinance loans by volume and 0.2% by dollar amount, which is below the percentage of owner-occupied units at 1.2%. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate at 0.8% and in 2015 was below the 2015 aggregate at 1.1%. Given that only 23.0% of housing units are owner-occupied and 77.0% are either rental or vacant, the geographic distribution of refinance loans in low-income tracts is adequate.

Fifth Third made 23 refinance loans totaling \$2.2 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 8.4% of refinance loans by volume, which is less than the owner-occupied units in these tracts at 14.9%. The percentage of loans by dollar amount at 6.9% is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate at 10.2% and was below the 2015 aggregate at 8.9%. Given that Fifth Third’s performance was below proxy and slightly below the aggregate of all lenders and nearly half the housing units are either rental or vacant, the geographic distribution of refinance loans in moderate-income tracts is good.

Fifth Third made 159 refinance loans totaling \$16.9 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 57.8% of refinance loans by volume, which is comparable to the owner-occupied units in these tracts at 59.9%. The percentage of refinance loans by dollar amount (54.1%) is comparable to proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate at 59.9% and was comparable to the 2015 aggregate at 58.4%.

Fifth Third made 92 refinance loans totaling \$12.1 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 33.5% of refinance loans by volume, which exceeds the owner-occupied units in these tracts at 24.0%, and the dollar amount at 38.8% exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume below the 2014 aggregate at 29.6% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate at 31.6%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of refinance loans is good.

Home Purchase Loans

Fifth Third made three home purchase loans totaling \$228,000 in low-income tracts. This represents 1.0% of home purchase loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 1.2%, and 0.4% by dollar amount, which is below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies. The percentage of loans was comparable to the 2014 aggregate at 0.8% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate at 0.9%. Given that Fifth Third's performance was comparable to the aggregate of all lenders and the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies, the geographic distribution of home purchase loans in low-income tracts is good.

Fifth Third made 16 home purchase loans totaling \$1.5 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 5.2% of its home purchase loans by volume, which is significantly less than the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 14.9%, and 2.9% by dollar amount, which was also less than the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate at 9.4% and was below the 2015 aggregate at 9.4%. Since Fifth Third performance was below proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of home purchase loans in moderate-income tracts is adequate.

Fifth Third made 134 home purchase loans totaling \$20.9 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 43.8% of home purchase loans by volume, which is less than the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 59.9%, and 41.1% by dollar amount, which is less than the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 55.4% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 55.5%.

Fifth Third made 153 home purchase loans totaling \$28.3 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 50.0% of home purchase loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 24.0%, but comparable at 55.5% by dollar amount, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 34.5% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 34.3%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of home purchase loans is adequate.

Home Improvement Loans

Fifth Third made no home improvement loans in low-income tracts. The percentage of owner-occupied properties in these tracts is 1.2%. The aggregate made 0.8% of home improvement loans in these tracts in 2014 and 0.9% in 2015. Therefore, the geographic distribution of home improvement loans in low-income tracts is poor.

Fifth Third made six home improvement loans totaling \$272,000 in moderate-income tracts. This represents 8.8% of home improvement loans by volume, which is below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 14.9% and 6.0% by dollar amount and is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 14.0% and in 2015 was below the 2015 aggregate of 12.1%. Given that Fifth Third's performance was below proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of home improvement loans in moderate-income tracts is adequate.

Fifth Third made 46 home improvement loans totaling \$3.3 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 67.6% of home improvement loans by volume, which significantly exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 59.9%, and 72.3% by dollar amount, which significantly exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 57.6% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 60.1%.

Fifth Third made 16 home improvement loans totaling \$987,000 in upper-income tracts. This represents 23.5% of home improvements loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 24.0%, and 21.7% by dollar amount, which is comparable to the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate of 27.6% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 26.8%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of home improvement loans is adequate.

Small Business Loans

Fifth Third made five small business loans totaling \$1.6 million in low-income tracts. This represents 6.7% of business loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 6.3%, and 12.5% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy in these geographies. The percentage of loans by volume exceeds the 2014 aggregate of 6.3% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 5.9%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and proxy, the geographic distribution of small business loans in low-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 16 small business loans totaling \$3.1 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 21.3% of small business loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 19.5%, and 23.5% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 14.6% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 16.0%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and proxy, the geographic distribution of small business loans in moderate-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 31 small business loans totaling \$3.0 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 41.3% of small business loans by volume, which is less than the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 47.1%, and 22.7% by dollar amount, which is less than the percentage of businesses in these geographies. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 42.7% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 39.9%.

Fifth Third made 23 small business loans totaling \$5.4 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 30.7% of small business loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 27.1%, and 41.3% by dollar amount, which is above proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate of 29.9% and was comparable to the 2015 aggregate of 31.0%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of small business loans is excellent.

Distribution by Borrower Income and Revenue Size of the Business

Overall, the distribution of loans is good based on borrower income and adequate for businesses of different revenue sizes. Borrower distribution is good for refinance, home purchase, and home improvement loans.

Refinance Loans

Fifth Third made ten loans totaling \$800,000 to low-income borrowers. This represents 3.6% of refinance loans by volume, which is significantly below the percentage of low-income families at 22.1%. The percentage of loans by dollar amount in these geographies at 2.6% is also significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 6.8% and below the 2015 aggregate of 6.7%. Given that Fifth Third's performance was significantly below the aggregate of all lenders and proxy, the borrower distribution of refinance loans to low-income borrowers is poor.

Fifth Third made 56 loans totaling \$4.0 million to moderate-income borrowers. This represents 20.4% of refinance loans by volume, which exceeded the percentage of moderate-income families at 17.6%, and 12.8% by dollar volume, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate of 15.4% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 13.4%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, the borrower distribution of refinance loans to moderate-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 66 loans totaling \$6.1 million to middle-income borrowers. This represents 24.0% of refinance loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of middle-income families at 20.4%, and 19.4% by dollar amount, which is comparable to proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 22.3% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 20.1%.

Fifth Third made 137 loans totaling \$19.7 million to upper-income borrowers. This represents 49.8% of refinance loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of upper-income families at 39.9%, and the percentage of loans by dollar amount at 62.8% significantly exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 45.2% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 43.6%.

Overall, the borrower distribution of refinance loans is good.

Home Purchase Loans

Fifth Third made seven loans totaling \$472,000 to low-income borrowers. This represents 2.3% of home purchase loans by volume, which is significantly below the percentage of low-income families at 22.1%, and 0.9% by dollar amount, which is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 5.6% and in 2015 was below the 2015 aggregate of 6.1%. Given that Fifth Third's performance was significantly below proxy and below the aggregate of all lenders, the borrower distribution of home purchase loans to low-income borrowers is poor.

Fifth Third made 58 loans totaling \$6.1 million to moderate-income borrowers. This represents 19.0% of home purchase loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of moderate-income families at 17.6%, and 11.9% of loans by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate of all lenders at 17.7% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 17.7%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, the borrower distribution of home purchase loans to moderate-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 80 loans totaling \$10.7 million to middle-income borrowers. This represents 26.1% of home purchase loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of middle-income families at 20.4%, and 21.0% by dollar amount, which slightly exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 24.0% and was comparable to the 2015 aggregate of 23.2%.

Fifth Third made 158 loans totaling \$33.1 million to upper-income borrowers. This represents 51.6% of home purchase loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of upper-income families at 39.9%, but below the dollar amount at 65.0%, exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 39.9% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 38.2%.

A community contact indicated there would be more demand for mortgage lending with an improved job market. Overall, the borrower distribution of home purchase loans is good.

Home Improvement Loans

Fifth Third made four loans totaling \$70,000 to low-income borrowers. This represents 5.9% of home improvement loans by volume, which is significantly below the percentage of low-income families at 22.1%, and 1.5% by dollar amount, which is also significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was significantly below the 2014 aggregate of 10.4% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 6.7%. Given that Fifth Third's performance was below proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, the borrower distribution of home improvement loans to low-income borrowers is adequate.

Fifth Third made 13 loans totaling \$675,000 to moderate-income borrowers. This represents 19.1% of its home improvement loans by volume, which exceeded the percentage of moderate-income families at 17.6%, and 14.8% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 19.1% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 19.4%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, the borrower distribution of home improvement loans to moderate-income borrowers is good.

Fifth Third made 18 loans totaling \$1.1 million to middle-income borrowers. This represents 26.5% of home improvement loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of middle-income families at 20.4%, and 24.8% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 21.0% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 22.7%.

Fifth Third made 33 loans totaling \$2.7 million to upper-income borrowers. This represents 48.5% of home improvement loans by volume, which exceeded the percentage of upper-income families at 39.9%, and 58.9% by dollar amount, which was below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 43.9% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 48.9%.

The overall borrower distribution of home improvement loans is good.

Small Business Loans

The distribution of small business loans to businesses of different sizes is adequate, considering Fifth Third's performance relative to the aggregate of all lenders. Fifth Third was able to make 46.7% of small business loans to businesses with revenues of \$1 million or less. Fifth Third's performance was below the 2014 aggregate of 40.9% and the 2015 aggregate of 44.6% and was significantly below the percentage of small businesses in the assessment area at 90.5%. Fifth Third made an acceptable percentage of small-dollar loans (68.0%) up to \$100,000, indicating a willingness to lend in smaller amounts typically requested by small businesses. Further, the need for these small-dollar loans for small businesses was expressed by a community contact.

Community Development Loans

Fifth Third originated one community development loan totaling approximately \$1.0 million during the evaluation period. The loan was a working capital loan to promote economic development by financing a small business to support retention of jobs for low- and moderate-income workers.

Community development lending in the assessment area represents 0.02% of the total dollar volume of community development loans originated during the evaluation period. This was Fifth Third’s smallest market of community development lending. As such, Fifth Third made few, if any community development loans in the assessment area.

Flexible Lending Programs

Fifth Third had 155 flexible lending loans in this assessment area: 135 government loans, two down payment assistance loans, and 18 flexible lending programs. The following tables show the percentage by volume and by dollar amount of the three types of flexible lending programs made in this assessment area during the evaluation period and the distribution of Fifth Third’s flexible lending programs within the assessment area by census tract income and borrower income.

Flexible Loan Programs												
	Geographic Distribution											
	Low			Moderate			Middle			Upper		
	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units
Government Loan Programs	1.5%	0.8%	1.2%	5.2%	3.1%	14.9%	51.1%	45.7%	59.9%	42.2%	50.4%	24.0%
Down Payment Assistance Programs	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	14.9%	50.0%	32.3%	59.9%	50.0%	67.7%	24.0%
Other Flexible Lending Programs	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	33.3%	34.8%	14.9%	50.0%	44.6%	59.9%	16.7%	20.6%	24.0%
Total	1.3%	0.7%	1.2%	8.4%	5.6%	14.9%	51.0%	45.6%	59.9%	39.4%	48.1%	24.0%

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

Flexible Loan Programs												
	Borrower Distribution											
	Low			Moderate			Middle			Upper		
	% - #	% - \$	% Fam	% - #	% - \$	% Fam	% - #	% - \$	% Fam	% - #	% - \$	% Fam
Government Loan Programs	2.2%	1.2%	21.1%	26.7%	19.0%	17.6%	30.4%	29.2%	20.4%	33.3%	42.8%	39.9%
Down Payment Assistance Programs	100.0%	100.0%	21.1%	0.0%	0.0%	17.6%	0.0%	0.0%	20.4%	0.0%	0.0%	39.9%
Other Flexible Lending Programs	5.6%	2.2%	21.1%	22.2%	16.9%	17.6%	9.8%	20.4%	20.4%	50.0%	68.8%	39.9%
Total	3.9%	1.8%	21.1%	25.8%	18.7%	17.6%	28.4%	27.5%	20.4%	34.8%	44.6%	39.9%

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

Fifth Third’s lending in low-income tracts, by number and dollar amount, was equivalent to the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts for government loan programs. The percentage of lending by volume and dollar in moderate-income tracts was below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies.

Fifth Third’s lending by volume and dollar amount to low-income borrowers was significantly below the percentage of low-income families in the assessment area. The percentage of lending by volume to moderate-income borrowers exceeded the percentage of moderate-income families, especially for government loan and other flexible lending programs.

Therefore, Fifth Third made extensive use of flexible lending practices in serving assessment area credit needs, since lending through flexible loan programs in low-income tracts was good and lending in moderate-income tracts and borrowers was adequate and excellent, respectively.

Investment Test

Fifth Third’s performance relative to the investment test in the assessment area is rated ‘Outstanding.’

Fifth Third made an excellent level of qualified community development investments and grants, particularly those not routinely provided by private investors. As such, Fifth Third was often in a leadership position. Fifth Third has 92 qualified investments totaling \$12.6 million during the evaluation period. Shown in the table below are the total current period investments:

Affordable Housing		Economic Development		Community Services	
#	\$	#	\$	#	\$
27	8,224,523	10	10,600	45	60,775

Also included in the total number of qualified investments are ten prior period investments totaling \$4.3 million. Overall, Fifth Third made 0.8% of its total community development investments in this assessment area, which is greater than the percentage of total deposits at 0.2% and greater than percentage of branch offices at 0.5%.

Fifth Third exhibits an excellent responsiveness to credit and community development needs in the assessment area, including investments in several affordable housing projects and credit counseling throughout the assessment area, which were needs expressed by several community contacts. Included in total investments are 56 donations totaling \$73,375 that supported United Way, local schools, small businesses, recreation centers, food banks, churches, and affordable housing.

Service Test

Fifth Third’s performance under the service test in this assessment area is rated “Outstanding.” Retail services are accessible and Fifth Third is a leader in providing community development services.

Retail Services

Fifth Third's record of opening and closing offices has not adversely affected the accessibility of its delivery systems, particularly in low- and moderate-income geographies and to low- and moderate-income households. The one banking center closed since the previous evaluation period was in a moderate-income tract. Delivery services are accessible to Fifth Third's geographies and individuals of different income levels.

Business hours and services provided do not vary in a way that inconveniences certain portions of the assessment area, including low- and moderate-income geographies or households and are consistent with the services and hours discussed in the "Institution" assessment.

Fifth Third maintains seven banking centers within this assessment area, including one in low-, one in moderate-, two in middle-, and three in upper-income census tracts. Fifth Third's banking centers in this assessment area represent 0.5% of all its banking centers.

Fifth Third has a total of nine full-service ATMs within this assessment area, including one in low-, three in moderate-, two in middle-, and three in upper-income census tracts.

The following table illustrates the percentage of banking centers and ATMs in low-, moderate-, middle-, and upper-income census tracts in comparison to the number and percentage of census tracts and the percentage of households and businesses in those tracts.

Geographic Distribution of Branches & ATMS

Assessment Area: Multi Huntington

Tract Category	Branches				Stand Alone ATMs						Demographics				
	Total Branches		Open	Closed	Total ATMs		Full Service ATMs		Cash only ATMs		Census Tracts		House holds	Total Businesses	
	#	%			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%			
Low	1	14.3%	0	0	Total	1	7.7%	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	5	5.4%	3.0%	6.7%
Moderate	1	14.3%	0	1	Total	7	53.8%	3	33.3%	4	100.0%	23	24.7%	18.2%	20.2%
Middle	2	28.6%	0	0	Total	2	15.4%	2	22.2%	0	0.0%	48	51.6%	57.0%	46.2%
Upper	3	42.9%	0	0	Total	3	23.1%	3	33.3%	0	0.0%	17	18.3%	21.8%	26.9%
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0	Total	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total	7	100.0%	0	1	Total	13	100.0%	9	100.0%	4	100.0%	93	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

2016 FFIEC Census Data, 2010 ACS Data, and 2015 D&B Information

Closed branches/ATMs are only included in "closed" columns and are not included in any other totals.

DTO - Drive thru only is a subset of total branches

SA = Stand Alone ATM is a subset of total ATMs

Branch distribution within low-income tracts was considered excellent, as the distribution of branches exceeded the percentage of census tracts and households in these tracts. The branch distribution within moderate-income tracts was considered good.

Community Development Services

Fifth Third is a leader in providing community development services in this assessment area. During the evaluation period, Fifth Third employees provided 1,459 hours of community development service to local organizations serving low- and moderate-income individuals, which represents 1.2% of all community development services provided and equates to 0.7 annualized persons (ANP).

Affordable Housing	Economic Development	Community Services
# of Hours	# of Hours	# of Hours
37	10	1,412

Employees provided financial expertise through leadership positions in multiple community organizations that provide affordable housing and promote community and economic development.

Community development services include 971 hours of providing financial literacy through local nonprofits and school programs, 428 hours serving on boards and committees, 44 hours providing technical assistance to non-profits, and 16 hours participating in foreclosure prevention outreach.

Fifth Third is considered particularly responsive with regard to hours dedicated to financial literacy activities. Several community contacts mentioned the need for financial literacy training to provide debt counseling and assist first-time homebuyers and affordable housing support.

MULTI-STATE METROPOLITAN AREA
(Full-scope Review)

CRA RATING for Louisville-Jefferson County KY-IN MSA #31140: Satisfactory

The lending test is rated: High Satisfactory

The investment test is rated: Outstanding

The service test is rated: High Satisfactory

The major factors supporting this rating include:

- A good responsiveness to credit needs;
- A good geographic distribution of loans throughout the assessment area;
- An excellent distribution of loans among borrowers of different income levels and adequate to businesses of different revenue sizes;
- Exhibits a good record of serving the credit needs of low-income individuals and areas and very small businesses;
- A relatively high level of making community development loans;
- An extensive use of flexible lending practices in serving the assessment area's credit needs;
- An excellent level of qualified community development investments and grants;
- Often in a leadership position in providing community development investments and grants;
- Retail delivery systems are accessible to all geographies and individuals of different income levels and businesses of different revenue sizes;
- A record of opening and closing banking centers that has not adversely affected the accessibility of delivery systems;
- Banking services and hours that do not vary in a way that inconveniences any portions of the assessment areas; and,
- A relatively high level of providing community development services.

SCOPE OF EXAMINATION

A full-scope review was conducted for the Louisville-Jefferson County multistate assessment area. The time period, products, and affiliates evaluated for this assessment area are consistent with the scope discussed in the "Institution" section of this report.

DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION'S OPERATIONS IN THE LOUISVILLE-JEFFERSON COUNTY KY-IN MSA

The Louisville-Jefferson County KY-IN MSA consists of Clark, Floyd, and Harrison counties in Indiana and Bullitt, Jefferson, Oldham, and Shelby counties in Kentucky. Fifth Third's assessment area excludes Scott and Washington counties in Indiana and Henry, Spencer, and Trimble counties in Kentucky. The assessment area is comprised of 35 low-, 57 moderate-, 105 middle-, and 85 upper-income tracts. There are also two tracts with no income designation that are primarily composed of correctional institutions, military establishments, education facilities, or medical establishments that do not report income information.

As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third ranked third out of 35 institutions with 9.8% of the deposit market share. PNC Bank had the majority of the market share 23.6% of deposits and JPMorgan Chase Bank ranked second with 16.6% of the market share. Deposits in this assessment area accounted for 2.3% of the Fifth Third's total deposits. This was the tenth-highest percentage of deposits within Fifth Third's CRA footprint.

From January 1, 2014 through June 30, 2016, Fifth Third originated 3,711 HMDA loans and 982 CRA loans, which represented 3.6% and 2.5% of the total loans originated during the evaluation period, respectively. This was the eighth-largest HMDA market and 12th largest CRA market for loans originated during the evaluation period.

In 2015, Fifth Third Mortgage Company ranked fifth among 424 HMDA reporters in the assessment area and Fifth Third ranked 36th. Wells Fargo Bank, Century Lending Company, PNC Bank, and Commonwealth Bank and Trust Company were the top four HMDA lenders in the assessment area. Fifth Third ranked 11th of 93 CRA reporters in the assessment area in 2015. The top four CRA lenders in the assessment area were American Express, PNC Bank, Chase Bank USA, and US Bank. These lenders are mostly issuers of credit cards and their CRA loans primarily consist of commercial credit card accounts.

Two community contact interviews were conducted to provide additional information regarding the assessment area. The first contact, representing a small business development in Jefferson County, stated that while the area is experiencing some growth with franchises, there are not many small businesses coming into the area. The contact noted while overall employment is stable, there are a large number of low- and moderate-income individuals within the community, and it is difficult for these individuals to find good jobs that pay a living wage. The contact believed there are opportunities for area banks to work with developers and local organizations that deal with affordable housing needs in the community. Also, banks could make access to credit more obtainable for small business owners, as most banks do not make small-dollar loans up to \$100,000, which small businesses sometimes need. In general, the contact believed banks in the area are fairly responsive to the credit needs of the community.

The second contact, representing a community development organization in the Clark, Floyd and Scott counties, stated there are not many high-tech jobs in the area and many of the (new) jobs do not pay a livable wage, when factoring in rising housing costs.

The contact stated there is a need for more transitional housing to assist homeless individuals and families in stabilizing and eventually securing permanent housing outside the shelter. The contact believed there are opportunities for banks to participate in housing blight eradication/redevelopment projects and to provide financing for local infrastructure projects. Often, local governments have to rely on more expensive financing options because banks seem unwilling to fund these longer-term types of projects.

Population Characteristics

According to 2010 U.S. Census data, the population in the assessment area was 1.1 million. About 26.2% of the population lived in low- and moderate-income tracts. In addition, 76.3% of the population was 18 years of age or older, the legal age to enter into a contract.

As of July 1, 2015, the Louisville-Jefferson County MSA is the 43rd largest in terms of population in the nation.⁶⁶ Jefferson County is the largest county in the assessment area and the most populous county in Kentucky.⁶⁷ Louisville is the largest city in the MSA and the 30th most populous city in the U.S. Louisville has 615,366 residents and its population growth increased by 11.0% between 2000 and 2015.⁶⁸ According to Moody's Analytics, in 2015, the migration flow into Louisville was 32,599 residents, with a positive annual net migration from 2012 through 2015. In contrast, the largest cities in the MSA in Kentucky are Jeffersontown and Lyndon, which have 26,946 and 11,372 residents, respectively. The largest cities in Indiana are Jeffersonville, New Albany, and Clarksville, which have 46,960, 36,732, and 21,866 residents, respectively.⁶⁹

The following table shows the population in the assessment area by county for 2010 and 2015, with the percentage of the population increase.⁷⁰ The population within the MSA experienced positive growth between 2010 and 2015, with Shelby County experiencing the greatest growth and Harrison County experiencing the least growth in population during this time period. Moody's Analytics cited favorable population trends as an economic strength for the area.

⁶⁶ MSA population data is derived from the U.S. Census Data 2015 Statistical Abstract:
<https://factfinder.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productview.xhtml?src=bkmk>

⁶⁷ U.S. Places: <http://us-places.com> (main page – enter state, choose population by county)

⁶⁸ U.S. Census QuickFacts: <https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/> (main page – enter state, county, city, town, or zip code)

⁶⁹ U.S. Census QuickFacts: <https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/> (main page – enter state, county, city, town, or zip code)

⁷⁰ Population Estimates derived from U.S. Census Data (April 1, 2010 – July 1, 2015):
<http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/table/PST045216/00>

County	2010 Population	2015 Population	Population Percent Change
Clark, IN	110,232	115,371	4.7%
Floyd, IN	74,578	76,778	2.9%
Harrison, IN	39,364	39,578	0.5%
Bullitt, KY	74,319	78,702	5.9%
Jefferson, KY	741,096	763,623	3.0%
Oldham, KY	60,316	64,875	7.6%
Shelby, KY	42,074	45,632	8.5%
Total	1,141,979	1,184,559	3.7%

Income Characteristics

In 2010 the MSA median family income was greater (\$60,164) than Kentucky and Indiana at \$52,046 and \$58,944, respectively. The MSA’s median family income increased in 2014, 2015, and 2016.

**Borrower Income Levels
Louisville-Jefferson County, KY-IN MSA**

FFIEC Estimated Median Family Income		Low 0 - 49.99%	Moderate 50% - 79.99%	Middle 80% - 119.99%	Upper 120% - & above
2014	\$64,200	0 - \$32,099	\$32,100 - \$51,359	\$51,360 - \$77,039	\$77,040 - & above
2015	\$65,400	0 - \$32,699	\$32,700 - \$52,319	\$52,320 - \$78,479	\$78,480 - & above
2016	\$66,800	0 - \$33,399	\$33,400 - \$53,439	\$53,440 - \$80,159	\$80,160 - & above

Poverty rates increased in each county in the assessment area from 1999 to 2015.⁷¹ Oldham County had the lowest poverty rates and Jefferson County had the highest poverty rates in 1999 and 2015. In 2015, all of the counties in the assessment area had poverty rates below the state-level poverty rates of Indiana and Kentucky. Harrison County experienced the largest increase in poverty rates during this period. In 2015, Indiana’s and Kentucky’s poverty rates exceeded the national poverty rate. According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the national poverty rate in 2015 was 13.5%, down 1.3 percentage points from 14.8% in 2014. For most demographic groups, the 2015 poverty rates and number of people in poverty decreased from 2014.⁷² The following table shows the poverty rates for 1999⁷³ and 2015.

⁷¹ U.S. Department of Agriculture Economic Research Service Poverty Rates (for 1999 and 2015): <https://data.ers.usda.gov/reports.aspx?ID=17826>

⁷² 2015 National Poverty: <http://www.census.gov/library/publications/2016/demo/p60-256.html>

⁷³ 1999 National Poverty Rate: <http://www.census.gov/prod/2000pubs/p60-210.pdf>

County	1999 Poverty Rate	2015 Poverty Rate	Change
Clark	8.1%	10.4%	28.4%
Floyd	8.7%	11.3%	29.9%
Harrison	6.4%	11.2%	75.0%
Indiana	9.5%	14.4%	51.6%
Bullitt	7.9%	9.6%	21.5%
Jefferson	12.4%	15.4%	24.2%
Oldham	4.1%	6.2%	51.2%
Shelby	9.9%	11.5%	16.2%
Kentucky	15.8%	18.3%	15.8%
U.S.	11.8%	13.5%	14.4%

Housing Characteristics

According to 2010 U.S. Census data, there are 494,618 housing units and 292,245 families in the assessment area. From an income perspective, 28.7% of housing units, 18.5% of owner-occupied units, and 23.7% of families are located in low- or moderate-income tracts. Nearly three-quarters of the housing units in the low-income census tracts are either rental or vacant (72.5%) and 27.5% are owner-occupied. In the moderate-income census tracts, over half of the housing units are either rental or vacant (52.5%) and 47.5% are owner-occupied. Therefore, based on the number of housing units compared to the number of families in low- and moderate-income census tracts, there appear to be credit-related opportunities for Fifth Third to provide various aspects of affordable housing in the assessment area.

The 2010 U.S. Census data shows the median age of housing stock in the assessment area was 39 years old, with 20.8% of the stock built before 1950. The oldest housing stock was in Jefferson County with a median age of 43 years, while the newest was 20 years in Bullitt County. However, within the assessment area, the median age of housing stock was 61 years in low-income tracts and 49 years in moderate-income tracts. Therefore, there appears to be ample opportunity for Fifth Third to provide home improvement and rehabilitation loans in these lower-income areas. In addition, the community contacts said there are opportunities for banks to fund affordable housing needs in the community (e.g., more transitional housing and assistance in eradicating housing blight).

According to 2010 U.S. Census data, the median housing value in the assessment area was \$146,556 with an affordability ratio of 32.79. The affordability ratio is derived by dividing the median household income by the median housing value. The higher the affordability ratio, the more affordable a home is considered. While median housing values fluctuated between 2010 and 2011-2015, housing generally became more affordable across the assessment area due to increased median household incomes. During the evaluation period, the most affordable housing was in Harrison County and the least affordable was in Jefferson County. Median gross rents increased at a fairly substantial rate across the assessment area, with renters in Oldham and Shelby counties experiencing the largest increase in rental rates and renters in Harrison County experiencing the smallest increase in gross rental rates.

The following table presents housing characteristics from the U.S. Census data between 2010 and 2015 in the assessment area, Indiana, and Kentucky. According to Moody’s Analytics, housing has picked up with price appreciation accelerating to match the national pace and starts climbing.

County	2010 Median Housing Value	2010 Affordability Ratio	2011-2015 Median Housing Value	2011-2015 Affordability Ratio	2010 Median Gross Rent	2011-2015 Median Gross Rent	Percent of Change
Clark	\$125,800	37.65	\$129,000	40.08	\$692	\$755	9.1%
Floyd	\$147,100	35.64	\$156,300	35.13	\$683	\$723	5.9%
Harrison	\$124,200	41.28	\$129,300	40.32	\$638	\$669	4.9%
Indiana	\$123,000	38.78	\$124,200	39.66	\$683	\$747	9.4%
Bullitt	\$143,000	36.03	\$144,700	38.68	\$701	\$769	9.7%
Jefferson	\$145,900	31.08	\$150,400	32.38	\$667	\$749	12.3%
Oldham	\$234,400	33.88	\$247,500	34.53	\$671	\$833	24.1%
Shelby	\$169,500	32.62	\$175,700	34.33	\$674	\$796	18.1%
Kentucky	\$116,800	35.60	\$123,200	35.50	\$601	\$675	12.3%

According to Bankrate.com,⁷⁴ Indiana ranked 15th and Kentucky ranked 43rd for foreclosure filings in November 2016. The national average for foreclosure filings was one in every 1,533 housing units. The following table contains information about foreclosure filings in the assessment area, according to Realtytrac:⁷⁵

Geography Name	Ratio of Properties Receiving Foreclosure Filings in November 2016
Clark	1:863
Floyd	1:1,766
Harrison	1:1,741
Indiana	1:1,590
Bullitt	1:2,590
Jefferson	1:2,723
Oldham	1:7,252
Shelby	1:3,553
Kentucky	1:4,270
U.S.	1:1,533

In November 2016, Clark County had the highest rate of foreclosure and Oldham County had the lowest rates of foreclosure in the assessment area.

⁷⁴ Bankrate.com: <http://www.bankrate.com/finance/real-estate/foreclosures-by-state/default.aspx>

⁷⁵ Realtytrac: <http://www.realtytrac.com/statsandtrends/foreclosureretrends/>

Building permits for this MSA, Indiana, Kentucky, and the nation are included in the following table for 2014, 2015, and 2016.⁷⁶

Geography	2014	2015	Percent of Change 2014-2015	2016	Percent of Change 2015-2016
MSA	4,035	3,979	-1.4%	5,001	25.7%
Indiana	17,813	18,483	3.8%	18,317	-0.9%
Kentucky	9,421	10,566	12.2%	12,798	21.1%
U.S.	1,052,124	1,182,582	12.4%	1,190,191	0.6%

While the MSA experienced a slight decrease in the number of housing permits issued between 2014 and 2015, it experienced a significant increase between 2015 and 2016. The increase in the number of permits could indicate there is a growing demand for home purchase loans in the MSA and Kentucky during the evaluation period. According to Moody’s Analytics, the demand for new housing starts has increased.

Labor, Employment, and Economic Characteristics

According to Moody’s Analytics, the Louisville-Jefferson County area economy is in expansion due to competitive business costs and favorable population trends. Contributing to the area’s economic strengths is the large insurance industry in a state with Medicaid expansion, a major shipping hub, the presence of a large research university, and low business costs (especially office rents). Economic weaknesses include below-average educational attainment despite the presence of the University of Louisville, few high-tech jobs, and below-average per capita income. Both community contacts commented on jobs not providing a living wage for lower-income workers. However, according to Moody’s Analytics, job creation has been strong enough to absorb a surge in new labor market entrants and put downward pressure on the jobless rate.

According to Insider Louisville,⁷⁷ the Louisville MSA is home to three Fortune 500 companies in 2016. Other Fortune 500 firms with strong ties to Louisville include: General Electric, Ford Motor, Kroger, Comcast, UPS, and Time Warner Cable.

Louisville Fortune 500 Companies (2016) ⁷⁸		
Rank	Company	Annual Revenue
52	Humana	\$54.3 billion
218	Yum! Brands	\$13.3 billion
372	Kindred Healthcare	\$5.3 billion

⁷⁶ U.S. Census Bureau Building Permits Survey: <http://www.census.gov/construction/bps/>


⁷⁷ Insider Louisville: <http://insiderlouisville.com/business/fortune-500-three-louisville-based-firms-make-list-along-many-firms-strong-louisville-ties/>

⁷⁸ All three companies experienced an appreciable improvement from the prior year’s rankings (58th, 228th, 491st)

According to the Greater Louisville Chamber of Commerce,⁷⁹ the top 15 employers in the MSA in 2016 were:

Company	Number of Employees
United Parcel Service (UPS)	22,080
Ford Motor Co. (2 plants)	12,990
Humana Inc.	12,500
Norton Healthcare	11,389
Amazon.com	6,000
GE Appliances	6,000
KentuckyOne Health Inc.	6,000
Baptist Healthcare Systems Inc.	4,995
Kroger Co.	4,626
Manna Inc.	3,120
ResCare Inc.	2,435
Kindred Healthcare Inc.	2,381
LG&E and KU Energy	2,211
PNC Bank	1,500
Yum! Brands	1,343

The following table illustrates the average unemployment rates for 2014, 2015, and 2016 for the MSA, Indiana, and Kentucky.



⁷⁹ GLI: <https://www.greaterlouisville.com/talent/major-employers/>

The unemployment rates declined each year in the MSA and were considerably below Indiana's or Kentucky's during this time period.

According to *Reuters*, on January 23, 2017 a U.S. judge blocked health insurer Aetna Inc.'s proposed \$34 billion acquisition of Humana Inc. The U.S. Justice Department filed a lawsuit in July 2016 to block Aetna's acquisition of Humana and Anthem's acquisition of Cigna, arguing that these two deals would substantially lessen competition and lead to higher prices.⁸⁰ According to Business First of Louisville (as reported to *WDRB News*), Humana is the area's largest corporate headquarters in Kentucky, second-largest private employer, and third-largest employer overall in the 12-county metropolitan area. Humana is the single-largest source of taxes withheld from paychecks, despite having fewer employees than UPS and Jefferson County Public Schools. According to a University of Louisville finance professor, part of the justification for corporate mergers is reducing expenses through eliminating duplicate positions; so, despite what officials say, there will be layoffs.⁸¹

⁸⁰ Bartz, Diane. "U.S. blocks health insurer Aetna's \$34 billion Humana acquisition." *Reuters*. January 23, 2017. - <http://www.reuters.com/article/us-humana-aetna-antitrust-idUSKBN1572BF>

⁸¹ Otts, Chris and Green, Marcus. "Despite loss of biggest headquarters, Louisville officials are optimistic about Humana sale." *WDCB News*. July 3, 2015. - <http://www.wdrb.com/story/29470858/despite-loss-of-biggest-headquarters-louisville-officials-optimistic-about-humana-sale>

Combined Demographics Report

Assessment Area: Multi Louisville

Income Categories	Tract Distribution		Families by Tract Income		Families < Poverty Level as % of Families by Tract		Families by Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	35	12.3	23,478	8	9,435	40.2	61,453	21
Moderate-income	57	20.1	45,833	15.7	8,073	17.6	50,954	17.4
Middle-income	105	37	125,558	43	9,588	7.6	60,516	20.7
Upper-income	85	29.9	97,376	33.3	3,370	3.5	119,322	40.8
Unknown-income	2	0.7	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	284	100.0	292,245	100.0	30,466	10.4	292,245	100.0
	Housing Units by Tract	Housing Types by Tract						
		Owner-Occupied			Rental		Vacant	
		#	%	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	52,653	14,472	4.7	27.5	28,283	53.7	9,898	18.8
Moderate-income	89,467	42,469	13.8	47.5	36,393	40.7	10,605	11.9
Middle-income	203,802	138,534	45	68	49,381	24.2	15,887	7.8
Upper-income	148,696	112,700	36.6	75.8	26,464	17.8	9,532	6.4
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	494,618	308,175	100.0	62.3	140,521	28.4	45,922	9.3
	Total Businesses by Tract	Businesses by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Low-income	5,767	10.1	4,839	9.3	901	19.4	27	13.2
Moderate-income	8,668	15.2	7,704	14.7	916	19.8	48	23.4
Middle-income	19,539	34.2	18,064	34.6	1,410	30.4	65	31.7
Upper-income	22,989	40.3	21,557	41.3	1,368	29.5	64	31.2
Unknown-income	130	0.2	90	0.2	39	0.8	1	0.5
Total Assessment Area	57,093	100.0	52,254	100.0	4,634	100.0	205	100.0
Percentage of Total Businesses:			91.5		8.1		.4	
	Total Farms by Tract	Farms by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Low-income	17	1.7	17	1.7	0	0	0	0
Moderate-income	53	5.2	53	5.3	0	0	0	0
Middle-income	480	47.4	479	47.6	1	16.7	0	0
Upper-income	462	45.7	457	45.4	5	83.3	0	0
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	1,012	100.0	1,006	100.0	6	100.0	0	.0
Percentage of Total Farms:			99.4		.6		.0	

**CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN THE
LOUISVILLE-JEFFERSON COUNTY KY-IN MSA**

Lending Test

Fifth Third’s performance under the lending test in this assessment area is rated “High Satisfactory.” Fifth Third has demonstrated a good responsiveness to the credit needs of the community. In addition, Fifth Third originated 33 community development loans totaling \$187.1 million. Fifth Third has a good geographic distribution of loans and minimal lending gaps in the assessment area. Fifth Third has an excellent distribution among borrowers of different income levels and an adequate distribution of loans to businesses of different revenue sizes. Fifth Third exhibits a good record of serving the credit needs of highly economically disadvantaged areas in its assessment area, low-income individuals, and businesses with gross annual revenues of \$1 million or less. The good level of community development loans and extensive use of flexible lending practices augmented Fifth Third’s performance in this assessment area.

Greatest consideration was given to the evaluation of home purchase lending based on the overall volume of lending, followed by refinance, small business, and home improvement lending. Details of Fifth Third’s residential mortgage and small business lending, as well as information regarding aggregate lending, can be found in Appendix E.

Lending Activity

Fifth Third’s lending activity reflects good responsiveness to the credit needs within the assessment area. Fifth Third originated 1,836 home purchase, 1,717 refinance, 158 home improvement, 982 small business, and 33 community development loans during the evaluation period. The percentage of Fifth Third’s total lending at 3.3% is greater than the percentage of total deposits at 2.3% in this area.

Fifth Third made 95.7% of the HMDA and 98.8% of the CRA lending within this designated assessment area. There was no concentration of loans identified in any of the excluded counties within the assessment area.

In addition to lending, Fifth Third modified existing loans to borrowers. Refer to the distribution of HAMP and other real-estate secured modifications within the assessment area by census tract income and by borrower income.

	Distribution by Census Tract Income							
	Low		Mod		Middle		Upper	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Other Real Estate Secured Modifications	8	4.4%	30	16.6%	102	56.4%	41	22.7%
<i>Percentage of Owner Occupied Units</i>		<i>4.7%</i>		<i>13.8%</i>		<i>45.0%</i>		<i>36.6%</i>

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

	Distribution by Borrower Income							
	Low		Mod		Middle		Upper	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Other Real Estate Secured Modifications	69	38.1%	50	27.6%	36	19.9%	26	14.4%
Percentage of Families by Family Income		21.0%		17.4%		20.7%		40.8%

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

There were not enough HAMP loans for a meaningful analysis. The percentage of other modifications in low-income tracts was slightly below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies, and the other modifications in moderate-income tracts exceeded the percentage of owner-occupied units. Therefore, modifications helped to expand lending activities in these areas.

The percentage of other modifications made to low- and moderate-income borrowers exceeded the percentage of low- and moderate-income families in the assessment area. Therefore, modifications enhanced Fifth Third’s ability to reach low- and moderate-income borrowers.

Geographic Distribution of Loans

Fifth Third’s overall distribution of lending among geographies is good. Home purchase lending, the largest loan category, is good. Performance is also good for refinance and home improvement loans. Small business lending is good. There is also an overall low level of lending gaps. The following gaps in lending were noted in the assessment area:

Tract Income Levels	Number of Tracts	Tracts with No Loans	Penetration
Low	35	3	91.4%
Moderate	57	1	98.3%
Middle	105	0	100.0%
Upper	85	0	100.0%
Unknown	2	2	0.0%
Total	284	6	97.9%

Overall, lending gaps are considered minimal, because there is a 90+ percent penetration rate in all tract income levels.

Home Purchase Loans

Fifth Third made 48 home purchase loans totaling \$4.8 million in low-income tracts. This represents 2.6% of home purchase loans by volume, which is less than the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 4.7%, and 1.5% by dollar amount, which is also less than the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate at 2.1% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate at 2.0%. As Fifth Third’s performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders, but was below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies, the geographic distribution is good.

Fifth Third made 254 home purchase loans totaling \$26.2 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 13.8% of its home purchase loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 13.8%, and 8.5% by dollar amount, which was below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate at 12.2% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate at 11.6%. As Fifth Third's performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and comparable to proxy, the geographic distribution is good.

Fifth Third made 702 home purchase loans totaling \$87.4 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 38.2% of home purchase loans by volume, which is below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 45.0%, and 28.4% by dollar amount, which is less than the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 43.7% and below the 2015 aggregate of 44.4%.

Fifth Third made 832 home purchase loans totaling \$189.2 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 45.3% of home purchase loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 36.6%, and 61.5% by dollar amount, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 42.0% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 42.0%.

The geographic distribution of home purchase loans is considered good.

Refinance Loans

Fifth Third made 71 refinance loans totaling \$4.1 million in low-income tracts. This represents 4.1% of refinance loans by volume and 1.7% by dollar amount, which is below the percentage of owner-occupied units at 4.7%. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate at 3.7% and was comparable to the 2015 aggregate at 2.4%. As Fifth Third's performance was below proxy and exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and with only 27.5% of the housing units being owner-occupied, the geographic distribution of refinance loans in low-income tracts is good.

Fifth Third made 235 refinance loans totaling \$20.5 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 13.7% of refinance loans by volume, which is below the owner-occupied units in these tracts at 17.4%. The percentage of loans by dollar amount at 8.6% is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate at 13.8% and significantly exceeded the 2015 aggregate at 8.6%. As Fifth Third's performance was below proxy and exceeded the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of refinance loans in moderate-income tracts is good.

Fifth Third made 730 refinance loans totaling \$76.8 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 42.5% of refinance loans by volume, which is comparable to the owner-occupied units in these tracts at 45.0%. However, refinance loans by dollar amount (32.3%) was below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume at 44.8% was below the 2014 aggregate and was comparable to the 2015 aggregate at 42.9%.

Fifth Third made 681 refinance loans totaling \$136.2 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 39.7% of refinance loans by volume, which exceeds the owner-occupied units in these tracts at 36.6%, and also exceeded proxy by dollar amount at 57.3%. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate at 39.5% and was below the 2015 aggregate at 44.1%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of refinance loans is good.

Home Improvement Loans

Fifth Third made three home improvement loans totaling \$183,000 in low-income tracts. This represents 1.9% of home improvement loans by volume, which is less than the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 4.7%, and 1.4% by dollar amount, which is significantly less than the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 3.2% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 4.5%. Given the median age of housing in low-income tracts at 61 years, which may indicate the need for home improvement loans and Fifth Third's lending performance in these tracts, the geographic distribution is considered adequate.

Fifth Third made 29 home improvement loans totaling \$1.6 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 18.4% of home improvement loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 13.8%, and 12.4% by dollar amount, which is slightly below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 15.4% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 13.1%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of home improvement loans in moderate-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 64 home improvement loans totaling \$4.0 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 40.5% of home improvement loans by volume, which is below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 45.0%, and 30.3% by dollar amount and below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies. Also, the percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 44.6% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 43.0%.

Fifth Third made 62 home improvement loans totaling \$7.3 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 39.2% of home improvements loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 36.6%, and 55.9% by dollar amount, which also exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 36.8% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 39.4%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of home improvement loans is good.

Small Business Loans

Fifth Third made 123 small business loans totaling \$21.3 million in low-income tracts. This represents 12.5% of small business loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 9.3%, and 17.0% by dollar amount, which also exceeds the percentage of businesses in these geographies. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 8.7% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 10.2%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of small business loans in low-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 140 small business loans totaling \$19.3 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 14.3% of small business loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 14.7%. This also represents 15.4% small business loans by dollar amount, which exceeds the percentage of small businesses in these tracts. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 15.8% and was comparable to the 2015 aggregate of 15.4%. Given that Fifth Third's performance was comparable to proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of small business loans in moderate-income tracts is good.

Fifth Third made 273 small business loans totaling \$37.9 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 27.8% of small business loans by volume, which is less than the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 34.6%, and 30.3% by dollar amount, which is comparable to the percentage of businesses in these tracts. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 31.5% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 31.0%.

Fifth Third made 446 small business loans totaling \$46.7 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 45.4% of small business loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 41.3%, and 37.3% by dollar amount, which is below the percentage of businesses in these geographies. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 42.4% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 42.0%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of small business loans is good.

Distribution by Borrower Income and Revenue Size of the Business

The distribution of loans is excellent based on borrower income and adequate for businesses of different revenue sizes. Borrower distribution is excellent for home purchase and refinance, and good for home improvement loans.

Home Purchase Loans

Fifth Third made 338 loans totaling \$30.7 million to low-income borrowers. This represents 18.4% of home purchase loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of low-income families at 21.0%. The percentage of loans by dollar amount in these geographies at 10.0% is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 10.5% and substantially exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 10.4%.

Given that Fifth Third's performance was substantially above the aggregate of all lenders and comparable to proxy, the borrower distribution of home purchase loans to low-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 450 loans totaling \$51.5 million to moderate-income borrowers. This represents 24.5% of home purchase loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of moderate-income families at 17.4%, and 16.7% by dollar volume, which is comparable to proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 22.2% and was comparable to the 2015 aggregate of 22.7%. As Fifth Third's performance in home purchase lending to moderate-income borrowers exceeded proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, borrower distribution to moderate-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 347 loans totaling \$52.0 million to middle-income borrowers. This represents 18.9% of home purchase loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of middle-income families at 20.7%, and 16.9% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate of 20.6% and was comparable to the 2015 aggregate of 20.1%.

Fifth Third made 639 loans totaling \$160.9 million to upper-income borrowers. This represents 34.8% of home purchase loans by volume, which is below the percentage of upper-income families at 40.8%, while the percentage of loans by dollar amount at 52.3% significantly exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 30.7% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 30.9%.

Overall, the borrower distribution of home purchase loans is excellent.

Refinance Loans

Fifth Third made 260 loans totaling \$20.4 million to low-income borrowers. This represents 15.1% of refinance loans by volume, which is below the percentage of low-income families at 21.0%, and 8.6% of loans by dollar amount, which is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume significantly exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 9.4% and significantly exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 7.0%. Because Fifth Third's performance significantly exceeded the aggregate of all lenders, but was below proxy, the borrower distribution of refinance loans to low-income borrowers is good.

Fifth Third made 389 loans totaling \$37.7 million to moderate-income borrowers. This represents 22.7% of refinance loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of moderate-income families at 17.4%, and 15.9% of loans by dollar amount, which is comparable to proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 16.6% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 15.7%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, the borrower distribution of home purchase loans to moderate-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 436 loans totaling \$48.1 million to middle-income borrowers. This represents 25.4% of refinance loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of middle-income families at 20.7%, and 20.2% by dollar amount, which is comparable to proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 20.3% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 18.8%.

Fifth Third made 576 loans totaling \$122.4 million to upper-income borrowers. This represents 33.5% of refinance loans by volume, which is below the percentage of upper-income families at 40.8%, and 51.5% of loans by dollar amount, which exceeded proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate of 32.0% and was comparable to the 2015 aggregate of 34.0%.

A community contact stated there are a large number of low- and moderate-income individuals within the community; however, it is difficult for these individuals to find good jobs that will support their needs; additionally, the area has a high cost of living. With Fifth Third's excellent performance in refinance lending to low- and moderate-income borrowers that exceeded the aggregate of all lenders, the overall borrower distribution for refinance loans is excellent.

Home Improvement Loans

Fifth Third made 29 loans totaling \$1.6 million to low-income borrowers. This represents 18.4% of home improvement loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of low-income families at 21.0%, and 12.2% by dollar, which is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 11.7% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 10.6%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and was comparable to proxy, the borrower distribution of home improvement loans to low-income borrowers is good.

Fifth Third made 43 loans totaling \$2.6 million to moderate-income borrowers. This represents 27.2% of its home improvement loans by volume, which substantially exceeded the percentage of moderate-income families at 17.4%, and 20.0% by dollar amount, which also exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 19.2% and the 2015 aggregate of 21.1%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and proxy, the borrower distribution of home improvement loans to moderate-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 36 loans totaling \$2.9 million to middle-income borrowers. This represents 22.8% of home improvement loans by volume, which exceeded the percentage of middle-income families at 20.7%, and 22.0% by dollar amount, which also exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 21.7% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 21.7%.

Fifth Third made 50 loans totaling \$6.0 million to upper-income borrowers. This represents 31.6% of home improvement loans by volume, which was below the percentage of upper-income families at 40.8%, and 45.8% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below 2014 aggregate of 37.1% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 40.9%.

The overall borrower distribution of home improvement loans is good.

Small Business Loans

The distribution of small business loans to businesses of different sizes is adequate, considering Fifth Third’s performance relative to the aggregate of all lenders. Fifth Third was able to make 53.6% of small business loans to businesses with revenues of \$1 million or less. Fifth Third’s performance exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 42.9% and the 2015 aggregate of 47.4%, but was significantly below the percentage of small businesses in the assessment area at 91.5%. Also, Fifth Third made an acceptable percentage of small-dollar loans (73.2%) up to \$100,000, indicating a willingness to lend in smaller amounts typically requested by small businesses. A community contact indicated there is a need for small-dollar loans to small businesses.

Community Development Loans

Fifth Third originated 33 community development loans totaling \$187.1 million during the evaluation period as shown in the table below:

Affordable Housing		Economic Development		Revitalization and Stabilization		Community Services	
#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$
1	1,150,000	3	38,250,000	16	143,700,000	13	3,958,290

Community development lending in the assessment area represents 3.1% of the total dollar volume of community development loans originated during the evaluation period. This ranks as Fifth Third’s tenth-highest percentage of community development lending during the evaluation period. Fifth Third’s deposit market share is 9.8% and has high competition for community development loans from a number of large national banks in the area. As such, Fifth Third has a relatively high level of community development lending.

Examples of community development lending include, but are not limited to:

- A new loan to help fund a new markets tax credit that allows a nonprofit community development organization to continue to build and refurbish low-income rental housing and transitional shelter for homeless families and provide home repair assistance and financial empowerment education to low- and moderate-income individuals
- Working capital loans that promote economic development by financing businesses to support job retention, create new jobs, and promote growth to continue operations in low- and moderate-income geographies
- Multiple working capital loans supporting nonprofits that provide a multitude of services to low- and moderate-income individuals and families

Flexible Lending Programs

Fifth Third had 858 flexible lending loans in this assessment area: 547 loans were government loans, 46 were down payment assistance loans, and 265 were other flexible lending programs. The following tables show the percentage by volume and by dollar amount of the three types of flexible lending programs made in this assessment area during the evaluation period and the distribution of Fifth Third’s flexible lending programs within the assessment area by census tract income and by borrower income.

Flexible Loan Programs												
	Geographic Distribution											
	Low			Moderate			Middle			Upper		
	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units
Government Loan Programs	1.5%	0.8%	4.7%	17.7%	13.7%	13.8%	50.5%	47.0%	45.0%	30.3%	38.6%	36.6%
Down Payment Assistance Programs	45.7%	49.5%	4.7%	4.3%	2.2%	13.8%	43.5%	44.6%	45.0%	6.5%	3.8%	36.6%
Other Flexible Lending Programs	13.2%	6.2%	4.7%	17.7%	11.6%	13.8%	44.2%	47.1%	45.0%	24.9%	35.1%	36.6%
Total	7.5%	4.0%	4.7%	17.0%	12.7%	13.8%	48.1%	46.9%	45.0%	27.4%	36.4%	36.6%

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

Flexible Loan Programs												
	Borrower Distribution											
	Low			Moderate			Middle			Upper		
	% - #	% - \$	% Fam	% - #	% - \$	% Fam	% - #	% - \$	% Fam	% - #	% - \$	% Fam
Government Loan Programs	13.0%	8.3%	21.0%	33.3%	28.2%	17.4%	28.5%	30.5%	20.7%	22.1%	29.9%	40.8%
Down Payment Assistance Programs	65.2%	55.7%	21.0%	19.6%	17.9%	17.4%	6.5%	6.4%	20.7%	8.7%	20.1%	40.8%
Other Flexible Lending Programs	17.0%	13.6%	21.0%	23.0%	21.1%	17.4%	27.2%	20.4%	20.7%	30.2%	42.2%	40.8%
Total	17.0%	11.4%	21.0%	29.4%	26.0%	17.4%	26.9%	27.0%	20.7%	23.9%	32.7%	40.8%

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

Fifth Third’s lending in low-income tracts by number exceeded the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts, particularly for the various down payment assistance programs. The percentage of lending by volume in moderate-income tracts exceeded the percentage of owner-occupied units. Flexible lending in low- and moderate-income geographies by dollar amount was slightly below proxy.

Fifth Third’s lending by volume to low-income borrowers was below the percentage of low-income families in the assessment area and lending by dollar amount was significantly below proxy. The percentage of lending by volume and dollar amount to moderate-income borrowers exceeded the percentage of moderate-income families, especially for government loan programs. Therefore, Fifth Third made extensive use of flexible lending practices in serving the assessment area’s credit needs since lending through flexible loan programs to low-income borrowers was good, while the lending to low- and moderate-income tracts and moderate-income borrowers was excellent.

Investment Test

Fifth Third’s performance relative to the investment test in the assessment area is rated “Outstanding.”

Fifth Third made an excellent level of qualified community development investments and grants, particularly those not routinely provided by private investors. As such, Fifth Third was often in a leadership position. Fifth Third has 168 investments totaling \$36.4 million during the evaluation period. Shown in the table below are the total current period investments:

Affordable Housing		Economic Development		Community Services	
#	\$	#	\$	#	\$
59	22,803,710	10	52,031	79	474,519

Also included in the total number of qualified investments are 20 prior period investments totaling \$13.1 million. Overall, Fifth Third made 2.2% of its total community development investments in this assessment area, which is comparable to the percentage of total deposits at 2.3% and branch offices at 3.2%, respectively.

Fifth Third exhibits an excellent responsiveness to credit and community development needs in the assessment area, including investments in several affordable/transitional housing projects and small business funding, which was an important need expressed by community contacts. Included in the total investments are 98 donations totaling \$553,310 that supported United Way, chamber of commerce, local schools, small businesses, churches, food banks, and affordable housing.

Service Test

Fifth Third’s performance under the service test in this assessment area is rated “High Satisfactory.” Retail services are accessible and Fifth Third provides a relatively high level of community development services.

Retail Services

Fifth Third's record of opening and closing offices has not adversely affected the accessibility of its delivery systems, particularly in low- and moderate-income geographies and to low- and moderate-income households. One banking center was opened and two were closed since the previous evaluation period, resulting in no net change in the number of banking centers in low- and moderate-income tracts. Delivery services are accessible to Fifth Third's geographies and individuals of different income levels.

Business hours and services provided do not vary in a way that inconveniences certain portions of the assessment area, including low- and moderate-income geographies or households and are consistent with the services and hours discussed in the "Institution" assessment.

Fifth Third maintains 42 banking centers within this assessment area, including six in low-, one in moderate-, 18 in middle-, and 15 in upper-income census tracts. There are also two branches located in unknown income tracts. Fifth Third's banking centers in this assessment area represent 3.2% of all its banking centers.

Fifth Third has a total of 59 full-service ATMs within this assessment area, including nine in low-, four in moderate-, 23 in middle-, and 23 in upper-income census tracts.

The following table illustrates the percentage of banking centers and ATMs in low-, moderate-, middle-, and upper-income census tracts in comparison to the number and percentage of census tracts and the percentage of households and businesses in those tracts.

Geographic Distribution of Branches & ATMS

Assessment Area: Multi Louisville

Tract Category	Branches				Stand Alone ATMs						Demographics				
	Total Branches		Open	Closed	Total ATMs		Full Service ATMs		Cash only ATMs		Census Tracts		House holds	Total Businesses	
	#	%			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%			
Low	6	14.3%	0	0	Total	14	20.0%	9	15.3%	5	45.5%	35	12.3%	9.5%	10.3%
Moderate	1	2.4%	0	0	Total	4	5.7%	4	6.8%	0	0.0%	57	20.1%	17.6%	15.3%
Middle	18	42.9%	0	0	Total	25	35.7%	23	39.0%	2	18.2%	105	37.0%	41.9%	33.9%
Upper	15	35.7%	0	1	Total	26	37.1%	23	39.0%	3	27.3%	85	29.9%	31.0%	40.3%
Unknown	2	4.8%	1	1	Total	1	1.4%	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	2	0.7%	0.0%	0.2%
Total	42	100.0%	1	2	Total	70	100.0%	59	100.0%	11	100.0%	284	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

2016 FFIEC Census Data, 2010 ACS Data, and 2015 D&B Information

Closed branches/ATMs are only included in "closed" columns and are not included in any other totals.

DTO - Drive thru only is a subset of total branches

SA = Stand Alone ATM is a subset of total ATMs

Branch distribution within low-income tracts was considered excellent, as the distribution of branches exceeded the percentage of census tracts and households in these tracts. However, the branch distribution within moderate-income tracts was considered poor.

Community Development Services

Fifth Third provides a relatively high level of community development services in this assessment area. During the evaluation period, Fifth Third employees provided 2,617 hours of community development service to local organizations serving low- and moderate-income individuals, which represents 2.2% of all community development services provided and equates to 0.13 annualized persons (ANP).

Affordable Housing	Economic Development	Revitalization and Stabilization	Community Services
<i># of Hours</i>	<i># of Hours</i>	<i># of Hours</i>	<i># of Hours</i>
459	48	143	1,967

Employees provided financial expertise through leadership positions in multiple community organizations that provide affordable housing and promote community and economic development and area revitalization and stabilization. Community development services include 1,779 hours serving on boards and committees, 453 hours of providing financial literacy through local nonprofits and school programs, 313 hours providing technical assistance to non-profits and local business, and 72 hours participating in foreclosure prevention outreach.

Fifth Third is considered particularly responsive with regard to hours dedicated to affordable housing activities, as a community contact noted the need for this type of service.

MULTI-STATE METROPOLITAN AREA
(Full-scope Review)

CRA RATING for South Bend-Elkhart-Mishawaka IN-MI CSA #515: Satisfactory

The lending test is rated:	High Satisfactory
The investment test is rated:	Outstanding
The service test is rated:	High Satisfactory

The major factors supporting this rating include:

- A good responsiveness to credit needs;
- A good geographic distribution of loans throughout the assessment area;
- A good distribution of loans among borrowers of different income levels and adequate to businesses of different revenue sizes;
- Exhibits a good record of serving the credit needs of low-income individuals and areas and very small businesses;
- A relatively high level of community development loans;
- Extensive use of flexible lending practices in serving the assessment area's credit needs;
- An excellent level of qualified community development investments and grants;
- Often in a leadership position in providing community development investments and grants;
- Retail delivery systems are readily accessible to all geographies and individuals of different income levels and businesses of different revenue sizes;
- A record of opening and closing banking centers that has not adversely affected the accessibility of delivery systems;
- Banking services and hours that do not vary in a way that inconveniences any portions of the assessment areas; and,
- A relatively high level of providing community development services.

SCOPE OF EXAMINATION

A full-scope review was conducted for the South Bend-Elkhart-Mishawaka multistate assessment area. The time period, products, and affiliates evaluated for this assessment area are consistent with the scope discussed in the "Institution" section of this report.

DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION'S OPERATIONS IN THE SOUTH BEND-ELKHART-MISHAWAKA IN-MI CSA

The South Bend-Elkhart-Mishawaka IN-MI CSA consists of the following three MSAs:

- Elkhart-Goshen IN MSA #21140, consisting of Elkhart County in Indiana
- Niles-Benton MI MSA #35660, consisting of Berrien County in Michigan
- South Bend-Mishawaka IN-MI MSA #43780, consisting of St. Joseph County in Indiana and Cass County in Michigan

The assessment area is comprised of 14 low-, 41 moderate-, 72 middle-, and 43 upper-income tracts. There is also one tract with no income designation that does not report income information.

As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third ranked fourth out of 24 institutions with 9.1% of the deposit market share. 1st Source Bank had the majority of the market share with 27.1% of deposits, followed by JP Morgan Chase and Lake City Bank with 13.3% and 9.7% of the market share, respectively. Deposits in this assessment area accounted for 0.8% of Fifth Third's total deposits, which was the 24th highest percentage of deposits within Fifth Third's CRA footprint.

From January 1, 2014 through June 30, 2016, Fifth Third originated 777 HMDA loans and 416 CRA loans, which represented 0.7% and 1.1% of the total loans originated during the evaluation period, respectively. This was the 28th largest HMDA market and 20th largest CRA market for loans originated during the evaluation period.

In 2015, Fifth Third Mortgage Company ranked 21st among 392 HMDA reporters in the assessment area, Fifth Third Mortgage Company-Michigan ranked 28th, and Fifth Third ranked 50th. Wells Fargo Bank, Ruoff Mortgage Company, Horizon Bank, and 1st Source Bank were the top four HMDA lenders in the assessment area. Fifth Third ranked 16th of 81 CRA reporters in the assessment area in 2015. The top four CRA lenders in the assessment area were American Express, 1st Source Bank, Capital One, and U.S. Bank. These lenders are mostly issuers of credit cards and their CRA loans primarily consist of commercial credit card accounts.

Three community contact interviews were conducted to provide additional information regarding the assessment area. The first contact, representing an affordable housing organization, stated there is currently a construction project underway that will provide housing to low-income elderly individuals, funding for which primarily comes from Housing and Urban Development (HUD). The contact indicated there is a need for financial institutions to offer low-income individuals and families fee-free banking products and products that encourage a minimum balance be maintained. There is also a need for more programs to help low-income individuals obtain (first-time) mortgages. The contact stated this organization partners with financial institutions to conduct financial literacy workshops for low- and moderate-income individuals and transportation is provided. The contact specifically mentioned Fifth Third as being active in the community.

The second contact, representing a community development organization, stated the economy is improving due to increased manufacturing. The contact believed area banks have an opportunity to develop more deposit products targeted toward the unbanked (i.e., fee-free banking). The contact specifically mentioned 1st Source Bank and Centier Bank as being active in the community.

The final contact, representing a community development organization, stated that Berrien County has sufficient access to banking facilities and businesses generally have good relationships with area banks. The contact believed there is an ongoing need for small business owners and entrepreneurs to increase their financial and business planning expertise. The contact noted that financial institutions are well-represented on the organization's board and that Fifth Third, 1st Source Bank, and United Federal Credit Union are particularly involved and supportive of the organization's mission.

Population Characteristics

According to 2010 U.S. Census data, the population in the assessment area was 673,596. About 25.0% of the population lived in low- and moderate-income tracts. In addition, 74.6% of the population was 18 years of age or older, the legal age to enter into a contract.

As of July 1, 2015, the South Bend-Mishawaka MSA is the 155th largest in terms of population in the nation, while the Elkhart-Goshen MSA is the 217th and the Niles-Benton Harbor MSA is the 264th largest.⁸² St. Joseph is the largest county in the assessment area and the fifth-most populous county in Indiana.⁸³ South Bend (located in St. Joseph County) is the largest city in assessment area and is the 296th most populous city in the U.S. South Bend has 320,098 residents, its population growth decreasing by 6.3% between 2000 and 2015. In contrast, the next largest cities in the assessment area are Elkhart, MI and Mishawaka, IN, which have 52,348 and 48,261 respectively; Niles Township, MI only has 13,895 residents.⁸⁴

The following table shows the population in the assessment area by county for 2010 and 2015 with the percentage of the population increase or decrease.⁸⁵ The population within the assessment area experienced positive growth between 2010 and 2015, with Elkhart County experiencing the greatest growth and Berrien County experiencing the least growth in population during this time period. Moody's Analytics noted positive population growth and net migration trends for Elkhart, IN could indicate an increased demand in prospective homebuyers. Conversely, negative net migration trends in South Bend, IN and Niles, MI could indicate a decreased demand in prospective homebuyers in those metropolitan areas.

⁸² MSA population data is derived from the U.S. Census Data 2015 Statistical Abstract:
<https://factfinder.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productview.xhtml?src=bkmk>

⁸³ U.S. Places: <http://us-places.com> (main page – enter state, choose population by county)

⁸⁴ U.S. Census QuickFacts: <https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/> (main page – enter state, county, city, town, or zip code)

⁸⁵ Population Estimates derived from U.S. Census Data (April 1, 2010 – July 1, 2015):
<http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/table/PST045216/00>

County	2010 Population	2015 Population	Population Percent Change
Elkhart, IN	197,559	203,474	3.0%
St. Joseph, IN	266,931	268,441	0.6%
Berrien, MI	156,813	154,636	-1.4%
Cass, MI	52,293	51,657	-1.2%
Total	673,596	678,208	0.7%

Income Characteristics

In 2010, the assessment area median family income was less (\$55,071) than Indiana and Michigan at \$58,944 and \$60,341, respectively. Between 2014 and 2016, the median family income generally decreased in the Elkhart and South Bend MSAs and increased in the Niles MSA. Moody’s Analytics noted exceptionally low household incomes as an economic weakness in the Elkhart MSA; however, Moody’s also noted affordable cost of living and doing business as an economic strength in the Elkhart MSA and Niles MSA.

**Borrower Income Levels
Elkhart-Goshen, IN MSA**

FFIEC Estimated Median Family Income		Low 0 - 49.99%	Moderate 50% - 79.99%	Middle 80% - 119.99%	Upper 120% - & above
2014	\$56,800	0 - \$28,399	\$28,400 - \$45,439	\$45,440 - \$68,159	\$68,160 - & above
2015	\$53,300	0 - \$26,649	\$26,650 - \$42,639	\$42,640 - \$63,959	\$63,960 - & above
2016	\$52,300	0 - \$26,149	\$26,150 - \$41,839	\$41,840 - \$62,759	\$62,760 - & above

**Borrower Income Levels
Niles-Benton Harbor, MI MSA**

FFIEC Estimated Median Family Income		Low 0 - 49.99%	Moderate 50% - 79.99%	Middle 80% - 119.99%	Upper 120% - & above
2014	\$54,900	0 - \$27,449	\$27,450 - \$43,919	\$43,920 - \$65,879	\$65,880 - & above
2015	\$56,300	0 - \$28,149	\$28,150 - \$45,039	\$45,040 - \$67,559	\$67,560 - & above
2016	\$59,100	0 - \$29,549	\$29,550 - \$47,279	\$47,280 - \$70,919	\$70,920 - & above

**Borrower Income Levels
South Bend-Mishawaka, IN-MI MSA**

FFIEC Estimated Median Family Income		Low 0 - 49.99%	Moderate 50% - 79.99%	Middle 80% - 119.99%	Upper 120% - & above
2014	\$55,400	0 - \$27,699	\$27,700 - \$44,319	\$44,320 - \$66,479	\$66,480 - & above
2015	\$57,300	0 - \$28,649	\$28,650 - \$45,839	\$45,840 - \$68,759	\$68,760 - & above
2016	\$52,900	0 - \$26,449	\$26,450 - \$42,319	\$42,320 - \$63,479	\$63,480 - & above

Poverty rates increased in each county in the assessment area from 1999 to 2015.⁸⁶ Elkhart County had the lowest poverty rates and Berrien County had the highest poverty rates in 1999 and 2015. In 2015, only Elkhart County and Cass County had poverty rates below those of Indiana and Michigan. Elkhart County experienced the largest increase in poverty rates during this period. In 2015, Indiana’s and Michigan’s poverty rates exceeded the national poverty rate. According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the national poverty rate in 2015 was 13.5%, down 1.3 percentage points from 14.8% in 2014. For most demographic groups, the 2015 poverty rates and number of people in poverty decreased from 2014.⁸⁷ The following table shows the poverty rates for 1999⁸⁸ and 2015.

County	1999 Poverty Rate	2015 Poverty Rate	Change
Elkhart	7.8%	14.0%	79.5%
St. Joseph	10.4%	16.7%	60.6%
Indiana	9.5%	14.4%	51.6%
Berrien	12.7%	17.1%	34.6%
Cass	9.9%	14.8%	49.5%
Michigan	10.5%	15.7%	49.5%
U.S.	11.8%	13.5%	14.4%

Housing Characteristics

According to 2010 U.S. Census data, there are 294,119 housing units and 171,632 families in the assessment area. From an income perspective, 26.9% of housing units, 19.0% of owner-occupied units, and 23.0% of families are located in low- or moderate-income tracts. Nearly three quarters of the housing units in the low-income census tracts are either rental or vacant (72.9%) and 27.1% are owner-occupied. In the moderate-income census tracts, over half of the housing units are either rental or vacant (52.1%) and 47.9% are owner-occupied.

⁸⁶ U.S. Department of Agriculture Economic Research Service Poverty Rates (for 1999 and 2015): <https://data.ers.usda.gov/reports.aspx?ID=17826>

⁸⁷ 2015 National Poverty: <http://www.census.gov/library/publications/2016/demo/p60-256.html>

⁸⁸ 1999 National Poverty Rate: <http://www.census.gov/prod/2000pubs/p60-210.pdf>

Therefore, based on the number of housing units compared to the number of families in low- and moderate-income census tracts, there appear to be credit-related opportunities for Fifth Third to provide various aspects of affordable housing in the assessment area.

The 2010 U.S. Census data shows the median age of housing stock in the assessment area was 44 years old, with 28.1% of the stock built before 1950. The oldest housing stock was in Berrien and St. Joseph counties with a median age of 48 and 47 years, respectively. The newest housing stock was 35 years in Elkhart County. However, within the assessment area, the median age of housing stock was 61 years in low-income tracts and 57 years in moderate-income tracts. Therefore, there appears to be ample opportunity for Fifth Third to provide home improvement and rehabilitation loans in these lower-income areas.

According to 2010 U.S. Census data, the median housing value in the assessment area was \$125,451 with an affordability ratio of 35.75. The affordability ratio is derived by dividing the median household income by the median housing value. The higher the affordability ratio, the more affordable a home is considered. Median housing values decreased between 2010 and 2011-2015, along with median family incomes. As a result, housing generally became more affordable across the assessment area. During the evaluation period, the most affordable housing was in St. Joseph County and the least affordable was in Berrien County. Median gross rents increased across the assessment area, with renters in Berrien County experiencing the largest increase in rental rates and renters in Elkhart County experiencing the smallest increase in gross rental rates. The table below presents housing characteristics from the U.S. Census data between 2010 and 2015 in the assessment area, Indiana, and Michigan. According to Moody's Analytics, overall housing prices are sluggish in this assessment area and below-average job and income gains and rising interest rates may hold back prospective homebuyers in 2017. However, in the Elkhart metropolitan area, Moody's Analytics indicated that gross median rents (as a share of median household income) are below average, so potential homebuyers may be better able to save for a down payment for a home.

County	2010 Median Housing Value	2010 Affordability Ratio	2011-2015 Median Housing Value	2011-2015 Affordability Ratio	2010 Median Gross Rent	2011-2015 Median Gross Rent	Percent of Change
Elkhart	\$128,000	36.92	\$124,000	38.64	\$695	\$719	3.5%
St. Joseph	\$116,300	38.39	\$114,800	39.61	\$683	\$719	5.3%
Indiana	\$123,000	38.78	\$124,200	39.66	\$683	\$747	9.4%
Berrien	\$135,600	31.43	\$132,600	33.93	\$592	\$674	13.9%
Cass	\$133,700	33.79	\$122,800	37.92	\$634	\$698	10.1%
Michigan	\$144,200	33.59	\$122,400	40.50	\$723	\$783	8.3%

According to Bankrate.com,⁸⁹ Indiana ranked 15th and Michigan ranked 26th for foreclosure filings in November 2016. The national average for foreclosure filings was one in every 1,533 housing units. The following table contains information about foreclosure filings in the assessment area, according to Realtytrac:⁹⁰

Geography Name	Ratio of Properties Receiving Foreclosure Filings in November 2016
Elkhart	1:4,073
St. Joseph	1:1,982
Indiana	1:1,590
Berrien	1:1,637
Cass	1:1,492
Michigan	1:2,036
U.S.	1:1,533

In November 2016, Cass County had the highest rate of foreclosure and Elkhart County had the lowest rate of foreclosure in the assessment area.

Building permits for these MSAs, Indiana, Michigan, and the nation are included in the following table for 2014, 2015, and 2016.⁹¹

Geography	2014	2015	Percent of Change 2014-2015	2016	Percent of Change 2015-2016
Elkhart-Goshen MSA	270	309	14.4%	287	-7.1%
Niles-Benton Harbor MSA	184	208	13.0%	15	-92.8%
South Bend-Mishawaka MSA	512	524	2.3%	293	-44.1%
Indiana	17,813	18,483	3.8%	18,317	-0.9%
Michigan	15,836	18,226	15.1%	22,426	23.0%
U.S.	1,052,124	1,182,582	12.4%	1,190,191	0.6%

The assessment area experienced increases in the number of housing permits issued between 2014 and 2015 and significant decreases between 2015 and 2016. The decrease in the number of permits could indicate there is a declining demand for home purchase loans within the assessment area during the evaluation period.

⁸⁹ Bankrate.com: <http://www.bankrate.com/finance/real-estate/foreclosures-by-state/default.aspx>

⁹⁰ Realtytrac: <http://www.realtytrac.com/statsandtrends/foreclosuretrends/>

⁹¹ U.S. Census Bureau Building Permits Survey: <http://www.census.gov/construction/bps/>

According to Moody’s Analytics, the demand for new residential construction in the South Bend and Niles MSAs will remain sluggish throughout 2017; however, Moody’s predicted an increase in new residential construction in the Elkhart area primarily based on eventual increases in housing values and below-average gross median rental rates that allow potential homebuyers to save for a down payment.

Labor, Employment, and Economic Characteristics

According to Moody’s Analytics, the Niles and South Bend area economies are in recovery, primarily due to the expansion of the Whirlpool research center and stable job base at the University of Notre Dame, respectively. Meanwhile, Elkhart’s economy is at risk, primarily due to an over-reliance on vehicle production and steep cutbacks in manufacturing; however, there are favorable prospects for the recreational vehicle industry and an increased demand in residential construction due to an above-average population growth.

According to *Crain’s Detroit*,⁹² the Niles-Benton Harbor MSA is home to one Fortune 500 company in 2016 (up 14 places from last year). Also, according to the *South Bend Tribune*,⁹³ two Elkhart-based recreational vehicle companies have been named to Fortune magazine’s 2016 list of the country’s 100 fastest-growing companies, Patrick Industries and Drew Industries. Both companies are suppliers of parts for the recreational vehicle and manufactured housing industries. Patrick ranked 41st and Drew ranked 79th on the list.

Niles-Benton Harbor Fortune 500 Companies (2016)		
Rank	Company	Annual Revenue
134	Whirlpool Corporation	\$14.5 billion

According to Moody’s Analytics the top 15 employers in the CSA in 2015/2016 were:


Company ⁹⁴	Number of Employees
Forest River Industries, Inc. (E-G)	10,245
Thor Industries Inc. (E-G)	9,000
University of Notre Dame (SB)	8,466
Drew Industries Inc. (E-G)	4,927
Lakeland Regional Health Systems (N-BH)	3,600
Beacon Health System (SB)	3,400
Whirlpool Corporation (N-BH)	3,362
St. Joseph’s Regional Medical Center (SB)	3,000
Four Winds Casino (N-BH)	1,800
Indiana University Health Goshen (E-G)	1,634
Martin’s Super Markets (SB)	1,555
Patrick Industries (E-G)	1,433
Indiana University (SB)	1,266
Indiana Michigan Power (N-BH)	1,200
1 st Source Bank (SB)	1,160

⁹²Crain’s Detroit: <http://www.craindetroit.com/article/20160606/NEWS/160609759/southeast-michigan-representation-on-fortune-500-shrinks>

⁹³ South Bend Tribune: http://www.southbendtribune.com/news/business/elkhart-rv-companies-make-fortune-s-growth-list/article_868cb02f-3589-5db5-8e88-eb425e47450a.html

⁹⁴ (E-G) Elkhart-Goshen MSA, (N-BH) Niles-Benton Harbor MSA, (SB) South Bend-Mishawaka MSA

The following table illustrates the average unemployment rates for 2014, 2015, and 2016 for the three MSAs, Indiana, and Michigan.



The unemployment rates declined each year in the assessment area, except for a slight increase in 2016 in the Elkhart MSA. Assessment area unemployment rates were considerably below Michigan’s during this time period. The Elkhart MSA had unemployment rates significantly below the other MSAs all three years.

According to the *South Bend Tribune*, CTS Corp. announced that it will phase out production at its Elkhart plant and turn the location into a research and development center. The transition will begin during the first quarter of 2017 and is expected to continue into the second quarter of 2018. The changes will affect about 230 workers, as production in Elkhart is moved to existing CTS locations in China, Mexico, and Taiwan. CTS designs and manufactures electronic components for the aerospace, defense, industrial, information technology, medical, and transportation markets.⁹⁵

⁹⁵ Staff Report. “CTS to end production at Elkhart plant and cut 230 jobs.” *South Bend Tribune*. June 4, 2016. - http://www.southbendtribune.com/news/business/cts-to-end-production-at-elkhart-plant-and-cut-jobs/article_5a4e0148-29cf-11e6-9b0b-d79ef1c03b58.html

Combined Demographics Report

Assessment Area: Multi South Bend

Income Categories	Tract Distribution		Families by Tract Income		Families < Poverty Level as % of Families by Tract		Families by Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	14	8.2	5,267	3.1	2,449	46.5	34,319	20
Moderate-income	41	24	34,112	19.9	6,779	19.9	31,955	18.6
Middle-income	72	42.1	79,980	46.6	7,357	9.2	36,372	21.2
Upper-income	43	25.1	52,273	30.5	1,927	3.7	68,986	40.2
Unknown-income	1	0.6	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	171	100.0	171,632	100.0	18,512	10.8	171,632	100.0
	Housing Units by Tract	Housing Types by Tract						
		Owner-Occupied			Rental		Vacant	
		#	%	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	12,782	3,468	1.9	27.1	5,938	46.5	3,376	26.4
Moderate-income	66,278	31,732	17.1	47.9	23,804	35.9	10,742	16.2
Middle-income	137,715	88,719	47.9	64.4	28,801	20.9	20,195	14.7
Upper-income	77,344	61,164	33	79.1	9,762	12.6	6,418	8.3
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	294,119	185,083	100.0	62.9	68,305	23.2	40,731	13.8
	Total Businesses by Tract	Businesses by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Low-income	1,561	5.4	1,366	5.2	179	6.8	16	8.6
Moderate-income	5,307	18.2	4,722	17.9	559	21.2	26	14.1
Middle-income	13,113	45	11,799	44.8	1,224	46.5	90	48.6
Upper-income	9,155	31.4	8,431	32	671	25.5	53	28.6
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	29,136	100.0	26,318	100.0	2,633	100.0	185	100.0
Percentage of Total Businesses:			90.3		9.0		.6	
	Total Farms by Tract	Farms by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Low-income	4	0.3	4	0.3	0	0	0	0
Moderate-income	70	5.6	69	5.7	1	3.6	0	0
Middle-income	713	57.5	694	57.2	19	67.9	0	0
Upper-income	454	36.6	446	36.8	8	28.6	0	0
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	1,241	100.0	1,213	100.0	28	100.0	0	.0
Percentage of Total Farms:			97.7		2.3		.0	

**CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN THE
SOUTH BEND-ELKHART-MISHAWAKA IN-MI CSA**

Lending Test

Fifth Third’s performance under the lending test in this assessment area is rated “High Satisfactory.” Fifth Third has demonstrated good responsiveness to the credit needs of the community. In addition, Fifth Third originated 16 community development loans totaling \$104.3 million. Fifth Third has a good geographic distribution of loans in the area with moderate lending gaps. Fifth Third has a good distribution among borrowers of different income levels and an adequate distribution of loans to businesses of different revenue sizes. Fifth Third exhibits a good record of serving the credit needs of highly economically disadvantaged areas in its assessment area, low-income individuals, and businesses with gross annual revenues of \$1 million or less. A good level of community development loans and extensive use of flexible lending practices augmented Fifth Third’s performance in this assessment area.

Greatest consideration was given to the evaluation of refinance lending based on the overall volume of lending, followed by small business, home purchase, and home improvement lending. Details of Fifth Third’s residential mortgage and small business lending, as well as information regarding lending by peers, can be found in Appendix E.

Lending Activity

Fifth Third’s lending activity reflects good responsiveness to the credit needs within the assessment area. Fifth Third originated 428 refinance, 287 home purchase, 62 home improvement, 416 small business, and 16 community development loans during the evaluation period. The percentage of Fifth Third’s total lending at 0.8% equals the percentage of total deposits at 0.8% in this area.

In addition to lending, Fifth Third modified existing loans to borrowers. Refer to the distribution of HAMP and other real-estate secured modifications within the assessment area by census tract income and by borrower income.

	Distribution by Census Tract Income							
	Low		Mod		Middle		Upper	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Other Real Estate Secured Modifications	1	1.8%	14	25.5%	28	50.9%	12	21.8%
<i>Percentage of Owner Occupied Units</i>		<i>1.9%</i>		<i>17.1%</i>		<i>47.9%</i>		<i>33.0%</i>

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

	Distribution by Borrower Income							
	Low		Mod		Middle		Upper	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Other Real Estate Secured Modifications	12	21.8%	21	38.2%	13	23.6%	8	14.5%
<i>Percentage of Families by Family Income</i>		20.0%		18.6%		21.2%		40.2%

There were not enough HAMP modifications for a meaningful analysis. The percentage of other modifications in low- and moderate-income tracts were comparable to or exceeded the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies; therefore, modifications helped to expand lending activities in these areas.

The percentage of other modifications made to low- and moderate-income borrowers exceeded the percentage of low- and moderate-income families in the assessment area; therefore, the modifications enhanced Fifth Third’s ability to reach low- and moderate-income borrowers.

Geographic Distribution of Loans

Fifth Third’s overall distribution of lending among geographies for refinance, home purchase, and small business lending is good. Home improvement lending is adequate. There is also an overall moderate level of lending gaps. The following gaps in lending were noted in the assessment area:

Tract Income Levels	Number of Tracts	Tracts with No Loans	Penetration
Low	14	8	42.9%
Moderate	41	13	68.3%
Middle	72	7	90.3%
Upper	43	4	90.7%
Unknown	1	1	0.0%
Total	171	33	80.7%

Overall, lending gaps are considered reasonable; however, there is a significant level of gaps in low- and moderate-income tracts. The relatively low owner-occupancy rates and high rental and vacancy rates in the low- and moderate-income tracts without lending are most likely contributing factors for not making loans in these areas.

Refinance Loans

Fifth Third made four refinance loans totaling \$188,000 in low-income tracts. This represents 0.9% of refinance loans by volume, which is below the owner-occupied units in these tracts at 1.9%. The percentage of loans by dollar amount at 0.4% is also below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate at 0.6% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate at 0.5%.

As Fifth Third's performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and the relatively low owner-occupancy rate of 27.1%, geographic distribution of refinance loans in low-income tracts is good.

Fifth Third made 60 refinance loans totaling \$14.8 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 14.0% of refinance loans by volume, which is below the owner-occupied units in these tracts at 17.1%. The percentage of loans by dollar amount at 9.4% is also below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate at 13.6% and was comparable to the 2015 aggregate at 11.5%. As Fifth Third's performance was slightly below proxy and comparable to the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of refinance loans in moderate-income tracts is good.

Fifth Third made 237 refinance loans totaling \$28.9 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 55.4% of refinance loans by volume, which exceeds the owner-occupied units in these tracts at 47.9%. The percentage of refinance loans by dollar amount (56.6%) also exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate at 47.7% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate at 47.7%.

Fifth Third made 127 refinance loans totaling \$17.1 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 29.7% of refinance loans by volume, which is comparable to the owner-occupied units in these tracts at 33.0%. Also, the percentage of refinance loans by dollar amount (33.6%) is comparable to proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate at 38.2% and was below the 2015 aggregate at 40.5%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of refinance loans is good.

Home Purchase Loans

Fifth Third made two home purchase loans totaling \$244,000 in low-income tracts. This represents 0.7% of home purchase loans by volume, which is below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 1.9%, and 0.6% by dollar amount, which is also less than the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate at 0.7% and was below the 2015 aggregate at 0.6%. As Fifth Third's performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders, but was below proxy, the geographic distribution is good.

Fifth Third made 52 home purchase loans totaling \$5.0 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 18.1% of its home purchase loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 17.1%, and 12.1% by dollar amount, which was below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate at 13.7% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate at 13.6%. As Fifth Third's performance significantly exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and exceeded proxy, the geographic distribution is excellent.

Fifth Third made 123 home purchase loans totaling \$15.7 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 42.9% of home purchase loans by volume, which is below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 47.9%, and 38.0% by dollar amount, which is also below proxy in these geographies. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 46.1% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 46.2%.

Fifth Third made 110 home purchase loans totaling \$20.3 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 38.3% of home purchase loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 33.0%, and 49.3% by dollar amount, which also exceeds proxy in these geographies. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate of 39.4% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 39.6%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of home purchase loans is good.

Home Improvement Loans

Fifth Third did not originate any home improvement loans in low-income tracts. The percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts is 1.9%. The 2014 aggregate was 1.3% and the 2015 aggregate was 1.4%. Because the aggregate was able to originate a percentage of home improvement loans comparable to proxy, the geographic distribution is considered poor.

Fifth Third made eight home improvement loans totaling \$394,000 in moderate-income tracts. This represents 12.9% of home improvement loans by volume, which is below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 17.1%, and 10.8% by dollar amount, which is also below proxy in these geographies. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 13.2% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 15.9%. Given that Fifth Third's performance fell below proxy, but exceeded the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of home improvement loans in moderate-income tracts is good.

Fifth Third made 40 home improvement loans totaling \$2.1 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 64.5% of home improvement loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 47.9%, and 56.4% by dollar amount, exceeding proxy in these geographies. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 45.1% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 45.5%.

Fifth Third made 14 home improvement loans totaling \$1.2 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 22.6% of home improvements loans by volume, which is less than the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 33.0%, and 32.9% by dollar amount, which is below proxy in these geographies. The percentage of loans by volume was substantially below the 2014 aggregate of 40.4% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 37.2%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of home improvement loans is adequate.

Small Business Loans

Fifth Third made 27 small business loans totaling \$7.7 million in low-income tracts. This represents 6.5% of small business loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 5.2%, and 12.0% by dollar amount, which exceeds the percentage of businesses in these geographies. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 5.2% and was comparable to 2015 aggregate of 5.1%. Given that Fifth Third's performance was comparable to proxy and exceeded the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of small business loans in low-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 64 small business loans totaling \$10.9 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 15.4% of small business loans by volume, which is slightly below the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 17.9%. This also represents 17.0% of small business loans by dollar amount, which is comparable to the percentage of businesses in these tracts. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 16.7% and was comparable to the 2015 aggregate of 18.0%. Given that Fifth Third's performance was slightly below proxy and comparable to the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of small business loans in moderate-income tracts is good.

Fifth Third made 213 small business loans totaling \$27.3 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 51.2% of small business loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 44.8%, and 42.8% by dollar amount, which also exceeds the percentage of businesses in these tracts. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 44.8% and the 2015 aggregate of 43.5%.

Fifth Third made 112 small business loans totaling \$17.9 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 26.9% of small business loans by volume, which is less than the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 32.0%, and 28.1% by dollar amount, which is comparable to the percentage of businesses in these geographies. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 32.1% and below the 2015 aggregate of 31.6%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of small business loans is good.

Distribution by Borrower Income and Revenue Size of the Business

Overall, the distribution of loans is good based on borrower income and adequate for businesses of different revenue sizes. Borrower distribution is excellent for home purchase loans and good for refinance and home improvement loans.

Refinance Loans

Fifth Third made 50 loans totaling \$3.3 million to low-income borrowers. This represents 11.7% of refinance loans by volume, which is significantly below the percentage of low-income families at 20.0%. The percentage of loans by dollar amount in these geographies at 6.5% is also significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 7.4% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 5.8%.

Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders, the borrower distribution of refinance loans to low-income borrowers is good.

Fifth Third made 86 loans totaling \$7.7 million to moderate-income borrowers. This represents 20.1% of refinance loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of moderate-income families at 18.6% and 15.1% by dollar volume, below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 15.9% and in 2015 exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 15.1%. As Fifth Third's performance in refinance lending to moderate-income borrowers exceeded the aggregate and proxy, borrower distribution of refinance loans to moderate-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 109 loans totaling \$10.2 million to middle-income borrowers. This represents 25.5% of refinance loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of middle-income families at 21.2%, and 19.9% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 20.7% and in 2015 was comparable to the 2015 aggregate of 20.5%.

Fifth Third made 171 loans totaling \$28.8 million to upper-income borrowers. This represents 40.0% of refinance loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of upper-income families at 40.2%, while the percentage of loans by dollar amount at 56.4% exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 37.4% and in 2015 exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 39.8%.

Overall, the borrower distribution of refinance loans is good.

Home Purchase Loans

Fifth Third made 39 loans totaling \$2.5 million to low-income borrowers. This represents 13.6% of home purchase loans by volume, which is below the percentage of low-income families at 20.0%, and 6.1% of loans by dollar amount, which is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume significantly exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 7.8% and significantly exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 7.4%. Because Fifth Third's performance significantly exceeded the aggregate of all lenders, the borrower distribution of home purchase loans to low-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 62 loans totaling \$5.5 million to moderate-income borrowers. This represents 21.6% of home purchase loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of moderate-income families at 18.6%, and 13.3% of loans by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate of 22.3% and the 2015 aggregate of 21.7%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded proxy and was comparable to the aggregate of all lenders, the borrower distribution of home purchase loans to moderate-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 61 loans totaling \$7.7 million to middle-income borrowers. This represents 21.3% of home purchase loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of middle-income families at 21.2%, and 18.7% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 21.1% and was comparable the 2015 aggregate of 22.8%.

Fifth Third made 110 loans totaling \$22.3 million to upper-income borrowers. This represents 38.3% of home purchase loans by volume, which is slightly below the percentage of upper-income families at 40.2%, and 54.3% of loans by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 32.9% and the 2015 aggregate of 33.6%.

With Fifth Third's excellent performance in home purchase lending to low- and moderate-income borrowers, its overall borrower distribution is considered excellent.

Home Improvement Loans

Fifth Third made four loans totaling \$169,000 to low-income borrowers. This represents 6.5% of home improvement loans by volume, which is significantly below the percentage of low-income families at 20.0%, and 4.6% by dollar amount, which is also significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 8.7% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 10.8%. Given that Fifth Third's performance was significantly below the proxy and below the aggregate of all lenders, the borrower distribution of home improvement loans to low-income borrowers is adequate.

Fifth Third made 20 loans totaling \$957,000 to moderate-income borrowers. This represents 32.3% of its home improvement loans by volume, which substantially exceeded the percentage of moderate-income families at 18.6%, and 26.2% by dollar amount, which also exceeded proxy. The percentage of loans by volume significantly exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 18.5% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 20.0%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded the proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, the borrower distribution of home improvement loans to moderate-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 13 loans totaling \$682,000 to middle-income borrowers. This represents 21.0% of home improvement loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of middle-income families at 21.2%, and 18.7% by dollar amount, which is slightly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was slightly below the 2014 aggregate of 24.1% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 22.7%.

Fifth Third made 23 loans totaling \$1.8 million to upper-income borrowers. This represents 37.1% of home improvement loans by volume, which was below the percentage of upper-income families at 40.2%, and 48.4% by dollar amount, which is above proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 40.8% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 40.4%.

The overall borrower distribution of home improvement loans is good.

Small Business Loans

The distribution of small business loans to businesses of different sizes is adequate, considering Fifth Third’s performance relative to the aggregate of all lenders. Fifth Third was able to make 45.9% of small business loans to businesses with revenues of \$1 million or less. Fifth Third’s performance was comparable to the 2014 aggregate of 42.1% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 45.1%, but was significantly below the percentage of small businesses in the assessment area at 90.3%. Also, Fifth Third made an acceptable percentage of small-dollar loans (68.5%) up to \$100,000, amounts typically requested by small businesses.

Community Development Loans

Fifth Third originated 16 community development loans totaling \$104.3 million during the evaluation period as shown in the table below:

Economic Development		Revitalization and Stabilization		Community Services	
#	\$	#	\$	#	\$
11	54,750,000	3	47,000,000	2	2,500,000

Community development lending in the assessment area represented 1.7% of the total dollar volume of community development loans originated by Fifth Third during the evaluation period. This ranks as Fifth Third’s 17th highest percentage of community development lending during the evaluation period. Fifth Third has 9.1% of the deposit market share and has to compete for community development loans with a number of large national banks in the area. As such, Fifth Third is considered to have a relatively high level of community development lending in this assessment area.

Examples of community development lending include, but are not limited to:

- Multiple working capital loans that promote economic development by financing businesses to support job retention, add new jobs, and promote growth to continue operations in low- and moderate-income geographies.
- Working capital loans that support eligible activities in designated redevelopment areas.
- Renewal of a working capital line of credit that supports a college that serves low- and moderate-income students.

Flexible Lending Programs

Fifth Third had 249 flexible lending loans in this assessment area: 110 government loans, 15 down payment assistance loans, and 124 other flexible lending programs. The following tables show the percentage by volume and by dollar amount of the three types of flexible lending programs made in this assessment area during the evaluation period and the distribution of Fifth Third’s flexible lending programs within the assessment area by census tract income and by borrower income.

Flexible Loan Programs												
	Geographic Distribution											
	Low			Moderate			Middle			Upper		
	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units
Government Loan Programs	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%	24.5%	18.1%	17.1%	48.2%	48.4%	47.9%	27.3%	33.5%	33.0%
Down Payment Assistance Programs	13.3%	6.1%	1.9%	40.0%	32.2%	17.1%	40.0%	49.6%	47.9%	6.7%	12.0%	33.0%
Other Flexible Lending Programs	1.6%	1.0%	1.9%	15.3%	10.0%	17.1%	54.0%	54.5%	47.9%	29.0%	34.5%	33.0%
Total	1.6%	0.7%	1.9%	20.9%	14.6%	17.1%	50.6%	51.6%	47.9%	26.9%	33.1%	33.0%

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

Flexible Loan Programs												
	Borrower Distribution											
	Low			Moderate			Middle			Upper		
	% - #	% - \$	% Fam	% - #	% - \$	% Fam	% - #	% - \$	% Fam	% - #	% - \$	% Fam
Government Loan Programs	20.9%	12.9%	20.0%	29.1%	26.4%	18.6%	29.1%	31.3%	21.2%	20.9%	29.4%	40.2%
Down Payment Assistance Programs	60.0%	57.0%	20.0%	13.3%	16.2%	18.6%	6.7%	12.2%	21.2%	20.0%	14.7%	40.2%
Other Flexible Lending Programs	12.9%	10.6%	20.0%	29.0%	30.5%	18.6%	27.4%	23.5%	21.2%	28.2%	33.5%	40.2%
Total	19.3%	13.6%	20.0%	28.1%	28.0%	18.6%	26.9%	26.6%	21.2%	24.5%	30.9%	40.2%

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

Fifth Third’s lending in low-income tracts, by number was comparable to the percentage of owner-occupied units, while the percentage of loans by dollar amount was below proxy. Overall, Fifth Third’s lending in moderate-income tracts by number exceeded the percentage of owner-occupied units, while the percentage of loans by dollar amount was below proxy. In particular, the percentage of loans made through down payment assistance programs in low- and moderate-income tracts significantly exceeded proxy.

Fifth Third’s lending to low-income borrowers by volume was comparable to the percentage of low-income families, while the percentage of lending by dollar amount was below proxy. In particular, the percentage of loans made through down payment assistance programs to low-income borrowers significantly exceeded proxy. Overall, the percentage of loans by volume and dollar amount to moderate-income borrowers significantly exceeded the percentage of moderate-income families.

Therefore, Fifth Third made extensive use of flexible lending practices in serving assessment area credit needs, since lending through flexible loan programs to moderate-income borrowers is excellent and lending to low-income borrowers and in moderate-income tracts is good. Lending in low-income tracts is adequate.

Investment Test

Fifth Third’s performance relative to the investment test in the assessment area is rated “Outstanding.”

Fifth Third made an excellent level of qualified community development investments and grants, particularly those not routinely provided by private investors. As such, Fifth Third was often in a leadership position. Fifth Third has 119 investments totaling \$30.8 million during the evaluation period. Shown in the table below are the total current period investments:

Affordable Housing		Economic Development		Revitalization and Stabilization		Community Services	
#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$
60	17,424,877	22	77,390	1	7,500	23	64,405

Also included in the total number of qualified investments are 13 prior period investments totaling \$13.3 million. Overall, Fifth Third made 1.9% of its total community development investments in this assessment area, which is greater than the percentage of total deposits at 0.8% and greater than the percentage of branch offices at 1.3%.

Fifth Third exhibits an excellent responsiveness to credit and community development needs in the assessment area, including investments in several affordable housing projects throughout the assessment area and programs to help low-income individuals obtain mortgages, which were needs expressed by a community contact. Included in total investments are 49 donations totaling \$153,795 that supported chambers of commerce, small businesses, education, economic development, and affordable housing. The majority of Fifth Third’s donations supported economic development and services to low- and moderate-income individuals.

Service Test

Fifth Third’s performance under the service test in this assessment area is rated “High Satisfactory.” Retail services are readily accessible and Fifth Third provides a relatively high level of community development services.

Retail Services

Fifth Third’s record of opening and closing offices has not adversely affected the accessibility of its delivery systems, particularly in low- and moderate-income geographies and to low- and moderate-income households. No banking centers opened or closed since the previous evaluation period. Delivery services are readily accessible to Fifth Third’s geographies and individuals of different income levels.

Business hours and services provided do not vary in a way that inconveniences certain portions of the assessment area, including low- and moderate-income geographies or households and are consistent with the services and hours discussed in the “Institution” assessment.

Fifth Third maintains 17 banking centers within this assessment area, including two in low-, six in moderate-, five in middle-, and four in upper-income census tracts. Fifth Third banking centers in this assessment area represent 1.3% of all its banking centers.

Fifth Third has a total of 18 full-service ATMs within this assessment area, including three in low-, six in moderate-, five in middle-, and four in upper-income census tracts.

The following table illustrates the percentage of banking centers and ATMs in low-, moderate-, middle-, and upper-income census tracts in comparison to the number and percentage of census tracts and the percentage of households and businesses in those tracts.

Geographic Distribution of Branches & ATMS

Assessment Area: Multi South Bend

Tract Category	Branches				Stand Alone ATMs						Demographics				
	Total Branches		Open	Closed	Total ATMs		Full Service ATMs		Cash only ATMs		Census Tracts		House holds	Total Businesses	
	#	%			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%			
Low	2	11.8%	0	0	Total	3	13.0%	3	16.7%	0	0.0%	14	8.2%	3.7%	5.5%
Moderate	6	35.3%	0	0	Total	8	34.8%	6	33.3%	2	40.0%	41	24.0%	21.9%	18.2%
Middle	5	29.4%	0	0	Total	7	30.4%	5	27.8%	2	40.0%	72	42.1%	46.4%	44.7%
Upper	4	23.5%	0	0	Total	5	21.7%	4	22.2%	1	20.0%	43	25.1%	28.0%	31.6%
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0	Total	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Total	17	100.0%	0	0	Total	23	100.0%	18	100.0%	5	100.0%	171	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

2016 FFIEC Census Data, 2010 ACS Data, and 2015 D&B Information

Closed branches/ATMs are only included in "closed" columns and are not included in any other totals.

DTO - Drive thru only is a subset of total branches

SA = Stand Alone ATM is a subset of total ATMs

Branch distribution within low-income tracts was considered excellent, because the distribution of branches substantially exceeded the percentage of census tracts and households in these tracts. The branch distribution within moderate-income tracts is also considered to be excellent.

Community Development Services

Fifth Third provides a relatively high level of community development services in this assessment area. During the evaluation period, Fifth Third employees provided 1,774 hours of community development service to local organizations serving low- and moderate-income individuals, which represents 1.5% of all community development services provided and equates to 0.85 annualized persons (ANP).

Affordable Housing	Economic Development	Community Services
<i># of Hours</i>	<i># of Hours</i>	<i># of Hours</i>
129	858	787

Employees provided financial expertise through leadership positions in multiple community organizations that provide affordable housing and promote community and economic development. Community development services include 953 hours serving on boards and committees, 495 hours providing technical assistance to non-profits and local business, 318 hours of providing financial literacy through local nonprofits and school programs, and eight hours participating in foreclosure prevention outreach.

Fifth Third is considered particularly responsive with regard to hours dedicated to financial literacy and technical assistance to small businesses. The community contacts mentioned the need for financial literacy training and assistance to first-time homebuyers and the need to provide technical assistance and financial literacy training to small business owners.

STATE OF FLORIDA

CRA RATING for State of Florida: Outstanding

The lending test is rated: Outstanding

The investment test is rated: Outstanding

The service test is rated: High Satisfactory

The major factors supporting this rating include:

- An excellent responsiveness to credit needs;
- An excellent geographic distribution of loans throughout the assessment area;
- An excellent distribution of loans among borrowers of different income levels and good to businesses of different revenue sizes;
- Exhibits a good record of serving the credit needs of low-income individuals and areas and very small businesses;
- A leader in making community development loans;
- Use of flexible lending practices in serving the assessment area's credit needs;
- An excellent level of qualified community development investments and grants;
- Often in a leadership position in providing community development investments and grants;
- Retail delivery systems are accessible to all geographies and individuals of different income levels and businesses of different revenue sizes;
- A record of opening and closing banking centers that has not adversely affected the accessibility of delivery systems;
- Banking services and hours that do not vary in a way that inconveniences any portions of the assessment areas; and,
- A leader in providing community development services.

SCOPE OF EXAMINATION

Full-scope reviews were conducted for two assessment areas in Florida: the Orlando-Deltona-Daytona Beach CSA and the Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater MSA. Limited-scope reviews were performed on the remaining six assessment areas: the Cape Coral-Fort Myers MSA, Jacksonville MSA, Lakeland MSA, Miami-Fort Lauderdale-West Palm Beach MSA, Naples-Immokalee-Marco Island MSA, and the North Port-Sarasota CSA. The time period, products, and affiliates evaluated for this assessment area are consistent with the scope discussed in the "Institution" section of this report.

The Orlando-Deltona-Daytona Beach and the Tampa-St. Petersburg assessment areas received greater weight in determining the CRA rating for the state. These areas had the largest lending volumes and number of banking centers and ranked third and first, respectively, in this state's share of deposits during the evaluation period. Lastly, these areas represented 52.5% of the banking centers, 44.6% of deposits, and 50.8% of lending in Florida.

DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION'S OPERATIONS IN THE STATE OF FLORIDA

Lending activity accounted for 8.8% of the Fifth Third's total lending activity, while deposits accounted for 9.8% of the Fifth Third's total deposits. HMDA-reportable lending in Florida represented 7.8% of the Fifth Third's total HMDA lending, while CRA-reportable lending represented 11.5% of the Fifth Third's total CRA lending. As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third ranked 12th among 241 insured institutions and has a deposit market share of 1.9% and 158 banking center locations within Florida.

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN THE STATE OF FLORIDA

Lending Test

Fifth Third's performance under the lending test within the assessment areas located in Florida is rated "Outstanding." Fifth Third's lending reflects an excellent responsiveness to the credit needs in the following two of its eight assessment areas: Orlando-Deltona-Daytona Beach and Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater. Lending reflects a good responsiveness to credit needs in the Cape Coral-Fort Myers and the North Port-Sarasota assessment areas and an adequate responsiveness in the remaining four assessment areas: Jacksonville, Lakeland, Miami-Fort Lauderdale-West Palm Beach, and Naples-Immokalee-Marco Island.

Lending Activity

In Florida, Fifth Third originated 8,185 HMDA loans totaling \$1.8 billion and 4,503 small business loans totaling \$459.8 million during the evaluation period.

Lending activity in Florida is excellent. The Orlando-Deltona-Daytona Beach and Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater assessment areas have excellent lending activity. Lending activity is good in the Cape Coral-Fort Myers and the North Port-Sarasota assessment areas and adequate in the remaining four assessment areas.

Geographic and Borrower Distribution

The distribution of loans among geographies is excellent in the assessment areas located in Florida. The geographic distribution is excellent in the Orlando-Deltona-Daytona Beach and the Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater assessment areas. In the Cape Coral-Fort Myers and the Naples-Immokalee-Marco Island assessment areas, the geographic distribution is good and adequate in the remaining four assessment areas.

A low level of lending gaps was identified in five of eight assessment areas. There was a moderate level of lending gaps in the Jacksonville and Lakeland assessment areas and a high level of lending gaps in the Miami-Fort Lauderdale-West Palm Beach assessment area.

The distribution of loans among borrowers of different income levels is excellent and good to businesses of different revenue sizes in the assessment areas located in Florida. The borrower distribution is excellent in the Orlando-Deltona-Dayton Beach and the Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater assessment areas and adequate in the Miami-Fort Lauderdale-West Palm Beach assessment area. Borrower distribution is good in the remaining five assessment areas. The distribution to businesses of different revenue sizes is adequate in the Jacksonville and Miami-Fort Lauderdale-West Palm Beach assessment areas and good in the remaining six assessment areas.

A detailed analysis for the geographic distribution and borrower-income distribution is provided with the analysis for each assessment area.

Community Development Loans

In Florida, Fifth Third originated 96 community development loans totaling \$516.1 million, which represented 8.6% of the Fifth Third's community development lending by dollar volume. This is an outstanding level of community development lending in Florida. Fifth Third was a leader in providing community development loans in the Orlando-Deltona-Daytona Beach, Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater, and Miami-Fort Lauderdale-West Palm Beach assessment areas. Fifth Third made a relatively high level of community development loans in the North Port-Sarasota assessment areas and an adequate level of community development loans in the remaining four assessment areas.

Flexible Lending

Overall, Fifth Third consistently makes use of flexible lending practices within assessment areas located in Florida.

Investment Test

Fifth Third's performance under the investment test within the assessment areas located in Florida is rated "Outstanding." Fifth Third funded \$120.0 million in qualified community development investments in Florida during the current evaluation period and \$76.5 million from prior periods. Fifth Third's level of qualified investments is excellent in the Orlando-Deltona-Dayton Beach, Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater, Jacksonville, Lakeland, and Miami-Fort Lauderdale-West Palm Beach assessment areas. Fifth Third has a good level of qualified community development investments in the North Port-Sarasota assessment area and adequate levels in the remaining two assessment areas.

Fifth Third was considered responsive to the credit and community development needs in the state; therefore, investments without a purpose, mandate, or function of serving Fifth Third's assessment areas in Florida was considered to positively impact state performance. Fifth Third made \$9.1 million in qualified investments, typically in the form of LIHTCs that benefited counties within the state but outside Fifth Third's delineated assessment areas within Florida.

Additional information regarding performance under the investment test is provided in the respective analyses for each assessment area.

Service Test

Fifth Third's performance under the service test within the assessment areas located in Florida is rated "High Satisfactory." The Fifth Third's performance is excellent in the Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater assessment area, adequate in Naples-Immokalee-Marco Island assessment area, and good in the remaining six assessment areas.

For details regarding the institution's performance in the individual assessment areas, refer to the respective assessment area's "Service Test" section in this report.

Retail Services

Retail delivery systems are accessible to all geographies, including low- and moderate-income geographies, individuals of different income levels, and businesses of different revenue sizes in the institution's assessment areas. Retail service distribution is good in six assessment areas and adequate in the Orlando-Deltona-Daytona Beach and Naples-Immokalee-Marco Island assessment areas.

Fifth Third has 158 banking centers in Florida, which represents 12.2% of Fifth Third's total branches. Its record of opening and closing banking centers has not adversely affected the accessibility of its delivery systems, particularly in low- and moderate-income geographies and to low- and moderate-income individuals. One branch opened in a moderate-income tract during the evaluation period in the Jacksonville assessment area, whereas three branches closed in moderate-income tracts during the evaluation period: one in the Jacksonville assessment area and two in the Orlando-Deltona-Daytona Beach assessment area.

Banking services and business hours do not vary in a way that inconveniences any portions of the Fifth Third's assessment areas and are consistent with the services and hours discussed in the "Institution" assessment.

Community Development Services

Fifth Third is a leader in providing community development services in Florida. Fifth Third's performance is excellent in the Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater, Jacksonville, Lakeland, and Miami-Fort Lauderdale-West Palm Beach assessment areas. The level of community development services is good in the remaining four assessment areas.

METROPOLITAN AREA
(Full-scope Review)

**DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION'S OPERATIONS IN THE
ORLANDO-DELTONA-DAYTONA BEACH FL CSA # 422**

The Orlando-Deltona-Daytona Beach FL CSA consists of the following two MSAs: the Deltona-Daytona Beach-Ormond Beach MSA #19660, consisting of Volusia County, but excluding Flagler County, and the Orlando-Kissimmee-Sanford MSA #36740, consisting of Lake, Orange, Osceola, and Seminole counties.

The assessment area is comprised of 13 low-, 128 moderate-, 213 middle-, and 147 upper-income tracts. There are also three tracts with no income designation that are primarily composed of correctional institutions, military establishments, education facilities, or medical establishments that do not report income information

As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third ranked seventh out of 51 institutions with 3.6% of the deposit market share. SunTrust Bank had the majority of the market share 22.3% of deposits, followed by Bank of America, Wells Fargo Bank, JPMorgan Chase, Regions Bank, and BB&T with 20.8%, 16.0%, 6.7%, 3.7%, and 3.6% of the market share, respectively. Deposits in this assessment area accounted for 1.9% of the Fifth Third's total deposits. This was the third-highest percentage of deposits within the state and 14th highest within Fifth Third's CRA footprint.

From January 1, 2014 through June 30, 2016, Fifth Third originated 1,936 HMDA loans and 1,036 CRA loans, which represented 1.9% and 2.6% of the total loans originated during the evaluation period, respectively. This was the 14th largest HMDA market and 11th largest CRA market for loans originated during the evaluation period.

In 2015, Fifth Third Mortgage Company ranked 24th among 838 HMDA reporters in the assessment area and Fifth Third ranked 123rd. Wells Fargo Bank, Quicken Loans, FBC Mortgage, and Freedom Mortgage, and JPMorgan Chase were the top five HMDA lenders in the assessment area. Fifth Third ranked 16th of 145 CRA reporters in the assessment area in 2015. The top four CRA lenders in the assessment area were American Express, Bank of America, Capital One, and SunTrust Bank. These lenders are mostly issuers of credit cards and their CRA loans primarily consist of commercial credit card accounts.

Five community contact interviews were conducted to provide additional information regarding the assessment area. The first contact, representing the business community in the Deltona-Daytona Beach area stated the local economy is improving and new residential construction is increasing. While the area's unemployment is low, most jobs are low-paying, service-sector jobs. The contact stated there is a need for less rigid credit standards so that small businesses can obtain loans more easily.

The contact believed banks are trying to meet the credit needs of the business community despite increasing regulatory burdens. Because banks are required to obtain voluminous amounts of information, customers can find the application process to be overwhelming and time-consuming. The contact specifically mentioned Gateway Bank of Florida, SeaCoast Bank, SunTrust Bank, and TD Bank as being active within the community.

The second contact, representing an affordable housing organization serving the Deltona area, stated the emphasis on credit scores typically places lower-income individuals at a disadvantage. Fewer individuals are qualifying for mortgage loans and it is taking banks longer to qualify consumers. Overall, the contact believed bankers are not out in the community as much as before, which does not make banks seem less intimidating to the unbanked. The contact indicated there is a need for banks to offer fee-free products and services to lower-income individuals and attract the unbanked. The contact specifically mentioned Fifth Third, HomeBridge Mortgage, and PNC Bank as being responsive to meeting the credit needs in the community.

The third contact, representing a business development organization that serves Volusia County, stated that while there is a higher concentration of hospitality jobs, which are typically low-paying, there has been a rise in new residential construction. The contact stated a major issue facing the community is having enough facilities to house the increasing homeless population. In regards to banking needs, area banks need to find ways to extend credit to small startup businesses. Typically, banks do not want to lend to startup businesses because they are considered to be too risky. Larger financial institutions seem to have more stringent credit requirements that have forced small business owners/entrepreneurs to seek online business loan alternatives. The contact believed that regulatory burdens affect a bank's ability to lend. The contact specifically mentioned SunTrust Bank, Bank of America, Intracoastal Bank, MainStreet Community Bank of Florida, and a few local credit unions as being particularly responsive to the credit needs in the area.

The fourth contact, representing a community development organization that serves Lake County, stated that the county is experiencing growth and consequently, there is demand for skilled labor construction. While this organization does not work directly with financial institutions, the contact stated there are several community development construction projects underway that financial institutions could support.

The fifth contact, representing an affordable housing organization in the Orlando area, stated housing prices are recovering, but are not back to pre-financial crisis levels. The contact stated there is a substantial shortage of affordable housing (i.e., rentals) for extremely low-income tenants in the Orlando-area. Increasing rental rates are making housing generally less affordable in the area. Affordable housing developers are seeing higher land prices from increased competition with luxury developers who want to convert low-cost rentals into higher-cost, luxury rentals. The contact also noted an increase in the number of unqualified homebuyers mostly due to banks' rigid underwriting standards. The contact indicated about 10.0% of the population is unbanked and typically relies on alternative lenders to meet their credit needs.

The contact believed banks could be more responsive to the needs of low-income consumers and small business owners by offering small-dollar, minimal-fee loans in order to compete with payday lenders. The contact specifically mentioned Bank of America, Wells Fargo Bank, Seaside National Bank & Trust, and First Green Bank as being responsive to credit needs in the community.

Population Characteristics

According to 2010 U.S. Census data, the population in the assessment area was 673,596. About 25.0% of the population lived in low- and moderate-income tracts. In addition, 74.6% of the population was 18 years of age or older, the legal age to enter into a contract.

As of July 1, 2015, the Orlando-Kissimmee-Sanford MSA is the 24th largest in terms of population in the nation, while the Deltona-Daytona Beach-Ormond Beach MSA is the 90th largest.⁹⁶ Orange County is the largest county in the assessment area and the fifth most populous county in Florida.⁹⁷ Orlando (located in Orange County) is the largest city in assessment area and is the 73rd most populous city in the U.S. Orlando has 270,934 residents, its population growth increased by 39.1% between 2000 and 2015. In contrast, the next largest cities in the assessment area are Deltona, Daytona Beach, Sanford, Kissimmee, and Ormond Beach, which have 88,474; 64,736; 58,111; 69,152; and 40,970 residents, respectively.⁹⁸

The following table shows the population in the assessment area by county for 2010 and 2015 with the percentage of the population increase.⁹⁹ The assessment area’s population experienced positive growth between 2010 and 2015, with Osceola County experiencing the greatest growth and Volusia County experiencing the least growth in population during this time period. According to Moody’s Analytics, the Orlando MSA is the only metropolitan area in Florida where the proportion of residents age 65 and over is less than the national average. As a result, the Orlando area can build on its large population of young adults and create job opportunities for skilled and unskilled labor. Overall, this assessment area has a robust domestic and foreign in-migration which contributes to an increasing demand for employment and housing.

County	2010 Population	2015 Population	Population Percent Change
Lake	297,052	325,875	9.7%
Orange	1,145,956	1,288,126	12.4%
Osceola	268,685	323,993	20.6%
Seminole	422,718	449,144	6.3%
Volusia	494,593	517,887	4.7%
Total	2,629,004	2,905,025	10.5%

⁹⁶ MSA population data is derived from the U.S. Census Data 2015 Statistical Abstract: <https://factfinder.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productview.xhtml?src=bkmk>

⁹⁷ U.S. Places: <http://us-places.com> (main page – enter state, choose population by county)

⁹⁸ U.S. Census QuickFacts: <https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/> (main page – enter state, county, city, town, or zip code)

⁹⁹ Population Estimates derived from U.S. Census Data (April 1, 2010 – July 1, 2015): <http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/table/PST045216/00>

Income Characteristics

In 2010, the assessment area’s median family income was slightly greater (\$57,708) than Florida at \$57,204. Between 2014 and 2016, the median family income decreased in the Deltona MSA, with a slight increase in 2016. However, in the Orlando MSA, the median family income increased in 2015, but slightly decreased in 2016. Moody’s Analytics noted low per capita income as an economic weakness in the Deltona MSA. In the Orlando MSA, Moody’s noted about one-third of the jobs created in 2016 have been in the leisure-hospitality industry, which is nearly double the statewide and national levels. While many of these jobs are low paying, Moody’s noted that average hourly earnings have risen at an above-average rate over the last year.

**Borrower Income Levels
Deltona-Daytona Beach-Ormond Beach, FL MSA**

FFIEC Estimated Median Family Income		Low 0 - 49.99%	Moderate 50% - 79.99%	Middle 80% - 119.99%	Upper 120% - & above
2014	\$52,600	0 - \$26,299	\$26,300 - \$42,079	\$42,080 - \$63,119	\$63,120 - & above
2015	\$51,500	0 - \$25,749	\$25,750 - \$41,199	\$41,200 - \$61,799	\$61,800 - & above
2016	\$51,700	0 - \$25,849	\$25,850 - \$41,359	\$41,360 - \$62,039	\$62,040 - & above

**Borrower Income Levels
Orlando-Kissimmee-Sanford, FL MSA**

FFIEC Estimated Median Family Income		Low 0 - 49.99%	Moderate 50% - 79.99%	Middle 80% - 119.99%	Upper 120% - & above
2014	\$54,800	0 - \$27,399	\$27,400 - \$43,839	\$43,840 - \$65,759	\$65,760 - & above
2015	\$58,300	0 - \$29,149	\$29,150 - \$46,639	\$46,640 - \$69,959	\$69,960 - & above
2016	\$57,800	0 - \$28,899	\$28,900 - \$46,239	\$46,240 - \$69,359	\$69,360 - & above

Poverty rates increased in each county in the assessment area from 1999 to 2015.¹⁰⁰ Seminole County had the lowest poverty rates in 1999 and 2015. Orange County had the highest poverty rate in 1999, but Osceola County had the highest poverty rate in 2015. In 2015, Seminole, Lake, and Orange counties all had poverty rates below Florida’s. Osceola County experienced the largest increase in poverty rates during this period. Florida’s poverty rates exceeded the national poverty rates in 1999 and 2015. According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the national poverty rate in 2015 was 13.5%, down 1.3 percentage points from 14.8% in 2014. For most demographic groups, the 2015 poverty rates and number of people in poverty decreased from 2014.¹⁰¹

¹⁰⁰ U.S. Department of Agriculture Economic Research Service Poverty Rates (for 1999 and 2015): <https://data.ers.usda.gov/reports.aspx?ID=17826>

¹⁰¹ 2015 National Poverty: <http://www.census.gov/library/publications/2016/demo/p60-256.html>

A community contact specifically mentioned an insufficient amount of housing options to support the increasing homeless population in the Deltona area. The following table shows the poverty rates for 1999¹⁰² and 2015.

County	1999 Poverty Rate	2015 Poverty Rate	Change
Lake	9.6%	12.8%	33.3%
Orange	12.1%	15.6%	28.9%
Osceola	11.5%	18.5%	60.9%
Seminole	7.4%	11.5%	55.4%
Volusia	11.6%	16.3%	40.5%
Florida	12.5%	15.8%	26.4%
U.S.	11.8%	13.5%	14.4%

Housing Characteristics

According to 2010 U.S. Census data, there are 1.2 million housing units and 647,964 families in the assessment area. From an income perspective, 27.3% of housing units, 19.5% of owner-occupied units, and 24.9% of families are located in low- or moderate-income tracts. Three-quarters of the housing units in the low-income census tracts are either rental or vacant (75.1%) and 24.9% are owner-occupied. In the moderate-income census tracts, over half of the housing units are either rental or vacant (58.9%) and 41.1% are owner-occupied. Therefore, based on the number of housing units compared to the number of families in low- and moderate-income census tracts, there appear to be credit-related opportunities for Fifth Third to provide various aspects of affordable housing in the assessment area.

The 2010 U.S. Census data shows the median age of housing stock in the assessment area was only 24 years old and only 3.6% of the stock was built before 1950. However, within the assessment area, the median age of housing stock was 38 years in low-income tracts and 27 years in moderate-income tracts. Therefore, there appears to be limited opportunity for Fifth Third to provide home improvement and rehabilitation loans in these lower-income areas.

According to 2010 U.S. Census data, the median housing value in the assessment area was \$134,073, with an affordability ratio of 23.25. The affordability ratio is derived by dividing the median household income by the median housing value. The higher the affordability ratio, the more affordable a home is considered. Median housing values decreased between 2010 and 2011-2015, along with median family incomes; as a result, housing generally became more affordable across the assessment area. During the evaluation period, the most affordable housing was in Osceola County and the least affordable was in Orange County. Median gross rents increased across the assessment area, with renters in Volusia County experiencing the largest increase in rental rates and renters in Osceola County experiencing the smallest increase in gross rental rates. In 2010, renters with rent costs greater than 30.0% of income was greatest in Osceola and Orange counties at 60.2% and 56.7%, respectively.

¹⁰² 1999 National Poverty Rate: <http://www.census.gov/prod/2000pubs/p60-210.pdf>

According to a community contact, there is a substantial shortage of affordable rental housing for extremely low-income tenants in the Orlando-area due to increased competition from luxury developers who want to convert low-cost rentals into luxury rentals. With above-average rental rates in this assessment area, potential homebuyers may not be able to save enough for a down payment for a home. The table below presents housing characteristics from the U.S. Census data between 2010 and 2015 in the assessment area and Florida.

County	2010 Median Housing Value	2010 Affordability Ratio	2011-2015 Median Housing Value	2011-2015 Affordability Ratio	2010 Median Gross Rent	2011-2015 Median Gross Rent	Percent of Change
Lake	\$178,400	26.05	\$140,100	33.12	\$904	\$936	3.5%
Orange	\$228,600	21.93	\$163,800	29.27	\$995	\$1,033	3.8%
Osceola	\$199,200	23.26	\$132,100	33.50	\$1,036	\$1,049	1.3%
Seminole	\$241,000	24.47	\$176,100	32.37	\$1,024	\$1,077	5.2%
Volusia	\$186,300	23.83	\$131,600	31.24	\$879	\$918	4.4%
Florida	\$205,600	23.18	\$159,000	29.88	\$957	\$1,002	4.7%

According to Bankrate.com,¹⁰³ Florida ranked sixth for foreclosure filings in November 2016. The national average for foreclosure filings was one in every 1,533 housing units. The following table contains information about foreclosure filings in the assessment area, according to Realtytrac:¹⁰⁴

Geography Name	Ratio of Properties Receiving Foreclosure Filings in November 2016
Lake	1:1,190
Orange	1:1,042
Osceola	1:789
Seminole	1:1,201
Volusia	1:1,090
Florida	1:986
U.S.	1:1,533

In November 2016, Osceola County had the highest rate of foreclosure and Seminole County had the lowest foreclosure rate in the assessment area.

Building permits for this CSA, Florida, and the nation are included in the following table for 2014, 2015, and 2016.¹⁰⁵

¹⁰³ Bankrate.com: <http://www.bankrate.com/finance/real-estate/foreclosures-by-state/default.aspx>

¹⁰⁴ Realtytrac: <http://www.realtytrac.com/statsandtrends/foreclosuretrends/>

¹⁰⁵ U.S. Census Bureau Building Permits Survey: <http://www.census.gov/construction/bps/>

Geography	2014	2015	Percent of Change 2014-2015	2016	Percent of Change 2015-2016
Deltona-Daytona Beach-Ormond Beach MSA	1,921	2,047	6.6%	2,021	-1.3%
Orlando-Kissimmee-Sanford MSA	16,115	20,474	27.0%	23,251	13.6%
Florida	84,084	109,924	30.7%	113,912	3.6%
U.S.	1,052,124	1,182,582	12.4%	1,190,191	0.6%

The assessment area experienced an increase in the number of housing permits issued between 2014 and 2015 and to a lesser extent between 2015 and 2016. There was a small decrease between 2015 and 2016 in the Deltona area. The increase in the number of permits could indicate there is a stable demand for home purchase loans in the Deltona area and an increasing demand for home purchase loans in the Orlando area. According to Moody's Analytics, the housing revival occurred sooner and is more powerful than expected in the Orlando MSA and residential construction and house prices are slower to rebound in the Deltona MSA.

Labor, Employment, and Economic Characteristics

According to Moody's Analytics, the Deltona and Orlando area economies are in recovery, primarily due to strong tourism and ample job opportunities in services, strong demographics due to in-migration, a large retiree population that supports a huge healthcare industry, and major colleges in the area. While the labor market is able to absorb an influx of entrants, poor job quality (i.e., low-paying service industry jobs and high employment volatility) remains an economic weakness, along with a stubbornly high foreclosure rate.

According to *Orlando Sentinel*,¹⁰⁶ Orlando is home to one Fortune 500 company in 2016 (fell 46 places from last year after selling Red Lobster). Nationwide, Darden Restaurants has about 150,000 employees at 1,500 restaurants and is the parent company of Olive Garden, LongHorn Steakhouse, Eddie V's, Bahama Breeze, Seasons 52, Yard House, and Capital Grille.


Orlando Fortune 500 Companies (2016)		
Rank	Company	Annual Revenue
371	Darden Restaurants	\$710 million

According to Moody's Analytics the top 15 employers in the assessment area in 2015/2016 were:

¹⁰⁶ Orlando Sentinel: <http://www.orlandosentinel.com/business/consumer/os-fortune-500-publix-darden-20160606-story.html>

Company	Number of Employees
Walt Disney World Resort	74,000
Universal Orlando (Comcast)	20,000
Adventist Health System/Florida Hospital	19,304
Orlando Health	14,000
Lockheed Martin	7,000
Westgate Resorts	6,500
SeaWorld Parks & Entertainment	6,032
Darden Restaurants	5,221
Halifax Medical Center	4,709
Publix Super Markets Inc.	3,425
Florida Hospital Ormond Memorial	3,256
Wal-Mart Stores Inc.	3,194
National Association for Stock Car Racing	1,700
Vison HR Inc.	1,667
Daytona Beach Community College	1,568

The following table illustrates the average unemployment rates for 2014, 2015, and 2016 across the CSA and Florida.



Overall, the unemployment rates declined each year in the assessment area and Florida. Unemployment rates were fairly consistent across the assessment area during this time period.

According to the *Orlando Weekly*, SeaWorld announced 311 jobs will be eliminated in the second major layoff in two years. While some employees will be let go in San Diego and Tampa, the majority of layoffs will occur in Orlando due to the number of higher-paid corporate positions located in Orlando. These layoffs are part of a companywide \$65 million cost-cutting initiative.¹⁰⁷

¹⁰⁷ Pedicini, Sandra. "SeaWorld Entertainment eliminates hundreds of jobs." *Orlando Sentinel*. December 6, 2016. - <http://www.orlandosentinel.com/business/tourism/os-seaworld-layoffs-20161206-story.html>

According to *WMFE 90.7*, 67 insurance and refund specialists will be laid off in 2017 from Florida Hospital Medical Group (the largest multi-specialty doctor's group in Central Florida), with more than 500 doctors and 2,000 employees due to outsourcing of the central billing function and new electronic medical records software.¹⁰⁸

¹⁰⁸ Aboraya, Abe. "Florida Hospital Medical Group Will Lay Off Workers Next Year." *WMFE 90.7*. December 27, 2016. - <http://www.wmfe.org/florida-hospital-medical-group-will-lay-off-workers-next-year/67837>

Combined Demographics Report

Assessment Area: FL Orlando

Income Categories	Tract Distribution		Families by Tract Income		Families < Poverty Level as % of Families by Tract		Families by Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	13	2.6	7,365	1.1	2,756	37.4	129,983	20.1
Moderate-income	128	25.4	153,902	23.8	24,451	15.9	119,931	18.5
Middle-income	213	42.3	287,717	44.4	23,031	8	135,788	21
Upper-income	147	29.2	198,967	30.7	8,899	4.5	262,262	40.5
Unknown-income	3	0.6	13	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	504	100.0	647,964	100.0	59,137	9.1	647,964	100.0
	Housing	Housing Types by Tract						
	Units by Tract	Owner-Occupied			Rental		Vacant	
		#	%	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	17,759	4,418	0.7	24.9	9,638	54.3	3,703	20.9
Moderate-income	301,543	123,989	18.8	41.1	122,872	40.7	54,682	18.1
Middle-income	519,881	304,737	46.3	58.6	124,617	24	90,527	17.4
Upper-income	329,790	224,948	34.2	68.2	53,831	16.3	51,011	15.5
Unknown-income	50	50	0	100	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	1,169,023	658,142	100.0	56.3	310,958	26.6	199,923	17.1
	Total Businesses by Tract	Businesses by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	2,206	1.1	2,015	1.1	177	1.9	14	5.1
Moderate-income	43,867	22.3	40,928	21.8	2,867	30.6	72	26.5
Middle-income	79,623	40.4	75,985	40.5	3,530	37.7	108	39.7
Upper-income	71,411	36.2	68,534	36.6	2,799	29.9	78	28.7
Unknown-income	27	0	27	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	197,134	100.0	187,489	100.0	9,373	100.0	272	100.0
	Percentage of Total Businesses:			95.1		4.8		.1
	Total Farms by Tract	Farms by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	4	0.3	4	0.3	0	0	0	0
Moderate-income	158	11.4	150	11.5	8	10.3	0	0
Middle-income	718	51.8	671	51.3	47	60.3	0	0
Upper-income	503	36.3	480	36.7	23	29.5	0	0
Unknown-income	4	0.3	4	0.3	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	1,387	100.0	1,309	100.0	78	100.0	0	.0
	Percentage of Total Farms:			94.4		5.6		.0

**CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN THE
ORLANDO-DELTONA-DAYTONA BEACH FL CSA**

Lending Test

Fifth Third’s performance under the lending test in this assessment area is rated excellent. Fifth Third has demonstrated an excellent responsiveness to the credit needs of the community. In addition, Fifth Third originated 14 community development loans totaling \$76.4 million. Fifth Third has an excellent geographic distribution of loans and minimal lending gaps. Fifth Third has an excellent distribution among borrowers of different income levels and a good distribution of loans to businesses of different revenue sizes. Fifth Third exhibits a good record of serving the credit needs of highly economically disadvantaged areas in its assessment area, low-income individuals, and businesses with gross annual revenues of \$1 million or less. An excellent level of community development loans and use of flexible lending practices augmented Fifth Third’s performance in this assessment area.

Greatest consideration was given to the evaluation of home purchase lending based on the overall volume of lending, followed by small business, refinance, and home improvement lending. Details of Fifth Third’s residential mortgage and small business lending, as well as information regarding lending by peers, can be found in Appendix E.

Lending Activity

Fifth Third’s lending activity reflects an excellent responsiveness to the credit needs within the assessment area. Fifth Third originated 1,190 home purchase, 662 refinance, 84 home improvement, 1,036 small business, and 14 community development loans during the evaluation period. The percentage of Fifth Third’s total lending at 2.1% is greater than the percentage of total deposits at 1.9% in this area.

Fifth Third made 97.8% of HMDA and 99.8% of CRA lending within its designated assessment area. No concentrations of lending were identified in Flagler County, the only excluded county in this assessment area.

In addition to lending, Fifth Third modified existing loans to borrowers. The distribution of HAMP and other real-estate secured modifications within the assessment area by census tract income and by borrower income are presented below.

	Distribution by Census Tract Income							
	Low		Mod		Middle		Upper	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Other Real Estate Secured Modifications	0	0.0%	20	19.8%	50	49.5%	31	30.7%
<i>Percentage of Owner Occupied Units</i>		<i>0.7%</i>		<i>18.8%</i>		<i>46.3%</i>		<i>34.2%</i>

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

	Distribution by Borrower Income							
	Low		Mod		Middle		Upper	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Other Real Estate Secured Modifications	11	10.9%	29	28.7%	21	20.8%	39	38.6%
Percentage of Families by Family Income		20.1%		18.5%		21.0%		40.5%

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

There were not enough HAMP modifications for a meaningful analysis. The percentage of other real-estate secured modifications in moderate-income tracts exceeded the percentage of owner occupied units in these geographies. Therefore, modifications helped to expand lending activities in these areas.

The percentage of other modifications made to low-income borrowers was significantly less than the percentage of low-income families in the assessment area; however, the percentage of other modifications to moderate-income borrowers was substantially higher than the percentage of moderate-income families. Therefore, modifications enhanced Fifth Third’s ability to reach low- and moderate-income borrowers.

Geographic Distribution of Loans

Fifth Third’s overall distribution of lending among geographies is excellent. Home purchase, refinance, home improvement, and small business lending are all excellent. There is also an overall low level of lending gaps. The following gaps in lending were noted in the assessment area:

Tract Income Levels	Number of Tracts	Tracts with No Loans	Penetration
Low	13	3	76.9%
Moderate	128	12	90.6%
Middle	213	14	93.4%
Upper	147	6	95.9%
Unknown	3	3	0.0%
Total	504	38	92.5%

Lending gaps are considered minimal, due to the low number of lending gaps in moderate-, middle-, and upper-income tracts. The lower penetration rate in low-income tracts can be attributed to the limited number of owner-occupied units and small businesses located in these census tracts.

Home Purchase Loans

Fifth Third made 12 home purchase loans totaling \$1.7 million in low-income tracts. This represents 1.0% of home purchase loans by volume, which exceeded the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 0.7% and 0.8% by dollar amount, which exceeded proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate at 0.3% and in 2015 exceeded the 2015 aggregate at 0.3%. As Fifth Third's performance exceeded the proxy and aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution in low-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 268 home purchase loans totaling \$34.2 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 22.5% of its home purchase loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 18.8% and 15.9% by dollar amount, which was below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate at 13.9% and in 2015 exceeded the 2015 aggregate at 14.4%. As Fifth Third's performance exceeded the proxy and aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution in moderate-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 511 home purchase loans totaling \$80.2 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 42.9% of home purchase loans by volume, which is below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 46.3% and 37.2% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 46.4% and in 2015 was below the 2015 aggregate of 46.0%.

Fifth Third made 399 home purchase loans totaling \$99.4 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 33.5% of home purchase loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 34.2% and 46.1% by dollar amount, which exceeds the proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 39.4% and in 2015 was below the 2015 aggregate of 39.3%.

A community contact indicated the need for more affordable housing with fewer lending restrictions. Because Fifth Third effectively penetrated these tracts and performance in low- and moderate-income areas exceeded proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of home purchase loans is considered excellent.

Refinance Loans

Fifth Third made five refinance loans totaling \$654,000 in low-income tracts. This represents 0.8% of refinance loans by volume which slightly exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 0.7% and 0.6% by dollar amount, which is comparable to proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate at 0.4% and in 2015 exceeded the 2015 aggregate at 0.4%. Since Fifth Third's performance exceeds proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of refinance loans in low-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 125 refinance loans totaling \$14.4 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 18.9% of refinance loans by volume, which slightly exceeds the owner-occupied units in these tracts at 18.8%. The percentage of loans by dollar amount at 12.7% is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate at 13.7% and in 2015

exceeded the 2015 aggregate at 12.7%. As Fifth Third's performance exceeded proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of refinance loans in moderate-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 292 refinance loans totaling \$38.6 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 44.1% of refinance loans by volume, which is comparable to the owner-occupied units in these tracts at 46.3%. However, refinance loans by dollar amount (33.9%) was below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate at 44.1% and in 2015 was below the 2015 aggregate at 43.2%.

Fifth Third made 240 refinance loans totaling \$60.2 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 36.3% of refinance loans by volume, which exceeds the owner-occupied units in these tracts at 34.2% and the dollar amount at 52.9% also exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate at 41.8% and in 2015 was below the 2015 aggregate at 43.8%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of refinance loans is excellent.

Home Improvement Loans

Fifth Third made two home improvement loans totaling \$198,000 in low-income tracts. This represents 2.4% of home improvement loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 0.7%, and 2.2% by dollar amount, which also exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume substantially exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 0.8% and in 2015 substantially exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 0.8%. Given the high median age of housing in low-income tracts at 38 years and Fifth Third's lending performance in these tracts, the geographic distribution in low-income tracts is considered excellent.

Fifth Third made 18 home improvement loans totaling \$1.6 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 21.4% of home improvement loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 18.8%, and 18.2% by dollar amount, which is comparable to proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate of 16.2% and in 2015 exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 16.6%. Given that Fifth Third's lending performance exceeded proxy and the aggregate, the geographic distribution of home improvement loans in moderate-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 40 home improvement loans totaling \$3.6 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 47.6% of home improvement loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 46.3% and 40.2% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 46.2% and in 2015 was comparable to the 2015 aggregate of 42.9%.

Fifth Third made 24 home improvement loans totaling \$3.5 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 28.6% of home improvements loans by volume, which is less than the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 34.2% and 39.4% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy.

The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 36.7% and in 2015 was below the 2015 aggregate of 39.7%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of home improvement loans is excellent.

Small Business Loans

Fifth Third made 23 small business loans totaling \$2.3 million in low-income tracts. This represents 2.2% of small business loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 1.1% and 2.0% by dollar amount, which also exceeds the percentage of businesses in these geographies. Fifth Third's lending by volume in 2014 exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 1.1% and in 2015 exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 1.2%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of small business loans in low-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 280 small business loans totaling \$47.0 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 27.0% of small business loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 21.8%. This also represents 40.8% small business loans by dollar amount, which significantly exceeds the percentage of businesses in these tracts. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 21.3% and in 2015 exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 20.7%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of small business loans in moderate-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 364 small business loans totaling \$34.2 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 35.1% of small business loans by volume, which is less than the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 40.5%. Lending by dollar amount at 29.7% is also less than the percentage of businesses in these tracts. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 37.6% and in 2015 was below the 2015 aggregate of 37.8%.

Fifth Third made 369 small business loans totaling \$31.8 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 35.6% of small business loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 36.6%, and 27.6% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below to the 2014 aggregate of 38.7% and in 2015 was below the 2015 aggregate of 39.3%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of small business loans is excellent.

Distribution by Borrower Income and Revenue Size of the Business

Overall, the distribution of loans is excellent based on borrower income and good for businesses of different revenue sizes. Borrower distribution is excellent for home purchase, refinance, and home improvement loans.

Home Purchase Loans

Fifth Third made 195 loans totaling \$19.0 million to low-income borrowers. This represents 16.4% of home purchase loans by volume, which is below the percentage of low-income families at 20.1%; however, the percentage of loans by dollar amount (8.8%) is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 3.4% and in 2015 significantly exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 3.9%. Because Fifth Third's performance significantly exceeded the aggregate of all lenders, the borrower distribution of home purchase loans to low-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 227 loans totaling \$28.1 million to moderate-income borrowers. This represents 19.1% of home purchase loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of moderate-income families at 18.5% and 13.0% of loans by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate of 14.1% and in 2015 exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 15.6%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded proxy and exceeded the aggregate of all lenders, the borrower distribution of home purchase loans to moderate-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 192 loans totaling \$29.1 million to middle-income borrowers. This represents 16.1% of home purchase loans by volume, which is less than the percentage of middle-income families at 21.0%, and 13.5% by dollar amount, which is also below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate of 19.5% and in 2015 was below the 2015 aggregate of 20.3%.

Fifth Third made 413 loans totaling \$109.0 million to upper-income borrowers. This represents 34.7% of home purchase loans by volume, which is below the percentage of upper-income families at 40.5% and 50.6% of loans by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate of 46.1% and in 2015 was below the 2015 aggregate of 44.1%.

A community contact stated since interest rates are so closely linked to one's credit score, low- and moderate-income individuals are typically at a disadvantage which impacts the ability of these individuals to qualify for loans and buy homes. With Fifth Third's excellent performance in home purchase lending to low- and moderate-income borrowers, the overall borrower distribution is considered excellent.

Refinance Loans

Fifth Third made 111 loans totaling \$9.3 million to low-income borrowers. This represents 16.8% of refinance loans by volume, which is below the percentage of low-income families at 20.1%. The percentage of loans by dollar amount to low-income borrowers at 8.2% is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate of 6.2% and in 2015 significantly exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 4.9%. Given Fifth Third's performance significantly exceeded the aggregate of all lenders, the borrower distribution of refinance loans to low-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 119 loans totaling \$13.3 million to moderate-income borrowers. This represents 18.0% of refinance loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of moderate-income families at 18.5% and 11.7% by dollar volume, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 11.8% and in 2015 significantly exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 11.2%. Since Fifth Third's performance significantly exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and was comparable to proxy, the borrower distribution to moderate-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 118 loans totaling \$14.6 million to middle-income borrowers. This represents 17.8% of refinance loans by volume, which is below the percentage of middle-income families at 21.0%, and 12.8% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 18.1% and in 2015 was comparable to the 2015 aggregate of 16.4%.

Fifth Third made 273 loans totaling \$69.5 million to upper-income borrowers. This represents 41.2% of refinance loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of upper-income families at 40.5%, while the percentage of loans by dollar amount at 61.1% significantly exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 43.9% and in 2015 was below the 2015 aggregate of 42.3%.

Overall, the borrower distribution of refinance loans is excellent.

Home Improvement Loans

Fifth Third made 12 loans totaling \$952,000 to low-income borrowers. This represents 14.3% of home improvement loans by volume, which is below the percentage of low-income families at 20.1%, and 10.6% by dollar amount, which is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 8.8% and in 2015 was comparable to the 2015 aggregate of 8.1%. Given that Fifth Third's performance significantly exceeded the aggregate of all lenders, the borrower distribution of home improvement loans to low-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 20 loans totaling \$1.6 million to moderate-income borrowers. This represents 23.8% of its home improvement loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of moderate-income families at 18.5%, and 18.0% by dollar amount, which is comparable to proxy. The percentage of loans by volume substantially exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 16.7% and in 2015 was comparable to the 2015 aggregate of 15.8%. Given that Fifth Third's performance substantially exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and exceeded proxy, the borrower distribution of home improvement loans to moderate-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 22 loans totaling \$2.4 million to middle-income borrowers. This represents 26.2% of home improvement loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of middle-income families at 21.0%, and the dollar amount at 27.2% also exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume substantially exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 20.9% and in 2015 slightly exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 19.2%.

Fifth Third made 29 loans totaling \$3.7 million to upper-income borrowers. This represents 34.5% of home improvement loans by volume, which was below the percentage of upper-income families at 40.5%, and 41.3% by dollar amount, which is above proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below 2014 aggregate of 46.3% and in 2015 was below the 2015 aggregate of 52.0%.

Overall, the borrower distribution of home improvement loans is excellent.

Small Business Loans

The distribution of small business loans to businesses of different sizes is good considering Fifth Third’s performance relative to the aggregate of all lenders. Fifth Third was able to make 60.0% of small business loans to businesses with revenues of \$1 million or less. Fifth Third’s performance in 2014 exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 48.8% and in 2015 exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 54.9%, but was significantly below the percentage of small businesses in the assessment area at 95.1%. Also, during the evaluation period Fifth Third was able to make a relatively high percentage of small-dollar loans (78.3%) up to \$100,000, indicating a willingness to lend in smaller amounts typically requested by small businesses. In addition, several community contacts indicated the need for banks to extend credit to small businesses and make small-dollar loans.

Community Development Loans

Fifth Third originated 14 community development loans totaling \$76.4 million during the evaluation period as shown in the table below:

Economic Development		Revitalization and Stabilization		Community Services	
#	\$	#	\$	#	\$
4	12,000,000	4	36,700,000	6	27,669,555

Community development lending in the assessment area represents 1.3% of the total dollar volume of community development loans originated by Fifth Third during the evaluation period. This ranks as Fifth Third’s 19th highest percentage of community development lending during the evaluation period. Given Fifth Third’s deposit market share (3.6%) and the presence of several large financial institutions in the market and competition for community development loans, Fifth Third is a leader in community development lending in this assessment area.

Examples of community development lending include, but are not limited to:

- New loan to a university to purchase a multi-building complex to accommodate more low- and moderate-income college students
- Working capital loan that supports a nonprofit that provides a multitude of services to low- and moderate-income children and families
- Working capital loans that support a college that serves low- and moderate-income students
- Working capital loan that promotes economic development by financing businesses to support job retention of 50 jobs and adding 20 new jobs

The economic development loans aiding in retaining and expanding employment were deemed to be responsive, as a community contact indicated there is a need for small businesses to be able to obtain loans more easily in order to start up or expand operations. However, there were also no community development loans supporting affordable housing, which was an expressed need in this assessment area.

Flexible Lending Programs

Overall, Fifth Third had 339 flexible lending loans in this assessment area: 243 government loans, 14 down payment assistance loans, and 82 other flexible lending programs. The following tables show the percentage by volume and by dollar amount of the three types of flexible lending programs made in this assessment area during the evaluation period and the distribution of Fifth Third’s flexible lending programs within the assessment area by census tract income and borrower income.

Flexible Loan Programs												
	Geographic Distribution											
	Low			Moderate			Middle			Upper		
	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units
Government Loan Programs	0.4%	0.5%	0.7%	16.0%	13.4%	18.8%	51.9%	48.8%	46.3%	31.7%	37.3%	34.2%
Down Payment Assistance Programs	14.3%	17.3%	0.7%	28.6%	26.6%	18.8%	42.9%	39.1%	46.3%	14.3%	16.9%	34.2%
Other Flexible Lending Programs	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	13.4%	10.1%	18.8%	53.7%	46.1%	46.3%	32.9%	43.9%	34.2%
Total	0.9%	0.9%	0.7%	15.9%	12.9%	18.8%	51.9%	47.8%	46.3%	31.3%	38.4%	34.2%

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

Flexible Loan Programs												
	Borrower Distribution											
	Low			Moderate			Middle			Upper		
	% - #	% - \$	% Fam	% - #	% - \$	% Fam	% - #	% - \$	% Fam	% - #	% - \$	% Fam
Government Loan Programs	8.2%	4.2%	20.1%	33.3%	26.5%	18.5%	25.9%	26.4%	21.0%	31.7%	41.4%	40.5%
Down Payment Assistance Programs	35.7%	23.4%	20.1%	28.6%	36.5%	18.5%	21.4%	22.9%	21.0%	14.3%	17.3%	40.5%
Other Flexible Lending Programs	12.2%	8.8%	20.1%	9.8%	5.4%	18.5%	24.4%	21.0%	21.0%	52.4%	63.7%	40.5%
Total	10.3%	5.9%	20.1%	27.4%	21.4%	18.5%	25.4%	24.9%	21.0%	36.0%	46.3%	40.5%

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

Fifth Third’s lending in low-income tracts by number and dollar amount exceeded the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts, particularly for the various down payment assistance programs that are offered. The percentage of lending by volume and dollar amount in moderate-income tracts was below the percentage of owner-occupied units.

Fifth Third’s lending by volume to low-income borrowers was below the percentage of low-income families in the assessment area and lending by dollar amount was significantly below proxy. The percentage of lending by volume and dollar amount to moderate-income borrowers exceeded the percentage of moderate-income families, especially for government loan and down payment assistance programs.

Therefore, Fifth Third made use of flexible lending practices in serving assessment area credit needs, since lending through flexible loan programs in low-income tracts and to moderate-income borrowers was excellent and the lending in moderate-income tracts was good, while lending to low-income borrowers was adequate.

Investment Test

Fifth Third made an excellent level of qualified community development investments and grants, particularly those not routinely provided by private investors. As such, Fifth Third was often in a leadership position. Fifth Third has 96 qualified investments totaling \$46.7 million during the evaluation period. Shown in the table below are the total current period investments:

Affordable Housing		Economic Development		Community Services	
#	\$	#	\$	#	\$
29	30,461,896	15	529,189	44	279,852

Also included in the total number of investments are eight prior period investments totaling \$15.4 million. Overall, Fifth Third made 2.9% of its total community development investments in this assessment area, which is greater than the percentage of total deposits at 1.9% and less than the percentage of branch offices at 3.1%.

Fifth Third exhibits an excellent responsiveness to credit and community development needs in the assessment area, including investments in several affordable housing projects throughout the assessment area, which was an important need expressed by several community contacts. Fifth Third made 64 donations totaling \$397,021 that supported chambers of commerce, charitable organizations, small businesses, education, economic development, and affordable housing. The majority (70.4%) of Fifth Third’s donations supported services to low- and moderate-income individuals, such as after-school programs and various charitable organizations.

Service Test

Fifth Third’s performance under the service test in this assessment area is rated good. Retail services are reasonably accessible and Fifth Third provides a relatively high level of community development services.

Retail Services

Fifth Third's record of opening and closing offices has generally not adversely affected the accessibility of its delivery systems, particularly in low- and moderate-income geographies and to low- and moderate-income households. Since the previous evaluation, eight banking centers were closed. Two banking centers closed were located in moderate-income tracts. No banking centers were opened during the evaluation period. Delivery services are reasonably accessible to Fifth Third's geographies and individuals of different income levels.

Business hours and services provided do not vary in a way that inconveniences certain portions of the assessment area, including low- and moderate-income geographies or households, and are consistent with the services and hours discussed in the "Institution" assessment.

Fifth Third maintains 40 banking centers within this assessment area, including none in low-, nine in moderate-, 18 in middle-, and 13 in upper-income census tracts. Fifth Third banking centers represent 25.3% of its banking centers in Florida and 3.1% of all its banking centers.

Fifth Third has a total of 53 full-service ATMs within this assessment area, including none in low-, 12 in moderate-, 21 in middle-, and 20 in upper-income census tracts.

The following table illustrates the percentage of banking centers and ATMs in low-, moderate-, middle-, and upper-income census tracts in comparison to the number and percentage of census tracts and the percentage of households and businesses in those tracts.

Geographic Distribution of Branches & ATMS

Assessment Area: FL Orlando

Tract Category	Branches				Stand Alone ATMs						Demographics				
	Total Branches		Open	Closed	Total ATMs		Full Service ATMs		Cash only ATMs		Census Tracts		House holds	Total Businesses	
	#	%			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%			
Low	0	0.0%	0	0	Total	1	0.9%	0	0.0%	1	1.7%	13	2.6%	1.5%	1.1%
DTO	0		0	0	SA	1		0		1					
Moderate	9	22.5%	0	2	Total	34	30.4%	12	22.6%	22	37.3%	128	25.4%	25.5%	22.0%
DTO	0		0	0	SA	34		12		22					
Middle	18	45.0%	0	3	Total	45	40.2%	21	39.6%	24	40.7%	213	42.3%	44.3%	40.0%
DTO	0		0	0	SA	45		21		24					
Upper	13	32.5%	0	3	Total	32	28.6%	20	37.7%	12	20.3%	147	29.2%	28.8%	36.9%
DTO	0		0	0	SA	32		20		12					
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0	Total	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
DTO	0		0	0	SA	0		0		0					
Total	40	100.0%	0	8	Total	112	100.0%	53	100.0%	59	100.0%	504	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
DTO	0		0	0	SA	112		53		59					

2016 FFIEC Census Data, 2010 ACS Data, and 2015 D&B Information

Closed branches/ATMs are only included in "closed" columns and are not included in any other totals.

DTO - Drive thru only is a subset of total branches

SA = Stand Alone ATM is a subset of total ATMs

Branch distribution within low-income tracts was considered poor, because of the distribution of branches was below the percentage of census tracts and households, plus no full-service ATMs in these tracts. The branch distribution within moderate-income tracts was considered good.

Community Development Services

Fifth Third provides a relatively high level of providing community development services in this assessment area. During the evaluation period, Fifth Third employees provided 2,920 hours of community development service to local organizations serving low- and moderate-income individuals, which represents 2.5% of all community development services provided and equates to 1.4 annualized persons (ANP).

Affordable Housing	Economic Development	Community Services
<i># of Hours</i>	<i># of Hours</i>	<i># of Hours</i>
266	375	2,279

Employees provided financial expertise through leadership positions in multiple community organizations that provide affordable housing and promote community and economic development. Community development services include 1,357 hours serving on boards and committees, 1,255 hours of providing financial literacy through local nonprofits and school programs, 284 hours providing technical assistance to non-profits and local business, and 24 hours participating in foreclosure prevention outreach.

Fifth Third is considered particularly responsive with regard to hours dedicated to financial literacy activities. Several community contacts mentioned the need for financial literacy training to assist individuals with the loan application process and provide technical assistance to small business owners.

METROPOLITAN AREA
(Full-scope Review)

**DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION'S OPERATIONS IN THE
TAMPA-ST. PETERSBURG-CLEARWATER FL MSA #45300**

The Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater FL MSA includes Hillsborough, Pasco, and Pinellas counties, but Fifth Third's assessment area excludes Hernando County. The assessment area is comprised of 33 low-, 177 moderate-, 278 middle-, and 201 upper-income tracts. There are also 12 tracts with no income designation that are primarily composed of correctional institutions, military establishments, education facilities, or medical establishments that do not report income information

As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third ranked sixth out of 61 institutions with 3.6% of the deposit market share. Raymond James Bank had the majority of the market share 19.4% of deposits, followed by Bank of America, Wells Fargo Bank, SunTrust Bank, and Regions Bank with 16.6%, 13.5%, 11.8%, and 6.7% of the market share, respectively. Deposits in this assessment area accounted for 2.5% of the Fifth Third's total deposits. This was the highest percentage of deposits within the state and the ninth highest within Fifth Third's CRA footprint.

From January 1, 2014 through June 30, 2016, Fifth Third originated 2,073 HMDA loans and 1,403 CRA loans, which represented 2.0% and 3.6% of the total loans originated during the evaluation period, respectively. This was the 12th largest HMDA market and eighth-largest CRA market for loans originated during the evaluation period.

In 2015, Fifth Third Mortgage Company ranked 25th among 897 HMDA reporters in the assessment area and Fifth Third ranked 129th. Wells Fargo Bank, Quicken Loans, JPMorgan Chase Bank, PennyMac Loan Services, and Bank of America were the top five HMDA lenders in the assessment area. Fifth Third ranked 16th of 145 CRA reporters in the assessment area in 2015. The top four CRA lenders in the assessment area were American Express, Bank of America, Wells Fargo Bank, and Capital One. These lenders are mostly issuers of credit cards and their CRA loans primarily consist of commercial credit card accounts.

Two community contact interviews were conducted to provide additional information regarding the assessment area. The first contact, representing a community development organization, stated the economic situation is improving in this area. There is an increased demand for small business lending and banks appear to be originating loans to small businesses. The contact stated area banks work closely with this organization and are aggressively looking for qualified small business owners. However, the contact indicated there is a need for specialized commercial loan products, which banks typically do not offer.

The second contact, representing an affordable housing organization, stated the Tampa housing market is improving, but foreclosure rates and unemployment rates remain high. The unemployment rate fell zero percentage points at the end of last year. The contact stated there is a need for more affordable housing, as lower-income individuals and families seeking affordable housing may be on waitlists for months.

Since the economic downturn, the contact stated it has been difficult for low- and moderate-income individuals to refinance or obtain loan modifications, because banks appear to be relying on pre-recession appraised values that are above current fair market values. The contact believed there are opportunities for banks to help meet the credit needs of this community by offering ongoing support instead of one-time donations, because these types of offerings do not have a sustainable, long-term impact on the community. Additionally, there is a need for financial institutions to offer financial education and explain ways to repair credit to lower-income individuals impacted by the economic downturn.

Population Characteristics

According to 2010 U.S. Census data, the population in the assessment area was 2.6 million. About 28.4% of the population lived in low- and moderate-income tracts. In addition, 78.7% of the population was 18 years of age or older, the legal age to enter into a contract.

As of July 1, 2015, the Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater MSA is the 18th largest in terms of population in the nation.¹⁰⁹ Hillsborough County is the largest county in the assessment area and the fourth-most populous county in Florida.¹¹⁰ Tampa (located in Hillsborough County) is the largest city in the assessment area and is the 53rd most populous city in the U.S. Tampa has 369,075 residents and its population growth increased by 21.3% between 2000 and 2015. The cities of St. Petersburg and Clearwater have 257,083 and 113,003 residents, respectively, with population growth around 3.2% between 2000 and 2015. In contrast, New Port Richey only has 15,842 residents.¹¹¹

The following table shows the population in the assessment area by county for 2010 and 2015, with the percentage of the population increase.¹¹² The population within the assessment area experienced positive growth between 2010 and 2015, with Hillsborough County experiencing the greatest growth and Pinellas County experiencing the least growth in population during this time period. According to Moody’s Analytics, domestic migration made up more than three-quarters of the growth and international migration accounted for nearly a quarter of the increase in 2015. This assessment area has a robust domestic and foreign in-migration, which contributes to an increasing demand for employment and housing.

County	2010 Population	2015 Population	Population Percent Change
Hillsborough	1,229,226	1,349,050	9.7%
Pasco	464,697	497,909	7.1%
Pinellas	916,542	949,827	3.6%
Total	2,610,465	2,796,786	7.1%

¹⁰⁹ MSA population data is derived from the U.S. Census Data 2015 Statistical Abstract: <https://factfinder.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productview.xhtml?src=bkmk>

¹¹⁰ U.S. Places: <http://us-places.com> (main page – enter state, choose population by county)

¹¹¹ U.S. Census QuickFacts: <https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/> (main page – enter state, county, city, town, or zip code)

¹¹² Population Estimates derived from U.S. Census Data (April 1, 2010 – July 1, 2015): <http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/table/PST045216/00>

Income Characteristics

In 2010, the MSA median family income was slightly greater (\$57,801) than Florida at \$57,204. The median family income in the MSA increased between 2014 and 2016; however, Moody's Analytics noted high living costs relative to per capita income. Moody's also noted full employment and wage gains in the next year, primarily as a result of a rising number of high-wage jobs being created in the area's fledgling high-tech industry. These gains should support growing retail and housing markets.

Borrower Income Levels Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater, FL MSA

FFIEC Estimated Median Family Income		Low	Moderate	Middle	Upper
		0 - 49.99%	50% - 79.99%	80% - 119.99%	120% - & above
2014	\$57,400	0 - \$28,699	\$28,700 - \$45,919	\$45,920 - \$68,879	\$68,880 - & above
2015	\$59,000	0 - \$29,499	\$29,500 - \$47,199	\$47,200 - \$70,799	\$70,800 - & above
2016	\$59,200	0 - \$29,599	\$29,600 - \$47,359	\$47,360 - \$71,039	\$71,040 - & above

Poverty rates increased in each county in the assessment area from 1999 to 2015.¹¹³ Pinellas County had the lowest poverty rate in 1999 and 2015 and Hillsborough County had the highest; however, Pasco County experienced the largest increase in poverty rate during the evaluation period. In 2015, Pasco and Pinellas counties had poverty rates below Florida's. The poverty rates for Hillsborough County and Florida were the same and exceeded the national poverty rates in 1999 and 2015. According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the national poverty rate in 2015 was 13.5%, down 1.3 percentage points from 14.8% in 2014. For most demographic groups, the 2015 poverty rates and number of people in poverty decreased from 2014.¹¹⁴ The following table shows the poverty rates for 1999¹¹⁵ and 2015.

County	1999 Poverty Rate	2015 Poverty Rate	Change
Hillsborough	12.5%	15.8%	26.4%
Pasco	10.7%	14.6%	36.4%
Pinellas	10.0%	13.6%	36.0%
Florida	12.5%	15.8%	26.4%
U.S.	11.8%	13.5%	14.4%

¹¹³ U.S. Department of Agriculture Economic Research Service Poverty Rates (for 1999 and 2015): <https://data.ers.usda.gov/reports.aspx?ID=17826>

¹¹⁴ 2015 National Poverty: <http://www.census.gov/library/publications/2016/demo/p60-256.html>

¹¹⁵ 1999 National Poverty Rate: <http://www.census.gov/prod/2000pubs/p60-210.pdf>

Housing Characteristics

According to 2010 U.S. Census data, there are 1.3 million housing units and 649,472 families in the assessment area. From an income perspective, 29.1% of housing units, 23.3% of owner-occupied units, and 26.7% of families are located in low- or moderate-income tracts. Over two-thirds of the housing units in the low-income census tracts are either rental or vacant (68.7%). In the moderate-income census tracts, over half of the housing units are either rental or vacant (51.7%) and 48.3% are owner-occupied. Therefore, based on the number of housing units compared to the number of families in low- and moderate-income census tracts, there appear to be credit-related opportunities for Fifth Third to provide various aspects of affordable housing in the assessment area.

The 2010 U.S. Census data shows the median age of housing stock in the assessment area was only 30 years old and only 5.3% of the stock was built before 1950. However, within the assessment area, the median age of housing stock was 39 years in low-income tracts and 35 years in moderate-income tracts. Therefore, there appears to be opportunity for Fifth Third to provide home improvement and rehabilitation loans in these lower-income areas.

According to 2010 U.S. Census data, the median housing value in the assessment area was \$185,296 with an affordability ratio of 25.24. The affordability ratio is derived by dividing the median household income by the median housing value. The higher the affordability ratio, the more affordable a home is considered. Median housing values decreased between 2010 and 2011-2015 and median family incomes increased; as a result, housing became more affordable across the assessment area. During the evaluation period, the most affordable housing was in Pasco County and the least affordable was in Pinellas County. A community contact stated it has been difficult for low- and moderate-income individuals to refinance or obtain loan modifications, because banks appear to be relying on pre-recession appraised values that are above current fair market values. Moody's Analytics noted that area house prices have slowed to the weakest six-month growth rate in more than a year. Median gross rents increased across the assessment area, with renters in Pasco County experiencing the largest increase in rental rates and renters in Pinellas County experiencing the smallest increase in gross rental rates. In 2010, about 53.0% of renters across the assessment area had rent costs greater than 30.0% of income. Increasing rental rates may make it more difficult for potential homebuyers to save for a down payment for a home. The table below presents housing characteristics from the U.S. Census data between 2010 and 2015 in the assessment area and Florida.

County	2010 Median Housing Value	2010 Affordability Ratio	2011-2015 Median Housing Value	2011-2015 Affordability Ratio	2010 Median Gross Rent	2011-2015 Median Gross Rent	Percent of Change
Hillsborough	\$198,900	24.90	\$159,200	31.77	\$906	\$965	6.5%
Pasco	\$157,400	28.10	\$117,800	38.25	\$865	\$930	7.5%
Pinellas	\$185,700	24.37	\$150,200	30.51	\$904	\$952	5.3%
Florida	\$205,600	23.18	\$159,000	29.88	\$957	\$1,002	4.7%

According to Bankrate.com,¹¹⁶ Florida ranked 6th for foreclosure filings in November 2016. The national average for foreclosure filings was one in every 1,533 housing units. The following table contains information about foreclosure filings in the assessment area, according to Realtytrac:¹¹⁷

Geography Name	Ratio of Properties Receiving Foreclosure Filings in November 2016
Hillsborough	1:857
Pasco	1:694
Pinellas	1:926
Florida	1:986
U.S.	1:1,533

In November 2016, all three counties in this assessment area had higher rates of foreclosure than Florida. A community contact mentioned the continuing high rates of foreclosure in this area.

Building permits for this MSA, Florida, and the nation are included in the following table for 2014, 2015, and 2016.¹¹⁸

Geography	2014	2015	Percent of Change 2014-2015	2016	Percent of Change 2015-2016
Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater MSA	12,386	15,653	26.4%	17,180	9.8%
Florida	84,084	109,924	30.7%	113,912	3.6%
U.S.	1,052,124	1,182,582	12.4%	1,190,191	0.6%

The assessment area experienced increases in the number of housing permits issued between 2014 and 2015 and to a lesser extent between 2015 and 2016. The increase in the number of permits could indicate there is an increasing demand for home purchase loans in this area.

Labor, Employment, and Economic Characteristics

According to Moody’s Analytics, the Tampa metropolitan area economy is in recovery, primarily due to the increase in professional, scientific, and technical services over the next five years. The Tampa metropolitan area is the financial services capital of Florida and has grown into the hub for professional and management services in the state.

¹¹⁶ Bankrate.com: <http://www.bankrate.com/finance/real-estate/foreclosures-by-state/default.aspx>

¹¹⁷ Realtytrac: <http://www.realtytrac.com/statsandtrends/foreclosuretrends/>

¹¹⁸ U.S. Census Bureau Building Permits Survey: <http://www.census.gov/construction/bps/>

According to *Tampa Bay Times*,¹¹⁹ the Tampa Bay area is home to four Fortune 500 companies in 2016.


Tampa Bay Fortune 500 Companies (2016)		
Rank	Company	Annual Revenue
108	Tech Data Corp	\$26.0 billion
158	Jabil Circuit Inc.	\$17.9 billion
202	WellCare Health Plans	\$13.9 billion
482	Raymond James Financial	\$5.5 billion

According to Moody's Analytics the top 15 employers in the MSA in 2015/2016 were:

Company	Number of Employees
MacDill Air Force Base	19,000
Verizon Communications	14,000
University of South Florida	12,661
Tampa International Airport	7,060
Tampa General Hospital	6,600
Shriners Hospital for Children	5,378
St. Joseph's Hospital	5,242
JP Morgan Chase & Co.	5,237
Freedom Village	5,000
Publix Super Markets	4,630
TECO Energy Company	4,290
James A. Haley Veterans Hospital	4,240
Bay Pines VA Healthcare Center	3,800
Bank of America Corp.	3,754
Outback Steakhouse Corp.	3,625

The following table illustrates the average unemployment rates for 2014, 2015, and 2016 across the MSA and Florida.

¹¹⁹ Tampa Bay Times: <http://www.tampabay.com/news/business/corporate/st-petersburgs-raymond-james-financial-cracks-fortune-500-rankings/2280477>



The unemployment rates declined each year in the assessment area and Florida, with Pasco County having the highest unemployment rates during this time period. A community contact stated the unemployment rate in this area remains high and that the unemployment rate fell zero percentage points at the end of last year. According to Moody’s Analytics, unemployed workers are discouraged from entering a labor market that has not seen a reduction in the joblessness rate in last six months of last year, and employed workers have seen no wage increased during this time.

According to *Tampa Bay Times*, in 2016 regional layoffs under the Worker Adjustment & Retraining Notification (WARN) rules fell sharply (a 34.0% decrease from reported layoffs in 2015); this is the first significant drop in mass area layoffs in the past five years. In 2016, the most significant reported job cuts under WARN rules was announced by Ohio-based customer management firm, Convergys Corp, which eliminated 375 jobs at a call center located in Tampa Bay. In 2015, the biggest WARN cuts were by Tampa International Airport concessionaire HMS Host, which eliminated nearly 796 jobs. In 2014, the closing of an Express Scripts facility in Tampa resulted in the loss of 422 jobs.¹²⁰

¹²⁰ Trigauz, Robert. “Mass layoffs in 2016 by Tampa Bay firms drop sharply after years of heavy job cuts.” *Tampa Bay Times*. January 6, 2017. - <http://www.tampabay.com/news/business/mass-layoffs-in-2016-by-tampa-bay-firms-drop-sharply-after-years-of-heavy/2308730>

Combined Demographics Report

Assessment Area: FL Tampa

Income Categories	Tract Distribution		Families by Tract Income		Families < Poverty Level as % of Families by Tract		Families by Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	33	4.7	21,504	3.3	7,795	36.2	133,528	20.6
Moderate-income	177	25.2	152,220	23.4	24,041	15.8	118,163	18.2
Middle-income	278	39.7	262,465	40.4	21,253	8.1	127,303	19.6
Upper-income	201	28.7	213,273	32.8	8,432	4	270,478	41.6
Unknown-income	12	1.7	10	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	701	100.0	649,472	100.0	61,521	9.5	649,472	100.0
	Housing	Housing Types by Tract						
	Units by Tract	Owner-Occupied			Rental		Vacant	
		#	%	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	44,609	13,946	1.9	31.3	22,922	51.4	7,741	17.4
Moderate-income	320,424	154,755	21.4	48.3	107,359	33.5	58,310	18.2
Middle-income	511,840	304,948	42.1	59.6	129,985	25.4	76,907	15
Upper-income	376,600	250,180	34.6	66.4	68,761	18.3	57,659	15.3
Unknown-income	53	0	0	0	53	100	0	0
Total Assessment Area	1,253,526	723,829	100.0	57.7	329,080	26.3	200,617	16.0
	Total Businesses by Tract	Businesses by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	5,249	2.8	4,727	2.7	508	5.2	14	5.4
Moderate-income	37,860	20.5	35,252	20.2	2,546	26	62	24
Middle-income	71,142	38.5	67,055	38.4	3,989	40.8	98	38
Upper-income	70,343	38.1	67,563	38.7	2,697	27.6	83	32.2
Unknown-income	196	0.1	155	0.1	40	0.4	1	0.4
Total Assessment Area	184,790	100.0	174,752	100.0	9,780	100.0	258	100.0
	Percentage of Total Businesses:			94.6		5.3		.1
	Total Farms by Tract	Farms by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	28	2.2	27	2.2	1	1.7	0	0
Moderate-income	260	20.1	248	20.1	12	20	0	0
Middle-income	536	41.4	511	41.5	23	38.3	2	66.7
Upper-income	470	36.3	445	36.1	24	40	1	33.3
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	1,294	100.0	1,231	100.0	60	100.0	3	100.0
	Percentage of Total Farms:			95.1		4.6		.2

**CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN THE
TAMPA-ST. PETERSBURG-CLEARWATER FL MSA**

Lending Test

Fifth Third’s performance under the lending test in this assessment area is rated excellent. Fifth Third has demonstrated an excellent responsiveness to the credit needs of the community. In addition, Fifth Third originated 18 community development loans totaling \$130.5 million. Fifth Third has an excellent geographic distribution of loans and a low level of lending gaps. Fifth Third has an excellent distribution among borrowers of different income levels and a good distribution of loans to businesses of different revenue sizes. Fifth Third exhibits a good record of serving the credit needs of highly economically disadvantaged areas in its assessment area, low-income individuals, and businesses with gross annual revenues of \$1 million or less. The excellent level of community development loans and use of flexible lending practices augmented Fifth Third’s performance in this assessment area.

Greatest consideration was given to the evaluation of small business lending based on the overall volume of lending, followed by home purchase, refinance, and home improvement lending. Details of Fifth Third’s residential mortgage and small business lending, as well as information regarding lending by peers, can be found in Appendix E.

Lending Activity

Fifth Third’s lending activity reflects a good responsiveness to the credit needs within the assessment area. Fifth Third originated 1,403 small business, 1,358 home purchase, 620 refinance, 95 home improvement, and 18 community development loans during the evaluation period. The percentage of Fifth Third’s total lending at 2.4% is comparable to the percentage of total deposits at 2.5% in this area.

Fifth Third made 95.4% of the HMDA and 98.9% of the CRA lending within its designated assessment area. However, a concentration of HMDA lending was identified in Hernando County, which is excluded from the assessment area. During the evaluation period, Fifth Third originated 99 loans in Hernando County, which has 14 low- and moderate-income tracts.

In addition to lending, Fifth Third modified existing loans to borrowers. The following table shows the distribution of HAMP and other real-estate secured modifications within the assessment area by census tract income and by borrower income.

	Distribution by Census Tract Income							
	Low		Mod		Middle		Upper	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Other Real Estate Secured Modifications	1	1.1%	16	17.6%	40	44.0%	34	37.4%
<i>Percentage of Owner Occupied Units</i>		<i>1.9%</i>		<i>21.4%</i>		<i>42.1%</i>		<i>34.6%</i>

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

	Distribution by Borrower Income							
	Low		Mod		Middle		Upper	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Other Real Estate Secured Modifications	13	14.3%	28	30.8%	19	20.9%	30	33.0%
Percentage of Families by Family Income		20.6%		18.2%		19.6%		41.6%

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

There were not enough HAMP modifications in this assessment area for a meaningful analysis. The percentage of other modifications in low- and moderate-income tracts was comparable to the percentage of owner occupied units; therefore, modifications helped to expand lending activities in these areas.

The percentage of other modifications made to low-income borrowers was below the percentage of low-income families in the assessment and the percentage of other modifications to moderate-income borrowers was substantially higher than the percentage of moderate-income families. Therefore, modifications enhanced Fifth Third’s ability to reach low- and moderate-income borrowers. In addition, a community contact indicated the need for loan modifications within this assessment area.

Geographic Distribution of Loans

Fifth Third’s overall distribution of lending among geographies is excellent. Small business, the largest loan category, and home purchase are excellent. Refinance and home improvement are good. There is also a low level of lending gaps. The following gaps in lending were noted in the assessment area:

Tract Income Levels	Number of Tracts	Tracts with No Loans	Penetration
Low	33	6	81.8%
Moderate	177	23	87.0%
Middle	278	27	90.3%
Upper	201	6	97.0%
Unknown	12	12	0.0%
Total	701	74	89.4%

Lending gaps are considered minimal, due to the limited number of lending gaps in low- and moderate- income tracts and low amount of lending gaps in middle-, and upper-income tracts. The owner-occupancy rates in low- and moderate-income tracts are relatively low at 31.3% and 48.3%, respectively, which likely impacts the demand for loans in these areas.

Small Business Loans

Fifth Third made 47 small business loans totaling \$5.3 million in low-income tracts. This represents 3.3% of small business loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 2.7%, and 4.8% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 3.2% and was comparable to the 2015 aggregate of 3.3%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded proxy and was comparable to the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of small business loans in low-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 313 small business loans totaling \$30.8 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 22.3% of small business loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 20.2%. This also represents 28.2% small business loans by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 19.7% and was comparable to the 2015 aggregate of 19.0%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of small business loans in moderate-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 488 small business loans totaling \$44.2 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 34.8% of small business loans by volume, which is slightly below the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 38.4%, and 40.5% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 37.2% and was comparable to the 2015 aggregate of 37.9%.

Fifth Third made 555 small business loans totaling \$28.9 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 39.6% of small business loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 38.7%, and 26.5% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate of 38.7% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 38.8%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of small business loans is excellent.

Home Purchase Loans

Fifth Third made 30 home purchase loans totaling \$3.4 million in low-income tracts. This represents 2.2% of home purchase loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 1.9%, and 1.3% by dollar amount, which is comparable to the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate at 1.0% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate at 1.2%. As Fifth Third's performance exceeded proxy and the aggregate of all lenders in these geographies, the geographic distribution in low-income tracts is excellent. Further, the owner-occupancy rate in low-income tracts is relatively low; therefore, Fifth Third's ability to penetrate these geographies is notable.

Fifth Third made 306 home purchase loans totaling \$35.9 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 22.5% of its home purchase loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 21.4%, and 14.0% by dollar amount, which was below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate at 13.5% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate at 14.3%. As Fifth Third's performance exceeded proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution in moderate-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 478 home purchase loans totaling \$73.5 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 35.2% of home purchase loans by volume, which is below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 42.1%, and 28.6% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below to the 2014 aggregate of 41.3% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 41.0%.

Fifth Third made 544 home purchase loans totaling \$143.9 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 40.1% of home purchase loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 34.6%, and 59.1% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 44.2% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 43.5%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of home purchase loans is excellent.

Refinance Loans

Fifth Third made 14 refinance loans totaling \$1.2 million in low-income tracts. This represents 2.3% of refinance loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units at 1.9%, and 1.1% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate at 1.4% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate at 1.1%. As Fifth Third's performance exceeded proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of refinance loans in low-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 123 refinance loans totaling \$12.4 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 19.8% of refinance loans by volume, which is below the owner-occupied units in these tracts at 21.4%. Also, the percentage of loans by dollar amount at 11.5% is significantly below proxy. However, the percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate at 13.5% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate at 12.6%. As Fifth Third's performance significantly exceeded the aggregate of all lenders, but was below proxy, the geographic distribution of refinance loans in moderate-income tracts is good.

Fifth Third made 232 refinance loans totaling \$30.2 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 37.4% of refinance loans by volume, which is below the owner-occupied units in these tracts at 42.1%. Refinance loans by dollar amount (28.1%) was also below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate at 40.2% and was comparable to the 2015 aggregate at 39.6%.

Fifth Third made 251 refinance loans totaling \$63.9 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 40.5% of refinance loans by volume, which exceeds the owner-occupied units in these tracts at 34.6%. The percentage of loans by dollar amount at 59.3% also exceeded proxy. However, the percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate at 44.9% and was below the 2015 aggregate at 46.6%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of refinance loans is good.

Home Improvement Loans

There was no lending by Fifth Third in low-income tracts. The percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts is 1.9%. The percentage of loans by volume for the 2014 aggregate is 1.4% and the 2015 aggregate is 1.9%. A community contact stated it has been difficult for low- and moderate-income individuals to obtain financing after the economic downturn due to inflated real estate values. Given the low amount of owner-occupied units and low aggregate lending in these tracts, the geographic distribution is considered adequate.

Fifth Third made 20 home improvement loans totaling \$1.3 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 21.1% of home improvement loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 21.4%, and 16.8% by dollar amount, which is less than the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 19.0% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 17.7%. Given that Fifth Third's performance was comparable to proxy and exceeded the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of home improvement loans in moderate-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 38 home improvement loans totaling \$2.9 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 40.0% of home improvement loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 42.1%, and 37.3% by dollar amount, which is less than the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 39.9% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 40.4%.

Fifth Third made 37 home improvement loans totaling \$3.5 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 38.9% of home improvements loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 34.6%, and 45.9% by dollar amount, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate of 39.8% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 40.1%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of home improvement loans is good. While Fifth Third did not make any home improvement loans in low-income tracts, performance is excellent in moderate-income tracts.

Distribution by Borrower Income and Revenue Size of the Business

The distribution of loans is excellent based on borrower income and good for businesses of different revenue sizes. Borrower distribution is excellent for all three HMDA loan categories.

Small Business Loans

The distribution of small business loans to businesses of different sizes is good, considering Fifth Third's performance relative to the aggregate of all lenders. Fifth Third was able to make 58.0% of small business loans to businesses with revenues of \$1 million or less. Fifth Third's performance in 2014 exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 48.2% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 54.7%, but was significantly below the percentage of small businesses in the assessment area at 94.6%. Also, during the evaluation period, Fifth Third was able to make a relatively high percentage of small-dollar loans (84.3%) up to \$100,000, indicating a willingness to lend in smaller amounts typically requested by small businesses. In addition, a community contact indicated the need to make loans to small businesses.

Home Purchase Loans

Fifth Third made 219 loans totaling \$18.9 million to low-income borrowers. This represents 16.1% of home purchase loans by volume, which is below the percentage of low-income families at 20.6%, and 7.4% of loans by dollar amount, which is significantly below proxy. However the percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 4.0% and significantly exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 4.1%. Because Fifth Third's performance significantly exceeded the aggregate of all lenders, the borrower distribution of home purchase loans to low-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 226 loans totaling \$27.5 million to moderate-income borrowers. This represents 16.6% of home purchase loans by volume, which is below the percentage of moderate-income families at 18.2%, and 10.7% of loans by dollar amount, which is significantly below proxy. However, the percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate of 14.5% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 15.7%. Given that Fifth Third's performance was slightly below proxy and significantly exceeded the aggregate of all lenders, the borrower distribution of home purchase loans to moderate-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 251 loans totaling \$36.5 million to middle-income borrowers. This represents 18.5% of home purchase loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of middle-income families at 19.6%, and 14.2% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 19.7% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 19.4%.

Fifth Third made 604 loans totaling \$161.8 million to upper-income borrowers. This represents 44.5% of home purchase loans by volume, which is greater than the percentage of upper-income families at 41.6%, and 63.0% of loans by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 46.4% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 44.1%.

A community contact indicated this area is still impacted by high unemployment, which could be a factor impacting the ability of lower-income individuals to purchase homes. With Fifth Third's strong performance in home purchase lending to low- and moderate-income borrowers, the overall borrower distribution is excellent.

Refinance Loans

Fifth Third made 113 loans totaling \$9.0 million to low-income borrowers. This represents 18.2% of refinance loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of low-income families at 20.6%. The percentage of loans by dollar amount in these geographies at 8.4% is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 6.0% and significantly exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 4.7%. Given that Fifth Third's performance significantly exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and was comparable to proxy, the borrower distribution of refinance loans to low-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 112 loans totaling \$10.6 million to moderate-income borrowers. This represents 18.1% of refinance loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of moderate-income families at 18.2%, and 9.8% by dollar volume, which is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 11.3% and significantly exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 10.8%. As Fifth Third's performance was comparable to proxy and significantly exceeded the aggregate of all lenders, the borrower distribution of refinance loans to moderate-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 107 loans totaling \$14.1 million to middle-income borrowers. This represents 17.3% of refinance loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of middle-income families at 19.6%, and 13.1% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate of 17.8% and was comparable to the 2015 aggregate of 16.2%.

Fifth Third made 246 loans totaling \$65.3 million to upper-income borrowers. This represents 39.7% of refinance loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of upper-income families at 41.6%, while the percentage of loans by dollar amount at 60.6% significantly exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 43.4% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 42.7%.

A community contact indicated it has been difficult for low- and moderate-income borrowers to refinance. With Fifth Third's excellent performance in refinance lending to low- and moderate-income borrowers, the overall borrower distribution of refinance loans is excellent.

Home Improvement Loans

Fifth Third made 18 loans totaling \$1.1 million to low-income borrowers. This represents 18.9% of home improvement loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of low-income families at 20.6%, and 14.7% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate of 8.9% and significantly exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 7.5%.

Given that Fifth Third’s performance significantly exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and was comparable to proxy, the borrower distribution of home improvement loans to low-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 20 loans totaling \$1.3 million to moderate-income borrowers. This represents 21.1% of its home improvement loans by volume, which exceeded the percentage of moderate-income families at 18.2%, and is comparable to the dollar amount at 17.3%. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 16.4% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 16.1%. Given that Fifth Third’s performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and proxy, the borrower distribution of home improvement loans to moderate-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 23 loans totaling \$2.0 million to middle-income borrowers. This represents 24.2% of home improvement loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of middle-income families at 19.6%, and is comparable by dollar amount at 26.0%. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 19.5% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 19.5%.

Fifth Third made 33 loans totaling \$3.1 million to upper-income borrowers. This represents 34.7% of home improvement loans by volume, which was below the percentage of upper-income families at 41.6%, and 40.2% by dollar amount, which was also below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 50.4% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 52.1%.

The overall borrower distribution of home improvement loans is excellent.

Community Development Loans

Fifth Third originated 18 community development loans totaling \$130.5 million during the evaluation period as shown in the table below:

Economic Development		Revitalization and Stabilization		Community Services	
#	\$	#	\$	#	\$
2	15,500,000	11	108,354,952	5	6,652,644

Community development lending in the assessment area represents 2.2% of the total dollar volume of community development loans originated by Fifth Third during the evaluation period. This ranks as Fifth Third’s 14th highest percentage of community development lending during the evaluation period.

Given Fifth Third’s deposit market share (3.6%) and the presence of several large financial institutions in the market and competition for community development loans, Fifth Third is a leader in community development lending in this assessment area.

Examples of community development lending include, but are not limited to:

- Working capital loan that promotes economic development by financing a business so it can expand employment by being able to add five new jobs
- Construction loan to help build a domestic violence shelter offering a multitude of services to low- and moderate-income women and children who have experienced various forms of abuse
- Renewal of a line of credit to help rehabilitate a property located in a designated Brownfield area for commercial purposes
- Working capital loan that supports a nonprofit that provides a multitude of services to low- and moderate-income children and families
- Working capital loans that support a nonprofit that helps low- and moderate-income students successfully enter colleges and universities or the workforce through a variety of programs
- Working capital loan that supports a nonprofit that provides a multitude of healthcare services to low- and moderate-income senior citizens

The economic development loans that help to expand employment is deemed to be responsive, as a community contact indicated there is a need for small businesses to be able to obtain loans. However, there were also no community development loans supporting affordable housing which is an expressed need in this assessment area. Loans supporting services to low- and moderate income individuals are also responsive due to the area's higher poverty rates and unemployment rates.

Flexible Lending Programs

Fifth Third had 373 flexible lending loans in this assessment area: 278 government loans, 17 down payment assistance loans, and 78 were other flexible lending programs. The following tables show the percentage by volume and by dollar amount of the three types of flexible lending programs made in this assessment area during the evaluation period and the distribution of Fifth Third's flexible lending programs within the assessment area by census tract income and by borrower income.

Flexible Loan Programs												
	Geographic Distribution											
	Low			Moderate			Middle			Upper		
	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units
Government Loan Programs	1.4%	0.9%	1.9%	20.9%	14.7%	21.4%	40.3%	39.1%	42.1%	37.4%	45.3%	34.6%
Down Payment Assistance Programs	23.5%	21.4%	1.9%	17.6%	12.1%	21.4%	35.3%	35.2%	42.1%	23.5%	31.3%	34.6%
Other Flexible Lending Programs	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%	16.7%	10.5%	21.4%	48.7%	41.0%	42.1%	34.6%	48.5%	34.6%
Total	2.1%	1.2%	1.9%	19.8%	13.8%	21.4%	41.8%	39.4%	42.1%	36.2%	45.6%	34.6%

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

Flexible Loan Programs												
	Borrower Distribution											
	Low			Moderate			Middle			Upper		
	% - #	% - \$	% Fam	% - #	% - \$	% Fam	% - #	% - \$	% Fam	% - #	% - \$	% Fam
Government Loan Programs	7.9%	4.2%	20.6%	22.7%	17.5%	18.2%	30.9%	29.4%	19.6%	37.1%	47.5%	41.6%
Down Payment Assistance Programs	52.9%	48.1%	20.6%	23.5%	26.3%	18.2%	11.8%	17.4%	19.6%	11.8%	8.1%	41.6%
Other Flexible Lending Programs	10.3%	5.8%	20.6%	15.4%	11.4%	18.2%	14.1%	12.4%	19.6%	56.4%	67.3%	41.6%
Total	10.5%	5.7%	20.6%	21.2%	16.4%	18.2%	26.5%	25.5%	19.6%	39.9%	50.6%	41.6%

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

Fifth Third’s lending in low-income tracts by number exceeded the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts, especially for the various down payment assistance programs, while the percentage by dollar was below proxy. The percentage of lending by volume in moderate-income tracts was slightly less than the percentage of owner-occupied units. The percentage by dollar was also below proxy.

Fifth Third’s lending by volume to low-income borrowers was below the percentage of low-income families in the assessment area; however, various down payment assistance programs substantially exceeded proxy. Lending by dollar amount was significantly below the percentage of low-income families in the assessment area. The percentage of lending by volume to moderate-income borrowers exceeded the percentage of moderate-income families and lending by dollar amount was below proxy.

Fifth Third made use of flexible lending practices in serving assessment area credit needs, as lending through flexible loan programs to moderate-income borrowers and in low-income tracts was excellent, while lending in moderate-income tracts was good. Lending to low-income borrowers was adequate.

Investment Test

Fifth Third’s performance relative to the investment test in the assessment area is rated excellent.

Fifth Third made an excellent level of qualified community development investments and grants, particularly those not routinely provided by private investors. As such, Fifth Third was often in a leadership position. Fifth Third has 95 qualified investments totaling \$68.7 million during the evaluation period. Shown in the table below are the total current period investments:

Affordable Housing		Economic Development		Revitalization and Stabilization		Community Services	
#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$
23	43,017,424	17	119,495	5	38,000	45	262,305

Also included in the total number of investments are five prior period investments totaling \$25.3 million. Fifth Third made 4.2% of its total community development investments in this assessment area, which is greater than the percentage of total deposits at 2.5% and greater than the percentage of branch offices at 3.3%.

Fifth Third exhibits an excellent responsiveness to credit and community development needs in the assessment area, including investments in several affordable housing projects throughout the assessment area, which was an important need expressed by a community contact. Fifth Third made 73 donations totaling \$429,180 that supported charitable organizations, local schools, small businesses, food banks, churches, and affordable housing. The majority (61.0%) of Fifth Third’s donations supported services to low- and moderate-income individuals. Due to high poverty rates and high unemployment, there is a need in the area to support services targeted to low- and moderate-income individuals.

Service Test

Fifth Third’s performance under the service test in this assessment area is rated excellent. Retail services are accessible and Fifth Third is a leader in providing community development services.

Retail Services

Fifth Third’s record of opening and closing offices has not adversely affected the accessibility of its delivery systems, particularly in low- and moderate-income geographies and to low- and moderate-income households. Since the previous evaluation, one banking center was closed and none were opened, resulting in no net change in the number of banking centers in low- and moderate-income tracts. Delivery services are accessible to Fifth Third’s geographies and individuals of different income levels.

Business hours and services provided do not vary in a way that inconveniences certain portions of the assessment area, including low- and moderate-income geographies or households and are consistent with the services and hours discussed in the “Institution” assessment.

Fifth Third maintains 43 banking centers within this assessment area, including one in low-, 12 in moderate-, 10 in middle-, and 20 in upper-income census tracts. Fifth Third’s banking centers in this assessment area represent 27.2% of its banking centers in Florida and 3.3% of all its banking centers.

Fifth Third has a total of 43 full-service ATMs within this assessment area, including two in low-, 10 in moderate-, 11 in middle-, and 20 in upper-income census tracts.

The following table illustrates the percentage of banking centers and ATMs in low-, moderate-, middle-, and upper-income census tracts in comparison to the number and percentage of census tracts and the percentage of households and businesses in those tracts.

Geographic Distribution of Branches & ATMS

Assessment Area: FL Tampa

Tract Category	Branches				Stand Alone ATMs						Demographics				
	Total Branches		Open	Closed	Total ATMs		Full Service ATMs		Cash only ATMs		Census Tracts		House holds	Total Businesses	
	#	%			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%			
Low	1	2.3%	0	0	Total	2	2.7%	2	4.7%	0	0.0%	33	4.7%	3.5%	2.8%
DTO	0		0	0	SA	2		2		0					
Moderate	12	27.9%	0	0	Total	21	28.4%	10	23.3%	11	35.5%	177	25.2%	24.9%	20.3%
DTO	0		0	0	SA	21		10		11					
Middle	10	23.3%	0	1	Total	26	35.1%	11	25.6%	15	48.4%	278	39.7%	41.3%	38.3%
DTO	0		0	0	SA	26		11		15					
Upper	20	46.5%	0	0	Total	23	31.1%	20	46.5%	3	9.7%	201	28.7%	30.3%	38.5%
DTO	0		0	0	SA	23		20		3					
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0	Total	2	2.7%	0	0.0%	2	6.5%	12	1.7%	0.0%	0.1%
DTO	0		0	0	SA	2		0		2					
Total	43	100.0%	0	1	Total	74	100.0%	43	100.0%	31	100.0%	701	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
DTO	0		0	0	SA	74		43		31					

2016 FFIEC Census Data, 2010 ACS Data, and 2015 D&B Information

Closed branches/ATMs are only included in "closed" columns and are not included in any other totals.

DTO - Drive thru only is a subset of total branches

SA = Stand Alone ATM is a subset of total ATMs

Branch distribution within low-income tracts was considered adequate, because the distribution of branches was below the percentage of census tracts and households in these tracts. However, the branch distribution within moderate-income tracts was considered excellent.

Community Development Services

Fifth Third is a leader in providing community development services in this assessment area. During the evaluation period, Fifth Third employees provided 2,534 hours of community development service to local organizations serving low- and moderate-income individuals, which represents 2.1% of all community development services provided and equates to 1.22 annualized persons (ANP).

Affordable Housing	Economic Development	Revitalization and Stabilization	Community Services
<i># of Hours</i>	<i># of Hours</i>	<i># of Hours</i>	<i># of Hours</i>
194	897	6	1,437

Employees provided financial expertise through leadership positions in multiple community organizations that provide affordable housing and promote community and economic development and area revitalization and stabilization.

Community development services include 1,307 hours serving on boards and committees, 1,081 hours of providing financial literacy through local nonprofits and school programs, 130 hours providing technical assistance to non-profits and local business, and 16 hours participating in foreclosure prevention outreach.

Fifth Third is considered particularly responsive with regard to hours dedicated to financial literacy, foreclosure outreach prevention, and affordable housing activities. A community contact mentioned the need for more affordable housing and financial literacy training to provide ways for low- and moderate-income individuals to repair their credit. Also, the rate of foreclosures remains significantly higher in multiple counties throughout this assessment area compared to the national foreclosure rate.

METROPOLITAN AREAS
(Limited-scope Review)

**DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION'S OPERATIONS IN
THE STATE OF FLORIDA**

- **Cape Coral-Fort Myers MSA**
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third operated 18 branches in the assessment area, representing 11.4% of its branches in Florida.
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third had \$1.2 million in deposits in this assessment area, representing a market share of 7.6% and 11.0% of its statewide deposits.
- **Jacksonville MSA**
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third operated 11 branches in the assessment area, representing 7.0% of its branches in Florida.
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third had \$477,311 in deposits in this assessment area, representing a market share of 0.8% and 4.6% of it statewide deposits.
- **Lakeland MSA**
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third operated four branches in the assessment area, representing 2.5% of its branches in Florida.
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third had \$82,027 in deposits in this assessment area, representing a market share of 1.3% and 0.8% of it statewide deposits.
- **Miami-Fort Lauderdale-West Palm Beach MSA**
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third operated seven branches in the assessment area, representing 4.4% of its branches in Florida.
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third had \$680,091 in deposits in this assessment area, representing a market share of 0.3% and 6.6% of it statewide deposits.
- **Naples-Immokalee-Marco Island MSA**
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third operated 17 branches in the assessment area, representing 10.8% of its branches in Florida.
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third had \$2.5 million in deposits in this assessment area, representing a market share of 16.0% and 23.7% of it statewide deposits.
- **North Port-Sarasota CSA**
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third operated 18 branches in the assessment area, representing 11.4% of its branches in Florida.
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third had \$905,725 in deposits in this assessment area, representing a market share of 4.0% and 8.7% of it statewide deposits.

**CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN
THE STATE OF FLORIDA**

Through the use of available facts and data, including performance and demographic information, each assessment area's performance was evaluated and compared with Fifth Third's performance in the state. The conclusions regarding performance are provided in the table below. Please refer to the tables in Appendix F for information regarding these areas.

Assessment Area	Lending Test	Investment Test	Service Test
Cape Coral-Fort Myers MSA	Below	Below	Consistent
Jacksonville MSA	Below	Consistent	Consistent
Lakeland MSA	Below	Consistent	Consistent
Miami-Fort Lauderdale-West Palm Beach MSA	Below	Consistent	Consistent
Naples-Immokalee-Marco Island MSA	Below	Below	Below
North Port-Sarasota CSA	Below	Below	Consistent

For the lending test, Fifth Third received an “Outstanding” rating in Florida. Performance in all six of the limited-scope assessment areas was below Fifth Third’s performance for the state. Although below the state performance, lending levels were good or adequate for the geographic and borrower distribution of loans. There was an excellent level of community development loans in the Miami-Fort Lauderdale-West Palm Beach assessment area and a good level of community development loans in the North Port-Sarasota assessment area. There were adequate levels of community development loans in the remaining four limited-scope assessment areas. A high level of lending gaps was identified in the Miami-Fort Lauderdale-West Palm Beach assessment area and moderate gaps in lending identified in the Jacksonville and Lakeland assessment areas. Overall, a low level of lending gaps was noted in the remaining three assessment areas.

For the investment test, Fifth Third received an “Outstanding” rating in Florida. While the investment activity was consistent to the state in three of the six limited-scope assessment areas, the performance in the Cape Coral-Fort Myers, Naples-Immokalee-Marco Island, and North Port-Sarasota assessment area was below Fifth Third’s performance for the state. Although below the state performance, investment activity was good in the North Port-Sarasota and adequate in the Cape Coral-Fort Myers and Naples-Immokalee-Marco Island assessment areas. The weaker performance was primarily due to a lower level of qualified investments and contributions relative to Fifth Third’s operational presence in the assessment area.

For the service test, Fifth Third received a “High Satisfactory” rating in Florida. Performance was consistent with the state in five of six limited-scope assessment areas and services in the Naples-Immokalee-Marco Island assessment area were below Fifth Third’s performance for the state. Retail services were adequate in the Naples-Immokalee-Marco Island assessment area and good in the remaining five limited-scope assessment areas. The weaker retail services performance in the Naples-Immokalee-Marco Island assessment area was primarily due to less accessibility of delivery systems in lower-income geographies. Qualified community development services were excellent in three limited-scope assessment areas and good in the Cape Coral-Fort Myers, Naples-Immokalee-Marco Island, and North Port-Sarasota assessment areas. The weaker community development services performance was primarily due to a lower level of hours dedicated to providing qualified services relative to Fifth Third’s operational presence in these assessment areas.

The performance in the limited-scope assessment areas did not change the overall state rating.

STATE OF GEORGIA

CRA RATING for State of Georgia: Satisfactory

The lending test is rated: High Satisfactory

The investment test is rated: Outstanding

The service test is rated: High Satisfactory

The major factors supporting this rating include:

- A good responsiveness to credit needs;
- A good geographic distribution of loans throughout the assessment area;
- An adequate distribution of loans among borrowers of different income levels and good to businesses of different revenue sizes;
- Exhibits a good record of serving the credit needs of low-income individuals and areas and very small businesses;
- A relatively high level of community development loans;
- Limited use of flexible lending practices in serving the assessment area's credit needs;
- An excellent level of qualified community development investments and grants;
- Often in a leadership position in providing community development investments and grants;
- Retail delivery systems are accessible to all geographies and individuals of different income levels and businesses of different revenue sizes;
- A record of opening and closing banking centers that has not adversely affected the accessibility of delivery systems;
- Banking services and hours that do not vary in a way that inconveniences any portions of the assessment areas; and,
- A leader in providing community development services.

SCOPE OF EXAMINATION

A full-scope review was conducted the Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Roswell MSA. A limited-scope review was performed on Augusta-Richmond County MSA. The time period, products, and affiliates evaluated for this assessment area are consistent with the scope discussed in the "Institution" section of this report.

The Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Roswell assessment area received greater weight in determining the CRA rating for the state. The Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Roswell area had the largest lending volume, number of banking centers, and share of deposits during the evaluation period. Lastly, the Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Roswell area represented 90.6% of the banking centers, 88.7% of deposits, and 94.0% of lending in Georgia.

DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION'S OPERATIONS IN THE STATE OF GEORGIA

Lending activity accounted for 1.7% of the Fifth Third's total lending activity, while deposits accounted for 1.0% of the Fifth Third's total deposits. HMDA-reportable lending in Georgia represented 1.8% of the Fifth Third's total HMDA lending, while CRA-reportable lending represented 1.3% of the Fifth Third's total CRA lending. As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third ranked 22nd among 237 insured institutions and has a deposit market share of 0.5% and 32 banking center locations within Georgia.

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN THE STATE OF GEORGIA

Lending Test

Fifth Third's performance under the lending test within the assessment areas located in Georgia is rated "High Satisfactory." Fifth Third's lending reflects a good responsiveness to the credit needs in the Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Roswell assessment area and an adequate responsiveness to the credit needs in the Augusta-Richmond County assessment area.

Lending Activity

In Georgia, Fifth Third originated 1,855 HMDA loans totaling \$510.7 million and 521 small business loans totaling \$65.7 million during the evaluation period.

Lending activity is adequate in the Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Roswell and Augusta-Richmond County assessment areas.

Geographic and Borrower Distribution

The distribution of loans among geographies is good. The geographic distribution is good in in the Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Roswell assessment area and adequate in the Augusta-Richmond County assessment area. Overall, moderate gaps in lending were identified in the assessment areas in Georgia.

The distribution of loans among borrowers of different income levels is adequate and good to businesses of different revenue sizes. Borrower distribution is adequate in in the Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Roswell assessment area and good in the Augusta-Richmond County assessment area. The distribution of loans to businesses of different revenue sizes is good in the Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Roswell assessment area and adequate in the Augusta-Richmond County assessment area.

A detailed analysis for the geographic distribution and borrower-income distribution is provided with the analysis for each assessment area.

Community Development Loans

In Georgia, Fifth Third originated 22 community development loans totaling \$211.7 million, which represented 3.5% of the Fifth Third's community development lending by dollar volume. Fifth Third was a leader in providing community development loans in the Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Roswell assessment area; however, Fifth Third made no community development loans in the Augusta-Richmond County assessment area, which is considered to be a poor level of community development loans. Therefore, Fifth Third makes a relatively high level of community development loans in Georgia.

Flexible Lending

Overall, Fifth Third consistently makes limited use of flexible lending practices within assessment areas located in Georgia.

Investment Test

Fifth Third's performance under the investment test within the assessment areas located in Georgia is rated "Outstanding." Fifth Third funded \$76.3 million in qualified community development investments in Georgia during the evaluation period, consisting of \$40.8 million obtained from new investments made during the current review period and \$35.5 million from prior period investments. The majority of investments were LIHTCs. Fifth Third's level of qualified investments is excellent in the Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Roswell and Augusta-Richmond County assessment areas.

Additional information regarding performance under the investment test is provided in the respective analyses for each assessment area.

Service Test

Fifth Third's performance under the service test with the assessment areas located in Georgia is rated "High Satisfactory." Its performance was good in the Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Roswell and Augusta-Richmond County assessment areas.

For details regarding the institution's performance in the individual assessment areas, refer to the respective assessment area's Service Test section in this report.

Retail Services

Retail delivery systems are accessible to all geographies, including low- and moderate-income geographies, individuals of different income levels, and businesses of different revenue sizes in the institution's assessment areas. Retail service distribution is good in Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Roswell and Augusta-Richmond County assessment areas.

Fifth Third's record of opening and closing banking centers has not adversely affected the accessibility of its delivery systems, particularly to low- and moderate-income geographies and individuals. No branches were opened or closed in low- or moderate-income tracts in Georgia during the evaluation period.

Banking services and business hours do not vary in a way that inconveniences any portions of the bank's assessment areas and are consistent with the services and hours discussed in the "Institution" assessment.

Community Development Services

Fifth Third provides a relatively high level of community development services. Fifth Third's performance is excellent in the Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Roswell assessment area and good in the Augusta-Richmond County assessment area.

METROPOLITAN AREA
(Full-scope Review)

**DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION'S OPERATIONS IN THE
ATLANTA-SANDY SPRINGS-ROSWELL GA MSA #12060**

The Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Roswell GA MSA includes Clayton, Cobb, DeKalb, Douglas, Forsyth, Fulton, Gwinnett, Paulding, Rockdale, and Walton counties. Fifth Third's assessment area excludes Barrow, Bartow, Butts, Cherokee, Coweta, Dawson, Fayette, Haralson, Heard, Henry, Jasper, Lamar, Morgan, Meriwether, Newton, Pickens, Pike, and Spalding counties. The assessment area is comprised of 86 low-, 179 moderate-, 227 middle-, and 249 upper-income tracts. There are also five tracts with no income designation that are primarily composed of correctional institutions, military establishments, education facilities, or medical establishments that do not report income information.

As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third ranked 16th out of 72 institutions with 0.7% of the deposit market share. SunTrust Bank had the majority of the market share with 30.1% of deposits, followed by Bank of America, Wells Fargo Bank, BB&T, and Synovus Bank with 19.6%, 19.1%, 5.0%, and 2.4% of the market share, respectively. Deposits in this assessment area accounted for 0.9% of the Fifth Third's total deposits, which was 88.7% of deposits within the state and the 22nd highest percentage of deposits within Fifth Third's CRA footprint.

From January 1, 2014 through June 30, 2016, Fifth Third originated 1,727 HMDA loans and 507 CRA loans, which represented 1.7% and 1.3% of the total loans originated during the evaluation period, respectively. This was the 16th largest HMDA market and 17th largest CRA market for loans originated during the evaluation period.

In 2015, Fifth Third Mortgage Company ranked 55th among 745 HMDA reporters in the assessment area and Fifth Third ranked 189th. Wells Fargo Bank, Quicken Loans, SunTrust Bank, JPMorgan Chase Bank, and Fidelity Bank were the top five HMDA lenders in the assessment area. Fifth Third ranked 29th of 175 CRA reporters in the assessment area in 2015. The top four CRA lenders in the assessment area were American Express, Wells Fargo Bank, Bank of America, and Capital One. These lenders are mostly issuers of credit cards and their CRA loans primarily consist of commercial credit card accounts.

Four community contact interviews were conducted to provide additional information regarding the assessment area. The first contact, representing a community development organization that serves Walton County, stated the local economy is improving. In the past 24 months, companies have invested \$2.5 billion in new industry. The area is attracting new businesses that will be hiring several hundred employees in the next year; as a result, there is a need for new housing construction and construction-permanent loans. The contact stated there is also a need for banks to provide more small-dollar type loans for small businesses. The contact believed financial institutions are adequately meeting area credit needs.

The second contact, representing a community development organization that serves Cobb County, stated the county lost nearly 7,000 jobs during the recession (2008-2012). However, the contact believed that about 80.0% of the lost positions have been recovered. Several economic development initiatives are being executed along the I-75/I-285 corridor (e.g., new stadium and several planned office buildings). The contact stated there are opportunities for banks to ease credit standards for small businesses and startups and fund more small-dollar loans to these types of businesses. The contact believed banks are generally meeting the credit needs of the area, particularly community banks.

The third contact, representing a neighborhood development and affordable housing organization in the Atlanta area, stated Georgia's foreclosure rates have improved in the last year (by at least 15.0%) and housing values are on the rise. The contact stated the number of people who are seriously delinquent on their mortgages continues to decrease, but lower-income people continue to struggle to pay their mortgages because incomes have not bounced back. While banks have lessened some earlier restrictions, loan modification assistance requires a good deal of paperwork and takes about 90 days to get approved. It is an exhaustive process, thus many people procrastinate in starting the process. The contact believed housing markets in lower-income neighborhoods are not rebounding as quickly due to a lack of affordable, quality homes in the area. Housing that was previously affordable has increased 40.0% within the past several years, and gentrification has played a significant role in these extreme price increases. Gentrification tends to push low- and moderate-income people and families out of their neighborhoods and eventually prices them out being able to live there. The contact stated in addition to banks investing in LIHTC programs that support affordable rental-housing for lower-income individuals, banks could make it easier for lower-income individuals to get low-cost loans to either rehabilitate existing housing or construct new housing. Increased financial access for this purpose could be incredibly impactful in helping more lower-income people own their homes.

The final contact, representing an organization that provides services to low-income individuals within Atlanta, stated the majority of individuals in the community do not have credit or are unbanked. As a result, financial literacy is needed in this community. The contact indicated Fifth Third and Delta Community Credit Union provide financial literacy programs in the community. The contact also stated monetary donations are also important to this organization to help meet its obligations.

Population Characteristics

According to 2010 U.S. Census data, the population in the assessment area was 4.0 million. About 30.8% of the population lived in low- and moderate-income tracts. In addition, 73.6% of the population was 18 years of age or older, the legal age to enter into a contract.

As of July 1, 2015, the Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Roswell MSA is the ninth largest in terms of population in the nation.¹²¹ Fulton County is the largest county in the assessment area and the most populous county in Georgia.¹²² Atlanta (located in Fulton County) is the largest city in the MSA and is the 39th most populous city in the U.S. Atlanta has 463,878 residents, its population growth increased by 10.1% between 2000 and 2015. In contrast, the cities of Sandy Springs, Roswell, and Marietta only have 105,330; 94,501; and 59,067 residents, respectively.¹²³

The following table shows the population in the assessment area by county for 2010 and 2015 with the percentage of the population increase.¹²⁴ The population within the assessment area experienced positive growth between 2010 and 2015, with Forsyth County experiencing the greatest growth and DeKalb County experiencing the least growth in population during this time period. According to Moody’s Analytics, by 2020, the Atlanta MSA is forecasted to be the eighth largest metropolitan area in the county with a population of nearly 6.4 million. Net migration to the Atlanta MSA from 2015 to 2020 is projected to reach nearly 122,700 averaging nearly 25,000 annually. Overall, this assessment area has a very robust domestic and foreign in-migration, which contributes to an increasing demand for employment and housing.

County	2010 Population	2015 Population	Population Percent Change
Clayton	192,162	273,955	42.6%
Cobb	521,535	741,334	42.1%
DeKalb	537,119	734,871	36.8%
Douglas	95,883	140,733	46.8%
Forsyth	118,897	212,438	78.7%
Fulton	696,540	1,010,562	45.1%
Gwinnett	575,496	895,823	55.7%
Paulding	97,788	152,238	55.7%
Rockdale	63,835	88,856	39.2%
Walton	61,857	88,399	42.9%
Total	2,961,112	4,339,209	46.5%

¹²¹ MSA population data is derived from the U.S. Census Data 2015 Statistical Abstract: <https://factfinder.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productview.xhtml?src=bkmk>

¹²² U.S. Places: <http://us-places.com> (main page – enter state, choose population by county)

¹²³ U.S. Census QuickFacts: <https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/> (main page – enter state, county, city, town, or zip code)

¹²⁴ Population Estimates derived from U.S. Census Data (April 1, 2010 – July 1, 2015): <http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/table/PST045216/00>

Income Characteristics

In 2010 the MSA median family income was greater (\$68,992) than Georgia’s at \$58,790. The median family income in the MSA increased between 2014 and 2015 and decreased by nearly 5.0% between 2015 and 2016. Moody’s Analytics noted through 2020, Atlanta’s median household income growth of 3.4% annually will outpace that of the U.S. and will place Atlanta in the top quartile for MSA income growth.

**Borrower Income Levels
Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Roswell, GA MSA**

FFIEC Estimated Median Family Income		Low 0 - 49.99%	Moderate 50% - 79.99%	Middle 80% - 119.99%	Upper 120% - & above
2014	\$70,100	0 - \$35,049	\$35,050 - \$56,079	\$56,080 - \$84,119	\$84,120 - & above
2015	\$70,700	0 - \$35,349	\$35,350 - \$56,559	\$56,560 - \$84,839	\$84,840 - & above
2016	\$67,200	0 - \$33,599	\$33,600 - \$53,759	\$53,760 - \$80,639	\$80,640 - & above

Poverty rates increased in each county in the assessment area from 1999 to 2015.¹²⁵ Forsyth and Paulding counties had the lowest poverty rates in 1999 and 2015. Fulton County had the highest poverty rate in 1999 and Clayton County had the highest rate in 2015. Clayton County also experienced the largest increase in poverty rate during the evaluation period. In 2015, Clayton and DeKalb counties had poverty rates higher than Georgia’s; in addition, poverty rates for Clayton, DeKalb, Fulton, Rockdale, and Douglas counties and Georgia all exceeded the national poverty rate. According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the national poverty rate in 2015 was 13.5%, down 1.3 percentage points from 14.8% in 2014. For most demographic groups, the 2015 poverty rates and number of people in poverty decreased from 2014.¹²⁶ The following table shows the poverty rates for 1999¹²⁷ and 2015.

¹²⁵ U.S. Department of Agriculture Economic Research Service Poverty Rates (for 1999 and 2015): <https://data.ers.usda.gov/reports.aspx?ID=17826>

¹²⁶ 2015 National Poverty: <http://www.census.gov/library/publications/2016/demo/p60-256.html>

¹²⁷ 1999 National Poverty Rate: <http://www.census.gov/prod/2000pubs/p60-210.pdf>

County	1999 Poverty Rate	2015 Poverty Rate	Change
Clayton	10.1%	23.3%	130.7%
Cobb	6.5%	11.4%	75.4%
DeKalb	10.8%	17.8%	64.8%
Douglas	7.8%	14.1%	80.8%
Forsyth	5.5%	6.3%	14.5%
Fulton	15.7%	16.0%	1.9%
Gwinnett	5.7%	12.6%	121.1%
Paulding	5.5%	8.8%	60.0%
Rockdale	8.2%	15.9%	93.9%
Walton	9.7%	12.7%	30.9%
Georgia	13.0%	17.2%	32.3%
U.S.	11.8%	13.5%	14.4%

Housing Characteristics

According to 2010 U.S. Census data, there are 1.6 million housing units and 942,615 families in the assessment area. From an income perspective, 33.2% of housing units, 20.8% of owner-occupied units, and 28.0% of families are located in low- or moderate-income tracts. Over three-quarters of the housing units in the low-income census tracts are either rental or vacant (78.0%) and only 22.0% are owner-occupied. In the moderate-income census tracts, well over half of the housing units are either rental or vacant (59.2%) and 40.8% are owner-occupied. Therefore, based on the number of housing units compared to the number of families in low- and moderate-income census tracts, there appear to be credit-related opportunities for Fifth Third to provide various aspects of affordable housing in the assessment area. Additionally, a community contact stated there is a need for banks to make it easier for lower-income individuals to obtain low-cost loans to either rehabilitate existing housing or construct new housing. Increased financial access for this purpose could be incredibly impactful in helping more lower-income people own their homes.

The 2010 U.S. Census data shows the median age of housing stock in the assessment area was only 24 years old and only 5.5% of the stock was built before 1950. However, within the assessment area, the median age of housing stock was 37 years in low-income tracts and 28 years in moderate-income tracts. Therefore, there appears to be opportunity for Fifth Third to provide home improvement and rehabilitation loans in these lower-income areas. As stated above, a community contact stated there is a need for home improvement loans and construction loans to build new housing in lower-income areas.

According to 2010 U.S. Census data, the median housing value in the assessment area was \$196,860 with an affordability ratio of 29.60. The affordability ratio is derived by dividing the median household income by the median housing value. The higher the affordability ratio, the more affordable a home is considered. Median housing values decreased between 2010 and 2011-2015 and median family incomes increased; as a result, housing became more affordable across the assessment area. However, Moody's Analytics noted the eroding affordability hurts in-migration.

During the evaluation period, the most affordable housing was in Clayton County and the least affordable was in Fulton County. Median gross rents increased across the assessment area, with renters in Paulding County experiencing the largest increase in rental rates and renters in Rockdale County experiencing a slight decrease in gross rental rates. In 2010, about 49.1% of renters across the assessment area had rent costs greater than 30.0% of income. Increasing rental rates may make it harder for potential homebuyers to save for a down payment for a home. The table below presents housing characteristics from the U.S. Census data between 2010 and 2015 in the assessment area and Georgia.

County	2010 Median Housing Value	2010 Affordability Ratio	2011-2015 Median Housing Value	2011-2015 Affordability Ratio	2010 Median Gross Rent	2011-2015 Median Gross Rent	Percent of Change
Clayton	\$127,800	33.89	\$85,200	48.05	\$865	\$881	1.8%
Cobb	\$211,000	31.05	\$197,400	33.37	\$933	\$1,006	7.8%
DeKalb	\$190,000	27.03	\$163,000	31.52	\$922	\$991	7.5%
Douglas	\$157,300	35.51	\$121,300	44.42	\$912	\$949	4.1%
Forsyth	\$276,700	31.66	\$267,300	33.23	\$1,078	\$1,172	8.7%
Fulton	\$253,100	22.41	\$241,300	23.71	\$929	\$1,001	7.8%
Gwinnett	\$194,200	32.55	\$167,700	35.95	\$954	\$1,043	9.3%
Paulding	\$149,600	41.68	\$133,500	45.03	\$907	\$1,018	12.2%
Rockdale	\$169,900	32.83	\$140,000	36.04	\$933	\$916	-1.8%
Walton	\$164,900	31.37	\$152,900	35.61	\$784	\$845	7.8%
Georgia	\$161,400	30.57	\$148,100	33.50	\$808	\$879	8.8%

According to Bankrate.com,¹²⁸ Georgia ranked 16th in foreclosure filings in November 2016. The national average for foreclosure filings was one in every 1,533 housing units. The following table contains information about foreclosure filings in the assessment area, according to Realtytrac:¹²⁹

¹²⁸ Bankrate.com: <http://www.bankrate.com/finance/real-estate/foreclosures-by-state/default.aspx>

¹²⁹ Realtytrac: <http://www.realtytrac.com/statsandtrends/foreclosurestrends/>

Geography Name	Ratio of Properties Receiving Foreclosure Filings in November 2016
Clayton	1:700
Cobb	1:1,865
DeKalb	1:1,549
Douglas	1:829
Forsyth	1:2,318
Fulton	1:1,643
Gwinnett	1:1,538
Paulding	1:1,500
Rockdale	1:1,121
Walton	1:1,252
Georgia	1:1,645
U.S.	1:1,533

In November 2016, Clayton and Douglas counties had the highest rates of foreclosure and Forsyth County had the lowest. In Fulton County, Atlanta had the fourth-highest foreclosure rate among municipalities at 1:1,686. A community contact mentioned the improving rates of foreclosure. As shown above, half the counties (including Fulton County) in this assessment area and Georgia have foreclosure rates that are below the nationwide foreclosure rate.

Building permits for this MSA, Georgia, and the nation are included in the following table for 2014, 2015, and 2016.¹³⁰

Geography	2014	2015	Percent of Change 2014-2015	2016	Percent of Change 2015-2016
Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Roswell MSA	26,683	30,342	13.7%	36,121	19.0%
Georgia	39,673	45,549	14.8%	51,052	12.1%
U.S.	1,052,124	1,182,582	12.4%	1,190,191	0.6%

The assessment area experienced increases in the number of housing permits issued between 2014 and 2015 and, to a greater extent, between 2015 and 2016. The increase in the number of permits could indicate there is an increasing demand for home purchase loans in this area. Two community contacts mentioned the need for new housing construction and construction-permanent loans in the area to meet the growing in-migration of residents. Moody’s Analytics noted that construction remains strong, as builders accommodate robust residential and commercial demand.

¹³⁰ U.S. Census Bureau Building Permits Survey: <http://www.census.gov/construction/bps/>

Labor, Employment, and Economic Characteristics

According to Moody’s Analytics, the Atlanta metropolitan area economy is in expansion, primarily due to diverse economy, distribution and cultural center, business-friendly environment, and strong demographics. The Atlanta area has a large and well-educated workforce pool and low corporate tax rates that aid in attracting new businesses and residents to the area. Atlanta is considered to be a major logistics hub, as 80.0% of the U.S. population can be reached from Atlanta in two days by truck. Additionally, Atlanta has proximity to the Port of Savannah (one of the largest regional container ports on the eastern seaboard), which is a bonus for trade and is considered to be a leading U.S. railroad hub. Atlanta is also considered to be a hub for research and development in that partnerships with local researchers have been instrumental to attracting businesses to the metropolitan area.

According to the Metro Atlanta Chamber,¹³¹ Metropolitan Atlanta ranks third among cities with the highest Fortune 500 concentration, behind New York and Houston. In 2016, this MSA was home to 16 headquarters, including 14 in Atlanta.

Atlanta MSA Fortune 500 Companies (2016)		
Rank	Company	Annual Revenue
28	Home Depot	\$88.5 billion
48	United Parcel Service (UPS)	\$58.4 billion
62	Coca-Cola Company	\$44.3 billion
68	Delta Air Lines	\$40.7 billion
162	The Southern Company	\$17.5 billion
183	Genuine Parts Company (GPC)	\$15.3 billion
249	First Data	\$11.5 billion
320	HD Supply Holdings	\$8.8 billion
323	Veritiv Corporation ¹³²	\$8.7 billion
329	SunTrust Banks	\$8.5 billion
360	AGCO Corporation	\$7.5 billion
393	Asbury Automotive Group	\$6.6 billion
397	Coca-Cola European Partners	\$6.5 billion
409	NCR Corporation	\$6.4 billion
433	PulteGroup, Inc.	\$6.0 billion
434	Newell Brands	\$5.9 billion


According to Moody’s Analytics the top 15 employers in the MSA in 2016 were:

¹³¹ Metro Atlanta Chamber: www.metroatlantachamber.com/resources/most-popular/fortune-500-fortune-1000-in-metro-atlanta

¹³² Bold type indicates company new to the list in 2016. Veritiv was established in 2014 as a result of a merger within International Paper Company.

Company	Number of Employees
Delta Air Lines	29,970
Emory University	27,090
Wal-Mart Stores	26,000
AT&T	16,794
WellStar Health System	14,000
Piedmont Healthcare	11,000
Publix Supermarkets	9,819
Georgia Institute of Technology	9,564
Northside Hospital	9,467
Children’s Healthcare of Atlanta	9,200
Home Depot	9,000
Emory Healthcare	8,648
Centers for Disease Control	8,639
Coca-Cola Company	8,000
Coreslab Structures	7,571

The following table illustrates the average unemployment rates for 2014, 2015, and 2016 across the MSA and Georgia.



Unemployment rates declined each year in the assessment area and Georgia, with Clayton County having the highest and Forsyth County having the lowest unemployment rates during this time period. Two community contacts stated that since the recession, about 80.0% of the lost jobs in this area have been recovered; however, lower-income people continue to struggle to pay their mortgages because incomes have not rebounded to pre-recession levels.

According to *WSB-TV Atlanta*, in 2015 Coca-Cola Company announced 1,800 layoffs in North America and internationally; about 500 jobs are in Atlanta. The layoffs are to streamline and simplify the company's structure and accelerate the growth of its global business. An economics professor stated while 500 local layoffs is a significant number of people, Atlanta has more than 2.5 million people working here and added nearly 60,000 jobs to payrolls over the past 12 months.¹³³ According to *The Atlanta Journal-Constitution*, even as Georgia's unemployment rate declines, companies continue to shut down or shed operations in moves impacting thousands of employees. The annual job cut total has risen steadily in recent years, from 6,820 in 2012 to 10,683 in 2015. However, by other measures Georgia's job market has vastly improved. Unemployment is falling, employers are adding tens of thousands of jobs, and pay is rising at a modest pace. The rising job cuts could reflect the trend in the large firms, as the law requires them to report job cuts and large firms have been hit harder by weakness in overseas markets and mergers. Filed layoff notices with Worker Adjustment and Retraining Notification Act (WARN) in 2016 include notices from the following companies warning of job cuts:

- Georgia Power (Atlanta) – 270 employees , layoff
- WellStar Health Systems (Marietta) – 521 employees, layoff
- Concentrix Corp. (Norcross/Gwinnett County) – 454 employees, facility closure
- MARTA (Atlanta) – 371 employees, layoff
- Delta Global Services (Atlanta) – 275 employees, contract.¹³⁴

¹³³ WSB-TV Atlanta. "Coca-Cola Company announces 1,800 layoffs, 500 are local." *WSB-TV Atlanta*. January 8, 2015. - <http://www.wsbtv.com/news/local/coca-cola-company-announces-1800-layoffs/54019331>

¹³⁴ Grantham, Russell. "Layoff warnings up despite falling jobless rate." *The Atlanta Journal-Constitution*. August 22, 2016. - <http://www.myajc.com/business/layoff-warnings-despite-falling-jobless-rate/RyjBrOw42FV2shJXk7qFzL/>

Combined Demographics Report

Assessment Area: GA Atlanta

Income Categories	Tract Distribution		Families by Tract Income		Families < Poverty Level as % of Families by Tract		Families by Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	86	11.5	61,003	6.5	19,626	32.2	205,602	21.8
Moderate-income	179	24	202,695	21.5	34,226	16.9	156,322	16.6
Middle-income	227	30.4	336,219	35.7	26,602	7.9	176,142	18.7
Upper-income	249	33.4	342,698	36.4	12,728	3.7	404,549	42.9
Unknown-income	5	0.7	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	746	100.0	942,615	100.0	93,182	9.9	942,615	100.0
	Housing Units by Tract	Housing Types by Tract						
		Owner-Occupied			Rental		Vacant	
		#	%	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	143,908	31,639	3.4	22	78,976	54.9	33,293	23.1
Moderate-income	398,607	162,547	17.4	40.8	170,796	42.8	65,264	16.4
Middle-income	543,772	349,403	37.5	64.3	138,094	25.4	56,275	10.3
Upper-income	545,976	388,923	41.7	71.2	109,826	20.1	47,227	8.7
Unknown-income	33	0	0	0	33	100	0	0
Total Assessment Area	1,632,296	932,512	100.0	57.1	497,725	30.5	202,059	12.4
	Total Businesses by Tract	Businesses by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Low-income	13,723	5.2	12,333	5	1,338	7.7	52	9.5
Moderate-income	53,696	20.3	49,287	20	4,253	24.4	156	28.5
Middle-income	86,593	32.7	81,553	33	4,870	28	170	31
Upper-income	110,683	41.8	103,600	42	6,915	39.7	168	30.7
Unknown-income	152	0.1	116	0	34	0.2	2	0.4
Total Assessment Area	264,847	100.0	246,889	100.0	17,410	100.0	548	100.0
Percentage of Total Businesses:			93.2		6.6		.2	
	Total Farms by Tract	Farms by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Low-income	37	3.6	34	3.3	3	15.8	0	0
Moderate-income	139	13.4	137	13.5	2	10.5	0	0
Middle-income	395	38.2	385	37.9	10	52.6	0	0
Upper-income	461	44.5	459	45.2	2	10.5	0	0
Unknown-income	3	0.3	1	0.1	2	10.5	0	0
Total Assessment Area	1,035	100.0	1,016	100.0	19	100.0	0	.0
Percentage of Total Farms:			98.2		1.8		.0	

**CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN THE
ATLANTA-SANDY SPRINGS-ROSWELL GA MSA**

Lending Test

Fifth Third’s performance under the lending test in this assessment area is rated good. Fifth Third has demonstrated a good responsiveness to the credit needs of the community. In addition, Fifth Third originated 22 community development loans totaling \$211.7 million. Fifth Third has a good geographic distribution of loans and moderate lending gaps. Fifth Third has a good distribution among borrowers of different income levels and to businesses of different revenue sizes. Fifth Third exhibits a good record of serving the credit needs of highly economically disadvantaged areas in its assessment area, low-income individuals, and businesses with gross annual revenues of \$1 million or less. The excellent level of community development loans and limited use of flexible lending practices augmented Fifth Third’s performance in this assessment area.

Greatest consideration was given to the evaluation of home purchase lending based on the overall volume of lending, followed by refinance and small business lending. There were an insufficient number of home improvement loans to analyze performance. Details of Fifth Third’s residential mortgage and small business lending, as well as information regarding lending by peers, can be found in Appendix E.

Lending Activity

Fifth Third’s lending activity reflects an adequate responsiveness to the credit needs within the assessment area. Fifth Third originated 974 home purchase, 721 refinance, 30 home improvement, 507 small business, and 22 community development loans during the evaluation period. The percentage of Fifth Third’s total lending at 1.6% exceeded the percentage of total deposits at 0.9% in this area.

Fifth Third made 82.8% of the HMDA and 90.4% of the CRA lending within its designated assessment area. However, while the majority of loans were made within the assessment area, a concentration of lending was identified in the excluded Cherokee County with 132 loans. No concentrations of lending were identified in other excluded counties within the assessment area.

In addition to lending, Fifth Third modified existing loans to borrowers. Refer to the distribution of HAMP and other real-estate secured modifications within the assessment area by census tract income and by borrower income.

	Distribution by Borrower Income							
	Low		Mod		Middle		Upper	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Other Real Estate Secured Modifications	11	21.2%	16	30.8%	13	25.0%	12	23.1%
<i>Percentage of Families by Family Income</i>		21.8%		16.6%		18.7%		42.9%

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

There were not enough HAMP modifications in this assessment area for a meaningful analysis. Also, there was an insufficient volume of other modifications to analyze the distribution of modifications by census tract income. However, the percentage of other modifications made to low-income borrowers was comparable to the percentage of low-income families in the assessment area and the percentage of other modifications to moderate-income borrowers was substantially higher than the percentage of moderate-income families. Therefore, modifications helped Fifth Third's ability to reach low- and moderate-income borrowers.

Geographic Distribution of Loans

Fifth Third's overall distribution of lending among geographies is good. Home purchase and refinance lending are adequate. Small business lending is excellent. Further, moderate lending gaps were noted for the assessment area, as shown in the following table.

Tract Income Levels	Number of Tracts	Tracts with No Loans	Penetration
Low	86	56	34.9%
Moderate	179	58	67.6%
Middle	227	39	82.8%
Upper	249	13	94.8%
Unknown	5	5	0.0%
Total	746	171	77.1%

While overall lending gaps are considered reasonable, there was a significant level of lending gaps in low-income tracts. However, most of the low-income tracts with no loans are located in Atlanta, and these tracts have low owner-occupancy rates (22.0%) and high rental/vacancy rates (78.0%).

Home Purchase Loans

Fifth Third made 17 home purchase loans totaling \$1.9 million in low-income tracts. This represents 1.7% of home purchase loans by volume, which is significantly below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 3.4%, and 0.7% by dollar amount, which is substantially below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate at 2.0% and slightly exceeded the 2015 aggregate at 2.0%. Since Fifth Third's performance was below proxy, but exceeded the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of home purchase loans in low-income tracts is good.

Fifth Third made 95 home purchase loans totaling \$14.1 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 9.8% of home purchase loans by volume, which is significantly below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 17.4%, and 5.2% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate at 12.7% and was below the 2015 aggregate at 13.4%. Given that Fifth Third's performance was below proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of home purchase loans in moderate-income tracts is adequate.

Fifth Third made 301 home purchase loans totaling \$64.1 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 30.9% of home purchase loans by volume, which is below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 37.5%, and 23.5% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 35.6% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 37.0%.

Fifth Third made 561 home purchase loans totaling \$192.2 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 57.6% of home purchase loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 41.7%, and 70.6% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 49.7% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 47.6%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of home purchase loans is adequate.

Refinance Loans

Fifth Third made 11 refinance loans totaling \$1.9 million in low-income tracts. This represents 1.5% of refinance loans by volume and 0.9% by dollar amount, which is below the percentage of owner-occupied units at 3.4%. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate at 1.9% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate at 1.8%. As Fifth Third's performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and only 22.0% of the housing units in low-income tracts are owner occupied, the geographic distribution of refinance loans in low-income tracts is good.

Fifth Third made 49 refinance loans totaling \$6.4 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 6.8% of refinance loans by volume and 3.2% by dollar amount, which is significantly below than the owner-occupied units at 17.4%. The percentage of loans by volume was significantly below the 2014 aggregate at 14.0% and was significantly below the 2015 aggregate at 11.4%. As Fifth Third's lending was significantly below proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of refinance loans in moderate-income tracts is considered poor.

Fifth Third made 204 refinance loans totaling \$38.7 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 28.3% of refinance loans by volume, which is less than the owner-occupied units in these tracts at 37.5%. Refinance loans by dollar amount (19.3%) was also below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate at 35.7% and was below the 2015 aggregate at 33.7%.

Fifth Third made 457 refinance loans totaling \$153.5 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 63.4% of refinance loans by volume, which exceeds the owner-occupied units in these tracts at 41.7%, and 76.6% by dollar amount, which exceeded proxy. The percentage of loans by volume also exceeded the 2014 aggregate at 48.4% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate at 53.2%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of refinance loans is adequate.

Small Business Loans

Fifth Third made 23 small business loans totaling \$2.6 million in low-income tracts. This represents 4.5% of small business loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 5.0%, and 3.9% by dollar amount, which is comparable to proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate of 4.6% and was comparable to the 2015 aggregate of 4.7%. Given that Fifth Third's performance was comparable to proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of small business loans in low-income tracts is good.

Fifth Third made 126 small business loans totaling \$20.8 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 24.9% of small business loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 20.0%, and 32.1% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 17.6% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 18.0%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and proxy, the geographic distribution of small business loans in moderate-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 132 small business loans totaling \$11.0 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 26.0% of small business loans by volume, which is below the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 33.0%, and 16.9% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate of 28.7% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 28.9%.

Fifth Third made 226 small business loans totaling \$30.5 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 44.6% of small business loans by volume, which exceeded the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 42.0%, and 47.0% by dollar amount, which exceeded proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 48.0% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 47.4%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of small business loans is excellent.

Distribution by Borrower Income and Revenue Size of the Business

The distribution of loans is adequate based on borrower income and good for businesses of different revenue sizes. The borrower distribution is poor for home purchase loans and adequate for refinance loans.

Home Purchase Loans

Fifth Third made 36 loans totaling \$3.4 million to low-income borrowers. This represents 3.7% of home purchase loans by volume and 1.2% by dollar amount, which is significantly below the percentage of low-income families at 21.8%. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 8.1% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 7.4%. Given that Fifth Third's performance was significantly below proxy and below the aggregate of all lenders, the borrower distribution of home purchase loans to low-income borrowers is poor.

Fifth Third made 78 loans totaling \$10.7 million to moderate-income borrowers. This represents 8.0% of home purchase loans by volume and 3.9% by dollar amount, which is significantly below the percentage of moderate-income families at 16.6%. The percentage of loans by volume was significantly below the 2014 aggregate of 16.5% and was significantly below the 2015 aggregate of 16.9%. Given that Fifth Third's performance was significantly below proxy and aggregate of all lenders, the borrower distribution of home purchase loans to moderate-income borrowers is poor.

Fifth Third made 97 loans totaling \$18.1 million to middle-income borrowers. This represents 10.0% of home purchase loans by volume, which is less than the percentage of middle-income families at 18.7%, and 6.6% by dollar amount, which is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 17.1% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 17.3%.

Fifth Third made 475 loans totaling \$177.1 million to upper-income borrowers. This represents 48.8% of home purchase loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of upper-income families at 42.9%, and 65.0% of loans by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 38.0% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 37.6%.

Overall, the borrower distribution of home purchase loans is poor.

Refinance Loans

Fifth Third made 31 loans totaling \$3.2 million to low-income borrowers. This represents 4.3% of refinance loans by volume, which is significantly below percentage of low-income families at 21.8%. The percentage of loans by dollar amount in these geographies at 1.6% is also significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 6.9% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 5.0%. Given that Fifth Third's performance was significantly below proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, the borrower distribution of refinance loans to low-income borrowers is adequate.

Fifth Third made 49 loans totaling \$6.7 million to moderate-income borrowers. This represents 6.8% of refinance loans by volume, which is significantly below the percentage of moderate-income families at 16.9%, and 3.4% by dollar amount, which is also significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 12.3% and the 2015 aggregate of 10.7%. Given that Fifth Third's performance was significantly below proxy and below the aggregate of all lenders, the borrower distribution of refinance loans to moderate-income borrowers is adequate.

Fifth Third made 104 loans totaling \$16.4 million to middle-income borrowers. This represents 14.4% of refinance loans by volume, which is below the percentage of middle-income families at 18.7%, and 8.2% by dollar amount, which is also below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate of 15.7% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 15.6%.

Fifth Third made 342 loans totaling \$132.2 million to upper-income borrowers. This represents 47.4% of refinance loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of upper-income families at 42.9%. The percentage of loans by dollar amount at 66.0% significantly exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 40.0% and was slightly below the 2015 aggregate of 42.5%.

Overall, the borrower distribution of refinance loans is adequate.

Small Business Loans

The distribution of small business loans to businesses of different sizes is good considering Fifth Third’s performance relative to the aggregate of all lenders. Fifth Third was able to make 57.4% of small business loans to businesses with revenues of \$1 million or less. Fifth Third’s performance in 2014 exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 51.9% and was comparable to the 2015 aggregate of 56.6%, but was significantly below the percentage of small businesses in the assessment area at 93.2%. Also, Fifth Third made a reasonable percentage of small-dollar loans (74.6%) up to \$100,000, indicating a willingness to lend in smaller amounts typically requested by small businesses. In addition, several community contacts indicated there is a need for small-dollar loans to small businesses.

Community Development Loans

Fifth Third originated 22 community development loans totaling \$211.7 million during the evaluation period as shown in the table below:

Affordable Housing		Economic Development		Revitalization and Stabilization		Community Services	
#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$
2	23,003,998	9	52,920,000	10	134,926,984	1	800,000

Community development lending in the assessment area represents 3.5% of the total dollar volume of community development loans originated by Fifth Third during the evaluation period. This ranks as Fifth Third’s seventh-highest percentage of community development lending during the evaluation period. Fifth Third’s performance is especially strong because of the high competition for community development loans and a number of large national banks in the area. Fifth Third only has 0.7% of the deposit market share. As such, Fifth Third is considered a leader in community development lending.

Examples of community development lending include, but are not limited to:

- Loan to a private equity firm that partners with developers and investors who develop affordable housing and expand renewable energy through the use of state tax credits
- Multiple working capital loans that promote economic development by financing businesses to support job retention, add new jobs, and promote growth to continue operations, such as one company was able to add 17 new jobs and retain 206 jobs in a low-income geography
- Loan that supports eligible activities in a designated Empowerment Zone

- Working capital loan that supports a nonprofit that provides creative arts activities to low- and moderate-income students to encourage innovation

The affordable housing loans were deemed to be responsive, as community contacts specifically mentioned the need for these types of loans. The economic development loans were also considered to be responsive, since job creation is a significant need in this assessment area.

Flexible Lending Programs

Fifth Third had 170 flexible lending loans in this assessment area: 119 government loans, nine down payment assistance loans, and 42 other flexible lending programs. The following tables show the percentage by volume and by dollar amount of the three types of flexible lending programs made in this assessment area during the evaluation period and the distribution of Fifth Third’s flexible lending programs within the assessment area by census tract income and by borrower income.

Flexible Loan Programs												
	Geographic Distribution											
	Low			Moderate			Middle			Upper		
	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units
Government Loan Programs	0.8%	0.5%	3.4%	10.1%	7.1%	17.4%	51.3%	43.5%	37.5%	37.8%	48.9%	41.7%
Down Payment Assistance Programs	33.3%	43.3%	3.4%	44.4%	29.2%	17.4%	11.1%	12.7%	37.5%	11.1%	14.8%	41.7%
Other Flexible Lending Programs	0.0%	0.0%	3.4%	11.9%	8.9%	17.4%	42.9%	40.0%	37.5%	45.2%	51.1%	41.7%
Total	2.4%	1.5%	3.4%	12.4%	8.2%	17.4%	47.1%	41.8%	37.5%	38.2%	48.5%	41.7%

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

Flexible Loan Programs												
	Borrower Distribution											
	Low			Moderate			Middle			Upper		
	% - #	% - \$	% Fam	% - #	% - \$	% Fam	% - #	% - \$	% Fam	% - #	% - \$	% Fam
Government Loan Programs	15.1%	8.5%	21.8%	21.8%	15.9%	16.6%	25.2%	23.5%	18.7%	36.1%	51.1%	42.9%
Down Payment Assistance Programs	44.4%	36.0%	21.8%	22.2%	20.7%	16.6%	11.1%	11.6%	18.7%	22.2%	31.7%	42.9%
Other Flexible Lending Programs	21.4%	14.9%	21.8%	11.9%	7.7%	16.6%	21.4%	19.6%	18.7%	42.9%	54.0%	42.9%
Total	18.2%	10.9%	21.8%	19.4%	14%	16.6%	23.5%	22.2%	18.7%	37.1%	51.3%	42.9%

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

Fifth Third’s lending in low-income tracts, by number and dollar amount, was below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts; however, down payment assistance programs in these tracts significantly exceeded proxy. The percentage of lending in moderate-income tracts, by volume and dollar amount, was below the percentage of owner-occupied units; however, down payment assistance programs in these tracts significantly exceeded proxy.

Fifth Third’s lending by volume and dollar amount to low-income borrowers was below the percentage of low-income families in the assessment area. The percentage of lending by volume to moderate-income borrowers exceeded the percentage of moderate-income families for government loan and down payment assistance programs. The percentage of dollar amount to moderate-income borrowers was slightly below the moderate-income families in the assessment area.

Fifth Third made limited use of flexible lending practices in serving assessment area credit needs, as lending through flexible loan programs to moderate-income borrowers was excellent. Lending in moderate-income geographies and to low-income borrowers was good and adequate in low-income geographies.

Investment Test

Fifth Third’s performance relative to the investment test in the assessment area is rated excellent.

Fifth Third made an excellent level of qualified community development investments and grants, particularly those not routinely provided by private investors. As such, Fifth Third was often in a leadership position. Fifth Third has 142 qualified investments totaling \$73.6 million during the evaluation period. Shown in the table below are the total current period investments:

Affordable Housing		Economic Development		Revitalization and Stabilization		Community Services	
#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$
30	39,681,392	33	121,993	4	7,175	68	248,066

Also included in the total number of investments are seven prior period investments totaling \$33.5 million. Overall, Fifth Third made 4.5% of its total community development investments in this assessment area, which is greater than the percentage of total deposits at 0.9% and greater than the percentage of branch offices at 2.2%.

Fifth Third exhibits an excellent responsiveness to credit and community development needs in the assessment area, including investments in several affordable housing projects throughout the assessment area, which was an important need expressed by several community contacts. Fifth Third made 116 donations totaling \$422,124 that supported charitable organizations, local schools, small businesses, food banks, churches, and affordable housing. The majority (58.0%) of Fifth Third’s donations supported services to low- and moderate-income individuals. Due to the high poverty and unemployment rates, services to low- and moderate-income individuals are deemed to be responsive to needs in the assessment area.

Service Test

Fifth Third's performance under the service test in this assessment area is rated good. Retail services are accessible and Fifth Third is a leader in providing community development services.

Retail Services

Fifth Third's record of opening and closing offices has not adversely affected the accessibility of its delivery systems, particularly in low- and moderate-income geographies and to low- and moderate-income households. Since the previous evaluation, no banking centers were opened or closed. Delivery services are accessible to Fifth Third's geographies and individuals of different income levels.

Business hours and services provided do not vary in a way that inconveniences certain portions of the assessment area, including low- and moderate-income geographies or households and are consistent with the services and hours discussed in the "Institution" assessment.

Fifth Third maintains 29 banking centers within this assessment area, including one in low-, six in moderate-, 11 in middle-, and 11 in upper-income census tracts. Fifth Third banking centers in this assessment area represent 2.2% of all its banking centers.

Fifth Third has a total of 39 full-service ATMs within this assessment area, including two in low-, 11 in moderate-, 14 in middle-, and 12 in upper-income census tracts.

The following table illustrates the percentage of banking centers and ATMs in low-, moderate-, middle-, and upper-income census tracts in comparison to the number and percentage of census tracts and the percentage of households and businesses in those tracts.

Geographic Distribution of Branches & ATMS

Assessment Area: GA Atlanta

Tract Category	Branches				Stand Alone ATMs						Demographics				
	Total Branches		Open	Closed	Total ATMs		Full Service ATMs		Cash only ATMs		Census Tracts		House holds	Total Businesses	
	#	%			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%			
Low	1	3.5%	0	0	Total	3	3.4%	2	5.1%	1	2.1%	86	11.5%	7.7%	5.1%
DTO	0		0	0	SA	3		2		1					
Moderate	6	20.7%	0	0	Total	29	33.3%	11	28.2%	18	37.5%	179	24.0%	23.3%	20.0%
DTO	0		0	0	SA	29		11		18					
Middle	11	37.9%	0	0	Total	31	35.6%	14	35.9%	17	35.4%	227	30.4%	34.1%	32.4%
DTO	0		0	0	SA	31		14		17					
Upper	11	37.9%	0	0	Total	24	27.6%	12	30.8%	12	25.0%	249	33.4%	34.9%	42.4%
DTO	0		0	0	SA	24		12		12					
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0	Total	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	0.7%	0.0%	0.1%
DTO	0		0	0	SA	0		0		0					
Total	29	100.0%	0	0	Total	87	100.0%	39	100.0%	48	100.0%	746	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
DTO	0		0	0	SA	87		39		48					

2016 FFIEC Census Data, 2010 ACS Data, and 2015 D&B Information

Closed branches/ATMs are only included in "closed" columns and are not included in any other totals.

DTO - Drive thru only is a subset of total branches

SA = Stand Alone ATM is a subset of total ATMs

Branch distribution within low-income tracts was considered poor, as the distribution of branches was below the percentage of census tracts and households in these tracts. However, Fifth Third’s branch distribution within moderate-income tracts was considered good.

Community Development Services

Fifth Third is a leader in providing community development services in this assessment area. During the evaluation period, Fifth Third employees provided 2,932 hours of community development service to local organizations serving low- and moderate-income individuals, which represents 2.5% of all community development services provided and equates to 1.41 annualized persons (ANP).

Affordable Housing	Economic Development	Community Services
<i># of Hours</i>	<i># of Hours</i>	<i># of Hours</i>
58	668	2,206

Employees provided financial expertise through leadership positions in multiple community organizations that provide affordable housing and promote community and economic development. Community development services include 1,418 hours of providing financial literacy through local nonprofits and school programs, 1,148 hours serving on boards and committees, 334 hours providing technical assistance to non-profits and local business, and 32 hours participating in foreclosure prevention outreach.

Fifth Third is considered particularly responsive with regard to hours dedicated to financial literacy and other community services to low- and moderate-income individuals. Several community contacts mentioned the need for financial literacy training to provide assistance with establishing or re-establishing access to credit.

METROPOLITAN AREA
(Limited-scope Review)

DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION’S OPERATIONS IN THE STATE OF GEORGIA

- Augusta-Richmond County GA-SC MSA
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third operated three branches in the assessment area, representing 9.4% of its branches in Georgia.
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third had \$120,106 in deposits in this assessment area, representing a market share of 2.3% and 11.3% of its statewide deposits.

Since there are no branches in the South Carolina portion of the MSA and Fifth Third only takes the counties in Georgia that are part of this MSA, the Augusta-Richmond County GA-SC MSA is evaluated under Georgia and not as a separate MSA.

**CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN
THE STATE OF GEORGIA**

Through the use of available data, including performance and demographic information, the assessment area’s performance was evaluated and compared with Fifth Third’s performance, resulting in a weaker performance in the assessment area. The conclusions regarding performance are provided in the table below. Please refer to the tables in Appendix F for information regarding these areas.

Assessment Area	Lending Test	Investment Test	Service Test
Augusta-Richmond County MSA	Below	Consistent	Consistent

For the lending test, Fifth Third received a “High Satisfactory” rating in Georgia. Performance in the Augusta-Richmond County assessment area was below Fifth Third’s performance for the state. A lesser geographic distribution of loans and no community development loans contributed to weaker performance in the Augusta-Richmond County assessment area. The Augusta-Richmond County assessment area had a moderate level of lending gaps, which was consistent to the performance for the state.

For the investment test, Fifth Third received an “Outstanding” rating for Georgia. The investment activity in the Augusta-Richmond County assessment area was consistent to the performance for the state.

For the service test, Fifth Third received a “High Satisfactory” rating for Georgia. Overall, performance in the Augusta-Richmond County assessment area was consistent with Fifth Third’s performance for the state. Retail services were consistent with performance for the state. Qualified community development services were good. The weaker community development services performance was primarily due to a lower level of hours dedicated to providing qualified services relative to Fifth Third’s operational presence in this assessment area.

The performance in the limited-scope assessment area did not change the overall state rating.

STATE OF ILLINOIS

CRA RATING for State of Illinois:¹³⁵ Satisfactory

The lending test is rated: Low Satisfactory

The investment test is rated: High Satisfactory

The service test is rated: Low Satisfactory

The major factors supporting this rating include:

- A adequate responsiveness to credit needs;
- An adequate geographic distribution of loans throughout the assessment area;
- A good distribution of loans among borrowers of different income levels and an adequate distribution to businesses of different revenue sizes;
- Exhibits adequate record of serving the credit needs of low-income individuals and areas and very small businesses;
- An adequate level of community development loans;
- A significant level of qualified community development investments and grants;
- Occasionally in a leadership position in providing community development investments and grants;
- Retail delivery systems are reasonably accessible to all geographies and individuals of different income levels and businesses of different revenue sizes;
- A record of opening and closing banking centers that generally has not adversely affected the accessibility of delivery systems;
- Banking services and hours that do not vary in a way that inconveniences any portions of the assessment areas; and,
- An adequate level of community development services.

SCOPE OF EXAMINATION

A full-scope review was conducted for non-metropolitan Southern Illinois and limited-scope reviews were performed on the remaining three assessment areas: the Carbondale-Marion MSA, the Rockford MSA and non-metropolitan Northern Illinois. The time period, products, and affiliates evaluated for this assessment area are consistent with the scope discussed in the “Institution” section of this report.

¹³⁵ For institutions with branches in two or more states in a multi-state metropolitan area, this statewide evaluation is adjusted and does not reflect performance in the parts of those states contained within the multi-state metropolitan area. Refer to the multi-state metropolitan area rating and discussion for the rating and evaluation of the institution’s performance in that area.

DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION'S OPERATIONS IN THE STATE OF ILLINOIS

Lending activity accounted for 0.4% of Fifth Third's total lending activity, while deposits accounted for 0.4% of its total deposits. HMDA-reportable lending in Illinois represented 0.4% of the bank's total HMDA lending, while CRA-reportable lending represented 0.4% of the bank's total CRA lending. Due to Fifth Third's extensive CRA footprint in the Chicago multi-state assessment area, Fifth Third collectively ranks ninth among 550 insured institutions in Illinois. For the purpose of this evaluation, Fifth Third only has 12 banking centers in Illinois.

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN THE STATE OF ILLINOIS

Lending Test

Fifth Third's performance under the lending test within the assessment areas located in Illinois is rated "Low Satisfactory." Fifth Third's lending reflects an adequate responsiveness to the credit needs in all four of the following assessment areas in Illinois: non-metropolitan Southern Illinois, Carbondale-Marion, non-metropolitan Northern Illinois, and Rockford.

Lending Activity

In Illinois, Fifth Third originated 432 HMDA loans totaling \$41.0 million and 172 small business loans totaling \$17.7 million during the evaluation period.

Lending activity in all four assessment areas is adequate in Illinois.

Geographic and Borrower Distribution

The distribution of loans among geographies is adequate. The geographic distribution is good in non-metropolitan Southern Illinois and adequate in the remaining three assessment areas.

A low level of lending gaps was identified. There was a low level of lending gaps in non-metropolitan Southern Illinois and the Carbondale-Marion assessment areas and a low level of lending gaps in non-metropolitan Northern Illinois. The Rockford assessment area had a moderate level of lending gaps.

The distribution of loans among borrowers of different income levels is good and adequate to businesses of different revenue sizes. Borrower distribution is good in non-metropolitan Southern Illinois and adequate in the remaining three assessment areas. The distribution of loans to businesses of different revenue sizes is adequate in all four assessment areas.

A detailed analysis for the geographic distribution and borrower-income distribution is provided with the analysis for each assessment area.

Community Development Loans

In Illinois, Fifth Third originated five community development loans totaling \$52.8 million, which represented 0.9% of the Fifth Third's community development lending by dollar volume and resulted in an adequate level of community development lending in Illinois. Fifth Third made an adequate level of community development loans in non-metropolitan Southern Illinois, non-metropolitan Northern Illinois, and the Rockford assessment area. Fifth Third did not make any community development loans in Carbondale-Marion assessment area, which is considered to be a poor level of community development lending.

Investment Test

Fifth Third's performance under the investment test within the assessment areas located in Illinois is rated "High Satisfactory." Fifth Third funded \$13.7 million in qualified community development investments in Illinois during the evaluation period, consisting of \$3.1 million obtained from new investments made during the current review period and \$10.6 million from prior period investments. The majority of investments were LIHTCs. Fifth Third's level of qualified investments is excellent in non-metropolitan Northern Illinois and good in the Carbondale-Marion assessment area. The level of qualified investments is adequate in the remaining two assessment areas.

Fifth Third was considered responsive to the credit and community development needs in the state; therefore, investments without a purpose, mandate, or function of serving Fifth Third's assessment areas in Illinois were considered to positively impact state performance. Fifth Third made \$301,240 in qualified investments, typically in the form of LIHTCs that benefited counties within the state, but outside Fifth Third's delineated assessment areas within Illinois.

Additional information regarding performance under the investment test is provided in the respective analyses for each assessment area.

Service Test

Fifth Third's performance under the service test with the assessment areas located in Illinois is rated "Low Satisfactory." Fifth Third's performance is adequate in non-metropolitan Southern Illinois and in the Carbondale-Marion assessment areas and good in the remaining two assessment areas.

For details regarding the institution's performance in the individual assessment areas, refer to the respective assessment area's "Service Test" section in this report.

Retail Services

Retail delivery systems are reasonably accessible to all geographies, including low- and moderate-income geographies, individuals of different income levels, and businesses of different revenue sizes in the institution's assessment areas. Retail service distribution is adequate in non-metropolitan Southern Illinois and the Rockford assessment area and good in the remaining two assessment areas.

Fifth Third's record of opening and closing banking centers has improved the accessibility of its delivery systems, particularly to low- and moderate-income geographies and individuals. No branches were opened or closed in low – or moderate-income tracts during the evaluation period.

Banking services and business hours do not vary in a way that inconveniences any portions of the bank's assessment areas and are consistent with the services and hours discussed in the "Institution" assessment.

Community Development Services

Fifth Third provides an adequate level of community development services. Fifth Third's performance is excellent in the Rockford assessment area and good in non-metropolitan Northern Illinois. The level of community development services is adequate in the remaining two assessment areas.

NON-METROPOLITAN AREA
(Full-scope Review)

**DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION'S OPERATIONS IN
NON-METROPOLITAN SOUTHERN ILLINOIS**

Non-metropolitan Southern Illinois consists of Effingham and Jefferson counties. The assessment area is comprised of one low-, two moderate-, 12 middle-, and four upper-income tracts. There were no distressed/underserved middle-income tracts in the assessment area during the evaluation period.

As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third ranked eighth out of 20 institutions with 5.2% of the deposit market share. Midland States Bank had the majority of the market share 18.8% of deposits, followed by JP Morgan Chase Bank, Dieterich Bank, and Washington Savings Bank with 15.0%, 12.9%, and 8.6% of the market share, respectively. Deposits in this assessment area accounted for 0.1% of the Fifth Third's total deposits. This was 34.8% of deposits within the state and the 41st highest percentage of deposits within Fifth Third's CRA footprint.

From January 1, 2014 through June 30, 2016, Fifth Third originated 158 HMDA loans and 73 CRA loans, which represented 0.2% and 0.2% of the total loans originated during the evaluation period, respectively. This was the 50th largest HMDA market and 48th largest CRA market for loans originated during the evaluation period.

In 2015, Fifth Third ranked ninth among 118 HMDA reporters in the assessment area and Fifth Third Mortgage Company ranked 12th. First National Bank of Dieterich, U.S. Bank, Peoples National Bank, State Bank of Lincoln, and Midland States Bank, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, were the top six HMDA lenders in the assessment area. Fifth Third ranked 19th of 45 CRA reporters in the assessment area in 2015. The top four CRA lenders in the assessment area were American Express, Chase Bank USA, PNC Bank, and Capital One. These lenders are mostly issuers of credit cards and their CRA loans primarily consist of commercial credit card accounts.

Four community contact interviews were conducted to provide additional information regarding the assessment area. The first contact, representing an economic development organization, stated the economy is still struggling in Southern Illinois area. Unemployment and poverty rates remain high and property values have not rebounded. The contact stated financial institutions serving this area tend to be smaller community banks or larger regional or multi-regional institutions actively competing for bankable loans and engaged in business development and outreach. The contact believed while Illinois' ongoing fiscal problems are a major obstacle to getting large-scale infrastructure and economic development projects funded in this region, there are opportunities for financial institutions and other private sector businesses to partner with the public sector and invest in small scale public works projects. Generally, lenders are trying to meet the credit needs in this community and to work with borrowers having financial difficulties.

The second contact, representing a community action agency that assists lower-income individuals, stated the local economy varies by county; some counties are faring better than others. The contact believed financial institutions are actively involved in the community. The contact specifically mentioned Midland State Bank and Fifth Third as being particularly active within the community.

The third contact, representing an organization that offers regional economic, research-based information, stated the economic situation differs from county to county in this area. For example, some counties in this area have lower unemployment rates, while others have unemployment rates above state and national averages. The contact believed low- and moderate-income individuals are still not able to buy homes and the need for a sufficient supply of quality, affordable, and stable rental housing is increasingly important. The contact stated that community banks appear to be actively involved in the community.

The fourth contact, representing an organization that provides services to entrepreneurs and small business owners, stated the economic conditions in Southern Illinois are still in recovery. The contact believed there is a need for financial institutions to fund smaller-dollar loans for start-up businesses and established small businesses. The contact indicated that there is also a need for financial literacy programs to help children become better-informed consumers and more prudent borrowers in the future.

Population Characteristics

According to 2010 U.S. Census data, the population in the assessment area was 73,069. Less than 10.0% the population lived in the three low- and moderate-income tracts. In addition, 76.7% of the population was 18 years of age or older, the legal age to enter into a contract.

As of July 1, 2015, Effingham is the largest city in Effingham County, which has 12,604 residents, a 2.2% increase in population since April 1, 2010. Mount Vernon is the largest city in Jefferson County and has 15,087 residents, a 1.3% decrease in population since April 1, 2010.¹³⁶

The following table shows the population in the assessment area by county for 2010 and 2015 with the percentage of the population increase and decrease.¹³⁷ The population within the assessment area experienced overall negative growth between 2010 and 2015, with Effingham County experiencing slight positive growth in population during this time period. Overall, this assessment area's population appears to be fairly steady; however, according to multiple community contacts, economic conditions contributed to a decreasing demand for home purchase loans.

¹³⁶ U.S. Census QuickFacts: <https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/> (main page – enter state, county, city, town, or zip code)

¹³⁷ Population Estimates derived from U.S. Census Data (April 1, 2010 – July 1, 2015): <http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/table/PST045216/00>

County	2010 Population	2015 Population	Population Percent Change
Effingham	34,242	34,371	0.4%
Jefferson	38,827	38,358	-1.2%
Total	73,069	72,729	-0.5%

Income Characteristics

In 2010 the assessment area’s median family income was less (\$55,777) than Illinois’ at \$68,236. The median family income in the assessment area increased between 2014 and 2015 and decreased slightly between 2015 and 2016.

**Borrower Income Levels
Illinois State Non-Metropolitan Area**

FFIEC Estimated Median Family Income		Low 0 - 49.99%	Moderate 50% - 79.99%	Middle 80% - 119.99%	Upper 120% - & above
2014	\$58,600	0 - \$29,299	\$29,300 - \$46,879	\$46,880 - \$70,319	\$70,320 - & above
2015	\$60,100	0 - \$30,049	\$30,050 - \$48,079	\$48,080 - \$72,119	\$72,120 - & above
2016	\$58,000	0 - \$28,999	\$29,000 - \$46,399	\$46,400 - \$69,599	\$69,600 - & above

Poverty rates increased in the assessment area from 1999 to 2015.¹³⁸ Jefferson County had the highest poverty rates in 1999 and 2015 and experienced the largest increase in poverty rates during the evaluation period. In 2015, Jefferson County and Illinois poverty rates exceeded the national poverty rate. According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the national poverty rate in 2015 was 13.5%, down 1.3 percentage points from 14.8% in 2014. A community contact stated the economic situation differs from county to county in this area and that poverty rates remain high. The following table shows the poverty rates for 1999¹³⁹ and 2015.

County	1999 Poverty Rate	2015 Poverty Rate	Change
Effingham	8.1%	9.8%	21.0%
Jefferson	12.3%	16.7%	35.8%
Illinois	10.7%	13.6%	27.1%
U.S.	11.8%	13.5%	14.4%

¹³⁸ U.S. Department of Agriculture Economic Research Service Poverty Rates (for 1999 and 2015): <https://data.ers.usda.gov/reports.aspx?ID=17826>

¹³⁹ 1999 National Poverty Rate: <http://www.census.gov/prod/2000pubs/p60-210.pdf>

Housing Characteristics

According to 2010 U.S. Census data, there are 31,637 housing units and 19,315 families in the assessment area. From an income perspective, 10.8% of housing units, 7.5% of owner-occupied units, and 8.6% of families are located in low- or moderate-income tracts. Three-quarters of the housing units in the low-income census tracts are either rental or vacant (75.0%) and 25.1% are owner-occupied. In the moderate-income census tracts, 44.7% of the housing units are either rental or vacant and 55.4% are owner-occupied. Therefore, based on the number of housing units compared to the number of families in low- and moderate-income census tracts, there appear to be limited credit-related opportunities for Fifth Third to provide various aspects of affordable housing in the assessment area.

The 2010 U.S. Census data shows the median age of housing stock in the assessment area was 37 years old and 22.3% of the stock was built before 1950. However, within the assessment area, the median age of housing stock was 57 years in low- and moderate-income tracts. Therefore, there appears to be opportunity for Fifth Third to provide home improvement and rehabilitation loans in these lower-income areas.

According to 2010 U.S. Census data, the median housing value in the assessment area was \$95,758 with an affordability ratio of 46.51. The affordability ratio is derived by dividing the median household income by the median housing value. The higher the affordability ratio, the more affordable a home is considered. Median housing values increased between 2010 and 2011-2015, as did median family incomes; as a result, housing became more affordable in Effingham County and slightly less affordable in Jefferson County during the evaluation period. Median gross rents increased across the assessment area, with renters in Jefferson County experiencing the largest increase in rental rates. In 2010, about 39.8% of renters across the assessment area had rent costs greater than 30.0% of income. Increasing rental rates may make it more difficult for potential homebuyers to save for a down payment for a home. A community contact stated that low- and moderate-income individuals are still not able to buy homes, which means the need for a sufficient supply of quality, affordable, and stable rental housing has become increasingly important. The table below presents housing characteristics from the U.S. Census data between 2010 and 2015 in the assessment area and Illinois.

County	2010 Median Housing Value	2010 Affordability Ratio	2011-2015 Median Housing Value	2011-2015 Affordability Ratio	2010 Median Gross Rent	2011-2015 Median Gross Rent	Percent of Change
Effingham	\$106,500	46.49	\$128,200	40.74	\$541	\$581	7.4%
Jefferson	\$85,800	47.97	\$87,300	49.54	\$546	\$590	8.1%
Illinois	\$202,500	27.52	\$173,800	31.01	\$834	\$907	8.8%

According to Bankrate.com,¹⁴⁰ Illinois ranked seventh for foreclosure filings in November 2016. The national average for foreclosure filings was one in every 1,533 housing units. The following table contains information about foreclosure filings in the assessment area, according to Realtytrac:¹⁴¹

Geography Name	Ratio of Properties Receiving Foreclosure Filings in November 2016
Effingham	1:3,045
Jefferson	1:995
Illinois	1:1,036
U.S.	1:1,533

In November 2016, Jefferson County had the highest rate of foreclosure. In addition, the Jefferson County rate of foreclosure exceeded Illinois and nationwide foreclosure rates.

Labor, Employment, and Economic Characteristics

According to Effingham Regional Alliance,¹⁴² the top ten employees represent a diverse set of industries:

Effingham County Major Employers	
Company	Number of Employees
Quad Graphics (manufacturing)	826
HSHA St. Anthony’s Memorial Hospital (health care)	784
Sherwin-Williams Company (paint products/distribution)	451
Patterson Companies, Inc. (dental software)	440
Stevens Industries, Inc. (manufacturing)	400
Three Z Printing (commercial printing)	375
Heartland Dental Care (professional services)	343
Effingham Equity (agriculture)	338
FedEx (distribution)	320
Martin’s IGA (grocery store)	285

According to Jefferson County Development Corporation,¹⁴³ the employee base for business is regional and is drawn from eight surrounding counties. The economic strength, stability, and growth of Jefferson County have significant impact on the Southern Illinois region. Jefferson County is home to three of the largest employers in Southern Illinois:

¹⁴⁰ Bankrate.com: <http://www.bankrate.com/finance/real-estate/foreclosures-by-state/default.aspx>


¹⁴¹ Realtytrac: <http://www.realtytrac.com/statsandtrends/foreclosuretrends/>

¹⁴² Effingham Regional Alliance: <http://www.effinghamregionalalliance.com/regional-employers/>

¹⁴³ Jefferson County Development Corporation: http://www.jeffcodev.org/profile_jeffersoncounty.html

Jefferson County Major Employers	
Company	Number of Employees
Continental Tire the Americas	3,200
Walgreens Distribution Center	1,385
Good Samaritan Regional Health Center	1,108

The following table illustrates the average unemployment rates for 2014, 2015, and 2016 across the assessment area and Illinois.



Effingham County had unemployment rates below Jefferson County and Illinois, while Jefferson County’s unemployment rate was above Illinois’ and Effingham County’s rates. Three community contacts stated the economic situation differs from county to county, as some counties in this area have lower unemployment rates, while other counties have unemployment rates above state and national averages. These comments are reflected in the table above.

No major layoffs were noted in this assessment area during the evaluation period.

Combined Demographics Report

Assessment Area: IL Southern IL

Income Categories	Tract Distribution		Families by Tract Income		Families < Poverty Level as % of Families by Tract		Families by Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	1	5.3	269	1.4	161	59.9	3,750	19.4
Moderate-income	2	10.5	1,396	7.2	322	23.1	3,214	16.6
Middle-income	12	63.2	12,834	66.4	1,255	9.8	4,437	23
Upper-income	4	21.1	4,816	24.9	240	5	7,914	41
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	19	100.0	19,315	100.0	1,978	10.2	19,315	100.0
	Housing	Housing Types by Tract						
	Units by Tract	Owner-Occupied			Rental		Vacant	
		#	%	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	733	184	0.8	25.1	355	48.4	194	26.5
Moderate-income	2,670	1,478	6.7	55.4	735	27.5	457	17.1
Middle-income	20,682	14,491	65.4	70.1	4,591	22.2	1,600	7.7
Upper-income	7,552	6,009	27.1	79.6	983	13	560	7.4
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	31,637	22,162	100.0	70.1	6,664	21.1	2,811	8.9
	Total Businesses by Tract	Businesses by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	213	6.3	179	6	29	9.2	5	10.2
Moderate-income	170	5.1	151	5	18	5.7	1	2
Middle-income	2,099	62.6	1,884	63	184	58.4	31	63.3
Upper-income	873	26	777	26	84	26.7	12	24.5
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	3,355	100.0	2,991	100.0	315	100.0	49	100.0
	Percentage of Total Businesses:		89.2		9.4		1.5	
	Total Farms by Tract	Farms by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Moderate-income	2	0.5	2	0.5	0	0	0	0
Middle-income	276	70.8	274	70.8	2	66.7	0	0
Upper-income	112	28.7	111	28.7	1	33.3	0	0
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	390	100.0	387	100.0	3	100.0	0	.0
	Percentage of Total Farms:		99.2		.8		.0	

**CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN
NON-METROPOLITAN SOUTHERN ILLINOIS**

Lending Test

Fifth Third’s performance under the lending test in this assessment area is rated adequate. Fifth Third has demonstrated an adequate responsiveness to the credit needs of the community. In addition, Fifth Third originated one community development loan totaling \$28.3 million in the area. Fifth Third has a good geographic distribution of loans, with no lending gaps. Fifth Third has a good distribution among borrowers of different income levels and adequate to businesses of different revenue sizes and exhibits an adequate record of serving the credit needs of highly economically disadvantaged areas in its assessment area, low-income individuals, and businesses with gross annual revenues of \$1 million or less.

Greatest consideration was given to the evaluation of refinance lending based on the overall volume of lending, followed by small business, and home purchase lending. There were an insufficient number of home improvement loans to analyze performance. Details of Fifth Third’s residential mortgage and small business lending, as well as information regarding aggregate lending, can be found in Appendix E.

Lending Activity

Fifth Third’s lending activity reflects an adequate responsiveness to the credit needs within the assessment area. Fifth Third originated 77 refinance, 56 home purchase, 25 home improvement, 73 small business, and one community development loans during the evaluation period. The percentage of Fifth Third’s total lending at 0.2% is slightly greater than the percentage of total deposits at 0.1% in this area.

Geographic Distribution of Loans

Overall, the geographic distribution of loans is good. Refinance lending, which is the largest loan category, is good, while performance for home purchase is adequate. Small business lending is good. Further, no lending gaps were noted for the assessment area, as shown in the following table.

Tract Income Levels	Number of Tracts	Tracts with No Loans	Penetration
Low	1	0	100.0%
Moderate	2	0	100.0%
Middle	12	0	100.0%
Upper	4	0	100.0%
Total	19	0	100.0%

Fifth Third’s excellent penetration of loans throughout the assessment area helped to enhance performance, resulting in an overall good loan penetration throughout the assessment area.

Refinance Loans

Fifth Third did not originate any loans in the one low-income tract in the assessment area and there were no loans made by the aggregate of all lenders. However, only 2.0% of the population in this assessment area lives in the low-income census tracts. Also, only 0.8% of the owner-occupied housing units are located in the low-income tract, leaving little opportunity for home mortgage lending. Therefore, the geographic distribution of refinance loans in low-income tracts is considered adequate.

Fifth Third made four refinance loans totaling \$173,000 in moderate-income tracts. This represents 5.2% of refinance loans by volume, which is comparable to the owner-occupied units in these tracts at 6.7%. The percentage of loans by dollar amount at 2.7% is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate at 3.9% and the 2015 aggregate at 2.4%. As Fifth Third's performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and was comparable to proxy, the geographic distribution of refinance loans in moderate-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 47 refinance loans totaling \$3.6 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 61.0% of refinance loans by volume, which is below the owner-occupied units in these tracts at 65.4%. Also, refinance loans by dollar amount (56.1%) was below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate at 67.1% and with the 2015 aggregate at 64.8%.

Fifth Third made 26 refinance loans totaling \$2.6 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 33.8% of refinance loans by volume, which exceeds the owner-occupied units in these tracts at 27.1%, and 41.2% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate at 29.1% and the 2015 aggregate at 31.9%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of refinance loans is good.

Home Purchase Loans

Fifth Third made one home purchase loan totaling \$22,000 in the low-income tract. This represents 1.8% of home purchase loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 0.8%, and 0.3% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate at 0.5% and the 2015 aggregate at 0.1%. While Fifth Third's performance exceeded the proxy, the volume of loans was small; therefore, the geographic distribution of home purchase loans in low-income tracts is good.

Fifth Third made one home purchase loan totaling \$21,000 in moderate-income tracts. This represents 1.8% of its home purchase loans by volume, which is significantly below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 6.7%, and 0.3% by dollar amount, which was significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate at 4.9% and was below the 2015 aggregate at 3.1%. As Fifth Third's performance was significantly below proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of home purchase loans in moderate-income tracts is poor.

Fifth Third made 35 home purchase loans totaling \$4.4 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 62.5% of home purchase loans by volume, which is below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 65.4%, and 63.2% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 60.9% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 68.4%.

Fifth Third made 19 home purchase loans totaling \$2.5 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 33.9% of home purchase loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 27.1%, and 36.2% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 33.7% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 31.6%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of home purchase loans is adequate.

Small Business Loans

Fifth Third made one small business loans totaling \$5,000 in the low-income tract. This represents 1.4% of small business loans by volume, which is below the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 6.0%, and <0.1% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 5.8% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 6.1%. A community contact indicated the economic conditions in Southern Illinois as generally adverse with limited opportunities due to high unemployment and poverty. Financial institutions are actively competing for bankable loans and are engaged in business development. Therefore, the geographic distribution of small business loans in low-income tracts is adequate.

Fifth Third made four small business loans totaling \$66,000 in moderate-income tracts, which represents 5.5% of small business loans by volume, which slightly exceeds the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 5.0%. This also represents 0.5% small business loans by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 6.2% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 5.2%. Given that Fifth Third's performance slightly exceeded proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of small business loans in moderate-income tracts is good.

Fifth Third made 50 small business loans totaling \$10.3 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 68.5% of small business loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 63.0%, and 83.2% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 62.0% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 63.9%.

Fifth Third made 18 small business loans totaling \$2.0 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 24.7% of small business loans by volume, which is below the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 26.0%, and 16.3% by dollar amount, which is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 20.4% and slightly exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 21.2%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of small business loans is good.

Distribution by Borrower Income and Revenue Size of the Business

The distribution of loans is good based on borrower income and adequate for businesses of different revenue sizes. Borrower distribution is good for refinance and excellent for home purchase loans.

Refinance Loans

Fifth Third made nine loans totaling \$445,000 to low-income borrowers. This represents 11.7% of refinance loans by volume, which is below the percentage of low-income families at 19.4%. The percentage of loans by dollar amount in these geographies at 6.9% is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 7.0% and the 2015 aggregate of 7.3%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders, but was below proxy, the borrower distribution of refinance loans to low-income borrowers is good.

Fifth Third made 20 loans totaling \$1.2 million to moderate-income borrowers. This represents 26.0% of refinance loans by volume, which significantly exceeds the percentage of moderate-income families at 16.6%, and 19.3% by dollar volume, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 12.8% and significantly exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 17.3%. As Fifth Third's performance significantly exceeded proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, the borrower distribution of refinance loans to moderate-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 19 loans totaling \$1.5 million to middle-income borrowers. This represents 24.7% of refinance loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of middle-income families at 23.0%, and 23.1% by dollar amount, which is comparable to proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 24.5% and was comparable to the 2015 aggregate of 24.8%.

Fifth Third made 27 loans totaling \$3.0 million to upper-income borrowers. This represents 35.1% of refinance loans by volume, which is below the percentage of upper-income families at 41.0%, while the percentage of loans by dollar amount at 47.0% exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 46.5% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 39.8%.

Overall, the borrower distribution of refinance loans is good.

Home Purchase Loans

Fifth Third made eight loans totaling \$538,000 to low-income borrowers. This represents 14.3% of home purchase loans by volume, which is below the percentage of low-income families at 19.4%, and 7.7% of loans by dollar amount, which is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 6.9% and significantly exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 8.3%. Because Fifth Third's performance significantly exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and was below proxy, the borrower distribution of home purchase loans to low-income borrowers is good.

Fifth Third made ten loans totaling \$641,000 to moderate-income borrowers. This represents 17.9% of home purchase loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of moderate-income families at 16.6%, and 9.2% of loans by dollar amount, which is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 22.8% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 19.3%. Because Fifth Third's performance exceeded proxy, the borrower distribution of home purchase loans to moderate-income borrowers is good.

Fifth Third made 20 loans totaling \$2.4 million to middle-income borrowers. This represents 35.7% of home purchase loans by volume, which significantly exceeds the percentage of middle-income families at 23.0%, and 34.1% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume significantly exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 22.2% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 21.4%.

Fifth Third made 17 loans totaling \$3.2 million to upper-income borrowers. This represents 30.4% of home purchase loans by volume, which is less than the percentage of upper-income families at 41.0%, and 45.9% of loans by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate of 24.3% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 28.8%.

A community contact indicated low-and moderate-income individuals are not able to buy homes due to the high percentage of rentals in more affordable areas; therefore, Fifth Third's strong performance in home purchase lending to low- and moderate-income borrowers compared to proxy and the aggregate of all lenders is excellent.

Small Business Loans

The distribution of small business loans to businesses of different sizes is adequate, considering Fifth Third's performance relative to the aggregate of all lenders. Fifth Third was able to make 27.4% of small business loans to businesses with revenues of \$1 million or less. Fifth Third's performance in 2014 was below the 2014 aggregate of 35.9% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 39.8% and was significantly below the percentage of small businesses in the assessment area at 89.2%. Also, during the evaluation period, Fifth Third was able to make an acceptable percentage of small-dollar loans (56.2%) up to \$100,000, indicating a willingness to lend in smaller amounts typically requested by small businesses.

Community Development Loans

Fifth Third originated one community development loan totaling \$28.3 million during the evaluation period. The loan was a working capital loan to promote economic development by financing a small business to support retention of jobs for low- and moderate-income workers.

Community development lending in this assessment area represents 0.5% of the total dollar volume of community development loans originated during the evaluation period. This ranks as Fifth Third's 36th highest percentage of community development lending during the evaluation period. As such, Fifth Third made an adequate level of community development loans.

Investment Test

Fifth Third’s performance relative to the investment test in the assessment area is rated adequate.

Fifth Third made an adequate level of qualified community development investments and grants. Fifth Third has 20 qualified investments totaling \$330,256 during the evaluation period. Shown in the table below are the total current period investments:

Economic Development		Community Services	
#	\$	#	\$
9	18,010	10	9,700

Also included in the total number of qualified investments is one prior period investment totaling \$302,546. Fifth Third made less than 0.1% of its total community development investments in this assessment area, which is slightly less than the percentage of total deposits at 0.1% and less than the percentage of branch offices at 0.2%.

Fifth Third exhibited an adequate responsiveness to credit and community development needs in the assessment area. Included in total investments are 19 donations totaling \$27,710 that supported local chambers of commerce, charitable organizations, small businesses, and churches. The majority of Fifth Third’s donations (65.0%) supported economic development, which is an important need expressed by several community contacts due to the high unemployment and poverty rates in portions of the assessment area.

Service Test

Fifth Third’s performance under the service test in the assessment area is rated adequate. Retail services are reasonably accessible to essentially all of Fifth Third’s assessment areas and Fifth Third provides an adequate level of community development services.

Retail Services

Fifth Third’s record of opening and closing offices has generally not adversely affected the accessibility of its delivery systems, particularly in low- and moderate-income geographies and to low- and moderate-income households. Since the previous evaluation period, no banking centers were opened or closed.

Delivery services are reasonably accessible to Fifth Third’s geographies and individuals of different income levels.

Business hours and services provided do not vary in a way that inconveniences certain portions of the assessment area, including low- and moderate-income geographies or households, and are consistent with the services and hours discussed in the “Institution” assessment.

Fifth Third maintains two banking centers within this assessment area, including none in low-, none in moderate-, one in middle-, and one in upper-income census tracts. Fifth Third banking centers in this assessment area represent 0.2% of all its banking centers.

Fifth Third has a total of two full-service ATMs within this assessment area, including none in low-, none in moderate-, one in middle-, and one in upper-income census tracts.

The following table illustrates the percentage of banking centers and ATMs in low-, moderate-, middle-, and upper-income census tracts in comparison to the number and percentage of census tracts and the percentage of households and businesses in those tracts.

Assessment Area: IL Southern IL

Tract Category	Branches				Stand Alone ATMs						Demographics				
	Total Branches		Open #	Closed #	Total ATMs		Full Service ATMs		Cash only ATMs		Census Tracts		House holds %	Total Businesses %	
	#	%			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%			
Low	0	0.0%	0	0	Total	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.3%	1.9%	6.6%
Moderate	0	0.0%	0	0	Total	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	10.5%	7.7%	5.2%
Middle	1	50.0%	0	0	Total	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	12	63.2%	66.2%	62.7%
Upper	1	50.0%	0	0	Total	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	4	21.1%	24.3%	25.4%
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0	Total	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total	2	100.0%	0	0	Total	2	100.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	19	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

2016 FFIEC Census Data, 2010 ACS Data, and 2015 D&B Information

Closed branches/ATMs are only included in "closed" columns and are not included in any other totals.

DTO - Drive thru only is a subset of total branches

SA = Stand Alone ATM is a subset of total ATMs

Branch distribution within low- and moderate-income tracts was considered poor, as the distribution of branches was below the percentage of census tracts and households in these tracts. However, these tracts have low population levels.

Community Development Services

Fifth Third provides an adequate level of providing community development services in this assessment area. During the evaluation period, Fifth Third employees provided 126 hours of community development service to local organizations serving low- and moderate-income individuals, which represents 0.1% of all community development services provided and equates to 0.06 annualized persons (ANP).

Economic Development	Community Services
<i># of Hours</i>	<i># of Hours</i>
72	54

Employees provided financial expertise through leadership positions in several community organizations that promote community and economic development. Community development services include 94 hours serving on boards and committees, 24 hours providing technical assistance to local business, and eight hours participating in foreclosure prevention outreach.

METROPOLITAN and NON-METROPOLITAN AREAS
(Limited-scope Review)

DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION’S OPERATIONS IN THE STATE OF ILLINOIS

- **Carbondale-Marion MSA**
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third operated two branches in the assessment area, representing 16.7% of its branches in Illinois.
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third had \$56,462 in deposits in this assessment area, representing a market share of 4.8% and 14.9% of its statewide deposits.
- **Non-metropolitan Northern Illinois**
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third operated five branches in the assessment area, representing 41.7% of its branches in Illinois.
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third had \$133,311 in deposits in this assessment area, representing a market share of 3.8% and 35.1% of it statewide deposits.
- **Rockford MSA**
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third operated three branches in the assessment area, representing 25.0% of its branches in Illinois.
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third had \$58,217 in deposits in this assessment area, representing a market share of 1.0% and 15.3% of it statewide deposits.

**CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN
THE STATE OF ILLINOIS**

Through the use of available facts and data, including performance and demographic information, each assessment area’s performance was evaluated and compared with Fifth Third’s performance in the state. The conclusions regarding performance are provided in the table below. Please refer to the tables in Appendix F for information regarding these areas.

Assessment Area	Lending Test	Investment Test	Service Test
Carbondale-Marion MSA	Consistent	Consistent	Consistent
Non-metropolitan Northern Illinois	Consistent	Above	Above
Rockford MSA	Consistent	Below	Above

For the lending test, Fifth Third received a “Low Satisfactory” rating for Illinois. Performance in all three limited-scope assessment areas was consistent with Fifth Third’s performance for the state. Lending levels were adequate for the geographic and borrower distribution of loans. Non-metropolitan Northern Illinois and the Rockford assessment area had adequate levels of community development loans. No community development lending was noted in the Carbondale-Marion assessment area. A high level of lending gaps was identified in the Rockford assessment area and a moderate level of lending gaps was identified in non-metropolitan Northern Illinois. There were no gaps in lending in the Carbondale-Marion assessment area.

For the investment test, Fifth Third received a “High Satisfactory” rating for Illinois. While performance in the Carbondale-Marion assessment area was consistent to the state, performance in the non-metropolitan Northern Illinois assessment area was stronger. The stronger performance was primarily attributable to several low-income housing tax credit investments in the assessment area. Although below the state’s performance, investment activity in the Rockford assessment area was adequate.

For the service test, Fifth Third received a “Low Satisfactory” rating for Illinois. Performance in the Carbondale-Marion assessment area was consistent to the state, while performance in non-metropolitan Northern Illinois and the Rockford assessment areas was stronger. The stronger performance in the non-metropolitan Northern Illinois and the Rockford assessment areas was primarily due higher levels of hours dedicated to providing qualified community development services relative to Fifth Third’s operational presence in these assessment areas. Retail services were good in the Carbondale-Marion and non-metropolitan Northern Illinois assessment areas and adequate in the Rockford assessment area. The stronger retail services performance in the Carbondale-Marion and non-metropolitan Northern Illinois assessment areas was primarily due to greater accessibility of delivery systems in lower-income geographies.

The performance in the limited-scope assessment areas did not change the overall state rating.

STATE OF INDIANA

CRA RATING for State of Indiana:¹⁴⁴ Outstanding

The lending test is rated: Outstanding

The investment test is rated: Outstanding

The service test is rated: Outstanding

The major factors supporting this rating include:

- An excellent responsiveness to credit needs;
- An excellent geographic distribution of loans throughout the assessment area;
- An excellent distribution of loans among borrowers of different income levels and good to businesses of different revenue sizes;
- Exhibits a good record of serving the credit needs of low-income individuals and areas and very small businesses;
- A leader in making community development loans;
- Extensive use of flexible lending practices in serving the assessment area's credit needs;
- An excellent level of qualified community development investments and grants;
- Often in a leadership position in providing community development investments and grants;
- Retail delivery systems are accessible to all geographies and individuals of different income levels and businesses of different revenue sizes;
- A record of opening and closing banking centers that has not adversely affected the accessibility of delivery systems;
- Banking services and hours that do not vary in a way that inconveniences any portions of the assessment areas; and,
- A leader in providing community development services.

SCOPE OF EXAMINATION

Full-scope reviews were conducted for two assessment areas in Indiana: the Indianapolis-Carmel-Muncie CSA and non-metropolitan Southern Indiana. Limited-scope reviews were performed on the remaining five assessment areas: the Bloomington MSA, Fort Wayne MSA, Lafayette-W. Lafayette MSA, non-metropolitan Northern Indiana, and the Terre Haute MSA.

¹⁴⁴ For institutions with branches in two or more states in a multi-state metropolitan area, this statewide evaluation is adjusted and does not reflect performance in the parts of those states contained within the multi-state metropolitan area. Refer to the multi-state metropolitan area rating and discussion for the rating and evaluation of the institution's performance in that area.

The time period, products, and affiliates evaluated for this assessment area are consistent with the scope discussed in the “Institution” section of this report.

The Indianapolis-Carmel-Muncie and non-metropolitan Southern Indiana assessment areas received greater weight in determining the CRA rating for the state. These areas had the largest lending volumes and number of banking centers and ranked first and second, respectively, in the state’s share of deposits during the evaluation period. Lastly, these areas represented 70.6% of the banking centers, 86.4% of deposits, and 74.8% of lending in Indiana.

DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION’S OPERATIONS IN THE STATE OF INDIANA

Lending activity accounted for 7.2% of Fifth Third’s total lending activity, while deposits accounted for 6.6% of Fifth Third’s total deposits. HMDA-reportable lending in Indiana represented 8.0% of Fifth Third’s total HMDA lending, while CRA-reportable lending represented 5.2% of Fifth Third’s total CRA lending. As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third ranked third among 155 insured institutions and has a deposit market share of 7.2% and 128 banking center locations within Indiana.

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN THE STATE OF INDIANA

Lending Test

Fifth Third’s performance under the lending test within the assessment areas located in Indiana is rated “Outstanding.” Fifth Third’s lending reflects an excellent responsiveness to the credit needs in the following two of seven assessment areas: Indianapolis-Carmel-Muncie and non-metropolitan Southern Indiana. Lending reflects a good responsiveness to credit needs in the Fort Wayne assessment area and an adequate responsiveness in the remaining four assessment areas: Bloomington, Lafayette-W. Lafayette, non-metropolitan Northern Indiana, and Terre Haute.

Lending Activity

In Indiana, Fifth Third originated 8,304 HMDA loans totaling \$1.1 billion and 2,051 small business loans totaling \$256.4 million during the evaluation period.

Lending activity in Indiana is excellent. The Indianapolis-Carmel-Muncie and non-metropolitan Southern Indiana assessment areas have excellent lending activity. Lending activity is good in the Bloomington, Fort Wayne, and Terre Haute assessment areas and adequate in the remaining two assessment areas.

Geographic and Borrower Distribution

The distribution of loans among geographies is excellent in the assessment areas located in Indiana. The geographic distribution is excellent in the Indianapolis-Carmel-Muncie and non-metropolitan Southern Indiana assessment areas. In the Fort Wayne assessment area, the geographic distribution is good and adequate in the remaining four assessment areas.

A low level of lending gaps was identified in five of the seven assessment areas. There were no gaps in lending in either the Terre Haute or the non-metropolitan Northern Indiana assessment areas.

The distribution of loans among borrowers of different income levels is excellent and good to businesses of different revenue sizes in the assessment areas located in Indiana. The borrower distribution is excellent in the Indianapolis-Carmel-Muncie, non-metropolitan Southern Indiana, and the remaining five assessment areas. The distribution to businesses of different revenue sizes is excellent in the Bloomington and Fort Wayne assessment areas, good in the Indianapolis-Carmel-Muncie and non-metropolitan Southern Indiana assessment areas, and adequate in the remaining three assessment areas.

A detailed analysis for the geographic distribution and borrower-income distribution is provided with the analysis for each assessment area.

Community Development Loans

In Indiana, Fifth Third originated 47 community development loans totaling \$427.0 million, which represents 7.1% of the Fifth Third's community development lending by dollar volume. This is an outstanding level of community development lending in Indiana. Fifth Third was a leader in providing community development loans in the Indianapolis-Carmel-Muncie assessment area. Fifth Third made a relatively high level of community development loans in non-metropolitan Southern Indiana and the Fort Wayne assessment areas and an adequate level of community development loans in the remaining four assessment areas.

Flexible Lending

Fifth Third consistently made an extensive use of flexible lending practices within assessment areas located in Indiana.

Investment Test

Fifth Third's performance under the investment test within the assessment areas located in Indiana is rated "Outstanding." Fifth Third funded \$155.3 million in qualified community development investments in Indiana during the evaluation period, consisting of \$102.0 million obtained from new investments made during the current review period and \$53.3 million from prior period investments. The majority of investments were LIHTCs. Fifth Third's level of qualified investments is excellent in four of seven assessment areas. The level of qualified investments is good in the Lafayette-W. Lafayette and Terre Haute assessment areas and adequate in non-metropolitan Northern Indiana.

Fifth Third was considered responsive to the credit and community development needs in the state; therefore, investments without a purpose, mandate, or function of serving Fifth Third's assessment areas in Indiana was considered to positively impact state performance. Fifth Third made \$1.9 million in qualified investments, typically in the form of LIHTCs that benefited counties within the state, but outside Fifth Third's delineated assessment areas within Indiana. Additional information regarding performance under the investment test is provided in the respective analyses for each assessment area.

Service Test

Fifth Third's performance under the service test within the assessment areas located in Indiana is rated "Outstanding." Fifth Third's performance is excellent in the Indianapolis-Carmel-Muncie assessment area, adequate in the non-metropolitan Southern Indiana assessment area, and poor in the Bloomington assessment area. Fifth Third's performance was good in the remaining four assessment areas.

For details regarding the institution's performance in the individual assessment areas, refer to the respective assessment area's "Service Test" section in this report.

Retail Services

Retail delivery systems are accessible to all geographies, including low- and moderate-income geographies, individuals of different income levels, and businesses of different revenue sizes in Fifth Third's assessment areas. Retail service distribution is good in six assessment areas and adequate in the Bloomington assessment area.

Fifth Third has 226 banking centers in Indiana, which represents 17.4% of Fifth Third's total branches. Fifth Third's record of opening and closing banking centers has not adversely affected the accessibility of its delivery systems, particularly in low- and moderate-income geographies and to low- and moderate-income individuals. One branch closed in a moderate-income tract during the evaluation period in the Indianapolis-Carmel-Muncie assessment area.

Banking services and business hours do not vary in a way that inconveniences any portions of the Fifth Third's assessment areas and are consistent with the services and hours discussed in the "Institution" assessment.

Community Development Services

Fifth Third is a leader in providing community development services in Indiana. Fifth Third's performance is excellent in the Indianapolis-Carmel-Muncie, Lafayette-W. Lafayette, and non-metropolitan Northern Indiana assessment areas. The level of community development services is adequate in non-metropolitan Southern Indiana and poor in the Bloomington assessment area. Fifth Third's performance is good in the remaining two assessment areas.

METROPOLITAN AREA
(Full-scope Review)

**DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION'S OPERATIONS IN
INDIANAPOLIS-CARMEL-MUNCIE IN CSA #294**

The Indianapolis-Carmel-Muncie IN CSA consists of the following two MSAs: Columbus IN MSA #18020, consisting of Bartholomew County, and Indianapolis-Carmel-Anderson IN MSA #26900, consisting of Boone, Brown, Hamilton, Hancock, Hendricks, Johnson, Madison, Marion, Morgan, Putnam, and Shelby counties.

The assessment area is comprised of 51 low-, 111 moderate-, 159 middle-, and 90 upper-income tracts. There is also one tract with no income designation that does not report income information.

As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third ranked third out of 50 institutions with 9.2% of the deposit market share. JP Morgan Chase Bank had the majority of the market share 23.3% of deposits, followed by PNC Bank with 16.3% of the market share, respectively. Deposits in this assessment area accounted for 4.0% of the Fifth Third's total deposits. This was 73.0% of deposits within the state and the seventh-highest within Fifth Third's CRA footprint.

From January 1, 2014 through June 30, 2016, Fifth Third originated 4,925 HMDA loans and 1,359 CRA loans, which represented 4.7% and 3.5% of the total loans originated during the evaluation period, respectively. This was the seventh-largest HMDA market and ninth-largest CRA market for loans originated during the evaluation period.

In 2015, Fifth Third Mortgage Company ranked 21st among 587 HMDA reporters in the assessment area, Fifth Third Mortgage Company ranked sixth, and Fifth Third ranked 80th. Wells Fargo Bank, Union Savings Bank, Caliber Home Loans, JP Morgan Chase Bank, and Huntington Bank were the top five HMDA lenders in the assessment area. Fifth Third ranked 19th of 118 CRA reporters in the assessment area in 2015. The top five CRA lenders in the assessment area were American Express, Chase Bank USA, PNC Bank, Capital One, and Synchrony Bank. These lenders are mostly issuers of credit cards and their CRA loans primarily consist of commercial credit card accounts.

Four community contact interviews were conducted to provide additional information regarding the assessment area. The first contact, representing an organization that provides economic development services for local businesses and government, stated the economy is strong and expanding. However, the contact believed banks make it difficult for individuals with poor credit history to obtain financing for car loans and other types consumer loans; therefore, there is an opportunity for banks to counsel and provide financial literacy training to consumers with poor credit history to assist them in gaining and restoring access to credit.

The second contact, representing an organization that advocates for various neighborhood development projects, stated one of the most significant needs in the community is to garner more support for neighborhoods to become more economically diverse by helping them attract businesses that are connected to nearby economic engines and to develop more affordable housing. The primary challenge in the area of housing is that while there is available capital for home purchase loans for new houses, there is not as much available capital for renovating existing homes, particularly in lower-income neighborhoods. As a result, there are an increasing number of older homes that require renovation and there is an opportunity for banks to originate home improvement loans. The contact believed local community banks do not have the resources to invest in large community development projects and larger financial institutions prefer to support programs that can be implemented across their entire footprint, which may not benefit the local economy. The contact stated that small businesses need access to small-dollar loans up to \$100,000. Without access to these types of loans, area businesses might find it difficult to grow or expand.

The third contact, representing an organization that supports services to low- and moderate-income individuals, stated about 12.0% of area households are below the poverty level, which makes it difficult to participate in the growing economy. The contact believed there is a need for banks to participate in financial literacy programs to help lower-income individuals feel less financially excluded. The contact mentioned the following banks as being active in participating in financial literacy education: Main Source Bank, 1st Financial Bank, PNC Bank, Old National Bank, and Central Credit Union.

The fourth contact, representing a government housing agency that provides low-income families, seniors, and individuals with disabilities access to affordable housing, stated the local economy is favorable and the housing market is rebounding since the recession and housing is mostly affordable; however, there are opportunities for financial institutions to offer financial literacy classes targeted to lower-income individuals and the unbanked, since a lack of economic opportunities makes it difficult to start or grow a business or own a home.

Population Characteristics

According to 2010 U.S. Census data, the population in the assessment area was 2.0 million. About 30.7% of the population lived in low- and moderate-income tracts. In addition, 74.1% of the population was 18 years of age or older, the legal age to enter into a contract.

As of July 1, 2015, the Indianapolis-Carmel-Anderson MSA is the 34th largest in terms of population in the nation, while the Columbus MSA is the 375th largest.¹⁴⁵ Marion County is the largest county in the assessment area and Indiana.¹⁴⁶ Indianapolis (located in Marion County) is the largest city in assessment area and is the 14th most populous city in the U.S. Indianapolis has 853,173 residents and its population increased by 9.0% between 2000 and 2015.

¹⁴⁵ MSA population data is derived from the U.S. Census Data 2015 Statistical Abstract:
<https://factfinder.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productview.xhtml?src=bkmk>

¹⁴⁶ U.S. Places: <http://us-places.com> (main page – enter state, choose population by county)

In contrast, the next largest cities in the CSA are Carmel, Anderson, and Columbus, which have 88,713, 55,305, and 46,690 residents, respectively.¹⁴⁷

The following table shows the population in the assessment area by county for 2010 and 2015, with the percentage of the population increase or decrease.¹⁴⁸ The population within the assessment area experienced positive growth between 2010 and 2015, with Hamilton County experiencing the greatest growth and Brown County experiencing the least growth in population during this time period. Moody’s Analytics noted positive population growth and steady immigration trends in the Indianapolis and the Columbus MSAs. These trends could indicate an increased demand in prospective homebuyers in those metropolitan areas.

County	2010 Population	2015 Population	Population Percent Change
Bartholomew	76,794	81,162	5.7%
Boone	56,640	63,344	11.8%
Brown	15,242	14,977	-1.7%
Hamilton	274,569	309,697	12.8%
Hancock	70,002	72,520	3.6%
Hendricks	145,448	158,192	8.8%
Johnson	139,654	149,633	7.1%
Madison	131,636	129,723	-1.5%
Marion	903,393	939,020	3.9%
Morgan	68,894	69,648	1.1%
Putnam	37,963	37,585	-1.0%
Shelby	44,436	44,478	0.1%
Total	1,964,671	2,069,979	5.4%

Income Characteristics

In 2010 the assessment area median family income was greater (\$64,596) than Indiana at \$58,944. Between 2014 and 2016, in spite of a slight decrease in 2015, the median family income generally increased in the Columbus MSA. In the Indianapolis MSA, median family income increased in 2014 and 2015, but decreased by nearly 5.0% between 2015 and 2016. Moody’s Analytics noted high affordability for residents and businesses, strong population growth, and sturdy job market induces more residents to relocate to the metropolitan area.

¹⁴⁷ U.S. Census QuickFacts: <https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/> (main page – enter state, county, city, town, or zip code)

¹⁴⁸ Population Estimates derived from U.S. Census Data (April 1, 2010 – July 1, 2015): <http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/table/PST045216/00>

**Borrower Income Levels
Columbus, IN MSA**

FFIEC Estimated Median Family Income		Low 0 - 49.99%	Moderate 50% - 79.99%	Middle 80% - 119.99%	Upper 120% - & above
2014	\$66,900	0 - \$33,449	\$33,450 - \$53,519	\$53,520 - \$80,279	\$80,280 - & above
2015	\$65,800	0 - \$32,899	\$32,900 - \$52,639	\$52,640 - \$78,959	\$78,960 - & above
2016	\$67,700	0 - \$33,849	\$33,850 - \$54,159	\$54,160 - \$81,239	\$81,240 - & above

**Borrower Income Levels
Indianapolis-Carmel-Anderson, IN MSA**

FFIEC Estimated Median Family Income		Low 0 - 49.99%	Moderate 50% - 79.99%	Middle 80% - 119.99%	Upper 120% - & above
2014	\$67,900	0 - \$33,949	\$33,950 - \$54,319	\$54,320 - \$81,479	\$81,480 - & above
2015	\$68,900	0 - \$34,449	\$34,450 - \$55,119	\$55,120 - \$82,679	\$82,680 - & above
2016	\$65,600	0 - \$32,799	\$32,800 - \$52,479	\$52,480 - \$78,719	\$78,720 - & above

Poverty rates increased in each county in the assessment area from 1999 to 2015.¹⁴⁹ Hamilton County had the lowest poverty rate and Marion County had the highest poverty rate in 1999 and 2015. In 2015, only Marion County and Madison County had poverty rates greater than Indiana. Hancock County and Marion County experienced the largest increase in poverty rates during this period. In 2015, Indiana’s poverty rate exceeded the national rate. According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the national poverty rate in 2015 was 13.5%, down 1.3 percentage points from 14.8% in 2014. For most demographic groups, the 2015 poverty rates and number of people in poverty decreased from 2014.¹⁵⁰ A community contact indicated that about 12.0% of area households are below the poverty level, which makes it difficult to participate in the growing economy. The contact believed there is a need for banks to participate in financial literacy programs to help lower-income individuals feel less financially excluded. According to 2010 U.S. Census data, 11.5% of households in the assessment area were below the poverty level and Marion County and Madison County had the highest levels of households below the poverty at 15.4% and 13.7%, respectively. The following table shows the poverty rates for 1999¹⁵¹ and 2015.

¹⁴⁹ U.S. Department of Agriculture Economic Research Service Poverty Rates (for 1999 and 2015): <https://data.ers.usda.gov/reports.aspx?ID=17826>

¹⁵⁰ 2015 National Poverty: <http://www.census.gov/library/publications/2016/demo/p60-256.html>

¹⁵¹ 1999 National Poverty Rate: <http://www.census.gov/prod/2000pubs/p60-210.pdf>

County	1999 Poverty Rate	2015 Poverty Rate	Change
Bartholomew	7.3%	11.9%	63.0%
Boone	5.2%	6.0%	15.4%
Brown	8.9%	11.4%	28.1%
Hamilton	2.9%	4.7%	62.1%
Hancock	3.0%	6.0%	100.0%
Hendricks	3.6%	5.5%	52.8%
Johnson	5.6%	8.0%	42.9%
Madison	9.3%	16.7%	79.6%
Marion	11.4%	20.6%	80.7%
Morgan	6.6%	11.4%	72.7%
Putnam	8.0%	13.6%	70.0%
Shelby	7.6%	11.9%	56.6%
Indiana	9.5%	14.4%	51.6%
U.S.	11.8%	13.5%	14.4%

Housing Characteristics

According to 2010 U.S. Census data, there are 839,990 housing units and 493,743 families in the assessment area. From an income perspective, 35.0% of housing units, 23.0% of owner-occupied units, and 28.0% of families are located in low- or moderate-income tracts. Over two-thirds of the housing units in the low-income census tracts are either rental or vacant (68.9%) and 31.1% are owner-occupied. In the moderate-income census tracts, over half of the housing units are either rental or vacant (56.6%) and 43.4% are owner-occupied. Therefore, based on the number of housing units compared to the number of families in low- and moderate-income census tracts, there appear to be credit-related opportunities for Fifth Third to provide various aspects of affordable housing in the assessment area.

The 2010 U.S. Census data shows the median age of housing stock in the assessment area was 36 years old, with 19.5% of the stock built before 1950. The oldest housing stock was in Madison County with a median age of 48 years. The newest housing stock was 16 years in Hamilton County. However, within the assessment area, the median age of housing stock was 58 years in low-income tracts and 46 years in moderate-income tracts. A community contact stated there is an increasing number of older homes in lower-income neighborhoods requiring renovation; therefore, there appears to be a need and ample opportunity for Fifth Third to provide home improvement and rehabilitation loans in these lower-income neighborhoods.

According to 2010 U.S. Census data, the median housing value in the assessment area was \$139,808, with an affordability ratio of 37.33. The affordability ratio is derived by dividing the median household income by the median housing value. The higher the affordability ratio, the more affordable a home is considered. Median housing values varied between 2010 and 2011-2015, along with median family incomes; as a result, housing became slightly more affordable in the Columbus MSA (Bartholomew County) and slightly less affordable in half the counties in the Indianapolis MSA, whereas housing affordability in Marion County (the most populous county in assessment area) remained stable. During the evaluation period, the most affordable housing was in Madison County and the least affordable was in Brown County. Median gross rents increased across the assessment area, with renters in Brown County experiencing the largest increase in rental rates and renters in Putnam County experiencing the smallest increase in gross rental rates. The table below presents housing characteristics from the U.S. Census data between 2010 and 2015 in the assessment area and Indiana. According to Moody’s Analytics, housing is highly affordable for residents in the Columbus MSA and Indianapolis MSA. Above-average job and income gains and stable housing market may lead to a growth in prospective homebuyers in 2017. According to 2010 U.S. Census data, 45.5% of renters in the assessment area had rent costs greater than 30.0% of their income and combined with increased rental rates across the assessment area, potential homebuyers may not be able to save for a down payment for a home.

County	2010 Median Housing Value	2010 Affordability Ratio	2011-2015 Median Housing Value	2011-2015 Affordability Ratio	2010 Median Gross Rent	2011-2015 Median Gross Rent	Percent of Change
Bartholomew	\$133,100	39.63	\$136,000	40.48	\$731	\$818	11.9%
Boone	\$174,300	39.35	\$187,600	36.01	\$758	\$796	5.0%
Brown	\$158,500	31.63	\$162,300	33.65	\$793	\$932	17.5%
Hamilton	\$211,200	38.80	\$222,900	38.68	\$903	\$1,016	12.5%
Hancock	\$159,200	38.35	\$156,300	42.61	\$763	\$832	9.0%
Hendricks	\$161,100	41.70	\$162,400	43.20	\$860	\$958	11.4%
Johnson	\$143,400	42.98	\$145,400	42.74	\$781	\$849	8.7%
Madison	\$96,300	44.92	\$90,400	48.89	\$647	\$702	8.5%
Marion	\$122,200	35.63	\$118,300	35.64	\$715	\$788	10.2%
Morgan	\$141,200	39.25	\$143,700	38.57	\$716	\$754	5.3%
Putnam	\$119,800	40.89	\$122,800	41.82	\$682	\$693	1.6%
Shelby	\$126,400	41.37	\$120,800	44.36	\$671	\$711	6.0%
Indiana	\$123,000	38.78	\$124,200	39.66	\$683	\$747	9.4%

According to Bankrate.com,¹⁵² Indiana ranked 15th for foreclosure filings in November 2016. The national average for foreclosure filings was one in every 1,533 housing units. The following table contains information about foreclosure filings in the assessment area, according to Realtytrac:¹⁵³

Geography Name	Ratio of Properties Receiving Foreclosure Filings in November 2016
Bartholomew	1:2,412
Boone	1:1,291
Brown	1:3,933
Hamilton	1:10,038
Hancock	1:1,653
Hendricks	1:1,829
Johnson	1:2,876
Madison	1:1,261
Marion	1:2,050
Morgan	1:896
Putnam	1:788
Shelby	1:1,887
Indiana	1:1,590
U.S.	1:1,533

In November 2016, Putnam County had the highest rate of foreclosure and Hamilton County had the lowest foreclosure rate in the assessment area. In Marion County (Indianapolis MSA), Indianapolis has the highest foreclosure rate at 1:2,044. In Bartholomew County (Columbus MSA), Columbus has the second highest foreclosure rate at 1:2,685, but according to Moody's Analytics, the Columbus MSA has a stable housing market with few foreclosures.

Building permits for this assessment area, Indiana, and the nation are included in the following table for 2014, 2015, and 2016.¹⁵⁴

Geography	2014	2015	Percent of Change 2014-2015	2016	Percent of Change 2015-2016
Columbus MSA	254	233	-8.3%	300	28.8%
Indianapolis-Carmel-Anderson MSA	8,005	8,735	9.1%	7,554	-13.5%
Indiana	17,813	18,483	3.8%	18,317	-0.9%
U.S.	1,052,124	1,182,582	12.4%	1,190,191	0.6%

¹⁵² Bankrate.com: <http://www.bankrate.com/finance/real-estate/foreclosures-by-state/default.aspx>

¹⁵³ Realtytrac: <http://www.realtytrac.com/statsandtrends/foreclosuretrends/>

¹⁵⁴ U.S. Census Bureau Building Permits Survey: <http://www.census.gov/construction/bps/>

The Columbus MSA experienced a decrease in the number of housing permits issued between 2014 and 2015 and significant increase between 2015 and 2016. While the Indianapolis MSA experienced an increase in the number of housing permits issued between 2014 and 2015 and decrease between 2015 and 2016. The decrease in the number of permits could indicate there is a declining demand for home purchase loans in the Indianapolis MSA and an increasing demand for single-family residential homes in the Columbus MSA.

Labor, Employment, and Economic Characteristics

According to Moody’s Analytics, the Columbus and Indianapolis assessment area economies are in expansion, primarily due to their large manufacturing base, strong population growth, flourishing high-tech industry, highly skilled workforce, and low business costs. Overall, the area’s central location makes it a natural logistics hub (within a day’s drive of over half of the country) and rising workforce skill level attracts more business investments.

According to *Inside Indiana*,¹⁵⁵ seven Indiana-based corporations are among the 500 largest in the country. Indiana gained two Fortune 500 companies and lost one in 2016. Indianapolis-based Calumet Specialty Product Partners dropped out of the Fortune 500 in 2016 after being ranked 457th in 2015. All four companies increased their standing in the past year.

Indianapolis Metro Fortune 500 Companies (2016) ¹⁵⁶		
Rank	Company	Annual Revenue
33	Anthem Inc.	\$79.2 billion
141	Eli Lilly and Company (Columbus-based)	\$20.0 billion
148	Cummins Engine Co. Inc.	\$1.4 billion
488	Simon Property Group Inc.	\$5.3 billion

According to Moody’s Analytics the top 15 employers in the CSA in 2015/2016 were:


Company ¹⁵⁷	Number of Employees
Indiana University Health	21,712
St. Vincent Hospitals & Health Services	15,285
Purdue University	14,558
Community Health Network	11,245
Eli Lilly and Co.	10,840
Marsh Supermarkets Inc.	8,000
Cummins Engine Co. Inc. (Col)	7,937
Anthem	4,900
Rolls-Royce Corp	4,300
AT&T	4,000
Amazon.com	3,000
NTN Driveshaft Inc. (Col)	2,000
Columbus Regional Hospital (Col)	1,850
Faurecia (Col)	1,593
Toyota Industrial Equipment Manufacturing Inc. (Col)	1,141

¹⁵⁵Inside Indiana: <http://www.insideindianabusiness.com/story/32221981/indiana-businesses-shuffle-around-on-fortune-500>

¹⁵⁶ Bold type indicates company new to the list in 2016

¹⁵⁷ (Col) Columbus MSA

The following table illustrates the average unemployment rates for 2014, 2015, and 2016 for the two MSAs, the CSA, and Indiana.



The unemployment rates declined from 2014 to 2015 and remained steady from 2014 to 2015 in the assessment area. The Columbus MSA unemployment rates were considerably below the Indianapolis MSA’s rates. Overall, the CSA had unemployment rates significantly below Indiana’s all three years.

According to *USA Today*, Cummins, a manufacturer of diesel and natural-gas engines, plans to cut 2,000 employees, or about 4.0% of its global workforce, by the end of 2016 due to weakening sales of engines and power generators around the world. The job eliminations are expected to save the company around \$200 million in ongoing expenses, consisting mostly of professional employees, not those on the factory floor. Up to 500 job eliminations could occur in Indiana, where Cummins employs 8,000 people. Cummins global workforce tops 54,000.¹⁵⁸ According to *IndyStar*, Elli Lilly and Co. announced it will eliminate 485 sales jobs nationwide due to the failure of a drug aimed to treat Alzheimer’s disease effective March 31, 2017. The company said 75 employees in Indiana will be affected.¹⁵⁹

¹⁵⁸ Swiatek, Jeff. “Engine Maker Cummins to cut 2,000 jobs.” *USA Today*. October 27, 2015. - <http://www.usatoday.com/story/money/nation-now/2015/10/27/cummins-engine-layoffs/74694300/>

¹⁵⁹ Rudavsky, Shari. “Lilly to lay off about 500 in wake of drug fail.” *IndyStar*. January 19, 2017. - <http://www.indystar.com/story/news/2017/01/19/lilly-layoff-500-wake-drug-fail/96787082/>

Combined Demographics Report

Assessment Area: IN Indianapolis

Income Categories	Tract Distribution		Families by Tract Income		Families < Poverty Level as % of Families by Tract		Families by Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	51	12.4	34,372	7	11,456	33.3	103,635	21
Moderate-income	111	26.9	103,535	21	17,277	16.7	86,210	17.5
Middle-income	159	38.6	204,150	41.3	12,962	6.3	103,192	20.9
Upper-income	90	21.8	151,686	30.7	4,023	2.7	200,706	40.6
Unknown-income	1	0.2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	412	100.0	493,743	100.0	45,718	9.3	493,743	100.0
	Housing Units by Tract	Housing Types by Tract						
		Owner-Occupied			Rental		Vacant	
		#	%	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	78,632	24,464	4.8	31.1	33,428	42.5	20,740	26.4
Moderate-income	215,018	93,389	18.3	43.4	89,955	41.8	31,674	14.7
Middle-income	330,231	223,401	43.7	67.6	75,810	23	31,020	9.4
Upper-income	216,099	170,191	33.3	78.8	32,612	15.1	13,296	6.2
Unknown-income	10	0	0	0	10	100	0	0
Total Assessment Area	839,990	511,445	100.0	60.9	231,815	27.6	96,730	11.5
	Total Businesses by Tract	Businesses by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Low-income	4,483	5	3,872	4.7	592	8	19	3.7
Moderate-income	17,221	19	15,466	18.7	1,660	22.4	95	18.7
Middle-income	37,649	41.6	34,068	41.2	3,258	44	323	63.5
Upper-income	31,150	34.4	29,188	35.3	1,890	25.5	72	14.1
Unknown-income	5	0	2	0	3	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	90,508	100.0	82,596	100.0	7,403	100.0	509	100.0
Percentage of Total Businesses:			91.3		8.2		.6	
	Total Farms by Tract	Farms by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Low-income	21	0.9	21	0.9	0	0	0	0
Moderate-income	109	4.7	108	4.8	1	3.8	0	0
Middle-income	1,711	74.5	1,690	74.4	21	80.8	0	0
Upper-income	457	19.9	453	19.9	4	15.4	0	0
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	2,298	100.0	2,272	100.0	26	100.0	0	.0
Percentage of Total Farms:			98.9		1.1		.0	

**CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN THE
INDIANAPOLIS-CARMEL-MUNCIE IN CSA**

Fifth Third’s performance under the lending test in this assessment area is rated excellent. Fifth Third has demonstrated an excellent responsiveness to the credit needs of the community. In addition, Fifth Third originated 23 community development loans totaling \$293.4 million. Fifth Third has an excellent geographic distribution of loans and minimal lending gaps in the assessment area. Fifth Third has an excellent distribution among borrowers of different income levels and a good distribution of loans to businesses of different revenue sizes. Fifth Third exhibits a good record of serving the credit needs of highly economically disadvantaged areas in its assessment area, low-income individuals, and businesses with gross annual revenues of \$1 million or less. The excellent level of community development loans and extensive use of flexible lending practices augmented Fifth Third’s performance in this assessment area.

Greatest consideration was given to the evaluation of home purchase lending based on the overall volume of lending, followed by refinance, small business, and home improvement lending. Details of Fifth Third’s residential mortgage and small business lending, as well as information regarding lending by peers, can be found in Appendix E.

Lending Activity

Fifth Third’s lending activity reflects an excellent responsiveness to the credit needs within the assessment area. Fifth Third originated 2,556 home purchase, 2,219 refinance, 149 home improvement, 1,359 small business, and 23 community development loans during the evaluation period. The percentage of Fifth Third’s total lending at 4.4% is greater than the percentage of total deposits at 4.0% in this area.

In addition to lending, Fifth Third modified existing loans to borrowers. Refer to the distribution of HAMP and other real-estate secured modifications within the assessment area by census tract income and by borrower income.

	Distribution by Census Tract Income							
	Low		Mod		Middle		Upper	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Other Real Estate Secured Modifications	12	5.3%	43	18.9%	114	50.2%	58	25.6%
<i>Percentage of Owner Occupied Units</i>		<i>4.8%</i>		<i>18.3%</i>		<i>43.7%</i>		<i>33.3%</i>

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

	Distribution by Borrower Income							
	Low		Mod		Middle		Upper	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Other Real Estate Secured Modifications	69	30.4%	82	36.1%	54	23.8%	21	9.3%
<i>Percentage of Families by Family Income</i>		21.0%		17.5%		20.9%		40.6%

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

There were not enough HAMP modifications to conduct an analysis. The percentage of other modifications in low- and moderate-income tracts exceeded the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies; therefore, modifications helped to expand lending activities in these areas. The percentage of other modifications made to low- and moderate-income income borrowers exceeded the percentage of low- and moderate-income income families in the assessment area; therefore, modifications enhanced Fifth Third’s ability to reach low- and moderate-income borrowers.

Geographic Distribution of Loans

Fifth Third’s overall distribution of lending among geographies is excellent. Home purchase lending, which was the largest loan category, is excellent. Home improvement lending is also excellent. Refinance and small business lending are good. There is also an overall low level of lending gaps. The following gaps in lending were noted in the assessment area:

Tract Income Levels	Number of Tracts	Tracts with no Loans	Penetration
Low	51	4	92.2%
Moderate	111	1	99.1%
Middle	159	1	99.4%
Upper	90	0	100.0%
Unknown	1	0	100.0%
Total	412	6	98.5%

Lending gaps are considered minimal, because of the low number of lending gaps in low-, moderate-, and middle-income tracts and no lending gaps in upper-income tracts.

Home Purchase Loans

Fifth Third made 106 home purchase loans totaling \$9.5 million in low-income tracts. This represents 4.1% of home purchase loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 4.8% and 2.2% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate at 1.5% and significantly exceeded the 2015 aggregate at 1.8%. As Fifth Third’s performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and was comparable to proxy, the geographic distribution in low-income tracts is good.

Fifth Third made 519 home purchase loans totaling \$54.3 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 20.3% of home purchase loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 18.3%, and 12.8% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate at 12.5% and significantly exceeded the 2015 aggregate at 13.4%. As Fifth Third's performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and proxy, the geographic distribution in moderate-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 1,030 home purchase loans totaling \$142.9 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 40.3% of home purchase loans by volume, which is below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 43.7%, and 33.6% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 42.7% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 42.4%.

Fifth Third made 901 home purchase loans totaling \$219.1 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 35.3% of home purchase loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 33.3%, and 51.5% by dollar amount, which significantly exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 43.3%, but was below the 2015 aggregate of 42.4%.

Overall, geographic distribution of home purchase loans is excellent.

Refinance Loans

Fifth Third made 76 refinance loans totaling \$5.1 million in low-income tracts. This represents 3.4% of refinance loans by volume and 1.6% by dollar amount, which is below the percentage of owner-occupied units at 4.8%. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate at 2.3% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate at 1.6%. As Fifth Third's performance was below proxy and exceeded the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of refinance loans in low-income tracts is good.

Fifth Third made 379 refinance loans totaling \$32.4 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 17.1% of refinance loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of owner-occupied units at 18.3%, and 10.2% by dollar amount, which is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate at 13.4% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate at 11.2%. As Fifth Third's performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and was comparable to proxy, the geographic distribution of refinance loans in moderate-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 925 refinance loans totaling \$104.9 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 41.7% of refinance loans by volume, which is below the owner-occupied units in these tracts at 43.7%, and 33.2% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate at 44.3% and was below the 2015 aggregate at 41.1%.

Fifth Third made 839 refinance loans totaling \$174.0 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 37.8% of refinance loans by volume, which exceeds the owner-occupied units in these tracts at 33.3%, and 55.0% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate at 40.0% and was below the 2015 aggregate at 46.1%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of refinance loans is good.

Home Improvement Loans

Fifth Third made 10 home improvement loans totaling \$423,000 in low-income tracts. This represents 6.7% of home improvement loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 4.8%, and 4.1% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume significantly exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 2.4% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 2.4%. Given the high median age of housing in low-income tracts at 58 years, which may indicate the need for home improvement loans, and since Fifth Third's performance exceeded proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of home improvement loans in low-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 36 home improvement loans totaling \$1.7 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 24.2% of home improvement loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 18.3%, and 16.0% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 13.4% and the 2015 aggregate of 13.4%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded the proxy and aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of home improvement loans in moderate-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 60 home improvement loans totaling \$3.6 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 40.3% of home improvement loans by volume, which is below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 43.7%, and 35.0% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 46.9%, but was below the 2015 aggregate of 44.4%.

Fifth Third made 43 home improvement loans totaling \$4.7 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 28.9% of home improvements loans by volume, which is below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 33.3%, and 45.0% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 37.2% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 39.9%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of home improvement loans is excellent.

Small Business Loans

Fifth Third made 57 small business loans totaling \$9.0 million in low-income tracts. This represents 4.2% of small business loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 4.7%, and 4.8% by dollar amount, which is comparable to proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 4.5% and was comparable to the 2015 aggregate of 5.1%. As Fifth Third's performance is comparable to proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of small business loans in low-income tracts is good.

Fifth Third made 224 small business loans totaling \$37.8 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 16.5% of small business loans by volume, which is below the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 18.7%, and 20.1% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 16.6% and was comparable to the 2015 aggregate of 16.9%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders, but was below proxy, the geographic distribution of small business loans in moderate-income tracts is good.

Fifth Third made 588 small business loans totaling \$80.4 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 43.3% of small business loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 41.2%, and 42.6% by dollar amount, which is comparable to proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 39.9% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 39.3%.

Fifth Third made 489 small business loans totaling \$61.3 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 36.0% of small business loans by volume, which is comparable with the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 35.3%, and 32.5% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 37.5% and was comparable to the 2015 aggregate of 37.6%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of small business loans is good.

Distribution by Borrower Income and Revenue Size of the Business

The distribution of loans is excellent based on borrower income and good for businesses of different revenue sizes. Borrower distribution is excellent for home purchase, refinance, and home improvement loans.

Home Purchase Loans

Fifth Third made 565 loans totaling \$50.8 million to low-income borrowers. This represents 22.1% of home purchase loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of low-income families at 21.0%, and 11.9% of loans by dollar amount, which is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume significantly exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 9.3% and the 2015 aggregate of 10.5%.

Given that Fifth Third's performance significantly exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and was comparable to proxy, the borrower distribution of home purchase loans to low-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 538 loans totaling \$61.3 million to moderate-income borrowers. This represents 21.0% of home purchase loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of moderate-income families at 17.5%, and 14.4% of loans by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable with the 2014 aggregate of 21.5% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 21.4%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded proxy and was comparable to the aggregate of all lenders, the borrower distribution of home purchase loans to moderate-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 451 loans totaling \$66.9 million to middle-income borrowers. This represents 17.6% of home purchase loans by volume, which is below the percentage of middle-income families at 20.9%, and 15.7% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 19.5% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 19.6%.

Fifth Third made 802 loans totaling \$212.9 million to upper-income borrowers. This represents 31.4% of home purchase loans by volume, which is significantly below the percentage of upper-income families at 40.6%, and 50.0% of loans by dollar amount, which significantly exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 32.0% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 31.6%.

A community contact indicated that low- and moderate-income individuals have difficulty qualifying for home purchase homes. Since Fifth Third's lending to low- and moderate-income borrowers was at an excellent level, the borrower distribution of home purchase loans is excellent.

Refinance Loans

Fifth Third made 397 loans totaling \$31.2 million to low-income borrowers. This represents 17.9% of refinance loans by volume, which is slightly below the percentage of low-income families at 21.0%. The percentage of loans by dollar amount in these geographies at 9.9% is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 8.8% and significantly exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 6.8%. Given that Fifth Third's performance was slightly below proxy and significantly exceeded the aggregate of all lenders, the borrower distribution of refinance loans to low-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 462 loans totaling \$42.5 million to moderate-income borrowers. This represents 20.8% of refinance loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of moderate-income families at 17.5%, and 13.4% by dollar volume, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 17.2% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 14.7%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, the borrower distribution of refinance loans to moderate-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 466 loans totaling \$55.7 million to middle-income borrowers. This represents 21.0% of refinance loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of middle-income families at 20.9%, and 17.6% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 19.5% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 17.7%.

Fifth Third made 758 loans totaling \$166.7 million to upper-income borrowers. This represents 34.2% of refinance loans by volume, which is below the percentage of upper-income families at 40.6%, while the percentage of loans by dollar amount at 52.7% significantly exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 32.8% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 35.4%.

Overall, the borrower distribution of refinance loans is excellent.

Home Improvement Loans

Fifth Third made 33 loans totaling \$1.6 million to low-income borrowers. This represents 22.1% of home improvement loans by volume, which slightly exceeds the percentage of low-income families at 21.0%, and 15.2% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 8.7% and in 2015 significantly exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 9.6%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded proxy and significantly exceeded the aggregate of all lenders, the borrower distribution of home improvement loans to low-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 29 loans totaling \$1.6 million to moderate-income borrowers. This represents 19.5% of its home improvement loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of moderate-income families at 17.5%, and 15.1% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeds the 2014 aggregate of 19.5% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 18.5%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, the borrower distribution of home improvement loans to moderate-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 37 loans totaling \$2.3 million to middle-income borrowers. This represents 24.8% of home improvement loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of middle-income families at 20.9%, and 22.1% by dollar amount, which also exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 23.9% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 23.7%.

Fifth Third made 49 loans totaling \$4.9 million to upper-income borrowers. This represents 32.9% of home improvement loans by volume, which is below the percentage of upper-income families at 40.6%, and 47.0% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 43.3% and the 2015 aggregate of 45.5%.

The overall borrower distribution of home improvement loans is excellent.

Small Business Loans

The distribution of small business loans to businesses of different sizes is good, considering Fifth Third’s performance relative to the aggregate of all lenders. Fifth Third was able to make 51.8% of small business loans to businesses with revenues of \$1 million or less. Fifth Third’s performance exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 40.3% and the 2015 aggregate of 41.9%, but was significantly below the percentage of small businesses in the assessment area at 91.3%. Also, during the evaluation period, Fifth Third was able to make an acceptable percentage of small-dollar loans (70.9%) up to \$100,000, indicating a willingness to lend in smaller amounts typically requested by small businesses. In addition, a community contact indicated small businesses need access to small-dollar loans. Without access to these types of loans, area businesses might find it difficult to grow and expand.

Community Development Loans

Fifth Third originated 23 community development loans totaling \$293.4 million during the evaluation period as shown in the table below:

Affordable Housing		Economic Development		Revitalization and Stabilization	
#	\$	#	\$	#	\$
5	210,000,000	3	28,132,178	15	55,300,000

Community development lending in the assessment area represent 4.9% of the total dollar volume of community development loans originated during the evaluation period. This ranks as Fifth Third’s fifth-highest percentage of community development lending during the evaluation period. Fifth Third’s performance is especially strong because of the high competition for community development loans and several large national banks in the area. Fifth Third only has 9.2% of the deposit market share. As such, Fifth Third is considered a leader in community development lending.

Examples of community development lending include, but are not limited to:

- A new loan and several renewals of a revolving line of credit to a city real estate advisors group that provides loans to LIHTC projects and commercial real estate developments in target communities
- Multiple working capital loans that promote economic development by financing businesses to support job retention, add new jobs, and promote growth to continue operations in low- and moderate-income geographies or for low- and moderate-income workers
- Multiple working capital loans to help retain and expand businesses located in designated areas specifically designated by the city to promote revitalization and economic development

The economic development and revitalization loans were deemed to be responsive, as a community contact indicated the need for these types of loans. Additionally, a community contact stated one of the most significant needs in the community is to garner more support for neighborhoods to become more economically diverse by helping them attract businesses.

Flexible Lending Programs

Fifth Third had 988 flexible lending loans in the assessment area: 557 loans were government loan programs, 41 were down payment assistance programs, and 390 were other flexible lending programs. The following tables show the percentage by volume and by dollar amount of the three types of flexible lending programs made in the assessment area during the evaluation period and the distribution of Fifth Third’s flexible lending programs within the assessment area by census tract income and by borrower income.

Flexible Loan Programs												
	Geographic Distribution											
	Low			Moderate			Middle			Upper		
	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units
Government Loan Programs	2.3%	1.3%	4.8%	12.4%	8.9%	18.3%	56.6%	52.9%	43.7%	28.7%	37.0%	33.3%
Down Payment Assistance Programs	26.8%	24.7%	4.8%	19.5%	17.5%	18.3%	41.5%	42.4%	43.7%	12.2%	15.4%	33.3%
Other Flexible Lending Programs	3.1%	1.7%	4.8%	22.1%	14.1%	18.3%	51.0%	43.6%	43.7%	23.8%	40.6%	33.3%
Total	3.6%	2.0%	4.8%	16.5%	11.0%	18.3%	53.7%	49.2%	43.7%	26.1%	37.7%	33.3%

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

Flexible Loan Programs												
	Borrower Distribution											
	Low			Moderate			Middle			Upper		
	% - #	% - \$	% Fam	% - #	% - \$	% Fam	% - #	% - \$	% Fam	% - #	% - \$	% Fam
Government Loan Programs	13.8%	9.1%	21.0%	33.4%	29.4%	17.5%	26.8%	28.7%	20.9%	19.9%	26.9%	40.6%
Down Payment Assistance Programs	73.2%	72.7%	21.0%	12.2%	8.4%	17.5%	9.8%	12.5%	20.9%	4.9%	6.4%	40.6%
Other Flexible Lending Programs	20.0%	13.5%	21.0%	24.6%	18.2%	17.5%	19.0%	17.3%	20.9%	31.5%	47.3%	40.6%
Total	18.7%	12.4%	21.0%	29.0%	24.7%	17.5%	23%	24.1%	20.9%	23.9%	33.9%	40.6%

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

Overall, Fifth Third’s lending in low-income tracts by number and dollar amount was below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts; however, the number and dollar amount of down payment assistance programs significantly exceeded proxy. The percentage of lending by volume and dollar amount was below the percentage of owner-occupied units in moderate-income tracts.

Fifth Third’s lending by volume and dollar amount to low-income borrowers was below the percentage of low-income families in the assessment area. The percentage of lending by volume and dollar amount to moderate-income borrowers exceeded the percentage of moderate-income families, particularly for government loan programs.

Fifth Third made extensive use of flexible lending practices in serving assessment area credit needs, as lending through flexible loan programs to moderate-income borrowers was excellent and lending in low- and moderate-income tracts and to low-income borrowers was good.

Investment Test

Fifth Third’s performance relative to the investment test in the assessment area is rated excellent. Fifth Third made an excellent level of qualified community development investments and grants, particularly those not routinely provided by private investors. As such, Fifth Third was often in a leadership position. Fifth Third has 284 qualified investments totaling \$93.9 million during the evaluation period. Shown in the table below are the total current period investments:

Affordable Housing		Economic Development		Community Services	
#	\$	#	\$	#	\$
120	59,829,606	12	63,150	116	600,469

Also included in the total number of qualified investments are 36 prior period investments totaling \$33.4 million. Overall, Fifth Third made 5.8% of its total community development investments in this assessment area, which is greater than the percentage of total deposits at 4.0% and greater than the percentage of branch offices at 3.4%.

Fifth Third exhibits an excellent responsiveness to credit and community development needs in the assessment area, including investments in affordable housing projects throughout the assessment area, which was an important need expressed by a community contact along with the need for a variety of services to lower-income individuals and families. Fifth Third made 140 donations totaling \$801,369 that supported local schools, small businesses, churches, food banks, health care, and affordable housing. The majority of Fifth Third’s donations (74.9%) supported services to low- and moderate-income individuals. Additionally 14.0% of the donation money supported an organization dedicated to affordable housing, better schools, safer streets, growing businesses and programs improving financial stability, which are all needs expressed by several community contacts.

Service Test

Fifth Third’s performance under the service test in this assessment area is rated excellent. Retail services are accessible and Fifth Third is a leader in providing community development services in this assessment area.

Retail Services

Fifth Third’s record of opening and closing offices has not adversely affected the accessibility of its delivery systems, particularly in low- and moderate-income geographies and to low- and moderate-income households. Since the previous evaluation period, no banking centers were opened and four were closed. One of the closed banking centers was located in a moderate-income tract. Delivery services are accessible to Fifth Third’s geographies and individuals of different income levels.

Business hours and services provided do not vary in a way that inconveniences certain portions of the assessment area, including low- and moderate-income geographies or households, and are consistent with the services and hours discussed in the “Institution” assessment.

Fifth Third maintains 44 banking centers within this assessment area, including one in low-, 17 in moderate-, 12 in middle-, and 14 in upper-income census tracts. Fifth Third’s banking centers in this assessment area represent 3.4% of all its banking centers.

Fifth Third has a total of 51 full-service ATMs within this assessment area, including one in low-, 17 in moderate-, 18 in middle-, and 15 in upper-income census tracts.

The following table illustrates the percentage of banking centers and ATMs in low-, moderate-, middle-, and upper-income census tracts in comparison to the number and percentage of census tracts and the percentage of households and businesses in those tracts.

Geographic Distribution of Branches & ATMS

Assessment Area: IN Indianapolis

Tract Category	Branches				Stand Alone ATMs						Demographics			
	Total Branches		Open #	Closed #	Total ATMs		Full Service ATMs		Cash only ATMs		Census Tracts		House holds %	Total Businesses %
	#	%			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%		
Low	1	2.3%	0	0	Total 2	3.7%	1	2.0%	1	33.3%	51	12.4%	7.8%	4.9%
Moderate	17	38.6%	0	1	Total 18	33.3%	17	33.3%	1	33.3%	111	26.9%	24.7%	18.9%
Middle	12	27.3%	0	0	Total 19	35.2%	18	35.3%	1	33.3%	159	38.6%	40.3%	41.7%
Upper	14	31.8%	0	3	Total 15	27.8%	15	29.4%	0	0.0%	90	21.8%	27.3%	34.5%
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0	Total 0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Total	44	100.0%	0	4	Total 54	100.0%	51	100.0%	3	100.0%	412	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

2016 FFIEC Census Data, 2010 ACS Data, and 2015 D&B Information

Closed branches/ATMs are only included in "closed" columns and are not included in any other totals.

DTO - Drive thru only is a subset of total branches

SA = Stand Alone ATM is a subset of total ATMs

Branch distribution within low-income tracts was considered poor, as the distribution of branches was below the percentage of census tracts and households in these tracts. However, the branch distribution within moderate-income tracts was considered excellent.

Community Development Services

Fifth Third is a leader in providing community development services in this assessment area. During the evaluation period, Fifth Third employees provided 4,550 hours of community development service to local organizations serving low- and moderate-income individuals, which represents 3.8% of all community development services provided and equates to 2.19 annualized persons (ANP).

Affordable Housing	Economic Development	Revitalization and Stabilization	Community Services
<i># of Hours</i>	<i># of Hours</i>	<i># of Hours</i>	<i># of Hours</i>
158	472	54	3,866

Employees provided financial expertise through leadership positions in multiple community organizations that provide affordable housing and promote community and economic development and area revitalization and stabilization. Community development services include 2,781 hours of providing financial literacy through local nonprofits and school programs, 1,514 hours serving on boards and committees, 143 hours providing technical assistance to non-profits and local business, and 112 hours participating in foreclosure prevention outreach.

Fifth Third is considered particularly responsive with regard to hours dedicated to financial literacy activities. Several community contacts mentioned the need for banks to provide financial literacy training to consumers with poor credit history in order for them to restore and gain access to credit and help lower-income individuals feel less financially excluded.

NON-METROPOLITAN AREA
(Full-scope Review)

**DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION'S OPERATIONS IN
NON-METROPOLITAN SOUTHERN INDIANA**

Non-metropolitan Southern Indiana consists of Decatur, Dubois, Fayette, Franklin, Gibson, Knox, Orange, Parke, Perry, Pike, Ripley, Rush, and Spencer counties. The assessment area is comprised of 13 moderate-, 52 middle-, and 12 upper-income tracts. There are no low-income tracts or tracts with no-income designation in this assessment area. In 2014, 2015, and 2016, there were two¹⁶⁰ underserved/remote rural, middle-income tracts in Spencer County.

As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third ranked third out of 34 institutions with 12.6% of the deposit market share. German American Bancorp had the majority of the market share with 17.2% of deposits, followed by Old National Bank with 13.7% of the market share. Deposits in this assessment area accounted for 0.7% of the Fifth Third's total deposits. This was 13.4% of deposits within the state and the 26th highest percentage of deposits within Fifth Third's CRA footprint.

From January 1, 2014 through June 30, 2016, Fifth Third originated 1,126 HMDA loans and 339 CRA loans, which represented 1.1% and 0.9% of the total loans originated during the evaluation period, respectively. This was the 23rd largest HMDA market and 27th largest CRA market for loans originated during the evaluation period.

In 2015, Fifth Third Mortgage Company ranked fourth among 295 HMDA reporters in the assessment area and Fifth Third ranked 19th. German American Bancorp, MainSource Bank, and BB&T were the top three HMDA lenders in the assessment area. Fifth Third ranked 14th of 61 CRA reporters in the assessment area in 2015. The top four CRA lenders in the assessment area were German American Bank, U.S. Bank, Old National Bank, and Capital One. These lenders are mostly issuers of credit cards and their CRA loans primarily consist of commercial credit card accounts.

Three community contact interviews were conducted to provide additional information regarding the assessment area. The first contact, representing an organization focused on economic development in a rural county in Southern Indiana, stated this area has one of the strongest local economies in the state; therefore, unemployment is not a major issue. The contact also stated area builders are focused on constructing more expensive homes that generate higher profit margins, resulting in opportunities for banks to fund affordable housing projects. Otherwise, the contact believed banks are meeting the credit needs of the community.

The second contact, representing an organization that works to improve the quality of life in rural America, stated the job market and unemployment rates are stable. The contact stated that area banks are adequately involved and meeting credit needs in the community. The contact specifically mentioned Old National, Owen Community Bank, and Home Bank as being active working partners with the organization.

¹⁶⁰ Tracts 9529.00 and 9531.00

The third contact, representing a chamber of commerce in rural Southern Indiana, stated the local economy is growing and has an above-average rate of employment. The contact believed the level of banking competition in Southern Indiana is high and possibly overbanked. The contact specifically mentioned Heritage State Bank and German American Bancorp as being particularly active in the community.

Population Characteristics

According to 2010 U.S. Census data, the population in the assessment area was 323,460. Less than 14.6% the population lived in moderate-income tracts. In addition, 75.9% of the population was 18 years of age or older, the legal age to enter into a contract.

Vincennes, located in Knox County, is the largest city in the assessment area. As of July 1, 2015, Vincennes had 18,012 residents and experienced a 2.2% decrease in population since April 1, 2010.¹⁶¹ The following table shows the population in the assessment area by county for 2010 and 2015, with the percentage of the population increase and decrease.¹⁶² The population within the assessment area experienced slight negative growth between 2010 and 2015, with Decatur County experiencing the largest increase and Rush County experiencing the largest decrease in population during this time period. Overall, this assessment area’s population appears to be fairly steady.

County	2010 Population	2015 Population	Population Percent Change
Decatur	25,740	26,521	3.0%
Dubois	41,889	42,461	1.4%
Fayette	24,277	23,434	-3.5%
Franklin	23,087	22,872	-0.9%
Gibson	33,503	33,775	0.8%
Knox	38,440	37,927	-1.3%
Orange	19,840	19,605	-1.2%
Parke	17,339	16,901	-2.5%
Perry	19,338	19,347	0.0%
Pike	12,845	12,594	-2.0%
Ripley	28,818	28,701	-0.4%
Rush	17,392	16,672	-4.1%
Spencer	20,952	20,715	-1.1%
Total	323,460	321,525	-0.6%

¹⁶¹ U.S. Census QuickFacts: <https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/> (main page – enter state, county, city, town, or zip code)

¹⁶² Population Estimates derived from U.S. Census Data (April 1, 2010 – July 1, 2015): <http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/table/PST045216/00>

Income Characteristics

In 2010 the assessment area’s median family income was less (\$55,148) than Indiana’s at \$58,944. The median family income in the assessment area increased each year during the evaluation period.

**Borrower Income Levels
Indiana State Non-Metropolitan Area**

FFIEC Estimated Median Family Income		Low 0 - 49.99%	Moderate 50% - 79.99%	Middle 80% - 119.99%	Upper 120% - & above
2014	\$56,100	0 - \$28,049	\$28,050 - \$44,879	\$44,880 - \$67,319	\$67,320 - & above
2015	\$56,700	0 - \$28,349	\$28,350 - \$45,359	\$45,360 - \$68,039	\$68,040 - & above
2016	\$57,100	0 - \$28,549	\$28,550 - \$45,679	\$45,680 - \$68,519	\$68,520 - & above

Poverty rates increased in the assessment area from 1999 to 2015.¹⁶³ Knox County had the highest and Dubois County had the lowest poverty rate in 1999 and 2015 and Fayette County experienced the largest increase in poverty rate during this time period. In 2015, poverty rates for Knox, Parke, Fayette, Orange, and Rush counties and Indiana exceeded the national poverty rate. According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the national poverty rate in 2015 was 13.5%, down 1.3 percentage points from 14.8% in 2014. The following table shows the poverty rates for 1999¹⁶⁴ and 2015.

County	1999 Poverty Rate	2015 Poverty Rate	Change
Decatur	9.3%	13.0%	39.8%
Dubois	5.3%	7.6%	43.4%
Fayette	7.9%	16.2%	105.1%
Franklin	7.1%	9.9%	39.4%
Gibson	8.2%	11.0%	34.1%
Knox	16.0%	19.1%	19.4%
Orange	12.4%	15.7%	26.6%
Parke	11.5%	17.2%	49.6%
Perry	9.4%	13.2%	40.4%
Pike	8.0%	11.1%	38.8%
Ripley	7.5%	10.6%	41.3%
Rush	7.3%	13.9%	90.4%
Spencer	6.9%	9.4%	36.2%
Indiana	9.5%	14.4%	51.6%
U.S.	11.8%	13.5%	14.4%

¹⁶³ U.S. Department of Agriculture Economic Research Service Poverty Rates (for 1999 and 2015):

<https://data.ers.usda.gov/reports.aspx?ID=17826>

¹⁶⁴ 1999 National Poverty Rate: <http://www.census.gov/prod/2000pubs/p60-210.pdf>

Housing Characteristics

According to 2010 U.S. Census data, there are 124,706 housing units and 87,079 families in the assessment area. From an income perspective, 14.6% of housing units, 11.5% of owner-occupied units, and 12.4% of families are located in moderate-income tracts. In the moderate-income census tracts, 46.1% of the housing units are either rental or vacant and 53.9% are owner-occupied. Therefore, based on the number of housing units compared to the number of families in moderate-income census tracts, there appears to be limited credit-related opportunities for Fifth Third to provide various aspects of affordable housing in the assessment area.

The 2010 U.S. Census data shows the median age of housing stock in the assessment area was 42 years old and 30.6% of the stock was built before 1950. However, within the assessment area, the median age of housing stock was 57 years in moderate-income tracts; therefore, there appears to be opportunity for Fifth Third to provide home improvement and rehabilitation loans in moderate-income areas.

According to 2010 U.S. Census data, the median housing value in the assessment area was \$106,393 with an affordability ratio of 42.25. The affordability ratio is derived by dividing the median household income by the median housing value. The higher the affordability ratio, the more affordable a home is considered. Overall, median housing values decreased between 2010 and 2011-2015 and median family incomes increased; as a result, housing became more affordable in eight counties and slightly less affordable in five counties in this assessment area during the evaluation period. Median gross rents increased across the assessment area, with renters in Perry and Decatur counties experiencing the largest increase in rental rates. In 2010, about 35.1% of renters across the assessment area had rent costs greater than 30.0% of income. Increasing rental rates may make it harder for potential homebuyers to save up enough money toward a down payment for a home. A community contact stated there are opportunities for banks to fund affordable housing projects in Southern Indiana. The table below presents housing characteristics from the U.S. Census data between 2010 and 2015 in the assessment area and Indiana.

County	2010 Median Housing Value	2010 Affordability Ratio	2011-2015 Median Housing Value	2011-2015 Affordability Ratio	2010 Median Gross Rent	2011-2015 Median Gross Rent	Percent of Change
Decatur	\$118,500	39.57	\$115,100	43.27	\$610	\$739	21.1%
Dubois	\$129,600	40.80	\$137,700	38.86	\$613	\$605	-1.3%
Fayette	\$84,900	43.63	\$81,900	48.08	\$592	\$648	9.5%
Franklin	\$144,500	35.74	\$147,600	35.06	\$615	\$649	5.5%
Gibson	\$99,100	47.30	\$103,000	46.90	\$561	\$658	17.3%
Knox	\$84,700	46.66	\$84,700	50.44	\$549	\$623	13.5%
Orange	\$90,500	41.02	\$89,400	44.53	\$535	\$580	8.4%
Parke	\$91,600	44.23	\$88,200	46.24	\$541	\$579	7.0%
Perry	\$93,600	48.19	\$100,800	47.09	\$466	\$608	30.5%
Pike	\$80,700	51.08	\$88,400	50.23	\$528	\$620	17.4%
Ripley	\$138,200	34.80	\$129,800	39.42	\$659	\$678	2.9%
Rush	\$106,800	43.71	\$100,700	44.81	\$566	\$630	11.3%
Spencer	\$111,600	46.69	\$116,100	47.59	\$521	\$587	12.7%
Indiana	\$123,000	38.78	\$124,200	39.66	\$683	\$747	9.4%

According to Bankrate.com,¹⁶⁵ Indiana ranked 15th for foreclosure filings in November 2016. The national average for foreclosure filings was one in every 1,533 housing units. The following table contains information about foreclosure filings in the assessment area, according to Realtytrac:¹⁶⁶

Geography Name	Ratio of Properties Receiving Foreclosure Filings in November 2016
Decatur	1:4,590
Dubois	1:1,863
Fayette	1:1,751
Franklin	1:402
Gibson	1:1,040
Knox	1:987
Orange	1:1,089
Parke	1:1,533
Perry	1:1,410
Pike	1:491
Ripley	1:1,600
Rush	1:4,956
Spencer	1:672
Indiana	1:1,590
U.S.	1:1,533

In November 2016, Franklin, Pike, Spencer, and Knox counties had the highest rates of foreclosure and Rush and Decatur counties had the lowest. In addition, seven counties had higher rates of foreclosure than Indiana and the nation.

Labor, Employment, and Economic Characteristics

According to the Indiana Department of Workforce Development,¹⁶⁷ manufacturing plays a prominent role in Southern Indiana’s economy, but research and development, financial services, medical sector, and educational institutions also play a role. Below are some of the major employers in this assessment area:


¹⁶⁵ Bankrate.com: <http://www.bankrate.com/finance/real-estate/foreclosures-by-state/default.aspx>

¹⁶⁶ Realtytrac: <http://www.realtytrac.com/statsandtrends/foreclosuretrends/>

¹⁶⁷ Hoosiers by the Numbers: <http://www.hoosierdata.in.gov/nav.asp?id=197>

Major Employers by County	
Company	Location
Decatur County	
Delta Faucet Co	Greensburg
Decatur County Memorial Hospital	Greensburg
Indiana Auto & RV	Milford
Dubois County	
Ofs Brands	Huntingburg
Master Brand Cabinets	Ferdinand
Memorial Hospital-Healthcare	Jasper
Fayette County	
Fayette Regional Health System	Connersville
Stant Corp	Connersville
National Guard Armory	Connersville
Franklin County	
Hillenbrand Inc	Batesville
Margaret Mary Health/Community Hospital	Batesville
Sperry & Rice Manufacturing	Brookville
Gibson County	
Toyota Motor Manufacturing	Princeton
Duke Energy	Owensville
Gibson General Hospital	Princeton
Knox County	
Good Samaritan Hospital/Hospice	Vincennes
Schott Home Tech North America	Vincennes
Five Star Mining	Oaktown
Orange County	
West Baden Springs Hotel	West Baden Springs
French Lick Resort	French Lick
Wildwood Association	Paoli
Parke County	
Applied Extrusion Technologies	Rosedale
Formflex	Bloomingsdale
Rockville Correctional Facility	Rockville
Perry County	
Waupaca Foundry	Tell City
Branchville Correctional Facility	Branchville
Perry County Memorial Hospital	Tell City
Pike County	
Aes Corp	Petersburg
Indianapolis Power & Light Company	Petersburg
Solar Sources Inc.	Petersburg
Ripley County	
Ripley County Auditor	Versailles
McPhersons Inc.	Sunman
Kroger	Milan
Rush County	
Rush Memorial Hospital	Rushville
UAW	Rushville
Trane Commercial Systems	Rushville
Spencer County	
Holiday World Splashin' Safari	Santa Claus
AK Steel Corporation	Rockport
St. Meinrad of School of Theology/Archabbey	St. Meinrad

The following table illustrates the average unemployment rates for 2014, 2015, and 2016 across the assessment area and Indiana.



Overall, Dubois County had the lowest and Fayette County had the highest unemployment rates during the evaluation period. In 2014 and 2015, only three counties (Fayette, Orange, Parke) had unemployment rates higher than Indiana’s; however, in 2016, only four counties (Decatur, Dubois, Gibson, Rush) had unemployment rates below Indiana’s. However, three community contacts stated that unemployment rates are stable and that Southern Indiana has above-average rates of employment.

According to *NewsNow Dubois County*,¹⁶⁸ Toyota Motor Manufacturing announced the investment of \$600 million into the Gibson County plant and adding 400 jobs in the process. Officials said the plant’s workforce will approach 6,000. Most of the funds will be applied to improving technology and infrastructure. The production of the new Highlanders will begin in the fall of 2019. Application for 400 new jobs will likely begin in early 2019. Toyota is a major employer in Gibson, Vanderburgh, and Knox counties.

¹⁶⁸ Ft. Branch (WTVW/WEHT). “Toyota Announces Gibson County Plant Expansion.” *NewsNow Dubois County*. January 25, 2017. - <http://newsnowdc.com/2017/01/25/toyota-announces-gibson-county-plant-expansion-to-add-400-jobs-wvideo/>

Combined Demographics Report

Assessment Area: IN Southern IN

Income Categories	Tract Distribution		Families by Tract Income		Families < Poverty Level as % of Families by Tract		Families by Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	15,304	17.6
Moderate-income	13	16.9	10,762	12.4	2,029	18.9	15,436	17.7
Middle-income	52	67.5	58,024	66.6	5,259	9.1	19,968	22.9
Upper-income	12	15.6	18,293	21	606	3.3	36,371	41.8
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	77	100.0	87,079	100.0	7,894	9.1	87,079	100.0
	Housing	Housing Types by Tract						
	Units by Tract	Owner-Occupied		Rental		Vacant		
		#	%	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Moderate-income	20,432	11,019	11.5	53.9	6,202	30.4	3,211	15.7
Middle-income	92,933	63,873	66.5	68.7	18,764	20.2	10,296	11.1
Upper-income	26,897	21,155	22	78.7	3,693	13.7	2,049	7.6
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	140,262	96,047	100.0	68.5	28,659	20.4	15,556	11.1
	Total Businesses by Tract	Businesses by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Moderate-income	1,860	14.2	1,707	14.2	113	13.1	40	17.9
Middle-income	8,229	62.7	7,582	63	495	57.2	152	67.9
Upper-income	3,037	23.1	2,748	22.8	257	29.7	32	14.3
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	13,126	100.0	12,037	100.0	865	100.0	224	100.0
	Percentage of Total Businesses:			91.7		6.6		1.7
	Total Farms by Tract	Farms by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Moderate-income	72	3.4	70	3.3	2	11.1	0	0
Middle-income	1,554	72.3	1,541	72.3	13	72.2	0	0
Upper-income	522	24.3	519	24.4	3	16.7	0	0
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	2,148	100.0	2,130	100.0	18	100.0	0	.0
	Percentage of Total Farms:			99.2		.8		.0

**CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN
NON-METROPOLITAN SOUTHERN INDIANA**

Lending Test

Fifth Third’s performance under the lending test in this assessment area is rated excellent. Fifth Third has demonstrated an excellent responsiveness to the credit needs of the community. In addition, Fifth Third originated nine community development loans totaling \$59.2 million. Fifth Third has an excellent geographic distribution of loans and no gaps in lending. Fifth Third has an excellent distribution among borrowers of different income levels and a good distribution of loans to businesses of different revenue sizes. Fifth Third exhibits a good record of serving the credit needs of highly economically disadvantaged areas in its assessment area, low-income individuals, and businesses with gross annual revenues of \$1 million or less. The good level of community development loans and extensive use of flexible lending practices augmented Fifth Third’s performance in this assessment area.

Greatest consideration was given to the evaluation of refinance and home purchase lending based on the equal overall volume of lending, followed by small business, and home improvement lending. Details of Fifth Third’s residential mortgage and small business lending, as well as information regarding aggregate lending, can be found in Appendix E.

Lending Activity

Fifth Third’s lending activity reflects an excellent responsiveness to the credit needs within the assessment area. Fifth Third originated 513 refinance, 513 home purchase, 100 home improvement, 339 small business, and nine community development loans during the evaluation period. The percentage of Fifth Third’s total lending at 1.0% is greater than the percentage of total deposits at 0.7% in this area.

In addition to lending, Fifth Third modified existing loans to borrowers. Refer to the distribution of Home Affordable Modification Program and other real-estate secured modifications within the assessment area by census tract income and by borrower income.

	Distribution by Census Tract Income							
	Low		Mod		Middle		Upper	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Other Real Estate Secured Modifications	0	0.0%	7	13.0%	42	77.8%	5	9.3%
<i>Percentage of Owner Occupied Units</i>		<i>0.0%</i>		<i>11.5%</i>		<i>66.5%</i>		<i>22.0%</i>

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

	Distribution by Census Tract Income							
	Low		Mod		Middle		Upper	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Other Real Estate Secured Modifications	10	18.5%	20	37.0%	16	29.6%	3	5.6%
Percentage of Families by Family Income		17.6%		17.7%		22.9%		41.8%

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

There were not enough HAMP modifications for a meaningful analysis. The percentage of other modifications in moderate-income tracts exceeded the percentage of owner occupied units in these geographies. Therefore, modifications helped to expand lending activities in these areas.

The percentage of other modifications made to low- and moderate-income borrowers exceeded the percentage of low- and moderate-income families in the assessment area. Therefore, modifications enhanced Fifth Third’s ability to reach low- and moderate-income borrowers.

Geographic Distribution of Loans

Fifth Third’s overall distribution of lending among geographies is excellent. Refinance and home purchase lending had an equal number of originations. Refinance lending is excellent and home purchase lending is good. Performance for home improvement loans is also excellent. Small business lending is good. There were also no gaps in lending in the assessment area as shown in the table below:

Tract Income Levels	Number of Tracts	Tracts with No Loans	Penetration
Moderate	13	0	100.0%
Middle	52	0	100.0%
Upper	12	0	100.0%
Total	77	0	100.0%

Refinance Loans

Fifth Third made 61 refinance loans totaling \$4.1 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 11.5% of refinance loans by volume, which is comparable to the owner-occupied units in these tracts at 11.5%. The percentage of loans by dollar amount at 8.2% is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate at 11.9% and was comparable to the 2015 aggregate at 10.0%. As Fifth Third’s performance was comparable to proxy and exceeded the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of refinance loans in moderate-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 328 refinance loans totaling \$30.9 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 63.9% of refinance loans by volume, which is below the owner-occupied units in these tracts at 66.5%. The percentage of refinance loans by dollar amount (61.2%) was below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate at 68.7% and was below the 2015 aggregate at 67.3%.

Fifth Third made 124 refinance loans totaling \$15.4 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 24.2% of refinance loans by volume, which exceeds the owner-occupied units in these tracts at 22.0% and the dollar amount at 30.6% exceeded proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate at 19.0% and the 2015 aggregate at 22.6%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of refinance loans is excellent.

Home Purchase Loans

Fifth Third made 53 home purchase loans totaling \$4.6 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 10.3% of its home purchase loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 11.5%, and 7.8% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate at 10.3% and was below the 2015 aggregate at 10.8%. As Fifth Third's performance was comparable to proxy and exceeded the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of home purchase loans in moderate-income tracts is good.

Fifth Third made 284 home purchase loans totaling \$30.1 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 55.4% of home purchase loans by volume, which is below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 66.5%, and 51.1% by dollar amount, which is also below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 65.8% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 66.0%.

Fifth Third made 176 home purchase loans totaling \$24.2 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 34.3% of home purchase loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 22.0%, and 41.1% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 23.6% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 23.1%.

A community contact indicated the need for affordable housing since there are not many lower-cost housing options being constructed in the area. Because Fifth Third effectively penetrated moderate-income tracts, the overall geographic distribution of home purchase loans is good.

Home Improvement Loans

Fifth Third made 18 home improvement loans totaling \$593,000 in moderate-income tracts. This represents 18.0% of home improvement loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 11.5%, and 13.8% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 10.1% and the 2015 aggregate of 12.9%. As Fifth Third's performance exceeded the proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of home improvement loans in moderate-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 66 home improvement loans totaling \$2.5 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 66.0% of home improvement loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 66.5%, and 58.7% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 73.3% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 70.3%.

Fifth Third made 16 home improvement loans totaling \$1.2 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 16.0% of home improvements loans by volume, which is below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 22.0%, and 27.5% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 16.5% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 16.7%.

Based on the median age of housing stock in moderate-income tracts (57 years), there appears to be opportunities for Fifth Third to provide home improvement and rehabilitation loans. Because Fifth Third's level of penetration in moderate-income tracts was excellent, the overall geographic distribution of home improvement loans is excellent.

Small Business Loans

Fifth Third made 32 small business loans totaling \$6.3 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 9.4% of small business loans by volume, which is below the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 14.2%. This also represents 19.0% of business loans by dollar amount, which exceeds the percentage of businesses in these tracts. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 12.2% and the 2015 aggregate of 11.7%. Given that Fifth Third's performance was slightly below the aggregate of all lenders and proxy, the geographic distribution of small business loans in moderate-income tracts is good.

Fifth Third made 188 small business loans totaling \$18.6 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 55.5% of small business loans by volume, which is below the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 63.0%, and 56.3% by dollar amount, also below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 60.2% and the 2015 aggregate of 60.6%.

Fifth Third made 119 small business loans totaling \$8.2 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 35.1% of small business loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 22.8%, and 24.7% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 24.1% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 25.4%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of small business loans is good.

Distribution by Borrower Income and Revenue Size of the Business

Overall, the distribution of loans is excellent based on borrower income and good for businesses of different revenue sizes. Borrower distribution is excellent for refinance, home purchase, and home improvement loans.

Refinance Loans

Fifth Third made 68 loans totaling \$4.2 million to low-income borrowers. This represents 13.3% of refinance loans by volume, which is below the percentage of low-income families in these tracts at 17.6%. The percentage of loans by dollar amount at 8.3% is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume significantly exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 9.7% and the 2015 aggregate of 7.3%. Given Fifth Third's performance significantly exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and was slightly below proxy, the borrower distribution of refinance loans to low-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 131 loans totaling \$9.9 million to moderate-income borrowers. This represents 25.5% of refinance loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of moderate-income families in these tracts at 17.7%, and 19.6% by dollar volume, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 17.0% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 17.0%. As Fifth Third's performance in refinance lending to moderate-income borrowers exceeded proxy and the aggregate of all borrowers, borrower distribution to moderate-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 122 loans totaling \$11.3 million to middle-income borrowers. This represents 23.8% of refinance loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of middle-income families at 22.9%, and 22.4% by dollar amount, which is comparable to the proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 23.3% and was comparable to the 2015 aggregate of 20.7%.

Fifth Third made 173 loans totaling \$22.6 million to upper-income borrowers. This represents 33.7% of refinance loans by volume, which is below the percentage of upper-income families at 41.8%, while the percentage of loans by dollar amount at 44.8% exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 32.6% and was comparable to the 2015 aggregate of 35.5%.

Overall, the borrower distribution of refinance loans is excellent.

Home Purchase Loans

Fifth Third made 78 loans totaling \$5.7 million to low-income borrowers. This represents 15.2% of home purchase loans by volume, which is below the percentage of low-income families at 17.6%, and 9.7% by dollar amount, which is also below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume significantly exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 7.7% and the 2015 aggregate of 8.8%. Because Fifth Third's performance significantly exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and was slightly below proxy, the borrower distribution of home purchase loans to low-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 160 loans totaling \$15.3 million to moderate-income borrowers. This represents 31.2% of home purchase loans by volume, which significantly exceeds the percentage of moderate-income families at 17.7%, and 26.0% of loans by dollar amount, which also exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 21.1% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 23.4%.

Because Fifth Third's performance significantly exceeded proxy and exceeded the aggregate of all borrowers, the borrower distribution of home purchase loans to moderate-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 136 loans totaling \$16.0 million to middle-income borrowers. This represents 26.5% of home purchase loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of middle-income families at 22.9%, and 27.2% by dollar amount, which also exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 19.9% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 21.2%.

Fifth Third made 127 loans totaling \$20.4 million to upper-income borrowers. This represents 24.8% of home purchase loans by volume, which is significantly below the percentage of upper-income families at 41.8%, and 34.6% of loans by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 28.4% and was slightly below the 2015 aggregate of 26.4%.

Overall, the borrower distribution of home purchase loans is excellent.

Home Improvement Loans

Fifth Third made 16 loans totaling \$567,000 to low-income borrowers. This represents 16.0% of home improvement loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of low-income families at 17.6%, and 13.2% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 12.6% and in 2015 was below the 2015 aggregate of 12.3%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and was comparable to proxy, the borrower distribution of home improvement loans to low-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 31 loans totaling \$1.1 million to moderate-income borrowers. This represents 31.0% of home improvement loans by volume, which significantly exceeded the percentage of moderate-income families at 17.7%, and 25.1% by dollar amount, which also exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 21.3% and the 2015 aggregate of 20.2%. Given that Fifth Third’s performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and significantly exceeded proxy, the borrower distribution of home improvement loans to moderate-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 25 loans totaling \$1.1 million to middle-income borrowers. This represents 25.0% of home improvement loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of middle-income families at 22.9%, and 24.6% by dollar amount, which also exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 26.9% and was comparable to the 2015 aggregate of 27.1%.

Fifth Third made 27 loans totaling \$1.6 million to upper-income borrowers. This represents 27.0% of home improvement loans by volume, which is significantly below the percentage of upper-income families at 41.8%, and 37.0% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 35.5% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 37.5%.

The overall borrower distribution of home improvement loans is excellent.

Small Business Loans

The distribution of small business loans to businesses of different sizes is good considering Fifth Third’s performance relative to the aggregate of all lenders. Fifth Third was able to make 61.1% of small business loans to businesses with revenues of \$1 million or less. Fifth Third’s performance in 2014 exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 42.8% and the 2015 aggregate of 46.9%, but was significantly below the percentage of small businesses in the assessment area at 91.7%. Also, during the evaluation period, Fifth Third was able to makes a relatively high percentage of small-dollar loans (80.5%) up to \$100,000, indicating a willingness to lend in smaller amounts typically requested by small businesses.

Community Development Loans

Fifth Third originated nine community development loans totaling \$59.2 million during the review period as shown in the table below:

Economic Development		Revitalization and Stabilization	
#	\$	#	\$
5	34,000,000	4	25,227,366

Community development lending in the assessment area represents 1.0% of the total dollar volume of community development loans originated by Fifth Third during the review period. This ranks as Fifth Third's 26th highest percentage of community development lending during the evaluation period, as there are fewer opportunities to originate community development loans in a non-metropolitan assessment area and there is significant competition in the area. As such, Fifth Third's makes a relatively high level of community development loans in this assessment area.

Examples of community development lending include, but are not limited to:

- Working capital loans to promote economic development by financing small businesses to support retention of low- and moderate-income workers
- Working capital loans to help retain and expand businesses located in areas specifically designated to promote revitalization and economic development

Flexible Lending Programs

Fifth Third had 425 flexible lending loans in this assessment area: 328 government loans, ten down payment assistance loans, and 87 other flexible lending programs. The following tables show the percentage by volume and by dollar amount of the three types of flexible lending programs made in this assessment area during the evaluation period and the distribution of Fifth Third's flexible lending programs within the assessment area by census tract income and by borrower income.

Flexible Loan Programs												
	Geographic Distribution											
	Low			Moderate			Middle			Upper		
	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units
Government Loan Programs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%	10.4%	11.5%	60.7%	58.3%	66.5%	26.8%	31.3%	22.0%
Other Flexible Lending Programs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.9%	10.9%	11.5%	62.1%	61.6%	66.5%	23.0%	27.6%	22.0%
Total	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	12.7%	10.3%	11.5%	61.6%	59.4%	66.5%	25.6%	30.3%	22.0%

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

Flexible Loan Programs												
	Borrower Distribution											
	Low			Moderate			Middle			Upper		
	% - #	% - \$	% Fam	% - #	% - \$	% Fam	% - #	% - \$	% Fam	% - #	% - \$	% Fam
Government Loan Programs	15.2%	10.8%	17.6%	39.9%	35.4%	17.7%	28.0%	32.1%	22.9%	14.3%	19.6%	41.8%
Down Payment Assistance Programs	100.0%	100.0%	17.6%	0.0%	0.0%	17.7%	0.0%	0.0%	22.9%	0.0%	0.0%	41.8%
Other Flexible Lending Programs	13.8%	12.0%	17.6%	33.3%	27.3%	17.7%	20.7%	21.4%	22.9%	28.7%	37.6%	41.8%
Total	16.9%	12.7%	17.6%	37.6%	33.3%	17.7%	25.9%	29.6%	22.9%	16.9%	22.4%	41.8%

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

Overall, Fifth Third’s lending in moderate-income tracts by number and dollar amount exceeded the percentage of owner-occupied units for government loans and other flexible lending programs. There were no down payment assistance loans made in the moderate-income tracts.

Fifth Third’s lending by volume and dollar amount to low-income borrowers was slightly below percentage of low-income families and exceeded the percentage of moderate-income families in the assessment area.

Fifth Third made extensive use of flexible lending practices in serving the assessment area’s credit needs, as lending through flexible loan programs to moderate-income borrowers and in moderate-income tracts was excellent, while lending to low-income borrowers was good.

Investment Test

Fifth Third’s performance relative to the investment test in the assessment area is rated excellent.

Fifth Third made an excellent level of qualified community development investments and grants, particularly those not routinely provided by private investors. As such, Fifth Third was often in a leadership position. Fifth Third has 84 qualified investments totaling \$20.6 million during the evaluation period. Shown in the table below are the total current period investments:

Affordable Housing		Economic Development		Community Services	
#	\$	#	\$	#	\$
20	15,109,539	29	49,038	27	36,900

Also included in the total number of qualified investments are eight prior period investments totaling \$5.4 million. Overall, Fifth Third made 1.3% of its total community development investments in this assessment area, which is greater than the percentage of total deposits at 0.7% and slightly greater than the percentage of branch offices at 1.2%.

Fifth Third exhibits an excellent responsiveness to credit and community development needs in the assessment area, including investments in several affordable housing projects throughout the assessment area, which was an important need expressed by a community contact. Fifth Third made 57 donations totaling \$87,438 that supported chambers of commerce, charitable organizations, and small businesses. The majority of Fifth Third’s donations (56.1%) supported economic development, particularly to various local chambers of commerce.

Service Test

Fifth Third’s performance under the service test in this assessment area is rated adequate. Retail services are accessible and Fifth Third provided an adequate level of community development services in the assessment area.

Retail Services

Fifth Third’s record of opening and closing offices has not adversely affected the accessibility of its delivery systems, particularly in moderate-income geographies and to low- and moderate-income households. Since the previous evaluation period, no banking centers were opened or closed. Delivery services are accessible to Fifth Third’s geographies and individuals of different income levels.

Business hours and services provided do not vary in a way that inconveniences certain portions of the assessment area, including low- and moderate-income geographies or households, and are consistent with the services and hours discussed in the “Institution” assessment.

Fifth Third maintains 16 banking centers within this assessment area, including four in moderate-, six in middle-, and six in upper-income census tracts. Fifth Third’s banking centers in this assessment area represent 1.2% of all its banking centers. Fifth Third has a total of 21 full-service ATMs within this assessment area, including four in moderate-, ten in middle-, and seven in upper-income census tracts.

The following table illustrates the percentage of banking centers and ATMs in moderate-, middle-, and upper-income census tracts in comparison to the number and percentage of census tracts and the percentage of households and businesses in those tracts. There are no low-income geographies in this assessment area.

Geographic Distribution of Branches & ATMS

Assessment Area: IN Southern IN

Tract Category	Branches				Stand Alone ATMs						Demographics			
	Total Branches		Open #	Closed #	Total ATMs		Full Service ATMs		Cash only ATMs		Census Tracts #	House holds %	Total Businesses %	
	#	%			#	%	#	%	#	%				
Low	0	0.0%	0	0	Total	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%
Moderate	4	25.0%	0	0	Total	4	18.2%	4	19.0%	0	0.0%	13	16.9%	13.8%
Middle	6	37.5%	0	0	Total	10	45.5%	10	47.6%	0	0.0%	52	67.5%	66.3%
Upper	6	37.5%	0	0	Total	8	36.4%	7	33.3%	1	100.0%	12	15.6%	19.9%
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0	Total	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	16	100.0%	0	0	Total	22	100.0%	21	100.0%	1	100.0%	77	100.0%	100.0%

2016 FFIEC Census Data, 2010 ACS Data, and 2015 D&B Information

Closed branches/ATMs are only included in "closed" columns and are not included in any other totals.

DTO - Drive thru only is a subset of total branches

SA = Stand Alone ATM is a subset of total ATMs

Branch distribution within moderate-income tracts was considered excellent, as the distribution of branches exceeded the percentage of census tracts and households in these tracts.

Community Development Services

Fifth Third provided an adequate level of community development services in this assessment area. During the evaluation period, Fifth Third employees provided 813 hours of community development service to local organizations serving low- and moderate-income individuals, which represents 0.7% of all community development services provided and equates to 0.39 annualized persons (ANP).

Economic Development	Community Services
<i># of Hours</i>	<i># of Hours</i>
221	592

Employees provided financial expertise through leadership positions in multiple community organizations that promote community and economic development. Community development services include 705 hours serving on boards and committees, 42 hours of providing financial literacy through local nonprofits and school programs, 38 hours participating in foreclosure prevention outreach, and 28 hours providing technical assistance to non-profits.

METROPOLITAN and NON-METROPOLITAN AREAS
(Limited-scope Review)

DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION'S OPERATIONS IN THE STATE OF INDIANA

- **Bloomington MSA**
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third operated three branches in the assessment area, representing 3.5% of its branches in Indiana.
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third had \$194,432 in deposits in this assessment area, representing a market share of 7.8% and 3.3% of its statewide deposits.
- **Fort Wayne MSA**
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third operated eight branches in the assessment area, representing 9.4% of its branches in Indiana.
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third had \$211,105 in deposits in this assessment area, representing a market share of 3.1% and 3.6% of it statewide deposits.
- **Lafayette-W. Lafayette MSA**
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third operated four branches in the assessment area, representing 4.7% of its branches in Indiana.
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third had \$106,469 in deposits in this assessment area, representing a market share of 4.0% and 1.8% of it statewide deposits.
- **Terre Haute MSA**
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third operated seven branches in the assessment area, representing 8.2% of its branches in Indiana.
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third had \$224,805 in deposits in this assessment area, representing a market share of 8.9% and 3.9% of it statewide deposits.
- **Non-metropolitan Northern Indiana**
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third operated three branches in the assessment area, representing 3.5% of its branches in Indiana.
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third had \$57,127 in deposits in this assessment area, representing a market share of 4.9% and 1.0% of it statewide deposits.

**CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN
THE STATE OF INDIANA**

Through the use of available facts and data, including performance and demographic information, each assessment area's performance was evaluated and compared with Fifth Third's performance in the state. The conclusions regarding performance are provided in the following table. Please refer to the tables in Appendix F for information regarding these areas.

Assessment Area	Lending Test	Investment Test	Service Test
Bloomington MSA	Below	Consistent	Below
Fort Wayne MSA	Below	Consistent	Below
Lafayette-W. Lafayette MSA	Below	Below	Below
Non-metropolitan Northern Indiana	Below	Below	Below
Terre Haute MSA	Below	Below	Below

For the lending test, Fifth Third received an “Outstanding” rating in Indiana. Performance in all five of the limited-scope assessment areas was below Fifth Third’s performance for the state. Although below the state performance, lending levels were good or adequate for the geographic distribution of loans and good for the borrower geographic distribution of loans in all five limited-scope assessment areas. There was a good level of community development loans in the Fort Wayne assessment area and adequate levels of community development loans in the remaining four limited-scope assessment areas. A low level of lending gaps was noted in three limited-scope assessment areas and no gaps in lending were identified the Terre Haute and non-metropolitan Northern Indiana assessment areas.

For the investment test, Fifth Third received an “Outstanding” rating in Indiana. While investment activity was consistent with the state in two of the five limited-scope assessment areas, performance in the Lafayette-W. Lafayette, Terre Haute, and non-metropolitan Northern Indiana assessment areas was below Fifth Third’s performance for the state. Although below the state performance, investment activity was good in the Lafayette-W. Lafayette, Terre Haute, and non-metropolitan Northern Indiana assessment areas. The weaker performance was primarily due to a lower level of qualified investments and contributions relative to Fifth Third’s operational presence in the assessment area.

For the service test, Fifth Third received an “Outstanding” rating in Indiana. Performance in all five of the limited-scope assessment areas was below Fifth Third’s performance for the state. Retail services were good in four limited-scope assessment areas and adequate in the Bloomington assessment area. The weaker retail services performance in the Bloomington assessment area was primarily due to less accessibility of delivery systems in lower-income geographies. Qualified community development services were good in the Fort Wayne and Terre Haute assessment areas and poor in the Bloomington assessment area. The weaker community development services performance was primarily due to a lower level of hours dedicated to providing qualified services relative to Fifth Third’s operational presence in these assessment areas. Qualified community development services were excellent in the remaining two limited-scope assessment areas.

The performance in the limited-scope assessment areas did not change the overall state rating.

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

CRA RATING for Commonwealth of Kentucky:¹⁶⁹ Satisfactory

The lending test is rated: High Satisfactory

The investment test is rated: High Satisfactory

The service test is rated: High Satisfactory

The major factors supporting this rating include:

- A good responsiveness to credit needs;
- An excellent geographic distribution of loans throughout the assessment area;
- An excellent distribution of loans among borrowers of different income levels and good to businesses of different revenue sizes;
- Exhibits a good record of serving the credit needs of low-income individuals and areas and very small businesses;
- An adequate level of community development loans;
- Extensive use of flexible lending practices in serving the assessment area's credit needs;
- A significant level of qualified community development investments and grants;
- Occasionally in a leadership position in providing community development investments and grants;
- Retail delivery systems are accessible to all geographies and individuals of different income levels and businesses of different revenue sizes;
- A record of opening and closing banking centers that has not adversely affected the accessibility of delivery systems;
- Banking services and hours that do not vary in a way that inconveniences any portions of the assessment areas; and,
- A relatively high level of community development services.

SCOPE OF EXAMINATION

A full-scope review was conducted the Lexington-Fayette MSA. Limited-scope reviews were performed on the remaining three assessment areas, the Owensboro MSA, and non-metropolitan Eastern and Western Kentucky. The time period, products, and affiliates evaluated for this assessment area are consistent with the scope discussed in the "Institution" section of this report.

¹⁶⁹ For institutions with branches in two or more states in a multi-state metropolitan area, this statewide evaluation is adjusted and does not reflect performance in the parts of those states contained within the multi-state metropolitan area. Refer to the multi-state metropolitan area rating and discussion for the rating and evaluation of the institution's performance in that area.

The Lexington-Fayette assessment area received greater weight in determining the CRA rating for Kentucky, as it had the largest lending volumes and number of banking centers and ranked first in Kentucky's share of deposits during the evaluation period. Lastly, the Lexington-Fayette assessment area represented 46.4% of the banking centers, 70.5% of deposits, and 62.5% of lending in Kentucky.

DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION'S OPERATIONS IN THE COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

Lending activity accounted for 1.9% of the Fifth Third's total lending activity, while deposits accounted for 1.5% of the Fifth Third's total deposits. HMDA-reportable lending in Kentucky represented 1.9% of the Fifth Third's total HMDA lending, while CRA-reportable lending represented 1.6% of the Fifth Third's total CRA lending. As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third ranked fourth among 190 insured institutions and has a deposit market share of 7.3% and 28 banking center locations within Kentucky.

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN THE COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

Lending Test

Fifth Third's performance under the lending test within the assessment areas located in Kentucky is rated "High Satisfactory." Fifth Third's lending reflects a good responsiveness to the credit needs in the Lexington-Fayette assessment area. Lending reflects an adequate responsiveness to credit needs in non-metropolitan Eastern, non-metropolitan Western Kentucky, and the Owensboro assessment area.

Lending Activity

In Kentucky, Fifth Third originated 8,304 HMDA loans totaling \$1.1 billion and 2,051 small business loans totaling \$256.4 million during the evaluation period.

Lending activity in Kentucky is good. Lending activity in the Lexington-Fayette assessment area is good and adequate in the remaining three assessment areas.

Geographic and Borrower Distribution

The distribution of loans among geographies is excellent in the assessment areas located in Kentucky. The geographic distribution is excellent in the Lexington-Fayette assessment area and good in non-metropolitan Western Kentucky and the Owensboro assessment area. The geographic distribution is adequate in non-metropolitan Eastern Kentucky.

Further, a low level of lending gaps was identified within all four assessment areas located in Kentucky.

Overall, the distribution of loans among borrowers of different income levels is excellent and good to businesses of different revenue sizes is good in the assessment areas located in Kentucky. The borrower distribution is excellent in the Lexington-Fayette assessment area and good in the remaining three assessment areas. The distribution to businesses of different revenue sizes is good in the Lexington-Fayette and non-metropolitan Eastern Kentucky assessment areas and adequate in the remaining two assessment areas.

A detailed analysis for the geographic distribution and borrower-income distribution is provided with the analysis for each assessment area.

Community Development Loans

In Kentucky, Fifth Third originated 13 community development loans totaling \$91.0 million, which represented 1.5% of its community development lending by dollar volume. This represents an adequate level of community development lending in Kentucky. Fifth Third made an adequate level of community development loans in the Lexington-Fayette assessment area and a good level of community development loans in non-metropolitan Eastern Kentucky. Fifth Third did not make any community development loans in non-metropolitan Western Kentucky or the Owensboro assessment area, which is considered to be a poor level of community development lending.

Flexible Lending

Fifth Third made extensive use of flexible lending practices within the assessment areas in Kentucky.

Investment Test

Fifth Third's performance under the investment test within the assessment areas located in Kentucky is rated "Outstanding." Fifth Third funded \$25.2 million in qualified community development investments in Kentucky during the evaluation period that consisted of \$15.9 million obtained from new investments made during the current review period and \$9.3 million from prior period investments. The majority of investments were LIHTCs. Fifth Third's level of qualified investments is excellent in the Owensboro assessment area. The level of qualified investments is considered good in the Lexington-Fayette and non-metropolitan Eastern Kentucky assessment areas and poor in non-metropolitan Western Kentucky.

Fifth Third was considered responsive to the credit and community development needs in the state; therefore, investments without a purpose, mandate, or function of serving Fifth Third's assessment areas in Kentucky was considered to positively impact state performance. Fifth Third made \$16.1 million in qualified investments, typically in the form of LIHTCs that benefited counties within Kentucky, but outside Fifth Third's delineated assessment areas.

Additional information regarding performance under the investment test is provided in the respective analyses for each assessment area.

Service Test

Fifth Third's performance under the service test within the assessment areas located in Kentucky is rated "High Satisfactory." Its performance is good in the Lexington-Fayette and Owensboro assessment areas and adequate in non-metropolitan Eastern Kentucky and non-metropolitan Western Kentucky.

For details regarding the institution's performance in the individual assessment areas, refer to the respective assessment area's "Service Test" section in this report.

Retail Services

Retail delivery systems are accessible to all geographies, including low- and moderate-income geographies, individuals of different income levels, and businesses of different revenue sizes in the institution's assessment areas. Retail service distribution is good in all four assessment areas.

Fifth Third's record of opening and closing banking centers has not adversely affected the accessibility of its delivery systems, particularly in low- and moderate-income geographies and to low- and moderate-income individuals. No branches opened or closed in low- or moderate-income tracts during the evaluation period.

Banking services and business hours do not vary in a way that inconveniences any portions of Fifth Third's assessment areas and are consistent with the services and hours discussed in the "Institution" assessment.

Community Development Services

Fifth Third provides a relatively high level of community development services in Kentucky. Fifth Third's performance is excellent in non-metropolitan Western Kentucky and good in the Lexington-Fayette assessment area. The level of community development services is adequate in non-metropolitan Eastern Kentucky and poor in the Owensboro assessment area.

METROPOLITAN AREA
(Full-scope Review)

**DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION'S OPERATIONS IN
LEXINGTON-FAYETTE KY MSA #30460**

The Lexington-Fayette KY MSA consists of Bourbon, Clark, Fayette, Jessamine, Scott, and Woodford counties. The assessment area is comprised of 12 low-, 32 moderate-, 47 middle-, and 38 upper-income tracts.

As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third ranked third out of 37 institutions with 11.9% of the deposit market share. Central Bank & Trust Company had the majority of the market share 16.6% of deposits, and JPMorgan Chase ranked second with 14.8% of the market share. Deposits in this assessment area accounted for 1.1% of the Fifth Third's total deposits. This was 70.5% of deposits within the state and 19th highest percentage of deposits within Fifth Third's CRA footprint.

From January 1, 2014 through June 30, 2016, Fifth Third originated 1,220 HMDA loans and 441 CRA loans, which represented 1.2% and 1.1% of the total loans originated during the evaluation period, respectively. This was the 21st largest HMDA market and 20th largest CRA market for loans originated during the evaluation period.

In 2015, Fifth Third Mortgage Company ranked eighth among 318 HMDA reporters in the assessment area and Fifth Third ranked 47th. Wells Fargo Bank, Central Bank & Trust Company, Guardian Savings Bank, and JPMorgan Chase Bank were the top four HMDA lenders in the assessment area. Fifth Third ranked 11th of 65 CRA reporters in the assessment area in 2015. The top four CRA lenders in the assessment area were American Express, Central Bank & Trust Company, Chase Bank USA, and Synchrony Bank. These lenders are mostly issuers of credit cards and their CRA loans primarily consist of commercial credit card accounts.

Two community contact interviews were conducted to provide additional information regarding the assessment area. The first contact, representing a philanthropic health legacy foundation that awards grants to nonprofits that address social determinants related to health, particularly in urban areas, stated there is a significant need for financial institutions to fund small businesses and entrepreneurs with small-dollar loans to promote economic development in the community. The contact also believed there is a large unbanked population in the area, who do not have access to credit, making them invisible to the mainstream financial system. These individuals tend to be lower-income and because they do not have access to financial services are typically unable to buy homes or build businesses. Financial institutions have an opportunity to attract the unbanked by conducting community outreach and offering financial literacy training and fee-free banking products. Lastly, the contact indicated smaller banks experience difficulties in competing with larger banks, which have more resources to deal with increasing regulatory burdens.

The second contact, representing a micro-lender who extends small-dollar loans to support entrepreneurship, stated the economic conditions are improving and the regional economy is attracting more advanced manufacturing jobs with higher average wages. The contact believed area banks could be more proactive in working with and providing financial literacy training to individuals with poor or no credit, as a good credit score allows people to save money with lower interest rates, be approved for loans (personal and business) and rentals more easily, obtain better insurance rates, and avoid security deposits on cell phones and utilities.

Population Characteristics

According to 2010 U.S. Census data, the population in the assessment area was 472,099. About 35.3% of the population lived in low- and moderate-income tracts. In addition, 77.3% of the population was 18 years of age or older, the legal age to enter into a contract.

As of July 1, 2015, the Lexington-Fayette MSA is the 106th largest in terms of population in the nation.¹⁷⁰ Fayette County is the largest county in the assessment area and the second-most populous county in Kentucky.¹⁷¹ Lexington (located in Fayette County) is the largest city in assessment area and is the 61st most populous city in the U.S. Lexington has 314,488 residents and its population growth increased by 20.4% between 2000 and 2015.¹⁷² According to Moody’s Analytics, in 2015, the migration flow into Lexington was 2,844 residents, with a positive annual net migration from 2012 through 2015. In contrast, the next largest cities in the assessment area are Georgetown, Nicholasville, Winchester, Paris, and Versailles, which have 32,356, 29,754, 18,446, 9,870, and 9,146, respectively.¹⁷³

The following table shows the population in the assessment area by county for 2010 and 2015 with the percentage of the population increase.¹⁷⁴ The population within the assessment area experienced positive growth between 2010 and 2015, with Scott County experiencing the greatest growth and Clark County experiencing the least growth in population during this time period. Moody’s Analytics cited strong population trends are supporting housing demand.

County	2010 Population	2015 Population	Population Percent Change
Bourbon	19,985	20,116	0.7%
Clark	35,613	35,757	0.4%
Fayette	295,803	314,488	6.3%
Jessamine	48,586	51,961	6.9%
Scott	47,173	52,420	11.1%
Woodford	24,939	25,793	3.4%
Total	472,099	500,535	6.0%

Income Characteristics

¹⁷⁰ MSA population data is derived from the U.S. Census Data 2015 Statistical Abstract:
<https://factfinder.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productview.xhtml?src=bkmk>

¹⁷¹ U.S. Places: <http://us-places.com> (main page – enter state, choose population by county)

¹⁷² U.S. Census QuickFacts: <https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/> (main page – enter state, county, city, town, or zip code)

¹⁷³ U.S. Census QuickFacts: <https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/> (main page – enter state, county, city, town, or zip code)

¹⁷⁴ Population Estimates derived from U.S. Census Data (April 1, 2010 – July 1, 2015):
<http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/table/PST045216/00>

In 2010 the MSA median family income was greater (\$62,624) than Kentucky’s at \$52,046. The MSA median family income increased in 2014, 2015, and declined slightly in 2016.

**Borrower Income Levels
Lexington-Fayette, KY MSA**

FFIEC Estimated Median Family Income		Low		Moderate		Middle		Upper	
		0	- 49.99%	50%	- 79.99%	80%	- 119.99%	120%	- & above
2014	\$67,800	0	- \$33,899	\$33,900	- \$54,239	\$54,240	- \$81,359	\$81,360	- & above
2015	\$68,200	0	- \$34,099	\$34,100	- \$54,559	\$54,560	- \$81,839	\$81,840	- & above
2016	\$66,100	0	- \$33,049	\$33,050	- \$52,879	\$52,880	- \$79,319	\$79,320	- & above

Poverty rates increased in each county in the assessment area from 1999 to 2015.¹⁷⁵ Woodford County had the lowest poverty rates in 1999 and 2015, while Bourbon County had highest poverty rate in 1999 and Fayette County had the highest poverty rate in 2015. Jessamine County experienced the largest increase in poverty rates during this period. In 2015, Fayette County’s poverty rate exceeded and Jessamine County’s poverty rate was equivalent to the state-level poverty rate of Kentucky. In 2015, Kentucky’s poverty rates exceeded the national poverty rate. According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the national poverty rate in 2015 was 13.5%, down 1.3 percentage points from 14.8% in 2014. For most demographic groups, the 2015 poverty rates and number of people in poverty decreased from 2014.¹⁷⁶ The following table shows the poverty rates for 1999¹⁷⁷ and 2015.

County	1999 Poverty Rate	2015 Poverty Rate	Change
Bourbon	14.0%	14.8%	5.7%
Clark	10.6%	15.4%	45.3%
Fayette	12.9%	19.1%	48.1%
Jessamine	10.5%	18.3%	74.3%
Scott	8.8%	13.1%	48.9%
Woodford	7.3%	11.6%	58.9%
Kentucky	15.8%	18.3%	15.8%
U.S.	11.8%	13.5%	14.4%

¹⁷⁵ U.S. Department of Agriculture Economic Research Service Poverty Rates (for 1999 and 2015): <https://data.ers.usda.gov/reports.aspx?ID=17826>

¹⁷⁶ 2015 National Poverty: <http://www.census.gov/library/publications/2016/demo/p60-256.html>

¹⁷⁷ 1999 National Poverty Rate: <http://www.census.gov/prod/2000pubs/p60-210.pdf>

Housing Characteristics

According to 2010 U.S. Census data, there are 205,317 housing units and 118,564 families in the assessment area. From an income perspective, 36.8% of housing units, 25.9% of owner-occupied units, and 31.3% of families are located in low- or moderate-income tracts. Nearly three-quarters of the housing units in the low-income census tracts are either rental or vacant (72.6%). In the moderate-income census tracts, over half of the housing units are either rental or vacant (57.8%) and 42.2% are owner-occupied. Therefore, based on the number of housing units compared to the number of families in low- and moderate-income census tracts, there appear to be credit-related opportunities for Fifth Third to provide various aspects of affordable housing in the assessment area.

The 2010 U.S. Census data shows the median age of housing stock in the assessment area was 32 years old, with 13.0% of the stock built before 1950. The oldest housing stock was in Bourbon County with a median age of 40 years, while the newest was 19 years in Scott County. Within the assessment area, the median age of housing stock was 40 years in low-income tracts and 37 years in moderate-income tracts. Therefore, there appears to be some opportunity for Fifth Third to provide home improvement and rehabilitation loans in these lower-income areas.

According to 2010 U.S. Census data, the median housing value in the assessment area was \$156,580 with an affordability ratio of 30.98. The affordability ratio is derived by dividing the median household income by the median housing value. The higher the affordability ratio, the more affordable a home is considered. While median housing values increased between 2010 and 2011-2015, housing affordability varied across the assessment area due to median household incomes. During the evaluation period, the most affordable housing was in Scott County and the least affordable was in Fayette County. Overall, median gross rents increased at a fairly substantial rate across the assessment area, with renters in Bourbon and Jessamine counties experiencing the largest increase in rental rates and renters in Woodford County experiencing the smallest increase in gross rental rates. The table below presents housing characteristics from the U.S. Census data between 2010 and 2015 in the assessment area and Kentucky. According to Moody's Analytics, households are forming more quickly, and house prices in the Lexington area have appreciated more over the last year than in any other part of the state. Continued population growth will keep demand for single-family housing high.

County	2010 Median Housing Value	2010 Affordability Ratio	2011-2015 Median Housing Value	2011-2015 Affordability Ratio	2010 Median Gross Rent	2011-2015 Median Gross Rent	Percent of Change
Bourbon	\$137,700	29.67	\$139,600	32.38	\$581	\$690	18.8%
Clark	\$134,500	34.63	\$142,700	33.61	\$631	\$698	10.6%
Fayette	\$159,200	29.82	\$168,100	29.61	\$693	\$778	12.3%
Jessamine	\$150,200	31.62	\$160,400	31.52	\$670	\$771	15.1%
Scott	\$158,700	36.56	\$164,600	38.29	\$684	\$760	11.1%
Woodford	\$180,800	31.27	\$181,300	32.40	\$724	\$725	0.1%
Kentucky	\$116,800	35.60	\$123,200	35.50	\$601	\$675	12.3%

According to Bankrate.com,¹⁷⁸ Kentucky ranked 43rd for foreclosure filings in November 2016. The national average for foreclosure filings was one in every 1,533 housing units. The following table contains information about foreclosure filings in the assessment area, according to Realtytrac:¹⁷⁹

Geography Name	Ratio of Properties Receiving Foreclosure Filings in November 2016
Bourbon	N/A
Clark	N/A
Fayette	1:3,790
Jessamine	1:1,366
Scott	N/A
Woodford	N/A
Kentucky	1:4,270
U.S.	1:1,533

In November 2016, Jessamine County had the highest rate of foreclosure in the assessment area and the third highest rate in Kentucky. According to Moody’s Analytics, a 2015 state law allowing foreclosures to clear the housing market more quickly is benefiting Lexington disproportionately, as foreclosures have plummeted and home price increases no longer lag the U.S. pace. As shown above, the foreclosure rate in Fayette County is far below the national rate, but is still higher than Kentucky’s rate of foreclosure.

Building permits for this MSA, Kentucky, and the nation are included in the following table for 2014, 2015, and 2016.¹⁸⁰

Geography	2014	2015	Percent of Change 2014-2015	2016	Percent of Change 2015-2016
Lexington-Fayette MSA	1,888	2,206	16.8%	2,732	23.8%
Kentucky	9,421	10,566	12.2%	12,798	21.1%
U.S.	1,052,124	1,182,582	12.4%	1,190,191	0.6%

The MSA experienced a significant increase in the number of housing permits issued between 2014 and 2016. The increase in the number of permits could indicate there is a growing demand for home purchase loans in the MSA and in Kentucky during the evaluation period. According to Moody’s Analytics, demand for new housing starts has increased and projected population growth will keep demand high for single-family housing in the Lexington area.

¹⁷⁸ Bankrate.com: <http://www.bankrate.com/finance/real-estate/foreclosures-by-state/default.aspx>

¹⁷⁹ Realtytrac: <http://www.realtytrac.com/statsandtrends/foreclosuretrends/>

¹⁸⁰ U.S. Census Bureau Building Permits Survey: <http://www.census.gov/construction/bps/>

Labor, Employment, and Economic Characteristics

According to Moody’s Analytics, the Lexington area economy is in expansion due to a strong healthcare industry, competitive business costs, favorable population trends, and a highly educated workforce. Contributing to the area’s economic strengths is the large insurance industry in Kentucky, which benefits from Medicaid expansion, low business costs (especially office rents), and the presence of a large research university. Economic weaknesses include a nationwide push to close coal power plants, rising energy costs, and high tuition rates limit students’ disposable incomes. A community contact stated the regional economy is attracting more advanced manufacturing jobs with higher average wages. According to Moody’s Analytics, machinery manufacturing, the second largest factory segment, is well-positioned to rebound in 2017. The prominent auto industry has been adding jobs and construction equipment manufacturing is benefiting from increased nationwide residential construction.


According to Commerce Lexington Economic Development¹⁸¹ the top 15 employers in the MSA in 2016 were:

Company (County)	Number of Employees
University of Kentucky (Fayette)	12,500
Toyota Motor Manufacturing (Scott)	7,900
Kentucky Health & Family Services Cabinet (Franklin)	7,444
Fayette County Public Schools	5,172
Transportation Cabinet of Kentucky (Franklin)	4,848
Conduent (Fayette)	3,100
Lexington-Fayette Urban County Government (Fayette)	2,837
Eastern Kentucky University (Madison)	2,240
Lexmark International Inc. ¹⁸² (Fayette)	2,200
Wal-Mart (Fayette)	2,027
KentuckyOne Health (Fayette)	1,757
Scott County Public Schools	1,655
Veterans Medical Center (Fayette)	1,565
Baptist Health (Fayette)	1,558
Kentucky Department of Education (Franklin)	1,475

The following table illustrates the average unemployment rates for 2014, 2015, and 2016 for the MSA and Kentucky.

¹⁸¹ Commerce Lexington ED: <http://www.locateinlexington.com/Data-Facts-Figures-Major-Employers.aspx>

¹⁸² In the Fortune 1000 are Lexmark International (#638)and Tempur Sealy International (#699) with 420 employees - <https://www.geolounge.com/fortune-1000-companies-list-2016/>



The unemployment rates declined each year in the MSA and were considerably lower than Kentucky’s during this time period.

According to *WKYT News*, in February 2016, Lexmark International, Inc. announced it was cutting 500 jobs (4.0% of its workforce), at least 143 employees in Lexington will be affected by the layoffs. The company said the layoffs, affecting part of its worldwide workforce, are part of a 12-month restructuring plan expected to save the company \$67 million in 2016 and \$100 million in 2017. According to a University of Kentucky professor of economics, even if all 500 layoffs happened at Lexmark’s headquarters, it would impact less than 1.0% of employment in the Lexington area.¹⁸³

¹⁸³ WKYT News Staff. “Lexmark to layoff 143 Lexington employees.” *WKYT News*. March 23, 2016. - <http://www.wkyt.com/content/news/373219481.html>

Combined Demographics Report

Assessment Area: KY Lexington

Income Categories	Tract Distribution		Families by Tract Income		Families < Poverty Level as % of Families by Tract		Families by Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	12	9.3	6,987	5.9	2,158	30.9	27,630	23.3
Moderate-income	32	24.8	30,127	25.4	5,350	17.8	19,368	16.3
Middle-income	47	36.4	45,050	38	4,483	10	23,784	20.1
Upper-income	38	29.5	36,400	30.7	1,450	4	47,782	40.3
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	129	100.0	118,564	100.0	13,441	11.3	118,564	100.0
	Housing Units by Tract	Housing Types by Tract						
		Owner-Occupied			Rental		Vacant	
		#	%	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	16,433	4,502	4	27.4	9,843	59.9	2,088	12.7
Moderate-income	59,071	24,909	21.9	42.2	28,309	47.9	5,853	9.9
Middle-income	74,924	46,136	40.5	61.6	23,498	31.4	5,290	7.1
Upper-income	54,889	38,390	33.7	69.9	12,722	23.2	3,777	6.9
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	205,317	113,937	100.0	55.5	74,372	36.2	17,008	8.3
	Total Businesses by Tract	Businesses by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Low-income	1,547	6.1	1,363	5.8	182	10.1	2	3
Moderate-income	6,033	23.9	5,535	23.7	462	25.5	36	54.5
Middle-income	9,686	38.4	8,892	38.1	774	42.8	20	30.3
Upper-income	7,926	31.5	7,527	32.3	391	21.6	8	12.1
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	25,192	100.0	23,317	100.0	1,809	100.0	66	100.0
Percentage of Total Businesses:			92.6		7.2		.3	
	Total Farms by Tract	Farms by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Low-income	11	1	11	1	0	0	0	0
Moderate-income	170	15.6	168	16	2	4.9	0	0
Middle-income	525	48.1	501	47.7	24	58.5	0	0
Upper-income	386	35.3	371	35.3	15	36.6	0	0
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	1,092	100.0	1,051	100.0	41	100.0	0	.0
Percentage of Total Farms:			96.2		3.8		.0	

**CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN
THE LEXINGTON-FAYETTE KY MSA**

Lending Test

Fifth Third’s performance under the lending test in this assessment area is rated good. Fifth Third has demonstrated good responsiveness to the credit needs of the community. In addition, Fifth Third originated 11 community development loans totaling \$44.6 million. Fifth Third has an excellent geographic distribution of loans and minimal lending gaps in the assessment area. Fifth Third has an excellent distribution among borrowers of different income levels and a good distribution to businesses of different revenue sizes. Fifth Third exhibits a good record of serving the credit needs of highly economically disadvantaged areas in its assessment area, low-income individuals, and businesses with gross annual revenues of \$1 million or less. The adequate level of community development loans and extensive use of flexible lending practices supported Fifth Third’s performance in this assessment area.

Greatest consideration was given to the evaluation of home purchase, based on the overall volume of lending, followed by refinance, and small business. There were an insufficient number of home improvement loans to analyze performance. Details of Fifth Third’s residential mortgage and small business lending, as well as information regarding lending by peers, can be found in Appendix E.

Lending Activity

Fifth Third’s lending activity reflects good responsiveness to the credit needs within the assessment area. Fifth Third originated 611 home purchase, 570 refinance, 39 home improvement, 441 small business, and 11 community development loans during the evaluation period. The percentage of Fifth Third’s total lending at 1.2% is greater than the percentage of total deposits at 1.1% in this area.

Geographic Distribution of Loans

Fifth Third’s overall distribution of lending among geographies is excellent. Home purchase, the largest loan category, is good. Refinance lending is also good. Small business lending is excellent. In addition, there is a low level of lending gaps as shown in the following table:

Tract Income Levels	Number of Tracts	Tracts with No Loans	Penetration
Low	12	0	100.0%
Moderate	32	1	96.9%
Middle	47	0	100.0%
Upper	38	0	100.0%
Total	129	1	99.2%

Lending gaps are considered minimal, as there was only one tract in the entire assessment area in which there were no loans.

Home Purchase Loans

Fifth Third made 13 home purchase loans totaling \$1.3 million in low-income tracts. This represents 2.1% of home purchase loans by volume, which is significantly below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 4.0%, and 1.2% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate at 2.8% and was below the 2015 aggregate at 3.3%. As Fifth Third's performance was significantly below proxy and below the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of home purchase loans in low-income tracts is adequate.

Fifth Third made 88 home purchase loans totaling \$9.5 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 14.4% of its home purchase loans by volume, which is below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 21.9%, and 8.4% by dollar volume, which is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate at 16.5% and was below the 2015 aggregate at 16.5%. As Fifth Third's performance was below proxy and exceeded the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of home purchase loans in moderate-income tracts is good.

Fifth Third made 235 home purchase loans totaling \$36.6 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 38.5% of home purchase loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 40.5%, and 32.4% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate of 41.1% and the 2015 aggregate of 39.9%.

Fifth Third made 275 home purchase loans totaling \$65.5 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 45.0% of home purchase loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 33.7%, and 58.0% by dollar amount, which also exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 39.5% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 40.3%.

Overall, geographic distribution of home purchase loans is good.

Refinance Loans

Fifth Third made 18 refinance loans totaling \$1.8 million in low-income tracts. This represents 3.2% of refinance loans by volume and 2.0% by dollar amount, which is below the percentage of owner-occupied units at 4.0%. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate at 4.1% and the 2015 aggregate at 3.2%. As Fifth Third's performance was slightly below proxy and was comparable to the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of refinance loans in low-income tracts is good.

Fifth Third made 103 refinance loans totaling \$10.5 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 18.1% of refinance loans by volume, which is slightly below the owner-occupied units in these tracts at 21.9%. The percentage of loans by dollar amount at 11.7% is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate at 20.8% and slightly exceeded the 2015 aggregate at 17.8%.

As Fifth Third's performance was slightly below proxy and slightly exceeded the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of refinance loans in moderate-income tracts is good.

Fifth Third made 198 refinance loans totaling \$26.4 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 34.7% of refinance loans by volume, which is below the owner-occupied units in these tracts at 40.5%. However, the percentage of refinance loans by dollar amount (29.4%) was significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate at 38.9% and was below the 2015 aggregate at 38.9%.

Fifth Third made 251 refinance loans totaling \$51.4 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 44.0% of refinance loans by volume, which significantly exceeds the owner-occupied units in these tracts at 33.7%, and 57.0% by dollar volume, which also exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate at 36.2% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate at 40.1%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of refinance loans is good.

Small Business Loans

Fifth Third made 31 small business loans totaling \$4.5 million in low-income tracts. This represents 7.0% of small business loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 5.8%, and 8.1% by dollar amount, which also exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 7.5% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 7.4%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded proxy and slightly exceeded the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of small business loans in low-income tracts is good.

Fifth Third made 106 small business loans totaling \$18.3 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 24.0% of small business loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 23.7%, and 32.9% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 20.3% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 20.3%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and proxy, the geographic distribution of small business loans in moderate-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 149 small business loans totaling \$18.7 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 33.8% of small business loans by volume, which is below the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 38.1%, and 33.6% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 37.9% and the 2015 aggregate of 37.9%.

Fifth Third made 155 small business loans totaling \$14.1 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 35.1% of small business loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 32.3%, and 25.4% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 33.2% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 33.3%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of small business loans is excellent, primarily due to the strong performance in moderate-income tracts.

Distribution by Borrower Income and Revenue Size of the Business

Overall, the distribution of loans is excellent based on borrower income and good for businesses of different revenue sizes. Borrower distribution is excellent for home purchase loans and refinances.

Home Purchase Loans

Fifth Third made 83 loans totaling \$8.0 million to low-income borrowers. This represents 13.6% of home purchase loans by volume, which is below the percentage of low-income families at 23.3%, and 7.1% of loans by dollar amount, which is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume significantly exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 8.6% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 8.4%. Given that Fifth Third's performance was below proxy, but significantly exceeded the aggregate of all lenders, the borrower distribution of home purchase loans to low-income borrowers is good.

Fifth Third made 146 loans totaling \$19.2 million to moderate-income borrowers. This represents 23.9% of home purchase loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of moderate-income families at 16.3% and 17.0% of loans by dollar amount, which also exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 21.1% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 20.6%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, the borrower distribution of home purchase loans to moderate-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 128 loans totaling \$18.8 million to middle-income borrowers. This represents 20.9% of home purchase loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of middle-income families at 20.1%, and 16.6% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 20.4% and was comparable to the 2015 aggregate of 19.9%.

Fifth Third made 239 loans totaling \$63.8 million to upper-income borrowers. This represents 39.1% of home purchase loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of upper-income families at 40.3%, and 56.4% of loans by dollar amount, which also exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 31.3% and the 2015 aggregate of 32.2%.

Overall, the borrower distribution of home purchase loans is excellent.

Refinance Loans

Fifth Third made 78 loans totaling \$6.7 million to low-income borrowers. This represents 13.7% of refinance loans by volume, which is below percentage of low-income families at 23.3%. The percentage of loans by dollar amount at 7.4% is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 8.6% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 8.3%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders, the borrower distribution of refinance loans to low-income borrowers is good.

Fifth Third made 122 loans totaling \$11.8 million to moderate-income borrowers. This represents 21.4% of refinance loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of moderate-income families at 16.3%, and 13.1% by dollar volume, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 16.0% and the 2015 aggregate of 15.2%. As Fifth Third's performance exceeded proxy and aggregate of all lenders, the borrower distribution to moderate-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 147 loans totaling \$20.0 million to middle-income borrowers. This represents 25.8% of refinance loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of middle-income families at 20.1%, and 22.2% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 19.9% and the 2015 aggregate of 19.2%.

Fifth Third made 197 loans totaling \$47.0 million to upper-income borrowers. This represents 34.6% of refinance loans by volume, which is below the percentage of upper-income families at 40.3, and 52.2% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 32.9% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 35.4%.

Overall, the borrower distribution of refinance loans is excellent.

Small Business Loans

The distribution of small business loans to businesses of different sizes is good, considering Fifth Third's performance relative to the aggregate of all lenders. Fifth Third was able to make 58.3% of small business loans to businesses with revenues of \$1 million or less. Fifth Third's performance exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 47.2% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 49.9%, but was significantly below the percentage of small businesses in the assessment area at 92.6%. Also, during the evaluation period, Fifth Third was able to make an acceptable percentage of small-dollar loans (71.9%) up to \$100,000, indicating a willingness to lend in smaller amounts typically requested by small businesses. In addition, a community contact indicated a need for small-dollar loans to small businesses.

Community Development Loans

Fifth Third originated 11 community development loans totaling \$44.6 million during the evaluation period. These loans were primarily working capital loans to help retain and expand businesses located in areas specifically designated to promote revitalization and economic development. In particular, several loans supported businesses located in the downtown core area designated by Lexington to revitalize 17 specific neighborhoods.

Community development lending in this assessment area represents 0.7% of the total dollar volume of community development loans originated by Fifth Third during the evaluation period. This ranks as Fifth Third’s 31st highest percentage of community development lending during the evaluation period. In this assessment area, Fifth Third ranks third with 11.9% of the deposit market share and deposits accounting for 1.1% of the Fifth Third’s total deposits; therefore, Fifth Third’s performance is adequate in community development lending.

Flexible Lending Programs

Fifth Third had 338 flexible lending loans in this assessment area: 222 government loans, 15 down payment assistance loans, and 101 other flexible lending programs. The following tables show the percentage by volume and by dollar amount of the three types of flexible lending programs made in this assessment area during the evaluation period and distribution of Fifth Third’s flexible lending programs within the assessment area by census tract income and borrower income.

Flexible Loan Programs												
	Geographic Distribution											
	Low			Moderate			Middle			Upper		
	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units
Government Loan Programs	3.2%	1.9%	4.0%	22.5%	17.4%	21.9%	46.4%	46.4%	40.5%	27.9%	34.4%	33.7%
Down Payment Assistance Programs	26.7%	22.5%	4.0%	26.7%	27.7%	21.9%	40.0%	39.2%	40.5%	6.7%	10.7%	33.7%
Other Flexible Lending Programs	9.9%	8.2%	4.0%	23.8%	20.4%	21.9%	37.6%	37.0%	40.5%	28.7%	34.4%	33.7%
Total	6.2%	4.1%	4.0%	23.1%	18.4%	21.9%	43.5%	43.9%	40.5%	27.2%	33.6%	33.7%

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

Flexible Loan Programs												
	Borrower Distribution											
	Low			Moderate			Middle			Upper		
	% - #	% - \$	% Fam	% - #	% - \$	% Fam	% - #	% - \$	% Fam	% - #	% - \$	% Fam
Government Loan Programs	13.1%	9.5%	23.3%	34.7%	30.7%	16.3%	30.6%	31.0%	20.1%	20.3%	27.2%	40.3%
Down Payment Assistance Programs	80.0%	81.6%	23.3%	13.3%	9.6%	16.3%	6.7%	8.8%	20.1%	0.0%	0.0%	40.3%
Other Flexible Lending Programs	24.8%	22.5%	23.3%	33.7%	28.7%	16.3%	18.8%	21.2%	20.1%	22.8%	27.7%	40.3%
Total	19.5%	15%	23.3%	33.4%	29.5%	16.3%	26%	27.9%	20.1%	20.1%	26.4%	40.3%

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

Fifth Third’s lending in low-income tracts by number and dollar amount exceeded the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts, especially for various down payment assistance programs. The percentage of lending by volume in moderate-income tracts exceeded the percentage of owner-occupied units, while the percentage of lending by dollar amount was slightly below proxy.

Fifth Third’s lending to low-income borrowers by volume and dollar amount was below the percentage of low-income families in the assessment area; however, lending for various down payment assistance programs significantly exceeded the percentage of low-income families. The percentage of lending to moderate-income borrowers by volume and dollar amount significantly exceeded the percentage of moderate-income families.

Therefore, Fifth Third made extensive use of flexible lending practices and is serving the assessment area’s credit needs, as lending through flexible loan programs to moderate-income borrowers was good and lending in low-and moderate-income tracts and to low-income borrowers was excellent.

Investment Test

Fifth Third’s performance relative to the investment test in the assessment area is rated good.

Fifth Third made significant level of qualified community development investments and grants, particularly those not routinely provided by private investors. As such, Fifth Third was occasionally in a leadership position. Fifth Third has 115 qualified investments totaling \$17.8 million during the evaluation period. Shown in the table below are the total current period investments:

Affordable Housing		Economic Development		Revitalization and Stabilization		Community Services	
#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$
36	10,722,003	17	77,554	1	5,000	52	143,319

Also included in the total number of qualified investments are nine prior period investments totaling \$6.9 million. Fifth Third made 1.1% of its total community development investments in this assessment area, which is comparable to the percentage of total deposits at 1.1% and slightly greater than the percentage of branch offices at 1.0%.

Fifth Third exhibits a good responsiveness to credit and community development needs in the assessment area. Fifth Third made 75 donations totaling \$238,373 that supported local schools, small businesses, churches, food banks, health care, and affordable housing. The majority of Fifth Third's donations (60.1%) supported services to low- and moderate-income individuals, which is an important need based on the excessive poverty rates present in several counties within the assessment area.

Service Test

Fifth Third's performance under the service test in this assessment area is rated good. Retail services are accessible and Fifth Third provided a relatively high level of community development services in the assessment area.

Retail Services

Fifth Third's record of opening and closing offices has not adversely affected the accessibility of its delivery systems, particularly in low- and moderate-income geographies and to low- and moderate-income households. Since the previous evaluation, two banking centers were closed, resulting in no net change in the number of banking centers in low- and moderate-income tracts. Delivery services are accessible to Fifth Third's geographies and individuals of different income levels.

Business hours and services provided do not vary in a way that inconveniences certain portions of the assessment area, including low- and moderate-income geographies or households, and are consistent with the services and hours discussed in the "Institution" assessment.

Fifth Third maintains 13 banking centers within this assessment area, including none in low-, six in moderate-, three in middle-, and four in upper-income census tracts. Fifth Third's banking centers in this assessment area represent 1.0% of all its banking centers.

Fifth Third has a total of 15 full-service ATMs within this assessment area, including none in low-, eight in moderate-, three in middle-, and four in upper-income census tracts.

The following table illustrates the percentage of banking centers and ATMs in low-, moderate-, middle-, and upper-income census tracts in comparison to the number and percentage of census tracts and the percentage of households and businesses in those tracts.

Geographic Distribution of Branches & ATMS

Assessment Area: KY Lexington

Tract Category	Branches				Stand Alone ATMs						Demographics				
	Total Branches		Open #	Closed #	Total ATMs		Full Service ATMs		Cash only ATMs		Census Tracts		House holds %	Total Businesses %	
	#	%			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%			
Low	0	0.0%	0	0	Total	3	12.0%	0	0.0%	3	30.0%	12	9.3%	7.6%	6.2%
Moderate	6	46.2%	0	0	Total	11	44.0%	8	53.3%	3	30.0%	32	24.8%	28.3%	24.0%
Middle	3	23.1%	0	2	Total	5	20.0%	3	20.0%	2	20.0%	47	36.4%	37.0%	38.1%
Upper	4	30.8%	0	0	Total	6	24.0%	4	26.7%	2	20.0%	38	29.5%	27.1%	31.7%
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0	Total	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total	13	100.0%	0	2	Total	25	100.0%	15	100.0%	10	100.0%	129	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

2016 FFIEC Census Data, 2010 ACS Data, and 2015 D&B Information

Closed branches/ATMs are only included in "closed" columns and are not included in any other totals.

DTO - Drive thru only is a subset of total branches

SA = Stand Alone ATM is a subset of total ATMs

Branch distribution within low-income tracts was considered poor, because Fifth Third has no branches in these tracts and the distribution of branches was below the percentage of census tracts and households in these tracts. However, the branch distribution within moderate-income tracts was considered excellent.

Community Development Services

Fifth Third provided a relatively high level of community development services in the assessment area. During the evaluation period, Fifth Third employees provided 1,175 hours of community development service to local organizations serving low- and moderate-income individuals, which represents 1.0% of all community development services provided and equates to 0.56 annualized persons (ANP).

Affordable Housing	Economic Development	Revitalization and Stabilization	Community Services
# of Hours	# of Hours	# of Hours	# of Hours
6	66	45	1,058

Employees provided financial expertise through leadership positions in multiple community organizations that provide affordable housing and promote community and economic development and area revitalization and stabilization. Community development services include 493 hours of providing financial literacy through local nonprofits and school programs, 441 hours serving on boards and committees, 153 hours providing technical assistance to non-profits and local business, and 88 hours participating in foreclosure prevention outreach.

METROPOLITAN and NON-METROPOLITAN AREAS
(Limited-scope Review)

**DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION’S OPERATIONS IN
THE COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY**

- **Non-metropolitan Eastern Kentucky**
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third operated four branches in the assessment area, representing 14.3% of its branches in Kentucky.
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third had \$177,096 in deposits in this assessment area, representing a market share of 6.5% and 11.2% of it statewide deposits.
- **Owensboro MSA**
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third operated three branches in the assessment area, representing 10.7% of its branches in Kentucky.
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third had \$55,982 in deposits in this assessment area, representing a market share of 1.8% and 3.5% of it statewide deposits.
- **Non-metropolitan Western Kentucky**
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third operated eight branches in the assessment area, representing 28.6% of its branches in Kentucky.
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third had \$233,213 in deposits in this assessment area, representing a market share of 19.1% and 14.8% of it statewide deposits.

**CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN THE
COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY**

Through the use of available facts and data, including performance and demographic information, each assessment area’s performance was evaluated and compared with Fifth Third’s performance in the state. The conclusions regarding performance are provided in the table below. Please refer to the tables in Appendix F for information regarding these areas.

Assessment Area	Lending Test	Investment Test	Service Test
Non-metropolitan Eastern Kentucky	Below	Consistent	Below
Owensboro MSA	Below	Above	Consistent
Non-metropolitan Western Kentucky	Below	Below	Below

For the lending test, Fifth Third received a “High Satisfactory” rating in Kentucky. Performance in all three of the limited-scope assessment areas was below Fifth Third’s performance for Kentucky. Although below the commonwealth’s performance, lending levels were good or adequate for the geographic and borrower distribution of loans. Non-metropolitan Eastern Kentucky had a good level of community development loans. No community development lending was noted in the Owensboro or non-metropolitan Western Kentucky assessment areas. A low level of lending gaps was noted in all three of the limited-scope assessment areas.

For the investment test, Fifth Third received a “High Satisfactory” rating in Kentucky. Investment activity was consistent with the commonwealth in non-metropolitan Eastern Kentucky. However, investment activity was above Fifth Third’s performance for the commonwealth in the Owensboro assessment area and below performance for the commonwealth in the non-metropolitan Western Kentucky assessment area. The stronger performance in the Owensboro assessment area was primarily attributable to several LIHTC investments in the assessment area. The weaker performance in non-metropolitan Western Kentucky was primarily due to a lower level of qualified investments and contributions relative to Fifth Third’s operational presence in the assessment area.

For the service test, Fifth Third received a “High Satisfactory” rating in Kentucky. Performance was consistent to the state in the Owensboro assessment area and below Fifth Third’s performance for Kentucky in the remaining two limited-scope assessment areas. Retail services performance was consistent with performance for Kentucky in all three assessment areas. Qualified community development services were adequate and poor in the non-metropolitan Eastern and Western Kentucky assessment areas, respectively. The weaker community development services performance was primarily due to a lower level of hours dedicated to providing qualified services relative to Fifth Third’s operational presence in these assessment areas. Qualified community development services were excellent in the Owensboro assessment area. The stronger performance was due to a higher level of hours dedicated to providing qualified services.

The performance in the limited-scope assessment areas did not change the overall rating for the commonwealth.

STATE OF MICHIGAN

CRA RATING for State of Michigan:¹⁸⁴ Outstanding

The lending test is rated: Outstanding

The investment test is rated: Outstanding

The service test is rated: Outstanding

The major factors supporting this rating include:

- An excellent responsiveness to credit needs;
- An excellent geographic distribution of loans throughout the assessment area;
- A good distribution of loans among borrowers of different income levels and to businesses of different revenue sizes;
- Exhibits an excellent record of serving the credit needs of low-income individuals and areas and very small businesses;
- A leader in making community development loans;
- Use of flexible lending practices in serving the assessment area's credit needs;
- An excellent level of qualified community development investments and grants;
- Often in a leadership position in providing community development investments and grants;
- Retail delivery systems are accessible to all geographies and individuals of different income levels and businesses of different revenue sizes;
- A record of opening and closing banking centers that has not adversely affected the accessibility of delivery systems;
- Banking services and hours that do not vary in a way that inconveniences any portions of the assessment areas; and,
- A leader in providing community development services.

SCOPE OF EXAMINATION

Full-scope reviews were conducted for two assessment areas in Michigan: the Detroit-Warren-Ann Arbor CSA and the Grand Rapids-Wyoming-Muskegon CSA. Limited-scope reviews were performed on the remaining seven assessment areas: the Battle Creek MSA, Jackson MSA, Kalamazoo-Portage MSA, Lansing-East Lansing MSA, non-metropolitan Northern Michigan, non-metropolitan Southern Michigan, and Saginaw-Midland-Bay City CSA. The time period,

¹⁸⁴ For institutions with branches in two or more states in a multi-state metropolitan area, this statewide evaluation is adjusted and does not reflect performance in the parts of those states contained within the multi-state metropolitan area. Refer to the multi-state metropolitan area rating and discussion for the rating and evaluation of the institution's performance in that area.

products, and affiliates evaluated for this assessment area are consistent with the scope discussed in the “Institution” section of this report.

The Detroit-Warren-Ann Arbor and Grand Rapids-Wyoming-Muskegon assessment areas received greater weight in determining the CRA rating for the state. These areas had the largest lending volumes and number of banking centers and ranked first and second, respectively, in this state’s share of deposits during the evaluation period. Lastly, these areas represented 67.3% of the banking centers, 75.5% of deposits, and 70.2% of lending in Michigan.

DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION’S OPERATIONS IN THE STATE OF MICHIGAN

Lending activity accounted for 23.1% of the Fifth Third’s total lending activity, while deposits accounted for 14.6% of Fifth Third’s total deposits. HMDA-reportable lending in Michigan represented 22.9% of Fifth Third’s total HMDA lending, while CRA-reportable lending represented 23.5% of Fifth Third’s total CRA lending. As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third ranked fifth among 136 insured institutions and has a deposit market share of 8.0% and 215 banking center locations within Michigan.

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN THE STATE OF MICHIGAN

Lending Test

Fifth Third’s performance under the lending test within the assessment areas located in Michigan is rated “Outstanding.” Fifth Third’s lending reflects an excellent responsiveness to the credit needs in the following two of nine assessment areas: Detroit-Warren-Ann Arbor and Grand Rapids-Wyoming-Muskegon assessment areas. Lending reflects a good responsiveness to credit needs in the Jackson, Kalamazoo-Portage, Lansing-East Lansing, and Saginaw-Midland-Bay City assessment areas and an adequate responsiveness in the remaining three assessment areas: Battle Creek, non-metropolitan Northern Michigan, and non-metropolitan Southern Michigan.

Lending Activity

In Michigan, Fifth Third originated 23,911 HMDA loans totaling \$3.4 billion and 9,217 small business loans totaling \$1.5 billion during the evaluation period.

Lending activity in Michigan is excellent. The Detroit-Warren-Ann Arbor and Grand Rapids-Wyoming-Muskegon assessment areas have excellent lending activity. Lending activity is adequate in the Battle Creek, non-metropolitan Northern Michigan, and non-metropolitan Southern Michigan assessment areas, and good in the remaining four assessment areas.

Geographic and Borrower Distribution

The distribution of loans among geographies is excellent in Michigan. The geographic distribution is excellent in the Detroit-Warren-Ann Arbor and Grand Rapids-Wyoming-Muskegon assessment areas. The geographic distribution is adequate in the Lansing-East Lansing and non-metropolitan Northern Michigan assessment areas and good in the remaining

five assessment areas.

A low level of lending gaps was identified in five of the nine assessment areas. There was a moderate level of lending gaps in the Detroit-Warren-Ann Arbor and Saginaw-Midland-Bay City assessment areas, and no lending gaps in the Grand Rapids-Wyoming-Muskegon and Battle Creek assessment areas.

The distribution of loans among borrowers of different income levels and to businesses of different revenue sizes is good in the assessment areas located in Michigan. The borrower distribution is excellent in the Detroit-Warren-Ann Arbor and Grand Rapids-Wyoming-Muskegon assessment areas and adequate in the Saginaw-Midland-Bay City assessment area. Borrower distribution is good in the remaining six assessment areas. The distribution to businesses of different revenue sizes is good in the Grand Rapids-Wyoming-Muskegon, Lansing-East Lansing, and Saginaw-Midland-Bay City assessment areas and adequate in the Detroit-Warren-Ann Arbor, non-metropolitan Northern Michigan, and non-metropolitan Southern Michigan assessment areas. The distribution to businesses of different revenue sizes is poor in the Battle Creek and Jackson assessment areas.

A detailed analysis for the geographic distribution and borrower-income distribution is provided with the analysis for each assessment area.

Community Development Loans

In Michigan, Fifth Third originated 248 community development loans totaling \$1.2 billion, which represents 19.9% of the Fifth Third's community development lending by dollar volume, representing an outstanding level of community development lending in Michigan. Fifth Third was a leader in providing community development loans in the Detroit-Warren-Ann Arbor, Grand Rapids-Wyoming-Muskegon, and Lansing-East Lansing assessment areas. Fifth Third made a relatively high level of community development loans in the Saginaw-Midland-Bay City assessment area and an adequate level of community development loans in the remaining five assessment areas.

Flexible Lending

Fifth Third consistently makes use of flexible lending practices within assessment areas located in Michigan.

Investment Test

Fifth Third's performance under the investment test within the assessment areas located in Michigan is rated "Outstanding." Fifth Third funded \$257.3 million in qualified community development investments in Michigan during the evaluation period, consisting of \$155.2 million obtained from new investments made during the current review period and \$102.1 million from prior period investments. The majority of investments were LIHTCs.

Fifth Third's level of qualified investments is excellent in the Detroit-Warren-Ann Arbor, Battle Creek, Kalamazoo-Portage, non-metropolitan Northern Michigan, non-metropolitan Southern Michigan, and Saginaw-Midland-Bay City assessment areas and adequate in the Jackson assessment area. The remaining three assessment areas had good levels of qualified community development investments.

Additional information regarding performance under the investment test is provided in the respective analyses for each assessment area.

Service Test

Fifth Third's performance under the service test within the assessment areas located in Michigan is rated "Outstanding." The Fifth Third's performance is excellent in the Detroit-Warren-Ann Arbor, Grand Rapids-Wyoming-Muskegon, and Lansing-East Lansing assessment areas. Performance is good in the remaining six assessment areas.

For details regarding the institution's performance in the individual assessment areas, refer to the respective assessment area's "Service Test" section in this report.

Retail Services

Retail delivery systems are accessible to all geographies, including low- and moderate-income geographies, individuals of different income levels, and businesses of different revenue sizes in Michigan. Retail service distribution is good in eight assessment areas and adequate in non-metropolitan Northern Michigan.

Fifth Third has 226 banking centers in Michigan, which represents 17.4% of Fifth Third's total branches. Fifth Third's record of opening and closing banking centers has not adversely affected the accessibility of its delivery systems, particularly in low- and moderate-income geographies and to low- and moderate-income individuals. No branches in Michigan opened or closed in low- or moderate-income tracts during the evaluation period.

Banking services and business hours do not vary in a way that inconveniences any portion of Fifth Third's assessment areas and are consistent with the services and hours discussed in the "Institution" assessment.

Community Development Services

Fifth Third is a leader in providing community development services in Michigan. Fifth Third's performance is excellent in the Detroit-Warren-Ann Arbor, Grand Rapids-Wyoming-Muskegon, Jackson, Lansing-East Lansing, non-metropolitan Northern Michigan, non-metropolitan Southern Michigan, and Saginaw-Midland-Bay City assessment areas. The level of community development services is good in the remaining two assessment areas.

METROPOLITAN AREA
(Full-scope Review)

**DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION'S OPERATIONS IN THE
DETROIT-WARREN-ANN ARBOR MI CSA #220**

The Detroit-Warren-Ann Arbor MI CSA consists of the following four MSAs:

- Ann Arbor MI MSA #11460, consisting of Washtenaw County
- Detroit-Warren-Dearborn MI MSA #19820, consists of the following two MDs:
 - Detroit-Livonia-Dearborn MI MD #19804, consisting of Wayne County
 - Warren-Farmington Hills-Troy MI MD #47644, consisting of Livingston, Macomb, Oakland, and St. Clair counties, but excluding Lapeer County
- Flint MI MSA #22420, consisting of Genesee County
- Monroe MI MSA #33780, consisting of Monroe County

The assessment area is comprised of 174 low-, 362 moderate-, 565 middle-, and 422 upper-income tracts. There are also 24 tracts with no income designation that are primarily composed of correctional institutions, military establishments, education facilities, or medical establishments that do not report income information.

As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third ranked sixth out of 53 institutions with 5.1% of the deposit market share. JPMorgan Chase had the majority of the market share with 27.8% of deposits. The next four largest institutions, Comerica Bank, Bank of America, PNC Bank, and Flagstar Bank, had 18.2%, 11.2%, 9.4%, and 5.5% of the market share, respectively. Deposits in this assessment area accounted for 6.5% of the Fifth Third's total deposits. This was 44.9% of deposits within the state and the third-highest percentage of deposits within Fifth Third's CRA footprint.

From January 1, 2014 through June 30, 2016, Fifth Third originated 9,435 HMDA loans and 3,659 CRA loans, which represented 9.0% and 9.3% of the total loans originated during the evaluation period, respectively. This was the third-largest HMDA and CRA markets for loans originated during the evaluation period.

In 2015, Fifth Third Mortgage Company ranked sixth among 624 HMDA reporters in the assessment area, while Fifth Third Mortgage Company-Michigan ranked 17th and Fifth Third ranked 71st. Quicken Loans, Wells Fargo Bank, JPMorgan Chase, and Flagstar Bank were the top four HMDA lenders in the assessment area. Fifth Third ranked 12th of 139 CRA reporters in the assessment area in 2015. The top four CRA lenders in the assessment area were American Express, U.S. Bank, Chase Bank USA, and Capital One. These lenders are mostly issuers of credit cards and their CRA loans primarily consist of commercial credit card accounts.

Eight community contact interviews were conducted to provide additional information regarding the assessment area. The first contact, representing an economic development organization, stated the Detroit economy is recovering at a slow, but steady pace. The contact indicated that banks are working to originate needed small business and mortgage loans in this market and there are a number of down-payment assistance programs in place to assist low- and moderate-income individuals with becoming first-time homebuyers. The contact believed there is a substantial need for financial literacy education within the community and specifically mentioned Chase Bank USA, FirstMerit, and Chemical Bank as consistently participating in community development projects.

The second contact, representing an organization that provides technical assistance to nonprofit community development organizations, stated that housing is a priority for the city. Detroit is working hard to demolish dilapidated homes in blighted areas, as demolishing these blighted homes can raise property values. The contact indicated banks are performing adequately and believes the new housing market analysis will generate opportunities for financial institutions to support affordable housing endeavors.

The third contact, representing a financial intermediary that provides grant monies to area nonprofits, stated the most significant needs for lower-income individuals and in lower-income neighborhoods are blight removal, home improvement, home rehabilitation, home weatherization, access to healthy foods, and financial literacy. The contact indicated that area banks are adequately meeting the credit needs of the community.

The fourth contact, representing an organization that works to attract new businesses to the area, stated start-up businesses are in need of small-dollar loans up to \$100,000 to help with operating costs and working capital. The contact believed banks could improve upon originating these types of loans. The contact specifically mentioned Chase Bank USA, Wells Fargo Bank, and Bank of America as being responsive to the credit needs of the small businesses in the community.

The fifth contact, representing an organization that provides affordable housing, stated Detroit has experienced a 25.0% loss in population from 2000 to 2010. Unemployment rose continuously from 2000 to 2010, reaching a high of 14.2% in August 2009; however, in December 2014, the unemployment rate reached a low of 6.3%. Although housing prices across metropolitan Detroit continue to regain lost ground, rising about 4.0% in the last year, prices remain off by approximately 20.0% from their peak in early 2006 and late 2015. Rental vacancy rates remain high in the Detroit market due to the loss of population, along with a large number of single-family homes for rent. The contact indicated that decent, affordable housing and eliminating blight are major needs in the Detroit metropolitan area. The contact believed banks are not actively participating in the community and are not supporting community development programs or implementing lending-assistance programs to low-income individuals. On the other hand, the contact indicated credit unions are more involved in supporting the credit needs of the community.

The sixth contact, representing an economic development organization, stated incomes have been stagnant, but are beginning to trend upwards. Unemployment rates are beginning to decline due to the creation of new higher-paying jobs in advanced manufacturing. The contact specifically mentioned Goldman Sachs and Quicken Loans as investing in the community and creating jobs in the area.

The seventh contact, representing an economic development organization, stated there is no shortage of small businesses seeking loans; therefore, there are opportunities for banks to finance small businesses in the community. Overall, the contact believed area banks are actively involved in the community, as they donate time and money to a multitude of organizations.

The final contact, representing a non-profit organization that attracts and retains businesses to the area, stated there are opportunities for banks to participate in more financial educational programs targeted to entrepreneurs. The contact believed that business owners, management, and staff need to better understand financial statements and business planning, as many companies went out of business during the recession because they did not have the right skill set to adapt and survive.

Population Characteristics

According to 2010 U.S. Census data, the population in the assessment area was 5.1 million. Less than a third (30.0%) of the population lived in low- and moderate-income tracts. In addition, 75.9% of the population was 18 years of age or older, the legal age to enter into a contract.

As of July 1, 2015, the Detroit MSA is the 14th largest in terms of population in the nation, while the Flint MSA is the 131st, the Ann Arbor MSA is the 146th, and the Monroe MSA is the 278th largest.¹⁸⁵ Wayne County is the most populous county in the assessment area and in Michigan. Oakland County is the second most populous, while Macomb, Oakland, and Genesee counties are the third, fourth, and fifth most populous counties in Michigan, respectively. However, according to *The Detroit News*, Washtenaw County (sixth most populous county), led southeastern Michigan in population growth, outpacing Macomb, Oakland, and Wayne counties. Like Washtenaw, Macomb and Oakland experienced increases in population, while Wayne County continued to experience a decline in population, although it was the smallest decline in population in Wayne County since 2004. According to 2016 U.S. Census data, Wayne County lost about 7,696 people, bringing its total population to 1.74 million, and Detroit lost 3,107 residents in the last year. Meanwhile, Washtenaw County grew nearly 5.5%, or 19,141 residents, in the past year. For the second year, Wayne County was second in the nation in the largest population decline, behind Illinois' Cook County, home of Chicago.¹⁸⁶

¹⁸⁵ MSA population data is derived from the U.S. Census Data 2015 Statistical Abstract:
<https://factfinder.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productview.xhtml?src=bkmk>

¹⁸⁶ MacDonald, Christine. "Census: Washtenaw leads region in population growth." *The Detroit News*. March 23, 2017. - <http://www.detroitnews.com/story/news/local/michigan/2017/03/23/census-washtenaw-leads-region-population-growth/99519598/>

Detroit is the largest city in Michigan and is the 19th most populous city in the U.S. with 677,116 residents. Despite being the largest city in Michigan, Detroit’s population growth declined by 28.3% between 2000 and 2015, but only declined by 5.1% from April 1, 2010 to July 1, 2015. According to 2015 U.S. Census data,¹⁸⁷ Warren is the third-largest city in Michigan, with 135,358 residents. Ann Arbor is the fifth-largest city in the state with 117,070 residents.

Flint is seventh-largest in Michigan with 98,310 residents, followed by Dearborn (eighth), Livonia (ninth), Troy (10th), and Farmington Hills (12th) with 95,171, 94,635, 83,280, and 81,330 residents, respectively. In contrast, Monroe had only 20,092 residents, representing a 3.1% decline in population since April 1, 2010.

The following table shows the population in the assessment area by county for 2010 and 2015, with the percentage of the population increase or decrease.¹⁸⁸ For the most part, the population within the assessment remained relatively stable between 2010 and 2015, with Washtenaw County experiencing the greatest growth and Genesee and Wayne counties experiencing the greatest declines in population.

County	2010 Population	2015 Population	Population Percent Change
Genesee	425,790	410,849	-3.5%
Livingston	180,967	187,316	3.5%
Macomb	840,978	864,840	2.8%
Monroe	152,021	149,568	-1.6%
Oakland	1,202,362	1,242,304	3.3%
St. Clair	163,040	159,875	-1.9%
Washtenaw	344,791	358,880	4.1%
Wayne	1,820,584	1,759,335	-3.4%
Total	5,130,533	5,132,967	0.0%

According to Moody’s Analytics, from 2012 and 2015, Detroit’s population growth remained negative and weak, but the rate of decline appears to be slowing. The uptick in growth can be attributed to an increase in foreign in-migration and a slight reduction in domestic out-migration. Flint’s population growth also remains negative and weak, but the rate of decline has progressively slowed, primarily due to decreasing domestic out-migration. Monroe’s population growth also remains negative with a slowing rate of decline. Conversely, Ann Arbor experienced overall positive net migration, primarily due to growing foreign in-migration.

¹⁸⁷ US Census Bureau: <http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/table/PST045216/00> (main page – must enter state, county, city, town or zip code)

¹⁸⁸ Population Estimates derived from U.S. Census Data (April 1, 2010 – July 1, 2015): <http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/table/PST045216/00>

Income Characteristics

The 2010 assessment area median family income was significantly higher (\$65,426) than Michigan at \$60,341. As shown in the table below, since 2010, the median family income increased across all assessment area, except in the Flint MSA. Between 2014 and 2015, the median family income increased in all areas, except the Ann Arbor MSA. However, between 2015 and 2016, the median family income only increased in the Ann Arbor MSA and the Monroe MSA and decreased in all other areas within the assessment area.

FFIEC Estimated Median Family Income	2010	2014	2015	2016
Ann Arbor MI MSA	\$82,184	\$87,400	\$84,300	\$91,600
Detroit-Dearborn-Livonia MI MD	\$52,946	\$51,000	\$53,700	\$53,500
Warren-Troy-Farmington Hills MI MD	\$75,314	\$73,800	\$77,300	\$77,000
Flint MI MSA	\$54,072	\$53,300	\$53,800	\$52,200
Monroe MI MSA	\$66,549	\$63,800	\$65,000	\$69,200

Poverty rates increased in each county in the assessment area from 1999 to 2015.¹⁸⁹ Wayne and Genesee counties had the highest poverty rates in 1999 and 2015 and Livingston County had the lowest poverty rates. In 2015, Wayne and Genesee counties had poverty rates higher than Michigan and, in addition to Washtenaw County, had poverty rates that exceeded the national rate. However, Macomb County experienced the largest increase in poverty rate during this period. According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the national poverty rate in 2015 was 13.5%, down 1.3 percentage points from 14.8% in 2014. For most demographic groups, the 2015 poverty rates and number of people in poverty decreased from 2014.¹⁹⁰ The following table shows the poverty rates for 1999¹⁹¹ and 2015.

County	1999 Poverty Rate	2015 Poverty Rate	Change
Genesee	13.1%	20.5%	56.5%
Livingston	3.4%	6.5%	91.2%
Macomb	5.6%	11.7%	108.9%
Monroe	7.0%	10.6%	51.4%
Oakland	5.5%	9.3%	69.1%
St. Clair	7.8%	12.8%	64.1%
Washtenaw	11.1%	14.2%	27.9%
Wayne	16.4%	24.8%	51.2%
Michigan	10.5%	15.7%	49.5%
U.S.	11.8%	13.5%	14.4%

¹⁸⁹ U.S. Department of Agriculture Economic Research Service Poverty Rates (for 1999 and 2015): <https://data.ers.usda.gov/reports.aspx?ID=17826>

¹⁹⁰ 2015 National Poverty: <http://www.census.gov/library/publications/2016/demo/p60-256.html>

¹⁹¹ 1999 National Poverty Rate: <http://www.census.gov/prod/2000pubs/p60-210.pdf>

Housing Characteristics

According to 2010 U.S. Census data, there are 2.3 million housing units and 1.3 million families in the assessment area. From an income perspective, 33.5% of housing units, 23.4% of owner-occupied units, and 27.7% of families are located in low- or moderate-income tracts.

Over two-thirds of the housing units in the low-income census tracts are either rental or vacant (69.5%). In the moderate-income census tracts, nearly half (49.2%) of the housing units are either rental or vacant and 50.8% are owner-occupied. Therefore, based on the number of housing units compared to the number of families in low- and moderate-income census tracts, there appear to be credit-related opportunities for Fifth Third to provide various aspects of affordable housing in the assessment area.

The 2010 U.S. Census data shows the median age of housing stock in the assessment area was 46 years old, with 24.2% of the stock built before 1950. The oldest housing stock was in Wayne County with a median age of 56 years, while the newest was 24 years in Livingston County. However, within the assessment area, the median age of housing stock was 58 years in low-income tracts and 55 years in moderate-income tracts, which indicates that there is ample opportunity for Fifth Third to make home improvement and rehabilitation loans. Three community contacts indicated there is a need for revitalizing blighted neighborhoods and providing home improvement and rehabilitation loans in lower-income areas and felt there are ample opportunities for banks to make these types of loans. Lastly, one contact believed banks could improve upon implementing lending-assistance programs to low-income individuals.

According to 2010 U.S. Census data, the median housing value in the assessment area was \$156,989, with an affordability ratio of 33.13. The affordability ratio is derived by dividing the median household income by the median housing value. The higher the affordability ratio, the more affordable a home is considered. The median housing value decreased between 2010 and 2011-2015 and household incomes increased; as a result, housing became more affordable across the assessment area. During the evaluation period, the most affordable housing was in Genesee County, with the least affordable in Washtenaw County. Median gross rents increased at a substantial rate across the assessment area, with renters in Macomb County experiencing the largest increase in rental rates and renters in Wayne County experiencing the smallest increase. In 2010, about 50.3% of renters across the assessment area had rent costs greater than 30.0% of income. Increasing rental rates may make it harder for potential homebuyers to save up enough money toward a down payment for a home. According to Moody's Analytics, residential real estate prices will appreciate in 2017 before weaker demand slows growth. Sales of single-family homes have been steadily rising over the last two years, spurring new construction. The table below presents housing characteristics from the U.S. Census data between 2010 and 2015 in the assessment area and Michigan.

County	2010 Median Housing Value	2010 Affordability Ratio	2011-2015 Median Housing Value	2011-2015 Affordability Ratio	2010 Median Gross Rent	2011-2015 Median Gross Rent	Percent of Change
Genesee	\$118,200	36.85	\$88,500	47.83	\$662	\$715	8.0%
Livingston	\$216,400	33.33	\$192,500	39.07	\$860	\$917	6.6%
Macomb	\$157,000	34.39	\$126,000	43.32	\$752	\$861	14.5%
Monroe	\$161,800	34.22	\$137,200	40.56	\$733	\$784	7.0%
Oakland	\$204,300	32.50	\$178,900	37.71	\$871	\$942	8.2%
St. Clair	\$150,300	32.68	\$120,800	41.17	\$691	\$736	6.5%
Washtenaw	\$216,200	27.32	\$208,200	29.30	\$866	\$953	10.0%
Wayne	\$121,100	34.88	\$83,000	49.64	\$759	\$794	4.6%
Michigan	\$144,200	33.59	\$122,400	40.50	\$723	\$783	8.3%

According to the Washtenaw Office of Community and Economic Development, the Ann Arbor area was ranked as the eighth most economically segregated metropolitan area in the nation. The agency describes the disparity as economically dangerous and is looking at housing affordability issues as a way to combat the disparity.¹⁹²

According to Bankrate.com,¹⁹³ Michigan ranked 26th for foreclosure filings in November 2016. The national average for foreclosure filings was one in every 1,533 housing units. The following table contains information about foreclosure filings in the assessment area, according to Realtytrac:¹⁹⁴

Geography Name	Ratio of Properties Receiving Foreclosure Filings in November 2016
Genesee	1:987
Livingston	1:2,102
Macomb	1:1,766
Monroe	1:1,823
Oakland	1:1,871
St. Clair	1:1,683
Washtenaw	1:4,669
Wayne	1:1,325
Michigan	1:2,036
U.S.	1:1,533

¹⁹² <http://www.detroitnews.com/story/news/local/michigan/2017/03/23/census-washtenaw-leads-region-population-growth/99519598/>

¹⁹³ Bankrate.com: <http://www.bankrate.com/finance/real-estate/foreclosures-by-state/default.aspx>

¹⁹⁴ Realtytrac: <http://www.realtytrac.com/statsandtrends/foreclosuretrends/>

In November 2016, Genesee County had the highest rate of foreclosure in the assessment area and Michigan; Wayne County had the fourth highest foreclosure rate in the state. Washtenaw County had the lowest foreclosure rate within the assessment area.

Building permits in the four MSAs, Michigan, and the nation are included in the following table for 2014, 2015, and 2016.¹⁹⁵

Geography	2014	2015	Percent of Change 2014-2015	2016	Percent of Change 2015-2016
Ann Arbor MSA	570	420	-26.3%	216	-48.6%
Detroit-Warren-Dearborn MSA	6,295	7,304	16.0%	7,536	3.2%
Flint MSA	343	413	20.4%	174	-57.9%
Monroe MSA	240	291	21.3%	13	-95.5%
Michigan	15,836	18,226	15.1%	22,426	23.0%
U.S.	1,052,124	1,182,582	12.4%	1,190,191	0.6%

The Monroe, Flint, and Detroit MSAs experienced the greatest increase in housing permits between 2014 and 2015. However, between 2015 and 2016, there was a significant decline in the number of housing permits in the Monroe and Flint MSAs, although the Detroit MSA experienced a slight increase in housing permits. Population losses, in addition to the decrease in the number of permits could indicate a softening in demand for home purchase loans across the assessment area.

Labor, Employment, and Economic Characteristics

According to Moody’s Analytics, the Detroit area economy is in recovery, but seems to be losing momentum. Job growth is lagging behind the rest of Michigan and residential construction has eased. The auto industry employs approximately 80.0% more workers than in mid-2009, when employment bottomed; however, auto employment has waned. Outside of the auto industry, healthcare and green and advanced manufacturing hold the most promise for the area’s economic recovery. Nonetheless, population losses may limit the area’s ability to make a full recovery. Monroe’s economy is also in recovery primarily due to less reliance on auto parts manufacturing and more reliance on non-auto machinery manufacturing, shipping, and wholesale industries. However, Monroe’s economic recovery may be limited by its weakening demographics and inadequately educated workforce. Flint’s economy is at risk primarily due to the water crisis, which prompted a surge of out-migration. Declining population trends are weakening healthcare demand and consumer spending. While Flint has experienced job gains in professional/business services and construction, it suffers from low labor force participation and an inadequately educated workforce.

¹⁹⁵ U.S. Census Bureau Building Permits Survey: <http://www.census.gov/construction/bps/>

Conversely, Ann Arbor’s economy is performing better than other parts of Michigan. Ann Arbor’s economic expansion is primarily due to a highly educated workforce, low living and business costs, and the strong presence of the University of Michigan and Eastern Michigan University. Ann Arbor is also becoming a technology center for alternative energy and automotive battery industries.

The Detroit metropolitan area is home to six Fortune 500 headquarters:¹⁹⁶


Detroit Metro Fortune 500 Companies (2016)		
Rank	Company	Location
8	General Motors	Detroit, MI
9	Ford Motor	Dearborn, MI
274	DTE Energy	Detroit, MI
298	Ally Financial	Detroit, MI
467	Kelly Services	Troy, MI
470	Visteon	Monroe, MI

According to Moody’s Analytics, the top 15 employers in the CSA in 2015/2016 were:

Company	Number of Employees
Ford Motor Co.	43,977
Chrysler Group LLC	32,106
General Motors Corp.	30,570
University of Michigan Medical Center	18,191
Henry Ford Health System	17,492
CHE Trinity Health	14,341
Detroit Medical Center	13,458
Beaumont Health System	13,228
Rock Ventures	11,563
St. John Providence Health System	11,337
University of Michigan	10,877
Quicken Loans	9,424
Trinity Health Corp.	5,834
Genesys Regional Medical Center	3,000
Hurley Medical Center	2,500

The following table illustrates the average unemployment rates for 2014, 2015, and June 2016 for the counties in the CSA and Michigan.

¹⁹⁶ www.craindetroit.com/article/20160606/NEWS/160609759/southeast-michigan-representation-on-furtune-500-shrinks



Unemployment rates have declined each year. The Ann Arbor MSA and Monroe MSA had the lowest unemployment rates all three years and these rates were lower than the state rate. The Detroit MSA and Flint MSA had the highest unemployment rates all three years and these rates were higher than the state rate.

According to an article in *CNN Money*, another sign of slowing auto sales, the Detroit-Hamtramck plant will eliminate its second shift and approximately 1,300 of its 3,000 jobs. The layoffs will take place in March 2017. The Detroit facility is the third GM plant to eliminate the second shift. Plants in Lansing, Michigan and Lordstown, Ohio announced layoffs in November (2016), the first permanent cuts by GM at its U.S. plants since 2010. In total, GM will eliminate about 3,300 jobs at all three plants.¹⁹⁷ According to an article in *The Detroit News*, about 1,420 production workers face indefinite layoffs at the Sterling Heights Assembly (Fiat Chrysler Automobiles) plant that build the Chrysler 200 mid-size sedan, largely due to changing consumer preference from cars to SUVs and trucks.¹⁹⁸

¹⁹⁷ Isidore, Chris. "GM cutting 1,300 jobs at only plant inside Detroit." *CNN Money*. December 20, 2016. - <http://money.cnn.com/2016/12/20/news/companies/gm-plant-layoff/index.html>

¹⁹⁸ Wayland, Michael. "FCA indefinitely laying off 1,420 Detroit-area workers." *The Detroit News*. April 6, 2016. - <http://www.detroitnews.com/story/business/autos/chrysler/2016/04/06/fca-sterling-heights/82706794/>

Combined Demographics Report

Assessment Area: MI Detroit

Income Categories	Tract Distribution		Families by Tract Income		Families < Poverty Level as % of Families by Tract		Families by Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	174	11.2	90,469	6.9	36,017	39.8	286,164	21.9
Moderate-income	362	23.4	272,496	20.8	53,787	19.7	225,150	17.2
Middle-income	565	36.5	520,868	39.8	36,227	7	262,686	20.1
Upper-income	422	27.3	425,583	32.5	13,780	3.2	535,463	40.9
Unknown-income	24	1.6	47	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	1,547	100.0	1,309,463	100.0	139,811	10.7	1,309,46	100.0
	Housing Units by Tract	Housing Types by Tract						
		Owner-Occupied			Rental		Vacant	
		#	%	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	216,156	65,753	4.5	30.4	95,850	44.3	54,553	25.2
Moderate-income	539,681	273,963	18.9	50.8	177,566	32.9	88,152	16.3
Middle-income	874,928	607,218	42	69.4	189,532	21.7	78,178	8.9
Upper-income	625,132	500,022	34.6	80	85,500	13.7	39,610	6.3
Unknown-income	787	53	0	6.7	162	20.6	572	72.7
Total Assessment Area	2,256,684	1,447,009	100.0	64.1	548,610	24.3	261,065	11.6
	Total Businesses by Tract	Businesses by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Low-income	14,588	6.1	12,642	5.8	1,877	9.6	69	9.4
Moderate-income	41,955	17.6	37,680	17.3	4,151	21.2	124	16.9
Middle-income	92,914	39	85,473	39.2	7,118	36.3	323	44.1
Upper-income	87,913	36.9	81,518	37.4	6,185	31.5	210	28.7
Unknown-income	972	0.4	680	0.3	286	1.5	6	0.8
Total Assessment Area	238,342	100.0	217,993	100.0	19,617	100.0	732	100.0
Percentage of Total Businesses:			91.5		8.2		.3	
	Total Farms by Tract	Farms by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Low-income	34	1.5	33	1.5	1	2.4	0	0
Moderate-income	205	9	200	8.9	5	11.9	0	0
Middle-income	1,460	63.9	1,437	64.1	23	54.8	0	0
Upper-income	585	25.6	572	25.5	13	31	0	0
Unknown-income	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	2,285	100.0	2,243	100.0	42	100.0	0	.0
Percentage of Total Farms:			98.2		1.8		.0	

**CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN
THE DETROIT-WARREN-ANN ARBOR MI CSA**

Lending Test

Fifth Third’s performance under the lending test in this assessment area is rated excellent. Fifth Third has demonstrated an excellent responsiveness to the credit needs of the community. In addition, Fifth Third originated 92 community development loans totaling \$574.1 million. Fifth Third has an excellent geographic distribution of loans and a moderate level of lending gaps. Fifth Third has an excellent distribution among borrowers of different income levels and an adequate distribution of loans to businesses of different revenue sizes. Fifth Third exhibits an excellent record of serving the credit needs of highly economically disadvantaged areas in its assessment area, low-income individuals, and businesses with gross annual revenues of \$1 million or less. The excellent level of community development loans and use of flexible lending practices augments Fifth Third’s performance in this assessment area.

Greatest consideration was given to the evaluation of home purchase lending, based on the overall volume of lending, followed by refinance, small business, and home improvement lending. Details of Fifth Third’s residential mortgage and small business lending, as well as information regarding lending by peers, can be found in Appendix E.

Lending Activity

Fifth Third’s lending activity reflects an excellent responsiveness to the credit needs within the assessment area. Fifth Third originated 4,798 home purchase, 4,180 refinance, 456 home improvement, 3,659 small business, and 92 community development loans during the evaluation period. The percentage of Fifth Third’s total lending at 9.1% is greater than the percentage of total deposits at 6.5% in this area.

Fifth Third made 98.6% of the HMDA and 99.9% of the CRA lending within its designated assessment area. While a substantial majority of loans was made within the assessment area, a concentration of lending was noted in the excluded Lapeer County (137 HMDA loans).

In addition to lending, Fifth Third modified existing loans to borrowers. Below shows the distribution of HAMP and other real-estate secured modifications within the assessment area by census tract income and by borrower income.

	Distribution by Census Tract Income							
	Low		Mod		Middle		Upper	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Other Real Estate Secured Modifications	9	3.3%	56	20.8%	118	43.9%	86	32.0%
<i>Percentage of Owner Occupied Units</i>		4.5%		18.9%		42.0%		34.6%

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

	Distribution by Borrower Income							
	Low		Mod		Middle		Upper	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Other Real Estate Secured Modifications	64	23.8%	83	30.9%	75	27.9%	46	17.1%
Percentage of Families by Family Income		21.9%		17.2%		20.1%		40.9%

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

There were not enough HAMP modifications for a meaningful analysis. The percentage of other modifications made in low-income tracts was comparable to the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies and exceed proxy in moderate-income tracts; therefore, modifications helped to expand lending activities in these areas. The percentage of other modifications made to low- and moderate-income income borrowers exceeded the percentage of low- and moderate-income-income families in the assessment area; therefore, modifications enhanced Fifth Third’s ability to reach low- and moderate-income borrowers.

Geographic Distribution of Loans

Fifth Third’s overall distribution of lending among geographies is excellent. Home purchase lending, which was the largest loan category, is excellent. Refinance and small business lending are also excellent and home improvement lending is good. Moderate lending gaps were noted for the assessment area, as shown in the following table.

Tract Income Levels	Number of Tracts	Tracts with No Loans	Penetration
Low	174	85	51.2%
Moderate	362	96	73.5%
Middle	565	38	93.3%
Upper	422	5	98.8%
Unknown	24	19	20.8%
Total	1,547	243	84.3%

Lending gaps are considered reasonable. While there are a significant number of gaps in low-income tracts, the owner-occupancy rate is relatively low at 30.4% and the rental/vacancy rate is high at 69.5%. Additionally, only 6.1% of businesses are located in low-income tracts. These factors were considered in evaluating lending gaps, as they likely negatively impacted the demand for mortgage and business loans.

Home Purchase Loans

Fifth Third made 154 home purchase loans totaling \$15.0 million in low-income tracts. This represents 3.2% of home purchase loans by volume, which is slightly below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 4.5% and 1.9% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate at 1.2% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate at 1.2%.

As Fifth Third's performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and was slightly below proxy, the geographic distribution in low-income tracts is excellent. There is also limited opportunity to extend home purchase loans in low-income tracts due to the low owner-occupancy and high rental/vacancy rates.

Fifth Third made 930 home purchase loans totaling \$100.5 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 19.4% of its home purchase loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 18.9%, and 12.8% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate at 10.8% and significantly exceeded the 2015 aggregate at 12.1%. As Fifth Third's performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and proxy, the geographic distribution in moderate-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 2,043 home purchase loans totaling \$279.7 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 42.6% of home purchase loans by volume, which slightly exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 42.0%, and 35.5% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate of 44.5% and the 2015 aggregate of 44.4%.

Fifth Third made 1,671 home purchase loans totaling \$391.7 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 34.8% of home purchase loans by volume, which slightly exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 34.6%, and 49.8% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 43.3% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 42.2%.

Due to the low owner-occupancy and high rental/vacancy rates in low- and moderate-income tracts and Fifth Third's excellent level of lending penetration in these tracts, the geographic distribution of home purchase loans is excellent.

Refinance Loans

Fifth Third made 73 refinance loans totaling \$6.9 million in low-income tracts. This represents 1.7% of refinance loans by volume and 1.0% by dollar amount, which is significantly below the percentage of owner-occupied units at 4.5%. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate at 1.2% and the 2015 aggregate at 0.9%. Although Fifth Third's performance was substantially below proxy, its performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders. Further, the owner-occupancy rate in low-income tracts is only 30.4%, which would indicate less of a demand for refinance loans. Therefore, the geographic distribution in low-income tracts is good.

Fifth Third made 750 refinance loans totaling \$79.6 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 17.9% of refinance loans by volume, which is comparable to the owner-occupied units in these tracts at 18.9%. The percentage of loans by dollar amount at 11.7% is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate at 10.1% and significantly exceeded the 2015 aggregate at 8.6%.

Given that Fifth Third's performance was comparable to proxy and exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and the relatively low owner-occupancy rate in moderate-income tracts at 50.8%, the geographic distribution in moderate-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 1,701 refinance loans totaling \$223.5 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 40.7% of refinance loans by volume, which is comparable to the owner-occupied units in these tracts at 42.0%. However, refinance loans by dollar amount (32.9%) is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate at 42.5% and was below the 2015 aggregate at 42.0%.

Fifth Third made 1,656 refinance loans totaling \$368.7 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 39.6% of refinance loans by volume, which exceeds the owner-occupied units in these tracts at 34.6%, but the dollar amount at 54.3% is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate at 46.1% and in 2015 was below the 2015 aggregate at 48.5%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of refinance loans is excellent. Fifth Third's performance in the moderate-income tracts was especially strong, considering the economic challenges that still persist in the Detroit area.

Home Improvement Loans

Fifth Third made eight home improvement loans totaling \$482,000 in low-income tracts. This represents 1.8% of home improvement loans by volume, which is below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 4.5%, and 1.3% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 2.4% and was comparable the 2015 aggregate of 2.5%. Given the need for these types of loans (as expressed by several community contacts), the median age of housing stock at 58 years, and Fifth Third's significant presence in this market, the geographic distribution of home improvements loans in low-income tracts is adequate.

Fifth Third made 103 home improvement loans totaling \$6.8 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 22.6% of home improvement loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 18.9%, and 17.8% by dollar amount, which is slightly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate of 14.3% and significantly exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 12.6%. Given that Fifth Third exceeded proxy significantly exceeded the aggregate of all lenders, the need for these types of loans expressed by several community contacts, and the median age of housing stock at 55 years, the geographic distribution of home improvement loans in moderate-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 206 home improvement loans totaling \$15.3 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 45.2% of home improvement loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 42.0%, and 39.8% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 42.8% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 42.8%.

Fifth Third made 139 home improvement loans totaling \$15.8 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 30.5% of home improvements loans by volume, which is below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 34.6%, and 41.1% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 40.4% and the 2015 aggregate of 42.1%.

Overall, Fifth Third's overall geographic distribution of home improvement loans is good, considering comments from three community contacts, who indicated there are ample opportunities for banks to make home improvement and rehabilitation loans in lower-income areas, as well as a need for revitalizing blighted neighborhoods,

Small Business Loans

Fifth Third made 226 small business loans totaling \$57.3 million in low-income tracts. This represents 6.2% of small business loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of small businesses in these tracts at 5.8%, and 9.2% by dollar amount, which also exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 5.1% and the 2015 aggregate of 5.2%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of small business loans in low-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 657 small business loans totaling \$144.2 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 18.0% of small business loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 17.3%, and 23.2% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 16.2% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 16.8%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded proxy and aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of small business loans in moderate-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 1,420 small business loans totaling \$201.3 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 38.8% of small business loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 39.2%, and 32.4% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 37.3% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 37.0%.

Fifth Third made 1,335 small business loans totaling \$212.0 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 36.5% of small business loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 37.4%, and 34.1% by dollar amount, which is slightly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 39.9% and was comparable to the 2015 aggregate of 39.7%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of small business loans is excellent.

Distribution by Borrower Income and Revenue Size of the Business

Overall, the distribution of loans is excellent based on borrower income and adequate for businesses of different revenue sizes. Borrower distribution is excellent for home purchase, refinance, and home improvement loans.

Home Purchase Loans

Fifth Third made 1,058 loans totaling \$97.8 million to low-income borrowers. This represents 22.1% of home purchase loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of low-income families at 21.9%, and 12.4% of loans by dollar amount, which is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume significantly exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 8.8% and significantly exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 9.6%. Given that Fifth Third's performance was comparable to proxy and significantly exceeded the aggregate of all lenders, the borrower distribution of home purchase loans to low-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 1,197 loans totaling \$143.3 million to moderate-income borrowers. This represents 24.9% of home purchase loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of moderate-income families at 17.2%, and 18.2% of loans by dollar amount, which also exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 20.5% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 20.7%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, the borrower distribution of home purchase loans to moderate-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 918 loans totaling \$136.7 million to middle-income borrowers. This represents 19.1% of home purchase loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of middle-income families at 20.1%, and 17.4% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 21.9% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 22.5%.

Fifth Third made 1,364 loans totaling \$356.4 million to upper-income borrowers. This represents 28.4% of home purchase loans by volume, which is significantly below the percentage of upper-income families at 40.9% and 45.3% of loans by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 34.4% and in 2015 was below the 2015 aggregate of 32.6%.

Fifth Third's excellent distribution to low- and moderate-income borrowers is noteworthy and the borrower distribution for home purchase loans is excellent, considering that a community contact indicated there are significant economic factors currently impacting the ability of lower-income individuals to buy homes.

Refinance Loans

Fifth Third made 698 loans totaling \$62.9 million to low-income borrowers. This represents 16.7% of refinance loans by volume, which is below the percentage of low-income families at 21.9%. The percentage of loans by dollar amount at 9.3% is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 7.6% and significantly exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 6.1%. Given that Fifth Third's performance was below proxy and significantly exceeded the aggregate of all lenders, the borrower distribution of refinance loans to low-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 757 loans totaling \$78.3 million to moderate-income borrowers. This represents 18.1% of refinance loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of moderate-income families at 17.2%, and 11.5% by dollar volume, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 15.0% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 14.0%. As Fifth Third's performance exceeded proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, the borrower distribution of refinance loans to moderate-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 838 loans totaling \$108.3 million to middle-income borrowers. This represents 20.0% of refinance loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of middle-income families at 20.1%, and 16.0% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 20.3% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 20.3%.

Fifth Third made 1,506 loans totaling \$352.5 million to upper-income borrowers. This represents 36.0% of refinance loans by volume, which is below the percentage of upper-income families at 40.9%, and 51.9% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 41.9% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 40.5%.

Fifth Third's excellent distribution to low- and moderate-income borrowers is noteworthy and the borrower distribution for refinance loans is excellent, considering that a community contact indicated there are significant economic factors currently impacting the ability of lower-income individuals to buy homes.

Home Improvement Loans

Fifth Third made 92 loans totaling \$6.0 million to low-income borrowers. This represents 20.2% of home improvement loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of low-income families at 21.9%, and 15.5% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume significantly exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 10.2% and the 2015 aggregate of 10.5%. Given that Fifth Third's performance significantly exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and was comparable to proxy, the borrower distribution of home improvement loans to low-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 120 loans totaling \$7.7 million to moderate-income borrowers. This represents 26.3% of its home improvement loans by volume, which substantially exceeds the percentage of moderate-income families at 17.2%, and 20.1% by dollar amount, which also exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 19.4% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 20.0%. Given that Fifth Third’s performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and substantially exceeded proxy, the borrower distribution of home improvement loans to moderate-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 106 loans totaling \$8.4 million to middle-income borrowers. This represents 23.2% of home improvement loans by volume, which is exceeds the percentage of middle-income families at 20.1%, and 21.9% by dollar amount, which slightly exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 23.7% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 25.4%.

Fifth Third made 134 loans totaling \$15.8 million to upper-income borrowers. This represents 29.4% of home improvement loans by volume, which is below the percentage of upper-income families at 40.9%, and 41.1% by dollar amount, which slightly exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 41.0% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 40.8%.

Overall, the borrower distribution of home improvement loans is excellent, based on the excellent distribution of loans among low- and moderate-income borrowers and the need for these types of loans expressed by several community contacts.

Small Business Loans

The distribution of small business loans to businesses of different sizes is adequate, considering Fifth Third’s performance relative to the aggregate of all lenders. Fifth Third was able to make 48.3% of small business loans to businesses with revenues of \$1 million or less. Fifth Third’s performance exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 40.7% and the 2015 aggregate of 46.3%, but was significantly below the percentage of small businesses in the assessment area at 91.5%. Also, during the evaluation period, Fifth Third made an acceptable percentage of small-dollar loans (63.0%) in amounts of \$100,000 or less, indicating a willingness to lend in smaller amounts typically requested by small businesses. In addition, several community contacts indicated a need for small-dollar loans to small businesses and that banks could improve upon originating these types of loans.

Community Development Loans

Fifth Third originated 92 community development loans totaling \$574.1 million during the evaluation period as shown in the table below:

Affordable Housing		Economic Development		Revitalization and Stabilization		Community Services	
#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$
2	2,545,087	25	151,683,487	55	535,251,943	17	59,794,055

Community development lending in the assessment area represents 9.6% of the total dollar volume of community development loans originated by Fifth Third during the evaluation period. This ranks as Fifth Third's third-highest percentage of community development lending during the evaluation period. Fifth Third's performance is especially strong, considering the high competition for community development loans and the number of large national banks in the area. Fifth Third only has 5.1% of the deposit market share. As such, Fifth Third is considered a leader in community development lending.

Examples of community development lending include, but are not limited to:

- Renewal of revolving lines of credit to two local for-profit companies to rehabilitate existing apartment buildings to provide affordable rental housing to low- and moderate-income individuals and families. Over half of the occupants pay less than fair market rent as determined by HUD
- One SBA 504 loan that promotes economic development by financing a small business
- A renewal of a revolving line of credit to a Community Development Financial Institution (CDFI) that provides loans to LIHTC projects and commercial real estate developments in target communities or to small businesses that are unable to access traditional financing
- Multiple working capital loans that promote economic development by financing businesses to support job retention, add new jobs, and promote growth to continue operations in low- and moderate-income geographies. These loans helped to retain at least 50 jobs.
- Multiple working capital loans to help retain and expand businesses located in areas specifically designated by cities with master plans to promote revitalization and economic development
- Working capital loans that support two schools that serves low- and moderate-income students
- Working capital loans that support two nonprofits that provides services to developmentally disabled LMI individuals
- Loan to support a nonprofit that conducts a 30-week empowerment and mentoring program that teaches low- and moderate-income young adults life skills, financial literacy, college preparation, and community activism.
- Working capital loans to a nonprofit that provides job training to low- and moderate-income individuals
- Loan refinance a building to nonprofit located in an Empowerment Zone that provides a multitude of services to low- and moderate-income individuals in an extremely impoverished neighborhood in Detroit

These loans were deemed to be responsive, as community contacts specifically mentioned the need for these types of loans to help deal with affordable housing, financial literacy, high poverty rates, and high unemployment rates.

Flexible Lending Programs

Fifth Third had 1,469 flexible lending loans in this assessment area: 798 government loans, 107 down payment assistance loans, and 564 were other flexible lending programs. The following tables show the percentage by volume and by dollar amount of the three types of flexible lending programs made in this assessment area during the evaluation period and the distribution of Fifth Third’s flexible lending programs within the assessment area by census tract income and by borrower income.

Flexible Loan Programs												
	Geographic Distribution											
	Low			Moderate			Middle			Upper		
	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units
Government Loan Programs	1.1%	0.8%	4.5%	12.2%	8.5%	18.9%	55.1%	53.8%	42.0%	31.6%	36.8%	34.6%
Down Payment Assistance Programs	16.8%	16.5%	4.5%	24.3%	18.4%	18.9%	40.2%	39.7%	42.0%	18.7%	25.5%	34.6%
Other Flexible Lending Programs	1.6%	0.9%	4.5%	18.3%	14.7%	18.9%	49.5%	49.1%	42.0%	30.7%	35.3%	34.6%
Total	2.5%	1.5%	4.5%	15.4%	11.2%	18.9%	51.9%	51.5%	42.0%	30.3%	35.8%	34.6%

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

Flexible Loan Programs												
	Borrower Distribution											
	Low			Moderate			Middle			Upper		
	% - #	% - \$	% Fam	% - #	% - \$	% Fam	% - #	% - \$	% Fam	% - #	% - \$	% Fam
Government Loan Programs	12.9%	7.6%	21.9%	29.3%	25.2%	17.2%	31.5%	33.2%	20.1%	23.9%	31.4%	40.9%
Down Payment Assistance Programs	59.3%	57.0%	21.9%	28.7%	26.3%	17.2%	6.5%	8.7%	20.1%	3.7%	6.5%	40.9%
Other Flexible Lending Programs	14.5%	11.6%	21.9%	25.6%	20.4%	17.2%	23.5%	23.5%	20.1%	33.6%	41.9%	40.9%
Total	16.9%	11.1%	21.9%	27.9%	23.5%	17.2%	26.6%	28.6%	20.1%	26.2%	34.1%	40.9%

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

Fifth Third’s lending in low-income tracts, by number and dollar amount, was below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts. However, down payment assistance programs significantly exceeded proxy. The percentage of lending, by volume and dollar amount, in moderate-income tracts was below the percentage of owner-occupied units.

Fifth Third’s lending, by volume and dollar amount, to low-income borrowers was below the percentage of low-income families in the assessment area. However, down payment assistance programs significantly exceeded proxy. The percentage of lending, by volume and dollar amount, to moderate-income borrowers substantially exceeded the percentage of moderate-income families.

Despite the high foreclosure rates in this assessment area, Fifth Third was able to assist low- and moderate-income borrowers or borrowers in purchasing properties in a low- or moderate-income area to purchase homes through the use of several down payment assistance programs. Therefore, Fifth Third made use of flexible lending practices and is serving the assessment area’s credit needs.

Lending through flexible loan programs to moderate-income borrowers was excellent and lending to low-income borrowers and in moderate-income tracts was good. Lending in low-income tracts was adequate. Flexible lending and other down-payment assistance programs to help low- and moderate-income individuals become first-time homebuyers was a need specifically identified by a community contact in the assessment area.

Investment Test

Fifth Third’s performance relative to the investment test in the assessment area is rated excellent.

Fifth Third made an excellent level of qualified community development investments and grants, particularly those not routinely provided by private investors. As such, Fifth Third was often in a leadership position. Fifth Third has 662 qualified investments totaling \$125.2 million during the evaluation period. Shown in the table below are the total current period investments:

Affordable Housing		Economic Development		Revitalization and Stabilization		Community Services	
#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$
335	80,496,531	63	319,918	11	1,546,198	192	1,138,739

Also included in the total number of qualified investments are 61 prior period investments totaling \$41.7 million. Fifth Third made 7.7% of its total community development investments in this assessment area, which is greater than the percentage of total deposits at 6.5% and greater than the percentage of branch offices at 6.9%.

Fifth Third exhibits an excellent responsiveness to credit and community development needs in the assessment area, including investments in several affordable housing projects and services supporting low- and moderate-income individuals throughout the assessment area, which was an important need expressed by several community contacts. Fifth Third made 283 donations totaling \$1.79 million that supported local schools, small businesses, churches, food banks, health care, and affordable housing. The majority of Fifth Third’s donations (63.5%) supported services to low- and moderate-income individuals. Also, \$150,000 of the donations supported Northwest Community Programs, a nonprofit that provides programs and activities that enhance the quality of life for low-income youth, families, and seniors in the Detroit community.

Service Test

Fifth Third’s performance under the service test in this assessment area is rated excellent. Retail services are accessible and Fifth Third is a leader in providing community development services in this assessment area.

Retail Services

Fifth Third’s record of opening and closing offices has not adversely affected the accessibility of its delivery systems, particularly in low- and moderate-income geographies and to low- and moderate-income households. Since the previous evaluation period, two banking centers were opened and four were closed, resulting in no net change in the number of banking centers in low- and moderate-income tracts. Delivery services are accessible to Fifth Third’s geographies and individuals of different income levels.

Business hours and services provided do not vary in a way that inconveniences certain portions of the assessment area, including low- and moderate-income geographies or households, and are consistent with the services and hours discussed in the “Institution” assessment.

Fifth Third maintains 90 banking centers within this assessment area, including nine in low-, 11 in moderate-, 38 in middle-, and 32 in upper-income census tracts. Fifth Third banking centers in this assessment area represent 6.9% of all its banking centers.

Fifth Third has a total of 111 full-service ATMs within this assessment area, including six in low-, 22 in moderate-, 44 in middle-, and 37 in upper-income census tracts. There are also two full-service ATMs located in unknown-income tracts.

The following table illustrates the percentage of banking centers and ATMs in low-, moderate-, middle-, and upper-income census tracts in comparison to the number and percentage of census tracts and the percentage of households and businesses in those tracts.

Geographic Distribution of Branches & ATMS

Assessment Area: MI Detroit

Tract Category	Branches				Stand Alone ATMs						Demographics				
	Total Branches		Open	Closed	Total ATMs		Full Service ATMs		Cash only ATMs		Census Tracts		House holds	Total Businesses	
	#	%			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%			
Low	9	10.0%	0	0	Total	6	5.1%	6	5.4%	0	0.0%	174	11.2%	8.1%	6.1%
Moderate	11	12.2%	0	0	Total	23	19.5%	22	19.8%	1	14.3%	362	23.4%	22.6%	17.4%
Middle	38	42.2%	0	0	Total	49	41.5%	44	39.6%	5	71.4%	565	36.5%	39.9%	38.9%
Upper	32	35.6%	2	4	Total	38	32.2%	37	33.3%	1	14.3%	422	27.3%	29.3%	37.1%
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0	Total	2	1.7%	2	1.8%	0	0.0%	24	1.6%	0.0%	0.4%
Total	90	100.0%	2	4	Total	118	100.0%	111	100.0%	7	100.0%	1547	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

2016 FFIEC Census Data, 2010 ACS Data, and 2015 D&B Information

Closed branches/ATMs are only included in "closed" columns and are not included in any other totals.

DTO - Drive thru only is a subset of total branches

SA = Stand Alone ATM is a subset of total ATMs

Branch distribution within low-income tracts was considered excellent, as the distribution of branches was comparable to the percentage of census tracts and exceeded households in these tracts. However, branch distribution within moderate-income tracts was considered adequate.

Community Development Services

Fifth Third is a leader in providing community development services in this assessment area. During the evaluation period, Fifth Third employees provided 11,412 hours of community development service to local organizations serving low- and moderate-income individuals, which represents 9.6% of all community development services provided and equates to 5.49 annualized persons (ANP).

Affordable Housing	Economic Development	Revitalization and Stabilization	Community Services
<i># of Hours</i>	<i># of Hours</i>	<i># of Hours</i>	<i># of Hours</i>
2,983	1,314	591	6,524

Employees provided financial expertise through leadership positions in multiple community organizations that provide affordable housing and promote community and economic development and area revitalization and stabilization. Community development services include 5,526 hours providing technical assistance to non-profits and local business, 2,833 hours serving on boards and committees, 2,817 hours of providing financial literacy through local nonprofits and school programs, and 236 hours participating in foreclosure prevention outreach.

Fifth Third is considered particularly responsive with regard to hours dedicated to financial literacy and foreclosure outreach prevention activities, as several community contacts mentioned the need for financial literacy training to provide debt counseling and assist first-time homebuyers and entrepreneurs. In addition, the foreclosure rate remains significantly higher in several counties in this assessment area compared to the foreclosure rates in Michigan and the nation.

METROPOLITAN AREA
(Full-scope Review)

**DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION'S OPERATIONS IN THE
GRAND RAPIDS-WYOMING-MUSKEGON MI CSA #266**

The Grand Rapids-Wyoming-Muskegon MI CSA consists of the following two MSAs: the Grand Rapids-Wyoming MI MSA #24340, consisting of Barry, Kent, Montcalm, and Ottawa counties, and the Muskegon MI MSA #34740, consisting of Muskegon County.

The assessment area is comprised of 15 low-, 56 moderate-, 123 middle-, and 53 upper-income tracts. There are also two tracts with no income designation that are primarily composed of correctional institutions, military establishments, education facilities, or medical establishments that do not report income information.

As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third had the majority of the market share 21.5% of the deposits out of 32 institutions. The next three largest institutions, Huntington National Bank, JPMorgan Chase Bank, and Wells Fargo Bank had 11.9%, 9.7%, and 9.45% of the market share, respectively. Deposits in this assessment area accounted for 4.5% of the Fifth Third's total deposits. This was 30.6% of deposits within the state and the seventh-highest percentage of deposits within Fifth Third's CRA footprint.

From January 1, 2014 through June 30, 2016, Fifth Third originated 7,449 HMDA loans and 2,708 CRA loans, which represented 7.1% and 6.9% of the total loans originated during the evaluation period, respectively. This was the seventh-largest HMDA market and ninth-largest CRA market for loans originated during the evaluation period.

In 2015, Fifth Third Mortgage Company and Fifth Third Mortgage Company-Michigan ranked second and third, respectively among 420 HMDA reporters in the assessment area, while Fifth Third ranked 19th. Lake Michigan Credit Union ranked first among HMDA lenders in the assessment area. Fifth Third ranked seventh of 82 CRA reporters in the assessment area in 2015. The top four CRA lenders in the assessment area were American Express, Capital One, Chase Bank USA, and U.S. Bank. These lenders are mostly issuers of credit cards and their CRA loans primarily consist of commercial credit card accounts.

Four community contact interviews were conducted to provide additional information regarding the assessment area. The first contact, representing an organization that helps businesses recruit talent, stated the economic conditions in the area are very good and the area's diverse economy helped it through the recession better than other municipalities in the state. The contact indicated that unemployment is low the Grand Rapids area, particularly in Ottawa and Kent counties. However, there are areas with high unemployment, low median family incomes, and low educational attainment. The contact stated local area banks are actively involved in the community and regularly provide financial literacy training.

The second contact, representing an affordable housing agency, stated current economic conditions in the area are improving and area unemployment has steadily declined since the recession (2008). The contact noted that rental rates are rising, making it increasingly difficult for low-income individuals to find affordable housing. In addition, local financial institutions are actively involved in meeting the credit needs of the community; however, the contact believed there is an ongoing need for banks to extend low-cost (fee-free) credit to lower-income individuals to encourage them to work with banks to obtain necessary funding.

The third contact, representing an economic development organization, indicated there is an opportunity for banks and local government to fund infrastructure and transportation projects to boost the city's appeal. The contact believed the lack of cost-effective transportation is one of the primary factors limiting lower-income individuals from finding meaningful employment. The contact stated the competition among banks in the area translates into active support for the entrepreneurial community and a willingness to offer more innovative products.

The final contact, representing an agency that serves the needs of low- and moderate-income individuals and families, stated the agency has good partnerships with area financial institutions. The contact believed there is always a need to help the unbanked and underbanked (mostly lower-income households) gain access to mainstream financial systems. The contact specifically mentioned First General Credit Union and Fifth Third as being active agency partners.

Population Characteristics

According to 2010 U.S. Census data, the population in the assessment area was 1.2 million. One quarter (25.0%) of the population lived in low- and moderate-income tracts. In addition, 74.2% of the population was 18 years of age or older, the legal age to enter into a contract.

As of July 1, 2015, the Grand Rapids MSA is the 52nd largest in terms of population in the nation, while the Muskegon MSA is the 239th largest.¹⁹⁹ Among the most populous counties in Michigan, Barry County is 15th, Kent County is 81st, Montcalm County is 117th, Muskegon County is 121st, and Ottawa County is 139th.

According to 2015 U.S. Census data,²⁰⁰ Grand Rapids is the second largest city in Michigan with 195,097 residents and is the 122nd largest city in the nation. Wyoming is the 14th largest city in the state with 75,275 residents and Muskegon is the 30th largest with 38,401 residents.²⁰¹ The following table shows the population in the assessment area by county for 2010 and 2015, with the percentage of the population increase or decrease.²⁰²

¹⁹⁹ MSA population data is derived from the U.S. Census Data 2015 Statistical Abstract:
<https://factfinder.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productview.xhtml?src=bkmk>

²⁰⁰ US Census Bureau: <http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/table/PST045216/00> (main page – must enter state, county, city, town or zip code)

²⁰¹ Michigan – 2015 City Populations: <https://www.biggestuscities.com/mi>

²⁰² Population Estimates derived from U.S. Census Data (April 1, 2010 – July 1, 2015):
<http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/table/PST045216/00>

For the most part, the population within the CSA experienced positive growth between 2010 and 2015, with Ottawa and Kent counties experiencing the greatest growth and only Malcolm County experiencing a decline in population.

County	2010 Population	2015 Population	Population Percent Change
Barry	59,173	59,314	0.2%
Kent	602,622	636,369	5.6%
Montcalm	63,342	62,945	-0.6%
Muskegon	172,188	172,790	0.3%
Ottawa	263,801	279,955	6.1%
Total	1,161,126	1,211,373	4.3%

According to U.S. Census data, Grand Rapids and its surrounding area is the fastest-growing population center in Michigan. From July 2014 to July 2015, the rate of increase in the Grand Rapids metropolitan area was 15 times higher than the state as a whole (an increase of 9,621 people or 0.9%). The Muskegon metropolitan area grew 0.3% during this time period.²⁰³

According to Moody’s Analytics, between 2012 and 2015, Grand Rapids’ population growth remains positive and strong compared to other places in Michigan. Population growth can be attributed to a steady increase in domestic and foreign in-migration. Between 2012 and 2015, Muskegon’s population growth was minimal.

Income Characteristics

The 2010 assessment area median family income was significantly lower (\$59,489) than Michigan at \$60,341. As shown in the table below, the median family income increased across the assessment area, except for a slight decrease in the Muskegon MSA between 2010 and 2014.

FFIEC Estimated Median Family Income	2010	2014	2015	2016
Grand Rapids-Wyoming MI MSA	\$61,182	\$64,600	\$65,700	\$65,800
Muskegon MI MSA	\$50,101	\$49,400	\$51,900	\$52,200

²⁰³ Vande Bunte, Matt. “Michigan’s fastest-growing metro area is Grand Rapids.” *MLive*. March 24, 2016. - http://www.mlive.com/news/grand-rapids/index.ssf/2016/03/michigans_fastest-growing_metr.html

Poverty rates increased in each county in the assessment area from 1999 to 2015.²⁰⁴ Muskegon County had the highest poverty rate in 1999 and Montcalm County had the highest poverty rate in 2015. Barry and Ottawa counties had the lowest poverty rates in 1999 and Ottawa County had the lowest poverty rate in 2015. In 2015, Muskegon and Montcalm counties had poverty rates higher than Michigan and these counties, in addition to Kent County, had poverty rates that exceeded the national rate. However, Barry County experienced the largest increase in poverty rates during this period. According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the national poverty rate in 2015 was 13.5%, down 1.3 percentage points from 14.8% in 2014. For most demographic groups, the 2015 poverty rates and number of people in poverty decreased from 2014.²⁰⁵ The following table shows the poverty rates for 1999²⁰⁶ and 2015.

County	1999 Poverty Rate	2015 Poverty Rate	Change
Barry	5.5%	9.3%	69.1%
Kent	8.9%	14.5%	62.9%
Montcalm	10.9%	17.9%	64.2%
Muskegon	11.4%	16.1%	41.2%
Ottawa	5.5%	8.6%	56.4%
Michigan	10.5%	15.7%	49.5%
U.S.	11.8%	13.5%	14.4%

Housing Characteristics

According to 2010 U.S. Census data, there are 475,649 housing units and 299,503 families in the assessment area. From an income perspective, 26.2% of housing units, 18.4% of owner-occupied units, and 21.8% of families are located in low- or moderate-income tracts. Nearly two-thirds of the housing units in the low-income census tracts are either rental or vacant (65.0%). In the moderate-income census tracts, nearly half (49.9%) of the housing units are either rental or vacant, and 50.1% are owner-occupied. Therefore, based on the number of housing units compared to the number of families in low- and moderate-income census tracts, there appear to be credit-related opportunities for Fifth Third to provide various aspects of affordable housing in the assessment area.

The 2010 U.S. Census data shows the median age of housing stock in the assessment area was 38 years old, with 23.3% of the stock built before 1950. The oldest housing stock was in Muskegon County with a median age of 45 years, while the newest was 29 years in Ottawa County. However, within the assessment area, the median age of housing stock was 61 years in low-income tracts and 54 years in moderate-income tracts, which indicates that there is ample opportunity.

²⁰⁴ U.S. Department of Agriculture Economic Research Service Poverty Rates (for 1999 and 2015): <https://data.ers.usda.gov/reports.aspx?ID=17826>

²⁰⁵ 2015 National Poverty: <http://www.census.gov/library/publications/2016/demo/p60-256.html>

²⁰⁶ 1999 National Poverty Rate: <http://www.census.gov/prod/2000pubs/p60-210.pdf>

According to 2010 U.S. Census data, the median housing value in the assessment area was \$144,427, with an affordability ratio of 33.91. The affordability ratio is derived by dividing the median household income by the median housing value. The higher the affordability ratio, the more affordable a home is considered. The median housing value decreased between 2010 and 2011-2015 and household incomes increased and as a result, housing became more affordable across the assessment area. During the evaluation period, the most affordable housing was in Montcalm County, with the least affordable in Ottawa County. Median gross rents increased at a substantial rate across the assessment area, with renters in Barry County experiencing the largest increase in rental rates and renters in Muskegon County experiencing the smallest increase. In 2010, about 48.9% of renters across the assessment area had rent costs greater than 30.0% of income. A contact noted rental rates are rising, thereby making it increasingly difficult for low income individuals to find affordable housing, as increasing rental rates may make it harder for potential homebuyers to save enough money for a down payment for a home. According to Moody’s Analytics, houses prices in the Grand Rapids metropolitan area are rising faster than in any other Michigan metropolitan areas and are well above the pre-recession peak. The average price on a pending home sale has increased by 8.1%. In December 2016, Trulia.com concluded that Grand Rapids area was the fourth hottest real estate market in the nation due to its growing economy and affordable housing inventory. Rental rates also were on the rise in Grand Rapids, according to Trulia’s report. The median rental rate was \$1,200, an increase of 20.0% from one year ago (2016 to 2017), but down from its summer peak of \$1,300.²⁰⁷ The table below presents housing characteristics from the U.S. Census data between 2010 and 2015 in the assessment area and Michigan.

County	2010 Median Housing Value	2010 Affordability Ratio	2011-2015 Median Housing Value	2011-2015 Affordability Ratio	2010 Median Gross Rent	2011-2015 Median Gross Rent	Percent of Change
Barry	\$147,300	35.21	\$134,700	40.88	\$652	\$762	16.9%
Kent	\$147,600	33.56	\$139,300	38.09	\$699	\$767	9.7%
Montcalm	\$112,700	35.29	\$94,100	44.19	\$618	\$692	12.0%
Muskegon	\$112,800	36.05	\$99,000	43.33	\$628	\$673	7.2%
Ottawa	\$161,200	34.18	\$155,400	36.03	\$726	\$792	9.1%
Michigan	\$144,200	33.59	\$122,400	40.50	\$723	\$783	8.3%

According to Bankrate.com,²⁰⁸ Michigan ranked 26th for foreclosure filings in November 2016. The national average for foreclosure filings was one in every 1,533 housing units. The following table contains information about foreclosure filings in the assessment area, according to Realtytrac:²⁰⁹

²⁰⁷ Harger, Jim. “Housing market begins 2017 on a ‘hot’ note.” *MLive*. January 13, 2017. –

www.mlive.com/business/west-michigan/index.ssf/2017/01/west_michigan_housing_market_b.html

Isidore, Chris. “GM cutting 1,300 jobs at only plant inside Detroit.” *CNN Money*. December 20, 2016. -

<http://money.cnn.com/2016/12/20/news/companies/gm-plant-layoff/index.html>

²⁰⁸ Bankrate.com: <http://www.bankrate.com/finance/real-estate/foreclosures-by-state/default.aspx>

²⁰⁹ Realtytrac: <http://www.realtytrac.com/statsandtrends/foreclosurestrends/>

Geography Name	Ratio of Properties Receiving Foreclosure Filings in November 2016
Barry	1:2,493
Kent	1:4,747
Montcalm	1:1,998
Muskegon	1:1,791
Ottawa	1:3,331
Michigan	1:2,036
U.S.	1:1,533

In November 2016, Muskegon County had the highest rate of foreclosure and Kent County had the lowest foreclosure rate within the assessment area.

Building permits in the MSAs, Michigan, and the nation are included in the following table for 2014, 2015, and 2016.²¹⁰

Geography	2014	2015	Percent of Change 2014-2015	2016	Percent of Change 2015-2016
Grand Rapids-Wyoming MSA	3,171	3,672	15.8%	3,655	-0.5%
Muskegon MSA	172	241	40.1%	16	-93.4%
Michigan	15,836	18,226	15.1%	22,426	23.0%
U.S.	1,052,124	1,182,582	12.4%	1,190,191	0.6%

The Muskegon MSA experienced the greatest increase of housing permits between 2014 and 2015 and the greatest decrease of housing permits between 2015 and 2016. In the Grand Rapids MSA, the percentage of housing permits mirrored Michigan’s percentage between 2014 and 2015, but fell far below the state’s percentage of housing permits between 2015 and 2016. Based on the high demand for permits between 2014 and 2015 and performance context indicating a strong housing market in 2017, this could indicate an increased demand for home purchase loans across the assessment area during the evaluation period.

Labor, Employment, and Economic Characteristics

According to Moody’s Analytics, the Grand Rapids area economy is expanding due to growing biotech and healthcare, and a diverse manufacturing base. Personal income, industrial production, and home prices are on an upward trajectory. Population growth is exceeding expectations and the booming downtown and high-paying biotech jobs are helping Grand Rapids to retain more college graduates.

²¹⁰ U.S. Census Bureau Building Permits Survey: <http://www.census.gov/construction/bps/>

While job growth eased slightly, it is on pace to comfortably exceed the rest of the state and the nation. The Muskegon area economy is in recovery. The metropolitan area is adding jobs at a faster pace, but high-wage industry jobs represent less than 10.0% of total area employment. This unfavorable mix is preventing average hourly earnings from increasing; as a result, Muskegon area incomes lag the state and national averages. Weak population growth, low educational attainment, and a comparatively small working-age population constrain Muskegon’s prospects for sustained economic growth.

The Grand Rapids metropolitan area is home to the headquarters of one Fortune 500 company:²¹¹

Grand Rapids Metro Fortune 500 Companies (2016)		
Rank	Company	Revenue
351	SpartanNash	\$7.9 billion


SpartanNash is a food distributor and grocery store retailer headquartered in Byron Center, Michigan. In terms of revenue, it is the largest food distributor serving military commissaries and exchanges in the U.S.

According to Moody’s Analytics, the top 12 employers in the CSA in 2015/2016 were:

Company	Number of Employees
Spectrum Health	21,800
Meijer Inc.	20,686
Mercy Health	6,500
Axios Inc.	5,000
Amway Corp.	4,000
Johnson Controls Inc.	3,900
Centex Corp.	3,900
Grand Valley State University	3,306
SpartanNash	2,585
Alcoa Howmet	2,200
ADAC Automotive Inc.	1,000
Hines Corp.	690

The following table illustrates the average unemployment rates for 2014, 2015, and June 2016 for the counties in the CSA and Michigan.

²¹¹ www.craindetroit.com/article/20160606/NEWS/160609759/southeast-michigan-representation-on-furtune-500-shrinks



Overall, unemployment rates in the assessment area declined each year. The Grand Rapids MSA had the lowest unemployment rates all three years and were below Michigan’s rates of unemployment. The Muskegon MSA had the highest unemployment rates all three years and were above Michigan’s.

According to MLive, GE Aviation says the company is laying off 74 employees from its Grand Rapids area operations after sales fell short in 2016. The majority of the employees being laid off were engineers, although the cuts were across several departments. In total, the company is laying off 107 workers, primarily in Grand Rapids and Clearwater, Florida.²¹² News 8 also reported that SAF-Holland is shutting down two of its manufacturing plants in West Michigan as part of its restructuring efforts. The truck and trailer parts suppliers blamed the continued market weakness for its decision. SAF-Holland is not moving out of Muskegon, as the company indicated that it plans to use the site for its Americas region headquarters and corporate offices. SAF-Holland also plans to build a cutting-edge engineering and technology center in Muskegon and consolidate the Holland testing center and administrative offices. Production work handled by these plants will be moved to the company’s other three sites in Ohio, Arkansas, and Texas. Around 97 employees are expected to lose their jobs at the Holland plant and it is unclear how many workers will be affected by the layoffs at the Muskegon plant.²¹³

²¹² Martinez, Shandra. “GE Aviation trims Grand Rapids workforce.” *MLive*. January 19, 2017. - http://www.mlive.com/news/grand-rapids/index.ssf/2017/01/ge_aviation_shedding_74_grand.html

²¹³ Web Staff. “SAF-Holland to shutter plants in Holland and Muskegon.” *WoodTV News 8*. March 28, 2017. - <http://woodtv.com/2017/01/18/saf-holland-to-shutter-plants-in-holland-muskegon/>

Combined Demographics Report

Assessment Area: MI Grand Rapids

Income Categories	Tract Distribution		Families by Tract Income		Families < Poverty Level as % of Families by Tract		Families by Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	15	6	10,084	3.4	3,984	39.5	59,343	19.8
Moderate-income	56	22.5	55,137	18.4	11,324	20.5	54,917	18.3
Middle-income	123	49.4	156,768	52.3	11,358	7.2	66,862	22.3
Upper-income	53	21.3	77,514	25.9	2,573	3.3	118,381	39.5
Unknown-income	2	0.8	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	249	100.0	299,503	100.0	29,239	9.8	299,503	100.0
	Housing	Housing Types by Tract						
	Units by Tract	Owner-Occupied			Rental		Vacant	
		#	%	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	18,284	6,400	2	35	9,114	49.8	2,770	15.1
Moderate-income	106,490	53,308	16.4	50.1	38,685	36.3	14,497	13.6
Middle-income	246,361	177,013	54.6	71.9	48,385	19.6	20,963	8.5
Upper-income	104,514	87,597	27	83.8	10,955	10.5	5,962	5.7
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	475,649	324,318	100.0	68.2	107,139	22.5	44,192	9.3
	Total Businesses by Tract	Businesses by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	2,003	4	1,593	3.6	404	8.3	6	2.5
Moderate-income	9,318	18.7	8,203	18.3	1,050	21.5	65	26.9
Middle-income	24,433	49	22,105	49.4	2,208	45.1	120	49.6
Upper-income	14,118	28.3	12,835	28.7	1,232	25.2	51	21.1
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	49,872	100.0	44,736	100.0	4,894	100.0	242	100.0
	Percentage of Total Businesses:			89.7		9.8		.5
	Total Farms by Tract	Farms by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	3	0.2	3	0.2	0	0	0	0
Moderate-income	143	9.4	133	9.2	9	12.5	1	100
Middle-income	1,055	69.4	1,009	69.7	46	63.9	0	0
Upper-income	319	21	302	20.9	17	23.6	0	0
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	1,520	100.0	1,447	100.0	72	100.0	1	100.0
	Percentage of Total Farms:			95.2		4.7		.1

**CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN THE GRAND
RAPIDS-WYOMING-MUSKEGON MI CSA MI CSA**

Lending Test

Fifth Third’s performance under the lending test in this assessment area is rated excellent. Fifth Third has demonstrated an excellent responsiveness to the credit needs of the community. In addition, Fifth Third originated 74 community development loans totaling \$230.7 million. Fifth Third has an excellent overall geographic distribution of loans and no gaps in lending were noted. Fifth Third has an excellent distribution of loans among borrowers of different income levels and a good distribution of loans to businesses of different revenue sizes. Fifth Third exhibits an excellent record of serving the credit needs of highly economically disadvantaged areas in its assessment area, low-income individuals, and businesses with gross annual revenues of \$1 million or less. The excellent level of community development loans and extensive use of flexible lending practices augmented Fifth Third’s performance in this assessment area.

Greatest consideration was given to the evaluation of refinance lending based on the overall volume of lending, followed by home purchase, small business, and home improvement lending. Details of Fifth Third’s residential mortgage and small business lending, as well as information regarding lending by peers, can be found in Appendix E.

Lending Activity

Fifth Third’s lending activity reflects an excellent responsiveness to the credit needs within the assessment area. Fifth Third originated 3,694 refinance, 3,447 home purchase, 305 home improvement, 2,708 small business, and 74 community development loans during the evaluation period. The percentage of Fifth Third’s total lending at 7.1% is greater than the percentage of total deposits at 4.5% in this area.

In addition to lending, Fifth Third modified existing loans to borrowers. Refer to the distribution of HAMP and other real-estate secured modifications within the assessment area by census tract income and by borrower income.

	Distribution by Census Tract Income							
	Low		Mod		Middle		Upper	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Other Real Estate Secured Modifications	2	0.8%	52	21.1%	131	53.0%	62	25.1%
<i>Percentage of Owner Occupied Units</i>		<i>2.0%</i>		<i>16.4%</i>		<i>54.6%</i>		<i>27.0%</i>

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

	Distribution by Borrower Income							
	Low		Mod		Middle		Upper	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Other Real Estate Secured Modifications	67	27.1%	97	39.3%	53	21.5%	28	11.3%
Percentage of Families by Family Income		19.8%		18.3%		22.3%		39.5%

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

There were not enough HAMP loans for a meaningful analysis. The percentage of other modifications was below the percentage of owner-occupied units in low-income tracts and exceeded proxy in moderate-income tracts. Therefore, modifications helped to expand lending activities in these areas. The percentage of other modifications made to low- and moderate-income borrowers exceeded the percentage of low- and moderate-income families in the assessment area. Therefore, modifications enhanced Fifth Third’s ability to reach low- and moderate-income borrowers.

Geographic Distribution of Loans

Fifth Third’s overall distribution of lending among geographies is excellent. Refinance lending, which was the largest loan category, is good. Home purchase lending is excellent and home improvement lending is good. Small business lending is excellent. Also, no lending gaps were noted in the assessment area, as shown in the following table.

Tract Income Levels	Number of Tracts	Tracts with No Loans	Penetration
Low	15	0	100.0%
Moderate	56	0	100.0%
Middle	123	0	100.0%
Upper	53	0	100.0%
Unknown	2	2	0.0%
Total	249	2	99.2%

There were no lending gaps in the low,-moderate,-middle,-and upper-income tracts. Although Fifth Third did not make any loans in the two unknown-income tracts, there is no population in these tracts and therefore, there is no demand for loans in these areas.

Refinance Loans

Fifth Third made 59 refinance loans totaling \$3.8 million in low-income tracts. This represents 1.6% of refinance loans by volume, which is slightly below the percentage of owner-occupied units at 2.0%, and 0.8% by dollar amount, which is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate at 1.3% and was comparable to the 2015 aggregate at 1.1%. As Fifth Third’s performance was slightly below proxy and comparable to the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of refinance loans in low-income tracts is good.

Fifth Third made 522 refinance loans totaling \$45.4 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 14.1% of refinance loans by volume, which is below the owner-occupied units in these tracts at 16.4%. The percentage of loans by dollar amount at 9.4% is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate at 12.9% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate at 11.3%. As Fifth Third's performance was below proxy and exceeded the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of refinance loans in moderate-income tracts is good.

Fifth Third made 1,925 refinance loans totaling \$299.1 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 52.1% of refinance loans by volume, which is comparable to the owner-occupied units in these tracts at 54.6%. However, refinance loans by dollar amount (47.6%) was below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate at 53.1% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate at 51.9%.

Fifth Third made 1,888 refinance loans totaling \$203.4 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 32.2% of refinance loans by volume, which exceeds the owner-occupied units in these tracts at 27.0%, and the dollar amount at 42.2% also exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate at 32.6% and was below the 2015 aggregate at 35.7%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of refinance loans is good.

Home Purchase Loans

Fifth Third made 74 home purchase loans totaling \$6.5 million in low-income tracts. This represents 2.1% of home purchase loans by volume, which slightly exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 2.0%, and 1.3% by dollar amount, which is comparable proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate at 1.2% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate at 1.6%. As Fifth Third's performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and slightly exceeded proxy, the geographic distribution of home purchase loans in low-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 573 home purchase loans totaling \$52.5 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 16.6% of its home purchase loans by volume, which slightly exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 16.4%, and 10.4% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate at 14.6% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate at 14.8%. As Fifth Third's performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and slightly exceeded proxy, the geographic distribution of home purchase loans in moderate-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 1,801 home purchase loans totaling \$243.5 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 52.2% of home purchase loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 54.6% and 48.4% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate of 53.9% and was comparable to the 2015 aggregate of 54.3%.

Fifth Third made 999 home purchase loans totaling \$200.3 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 29.0% of home purchase loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 27.0%, and 39.8% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate of 30.3% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 29.4%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of home purchase loans is excellent.

Home Improvement Loans

Fifth Third made three home improvement loans totaling \$131,000 in low-income tracts. This represents 1.0% of home improvement loans by volume, which is below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 2.0%, and 0.6% by loan amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 1.2% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 0.9%. Given the median age of housing in low-income tracts at 61 years, which is a likely indicator of the need for home improvement loans and Fifth Third's lending performance in these tracts; the geographic distribution of home improvement loans in low-income tracts is good.

Fifth Third made 76 home improvement loans totaling \$3.8 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 24.9% of home improvement loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 16.4%, and 18.7% by dollar amount, which also exceeded proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 15.7% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 15.9%. Given the age of the housing stock in the moderate-income tracts at 54 years, a likely indicator of the need for home improvement loans and Fifth Third's lending performance in these tracts, the geographic distribution of home improvement loans in moderate-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 140 home improvement loans totaling \$9.4 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 45.9% of home improvement loans by volume, which is below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 54.6%, and 45.7% by dollar amount, which is also below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 53.9% and comparable to the 2015 aggregate of 52.5%.

Fifth Third made 86 home improvement loans totaling \$7.2 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 28.2% of home improvements loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 27.0%, and 35.0% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 29.2% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 30.7%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of home improvement loans is good.

Small Business Loans

Fifth Third made 176 small business loans totaling \$36.9 million in low-income tracts. This represents 6.5% of small business loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 3.6%, and 9.5% by dollar amount, which also exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 4.5% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 4.5%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of small business loans in low-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 502 small business loans totaling \$70.4 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 18.5% of small business loans by volume, which slightly exceeds the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 18.3%. This also represents 18.2% small business loans by dollar amount, which is also comparable to proxy. However, the percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 17.4% and was comparable to the 2015 aggregate of 17.2%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and slightly exceeded proxy, the geographic distribution of small business loans in moderate-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 1,197 small business loans totaling \$174.1 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 44.2% of small business loans by volume, which is below the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 49.4%, and 44.9% by dollar amount, which is comparable to proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate of 45.8% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 46.2%.

Fifth Third made 833 small business loans totaling \$106.0 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 30.8% of small business loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 28.7%, and 27.4% by dollar amount, which is comparable to proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate of 31.0% and the 2015 aggregate of 31.1%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of small business loans is excellent.

Distribution by Borrower Income and Revenue Size of the Business

The distribution of loans is excellent based on borrower income and good for businesses of different revenue sizes. Borrower distribution is excellent for home purchase and home improvement loans and good for refinance loans.

Refinance Loans

Fifth Third made 507 loans totaling \$38.9 million to low-income borrowers. This represents 13.7% of refinance loans by volume, which is below percentage of low-income families at 19.8%. The percentage of loans by dollar amount at 8.1% is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume significantly exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 8.7% and significantly exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 6.7%. Given Fifth Third's performance is below proxy, but significantly exceeded the aggregate of all lenders, the borrower distribution of refinance loans to low-income borrowers is good.

Fifth Third made 826 loans totaling \$76.8 million to moderate-income borrowers. This represents 22.4% of refinance loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of moderate-income families at 18.3% and 15.9% by dollar volume, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 17.8% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 17.6%. Given Fifth Third's performance in exceeded proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, borrower distribution is considered excellent.

Fifth Third made 878 loans totaling \$97.9 million to middle-income borrowers. This represents 23.8% of refinance loans by volume, which slightly exceeds the percentage of middle-income families at 22.3%, and 20.3% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 21.2% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 20.7%.

Fifth Third made 1,271 loans totaling \$234.6 million to upper-income borrowers. This represents 34.4% of refinance loans by volume, which is below the percentage of upper-income families at 39.5%, while the percentage of loans by dollar amount at 48.7% exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 32.7% and was comparable to the 2015 aggregate of 33.9%.

Overall, the borrower distribution of refinance loans is good.

Home Purchase Loans

Fifth Third made 629 loans totaling \$51.9 million to low-income borrowers. This represents 18.2% of home purchase loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of low-income families at 19.8%, and 10.3% of loans by dollar amount, which is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 10.8% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 10.0%. Because Fifth Third's performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and was comparable to proxy, the borrower distribution of home purchase loans to low-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 882 loans totaling \$99.0 million to moderate-income borrowers. This represents 25.6% of home purchase loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of moderate-income families at 18.3%, and 19.7% of loans by dollar amount, which also exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 21.9% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 23.8%. Given Fifth Third/s performance exceeded proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, the borrower distribution of home purchase loans to moderate-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 654 loans totaling \$91.8 million to middle-income borrowers. This represents 19.0% of home purchase loans by volume, which is below the percentage of middle-income families at 22.3%, and 18.3% by dollar amount, which is also below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 21.5% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 21.0%.

Fifth Third made 1,015 loans totaling \$217.7 million to upper-income borrowers. This represents 29.4% of home purchase loans by volume, which is below the percentage of upper-income families at 39.5%, and 43.3% of loans by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 28.4% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 28.6%.

A community contact and performance context indicate that rental rates are increasing, making it increasingly difficult for lower-income individuals to save enough for a down payment to purchase a home. Given Fifth Third's performance to low- and moderate-income borrowers exceeded proxy and all aggregate lenders, the overall borrower distribution of home purchase loans is excellent.

Home Improvement Loans

Fifth Third made 48 loans totaling \$2.3 million to low-income borrowers. This represents 15.7% of home improvement loans by volume, which is below the percentage of low-income families at 19.8%, and 11.3% by dollar amount, which is also below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 10.9% and significantly exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 10.0%. Given that Fifth Third's performance significantly exceeded the aggregate of all lenders, the borrower distribution of home improvement loans to low-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 91 loans totaling \$4.9 million to moderate-income borrowers. This represents 29.8% of its home improvement loans by volume, which substantially exceeds the percentage of moderate-income families at 18.3%, and 23.7% by dollar amount, which also exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 22.1% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 21.5%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and substantially exceeded proxy, the borrower distribution of home improvement loans to moderate-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 86 loans totaling \$4.5 million to middle-income borrowers. This represents 28.2% of home improvement loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of middle-income families at 22.3%, and 21.8% by dollar amount, which is slightly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 26.8% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 24.9%.

Fifth Third made 73 loans totaling \$8.2 million to upper-income borrowers. This represents 23.9% of home improvement loans by volume, which is below the percentage of upper-income families at 39.5%, and 40.0% by dollar amount, which is comparable to proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 35.6% and the 2015 aggregate of 40.9%.

The overall borrower distribution of home improvement loans is excellent.

Small Business Loans

The distribution of small business loans to businesses of different sizes is good, considering Fifth Third’s performance relative to the aggregate of all lenders. Fifth Third was able to make 49.4% of small business loans to businesses with revenues of \$1 million or less. Fifth Third’s performance significantly exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 39.5% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 41.7%, but was significantly below the percentage of small businesses in the assessment area at 89.7%. Also, during the evaluation period, Fifth Third was able to make an acceptable percentage of small-dollar loans (69.7%) in amounts of \$100,000 or less, indicating a willingness to lend in smaller amounts typically requested by small businesses. In addition, a community contact cited the lack infrastructure improvements and mass transportation options limit economic development prospects and small business lending opportunities.

Community Development Loans

Fifth Third originated 74 community development loans totaling \$230.7 million during the evaluation period as shown in the table below:

Affordable Housing		Economic Development		Revitalization and Stabilization		Community Services	
#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$
4	16,895,000	35	118,928,407	14	65,703,658	21	29,185,614

Community development lending in the assessment area represents 3.8% of the total dollar volume of community development loans originated by Fifth Third during the evaluation period. This ranks as Fifth Third’s sixth-highest percentage of community development lending during the evaluation period. Although Fifth Third has the majority of the market share with 21.5% of the deposits in this market, it must still compete with several large national banks in the area for community development loans. Deposits in this assessment area accounted for 4.5% of the Fifth Third’s total deposits during the evaluation period. As such, Fifth Third is considered a leader in community development lending.

Examples of community development lending include, but are not limited to:

- Two new loans to support a federally subsidized public housing (Section 8) development project, where old buildings were demolished and replaced with 47 new apartments for low- and moderate-income individuals and families
- Renewal of a revolving line of credit to Habitat for Humanity to build and construct multiple single-family dwellings for low- and moderate-income individuals/families.
- Renewal of working capital loan to a community action partnership that provides a multitude of services low-income families, seniors and disabled persons
- Renewal of a revolving line of credit to continue operations for a university where 55.0% of the student body is considered low- or moderate-income
- Working capital loan to a nonprofit that provides emergency shelter to low- and moderate-income women and children

- Working capital loan to a nonprofit that provides transportation for low- and moderate-income individuals to linkages to other transit systems in order to gain access to employment opportunities
- Multiple working capital loans that promote economic development by financing businesses to support job retention, add new jobs, and promote growth to continue operations in low- and moderate-income geographies (162 jobs retained and 36 jobs added)
- Renewal of line of credit to a nonprofit that helps increase economic opportunities for small businesses in the area and provides start-up businesses with business literacy training
- Multiple working capital loans to help retain and expand businesses located in areas specifically designated by the city to promote revitalization and economic development

The loans that supported services to low- and moderate-income individuals, affordable housing, and, in particular, providing transportation to gain meaningful employment opportunities were deemed to be responsive, as community contacts specifically mentioned the need for these types of loans.

Flexible Lending Programs

Fifth Third had 1,682 flexible lending loans in this assessment area: 907 government loans, 128 were down payment assistance loans, and 647 other flexible lending programs. The following tables show the percentage by volume and by dollar amount of the three types of flexible lending programs made in this assessment area during the evaluation period and the distribution of Fifth Third’s flexible lending programs within the assessment area by census tract income and by borrower income.

Flexible Loan Programs												
	Geographic Distribution											
	Low			Moderate			Middle			Upper		
	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units
Government Loan Programs	1.4%	1.0%	2.0%	20.6%	15.1%	16.4%	56.6%	55.8%	54.6%	21.4%	28.1%	27.0%
Down Payment Assistance Programs	19.5%	14.6%	2.0%	25.8%	23.4%	16.4%	44.5%	47.9%	54.6%	10.2%	14.1%	27.0%
Other Flexible Lending Programs	2.5%	1.2%	2.0%	16.5%	12.9%	16.4%	54.1%	51.2%	54.6%	26.9%	34.8%	27.0%
Total	3.2%	1.8%	2.0%	19.4%	14.7%	16.4%	54.7%	53.6%	54.6%	22.7%	29.9%	27.0%

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

Flexible Loan Programs												
	Borrower Distribution											
	Low			Moderate			Middle			Upper		
	% - #	% - \$	% Fam	% - #	% - \$	% Fam	% - #	% - \$	% Fam	% - #	% - \$	% Fam
Government Loan Programs	21.1%	15.3%	19.8%	40.4%	38.0%	18.3%	21.6%	24.4%	22.3%	11.8%	16.3%	39.5%
Down Payment Assistance Programs	76.2%	72.5%	19.8%	11.9%	11.2%	18.3%	7.9%	10.8%	22.3%	3.2%	4.5%	39.5%
Other Flexible Lending Programs	19.7%	15.5%	19.8%	23.7%	20.5%	18.3%	21.7%	19.7%	22.3%	29.3%	39.2%	39.5%
Total	25.0%	18.9%	19.8%	31.1%	28.9%	18.3%	20.5%	21.6%	22.3%	18.4%	25.4%	39.5%

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

Fifth Third’s lending in low-income tracts by number and dollar amount exceeded the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts, especially for the various down payment assistance programs. The percentage of lending by volume in moderate-income tracts exceeded the percentage of owner-occupied units in tracts, while the percentage of lending by dollar amount was below proxy.

Fifth Third’s lending by volume to low-income borrowers exceeded the percentage of low-income families, while lending by dollar amount was below proxy. The percentage of lending, by volume and dollar amount, to moderate-income borrowers exceeded the percentage of moderate-income families, especially for government loan programs.

Therefore, Fifth Third made extensive use of flexible lending practices in serving the assessment area’s credit needs, as lending through flexible loan programs was excellent in moderate-income geographies and to low- and moderate-income borrowers. Lending through flexible loan programs was good in low-income geographies.

Investment Test

Fifth Third’s performance relative to the investment test in the assessment area is rated good.

Fifth Third makes a significant level of qualified community development investments and grants, particularly those not routinely provided by private investors. As such, Fifth Third was occasionally in a leadership position. Fifth Third has 339 qualified investments totaling \$47.7 million during the evaluation period. Shown in the table below are the total current period investments:

Affordable Housing		Economic Development		Revitalization and Stabilization		Community Services	
#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$
146	28,370,391	57	397,072	1	5,000	105	1,023,554

Also included in the total number of qualified investments are 30 prior period investments totaling \$17.9 million. Fifth Third made 2.9% of its total community development investments in this assessment area, which is below the percentage of total deposits at 4.5% and the percentage of branch offices at 4.8%.

Fifth Third exhibits a good responsiveness to credit and community development needs in the assessment area, including investments in several affordable housing projects and community services throughout the assessment area, which are important needs expressed by several community contacts. Fifth Third made 168 donations totaling \$1.4 million that supported local schools, small businesses, churches, food banks, health care, and affordable housing. The majority of Fifth Third's donations (71.0%) supported services to low- and moderate-income individuals.

Service Test

Fifth Third's performance under the service test in this assessment area is rated excellent. Retail services are accessible and Fifth Third is a leader in providing community development services.

Retail Services

Fifth Third's record of opening and closing offices has not adversely affected the accessibility of its delivery systems, particularly in low- and moderate-income geographies and to low- and moderate-income households. Since the previous evaluation, no branches were opened and four were closed, resulting in no net change in the number of banking centers in low- and moderate-income tracts. Delivery services are accessible to Fifth Third's geographies and individuals of different income levels.

Business hours and services provided do not vary in a way that inconveniences certain portions of the assessment area, including low- and moderate-income geographies or households, and are consistent with the services and hours discussed in the "Institution" assessment.

Fifth Third maintains 62 banking centers within this assessment area, including two in low-, 14 in moderate-, 30 in middle-, and 16 in upper-income census tracts. Fifth Third banking centers in this assessment area represent 4.8% of all its banking centers.

Fifth Third has a total of 91 full-service ATMs within this assessment area, including four in low-, 18 in moderate-, 47 in middle-, and 22 in upper-income census tracts.

The following table illustrates the percentage of banking centers and ATMs in low-, moderate-, middle-, and upper-income census tracts in comparison to the number and percentage of census tracts and the percentage of households and businesses in those tracts.

Geographic Distribution of Branches & ATMS

Assessment Area: MI Grand Rapids

Tract Category	Branches				Stand Alone ATMs						Demographics				
	Total Branches		Open #	Closed #	Total ATMs		Full Service ATMs		Cash only ATMs		Census Tracts		House holds %	Total Businesses %	
	#	%			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%			
Low	2	3.2%	0	0	Total	6	5.4%	4	4.4%	2	9.5%	15	6.0%	3.6%	4.1%
Moderate	14	22.6%	0	0	Total	30	26.8%	18	19.8%	12	57.1%	56	22.5%	21.3%	18.8%
Middle	30	48.4%	0	2	Total	49	43.8%	47	51.6%	2	9.5%	123	49.4%	52.2%	48.7%
Upper	16	25.8%	0	2	Total	27	24.1%	22	24.2%	5	23.8%	53	21.3%	22.8%	28.3%
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0	Total	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Total	62	100.0%	0	4	Total	112	100.0%	91	100.0%	21	100.0%	249	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

2016 FFIEC Census Data, 2010 ACS Data, and 2015 D&B Information

Closed branches/ATMs are only included in "closed" columns and are not included in any other totals.

DTO - Drive thru only is a subset of total branches

SA = Stand Alone ATM is a subset of total ATMs

Branch distribution within low-income tracts was considered good, as the distribution of branches was comparable the percentage of census tracts and households in these tracts. However, the branch distribution within moderate-income tracts was considered excellent.

Community Development Services

Fifth Third a leader in providing community development services in this assessment area. During the evaluation period, Fifth Third employees provided 6,519 hours of community development service to local organizations serving low- and moderate-income individuals, which represents 5.5% of all community development services provided and equates to 3.13 annualized persons (ANP).

Affordable Housing	Economic Development	Revitalization and Stabilization	Community Services
<i># of Hours</i>	<i># of Hours</i>	<i># of Hours</i>	<i># of Hours</i>
363	1,073	11	5,072

Employees provided financial expertise through leadership positions in multiple community organizations that provide affordable housing and promote community and economic development and area revitalization and stabilization.

Community development services include 3,108 hours serving on boards and committees, 1,868 hours of providing financial literacy through local nonprofits and school programs, 1,504 hours providing technical assistance to non-profits and local business, and 39 hours participating in foreclosure prevention outreach.

Fifth Third is considered particularly responsive with regard to hours dedicated to financial literacy and affordable housing. A community contact indicated there is a need for financial literacy training to assist first-time homebuyers and lower-income individuals gain access to mainstream financial systems. Another community contact mentioned the need for more access to affordable housing, an increasing critical need in this assessment area.

METROPOLITAN AREAS and NON-METROPOLITAN AREAS
(Limited-scope Review)

DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION'S OPERATIONS IN THE STATE OF MICHIGAN

- **Battle Creek MSA**
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third operated three branches in the assessment area, representing 1.3% of its branches in Michigan.
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third had \$107,088 in deposits in this assessment area, representing a market share of 10.1% and 0.7% of its statewide deposits.
- **Jackson MSA**
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third operated two branches in the assessment area, representing 0.9% of its branches in Michigan.
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third had \$82,622 in deposits in this assessment area, representing a market share of 4.5% and 0.5% of its statewide deposits.
- **Kalamazoo-Portage MSA**
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third operated 16 branches in the assessment area, representing 7.1% of its branches in Michigan.
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third had \$799,943 in deposits in this assessment area, representing a market share of 21.5% and 5.2% of its statewide deposits.
- **Lansing-East Lansing MSA**
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third operated 16 branches in the assessment area, representing 7.1% of its branches in Michigan.
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third had \$780,275 in deposits in this assessment area, representing a market share of 12.9% and 5.1% of its statewide deposits.
- **Non-metropolitan Northern Michigan**
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third operated 25 branches in the assessment area, representing 11.1% of its branches in Michigan.
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third had \$1.3 million in deposits in this assessment area, representing a market share of 16.1% and 8.7% of its statewide deposits.
- **Saginaw-Midland-Bay City CSA**
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third operated four branches in the assessment area, representing 1.8% of its branches in Michigan.
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third had \$109,419 in deposits in this assessment area, representing a market share of 2.3% and 0.7% of its statewide deposits.
- **Non-metropolitan Southern Michigan**
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third operated eight branches in the assessment area, representing 3.5% of its branches in Michigan.
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third had \$553,899 in deposits in this assessment area, representing a market share of 15.7% and 3.6% of its statewide deposits.

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN THE STATE OF MICHIGAN

Through the use of available facts and data, including performance and demographic information, each assessment area’s performance was evaluated and compared with Fifth Third’s performance in the state. The conclusions regarding performance are provided in the table below. Please refer to the tables in Appendix F for information regarding these areas.

Assessment Area	Lending Test	Investment Test	Service Test
Battle Creek MSA	Below	Consistent	Below
Jackson MSA	Below	Below	Below
Kalamazoo-Portage MSA	Below	Consistent	Below
Lansing-East Lansing MSA	Below	Below	Consistent
Non-metropolitan Northern Michigan	Below	Below	Below
Saginaw-Midland-Bay City CSA	Below	Consistent	Below
Non-metropolitan Southern Michigan	Below	Consistent	Below

For the lending test, Fifth Third received an “Outstanding” rating in Michigan. Performance in all seven of the limited-scope assessment areas was below Fifth Third’s performance for the state. Although below the state performance, lending levels for the geographic and borrower distribution of loans were good or adequate. There was an excellent level of community development loans in the Lansing-East Lansing assessment area and a good level in the Saginaw-Midland-Bay City assessment area. The remaining five assessment areas had adequate levels of community development loans. A moderate level of lending gaps was identified in the Saginaw-Midland-Bay City assessment area and no gaps in lending were identified in the Battle Creek assessment area. A low level of lending gaps was noted in the remaining five assessment areas.

For the investment test, Fifth Third received an “Outstanding” rating in Michigan. While the investment activity was consistent to the state in four of the seven limited-scope assessment areas, the performance in the Jackson, Lansing-East Lansing, and non-metropolitan Northern Michigan assessment areas was below Fifth Third’s performance in the state. The weaker performance was primarily attributable to a lower level of qualified investments and contributions relative to Fifth Third’s operational presence in the assessment area.

For the service test, Fifth Third received an “Outstanding” rating in Michigan. While performance was consistent to the state in the Lansing-East Lansing assessment area, performance was below Fifth Third’s performance for the state in the remaining six limited-scope assessment areas. Retail services were adequate in non-metropolitan Northern Michigan and good in the remaining six assessment areas. The weaker retail services performance in the non-metropolitan Northern Michigan assessment area was primarily due to less accessibility of delivery systems in lower-income geographies. Qualified community development services were excellent in five of the limited-scope assessment areas. Qualified community development services were good in the Battle Creek and Kalamazoo-Portage assessment areas; the weaker performance was primarily due to a lower level of hours dedicated to providing qualified services relative to Fifth Third’s operational presence in these assessment areas.

The performance in the limited-scope assessment areas did not change the overall state rating.

STATE OF MISSOURI

CRA RATING for State of Missouri: Satisfactory

The lending test is rated: High Satisfactory

The investment test is rated: Low Satisfactory

The service test is rated: Low Satisfactory

The major factors supporting this rating include:

- An adequate responsiveness to the credit needs of the community;
- A good geographic distribution of loans throughout the assessment area;
- A good distribution among borrowers of different income levels and to businesses of different revenue sizes;
- Exhibits an adequate record of serving the credit needs of low-income individuals and areas and very small businesses;
- A relatively high level of community development loans;
- An adequate level of qualified community development investments and grants;
- Retail delivery systems are reasonably accessible to all geographies and individuals of different income levels and businesses of different revenue sizes;
- Banking services and hours that do not vary in a way that inconveniences any portions of the assessment areas; and,
- An adequate level of providing community development services.

SCOPE OF EXAMINATION

A full-scope review was conducted for the St. Louis MSA assessment area, which represents Fifth Third's entire banking operations for Missouri. The time period, products, and affiliates evaluated for this assessment area are consistent with the scope discussed in the "Institution" section of this report.

METROPOLITAN AREA
(Full-scope Review)

**DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION'S OPERATIONS IN THE
ST. LOUIS MO-IL MSA #41180**

The St. Louis MO-IL MSA consists of St. Louis City, St. Louis County, and St Charles County in Missouri. Fifth Third's assessment area excludes Bond, Calhoun, Clinton, Jersey, Macoupin, Madison, Monroe, and St. Clair counties in Illinois and Franklin, Jefferson, Lincoln, and Warren counties in Missouri. The assessment area is comprised of 56 low-, 75 moderate-, 114 middle-, and 137 upper-income tracts. There are also two tracts with no income designation that are primarily composed of correctional institutions, military establishments, education facilities, or medical establishments that do not report income information.

Fifth Third exited the St. Louis market as of January 29, 2016; therefore, the summary of deposit information for 2015 was used to determine the appropriate deposit market share for this assessment area, along with the branches that were open during the evaluation period. As of June 30, 2015, Fifth Third ranked ninth out of 68 institutions with 2.1% of the deposit market share. Scottrade Bank had the majority of the market share 19.9% of deposits. The next four largest institutions, U.S. Bank, Bank of America, Commerce Bank, and Stifel Bank and Trust, had 17.5%, 13.8%, 7.9%, and 6.0% of the market share, respectively. Deposits in this assessment area accounted for 1.4% of the Fifth Third's total deposits. This was the 15th highest percentage of deposits within Fifth Third's CRA footprint.

From January 1, 2014 through June 30, 2016, Fifth Third originated 702 HMDA loans and 298 CRA loans, which represented 0.7% and 0.8% of the total loans originated during the evaluation period, respectively. This was the 28th largest HMDA market and 29th largest CRA market for loans originated during the evaluation period.

In 2015, Fifth Third Mortgage Company ranked 52nd among 514 HMDA reporters in the assessment area and Fifth Third ranked 148th. Wells Fargo Bank, DAS Acquisition, JPMorgan Chase Bank, and U.S. Bank were the top four HMDA lenders in the assessment area. Fifth Third ranked 27th of 128 CRA reporters in the assessment area in 2015. The top four CRA lenders in the assessment area were U.S. Bank, American Express, Bank of America, and Citibank. These lenders are mostly issuers of credit cards and their CRA loans primarily consist of commercial credit card accounts.

Three community contact interviews were conducted to provide additional information regarding the assessment area. The first contact, representing an economic development organization, stated that small businesses are doing well and start-up businesses appear to be able to obtain funding and to expand their businesses. The contact stated the St. Louis banking market is very competitive, which can benefit small business borrowers. Overall, the contact believed the credit needs of the area are being met.

The second contact, representing an economic development organization, stated the economic profile of the city has been slowly improving since the financial crisis, with an uptick in commercial development. Employment opportunities in the central city have been increasing and there has also been steady improvement in the residential real estate market. The contact stated that local financial institutions continue to show interest in subsidizing affordable housing and mixed-use real estate projects in economically distressed areas. The contact believed there are opportunities for financial institutions to continue to support community development projects in distressed areas and to increase their presence in lower-income neighborhoods with higher percentages of unbanked residents.

The third contact, representing an organization that helps revitalize communities and neighborhoods, stated the local economy is slowly improving, but still lags behind the national economy. The unemployment rate is declining; however, certain segments of the population, especially young African Americans, remain disproportionately unemployed or underemployed. Although the housing market is somewhat affordable, the contact noted there is a significant need for quality, affordable housing in the area. As the majority of affordable housing is substandard, the contact indicated there is a need for home improvement lending. The contact also believed even in this highly competitive market, it is becoming increasingly difficult for lower-income individuals with less-than-desirable credit to obtain permanent financing.

Population Characteristics

According to 2010 U.S. Census data, the population in the assessment area was 1.7 million. About 28.2% of the population lived in low- and moderate-income tracts. In addition, 76.5% of the population was 18 years of age or older, the legal age to enter into a contract.

As of July 1, 2015, the St. Louis MSA is the 20th largest in terms of population in the nation.²¹⁴ St. Louis County is the largest county in the assessment area and Missouri.²¹⁵ Florissant is the largest city in this county with 52,268 residents; its population decreased by 3.0% between 2000 and 2015.²¹⁶ St. Charles County is the third-largest county in Missouri. St. Charles is the largest city in the county with 68,796 residents; its population increased by 13.3% between 2000 and 2015. St. Louis City (considered to be a county unto itself) is the 60th largest city in the nation with 315,685 residents and its population decreased by 8.9% between 2000 and 2015. According to Moody's Analytics, the St. Louis MSA has struggled to retain population and continues to experience persistent out-migration. However, between 2012 and 2015, while the MSA experienced negative net migration, the rate of loss decreased each year.

²¹⁴ MSA population data is derived from the U.S. Census Data 2015 Statistical Abstract:
<https://factfinder.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productview.xhtml?src=bkmk>

²¹⁵ U.S. Places: <http://us-places.com> (main page – enter state, choose population by county)

²¹⁶ U.S. Census QuickFacts: <https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/> (main page – enter state, county, city, town, or zip code)

The following table shows the population in the assessment area by county for 2010 and 2015, with the percentage of the population increase or decrease.²¹⁷ The population within the assessment area experienced positive growth between 2010 and 2015, with St. Charles County experiencing the greatest growth and St. Louis City experiencing the least growth in population during this time period.

County	2010 Population	2015 Population	Population Percent Change
St. Louis County	998,954	1,003,362	0.4%
St. Louis City	319,294	315,685	-1.1%
St. Charles County	360,485	385,590	7.0%
Total	1,678,733	1,704,637	1.5%

Income Characteristics

In 2010, the assessment area median family income was \$70,408, which exceeded both the MSA median family income at \$66,798 and Missouri’s at \$57,661. The MSA median family income substantially increased between 2010 and 2014. The median family income increased again in 2015 and declined to some extent in 2016.

Borrower Income Levels St. Louis, MO-IL MSA

FFIEC Estimated Median Family Income		Low 0 - 49.99%	Moderate 50% - 79.99%	Middle 80% - 119.99%	Upper 120% - & above
2014	\$71,000	0 - \$35,499	\$35,500 - \$56,799	\$56,800 - \$85,199	\$85,200 - & above
2015	\$72,200	0 - \$36,099	\$36,100 - \$57,759	\$57,760 - \$86,639	\$86,640 - & above
2016	\$70,000	0 - \$34,999	\$35,000 - \$55,999	\$56,000 - \$83,999	\$84,000 - & above

Poverty rates increased in each county in the assessment area from 1999 to 2015.²¹⁸ St. Charles County had the lowest poverty rates in 1999 and 2015, while St. Louis City had the highest poverty rate in 1999 and 2015. In 2015, only St. Louis City’s poverty rate exceeded Missouri’s poverty rate. In 2015, Missouri’s poverty rate exceeded the national poverty rate. According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the national poverty rate in 2015 was 13.5%, down 1.3 percentage points from 14.8% in 2014. For most demographic groups, the 2015 poverty rates and number of people in poverty decreased from 2014.²¹⁹ The following table shows the poverty rates for 1999²²⁰ and 2015.

²¹⁷ Population Estimates derived from U.S. Census Data (April 1, 2010 – July 1, 2015):

<http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/table/PST045216/00>

²¹⁸ U.S. Department of Agriculture Economic Research Service Poverty Rates (for 1999 and 2015):

<https://data.ers.usda.gov/reports.aspx?ID=17826>

²¹⁹ 2015 National Poverty: <http://www.census.gov/library/publications/2016/demo/p60-256.html>

²²⁰ 1999 National Poverty Rate: <http://www.census.gov/prod/2000pubs/p60-210.pdf>

County	1999 Poverty Rate	2015 Poverty Rate	Change
St. Louis County	6.9%	10.3%	49.3%
St. Louis City	24.6%	25.5%	3.7%
St. Charles County	4.0%	6.3%	57.5%
Missouri	11.7%	14.8%	26.5%
U.S.	11.8%	13.5%	14.4%

Housing Characteristics

According to 2010 U.S. Census data, there are 751,122 housing units and 427,738 families in the assessment area. From an income perspective, 31.3% of housing units, 21.5% of owner-occupied units, and 26.3% of families are located in low- or moderate-income tracts. About 70.5% of the housing units in the low-income census tracts are either rental or vacant and only 29.5% are owner-occupied. In the moderate-income census tracts, half of the housing units are either rental or vacant (50.5%) and 49.5% are owner-occupied. Therefore, based on the number of housing units compared to the number of families in low- and moderate-income census tracts, there appear to be more credit-related opportunities for Fifth Third to provide various aspects of affordable housing in the assessment area in moderate-income tracts than in low-income tracts.

The 2010 U.S. Census data shows the median age of housing stock in the assessment area was 45 years old, with 13.4% of the stock built before 1950. The oldest housing stock was in St. Louis City with a median age of 71 years. The oldest housing stock in St. Louis County was 43 years, while the median age of housing stock in St. Charles County was only 20 years. Within the assessment area, the median age of housing stock was 61 years in low-income tracts and 58 years in moderate-income tracts. Therefore, there appears to be opportunity for Fifth Third to provide home improvement and rehabilitation loans in these lower-income areas. In addition, a community contact stated there is a significant need for quality, affordable housing in the area. The majority of affordable housing is substandard and the contact believed there is a need for home improvement lending.

According to 2010 U.S. Census data, the median housing value in the assessment area was \$175,312, with an affordability ratio of 30.91. The affordability ratio is derived by dividing the median household income by the median housing value. The higher the affordability ratio, the more affordable a home is considered. Median housing values decreased between 2010 and 2011-2015, and housing affordability became more affordable across the assessment area primarily due to median household incomes. During the evaluation period, the most affordable housing was in St. Charles County, with the least affordable in St. Louis City. According to Moody's Analytics, home prices are appreciating in line with those in the state, but lag the pace of growth nationally. Housing starts have more than doubled from their 2012 low, but are still 50% below their mid-2000s peak. Overall, median gross rents increased at a fairly substantial rate across the assessment area, with renters in St. Louis City and St. Charles County experiencing the largest increase in rental rates. The following table presents housing characteristics from the U.S. Census data between 2010 and 2015 in the assessment area and Missouri.

County	2010 Median Housing Value	2010 Affordability Ratio	2011-2015 Median Housing Value	2011-2015 Affordability Ratio	2010 Median Gross Rent	2011-2015 Median Gross Rent	Percent of Change
St. Louis County	\$179,300	32.00	\$173,400	34.46	\$789	\$882	11.8%
St. Louis City	\$122,200	27.54	\$120,400	29.57	\$658	\$748	13.7%
St. Charles County	\$197,300	35.65	\$188,200	38.48	\$819	\$931	13.7%
Missouri	\$137,700	33.60	\$138,400	34.81	\$667	\$746	11.8%

According to Bankrate.com,²²¹ Missouri ranked 32nd for foreclosure filings in November 2016. The national average for foreclosure filings was one in every 1,533 housing units. The following table contains information about foreclosure filings in the assessment area, according to Realtytrac:²²²

Geography Name	Ratio of Properties Receiving Foreclosure Filings in November 2016
St. Louis County	1:1,356
St. Louis City	1:1,651
St. Charles County	1:1,751
Missouri	1:2,232
U.S.	1:1,533

In November 2016, St. Louis County had the highest and St. Charles County had the lowest rate of foreclosure in the assessment. The foreclosure rate in Missouri is below the national rate.

Building permits for this MSA, Missouri, and the nation are included in the following table for 2014, 2015, and 2016.²²³

Geography	2014	2015	Percent of Change 2014-2015	2016	Percent of Change 2015-2016
St. Louis MSA	6,998	7,698	10.0%	7,943	3.2%
Missouri	16,314	18,344	12.4%	19,004	3.6%
U.S.	1,052,124	1,182,582	12.4%	1,190,191	0.6%

²²¹ Bankrate.com: <http://www.bankrate.com/finance/real-estate/foreclosures-by-state/default.aspx>

²²² Realtytrac: <http://www.realtytrac.com/statsandtrends/foreclosuretrends/>

²²³ U.S. Census Bureau Building Permits Survey: <http://www.census.gov/construction/bps/>

The MSA experienced a greater increase in the number of housing permits issued between 2014 and 2015, with a smaller increase between 2015 and 2016. The increase in the number of permits could indicate there is a growing demand for home purchase loans in the MSA and in Missouri during the evaluation period.

Labor, Employment, and Economic Characteristics

According to Moody’s Analytics, the St. Louis area economy is in recovery and is growing at a modest pace. Aerospace accounts for around 15.0% of all local manufacturing jobs, compared with 4.0% nationally. While the area is favorable to businesses, office space costs are rising, making the Kansas City area is more attractive for new businesses. Persistent out-migration trends prevent the housing market from making a full recovery and reduce the labor force, making it more difficult for firms to find qualified workers.

According to *St. Louis Business Journal*,²²⁴ the St. Louis metropolitan area is home to nine Fortune 500 headquarters. In the past year, the Centene Corporation jumped up 62 places on the list, while Peabody Energy fell 60 places.


St. Louis Fortune 500 Companies (2016)		
Rank	Company	Revenue
22	Express Scripts	\$100.7 billion
124	Centene Corporation	\$22.7 billion
128	Emerson Electric Co.	\$22.3 billion
189	Monsanto Company	\$15.0 billion
271	Reinsurance Group of America	\$10.4 billion
382	Jones Financial	\$6.6 billion
423	Graybar Electric Company	\$6.1 billion
425	Ameren Corporation	\$6.0 billion
458	Peabody Energy	\$5.6 billion

According to Moody’s Analytics, the top ten employers in the St. Louis MSA in 2015 were:

Company	Number of Employees
Local Government	118,054
BJC Healthcare	24,182
Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.	22,006
SSM Health Care System	15,949
Washington University in St. Louis	14,692
Boeing Defense, Space & Security	14,617
Mercy Health Care	13,715
Scott Air Force Base	13,000
Schnuck Markets, Inc.	10,897
AT&T	10,015

The following table illustrates the average unemployment rates for 2014, 2015, and 2016 for the assessment area, MSA, and Missouri.

²²⁴ St. Louis Business Journal: <http://www.bizjournals.com/stlouis/blog/2016/06/9-st-louis-companies-make-fortune-500-list.html>



The unemployment rates declined each year in the assessment area; however, St. Louis City’s rates were higher than the MSA and state during this time period.

According to *St. Louis Business Journal*, Hazelwood-based GKN Aerospace plans to shut down its local Composites Department, which will leave 300 workers without jobs. GKN, which builds parts for Boeing, Lockheed Martin, and other aerospace manufacturers, is expected to move these jobs to Alabama. Speculation is that in order to save money, GKN has decided to ship jobs to plants in which workers are paid nearly half the wages of workers in St. Louis. GKN workers do not have a union and a means to demand fair wages and a safe work environment.²²⁵

²²⁵ Feldt, Brian. “Hazelwood aerospace company to lay off 300.” *St. Louis Business Journal*. October 26, 2016. - <http://www.bizjournals.com/stlouis/news/2016/10/28/hazelwood-aerospace-company-to-layoff-300.html>

Combined Demographics Report

Assessment Area: MO St Louis

Income Categories	Tract Distribution		Families by Tract Income		Families < Poverty Level as % of Families by Tract		Families by Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	56	14.6	35,424	8.3	11,901	33.6	85,867	20.1
Moderate-income	75	19.5	77,035	18	12,286	15.9	69,466	16.2
Middle-income	114	29.7	129,325	30.2	7,012	5.4	85,083	19.9
Upper-income	137	35.7	185,942	43.5	4,318	2.3	187,322	43.8
Unknown-income	2	0.5	12	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	384	100.0	427,738	100.0	35,517	8.3	427,738	100.0
	Housing	Housing Types by Tract						
	Units by Tract	Owner-Occupied			Rental		Vacant	
		#	%	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	80,564	23,768	5.1	29.5	38,197	47.4	18,599	23.1
Moderate-income	154,415	76,470	16.4	49.5	55,927	36.2	22,018	14.3
Middle-income	234,849	152,647	32.7	65	64,062	27.3	18,140	7.7
Upper-income	280,694	214,196	45.9	76.3	50,522	18	15,976	5.7
Unknown-income	600	7	0	1.2	393	65.5	200	33.3
Total Assessment Area	751,122	467,088	100.0	62.2	209,101	27.8	74,933	10.0
	Total Businesses by Tract	Businesses by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	5,290	6.4	4,584	6.2	669	8.2	37	13.1
Moderate-income	12,422	15	10,898	14.7	1,468	18	56	19.8
Middle-income	23,612	28.6	21,244	28.6	2,274	27.9	94	33.2
Upper-income	41,234	49.9	37,463	50.5	3,677	45.2	94	33.2
Unknown-income	112	0.1	57	0.1	53	0.7	2	0.7
Total Assessment Area	82,670	100.0	74,246	100.0	8,141	100.0	283	100.0
	Percentage of Total Businesses:			89.8		9.8		.3
	Total Farms by Tract	Farms by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	11	1.7	8	1.3	3	17.6	0	0
Moderate-income	52	8.1	50	8	2	11.8	0	0
Middle-income	191	29.7	189	30.1	2	11.8	0	0
Upper-income	389	60.4	379	60.4	10	58.8	0	0
Unknown-income	1	0.2	1	0.2	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	644	100.0	627	100.0	17	100.0	0	.0
	Percentage of Total Farms:			97.4		2.6		.0

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN THE ST. LOUIS MO-IL MSA

Lending Test

Fifth Third’s performance under the lending test in this assessment area is rated “High Satisfactory.” Fifth Third has demonstrated an adequate responsiveness to the credit needs of the community. In addition, Fifth Third originated ten community development loans totaling \$74.5 million. Fifth Third has a good geographic distribution of loans and a moderate level of lending gaps. Fifth Third has a good distribution among borrowers of different income levels and to businesses of different revenue sizes. Fifth Third exhibits an adequate record of serving the credit needs of highly economically disadvantaged areas in its assessment area, low-income individuals, and businesses with gross annual revenues of \$1 million or less.

Greatest consideration was given to the evaluation of home purchase lending based on the overall volume of lending, followed by refinance and small business lending. There were an insufficient number of home improvement loans to analyze performance. Details of Fifth Third’s residential mortgage and small business lending, as well as information regarding aggregate lending, can be found in Appendix E.

Lending Activity

Fifth Third’s lending activity reflects an adequate responsiveness to the credit needs within the assessment area. Fifth Third originated 370 home purchase, 319 refinance, 12 home improvement, 298 small business, and ten community development loans during the evaluation period. The percentage of Fifth Third’s total lending at 0.7% is less than the percentage of total deposits at 1.4% in this area.

Fifth Third made 85.9% of the HMDA and 85.6% of the CRA lending within its designated assessment area. No concentrations of lending were identified in any particular county. Therefore, a good amount of the loans was made within the defined assessment area.

Geographic Distribution of Loans

Fifth Third’s overall distribution of lending among geographies is good. Home purchase lending, which was the largest loan category, is adequate. Refinance lending is adequate and small business lending is excellent. There is also a moderate level of lending gaps. The following gaps in lending were noted in the assessment area:

Tract Income Levels	Number of Tracts	Tracts with No Loans	Penetration
Low	56	39	30.4%
Moderate	75	36	52.0%
Middle	114	20	82.5%
Upper	137	13	90.5%
Unknown	2	2	0.0%
Total	384	110	71.4%

Overall, the lending gaps are considered reasonable; however, there are significant gaps in lending in low- and moderate-income income tracts. The gaps in low-income tracts can be attributed to an owner-occupancy rate of only 29.5% and a high percentage of rental and vacant units at 70.5%. To a lesser extent, lending gaps in moderate-income tracts can be attributed to an owner-occupancy rate of 49.5% and 50.5% of housing units being either rentals or vacancies. A community contact also mentioned a need for financial institutions to increase their presence in lower-income neighborhoods.

Home Purchase Loans

Fifth Third made four home purchase loans totaling \$785,000 in low-income tracts. This represents 1.1% of home purchase loans by volume, which is below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 5.1%, and 0.7% by dollar amount, which is also below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate at 1.9% and was below the 2015 aggregate at 2.1%. As Fifth Third's performance was below proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of home purchase loans in low-income tracts is adequate.

Fifth Third made 30 home purchase loans totaling \$5.7 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 8.1% of home purchase loans by volume, which is substantially below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 16.4%, and 5.4% by dollar amount, which is also substantially below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate at 10.6% and was comparable to the 2015 aggregate at 10.0%. As Fifth Third's performance was substantially below proxy and comparable to the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of home purchase loans in moderate-income tracts is adequate.

Fifth Third made 116 home purchase loans totaling \$23.4 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 31.4% of home purchase loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 32.7%, and 22.1% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate of 32.9% and was comparable to the 2015 aggregate of 34.5%.

Fifth Third made 220 home purchase loans totaling \$76.2 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 59.5% of home purchase loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 45.9%, and 71.9% by dollar amount, which substantially exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 54.6% and the 2015 aggregate of 53.3%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of home purchase loans is adequate.

Refinance Loans

Fifth Third made seven refinance loans totaling \$800,000 in low-income tracts. This represents 2.2% of refinance loans by volume and 1.0% by dollar amount, which is below the percentage of owner-occupied units at 5.1%. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate at 2.4% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate at 1.6%. As Fifth Third's performance was below proxy and exceeded the aggregate of all lenders, plus the relatively low owner-occupancy rate of 29.5% (which likely prevents opportunities for refinance lending), the geographic distribution of refinance loans in low-income tracts is good.

Fifth Third made 24 refinance loans totaling \$2.3 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 7.5% of refinance loans by volume, which is below the owner-occupied units in these tracts at 16.4%. The percentage of loans by dollar amount at 2.8% is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate at 11.6% and was below the 2015 aggregate at 8.8%. As Fifth Third's performance was below the aggregate of all lenders and proxy, the geographic distribution of refinance loans in moderate-income tracts is adequate.

Fifth Third made 66 refinance loans totaling \$9.9 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 20.7% of refinance loans by volume, which is below the owner-occupied units in these tracts at 32.7%. The percentage of loans by dollar amount at 12.2% is also significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate at 32.2% and was below the 2015 aggregate at 30.1%.

Fifth Third made 222 refinance loans totaling \$68.4 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 69.6% of refinance loans by volume, which exceeds the owner-occupied units in these tracts at 45.9%, and 84.0% by dollar amount, which significantly exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate at 53.8% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate at 59.5%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of refinance loans is adequate.

Small Business Loans

Fifth Third made 33 small business loans totaling \$9.5 million in low-income tracts. This represents 11.1% of small business loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of small businesses in these tracts at 6.2%, and 19.9% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 5.3% and substantially exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 5.5%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of small business loans in low-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 45 small business loans totaling \$5.9 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 15.1% of small business loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 14.7%. This also represents 12.4% of small business loans by dollar amount, which is comparable to proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 13.9% and was comparable to the 2015 aggregate of 14.4%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of small business loans in moderate-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 90 small business loans totaling \$12.9 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 30.2% of small business loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 28.6%, and 27.0% by dollar amount, which is comparable to proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 27.8% and the 2015 aggregate of 27.7%.

Fifth Third made 130 small business loans totaling \$19.5 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 43.6% of small business loans by volume, which below the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 50.5%, and 40.7% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 52.2% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 51.7%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of small business loans is excellent.

Distribution by Borrower Income and Revenue Size of the Business

Overall, the distribution of loans is good based on borrower income and for businesses of different revenue sizes. Borrower distribution is adequate for home purchase lending and good for refinance lending.

Home Purchase Loans

Fifth Third made 17 loans totaling \$1.4 million to low-income borrowers. This represents 4.6% of home purchase loans by volume, which is significantly below the percentage of low-income families at 20.1%, and 1.3% by dollar amount, which is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 8.7% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 8.8%. As Fifth Third's performance was significantly below proxy and below the aggregate of all lenders, the borrower distribution of home purchase loans to low-income borrowers is poor.

Fifth Third made 81 loans totaling \$13.6 million to moderate-income borrowers. This represents 21.9% of home purchase loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of moderate-income families at 16.2%, and 12.8% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate of 18.0% and substantially exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 16.9%. As Fifth Third's performance exceeded proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, the borrower distribution of home purchase loans to moderate-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 46 loans totaling \$7.8 million to middle-income borrowers. This represents 12.4% of home purchase loans by volume, which is below the percentage of middle-income families at 19.9%, and 7.4% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 18.0% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 17.7%.

Fifth Third made 209 loans totaling \$79.9 million to upper-income borrowers. This represents 56.5% of home purchase loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of upper-income families at 43.8%, and 75.4% by dollar amount, which significantly exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 32.1% and the 2015 aggregate of 31.5%.

Overall, the borrower distribution of home purchase loans is adequate.

Refinance Loans

Fifth Third made 19 loans totaling \$1.8 million to low-income borrowers. This represents 6.0% of refinance loans by volume, which is significantly below the percentage of low-income families at 20.1%. The percentage of loans by dollar amount at 2.2% is also significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 7.0% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 5.2%. Given that Fifth Third's performance was significantly below proxy, but exceeded the aggregate of all lenders, the borrower distribution of refinance loans to low-income borrowers is adequate.

Fifth Third made 36 loans totaling \$4.3 million to moderate-income borrowers. This represents 11.3% of refinance loans by volume, which is below the percentage of moderate-income families at 16.2%, and 5.3% by dollar volume, which is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 13.4% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 11.6%. As Fifth Third's performance was below proxy, but exceeded the aggregate of all lenders, the borrower distribution of refinance loans to moderate-income borrowers is good.

Fifth Third made 65 loans totaling \$10.0 million to middle-income borrowers. This represents 20.4% of refinance loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of middle-income families at 19.9%, and 12.2% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 18.2% and the 2015 aggregate of 16.4%.

Fifth Third made 175 loans totaling \$61.0 million to upper-income borrowers. This represents 54.9% of refinance loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of upper-income families at 43.8%, while the percentage of loans by dollar amount at 74.9% significantly exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume significantly exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 35.8% and the 2015 aggregate of 36.1%.

Overall, the borrower distribution of refinance loans is good.

Small Business Loans

The distribution of small business loans to businesses of different sizes is good, considering Fifth Third’s performance relative to the aggregate of all lenders. Fifth Third was able to make 38.9% of small business loans to businesses with revenues of \$1 million or less. Fifth Third’s performance exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 43.2% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 45.4% and was significantly below the percentage of small businesses in the assessment area at 89.8%. Also, during the evaluation period, Fifth Third was able to make an acceptable percentage of small-dollar loans (64.1%) in amounts of \$100,000 or less, indicating a willingness to lend in smaller amounts typically requested by small businesses. In addition, a community contact indicated there is a tremendous amount of competition for commercial loans in the area.

Community Development Loans

Fifth Third originated 10 community development loans totaling \$74.5 million during the evaluation period as shown in the table below:

Economic Development		Revitalization and Stabilization		Community Services	
#	\$	#	\$	#	\$
3	16,650,000	3	56,800,000	4	1,050,000

Community development lending in the assessment area represents 1.2% of the total dollar volume of community development loans originated by Fifth Third during the evaluation period. This ranks as Fifth Third’s 20th highest percentage of community development lending during the evaluation period. Fifth Third’s performance is relatively high in community development lending.

Examples of community development lending include, but are not limited to:

- Working capital loan to a not-for-profit that provides low- and moderate-income women with resources and support to create and sell hand-crafted items. The women receive 70-100% of the selling price, which allows the women to achieve financial independence and gain entrepreneurial job skills.
- Multiple working capital loans that promote economic development by financing businesses to support job retention, add new jobs, and promote growth to continue operations in low- and moderate-income geographies

Investment Test

Fifth Third’s performance relative to the investment test in the assessment area is rated “Low Satisfactory.”

Fifth Third made an adequate level of qualified community development investments and grants. Fifth Third made 100 qualified investments totaling \$12.0 million during the evaluation period. Shown in the table below are the total current period investments:

Affordable Housing		Economic Development		Community Services	
#	\$	#	\$	#	\$
46	11,791,159	7	39,095	47	182,616

Overall, Fifth Third made 0.7% of its total community development investments in this assessment area, which is less than the percentage of total deposits at 1.4% and less than the percentage of branch offices at 1.3%.

Fifth Third exhibited an adequate responsiveness to credit and community development needs in the assessment area. A community contact indicated there is a significant need for quality, affordable housing in the area. Fifth Third made 54 donations totaling \$221,711 that supported local schools, small businesses, social and charitable organizations. The majority of Fifth Third’s donations (82.4%) supported services to low- and moderate-income individuals. Additionally, \$30,000 (13.5%) of the donations supported the Justine Petersen organization, which helps low- and moderate-income individuals and families buy homes and build financial assets for the long term.

Service Test

Fifth Third’s performance under the service test in this assessment area is rated “Low Satisfactory.” Retail services were reasonably accessible and Fifth Third provides an adequate level of community development services in this assessment area.

Retail Services

Although Fifth Third exited the St. Louis market as of January 29, 2016, the branches that were open during the evaluation period were evaluated. As a result, the following branching information is as of June 30, 2015 and Fifth Third’s record of opening and closing banking centers during the evaluation period was not weighed.

Fifth Third maintained 17 banking centers within this assessment area, including two in low-, two in moderate-, two in middle-, and 11 in upper-income census tracts. Fifth Third banking centers in this assessment area represented 1.3% of all its banking centers.

Fifth Third had 33 ATMs within this assessment area, including seven in low-, six in moderate-, five in middle-, and 15 in upper-income census tracts.

The following table illustrates the percentage of banking centers in low-, moderate-, middle-, and upper-income census tracts in comparison to the percentage of tracts and the percentage of households and total businesses in those tracts.

Tract Category	Total Branches		Demographics			
			Census Tracts		Households	Total Businesses
	#	%	#	%	%	%
Low	2	11.8%	56	14.6%	9.2%	6.4%
Moderate	2	11.8%	75	19.5%	19.6%	15.0%
Middle	2	11.8%	114	29.7%	32.0%	28.5%
Upper	11	64.7%	137	35.7%	39.1%	49.9%
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0.5%	0.1%	0.1%
Total	17	100.0%	382	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Branch distribution within low-income tracts was considered excellent, as the distribution of branches exceeded the percentage of census tracts and households in these tracts. However, the branch distribution within moderate-income tracts was considered adequate.

Community Development Services

Fifth Third provided an adequate level of community development services in this assessment area. During the evaluation period, Fifth Third employees provided 738 hours of community development service to local organizations serving low- and moderate-income individuals, which represents 0.6% of all community development services provided and equates to 0.35 annualized persons (ANP).

Affordable Housing	Community Services
<i># of Hours</i>	<i># of Hours</i>
50	688

Employees provided financial expertise through leadership positions in multiple community organizations that provide affordable housing and promote community development. Community development services include 462 hours of providing financial literacy through local nonprofits and school programs, 126 hours serving on boards and committees, 120 hours providing technical assistance to non-profits, and 30 hours participating in foreclosure prevention outreach.

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

CRA RATING for State of North Carolina: Outstanding

The lending test is rated: High Satisfactory

The investment test is rated: Outstanding

The service test is rated: Outstanding

The major factors supporting this rating include:

- A good responsiveness to credit needs;
- A good geographic distribution of loans throughout the assessment area;
- An excellent distribution of loans among borrowers of different income levels and to businesses of different revenue sizes;
- Exhibits a good record of serving the credit needs of low-income individuals and areas and very small businesses;
- A relatively high level of community development loans;
- Extensive use of flexible lending practices in serving the assessment area's credit needs;
- An excellent level of qualified community development investments and grants;
- Often in a leadership position in providing community development investments and grants;
- Retail delivery systems are accessible to all geographies and individuals of different income levels and businesses of different revenue sizes;
- A record of opening and closing banking centers that has not adversely affected the accessibility of delivery systems;
- Banking services and hours that do not vary in a way that inconveniences any portions of the assessment areas; and,
- A leader in providing community development services.

SCOPE OF EXAMINATION

A full-scope review was conducted for the Charlotte-Gastonia-Concord MSA in North Carolina. Limited-scope reviews were performed on the remaining four assessment areas: the Asheville MSA, Hickory-Lenoir-Morganton MSA, non-metropolitan Western North Carolina, and Raleigh-Cary MSA. The time period, products, and affiliates evaluated for this assessment area are consistent with the scope discussed in the "Institution" section of this report.

The Charlotte-Gastonia-Concord assessment areas received greater weight in determining the CRA rating for the state. This area had the largest lending volume and number of banking centers and ranked first in this state's share of deposits during the evaluation period. Lastly, this area represented 69.0% of the banking centers, 73.9% of deposits, and 75.1% of lending in North Carolina.

DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION'S OPERATIONS IN THE STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

Lending activity accounted for 4.4% of the Fifth Third's total lending activity, while deposits accounted for 3.0% of the Fifth Third's total deposits. HMDA-reportable lending in North Carolina represented 4.1% of the Fifth Third's total HMDA lending, while CRA-reportable lending represented 5.0% of the Fifth Third's total CRA lending. As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third ranked tenth among 93 insured institutions and has a deposit market share of 0.9% and 58 banking center locations within North Carolina.

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN THE STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

Lending Test

Fifth Third's performance under the lending test within the assessment areas located in North Carolina is rated "High Satisfactory." Fifth Third's lending reflects a good responsiveness to the credit needs in the Charlotte-Gastonia-Concord and non-metropolitan Western North Carolina assessment areas. Lending reflects an adequate responsiveness in the remaining three assessment areas: Asheville, Hickory-Lenoir-Morganton, and Raleigh-Cary.

Lending Activity

In North Carolina, Fifth Third originated 4,317 HMDA loans totaling \$756.8 million and 1,970 small business loans totaling \$188.6 million during the evaluation period.

Lending activity in North Carolina is good. The Charlotte-Gastonia-Concord and non-metropolitan Western North Carolina assessment areas have good lending activity. Lending activity is adequate in the remaining three assessment areas.

Geographic and Borrower Distribution

The distribution of loans among geographies is good in the assessment areas located in North Carolina. The geographic distribution is good in the Charlotte-Gastonia-Concord assessment area and adequate in the remaining four assessment areas.

A low level of lending gaps was identified in North Carolina. There was a low level of lending gaps in the Charlotte-Gastonia-Concord and non-metropolitan Western North Carolina assessment areas and a moderate level of lending gaps in the remaining three assessment areas.

Overall, the distribution of loans is excellent among borrowers of different income levels and to businesses of different revenue sizes in the assessment areas located in North Carolina. The borrower distribution is excellent in the Charlotte-Gastonia-Concord assessment area and good in non-metropolitan Western North Carolina.

Borrower distribution is adequate in the remaining three assessment areas. The distribution to businesses of different revenue sizes is excellent in the Charlotte-Gastonia-Concord assessment area and non-metropolitan Western North Carolina and adequate in remaining three assessment areas.

A detailed analysis for the geographic distribution and borrower-income distribution is provided with the analysis for each assessment area.

Community Development Loans

In North Carolina, Fifth Third originated 49 community development loans totaling \$327.7 million, which represents 5.5% of the Fifth Third's community development lending by dollar volume. This is a relatively high level of community development lending in North Carolina. Fifth Third made a relatively high level of community development loans in the Charlotte-Gastonia-Concord, Asheville, Hickory-Lenoir-Morganton, and Raleigh-Cary assessment areas and an adequate level of community development loans in non-metropolitan Western North Carolina.

Flexible Lending

Fifth Third consistently made extensive use of flexible lending practices within assessment areas located in North Carolina.

Investment Test

Fifth Third's performance under the investment test within the assessment areas located in North Carolina is rated "Outstanding." Fifth Third funded \$66.2 million in qualified community development investments in North Carolina during the evaluation period, consisting of \$48.2 million obtained from new investments made during the current review period and \$18.0 million from prior period investments. The majority of investments were LIHTCs. Fifth Third's level of qualified investments is excellent in the Charlotte-Gastonia-Concord assessment area and good in the remaining four assessment areas.

Fifth Third was considered responsive to the credit and community development needs in the state; therefore, investments without a purpose, mandate, or function of serving Fifth Third's assessment areas in North Carolina were considered to positively impact state performance. Fifth Third made \$1.1 million in qualified investments, typically in the form of LIHTCs that benefited counties within the state, but were outside Fifth Third's delineated assessment areas within North Carolina.

Additional information regarding performance under the investment test is provided in the respective analyses for each assessment area.

Service Test

Fifth Third's performance under the service test within the assessment areas located in North Carolina is rated "Outstanding." The Fifth Third's performance is excellent in the Charlotte-Gastonia-Concord assessment area, adequate in Raleigh-Cary assessment area, and good in the remaining three assessment areas.

For details regarding the institution's performance in the individual assessment areas, refer to the respective assessment area's "Service Test" section in this report.

Retail Services

Retail delivery systems are accessible to all geographies, including low- and moderate-income geographies, individuals of different income levels, and businesses of different revenue sizes in Fifth Third's assessment areas. Retail service distribution is good in the Charlotte-Gastonia-Concord, non-metropolitan Western North Carolina, and Raleigh-Cary assessment areas and adequate in the remaining two assessment areas.

Fifth Third has 58 banking centers in North Carolina, which represents 4.5% of Fifth Third's total branches. Fifth Third's record of opening and closing banking centers has not adversely affected the accessibility of its delivery systems, particularly in low- and moderate-income geographies and to low- and moderate-income individuals. One branch closed in a moderate-income tract during the evaluation period in the Charlotte-Gastonia-Concord assessment area.

Banking services and business hours do not vary in a way that inconveniences any portions of the Fifth Third's assessment areas and are consistent with the services and hours discussed in the "Institution" assessment.

Community Development Services

Fifth Third is a leader in providing community development services in North Carolina. Fifth Third's performance is excellent in the Charlotte-Gastonia-Concord, Asheville, and Hickory-Lenoir-Morganton assessment areas. The level of community development services is good in the non-metropolitan Western North Carolina and poor in the Raleigh-Cary assessment areas.

METROPOLITAN AREA
(Full-scope Review)

**DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION'S OPERATIONS IN
CHARLOTTE-GASTONIA-CONCORD NC-SC MSA #16740**

The Charlotte-Concord-Gastonia NC-SC MSA consists of Cabarrus, Gaston, Iredell, Lincoln, Mecklenburg, Rowan, and Union counties in North Carolina. Fifth Third's assessment area excludes Chester, Lancaster, and York counties in South Carolina. Since there are no branches in the South Carolina portion of the multi-state MSA and Fifth Third only takes the counties in North Carolina that are part of this multi-state MSA, the Charlotte NC-SC MSA is evaluated under North Carolina and not as a separate multi-state MSA. The assessment area is comprised of 42 low-, 109 moderate-, 165 middle-, and 148 upper-income tracts. There are also four tracts with no income designation that are primarily composed of correctional institutions, military establishments, education facilities, or medical establishments that do not report income information.

As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third ranked fourth out of 36 institutions with 1.2% of the deposit market share. Bank of America had the majority of the market share 75.5% of deposits. The next two largest institutions, Wells Fargo Bank and BB&T, had 15.1% and 2.8% of the market share, respectively. Deposits in this assessment area accounted for 2.2% of Fifth Third's total deposits. This was 73.9% of deposits within the state and the 12th highest percentage of deposits within Fifth Third's CRA footprint.

From January 1, 2014 through June 30, 2016, Fifth Third originated 3,201 HMDA loans and 1,520 CRA loans, which represented 3.1% and 3.9% of the total loans originated during the evaluation period, respectively. This was the ninth-largest HMDA market and seventh largest CRA market for loans originated during the evaluation period.

In 2015, Fifth Third Mortgage Company ranked 12th among 649 HMDA reporters in the assessment area and Fifth Third ranked 65th. Wells Fargo Bank, Movement Mortgage, Quicken Loans, and Bank of America were the top four HMDA lenders in the assessment area. Fifth Third ranked 16th of 135 CRA reporters in the assessment area in 2015. The top four CRA lenders in the assessment area were American Express, Wells Fargo Bank, Bank of America, and BB&T. These lenders are mostly issuers of credit cards and their CRA loans primarily consist of commercial credit card accounts.

Two community contact interviews were conducted to provide additional information regarding the assessment area. The first contact, representing a nonprofit housing development corporation, stated the organization is currently participating in a mixed-use development project that will help to revitalize the central city corridor. The mixed-use facility will include market-rate apartments, condominiums, single-family homes and townhomes, and commercial properties. This project offers local financial institutions opportunities to fund community development and small business projects. The contact mentioned strong relationships with most of the local financial institutions, including Bank of America, Fifth Third, Wells Fargo, BB&T, and PNC.

The second contact, representing a small business development center, believed that even in the growing economy, small business owners can still experience difficulties obtaining financing from local banks due to strict underwriting criteria. Therefore, there are opportunities for financial institutions to provide small-dollar loans and financial education for small business owners.

Population Characteristics

According to the 2010 U.S. Census data, the population in the assessment area was 1.9 million. About 29.0% of the population lived in low- and moderate-income tracts. In addition, 74.3% of the population was 18 years of age or older, the legal age to enter into a contract.

As of July 1, 2015, the Charlotte MSA is the 22nd largest in terms of population in the nation.²²⁶ Mecklenburg County is the largest county in the assessment area and in North Carolina.²²⁷ Charlotte is the largest city in Mecklenburg County and North Carolina and the 17th largest city in the nation with 827,097 residents; its population increased by 45.1% between 2000 and 2015. Concord is the largest city in Cabarrus County with 87,696 residents; its population increased by 54.8% between 2000 and 2015. Gastonia is the largest city in Gaston County with 74,543 residents; its population increased by 10.2% between 2000 and 2015.²²⁸

According to Carolina Population Center University of North Carolina,²²⁹ North Carolina's population is projected to increase by nearly 11.0% and gain more than 1 million new residents and reach a population of nearly 10.6 million by 2020. Virtually all of North Carolina's growth is projected to occur in counties within metropolitan areas; 33.0% of the state's growth is projected to occur in the greater Charlotte region. Growth rates of more than 20.0% are projected by 2020 in Mecklenburg, Union, and Cabarrus counties.

The following table shows the population in the assessment area by county for 2010 and 2015 with the percentage of the population increase.²³⁰ The population within the assessment area experienced positive growth between 2010 and 2015, with Mecklenburg County experiencing the greatest growth and Rowan County experiencing the least growth in population during this time period.

²²⁶ MSA population data is derived from the U.S. Census Data 2015 Statistical Abstract:

<https://factfinder.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productview.xhtml?src=bkmk>

²²⁷ U.S. Places: <http://us-places.com> (main page – enter state, choose population by county)

²²⁸ U.S. Census QuickFacts: <https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/> (main page – enter state, county, city, town, or zip code)

²²⁹ UNC Carolina Population Center: <http://demography.cpc.unc.edu/2015/12/08/population-growth-in-the-carolinas-projected-vs-observed-trends/>

²³⁰ Population Estimates derived from U.S. Census Data (April 1, 2010 – July 1, 2015): <http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/table/PST045216/00>

County	2010 Population	2015 Population	Population Percent Change
Cabarrus	178,011	196,762	10.5%
Gaston	206,086	213,442	3.6%
Iredell	159,437	169,866	6.5%
Lincoln	78,265	81,035	3.5%
Mecklenburg	919,628	1,034,070	12.4%
Rowan	138,428	139,142	0.5%
Union	201,292	222,742	10.7%
Total	1,881,147	2,057,059	9.4%

Income Characteristics

In 2010, the assessment area median family income was \$62,777, which exceeded both the MSA median family income at \$61,974 and North Carolina's at \$56,153. The MSA's median family income substantially increased between 2010 and 2014. The median family income increased again in 2015 and declined to some extent in 2016.

Borrower Income Levels Charlotte-Concord-Gastonia, NC-SC MSA

FFIEC Estimated Median Family Income		Low	Moderate	Middle	Upper
		0 - 49.99%	50% - 79.99%	80% - 119.99%	120% - & above
2014	\$65,500	0 - \$32,749	\$32,750 - \$52,399	\$52,400 - \$78,599	\$78,600 - & above
2015	\$66,000	0 - \$32,999	\$33,000 - \$52,799	\$52,800 - \$79,199	\$79,200 - & above
2016	\$64,100	0 - \$32,049	\$32,050 - \$51,279	\$51,280 - \$76,919	\$76,920 - & above

Poverty rates increased in each county in the assessment area from 1999 to 2015.²³¹ Cabarrus County had the lowest poverty rate in 1999 and Union County had the lowest poverty rate in 2015. Gaston and Rowan counties had highest poverty rates in 1999 and 2015. In 2015, Gaston and Rowan counties' poverty rates were higher than North Carolina's poverty rate and in 2015, North Carolina's poverty rate exceeded that of the nation. According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the national poverty rate in 2015 was 13.5%, down 1.3 percentage points from 14.8% in 2014. For most demographic groups, the 2015 poverty rates and number of people in poverty decreased from 2014.²³² The following table shows the poverty rates for 1999²³³ and 2015.

²³¹ United States Department of Agriculture Economic Research Service Poverty Rates (for 1999 and 2015): <https://data.ers.usda.gov/reports.aspx?ID=17826>

²³² 2015 National Poverty: <http://www.census.gov/library/publications/2016/demo/p60-256.html>

²³³ 1999 National Poverty Rate: <http://www.census.gov/prod/2000pubs/p60-210.pdf>

County	1999 Poverty Rate	2015 Poverty Rate	Change
Cabarrus	7.1%	10.8%	52.1%
Gaston	10.9%	17.3%	58.7%
Iredell	8.2%	14.2%	73.2%
Lincoln	9.2%	13.4%	45.7%
Mecklenburg	9.2%	14.3%	55.4%
Rowan	10.6%	17.3%	63.2%
Union	8.1%	9.7%	19.8%
North Carolina	12.3%	16.4%	33.3%
United States	11.8%	13.5%	14.4%

Housing Characteristics

According to 2010 U.S. Census data, there are 697,360 housing units and 470,872 families in the assessment area. From an income perspective, 30.6% of housing units, 21.8% of owner-occupied units, and 27.6% of families are located in low- or moderate-income tracts. About 71.6% of the housing units in the low-income census tracts are either rental or vacant and 28.3% are owner-occupied. In the moderate-income census tracts, over half of the housing units are either rental or vacant (51.2%) and 48.8% are owner-occupied. Therefore, based on the number of housing units compared to the number of families in low- and moderate-income census tracts, there appear to be credit-related opportunities for Fifth Third to provide various aspects of affordable housing in the assessment area.

The 2010 U.S. Census data shows the median age of housing stock in the assessment area was only 24 years old, with 9.0% of the stock built before 1950. The oldest housing stock was in Gaston County with a median age of 33 years. Within the assessment area, the median age of housing stock was 42 years in low-income tracts and 34 years in moderate-income tracts. Therefore, there appears to be opportunity for Fifth Third to provide home improvement and rehabilitation loans in these lower-income areas.

According to the 2010 U.S. Census data, the median housing value in the assessment area was \$166,768, with an affordability ratio of 31.47. The affordability ratio is derived by dividing the median household income by the median housing value. The higher the affordability ratio, the more affordable a home is considered. Overall, median housing values increased between 2010 and 2011-2015 and housing affordability became slightly less affordable across the assessment area, primarily due increased demand. During this review period, the most affordable housing was in Gaston County, with the least affordable in Mecklenburg County. According to Moody's Analytics, rising wages and a growing population support strong home price appreciation. Home prices in the metropolitan area are climbing faster than in the rest of the state and nation and year-over-year growth is the strongest since 2012.

Developers are also quickly adding supply, which will alleviate upward pressure on prices in 2017 and beyond. Median gross rents increased at a fairly substantial rate across the assessment area, with renters in Cabarrus County and Mecklenburg County experiencing the largest increase in rental rates. In 2010, about 43.8% of renters across the assessment area had rent costs greater than 30.0% of income. Increasing rental rates may make it harder for potential homebuyers to save enough for a down payment for a home. The table below presents housing characteristics from the U.S. Census data between 2010 and 2015 in the assessment area and North Carolina.

County	2010 Median Housing Value	2010 Affordability Ratio	2011-2015 Median Housing Value	2011-2015 Affordability Ratio	2010 Median Gross Rent	2011-2015 Median Gross Rent	Percent of Change
Cabarrus	\$164,100	32.86	\$167,100	32.75	\$727	\$823	13.2%
Gaston	\$120,800	35.81	\$125,100	33.92	\$678	\$731	7.8%
Iredell	\$164,300	29.80	\$166,300	31.45	\$712	\$796	11.8%
Lincoln	\$146,700	32.34	\$153,200	32.12	\$631	\$671	6.3%
Mecklenburg	\$185,100	29.87	\$184,800	30.77	\$829	\$938	13.1%
Rowan	\$125,100	34.85	\$128,300	33.57	\$666	\$722	8.4%
Union	\$194,900	32.52	\$197,400	33.39	\$787	\$868	10.3%
North Carolina	\$149,100	30.56	\$154,900	30.26	\$718	\$797	11.0%

According to Bankrate.com,²³⁴ North Carolina ranked 31st for foreclosure filings in November 2016. The national average for foreclosure filings was 1 in every 1,533 housing units. The following table contains information about foreclosure filings in the assessment area, according to Realtytrac:²³⁵

Geography Name	Ratio of Properties Receiving Foreclosure Filings in November 2016
Cabarrus	1:2,368
Gaston	1:1,032
Iredell	1:1,848
Lincoln	1:8,112
Mecklenburg	1:1,270
Rowan	1:1,022
Union	1:3,321
North Carolina	1:2,179
United States	1:1,533

²³⁴ Bankrate.com: <http://www.bankrate.com/finance/real-estate/foreclosures-by-state/default.aspx>

²³⁵ Realtytrac: <http://www.realtytrac.com/statsandtrends/foreclosuretrends/>

In November 2016, Rowan County had the highest and Lincoln County had the lowest rate of foreclosure in the assessment area. Rowan and Gaston were two of the top five counties with highest foreclosure rates in the state in November 2016. The rate of foreclosure in North Carolina was below the national rate.

Building permits for this MSA, North Carolina, and the nation are included in the following table for 2014, 2015, and 2016.²³⁶

Geography	2014	2015	Percent of Change 2014-2015	2016	Percent of Change 2015-2016
Charlotte-Concord-Gastonia MSA	18,537	19,543	5.4%	20,574	5.3%
North Carolina	49,913	54,754	9.7%	60,550	10.6%
United States	1,052,124	1,182,582	12.4%	1,190,191	0.6%

The MSA experienced a consistent increase in the number of housing permits issued between 2014 and 2015 and 2015 and 2016. The increase in the number of permits indicates there is a growing demand for home purchase loans in the MSA and in North Carolina during the evaluation period. According to Moody’s Analytics, residential housing permits jumped the most on record in the 3Q16 and are now back to where they were in the mid-2000s. Construction will add workers at an above-average rate through the end of the decade.

Labor, Employment, and Economic Characteristics

According to Moody’s Analytics, the Charlotte metropolitan area economy is growing at a rapid pace. The area is experiencing rapid growth in high-wage areas such as financial activities, logistics, healthcare, and other professional services. The area is favorable to businesses, with low business costs and a highly skilled workforce that draws firms to the area. Competitive living costs support strong in-migration trends. Average hourly earnings in the Charlotte metropolitan area have surged and exceed those nationally by the most since 2012. Accelerating wage growth and an expanding pool of wage earners will drive healthy gains in consumer consumption and job additions in retail and leisure/hospitality will continue to be above average in 2017.

According to the *Charlotte Business Journal*,²³⁷ the Charlotte metropolitan area is home to seven Fortune 500 headquarters. In the past year, Sonic Automotive moved up 18 places on the list, while Nucor Corporation fell 31 places from the previous year.

²³⁶ U.S. Census Bureau Building Permits Survey: <http://www.census.gov/construction/bps/>


²³⁷ Charlotte Business Journal: <http://www.bizjournals.com/charlotte/news/2016/06/07/the-carolinas-lose-two-fortune-500-companies-this.html>

Charlotte Metropolitan area Fortune 500 Companies (2016)		
Rank	Company	Revenue
26	Bank of America	\$93.1 billion
47	Lowe's Companies	\$59.1 billion
115	Duke Energy Corporation	\$24.0 billion
170	Nucor Corporation	\$16.4 billion
297	Sonic Automotive	\$9.6 billion
375	Sealed Air Corporation	\$7.0 billion
489	Domtar Corporation	\$5.3 billion

According to Moody's Analytics, the top ten employers in the Charlotte MSA in 2015 were:

Company	Number of Employees
Carolinas HealthCare System	35,000
Wells Fargo	22,100
Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.	16,100
Bank of America	15,000
Lowe's Companies	12,960
Novant Health, Inc.	11,000
Presbyterian Regional Healthcare	10,676
American Airlines	9,900
Ruddick/Harris Teeter Inc.	8,239
The Timken Co.	8,000

The following table illustrates the average unemployment rates for 2014, 2015, and 2016 for the MSA and North Carolina.



The unemployment rates declined each year in MSA and were lower than North Carolina's each year. No major layoffs were noted in this assessment area during the evaluation period.

Combined Demographics Report

Assessment Area: NC Charlotte

Income Categories	Tract Distribution		Families by Tract Income		Families < Poverty Level as % of Families by Tract		Families by Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	42	9	28,967	6.2	8,913	30.8	98,667	21
Moderate-income	109	23.3	100,931	21.4	16,534	16.4	81,387	17.3
Middle-income	165	35.3	179,339	38.1	14,466	8.1	95,885	20.4
Upper-income	148	31.6	161,635	34.3	5,162	3.2	194,933	41.4
Unknown-income	4	0.9	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	468	100.0	470,872	100.0	45,075	9.6	470,872	100.0
	Housing	Housing Types by Tract						
	Units by Tract	Owner-Occupied			Rental		Vacant	
		#	%	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	56,840	16,090	3.4	28.3	32,082	56.4	8,668	15.2
Moderate-income	179,702	87,692	18.4	48.8	69,291	38.6	22,719	12.6
Middle-income	282,373	190,373	39.9	67.4	67,945	24.1	24,055	8.5
Upper-income	253,168	182,888	38.3	72.2	50,672	20	19,608	7.7
Unknown-income	327	49	0	15	278	85	0	0
Total Assessment Area	772,410	477,092	100.0	61.8	220,268	28.5	75,050	9.7
	Total Businesses by Tract	Businesses by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	7,286	7.4	6,283	6.9	982	13.5	21	6.3
Moderate-income	17,342	17.6	15,838	17.5	1,413	19.5	91	27.5
Middle-income	32,874	33.4	30,420	33.5	2,308	31.8	146	44.1
Upper-income	39,995	40.7	37,576	41.4	2,353	32.5	66	19.9
Unknown-income	792	0.8	592	0.7	193	2.7	7	2.1
Total Assessment Area	98,289	100.0	90,709	100.0	7,249	100.0	331	100.0
	Percentage of Total Businesses:			92.3		7.4		.3
	Total Farms by Tract	Farms by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	19	1.6	17	1.4	2	11.1	0	0
Moderate-income	221	18.2	219	18.3	2	11.1	0	0
Middle-income	701	57.8	690	57.7	11	61.1	0	0
Upper-income	269	22.2	266	22.3	3	16.7	0	0
Unknown-income	3	0.2	3	0.3	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	1,213	100.0	1,195	100.0	18	100.0	0	.0
	Percentage of Total Farms:			98.5		1.5		.0

**CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN THE
CHARLOTTE-GASTONIA-CONCORD NC-SC MSA**

Lending Test

Fifth Third’s performance under the lending test in this assessment area is rated good. Fifth Third has demonstrated a good responsiveness to the credit needs of the community. In addition, Fifth Third originated 33 community development loans totaling \$170.5 million. Fifth Third has a good geographic distribution of loans and a low level of lending gaps. Fifth Third has an excellent distribution among borrowers of different income levels and to businesses of different revenue sizes. Fifth Third exhibits a good record of serving the credit needs of highly economically disadvantaged areas in its assessment area, low-income individuals, and businesses with gross annual revenues of \$1 million or less. The good level of community development loans and extensive use of flexible lending practices augmented Fifth Third’s performance in this assessment area.

Greatest consideration was given to the evaluation of refinance lending based on the overall volume of lending, followed by small business, home purchase, and home improvement lending. Details of Fifth Third’s residential mortgage and small business lending, as well as information regarding lending by peers, can be found in Appendix E.

Lending Activity

Fifth Third’s lending activity reflects a good responsiveness to the credit needs within the assessment area. Fifth Third originated 1,536 refinance, 1,494 home purchase, 171 home improvement, 1,520 small business, and 33 community development loans during the evaluation period. The percentage of Fifth Third’s total lending at 3.3% is greater than the percentage of total deposits at 2.2% in this area.

Fifth Third made 93.2% of the HMDA lending and 98.3% of the CRA lending within its designated assessment area. While a concentration of lending was noted in York County, South Carolina with 164 HMDA loans, the majority of loans were made within North Carolina. Fifth Third does not have a physical presence in South Carolina.

In addition to lending, Fifth Third modified existing loans to borrowers. Refer to the distribution of HAMP and other real-estate secured modifications within the assessment area by census tract income and by borrower income.

	Distribution by Census Tract Income							
	Low		Mod		Middle		Upper	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Other Real Estate Secured Modifications	4	2.9%	31	22.8%	52	38.2%	49	36.0%
<i>Percentage of Owner Occupied Units</i>		<i>3.4%</i>		<i>18.4%</i>		<i>39.9%</i>		<i>38.3%</i>

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

	Distribution by Borrower Income							
	Low		Mod		Middle		Upper	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Other Real Estate Secured Modifications	40	29.4%	32	23.5%	31	22.8%	32	23.5%
<i>Percentage of Families by Family Income</i>		21.0%		17.3%		20.4%		41.4%

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

There were not enough HAMP modifications for a meaningful analysis. The percentage of other modifications in low-income tracts was slightly below the percentage of owner occupied units in these geographies and the percentage of other modifications in moderate-income tracts exceeded proxy. Therefore, modifications helped to expand lending activities in these areas. The percentage of other modifications made to low- and moderate-income borrowers exceeded the percentage of low- and moderate-income families in the assessment area. Therefore, modifications enhanced Fifth Third’s ability to reach low- and moderate-income borrowers.

Geographic Distribution of Loans

Fifth Third’s overall distribution of lending among geographies is good. Refinance lending, which was the largest loan category, is good. Home improvement lending is also good, and home purchase lending is excellent. Small business lending is good. There is also an overall low level of lending gaps. The following gaps in lending were noted in the assessment area:

Tract Income Levels	Number of Tracts	Tracts with No Loans	Penetration
Low	42	5	88.1%
Moderate	109	4	96.3%
Middle	165	2	98.8%
Upper	148	2	98.7%
Unknown	4	1	75.0%
Total	468	14	97.0%

Lending gaps are considered minimal due to the low number of lending gaps in moderate-, middle-, and upper-income tracts. The gaps in low-income tracts can be attributed to the low level of owner-occupied units (28.3%) and small businesses (7.4%) located in these census tracts.

Refinance Loans

Fifth Third made 45 refinance loans totaling \$5.5 million in low-income tracts. This represents 2.9% of refinance loans by volume and 2.2% by dollar amount, which is below the percentage of owner-occupied units at 3.4%. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate at 2.6% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate at 1.8%. As Fifth Third’s performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders, but was below proxy, the geographic distribution of refinance loans in low-income tracts is good.

Fifth Third made 256 refinance loans totaling \$27.5 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 16.7% of refinance loans by volume, which is below the owner-occupied units in these tracts at 18.4%. The percentage of loans by dollar amount at 11.0% is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate at 13.5% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate at 11.6%. As Fifth Third's performance was below proxy and exceeded the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of refinance loans in moderate-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 570 refinance loans totaling \$71.8 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 37.1% of refinance loans by volume, which is below the owner-occupied units in these tracts at 39.9%, and 28.7% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate at 37.5% and the 2015 aggregate at 33.8%.

Fifth Third made 663 refinance loans totaling \$144.6 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 43.2% of refinance loans by volume, which exceeds the owner-occupied units in these tracts at 38.3%, and 57.9% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate at 46.3% and was below the 2015 aggregate at 52.8%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of refinance loans is good.

Home Purchase Loans

Fifth Third made 69 home purchase loans totaling \$9.2 million in low-income tracts. This represents 4.6% of home purchase loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 3.4%, and 3.3% by dollar amount, which is comparable to proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate at 2.1% and significantly exceeded the 2015 aggregate at 2.8%. As Fifth Third's performance significantly exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and exceeded proxy, the geographic distribution in low-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 267 home purchase loans totaling \$31.0 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 17.9% of home purchase loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 18.4%, and 11.2% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate at 12.8% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate at 13.4%. As Fifth Third's performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and was comparable to proxy, the geographic distribution in moderate-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 547 home purchase loans totaling \$78.5 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 36.6% of home purchase loans by volume, which is below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 39.9%, and 28.4% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 34.4% and was comparable to the 2015 aggregate of 35.2%.

Fifth Third made 610 home purchase loans totaling \$156.9 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 40.8% of home purchase loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 38.3%, and 56.9% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 50.5% and the 2015 aggregate of 48.6%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of home purchase loans is excellent.

Home Improvement Loans

Fifth Third made four home improvement loans totaling \$203,000 in low-income tracts. This represents 2.3% of home improvement loans by volume, which is below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 3.4%, and 1.4% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 3.6% and was comparable to the 2015 aggregate of 2.4%. Given the high median age of housing in low-income areas at 42 years, which may indicate the need for home improvement lending and Fifth Third's lending performance in these tracts, the geographic distribution of home improvement loans in low-income tracts is good.

Fifth Third made 31 home improvement loans totaling \$2.0 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 18.1% of home improvement loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 18.4%, and 14.5% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 17.2% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 16.5%. As Fifth Third's performance was comparable to proxy and exceeded the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of home improvement loans in moderate-income tracts is good.

Fifth Third made 79 home improvement loans totaling \$5.5 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 46.2% of home improvement loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 39.9%, and 38.7% by dollar amount and is comparable to proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 36.7% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 35.9%.

Fifth Third made 57 home improvement loans totaling \$6.4 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 33.3% of home improvements loans by volume, which is below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 38.3%, and 45.4% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 42.5% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 45.2%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of home improvement loans is good.

Small Business Loans

Fifth Third made 100 small business loans totaling \$15.6 million in low-income tracts. This represents 6.6% of small business loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 6.9%, and 10.8% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate of 8.2% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 8.4%. Given that Fifth Third's performance was comparable to proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of small business loans in low-income tracts is good.

Fifth Third made 238 small business loans totaling \$27.5 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 15.7% of small business loans by volume, which is below the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 17.5%. Small business loans by dollar amount at 19.1% exceed proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate of 14.6% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 14.8%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders, but was below proxy, the geographic distribution of small business loans in moderate-income tracts is good.

Fifth Third made 530 small business loans totaling \$46.3 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 34.9% of small business loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 33.5%, and 32.2% by dollar amount, which is comparable to proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 31.6% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 31.7%.

Fifth Third made 637 small business loans totaling \$50.8 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 41.9% of small business loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 41.4%, and 35.2% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate of 42.7% and was comparable to the 2015 aggregate of 42.6%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of small business loans is good.

Distribution by Borrower Income and Revenue Size of the Business

Overall, the distribution of loans is excellent based on borrower income and for businesses of different revenue sizes. Borrower distribution is excellent for refinance, home purchase, and home improvement loans.

Refinance Loans

Fifth Third made 189 loans totaling \$17.1 million to low-income borrowers. This represents 12.3% of refinance loans by volume, which is below the percentage of low-income families at 21.0%. Also, the percentage of loans by dollar amount in these geographies at 6.8% is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume significantly exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 6.7% and the 2015 aggregate of 5.0%. Given Fifth Third's performance significantly exceeded the aggregate of all lenders, the borrower distribution of refinance loans to low-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 303 loans totaling \$31.2 million to moderate-income borrowers. This represents 19.7% of refinance loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of moderate-income families at 17.3%, and 12.5% by dollar volume, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume substantially exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 13.9% and 5 substantially exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 11.9%. As Fifth Third's performance exceeded proxy and substantially exceeded the aggregate of all lenders, the borrower distribution of refinance loans to moderate-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 314 loans totaling \$39.0 million to middle-income borrowers. This represents 20.4% of refinance loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of middle-income families at 20.4%, and 15.6% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 17.0% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 16.5%.

Fifth Third made 581 loans totaling \$131.8 million to upper-income borrowers. This represents 37.8% of refinance loans by volume, which is below the percentage of upper-income families at 41.4%, and 52.8% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate of 37.7% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 41.0%.

Overall, the borrower distribution of refinance loans is excellent.

Home Purchase Loans

Fifth Third made 307 loans totaling \$28.6 million to low-income borrowers. This represents 20.5% of home purchase loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of low-income families at 21.0%, and 10.4% by dollar amount, which is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume significantly exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 6.3% and significantly exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 6.1%. As Fifth Third's performance significantly exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and was comparable to proxy, the borrower distribution of home purchase loans to low-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 348 loans totaling \$42.9 million to moderate-income borrowers. This represents 23.3% of home purchase loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of moderate-income families at 17.3%, and 15.6% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 17.6% and the 2015 aggregate of 18.5%. As Fifth Third's performance exceeded proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, the borrower distribution of home purchase loans to moderate-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 223 loans totaling \$35.8 million to middle-income borrowers. This represents 14.9% of home purchase loans by volume, which is below the percentage of middle-income families at 20.4%, and 13.0% by dollar amount, which is also below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 17.7% and the 2015 aggregate of 18.8%.

Fifth Third made 494 loans totaling \$132.3 million to upper-income borrowers. This represents 33.1% of home purchase loans by volume, which is below the percentage of upper-income families at 41.4%, and 49.8% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 38.5% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 38.7%.

Overall, the borrower distribution of home purchase loans is excellent.

Home Improvement Loans

Fifth Third made 37 loans totaling \$1.7 million to low-income borrowers. This represents 21.6% of home improvement loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of low-income families at 21.0%, and 12.4% by dollar amount, which is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume significantly exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 9.7% and the 2015 aggregate of 7.6%. Given that Fifth Third's performance significantly exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and was comparable to proxy, the borrower distribution of home improvement loans to low-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 42 loans totaling \$2.4 million to moderate-income borrowers. This represents 24.6% of its home improvement loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of moderate-income families at 17.3%, and 16.8% by dollar amount, which is comparable to proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 17.1% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 16.3%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and proxy, the borrower distribution of home improvement loans to moderate-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 42 loans totaling \$3.6 million to middle-income borrowers. This represents 24.6% of home improvement loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of middle-income families at 20.4%, and 25.7% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 20.3% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 19.9%.

Fifth Third made 50 loans totaling \$6.4 million to upper-income borrowers. This represents 29.2% of home improvement loans by volume, which is significantly below the percentage of upper-income families at 41.4%, and 45.1% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 43.8% and the 2015 aggregate of 47.8%.

Given Fifth Third's strong performance to low- and moderate-income borrowers, the overall borrower distribution of home improvement loans is excellent.

Small Business Loans

The distribution of small business loans to businesses of different sizes is excellent, considering Fifth Third's performance relative to the aggregate of all lenders. Fifth Third was able to make 62.8% of small business loans to businesses with revenues of \$1 million or less. Fifth Third's performance exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 47.0% and the 2015 aggregate of 52.3%, but was significantly below the percentage of small businesses in the assessment area at 92.3%.

Also, during the evaluation period, Fifth Third was able to make a relatively high percentage of small-dollar loans (81.1%) up to \$100,000, indicating a willingness to lend in smaller amounts typically requested by small businesses. In addition, a community contact indicated the need for small-dollar loans to small businesses.

Community Development Loans

Fifth Third originated 33 community development loans totaling \$170.5 million during the evaluation period as shown in the table below:

Affordable Housing		Economic Development		Revitalization and Stabilization		Community Services	
#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$
2	14,285,000	9	52,282,258	17	89,200,000	5	14,708,253

Community development lending in the assessment area represents 2.8% of the total dollar volume of community development loans originated during the evaluation period. This ranks as Fifth Third’s 11th highest percentage of community development lending during the evaluation period. Fifth Third’s performance is notable because of the high competition for community development loans and a number of large national banks in the area. Fifth Third only has 1.2% of the deposit market share. As such, Fifth Third is considered to have a relatively high level of community development lending.

Examples of community development lending include, but are not limited to:

- Construction loans to a development corporation that supports affordable housing in a HUD Choice Neighborhood that helped to build new, affordable housing. Families pay 30.0% of their income toward the rent in these Section 8 housing developments.
- Multiple working capital loans that promote economic development by financing businesses to support job retention, add new jobs, and promote growth to continue operations in low- and moderate-income geographies
- Loan to support a solar energy financing in a low- and moderate-income area

Flexible Lending Programs

Fifth Third had 701 flexible lending loans in this assessment area: 503 government loans, 66 down payment assistance loans, and 132 other flexible lending programs. The following tables show the percentage by volume and by dollar amount of the three types of flexible lending programs made in this assessment area during the evaluation period and the distribution of Fifth Third’s flexible lending programs within the assessment area by census tract income and by borrower income.

Flexible Loan Programs												
	Geographic Distribution											
	Low			Moderate			Middle			Upper		
	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units
Government Loan Programs	2.4%	2.2%	3.4%	25.6%	20.4%	18.4%	51.1%	49.0%	39.9%	20.9%	28.5%	38.3%
Down Payment Assistance Programs	7.6%	6.9%	3.4%	30.3%	27.8%	18.4%	53.0%	54.6%	39.9%	9.1%	10.8%	38.3%
Other Flexible Lending Programs	5.3%	3.1%	3.4%	13.6%	10.5%	18.4%	34.8%	30.9%	39.9%	46.2%	55.5%	38.3%
Total	3.4%	2.7%	3.4%	23.8%	18.7%	18.4%	48.2%	45.4%	39.9%	24.5%	33.1%	38.3%

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

Flexible Loan Programs												
	Borrower Distribution											
	Low			Moderate			Middle			Upper		
	% - #	% - \$	% Fam	% - #	% - \$	% Fam	% - #	% - \$	% Fam	% - #	% - \$	% Fam
Government Loan Programs	28.2%	19.9%	21.0%	35.2%	31.4%	17.3%	18.7%	21.2%	20.4%	17.1%	26.0%	41.4%
Down Payment Assistance Programs	47.0%	37.3%	21.0%	42.4%	47.3%	17.3%	4.5%	7.9%	20.4%	0.0%	0.0%	41.4%
Other Flexible Lending Programs	12.1%	9.6%	21.0%	22.0%	16.5%	17.3%	20.5%	18.9%	20.4%	43.2%	52.9%	41.4%
Total	27.0%	18.9%	21.0%	33.4%	29.3%	17.3%	17.7%	19.7%	20.4%	20.4%	30.0%	41.4%

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

Fifth Third’s lending in low-income tracts by number was comparable to the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts and slightly below proxy by dollar amount. The percentage of lending by volume and dollar amount in moderate-income tracts exceeded the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies.

Fifth Third’s lending by volume to low-income borrowers exceeded the percentage of low-income families, especially for down payment assistance programs, but was below proxy by dollar amount. The percentage of lending by volume and dollar amount to moderate-income borrowers exceeded the percentage of moderate-income families, especially for down payment assistance and government loan programs.

Fifth Third made extensive use of flexible lending practices in serving the assessment area’s credit needs, as lending through flexible loan programs in low-income tracts was good and flexible lending in the lending in moderate-income tracts and to low- and moderate-income borrowers was excellent.

Investment Test

Fifth Third’s performance relative to the investment test in the assessment area is rated excellent.

Fifth Third made an excellent level of qualified community development investments and grants, particularly those not routinely provided by private investors. As such, Fifth Third was often in a leadership position. Fifth Third has 150 qualified investments totaling \$51.5 million during the evaluation period. Shown in the table below are the total current period investments:

Affordable Housing		Economic Development		Revitalization and Stabilization		Community Services	
#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$
23	18,716,709	2	550	3	20,651,233	113	531,808

Also included in the total number of qualified investments are nine prior period investments totaling \$11.6 million. Overall, Fifth Third made 3.2% of its total community development investments in this assessment area, which is greater than the percentage of total deposits at 2.2% and equal to the percentage of branch offices at 3.1%.

Fifth Third exhibits an excellent responsiveness to credit and community development needs in the assessment area through investments in several affordable housing projects and community services throughout the assessment area. These were identified as important needs in the assessment area based on increasing housing prices and accelerating rent costs and higher-than-average poverty rates in multiple counties within the assessment area. Fifth Third made 125 donations totaling \$578,858 that supported local schools, churches, small businesses, and social and charitable organizations. The majority of Fifth Third’s donations (92.0%) supported services to low- and moderate-income individuals.

Service Test

Fifth Third’s performance under the service test in this assessment area is rated excellent. Retail services are accessible and Fifth Third is a leader in providing community development services.

Retail Services

Fifth Third’s record of opening and closing offices has not adversely affected the accessibility of its delivery systems, particularly in low- and moderate-income geographies and to low- and moderate-income households. Since the previous evaluation, no banking centers were opened. One banking center closed was located in a low- and moderate-income tract. Delivery services are accessible to Fifth Third’s geographies and individuals of different income levels.

Business hours and services provided do not vary in a way that inconveniences certain portions of the assessment area, including low- and moderate-income geographies or households, and are consistent with the services and hours discussed in the “Institution” assessment.

Fifth Third maintains 40 banking centers within this assessment area, including two in low-, 10 in moderate-, eight in middle-, and 20 in upper-income census tracts. Fifth Third’s banking centers in this assessment area represent 1.2% of all of its banking centers.

Fifth Third has a total of 51 full-service ATMs within this assessment area, including two in low-, 12 in moderate-, 13 in middle-, and 24 in upper-income census tracts.

The following table illustrates the percentage of banking centers and ATMs in low-, moderate-, middle-, and upper-income census tracts in comparison to the number and percentage of census tracts and the percentage of households and businesses in those tracts.

Geographic Distribution of Branches & ATMS

Assessment Area: NC Charlotte

Tract Category	Branches				Stand Alone ATMs						Demographics				
	Total Branches		Open #	Closed #	Total ATMs		Full Service ATMs		Cash only ATMs		Census Tracts		House holds %	Total Businesses %	
	#	%			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%			
Low	2	5.0%	0	0	Total	9	13.0%	2	3.9%	7	38.9%	42	9.0%	6.9%	7.5%
Moderate	10	25.0%	0	1	Total	15	21.7%	12	23.5%	3	16.7%	109	23.3%	22.5%	17.4%
Middle	8	20.0%	0	0	Total	14	20.3%	13	25.5%	1	5.6%	165	35.3%	37.0%	33.1%
Upper	20	50.0%	0	0	Total	28	40.6%	24	47.1%	4	22.2%	148	31.6%	33.5%	41.1%
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0	Total	3	4.3%	0	0.0%	3	16.7%	4	0.9%	0.0%	0.9%
Total	40	100.0%	0	1	Total	69	100.0%	51	100.0%	18	100.0%	468	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

2016 FFIEC Census Data, 2010 ACS Data, and 2015 D&B Information

Closed branches/ATMs are only included in "closed" columns and are not included in any other totals.

DTO - Drive thru only is a subset of total branches

SA = Stand Alone ATM is a subset of total ATMs

Branch distribution within low-income tracts was considered adequate, as the distribution of branches was below the percentage of census tracts and households in these tracts. However, the branch distribution within moderate-income tracts was considered excellent.

Community Development Services

Fifth Third is a leader in providing community development services in this assessment area. During the evaluation period, Fifth Third employees provided 4,817 hours of community development service to local organizations serving low- and moderate-income individuals, which represents 4.1% of all community development services provided and equates to 2.32 annualized persons (ANP).

Affordable Housing	Economic Development	Revitalization and Stabilization	Community Services
<i># of Hours</i>	<i># of Hours</i>	<i># of Hours</i>	<i># of Hours</i>
357	45	140	4,275

Employees provided financial expertise through leadership positions in multiple community organizations that provide affordable housing and promote community and economic development and area revitalization and stabilization. Community development services include 3,302 hours of providing financial literacy through local nonprofits and school programs, 1,032 hours serving on boards and committees, 429 hours providing technical assistance to non-profits and local business, and 54 hours participating in foreclosure prevention outreach.

Fifth Third is considered particularly responsive with regard to hours dedicated to financial literacy based on the need to elevate low- and moderate-income individuals out of poverty and help them to acquire skills to alleviate debt and save money. A community contact noted the need for financial education for small business owners, which is reflected in the hours providing technical assistance to non-profits and local business and serving on various boards and committees.

METROPOLITAN and NON-METROPOLITAN AREAS
(Limited-scope Review)

**DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION'S OPERATIONS IN
THE STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA**

- **Asheville MSA**
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third operated one branches in the assessment area, representing 1.7% of its branches in North Carolina.
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third had \$26,719 in deposits in this assessment area, representing a market share of 0.6% and 0.8% of its statewide deposits.
- **Hickory-Lenoir-Morganton MSA**
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third operated one branches in the assessment area, representing 1.7% of its branches in North Carolina.
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third had \$40,082 in deposits in this assessment area, representing a market share of 1.2% and 1.3% of it statewide deposits.
- **Raleigh-Cary MSA**
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third operated five branches in the assessment area, representing 8.6% of its branches in North Carolina.
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third had \$484,585 in deposits in this assessment area, representing a market share of 1.9% and 15.4% of it statewide deposits.
- **Non-metropolitan Western North Carolina**
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third operated 11 branches in the assessment area, representing 19.0% of its branches in North Carolina.
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third had \$271,303 in deposits in this assessment area, representing a market share of 6.2% and 8.6% of it statewide deposits.

**CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN
THE STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA**

Through the use of available facts and data, including performance and demographic information, each assessment area's performance was evaluated and compared with Fifth Third's performance in the state. The conclusions regarding performance are provided in the table below. Please refer to the tables in Appendix F for information regarding these areas.

Assessment Area	Lending Test	Investment Test	Service Test
Asheville MSA	Below	Below	Below
Hickory-Lenoir-Morganton MSA	Below	Below	Below
Raleigh-Cary MSA	Below	Below	Below
Non-metropolitan Western North Carolina	Below	Below	Below

For the lending test, Fifth Third received a “High Satisfactory” rating in North Carolina. Lending performance was below Fifth Third’s performance for the state in all four assessment areas. Although below the state performance, the geographic distribution of loans was adequate and the borrower distribution of loans was either adequate or good. There were good levels of community development loans in the Asheville, Hickory-Lenoir-Morganton, and Raleigh-Cary assessment areas and an adequate level of community development loans in non-metropolitan Western North Carolina. A low level of lending gaps was identified in non-metropolitan Western North Carolina and a moderate level of lending gaps was noted in the remaining three assessment areas.

For the investment test, Fifth Third received an “Outstanding” rating in North Carolina. Performance in all five of the limited-scope assessment areas was below Fifth Third’s performance for the state. The weaker performance was primarily due to a lower level of qualified investments and contributions relative to Fifth Third’s operational presence in the assessment area.

For the service test, Fifth Third received an “Outstanding” rating in North Carolina. Performance was below Fifth Third’s performance for the state in all five limited-scope assessment areas. Although below the state’s performance, overall community development services were adequate in the Raleigh-Cary assessment area and good in the remaining three assessment areas. Retail services were good in non-metropolitan Western North Carolina and in the Raleigh-Cary assessment area and adequate in the Asheville and Hickory-Lenoir-Morganton assessment areas. The weaker retail services performance was primarily due to less accessibility of delivery systems in lower-income geographies. Qualified community development services were excellent in two limited-scope assessment areas and good in non-metropolitan Western North Carolina. The Raleigh-Cary assessment area had a poor level of qualified community development services. The weaker community development services performance was primarily due to a lower level of hours dedicated to providing qualified services relative to Fifth Third’s operational presence in these assessment areas.

The performance in the limited-scope assessment areas did not change the overall state rating.

STATE OF OHIO

CRA RATING for State of Ohio:²³⁸ Outstanding

The lending test is rated: Outstanding

The investment test is rated: Outstanding

The service test is rated: High Satisfactory

The major factors supporting this rating include:

- An excellent responsiveness to credit needs;
- An excellent geographic distribution of loans throughout the assessment area;
- An excellent distribution of loans among borrowers of different income levels and good to businesses of different revenue sizes;
- Exhibits an excellent record of serving the credit needs of low-income individuals and areas and very small businesses;
- A relatively high level of community development loans;
- Extensive use of flexible lending practices in serving the assessment area's credit needs;
- An excellent level of qualified community development investments and grants;
- Often in a leadership position in providing community development investments and grants;
- Retail delivery systems are reasonably accessible to all geographies and individuals of different income levels and businesses of different revenue sizes;
- A record of opening and closing banking centers that has not adversely affected the accessibility of delivery systems;
- Banking services and hours that do not vary in a way that inconveniences any portions of the assessment areas; and,
- A leader in providing community development services.

SCOPE OF EXAMINATION

Full-scope reviews were conducted for two assessment areas in Ohio: the Cleveland-Akron-Canton CSA and the Columbus MSA. Limited-scope reviews were performed on the remaining five assessment areas: the Dayton-Springfield-Sidney CSA, Lima MSA, non-metropolitan Northwest Ohio, non-metropolitan Southwest Ohio, and Toledo MSA. The time period, products, and affiliates evaluated for this assessment area are consistent with the scope discussed in the "Institution" section of this report.

²³⁸ For institutions with branches in two or more states in a multi-state metropolitan area, this statewide evaluation is adjusted and does not reflect performance in the parts of those states contained within the multi-state metropolitan area. Refer to the multi-state metropolitan area rating and discussion for the rating and evaluation of the institution's performance in that area.

The Cleveland-Akron-Canton and Columbus assessment areas received greater weight in determining the CRA rating for the state. These areas had the largest lending volumes and number of banking centers and ranked first and second, respectively, in the state's share of deposits during the evaluation period. Lastly, these areas represented 56.7% of the banking centers, 58.7% of deposits, and 59.8% of lending in Ohio.

DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION'S OPERATIONS IN THE STATE OF OHIO

Lending activity accounted for 20.5% of the Fifth Third's total lending activity, while deposits accounted for 16.6% of the Fifth Third's total deposits. HMDA-reportable lending in Ohio represented 21.7% of the Fifth Third's total HMDA lending, while CRA-reportable lending represented 17.3% of the Fifth Third's total CRA lending. As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third ranked second among 232 insured institutions and has a deposit market share of 14.1% and 335 banking center locations within Ohio.

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN THE STATE OF OHIO

Lending Test

Fifth Third's performance under the lending test within the assessment areas located in Ohio is rated "Outstanding." Fifth Third's lending reflects an excellent responsiveness to the credit needs in the Cleveland-Akron-Canton and Columbus assessment areas. Lending reflects a good responsiveness to credit needs in the remaining five assessment areas: Dayton-Springfield-Sidney, Lima, non-metropolitan Northwest Ohio, non-metropolitan Southwest Ohio, and Toledo.

Lending Activity

In Ohio, Fifth Third originated 22,684 HMDA loans totaling \$3.0 billion and 6,790 small business loans totaling \$975.2 million during the evaluation period.

Lending activity in Ohio is excellent. The Cleveland-Akron-Canton, Columbus, and Dayton-Springfield-Sidney assessment areas have excellent lending activity. Lending activity is good in the remaining four assessment areas.

Geographic and Borrower Distribution

The distribution of loans among geographies is excellent in the assessment areas located in Ohio. The geographic distribution is excellent in the Cleveland-Akron-Canton, Columbus, and Dayton-Springfield-Sidney assessment areas. The geographic distribution is good in the remaining four assessment areas.

A low level of lending gaps was identified in six of the seven assessment areas. Of particular note, there were no gaps in lending in the Cleveland-Akron-Canton assessment area.

The distribution of loans among borrowers of different income levels is excellent and good to businesses of different revenue sizes in the assessment areas located in Ohio. The borrower

distribution is excellent in the Cleveland-Akron-Canton, Columbus, Dayton-Springfield-Sidney, and Toledo assessment areas. The borrower distribution is good in the remaining three assessment areas. The distribution to businesses of different revenue sizes is excellent in the Columbus assessment area and good in the Cleveland-Akron-Canton and Toledo assessment areas and in non-metropolitan Northwest Ohio and non-metropolitan Southwest Ohio. The distribution to businesses of different revenue sizes was adequate in the remaining two assessment areas.

A detailed analysis for the geographic distribution and borrower-income distribution is provided with the analysis for each assessment area.

Community Development Loans

In Ohio, Fifth Third originated 188 community development loans totaling \$823.9 million, which represents 13.8% of the Fifth Third's community development lending by dollar volume. This is a relatively high level of community development lending in Ohio. Fifth Third was a leader in providing community development loans in the Columbus assessment area and made a relatively high level of community development loans in the Cleveland-Akron-Canton, Dayton-Springfield-Sidney, and Toledo assessment areas and an adequate level of community development loans in the remaining three assessment areas.

Flexible Lending

Overall, Fifth Third consistently makes extensive use of flexible lending practices within assessment areas located in Ohio.

Investment Test

Fifth Third's performance under the investment test within the assessment areas located in Ohio is rated "Outstanding." Fifth Third funded \$260.6 in qualified community development investments in Ohio during the evaluation period, consisting of \$117.5 million obtained from new investments made during the current review period and \$143.1 million from prior period investments. The majority of investments were LIHTCs. Fifth Third's level of qualified investments is excellent in the Columbus assessment area and in non-metropolitan Southwest Ohio. The level of qualified investments is adequate in the Toledo assessment area and good in the remaining three assessment areas.

Fifth Third was considered responsive to the credit and community development needs in the state; therefore, investments without a purpose, mandate, or function of serving Fifth Third's assessment areas in Ohio was considered to positively impact state performance. Fifth Third made \$3.3 million in qualified investments, typically in the form of LIHTCs that benefited counties within the state, but were outside Fifth Third's delineated assessment areas within Ohio.

Additional information regarding performance under the investment test is provided in the respective analyses for each assessment area.

Service Test

Fifth Third's performance under the service test within the assessment areas located in Ohio is rated "High Satisfactory." Fifth Third's performance is adequate in the Dayton-Springfield-Sidney assessment area and good in the remaining six assessment areas.

For details regarding the institution's performance in the individual assessment areas, refer to the respective assessment area's "Service Test" section in this report.

Retail Services

Retail delivery systems are reasonably accessible to all geographies, including low- and moderate-income geographies, individuals of different income levels, and businesses of different revenue sizes in Fifth Third's assessment areas. Retail service distribution is good in four assessment areas and adequate in the Cleveland-Akron-Canton, Dayton-Springfield-Sidney, and Lima assessment areas.

Fifth Third has 245 banking centers in Ohio which represents 18.9% of Fifth Third's total branches. Fifth Third's record of opening and closing banking centers has not adversely affected the accessibility of its delivery systems, particularly in low- and moderate-income geographies and to low- and moderate-income individuals. One branch opened and one branch closed in a moderate-income tract during the evaluation period in the Dayton assessment area during the evaluation period.

Banking services and business hours do not vary in a way that inconveniences any portions of the Fifth Third's assessment areas and are consistent with the services and hours discussed in the "Institution" assessment.

Community Development Services

Fifth Third is a leader in providing community development services in Ohio. Fifth Third's performance is excellent in the Cleveland-Akron-Canton, non-metropolitan Northwest Ohio, non-metropolitan Southwest Ohio, and Toledo assessment areas. The level of community development services is good in the remaining three assessment areas.

METROPOLITAN AREA
(Full-scope Review)

**DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION'S OPERATIONS IN THE
CLEVELAND-AKRON-CANTON OH CSA #184**

The Cleveland-Akron-Canton OH CSA consists of the following three MSAs:

- Akron OH MSA #10420, consisting of Portage and Summit counties
- Canton-Massillon OH MSA #15940, consisting of Stark County, but excluding Carroll County
- Cleveland-Elyria OH MSA #17460, consisting of Cuyahoga, Geauga, Lake, Lorain, and Medina counties

The assessment area is comprised of 136 low-, 185 moderate-, 341 middle-, and 225 upper-income tracts. There are also seven tracts with no income designation that are primarily composed of correctional institutions, military establishments, education facilities, or medical establishments that do not report income information.

As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third ranked eighth out of 47 institutions with 5.6% of the deposit share. KeyBank had the majority of the market share with 19.7% of deposits, followed by PNC Bank, FirstMerit Bank, Citizens Bank, and Huntington Bank with 12.7%, 11.9%, 10.4%, and 8.9% of the market share, respectively. Deposits in this assessment area accounted for 4.6% of the institution's total deposits. This was 27.5% of deposits within the state and the fifth-highest percentage of deposits within Fifth Third's CRA footprint.

From January 1, 2014 through June 30, 2016, Fifth Third originated 8,332 HMDA loans and 2,296 CRA loans, which represented 8.0% and 5.9% of the total loans originated during the evaluation period, respectively. This was the fourth-largest HMDA market and fifth-largest CRA market for loans originated during the evaluation period.

In 2015, Fifth Third Mortgage Company ranked seventh among 522 HMDA reporters in the assessment area, while Fifth Third ranked 33rd. The top four HMDA lenders in the assessment area were Quicken Loans, Wells Fargo Bank, Huntington Bank, and Third Federal Savings & Loan. Fifth Third ranked 12th of 125 CRA reporters in the assessment area in 2015. The top four CRA lenders in the assessment area were American Express, PNC Bank, Chase Bank USA, and Capital One. These lenders are mostly issuers of credit cards and their CRA loans primarily consist of commercial credit card accounts.

Three community contact interviews were conducted to provide additional information regarding the assessment area. The first contact, representing an organization that works to empower low-income individuals, stated that portions of the Akron metropolitan area are doing well, while other parts are not. There are significant areas of blight that have had an immense negative economic impact on the city. The contact believed while Akron has done a good job of building and marketing itself as a great place to work and play, it has done less to boost itself as a good place to live. The contact stated the city needs more quality, affordable housing to attract middle-class families and others to live in the city center.

Because employment has grown more steadily in the areas surrounding the city than in the city center, workers who live downtown and rely on public transit are very limited in terms of employment. The city is hoping to extend transit lines throughout the greater Akron region and encourage more economic development in the city center. The contact stated this organization receives its funding from private contributors; thus, they are not familiar with the degree of involvement of the local financial industry in the community. However, the contact stated they have a strong relationship with their own bank, whose employees occasionally provide needed financial literacy training to their clients.

The second contact, representing an organization that works to strengthen neighborhoods in Northeast Ohio, stated home prices have increased across most of Cleveland. Single-family home prices have been increasing slowly, but steadily since 2015; however, prices vary among neighborhoods. Several neighborhoods have median prices that exceed \$100,000. The contact believed the reason for the higher prices is due to newer homes returning to the market after going through foreclosure and increasing employment opportunities. However, across most of the city, home prices are still lower than they were in 2007 before the area's housing market experienced sharp declines. The contact noted there are opportunities for financial institutions to originate small-dollar loans for home improvements/revitalization of older housing stock within the city limits. The contact also mentioned the need for homeownership and foreclosure prevention counseling for first-time homebuyers and lower-income individuals.

The third contact, representing an organization that provides services to help connect people to jobs in the Northeast Ohio area, stated that since 2015, economic growth has been improving at a moderate pace. The contact stated the gradually improving economy has meant consumers are able to buy more goods, particularly automobiles. As a result, the region's assembly plants have experienced an increase in production and employment. The contact stated that fewer Clevelanders are living in poverty than at any time since 2008, perhaps due to individuals with higher-incomes moving into pockets of the city; however, poverty has spread into surrounding communities. The contact stated the need is not gone in the central city; there is just more need in surrounding communities for food banks and other basic kinds of assistance. The contact specifically mentioned KeyBank and Fifth Third as being actively involved with this organization and in the community.

Population Characteristics

According to the 2010 U.S. Census data, the population in the assessment area was 3.2 million. Over one-quarter (27.0%) of the population lived in low- and moderate-income tracts. In addition, 77.0% of the population was 18 years of age or older, the legal age to enter into a contract.

As of July 1, 2015, the Cleveland MSA is the 32nd largest in terms of population in the nation, while the Akron MSA and Canton-Massillon MSA are the 80th and 134th largest, respectively.²³⁹ On the list of Ohio's most populous counties, Cuyahoga County is the most, while Summit County is fourth, Stark County is seventh, Lorain County is ninth, Lake County is 11th, Medina County is 16th, Portage County is 19th, and Geauga County is 29th.²⁴⁰

As of July 1, 2016, Franklin County surpassed Cuyahoga County as Ohio's most populous county. Cuyahoga County has been losing population for more than four decades. Some of Cuyahoga County's loss has been a boon for surrounding counties, such as Lorain and Medina. Lorain County's population has grown by a rate of 1.7% between 2010 and 2016, which is higher than the 0.7% growth rate for the state. Medina County's population has grown by a rate of 2.8%, which makes it the 11th fastest-growing county in the state. Regardless of these growth rates, Lorain County and Medina County remain the ninth- and 16th most populous counties in Ohio, respectively. Cuyahoga County is not the only urban county in Ohio losing residents, as Summit County's population declined by a rate of 0.3% between 2010 and 2016. Ohio's modest population growth is due to immigrants coming from other countries, which explains Ohio's 0.7% population growth since 2010.²⁴¹

According to 2015 U.S. Census data;²⁴² Cleveland is the second-largest city in Ohio with 388,072 residents and is the 51st largest city in the nation. Akron is the fifth-largest city in the state with 197,542 residents, and Canton is the eighth-largest city in Ohio with 71,885 residents. Between 2010 and 2015, Cleveland, Akron, and Canton experienced declines in population of 18.5%, 8.9%, and 11.1%, respectively.²⁴³ The following table shows the population in the assessment area by county for 2010 and 2015 with the percentage of the population increase or decrease.²⁴⁴ For the most part, the population within the assessment area remained stable between 2010 and 2015, with Medina and Lorain counties experiencing the greatest growth and Cuyahoga County experiencing the greatest decline in population.

²³⁹ MSA population data is derived from the U.S. Census Data 2015 Statistical Abstract:
<https://factfinder.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productview.xhtml?src=bkmk>

²⁴⁰ US-Places: <http://us-places.com/Ohio/population-by-County.htm>

²⁴¹ Dicken, Brad and Knox, David. "Franklin moves past Cuyahoga in population..." *The Medina-Gazette*. March 22, 2017. - <http://www.medina-gazette.com/Medina-County/2017/03/22/Franklin-moves-past-Cuyahoga-in-population-Medina-County-continues-growth.html>

²⁴² US Census Bureau: <http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/table/PST045216/00> (main page – must enter state, county, city, town or zip code)

²⁴³ City Population -Ohio: <https://www.citypopulation.de/USA-Ohio.html>

²⁴⁴ Population Estimates derived from U.S. Census Data (April 1, 2010 – July 1, 2015):
<http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/table/PST045216/00>

County	2010 Population	2015 Population	Population Percent Change
Cuyahoga	1,280,122	1,255,921	-1.9%
Geauga	93,389	94,102	0.8%
Lake	230,041	229,245	-0.3%
Lorain	301,356	305,147	1.3%
Medina	172,332	176,395	2.4%
Portage	161,419	162,275	0.5%
Stark	375,586	375,165	-0.1%
Summit	541,781	541,968	0.0%
Total	3,156,026	3,140,218	-0.5%

Income Characteristics

The 2010 assessment area median family income was higher (\$61,630) than Ohio’s at \$59,680. As shown in the table below, the median family income increased between 6.0-9.0% across the assessment area since 2010.

**Borrower Income Levels
Cleveland-Akron-Canton OH CSA**

FFIEC Estimated Median Family Income	2010	2014	2015	2016
Akron OH MSA	\$62,882	\$62,100	\$66,700	\$66,900
Canton-Massillon OH MSA	\$55,645	\$56,000	\$58,900	\$61,100
Cleveland-Elyria OH MSA	\$62,627	\$62,600	\$66,100	\$66,600

Poverty rates increased in each county in the assessment area from 1999 to 2015.²⁴⁵ Cuyahoga County had the highest poverty rates in 1999 and 2015. Geauga and Medina counties had the lowest poverty rates in 1999 and 2015. In 2015, only Cuyahoga County had a poverty rate higher than Ohio. Cuyahoga, Summit, and Portage counties had poverty rates that exceeded the national rate. However, Lake County experienced the largest increase in poverty rate during this period. According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the national poverty rate in 2015 was 13.5%, down 1.3 percentage points from 14.8% in 2014. For most demographic groups, the 2015 poverty rates and number of people in poverty decreased from 2014.²⁴⁶ The following table shows the poverty rates for 1999²⁴⁷ and 2015.

²⁴⁵ United States Department of Agriculture Economic Research Service Poverty Rates (for 1999 and 2015): <https://data.ers.usda.gov/reports.aspx?ID=17826>

²⁴⁶ 2015 National Poverty: <http://www.census.gov/library/publications/2016/demo/p60-256.html>

²⁴⁷ 1999 National Poverty Rate: <http://www.census.gov/prod/2000pubs/p60-210.pdf>

County	1999 Poverty Rate	2015 Poverty Rate	Change
Cuyahoga	13.1%	18.2%	38.9%
Geauga	4.6%	6.7%	45.7%
Lake	5.1%	8.3%	62.7%
Lorain	9.0%	13.5%	50.0%
Medina	4.6%	7.0%	52.2%
Portage	9.3%	13.6%	46.2%
Stark	9.2%	13.4%	45.7%
Summit	9.9%	14.4%	45.5%
Ohio	10.6%	14.8%	39.6%
United States	11.8%	13.5%	14.4%

While a community contact indicated that fewer Clevelanders are living in poverty than at any time since 2008, as shown above, Cuyahoga County still had the highest poverty rate within the assessment area. The contact also stated there is an increasing need for assistance to lower-income individuals in the surrounding communities, as illustrated above by Summit and Portage counties having poverty rates that exceeded the national rate in 2015 and Lake County experiencing the greatest change in poverty rates in the assessment area in the last 16 years.

Housing Characteristics

According to 2010 U.S. Census data, there are 1.4 million housing units and 820,144 families in the assessment area. From an income perspective, 30.7% of housing units, 19.6% of owner-occupied units, and 24.8% of families are located in low- or moderate-income tracts. Nearly three-quarters of the housing units in the low-income census tracts are either rental or vacant (71.8%), and only 28.2% are owner-occupied. In the moderate-income census tracts, over half (54.1%) of the housing units are either rental or vacant, and 45.9% are owner-occupied. Therefore, based on the number of housing units compared to the number of families in low- and moderate-income census tracts, there appear to be more credit-related opportunities for Fifth Third to provide various aspects of affordable housing in moderate-income tracts compared to low-income tracts.

The 2010 U.S. Census data shows the median age of housing stock in the assessment area was 52 years old, with 32.3% of the stock built before 1950. The oldest housing stock was in Cuyahoga County with a median age of 56 years, while the newest was 31 years in Medina County. However, within the assessment area, the median age of housing stock was 61 years in low-income tracts and 60 years in moderate-income tracts, which indicates that there is ample opportunity to make home improvement loans. In addition, a community contact stated there is a need for small-dollar loans for home improvements/revitalization of older housing stock within the city limits, in addition to the need for homeownership and foreclosure prevention counseling for first-time homebuyers and lower-income individuals.

According to the 2010 U.S. Census data, the median housing value in the assessment area was \$145,758, with an affordability ratio of 32.93. The affordability ratio is derived by dividing the median household income by the median housing value. The higher the affordability ratio, the more affordable a home is considered. The median housing value decreased and household incomes increased between 2010 and 2011-2015; as a result, housing became more affordable across the assessment area. During the review period, the most affordable housing was in Lake, Stark, Lorain, and Summit counties and the least affordable in Geauga County. Median gross rents increased across the assessment area, with renters in Stark County experiencing the largest increase in rental rates and renters in Summit County experiencing the smallest increase. In 2010, about 46.9% of renters across the assessment area had rent costs greater than 30.0% of income. Rising rental rates could make it more difficult for lower-income individuals to find affordable housing, as increasing rental rates may make it more difficult for potential homebuyers to save for a down payment for a home. According to Moody’s Analytics, low population growth is hurting consumer industries and housing and continues to put constraints on the long-term potential of basic service industries. The table below presents housing characteristics from the U.S. Census data between 2010 and 2015 in the assessment area and Ohio.

County	2010 Median Housing Value	2010 Affordability Ratio	2011-2015 Median Housing Value	2011-2015 Affordability Ratio	2010 Median Gross Rent	2011-2015 Median Gross Rent	Percent of Change
Cuyahoga	\$137,200	31.78	\$121,800	36.28	\$698	\$730	4.6%
Gauga	\$230,900	28.44	\$218,800	33.10	\$751	\$800	6.5%
Lake	\$158,100	34.72	\$147,900	39.24	\$757	\$814	7.5%
Lorain	\$147,400	35.32	\$137,400	38.18	\$681	\$741	8.8%
Medina	\$184,900	35.80	\$179,500	37.30	\$784	\$824	5.1%
Portage	\$157,100	32.11	\$150,900	34.83	\$748	\$802	7.2%
Stark	\$128,000	35.11	\$122,900	38.35	\$622	\$680	9.3%
Summit	\$141,200	33.94	\$133,500	38.03	\$719	\$744	3.5%
Ohio	\$136,400	34.72	\$129,900	38.05	\$678	\$730	7.7%

According to Bankrate.com,²⁴⁸ Ohio ranked eighth for foreclosure filings in November 2016. The national average for foreclosure filings was 1 in every 1,533 housing units. The following table contains information about foreclosure filings in the assessment area, according to Realtytrac:²⁴⁹

²⁴⁸ Bankrate.com: <http://www.bankrate.com/finance/real-estate/foreclosures-by-state/default.aspx>

²⁴⁹ Realtytrac: <http://www.realtytrac.com/statsandtrends/foreclosurestrends/>

Geography Name	Ratio of Properties Receiving Foreclosure Filings in November 2016
Cuyahoga	1:1,053
Geauga	1:915
Lake	1:687
Lorain	1:782
Medina	1:914
Portage	1:1,203
Stark	1:926
Summit	1:887
Ohio	1:1,055
United States	1:1,533

In November 2016, Lake County had the highest rate of foreclosure (fifth highest foreclosure rate in Ohio) and Portage County had the lowest foreclosure rate within the assessment area. According to Moody's Analytics, the above-average foreclosure inventory in the Cleveland metropolitan area has a major impact on home prices. Foreclosures have a tendency to add to the supply of homes that are on the market and may translate into lower prices, longer wait times for pending sales, and diminished desirability of surrounding homes.

Building permits in the MSAs, Ohio, and the nation are included in the following table for 2014, 2015, and 2016.²⁵⁰

Geography	2014	2015	Percent of Change 2014-2015	2016	Percent of Change 2015-2016
Akron MSA	764	967	26.6%	909	-6.0%
Canton-Massillon MSA	551	558	1.3%	554	-0.7%
Cleveland-Elyria MSA	2,926	2,938	0.4%	3,053	3.9%
Ohio	19,965	20,047	0.4%	22,816	13.8%
United States	1,052,124	1,182,582	12.4%	1,190,191	0.6%

The Akron MSA experienced the greatest increase of housing permits between 2014 and 2015 and the greatest decrease of housing permits between 2015 and 2016. The Canton MSA experienced an increase in housing permits between 2014 and 2015 and a slight decrease between 2015 and 2016. On the other hand, the Cleveland MSA experienced a slight increase in housing permits between 2014 and 2015 and a larger increase between 2015 and 2016. Based on the overall demand for permits and performance context indicating a moderately improving housing market, this could indicate an increased demand for home purchase loans across the assessment area during the evaluation period, particularly in the greater Cleveland and Canton areas.

²⁵⁰ U.S. Census Bureau Building Permits Survey: <http://www.census.gov/construction/bps/>

Labor, Employment, and Economic Characteristics

According to Moody’s Analytics, the Cleveland and Akron area economies are in recovery due to growing healthcare and professional services; however, the factory sector is the lowest it has been since early 2011. In the long-term, the contracting population is hurting consumer industries and housing. On the other hand, Canton’s economic prospects will depend on its ability to capitalize on the shale gas industry. Nonetheless, the Canton metropolitan area will remain a below-average performer because of its weak population growth and dearth of high-tech jobs.

According to the *Columbus Business Journal*,²⁵¹ the Cleveland metropolitan area is home to seven Fortune 500 headquarters. In the past year, the Progressive Corporation (insurance) climbed 16 places on the list, while TravelCenters of America (full-service travel center/truck stop centers) fell 74 places.


Northeast Ohio Fortune 500 Companies (2016)		
Rank	Company	Revenue
137	Progressive Corporation	\$19.4 billion
169	Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company	\$18.1 billion
188	FirstEnergy Corp.	\$15.1 billion
224	Parker-Hannifin Corporation	\$13.2 billion
253	Sherwin-Williams Company	\$11.1 billion
439	TravelCenters of America	\$7.8 billion
452	J.M. Smucker Company	\$5.6 billion

According to Moody’s Analytics, the top 12 employers in the CSA in 2015/2016 were:

Company	Number of Employees
Cleveland Clinic Foundation	35,291
University Hospitals	19,907
Progressive Corporation	9,330
Giant Eagle, Inc.	9,016
Group Management Services	6,506
Summa Health System	5,526
Kent State University	5,372
FirstEnergy Corp.	5,167
Aultman Hospital	4,288
The Timken Co.	4,112
Mercy Medical Center	2,013
Diebold Inc.	1,700

The following table illustrates the average unemployment rates for 2014, 2015, and June 2016 for the counties in the CSA and Ohio.

²⁵¹ Columbus Business First: <http://www.bizjournals.com/columbus/news/2016/06/06/fortune-500-includes-24-ohio-companies-and-big.html>



Overall, unemployment rates declined in 2015 and slightly increased in 2016, but to levels below 2014 unemployment rates. The slight increases in unemployment rates were comparable across the assessment area.

According to *Cleveland.com*, the University of Akron eliminated 213 full-time positions in order to resolve a \$60 million deficit.²⁵² According to the *Cleveland Plain Dealer*, defense contractor Lockheed Martin consolidated and closed most of its Akron, Ohio operations in 2015. As a result, Akron lost about 500 jobs. The company employed about 600 people in the Akron area and the cutback leaves only the Akron Airdock in operation, which only employs 60 individuals. Lockheed Martin also closed or reduced operations not only in Akron, but also in Pennsylvania, Arizona, and Texas as part of a corporate-wide consolidation.²⁵³ According to *Fox8 News*, workers at U.S. Steel Tubular Operations received layoff notices in March 2015. The layoff affects all operations and units at the plant and affects 614 jobs. According to a company spokesperson, the layoffs were a result of a decline in market demand for tubular steel in the gas, oil, and petrochemical industries. While the layoffs are expected to be temporary, there was no indication as to the length of these layoffs.²⁵⁴

²⁵² Farkas, Karen. "University of Akron layoffs." *Cleveland.com*. July 30, 2015. - http://www.cleveland.com/metro/index.ssf/2015/07/university_of_akron_layoffs_include_career_center_set_up_to_help.html

²⁵³ Funk, John. "Lockheed Martin to close most Akron operations b 2015." *The Plain Dealer*. November 14, 2013. - http://www.cleveland.com/business/index.ssf/2013/11/lockheed_martin_to_close_most.html

²⁵⁴ Maglio, Lou. "Major layoffs planned at U.S. Steel Tubular Operations." *Fox8 News*. January 5, 2015. - <http://fox8.com/2015/01/05/major-layoffs-planned-at-u-s-steel-tubular-operations/>

Combined Demographics Report

Assessment Area: OH Cleveland

Income Categories	Tract Distribution		Families by Tract Income		Families < Poverty Level as % of Families by Tract		Families by Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	136	15.2	67,745	8.3	25,766	38	173,968	21.2
Moderate-income	185	20.7	135,250	16.5	24,417	18.1	144,210	17.6
Middle-income	341	38.1	339,037	41.3	23,880	7	172,912	21.1
Upper-income	225	25.2	278,101	33.9	8,277	3	329,054	40.1
Unknown-income	7	0.8	11	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	894	100.0	820,144	100.0	82,340	10.0	820,144	100.0
	Housing	Housing Types by Tract						
	Units by Tract	Owner-Occupied			Rental		Vacant	
		#	%	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	160,700	45,371	5.2	28.2	77,223	48.1	38,106	23.7
Moderate-income	277,221	127,173	14.4	45.9	109,846	39.6	40,202	14.5
Middle-income	578,583	387,217	44	66.9	147,657	25.5	43,709	7.6
Upper-income	411,992	321,045	36.4	77.9	66,349	16.1	24,598	6
Unknown-income	102	44	0	43.1	58	56.9	0	0
Total Assessment Area	1,428,598	880,850	100.0	61.7	401,133	28.1	146,615	10.3
	Total Businesses by Tract	Businesses by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	10,688	7.3	9,155	6.9	1,481	11.2	52	8.9
Moderate-income	18,963	12.9	16,833	12.6	2,064	15.7	66	11.2
Middle-income	58,195	39.6	53,072	39.8	4,865	36.9	258	44
Upper-income	58,913	40.1	53,998	40.5	4,706	35.7	209	35.6
Unknown-income	266	0.2	196	0.1	68	0.5	2	0.3
Total Assessment Area	147,025	100.0	133,254	100.0	13,184	100.0	587	100.0
	Percentage of Total Businesses:			90.6		9.0		.4
	Total Farms by Tract	Farms by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	18	1	17	1	1	3.6	0	0
Moderate-income	84	4.8	81	4.7	3	10.7	0	0
Middle-income	1,009	58	995	58.2	14	50	0	0
Upper-income	628	36.1	618	36.1	10	35.7	0	0
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	1,739	100.0	1,711	100.0	28	100.0	0	.0
	Percentage of Total Farms:			98.4		1.6		.0

**CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN THE
CLEVELAND-AKRON-CANTON OH CSA**

Lending Test

Fifth Third’s performance under the lending test in this assessment area is rated excellent. Fifth Third has demonstrated an excellent responsiveness to the credit needs of the community. In addition, Fifth Third originated 49 community development loans totaling \$199.1 million. Fifth Third has an excellent overall geographic distribution of loans and there were no gaps in lending. Fifth Third has an excellent distribution among borrowers of different income levels and a good distribution of loans to businesses of different revenue sizes. Fifth Third exhibits a good record of serving the credit needs of highly economically disadvantaged areas in its assessment area, low-income individuals, and businesses with gross annual revenues of \$1 million or less. The good level of community development loans and extensive use of flexible lending practices augmented Fifth Third’s performance in this assessment area.

Greatest consideration was given to the evaluation of home purchase lending, based on the overall volume of lending, followed by refinance, small business, and home improvement lending. Details of Fifth Third’s residential mortgage and small business lending, as well as information regarding lending by peers, can be found in Appendix E.

Lending Activity

Fifth Third’s lending activity reflects an excellent responsiveness to the credit needs within the assessment area. Fifth Third originated 5,008 home purchase, 2,953 refinance, 366 home improvement, 2,296 small business, and 49 community development loans during the evaluation period. The percentage of Fifth Third’s total lending at 7.4% is greater than the percentage of total deposits at 4.6% in this area.

Fifth Third made 99.7% of the HMDA and 99.8% of the CRA lending within its designated assessment area. Therefore, no concentration of lending was identified in Carroll County, the only excluded county in this assessment area.

In addition to lending, Fifth Third modified existing loans to borrowers. Refer to the distribution of HAMP and other real-estate secured modifications within the assessment area by census tract income and by borrower income.

	Distribution by Census Tract Income							
	Low		Mod		Middle		Upper	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
HAMP Modifications	4	10.0%	6	15.0%	19	47.5%	11	27.5%
Other Real Estate Secured Modifications	19	5.8%	56	17.2%	167	51.2%	84	25.8%
Percentage of Owner Occupied Units		5.2%		14.4%		44.0%		36.4%

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

	Distribution by Borrower Income							
	Low		Mod		Middle		Upper	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Other Real Estate Secured Modifications	93	28.5%	110	33.7%	88	27.0%	34	10.4%
<i>Percentage of Families by Family Income</i>		<i>21.2%</i>		<i>17.6%</i>		<i>21.1%</i>		<i>40.1%</i>

The percentage of HAMP and other modifications in low- and moderate-income tracts exceeded the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies. Therefore, modifications helped to expand lending activities in these areas. Most of the borrower incomes for HAMP modifications were unknown; therefore, it would not be meaningful to review the income distribution for these modifications. The percentage of other modifications made to low- and moderate-income borrowers exceeded the percentage of low- and moderate-income families in the assessment area. Therefore, modifications enhanced Fifth Third’s ability to reach low- and moderate-income borrowers. Also, several community contacts mentioned the need to help homeowners avoid foreclosure.

Geographic Distribution of Loans

Fifth Third’s overall distribution of lending among geographies is excellent. Home purchase lending, which was the largest loan category, is good. Refinance lending is excellent, and home improvement lending is adequate. Small business lending is good. Additionally, there are no gaps in lending as shown in the table below:

Tract Income Levels	Number of Tracts	Tracts with No Loans	Penetration
Low	62	0	100.0%
Moderate	97	0	100.0%
Middle	131	0	100.0%
Upper	121	0	100.0%
Unknown	3	0	100.0%
Total	414	0	100.0%

There are no lending gaps which is particularly noteworthy due to competition from several other large financial institutions with a significant presence in this market.

Home Purchase Loans

Fifth Third made 136 home purchase loans totaling \$10.6 million in low-income tracts. This represents 2.7% of home purchase loans by volume, which is below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 5.2%, and 1.5% by dollar amount, which is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate at 1.6% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate at 2.0%. As Fifth Third’s performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders, but was below proxy, the geographic distribution in low-income tracts is good.

Fifth Third made 703 home purchase loans totaling \$57.2 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 14.0% of its home purchase loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 14.4%, and 8.1% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate at 9.5% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate at 10.2%. As Fifth Third's performance was comparable to proxy and exceeded the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution in moderate-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 2,072 home purchase loans totaling \$231.1 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 41.4% of home purchase loans by volume, which is below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 44.0%, and 32.6% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate of 44.4% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 44.0%.

Fifth Third made 2,097 home purchase loans totaling \$410.8 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 41.9% of home purchase loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 36.4%, and 57.9% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 44.5% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 43.9%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of home purchase loans is good.

Refinance Loans

Fifth Third made 89 refinance loans totaling \$6.1 million in low-income tracts. This represents 3.0% of refinance loans by volume and 1.6% by dollar amount, which is below the percentage of owner-occupied units at 5.2%. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate at 2.1% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate at 1.8%. Given that the owner-occupancy rate in low-income tracts is only 28.2%, which likely impacts the demand for refinance loans and Fifth Third's performance exceeding the aggregate of lenders, the geographic distribution in low-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 394 refinance loans totaling \$28.4 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 13.3% of refinance loans by volume, which is comparable to the owner-occupied units in these tracts at 14.4%. The percentage of loans by dollar amount at 7.6% is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate at 10.1% and substantially exceeded the 2015 aggregate at 8.9%. Given the relatively low owner-occupancy rate at 45.9% and Fifth Third's performance exceeding the aggregate of all lenders; the geographic distribution in moderate-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 1,228 refinance loans totaling \$124.8 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 41.6% of refinance loans by volume, which is below the owner-occupied units in these tracts at 44.0%. The percentage of loans by dollar amount at 33.4% is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate at 44.8% and was comparable to the 2015 aggregate at 42.4%.

Fifth Third made 1,242 refinance loans totaling \$214.7 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 42.1% of refinance loans by volume, which exceeds the owner-occupied units in these tracts at 36.4%, and 57.4% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate at 43.0% and was below the 2015 aggregate at 46.9%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of refinance loans is excellent.

Home Improvement Loans

Fifth Third made five home improvement loans totaling \$420,000 in low-income tracts. This represents 1.4% of home improvement loans by volume, which is below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 5.2%, and 1.6% by dollar amount, which is also below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 5.6% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 4.4%. Given the median age of housing stock at 61 years in low-income tracts, which typically indicates the need for home improvement loans, and Fifth Third's performance, which was significantly below proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of home improvement loans in low-income tracts is poor.

Fifth Third made 42 home improvement loans totaling \$1.9 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 11.5% of home improvement loans by volume, which is below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 14.4%, and 7.0% by dollar amount, which is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 14.7% and was comparable to the 2015 aggregate of 14.3%. Given that Fifth Third's performance was below proxy and comparable to the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of home improvement loans in moderate-income tracts is good.

Fifth Third made 166 home improvement loans totaling \$9.7 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 45.4% of home improvement loans by volume, which slightly exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 44.0%, and 36.5% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 43.3% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 42.2%.

Fifth Third made 153 home improvement loans totaling \$14.6 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 41.8% of home improvements loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 36.4%, and 54.9% by dollar amount, which significantly exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 36.4% and was comparable to the 2015 aggregate of 39.1%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of home improvement loans is adequate.

Small Business Loans

Fifth Third made 163 small business loans totaling \$32.0 million in low-income tracts. This represents 7.1% of small business loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of small businesses in these tracts at 6.9%, and 9.2% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy.

The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 6.0% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 6.4%. As Fifth Third's performance exceeded the proxy and aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of small business loans in low-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 217 small business loans totaling \$45.0 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 9.5% of small business loans by volume, which is below the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 12.6%, and 12.9% by dollar amount, which is comparable to proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was slightly below the 2014 aggregate of 11.7% and was slightly below the 2015 aggregate of 11.7%. Given that Fifth Third's performance was slightly below the aggregate of all lenders and proxy, the geographic distribution of small business loans in moderate-income tracts is good.

Fifth Third made 856 small business loans totaling \$138.0 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 37.3% of small business loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 39.8%, and 39.6% by dollar amount, which is comparable to the percentage of businesses in these tracts. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 38.0% and was comparable the 2015 aggregate of 37.9%.

Fifth Third made 1,058 small business loans totaling \$133.5 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 46.1% of small business loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 40.5%, and 38.3% by dollar amount, which is comparable to the percentage of businesses in these geographies. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 43.1% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 43.0%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of small business loans is good.

Distribution by Borrower Income and Revenue Size of the Business

The distribution of loans is excellent based on borrower income and good for businesses of different revenue sizes. Borrower distribution is excellent for home purchase and refinance lending, and good for home improvement lending.

Home Purchase Loans

Fifth Third made 908 loans totaling \$65.7 million to low-income borrowers. This represents 18.1% of home purchase loans by volume, which is below the percentage of low-income families at 21.2%, and 9.3% by dollar amount, which is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume significantly exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 7.6% and significantly exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 9.2%. Because Fifth Third's performance significantly exceeded the aggregate of all lenders, the borrower distribution of home purchase loans to low-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 1,209 loans totaling \$119.3 million to moderate-income borrowers. This represents 24.1% of home purchase loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of moderate-income families at 17.6%, and 16.8% by dollar amount, which is comparable to proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 21.0% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 20.8%. As Fifth Third's performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and proxy, the borrower distribution of home purchase loans to moderate-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 1,152 loans totaling \$150.6 million to middle-income borrowers. This represents 23.0% of home purchase loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of middle-income families at 21.1%, and 21.2% by dollar amount, which is comparable to proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 21.3% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 21.5%.

Fifth Third made 1,665 loans totaling \$361.3 million to upper-income borrowers. This represents 33.2% of home purchase loans by volume, which is below the percentage of upper-income families at 40.1%, and 50.9% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 35.3% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 32.1%.

Overall, the borrower distribution of home purchase loans is excellent.

Refinance Loans

Fifth Third made 428 loans totaling \$31.8 million to low-income borrowers. This represents 14.5% of refinance loans by volume, which is below the percentage of low-income families in tracts at 21.2%, and 8.5% by dollar amount, which is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume significantly exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 7.0% and significantly exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 6.6%. Given Fifth Third's performance significantly exceeded the aggregate of all lenders, the borrower distribution of refinance loans to low-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 560 loans totaling \$49.2 million to moderate-income borrowers. This represents 19.0% of refinance loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of moderate-income families at 17.6%, and 13.1% by dollar volume, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 15.4% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 14.3%. As Fifth Third's performance exceeded proxy and the aggregate of other lenders, the borrower distribution for refinance loans to moderate-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 772 loans totaling \$82.3 million to middle-income borrowers. This represents 26.1% of refinance loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of middle-income families at 21.1%, and 22.0% by dollar amount, which slightly exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 21.5% and the 2015 aggregate of 20.3%.

Fifth Third made 1,077 loans totaling \$193.7 million to upper-income borrowers. This represents 36.5% of refinance loans by volume, which is below the percentage of upper-income families at 40.1%, and 51.8% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate of 41.3% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 38.4%.

Overall, the borrower distribution of refinance loans is excellent.

Home Improvement Loans

Fifth Third made 50 loans totaling \$2.6 million to low-income borrowers. This represents 13.7% of home improvement loans by volume, which is below the percentage of low-income families at 21.2%, and 9.8% by dollar amount, which is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 10.1% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 11.2%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders but was below proxy, the borrower distribution of home improvement loans to low-income borrowers is good.

Fifth Third made 74 loans totaling \$3.7 million to moderate-income borrowers. This represents 20.2% of its home improvement loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of moderate-income families at 17.6%, and 14.0% by dollar amount, which also exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate of 20.4% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 19.7%. Given that Fifth Third's performance was comparable to the aggregate of all lenders and exceeded proxy, the borrower distribution of home improvement loans to moderate-income borrowers is good.

Fifth Third made 102 loans totaling \$5.4 million to middle-income borrowers. This represents 27.9% of home improvement loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of middle-income families at 21.1%, and 20.3% by dollar amount, which is comparable to proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 23.3% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 22.8%.

Fifth Third made 138 loans totaling \$14.6 million to upper-income borrowers. This represents 37.7% of home improvement loans by volume, which is below the percentage of upper-income families at 40.1%, and 54.6% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 41.1% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 42.4%.

The overall borrower distribution of home improvement loans is good.

Small Business Loans

The distribution of small business loans to businesses of different sizes is good, considering Fifth Third's performance relative to the aggregate of all lenders. Fifth Third was able to make 52.4% of small business loans to businesses with revenues of \$1 million or less.

Fifth Third’s performance exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 41.5% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 45.5%, but was significantly below the percentage of small businesses in the assessment area at 90.6%. Also, during the evaluation period, Fifth Third was able to make an acceptable percentage of small-dollar loans (67.7%) up to \$100,000, indicating a willingness to lend in smaller amounts typically requested by small businesses.

Community Development Loans

Fifth Third originated 49 community development loans totaling \$199.1 million during the evaluation period as shown in the table below:

Affordable Housing		Economic Development		Revitalization and Stabilization		Community Services	
#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$
5	24,750,000	16	79,269,935	18	66,144,000	10	28,955,446

Community development lending in the assessment represents 3.3% of the total dollar volume of community development loans originated by Fifth Third during the evaluation period. This ranks as Fifth Third’s ninth-highest percentage of community development lending during the evaluation period. Given that there is high competition for community development loans with a number of large national banks in the area and that Fifth Third only has 5.6% of the deposit market share, Fifth Third has a relatively high level of community development lending.

Examples of community development lending include, but are not limited to:

- Working capital loan to a retirement living community for seniors that provides a multitude of services including, but not limited to, affordable housing to low- and moderate-income senior citizens and other in-need individuals
- A renewal of a revolving line of credit to a nonprofit that advocates for decent, affordable housing for the homeless and low- and moderate-income individuals with disabilities and special needs. The nonprofit owns multiple affordable housing facilities and administers multiple affordable housing programs; however, this loan supports a permanent supportive housing facility that will provide housing to 500 chronically homeless individuals.
- A working capital loan that supports a nonprofit that helps repair and rehabilitate homes for low- and moderate-income senior citizens, veterans, and the disabled
- A working capital loan that supports a nonprofit that provides pregnancy care to low- and moderate-income women facing unexpected pregnancies
- A working capital loan to a nonprofit that provides a multitude of services to ex-offenders to promote successful reintegration into the community
- Multiple working capital loans to promote economic development by financing a small business to support retention of low- and moderate-income workers (six companies retained about 35 workers)
- Multiple working capital loans to help retain and expand seven businesses located in areas specifically designated by the city to promote revitalization and economic development

The affordable housing loans and loans providing services to low- and moderate-income areas were deemed to be responsive, as community contacts specifically mentioned the need for these types of loans.

Flexible Lending Programs

Fifth Third had 2,003 flexible lending loans in this assessment area: 1,407 government loans, 98 down payment assistance loans, and 498 other flexible lending programs. The following tables show the percentage by volume and by dollar amount of the three types of flexible lending programs made in this assessment area during the evaluation period and the distribution of Fifth Third’s flexible lending programs within the assessment area by census tract income and by borrower income.

Flexible Loan Programs												
	Geographic Distribution											
	Low			Moderate			Middle			Upper		
	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units
Government Loan Programs	1.8%	1.0%	5.2%	12.6%	7.9%	14.4%	55.2%	50.4%	44.0%	30.4%	40.7%	36.4%
Down Payment Assistance Programs	29.6%	28.9%	5.2%	22.4%	18.8%	14.4%	33.7%	33.1%	44.0%	14.3%	19.2%	36.4%
Other Flexible Lending Programs	3.0%	1.8%	5.2%	17.7%	11.6%	14.4%	48.6%	45.4%	44.0%	30.7%	41.2%	36.4%
Total	3.4%	2.0%	5.2%	14.3%	9.0%	14.4%	52.5%	48.8%	44.0%	29.7%	40.2%	36.4%

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

Flexible Loan Programs												
	Borrower Distribution											
	Low			Moderate			Middle			Upper		
	% - #	% - \$	% Fam	% - #	% - \$	% Fam	% - #	% - \$	% Fam	% - #	% - \$	% Fam
Government Loan Programs	14.3%	8.5%	21.2%	33.3%	28.2%	17.6%	25.9%	28.0%	21.1%	21.3%	29.8%	40.1%
Down Payment Assistance Programs	66.3%	64.9%	21.2%	17.3%	18.4%	17.6%	11.2%	10.3%	21.1%	4.1%	5.5%	40.1%
Other Flexible Lending Programs	15.8%	12.2%	21.2%	27.1%	23.5%	17.6%	24.0%	22.2%	21.1%	26.5%	35.7%	40.1%
Total	17.2%	11.0%	21.2%	30.9%	26.8%	17.6%	24.7%	26.1%	21.1%	21.7%	30.4%	40.1%

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

Overall, Fifth Third’s lending in low-income tracts, by number and dollar amount, was below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts. However, the percentage of lending in the down payment assistance programs significantly exceeded the percentage of owner-occupied units in low-income tracts. The percentage of lending in moderate-income tracts by volume and dollar amount exceeded the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies.

Fifth Third’s lending to low-income borrowers by number and dollar amount was below the percentage of low-income families. The percentage of lending to moderate-income borrowers by volume and dollar amount exceeded the percentage of moderate-income families, especially for government loan programs.

Despite the high foreclosure rates in this assessment area, Fifth Third was able to assist low- and moderate-income borrowers or borrowers purchasing properties in a low- or moderate-income area to purchase homes through the use of several down payment assistance programs. Fifth Third made extensive use of flexible lending practices in serving assessment area credit needs, as lending through flexible loan programs in low- and moderate-income tracts and to low-income borrowers was good, while flexible lending to moderate-income borrowers was excellent.

Investment Test

Fifth Third’s performance relative to the investment test in the assessment area is rated excellent.

Fifth Third made an excellent level of qualified community development investments and grants, particularly those not routinely provided by private investors. As such, Fifth Third was often in a leadership position. Fifth Third has 389 qualified investments totaling \$124 million during the evaluation period. Shown in the table below are the total current period investments:

Affordable Housing		Economic Development		Community Services	
#	\$	#	\$	#	\$
232	50,680,057	10	936,086	85	451,047

Also included in the total number of qualified investments are 62 prior period investments totaling \$72.0 million. Fifth Third made 7.6% of its total community development investments in this assessment area, which is greater than the percentage of total deposits at 4.6% and greater than the percentage of branch offices at 6.4%.

Fifth Third exhibits an excellent responsiveness to credit and community development needs in the assessment area, including investments in affordable housing and community services to combat poverty throughout the assessment area, which were important needs expressed by community contacts. Fifth Third made 98 donations totaling \$1.4 million that supported local schools, small businesses, social and charitable organizations. The majority of Fifth Third’s donations supported economic development, 57.1% of which went to MWV Pinnacle Capital Fund, an economic development organization that invests in high-growth businesses that are owned, controlled, or managed by minorities. Activities that help retain and create jobs were an important need expressed by a community contact.

Service Test

Fifth Third’s performance under the service test in this assessment area is rated good. Retail services are reasonably accessible and Fifth Third is a leader in providing community development services.

Retail Services

Fifth Third's record of opening and closing offices has not adversely affected the accessibility of its delivery systems, particularly in low- and moderate-income geographies and to low- and moderate-income households. Since the previous evaluation, one banking center was opened and three were closed, resulting in no net change in the number of banking centers in low- and moderate-income tracts. Delivery services are reasonably accessible to Fifth Third's geographies and individuals of different income levels.

Business hours and services provided do not vary in a way that inconveniences certain portions of the assessment area, including low- and moderate-income geographies or households and are consistent with the services and hours discussed in the "Institution" assessment.

Fifth Third maintains 83 banking centers within this assessment area, including six in low-, eight in moderate-, 40 in middle-, and 29 in upper-income census tracts. Fifth Third's banking centers in this assessment area represent 6.4% of all of its banking centers.

Fifth Third has a total of 114 full-service ATMs within this assessment area, including 11 in low-, 12 in moderate-, 57 in middle-, and 34 in upper-income census tracts.

The following table illustrates the percentage of banking centers and ATMs in low-, moderate-, middle-, and upper-income census tracts in comparison to the number and percentage of census tracts and the percentage of households and businesses in those tracts.

Geographic Distribution of Branches & ATMS

Assessment Area: OH Cleveland

Tract Category	Branches				Stand Alone ATMs						Demographics				
	Total Branches		Open #	Closed #	Total ATMs		Full Service ATMs		Cash only ATMs		Census Tracts		House holds %	Total Businesses %	
	#	%			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%			
Low	6	7.2%	0	0	Total	11	8.7%	11	9.6%	0	0.0%	136	15.2%	9.6%	7.3%
Moderate	8	9.6%	0	0	Total	14	11.1%	12	10.5%	2	16.7%	185	20.7%	18.5%	12.8%
Middle	40	48.2%	0	1	Total	61	48.4%	57	50.0%	4	33.3%	341	38.1%	41.7%	39.4%
Upper	29	34.9%	1	2	Total	39	31.0%	34	29.8%	5	41.7%	225	25.2%	30.2%	40.3%
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0	Total	1	0.8%	0	0.0%	1	8.3%	7	0.8%	0.0%	0.2%
Total	83	100.0%	1	3	Total	126	100.0%	114	100.0%	12	100.0%	894	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

2016 FFIEC Census Data, 2010 ACS Data, and 2015 D&B Information

Closed branches/ATMs are only included in "closed" columns and are not included in any other totals.

DTO - Drive thru only is a subset of total branches

SA = Stand Alone ATM is a subset of total ATMs

Branch distribution within low-income tracts was considered adequate, as the distribution of branches was below the percentage of census tracts and households in these tracts. However, the branch distribution within moderate-income tracts was considered poor.

Community Development Services

Fifth Third is a leader in providing community development services in this assessment area. During the evaluation period, Fifth Third employees provided 6,825 hours of community development service to local organizations serving low- and moderate-income individuals, which represents 5.7% of all community development services provided and equates to 3.28 annualized persons (ANP).

Affordable Housing	Economic Development	Revitalization and Stabilization	Community Services
<i># of Hours</i>	<i># of Hours</i>	<i># of Hours</i>	<i># of Hours</i>
384	1,964	276	4,201

Employees provided financial expertise through leadership positions in multiple community organizations that provide affordable housing and promote community and economic development and area revitalization and stabilization.

Community development services include 5,525 hours serving on boards and committees, 909 hours of providing financial literacy through local nonprofits and school programs, 198 hours participating in foreclosure prevention outreach, and 193 hours providing technical assistance to non-profits and local businesses.

Fifth Third is considered particularly responsive with regard to hours dedicated to financial literacy and foreclosure outreach prevention activities. Several community contacts mentioned the need for financial literacy training to provide debt counseling and assist first-time homebuyers. Also, the rates of foreclosure remain significantly higher in seven of eight counties throughout this assessment area compared to the foreclosure rates in Ohio and the nation and Ohio ranked eighth for foreclosure filings in November 2016.

METROPOLITAN AREA
(Full-scope Review)

**DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION'S OPERATIONS IN THE
COLUMBUS OH MSA #18140**

The Columbus OH MSA consists of Delaware, Fairfield, Franklin, Licking, Madison, Pickaway, and Union counties. Fifth Third's assessment area excludes Hocking, Morrow, and Perry counties. The assessment area is comprised of 62 low-, 97 moderate-, 131 middle-, and 121 upper-income tracts. There are also three tracts with no income designation that are primarily composed of correctional institutions, military establishments, education facilities, or medical establishments that do not report income information.

As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third ranked fourth out of 51 institutions with 8.7% of the deposit share. Huntington Bank had the majority of the market share with 31.4% of deposits, followed by JPMorgan Chase Bank and PNC Bank with 22.5% and 9.7% of the market share, respectively. Deposits in this assessment area accounted for 5.2% of the institution's total deposits. This was 31.2% of deposits within the state and the fourth-highest percentage of deposits within Fifth Third's CRA footprint.

From January 1, 2014 through June 30, 2016, Fifth Third originated 5,391 HMDA loans and 1,593 CRA loans, which represented 5.2% and 4.1% of the total loans originated during the evaluation period, respectively. This was the sixth-largest HMDA market and sixth-largest CRA market for loans originated during the evaluation period.

In 2015, Fifth Third Mortgage Company ranked sixth among 519 HMDA reporters in the assessment area, while Fifth Third ranked 47th. The top four HMDA lenders in the assessment area were Huntington Bank, Wells Fargo Bank, Union Savings Bank, and JPMorgan Chase Bank. Fifth Third ranked 10th of 109 CRA reporters in the assessment area in 2015. The top four CRA lenders in the assessment area were PNC Bank, American Express, Chase Bank USA, and U.S. Bank. These lenders are mostly issuers of credit cards and their CRA loans primarily consist of commercial credit card accounts.

Five community contact interviews were conducted to provide additional information regarding the assessment area. The first contact, representing an organization that helps attract and maintain local businesses and generate affordable housing, stated that economic conditions in the Columbus area are strong and home prices have returned to pre-economic crisis values. While financial institutions are actively involved in the community, the contact believed there are opportunities for banks to do a better job promoting affordable housing assistance program options. Education on down payments and closing costs, mortgage credit certificates, and homebuyer education are needed, along with other assistance programs that can help qualified lower-income homebuyers achieve successful home ownership.

The second contact, representing an affordable housing agency, stated that while central Ohio's economy is improving, the availability of affordable, decent housing for lower-income residents is not keeping pace. The contact indicated the market-rate rent in central Ohio is \$811 a month, which is likely not affordable for two people earning minimum wages. In addition, homelessness continues to increase in Franklin County. The contact noted several institutions, including local area banks, are involved in developing affordable housing in neighborhoods that have struggled with blight for years. The contact believed that area banks are actively involved in the community and recognize the need for decent affordable housing and specifically mentioned Nationwide and Huntington Bank as being actively involved with this organization.

The third contact, representing a Community Development Corporation (CDC), a nonprofit, community-based organization focused on revitalizing low-income, underserved neighborhoods in the Columbus area, stated that financial institutions in the area are open to making potential investments to the organization. The contact stated there are opportunities for financial institutions to receive CRA credit and provide tax credit financing that helps support various community development initiatives.

The fourth contact, representing an organization that works to create a better quality of life for local residents through housing and economic development, stated business economic development is a main area of focus. There are opportunities for financial institutions to provide assistance in the form of financial literacy training and low-cost small-dollar loans to individuals who would like to start a small business. Affordable housing is another key area of need in the community, as the contact stated that in older parts of Columbus, approximately 44.0% of the housing stock is 40 years or older. Therefore, there is a need for low-cost loans to help rehabilitate dilapidated housing stock within the city.

The last contact, representing an organization focusing on the needs of low- and moderate-income families, stated there is an increasing number of families in the greater Columbus area in need of assistance with paying their utility bills and rent and buying food and clothing. The contact noted there are more people in need of temporary housing through the Emergency Food and Shelter Program. The contact also stated that there are opportunities for banks to participate within the community by providing financial expertise on local boards or supporting community service projects. Overall, the contact believed area banks are doing an excellent job participating in the community.

Population Characteristics

According to the 2010 U.S. Census data, the population in the assessment area was 1.8 million, of which 30.3% lived in low- and moderate-income tracts. In addition, 75.3% of the population was 18 years of age or older, the legal age to enter into a contract.

As of July 1, 2016, Franklin County surpassed Cuyahoga County as Ohio's most populous county for the first time. According to the *Columbus Underground*, the data indicates that Franklin County has an estimated population of 1,264,518, with Cuyahoga County at 1,249,352.

Since 2011, Franklin County has steadily grown at an average rate of almost 17,000 persons per year, while Cuyahoga County has declined by an average of 4,000 persons per year. In 2015, the Columbus MSA surpassed the two-million population mark and is expected to surpass the Cleveland MSA population when the next set of population estimates becomes available.²⁵⁵

According to 2016 U.S. Census estimates,²⁵⁶ the Columbus MSA remains the third largest MSA in Ohio behind the Cincinnati and the Cleveland MSAs, respectively. The Columbus MSA is the 33rd largest MSA in the country. From 2010 to 2016, the Columbus MSA experienced a 7.3% increase in population. The Cincinnati MSA also experienced an increase in population of 2.4%, while the Cleveland MSA experienced a 1.0% drop in population during this timeframe.

According to 2015 U.S. Census data,²⁵⁷ Columbus (located in Franklin County) is the largest city in Ohio with 850,106 residents and the 15th largest city in the nation. From 2010 to 2015, Columbus experienced an 18.7% increase in population. The following table shows the population in the assessment area by county for 2010 and 2015, with the percentage of the population increase.²⁵⁸ The population within the assessment area increased between 2010 and 2015, with Delaware and Franklin counties experiencing the greatest growth and Licking, Madison, and Pickaway counties’ population remaining stable. According to Moody’s Analytics, robust population growth will continue to bolster private services and enable Columbus to continue to perform better than other parts of Ohio and the nation.

County	2010 Population	2015 Population	Population Percent Change
Delaware	174,214	193,013	10.8%
Fairfield	146,156	151,408	3.6%
Franklin	1,163,414	1,251,722	7.6%
Licking	166,492	166,492	0.0%
Madison	43,435	43,435	0.0%
Pickaway	55,698	55,698	0.0%
Union	52,300	54,277	3.8%
Total	1,801,709	1,916,045	6.3%

Income Characteristics

The 2010 assessment area median family income was higher (\$65,998) than Ohio at \$59,680. As shown in the table below, the median family income increased between 4.0-7.0% across the assessment area since 2010.

²⁵⁵ Evans, Walter. “Franklin County Now Largest in Ohio.” *Columbus Underground*. March 23, 2017. - <http://www.columbusunderground.com/franklin-county-now-largest-in-ohio-we1>

²⁵⁶ US Census Bureau: <https://factfinder.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productview.xhtml?src=bkmk>

²⁵⁷ US Census Bureau: <http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/table/PST045216/00> (main page – must enter state, county, city, town or zip code)

²⁵⁸ Population Estimates derived from U.S. Census Data (April 1, 2010 – July 1, 2015): <http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/table/PST045216/00>

**Borrower Income Levels
Columbus, OH (MSA)**

FFIEC Estimated Median Family Income		Low 0 - 49.99%	Moderate 50% - 79.99%	Middle 80% - 119.99%	Upper 120% - & above
2014	\$69,400	0 - \$34,699	\$34,700 - \$55,519	\$55,520 - \$83,279	\$83,280 - & above
2015	\$71,000	0 - \$35,499	\$35,500 - \$56,799	\$56,800 - \$85,199	\$85,200 - & above
2016	\$69,100	0 - \$34,549	\$34,550 - \$55,279	\$55,280 - \$82,919	\$82,920 - & above

Poverty rates increased in each county in the assessment area from 1999 to 2015.²⁵⁹ Franklin County had the highest poverty rate in 1999 and 2015. Delaware County had the lowest poverty rate in 1999 and 2015. In 2015, only Franklin County had a poverty rate higher than Ohio and Franklin County and Ohio had poverty rates that exceeded the national rate. However, Licking and Union counties experienced the largest increase in poverty rates during this period. According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the national poverty rate in 2015 was 13.5%, down 1.3 percentage points from 14.8% in 2014. For most demographic groups, the 2015 poverty rates and number of people in poverty decreased from 2014.²⁶⁰ The following table shows the poverty rates for 1999²⁶¹ and 2015.

County	1999 Poverty Rate	2015 Poverty Rate	Change
Delaware	3.8%	4.4%	15.8%
Fairfield	5.9%	9.1%	54.2%
Franklin	11.6%	17.1%	47.4%
Licking	7.5%	12.6%	68.0%
Madison	7.8%	9.3%	19.2%
Pickaway	9.5%	12.4%	30.5%
Union	4.6%	7.6%	65.2%
Ohio	10.6%	14.8%	39.6%
United States	11.8%	13.5%	14.4%

Two community contacts stated that homelessness is increasing and more families are in need of assistance in the Columbus area. As shown above, Franklin County has the highest poverty rate within the assessment area. Also, the surrounding more rural counties (Licking, Union, and Fairfield) experienced the greatest change in poverty rates in the assessment area in the last 16 years.

²⁵⁹ United States Department of Agriculture Economic Research Service Poverty Rates (for 1999 and 2015): <https://data.ers.usda.gov/reports.aspx?ID=17826>

²⁶⁰ 2015 National Poverty: <http://www.census.gov/library/publications/2016/demo/p60-256.html>

²⁶¹ 1999 National Poverty Rate: <http://www.census.gov/prod/2000pubs/p60-210.pdf>

Housing Characteristics

According to 2010 U.S. Census data, there are 770,131 housing units and 439,901 families in the assessment area. From an income perspective, 33.8% of housing units, 22.2% of owner-occupied units, and 27.7% of families are located in low- or moderate-income tracts. Over three-quarters of the housing units in the low-income census tracts are either rental or vacant (76.7%), and only 23.3% are owner-occupied. In the moderate-income census tracts, over half (54.5%) of the housing units are either rental or vacant, and 45.5% are owner-occupied. Therefore, based on the number of housing units compared to the number of families in low- and moderate-income census tracts, there appear to be more credit-related opportunities for Fifth Third to provide various aspects of affordable housing in moderate-income tracts compared to low-income tracts.

The 2010 U.S. Census data shows the median age of housing stock in the assessment area was 36 years old, with 18.3% of the stock built before 1950. The oldest housing stock was in Franklin County with a median age of 38 years, while the newest was 15 years in Delaware County. However, within the assessment area, the median age of housing stock was 54 years in low-income tracts and 46 years in moderate-income tracts, which indicates that there is ample opportunity to make home improvement loans. In addition, a community contact stated there is a need for small-dollar loans for home improvements/revitalization of older housing stock within the city limits, in addition to the need for homeownership and foreclosure prevention counseling for first-time homebuyers and lower-income individuals.

According to the 2010 U.S. Census data, the median housing value in the assessment area was \$163,938, with an affordability ratio of 32.23. The affordability ratio is derived by dividing the median household income by the median housing value. The higher the affordability ratio, the more affordable a home is considered. The median housing values decreased in four counties and increased in three counties between 2010 and 2011-2015, while household incomes increased. As a result, housing became more affordable across the assessment area. During the review period, the most affordable housing was in Union, Pickaway, and Madison counties and the least affordable in Franklin County. Median gross rents substantially increased across most of the assessment area, with renters in Delaware County experiencing the largest increase in rental rates and renters in Union County experiencing the smallest increase. In 2010, about 45.2% of renters across the assessment area had rent costs greater than 30.0% of income. Rising rental rates could make it more difficult for lower income individuals to find affordable housing, as increasing rental rates may make it more difficult for potential homebuyers to save enough for a down payment for a home. In addition, a community contact indicated that the market –rate rent (\$811) is likely not affordable for two people earning minimum wages. Several community contacts stated the level of decent, affordable housing for lower-income residents is not keeping pace with the area's growing needs. Contacts also specifically mentioned the need for banks to do more to promote affordable housing assistance program options to assist qualified lower-income homebuyers achieve successful homeownership and provide low-cost loans to help rehabilitate aged, dilapidated housing stock in the older parts of the city. The table below presents housing characteristics from the U.S. Census data between 2010 and 2015 in the assessment area and Ohio.

County	2010 Median Housing Value	2010 Affordability Ratio	2011-2015 Median Housing Value	2011-2015 Affordability Ratio	2010 Median Gross Rent	2011-2015 Median Gross Rent	Percent of Change
Delaware	\$252,700	34.79	\$256,800	35.81	\$781	\$953	22.0%
Fairfield	\$167,200	33.97	\$163,100	37.13	\$733	\$799	9.0%
Franklin	\$155,300	31.61	\$150,600	34.75	\$764	\$845	10.6%
Licking	\$152,600	34.92	\$152,200	37.15	\$682	\$760	11.4%
Madison	\$146,800	34.42	\$149,200	38.48	\$660	\$734	11.2%
Pickaway	\$144,800	34.02	\$147,700	38.89	\$702	\$747	6.4%
Union	\$174,800	39.16	\$171,200	39.36	\$762	\$805	5.6%
Ohio	\$136,400	34.72	\$129,900	38.05	\$678	\$730	7.7%

According to Bankrate.com,²⁶² Ohio ranked eighth for foreclosure filings in November 2016. The national average for foreclosure filings was 1 in every 1,533 housing units. The following table contains information about foreclosure filings in the assessment area, according to Realtytrac:²⁶³

Geography Name	Ratio of Properties Receiving Foreclosure Filings in November 2016
Delaware	1:1,769
Fairfield	1:1,900
Franklin	1:1,032
Licking	1:943
Madison	1:1,206
Pickaway	1:975
Union	1:1,283
Ohio	1:1,055
United States	1:1,533

In November 2016, Pickaway County had the highest rate of foreclosure and Fairfield County had the lowest foreclosure rate within the assessment area.

Building permits in the MSA, Ohio, and the nation are included in the following table for 2014, 2015, and 2016.²⁶⁴

²⁶² Bankrate.com: <http://www.bankrate.com/finance/real-estate/foreclosures-by-state/default.aspx>

²⁶³ Realtytrac: <http://www.realtytrac.com/statsandtrends/foreclosuretrends/>

²⁶⁴ U.S. Census Bureau Building Permits Survey: <http://www.census.gov/construction/bps/>

Geography	2014	2015	Percent of Change 2014-2015	2016	Percent of Change 2015-2016
Columbus MSA	7,052	7,555	7.1%	8,637	14.3%
Ohio	19,965	20,047	0.4%	22,816	13.8%
United States	1,052,124	1,182,582	12.4%	1,190,191	0.6%

The MSA experienced an increase in housing permits between 2014 and 2015 and a larger increase between 2015 and 2016. Based on the overall demand for permits and performance context indicating an improving housing market, this could indicate an increased demand for home purchase loans across the assessment area during the evaluation period. According to Moody’s Analytics, housing starts in Columbus grew much faster than those in the rest of Ohio and the U.S in 2016.

Labor, Employment, and Economic Characteristics

According to Moody’s Analytics, the Columbus area economy is in expansion and its economy is performing better than nearly all of its state peers and the nation. Professional services, healthcare, and the public sector continue to drive above-average gains in employment and income. Due to the stable presence of The Ohio State University (top area employer), Columbus’ workforce is the most educated of any Ohio metropolitan area and one of the most educated in the Midwest. The Ohio State University also serves as a magnet for out-of-area businesses and an incubator for startups due to its extensive research facilities. Additionally, low business costs in addition to a highly educated workforce also help Columbus to attract more out-of-area companies.

According to *Columbus Business First*,²⁶⁵ the Columbus metropolitan area is home to four Fortune 500 headquarters. In the past year, L Brands climbed 28 places, American Electric Company 19 places, followed by Nationwide climbing 15 places, and Cardinal Health climbing five places on the list.


Central Ohio Fortune 500 Companies (2016)		
Rank	Company	Revenue
21	Cardinal Health	\$102.1 billion
69	Nationwide Mutual Insurance Co.	\$40.1 billion
165	American Electric Power Company	\$16.4 billion
234	L Brands Inc.	\$12.5 billion

²⁶⁵ Columbus Business First: <http://www.bizjournals.com/columbus/news/2017/06/07/25-ohio-companies-make-new-fortune-500-list-with.html>

According to Moody’s Analytics, top employers in the assessment area in 2015/2016 were:

Company	Number of Employees
The Ohio State University	27,656
JPMorgan Chase & Co.	20,475
OhioHealth	19,182
The Kroger Co.	17,397
Nationwide	12,433
Honda of America Manufacturing Inc.	10,701
Mount Carmel Health System	8,410
Nationwide Children’s Hospital	7,822
McDonald’s Corp.	7,622
L Brands Inc.	7,100
Huntington Bancshares Inc.	5,500
Giant Eagle Inc.	4,600
Cardinal Health Inc.	4,318
American Electric Power Company	3,578

The following table illustrates the average unemployment rates for 2014, 2015, and June 2016 for the counties in the assessment area, MSA, and Ohio.



Overall, unemployment rates declined in 2015 and slightly increased in 2016, but to levels below 2014 unemployment rates across the assessment area. The assessment area had overall lower unemployment rates than Ohio during this period.

According to *The Toledo Blade*, The Andersons Inc. (Lucas County-based agribusiness) filed its official notice with the state of Ohio that it will close its two Toledo area and two Columbus area general stores. The company's closings will affect 1,050 retail workers; 363 jobs will end at the company's Brice and Sawmill general stores in Columbus. The company announced that its retail business lost \$20 million in the past eight years and it would shutter the (retail) stores no later than early June.²⁶⁶

²⁶⁶ Blade Staff. "Andersons files official notice of store layoffs." *The Toledo Blade*. February 11, 2017. - <https://www.toledoblade.com/Retail/2017/02/11/Andersons-files-official-notice-of-store-layoffs.html>

Combined Demographics Report

Assessment Area: OH Columbus

Income Categories	Tract Distribution		Families by Tract Income		Families < Poverty Level as % of Families by Tract		Families by Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	62	15	36,180	8.2	13,168	36.4	92,194	21
Moderate-income	97	23.4	85,755	19.5	14,189	16.5	74,503	16.9
Middle-income	131	31.6	157,827	35.9	12,184	7.7	89,933	20.4
Upper-income	121	29.2	160,139	36.4	4,407	2.8	183,271	41.7
Unknown-income	3	0.7	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	414	100.0	439,901	100.0	43,948	10.0	439,901	100.0
	Housing	Housing Types by Tract						
	Units by Tract	Owner-Occupied			Rental		Vacant	
		#	%	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	95,255	22,231	5.1	23.3	51,114	53.7	21,910	23
Moderate-income	165,382	75,202	17.1	45.5	66,998	40.5	23,182	14
Middle-income	270,649	163,263	37.2	60.3	84,671	31.3	22,715	8.4
Upper-income	238,772	178,656	40.7	74.8	44,890	18.8	15,226	6.4
Unknown-income	73	0	0	0	73	100	0	0
Total Assessment Area	770,131	439,352	100.0	57.0	247,746	32.2	83,033	10.8
	Total Businesses by Tract	Businesses by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	6,822	7.8	6,050	7.6	745	11.3	27	5.4
Moderate-income	15,754	18.1	14,303	17.9	1,310	19.9	141	28
Middle-income	28,032	32.2	25,868	32.3	1,987	30.2	177	35.1
Upper-income	36,347	41.7	33,721	42.1	2,469	37.6	157	31.2
Unknown-income	232	0.3	170	0.2	60	0.9	2	0.4
Total Assessment Area	87,187	100.0	80,112	100.0	6,571	100.0	504	100.0
	Percentage of Total Businesses:			91.9		7.5		.6
	Total Farms by Tract	Farms by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	24	1.2	23	1.2	1	4.3	0	0
Moderate-income	170	8.8	165	8.6	5	21.7	0	0
Middle-income	1,141	58.8	1,131	59	10	43.5	0	0
Upper-income	605	31.2	598	31.2	7	30.4	0	0
Unknown-income	1	0.1	1	0.1	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	1,941	100.0	1,918	100.0	23	100.0	0	.0
	Percentage of Total Farms:			98.8		1.2		.0

**CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN
THE COLUMBUS OH MSA**

Lending Test

Fifth Third’s performance under the lending test in this assessment area is rated excellent. Fifth Third has demonstrated an excellent responsiveness to the credit needs of the community. Additionally, Fifth Third originated 61 community development loans totaling \$313.5 million. Fifth Third has an excellent geographic distribution of loans and minimal lending gaps. Fifth Third has an excellent distribution among borrowers of different income levels and to businesses of different revenue sizes. Fifth Third exhibits an excellent record of serving the credit needs of highly economically disadvantaged areas in its assessment area, low-income individuals, and businesses with gross annual revenues of \$1 million or less. The excellent level of community development loans and extensive use of flexible lending practices augmented Fifth Third’s performance in this assessment area.

Greatest consideration was given to the evaluation of home purchase lending based on the overall volume of lending, followed by refinance, small business, and home improvement lending. Details of Fifth Third’s residential mortgage and small business lending, as well as information regarding lending by peers, can be found in Appendix E.

Lending Activity

Fifth Third’s lending activity reflects an excellent responsiveness to the credit needs within the assessment area. Fifth Third originated 2,853 home purchase, 2,339 refinance, 195 home improvement, 1,593 small business, and 61 community development loans during the evaluation period. The percentage of Fifth Third’s total lending at 4.9% is less than the percentage of total deposits at 5.2% in this area.

Fifth Third made 98.4% of the HMDA and 99.5% of the CRA lending within its designated assessment area. No concentrations of lending were identified in any of the excluded counties within the assessment area.

In addition to lending, Fifth Third modified existing loans to borrowers. Refer to the distribution of HAMP and other real-estate secured modifications within the assessment area by census tract income and by borrower income.

	Distribution by Census Tract Income							
	Low		Mod		Middle		Upper	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Other Real Estate Secured Modifications	18	6.3%	72	25.1%	130	45.3%	67	23.3%
<i>Percentage of Owner Occupied Units</i>		<i>5.1%</i>		<i>17.1%</i>		<i>37.2%</i>		<i>40.7%</i>

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

	Distribution by Borrower Income							
	Low		Mod		Middle		Upper	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Other Real Estate Secured Modifications	75	26.1%	96	33.4%	81	28.2%	33	11.5%
Percentage of Families by Family Income		21.0%		16.9%		20.4%		41.7%

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

There were not enough HAMP modifications for a meaningful analysis. The percentage of other modifications in low- and moderate-income tracts exceeded the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies. Therefore, modifications helped to expand lending activities in these areas. The percentage of other modifications made to low- and moderate-income borrowers exceeded the percentage of low- and moderate-income families. Therefore, modifications enhanced Fifth Third’s ability to reach low- and moderate-income borrowers.

Geographic Distribution of Loans

Fifth Third’s overall distribution of lending among geographies is excellent. Home purchase lending, the largest loan category, is excellent. Refinance and home improvement lending are also excellent. Small business lending is good. There is also an overall low level of lending gaps. The following gaps in lending were noted in the assessment area:

Tract Income Levels	Number of Tracts	Tracts with No Loans	Penetration
Low	62	3	95.2%
Moderate	97	0	100.0%
Middle	131	0	100.0%
Upper	121	0	100.0%
Unknown	3	2	33.3%
Total	414	5	98.8%

Lending gaps are considered minimal. The penetration rate in low-income tracts is 95.2% and there are no lending gaps in moderate-, middle-, and upper-income tracts.

Home Purchase Loans

Fifth Third made 171 home purchase loans totaling \$16.9 million in low-income tracts. This represents 6.0% of home purchase loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 5.1%, and 3.4% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate at 2.6% and significantly exceeded the 2015 aggregate at 2.9%. As Fifth Third’s performance exceeded proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of home purchase loans in low-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 539 home purchase loans totaling \$56.5 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 18.9% of home purchase loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 17.1%, and 11.3% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate at 12.8% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate at 13.3%. As Fifth Third's performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and proxy, the geographic distribution of home purchase loans in moderate-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 905 home purchase loans totaling \$126.6 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 31.7% of home purchase loans by volume, which is below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 37.2%, and 25.3% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 35.9% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 35.6%.

Fifth Third made 1,238 home purchase loans totaling \$300.9 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 43.4% of home purchase loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 40.7%, and 60.1% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 48.8% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 48.2%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of home purchase loans is excellent.

Refinance Loans

Fifth Third made 138 refinance loans totaling \$10.7 million in low-income tracts. This represents 5.9% of refinance loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 5.1%, and 2.9% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate at 3.5% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate at 2.5%. Since Fifth Third's performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and proxy, the geographic distribution of refinance loans in low-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 381 refinance loans totaling \$35.3 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 16.3% of refinance loans by volume, which is comparable to the owner-occupied units in these tracts at 17.1%, and 9.6% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate at 14.2% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate at 11.6%. As Fifth Third's performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and was comparable to proxy, the geographic distribution of refinance loans in moderate-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 740 refinance loans totaling \$89.6 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 31.6% of refinance loans by volume, which is below the owner-occupied units in these tracts at 37.2%, and 24.3% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate at 36.8% and was below the 2015 aggregate at 34.1%.

Fifth Third made 1,080 refinance loans totaling \$232.6 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 46.2% of refinance loans by volume, which exceeds the owner-occupied units in these tracts at 40.7%, and 63.2% by dollar amount, which significantly exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate at 45.5% and was below the 2015 aggregate at 51.9%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of refinance loans is excellent.

Home Improvement Loans

Fifth Third made 14 home improvement loans totaling \$458,000 in low-income tracts. This represents 7.2% of home improvement loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 5.1%, and 2.5% by dollar amount, which is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 4.0% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 3.6%. Given the median age of housing stock at 54 years in low-income tracts, which typically indicates the need for home improvement loans and Fifth Third's performance, which exceeded proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of home improvement loans in low-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 33 home improvement loans totaling \$1.6 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 16.9% of home improvement loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 17.1%, and 8.8% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 14.9% and the 2015 aggregate of 15.3%. As Fifth Third's performance was comparable to proxy and exceeded the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of home improvement loans in moderate-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 68 home improvement loans totaling \$4.8 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 34.9% of home improvement loans by volume, which is below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 37.2%, and 26.8% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 38.5% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 37.5%.

Fifth Third made 80 home improvement loans totaling \$11.2 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 41.0% of home improvements loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 40.7%, and 61.8%, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 42.6% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 43.6%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of home improvement loans is excellent.

Small Business Loans

Fifth Third made 117 small business loans totaling \$19.6 million in low-income tracts. This represents 7.3% of small business loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 7.6%, and 12.5% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 6.8% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 7.5%. Given that Fifth Third's performance was comparable to proxy, the geographic distribution of small business loans in low-income tracts is good.

Fifth Third made 196 small business loans totaling \$31.6 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 12.3% of small business loans by volume, which is below the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 17.9%, and 20.1% by dollar volume, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was significantly below the 2014 aggregate of 21.6% and was significantly below the 2015 aggregate of 22.8%. Given that Fifth Third's performance was significantly below the aggregate of all lenders and below proxy, the geographic distribution of small business loans in moderate-income tracts is adequate.

Fifth Third made 442 small business loans totaling \$45.3 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 27.7% of small business loans by volume, which is below the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 32.3%, and 28.8% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 28.0% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 27.5%.

Fifth Third made 835 small business loans totaling \$60.4 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 52.4% of small business loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 42.1%, and 38.5% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 42.4% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 41.0%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of small business loans is good.

Distribution by Borrower Income and Revenue Size of the Business

The distribution of loans is excellent based on borrower income and for businesses of different revenue sizes. Borrower distribution is excellent for home purchase and home improvement lending, and borrower distribution is good for refinance lending.

Home Purchase Loans

Fifth Third made 577 loans totaling \$51.1 million to low-income borrowers. This represents 20.2% of home purchase loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of low-income families at 21.0%, and 10.2% by dollar amount, which is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 7.0% and significantly exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 8.2%. Because Fifth Third's performance significantly exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and was comparable to proxy, the borrower distribution of home purchase loans to low-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 628 loans totaling \$75.3 million to moderate-income borrowers. This represents 22.0% of home purchase loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of moderate-income families at 16.9%, and 15.0% by dollar amount, which is comparable to proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 18.7% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 18.8%. As Fifth Third's performance exceeded proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, the borrower distribution of home purchase loans to moderate-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 515 loans totaling \$79.4 million to middle-income borrowers. This represents 18.1% of home purchase loans by volume, which is below the percentage of middle-income families at 20.4%, and 15.9% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 19.6% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 20.4%.

Fifth Third made 1,024 loans totaling \$276.3 million to upper-income borrowers. This represents 35.9% of home purchase loans by volume, which is below the percentage of upper-income families at 41.7%, and 55.2% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 34.2% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 33.9%.

The overall borrower distribution of home purchase loans is excellent.

Refinance Loans

Fifth Third made 322 loans totaling \$28.4 million to low-income borrowers. This represents 13.8% of refinance loans by volume, which is below percentage of low-income families at 21.0%, and 7.7% by dollar volume, which is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 7.4% and significantly exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 5.6%. Given Fifth Third's performance significantly exceeded the aggregate of all lenders, but was below proxy, the borrower distribution of refinance loans to low-income borrowers is good.

Fifth Third made 445 loans totaling \$43.9 million to moderate-income borrowers. This represents 19.0% of refinance loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of moderate-income families at 16.9%, and 11.9% by dollar volume, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 15.1% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 12.6%. As Fifth Third's performance exceeded proxy and aggregate lenders, borrower distribution of refinance loans to moderate-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 516 loans totaling \$63.7 million to middle-income borrowers. This represents 22.1% of refinance loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of middle-income families at 20.4%, and 17.3% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 19.3% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 18.9%.

Fifth Third made 953 loans totaling \$213.5 million to upper-income borrowers. This represents 40.7% of refinance loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of upper-income families at 41.7%, while the percentage of loans by dollar amount at 58.0% significantly exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 38.2% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 39.3%.

Overall, the borrower distribution of refinance loans is good.

Home Improvement Loans

Fifth Third made 37 loans totaling \$2.4 million to low-income borrowers. This represents 19.0% of home improvement loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of low-income families at 21.0%, and 13.2% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume significantly exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 9.9% and significantly exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 8.6%. Given that Fifth Third's performance significantly exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and was comparable to proxy, the borrower distribution of home improvement loans to low-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 47 loans totaling \$2.3 million to moderate-income borrowers. This represents 24.1% of its home improvement loans by volume, which substantially exceeds the percentage of moderate-income families at 16.9%, and 12.8% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 18.8% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 18.2%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeds the aggregate of all lenders and proxy, the borrower distribution of home improvement loans to moderate-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 43 loans totaling \$3.4 million to middle-income borrowers. This represents 22.1% of home improvement loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of middle-income families at 20.4%, and 18.7% by dollar amount, which is slightly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate of 20.5% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 20.7%.

Fifth Third made 67 loans totaling \$9.9 million to upper-income borrowers. This represents 34.4% of home improvement loans by volume, which is significantly below the percentage of upper-income families at 41.7%, and 54.6% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below 2014 aggregate of 44.2% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 45.0%.

Overall, the borrower distribution of home improvement loans is excellent.

Small Business Loans

The distribution of small business loans to businesses of different sizes is excellent, considering Fifth Third's performance relative to the aggregate of all lenders. Fifth Third was able to make 62.9% of small business loans to businesses with revenues of \$1 million or less.

Fifth Third’s performance exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 36.4% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 39.5%, but was significantly below the percentage of small businesses in the assessment area at 91.9%. Also, during the evaluation period, Fifth Third was able to make a relatively high percentage of small-dollar loans (79.0%) up to \$100,000, indicating a willingness to lend in smaller amounts typically requested by small businesses. In addition, a community contact indicated there is a need to assist entrepreneurs in starting businesses.

Community Development Loans

Fifth Third originated 61 community development loans totaling \$313.5 million during the evaluation period as shown in the table below:

Affordable Housing		Economic Development		Revitalization and Stabilization		Community Services	
#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$
8	60,049,891	14	91,250,000	35	160,592,816	4	1,615,066

Community development lending in the assessment area represents 5.2% of the total dollar volume of community development loans originated Fifth Third during the evaluation period. This ranks as Fifth Third’s fourth highest percentage of community development lending during the evaluation period. Fifth Third’s performance is especially strong because of the high competition for community development loans and a number of large national banks in the area. As such, Fifth Third is considered a leader in community development lending.

Examples of community development lending include, but are not limited to:

- A renewal of line of credit for Habitat for Humanity Mid-Ohio
- A renewal of a revolving line of credit to a limited housing partnership that provides 72 units of affordable housing for the elderly earning less than 60.0% of the area median income/Medicaid eligible
- Multiple working capital loans that promote economic development by financing businesses to support job retention, add new jobs, and promote growth to continue operations in low- and moderate-income geographies (20 jobs added and over 50 jobs saved)
- Multiple working capital loans to help retain and expand businesses located in areas specifically designated by the city to promote revitalization and economic development

The affordable housing and revitalization loans and loans that provide services to low- and moderate-income areas were deemed to be responsive, as community contacts specifically mentioned the need for these types of loans. A community contact also mentioned the need to revitalize the blighted areas of Columbus.

Flexible Lending Programs

Fifth Third had 1,155 flexible lending loans in this assessment area: 656 government loans, 72 down payment assistance loans, and 427 other flexible lending programs. The following tables show the percentage by volume and by dollar amount of the three types of flexible lending programs made in this assessment area during the evaluation period and the distribution of Fifth Third’s flexible lending programs within the assessment area by census tract income and by borrower income.

Flexible Loan Programs												
	Geographic Distribution											
	Low			Moderate			Middle			Upper		
	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units
Government Loan Programs	1.8%	1.2%	5.1%	18.8%	12.5%	17.1%	47.0%	43.1%	37.2%	32.5%	43.3%	40.7%
Down Payment Assistance Programs	52.8%	45.2%	5.1%	23.6%	24.9%	17.1%	16.7%	21.0%	37.2%	6.9%	8.8%	40.7%
Other Flexible Lending Programs	8.9%	5.3%	5.1%	24.4%	16.9%	17.1%	35.8%	35.2%	37.2%	30.9%	42.5%	40.7%
Total	7.6%	4.2%	5.1%	21.1%	14.4%	17.1%	41.0%	39.7%	37.2%	30.3%	41.7%	40.7%

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

Flexible Loan Programs												
	Borrower Distribution											
	Low			Moderate			Middle			Upper		
	% - #	% - \$	% Fam	% - #	% - \$	% Fam	% - #	% - \$	% Fam	% - #	% - \$	% Fam
Government Loan Programs	15.4%	9.0%	21.0%	33.7%	27.9%	16.9%	21.8%	23.0%	20.4%	23.9%	34.8%	41.7%
Down Payment Assistance Programs	52.8%	52.5%	21.0%	25.0%	23.6%	16.9%	9.7%	10.5%	20.4%	8.3%	8.7%	41.7%
Other Flexible Lending Programs	16.9%	15.8%	21.0%	23.2%	19.5%	16.9%	22.0%	20.5%	20.4%	29.5%	36.4%	41.7%
Total	18.3%	12.9%	21.0%	29.3%	25.1%	16.9%	21.1%	21.7%	20.4%	25.0%	34.3%	41.7%

Fifth Third’s lending in low-income tracts, by number exceeded the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts; however, lending by dollar amount was below proxy. The number and dollar amount of down payment assistance programs significantly exceeded the overall percentage of lending in low-income tracts. The percentage of lending by volume in moderate-income tracts exceeded the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies, while the percentage of lending by dollar amount was below proxy. The number and dollar amounts of down payment assistance programs exceeded the overall percentage of lending in moderate-income tracts.

Fifth Third’s lending by volume and dollar amount to low-income borrowers was below percentage of low-income families in the assessment area.

The percentage of lending by volume and dollar amount to moderate-income borrowers exceeded the percentage of moderate-income families, especially for government loan programs.

Fifth Third made extensive use of flexible lending practices in serving assessment area credit needs, as lending through flexible loan programs to moderate-income borrowers was good and lending in low- and moderate-income tracts and to low-income borrowers was excellent. In addition, a community contact indicated the need for down payment and closing cost assistance programs to assist qualified lower-income homebuyers achieve successful homeownership.

Investment Test

Fifth Third’s performance relative to the investment test in the assessment area is rated good.

Fifth Third made a relatively high level of qualified community development investments and grants, particularly those not routinely provided by private investors. As such, Fifth Third was occasionally in a leadership position. Fifth Third has 411 qualified investments totaling \$61.5 million during the evaluation period. Shown in the table below are the total current period investments:

Affordable Housing		Economic Development		Revitalization and Stabilization		Community Services	
#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$
221	25,417,612	9	26,100	3	12,500	122	676,378

Also included in the total number of qualified investments are 56 prior period investments totaling \$35.4 million. Fifth Third made 3.8% of its total community development investments in this assessment area, which is less than the percentage of total deposits at 5.2% and less than the percentage of branch offices at 4.3%.

Fifth Third exhibits a good responsiveness to credit and community development needs in the assessment area, including investments in affordable housing throughout the assessment area, which was an important need expressed by several community contacts. Fifth Third made 148 donations totaling \$830,278 that supported chambers of commerce, small businesses, churches, social and charitable organizations. The majority of Fifth Third’s donations (81.5%) supported services to low- and moderate-income individuals, in particular to the United Way of Central Ohio. A community contact indicated there are an increasing number of families in need of various types of assistance in the Columbus area.

Service Test

Fifth Third’s performance under the service test in this assessment area is rated good. Retail services are accessible and Fifth Third a relatively high level of providing community development services.

Retail Services

Fifth Third's record of opening and closing offices has not adversely affected the accessibility of its delivery systems, particularly in low- and moderate-income geographies and to low- and moderate-income households. Since the previous evaluation, no banking centers were opened and two were closed, resulting in no net change in the number of banking centers in low- and moderate-income tracts. Delivery services are accessible to Fifth Third's geographies and individuals of different income levels.

Business hours and services provided do not vary in a way that inconveniences certain portions of the assessment area, including low- and moderate-income geographies or households, and are consistent with the services and hours discussed in the "Institution" assessment.

Fifth Third maintains 56 banking centers within this assessment area, including three in low-, 15 in moderate-, 15 in middle-, and 23 in upper-income census tracts. Fifth Third banking centers in this assessment area represent 4.3% of all its banking centers.

Fifth Third has a total of 90 full-service ATMs within this assessment area, including four in low-, 30 in moderate-, 22 in middle-, and 31 in upper-income census tracts. There are also three full-service ATMs located in unknown income tracts.

The following table illustrates the percentage of banking centers and ATMs in low-, moderate-, middle-, and upper-income census tracts in comparison to the number and percentage of census tracts and the percentage of households and businesses in those tracts.

Geographic Distribution of Branches & ATMS

Assessment Area: OH Columbus

Tract Category	Branches				Stand Alone ATMs						Demographics				
	Total Branches		Open #	Closed #	Total ATMs		Full Service ATMs		Cash only ATMs		Census Tracts		House holds %	Total Businesses %	
	#	%			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%			
Low	3	5.4%	0	0	Total	5	4.5%	4	4.4%	1	4.8%	62	15.0%	10.7%	7.8%
Moderate	15	26.8%	0	0	Total	33	29.7%	30	33.3%	3	14.3%	97	23.4%	20.7%	18.0%
Middle	15	26.8%	0	0	Total	25	22.5%	22	24.4%	3	14.3%	131	31.6%	36.1%	31.9%
Upper	23	41.1%	0	2	Total	42	37.8%	31	34.4%	11	52.4%	121	29.2%	32.5%	42.0%
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0	Total	6	5.4%	3	3.3%	3	14.3%	3	0.7%	0.0%	0.3%
Total	56	100.0%	0	2	Total	111	100.0%	90	100.0%	21	100.0%	414	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

2016 FFIEC Census Data, 2010 ACS Data, and 2015 D&B Information

Closed branches/ATMs are only included in "closed" columns and are not included in any other totals.

DTO - Drive thru only is a subset of total branches

SA = Stand Alone ATM is a subset of total ATMs

Branch distribution within low-income tracts was considered adequate, as the distribution of branches was below the percentage of census tracts and households in these tracts. However, the branch distribution within moderate-income tracts was considered excellent.

Community Development Services

Fifth Third has a relatively high level of providing community development services in this assessment area. During the evaluation period, Fifth Third employees provided 5,397 hours of community development service to local organizations serving low- and moderate-income individuals, which represents 4.5% of all community development services provided and equates to 2.59 annualized persons (ANP).

Affordable Housing	Economic Development	Revitalization and Stabilization	Community Services
<i># of Hours</i>	<i># of Hours</i>	<i># of Hours</i>	<i># of Hours</i>
294	289	290	4,524

Employees provided financial expertise through leadership positions in multiple community organizations that provide affordable housing and promote community and economic development and area revitalization and stabilization. Community development services include 3,213 hours of providing financial literacy through local nonprofits and school programs, 1,813 hours serving on boards and committees, 191 hours providing technical assistance to non-profits, and 180 hours participating in foreclosure prevention outreach.

Fifth Third is considered particularly responsive with regard to hours dedicated to financial literacy, as several community contacts mentioned the need for financial literacy training to assist first-time homebuyers and support for affordable housing.

METROPOLITAN and NON-METROPOLITAN AREAS
(Limited-scope Review)

DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION'S OPERATIONS IN THE STATE OF OHIO

- **Dayton-Springfield-Sidney CSA**
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third operated 43 branches in the assessment area, representing 17.6% of its branches in Ohio.
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third had \$3.1 million in deposits in this assessment area, representing a market share of 24.5% and 17.5% of it statewide deposits.
- **Lima MSA**
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third operated three branches in the assessment area, representing 1.2% of its branches in Ohio.
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third had \$144,302 in deposits in this assessment area, representing a market share of 8.0% and 0.8% of it statewide deposits.
- **Non-metropolitan Northwest Ohio**
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third operated 18 branches in the assessment area, representing 7.3% of its branches in Ohio.
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third had \$1.1 million in deposits in this assessment area, representing a market share of 8.6% and 6.0% of it statewide deposits.
- **Non-metropolitan Southwest Ohio**
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third operated 15 branches in the assessment area, representing 6.1% of its branches in Ohio.
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third had \$627,041 in deposits in this assessment area, representing a market share of 9.4% and 3.6% of it statewide deposits.
- **Toledo MSA**
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third operated 27 branches in the assessment area, representing 11.0% of its branches in Ohio.
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third had \$2.4 million in deposits in this assessment area, representing a market share of 23.5% and 13.5% of it statewide deposits.

**CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN
THE STATE OF OHIO**

Through the use of available facts and data, including performance and demographic information, each assessment area's performance was evaluated and compared with Fifth Third's performance in the state. The conclusions regarding performance are provided in the table below. Please refer to the tables in Appendix F for information regarding these areas.

Assessment Area	Lending Test	Investment Test	Service Test
Dayton-Springfield-Sidney CSA	Below	Below	Below
Lima MSA	Below	Below	Consistent
Non-metropolitan Northwest Ohio	Below	Below	Consistent
Non-metropolitan Southwest Ohio	Below	Consistent	Consistent
Toledo MSA	Below	Below	Consistent

For the lending test, Fifth Third received an “Outstanding” rating in Ohio. Performance in all five limited-scope assessment areas was below Fifth Third’s performance for the state. Although below the state performance, the geographic and borrower distribution of loans was excellent in the Dayton-Springfield-Sidney assessment area and good in the remaining four assessment areas. The level of community development loans was good in the Dayton-Springfield-Sidney and Toledo assessment areas and adequate in the remaining three assessment areas. Overall, a low level of lending gaps was noted in all five limited-scope assessment areas.

For the investment test, Fifth Third received an “Outstanding” rating in Ohio. While the investment activity was below the performance for the state in all five limited-scope assessment areas, investment activity was adequate in the Lima assessment area and good in the remaining four assessment areas. The weaker performance was primarily due to a lower level of qualified investments and contributions relative to Fifth Third’s operational presence in the assessment area.

For the service test, Fifth Third received a “High Satisfactory” rating in Ohio. Performance was consistent with Fifth Third’s performance for the state in four of the five limited-scope assessment areas, while services performance in the Dayton-Springfield-Sidney assessment area was below performance for the state. Retail services were good in the non-metropolitan Northwest Ohio, non-metropolitan Southwest Ohio, and the Toledo assessment areas and adequate in the remaining two assessment areas. The stronger retail services performance in the non-metropolitan areas and in the Toledo assessment areas was primarily due to greater accessibility of delivery systems in lower-income geographies. Qualified community development services were excellent in three limited-scope assessment areas and good in the Dayton-Springfield and non-metropolitan Southwest Ohio assessment areas. The weaker community development services performance was primarily due to a lower level of hours dedicated to providing qualified services relative to Fifth Third’s operational presence in these assessment areas.

The performance in the limited-scope assessment areas did not change the overall state rating.

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

CRA RATING for Commonwealth of Pennsylvania: Satisfactory

The lending test is rated: High Satisfactory

The investment test is rated: Outstanding

The service test is rated: Low Satisfactory

The major factors supporting this rating include:

- An adequate responsiveness to the credit needs of the community;
- A good geographic distribution of loans throughout the assessment area;
- An adequate distribution among borrowers of different income levels and to businesses of different revenue sizes;
- Exhibits a good record of serving the credit needs of low-income individuals and areas and very small businesses;
- A relatively high level of community development loans;
- An excellent level of qualified community development investments and grants;
- Often in a leadership position in providing community development investments and grants;
- Retail delivery systems are accessible to all geographies and individuals of different income levels and businesses of different revenue sizes;
- Banking services and hours that do not vary in a way that inconveniences any portions of the assessment areas; and,
- An adequate level of community development services.

SCOPE OF EXAMINATION

A full-scope review was conducted for the Pittsburgh MSA assessment area, which represents Fifth Third's entire banking operations for Pennsylvania. The time period, products, and affiliates evaluated for this assessment area are consistent with the scope discussed in the Institution section of this report.

METROPOLITAN AREA
(Full-scope Review)

**DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION'S OPERATIONS IN THE
PITTSBURGH PA MSA #38300**

The Pittsburgh PA MSA consists of Allegheny County and portions of Washington and Westmoreland counties. Fifth Third's assessment area excludes Armstrong, Beaver, Butler, and Fayette counties. The assessment area is comprised of 38 low-, 104 moderate-, 174 middle-, and 122 upper-income tracts. There are also 13 tracts with no income designation that are primarily composed of correctional institutions, military establishments, education facilities, or medical establishments that do not report income information.

Fifth Third exited the Pittsburgh market as of April 22, 2016; therefore, 2015 Summary of Deposit information was used to determine the appropriate deposit market share for this assessment area. Additionally, the branches that were open during this review period were evaluated. As of June 30, 2015, Fifth Third ranked 15th out of 45 institutions with 0.7% of the deposit share. PNC Bank had the majority of the market share 50.9% of deposits, followed by The Bank of New York Mellon, Citizens Bank of Pennsylvania, BNY Mellon (PA), and Dollar Bank FSB with 9.9%, 7.8%, 7.2%, and 3.5% of the market share, respectively. Deposits in this assessment area accounted for 0.7% of the institution's total deposits. This was the 26th highest percentage of deposits within Fifth Third's CRA footprint.

From January 1, 2014 through June 30, 2016, Fifth Third originated 458 HMDA loans and 140 CRA loans, which represented 0.4% of the total loans originated during the evaluation period, respectively. This was the 35th largest HMDA market and 35th largest CRA market for loans originated during the evaluation period.

In 2015, Fifth Third Mortgage Company ranked 47th among 447 HMDA reporters in the assessment area, while Fifth Third ranked 100th. The top four HMDA lenders in the assessment area were Wells Fargo Bank, Dollar Bank FSB, PNC Bank, and Howard Hanna Financial Services. Fifth Third ranked 26th of 102 CRA reporters in the assessment area in 2015. The top four CRA lenders in the assessment area were PNC Bank, American Express, Capital One, and Synchrony Bank. These lenders are mostly issuers of credit cards and their CRA loans primarily consist of commercial credit card accounts.

Three community contact interviews were conducted to provide additional information regarding the assessment area. The first contact, representing a small business development corporation, stated that one of the overall challenges facing Westmoreland County is its rapidly aging population. Approximately 28.0% of population is projected to be age 65 or older in 2020. The effects of a larger elderly population are a decline in consumer spending, a shrinking tax base, and an increased demand for services. The contact stated that while there is an active banking community in the area, small businesses are still finding it challenging to obtain loans as a result of the current regulatory environment to which banks are bound. The contact indicated there is a need for businesses to be able to obtain small-dollar loans with minimal fees in order to better meet daily operational needs.

The second contact, representing an organization that provides workforce development, stated there are opportunities for financial institutions to participate in economic development initiatives to help create jobs. More available jobs are being posted online for the Pittsburgh region; openings for heavy and tractor-trailer drivers, sales representatives, and registered nurses topped the list for occupations wanted. Nearly half the available jobs require a bachelor's degree and over a quarter require high school and career training.

The third contact, representing an economic development organization, indicated the Pittsburgh area is well-served by a multitude of community banks and larger financial institutions. Overall, community development initiatives are being supported. The contact believed local banks seem more willing and able to lend and provide services in the community than the larger regional financial institutions.

Population Characteristics

According to the 2010 U.S. Census data, the population in the assessment area was 1.4 million. Less than a quarter (23.4%) of the population lived in low- and moderate-income tracts. In addition, 80.0% of the population was 18 years of age or older, the legal age to enter into a contract. According to Moody's Analytics, the Pittsburgh MSA has an older-than-average population. The share of the population age 65 and older in Pennsylvania is four percentage points higher than the U.S. average. A community contact also mentioned the aging population in Westmoreland County as becoming an increasing concern from an economic perspective.

As of July 1, 2015, the Pittsburgh MSA is the 26th largest in terms of population in the nation.²⁶⁷ Allegheny County is the largest county in the assessment area and the second-largest county in Pennsylvania.²⁶⁸ Pittsburgh is the largest city in this county with 304,391 residents and the second-most populous city in Pennsylvania. Pittsburgh is the 63rd largest city in the United States; its population decreased by 8.7% between 2000 and 2015.²⁶⁹ Washington County is the tenth-largest county in Pennsylvania and Greensburg is the largest city in the county, with 14,495 residents. Westmoreland County is the 18th largest county in Pennsylvania and Washington is the largest city in the county with 13,497 residents. According to Moody's Analytics, the Pittsburgh MSA has struggled to retain population and continues to experience weak and worsening out-migration trends in spite of increasing foreign immigration.

The following table shows the population in the assessment area by county for 2010 and 2015, with the percentage of the population increase or decrease.²⁷⁰ The population within the assessment area remained relatively stable between 2010 and 2015, with Westmoreland County experiencing negative growth in population during this time period.

²⁶⁷ MSA population data is derived from the U.S. Census Data 2015 Statistical Abstract:

<https://factfinder.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productview.xhtml?src=bkmk>

²⁶⁸ U.S. Places: <http://us-places.com> (main page – enter state, choose population by county)

²⁶⁹ U.S. Census QuickFacts: <https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/> (main page – enter state, county, city, town, or zip code)

²⁷⁰ Population Estimates derived from U.S. Census Data (April 1, 2010 – July 1, 2015):

<http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/table/PST045216/00>

County	2010 Population	2015 Population	Population Percent Change
Allegheny	1,223,348	1,230,459	0.6%
Washington	207,820	208,261	0.2%
Westmoreland	365,169	357,956	-2.0%
Total	1,796,337	1,796,676	0.0%

Income Characteristics

The 2010 assessment area median family income was higher (\$65,364) than Pennsylvania at \$63,364. As shown in the table below, the median family income increased across the assessment area since 2010.

Borrower Income Levels Pittsburgh, PA MSA

FFIEC Estimated Median Family Income		Low 0 - 49.99%	Moderate 50% - 79.99%	Middle 80% - 119.99%	Upper 120% - & above
2014	\$65,600	0 - \$32,799	\$32,800 - \$52,479	\$52,480 - \$78,719	\$78,720 - & above
2015	\$69,700	0 - \$34,849	\$34,850 - \$55,759	\$55,760 - \$83,639	\$83,640 - & above
2016	\$70,600	0 - \$35,299	\$35,300 - \$56,479	\$56,480 - \$84,719	\$84,720 - & above

Poverty rates increased in each county in the assessment area from 1999 to 2015.²⁷¹ Allegheny County had the highest poverty rate in 1999 and 2015. Westmoreland County had the lowest poverty rate in 1999 and Washington County had the lowest poverty rate in 2015. In 2015, the poverty rates of the assessment area and Pennsylvania were lower than the national rate. Westmoreland County experienced the largest increase in poverty rate during this period. According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the national poverty rate in 2015 was 13.5%, down 1.3 percentage points from 14.8% in 2014. For most demographic groups, the 2015 poverty rates and number of people in poverty decreased from 2014.²⁷² The following table shows the poverty rates for 1999²⁷³ and 2015.

County	1999 Poverty Rate	2015 Poverty Rate	Change
Allegheny	11.2%	12.2%	8.9%
Washington	9.8%	10.1%	3.1%
Westmoreland	8.6%	11.3%	31.4%
Pennsylvania	11.0%	13.1%	19.1%
United States	11.8%	13.5%	14.4%

²⁷¹ United States Department of Agriculture Economic Research Service Poverty Rates (for 1999 and 2015): <https://data.ers.usda.gov/reports.aspx?ID=17826>

²⁷² 2015 National Poverty: <http://www.census.gov/library/publications/2016/demo/p60-256.html>

²⁷³ 1999 National Poverty Rate: <http://www.census.gov/prod/2000pubs/p60-210.pdf>

Housing Characteristics

According to 2010 U.S. Census data, there are 670,057 housing units and 355,640 families in the assessment area. From an income perspective, 27.1% of housing units, 18.5% of owner-occupied units, and 21.4% of families are located in low- or moderate-income tracts. Nearly three-quarters of the housing units in the low-income census tracts are either rental or vacant (73.0%), and 27.1% are owner-occupied. In the moderate-income census tracts, over half (54.3%) of the housing units are either rental or vacant, and 45.7% are owner-occupied. Therefore, based on the number of housing units compared to the number of families in low- and moderate-income census tracts, there appear to be more credit-related opportunities for Fifth Third to provide various aspects of affordable housing in moderate-income tracts compared to low-income tracts.

The 2010 U.S. Census data shows the median age of housing stock in the assessment area was 57 years old, with 41.8% of the stock built before 1950. Within the assessment area, the median age of housing stock was 61 years in low- and moderate-income tracts, which indicates that there is ample opportunity to make home improvement loans.

According to the 2010 U.S. Census data, the median housing value in the assessment area was \$118,494, with an affordability ratio of 40.84. The affordability ratio is derived by dividing the median household income by the median housing value. The higher the affordability ratio, the more affordable a home is considered.

The median housing values increased in all three counties between 2010 and 2011-2015 and household incomes increased; however, housing only became slightly more affordable in Westmoreland County. During the review period, the most affordable housing was in Allegheny County. Median gross rents substantially increased across most of the assessment area, with renters in Washington County experiencing the largest increase in rental rates. In 2010, about 44.3% of renters across the assessment area had rent costs greater than 30.0% of income. Rising rental rates could make it more difficult for lower-income individuals to find affordable housing, as increasing rental rates may make it more difficult for potential homebuyers to save enough for a down payment for a home. According to Moody's Analytics, the growing demand for single-family homes is apparent, as these prices increased by 5.6% in 2015, which is above average for Pennsylvania. The table below presents housing characteristics from the U.S. Census data between 2010 and 2015 in the assessment area and Pennsylvania.

County	2010 Median Housing Value	2010 Affordability Ratio	2011-2015 Median Housing Value	2011-2015 Affordability Ratio	2010 Median Gross Rent	2011-2015 Median Gross Rent	Percent of Change
Allegheny	\$115,200	41.63	\$129,600	40.93	\$688	\$780	13.4%
Washington	\$130,300	38.13	\$152,400	37.04	\$563	\$675	19.9%
Westmoreland	\$126,800	37.61	\$138,500	37.72	\$578	\$654	13.1%
Pennsylvania	\$159,300	31.64	\$166,000	32.29	\$739	\$840	13.7%

According to Bankrate.com,²⁷⁴ Pennsylvania ranked tenth for foreclosure filings in November 2016. The national average for foreclosure filings was 1 in every 1,533 housing units. The following table contains information about foreclosure filings in the assessment area, according to Realtytrac:²⁷⁵

Geography Name	Ratio of Properties Receiving Foreclosure Filings in November 2016
Allegheny	1:1,178
Washington	1:2,522
Westmoreland	1:1,197
Pennsylvania	1:1,246
United States	1:1,533

In November 2016, Allegheny County had the highest rate of foreclosure and Washington County had the lowest foreclosure rate within the assessment area.

Building permits in the MSA, Pennsylvania, and the nation are included in the following table for 2014, 2015, and 2016.²⁷⁶

Geography	2014	2015	Percent of Change 2014-2015	2016	Percent of Change 2015-2016
Pittsburgh MSA	4,199	5,264	25.4%	4,403	-16.4%
Pennsylvania	25,108	22,854	-9.0%	23,303	2.0%
United States	1,052,124	1,182,582	12.4%	1,190,191	0.6%

The MSA experienced a significant increase in housing permits between 2014 and 2015 and a major decrease between 2015 and 2016, not reflecting the trends in either Pennsylvania or the nation. The reduced demand for permits could indicate a weakening housing market and a decreased demand for home purchase loans across the assessment area.

Labor, Employment, and Economic Characteristics

According to Moody’s Analytics, the Pittsburgh area economy is at risk. Natural resources mining and manufacturing are not experiencing much growth. While gains made from the Marcellus Shale boom have subsided, strong gains in high tech and healthcare have countered the losses and kept the economy on track.

²⁷⁴ Bankrate.com: <http://www.bankrate.com/finance/real-estate/foreclosures-by-state/default.aspx>

²⁷⁵ Realtytrac: <http://www.realtytrac.com/statsandtrends/foreclosuretrends/>

²⁷⁶ U.S. Census Bureau Building Permits Survey: <http://www.census.gov/construction/bps/>

Renowned higher education and research institutions and the low cost of living relative to other large northeastern metropolitan areas continue to be economic strengths for the metropolitan area. However, Pittsburgh’s weak and worsening demographics and aging infrastructure will cause the Pittsburgh metropolitan area to underperform the nation.

According to *Pittsburgh Business First*,²⁷⁷ the Pittsburgh metropolitan area is home to six Fortune 500 headquarters. In the past year, Kraft Heinz climbed 119 places, due to the merger of H.J Heinz and Kraft Foods Group in 2015, making it the fifth-largest food and beverage company in the world. PNC climbed 21 places, PPG climbed 16 places, and WESCO and Dick’s climbed three and 28 places, respectively. On the other hand, United States Steel dropped 68 places since 2015.


Pittsburgh Fortune 500 Companies (2016)		
Rank	Company	Revenue
153	The Kraft Heinz Company	\$18.2 billion
171	PNC Financial Services Group	\$16.4 billion
182	PPG Industries	\$15.2 billion
244	United States Steel Corporation	\$10.3 billion
357	WESCO International	\$7.3 billion
365	Dick’s Sporting Goods	\$6.2 billion

According to Moody’s Analytics, the top ten employers in the assessment area in 2015/2016 were:

Company	Number of Employees
University of Pittsburgh Medical Center	43,000
University of Pittsburgh	12,116
Giant Eagle Inc.	11,119
PNC Financial Services Group	10,030
West Penn Allegheny Health System	9,998
The Bank of New York Mellon	7,600
Wal-Mart Stores Inc.	6,200
Westinghouse Electric Co.	5,600
Highmark Inc.	6,200
United States Steel Corp.	5,000

The following table illustrates the average unemployment rates for 2014, 2015, and June 2016 for the counties in the assessment area, MSA, and Pennsylvania.

²⁷⁷ Pittsburgh Business First: <http://www.bizjournals.com/pittsburgh/news/2016/06/06/here-are-the-pittsburgh-companies-that-are-among.html>



Overall, unemployment rates declined in 2015 and increased in 2016 to levels above 2014 unemployment rates in Washington and Westmoreland counties. Allegheny County had overall lower unemployment rates than Pennsylvania during this period, while the MSA exceeded Pennsylvania’s rate of unemployment in 2016.

According to the *Pittsburgh Post-Gazette*, Macy’s announced it will close several stores in the Pittsburgh region in spring 2017 to cut costs and cope with a shifting retail environment. The three store closures will affect 214 workers. This shutdown is part of the company’s decision to close approximately 100 stores over the next few years and eliminate 3,900 jobs. The company is pleased with the performance of its digital business, with double-digit gains at both *Macy’s.com* and *Bloomingdale.com*; however, store sales continue to be impacted by changing customer behavior. The steps to streamline operations are estimated to generate about \$550 million in savings beginning in 2017.²⁷⁸

²⁷⁸ Ritenbaugh, Stephanie. “Macy’s to close several Pittsburgh-area stores.” *Pittsburgh Post-Gazette*. January 4, 2017. - <http://www.post-gazette.com/business/pittsburgh-company-news/2017/01/04/Macy-s-will-close-78-stores-including-several-in-Western-Pennsylvania-by-spring/stories/201701040226>

Combined Demographics Report

Assessment Area: PA Pittsburgh

Income Categories	Tract Distribution		Families by Tract Income		Families < Poverty Level as % of Families by Tract		Families by Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	38	8.4	14,173	4	5,024	35.4	68,254	19.2
Moderate-income	104	23.1	61,895	17.4	10,940	17.7	60,354	17
Middle-income	174	38.6	145,215	40.8	9,099	6.3	74,055	20.8
Upper-income	122	27.1	134,337	37.8	3,864	2.9	152,977	43
Unknown-income	13	2.9	20	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	451	100.0	355,640	100.0	28,927	8.1	355,640	100.0
	Housing Units by Tract	Housing Types by Tract						
		Owner-Occupied			Rental		Vacant	
		#	%	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	40,808	11,046	2.7	27.1	19,576	48	10,186	25
Moderate-income	140,498	64,207	15.8	45.7	51,503	36.7	24,788	17.6
Middle-income	277,211	172,690	42.5	62.3	78,252	28.2	26,269	9.5
Upper-income	211,273	158,564	39	75.1	39,803	18.8	12,906	6.1
Unknown-income	267	20	0	7.5	128	47.9	119	44.6
Total Assessment Area	670,057	406,527	100.0	60.7	189,262	28.2	74,268	11.1
	Total Businesses by Tract	Businesses by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Low-income	3,636	4.7	3,161	4.5	455	6.5	20	3.4
Moderate-income	10,215	13.1	9,239	13.1	869	12.4	107	18
Middle-income	32,202	41.3	28,863	41	3,046	43.4	293	49.3
Upper-income	31,460	40.3	28,789	40.9	2,501	35.6	170	28.6
Unknown-income	534	0.7	376	0.5	154	2.2	4	0.7
Total Assessment Area	78,047	100.0	70,428	100.0	7,025	100.0	594	100.0
Percentage of Total Businesses:			90.2		9.0		.8	
	Total Farms by Tract	Farms by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Low-income	6	1.5	5	1.3	1	16.7	0	0
Moderate-income	27	6.9	26	6.7	1	16.7	0	0
Middle-income	195	49.7	194	50.3	1	16.7	0	0
Upper-income	162	41.3	159	41.2	3	50	0	0
Unknown-income	2	0.5	2	0.5	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	392	100.0	386	100.0	6	100.0	0	.0
Percentage of Total Farms:			98.5		1.5		.0	

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN THE PITTSBURGH PA MSA

Lending Test

Fifth Third’s performance under the lending test in this assessment area is rated “High Satisfactory.” Fifth Third has demonstrated an adequate responsiveness to the credit needs of the community. In addition, Fifth Third originated ten community development loans totaling \$67.9 million. Fifth Third has a good geographic distribution of loans and a high level of lending gaps and an adequate distribution among borrowers of different income levels and to businesses of different revenue sizes. Fifth Third exhibits a good record of serving the credit needs of highly economically disadvantaged areas in its assessment area, low-income individuals, and businesses with gross annual revenues of \$1 million or less.

Greatest consideration was given to the evaluation of home purchase lending based on the overall volume of lending, followed by refinance, and small business lending. There were an insufficient number of home improvement loans to analyze performance. Details of Fifth Third’s residential mortgage and small business lending, as well as information regarding aggregate lending, can be found in Appendix E.

Lending Activity

Fifth Third’s lending activity reflects an adequate responsiveness to the credit needs within the assessment area. Fifth Third originated 289 home purchase, 154 refinance, 14 home improvement, 140 small business, and ten community development loans during the evaluation period. The percentage of Fifth Third’s total lending at 0.4% is less than the percentage of total deposits at 0.7% in this area.

Fifth Third made 77.2% of the HMDA and 71.1% of the CRA lending within its designated assessment area. A concentration of HMDA lending was noted in the excluded tracts in Westmoreland County. Fifth Third made 56 HMDA loans in these excluded tracts. Nonetheless, the majority of the loans were made within the defined assessment area.

Geographic Distribution of Loans

Fifth Third’s overall distribution of lending among geographies is good. Home purchase lending, which was the largest loan category, is good. Refinance lending is good. Small business lending is excellent. However, there is also a high level of lending gaps. The following gaps in lending were noted in the assessment area:

Tract Income Levels	Number of Tracts	Tracts with No Loans	Penetration
Low	30	30	21.0%
Moderate	63	104	39.4%
Middle	75	174	56.9%
Upper	19	122	84.4%
Unknown	12	13	7.7%
Total	199	451	55.9%

Lending gaps are considered significant due to the number of gaps in low-, moderate-, and middle-income tracts. Lending gaps are low in upper-income tracts. The high level of gaps in low- and moderate-income tracts can be somewhat attributed to the low levels of owner-occupied units in 29.5% and 45.7% of these tracts, respectively, and high levels of rentals/vacancies in 73.0% and 54.3% of these tracts. Fifth Third was not able to penetrate at least half of the low- and moderate-income tracts; as a result, Fifth Third's lending penetration is particularly weak in this assessment area.

Home Purchase Loans

Fifth Third made five home purchase loans totaling \$1.3 million in low-income tracts. This represents 1.7% of home purchase loans by volume, which is below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 2.7%, and 1.8% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate at 1.4% and the 2015 aggregate at 1.3%. As Fifth Third's performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and was below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies, the geographic distribution of home purchase loans in low-income tracts is good.

Fifth Third made 31 home purchase loans totaling \$3.6 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 10.7% of its home purchase loans by volume, which is below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 15.8%, and 5.0% by dollar amount, which was below the proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate at 10.1% and was comparable to the 2015 aggregate at 9.9%. As Fifth Third's performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and was below proxy, the geographic distribution of home purchase loans in moderate-income tracts is good.

Fifth Third made 94 home purchase loans totaling \$16.0 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 32.5% of home purchase loans by volume, which is below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 42.5%, and 22.3% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 40.3% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 40.0%.

Fifth Third made 159 home purchase loans totaling \$50.8 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 55.0% of home purchase loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 39.0%, and 70.9% by dollar amount, which exceeds the proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 48.2% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 48.7%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of home purchase loans is good.

Refinance Loans

Fifth Third made one refinance loan totaling \$43,000 in low-income tracts. This represents 0.6% of refinance loans by volume and 0.2% by dollar amount, which is below the percentage of owner-occupied units at 2.7%. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate at 1.3% and was below the 2015 aggregate at 1.3%. Given that Fifth Third's performance was below proxy and slightly exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and only 27.1% of housing units are owner-occupied, the geographic distribution of refinance loans in low-income tracts is adequate.

Fifth Third made 15 refinance loans totaling \$1.0 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 9.7% of refinance loans by volume, which is below the owner-occupied units in these tracts at 15.8%. The percentage of loans by dollar amount at 4.3% is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate at 11.1% and was below the 2015 aggregate at 9.1%. As Fifth Third's performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and was below proxy, the geographic distribution of refinance loans in moderate-income tracts is good.

Fifth Third made 66 refinance loans totaling \$6.8 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 42.9% of refinance loans by volume, which slightly exceeds the owner-occupied units in these tracts at 42.5%. However, refinance loans by dollar amount (29.0%) is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate at 40.9% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate at 38.9%.

Fifth Third made 72 refinance loans totaling \$15.6 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 46.8% of refinance loans by volume, which exceeds the owner-occupied units in these tracts at 39.0%, and 66.5% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate at 46.6% and was below the 2015 aggregate at 50.7%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of refinance loans is good.

Small Business Loans

Fifth Third made 13 small business loans totaling \$1.5 million in low-income tracts. This represents 9.3% of small business loans by volume, which significantly exceeds the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 4.5% and 4.6% by dollar amount, which slightly exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume slightly exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 4.1% and significantly exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 4.7%. As Fifth Third's performance significantly exceeded proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of small business loans in low-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 24 small business loans totaling \$7.4 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 17.1% of small business loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 13.1%. This also represents 23.1% small business loans by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy.

The percentage of loans by volume significantly exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 11.8% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 13.5%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of small business loans in moderate-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 49 small business loans totaling \$14.0 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 35.0% of small business loans by volume, which is below the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 41.0%, and 43.7% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 40.2% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 39.1%.

Fifth Third made 53 small business loans totaling \$9.0 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 37.9% of small business loans by volume, which is below the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 40.9%, and 28.1% by dollar amount, which is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 42.8% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 41.6%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of small business loans is excellent.

Distribution by Borrower Income and Revenue Size of the Business

The distribution of loans is adequate based on borrower income and for businesses of different revenue sizes. Borrower distribution is adequate for home purchase and good for refinance loans.

Home Purchase Loans

Fifth Third made 12 loans totaling \$956,000 to low-income borrowers. This represents 4.2% of home purchase loans by volume, which is significantly below the percentage of low-income families at 19.2%, and 1.3% by dollar amount, which is also significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 6.0% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 7.0%. Because Fifth Third's performance was below the aggregate of all lenders and significantly below proxy, the borrower distribution of home purchase loans to low-income borrowers is adequate.

Fifth Third made 54 loans totaling \$6.6 million to moderate-income borrowers. This represents 18.7% of home purchase loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of moderate-income families at 17.0%, and 9.1% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 18.8% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 19.5%. As Fifth Third's performance exceeded proxy and was below the aggregate of all lenders, the borrower distribution of home purchase loans to moderate-income borrowers is good.

Fifth Third made 38 loans totaling \$5.3 million to middle-income borrowers. This represents 13.1% of home purchase loans by volume, which is below the percentage of middle-income families at 20.8%, and 7.4% by dollar amount, which is also below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 20.9% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 20.2%.

Fifth Third made 178 loans totaling \$57.9 million to upper-income borrowers. This represents 61.6% of home purchase loans by volume, which significantly exceeds the percentage of upper-income families at 43.0%, and 80.7% by dollar amount, which significantly exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume significantly exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 40.8% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 38.5%.

Overall, the borrower distribution of home purchase loans is adequate.

Refinance Loans

Fifth Third made six loans totaling \$459,000 to low-income borrowers. This represents 3.9% of refinance loans by volume, which is significantly below the percentage of low-income families at 19.2%, and 2.0% by dollar amount, which is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 6.3% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 5.6%. As Fifth Third's performance was significantly below proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, the borrower distribution of refinance loans to low-income borrowers is adequate.

Fifth Third made 35 loans totaling \$2.9 million to moderate-income borrowers. This represents 22.7% of refinance loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of moderate-income families at 17.0%, and 12.5% by dollar volume, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 15.1% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 14.2%. As Fifth Third's performance exceeded proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, the borrower distribution of refinance loans to moderate-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 35 loans totaling \$4.2 million to middle-income borrowers. This represents 22.7% of refinance loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of middle-income families at 20.8%, and 17.9% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate of 21.1% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 20.3%.

Fifth Third made 67 loans totaling \$14.1 million to upper-income borrowers. This represents 43.5% of refinance loans by volume, which slightly exceeds the percentage of upper-income families at 43.0%, and 60.1% by dollar amount, which significantly exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 46.6% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 45.8%.

Overall, the borrower distribution of refinance loans is good.

Small Business Loans

The distribution of small business loans to businesses of different sizes is adequate, considering Fifth Third’s performance relative to the aggregate of all lenders. Fifth Third was able to make 33.6% of small business loans to businesses with revenues of \$1 million or less. Fifth Third’s performance was below the 2014 aggregate of 46.4% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 50.5% but was significantly below the percentage of small businesses in the assessment area at 90.2%. Also, during the evaluation period, Fifth Third was able to make an acceptable percentage of small-dollar loans (53.6%) up to \$100,000, indicating a willingness to lend in smaller amounts typically requested by small businesses.

Community Development Loans

Fifth Third originated ten community development loans totaling \$67.9 million during the evaluation period as shown in the table below:

Affordable Housing		Economic Development		Revitalization and Stabilization		Community Services	
#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$
1	50,000	2	8,500,000	3	37,595,573	4	21,802,000

Community development lending in the assessment area represents 1.1% of the total dollar volume of community development loans originated during the evaluation period. This ranks as Fifth Third’s 22nd highest percentage of community development lending during the evaluation period. As such, Fifth Third’s performance is relatively high in community development lending.

Examples of community development lending include, but are not limited to:

- A renewal of a revolving line of credit to a nonprofit housing development coalition that helps to preserve affordable housing in Pittsburgh’s Northside communities and advocates for tenants’ rights
- Working capital loans to several nonprofit organizations that provide a multitude of services to low- and moderate-income individuals and families and individuals with disabilities
- Multiple working capital loans that promote economic development by financing businesses to support job retention, add new jobs, and promote growth to continue operations in low- and moderate-income geographies

Fifth Third was considered responsive to the community credit needs in the state; therefore, two community development loans without a purpose, mandate, or function of serving Fifth Third’s assessment areas in Pennsylvania were considered to positively impact state performance. Fifth Third originated two qualified community development loans totaling \$7.0 million that supported the revitalization of an industrial park that received a grant from Pennsylvania’s redevelopment assistance capital program. These loans were within the Commonwealth, but outside of Fifth Third’s delineated assessment areas within Pennsylvania.

Investment Test

Fifth Third’s performance relative to the investment test in the assessment area is rated “Outstanding.”

Fifth Third made an excellent level of qualified community development investments and grants, particularly those not routinely provided by private investors. As such, Fifth Third was often in a leadership position. Fifth Third has 49 qualified investments totaling \$18.7 million during the evaluation period. Shown in the table below are the total current period investments:

Affordable Housing		Economic Development		Revitalization and Stabilization		Community Services	
#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$
18	16,897,760	2	5,350	2	53,300	26	254,174

Also included in the total number of qualified investments is one prior period investment totaling \$1.5 million. Fifth Third made 1.1% of its total community development investments in this assessment area, which is greater than the percentage of total deposits at 0.7% and less than the percentage of branch offices at 1.3%.

Fifth Third exhibits an excellent responsiveness to credit and community development needs in the assessment area, including investments in several affordable housing projects throughout the assessment area, which is a growing need in the area based on increasing housing prices and rent rates. Fifth Third made 33 donations totaling \$397,234 that supported chambers of commerce, small businesses, social and charitable organizations. The majority of Fifth Third’s donations (64.0%) supported services to low- and moderate-income individuals. A community contact highlighted the growing elderly population in the area who have an increased demand for services.

Service Test

Fifth Third’s performance under the service test in this assessment area is rated “Low Satisfactory.” Retail services are accessible and Fifth Third provided an adequate level of community development services.

Retail Services

Although Fifth Third exited the Pittsburgh market as of April 22, 2016, the branches that were open during the evaluation period were evaluated. As a result, the following branching information is as of June 30, 2015 and the record of opening and closing branches was not weighed.

Fifth Third maintained 17 banking centers within this assessment area, including two in low-, five in moderate-, two in middle-, and eight in upper-income census tracts. Fifth Third’s banking centers in this assessment area represented 1.2% of all its banking centers.

Fifth Third had 25 ATMs within this assessment area, including two in low-, six in moderate-, six in middle-, and one in upper-income census tracts. The ATMs in this assessment area represented 1.1% of all of Fifth Third’s ATMs.

The following table illustrates the percentage of banking centers in low-, moderate-, middle-, and upper-income census tracts in comparison to the percentage of tracts, and the percentage of households and total businesses in those tracts.

Tract Category	Total Branches		Demographics			
			Census Tracts		Households	Total Businesses
	#	%	#	%	%	%
Low	2	11.8%	38	8.4%	5.1%	4.7%
Moderate	5	29.4%	104	23.1%	19.4%	13.0%
Middle	2	11.8%	174	38.6%	42.1%	41.0%
Upper	8	47.1%	122	27.1%	33.3%	40.6%
Unknown	0	0.0%	13	2.9%	0.0%	0.7%
Total	17	100.0%	451	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Branch distribution within low- and moderate-income tracts was considered excellent, as the distribution of branches exceeded the percentage of census tracts and households in these tracts.

Community Development Services

Fifth Third provides an adequate level of community development services in this assessment area. During the evaluation period, Fifth Third employees provided 832 hours of community development service to local organizations serving low- and moderate-income individuals, which represents 0.7% of all community development services provided and equates to 0.4 annualized persons (ANP).

Affordable Housing	Revitalization and Stabilization	Community Services
<i># of Hours</i>	<i># of Hours</i>	<i># of Hours</i>
4	458	370

Employees provided financial expertise through leadership positions in multiple community organizations that provide affordable housing, promote community development, and area revitalization and stabilization. Community development services include 584 hours serving on boards and committees, 162 hours of providing financial literacy through local nonprofits and school programs, 78 hours providing technical assistance to non-profits, and 8 hours participating in foreclosure prevention outreach.

STATE OF TENNESSEE

CRA RATING for State of Tennessee: Outstanding

The lending test is rated: High Satisfactory

The investment test is rated: Outstanding

The service test is rated: Outstanding

The major factors supporting this rating include:

- A good responsiveness to credit needs;
- A good geographic distribution of loans throughout the assessment area;
- A good distribution of loans among borrowers of different income levels and excellent to businesses of different revenue sizes;
- Exhibits a good record of serving the credit needs of low-income individuals and areas and very small businesses;
- A relatively high level of community development loans;
- Use of flexible lending practices in serving the assessment area's credit needs;
- An excellent level of qualified community development investments and grants;
- Often in a leadership position in providing community development investments and grants;
- Retail delivery systems are accessible to all geographies and individuals of different income levels and businesses of different revenue sizes;
- A record of opening and closing banking centers has not adversely affected the accessibility of delivery systems;
- Banking services and hours that do not vary in a way that inconveniences any portions of the assessment areas; and,
- A leader in providing community development services.

SCOPE OF EXAMINATION

A full-scope review was conducted for the Nashville-Davidson-Murfreesboro-Franklin MSA. A limited-scope review was performed on the Knoxville MSA. The time period, products, and affiliates evaluated for this assessment area are consistent with the scope discussed in the Institution section of this report.

The Nashville-Davidson-Murfreesboro-Franklin assessment area received greater weight in determining the CRA rating for the state. This assessment area represented 91.7% of the banking centers, 96.1% of deposits, and 92.6% of lending in Tennessee.

DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION'S OPERATIONS IN THE STATE OF TENNESSEE

Lending activity accounted for 1.9% of the Fifth Third's total lending activity, while deposits accounted for 1.5% of Fifth Third's total deposits. HMDA-reportable lending in Tennessee represented 1.9% of Fifth Third's total HMDA lending, while CRA-reportable lending represented 2.1% of Fifth Third's total CRA lending. As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third ranked 13th among 207 insured institutions and has a deposit market share of 1.1% and 36 banking center locations within Tennessee.

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN THE STATE OF TENNESSEE

Lending Test

Fifth Third's performance under the lending test within the assessment areas located in Tennessee is rated "High Satisfactory." Fifth Third's lending reflects a good responsiveness to the credit needs in the Nashville-Davidson-Murfreesboro-Franklin assessment area and an adequate responsiveness in the Knoxville assessment area.

Lending Activity

In Tennessee, Fifth Third originated 1,964 HMDA loans totaling \$407.1 million and 819 small business loans totaling \$59.2 million during the evaluation period.

Lending activity in Tennessee is good. Lending activity is good in the Nashville-Davidson-Murfreesboro-Franklin assessment area and adequate in the Knoxville assessment area.

Geographic and Borrower Distribution

The geographic distribution is good in the Nashville-Davidson-Murfreesboro-Franklin assessment area and adequate in the Knoxville assessment area.

There was a low level of lending gaps in the Nashville-Davidson-Murfreesboro-Franklin assessment area and a high level of lending gaps in the Knoxville assessment area.

The borrower distribution is good in the Nashville-Davidson-Murfreesboro-Franklin assessment area and adequate in the Knoxville assessment area. The distribution to businesses of different revenue sizes is excellent in the Nashville-Davidson-Murfreesboro-Franklin assessment area and good in the Knoxville assessment area.

A detailed analysis for the geographic distribution and borrower-income distribution is provided with the analysis for each assessment area.

Community Development Loans

In Tennessee, Fifth Third originated 26 community development loans totaling \$265.0 million, which represents 4.2% of the Fifth Third's community development lending by dollar volume. This is a relatively high level of community development lending in Tennessee. Fifth Third made a relatively high level of community development loans in the Nashville-Davidson-Murfreesboro-Franklin and Knoxville assessment areas.

Fifth Third was considered responsive to the community credit needs in the state; therefore, a community development loan without a purpose, mandate, or function of serving Fifth Third's assessment areas in Tennessee was considered to positively impact state performance. Fifth Third originated a qualified community development loan totaling \$1.5 million that supported economic development in a county within the state, but outside Fifth Third's delineated assessment areas within Tennessee.

Additional information regarding community development lending is provided in the respective analyses for each assessment area.

Flexible Lending

Overall, Fifth Third consistently makes use of flexible lending practices within assessment areas located in Tennessee.

Investment Test

Fifth Third's performance under the investment test within the assessment areas located in Tennessee is rated "Outstanding." Fifth Third funded \$32.9 million in qualified community development investments in Tennessee during the evaluation period, consisting of \$18.6 million obtained from new investments made during the current review period and \$14.3 million from prior period investments. The majority of investments were LIHTCs. Fifth Third's level of qualified investments is excellent in the Nashville-Davidson-Murfreesboro-Franklin and Knoxville assessment areas.

Additional information regarding performance under the investment test is provided in the respective analyses for each assessment area.

Service Test

Fifth Third's performance under the service test within the assessment areas located in Tennessee is rated "Outstanding." The Fifth Third's performance is excellent in the Nashville-Davidson-Murfreesboro-Franklin assessment area and good in the Knoxville assessment area.

For details regarding the institution's performance in the individual assessment areas, refer to the respective assessment area's "Service Test" section in this report.

Retail Services

Retail delivery systems are accessible to all geographies, including low- and moderate-income geographies, individuals of different income levels, and businesses of different revenue sizes in the institution's assessment areas. Retail service distribution is good in the Nashville-Davidson-Murfreesboro-Franklin assessment area and adequate in the Knoxville assessment area.

Fifth Third has 36 banking centers in Tennessee which represents 2.8% of Fifth Third's total branches. Fifth Third's record of opening and closing banking centers has not adversely affected the accessibility of its delivery systems, particularly in low- and moderate-income geographies and to low- and moderate-income individuals. No branches opened or closed in Tennessee during the evaluation period.

Banking services and business hours do not vary in a way that inconveniences any portions of Fifth Third's assessment areas and are consistent with the services and hours discussed in the "Institution" assessment.

Community Development Services

Fifth Third is a leader in providing community development services in Tennessee. Fifth Third's performance is excellent in the Nashville-Davidson-Murfreesboro-Franklin and Knoxville assessment areas.

METROPOLITAN AREA
(Full-scope Review)

**DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION'S OPERATIONS IN THE NASHVILLE-
DAVIDSON-MURFREESBORO-FRANKLIN TN MSA #34980**

The Nashville-Davidson-Murfreesboro-Franklin TN MSA consists of Davidson, Rutherford, Sumner, Williamson, and Wilson counties. Fifth Third's assessment area excludes Cannon, Cheatham, Dickson, Hickman, Macon, Maury, Robertson, Smith, and Trousdale counties. The assessment area is comprised of 31 low-, 58 moderate-, 117 middle-, and 100 upper-income tracts. There are also four tracts with no income designation that are primarily composed of correctional institutions, military establishments, education facilities, or medical establishments that do not report income information.

As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third ranked ninth out of 59 institutions with 3.2% of the deposit share. Bank of America had the majority of the market share 17.8% of deposits, followed by Regions Bank, SunTrust Bank, Pinnacle Bank, and First Tennessee Bank with 14.6%, 12.7%, 10.8%, and 6.8% of the market share, respectively. Deposits in this assessment area accounted for 1.4% of Fifth Third's total deposits. This was 96.1% of deposits within the state and the 15th highest percentage of deposits within Fifth Third's CRA footprint.

From January 1, 2014 through June 30, 2016, Fifth Third originated 1,836 HMDA loans and 742 CRA loans, which represented 1.8% and 1.9% of the total loans originated during the evaluation period, respectively. This was the 15th largest HMDA market and 15th largest CRA market for loans originated during the evaluation period.

In 2015, Fifth Third Mortgage Company ranked 26th among 637 HMDA reporters in the assessment area, while Fifth Third ranked 86th. The top five HMDA lenders in the assessment area were Wells Fargo Bank, Franklin American Mortgage, U.S. Bank, JPMorgan Chase Bank, and Quicken Loans. Fifth Third ranked 17th of 129 CRA reporters in the assessment area in 2015. The top four CRA lenders in the assessment area were American Express, U.S. Bank, Chase Bank USA, and Pinnacle Bank. These lenders are mostly issuers of credit cards and their CRA loans primarily consist of commercial credit card accounts.

Two community contact interviews were conducted to provide additional information regarding the assessment area. The first contact, representing an affordable housing agency, stated that the economy is booming, but the real estate boom is making it unaffordable for lower-income individuals to find and retain housing. The contact stated there is a growing housing crisis in Nashville primarily due to gentrification. The contact indicated there are opportunities for banks to help with the area's most pressing needs, affordable housing and financial education. Overall, the contact believed that local lenders try to work with and help first-time homebuyers by providing assistance and education.

The second contact, representing an organization that provides support to businesses owned by women, stated that their organization has strong partnerships with area banks. The contract mentioned that despite the fact that more women are becoming business owners, women still face challenges when it comes to securing financing; therefore, there are opportunities for banks to increase financing to female entrepreneurs. The contact specifically mentioned Regions, Pinnacle, and INSBANK as leading banks in the area.

Population Characteristics

According to the 2010 U.S. Census data, the population in the assessment area was 1.4 million. Approximately a quarter (26.1%) of the population lived in low- and moderate-income tracts. In addition, 75.7% of the population was 18 years of age or older, the legal age to enter into a contract.

As of July 1, 2015, the Nashville MSA is the 36th largest in terms of population in the nation.²⁷⁹ Davidson County is the largest county.²⁸⁰ Nashville-Davidson is the largest city in this county with 654,610 residents and the second-most populous city in Tennessee. Nashville-Davidson is also the 25th largest city in the United States; its population increased by 19.9% between 2000 and 2015.²⁸¹ Rutherford County is the sixth-largest county in Tennessee. Murfreesboro is the largest city in the county with 126,118 residents; its population increased by 77.9% between 2000 and 2015. Williamson County is the seventh-largest county in Tennessee. Franklin is the largest city in the county with 72,639 residents; its population increased by 56.6% between 2000 and 2015. The following table shows the population in the assessment area by county for 2010 and 2015 and the percentage of the population.²⁸²

According to study by The University of Tennessee, over the next 25 years, Tennessee's demographic profile is expected to follow national trends as the population continues to age and become more racially and ethnically diverse and more urbanized. The Center for Business and Economic Research projects Tennessee's population will reach 8.5 million by 2040, compared to 6.3 million in 2010. The most significant changes in county population will occur primarily in metropolitan areas. Middle Tennessee is expected to lead the state in population growth, as five of the ten counties with the highest projected growth through 2040 are Williamson, Rutherford, Wilson, Robertson, and Sumner. The proportion of senior citizens and elderly is also expected to increase in Tennessee, due to the aging of baby boomers and increased life expectancy. The number of individuals 85 and older in Tennessee is expected to triple to more than 330,000 by 2040.²⁸³

²⁷⁹ MSA population data is derived from the U.S. Census Data 2015 Statistical Abstract:

<https://factfinder.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productview.xhtml?src=bkmk>

²⁸⁰ U.S. Places: <http://us-places.com> (main page – enter state, choose population by county)

²⁸¹ U.S. Census QuickFacts: <https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/> (main page – enter state, county, city, town, or zip code)

²⁸² Population Estimates derived from U.S. Census Data (April 1, 2010 – July 1, 2015):

<http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/table/PST045216/00>

²⁸³ Study: Tennessee on Track for Steady Population Growth: <http://tntoday.utk.edu/2015/08/27/study-tennessee-track-steady-population-growth/> (August 27, 2015)

The assessment area’s population increased by nearly 11.0% from 2010 to 2015 and Williamson County experienced the greatest increase in population during this time period.

County	2010 Population	2015 Population	Population Percent Change
Davidson	626,681	678,889	8.3%
Rutherford	262,604	298,612	13.7%
Sumner	160,645	175,989	9.6%
Williamson	183,182	211,672	15.6%
Wilson	113,993	128,911	13.1%
Total	1,347,105	1,494,073	10.9%

Income Characteristics

The 2010 assessment area median family income was higher (\$64,894) than Tennessee at \$53,246. As shown in the table below, the median family income increased across the assessment area since 2010, whereas the median family income fell slightly in 2016, but remained above the median family income in 2010.

**Borrower Income Levels
Nashville-Davidson-Murfreesboro-Franklin, TN MSA**

FFIEC Estimated Median Family Income		Low 0 - 49.99%	Moderate 50% - 79.99%	Middle 80% - 119.99%	Upper 120% - & above
2014	\$65,600	0 - \$32,799	\$32,800 - \$52,479	\$52,480 - \$78,719	\$78,720 - & above
2015	\$67,100	0 - \$33,549	\$33,550 - \$53,679	\$53,680 - \$80,519	\$80,520 - & above
2016	\$66,600	0 - \$33,299	\$33,300 - \$53,279	\$53,280 - \$79,919	\$79,920 - & above

Poverty rates increased in each county in the assessment area from 1999 to 2015.²⁸⁴ Davidson County had the highest poverty rate in 1999 and 2015 and Williamson County had the lowest poverty rate in 1999 and 2015. In 2015, Davidson County’s and Tennessee’s poverty rates were above than the national rate. Davidson County experienced the largest increase in poverty rate during this period. According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the national poverty rate in 2015 was 13.5%, down 1.3 percentage points from 14.8% in 2014. For most demographic groups, the 2015 poverty rates and number of people in poverty decreased from 2014.²⁸⁵ The following table shows the poverty rates for 1999²⁸⁶ and 2015.

²⁸⁴ United States Department of Agriculture Economic Research Service Poverty Rates (for 1999 and 2015): <https://data.ers.usda.gov/reports.aspx?ID=17826>

²⁸⁵ 2015 National Poverty: <http://www.census.gov/library/publications/2016/demo/p60-256.html>

²⁸⁶ 1999 National Poverty Rate: <http://www.census.gov/prod/2000pubs/p60-210.pdf>

County	1999 Poverty Rate	2015 Poverty Rate	Change
Davidson	13.0%	17.1%	31.5%
Rutherford	9.0%	11.1%	23.3%
Sumner	8.1%	10.1%	24.7%
Williamson	4.7%	5.0%	6.4%
Wilson	6.7%	8.4%	25.4%
Tennessee	13.5%	16.7%	23.7%
United States	11.8%	13.5%	14.4%

Housing Characteristics

According to 2010 U.S. Census data, there are 553,503 housing units and 331,085 families in the assessment area. From an income perspective, 28.0% of housing units, 17.7% of owner-occupied units, and 23.2% of families are located in low- or moderate-income tracts. Nearly three-quarters of the housing units in the low-income census tracts are either rental or vacant (72.2%) and 27.8% are owner-occupied. In the moderate-income census tracts, over half (56.6%) of the housing units are either rental or vacant and 43.5% are owner-occupied. Therefore, based on the number of housing units compared to the number of families in low- and moderate-income census tracts, there appear to be more credit-related opportunities for Fifth Third to provide various aspects of affordable housing in moderate-income tracts compared to low-income tracts.

The 2010 U.S. Census data shows the median age of housing stock in the assessment area was 28 years old, with only 9.1% of the stock built before 1950. Within the assessment area, the median age of housing stock was 43 years in low-income and 36 years old in moderate-income tracts, which indicates that there is opportunity to make home improvement loans in these lower-income areas.

According to the 2010 U.S. Census data, the median housing value in the assessment area was \$178,409, with an affordability ratio of 29.61. The affordability ratio is derived by dividing the median household income by the median housing value. The higher the affordability ratio, the more affordable a home is considered.

The median housing values increased in all five counties between 2010 and 2011-2015 and household incomes increased, making housing only became slightly more affordable in Davidson, Rutherford, and Williamson counties. During the review period, the most affordable housing was in Rutherford County. Median gross rents substantially increased across most of the assessment area, with renters in Sumner County experiencing the largest increase in rental rates. In 2010, about 46.0% of renters across the assessment area had rent costs greater than 30.0% of income. Rising rental rates could make it more difficult for lower-income individuals to find affordable housing, because increasing rental rates may make it more difficult for potential homebuyers to save enough for a down payment for a home.

According to Moody’s Analytics, Nashville has an exceptionally strong housing market. Housing is contributing more to growth as a result of above-average rising incomes. However, a community contact indicated there is a growing affordable housing crisis in Nashville and there are opportunities for banks to help with affordable housing and provide financial education for first-time homebuyers. The table below presents housing characteristics from the U.S. Census data between 2010 and 2015 in the assessment area and Tennessee.

County	2010 Median Housing Value	2010 Affordability Ratio	2011-2015 Median Housing Value	2011-2015 Affordability Ratio	2010 Median Gross Rent	2011-2015 Median Gross Rent	Percent of Change
Davidson	\$164,700	27.73	\$169,600	28.52	\$776	\$874	12.6%
Rutherford	\$157,100	34.23	\$160,700	34.98	\$801	\$890	11.1%
Sumner	\$169,100	32.48	\$178,000	32.24	\$748	\$885	18.3%
Williamson	\$335,800	26.16	\$348,600	27.70	\$1,045	\$1,181	13.0%
Wilson	\$187,500	32.36	\$200,300	30.49	\$750	\$871	16.1%
Tennessee	\$134,000	32.30	\$142,100	31.82	\$678	\$764	12.7%

According to Bankrate.com,²⁸⁷ Tennessee ranked 28th for foreclosure filings in November 2016. The national average for foreclosure filings was 1 in every 1,533 housing units. The following table contains information about foreclosure filings in the assessment area, according to Realtytrac:²⁸⁸

Geography Name	Ratio of Properties Receiving Foreclosure Filings in November 2016
Davidson	1:2,593
Rutherford	1:2,225
Sumner	1:2,199
Williamson	1:8,528
Wilson	1:3,362
Tennessee	1:2,136
United States	1:1,533

In November 2016, Sumner County had the highest rate of foreclosure and Williamson County had the lowest foreclosure rate within the assessment area.

Building permits in the MSA, Tennessee, and the nation are included in the following table for 2014, 2015, and 2016.²⁸⁹

²⁸⁷ Bankrate.com: <http://www.bankrate.com/finance/real-estate/foreclosures-by-state/default.aspx>

²⁸⁸ Realtytrac: <http://www.realtytrac.com/statsandtrends/foreclosuretrends/>

²⁸⁹ U.S. Census Bureau Building Permits Survey: <http://www.census.gov/construction/bps/>

Geography	2014	2015	Percent of Change 2014-2015	2016	Percent of Change 2015-2016
Nashville-Davidson-Murfreesboro-Franklin MSA	15,040	18,291	21.6%	20,182	10.3%
Tennessee	28,263	32,219	14.0%	36,157	12.2%
United States	1,052,124	1,182,582	12.4%	1,190,191	0.6%

The MSA experienced a significant increase in housing permits between 2014 and 2015 and a smaller increase between 2015 and 2016, surpassing the trends in Tennessee and the nation between 2014 and 2015 and more in line with Tennessee between 2015 and 2016. Overall, the MSA and Tennessee surpassed the national demand for building permits. Based on the overall demand for permits, this tends to indicate there is a strong housing market and an ongoing demand for home purchase loans across the assessment area during the evaluation period.

Labor, Employment, and Economic Characteristics

According to Moody’s Analytics, the Nashville area economy is in expansion and the Nashville metropolitan area is outperforming Tennessee’s other metropolitan areas. Hiring is broad-based and incomes are rising at an above-average rate. The housing market is exceptionally strong and demand continues to increase. The area has a favorable business tax-structure and an educated workforce; however, a weak global recovery and strong dollar hamper goods exports.

According to WATE 6,²⁹⁰ the Nashville metropolitan area is home to two Fortune 500 companies. In the past year, Hospital Corporation of America (HCA), the nation’s largest hospital chain climbed 12 places on the list, and Community Health Systems (Franklin, TN) climbed ten places on the list.


Nashville Fortune 500 Companies (2016)		
Rank	Company	Revenue
63	HCA Holdings	\$41.5 billion
125	Community Health Systems	\$22.4 million

According to Moody’s Analytics, top employers in the assessment area in 2015/2016 were:

²⁹⁰ WATE 6: <http://wate.com/2016/06/06/eight-tennessee-companies-make-2016-fortune-500/>

Company	Number of Employees
Vanderbilt University & Medical Center	22,105
Nissan North America Inc.	10,050
HCA Holdings	7,000
Wal-Mart Stores	4,500
Randstad Work Solutions	3,785
Dell Corporation	3,200
Shoney's Inc.	3,000
Electrolux Home Products North America	2,900
Community Health Systems	2,800
The Tennessean	2,577

The following table illustrates the average unemployment rates for 2014, 2015, and June 2016 for the counties in the assessment area, MSA, and Tennessee.



Overall, unemployment rates declined each year across the assessment area. Williamson County had the lowest overall unemployment rate in the assessment area and the assessment area and MSA was lower than Tennessee's rate of unemployment each year.

According to *WKRN-News 2*, the insurance company AIG announced layoffs due to a restructuring of AIG Financial Network. The company is moving financial professionals from the financial network group to the AIG Partners Group; 128 employees were notified their positions would be eliminated immediately. AIG still employs more than 900 people who support life insurance operations for the company.²⁹¹ According to *The Tennessean*, Dell, Inc. closed a customer support unit in Nashville that serves clients with global operations. Dell employs 1,400 people in the Nashville area, but 96 employees in the Murfreesboro and Donelson Pikes customer contact centers were laid off. The layoffs did not affect company employees that provide support for customers across North America. Several years ago, Dell ceased assembling computers in Nashville, outsourcing that work to Ceva Logistics.²⁹²

²⁹¹ WKRN Staff. "AIG announces layoffs that include Middle Tennessee offices." *WKRN-News 2*. January 7, 2016. - <http://wkrn.com/2016/01/07/aig-announces-layoffs-that-include-middle-tennessee-offices/>

²⁹² Ward, Getahn. "Dell closing Nashville unit, laying off 96." *The Tennessean*. March 23, 2015. - <http://www.tennessean.com/story/money/tech/2015/03/23/dell-closing-nashville-unit-idling-employees/70331806/>

Combined Demographics Report

Assessment Area: TN Nashville

Income Categories	Tract Distribution		Families by Tract Income		Families < Poverty Level as % of Families by Tract		Families by Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	31	10	22,701	6.9	8,369	36.9	65,300	19.7
Moderate-income	58	18.7	54,077	16.3	9,577	17.7	56,020	16.9
Middle-income	117	37.7	129,381	39.1	9,668	7.5	68,305	20.6
Upper-income	100	32.3	124,886	37.7	3,747	3	141,460	42.7
Unknown-income	4	1.3	40	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	310	100.0	331,085	100.0	31,361	9.5	331,085	100.0
	Housing Units by Tract	Housing Types by Tract						
		Owner-Occupied			Rental		Vacant	
		#	%	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	47,194	13,120	3.9	27.8	27,939	59.2	6,135	13
Moderate-income	107,736	46,842	13.8	43.5	48,861	45.4	12,033	11.2
Middle-income	214,084	139,519	41.1	65.2	58,603	27.4	15,962	7.5
Upper-income	184,397	139,838	41.2	75.8	33,238	18	11,321	6.1
Unknown-income	92	0	0	0	92	100	0	0
Total Assessment Area	553,503	339,319	100.0	61.3	168,733	30.5	45,451	8.2
	Total Businesses by Tract	Businesses by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Low-income	5,428	7.7	4,813	7.5	596	10.4	19	8.3
Moderate-income	12,166	17.3	10,789	16.8	1,322	23.1	55	23.9
Middle-income	22,240	31.7	20,713	32.3	1,477	25.8	50	21.7
Upper-income	29,757	42.4	27,526	42.9	2,132	37.3	99	43
Unknown-income	542	0.8	345	0.5	190	3.3	7	3
Total Assessment Area	70,133	100.0	64,186	100.0	5,717	100.0	230	100.0
Percentage of Total Businesses:			91.5		8.2		.3	
	Total Farms by Tract	Farms by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Low-income	16	2	15	1.9	1	12.5	0	0
Moderate-income	86	10.7	85	10.7	1	12.5	0	0
Middle-income	386	47.9	381	47.7	5	62.5	0	0
Upper-income	317	39.3	316	39.6	1	12.5	0	0
Unknown-income	1	0.1	1	0.1	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	806	100.0	798	100.0	8	100.0	0	.0
Percentage of Total Farms:			99.0		1.0		.0	

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN THE NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON-MURFREESBORO-FRANKLIN TN MSA

Lending Test

Fifth Third’s performance under the lending test in this assessment area is rated good. Fifth Third has demonstrated a good responsiveness to the credit needs of the community. In addition, Fifth Third originated 21 community development loans totaling \$203.0 million. Fifth Third has a good geographic distribution of loans and low lending gaps. Fifth Third has a good distribution among borrowers of different income levels and an excellent distribution of loans to businesses of different revenue sizes. Fifth Third exhibits a good record of serving the credit needs of highly economically disadvantaged areas in its assessment area, low-income individuals, and businesses with gross annual revenues of \$1 million or less. The good level of community development loans and use of flexible lending practices augmented Fifth Third’s performance in this assessment area.

Greatest consideration was given to the evaluation of home purchase lending, based on the overall volume of lending, followed by refinance, small business, and home improvement lending. Details of Fifth Third’s residential mortgage and small business lending, as well as information regarding lending by peers, can be found in Appendix E.

Lending Activity

Fifth Third’s lending activity reflects a good responsiveness to the credit needs within the assessment area. Fifth Third originated 902 home purchase, 820 refinance, 114 home improvement, 742 small business, and 22 community development loans during the evaluation period. The percentage of Fifth Third’s total lending at 1.8% is greater than the percentage of total deposits at 1.4% in this area.

Fifth Third made 90.3% of the HMDA and 94.3% of the CRA lending within its designated assessment area. A concentration of HMDA loans was noted in the excluded Maury County. There were 80 HMDA loans made in this county during the evaluation period. Nonetheless, the majority of loans were made in the assessment area.

In addition to lending, Fifth Third modified existing loans to borrowers. Refer to the distribution of HAMP and other real-estate secured modifications within the assessment area by census tract income and by borrower income.

	Distribution by Census Tract Income							
	Low		Mod		Middle		Upper	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Other Real Estate Secured Modifications	1	2.3%	10	23.3%	18	41.9%	14	32.6%
<i>Percentage of Owner Occupied Units</i>		<i>3.9%</i>		<i>13.8%</i>		<i>41.1%</i>		<i>41.2%</i>

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

	Distribution by Borrower Income							
	Low		Mod		Middle		Upper	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Other Real Estate Secured Modifications	12	27.9%	17	39.5%	5	11.6%	9	20.9%
Percentage of Families by Family Income		19.7%		16.9%		20.6%		42.7%

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

There were not enough HAMP modifications for a meaningful analysis. The percentage of other modifications in low-income tracts was below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies and exceeded the percentage of owner-occupied units in moderate-income tracts. Therefore, modifications helped to expand lending activities in these areas. The percentage of other modifications made to low- and moderate-income borrowers exceeded the percentage of low- and moderate-income families in the assessment area. Therefore, modifications enhanced Fifth Third’s ability to reach low- and moderate-income borrowers.

Geographic Distribution of Loans

Fifth Third’s overall distribution of lending among geographies is good. Home purchase lending, which was the largest loan category, is good. Refinance lending is good, as is small business lending. Home improvement lending is adequate. There is also an overall low level of lending gaps. The following gaps in lending were noted in the assessment area.

Tract Income Levels	Number of Tracts	Tracts with no Loans	Penetration
Low	31	6	80.7%
Moderate	58	3	94.8%
Middle	117	2	98.3%
Upper	100	2	98.0%
Unknown	4	2	50.0%
Total	310	15	95.2%

Lending gaps are considered minimal due to the low number of lending gaps in moderate-, middle-, and upper-income tracts. The lending gaps in the low-income tracts can be attributed to the low-level of owner-occupied units at 27.8% and high level of rentals and vacancies at 72.2% in these census tracts.

Home Purchase Loans

Fifth Third made 37 home purchase loans totaling \$7.1 million in low-income tracts. This represents 4.1% of home purchase loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 3.9%, and 3.5% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate at 3.7% and was comparable to the 2015 aggregate at 3.8%.

As Fifth Third's performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and proxy, the geographic distribution of home purchase loans in low-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 110 home purchase loans totaling \$18.9 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 12.2% of its home purchase loans by volume, which is below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 13.8%, and 9.2% by dollar amount, which is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate at 11.9% and was comparable to the 2015 aggregate at 11.8%. As Fifth Third's performance was comparable to the aggregate of all lenders and was below proxy, the geographic distribution of home purchase loans in moderate-income tracts is good.

Fifth Third made 319 home purchase loans totaling \$54.8 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 35.4% of home purchase loans by volume, which is below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 41.1%, and 26.8% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 38.2% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 39.0%.

Fifth Third made 436 home purchase loans totaling \$123.5 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 48.3% of home purchase loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 41.2%, and 60.5% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 46.2% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 45.5%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of home purchase loans is good.

Refinance Loans

Fifth Third made 30 refinance loans totaling \$3.5 million in low-income tracts. This represents 3.7% of refinance loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 3.9%, and 2.2% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable of the 2014 aggregate at 3.7% and slightly exceeded the 2015 aggregate at 3.0%. The owner-occupancy rate in low-income tracts is only 27.8%; thus, the demand for refinance loans is likely to be lower in these areas. Given that Fifth Third's performance was comparable to the aggregate of lenders and proxy, the geographic distribution of refinance loans in low-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 81 refinance loans totaling \$9.2 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 9.9% of refinance loans by volume, which is below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 13.8%, and 5.7% by dollar amount, which is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate at 12.3% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate at 10.4%. As Fifth Third's performance was below proxy and exceeded the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of refinance loans in moderate-income tracts is good.

Fifth Third made 312 refinance loans totaling \$45.2 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 38.0% of refinance loans by volume, which is below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 41.1%, and 28.2% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate at 40.0% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate at 37.5%.

Fifth Third made 397 refinance loans totaling \$102.8 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 48.4% of refinance loans by volume, which exceeds the owner-occupied units in these tracts at 41.2%, and 63.9% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate at 44.0% and was below the 2015 aggregate at 49.1%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of refinance loans is good.

Home Improvement Loans

Fifth Third made two home improvement loans totaling \$67,000 in low-income tracts. This represents 1.8% of home improvement loans by volume, which is below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 3.9%, and 0.5% by dollar amount, which significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 6.3% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 4.7%. Given the median age of housing in low-income tracts at 43 years, which tends to indicate the need for home improvement loans, and Fifth Third's lending performance in these tracts, the geographic distribution of home improvement loans in low-income tracts is considered poor.

Fifth Third made 15 home improvement loans totaling \$1.3 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 13.2% of home improvement loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 13.8%, and 10.8% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 15.3% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 12.8%. As Fifth Third's performance was comparable to proxy and exceeded the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of home improvement loans in moderate-income tracts is good.

Fifth Third made 43 home improvement loans totaling \$3.5 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 37.7% of home improvement loans by volume, which is below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 41.1%, and 28.2% by dollar amount, which significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 42.0% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 41.5%.

Fifth Third made 54 home improvement loans totaling \$7.4 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 47.4% of home improvements loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 41.2%, and 60.5% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 36.4% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 42.3%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of home improvement loans is adequate.

Small Business Loans

Fifth Third made 50 small business loans totaling \$1.5 million in low-income tracts. This represents 6.7% of small business loans by volume, which is slightly below the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 7.5%, and 2.8% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was slightly below the 2014 aggregate of 8.3% and was slightly below the 2015 aggregate of 7.7%. Given Fifth Third's performance was slightly below the aggregate of all lenders and proxy and the limited number of businesses in these tracts (7.7%), the geographic distribution of small business loans in low-income tracts is good.

Fifth Third made 101 small business loans totaling \$8.5 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 13.6% of small business loans by volume, which is slightly below the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 16.8%, and 15.8% by dollar amount, which is comparable to proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 17.4% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 17.0%. Given that Fifth Third's performance was slightly below the proxy and below the aggregate of all lenders and the limited number of businesses in these tracts (17.3%), the geographic distribution of small business loans in moderate-income tracts is good.

Fifth Third made 207 small business loans totaling \$16.6 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 27.9% of small business loans by volume, which is below the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 32.3%, and 30.9% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 27.5% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 27.4%.

Fifth Third made 369 small business loans totaling \$19.5 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 49.7% of small business loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 42.9%, and 36.2% by dollar amount, which is below the percentage of businesses in these geographies. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 44.9% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 46.1%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of small business loans is good.

Distribution by Borrower Income and Revenue Size of the Business

The distribution of loans is good based on borrower income and excellent to businesses of different revenue sizes. Borrower distribution is excellent for home purchase lending and good for refinance and home improvement lending.

Home Purchase Loans

Fifth Third made 61 loans totaling \$6.2 million to low-income borrowers. This represents 6.8% of home purchase loans by volume, which is significantly below the percentage of low-income families at 19.7%, and 3.0% by dollar amount, which is significantly below proxy.

The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 6.3% and was comparable to the 2015 aggregate of 5.8%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders, but was below proxy, the borrower distribution of home purchase loans to low-income borrowers is good.

Fifth Third made 179 loans totaling \$25.4 million to moderate-income borrowers. This represents 19.8% of home purchase loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of moderate-income families at 16.9%, and 12.5% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 18.2% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 16.9%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded the proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, the borrower distribution of home purchase loans to moderate-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 166 loans totaling \$32.9 million to middle-income borrowers. This represents 18.4% of home purchase loans by volume, which is below the percentage of middle-income families at 20.6%, and 16.1% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate of 18.1% and was comparable to the 2015 aggregate of 19.0%.

Fifth Third made 374 loans totaling \$110.6 million to upper-income borrowers. This represents 41.5% of home purchase loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of upper-income families at 42.7%, and 54.1% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 36.6% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 37.2%.

A community contact indicated the lack of employment opportunities for low- and moderate-income individuals is a significant economic factor likely impacting the ability of these individuals to buy homes. Given that Fifth Third's performance lending to low- and moderate-income borrowers exceeded or was comparable to the aggregate of all lenders, the overall borrower distribution for home purchase loans is excellent.

Refinance Loans

Fifth Third made 56 loans totaling \$5.7 million to low-income borrowers. This represents 6.8% of refinance loans by volume, which is significantly below the percentage of low-income families at 19.7%, and 3.5% by dollar amount, which is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 6.3% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 4.9%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders but was significantly below proxy, the borrower distribution of refinance loans to low-income borrowers is good.

Fifth Third made 163 loans totaling \$18.0 million to moderate-income borrowers. This represents 19.9% of refinance loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of moderate-income families at 16.9%, and 11.2% by dollar volume, which is below proxy.

The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 14.7% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 12.6%. As Fifth Third's performance exceeded proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, the borrower distribution of refinance loans to moderate-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 162 loans totaling \$24.2 million to middle-income borrowers. This represents 19.8% of refinance loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of middle-income families at 20.6%, and 15.1% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 16.9% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 17.1%.

Fifth Third made 349 loans totaling \$91.1 million to upper-income borrowers. This represents 42.6% of refinance loans by volume, which is comparable to percentage of upper-income families at 42.7%, and 56.7% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 35.7% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 37.0%.

Overall, the borrower distribution of refinance loans is good.

Home Improvement Loans

Fifth Third made three loans totaling \$165,000 to low-income borrowers. This represents 2.6% of home improvement loans by volume, which is significantly below the percentage of low-income families at 19.7%, and 1.3% by dollar amount, which is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was significantly below the 2014 aggregate of 8.1% and was significantly below the 2015 aggregate of 8.2%. Given that Fifth Third's performance was significantly below proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, the borrower distribution of home improvement loans to low-income borrowers is poor.

Fifth Third made 29 loans totaling \$2.1 million to moderate-income borrowers. This represents 25.4% of home improvement loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of moderate-income families at 16.9%, and 17.0% by dollar amount, which is comparable to proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 20.3% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 17.4%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and proxy, the borrower distribution of home improvement loans to moderate-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 34 loans totaling \$3.4 million to middle-income borrowers. This represents 29.8% of home improvement loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of middle-income families at 20.6%, and 27.7% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 20.8% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 22.7%.

Fifth Third made 43 loans totaling \$5.7 million to upper-income borrowers. This represents 37.7% of home improvement loans by volume, which is below the percentage of upper-income families at 42.7%, and 46.9% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 39.6% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 44.1%.

The borrower distribution of home improvement loans is good.

Small Business Loans

The distribution of small business loans to businesses of different sizes is excellent, considering Fifth Third’s performance relative to the aggregate of all lenders. Fifth Third was able to make 70.5% of small business loans to businesses with revenues of \$1 million or less. Fifth Third’s performance exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 46.5% and the 2015 aggregate of 51.2%, but was significantly below the percentage of small businesses in the assessment area at 91.5%. Also, during the evaluation period, Fifth Third was able to make a relatively high percentage of small-dollar loans (87.9%) up to \$100,000, indicating a willingness to lend in smaller amounts, which are typically requested by small businesses. In addition, a community contact indicated there are opportunities for banks to increase financing to entrepreneurs and noted that Fifth Third is responsive to this need.

Community Development Loans

Fifth Third originated 21 community development loans totaling \$203.0 million during the evaluation period as shown in the table below:

Economic Development		Revitalization and Stabilization		Community Services	
#	\$	#	\$	#	\$
3	24,600,000	16	178,350,000	2	60,000

Community development lending in the assessment area represents 3.4% of the total dollar volume of community development loans originated by Fifth Third during the evaluation period. This ranks as Fifth Third’s eighth-highest percentage of community development lending during the evaluation period. Given Fifth Third’s deposit market share of 3.2% and the presence of several large financial institutions in the market and competition for community development loans, Fifth Third has a relatively high level of community development lending in this assessment area.

Examples of community development lending include, but are not limited to:

- Renewal of working capital loans to a nonprofit providing a multitude of services to low- and moderate-income individuals and families
- Working capital loans to promote economic development by financing a small business to support retention of low- and moderate-income workers
- Working capital loans to help retain and expand small businesses located in specific city-designated areas to promote revitalization and economic development

Flexible Lending Programs

Fifth Third had 304 flexible lending loans in this assessment area: 196 government loans, 23 down payment assistance loans, and 85 other flexible lending programs. The following tables show the percentage by volume and by dollar amount of the three types of flexible lending programs made in this assessment area during the evaluation period and the distribution of Fifth Third’s flexible lending programs within the assessment area by census tract income and borrower income.

Flexible Loan Programs												
	Geographic Distribution											
	Low			Moderate			Middle			Upper		
	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units
Government Loan Programs	1.5%	2.1%	3.9%	17.9%	13.4%	13.8%	52.0%	47.6%	41.1%	28.6%	36.9%	41.2%
Down Payment Assistance Programs	27.1%	41.7%	3.9%	17.4%	12.4%	13.8%	52.2%	37.1%	41.1%	8.7%	8.8%	41.2%
Other Flexible Lending Programs	5.9%	5.9%	3.9%	16.5%	14.8%	13.8%	47.1%	41.3%	41.1%	30.6%	38.0%	41.2%
Total	4.3%	5.4%	3.9%	17.4%	13.7%	13.8%	50.7%	45.4%	41.1%	27.6%	35.5%	41.2%

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

Flexible Loan Programs												
	Borrower Distribution											
	Low			Moderate			Middle			Upper		
	% - #	% - \$	% Fam	% - #	% - \$	% Fam	% - #	% - \$	% Fam	% - #	% - \$	% Fam
Government Loan Programs	8.2%	4.9%	19.7%	27.0%	20.9%	16.9%	30.6%	29.9%	20.6%	27.6%	37.9%	42.7%
Down Payment Assistance Programs	39.1%	30.1%	19.7%	43.5%	32.1%	16.9%	0.0%	0.0%	20.6%	13.0%	34.1%	42.7%
Other Flexible Lending Programs	16.5%	10.3%	19.7%	38.8%	34.6%	16.9%	22.4%	23.6%	20.6%	20.0%	28.8%	42.7%
Total	12.8%	7.8%	19.7%	31.6%	25.0%	16.9%	26.0%	26.5%	20.6%	24.3%	35.4%	42.7%

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

Fifth Third’s lending in low-income tracts by number and dollar amount exceeded the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts, especially for various down payment assistance programs. The percentage of lending by volume in moderate-income tracts exceeded the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies, while the percentage of lending by dollar amount was comparable to proxy.

Fifth Third’s lending by volume and dollar amount to low-income borrowers was below the percentage of low-income families the assessment area. The percentage of lending by volume and dollar amount to moderate-income borrowers significantly exceeded the percentage of moderate-income families.

Therefore, Fifth Third made use of flexible lending practices and is serving the assessment area’s credit needs, as lending through flexible loan programs to moderate-income borrowers was good, while the lending in low- and moderate-income tracts and to low-income borrowers was excellent.

Investment Test

Fifth Third’s performance relative to the investment test in the assessment area is rated excellent.

Fifth Third made an excellent level of qualified community development investments and grants, particularly those not routinely provided by private investors. As such, Fifth Third was often in a leadership position. Fifth Third has 125 qualified investments totaling \$26.5 million during the evaluation period. Shown in the table below are the total current period investments:

Affordable Housing		Economic Development		Revitalization and Stabilization		Community Services	
#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$
20	13,752,061	14	96,190	5	25,000	79	372,732

Also included in the total number of qualified investments are seven prior period investments totaling \$12.3 million. Fifth Third made 1.6% of its total community development investments in this assessment area, which is greater than the percentage of total deposits at 1.4% and less than the percentage of branch offices at 2.5%.

Fifth Third exhibits an excellent responsiveness to credit and community development needs in the assessment area, including investments in affordable housing throughout the assessment area, which was an important need expressed by community contacts. Fifth Third made 107 donations totaling \$522,422 that supported small businesses, and social and charitable organizations. The majority of Fifth Third’s donations (71.0%) supported services to low- and moderate-income individuals. Based on the area’s high poverty rates and accelerating elderly population, supporting community services that serve low- and moderate-income individuals is considered to be responsive.

Service Test

Fifth Third’s performance under the service test in this assessment area is rated excellent. Retail services are accessible and Fifth Third is a leader in providing community development services.

Retail Services

Fifth Third's record of opening and closing offices has not adversely affected the accessibility of its delivery systems, particularly in low- and moderate-income geographies and to low- and moderate-income households. Since the previous evaluation, no banking centers were opened and two were closed, resulting in no net change in the number of banking centers in low- and moderate-income tracts. Delivery services are accessible to Fifth Third's geographies and individuals of different income levels.

Business hours and services provided do not vary in a way that inconveniences certain portions of the assessment area, including low- and moderate-income geographies or households and are consistent with the services and hours discussed in the "Institution" assessment.

Fifth Third maintains 33 banking centers within this assessment area, including two in low-, eight in moderate-, 10 in middle-, and 13 in upper-income census tracts. Fifth Third banking centers in this assessment area represent 2.5% of all its banking centers.

Fifth Third has a total of 38 full-service ATMs within this assessment area, including three in low-, nine in moderate-, eight in middle-, and 17 in upper-income census tracts. There is also one full-service ATM located in an unknown income tract.

The following table illustrates the percentage of banking centers and ATMs in low-, moderate-, middle-, and upper-income census tracts in comparison to the number and percentage of census tracts and the percentage of households and businesses in those tracts.

Geographic Distribution of Branches & ATMS

Assessment Area: TN Nashville

Tract Category	Branches				Stand Alone ATMs						Demographics				
	Total Branches		Open #	Closed #	Total ATMs		Full Service ATMs		Cash only ATMs		Census Tracts		House holds %	Total Businesses %	
	#	%			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%			
Low	2	6.1%	0	0	Total	15	10.3%	3	7.9%	12	11.2%	31	10.0%	8.1%	7.8%
Moderate	8	24.2%	0	0	Total	35	24.1%	9	23.7%	26	24.3%	58	18.7%	18.8%	17.4%
Middle	10	30.3%	0	0	Total	40	27.6%	8	21.1%	32	29.9%	117	37.7%	39.0%	31.2%
Upper	13	39.4%	0	0	Total	48	33.1%	17	44.7%	31	29.0%	100	32.3%	34.1%	42.8%
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0	Total	7	4.8%	1	2.6%	6	5.6%	4	1.3%	0.0%	0.9%
Total	33	100.0%	0	0	Total	145	100.0%	38	100.0%	107	100.0%	310	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

2016 FFIEC Census Data, 2010 ACS Data, and 2015 D&B Information

Closed branches/ATMs are only included in "closed" columns and are not included in any other totals.

DTO - Drive thru only is a subset of total branches

SA = Stand Alone ATM is a subset of total ATMs

Branch distribution within low-income tracts was considered adequate, as the distribution of branches was below the percentage of census tracts and households in these tracts. However, the branch distribution within moderate-income tracts was considered excellent.

Community Development Services

Fifth Third is a leader in providing community development services in this assessment area. During the evaluation period, Fifth Third employees provided 2,854 hours of community development service to local organizations serving low- and moderate-income individuals, which represents 2.4% of all community development services provided and equates to 1.37 annualized persons (ANP).

Affordable Housing	Economic Development	Revitalization and Stabilization	Community Services
<i># of Hours</i>	<i># of Hours</i>	<i># of Hours</i>	<i># of Hours</i>
301	502	134	1,917

Employees provided financial expertise through leadership positions in multiple community organizations that provide affordable housing and promote community and economic development and area revitalization and stabilization. Community development services include 1,649 hours of providing financial literacy through local nonprofits and school programs, 889 hours serving on boards and committees, 268 hours providing technical assistance to non-profits and local business, and 48 hours participating in foreclosure prevention outreach.

Fifth Third is considered particularly responsive with regard to hours dedicated to financial literacy activities and hours providing technical assistance to small businesses, as community contacts mentioned the need for financial literacy training to help first-time homebuyers and increasing financing for small business entrepreneurs.

METROPOLITAN AREA
(Limited-scope Review)

DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION’S OPERATIONS IN THE STATE OF TENNESSEE

- Knoxville MSA
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third operated three branches in the assessment area, representing 8.3% of its branches in Tennessee.
 - As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third had \$60,264 in deposits in this assessment area, representing a market share of 0.5% and 3.9% of its statewide deposits.

**CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN
THE STATE OF TENNESSEE**

Through the use of available facts and data, including performance and demographic information, the assessment area’s performance was evaluated and compared with Fifth Third’s performance and contributed to weaker performance in the area. The conclusions regarding performance are provided in the table below. Please refer to the tables in Appendix F for information regarding these areas.

Assessment Area	Lending Test	Investment Test	Service Test
Knoxville MSA	Below	Consistent	Below

For the lending test, Fifth Third received a “High Satisfactory” rating in Tennessee. Performance in the Knoxville assessment area was below Fifth Third’s performance for the state. A lesser geographic and borrower distribution of loans contributed to weaker performance in the Knoxville assessment area. The Knoxville assessment area had a consistent level of community development loans for the state but had a high level of lending gaps.

For the investment test, Fifth Third received an “Outstanding” rating for Tennessee. The investment activity in the Knoxville assessment area was consistent to the performance for the state.

For the service test, Fifth Third received an “Outstanding” rating for Tennessee. Service performance in the Knoxville assessment area was below Fifth Third’s performance for the state. Retail services were adequate. The weaker retail services performance was primarily due to less accessibility of delivery systems in lower-income geographies. Qualified community development services were consistent with performance for the state.

The performance in the limited-scope assessment area did not change the overall state rating.

STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA

CRA RATING for State of West Virginia:²⁹³ Satisfactory

The lending test is rated: High Satisfactory

The investment test is rated: High Satisfactory

The service test is rated: Outstanding

The major factors supporting this rating include:

- A good responsiveness to credit needs;
- A good geographic distribution of loans throughout the assessment area;
- A good distribution of loans among borrowers of different income levels and to businesses of different revenue sizes;
- Exhibits a good record of serving the credit needs of low-income individuals and areas and very small businesses;
- An adequate level of community development loans;
- An extensive use of flexible lending practices in serving the assessment area's credit needs;
- A significant level of qualified community development investments and grants;
- Occasionally in a leadership position in providing community development investments and grants;
- Retail delivery systems are readily accessible to all geographies and individuals of different income levels and businesses of different revenue sizes;
- A record of opening and closing banking centers has not adversely affected the accessibility of delivery systems;
- Banking services and hours that do not vary in a way that inconveniences any portions of the assessment areas; and,
- A leader in providing community development services.

SCOPE OF EXAMINATION

A full-scope review was conducted for the Charleston MSA, which represents Fifth Third's entire banking operations for West Virginia. The time period, products, and affiliates evaluated for this assessment area are consistent with the scope discussed in the Institution section of this report.

²⁹³ For institutions with branches in two or more states in a multi-state metropolitan area, this statewide evaluation is adjusted and does not reflect performance in the parts of those states contained within the multi-state metropolitan area. Refer to the multi-state metropolitan area rating and discussion for the rating and evaluation of the institution's performance in that area.

METROPOLITAN AREA
(Full-scope Review)

**DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION'S OPERATIONS IN THE
CHARLESTON WV MSA #16620**

The Charleston WV MSA consists of Kanawha, Boone, and Clay counties; however, Fifth Third's assessment area comprises Kanawha County only and excludes Boone and Clay counties. The assessment area is comprised of 12 moderate-, 30 middle-, and 11 upper-income tracts. There are no low-income tracts or tracts with no-income designation in this assessment area.

As of June 30, 2016, Fifth Third ranked ninth out of 13 institutions with 1.8% of the deposit share. BB&T had the majority of the market share 32.3% of deposits, followed by United Bank, Huntington National Bank, City National Bank of West Virginia, and JPMorgan Chase Bank with 17.7%, 11.9%, 11.2%, and 10.7% of the market share, respectively. Deposits in this assessment area accounted for 0.1% of Fifth Third's total deposits. This was the 41st highest level of deposits within Fifth Third's CRA footprint.

From January 1, 2014 through June 30, 2016, Fifth Third originated 184 (0.2%) HMDA loans and 62 (0.2%) CRA loans during the evaluation period. This was the 41st largest HMDA market and 40th largest CRA market for loans originated during the evaluation period.

In 2015, Fifth Third Mortgage Company ranked 15th among 149 HMDA reporters in the assessment area, while Fifth Third ranked 32nd. The top five HMDA lenders in the assessment area were City National Bank of West Virginia, Quicken Loans, BB&T, Huntington National Bank, and JPMorgan Chase Bank. Fifth Third ranked 17th of 46 CRA reporters in the assessment area in 2015. The top four CRA lenders in the assessment area were BB&T, American Express Bank, Synchrony Bank, and United Bank. These lenders are mostly issuers of credit cards and their CRA loans primarily consist of commercial credit card accounts.

Two community contact interviews were conducted to provide additional information regarding the assessment area. The first contact, representing a community development organization, stated Charleston's economy is stronger than other economies in West Virginia primarily due to the city being the base of state government. However, in recent years, the city and the state have been negatively impacted by coal companies closing and/or merging. Further, the price of natural gas has dropped, causing energy companies to leave or go out of business. The contact mentioned that there is a huge void with regards to new market tax credits and although downtown Charleston would be eligible for these tax credits, the community lacks the expertise on how to use and leverage them. The contact noted there are opportunities for local financial institutions to provide guidance and technical resources to help businesses and organizations navigate the tax credit process. Lastly, the contact believed financial institutions seem less involved in the community because they are not headquartered in the area; however, the contact specifically mentioned BB&T, JPMorgan Chase, and City National as being active in the community.

The second contact, representing a community action organization, stated that West Virginia must find its “next economy” because while natural gas may be making a small rebound, it will not overhaul West Virginia’s economy. The contact indicated that unemployment is a serious economic concern for the state and this region, as fewer than half of working age adults are employed. Contributing to the decline in workers include West Virginia’s aging population, the overall health of the state’s residents, and mismatched skills among potential employees and available jobs. The contact stated there are opportunities for financial institutions to support job retraining programs and provide financial literacy education to help lower-income people get out of debt and save money. Lastly, the contact indicated that smaller community banks seem more willing to help and appear to have more flexible lending standards.

Population Characteristics

According to the 2010 U.S. Census data, the population in the assessment area was 193,063. About 17.0% of the population lived in moderate-income tracts. In addition, 79.4% of the population was 18 years of age or older, the legal age to enter into a contract.

As of July 1, 2015, the Charleston MSA is the 200th largest in terms of population in the nation.²⁹⁴ Kanawha County is the largest county in the MSA and West Virginia.²⁹⁵ Charleston is the largest city in the county and in West Virginia with 49,736 residents. Charleston is also the 757th largest city in the United States; however, its population decreased by 6.7% between 2000 and 2015.²⁹⁶

According to a study by West Virginia University’s College of Business and Economics, West Virginia’s population is expected to begin a sustained decline around 2016. The state may lose nearly 19,500 people (about 1.05%) between 2010 and 2030. Any future gains from net migration will be likely outweighed by the natural population decline; however, much uncertainty exists around population migration patterns, since public and business policies have the potential to significantly improve migration patterns. Kanawha County’s population is expected to decrease between 2010 and 2030. West Virginia’s population is expected to age at a rate that exceeding that of the nation, as the population group over age 65 is expected to grow by 22.9% by 2030 from 16.0% in 2010. Also, the share of the state’s population that is of prime working age (age 25-44) is expected to decline to 22.3% by 2030, down from 24.7% in 2010.²⁹⁷ Moody’s Analytics noted that the retiree population is supporting the housing and services markets in the state.

The following table shows the population in Kanawha County, which decreased by 2.5% between 2010 and 2015.²⁹⁸

²⁹⁴ MSA population data is derived from the U.S. Census Data 2015 Statistical Abstract:

<https://factfinder.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productview.xhtml?src=bkmk>

²⁹⁵ U.S. Places: <http://us-places.com> (main page – enter state, choose population by county)

²⁹⁶ U.S. Census QuickFacts: <https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/> (main page – enter state, county, city, town, or zip code)

²⁹⁷ Population Trends in West Virginia through 2030: <https://business.wvu.edu/files/d/fef23e19-5986-42a8-9cfb-299571820a18/bber-2014-04.pdf> (March 2014)

²⁹⁸ Population Estimates derived from U.S. Census Data (April 1, 2010 – July 1, 2015): <http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/table/PST045216/00>

County	2010 Population	2015 Population	Population Percent Change
Kanawha	193,063	188,332	-2.5%
Total	193,063	188,332	-2.5%

Income Characteristics

The 2010 assessment area median family income was substantially higher (\$54,203) than the MSA at \$52,702 and West Virginia at \$38,380. As shown in the table below, the median family income increased in the MSA since 2010. Although it fell in 2016, it remained above the median family income in 2010.

Borrower Income Levels Charleston, WV MSA

FFIEC Estimated Median Family Income		Low 0 - 49.99%	Moderate 50% - 79.99%	Middle 80% - 119.99%	Upper 120% - & above
2014	\$57,500	0 - \$28,749	\$28,750 - \$45,999	\$46,000 - \$68,999	\$69,000 - & above
2015	\$59,600	0 - \$29,799	\$29,800 - \$47,679	\$47,680 - \$71,519	\$71,520 - & above
2016	\$57,100	0 - \$28,549	\$28,550 - \$45,679	\$45,680 - \$68,519	\$68,520 - & above

Poverty rates increased in Kanawha County from 1999 to 2015.²⁹⁹ In 2015, Kanawha County's and West Virginia's poverty rates were substantially above than the national rate. According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the national poverty rate in 2015 was 13.5%, down 1.3 percentage points from 14.8% in 2014. For most demographic groups, the 2015 poverty rates and number of people in poverty decreased from 2014.³⁰⁰ The following table shows the poverty rates for 1999³⁰¹ and 2015.

County	1999 Poverty Rate	2015 Poverty Rate	Change
Kanawha	14.4%	16.5%	14.6%
West Virginia	17.9%	18.0%	0.6%
United States	11.8%	13.5%	14.4%

²⁹⁹ United States Department of Agriculture Economic Research Service Poverty Rates (for 1999 and 2015): <https://data.ers.usda.gov/reports.aspx?ID=17826>

³⁰⁰ 2015 National Poverty: <http://www.census.gov/library/publications/2016/demo/p60-256.html>

³⁰¹ 1999 National Poverty Rate: <http://www.census.gov/prod/2000pubs/p60-210.pdf>

Housing Characteristics

According to 2010 U.S. Census data, there are 93,063 housing units and 51,064 families in the assessment area. From an income perspective, 19.0% of housing units, 14.3% of owner-occupied units, and 15.2% of families are located in moderate-income tracts. In the moderate-income census tracts, over half (52.6%) of the housing units are either rental or vacant, and 47.4% are owner-occupied. Therefore, based on the number of housing units compared to the number of families in moderate-income census tracts, there appear to be credit-related opportunities for Fifth Third to provide various aspects of affordable housing in these tracts.

The 2010 U.S. Census data shows the median age of housing stock in the assessment area was 48 years old, with 27.9% of the stock built before 1950. Within the assessment area, the median age of housing stock was 57 years old in moderate-income tracts, which indicates that there is opportunity to make home improvement loans in this assessment area, particularly in moderate-income areas.

According to the 2010 U.S. Census data, the median housing value in the assessment area was \$98,537, with an affordability ratio of 43.31. The affordability ratio is derived by dividing the median household income by the median housing value. The higher the affordability ratio, the more affordable a home is considered.

The median housing values and household incomes increased between 2010 and 2011-2015, making housing slightly more affordable overall. Median gross rents substantially decreased in the assessment area between 2010 and 2011-2015. In 2010, about 34.1% of renters across the assessment area had rent costs greater than 30.0% of income. Decreasing rental rates may make it easier for potential homebuyers to save for a down payment for a home. According to Moody's Analytics, there is a very high homeownership rate in the Charleston metropolitan area, which means that many households are benefiting from slowly rising house prices. The table below presents housing characteristics from the U.S. Census data between 2010 and 2015 in the assessment area and West Virginia.

County	2010 Median Housing Value	2010 Affordability Ratio	2011-2015 Median Housing Value	2011-2015 Affordability Ratio	2010 Median Gross Rent	2011-2015 Median Gross Rent	Percent of Change
Kanawha	\$98,500	43.32	\$103,100	44.50	\$698	\$589	-15.6%
West Virginia	\$94,500	40.61	\$103,800	40.22	\$643	\$549	-14.6%

According to Bankrate.com,³⁰² West Virginia ranked 48th for foreclosure filings in November 2016. The national average for foreclosure filings was 1 in every 1,533 housing units. The following table contains information about foreclosure filings in the assessment area, according to Realtytrac:³⁰³

³⁰² Bankrate.com: <http://www.bankrate.com/finance/real-estate/foreclosures-by-state/default.aspx>

³⁰³ Realtytrac: <http://www.realtytrac.com/statsandtrends/foreclosurestrends/>

In November 2016, Kanawha County had a substantially higher rate of foreclosure than West Virginia’s, but it was significantly lower than the nation’s foreclosure rate.

Geography Name	Ratio of Properties Receiving Foreclosure Filings in November 2016
Kanawha	1:2,506
West Virginia	1:8,311
United States	1:1,533

Building permits in the MSA, West Virginia, and the nation are included in the following table for 2014, 2015, and 2016.³⁰⁴

Geography	2014	2015	Percent of Change 2014-2015	2016	Percent of Change 2015-2016
Charleston MSA	321	283	-11.8%	185	-34.6%
West Virginia	2,686	2,814	4.8%	2,544	-9.6%
United States	1,052,124	1,182,582	12.4%	1,190,191	0.6%

The MSA experienced a decrease in housing permits between 2014 and 2015 and a more significant decrease between 2015 and 2016, which was not representative of the trends in West Virginia or the nation during this timeframe. Additionally, the overall demand for permits tends to indicate a weak housing market and a decreased demand for home purchase loans in the assessment area during the evaluation period. However, according to Moody’s Analytics, the demand for residential building permits in September and October 2016 was better than the prior three-month trend.

Labor, Employment, and Economic Characteristics


According to Moody’s Analytics, the Charleston area economy is in recession, but is beginning to stabilize. While the rollback of environmental regulations may help boost the coal industry, but natural gas prices remain at historical lows, which hurts the demand for coal. As the industrial structure shifts, the lack of retraining and low educational attainment leads to more long-term unemployment. This metropolitan area will remain a below-average performer due to rapidly aging population and weak demographics.

According to Moody’s Analytics, top employers in the assessment area in 2015/2016 were:

³⁰⁴ U.S. Census Bureau Building Permits Survey: <http://www.census.gov/construction/bps/>

Company	Number of Employees
Charleston Area Medical Center	6,800
Herbert J. Thomas Memorial Hospital Association	1,300
Frontier Communications	1,250
MC Junking Corp.	>1,000
Wal-Mart Stores	>1,000
WVSR	>1,000
Wells Fargo	750
Walker Machinery Company	700
Capital Area Services	680
Bayer Crop Science	600

The following table illustrates the average unemployment rates for 2014, 2015, and June 2016 for the counties in the assessment area, MSA, and West Virginia.



Overall, unemployment rates remained fairly stable in the assessment area and were significantly lower than West Virginia’s unemployment rates each year.

According to the *Charleston Gazette-Mail*, the Kanawha County Board of Education announced 72 personnel cuts due to lack of state funding and a decline of students. The loss of funding is due to a loss of 731 students in the county, as enrollment numbers from the current school year are used to set funding levels for the following school year. Forty-seven of the eliminated positions are professional (e.g., teachers and administrators) and 25 are service positions (e.g., custodians, cooks, and bus drivers).³⁰⁵

³⁰⁵ Quinn, Ryan. “Kanawha: Schools losing funds for 72 positions.” *Charleston Gazette-Mail*. January 9, 2017. - <http://www.wvgazettemail.com/news-education/20170109/kanawha-schools-losing-funds-for-72-positions>

Additionally, according to the *Associated Press*, Blackhawk Mining announced its plans to idle some coal operations in southern West Virginia and permanently lay off 146 workers. The Panther Creek mine in Kanawha County was part of Blackhawk Mining's purchase through an auction of a substantial amount of bankrupt Patriot Coal's assets last year. Blackhawk indicated that layoffs are expected to take place in March 2016 at Panther Creek's Winchester Underground Mine and Tom's Fork Prep Plant in Eskdale, although other operations at Panther Creek will remain active.³⁰⁶

³⁰⁶ Associated Press. "Blackhawk Mining to idle 146 West Virginia workers." *Marcellus.com*. January 17, 2016. - <https://marcellus.com/news/id/134350/blackhawk-mining-to-idle-146-west-virginia-workers/>

Combined Demographics Report

Assessment Area: WV Charleston

Income Categories	Tract Distribution		Families by Tract Income		Families < Poverty Level as % of Families by Tract		Families by Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	9,517	18.6
Moderate-income	12	22.6	7,754	15.2	1,417	18.3	9,203	18
Middle-income	30	56.6	31,908	62.5	2,993	9.4	10,819	21.2
Upper-income	11	20.8	11,402	22.3	551	4.8	21,525	42.2
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	53	100.0	51,064	100.0	4,961	9.7	51,064	100.0
	Housing	Housing Types by Tract						
	Units by Tract	Owner-Occupied			Rental		Vacant	
		#	%	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Moderate-income	17,723	8,401	14.3	47.4	6,834	38.6	2,488	14
Middle-income	54,617	36,298	61.7	66.5	11,961	21.9	6,358	11.6
Upper-income	20,723	14,138	24	68.2	4,869	23.5	1,716	8.3
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	93,063	58,837	100.0	63.2	23,664	25.4	10,562	11.3
	Total Businesses by Tract	Businesses by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Moderate-income	2,489	30.7	2,127	29.5	323	41.3	39	28.9
Middle-income	3,634	44.8	3,292	45.7	292	37.3	50	37
Upper-income	1,996	24.6	1,783	24.8	167	21.4	46	34.1
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	8,119	100.0	7,202	100.0	782	100.0	135	100.0
	Percentage of Total Businesses:			88.7		9.6		1.7
	Total Farms by Tract	Farms by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Moderate-income	6	11.1	6	11.1	0	0	0	0
Middle-income	39	72.2	39	72.2	0	0	0	0
Upper-income	9	16.7	9	16.7	0	0	0	0
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	54	100.0	54	100.0	0	.0	0	.0
	Percentage of Total Farms:			100.0		.0		.0

**CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN THE
CHARLESTON WV MSA**

Lending Test

Fifth Third’s performance under the lending test in this assessment area is rated “High Satisfactory.” Fifth Third has demonstrated a good responsiveness to the credit needs of the community. In addition, Fifth Third originated two community development loans totaling \$4.0 million. Fifth Third has a good geographic distribution of loans and a moderate level of lending gaps. Fifth Third has a good distribution among borrowers of different income levels and to businesses of different revenue sizes. Fifth Third also exhibits a good record of serving the credit needs of highly economically disadvantaged areas in its assessment area, low-income individuals, and businesses with gross annual revenues of \$1 million or less. The adequate level of community development loans and extensive use of flexible lending practices augmented Fifth Third’s performance in this assessment area.

Greatest consideration was given to the evaluation of home purchase lending based on the overall volume of lending, followed by refinance and small business. There were an insufficient number of home improvement loans to analyze performance. Details of Fifth Third’s residential mortgage and small business lending, as well as information regarding aggregate lending, can be found in Appendix E.

Lending Activity

Fifth Third’s lending activity reflects a good responsiveness to the credit needs within the assessment area. Fifth Third originated 93 home purchase, 69 refinance, 22 home improvement, 62 small business, and two community development loans during the evaluation period. The percentage of Fifth Third’s total lending at 0.2% is greater than the percentage of total deposits at 0.1% in this area.

Fifth Third made 93.4% of the HMDA and 100.0% of the CRA lending within its designated assessment area. No concentration was identified in any of the excluded counties within the assessment area.

Geographic Distribution of Loans

Fifth Third’s overall distribution of lending among geographies is good. Home purchase lending is poor. Refinance lending is excellent. Small business lending is excellent. There is also an overall moderate level of lending gaps. The following gaps in lending were noted in the assessment area.

Tract Income Levels	Number of Tracts	Tracts with No Loans	Penetration
Moderate	12	3	75.0%
Middle	30	2	93.3%
Upper	11	2	81.8%
Total	53	7	86.8%

Lending gaps are considered reasonable. The lower penetration rate in moderate-income tracts is primarily due to an owner-occupancy rate of 47.4% and a high percentage of rentals and vacancies of 52.6% in these areas. Middle-income tracts have a low level of lending gaps and upper-income tracts have a reasonable level of lending gaps.

Home Purchase Loans

Fifth Third made six home purchase loans totaling \$611,000 in moderate-income tracts. This represents 6.5% of its home purchase loans by volume, which is below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 14.3%, and 4.1% by dollar amount, which is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate at 11.3% and was below the 2015 aggregate at 11.2%. As Fifth Third's performance was below proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of home purchase loans in low-income tracts is poor.

Fifth Third made 46 home purchase loans totaling \$5.7 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 49.5% of home purchase loans by volume, which is below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 61.7%, and 38.4% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 57.9% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 56.3%.

Fifth Third made 41 home purchase loans totaling \$8.5 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 44.1% of home purchase loans by volume, which significantly exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 24.0%, and 57.5% by dollar amount, which significantly exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 30.8% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 31.2%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of home purchase loans is poor.

Refinance Loans

Fifth Third made eight refinance loans totaling \$1.3 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 11.6% of refinance loans by volume, which is below the owner-occupied units in these tracts at 14.3%, and 15.2%, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was comparable to the 2014 aggregate at 9.1% and significantly exceeded the 2015 aggregate at 9.0%. Because the owner-occupancy rate in moderate-income tracts is only 47.4% (which likely reduces the demand for refinance loans in these areas) and Fifth Third's performance was comparable in 2014 and significantly exceeded the aggregate of all lenders in 2015, the geographic distribution of refinance loans in moderate-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 38 refinance loans totaling \$3.7 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 55.1% of refinance loans by volume, which is below the owner-occupied units in these tracts at 61.7%, and 44.1% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate at 60.5% and was below the 2015 aggregate at 59.0%.

Fifth Third made 23 refinance loans totaling \$3.4 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 33.3% of refinance loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts at 24.0%, and 40.8% by dollar amount, which significantly exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate at 30.4% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate at 32.0%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of refinance loans is excellent.

Small Business Loans

Fifth Third made 20 small business loans totaling \$3.7 million in moderate-income tracts. This represents 32.3% of small business loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 29.5%, and 34.5% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 26.1% and the 2015 aggregate of 27.6%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, the geographic distribution of small business loans in moderate-income tracts is excellent.

Fifth Third made 26 small business loans totaling \$5.3 million in middle-income tracts. This represents 41.9% of small business loans by volume, which is below the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 45.7%, and 49.3% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 45.6% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 44.1%.

Fifth Third made 16 small business loans totaling \$1.7 million in upper-income tracts. This represents 25.8% of small business loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of businesses in these tracts at 24.8%, and 16.2% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 25.5% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 25.7%.

Overall, the geographic distribution of small business loans is excellent.

Distribution by Borrower Income and Revenue Size of the Business

The distribution of loans is good based on borrower income and for businesses of different revenue sizes. Borrower distribution for home purchase and refinance lending is good.

Home Purchase Loans

Fifth Third made ten loans totaling \$711,000 to low-income borrowers. This represents 10.8% of home purchase loans by volume, which is below the percentage of low-income families at 18.6%, and 4.8% by dollar amount, which is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 9.2% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 8.1%. Because Fifth Third's performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders, but was below proxy, the borrower distribution of home purchase loans to low-income borrowers is good.

Fifth Third made 18 loans totaling \$1.7 million to moderate-income borrowers. This represents 19.4% of home purchase loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of moderate-income families at 18.0%, and 11.2% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 17.9% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 21.4%. As Fifth Third's performance exceeded proxy and the aggregate of all lenders, the borrower distribution of home purchase loans to moderate-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 23 loans totaling \$3.1 million to middle-income borrowers. This represents 24.7% of home purchase loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of middle-income families at 21.2%, and 21.1% by dollar amount, which is comparable to proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 21.6% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 21.7%.

Fifth Third made 39 loans totaling \$8.8 million to upper-income borrowers. This represents 41.9% of home purchase loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of upper-income families at 42.2%, and 59.6% by dollar amount, which exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 35.8% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 30.7%.

A community contact indicated that the lack of employment opportunities for low- and moderate-income individuals was a significant economic factor likely impacting the ability of these individuals to buy homes. Since Fifth Third was able to effectively reach these borrowers, the overall borrower distribution of home purchase loans is good.

Refinance Loans

Fifth Third made five loans totaling \$375,000 to low-income borrowers. This represents 7.2% of refinance loans by volume, which is significantly below the percentage of low-income families at 18.6%, and 4.5% by dollar amount, which is significantly below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 6.2% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 6.1%. Given that Fifth Third's performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders, but was significantly below proxy, the borrower distribution of refinance loans to low-income borrowers is adequate.

Fifth Third made 13 loans totaling \$968,000 to moderate-income borrowers. This represents 18.8% of refinance loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of moderate-income families at 18.0%, and 11.5% by dollar volume, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 15.6% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 15.7%. As Fifth Third's performance exceeded the aggregate of all lenders and proxy, the borrower distribution of refinance loans to moderate-income borrowers is excellent.

Fifth Third made 14 loans totaling \$1.2 million to middle-income borrowers. This represents 20.3% of refinance loans by volume, which is comparable to the percentage of middle-income families at 21.2%, and 14.2% by dollar amount, which is below proxy. The percentage of loans by volume exceeded the 2014 aggregate of 24.5% and was below the 2015 aggregate of 21.9%.

Fifth Third made 35 loans totaling \$5.7 million to upper-income borrowers. This represents 50.7% of refinance loans by volume, which exceeds the percentage of upper-income families at 42.2%, and 67.6% by dollar amount, which significantly exceeds proxy. The percentage of loans by volume was below the 2014 aggregate of 41.5% and substantially exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 41.6%.

Overall, the borrower distribution of refinance loans is good.

Small Business Loans

The distribution of small business loans to businesses of different sizes is good, considering Fifth Third's performance relative to the aggregate of all lenders. Fifth Third was able to make 40.3% of small business loans to businesses with revenues of \$1 million or less. Fifth Third's performance was below the 2014 aggregate of 38.0% and exceeded the 2015 aggregate of 44.0%; however, Fifth Third's performance was significantly below the percentage of small businesses in the assessment area at 88.7%. Also, during the evaluation period, Fifth Third was able to make an acceptable percentage of small-dollar loans (59.7%) up to \$100,000, indicating a willingness to lend in smaller amounts that are requested by small businesses.

Community Development Loans

Fifth Third originated two community development loans totaling \$4.0 million supporting economic development during the evaluation period.

Community development lending in the assessment area represents 0.1% of the total dollar volume of community development loans originated by Fifth Third during the evaluation period. This ranks as Fifth Third's 52nd highest percentage of community development lending during the evaluation period. As such, Fifth Third's performance is adequate in community development lending.

The community development loans were renewals of working capital to assist a small business retain employment for 14 low- and moderate-income workers.

Flexible Lending Programs

Fifth Third only originated 184 HMDA loans in this assessment area during the evaluation period, but originated 701 flexible lending loans consisting of 503 government loans, 66 down payment assistance loans, and 132 other flexible lending programs. The following tables show the percentage by volume and by dollar amount of the three types of flexible lending programs made in this assessment area during the evaluation period and the distribution of Fifth Third’s flexible lending programs within the assessment area by census tract income and by borrower income.

Flexible Loan Programs												
	Geographic Distribution											
	Low			Moderate			Middle			Upper		
	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units	% - #	% - \$	% O/O Units
	Government Loan Programs	2.4%	2.2%	3.4%	25.6%	20.4%	18.4%	51.1%	49.0%	39.9%	20.9%	28.5%
Down Payment Assistance Programs	7.6%	6.9%	3.4%	30.3%	27.8%	18.4%	53.0%	54.6%	39.9%	9.1%	10.8%	38.3%
Other Flexible Lending Programs	5.3%	3.1%	3.4%	13.6%	10.5%	18.4%	34.8%	30.9%	39.9%	46.2%	55.5%	38.3%
Total	3.4%	2.7%	3.4%	23.8%	18.7%	18.4%	48.2%	45.4%	39.9%	24.5%	33.1%	38.3%

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

Flexible Loan Programs												
	Borrower Distribution											
	Low			Moderate			Middle			Upper		
	% - #	% - \$	% Fam	% - #	% - \$	% Fam	% - #	% - \$	% Fam	% - #	% - \$	% Fam
	Government Loan Programs	28.2%	19.9%	21.0%	35.2%	31.4%	17.3%	18.7%	21.2%	20.4%	17.1%	26.0%
Down Payment Assistance Programs	47.0%	37.3%	21.0%	42.4%	47.3%	17.3%	4.5%	7.9%	20.4%	0.0%	0.0%	41.4%
Other Flexible Lending Programs	12.1%	9.6%	21.0%	22.0%	16.5%	17.3%	20.5%	18.9%	20.4%	43.2%	52.9%	41.4%
Total	27.0%	18.9%	21.0%	33.4%	29.3%	17.3%	17.7%	19.7%	20.4%	20.4%	30.0%	41.4%

*Unknown tract data is not included in the above table.

Overall, Fifth Third’s lending in low-income tracts by number was comparable to the percentage of owner-occupied units in these tracts, while the dollar amount in the low-income tracts was slightly below proxy. However, down payment assistance loans, by number and dollar amount, exceeded the percentage of owner-occupied units in low-income tracts. The percentage of lending by volume and dollar amount in moderate-income tracts exceeded the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies.

Fifth Third’s lending by volume to low-income borrowers exceeded the percentage of low-income families, and lending by dollar amount was below proxy. The percentage of lending by volume and dollar amount to moderate-income borrowers exceeded the percentage of moderate-income families, especially for down payment assistance and government loan programs.

Fifth Third made extensive use of flexible lending practices and is serving the assessment area’s credit needs, as lending through flexible loan programs in low-income tracts was good and excellent in moderate-income tracts and to low- and moderate-income borrowers was excellent.

Investment Test

Fifth Third’s performance relative to the investment test in the assessment area is rated “High Satisfactory.”

Fifth Third made a significant level of qualified community development investments and grants. As such, Fifth Third was occasionally in a leadership position. Fifth Third has 35 qualified investments totaling \$4.0 million during the evaluation period. Shown in the table below are the total current period investments:

Affordable Housing		Community Services	
#	\$	#	\$
11	1,543,486	20	46,471

Also included in the total number of qualified investments are four prior period investments totaling \$2.4 million. Fifth Third made 0.3% of its total community development investments in this assessment area, which is greater than the percentage of total deposits at 0.1% and comparable to the percentage of branch offices at 0.2%.

Fifth Third exhibits a good responsiveness to credit and community development needs in the assessment area. Fifth Third made 25 donations totaling \$51,221 that supported local schools, churches and social and charitable organizations. The majority of Fifth Third’s donations (90.7%) supported services to low- and moderate-income individuals.

Service Test

Fifth Third’s performance under the service test in this assessment area is rated “Outstanding.” Retail services are readily accessible and Fifth Third provides an excellent level of community development services.

Retail Services

Fifth Third’s record of opening and closing offices has not adversely affected the accessibility of its delivery systems, particularly in moderate-income geographies and to low- and moderate-income households. Since the previous evaluation, no banking centers were opened or closed and delivery services are readily accessible to Fifth Third’s geographies and individuals of different income levels.

Business hours and services provided do not vary in a way that inconveniences certain portions of the assessment area, including moderate-income geographies or households, and are consistent with the services and hours discussed in the “Institution” assessment.

Fifth Third maintains three banking centers within this assessment area, including one in moderate-, two in middle-, and none in upper-income census tracts. Fifth Third banking centers in this assessment area represent 0.2% of all its banking centers.

Fifth Third has a total of three full-service ATMs within this assessment area, including one in moderate-, two in middle-, and none in upper-income census tracts.

The following table illustrates the percentage of banking centers and ATMs in moderate-, middle-, and upper-income census tracts in comparison to the number and percentage of census tracts and the percentage of households and businesses in those tracts. There are no low-income geographies in this assessment area.

Geographic Distribution of Branches & ATMS

Assessment Area: WV Charleston

Tract Category	Branches				Stand Alone ATMs						Demographics				
	Total Branches		Open #	Closed #	Total ATMs		Full Service ATMs		Cash only ATMs		Census Tracts		House holds %	Total Businesses %	
	#	%			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%			
Low	0	0.0%	0	0	Total	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Moderate	1	33.3%	0	0	Total	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	12	22.6%	18.5%	31.4%
Middle	2	66.7%	0	0	Total	2	66.7%	2	66.7%	0	0.0%	30	56.6%	58.5%	44.4%
Upper	0	0.0%	0	0	Total	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	11	20.8%	23.0%	24.2%
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0	Total	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total	3	100.0%	0	0	Total	3	100.0%	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	53	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

2016 FFIEC Census Data, 2010 ACS Data, and 2015 D&B Information

Closed branches/ATMs are only included in "closed" columns and are not included in any other totals.

DTO - Drive thru only is a subset of total branches

SA = Stand Alone ATM is a subset of total ATMs

Branch distribution within moderate-income tracts was considered excellent, because the distribution of branches exceeded the percentage of census tracts and households and businesses in these tracts.

Community Development Services

Fifth Third is a leader in providing community development services in this assessment area. During the evaluation period, Fifth Third employees provided 625 hours of community development service to local organizations serving low- and moderate-income individuals, which represents 0.5% of all community development services provided and equates to 0.3 annualized persons (ANP).

Affordable Housing	Economic Development	Community Services
<i># of Hours</i>	<i># of Hours</i>	<i># of Hours</i>
103	36	486

Employees provided financial expertise through leadership positions in multiple community organizations that provide affordable housing and promote community and economic development. Community development services include 381 hours of providing financial literacy through local nonprofits and school programs, 224 hours serving on boards and committees, and 20 hours providing technical assistance to non-profits.

Fifth Third was considered particularly responsive with regard to hours dedicated to financial literacy activities, as a community contact mentioned the need for financial literacy education to help lower-income individuals get out of debt and learn to save money. Based on the area’s high poverty rates and accelerating elderly population, supporting community services that serve low- and moderate-income individuals is also considered to be responsive.

APPENDIX A
SCOPE OF EXAMINATION

LIST OF ASSESSMENT AREAS AND TYPE OF EXAMINATION	
ASSESSMENT AREA/TYPE OF EXAMINATION	BANKING CENTERS VISITED³⁰⁷
<p><i>Multi-state – full-scope reviews</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Chicago-Naperville IL-IN-WI CSA • Cincinnati OH-KY-IN MSA • Evansville IN-KY MSA • Huntington-Ashland WV-KY-OH MSA • Louisville-Jefferson County KY-IN MSA • South Bend-Elkhart-Mishawaka IN-MI CSA 	None
<p><i>Florida – full-scope reviews</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Orlando-Deltona-Daytona Beach FL CSA • Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater FL MSA <p><i>Florida – limited-scope reviews</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cape Coral-Fort Myers FL MSA • Jacksonville FL MSA • Lakeland-Winter Haven FL MSA • Miami-Fort Lauderdale-West Palm Beach FL MSA • Naples-Immokalee-Marco Island FL MSA • North Port-Sarasota FL CSA 	None
<p><i>Georgia – full-scope review</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Roswell GA MSA <p><i>Georgia – full-scope review</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Augusta-Richmond County GA-SC MSA 	None
<p><i>Illinois – full-scope reviews</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Non-metropolitan Southern Illinois <p><i>Illinois – limited-scope review</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Carbondale-Marion IL MSA • Non-metropolitan Northern Illinois • Rockford IL MSA 	None

³⁰⁷There is a statutory requirement that the written evaluation of a multi-state institution’s performance must list the individual banking centers examined in each state. Before the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau assumed responsibility over supervision of the majority of the consumer compliance regulation impacting Fifth Third, the institution was supervised under the Federal Reserve’s continuous supervision process. Banking centers and/or the institution’s processes for monitoring banking center performance are periodically evaluated under this continuous supervision process so no additional review of banking centers was necessary as part of this CRA performance evaluation.

<p>Indiana – full-scope reviews</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Indianapolis-Carmel-Muncie IN CSA • Non-metropolitan Southern Indiana <p>Indiana – limited-scope reviews</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bloomington IN MSA • Fort Wayne IN MSA • Lafayette-West Lafayette IN MSA • Non-metropolitan Northern Indiana • Terre Haute IN MSA 	None
<p>Kentucky – full-scope reviews</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lexington-Fayette KY MSA <p>Kentucky – limited-scope review</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Non-metropolitan Eastern Kentucky • Non-metropolitan Western Kentucky • Owensboro KY MSA 	None
<p>Michigan – full-scope reviews</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Detroit-Warren-Ann Arbor MI CSA • Grand Rapids-Wyoming-Muskegon MI CSA <p>Michigan – limited-scope reviews</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Battle Creek MI MSA • Jackson MI MSA • Kalamazoo-Portage MI MSA • Lansing-East Lansing MI MSA • Non-metropolitan Northern Michigan • Non-metropolitan Southern Michigan • Saginaw-Midland-Bay City MI CSA 	None
<p>Missouri – full-scope review</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • St. Louis MO-IL MSA 	None
<p>North Carolina – full-scope reviews</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Charlotte-Gastonia-Concord NC-SC MSA <p>North Carolina – limited-scope reviews</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Asheville NC MSA • Hickory-Lenoir-Morganton NC MSA • Non-metropolitan Western North Carolina • Raleigh-Cary NC MSA 	None
<p>Ohio – full-scope reviews</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cleveland-Akron-Canton OH CSA • Columbus OH MSA 	None

<p><i>Ohio – limited-scope reviews</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dayton-Springfield-Sidney OH CSA • Lima OH MSA • Non-metropolitan Northwestern Ohio • Non-metropolitan Southwestern Ohio • Toledo OH MSA 	
<p><i>Pennsylvania – full-scope review</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pittsburgh PA MSA 	None
<p><i>Tennessee – full-scope review</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Nashville-Davidson-Murfreesboro-Franklin TN MSA <p><i>Tennessee – limited-scope review</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Knoxville TN MSA 	None
<p><i>West Virginia – full-scope review</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Charleston WV MSA 	None

APPENDIX B

SUMMARY OF INSTITUTION, MULTI-STATE, AND STATE RATINGS

Institution Rating	Lending Test Rating	Investment Test Rating	Service Test Rating	Overall State Rating
Institution	Outstanding	Outstanding	High Satisfactory	Outstanding
Multi-state MSA Ratings				
Chicago-Naperville IL-IN-WI CSA	Outstanding	Outstanding	High Satisfactory	Outstanding
Cincinnati OH-KY-IN MSA	Outstanding	Outstanding	High Satisfactory	Outstanding
Evansville IN-KY MSA	High Satisfactory	Outstanding	High Satisfactory	Outstanding
Huntington-Ashland WV-KY-OH MSA	Low Satisfactory	Outstanding	Outstanding	Satisfactory
Louisville-Jefferson County KY-IN MSA	High Satisfactory	Outstanding	High Satisfactory	Satisfactory
South Bend-Elkhart-Mishawaka IN-MI CSA	High Satisfactory	Outstanding	High Satisfactory	Satisfactory
State MSA Ratings				
State of Florida	Outstanding	Outstanding	High Satisfactory	Outstanding
State of Georgia	High Satisfactory	Outstanding	High Satisfactory	Satisfactory
State of Illinois	Low Satisfactory	High Satisfactory	Low Satisfactory	Satisfactory
State of Indiana	Outstanding	Outstanding	Outstanding	Outstanding
Commonwealth of Kentucky	High Satisfactory	High Satisfactory	High Satisfactory	Satisfactory
State of Michigan	Outstanding	Outstanding	Outstanding	Outstanding
State of Missouri	High Satisfactory	Low Satisfactory	Low Satisfactory	Satisfactory
State of North Carolina	High Satisfactory	High Satisfactory	High Satisfactory	Satisfactory
State of Ohio	Outstanding	Outstanding	High Satisfactory	Outstanding
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania	High Satisfactory	Outstanding	Low Satisfactory	Satisfactory
State of Tennessee	High Satisfactory	High Satisfactory	High Satisfactory	Satisfactory
State of West Virginia	High Satisfactory	High Satisfactory	Outstanding	Satisfactory

APPENDIX C

SUMMARY OF LIMITED-SCOPE REVIEWS



Assessment Area	Lending Test	Investment Test	Service Test
State of Florida			
Cape Coral-Fort Myers FL MSA	Below	Below	Consistent
Jacksonville FL MSA	Below	Consistent	Consistent
Lakeland-Winter Haven FL MSA	Below	Consistent	Consistent
Miami-Fort Lauderdale-West Palm Beach FL MSA	Below	Consistent	Consistent
Naples-Immokalee-Marco Island FL MSA	Below	Below	Below
North Port-Sarasota FL CSA	Below	Below	Consistent
State of Georgia			
Augusta-Richmond County GA-SC MSA	Below	Consistent	Consistent
State of Illinois			
Carbondale-Marion IL MSA	Consistent	Consistent	Consistent
Non-metropolitan Northern Illinois	Consistent	Above	Above
Rockford IL MSA	Consistent	Below	Above
State of Indiana			
Bloomington IN MSA	Below	Consistent	Below
Fort Wayne IN MSA	Below	Consistent	Below
Lafayette-West Lafayette IN MSA	Below	Below	Below
Non-metropolitan Northern Indiana	Below	Below	Below
Terre Haute IN MSA	Below	Below	Below
Commonwealth of Kentucky			
Non-metropolitan Eastern Kentucky	Below	Consistent	Below
Non-metropolitan Western Kentucky	Below	Above	Consistent
Owensboro KY MSA	Below	Below	Below
State of Michigan			
Battle Creek MI MSA	Below	Consistent	Below
Jackson MI MSA	Below	Below	Below
Kalamazoo-Portage MI MSA	Below	Consistent	Below
Lansing-East Lansing MI MSA	Below	Below	Consistent
Non-metropolitan Northern Michigan	Below	Below	Below
Non-metropolitan Southern Michigan	Below	Consistent	Below
Saginaw-Midland-Bay City MI CSA	Below	Consistent	Below

State of North Carolina			
Asheville NC MSA	Below	Below	Below
Hickory-Lenoir-Morganton NC MSA	Below	Below	Below
Non-metropolitan Western North Carolina	Below	Below	Below
Raleigh-Cary NC MSA	Below	Below	Below
State of Ohio			
Dayton-Springfield-Sidney OH CSA	Below	Below	Below
Lima OH MSA	Below	Below	Consistent
Non-metropolitan Northwestern Ohio	Below	Below	Consistent
Non-metropolitan Southwestern Ohio	Below	Consistent	Consistent
Toledo OH MSA	Below	Below	Consistent
State of Tennessee			
Knoxville TN MSA	Below	Consistent	Below



APPENDIX D

ASSESSMENT AREA MAPS



Assessment Area: Multi Chicago-Naperville IL-IN-WI CSA #176




Assessment Area: Multi Cincinnati OH-KY-IN MSA #17140





Assessment Area: Multi Evansville IN-KY MSA #21780





Assessment Area: Multi Huntington-Ashland WV-KY-OH MSA #26580





Assessment Area: Multi Louisville/Jefferson County KY-IN MSA #31140





Assessment Area: Multi South Bend Elkhart Mishawaka IN-MI CSA #515




Assessment Area: Orlando-Deltona-Daytona Beach FL CSA #422




Assessment Area: Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater FL MSA #45300





Assessment Area: Cape Coral-Fort Myers FL MSA #15980



Assessment Area: Jacksonville FL MSA #27260





Assessment Area: Lakeland-Winterhaven FL MSA #29460





Assessment Area: Miami-Fort Lauderdale-West Palm Beach FL MSA #33100




Assessment Area: Naples-Immokalee-Marco Island FL MSA #34940




Assessment Area: North Port-Sarasota FL CSA #494




Assessment Area: Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Roswell GA MSA #12060




Assessment Area: Augusta-Richmond County GA-SC MSA #12260




Assessment Area: Illinois State Non-Metro Southern




Assessment Area: Carbondale-Marion IL MSA #16060




Assessment Area: Illinois State Non-Metro Northern




Assessment Area: Rockford IL MSA #40420




Assessment Area: Indianapolis-Carmel-Muncie IN CSA #294




Assessment Area: Indiana State Non-Metro Southern




Assessment Area: Bloomington IN MSA #14020




Assessment Area: Fort Wayne IN MSA #23060




Assessment Area: Lafayette-W Lafayette IN MSA #29140




Assessment Area: Indiana State Non-Metro Northern




Assessment Area: Terre Haute IN MSA #45460




Assessment Area: Lexington-Fayette KY MSA #30460




Assessment Area: Kentucky State Non-Metro Eastern





Assessment Area: Kentucky State Non-Metro Western




Assessment Area: Owensboro KY MSA #36980




Assessment Area: Detroit-Warren-Ann Arbor MI CSA #220




Assessment Area: Grand Rapids-Wyoming-Muskegon MI CSA #266




Assessment Area: Battle Creek MI MSA #12980




Assessment Area: Jackson MI MSA #27100




Assessment Area: Kalamazoo-Portage MI MSA #28020





Assessment Area: Lansing-East Lansing MI MSA #29620




Assessment Area: Michigan State Non-Metro Northern




Assessment Area: Michigan State Non-Metro Southern




Assessment Area: Saginaw-Midland-Bay City MI CSA #474




Assessment Area: St. Louis MO-IL MSA #41180



Assessment Area: Charlotte-Gastonia-Concord NC-SC MSA #16740





Assessment Area: Asheville NC MSA #11700




Assessment Area: Hickory-Lenoir-Morganton NC MSA #25860





Assessment Area: Non-metropolitan Western North Carolina




Assessment Area: Raleigh-Cary NC MSA #39580




Assessment Area: Cleveland-Akron-Canton OH CSA #184




Assessment Area: Columbus OH MSA #18140




Assessment Area: Dayton-Springfield-Sidney OH CSA #212





Assessment Area: Lima OH MSA #30620




Assessment Area: Ohio State Non-Metro Northwest




Assessment Area: Ohio State Non-Metro Southwest




Assessment Area: Toledo OH MSA #45780




Assessment Area: Pittsburgh PA MSA #45780




Assessment Area: Nashville TN MSA #34980



Assessment Area: Knoxville TN MSA #28940



Assessment Area: Charleston WV MSA #16620



APPENDIX E
FULL-SCOPE LENDING TABLES

Geographic Distribution of HMDA Loans

Assessment Area: Multi Chicago

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014					2015						
		Bank		Owner Occupied Units	Count		Dollar		Count		Dollar		Count		Dollar			
		Count	Dollar		Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg				
#	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	%	%		
HOME PURCHASE	Low	210	3.6%	\$44,895	3.2%	3.8%	51	2.4%	2.2%	\$10,990	2.1%	1.7%	120	4.6%	2.3%	\$23,243	4.0%	1.9%
	Moderate	1,046	17.9%	\$161,217	11.4%	17.4%	230	11.0%	13.3%	\$35,180	6.6%	8.9%	557	21.5%	13.2%	\$83,043	14.1%	9.1%
	Middle	2,086	35.7%	\$374,364	26.4%	39.5%	788	37.6%	38.7%	\$146,484	27.6%	29.9%	912	35.2%	39.3%	\$153,952	26.2%	30.8%
	Upper	2,493	42.7%	\$839,283	59.1%	39.4%	1,029	49.0%	45.8%	\$337,334	63.6%	59.5%	1,000	38.6%	45.2%	\$327,646	55.7%	58.2%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Total	5,835	100.0%	\$1,419,759	100.0%	100.0%	2,098	100.0%	100.0%	\$529,988	100.0%	100.0%	2,589	100.0%	100.0%	\$587,884	100.0%	100.0%
REFINANCE	Low	181	3.1%	\$32,942	2.3%	3.8%	29	1.6%	2.5%	\$4,098	1.0%	1.8%	86	3.2%	2.0%	\$16,681	2.6%	1.5%
	Moderate	917	15.5%	\$125,143	8.6%	17.4%	205	11.3%	12.3%	\$25,564	6.0%	7.8%	507	18.6%	10.4%	\$72,287	11.2%	6.7%
	Middle	2,031	34.3%	\$335,270	23.1%	39.5%	674	37.1%	36.4%	\$104,828	24.5%	28.2%	905	33.2%	34.9%	\$153,370	23.8%	26.6%
	Upper	2,790	47.1%	\$957,810	66.0%	39.4%	907	50.0%	48.8%	\$292,632	68.5%	62.1%	1,225	45.0%	52.7%	\$402,673	62.4%	65.2%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Total	5,919	100.0%	\$1,451,165	100.0%	100.0%	1,815	100.0%	100.0%	\$427,122	100.0%	100.0%	2,723	100.0%	100.0%	\$645,011	100.0%	100.0%
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	18	5.1%	\$1,809	5.5%	3.8%	4	3.6%	3.6%	\$193	2.5%	2.0%	13	7.6%	3.3%	\$1,606	8.7%	1.8%
	Moderate	62	17.4%	\$5,235	15.9%	17.4%	18	16.2%	15.7%	\$1,056	13.6%	8.7%	30	17.6%	14.4%	\$3,408	18.5%	7.3%
	Middle	166	46.6%	\$11,884	36.1%	39.5%	61	55.0%	41.4%	\$3,929	50.4%	29.1%	67	39.4%	38.7%	\$5,562	30.1%	25.1%
	Upper	110	30.9%	\$14,000	42.5%	39.4%	28	25.2%	39.4%	\$2,615	33.6%	60.2%	60	35.3%	43.6%	\$7,890	42.7%	65.7%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Total	356	100.0%	\$32,928	100.0%	100.0%	111	100.0%	100.0%	\$7,793	100.0%	100.0%	170	100.0%	100.0%	\$18,466	100.0%	100.0%
MULTI FAMILY	Multi-Family Units																	
	Low	11	44.0%	\$2,545	49.3%	12.6%	0	0.0%	14.1%	\$0	0.0%	12.1%	10	50.0%	13.9%	\$1,930	52.8%	10.5%
	Moderate	13	52.0%	\$2,477	47.9%	22.7%	0	0.0%	29.8%	\$0	0.0%	19.5%	9	45.0%	30.6%	\$1,581	43.2%	16.8%
	Middle	1	4.0%	\$145	2.8%	33.4%	0	0.0%	34.1%	\$0	0.0%	29.3%	1	5.0%	33.1%	\$145	4.0%	24.5%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	31.3%	0	0.0%	22.0%	\$0	0.0%	39.0%	0	0.0%	22.4%	\$0	0.0%	48.1%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Total	25	100.0%	\$5,167	100.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	20	100.0%	100.0%	\$3,656	100.0%	100.0%
HMDA TOTALS	Low	420	3.5%	\$82,191	2.8%	3.8%	84	2.1%	2.5%	\$15,281	1.6%	2.5%	229	4.2%	2.3%	\$43,460	3.5%	2.3%
	Moderate	2,038	16.8%	\$294,072	10.1%	17.4%	453	11.3%	13.1%	\$61,800	6.4%	9.2%	1,103	20.0%	12.1%	\$160,319	12.8%	8.4%
	Middle	4,284	35.3%	\$721,663	24.8%	39.5%	1,523	37.8%	37.9%	\$255,241	26.5%	29.2%	1,885	34.3%	37.2%	\$313,029	24.9%	28.4%
	Upper	5,393	44.4%	\$1,811,093	62.3%	39.4%	1,964	48.8%	46.5%	\$632,581	65.6%	59.2%	2,285	41.5%	48.5%	\$738,209	58.8%	60.9%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Total	#####	100.0%	\$2,909,019	100.0%	100.0%	4,024	100.0%	100.0%	\$964,903	100.0%	100.0%	5,502	100.0%	100.0%	#####	100.0%	100.0%

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2010 ACS Data

Borrower Distribution of HMDA Loans

Assessment Area: Multi Chicago

PRODUCT TYPE	Borrower Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014						2015					
		Bank				Families by Family Income %	Count			Dollar			Count			Dollar		
		Count	Dollar				Bank	Agg	%	Bank	Agg	%	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg		
#	%	\$(000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$(000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$(000s)	%	%		
HOME PURCHASE	Low	1,150	19.7%	\$121,601	8.6%	22.1%	200	9.5%	7.8%	\$19,589	3.7%	3.3%	723	27.9%	7.6%	\$78,591	13.4%	3.4%
	Moderate	1,133	19.4%	\$163,076	11.5%	16.9%	445	21.2%	19.0%	\$62,375	11.8%	11.5%	505	19.5%	18.9%	\$74,824	12.7%	12.0%
	Middle	972	16.7%	\$180,197	12.7%	19.8%	389	18.5%	19.4%	\$71,240	13.4%	16.1%	386	14.9%	19.7%	\$72,945	12.4%	16.8%
	Upper	2,331	39.9%	\$895,900	63.1%	41.2%	941	44.9%	35.2%	\$348,461	65.7%	52.3%	883	34.1%	34.6%	\$339,312	57.7%	50.5%
	Unknown	249	4.3%	\$58,985	4.2%	0.0%	123	5.9%	18.6%	\$28,323	5.3%	16.8%	92	3.6%	19.2%	\$22,212	3.8%	17.3%
	Total	5,835	100.0%	\$1,419,759	100.0%	100.0%	2,098	100.0%	100.0%	\$529,988	100.0%	100.0%	2,589	100.0%	100.0%	\$587,884	100.0%	100.0%
REFINANCE	Low	790	13.3%	\$80,358	5.5%	22.1%	182	10.0%	7.1%	\$17,247	4.0%	3.3%	388	14.2%	4.6%	\$39,996	6.2%	2.0%
	Moderate	965	16.3%	\$120,799	8.3%	16.9%	277	15.3%	13.1%	\$34,554	8.1%	7.6%	495	18.2%	11.3%	\$63,785	9.9%	6.3%
	Middle	1,169	19.7%	\$182,726	12.6%	19.8%	403	22.2%	18.8%	\$61,345	14.4%	14.0%	545	20.0%	17.9%	\$87,655	13.6%	13.2%
	Upper	2,682	45.3%	\$994,659	68.5%	41.2%	818	45.1%	43.5%	\$284,197	66.5%	57.8%	1,171	43.0%	44.5%	\$421,547	65.4%	58.3%
	Unknown	313	5.3%	\$72,623	5.0%	0.0%	135	7.4%	17.4%	\$29,779	7.0%	17.2%	124	4.6%	21.8%	\$32,028	5.0%	20.1%
	Total	5,919	100.0%	\$1,451,165	100.0%	100.0%	1,815	100.0%	100.0%	\$427,122	100.0%	100.0%	2,723	100.0%	100.0%	\$645,011	100.0%	100.0%
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	64	18.0%	\$4,207	12.8%	22.1%	18	16.2%	7.7%	\$522	6.7%	2.6%	32	18.8%	7.6%	\$3,000	16.2%	2.3%
	Moderate	95	26.7%	\$6,426	19.5%	16.9%	31	27.9%	15.1%	\$1,857	23.8%	7.5%	47	27.6%	15.6%	\$3,779	20.5%	7.2%
	Middle	78	21.9%	\$6,135	18.6%	19.8%	26	23.4%	19.9%	\$1,699	21.8%	13.1%	36	21.2%	22.9%	\$3,514	19.0%	14.1%
	Upper	117	32.9%	\$15,755	47.8%	41.2%	35	31.5%	38.8%	\$3,450	44.3%	60.3%	54	31.8%	46.4%	\$8,033	43.5%	66.7%
	Unknown	2	0.6%	\$405	1.2%	0.0%	1	0.9%	18.5%	\$265	3.4%	16.5%	1	0.6%	7.5%	\$140	0.8%	9.7%
	Total	356	100.0%	\$32,928	100.0%	100.0%	111	100.0%	100.0%	\$7,793	100.0%	100.0%	170	100.0%	100.0%	\$18,466	100.0%	100.0%
MULTI FAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	22.1%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	16.9%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	19.8%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	41.2%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Unknown	25	100.0%	\$5,167	100.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	20	100.0%	100.0%	\$3,656	100.0%	100.0%
	Total	25	100.0%	\$5,167	100.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	20	100.0%	100.0%	\$3,656	100.0%	100.0%
HMDA TOTALS	Low	2,004	16.5%	\$206,166	7.1%	22.1%	400	9.9%	7.4%	\$37,358	3.9%	3.1%	1,143	20.8%	6.1%	\$121,587	9.7%	2.5%
	Moderate	2,193	18.1%	\$290,301	10.0%	16.9%	753	18.7%	16.4%	\$98,786	10.2%	9.2%	1,047	19.0%	15.1%	\$142,388	11.3%	8.6%
	Middle	2,219	18.3%	\$369,058	12.7%	19.8%	818	20.3%	19.0%	\$134,284	13.9%	14.2%	967	17.6%	18.8%	\$164,114	13.1%	14.0%
	Upper	5,130	42.3%	\$1,906,314	65.5%	41.2%	1,794	44.6%	38.3%	\$636,108	65.9%	51.0%	2,108	38.3%	39.3%	\$768,892	61.3%	51.1%
	Unknown	589	4.9%	\$137,180	4.7%	0.0%	259	6.4%	18.9%	\$58,367	6.0%	22.5%	237	4.3%	20.6%	\$58,036	4.6%	23.8%
	Total	#####	100.0%	\$2,909,019	100.0%	100.0%	4,024	100.0%	100.0%	\$964,903	100.0%	100.0%	5,502	100.0%	100.0%	#####	100.0%	100.0%

Originations & Purchases

2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2010 ACS Data

Geographic Distribution of Small Business & Small Farm Loans
Assessment Area: Multi Chicago

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014					2015						
		Count		Bank Dollar		Small Businesses %	Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar				
		#	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %		Bank #	Agg %	\$ 000s	\$ %	\$ %	Bank #	Agg %	\$ 000s	\$ %	Agg \$ %		
SMALL BUSINESSES	Low	150	3.0%	\$23,719	4.2%	4.3%	57	3.0%	3.3%	\$10,808	4.7%	3.7%	61	3.0%	3.5%	\$10,264	4.6%	3.5%
	Moderate	767	15.3%	\$87,389	15.4%	14.9%	280	15.0%	14.2%	\$33,312	14.4%	15.7%	319	15.6%	15.0%	\$38,459	17.1%	15.5%
	Middle	1,891	37.8%	\$197,206	34.8%	35.1%	690	36.9%	34.9%	\$81,285	35.2%	35.2%	789	38.7%	35.0%	\$75,141	33.3%	35.0%
	Upper	2,189	43.8%	\$257,896	45.5%	45.6%	843	45.0%	46.5%	\$105,179	45.5%	44.9%	868	42.6%	45.6%	\$101,238	44.9%	45.6%
	Unknown	4	0.1%	\$860	0.2%	0.1%	2	0.1%	0.1%	\$430	0.2%	0.1%	2	0.1%	0.1%	\$430	0.2%	0.1%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	1.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.4%	0	0.0%	0.8%	\$0	0.0%	0.3%
	Total	5,001	100.0%	\$567,070	100.0%	100.0%	1,872	100.0%	100.0%	\$231,014	100.0%	100.0%	2,039	100.0%	100.0%	\$225,532	100.0%	100.0%
SMALL FARM					Small Farms													
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	1.3%	0	0.0%	0.2%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.4%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%
	Moderate	1	2.6%	\$13	0.4%	5.4%	0	0.0%	3.8%	\$0	0.0%	3.5%	1	6.3%	3.5%	\$13	1.3%	3.0%
	Middle	24	61.5%	\$2,261	68.9%	59.8%	8	72.7%	69.1%	\$862	72.9%	77.2%	10	62.5%	69.2%	\$682	68.0%	79.7%
	Upper	14	35.9%	\$1,007	30.7%	33.4%	3	27.3%	25.9%	\$321	27.1%	18.8%	5	31.3%	26.0%	\$308	30.7%	17.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	1.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.5%	0	0.0%	0.9%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%
Total	39	100.0%	\$3,281	100.0%	100.0%	11	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,183	100.0%	100.0%	16	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,003	100.0%	100.0%	

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2015 D&B Information

Small Business & Small Farm Lending By Revenue & Loan Size

Assessment Area: Multi Chicago

Product Type		Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison 1/1/2014-6/30/2016					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison													
		Bank				Total Businesses	2014			2015										
		Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar		Count		Dollar							
		#	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	%	#	%	%	\$ 000s	\$ %	%	#	%	%	\$ 000s	\$ %	\$ %		
Small Business	Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	2,837	56.7%	\$117,747	20.8%	90.0%	924	49.4%	39.8%	\$37,401	16.2%	28.2%	1,187	58.2%	45.0%	\$51,223	22.7%	27.1%	
		Over \$1 Million	1,119	22.4%	\$331,534	58.5%	9.6%	449	24.0%					435	21.3%					
		Total Rev. available	3,956	79.1%	\$449,281	79.3%	99.6%	1,373	73.4%					1,622	79.5%					
		Rev. Not Known	1,045	20.9%	\$117,789	20.8%	0.4%	499	26.7%					417	20.5%					
		Total	5,001	100.0%	\$567,070	100.0%	100.0%	1,872	100.0%					2,039	100.0%					
	Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	3,809	76.2%	\$112,208	19.8%		1,394	74.5%	91.1%	\$41,781	18.1%	25.4%	1,571	77.0%	92.0%	\$45,884	20.3%	27.6%	
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	527	10.5%	\$96,375	17.0%		204	10.9%	3.9%	\$37,688	16.3%	15.1%	208	10.2%	3.5%	\$37,633	16.7%	14.1%	
		\$250,001 - \$1 Million	665	13.3%	\$358,487	63.2%		274	14.6%	5.0%	\$151,545	65.6%	59.5%	260	12.8%	4.6%	\$142,015	63.0%	58.2%	
		Total	5,001	100.0%	\$567,070	100.0%		1,872	100.0%	100.0%	\$231,014	100.0%	100.0%	2,039	100.0%	100.0%	\$225,532	100.0%	100.0%	
	Loan Size & Rev \$1 Mill or Less	\$100,000 or Less	2,643	93.2%	\$51,698	43.9%														
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	94	3.3%	\$15,197	12.9%														
		\$250,001 - \$1 Million	100	3.5%	\$50,852	43.2%														
		Total	2,837	100.0%	\$117,747	100.0%														
	Small Farm	Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	28	71.8%	\$1,394	42.5%	97.5%	6	54.5%	51.4%	\$370	31.3%	58.8%	11	68.8%	49.3%	\$308	30.7%	58.6%
			Over \$1 Million	3	7.7%	\$729	22.2%	2.4%	0	0.0%				2	12.5%					
			Total Rev. available	31	79.5%	\$2,123	64.7%	99.9%	6	54.5%				13	81.3%					
Not Known			8	20.5%	\$1,158	35.3%	0.0%	5	45.5%				3	18.8%						
Total			39	100.0%	\$3,281	100.0%	100.0%	11	100.0%				16	100.0%						
Loan Size		\$100,000 or Less	26	66.7%	\$805	24.5%		5	45.5%	67.2%	\$137	11.6%	18.6%	12	75.0%	70.2%	\$320	31.9%	19.6%	
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	12	30.8%	\$2,097	63.9%		6	54.5%	19.7%	\$1,046	88.4%	33.7%	4	25.0%	17.6%	\$683	68.1%	32.6%	
		\$250,001 - \$500,000	1	2.6%	\$379	11.6%		0	0.0%	13.0%	\$0	0.0%	47.7%	0	0.0%	12.1%	\$0	0.0%	47.8%	
		Total	39	100.0%	\$3,281	100.0%		11	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,183	100.0%	100.0%	16	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,003	100.0%	100.0%	
Loan Size & Rev \$1 Mill or Less		\$100,000 or Less	23	82.1%	\$515	36.9%														
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	5	17.9%	\$879	63.1%														
		\$250,001 - \$500,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%														
		Total	28	100.0%	\$1,394	100.0%														

Originations & Purchases

Aggregate data is unavailable for loans to businesses with revenue over \$1 million or revenue unknown, and for loan size by revenue.
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2015 D&B Information

Geographic Distribution of HMDA Loans

Assessment Area: Multi Cincinnati

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014					2015						
		Bank		Owner Occupied Units	Count		Dollar		Count		Dollar		Count		Dollar			
		Count	Dollar		Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg				
#	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	%	%		
HOME PURCHASE	Low	131	2.6%	\$14,106	1.7%	2.8%	23	1.3%	1.8%	\$2,401	0.8%	1.4%	72	3.3%	1.8%	\$6,896	2.0%	1.2%
	Moderate	890	17.9%	\$92,073	11.1%	17.4%	217	12.4%	13.6%	\$22,227	7.3%	8.7%	448	20.8%	14.9%	\$46,007	13.5%	9.6%
	Middle	2,078	41.8%	\$277,127	33.5%	46.3%	736	42.2%	45.8%	\$96,644	31.7%	38.8%	888	41.2%	45.8%	\$115,904	34.1%	39.7%
	Upper	1,875	37.7%	\$443,533	53.6%	33.6%	769	44.1%	38.8%	\$183,457	60.2%	51.1%	747	34.7%	37.6%	\$171,370	50.4%	49.5%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>4,974</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$826,839</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>1,745</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$304,729</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>2,155</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$340,177</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
REFINANCE	Low	135	2.6%	\$10,787	1.5%	2.8%	42	2.4%	1.9%	\$3,193	1.4%	1.6%	65	2.8%	1.5%	\$4,660	1.4%	1.0%
	Moderate	849	16.6%	\$74,176	10.2%	17.4%	274	15.9%	14.5%	\$22,581	9.7%	16.9%	390	16.5%	13.0%	\$35,177	10.4%	8.8%
	Middle	2,189	42.7%	\$250,532	34.6%	46.3%	796	46.2%	45.1%	\$87,044	37.3%	40.8%	949	40.2%	43.8%	\$110,452	32.7%	37.9%
	Upper	1,956	38.1%	\$389,493	53.7%	33.6%	611	35.5%	38.4%	\$120,548	51.7%	40.7%	957	40.5%	41.7%	\$187,864	55.6%	52.2%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>5,129</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$724,988</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>1,723</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$233,366</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>2,361</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$338,153</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	10	2.4%	\$373	1.4%	2.8%	3	2.0%	2.2%	\$50	0.6%	2.5%	6	3.3%	1.8%	\$215	1.5%	1.5%
	Moderate	72	17.6%	\$3,191	11.8%	17.4%	21	14.2%	13.5%	\$799	10.3%	7.9%	39	21.4%	15.3%	\$1,920	13.6%	8.6%
	Middle	209	51.0%	\$12,751	47.2%	46.3%	88	59.5%	47.7%	\$4,312	55.5%	37.7%	82	45.1%	44.7%	\$5,937	42.1%	35.0%
	Upper	119	29.0%	\$10,716	39.6%	33.6%	36	24.3%	36.6%	\$2,603	33.5%	51.9%	55	30.2%	38.3%	\$6,039	42.8%	54.9%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>410</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$27,031</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>148</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$7,764</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>182</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$14,111</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
MULTI FAMILY	Multi-Family Units					Low	6	33.3%	11.1%	\$22,000	85.1%	10.6%	5	50.0%	13.5%	\$341	31.7%	7.2%
	Moderate	4	28.6%	\$2,788	9.6%	29.7%	1	33.3%	37.5%	\$2,358	9.1%	32.7%	3	30.0%	36.3%	\$430	39.9%	28.9%
	Middle	2	14.3%	\$306	1.1%	38.5%	0	0.0%	33.9%	\$0	0.0%	36.6%	2	20.0%	36.7%	\$306	28.4%	39.3%
	Upper	2	14.3%	\$3,500	12.1%	17.3%	1	33.3%	17.5%	\$1,500	5.8%	20.1%	0	0.0%	13.5%	\$0	0.0%	24.6%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>14</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$28,935</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$25,858</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$1,077</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HMDA TOTALS	Low	282	2.7%	\$47,607	3.0%	2.8%	69	1.9%	1.9%	\$27,644	4.8%	2.0%	148	3.1%	1.7%	\$12,112	1.7%	1.5%
	Moderate	1,815	17.2%	\$172,228	10.7%	17.4%	513	14.2%	14.1%	\$47,965	8.4%	13.4%	880	18.7%	14.2%	\$83,534	12.0%	10.3%
	Middle	4,478	42.5%	\$540,716	33.6%	46.3%	1,620	44.8%	45.5%	\$188,000	32.9%	39.5%	1,921	40.8%	44.9%	\$232,599	33.5%	38.9%
	Upper	3,952	37.5%	\$847,242	52.7%	33.6%	1,417	39.2%	38.4%	\$308,108	53.9%	45.2%	1,759	37.4%	39.2%	\$365,273	52.7%	49.3%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>#####</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$1,607,793</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>3,619</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$571,717</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>4,708</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$693,518</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2010 ACS Data

Borrower Distribution of HMDA Loans

Assessment Area: Multi Cincinnati

PRODUCT TYPE	Borrower Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014						2015					
		Bank				Families by Family Income	Count			Dollar			Count			Dollar		
		Count	Dollar				Bank	Agg	%	Bank	Agg	%	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg		
#	%	\$(000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$(000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$(000s)	%	%		
HOME PURCHASE	Low	927	18.6%	\$76,793	9.3%	20.8%	240	13.8%	10.3%	\$18,594	6.1%	5.0%	511	23.7%	11.2%	\$43,759	12.9%	5.7%
	Moderate	1,113	22.4%	\$125,327	15.2%	17.2%	364	20.9%	21.1%	\$41,143	13.5%	14.7%	484	22.5%	21.3%	\$54,788	16.1%	15.2%
	Middle	904	18.2%	\$131,300	15.9%	21.1%	348	19.9%	20.3%	\$50,617	16.6%	18.5%	364	16.9%	19.4%	\$53,031	15.6%	18.1%
	Upper	1,450	29.2%	\$376,599	45.5%	40.9%	567	32.5%	32.0%	\$150,369	49.3%	46.7%	561	26.0%	31.4%	\$141,987	41.7%	46.1%
	Unknown	580	11.7%	\$116,820	14.1%	0.0%	226	13.0%	16.3%	\$44,006	14.4%	15.0%	235	10.9%	16.7%	\$46,612	13.7%	15.0%
	Total	4,974	100.0%	\$826,839	100.0%	100.0%	1,745	100.0%	100.0%	\$304,729	100.0%	100.0%	2,155	100.0%	100.0%	\$340,177	100.0%	100.0%
REFINANCE	Low	809	15.8%	\$60,992	8.4%	20.8%	265	15.4%	8.3%	\$19,237	8.2%	3.0%	383	16.2%	7.2%	\$29,299	8.7%	3.7%
	Moderate	1,071	20.9%	\$98,330	13.6%	17.2%	405	23.5%	16.4%	\$35,330	15.1%	7.6%	463	19.6%	14.6%	\$43,719	12.9%	9.5%
	Middle	1,159	22.6%	\$136,433	18.8%	21.1%	396	23.0%	20.8%	\$43,723	18.7%	11.8%	540	22.9%	19.6%	\$67,273	19.9%	16.1%
	Upper	1,697	33.1%	\$360,354	49.7%	40.9%	536	31.1%	35.6%	\$116,298	49.8%	33.1%	772	32.7%	37.6%	\$161,894	47.9%	49.7%
	Unknown	393	7.7%	\$68,879	9.5%	0.0%	121	7.0%	19.0%	\$18,778	8.0%	44.4%	203	8.6%	21.0%	\$35,968	10.6%	21.0%
	Total	5,129	100.0%	\$724,988	100.0%	100.0%	1,723	100.0%	100.0%	\$233,366	100.0%	100.0%	2,361	100.0%	100.0%	\$338,153	100.0%	100.0%
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	87	21.2%	\$3,669	13.6%	20.8%	33	22.3%	9.8%	\$1,412	18.2%	4.5%	37	20.3%	10.0%	\$1,722	12.2%	4.1%
	Moderate	119	29.0%	\$5,975	22.1%	17.2%	43	29.1%	18.3%	\$1,538	19.8%	9.5%	58	31.9%	19.0%	\$3,579	25.4%	10.8%
	Middle	81	19.8%	\$5,438	20.1%	21.1%	27	18.2%	23.4%	\$1,513	19.5%	20.0%	36	19.8%	24.0%	\$2,613	18.5%	18.8%
	Upper	112	27.3%	\$10,851	40.1%	40.9%	42	28.4%	41.8%	\$3,136	40.4%	58.1%	44	24.2%	42.0%	\$5,432	38.5%	59.6%
	Unknown	11	2.7%	\$1,098	4.1%	0.0%	3	2.0%	6.6%	\$165	2.1%	7.9%	7	3.8%	5.0%	\$765	5.4%	6.7%
	Total	410	100.0%	\$27,031	100.0%	100.0%	148	100.0%	100.0%	\$7,764	100.0%	100.0%	182	100.0%	100.0%	\$14,111	100.0%	100.0%
MULTI FAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	20.8%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	17.2%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	21.1%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	40.9%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Unknown	14	100.0%	\$28,935	100.0%	0.0%	3	100.0%	100.0%	\$25,858	100.0%	100.0%	10	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,077	100.0%	100.0%
	Total	14	100.0%	\$28,935	100.0%	100.0%	3	100.0%	100.0%	\$25,858	100.0%	100.0%	10	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,077	100.0%	100.0%
HMDA TOTALS	Low	1,823	17.3%	\$141,454	8.8%	20.8%	538	14.9%	9.4%	\$39,243	6.9%	3.9%	931	19.8%	9.5%	\$74,780	10.8%	4.6%
	Moderate	2,303	21.9%	\$229,632	14.3%	17.2%	812	22.4%	19.1%	\$78,011	13.6%	10.9%	1,005	21.3%	18.3%	\$102,086	14.7%	12.0%
	Middle	2,144	20.4%	\$273,171	17.0%	21.1%	771	21.3%	20.5%	\$95,853	16.8%	14.8%	940	20.0%	19.6%	\$122,917	17.7%	16.3%
	Upper	3,259	31.0%	\$747,804	46.5%	40.9%	1,145	31.6%	33.6%	\$269,803	47.2%	38.8%	1,377	29.2%	34.3%	\$309,313	44.6%	45.2%
	Unknown	998	9.5%	\$215,732	13.4%	0.0%	353	9.8%	17.3%	\$88,807	15.5%	31.6%	455	9.7%	18.3%	\$84,422	12.2%	21.9%
	Total	#####	100.0%	\$1,607,793	100.0%	100.0%	3,619	100.0%	100.0%	\$571,717	100.0%	100.0%	4,708	100.0%	100.0%	\$693,518	100.0%	100.0%

Originations & Purchases

2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2010 ACS Data

Geographic Distribution of Small Business & Small Farm Loans

Assessment Area: Multi Cincinnati

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014					2015						
		Count		Bank Dollar		Small Businesses	Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar				
		#	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	%	#	%	Agg %	\$ 000s	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	Agg %	\$ 000s	\$ %	Agg %
SMALL BUSINESSES	Low	333	6.5%	\$65,264	9.1%	4.9%	137	6.4%	5.0%	\$25,235	8.8%	6.5%	125	6.5%	5.1%	\$24,995	8.7%	6.3%
	Moderate	889	17.4%	\$164,688	23.0%	20.5%	385	17.9%	19.6%	\$66,041	23.0%	25.0%	341	17.6%	19.7%	\$68,614	23.9%	24.7%
	Middle	1,924	37.6%	\$254,293	35.6%	40.7%	806	37.5%	37.6%	\$103,984	36.2%	34.8%	720	37.2%	37.4%	\$100,323	35.0%	35.1%
	Upper	1,973	38.5%	\$230,565	32.3%	33.8%	818	38.1%	36.8%	\$91,592	31.9%	33.5%	747	38.6%	37.0%	\$92,834	32.4%	33.5%
	Unknown	1	0.0%	\$20	0.0%	0.0%	1	0.0%	0.0%	\$20	0.0%	0.1%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	1.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%	0	0.0%	0.8%	\$0	0.0%	0.3%
	Total	5,120	100.0%	\$714,830	100.0%	100.0%	2,147	100.0%	100.0%	\$286,872	100.0%	100.0%	1,933	100.0%	100.0%	\$286,766	100.0%	100.0%
SMALL FARM					Small Farms													
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.7%	0	0.0%	1.2%	\$0	0.0%	0.4%	0	0.0%	1.5%	\$0	0.0%	0.8%
	Moderate	1	4.0%	\$32	3.0%	15.3%	1	16.7%	21.8%	\$32	9.7%	13.3%	0	0.0%	20.1%	\$0	0.0%	19.1%
	Middle	19	76.0%	\$673	62.9%	65.3%	4	66.7%	61.5%	\$148	44.8%	63.3%	9	75.0%	60.9%	\$212	50.2%	59.8%
	Upper	5	20.0%	\$365	34.1%	18.8%	1	16.7%	14.7%	\$150	45.5%	22.8%	3	25.0%	17.5%	\$210	49.8%	20.4%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	0.8%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	25	100.0%	\$1,070	100.0%	100.0%	6	100.0%	100.0%	\$330	100.0%	100.0%	12	100.0%	100.0%	\$422	100.0%	100.0%	

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2015 D&B Information

Small Business & Small Farm Lending By Revenue & Loan Size

Assessment Area: Multi Cincinnati

Product Type	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison 1/1/2014-6/30/2016						Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison												
	Bank				Total Businesses	2014			2015										
	Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar						
	#	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	%	#	%	%	\$ 000s	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$ 000s	\$ %	\$ %		
Small Business	Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	2,702	52.8%	\$115,942	16.2%	91.0%	1,093	50.9%	45.9%	\$45,210	15.8%	28.3%	977	50.5%	49.2%	\$47,316	16.5%	31.0%
		Over \$1 Million	1,534	30.0%	\$503,267	70.4%	8.5%	579	27.0%					668	34.6%				
		Total Rev. available	4,236	82.8%	\$619,209	86.6%	99.5%	1,672	77.9%					1,645	85.1%				
		Rev. Not Known	884	17.3%	\$95,621	13.4%	0.5%	475	22.1%					288	14.9%				
		Total	5,120	100.0%	\$714,830	100.0%	100.0%	2,147	100.0%					1,933	100.0%				
	Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	3,646	71.2%	\$116,023	16.2%		1,552	72.3%	89.9%	\$46,969	16.4%	26.6%	1,331	68.9%	90.4%	\$45,169	15.8%	27.6%
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	659	12.9%	\$121,951	17.1%		264	12.3%	4.7%	\$48,742	17.0%	15.9%	276	14.3%	4.4%	\$50,540	17.6%	15.4%
		\$250,001 - \$1 Million	815	15.9%	\$476,856	66.7%		331	15.4%	5.4%	\$191,161	66.6%	57.4%	326	16.9%	5.3%	\$191,057	66.6%	57.1%
		Total	5,120	100.0%	\$714,830	100.0%		2,147	100.0%	100.0%	\$286,872	100.0%	100.0%	1,933	100.0%	100.0%	\$286,766	100.0%	100.0%
	Loan Size & Rev \$1 Mill or Less	\$100,000 or Less	2,523	93.4%	\$54,751	47.2%													
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	102	3.8%	\$17,830	15.4%													
		\$250,001 - \$1 Million	77	2.8%	\$43,361	37.4%													
Total		2,702	100.0%	\$115,942	100.0%														
Small Farm	Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	18	72.0%	\$589	55.0%	99.4%	3	50.0%	54.4%	\$107	32.4%	67.8%	8	66.7%	54.0%	\$164	38.9%	69.9%
		Over \$1 Million	1	4.0%	\$45	4.2%	0.6%	0	0.0%				1	8.3%					
		Total Rev. available	19	76.0%	\$634	59.2%	100.0%	3	50.0%				9	75.0%					
		Not Known	6	24.0%	\$436	40.7%	0.0%	3	50.0%				3	25.0%					
		Total	25	100.0%	\$1,070	100.0%	100.0%	6	100.0%				12	100.0%					
	Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	22	88.0%	\$570	53.3%		5	83.3%	87.3%	\$180	54.5%	36.9%	11	91.7%	89.1%	\$272	64.5%	37.5%
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	3	12.0%	\$500	46.7%		1	16.7%	8.7%	\$150	45.5%	31.3%	1	8.3%	6.6%	\$150	35.5%	25.7%
		\$250,001 - \$500,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	4.0%	\$0	0.0%	31.9%	0	0.0%	4.4%	\$0	0.0%	36.8%
		Total	25	100.0%	\$1,070	100.0%		6	100.0%	100.0%	\$330	100.0%	100.0%	12	100.0%	100.0%	\$422	100.0%	100.0%
	Loan Size & Rev \$1 Mill or Less	\$100,000 or Less	17	94.4%	\$389	66.0%													
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	1	5.6%	\$200	34.0%													
		\$250,001 - \$500,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%													
Total		18	100.0%	\$589	100.0%														

Originations & Purchases

Aggregate data is unavailable for loans to businesses with revenue over \$1 million or revenue unknown, and for loan size by revenue.
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2015 D&B Information

Geographic Distribution of HMDA Loans

Assessment Area: Multi Evansville

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014					2015						
		Bank		Owner Occupied Units	Count		Dollar		Count		Dollar		Count		Dollar			
		#	%		\$ (000s)	%	%	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	
HOME PURCHASE	Low	6	0.8%	\$531	0.5%	4.3%	1	0.3%	1.9%	\$86	0.2%	1.1%	0	0.0%	1.6%	\$0	0.0%	1.0%
	Moderate	142	18.0%	\$11,837	11.1%	18.0%	37	12.9%	15.2%	\$3,253	8.3%	9.4%	64	19.5%	15.8%	\$5,432	12.6%	9.9%
	Middle	257	32.6%	\$30,287	28.5%	40.6%	97	33.9%	36.7%	\$11,369	29.0%	33.9%	105	32.0%	34.7%	\$12,060	28.0%	32.4%
	Upper	384	48.7%	\$63,712	59.9%	37.1%	151	52.8%	46.2%	\$24,544	62.5%	55.6%	159	48.5%	47.9%	\$25,649	59.5%	56.6%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>789</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$106,367</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>286</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$39,252</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>328</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$43,141</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
REFINANCE	Low	15	2.5%	\$587	0.9%	4.3%	4	2.0%	2.6%	\$152	0.8%	1.7%	6	2.2%	2.1%	\$301	1.0%	1.3%
	Moderate	109	18.3%	\$6,172	9.9%	18.0%	37	18.4%	17.8%	\$1,956	9.8%	10.7%	46	16.7%	13.5%	\$2,832	9.6%	7.5%
	Middle	216	36.2%	\$21,467	34.5%	40.6%	76	37.8%	37.5%	\$6,733	33.6%	36.7%	97	35.1%	36.8%	\$9,595	32.5%	36.0%
	Upper	257	43.0%	\$33,924	54.6%	37.1%	84	41.8%	42.2%	\$11,191	55.9%	50.8%	127	46.0%	47.7%	\$16,755	56.8%	55.1%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>597</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$62,150</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>201</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$20,032</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>276</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$29,483</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	4	4.7%	\$99	1.8%	4.3%	2	6.5%	3.9%	\$77	5.1%	7.6%	2	5.0%	2.3%	\$22	0.7%	1.4%
	Moderate	13	15.3%	\$500	8.9%	18.0%	3	9.7%	17.6%	\$139	9.2%	9.5%	6	15.0%	16.6%	\$254	8.0%	10.5%
	Middle	31	36.5%	\$1,231	22.0%	40.6%	18	58.1%	44.8%	\$576	38.0%	42.7%	11	27.5%	37.6%	\$567	17.8%	34.1%
	Upper	37	43.5%	\$3,769	67.3%	37.1%	8	25.8%	33.8%	\$723	47.7%	40.1%	21	52.5%	43.6%	\$2,348	73.6%	53.9%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>85</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$5,599</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>31</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$1,515</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>40</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$3,191</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
MULTI FAMILY	Low	1	100.0%	\$87	100.0%	8.6%	0	0.0%	7.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.5%	0	0.0%	10.5%	\$0	0.0%	1.9%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	37.8%	0	0.0%	41.9%	\$0	0.0%	37.2%	0	0.0%	31.6%	\$0	0.0%	33.2%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	34.3%	0	0.0%	39.5%	\$0	0.0%	31.8%	0	0.0%	36.8%	\$0	0.0%	38.5%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	19.3%	0	0.0%	11.6%	\$0	0.0%	30.5%	0	0.0%	21.1%	\$0	0.0%	26.4%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$87</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HMDA TOTALS	Low	26	1.8%	\$1,304	0.7%	4.3%	7	1.4%	2.4%	\$315	0.5%	1.4%	8	1.2%	1.9%	\$323	0.4%	1.2%
	Moderate	264	17.9%	\$18,509	10.6%	18.0%	77	14.9%	16.4%	\$5,348	8.8%	11.5%	116	18.0%	15.1%	\$8,518	11.2%	10.3%
	Middle	504	34.2%	\$52,985	30.4%	40.6%	191	36.9%	37.8%	\$18,678	30.7%	34.8%	213	33.1%	35.8%	\$22,222	29.3%	34.1%
	Upper	678	46.1%	\$101,405	58.2%	37.1%	243	46.9%	43.5%	\$36,458	60.0%	52.3%	307	47.7%	47.3%	\$44,752	59.0%	54.4%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1,472</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$174,203</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>518</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$60,799</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>644</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$75,815</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2010 ACS Data

Borrower Distribution of HMDA Loans

Assessment Area: Multi Evansville

PRODUCT TYPE	Borrower Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014						2015					
		Bank				Families by Family Income %	Count			Dollar			Count			Dollar		
		Count	Dollar				Bank	Agg	%	Bank	Agg	%	Bank	Agg	%	Bank	Agg	
#	%	\$(000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$(000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$(000s)	%	%		
HOME PURCHASE	Low	121	15.3%	\$9,078	8.5%	21.4%	29	10.1%	9.1%	\$2,152	5.5%	4.7%	59	18.0%	10.1%	\$4,286	9.9%	5.7%
	Moderate	216	27.4%	\$21,807	20.5%	17.2%	82	28.7%	21.2%	\$8,240	21.0%	15.9%	94	28.7%	21.7%	\$9,615	22.3%	16.5%
	Middle	183	23.2%	\$24,076	22.6%	21.6%	71	24.8%	18.5%	\$9,549	24.3%	17.5%	74	22.6%	20.4%	\$9,990	23.2%	20.1%
	Upper	247	31.3%	\$48,643	45.7%	39.7%	94	32.9%	25.8%	\$17,895	45.6%	37.9%	95	29.0%	26.0%	\$18,685	43.3%	37.4%
	Unknown	22	2.8%	\$2,763	2.6%	0.0%	10	3.5%	25.4%	\$1,416	3.6%	23.9%	6	1.8%	21.8%	\$565	1.3%	20.3%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>789</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$106,367</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>286</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$39,252</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>328</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$43,141</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
REFINANCE	Low	101	16.9%	\$6,453	10.4%	21.4%	33	16.4%	9.8%	\$2,123	10.6%	5.5%	50	18.1%	8.1%	\$3,343	11.3%	4.2%
	Moderate	139	23.3%	\$10,059	16.2%	17.2%	44	21.9%	18.4%	\$3,029	15.1%	12.3%	67	24.3%	17.7%	\$5,005	17.0%	11.5%
	Middle	161	27.0%	\$16,178	26.0%	21.6%	53	26.4%	21.0%	\$5,282	26.4%	19.1%	74	26.8%	20.4%	\$7,811	26.5%	17.4%
	Upper	170	28.5%	\$26,251	42.2%	39.7%	61	30.3%	30.9%	\$8,353	41.7%	40.1%	73	26.4%	30.6%	\$11,911	40.4%	39.3%
	Unknown	26	4.4%	\$3,209	5.2%	0.0%	10	5.0%	19.8%	\$1,245	6.2%	23.1%	12	4.3%	23.2%	\$1,413	4.8%	27.6%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>597</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$62,150</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>201</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$20,032</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>276</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$29,483</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	17	20.0%	\$616	11.0%	21.4%	8	25.8%	13.4%	\$318	21.0%	7.2%	7	17.5%	12.0%	\$206	6.5%	6.4%
	Moderate	18	21.2%	\$957	17.1%	17.2%	6	19.4%	20.8%	\$210	13.9%	15.4%	8	20.0%	21.4%	\$504	15.8%	15.2%
	Middle	23	27.1%	\$1,425	25.5%	21.6%	8	25.8%	25.9%	\$290	19.1%	18.6%	9	22.5%	28.9%	\$790	24.8%	22.9%
	Upper	27	31.8%	\$2,601	46.5%	39.7%	9	29.0%	34.5%	\$697	46.0%	45.5%	16	40.0%	34.5%	\$1,691	53.0%	50.4%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	5.5%	\$0	0.0%	13.4%	0	0.0%	3.1%	\$0	0.0%	5.2%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>85</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$5,599</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>31</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$1,515</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>40</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$3,191</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
MULTI FAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	21.4%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	17.2%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	21.6%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	39.7%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Unknown	1	100.0%	\$87	100.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$87</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HMDA TOTALS	Low	239	16.2%	\$16,147	9.3%	21.4%	70	13.5%	9.7%	\$4,593	7.6%	4.7%	116	18.0%	9.5%	\$7,835	10.3%	4.9%
	Moderate	373	25.3%	\$32,823	18.8%	17.2%	132	25.5%	20.2%	\$11,479	18.9%	13.8%	169	26.2%	20.1%	\$15,124	19.9%	13.8%
	Middle	367	24.9%	\$41,679	23.9%	21.6%	132	25.5%	19.9%	\$15,121	24.9%	16.9%	157	24.4%	21.2%	\$18,591	24.5%	18.1%
	Upper	444	30.2%	\$77,495	44.5%	39.7%	164	31.7%	28.2%	\$26,945	44.3%	36.4%	184	28.6%	28.4%	\$32,287	42.6%	36.5%
	Unknown	49	3.3%	\$6,059	3.5%	0.0%	20	3.9%	22.0%	\$2,661	4.4%	28.2%	18	2.8%	20.8%	\$1,978	2.6%	26.7%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1,472</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$174,203</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>518</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$60,799</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>644</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$75,815</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>

Originations & Purchases

2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2010 ACS Data

Geographic Distribution of Small Business & Small Farm Loans

Assessment Area: Multi Evansville

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014					2015						
		Count		Bank Dollar		Small Businesses	Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar				
		#	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	%	#	%	Agg %	\$ 000s	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	Agg %	\$ 000s	\$ %	Agg %
SMALL BUSINESSES	Low	33	7.9%	\$4,141	8.2%	6.8%	9	4.9%	7.1%	\$1,440	6.3%	7.5%	17	11.3%	7.5%	\$1,650	7.6%	9.7%
	Moderate	106	25.3%	\$13,504	26.7%	22.0%	49	26.8%	24.2%	\$6,580	28.6%	27.8%	32	21.2%	24.2%	\$4,883	22.6%	25.9%
	Middle	156	37.2%	\$18,014	35.6%	38.8%	68	37.2%	35.8%	\$8,813	38.3%	34.5%	59	39.1%	34.5%	\$7,364	34.1%	32.9%
	Upper	124	29.6%	\$14,994	29.6%	32.5%	57	31.1%	31.3%	\$6,160	26.8%	29.9%	43	28.5%	32.3%	\$7,701	35.7%	31.2%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	1.6%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%	0	0.0%	1.4%	\$0	0.0%	0.3%
	Total	419	100.0%	\$50,653	100.0%	100.0%	183	100.0%	100.0%	\$22,993	100.0%	100.0%	151	100.0%	100.0%	\$21,598	100.0%	100.0%
SMALL FARM					Small Farms													
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.4%	0	0.0%	0.8%	\$0	0.0%	1.3%	0	0.0%	1.2%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%
	Moderate	1	11.1%	\$52	10.3%	4.4%	1	16.7%	4.2%	\$52	20.2%	2.5%	0	0.0%	6.2%	\$0	0.0%	4.4%
	Middle	4	44.4%	\$96	19.1%	58.1%	4	66.7%	56.3%	\$96	37.2%	45.3%	0	0.0%	56.9%	\$0	0.0%	53.4%
	Upper	4	44.4%	\$355	70.6%	37.1%	1	16.7%	38.7%	\$110	42.6%	50.9%	2	100.0%	35.0%	\$135	100.0%	41.9%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.4%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.4%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	9	100.0%	\$503	100.0%	100.0%	6	100.0%	100.0%	\$258	100.0%	100.0%	2	100.0%	100.0%	\$135	100.0%	100.0%	

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2015 D&B Information

Small Business & Small Farm Lending By Revenue & Loan Size

Assessment Area: Multi Evansville

Product Type		Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison 1/1/2014-6/30/2016					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison												
		Bank				Total Businesses	2014			2015									
		Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar					
		#	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	%	#	%	%	\$ 000s	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$ 000s	\$ %	\$ %	
Small Business	Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	212	50.6%	\$8,669	17.1%	89.7%	89	48.6%	37.5%	\$3,925	17.1%	27.5%	70	46.4%	41.2%	\$3,586	16.6%	30.7%
		Over \$1 Million	121	28.9%	\$33,281	65.7%	9.6%	52	28.4%				51	33.8%					
		Total Rev. available	333	79.5%	\$41,950	82.8%	99.3%	141	77.0%				121	80.2%					
		Rev. Not Known	86	20.5%	\$8,703	17.2%	0.6%	42	23.0%				30	19.9%					
		Total	419	100.0%	\$50,653	100.0%	100.0%	183	100.0%				151	100.0%					
	Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	322	76.8%	\$9,737	19.2%		138	75.4%	85.4%	\$4,002	17.4%	21.2%	111	73.5%	84.4%	\$3,655	16.9%	21.7%
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	31	7.4%	\$5,905	11.7%		14	7.7%	7.1%	\$2,596	11.3%	19.9%	12	7.9%	7.6%	\$2,342	10.8%	19.5%
		\$250,001 - \$1 Million	66	15.8%	\$35,011	69.1%		31	16.9%	7.4%	\$16,395	71.3%	58.9%	28	18.5%	8.0%	\$15,601	72.2%	58.8%
		Total	419	100.0%	\$50,653	100.0%		183	100.0%	100.0%	\$22,993	100.0%	100.0%	151	100.0%	100.0%	\$21,598	100.0%	100.0%
	Loan Size & Rev \$1 Mill or Less	\$100,000 or Less	201	94.8%	\$4,036	46.6%													
\$100,001 - \$250,000		5	2.4%	\$799	9.2%														
\$250,001 - \$1 Million		6	2.8%	\$3,834	44.2%														
Total		212	100.0%	\$8,669	100.0%														
Small Farm	Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	6	66.7%	\$330	65.6%	99.5%	4	66.7%	65.1%	\$195	75.6%	70.8%	2	100.0%	59.2%	\$135	100.0%	64.9%
		Over \$1 Million	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.5%	0	0.0%				0	0.0%					
		Total Rev. available	6	66.7%	\$330	65.6%	100.0%	4	66.7%				2	100.0%					
		Not Known	3	33.3%	\$173	34.4%	0.0%	2	33.3%				0	0.0%					
		Total	9	100.0%	\$503	100.0%	100.0%	6	100.0%				2	100.0%					
	Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	6	66.7%	\$173	34.4%		5	83.3%	71.4%	\$148	57.4%	24.3%	1	50.0%	66.5%	\$25	18.5%	19.7%
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	3	33.3%	\$330	65.6%		1	16.7%	15.5%	\$110	42.6%	26.3%	1	50.0%	21.2%	\$110	81.5%	35.4%
		\$250,001 - \$500,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	13.0%	\$0	0.0%	49.4%	0	0.0%	12.3%	\$0	0.0%	44.9%
		Total	9	100.0%	\$503	100.0%		6	100.0%	100.0%	\$258	100.0%	100.0%	2	100.0%	100.0%	\$135	100.0%	100.0%
	Loan Size & Rev \$1 Mill or Less	\$100,000 or Less	4	66.7%	\$110	33.3%													
\$100,001 - \$250,000		2	33.3%	\$220	66.7%														
\$250,001 - \$500,000		0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%														
Total		6	100.0%	\$330	100.0%														

Originations & Purchases
Aggregate data is unavailable for loans to businesses with revenue over \$1 million or revenue unknown, and for loan size by revenue.
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2015 D&B Information

Geographic Distribution of HMDA Loans

Assessment Area: Multi Huntington

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014					2015						
		Bank		Owner Occupied Units	Count		Dollar		Count		Dollar		Count		Dollar			
		#	%		\$ (000s)	%	%	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	
HOME PURCHASE	Low	3	1.0%	\$228	0.4%	1.2%	1	0.7%	0.8%	\$56	0.2%	0.4%	2	1.6%	0.9%	\$172	0.8%	0.5%
	Moderate	16	5.2%	\$1,493	2.9%	14.9%	7	4.8%	9.4%	\$752	3.1%	6.4%	9	7.2%	9.4%	\$741	3.5%	6.2%
	Middle	134	43.8%	\$20,921	41.1%	59.9%	70	48.3%	55.4%	\$10,724	44.9%	50.9%	45	36.0%	55.5%	\$7,382	34.7%	51.5%
	Upper	153	50.0%	\$28,264	55.5%	24.0%	67	46.2%	34.5%	\$12,347	51.7%	42.3%	69	55.2%	34.3%	\$13,007	61.1%	41.8%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>306</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$50,906</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>145</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$23,879</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>125</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$21,302</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
REFINANCE	Low	1	0.4%	\$58	0.2%	1.2%	1	1.1%	0.8%	\$58	0.6%	0.6%	0	0.0%	1.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.9%
	Moderate	23	8.4%	\$2,156	6.9%	14.9%	8	8.9%	10.2%	\$786	7.9%	7.6%	9	6.9%	8.9%	\$973	6.3%	6.5%
	Middle	159	57.8%	\$16,934	54.1%	59.9%	57	63.3%	59.5%	\$6,101	61.0%	55.7%	74	56.9%	58.4%	\$8,260	53.1%	55.5%
	Upper	92	33.5%	\$12,135	38.8%	24.0%	24	26.7%	29.6%	\$3,051	30.5%	36.1%	47	36.2%	31.6%	\$6,314	40.6%	37.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>275</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$31,283</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>90</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$9,996</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>130</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$15,547</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	1.2%	0	0.0%	0.8%	\$0	0.0%	0.5%	0	0.0%	0.9%	\$0	0.0%	0.5%
	Moderate	6	8.8%	\$272	6.0%	14.9%	1	6.7%	14.0%	\$6	0.8%	11.0%	4	11.1%	12.1%	\$236	10.1%	12.2%
	Middle	46	67.6%	\$3,293	72.3%	59.9%	10	66.7%	57.6%	\$575	72.5%	52.2%	26	72.2%	60.1%	\$1,823	78.0%	56.8%
	Upper	16	23.5%	\$987	21.7%	24.0%	4	26.7%	27.6%	\$212	26.7%	36.3%	6	16.7%	26.8%	\$277	11.9%	30.5%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>68</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$4,552</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>15</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$793</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>36</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$2,336</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
MULTI FAMILY	Multi-Family Units						0	0.0%	21.6%	\$0	0.0%	21.7%	0	0.0%	12.8%	\$0	0.0%	4.4%
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	16.8%	0	0.0%	13.5%	\$0	0.0%	19.2%	0	0.0%	21.3%	\$0	0.0%	14.2%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	30.3%	0	0.0%	43.2%	\$0	0.0%	34.1%	0	0.0%	40.4%	\$0	0.0%	49.4%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	33.1%	0	0.0%	21.6%	\$0	0.0%	25.0%	0	0.0%	25.5%	\$0	0.0%	32.0%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	19.8%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	
HMDA TOTALS	Low	4	0.6%	\$286	0.3%	1.2%	2	0.8%	0.9%	\$114	0.3%	1.1%	2	0.7%	1.0%	\$172	0.4%	0.9%
	Moderate	45	6.9%	\$3,921	4.5%	14.9%	16	6.4%	10.2%	\$1,544	4.5%	7.4%	22	7.6%	9.6%	\$1,950	5.0%	7.1%
	Middle	339	52.2%	\$41,148	47.4%	59.9%	137	54.8%	57.1%	\$17,400	50.2%	52.2%	145	49.8%	57.0%	\$17,465	44.6%	53.0%
	Upper	261	40.2%	\$41,386	47.7%	24.0%	95	38.0%	31.8%	\$15,610	45.0%	39.3%	122	41.9%	32.4%	\$19,598	50.0%	39.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>649</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$86,741</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>250</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$34,668</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>291</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$39,185</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2010 ACS Data

Borrower Distribution of HMDA Loans

Assessment Area: Multi Huntington

PRODUCT TYPE	Borrower Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014						2015					
		Bank				Families by Family Income %	Count			Dollar			Count			Dollar		
		Count	Dollar				Bank	Agg	%	Bank	Agg	%	Bank	Agg	%	Bank	Agg	
#	%	\$(000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$(000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$(000s)	%	%		
HOME PURCHASE	Low	7	2.3%	\$472	0.9%	22.1%	3	2.1%	5.6%	\$200	0.8%	2.5%	3	2.4%	6.1%	\$186	0.9%	2.8%
	Moderate	58	19.0%	\$6,059	11.9%	17.6%	26	17.9%	17.7%	\$2,672	11.2%	11.6%	25	20.0%	17.7%	\$2,743	12.9%	11.5%
	Middle	80	26.1%	\$10,689	21.0%	20.4%	41	28.3%	24.0%	\$5,342	22.4%	20.7%	29	23.2%	23.2%	\$3,991	18.7%	20.7%
	Upper	158	51.6%	\$33,090	65.0%	39.9%	73	50.3%	39.9%	\$15,404	64.5%	52.9%	67	53.6%	38.2%	\$14,047	65.9%	51.2%
	Unknown	3	1.0%	\$596	1.2%	0.0%	2	1.4%	12.9%	\$261	1.1%	12.3%	1	0.8%	14.8%	\$335	1.6%	13.8%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>306</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$50,906</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>145</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$23,879</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>125</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$21,302</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
REFINANCE	Low	10	3.6%	\$800	2.6%	22.1%	3	3.3%	6.8%	\$278	2.8%	3.8%	5	3.8%	6.7%	\$409	2.6%	3.2%
	Moderate	56	20.4%	\$3,996	12.8%	17.6%	14	15.6%	15.4%	\$1,138	11.4%	10.1%	27	20.8%	13.4%	\$2,042	13.1%	8.7%
	Middle	66	24.0%	\$6,077	19.4%	20.4%	23	25.6%	22.3%	\$2,133	21.3%	18.0%	29	22.3%	20.1%	\$2,653	17.1%	16.9%
	Upper	137	49.8%	\$19,657	62.8%	39.9%	48	53.3%	45.2%	\$6,151	61.5%	55.2%	65	50.0%	43.6%	\$9,986	64.2%	53.9%
	Unknown	6	2.2%	\$753	2.4%	0.0%	2	2.2%	10.4%	\$296	3.0%	12.9%	4	3.1%	16.2%	\$457	2.9%	17.3%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>275</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$31,283</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>90</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$9,996</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>130</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$15,547</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	4	5.9%	\$70	1.5%	22.1%	1	6.7%	10.4%	\$8	1.0%	5.5%	3	8.3%	6.7%	\$62	2.7%	2.7%
	Moderate	13	19.1%	\$675	14.8%	17.6%	1	6.7%	19.1%	\$6	0.8%	11.9%	8	22.2%	19.4%	\$415	17.8%	9.3%
	Middle	18	26.5%	\$1,128	24.8%	20.4%	6	40.0%	21.0%	\$298	37.6%	17.7%	4	11.1%	22.7%	\$286	12.2%	19.6%
	Upper	33	48.5%	\$2,679	58.9%	39.9%	7	46.7%	43.9%	\$481	60.7%	59.4%	21	58.3%	48.9%	\$1,573	67.3%	62.7%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	5.5%	\$0	0.0%	5.4%	0	0.0%	2.3%	\$0	0.0%	5.7%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>68</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$4,552</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>15</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$793</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>36</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$2,336</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
MULTI FAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	22.1%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	17.6%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	20.4%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	39.9%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HMDA TOTALS	Low	21	3.2%	\$1,342	1.5%	22.1%	7	2.8%	6.5%	\$486	1.4%	3.1%	11	3.8%	6.4%	\$657	1.7%	2.8%
	Moderate	127	19.6%	\$10,730	12.4%	17.6%	41	16.4%	16.9%	\$3,816	11.0%	10.7%	60	20.6%	16.2%	\$5,200	13.3%	9.7%
	Middle	164	25.3%	\$17,894	20.6%	20.4%	70	28.0%	22.9%	\$7,773	22.4%	19.0%	62	21.3%	21.9%	\$6,930	17.7%	18.1%
	Upper	328	50.5%	\$55,426	63.9%	39.9%	128	51.2%	42.1%	\$22,036	63.6%	52.5%	153	52.6%	41.2%	\$25,606	65.3%	49.8%
	Unknown	9	1.4%	\$1,349	1.6%	0.0%	4	1.6%	11.6%	\$557	1.6%	14.8%	5	1.7%	14.4%	\$792	2.0%	19.6%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>649</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$86,741</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>250</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$34,668</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>291</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$39,185</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>

Originations & Purchases

2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2010 ACS Data

Geographic Distribution of Small Business & Small Farm Loans

Assessment Area: Multi Huntington

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014					2015						
		Count		Bank Dollar		Small Businesses	Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar				
		#	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %		%	#	%	Agg %	\$ 000s	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	Agg %	\$ 000s	\$ %
SMALL BUSINESSES	Low	5	6.7%	\$1,619	12.5%	6.3%	2	6.9%	6.3%	\$754	13.2%	6.6%	2	6.5%	5.9%	\$850	13.7%	6.1%
	Moderate	16	21.3%	\$3,057	23.5%	19.5%	8	27.6%	14.6%	\$1,273	22.3%	17.3%	4	12.9%	16.0%	\$1,103	17.8%	19.2%
	Middle	31	41.3%	\$2,954	22.7%	47.1%	10	34.5%	43.4%	\$1,303	22.9%	38.7%	16	51.6%	42.7%	\$1,477	23.8%	39.9%
	Upper	23	30.7%	\$5,361	41.3%	27.1%	9	31.0%	29.9%	\$2,372	41.6%	34.8%	9	29.0%	31.0%	\$2,772	44.7%	33.2%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	5.8%	\$0	0.0%	2.7%	0	0.0%	4.3%	\$0	0.0%	1.6%
	Total	75	100.0%	\$12,991	100.0%	100.0%	29	100.0%	100.0%	\$5,702	100.0%	100.0%	31	100.0%	100.0%	\$6,202	100.0%	100.0%
SMALL FARM					Small Farms													
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	10.7%	0	0.0%	6.5%	\$0	0.0%	8.6%	0	0.0%	7.9%	\$0	0.0%	1.6%
	Middle	2	100.0%	\$30	100.0%	70.9%	2	100.0%	60.9%	\$30	100.0%	70.4%	0	0.0%	63.2%	\$0	0.0%	41.1%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	18.4%	0	0.0%	23.9%	\$0	0.0%	18.5%	0	0.0%	18.4%	\$0	0.0%	53.1%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	8.7%	\$0	0.0%	2.4%	0	0.0%	10.5%	\$0	0.0%	4.2%
Total	2	100.0%	\$30	100.0%	100.0%	2	100.0%	100.0%	\$30	100.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2015 D&B Information

Small Business & Small Farm Lending By Revenue & Loan Size

Assessment Area: Multi Huntington

Product Type		Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison 1/1/2014-6/30/2016					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison												
		Bank				Total Businesses	2014			2015									
		Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar					
		#	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	%	#	%	%	\$ 000s	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$ 000s	\$ %	\$ %	
Small Business	Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	35	46.7%	\$2,149	16.5%	90.5%	11	37.9%	40.9%	\$763	13.4%	34.9%	13	41.9%	44.6%	\$534	8.6%	40.1%
		Over \$1 Million	26	34.7%	\$9,495	73.1%	8.2%	10	34.5%					13	41.9%				
		Total Rev. available	61	81.4%	\$11,644	89.6%	98.7%	21	72.4%					26	83.8%				
		Rev. Not Known	14	18.7%	\$1,347	10.4%	1.3%	8	27.6%					5	16.1%				
		Total	75	100.0%	\$12,991	100.0%	100.0%	29	100.0%					31	100.0%				
	Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	51	68.0%	\$1,730	13.3%		20	69.0%	88.9%	\$674	11.8%	27.4%	19	61.3%	90.4%	\$594	9.6%	31.0%
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	8	10.7%	\$1,334	10.3%		2	6.9%	5.5%	\$440	7.7%	18.1%	4	12.9%	5.1%	\$658	10.6%	18.6%
		\$250,001 - \$1 Million	16	21.3%	\$9,927	76.4%		7	24.1%	5.5%	\$4,588	80.5%	54.5%	8	25.8%	4.5%	\$4,950	79.8%	50.4%
		Total	75	100.0%	\$12,991	100.0%		29	100.0%	100.0%	\$5,702	100.0%	100.0%	31	100.0%	100.0%	\$6,202	100.0%	100.0%
	Loan Size & Rev \$1 Mill or Less	\$100,000 or Less	31	88.6%	\$629	29.3%													
\$100,001 - \$250,000		1	2.9%	\$131	6.1%														
\$250,001 - \$1 Million		3	8.6%	\$1,389	64.6%														
Total		35	100.0%	\$2,149	100.0%														
Small Farm	Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	2	100.0%	\$30	100.0%	99.4%	2	100.0%	47.8%	\$30	100.0%	34.5%	0	0.0%	44.7%	\$0	0.0%	81.0%
		Over \$1 Million	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.6%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%				
		Total Rev. available	2	100.0%	\$30	100.0%	100.0%	2	100.0%					0	0.0%				
		Not Known	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%				
		Total	2	100.0%	\$30	100.0%	100.0%	2	100.0%					0	0.0%				
	Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	2	100.0%	\$30	100.0%		2	100.0%	95.7%	\$30	100.0%	54.6%	0	0.0%	97.4%	\$0	0.0%	53.7%
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	2.2%	\$0	0.0%	20.8%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
		\$250,001 - \$500,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	2.2%	\$0	0.0%	24.7%	0	0.0%	2.6%	\$0	0.0%	46.3%
		Total	2	100.0%	\$30	100.0%		2	100.0%	100.0%	\$30	100.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%
	Loan Size & Rev \$1 Mill or Less	\$100,000 or Less	2	100.0%	\$30	100.0%													
\$100,001 - \$250,000		0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%														
\$250,001 - \$500,000		0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%														
Total		2	100.0%	\$30	100.0%														

Originations & Purchases
Aggregate data is unavailable for loans to businesses with revenue over \$1 million or revenue unknown, and for loan size by revenue.
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2015 D&B Information

Geographic Distribution of HMDA Loans

Assessment Area: Multi Louisville

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014					2015						
		Bank		Owner Occupied Units	Count		Dollar		Count		Dollar		Count		Dollar			
		#	%		\$ (000s)	%	%	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	
HOME PURCHASE	Low	48	2.6%	\$4,755	1.5%	4.7%	11	1.6%	2.1%	\$1,106	0.9%	1.2%	21	2.5%	2.0%	\$1,645	1.2%	1.2%
	Moderate	254	13.8%	\$26,247	8.5%	13.8%	88	12.8%	12.2%	\$8,371	7.0%	8.1%	118	14.2%	11.6%	\$12,915	9.4%	7.8%
	Middle	702	38.2%	\$87,351	28.4%	45.0%	260	37.7%	43.7%	\$32,743	27.5%	35.3%	322	38.7%	44.4%	\$41,009	30.0%	35.6%
	Upper	832	45.3%	\$189,185	61.5%	36.6%	331	48.0%	42.0%	\$76,973	64.6%	55.5%	371	44.6%	42.0%	\$81,272	59.4%	55.3%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1,836</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$307,538</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>690</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$119,193</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>832</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$136,841</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
REFINANCE	Low	71	4.1%	\$4,099	1.7%	4.7%	39	7.5%	3.7%	\$1,989	2.9%	2.1%	22	2.6%	2.4%	\$1,545	1.3%	1.4%
	Moderate	235	13.7%	\$20,455	8.6%	13.8%	61	11.8%	12.1%	\$4,988	7.2%	8.1%	119	14.3%	10.6%	\$9,688	8.2%	6.7%
	Middle	730	42.5%	\$76,816	32.3%	45.0%	213	41.0%	44.8%	\$22,511	32.3%	36.4%	351	42.1%	42.9%	\$38,496	32.7%	34.2%
	Upper	681	39.7%	\$136,200	57.3%	36.6%	206	39.7%	39.5%	\$40,202	57.7%	53.5%	342	41.0%	44.1%	\$67,919	57.7%	57.7%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1,717</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$237,570</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>519</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$69,690</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>834</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$117,648</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	3	1.9%	\$183	1.4%	4.7%	2	4.3%	3.2%	\$109	3.3%	1.9%	1	1.3%	4.5%	\$74	1.2%	2.6%
	Moderate	29	18.4%	\$1,624	12.4%	13.8%	5	10.6%	15.4%	\$184	5.5%	9.1%	18	23.4%	13.1%	\$1,108	18.4%	8.5%
	Middle	64	40.5%	\$3,964	30.3%	45.0%	20	42.6%	44.6%	\$991	29.6%	37.2%	30	39.0%	43.0%	\$1,844	30.6%	32.8%
	Upper	62	39.2%	\$7,325	55.9%	36.6%	20	42.6%	36.8%	\$2,065	61.7%	51.8%	28	36.4%	39.4%	\$3,000	49.8%	56.1%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>158</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$13,096</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>47</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$3,349</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>77</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$6,026</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
MULTI FAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	19.2%	0	0.0%	12.5%	\$0	0.0%	4.1%	0	0.0%	14.7%	\$0	0.0%	4.3%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	23.1%	0	0.0%	30.5%	\$0	0.0%	31.1%	0	0.0%	30.0%	\$0	0.0%	35.7%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	36.0%	0	0.0%	36.7%	\$0	0.0%	23.8%	0	0.0%	40.0%	\$0	0.0%	32.8%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	21.7%	0	0.0%	20.3%	\$0	0.0%	41.0%	0	0.0%	15.3%	\$0	0.0%	27.2%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HMDA TOTALS	Low	122	3.3%	\$9,037	1.6%	4.7%	52	4.1%	2.7%	\$3,204	1.7%	1.6%	44	2.5%	2.3%	\$3,264	1.3%	1.5%
	Moderate	518	14.0%	\$48,326	8.7%	13.8%	154	12.3%	12.4%	\$13,543	7.0%	9.3%	255	14.6%	11.3%	\$23,711	9.1%	9.3%
	Middle	1,496	40.3%	\$168,131	30.1%	45.0%	493	39.3%	44.1%	\$56,245	29.3%	35.1%	703	40.3%	43.7%	\$81,349	31.2%	34.9%
	Upper	1,575	42.4%	\$332,710	59.6%	36.6%	557	44.3%	40.8%	\$119,240	62.0%	54.0%	741	42.5%	42.6%	\$152,191	58.4%	54.3%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>3,711</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$558,204</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>1,256</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$192,232</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>1,743</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$260,515</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2010 ACS Data

Borrower Distribution of HMDA Loans

Assessment Area: Multi Louisville

PRODUCT TYPE	Borrower Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014						2015					
		Bank				Families by Family Income %	Count			Dollar			Count			Dollar		
		Count	Dollar				Bank	Agg	%	Bank	Agg	%	Bank	Agg	%	Bank	Agg	
#	%	\$(000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$(000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$(000s)	%	%		
HOME PURCHASE	Low	338	18.4%	\$30,733	10.0%	21.0%	80	11.6%	10.5%	\$6,686	5.6%	5.7%	172	20.7%	10.4%	\$16,092	11.8%	5.5%
	Moderate	450	24.5%	\$51,485	16.7%	17.4%	183	26.5%	22.2%	\$20,706	17.4%	16.0%	197	23.7%	22.7%	\$22,848	16.7%	16.2%
	Middle	347	18.9%	\$52,022	16.9%	20.7%	141	20.4%	20.6%	\$21,187	17.8%	19.4%	157	18.9%	20.1%	\$23,370	17.1%	19.0%
	Upper	639	34.8%	\$160,885	52.3%	40.8%	270	39.1%	30.7%	\$67,265	56.4%	44.4%	276	33.2%	30.9%	\$68,370	50.0%	44.7%
	Unknown	62	3.4%	\$12,413	4.0%	0.0%	16	2.3%	16.1%	\$3,349	2.8%	14.5%	30	3.6%	15.9%	\$6,161	4.5%	14.6%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1,836</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$307,538</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>690</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$119,193</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>832</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$136,841</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
REFINANCE	Low	260	15.1%	\$20,359	8.6%	21.0%	65	12.5%	9.4%	\$4,572	6.6%	5.1%	115	13.8%	7.0%	\$9,912	8.4%	3.6%
	Moderate	389	22.7%	\$37,679	15.9%	17.4%	112	21.6%	16.6%	\$10,703	15.4%	11.4%	206	24.7%	15.7%	\$20,161	17.1%	10.4%
	Middle	436	25.4%	\$48,100	20.2%	20.7%	152	29.3%	20.3%	\$15,853	22.7%	16.8%	191	22.9%	18.8%	\$22,464	19.1%	15.9%
	Upper	576	33.5%	\$122,407	51.5%	40.8%	175	33.7%	32.0%	\$36,987	53.1%	44.2%	287	34.4%	34.0%	\$58,442	49.7%	45.4%
	Unknown	56	3.3%	\$9,025	3.8%	0.0%	15	2.9%	21.7%	\$1,575	2.3%	22.4%	35	4.2%	24.5%	\$6,669	5.7%	24.7%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1,717</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$237,570</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>519</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$69,690</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>834</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$117,648</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	29	18.4%	\$1,604	12.2%	21.0%	9	19.1%	11.7%	\$290	8.7%	11.1%	15	19.5%	10.6%	\$1,059	17.6%	4.7%
	Moderate	43	27.2%	\$2,615	20.0%	17.4%	14	29.8%	19.2%	\$875	26.1%	13.3%	22	28.6%	21.1%	\$1,199	19.9%	13.3%
	Middle	36	22.8%	\$2,883	22.0%	20.7%	15	31.9%	21.7%	\$1,134	33.9%	17.2%	15	19.5%	21.7%	\$1,205	20.0%	18.1%
	Upper	50	31.6%	\$5,994	45.8%	40.8%	9	19.1%	37.1%	\$1,050	31.4%	46.6%	25	32.5%	40.9%	\$2,563	42.5%	55.7%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	10.4%	\$0	0.0%	11.9%	0	0.0%	5.7%	\$0	0.0%	8.2%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>158</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$13,096</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>47</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$3,349</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>77</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$6,026</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
MULTI FAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	21.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	17.4%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	20.7%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	40.8%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HMDA TOTALS	Low	627	16.9%	\$52,696	9.4%	21.0%	154	12.3%	10.1%	\$11,548	6.0%	5.3%	302	17.3%	9.0%	\$27,063	10.4%	4.4%
	Moderate	882	23.8%	\$91,779	16.4%	17.4%	309	24.6%	20.0%	\$32,284	16.8%	13.6%	425	24.4%	19.8%	\$44,208	17.0%	13.0%
	Middle	819	22.1%	\$103,005	18.5%	20.7%	308	24.5%	20.5%	\$38,174	19.9%	17.5%	363	20.8%	19.5%	\$47,039	18.1%	16.6%
	Upper	1,265	34.1%	\$289,286	51.8%	40.8%	454	36.1%	31.3%	\$105,302	54.8%	42.0%	588	33.7%	32.4%	\$129,375	49.7%	42.1%
	Unknown	118	3.2%	\$21,438	3.8%	0.0%	31	2.5%	18.2%	\$4,924	2.6%	21.5%	65	3.7%	19.2%	\$12,830	4.9%	23.9%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>3,711</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$558,204</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>1,256</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$192,232</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>1,743</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$260,515</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>

Originations & Purchases

2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2010 ACS Data

Geographic Distribution of Small Business & Small Farm Loans
Assessment Area: Multi Louisville

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014					2015						
		Count		Bank Dollar		Small Businesses %	Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar				
		#	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %		#	%	Agg %	\$ 000s	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	Agg %	\$ 000s	\$ %	Agg %
SMALL BUSINESSES	Low	123	12.5%	\$21,309	17.0%	9.3%	53	13.1%	8.7%	\$9,776	17.8%	13.3%	44	11.6%	10.2%	\$7,723	15.6%	13.1%
	Moderate	140	14.3%	\$19,280	15.4%	14.7%	55	13.6%	15.8%	\$8,689	15.8%	18.6%	57	15.0%	15.4%	\$6,874	13.9%	17.8%
	Middle	273	27.8%	\$37,926	30.3%	34.6%	110	27.2%	31.5%	\$16,163	29.4%	29.4%	104	27.4%	31.0%	\$16,155	32.7%	30.5%
	Upper	446	45.4%	\$46,730	37.3%	41.3%	186	46.0%	42.4%	\$20,406	37.1%	37.5%	175	46.1%	42.0%	\$18,701	37.8%	37.7%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%	0	0.0%	0.4%	\$0	0.0%	1.0%	0	0.0%	0.4%	\$0	0.0%	0.7%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	1.3%	\$0	0.0%	0.3%	0	0.0%	1.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%
	Total	982	100.0%	\$125,245	100.0%	100.0%	404	100.0%	100.0%	\$55,034	100.0%	100.0%	380	100.0%	100.0%	\$49,453	100.0%	100.0%
SMALL FARM					Small Farms													
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	1.7%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	5.3%	0	0.0%	2.4%	\$0	0.0%	2.7%	0	0.0%	1.4%	\$0	0.0%	3.5%
	Middle	1	16.7%	\$80	25.8%	47.6%	0	0.0%	49.2%	\$0	0.0%	51.9%	1	25.0%	49.0%	\$80	38.3%	59.9%
	Upper	5	83.3%	\$230	74.2%	45.4%	0	0.0%	47.6%	\$0	0.0%	45.3%	3	75.0%	49.0%	\$129	61.7%	36.6%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	0.8%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%	0	0.0%	0.7%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	6	100.0%	\$310	100.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	4	100.0%	100.0%	\$209	100.0%	100.0%	

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2015 D&B Information

Small Business & Small Farm Lending By Revenue & Loan Size

Assessment Area: Multi Louisville

Product Type	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison 1/1/2014-6/30/2016						Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison													
	Bank				Total Businesses	2014			2015											
	Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar		Count		Dollar								
	#	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	%	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg							
						#	%	%	\$ 000s	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$ 000s	\$ %	\$ %			
Small Business	Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	526	53.6%	\$20,627	16.5%	91.5%	198	49.0%	42.9%	\$10,621	19.3%	31.3%	204	53.7%	47.4%	\$6,438	13.0%	31.8%	
		Over \$1 Million	255	26.0%	\$79,015	63.1%	8.1%	108	26.7%				100	26.3%						
		Total Rev. available	781	79.6%	\$99,642	79.6%	99.6%	306	75.7%				304	80.0%						
		Rev. Not Known	201	20.5%	\$25,603	20.4%	0.4%	98	24.3%				76	20.0%						
		Total	982	100.0%	\$125,245	100.0%	100.0%	404	100.0%				380	100.0%						
	Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	719	73.2%	\$22,432	17.9%		285	70.5%	90.2%	\$8,953	16.3%	30.9%	282	74.2%	90.7%	\$8,892	18.0%	31.1%	
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	108	11.0%	\$18,075	14.4%		51	12.6%	4.9%	\$8,421	15.3%	16.8%	38	10.0%	4.5%	\$6,490	13.1%	15.9%	
		\$250,001 - \$1 Million	155	15.8%	\$84,738	67.7%		68	16.8%	4.9%	\$37,660	68.4%	52.3%	60	15.8%	4.9%	\$34,071	68.9%	53.0%	
		Total	982	100.0%	\$125,245	100.0%		404	100.0%	100.0%	\$55,034	100.0%	100.0%	380	100.0%	100.0%	\$49,453	100.0%	100.0%	
	Loan Size & Rev \$1 Mill or Less	\$100,000 or Less	497	94.5%	\$10,210	49.5%														
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	14	2.7%	\$2,180	10.6%														
		\$250,001 - \$1 Million	15	2.9%	\$8,237	39.9%														
		Total	526	100.0%	\$20,627	100.0%														
	Small Farm	Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	6	100.0%	\$310	100.0%	99.4%	0	0.0%	23.8%	\$0	0.0%	41.6%	4	100.0%	29.3%	\$209	100.0%	35.2%
			Over \$1 Million	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.6%	0	0.0%				0	0.0%					
			Total Rev. available	6	100.0%	\$310	100.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%				4	100.0%					
Not Known			0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%				0	0.0%						
Total			6	100.0%	\$310	100.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%				4	100.0%						
Loan Size		\$100,000 or Less	5	83.3%	\$209	67.4%		0	0.0%	88.1%	\$0	0.0%	31.9%	3	75.0%	89.1%	\$108	51.7%	37.6%	
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	1	16.7%	\$101	32.6%		0	0.0%	7.1%	\$0	0.0%	29.8%	1	25.0%	6.8%	\$101	48.3%	29.0%	
		\$250,001 - \$500,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	4.8%	\$0	0.0%	38.3%	0	0.0%	4.1%	\$0	0.0%	33.4%	
		Total	6	100.0%	\$310	100.0%		0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	4	100.0%	100.0%	\$209	100.0%	100.0%	
Loan Size & Rev \$1 Mill or Less		\$100,000 or Less	5	83.3%	\$209	67.4%														
	\$100,001 - \$250,000	1	16.7%	\$101	32.6%															
	\$250,001 - \$500,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%															
	Total	6	100.0%	\$310	100.0%															

Originations & Purchases

Aggregate data is unavailable for loans to businesses with revenue over \$1 million or revenue unknown, and for loan size by revenue.
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2015 D&B Information

Geographic Distribution of HMDA Loans

Assessment Area: Multi South Bend

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014					2015						
		Bank		Owner Occupied Units	Count		Dollar		Count		Dollar		Count		Dollar			
		#	%		\$ (000s)	%	%	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	
HOME PURCHASE	Low	2	0.7%	\$244	0.6%	1.9%	2	1.4%	0.7%	\$244	1.2%	0.4%	0	0.0%	0.6%	\$0	0.0%	0.4%
	Moderate	52	18.1%	\$4,993	12.1%	17.1%	25	17.6%	13.7%	\$2,590	13.2%	8.9%	21	20.0%	13.6%	\$2,028	12.8%	8.4%
	Middle	123	42.9%	\$15,651	38.0%	47.9%	58	40.8%	46.1%	\$6,971	35.5%	43.7%	47	44.8%	46.2%	\$6,911	43.5%	44.4%
	Upper	110	38.3%	\$20,290	49.3%	33.0%	57	40.1%	39.4%	\$9,835	50.1%	46.9%	37	35.2%	39.6%	\$6,949	43.7%	46.9%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total</i>	<i>287</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$41,178</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>142</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$19,640</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>105</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$15,888</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	
REFINANCE	Low	4	0.9%	\$188	0.4%	1.9%	2	1.1%	0.6%	\$123	0.6%	0.3%	2	1.2%	0.5%	\$65	0.3%	0.2%
	Moderate	60	14.0%	\$4,827	9.4%	17.1%	23	12.9%	13.6%	\$1,986	9.5%	8.8%	19	11.5%	11.3%	\$1,500	7.0%	6.9%
	Middle	237	55.4%	\$28,929	56.6%	47.9%	106	59.6%	47.7%	\$13,282	63.7%	46.7%	88	53.3%	47.7%	\$11,343	52.7%	47.9%
	Upper	127	29.7%	\$17,142	33.6%	33.0%	47	26.4%	38.2%	\$5,465	26.2%	44.2%	56	33.9%	40.5%	\$8,624	40.1%	45.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total</i>	<i>428</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$51,086</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>178</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$20,856</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>165</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$21,532</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	1.9%	0	0.0%	1.3%	\$0	0.0%	0.9%	0	0.0%	1.4%	\$0	0.0%	0.7%
	Moderate	8	12.9%	\$394	10.8%	17.1%	2	7.7%	13.2%	\$77	5.7%	7.9%	5	20.8%	15.9%	\$220	15.9%	8.3%
	Middle	40	64.5%	\$2,061	56.4%	47.9%	20	76.9%	45.1%	\$1,121	83.0%	40.7%	13	54.2%	45.5%	\$640	46.4%	45.5%
	Upper	14	22.6%	\$1,201	32.9%	33.0%	4	15.4%	40.4%	\$152	11.3%	50.5%	6	25.0%	37.2%	\$520	37.7%	45.5%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total</i>	<i>62</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$3,656</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>26</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$1,350</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>24</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$1,380</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	
MULTI FAMILY	Multi-Family Units																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	8.1%	0	0.0%	2.3%	\$0	0.0%	0.6%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	35.6%	0	0.0%	41.9%	\$0	0.0%	59.6%	0	0.0%	34.8%	\$0	0.0%	34.1%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	43.3%	0	0.0%	41.9%	\$0	0.0%	22.0%	0	0.0%	30.4%	\$0	0.0%	12.8%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	13.0%	0	0.0%	14.0%	\$0	0.0%	17.8%	0	0.0%	34.8%	\$0	0.0%	53.1%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	
HMDA TOTALS	Low	6	0.8%	\$432	0.5%	1.9%	4	1.2%	0.7%	\$367	0.9%	0.4%	2	0.7%	0.6%	\$65	0.2%	0.3%
	Moderate	120	15.4%	\$10,214	10.6%	17.1%	50	14.5%	13.7%	\$4,653	11.1%	11.6%	45	15.3%	12.9%	\$3,748	9.7%	9.4%
	Middle	400	51.5%	\$46,641	48.6%	47.9%	184	53.2%	46.6%	\$21,374	51.1%	43.5%	148	50.3%	46.7%	\$18,894	48.7%	43.8%
	Upper	251	32.3%	\$38,633	40.3%	33.0%	108	31.2%	39.0%	\$15,452	36.9%	44.5%	99	33.7%	39.8%	\$16,093	41.5%	46.5%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total</i>	<i>777</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$95,920</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>346</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$41,846</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>294</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$38,800</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2010 ACS Data

Borrower Distribution of HMDA Loans

Assessment Area: Multi South Bend

PRODUCT TYPE	Borrower Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014						2015					
		Bank				Families by Family Income %	Count			Dollar			Count			Dollar		
		Count	Dollar				Bank	Agg	%	Bank	Agg	%	Bank	Agg	%	Bank	Agg	
#	%	\$(000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$(000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$(000s)	%	%		
HOME PURCHASE	Low	39	13.6%	\$2,510	6.1%	20.0%	16	11.3%	7.8%	\$1,027	5.2%	3.9%	16	15.2%	7.4%	\$1,135	7.1%	3.6%
	Moderate	62	21.6%	\$5,478	13.3%	18.6%	34	23.9%	22.3%	\$2,879	14.7%	15.2%	21	20.0%	21.7%	\$2,034	12.8%	14.4%
	Middle	61	21.3%	\$7,697	18.7%	21.2%	28	19.7%	21.1%	\$3,183	16.2%	19.1%	25	23.8%	22.8%	\$3,601	22.7%	19.7%
	Upper	110	38.3%	\$22,341	54.3%	40.2%	53	37.3%	32.9%	\$10,431	53.1%	48.7%	40	38.1%	33.6%	\$8,158	51.3%	49.6%
	Unknown	15	5.2%	\$3,152	7.7%	0.0%	11	7.7%	15.9%	\$2,120	10.8%	13.1%	3	2.9%	14.5%	\$960	6.0%	12.7%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>287</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$41,178</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>142</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$19,640</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>105</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$15,888</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
REFINANCE	Low	50	11.7%	\$3,326	6.5%	20.0%	20	11.2%	7.4%	\$1,353	6.5%	3.9%	15	9.1%	5.8%	\$877	4.1%	2.8%
	Moderate	86	20.1%	\$7,729	15.1%	18.6%	35	19.7%	15.9%	\$3,103	14.9%	10.3%	35	21.2%	15.1%	\$3,414	15.9%	9.1%
	Middle	109	25.5%	\$10,183	19.9%	21.2%	48	27.0%	20.7%	\$4,784	22.9%	16.7%	35	21.2%	20.5%	\$3,202	14.9%	15.7%
	Upper	171	40.0%	\$28,819	56.4%	40.2%	71	39.9%	37.4%	\$11,352	54.4%	49.3%	73	44.2%	39.8%	\$13,415	62.3%	53.9%
	Unknown	12	2.8%	\$1,029	2.0%	0.0%	4	2.2%	18.6%	\$264	1.3%	19.8%	7	4.2%	18.7%	\$624	2.9%	18.4%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>428</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$51,086</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>178</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$20,856</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>165</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$21,532</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	4	6.5%	\$169	4.6%	20.0%	1	3.8%	8.7%	\$3	0.2%	5.0%	1	4.2%	10.8%	\$58	4.2%	4.1%
	Moderate	20	32.3%	\$957	26.2%	18.6%	10	38.5%	18.5%	\$616	45.6%	14.8%	8	33.3%	20.0%	\$332	24.1%	13.5%
	Middle	13	21.0%	\$682	18.7%	21.2%	5	19.2%	24.1%	\$195	14.4%	18.8%	6	25.0%	22.7%	\$312	22.6%	17.8%
	Upper	23	37.1%	\$1,769	48.4%	40.2%	9	34.6%	40.8%	\$531	39.3%	58.6%	8	33.3%	40.4%	\$604	43.8%	58.7%
	Unknown	2	3.2%	\$79	2.2%	0.0%	1	3.8%	7.9%	\$5	0.4%	2.7%	1	4.2%	6.2%	\$74	5.4%	5.9%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>62</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$3,656</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>26</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$1,350</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>24</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$1,380</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
MULTI FAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	20.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	18.6%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	21.2%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	40.2%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HMDA TOTALS	Low	93	12.0%	\$6,005	6.3%	20.0%	37	10.7%	7.7%	\$2,383	5.7%	3.7%	32	10.9%	6.9%	\$2,070	5.3%	3.1%
	Moderate	168	21.6%	\$14,164	14.8%	18.6%	79	22.8%	19.7%	\$6,598	15.8%	12.7%	64	21.8%	19.1%	\$5,780	14.9%	11.5%
	Middle	183	23.6%	\$18,562	19.4%	21.2%	81	23.4%	21.1%	\$8,162	19.5%	17.3%	66	22.4%	21.9%	\$7,115	18.3%	17.0%
	Upper	304	39.1%	\$52,929	55.2%	40.2%	133	38.4%	35.0%	\$22,314	53.3%	46.5%	121	41.2%	36.3%	\$22,177	57.2%	48.5%
	Unknown	29	3.7%	\$4,260	4.4%	0.0%	16	4.6%	16.5%	\$2,389	5.7%	19.8%	11	3.7%	15.8%	\$1,658	4.3%	19.9%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>777</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$95,920</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>346</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$41,846</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>294</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$38,800</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>

Originations & Purchases

2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2010 ACS Data

Geographic Distribution of Small Business & Small Farm Loans

Assessment Area: Multi South Bend

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014						2015					
		Count		Bank Dollar		Small Businesses	Count		Dollar				Count		Dollar			
		#	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	%	#	%	Agg %	\$ 000s	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	Agg %	\$ 000s	\$ %	Agg %
SMALL BUSINESSES	Low	27	6.5%	\$7,659	12.0%	5.2%	12	6.6%	5.2%	\$2,401	9.3%	6.7%	8	4.8%	5.1%	\$2,063	8.2%	6.5%
	Moderate	64	15.4%	\$10,860	17.0%	17.9%	24	13.1%	16.7%	\$4,228	16.4%	18.2%	29	17.5%	18.0%	\$5,536	21.9%	19.4%
	Middle	213	51.2%	\$27,266	42.8%	44.8%	99	54.1%	44.0%	\$12,953	50.4%	44.8%	84	50.6%	43.5%	\$9,842	39.0%	44.9%
	Upper	112	26.9%	\$17,934	28.1%	32.0%	48	26.2%	32.1%	\$6,124	23.8%	29.4%	45	27.1%	31.6%	\$7,820	31.0%	28.5%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	1.9%	\$0	0.0%	0.8%	0	0.0%	1.8%	\$0	0.0%	0.6%
	Total	416	100.0%	\$63,719	100.0%	100.0%	183	100.0%	100.0%	\$25,706	100.0%	100.0%	166	100.0%	100.0%	\$25,261	100.0%	100.0%
SMALL FARM					Small Farms													
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.3%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	2	5.9%	\$434	11.3%	5.7%	0	0.0%	0.8%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%	1	7.7%	3.8%	\$25	2.2%	2.2%
	Middle	28	82.4%	\$3,008	78.1%	57.2%	10	83.3%	56.7%	\$1,070	84.3%	54.9%	10	76.9%	57.0%	\$905	79.4%	55.6%
	Upper	4	11.8%	\$410	10.6%	36.8%	2	16.7%	40.6%	\$200	15.7%	44.5%	2	15.4%	38.5%	\$210	18.4%	41.9%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	1.9%	\$0	0.0%	0.4%	0	0.0%	0.8%	\$0	0.0%	0.3%
Total	34	100.0%	\$3,852	100.0%	100.0%	12	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,270	100.0%	100.0%	13	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,140	100.0%	100.0%	

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2015 D&B Information

Small Business & Small Farm Lending By Revenue & Loan Size

Assessment Area: Multi South Bend

Product Type	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison 1/1/2014-6/30/2016						Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison												
	Bank				Total Businesses	2014			2015										
	Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar						
	#	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	Bank	Agg	%	\$ 000s	%	%	Bank	Agg	%	\$ 000s	%	%		
Small Business	Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	191	45.9%	\$9,819	15.4%	90.3%	78	42.6%	42.1%	\$3,536	13.8%	31.7%	83	50.0%	45.1%	\$4,665	18.5%	31.0%
		Over \$1 Million	121	29.1%	\$44,195	69.4%	9.0%	43	23.5%					52	31.3%				
		Total Rev. available	312	75.0%	\$54,014	84.8%	99.3%	121	66.1%					135	81.3%				
		Rev. Not Known	104	25.0%	\$9,705	15.2%	0.6%	62	33.9%					31	18.7%				
		Total	416	100.0%	\$63,719	100.0%	100.0%	183	100.0%					166	100.0%				
	Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	285	68.5%	\$8,983	14.1%		129	70.5%	85.9%	\$3,761	14.6%	22.3%	115	69.3%	86.3%	\$3,690	14.6%	23.3%
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	50	12.0%	\$8,855	13.9%		22	12.0%	6.3%	\$3,826	14.9%	16.1%	20	12.0%	6.2%	\$3,529	14.0%	16.2%
		\$250,001 - \$1 Million	81	19.5%	\$45,881	72.0%		32	17.5%	7.8%	\$18,119	70.5%	61.6%	31	18.7%	7.5%	\$18,042	71.4%	60.4%
		Total	416	100.0%	\$63,719	100.0%		183	100.0%	100.0%	\$25,706	100.0%	100.0%	166	100.0%	100.0%	\$25,261	100.0%	100.0%
	Loan Size & Rev \$1 Mill or Less	\$100,000 or Less	170	89.0%	\$3,491	35.6%													
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	11	5.8%	\$2,062	21.0%													
		\$250,001 - \$1 Million	10	5.2%	\$4,266	43.4%													
Total		191	100.0%	\$9,819	100.0%														
Small Farm	Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	17	50.0%	\$1,003	26.0%	97.7%	5	41.7%	61.3%	\$235	18.5%	68.7%	7	53.8%	60.0%	\$485	42.5%	61.1%
		Over \$1 Million	3	8.8%	\$390	10.1%	2.3%	1	8.3%					2	15.4%				
		Total Rev. available	20	58.8%	\$1,393	36.1%	100.0%	6	50.0%					9	69.2%				
		Not Known	14	41.2%	\$2,459	63.8%	0.0%	6	50.0%					4	30.8%				
		Total	34	100.0%	\$3,852	100.0%	100.0%	12	100.0%					13	100.0%				
	Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	20	58.8%	\$818	21.2%		7	58.3%	70.5%	\$270	21.3%	22.0%	9	69.2%	68.7%	\$390	34.2%	22.5%
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	12	35.3%	\$2,275	59.1%		5	41.7%	21.1%	\$1,000	78.7%	42.8%	4	30.8%	21.9%	\$750	65.8%	41.5%
		\$250,001 - \$500,000	2	5.9%	\$759	19.7%		0	0.0%	8.4%	\$0	0.0%	35.2%	0	0.0%	9.4%	\$0	0.0%	35.9%
		Total	34	100.0%	\$3,852	100.0%		12	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,270	100.0%	100.0%	13	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,140	100.0%	100.0%
	Loan Size & Rev \$1 Mill or Less	\$100,000 or Less	13	76.5%	\$378	37.7%													
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	4	23.5%	\$625	62.3%													
		\$250,001 - \$500,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%													
Total		17	100.0%	\$1,003	100.0%														

Originations & Purchases
Aggregate data is unavailable for loans to businesses with revenue over \$1 million or revenue unknown, and for loan size by revenue.
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2015 D&B Information

Geographic Distribution of HMDA Loans

Assessment Area: FL Orlando

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014					2015						
		Bank		Owner Occupied Units	Count		Dollar		Count		Dollar		Count		Dollar			
		#	%		\$ (000s)	%	%	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	
HOME PURCHASE	Low	12	1.0%	\$1,707	0.8%	0.7%	1	0.3%	0.3%	\$218	0.4%	0.2%	7	1.3%	0.3%	\$1,003	1.1%	0.2%
	Moderate	268	22.5%	\$34,200	15.9%	18.8%	47	14.6%	13.9%	\$5,892	9.5%	9.7%	148	26.4%	14.4%	\$19,039	20.1%	10.4%
	Middle	511	42.9%	\$80,230	37.2%	46.3%	142	44.0%	46.4%	\$22,939	37.1%	39.6%	229	40.9%	46.0%	\$34,094	36.1%	40.2%
	Upper	399	33.5%	\$99,437	46.1%	34.2%	133	41.2%	39.4%	\$32,755	53.0%	50.6%	176	31.4%	39.3%	\$40,407	42.7%	49.3%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1,190</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$215,574</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>323</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$61,804</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>560</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$94,543</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
REFINANCE	Low	5	0.8%	\$654	0.6%	0.7%	1	0.5%	0.4%	\$233	0.7%	0.3%	2	0.6%	0.4%	\$182	0.3%	0.2%
	Moderate	125	18.9%	\$14,447	12.7%	18.8%	17	9.3%	13.7%	\$2,109	6.6%	9.7%	68	21.3%	12.7%	\$6,905	12.9%	8.7%
	Middle	292	44.1%	\$38,565	33.9%	46.3%	96	52.7%	44.1%	\$12,885	40.3%	37.9%	132	41.3%	43.2%	\$18,244	34.1%	37.1%
	Upper	240	36.3%	\$60,155	52.9%	34.2%	68	37.4%	41.8%	\$16,719	52.3%	52.1%	118	36.9%	43.8%	\$28,107	52.6%	54.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>662</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$113,821</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>182</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$31,946</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>320</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$53,438</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	2	2.4%	\$198	2.2%	0.7%	1	3.8%	0.8%	\$60	2.5%	0.2%	1	2.2%	0.8%	\$138	2.5%	0.6%
	Moderate	18	21.4%	\$1,624	18.2%	18.8%	4	15.4%	16.2%	\$250	10.4%	7.7%	13	28.9%	16.6%	\$1,357	24.9%	9.4%
	Middle	40	47.6%	\$3,596	40.2%	46.3%	15	57.7%	46.2%	\$1,236	51.4%	36.4%	19	42.2%	42.9%	\$1,873	34.4%	34.0%
	Upper	24	28.6%	\$3,522	39.4%	34.2%	6	23.1%	36.7%	\$861	35.8%	55.7%	12	26.7%	39.7%	\$2,077	38.1%	56.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>84</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$8,940</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>26</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$2,407</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>45</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$5,445</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
MULTI FAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	2.9%	0	0.0%	6.3%	\$0	0.0%	7.6%	0	0.0%	5.0%	\$0	0.0%	3.1%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	39.1%	0	0.0%	42.0%	\$0	0.0%	36.5%	0	0.0%	46.0%	\$0	0.0%	39.1%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	38.9%	0	0.0%	34.8%	\$0	0.0%	38.8%	0	0.0%	27.3%	\$0	0.0%	33.9%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	19.2%	0	0.0%	17.0%	\$0	0.0%	17.1%	0	0.0%	21.6%	\$0	0.0%	24.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HMDA TOTALS	Low	19	1.0%	\$2,559	0.8%	0.7%	3	0.6%	0.3%	\$511	0.5%	0.9%	10	1.1%	0.3%	\$1,323	0.9%	0.5%
	Moderate	411	21.2%	\$50,271	14.9%	18.8%	68	12.8%	14.0%	\$8,251	8.6%	12.2%	229	24.8%	14.0%	\$27,301	17.8%	12.5%
	Middle	843	43.5%	\$122,391	36.2%	46.3%	253	47.6%	45.7%	\$37,060	38.5%	39.1%	380	41.1%	45.0%	\$54,211	35.3%	38.7%
	Upper	663	34.2%	\$163,114	48.2%	34.2%	207	39.0%	40.0%	\$50,335	52.3%	47.8%	306	33.1%	40.7%	\$70,591	46.0%	48.3%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1,936</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$338,335</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>531</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$96,157</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>925</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$153,426</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2010 ACS Data

Borrower Distribution of HMDA Loans

Assessment Area: FL Orlando

PRODUCT TYPE	Borrower Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014						2015					
		Bank				Families by Family Income %	Count			Dollar			Count			Dollar		
		Count	Dollar				Bank	Agg	%	Bank	Agg	%	Bank	Agg	%	Bank	Agg	
#	%	\$(000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$(000s)	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$(000s)	\$ %	\$ %		
HOME PURCHASE	Low	195	16.4%	\$18,973	8.8%	20.1%	16	5.0%	3.4%	\$1,350	2.2%	1.5%	119	21.3%	3.9%	\$11,333	12.0%	1.8%
	Moderate	227	19.1%	\$28,057	13.0%	18.5%	44	13.6%	14.1%	\$4,991	8.1%	8.6%	118	21.1%	15.6%	\$14,978	15.8%	10.2%
	Middle	192	16.1%	\$29,134	13.5%	21.0%	61	18.9%	19.5%	\$9,053	14.6%	15.8%	74	13.2%	20.3%	\$11,402	12.1%	17.1%
	Upper	413	34.7%	\$109,016	50.6%	40.5%	149	46.1%	46.1%	\$36,073	58.4%	59.0%	150	26.8%	44.1%	\$38,512	40.7%	56.1%
	Unknown	163	13.7%	\$30,394	14.1%	0.0%	53	16.4%	16.8%	\$10,337	16.7%	15.2%	99	17.7%	16.1%	\$18,318	19.4%	14.8%
	Total	1,190	100.0%	\$215,574	100.0%	100.0%	323	100.0%	100.0%	\$61,804	100.0%	100.0%	560	100.0%	100.0%	\$94,543	100.0%	100.0%
REFINANCE	Low	111	16.8%	\$9,343	8.2%	20.1%	11	6.0%	6.2%	\$780	2.4%	3.3%	64	20.0%	4.9%	\$5,740	10.7%	2.6%
	Moderate	119	18.0%	\$13,343	11.7%	18.5%	25	13.7%	11.8%	\$2,536	7.9%	7.6%	69	21.6%	11.2%	\$7,908	14.8%	7.1%
	Middle	118	17.8%	\$14,616	12.8%	21.0%	38	20.9%	18.1%	\$4,458	14.0%	14.2%	57	17.8%	16.4%	\$7,130	13.3%	13.0%
	Upper	273	41.2%	\$69,534	61.1%	40.5%	94	51.6%	43.9%	\$21,742	68.1%	53.8%	110	34.4%	42.3%	\$29,094	54.4%	51.9%
	Unknown	41	6.2%	\$6,985	6.1%	0.0%	14	7.7%	20.0%	\$2,430	7.6%	21.2%	20	6.3%	25.1%	\$3,566	6.7%	25.4%
	Total	662	100.0%	\$113,821	100.0%	100.0%	182	100.0%	100.0%	\$31,946	100.0%	100.0%	320	100.0%	100.0%	\$53,438	100.0%	100.0%
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	12	14.3%	\$952	10.6%	20.1%	1	3.8%	8.8%	\$36	1.5%	2.2%	11	24.4%	8.1%	\$916	16.8%	2.3%
	Moderate	20	23.8%	\$1,608	18.0%	18.5%	8	30.8%	16.7%	\$545	22.6%	8.5%	7	15.6%	15.8%	\$766	14.1%	7.9%
	Middle	22	26.2%	\$2,428	27.2%	21.0%	9	34.6%	20.9%	\$897	37.3%	18.3%	9	20.0%	19.2%	\$1,122	20.6%	16.1%
	Upper	29	34.5%	\$3,688	41.3%	40.5%	7	26.9%	46.3%	\$665	27.6%	64.5%	18	40.0%	52.0%	\$2,641	48.5%	65.2%
	Unknown	1	1.2%	\$264	3.0%	0.0%	1	3.8%	7.3%	\$264	11.0%	6.6%	0	0.0%	5.0%	\$0	0.0%	8.6%
	Total	84	100.0%	\$8,940	100.0%	100.0%	26	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,407	100.0%	100.0%	45	100.0%	100.0%	\$5,445	100.0%	100.0%
MULTI FAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	20.1%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	18.5%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	21.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	40.5%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%
	Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%
HMDA TOTALS	Low	318	16.4%	\$29,268	8.7%	20.1%	28	5.3%	4.4%	\$2,166	2.3%	1.8%	194	21.0%	4.4%	\$17,989	11.7%	1.9%
	Moderate	366	18.9%	\$43,008	12.7%	18.5%	77	14.5%	13.5%	\$8,072	8.4%	7.5%	194	21.0%	14.2%	\$23,652	15.4%	8.4%
	Middle	332	17.1%	\$46,178	13.6%	21.0%	108	20.3%	19.1%	\$14,408	15.0%	13.9%	140	15.1%	19.0%	\$19,654	12.8%	14.4%
	Upper	715	36.9%	\$182,238	53.9%	40.5%	250	47.1%	45.4%	\$58,480	60.8%	52.1%	278	30.1%	43.8%	\$70,247	45.8%	50.0%
	Unknown	205	10.6%	\$37,643	11.1%	0.0%	68	12.8%	17.5%	\$13,031	13.6%	24.7%	119	12.9%	18.6%	\$21,884	14.3%	25.4%
	Total	1,936	100.0%	\$338,335	100.0%	100.0%	531	100.0%	100.0%	\$96,157	100.0%	100.0%	925	100.0%	100.0%	\$153,426	100.0%	100.0%

Originations & Purchases

2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2010 ACS Data

Geographic Distribution of Small Business & Small Farm Loans
Assessment Area: FL Orlando

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014					2015						
		Count		Bank Dollar		Small Businesses %	Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar				
		#	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %		#	%	Agg %	\$ 000s	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	Agg %	\$ 000s	\$ %	Agg %
SMALL BUSINESSES	Low	23	2.2%	\$2,311	2.0%	1.1%	9	2.4%	1.1%	\$1,046	2.2%	1.6%	10	2.3%	1.2%	\$820	1.8%	1.3%
	Moderate	280	27.0%	\$46,991	40.8%	21.8%	111	29.1%	21.3%	\$18,791	39.0%	25.2%	111	25.9%	20.7%	\$19,373	42.9%	26.0%
	Middle	364	35.1%	\$34,198	29.7%	40.5%	128	33.6%	37.6%	\$12,632	26.2%	36.7%	149	34.7%	37.8%	\$11,776	26.1%	35.5%
	Upper	369	35.6%	\$31,790	27.6%	36.6%	133	34.9%	38.7%	\$15,678	32.6%	35.6%	159	37.1%	39.3%	\$13,159	29.2%	36.5%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	1.3%	\$0	0.0%	0.9%	0	0.0%	1.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.8%
	Total	1,036	100.0%	\$115,290	100.0%	100.0%	381	100.0%	100.0%	\$48,147	100.0%	100.0%	429	100.0%	100.0%	\$45,128	100.0%	100.0%
SMALL FARM					Small Farms													
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.3%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.7%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	11.5%	0	0.0%	13.1%	\$0	0.0%	6.4%	0	0.0%	8.1%	\$0	0.0%	5.5%
	Middle	2	66.7%	\$10	11.8%	51.3%	0	0.0%	43.4%	\$0	0.0%	45.0%	2	100.0%	50.7%	\$10	100.0%	46.4%
	Upper	1	33.3%	\$75	88.2%	36.7%	1	100.0%	40.2%	\$75	100.0%	45.6%	0	0.0%	37.2%	\$0	0.0%	45.7%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.3%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	3.3%	\$0	0.0%	3.0%	0	0.0%	3.4%	\$0	0.0%	2.3%
Total	3	100.0%	\$85	100.0%	100.0%	1	100.0%	100.0%	\$75	100.0%	100.0%	2	100.0%	100.0%	\$10	100.0%	100.0%	

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2015 D&B Information

Small Business & Small Farm Lending By Revenue & Loan Size

Assessment Area: FL Orlando

Product Type		Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison 1/1/2014-6/30/2016					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison												
		Bank				Total Businesses	2014			2015									
		Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar					
		#	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	%	#	%	%	\$ 000s	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$ 000s	\$ %	\$ %	
Small Business	Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	622	60.0%	\$24,744	21.5%	95.1%	203	53.3%	48.8%	\$8,790	18.3%	34.0%	258	60.1%	54.9%	\$10,108	22.4%	37.5%
		Over \$1 Million	226	21.8%	\$70,378	61.0%	4.8%	90	23.6%				89	20.7%					
		Total Rev. available	848	81.8%	\$95,122	82.5%	99.9%	293	76.9%				347	80.8%					
		Rev. Not Known	188	18.1%	\$20,168	17.5%	0.1%	88	23.1%				82	19.1%					
		Total	1,036	100.0%	\$115,290	100.0%	100.0%	381	100.0%				429	100.0%					
	Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	811	78.3%	\$22,426	19.5%		282	74.0%	95.7%	\$8,161	17.0%	41.5%	344	80.2%	95.9%	\$9,301	20.6%	43.2%
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	91	8.8%	\$17,341	15.0%		37	9.7%	2.1%	\$7,015	14.6%	13.9%	38	8.9%	2.0%	\$7,017	15.5%	12.7%
		\$250,001 - \$1 Million	134	12.9%	\$75,523	65.5%		62	16.3%	2.2%	\$32,971	68.5%	44.6%	47	11.0%	2.2%	\$28,810	63.8%	44.1%
		Total	1,036	100.0%	\$115,290	100.0%		381	100.0%	100.0%	\$48,147	100.0%	100.0%	429	100.0%	100.0%	\$45,128	100.0%	100.0%
	Loan Size & Rev \$1 Mill or Less	\$100,000 or Less	582	93.6%	\$10,519	42.5%													
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	17	2.7%	\$2,843	11.5%													
		\$250,001 - \$1 Million	23	3.7%	\$11,382	46.0%													
		Total	622	100.0%	\$24,744	100.0%													
Small Farm	Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	2	66.7%	\$10	11.8%	94.4%	0	0.0%	50.0%	\$0	0.0%	25.5%	2	100.0%	60.8%	\$10	100.0%	56.3%
		Over \$1 Million	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	5.6%	0	0.0%				0	0.0%					
		Total Rev. available	2	66.7%	\$10	11.8%	100.0%	0	0.0%				2	100.0%					
		Not Known	1	33.3%	\$75	88.2%	0.0%	1	100.0%				0	0.0%					
		Total	3	100.0%	\$85	100.0%	100.0%	1	100.0%				2	100.0%					
	Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	3	100.0%	\$85	100.0%		1	100.0%	87.7%	\$75	100.0%	33.4%	2	100.0%	84.5%	\$10	100.0%	29.7%
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	7.4%	\$0	0.0%	23.6%	0	0.0%	7.4%	\$0	0.0%	18.6%
		\$250,001 - \$500,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	4.9%	\$0	0.0%	43.0%	0	0.0%	8.1%	\$0	0.0%	51.7%
		Total	3	100.0%	\$85	100.0%		1	100.0%	100.0%	\$75	100.0%	100.0%	2	100.0%	100.0%	\$10	100.0%	100.0%
	Loan Size & Rev \$1 Mill or Less	\$100,000 or Less	2	100.0%	\$10	100.0%													
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%													
		\$250,001 - \$500,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%													
		Total	2	100.0%	\$10	100.0%													

Originations & Purchases
Aggregate data is unavailable for loans to businesses with revenue over \$1 million or revenue unknown, and for loan size by revenue.
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2015 D&B Information

Geographic Distribution of HMDA Loans

Assessment Area: FL Tampa

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison												
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014					2015							
		Bank		Owner Occupied Units	Count		Dollar		Count		Dollar		Count		Dollar				
		#	%		\$ (000s)	%	%	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	%	%		
HOME PURCHASE	Low	30	2.2%	\$3,438	1.3%	1.9%	6	1.3%	1.0%	\$820	0.9%	0.6%	18	3.0%	1.2%	\$2,080	1.9%	0.8%	
	Moderate	306	22.5%	\$35,886	14.0%	21.4%	61	13.6%	13.5%	\$6,849	7.7%	8.2%	170	28.0%	14.3%	\$19,645	18.4%	9.0%	
	Middle	478	35.2%	\$73,530	28.6%	42.1%	153	34.2%	41.3%	\$23,302	26.3%	34.3%	216	35.6%	41.0%	\$31,146	29.1%	34.3%	
	Upper	544	40.1%	\$143,913	56.0%	34.6%	227	50.8%	44.2%	\$57,629	65.0%	56.8%	203	33.4%	43.5%	\$54,183	50.6%	55.8%	
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1,358</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$256,767</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>447</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$88,600</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>607</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$107,054</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	
REFINANCE	Low	14	2.3%	\$1,222	1.1%	1.9%	2	1.2%	1.4%	\$111	0.4%	3.1%	6	2.1%	1.1%	\$568	1.2%	0.6%	
	Moderate	123	19.8%	\$12,357	11.5%	21.4%	21	13.0%	13.5%	\$1,900	6.5%	11.4%	57	19.7%	12.6%	\$5,283	10.9%	8.1%	
	Middle	232	37.4%	\$30,232	28.1%	42.1%	65	40.1%	40.2%	\$8,964	30.6%	32.9%	119	41.2%	39.6%	\$14,977	30.9%	32.5%	
	Upper	251	40.5%	\$63,870	59.3%	34.6%	74	45.7%	44.9%	\$18,294	62.5%	52.6%	107	37.0%	46.6%	\$27,613	57.0%	58.8%	
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	<i>Total</i>	<i>620</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$107,681</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>162</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$29,269</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>289</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$48,441</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	1.9%	0	0.0%	1.4%	\$0	0.0%	0.7%	0	0.0%	1.9%	\$0	0.0%	0.9%	
	Moderate	20	21.1%	\$1,294	16.8%	21.4%	6	15.4%	19.0%	\$235	7.5%	10.2%	11	29.7%	17.7%	\$913	29.5%	8.1%	
	Middle	38	40.0%	\$2,869	37.3%	42.1%	17	43.6%	39.9%	\$1,177	37.7%	33.2%	13	35.1%	40.4%	\$1,129	36.5%	34.3%	
	Upper	37	38.9%	\$3,537	45.9%	34.6%	16	41.0%	39.8%	\$1,710	54.8%	55.8%	13	35.1%	40.1%	\$1,055	34.1%	56.7%	
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	<i>Total</i>	<i>95</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$7,700</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>39</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$3,122</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>37</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$3,097</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	
MULTI FAMILY	Multi-Family Units						Low	0	0.0%	9.9%	\$0	0.0%	5.1%	0	0.0%	6.1%	\$0	0.0%	2.7%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	28.1%	0	0.0%	27.0%	\$0	0.0%	29.6%	0	0.0%	27.2%	\$0	0.0%	21.1%	
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	37.2%	0	0.0%	31.6%	\$0	0.0%	41.7%	0	0.0%	40.8%	\$0	0.0%	37.6%	
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	30.5%	0	0.0%	31.6%	\$0	0.0%	23.6%	0	0.0%	25.8%	\$0	0.0%	38.7%	
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	
HMDA TOTALS	Low	44	2.1%	\$4,660	1.3%	1.9%	8	1.2%	1.1%	\$931	0.8%	1.5%	24	2.6%	1.2%	\$2,648	1.7%	0.9%	
	Moderate	449	21.7%	\$49,537	13.3%	21.4%	88	13.6%	13.8%	\$8,984	7.4%	10.3%	238	25.5%	14.0%	\$25,841	16.3%	9.8%	
	Middle	748	36.1%	\$106,631	28.7%	42.1%	235	36.3%	40.8%	\$33,443	27.6%	34.3%	348	37.3%	40.5%	\$47,252	29.8%	34.1%	
	Upper	832	40.1%	\$211,320	56.8%	34.6%	317	48.9%	44.2%	\$77,633	64.2%	53.9%	323	34.6%	44.3%	\$82,851	52.2%	55.2%	
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	<i>Total</i>	<i>2,073</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$372,148</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>648</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$120,991</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>933</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$158,592</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2010 ACS Data

Borrower Distribution of HMDA Loans

Assessment Area: FL Tampa

PRODUCT TYPE	Borrower Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014						2015					
		Bank				Families by Family Income %	Count		Dollar				Count			Dollar		
		Count	Dollar				Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg			Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg		
#	%	\$(000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$(000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$(000s)	%	%		
HOME PURCHASE	Low	219	16.1%	\$18,889	7.4%	20.6%	27	6.0%	4.0%	\$1,940	2.2%	1.6%	136	22.4%	4.1%	\$12,168	11.4%	1.7%
	Moderate	226	16.6%	\$27,462	10.7%	18.2%	58	13.0%	14.5%	\$6,973	7.9%	8.3%	129	21.3%	15.7%	\$15,532	14.5%	9.4%
	Middle	251	18.5%	\$36,502	14.2%	19.6%	93	20.8%	19.7%	\$13,293	15.0%	15.5%	107	17.6%	19.4%	\$15,540	14.5%	15.5%
	Upper	604	44.5%	\$161,770	63.0%	41.6%	246	55.0%	46.4%	\$60,926	68.8%	60.6%	207	34.1%	44.1%	\$58,406	54.6%	58.4%
	Unknown	58	4.3%	\$12,144	4.7%	0.0%	23	5.1%	15.4%	\$5,468	6.2%	14.1%	28	4.6%	16.6%	\$5,408	5.1%	15.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1,358</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$256,767</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>447</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$88,600</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>607</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$107,054</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
REFINANCE	Low	113	18.2%	\$8,996	8.4%	20.6%	15	9.3%	6.0%	\$1,253	4.3%	2.9%	66	22.8%	4.7%	\$5,103	10.5%	2.2%
	Moderate	112	18.1%	\$10,585	9.8%	18.2%	25	15.4%	11.3%	\$2,122	7.2%	6.5%	60	20.8%	10.8%	\$5,848	12.1%	6.4%
	Middle	107	17.3%	\$14,150	13.1%	19.6%	28	17.3%	17.8%	\$3,891	13.3%	12.6%	48	16.6%	16.2%	\$5,817	12.0%	12.1%
	Upper	246	39.7%	\$65,261	60.6%	41.6%	81	50.0%	43.4%	\$19,689	67.3%	49.9%	93	32.2%	42.7%	\$26,486	54.7%	53.2%
	Unknown	42	6.8%	\$8,689	8.1%	0.0%	13	8.0%	21.6%	\$2,314	7.9%	28.1%	22	7.6%	25.5%	\$5,187	10.7%	26.1%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>620</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$107,681</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>162</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$29,269</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>289</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$48,441</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	18	18.9%	\$1,133	14.7%	20.6%	3	7.7%	8.9%	\$141	4.5%	2.4%	11	29.7%	7.5%	\$815	26.3%	2.7%
	Moderate	20	21.1%	\$1,331	17.3%	18.2%	10	25.6%	16.4%	\$677	21.7%	9.3%	8	21.6%	16.1%	\$571	18.4%	8.7%
	Middle	23	24.2%	\$1,999	26.0%	19.6%	11	28.2%	19.5%	\$1,064	34.1%	16.3%	8	21.6%	19.5%	\$673	21.7%	15.2%
	Upper	33	34.7%	\$3,096	40.2%	41.6%	14	35.9%	50.4%	\$1,099	35.2%	65.2%	10	27.0%	52.1%	\$1,038	33.5%	64.9%
	Unknown	1	1.1%	\$141	1.8%	0.0%	1	2.6%	4.8%	\$141	4.5%	6.7%	0	0.0%	4.8%	\$0	0.0%	8.4%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>95</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$7,700</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>39</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$3,122</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>37</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$3,097</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
MULTI FAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	20.6%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	18.2%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	19.6%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	41.6%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HMDA TOTALS	Low	350	16.9%	\$29,018	7.8%	20.6%	45	6.9%	4.8%	\$3,334	2.8%	1.9%	213	22.8%	4.4%	\$18,086	11.4%	1.7%
	Moderate	358	17.3%	\$39,378	10.6%	18.2%	93	14.4%	13.6%	\$9,772	8.1%	7.4%	197	21.1%	14.2%	\$21,951	13.8%	7.7%
	Middle	381	18.4%	\$52,651	14.1%	19.6%	132	20.4%	19.1%	\$18,248	15.1%	13.9%	163	17.5%	18.3%	\$22,030	13.9%	13.3%
	Upper	883	42.6%	\$230,127	61.8%	41.6%	341	52.6%	45.5%	\$81,714	67.5%	54.4%	310	33.2%	43.9%	\$85,930	54.2%	52.0%
	Unknown	101	4.9%	\$20,974	5.6%	0.0%	37	5.7%	17.0%	\$7,923	6.5%	22.4%	50	5.4%	19.1%	\$10,595	6.7%	25.2%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>2,073</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$372,148</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>648</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$120,991</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>933</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$158,592</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>

Originations & Purchases

2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2010 ACS Data

Geographic Distribution of Small Business & Small Farm Loans

Assessment Area: FL Tampa

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014					2015						
		Count		Bank Dollar		Small Businesses	Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar				
		#	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	%	#	%	Agg %	\$ 000s	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	Agg %	\$ 000s	\$ %	Agg %
SMALL BUSINESSES	Low	47	3.3%	\$5,265	4.8%	2.7%	26	4.7%	3.2%	\$3,506	8.5%	5.1%	16	2.7%	3.3%	\$1,583	3.4%	4.4%
	Moderate	313	22.3%	\$30,847	28.2%	20.2%	129	23.4%	19.7%	\$10,801	26.3%	22.3%	111	19.1%	19.0%	\$12,235	26.4%	22.1%
	Middle	488	34.8%	\$44,248	40.5%	38.4%	182	33.0%	37.2%	\$15,426	37.6%	39.1%	210	36.1%	37.9%	\$19,978	43.2%	39.1%
	Upper	555	39.6%	\$28,907	26.5%	38.7%	214	38.8%	38.7%	\$11,294	27.5%	32.8%	245	42.1%	38.8%	\$12,493	27.0%	33.7%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%	0	0.0%	0.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%	0	0.0%	0.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	1.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.7%	0	0.0%	1.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.6%
	Total	1,403	100.0%	\$109,267	100.0%	100.0%	551	100.0%	100.0%	\$41,027	100.0%	100.0%	582	100.0%	100.0%	\$46,289	100.0%	100.0%
SMALL FARM					Small Farms													
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	2.2%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	3.6%	\$0	0.0%	1.1%
	Moderate	1	20.0%	\$15	11.3%	20.1%	0	0.0%	17.9%	\$0	0.0%	34.4%	1	50.0%	18.6%	\$15	23.1%	18.7%
	Middle	1	20.0%	\$5	3.8%	41.5%	0	0.0%	40.2%	\$0	0.0%	40.6%	0	0.0%	27.9%	\$0	0.0%	28.2%
	Upper	3	60.0%	\$113	85.0%	36.1%	1	100.0%	38.5%	\$50	100.0%	22.8%	1	50.0%	47.1%	\$50	76.9%	49.7%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	3.4%	\$0	0.0%	2.1%	0	0.0%	2.9%	\$0	0.0%	2.4%
Total	5	100.0%	\$133	100.0%	100.0%	1	100.0%	100.0%	\$50	100.0%	100.0%	2	100.0%	100.0%	\$65	100.0%	100.0%	

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2015 D&B Information

Small Business & Small Farm Lending By Revenue & Loan Size

Assessment Area: FL Tampa

Product Type	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison 1/1/2014-6/30/2016						Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison												
	Bank				Total Businesses	2014			2015										
	Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar		Count		Dollar							
	#	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	%	#	%	%	\$ 000s	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$ 000s	\$ %	\$ %		
Small Business	Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	814	58.0%	\$24,648	22.6%	94.6%	291	52.8%	48.2%	\$7,874	19.2%	34.2%	325	55.8%	54.7%	\$9,689	20.9%	36.9%
		Over \$1 Million	243	17.3%	\$54,811	50.2%	5.3%	77	14.0%				131	22.5%					
		Total Rev. available	1,057	75.3%	\$79,459	72.8%	99.9%	368	66.8%				456	78.3%					
		Rev. Not Known	346	24.7%	\$29,808	27.3%	0.1%	183	33.2%				126	21.6%					
		Total	1,403	100.0%	\$109,267	100.0%	100.0%	551	100.0%				582	100.0%					
	Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	1,183	84.3%	\$31,970	29.3%		472	85.7%	94.3%	\$12,879	31.4%	36.5%	489	84.0%	94.6%	\$12,839	27.7%	37.7%
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	113	8.1%	\$20,932	19.2%		40	7.3%	2.7%	\$7,674	18.7%	14.7%	49	8.4%	2.6%	\$8,994	19.4%	14.3%
		\$250,001 - \$1 Million	107	7.6%	\$56,365	51.6%		39	7.1%	3.0%	\$20,474	49.9%	48.8%	44	7.6%	2.9%	\$24,456	52.8%	48.0%
		Total	1,403	100.0%	\$109,267	100.0%		551	100.0%	100.0%	\$41,027	100.0%	100.0%	582	100.0%	100.0%	\$46,289	100.0%	100.0%
	Loan Size & Rev \$1 Mill or Less	\$100,000 or Less	786	96.6%	\$14,753	59.9%													
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	12	1.5%	\$2,120	8.6%													
		\$250,001 - \$1 Million	16	2.0%	\$7,775	31.5%													
Total		814	100.0%	\$24,648	100.0%														
Small Farm	Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	3	60.0%	\$33	24.8%	95.1%	0	0.0%	44.4%	\$0	0.0%	42.5%	1	50.0%	50.0%	\$15	23.1%	63.8%
		Over \$1 Million	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	4.6%	0	0.0%				0	0.0%					
		Total Rev. available	3	60.0%	\$33	24.8%	99.7%	0	0.0%				1	50.0%					
		Not Known	2	40.0%	\$100	75.2%	0.2%	1	100.0%				1	50.0%					
		Total	5	100.0%	\$133	100.0%	100.0%	1	100.0%				2	100.0%					
	Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	5	100.0%	\$133	100.0%		1	100.0%	86.3%	\$50	100.0%	31.6%	2	100.0%	87.1%	\$65	100.0%	31.6%
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	10.3%	\$0	0.0%	43.3%	0	0.0%	9.3%	\$0	0.0%	35.9%
		\$250,001 - \$500,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	3.4%	\$0	0.0%	25.1%	0	0.0%	3.6%	\$0	0.0%	32.6%
		Total	5	100.0%	\$133	100.0%		1	100.0%	100.0%	\$50	100.0%	100.0%	2	100.0%	100.0%	\$65	100.0%	100.0%
	Loan Size & Rev \$1 Mill or Less	\$100,000 or Less	3	100.0%	\$33	100.0%													
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%													
		\$250,001 - \$500,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%													
Total		3	100.0%	\$33	100.0%														

Originations & Purchases
Aggregate data is unavailable for loans to businesses with revenue over \$1 million or revenue unknown, and for loan size by revenue.
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2015 D&B Information

Geographic Distribution of HMDA Loans

Assessment Area: GA Atlanta

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014					2015						
		Bank				Owner Occupied Units	Count			Dollar			Count			Dollar		
		Count	Dollar				Bank	Agg	%	Bank	Agg	%	Bank	Agg	%	Bank	Agg	%
#	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	%	%		
HOME PURCHASE	Low	17	1.7%	\$1,868	0.7%	3.4%	4	1.4%	2.0%	\$515	0.6%	1.3%	9	2.3%	2.0%	\$959	0.9%	1.4%
	Moderate	95	9.8%	\$14,128	5.2%	17.4%	29	10.0%	12.7%	\$4,772	5.7%	8.4%	33	8.5%	13.4%	\$3,900	3.7%	9.0%
	Middle	301	30.9%	\$64,123	23.5%	37.5%	84	29.0%	35.6%	\$17,128	20.6%	27.4%	120	31.0%	37.0%	\$23,655	22.6%	29.3%
	Upper	561	57.6%	\$192,216	70.6%	41.7%	173	59.7%	49.7%	\$60,786	73.1%	62.9%	225	58.1%	47.6%	\$75,985	72.7%	60.4%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>974</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$272,335</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>290</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$83,201</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>387</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$104,499</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
REFINANCE	Low	11	1.5%	\$1,894	0.9%	3.4%	1	0.5%	1.9%	\$208	0.4%	1.3%	9	2.5%	1.8%	\$1,583	1.6%	1.1%
	Moderate	49	6.8%	\$6,379	3.2%	17.4%	18	8.9%	14.0%	\$2,302	4.5%	9.2%	19	5.4%	11.4%	\$2,574	2.5%	7.0%
	Middle	204	28.3%	\$38,679	19.3%	37.5%	64	31.7%	35.7%	\$11,707	22.9%	27.3%	94	26.6%	33.7%	\$16,866	16.6%	25.7%
	Upper	457	63.4%	\$153,477	76.6%	41.7%	119	58.9%	48.4%	\$36,828	72.1%	62.2%	231	65.4%	53.2%	\$80,780	79.3%	66.2%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>721</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$200,429</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>202</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$51,045</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>353</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$101,803</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	3	10.0%	\$74	2.5%	3.4%	3	25.0%	3.1%	\$74	8.0%	1.2%	0	0.0%	2.6%	\$0	0.0%	1.2%
	Moderate	3	10.0%	\$349	11.9%	17.4%	2	16.7%	14.1%	\$245	26.5%	6.7%	1	12.5%	13.2%	\$104	10.8%	6.5%
	Middle	7	23.3%	\$662	22.6%	37.5%	2	16.7%	34.2%	\$100	10.8%	21.1%	0	0.0%	34.9%	\$0	0.0%	23.6%
	Upper	17	56.7%	\$1,849	63.0%	41.7%	5	41.7%	48.6%	\$505	54.7%	71.0%	7	87.5%	49.2%	\$859	89.2%	68.7%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>30</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$2,934</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>12</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$924</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$963</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
MULTI FAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	17.9%	0	0.0%	18.6%	\$0	0.0%	9.2%	0	0.0%	16.3%	\$0	0.0%	9.4%
	Moderate	1	50.0%	\$11,000	63.2%	33.9%	0	0.0%	33.9%	\$0	0.0%	26.9%	1	50.0%	38.5%	\$11,000	63.2%	31.2%
	Middle	1	50.0%	\$6,400	36.8%	22.9%	0	0.0%	21.5%	\$0	0.0%	30.5%	1	50.0%	20.9%	\$6,400	36.8%	29.5%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	25.2%	0	0.0%	26.0%	\$0	0.0%	33.5%	0	0.0%	24.3%	\$0	0.0%	29.9%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$17,400</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$17,400</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HMDA TOTALS	Low	31	1.8%	\$3,836	0.8%	3.4%	8	1.6%	2.0%	\$797	0.6%	1.9%	18	2.4%	2.0%	\$2,542	1.1%	1.8%
	Moderate	148	8.6%	\$31,856	6.5%	17.4%	49	9.7%	13.3%	\$7,319	5.4%	10.0%	54	7.2%	12.6%	\$17,578	7.8%	9.7%
	Middle	513	29.7%	\$109,864	22.3%	37.5%	150	29.8%	35.6%	\$28,935	21.4%	27.6%	215	28.7%	35.6%	\$46,921	20.9%	27.9%
	Upper	1,035	59.9%	\$347,542	70.5%	41.7%	297	58.9%	49.2%	\$98,119	72.6%	60.5%	463	61.7%	49.8%	\$157,624	70.2%	60.6%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1,727</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$493,098</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>504</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$135,170</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>750</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$224,665</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2010 ACS Data

Borrower Distribution of HMDA Loans

Assessment Area: GA Atlanta

PRODUCT TYPE	Borrower Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014						2015					
		Bank				Families by Family Income %	Count		Dollar				Count		Dollar			
		Count	Dollar				Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg			Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg		
#	%	\$(000s)	\$ %	%	#	%	%	\$(000s)	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$(000s)	\$ %	\$ %		
HOME PURCHASE	Low	36	3.7%	\$3,398	1.2%	21.8%	12	4.1%	8.1%	\$1,104	1.3%	3.7%	14	3.6%	7.4%	\$1,343	1.3%	3.5%
	Moderate	78	8.0%	\$10,748	3.9%	16.6%	21	7.2%	16.5%	\$2,733	3.3%	10.5%	31	8.0%	16.9%	\$4,378	4.2%	10.9%
	Middle	97	10.0%	\$18,099	6.6%	18.7%	23	7.9%	17.1%	\$4,436	5.3%	14.6%	25	6.5%	17.3%	\$4,395	4.2%	14.7%
	Upper	475	48.8%	\$177,138	65.0%	42.9%	149	51.4%	38.0%	\$57,327	68.9%	53.6%	121	31.3%	37.6%	\$51,202	49.0%	53.1%
	Unknown	288	29.6%	\$62,952	23.1%	0.0%	85	29.3%	20.3%	\$17,601	21.2%	17.6%	196	50.6%	20.8%	\$43,181	41.3%	17.8%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>974</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$272,335</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>290</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$83,201</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>387</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$104,499</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
REFINANCE	Low	31	4.3%	\$3,216	1.6%	21.8%	8	4.0%	6.9%	\$957	1.9%	3.4%	11	3.1%	5.0%	\$1,123	1.1%	2.4%
	Moderate	49	6.8%	\$6,728	3.4%	16.6%	18	8.9%	12.3%	\$2,470	4.8%	7.4%	20	5.7%	10.7%	\$2,806	2.8%	6.5%
	Middle	104	14.4%	\$16,441	8.2%	18.7%	29	14.4%	15.7%	\$4,250	8.3%	11.8%	44	12.5%	15.6%	\$7,203	7.1%	12.1%
	Upper	342	47.4%	\$132,216	66.0%	42.9%	90	44.6%	40.0%	\$32,233	63.1%	50.8%	147	41.6%	42.5%	\$62,486	61.4%	55.7%
	Unknown	195	27.0%	\$41,828	20.9%	0.0%	57	28.2%	25.1%	\$11,135	21.8%	26.6%	131	37.1%	26.2%	\$28,185	27.7%	23.2%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>721</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$200,429</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>202</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$51,045</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>353</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$101,803</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	7	23.3%	\$404	13.8%	21.8%	3	25.0%	8.6%	\$136	14.7%	2.3%	2	25.0%	6.9%	\$117	12.1%	2.0%
	Moderate	8	26.7%	\$581	19.8%	16.6%	3	25.0%	17.9%	\$255	27.6%	8.0%	2	25.0%	16.6%	\$64	6.6%	8.1%
	Middle	7	23.3%	\$739	25.2%	18.7%	3	25.0%	20.0%	\$186	20.1%	13.6%	1	12.5%	19.9%	\$104	10.8%	14.1%
	Upper	7	23.3%	\$980	33.4%	42.9%	2	16.7%	44.6%	\$117	12.7%	66.8%	3	37.5%	50.4%	\$678	70.4%	66.5%
	Unknown	1	3.3%	\$230	7.8%	0.0%	1	8.3%	8.9%	\$230	24.9%	9.3%	0	0.0%	6.2%	\$0	0.0%	9.3%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>30</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$2,934</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>12</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$924</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$963</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
MULTI FAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	21.8%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	16.6%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	18.7%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	42.9%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Unknown	2	100.0%	\$17,400	100.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	2	100.0%	100.0%	\$17,400	100.0%	100.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$17,400</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$17,400</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HMDA TOTALS	Low	74	4.3%	\$7,018	1.4%	21.8%	23	4.6%	7.7%	\$2,197	1.6%	3.3%	27	3.6%	6.4%	\$2,583	1.1%	2.8%
	Moderate	135	7.8%	\$18,057	3.7%	16.6%	42	8.3%	15.1%	\$5,458	4.0%	8.7%	53	7.1%	14.5%	\$7,248	3.2%	8.6%
	Middle	208	12.0%	\$35,279	7.2%	18.7%	55	10.9%	16.7%	\$8,872	6.6%	12.6%	70	9.3%	16.7%	\$11,702	5.2%	12.8%
	Upper	824	47.7%	\$310,334	62.9%	42.9%	241	47.8%	38.8%	\$89,677	66.3%	48.6%	271	36.1%	39.8%	\$114,366	50.9%	50.7%
	Unknown	486	28.1%	\$122,410	24.8%	0.0%	143	28.4%	21.7%	\$28,966	21.4%	26.8%	329	43.9%	22.6%	\$88,766	39.5%	25.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1,727</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$493,098</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>504</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$135,170</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>750</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$224,665</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>

Originations & Purchases

2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2010 ACS Data

Geographic Distribution of Small Business & Small Farm Loans

Assessment Area: GA Atlanta

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014					2015						
		Count		Bank Dollar		Small Businesses	Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar				
		#	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	%	#	%	Agg %	\$ 000s	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	Agg %	\$ 000s	\$ %	Agg %
SMALL BUSINESSES	Low	23	4.5%	\$2,562	3.9%	5.0%	6	3.1%	4.6%	\$531	1.9%	6.5%	10	4.9%	4.7%	\$1,629	7.3%	6.3%
	Moderate	126	24.9%	\$20,813	32.1%	20.0%	42	22.0%	17.6%	\$9,046	32.3%	20.3%	53	25.7%	18.0%	\$7,310	32.7%	20.0%
	Middle	132	26.0%	\$10,985	16.9%	33.0%	54	28.3%	28.7%	\$4,722	16.9%	28.0%	50	24.3%	28.9%	\$3,597	16.1%	28.1%
	Upper	226	44.6%	\$30,524	47.0%	42.0%	89	46.6%	48.0%	\$13,677	48.9%	44.2%	93	45.1%	47.4%	\$9,841	44.0%	44.9%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	1.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.9%	0	0.0%	0.9%	\$0	0.0%	0.7%
	Total	507	100.0%	\$64,884	100.0%	100.0%	191	100.0%	100.0%	\$27,976	100.0%	100.0%	206	100.0%	100.0%	\$22,377	100.0%	100.0%
SMALL FARM	Small Farms																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	3.3%	0	0.0%	1.6%	\$0	0.0%	3.2%	0	0.0%	1.7%	\$0	0.0%	1.7%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	13.5%	0	0.0%	13.9%	\$0	0.0%	5.0%	0	0.0%	10.7%	\$0	0.0%	15.8%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	37.9%	0	0.0%	37.7%	\$0	0.0%	72.6%	0	0.0%	47.9%	\$0	0.0%	64.5%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	45.2%	0	0.0%	45.9%	\$0	0.0%	19.1%	0	0.0%	38.8%	\$0	0.0%	17.2%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.8%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%	0	0.0%	0.8%	\$0	0.0%	0.8%
Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2015 D&B Information

Small Business & Small Farm Lending By Revenue & Loan Size

Assessment Area: GA Atlanta

Product Type	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison 1/1/2014-6/30/2016						Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison												
	Bank				Total Businesses	2014			2015										
	Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar		Count		Dollar							
	#	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg				
Small Business	Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	291	57.4%	\$12,671	19.5%	93.2%	106	55.5%	51.9%	\$6,163	22.0%	34.2%	118	57.3%	56.6%	\$3,937	17.6%	36.6%
		Over \$1 Million	152	30.0%	\$43,126	66.5%	6.6%	56	29.3%					65	31.6%				
		Total Rev. available	443	87.4%	\$55,797	86.0%	99.8%	162	84.8%					183	88.9%				
		Rev. Not Known	64	12.6%	\$9,087	14.0%	0.2%	29	15.2%					23	11.2%				
		Total	507	100.0%	\$64,884	100.0%	100.0%	191	100.0%					206	100.0%				
	Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	378	74.6%	\$10,808	16.7%		134	70.2%	93.9%	\$3,435	12.3%	35.0%	163	79.1%	94.4%	\$5,065	22.6%	37.1%
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	61	12.0%	\$11,223	17.3%		25	13.1%	2.8%	\$4,891	17.5%	14.1%	23	11.2%	2.6%	\$4,161	18.6%	13.4%
		\$250,001 - \$1 Million	68	13.4%	\$42,853	66.0%		32	16.8%	3.3%	\$19,650	70.2%	50.9%	20	9.7%	3.0%	\$13,151	58.8%	49.5%
		Total	507	100.0%	\$64,884	100.0%		191	100.0%	100.0%	\$27,976	100.0%	100.0%	206	100.0%	100.0%	\$22,377	100.0%	100.0%
	Loan Size & Rev \$1 Mill or Less	\$100,000 or Less	273	93.8%	\$5,286	41.7%													
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	9	3.1%	\$1,658	13.1%													
		\$250,001 - \$1 Million	9	3.1%	\$5,727	45.2%													
		Total	291	100.0%	\$12,671	100.0%													
Small Farm	Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	98.2%	0	0.0%	46.7%	\$0	0.0%	42.1%	0	0.0%	52.9%	\$0	0.0%	42.9%
		Over \$1 Million	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	1.8%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%				
		Total Rev. available	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%				
		Not Known	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%				
		Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%				
	Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	86.9%	\$0	0.0%	37.0%	0	0.0%	88.4%	\$0	0.0%	37.4%
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	9.0%	\$0	0.0%	30.6%	0	0.0%	7.4%	\$0	0.0%	28.5%
		\$250,001 - \$500,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	4.1%	\$0	0.0%	32.4%	0	0.0%	4.1%	\$0	0.0%	34.1%
		Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%
	Loan Size & Rev \$1 Mill or Less	\$100,000 or Less	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%													
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%													
		\$250,001 - \$500,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%													
		Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%													

Originations & Purchases

Aggregate data is unavailable for loans to businesses with revenue over \$1 million or revenue unknown, and for loan size by revenue.
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2015 D&B Information

Geographic Distribution of HMDA Loans

Assessment Area: IL Southern IL

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison												
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014					2015							
		Bank				Owner Occupied Units	Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar					
		Count	Dollar				Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg		Bank	Agg	Dollar					
#	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	%	%			
HOME PURCHASE	Low	1	1.8%	\$22	0.3%	0.8%	1	3.6%	0.5%	\$22	0.6%	0.2%	0	0.0%	0.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Moderate	1	1.8%	\$21	0.3%	6.7%	1	3.6%	4.9%	\$21	0.6%	2.8%	0	0.0%	3.1%	\$0	0.0%	1.4%	
	Middle	35	62.5%	\$4,394	63.2%	65.4%	18	64.3%	60.9%	\$2,535	70.0%	59.6%	13	68.4%	63.4%	\$1,520	63.8%	61.3%	
	Upper	19	33.9%	\$2,515	36.2%	27.1%	8	28.6%	33.7%	\$1,044	28.8%	37.4%	6	31.6%	33.4%	\$864	36.2%	37.2%	
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	<i>Total</i>	56	100.0%	\$6,952	100.0%	100.0%	28	100.0%	100.0%	\$3,622	100.0%	100.0%	19	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,384	100.0%	100.0%	
REFINANCE	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.8%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.9%	\$0	0.0%	0.4%	
	Moderate	4	5.2%	\$173	2.7%	6.7%	1	4.5%	3.9%	\$62	3.0%	2.5%	2	5.1%	2.4%	\$81	2.7%	1.5%	
	Middle	47	61.0%	\$3,596	56.1%	65.4%	13	59.1%	67.1%	\$1,181	57.8%	60.3%	23	59.0%	64.8%	\$1,526	50.6%	61.0%	
	Upper	26	33.8%	\$2,637	41.2%	27.1%	8	36.4%	29.1%	\$801	39.2%	37.2%	14	35.9%	31.9%	\$1,411	46.8%	37.1%	
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	<i>Total</i>	77	100.0%	\$6,406	100.0%	100.0%	22	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,044	100.0%	100.0%	39	100.0%	100.0%	\$3,018	100.0%	100.0%	
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.8%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Moderate	2	8.0%	\$87	7.2%	6.7%	0	0.0%	6.9%	\$0	0.0%	4.3%	1	7.1%	5.4%	\$77	12.6%	2.7%	
	Middle	15	60.0%	\$638	52.9%	65.4%	3	60.0%	72.2%	\$149	63.4%	64.0%	8	57.1%	75.7%	\$236	38.5%	65.1%	
	Upper	8	32.0%	\$480	39.8%	27.1%	2	40.0%	20.8%	\$86	36.6%	31.7%	5	35.7%	18.9%	\$300	48.9%	32.2%	
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	<i>Total</i>	25	100.0%	\$1,205	100.0%	100.0%	5	100.0%	100.0%	\$235	100.0%	100.0%	14	100.0%	100.0%	\$613	100.0%	100.0%	
MULTI FAMILY		Multi-Family Units																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	8.9%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	1.6%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	75.6%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	13.9%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	<i>Total</i>	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	
HMDA TOTALS	Low	1	0.6%	\$22	0.2%	0.8%	1	1.8%	0.3%	\$22	0.4%	0.1%	0	0.0%	0.4%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%	
	Moderate	7	4.4%	\$281	1.9%	6.7%	2	3.6%	4.6%	\$83	1.4%	2.6%	3	4.2%	3.0%	\$158	2.6%	1.4%	
	Middle	97	61.4%	\$8,628	59.2%	65.4%	34	61.8%	63.8%	\$3,865	65.5%	61.4%	44	61.1%	64.8%	\$3,282	54.6%	63.9%	
	Upper	53	33.5%	\$5,632	38.7%	27.1%	18	32.7%	31.3%	\$1,931	32.7%	35.9%	25	34.7%	31.8%	\$2,575	42.8%	34.6%	
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	<i>Total</i>	158	100.0%	\$14,563	100.0%	100.0%	55	100.0%	100.0%	\$5,901	100.0%	100.0%	72	100.0%	100.0%	\$6,015	100.0%	100.0%	

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2010 ACS Data

Borrower Distribution of HMDA Loans

Assessment Area: IL Southern IL

PRODUCT TYPE	Borrower Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014						2015					
		Bank				Families by Family Income %	Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar				
		Count	Dollar				Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg		Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg			
#	%	\$(000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$(000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$(000s)	%	%		
HOME PURCHASE	Low	8	14.3%	\$538	7.7%	19.4%	3	10.7%	6.9%	\$205	5.7%	3.5%	4	21.1%	8.3%	\$279	11.7%	4.4%
	Moderate	10	17.9%	\$641	9.2%	16.6%	7	25.0%	22.8%	\$454	12.5%	15.7%	2	10.5%	19.3%	\$143	6.0%	14.4%
	Middle	20	35.7%	\$2,374	34.1%	23.0%	10	35.7%	22.2%	\$1,120	30.9%	21.7%	5	26.3%	21.4%	\$652	27.3%	20.1%
	Upper	17	30.4%	\$3,194	45.9%	41.0%	7	25.0%	24.3%	\$1,638	45.2%	38.2%	8	42.1%	28.8%	\$1,310	54.9%	40.7%
	Unknown	1	1.8%	\$205	2.9%	0.0%	1	3.6%	23.8%	\$205	5.7%	20.9%	0	0.0%	22.2%	\$0	0.0%	20.4%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>56</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$6,952</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>28</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$3,622</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>19</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$2,384</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
REFINANCE	Low	9	11.7%	\$445	6.9%	19.4%	3	13.6%	7.0%	\$119	5.8%	3.2%	5	12.8%	7.3%	\$267	8.8%	3.7%
	Moderate	20	26.0%	\$1,235	19.3%	16.6%	4	18.2%	12.8%	\$240	11.7%	8.0%	15	38.5%	17.3%	\$965	32.0%	11.2%
	Middle	19	24.7%	\$1,478	23.1%	23.0%	4	18.2%	24.5%	\$470	23.0%	20.8%	10	25.6%	24.8%	\$726	24.1%	21.6%
	Upper	27	35.1%	\$3,013	47.0%	41.0%	11	50.0%	46.5%	\$1,215	59.4%	60.5%	8	20.5%	39.8%	\$960	31.8%	52.0%
	Unknown	2	2.6%	\$235	3.7%	0.0%	0	0.0%	9.2%	\$0	0.0%	7.5%	1	2.6%	10.8%	\$100	3.3%	11.6%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>77</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$6,406</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>22</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$2,044</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>39</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$3,018</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	2	8.0%	\$9	0.7%	19.4%	0	0.0%	9.7%	\$0	0.0%	4.4%	2	14.3%	12.2%	\$9	1.5%	5.3%
	Moderate	7	28.0%	\$201	16.7%	16.6%	2	40.0%	15.3%	\$62	26.4%	19.7%	4	28.6%	24.3%	\$129	21.0%	13.4%
	Middle	5	20.0%	\$356	29.5%	23.0%	2	40.0%	34.7%	\$131	55.7%	38.7%	1	7.1%	12.2%	\$15	2.4%	8.7%
	Upper	11	44.0%	\$639	53.0%	41.0%	1	20.0%	31.9%	\$42	17.9%	36.3%	7	50.0%	41.9%	\$460	75.0%	63.6%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	8.3%	\$0	0.0%	0.8%	0	0.0%	9.5%	\$0	0.0%	9.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>25</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$1,205</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$235</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>14</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$613</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
MULTI FAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	19.4%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	16.6%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	23.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	41.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HMDA TOTALS	Low	19	12.0%	\$992	6.8%	19.4%	6	10.9%	7.1%	\$324	5.5%	3.2%	11	15.3%	8.1%	\$555	9.2%	3.9%
	Moderate	37	23.4%	\$2,077	14.3%	16.6%	13	23.6%	19.0%	\$756	12.8%	12.5%	21	29.2%	18.8%	\$1,237	20.6%	12.2%
	Middle	44	27.8%	\$4,208	28.9%	23.0%	16	29.1%	23.5%	\$1,721	29.2%	20.9%	16	22.2%	22.0%	\$1,393	23.2%	19.0%
	Upper	55	34.8%	\$6,846	47.0%	41.0%	19	34.5%	31.8%	\$2,895	49.1%	44.6%	23	31.9%	33.6%	\$2,730	45.4%	42.7%
	Unknown	3	1.9%	\$440	3.0%	0.0%	1	1.8%	18.6%	\$205	3.5%	18.7%	1	1.4%	17.6%	\$100	1.7%	22.2%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>158</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$14,563</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>55</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$5,901</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>72</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$6,015</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>

Originations & Purchases

2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2010 ACS Data

Geographic Distribution of Small Business & Small Farm Loans

Assessment Area: IL Southern IL

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014					2015						
		Count		Bank Dollar		Small Businesses	Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar				
		#	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	%	#	%	Agg %	\$ 000s	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	Agg %	\$ 000s	\$ %	Agg %
SMALL BUSINESSES	Low	1	1.4%	\$5	0.0%	6.0%	0	0.0%	5.8%	\$0	0.0%	11.1%	0	0.0%	6.1%	\$0	0.0%	4.7%
	Moderate	4	5.5%	\$66	0.5%	5.0%	3	8.8%	6.2%	\$53	1.0%	5.3%	0	0.0%	5.2%	\$0	0.0%	2.6%
	Middle	50	68.5%	\$10,292	83.2%	63.0%	23	67.6%	62.0%	\$4,992	89.6%	63.2%	21	77.8%	63.9%	\$5,095	90.3%	71.0%
	Upper	18	24.7%	\$2,011	16.3%	26.0%	8	23.5%	20.4%	\$526	9.4%	19.4%	6	22.2%	21.2%	\$546	9.7%	20.9%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	5.6%	\$0	0.0%	1.0%	0	0.0%	3.7%	\$0	0.0%	0.8%
	Total	73	100.0%	\$12,374	100.0%	100.0%	34	100.0%	100.0%	\$5,571	100.0%	100.0%	27	100.0%	100.0%	\$5,641	100.0%	100.0%
SMALL FARM					Small Farms													
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.6%	\$0	0.0%	1.6%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.5%	0	0.0%	3.5%	\$0	0.0%	2.2%	0	0.0%	2.9%	\$0	0.0%	2.3%
	Middle	4	28.6%	\$470	41.4%	70.8%	2	28.6%	74.6%	\$370	59.2%	73.8%	1	20.0%	78.0%	\$75	17.9%	82.5%
	Upper	10	71.4%	\$665	58.6%	28.7%	5	71.4%	21.4%	\$255	40.8%	22.4%	4	80.0%	18.5%	\$345	82.1%	15.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.6%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%
Total	14	100.0%	\$1,135	100.0%	100.0%	7	100.0%	100.0%	\$625	100.0%	100.0%	5	100.0%	100.0%	\$420	100.0%	100.0%	

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2015 D&B Information

Small Business & Small Farm Lending By Revenue & Loan Size

Assessment Area: IL Southern IL

Product Type		Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison 1/1/2014-6/30/2016					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison												
		Bank				Total Businesses	2014			2015									
		Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar					
		#	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	%	#	%	%	\$ 000s	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$ 000s	\$ %	\$ %	
Small Business	Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	20	27.4%	\$1,063	8.6%	89.2%	9	26.5%	35.9%	\$666	12.0%	29.5%	5	18.5%	39.8%	\$319	5.7%	29.7%
		Over \$1 Million	37	50.7%	\$9,515	76.9%	9.4%	16	47.1%				16	59.3%					
		Total Rev. available	57	78.1%	\$10,578	85.5%	98.6%	25	73.6%				21	77.8%					
		Rev. Not Known	16	21.9%	\$1,796	14.5%	1.5%	9	26.5%				6	22.2%					
		Total	73	100.0%	\$12,374	100.0%	100.0%	34	100.0%				27	100.0%					
	Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	41	56.2%	\$1,210	9.8%		20	58.8%	89.2%	\$690	12.4%	24.6%	11	40.7%	90.9%	\$398	7.1%	28.3%
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	18	24.7%	\$3,353	27.1%		8	23.5%	5.6%	\$1,585	28.5%	17.9%	9	33.3%	4.0%	\$1,618	28.7%	14.5%
		\$250,001 - \$1 Million	14	19.2%	\$7,811	63.1%		6	17.6%	5.2%	\$3,296	59.2%	57.5%	7	25.9%	5.1%	\$3,625	64.3%	57.2%
		Total	73	100.0%	\$12,374	100.0%		34	100.0%	100.0%	\$5,571	100.0%	100.0%	27	100.0%	100.0%	\$5,641	100.0%	100.0%
	Loan Size & Rev \$1 Mill or Less	\$100,000 or Less	18	90.0%	\$404	38.0%													
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	1	5.0%	\$188	17.7%													
		\$250,001 - \$1 Million	1	5.0%	\$471	44.3%													
		Total	20	100.0%	\$1,063	100.0%													
Small Farm	Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	6	42.9%	\$550	48.5%	99.2%	3	42.9%	75.7%	\$385	61.6%	72.6%	1	20.0%	74.0%	\$75	17.9%	80.7%
		Over \$1 Million	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.8%	0	0.0%				0	0.0%					
		Total Rev. available	6	42.9%	\$550	48.5%	100.0%	3	42.9%				1	20.0%					
		Not Known	8	57.1%	\$585	51.5%	0.0%	4	57.1%				4	80.0%					
		Total	14	100.0%	\$1,135	100.0%	100.0%	7	100.0%				5	100.0%					
	Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	11	78.6%	\$465	41.0%		5	71.4%	75.1%	\$175	28.0%	32.4%	4	80.0%	82.7%	\$200	47.6%	42.7%
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	2	14.3%	\$350	30.8%		1	14.3%	17.9%	\$130	20.8%	35.5%	1	20.0%	12.7%	\$220	52.4%	32.8%
		\$250,001 - \$500,000	1	7.1%	\$320	28.2%		1	14.3%	6.9%	\$320	51.2%	32.1%	0	0.0%	4.6%	\$0	0.0%	24.6%
		Total	14	100.0%	\$1,135	100.0%		7	100.0%	100.0%	\$625	100.0%	100.0%	5	100.0%	100.0%	\$420	100.0%	100.0%
	Loan Size & Rev \$1 Mill or Less	\$100,000 or Less	5	83.3%	\$230	41.8%													
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%													
		\$250,001 - \$500,000	1	16.7%	\$320	58.2%													
		Total	6	100.0%	\$550	100.0%													

Originations & Purchases

Aggregate data is unavailable for loans to businesses with revenue over \$1 million or revenue unknown, and for loan size by revenue.
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2015 D&B Information

Geographic Distribution of HMDA Loans

Assessment Area: IN Indianapolis

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014					2015						
		Bank		Owner Occupied Units	Count		Dollar		Count		Dollar		Count		Dollar			
		Count	Dollar		Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg				
#	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	%	%		
HOME PURCHASE	Low	106	4.1%	\$9,496	2.2%	4.8%	10	1.3%	1.5%	\$645	0.5%	0.8%	69	5.4%	1.8%	\$6,160	3.1%	1.0%
	Moderate	519	20.3%	\$54,298	12.8%	18.3%	64	8.5%	12.5%	\$6,111	4.4%	7.4%	297	23.4%	13.4%	\$31,312	15.9%	8.2%
	Middle	1,030	40.3%	\$142,890	33.6%	43.7%	348	46.2%	42.7%	\$51,203	36.5%	35.6%	498	39.2%	42.4%	\$64,357	32.7%	36.2%
	Upper	901	35.3%	\$219,057	51.5%	33.3%	331	44.0%	43.3%	\$82,311	58.7%	56.2%	407	32.0%	42.4%	\$94,896	48.2%	54.6%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>2,556</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$425,741</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>753</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$140,270</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>1,271</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$196,725</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
REFINANCE	Low	76	3.4%	\$5,059	1.6%	4.8%	9	1.4%	2.3%	\$644	0.7%	2.6%	39	3.6%	1.6%	\$2,313	1.5%	0.8%
	Moderate	379	17.1%	\$32,355	10.2%	18.3%	84	13.4%	13.4%	\$6,871	7.4%	17.9%	185	17.1%	11.2%	\$15,497	10.1%	6.6%
	Middle	925	41.7%	\$104,848	33.2%	43.7%	307	49.1%	44.3%	\$33,892	36.5%	41.2%	429	39.5%	41.1%	\$48,998	31.9%	33.9%
	Upper	839	37.8%	\$173,943	55.0%	33.3%	225	36.0%	40.0%	\$51,324	55.3%	38.4%	432	39.8%	46.1%	\$86,834	56.5%	58.7%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>2,219</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$316,205</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>625</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$92,731</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>1,085</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$153,642</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	10	6.7%	\$423	4.1%	4.8%	7	12.5%	2.4%	\$317	10.2%	1.0%	3	4.5%	2.4%	\$106	2.2%	1.4%
	Moderate	36	24.2%	\$1,661	16.0%	18.3%	8	14.3%	13.4%	\$273	8.8%	6.7%	19	28.8%	13.4%	\$877	18.1%	6.1%
	Middle	60	40.3%	\$3,637	35.0%	43.7%	27	48.2%	46.9%	\$1,554	50.2%	37.2%	24	36.4%	44.4%	\$1,465	30.2%	35.0%
	Upper	43	28.9%	\$4,672	45.0%	33.3%	14	25.0%	37.2%	\$953	30.8%	55.1%	20	30.3%	39.9%	\$2,397	49.5%	57.4%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>149</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$10,393</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>56</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$3,097</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>66</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$4,845</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
MULTI FAMILY	Multi-Family Units					Low	0	0.0%	10.2%	\$0	0.0%	5.4%	0	0.0%	12.3%	\$0	0.0%	8.7%
	Moderate	1	100.0%	\$72	100.0%	41.1%	0	0.0%	37.8%	\$0	0.0%	35.4%	1	100.0%	36.1%	\$72	100.0%	32.8%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	30.7%	0	0.0%	38.6%	\$0	0.0%	29.2%	0	0.0%	37.7%	\$0	0.0%	35.7%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	16.0%	0	0.0%	13.4%	\$0	0.0%	30.0%	0	0.0%	13.9%	\$0	0.0%	22.9%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$72</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$72</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HMDA TOTALS	Low	192	3.9%	\$14,978	2.0%	4.8%	26	1.8%	1.9%	\$1,606	0.7%	1.8%	111	4.6%	1.8%	\$8,579	2.4%	1.3%
	Moderate	935	19.0%	\$88,386	11.7%	18.3%	156	10.9%	12.9%	\$13,255	5.6%	13.2%	502	20.7%	12.6%	\$47,758	13.4%	9.0%
	Middle	2,015	40.9%	\$251,375	33.4%	43.7%	682	47.6%	43.4%	\$86,649	36.7%	37.3%	951	39.2%	42.0%	\$114,820	32.3%	35.3%
	Upper	1,783	36.2%	\$397,672	52.9%	33.3%	570	39.7%	41.9%	\$134,588	57.0%	47.7%	859	35.5%	43.7%	\$184,127	51.8%	54.4%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>4,925</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$752,411</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>1,434</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$236,098</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>2,423</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$355,284</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2010 ACS Data

Borrower Distribution of HMDA Loans

Assessment Area: IN Indianapolis

PRODUCT TYPE	Borrower Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014						2015					
		Bank				Families by Family Income	Count			Dollar			Count			Dollar		
		Count	Dollar				Bank	Agg	%	Bank	Agg	%	Bank	Agg	%	Bank	Agg	
#	%	\$(000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$(000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$(000s)	%	%		
HOME PURCHASE	Low	565	22.1%	\$50,799	11.9%	21.0%	73	9.7%	9.3%	\$6,412	4.6%	4.9%	386	30.4%	10.5%	\$34,849	17.7%	5.7%
	Moderate	538	21.0%	\$61,304	14.4%	17.5%	155	20.6%	21.5%	\$18,334	13.1%	15.3%	247	19.4%	21.4%	\$28,332	14.4%	15.5%
	Middle	451	17.6%	\$66,857	15.7%	20.9%	152	20.2%	19.5%	\$22,755	16.2%	18.2%	202	15.9%	19.6%	\$30,565	15.5%	18.1%
	Upper	802	31.4%	\$212,945	50.0%	40.6%	313	41.6%	32.0%	\$82,680	58.9%	46.6%	313	24.6%	31.6%	\$82,270	41.8%	45.7%
	Unknown	200	7.8%	\$33,836	7.9%	0.0%	60	8.0%	17.7%	\$10,089	7.2%	15.0%	123	9.7%	16.9%	\$20,709	10.5%	15.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>2,556</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$425,741</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>753</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$140,270</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>1,271</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$196,725</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
REFINANCE	Low	397	17.9%	\$31,215	9.9%	21.0%	90	14.4%	8.8%	\$6,407	6.9%	3.2%	189	17.4%	6.8%	\$14,962	9.7%	3.4%
	Moderate	462	20.8%	\$42,480	13.4%	17.5%	130	20.8%	17.2%	\$11,688	12.6%	7.9%	233	21.5%	14.7%	\$21,917	14.3%	9.4%
	Middle	466	21.0%	\$55,665	17.6%	20.9%	139	22.2%	19.5%	\$16,966	18.3%	11.1%	222	20.5%	17.7%	\$26,439	17.2%	14.7%
	Upper	758	34.2%	\$166,718	52.7%	40.6%	224	35.8%	32.8%	\$51,305	55.3%	30.3%	354	32.6%	35.4%	\$77,398	50.4%	49.0%
	Unknown	136	6.1%	\$20,127	6.4%	0.0%	42	6.7%	21.7%	\$6,365	6.9%	47.5%	87	8.0%	25.3%	\$12,926	8.4%	23.4%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>2,219</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$316,205</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>625</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$92,731</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>1,085</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$153,642</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	33	22.1%	\$1,576	15.2%	21.0%	6	10.7%	8.7%	\$239	7.7%	3.5%	22	33.3%	9.6%	\$1,101	22.7%	4.2%
	Moderate	29	19.5%	\$1,574	15.1%	17.5%	14	25.0%	19.5%	\$576	18.6%	12.2%	11	16.7%	18.5%	\$690	14.2%	10.0%
	Middle	37	24.8%	\$2,297	22.1%	20.9%	14	25.0%	23.9%	\$928	30.0%	18.9%	13	19.7%	23.7%	\$711	14.7%	19.0%
	Upper	49	32.9%	\$4,885	47.0%	40.6%	22	39.3%	43.3%	\$1,354	43.7%	62.5%	19	28.8%	45.5%	\$2,282	47.1%	64.0%
	Unknown	1	0.7%	\$61	0.6%	0.0%	0	0.0%	4.5%	\$0	0.0%	2.9%	1	1.5%	2.7%	\$61	1.3%	2.9%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>149</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$10,393</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>56</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$3,097</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>66</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$4,845</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
MULTI FAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	21.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	17.5%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	20.9%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	40.6%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Unknown	1	100.0%	\$72	100.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	1	100.0%	100.0%	\$72	100.0%	100.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$72</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$72</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HMDA TOTALS	Low	995	20.2%	\$83,590	11.1%	21.0%	169	11.8%	9.1%	\$13,058	5.5%	3.9%	597	24.6%	9.1%	\$50,912	14.3%	4.5%
	Moderate	1,029	20.9%	\$105,358	14.0%	17.5%	299	20.9%	19.9%	\$30,598	13.0%	11.4%	491	20.3%	18.7%	\$50,939	14.3%	12.3%
	Middle	954	19.4%	\$124,819	16.6%	20.9%	305	21.3%	19.7%	\$40,649	17.2%	14.4%	437	18.0%	19.0%	\$57,715	16.2%	15.9%
	Upper	1,609	32.7%	\$384,548	51.1%	40.6%	559	39.0%	32.7%	\$135,339	57.3%	37.7%	686	28.3%	33.6%	\$161,950	45.6%	44.7%
	Unknown	338	6.9%	\$54,096	7.2%	0.0%	102	7.1%	18.6%	\$16,454	7.0%	32.7%	212	8.7%	19.7%	\$33,768	9.5%	22.5%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>4,925</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$752,411</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>1,434</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$236,098</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>2,423</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$355,284</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>

Originations & Purchases

2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2010 ACS Data

Geographic Distribution of Small Business & Small Farm Loans
Assessment Area: IN Indianapolis

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014						2015					
		Count		Bank Dollar		Small Businesses %	Count		Dollar				Count		Dollar			
		#	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %		#	%	Agg %	\$ 000s	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	Agg %	\$ 000s	\$ %	Agg %
SMALL BUSINESSES	Low	57	4.2%	\$9,026	4.8%	4.7%	17	3.0%	4.5%	\$1,866	2.4%	5.6%	28	5.7%	5.1%	\$5,768	8.0%	6.2%
	Moderate	224	16.5%	\$37,795	20.1%	18.7%	100	17.5%	16.6%	\$17,144	22.1%	19.4%	74	15.1%	16.9%	\$12,863	17.7%	18.5%
	Middle	588	43.3%	\$80,382	42.6%	41.2%	248	43.4%	39.9%	\$33,631	43.3%	41.7%	211	43.1%	39.3%	\$31,133	42.9%	39.4%
	Upper	489	36.0%	\$61,258	32.5%	35.3%	205	35.9%	37.5%	\$25,088	32.3%	32.5%	177	36.1%	37.6%	\$22,745	31.4%	35.5%
	Unknown	1	0.1%	\$20	0.0%	0.0%	1	0.2%	0.1%	\$20	0.0%	0.4%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	1.4%	\$0	0.0%	0.5%	0	0.0%	1.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%
	Total	1,359	100.0%	\$188,481	100.0%	100.0%	571	100.0%	100.0%	\$77,749	100.0%	100.0%	490	100.0%	100.0%	\$72,509	100.0%	100.0%
SMALL FARM					Small Farms													
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.9%	0	0.0%	0.6%	\$0	0.0%	0.5%	0	0.0%	0.8%	\$0	0.0%	0.6%
	Moderate	2	11.1%	\$200	10.8%	4.8%	1	16.7%	3.2%	\$100	20.4%	2.8%	1	11.1%	2.8%	\$100	13.5%	3.3%
	Middle	11	61.1%	\$1,086	58.6%	74.4%	4	66.7%	79.1%	\$322	65.7%	77.2%	4	44.4%	78.3%	\$139	18.8%	77.0%
	Upper	5	27.8%	\$568	30.6%	19.9%	1	16.7%	16.3%	\$68	13.9%	19.3%	4	44.4%	17.4%	\$500	67.7%	19.1%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	0.8%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%	0	0.0%	0.8%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	18	100.0%	\$1,854	100.0%	100.0%	6	100.0%	100.0%	\$490	100.0%	100.0%	9	100.0%	100.0%	\$739	100.0%	100.0%	

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2015 D&B Information

Small Business & Small Farm Lending By Revenue & Loan Size

Assessment Area: IN Indianapolis

Product Type	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison 1/1/2014-6/30/2016						Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison													
	Bank				Total Businesses	2014			2015											
	Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar		Count		Dollar								
	#	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg					
						#	%	%	\$ 000s	%	%	#	%	%	\$ 000s	%	%			
Small Business	Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	704	51.8%	\$33,811	17.9%	91.3%	284	49.7%	40.3%	\$14,875	19.1%	33.7%	245	50.0%	41.9%	\$11,842	16.3%	28.6%	
		Over \$1 Million	398	29.3%	\$125,870	66.8%	8.2%	149	26.1%				162	33.1%						
		Total Rev. available	1,102	81.1%	\$159,681	84.7%	99.5%	433	75.8%				407	83.1%						
		Rev. Not Known	257	18.9%	\$28,800	15.3%	0.6%	138	24.2%				83	16.9%						
		Total	1,359	100.0%	\$188,481	100.0%	100.0%	571	100.0%				490	100.0%						
	Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	964	70.9%	\$34,583	18.3%		403	70.6%	89.1%	\$14,069	18.1%	26.7%	344	70.2%	89.2%	\$12,598	17.4%	26.7%	
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	180	13.2%	\$32,889	17.4%		78	13.7%	5.2%	\$14,549	18.7%	16.7%	61	12.4%	5.0%	\$10,790	14.9%	15.9%	
		\$250,001 - \$1 Million	215	15.8%	\$121,009	64.2%		90	15.8%	5.7%	\$49,131	63.2%	56.6%	85	17.3%	5.8%	\$49,121	67.7%	57.4%	
		Total	1,359	100.0%	\$188,481	100.0%		571	100.0%	100.0%	\$77,749	100.0%	100.0%	490	100.0%	100.0%	\$72,509	100.0%	100.0%	
	Loan Size & Rev \$1 Mill or Less	\$100,000 or Less	639	90.8%	\$15,395	45.5%														
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	41	5.8%	\$7,009	20.7%														
		\$250,001 - \$1 Million	24	3.4%	\$11,407	33.7%														
		Total	704	100.0%	\$33,811	100.0%														
	Small Farm	Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	8	44.4%	\$350	18.9%	98.9%	2	33.3%	53.4%	\$143	29.2%	56.4%	4	44.4%	50.6%	\$82	11.1%	60.4%
			Over \$1 Million	1	5.6%	\$10	0.5%	1.1%	1	16.7%				0	0.0%					
			Total Rev. available	9	50.0%	\$360	19.4%	100.0%	3	50.0%				4	44.4%					
Not Known			9	50.0%	\$1,494	80.6%	0.0%	3	50.0%				5	55.6%						
Total			18	100.0%	\$1,854	100.0%	100.0%	6	100.0%				9	100.0%						
Loan Size		\$100,000 or Less	15	83.3%	\$817	44.1%		5	83.3%	69.9%	\$303	61.8%	21.7%	8	88.9%	66.6%	\$389	52.6%	18.6%	
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	1	5.6%	\$187	10.1%		1	16.7%	17.7%	\$187	38.2%	32.3%	0	0.0%	18.5%	\$0	0.0%	29.3%	
		\$250,001 - \$500,000	2	11.1%	\$850	45.8%		0	0.0%	12.4%	\$0	0.0%	46.0%	1	11.1%	14.9%	\$350	47.4%	52.0%	
		Total	18	100.0%	\$1,854	100.0%		6	100.0%	100.0%	\$490	100.0%	100.0%	9	100.0%	100.0%	\$739	100.0%	100.0%	
Loan Size & Rev \$1 Mill or Less		\$100,000 or Less	8	100.0%	\$350	100.0%														
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%														
		\$250,001 - \$500,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%														
	Total	8	100.0%	\$350	100.0%															

Originations & Purchases

Aggregate data is unavailable for loans to businesses with revenue over \$1 million or revenue unknown, and for loan size by revenue.
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2015 D&B Information

Geographic Distribution of HMDA Loans

Assessment Area: IN Southern IN

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014					2015						
		Bank		Owner Occupied Units	Count		Dollar		Count		Dollar		Count		Dollar			
		#	%		\$ (000s)	%	%	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	
HOME PURCHASE	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	53	10.3%	\$4,611	7.8%	11.5%	29	11.9%	10.3%	\$2,131	7.7%	7.2%	17	8.9%	10.8%	\$1,689	7.6%	7.9%
	Middle	284	55.4%	\$30,116	51.1%	66.5%	132	54.3%	65.8%	\$13,969	50.6%	63.6%	113	59.2%	66.0%	\$12,206	55.2%	64.7%
	Upper	176	34.3%	\$24,202	41.1%	22.0%	82	33.7%	23.6%	\$11,499	41.7%	28.7%	61	31.9%	23.1%	\$8,227	37.2%	27.3%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.3%	\$0	0.0%	0.5%	0	0.0%	0.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>513</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$58,929</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>243</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$27,599</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>191</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$22,122</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
REFINANCE	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	61	11.9%	\$4,120	8.2%	11.5%	27	14.4%	11.9%	\$1,577	9.5%	8.4%	24	9.9%	10.0%	\$1,745	6.9%	6.7%
	Middle	328	63.9%	\$30,885	61.2%	66.5%	117	62.6%	68.7%	\$10,195	61.7%	68.1%	158	65.3%	67.3%	\$15,546	61.2%	66.6%
	Upper	124	24.2%	\$15,430	30.6%	22.0%	43	23.0%	19.0%	\$4,755	28.8%	22.6%	60	24.8%	22.6%	\$8,121	32.0%	26.6%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.4%	\$0	0.0%	0.9%	0	0.0%	0.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>513</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$50,435</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>187</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$16,527</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>242</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$25,412</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	18	18.0%	\$593	13.8%	11.5%	6	16.2%	10.1%	\$243	14.5%	8.0%	10	21.3%	12.9%	\$312	16.3%	8.0%
	Middle	66	66.0%	\$2,518	58.7%	66.5%	26	70.3%	73.3%	\$1,100	65.6%	70.6%	30	63.8%	70.3%	\$1,014	52.8%	66.8%
	Upper	16	16.0%	\$1,178	27.5%	22.0%	5	13.5%	16.5%	\$335	20.0%	20.0%	7	14.9%	16.7%	\$593	30.9%	25.2%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.2%	\$0	0.0%	1.4%	0	0.0%	0.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>100</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$4,289</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>37</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$1,678</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>47</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$1,919</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
MULTI FAMILY	Multi-Family Units					Low	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	22.9%	0	0.0%	45.5%	\$0	0.0%	19.9%	0	0.0%	26.7%	\$0	0.0%	17.9%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	66.1%	0	0.0%	45.5%	\$0	0.0%	80.1%	0	0.0%	66.7%	\$0	0.0%	79.6%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	11.0%	0	0.0%	9.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	6.7%	\$0	0.0%	2.5%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HMDA TOTALS	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	132	11.7%	\$9,324	8.2%	11.5%	62	13.3%	10.9%	\$3,951	8.6%	7.9%	51	10.6%	10.7%	\$3,746	7.6%	7.5%
	Middle	678	60.2%	\$63,519	55.9%	66.5%	275	58.9%	67.6%	\$25,264	55.2%	65.9%	301	62.7%	67.0%	\$28,766	58.2%	65.7%
	Upper	316	28.1%	\$40,810	35.9%	22.0%	130	27.8%	21.1%	\$16,589	36.2%	25.6%	128	26.7%	22.2%	\$16,941	34.3%	26.7%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.3%	\$0	0.0%	0.7%	0	0.0%	0.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1,126</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$113,653</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>467</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$45,804</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>480</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$49,453</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2010 ACS Data

Borrower Distribution of HMDA Loans

Assessment Area: IN Southern IN

PRODUCT TYPE	Borrower Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014						2015					
		Bank				Families by Family Income %	Count			Dollar			Count			Dollar		
		Count	Dollar				Bank	Agg	%	Bank	Agg	%	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg		
#	%	\$(000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$(000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$(000s)	%	%		
HOME PURCHASE	Low	78	15.2%	\$5,733	9.7%	17.6%	40	16.5%	7.7%	\$2,723	9.9%	4.4%	30	15.7%	8.8%	\$2,404	10.9%	5.0%
	Moderate	160	31.2%	\$15,318	26.0%	17.7%	72	29.6%	21.1%	\$6,759	24.5%	16.3%	62	32.5%	23.4%	\$6,231	28.2%	18.2%
	Middle	136	26.5%	\$16,018	27.2%	22.9%	66	27.2%	19.9%	\$7,899	28.6%	18.8%	48	25.1%	21.2%	\$5,543	25.1%	20.6%
	Upper	127	24.8%	\$20,386	34.6%	41.8%	58	23.9%	28.4%	\$9,413	34.1%	39.9%	48	25.1%	26.4%	\$7,581	34.3%	37.1%
	Unknown	12	2.3%	\$1,474	2.5%	0.0%	7	2.9%	22.9%	\$805	2.9%	20.6%	3	1.6%	20.2%	\$363	1.6%	19.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>513</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$58,929</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>243</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$27,599</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>191</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$22,122</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
REFINANCE	Low	68	13.3%	\$4,180	8.3%	17.6%	30	16.0%	9.7%	\$1,689	10.2%	5.2%	31	12.8%	7.3%	\$2,112	8.3%	3.9%
	Moderate	131	25.5%	\$9,910	19.6%	17.7%	48	25.7%	17.0%	\$3,283	19.9%	11.6%	61	25.2%	17.0%	\$4,825	19.0%	11.1%
	Middle	122	23.8%	\$11,301	22.4%	22.9%	51	27.3%	23.3%	\$4,290	26.0%	19.1%	52	21.5%	20.7%	\$5,188	20.4%	17.7%
	Upper	173	33.7%	\$22,602	44.8%	41.8%	52	27.8%	32.6%	\$6,427	38.9%	44.4%	86	35.5%	35.0%	\$11,813	46.5%	45.1%
	Unknown	19	3.7%	\$2,442	4.8%	0.0%	6	3.2%	17.3%	\$838	5.1%	19.7%	12	5.0%	20.0%	\$1,474	5.8%	22.2%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>513</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$50,435</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>187</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$16,527</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>242</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$25,412</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	16	16.0%	\$567	13.2%	17.6%	8	21.6%	12.6%	\$241	14.4%	6.4%	5	10.6%	12.3%	\$225	11.7%	7.0%
	Moderate	31	31.0%	\$1,076	25.1%	17.7%	10	27.0%	21.3%	\$294	17.5%	13.9%	16	34.0%	20.2%	\$614	32.0%	13.9%
	Middle	25	25.0%	\$1,054	24.6%	22.9%	9	24.3%	26.9%	\$540	32.2%	23.8%	13	27.7%	27.1%	\$310	16.2%	19.5%
	Upper	27	27.0%	\$1,587	37.0%	41.8%	9	24.3%	35.5%	\$598	35.6%	49.7%	13	27.7%	37.5%	\$770	40.1%	55.2%
	Unknown	1	1.0%	\$5	0.1%	0.0%	1	2.7%	3.7%	\$5	0.3%	6.3%	0	0.0%	3.0%	\$0	0.0%	4.4%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>100</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$4,289</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>37</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$1,678</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>47</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$1,919</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
MULTI FAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	17.6%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	17.7%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	22.9%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	41.8%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HMDA TOTALS	Low	162	14.4%	\$10,480	9.2%	17.6%	78	16.7%	9.0%	\$4,653	10.2%	4.7%	66	13.8%	8.5%	\$4,741	9.6%	4.6%
	Moderate	322	28.6%	\$26,304	23.1%	17.7%	130	27.8%	19.6%	\$10,336	22.6%	14.2%	139	29.0%	20.5%	\$11,670	23.6%	14.9%
	Middle	283	25.1%	\$28,373	25.0%	22.9%	126	27.0%	21.8%	\$12,729	27.8%	18.8%	113	23.5%	21.5%	\$11,041	22.3%	19.2%
	Upper	327	29.0%	\$44,575	39.2%	41.8%	119	25.5%	30.6%	\$16,438	35.9%	41.2%	147	30.6%	30.8%	\$20,164	40.8%	40.9%
	Unknown	32	2.8%	\$3,921	3.4%	0.0%	14	3.0%	19.0%	\$1,648	3.6%	21.2%	15	3.1%	18.6%	\$1,837	3.7%	20.4%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1,126</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$113,653</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>467</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$45,804</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>480</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$49,453</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>

Originations & Purchases

2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2010 ACS Data

Geographic Distribution of Small Business & Small Farm Loans

Assessment Area: IN Southern IN

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014					2015						
		Count		Bank Dollar		Small Businesses	Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar				
		#	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	%	#	%	Agg %	\$ 000s	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	Agg %	\$ 000s	\$ %	Agg %
SMALL BUSINESSES	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	32	9.4%	\$6,301	19.0%	14.2%	13	8.4%	12.2%	\$2,487	19.4%	11.9%	14	10.8%	11.7%	\$2,693	18.9%	11.9%
	Middle	188	55.5%	\$18,635	56.3%	63.0%	89	57.4%	60.2%	\$6,483	50.7%	58.9%	67	51.5%	60.6%	\$8,429	59.1%	58.8%
	Upper	119	35.1%	\$8,170	24.7%	22.8%	53	34.2%	24.1%	\$3,821	29.9%	28.4%	49	37.7%	25.4%	\$3,139	22.0%	28.7%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	3.4%	\$0	0.0%	0.8%	0	0.0%	2.3%	\$0	0.0%	0.6%
	Total	339	100.0%	\$33,106	100.0%	100.0%	155	100.0%	100.0%	\$12,791	100.0%	100.0%	130	100.0%	100.0%	\$14,261	100.0%	100.0%
SMALL FARM					Small Farms													
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	3.3%	0	0.0%	3.1%	\$0	0.0%	2.9%	0	0.0%	2.0%	\$0	0.0%	2.3%
	Middle	38	82.6%	\$2,699	80.4%	72.3%	17	85.0%	72.3%	\$1,411	82.0%	73.5%	15	75.0%	72.5%	\$913	72.4%	73.1%
	Upper	8	17.4%	\$658	19.6%	24.4%	3	15.0%	24.2%	\$310	18.0%	23.5%	5	25.0%	25.0%	\$348	27.6%	24.5%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	0.4%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.5%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%
Total	46	100.0%	\$3,357	100.0%	100.0%	20	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,721	100.0%	100.0%	20	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,261	100.0%	100.0%	

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2015 D&B Information

Small Business & Small Farm Lending By Revenue & Loan Size

Assessment Area: IN Southern IN

Product Type		Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison 1/1/2014-6/30/2016					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison												
		Bank				Total Businesses	2014			2015									
		Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar					
		#	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$ 000s	%	%	#	%	%	\$ 000s	%	%	
Small Business	Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	207	61.1%	\$6,028	18.2%	91.7%	99	63.9%	42.8%	\$2,522	19.7%	31.2%	69	53.1%	46.9%	\$1,910	13.4%	32.9%
		Over \$1 Million	55	16.2%	\$19,085	57.6%	6.6%	20	12.9%				26	20.0%					
		Total Rev. available	262	77.3%	\$25,113	75.8%	98.3%	119	76.8%				95	73.1%					
		Rev. Not Known	77	22.7%	\$7,993	24.1%	1.7%	36	23.2%				35	26.9%					
		Total	339	100.0%	\$33,106	100.0%	100.0%	155	100.0%				130	100.0%					
	Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	273	80.5%	\$8,301	25.1%		128	82.6%	88.2%	\$3,512	27.5%	28.6%	103	79.2%	88.7%	\$3,521	24.7%	30.7%
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	32	9.4%	\$5,702	17.2%		13	8.4%	6.8%	\$2,298	18.0%	21.4%	12	9.2%	6.7%	\$2,118	14.9%	21.3%
		\$250,001 - \$1 Million	34	10.0%	\$19,103	57.7%		14	9.0%	5.0%	\$6,981	54.6%	49.9%	15	11.5%	4.7%	\$8,622	60.5%	48.0%
		Total	339	100.0%	\$33,106	100.0%		155	100.0%	100.0%	\$12,791	100.0%	100.0%	130	100.0%	100.0%	\$14,261	100.0%	100.0%
	Loan Size & Rev \$1 Mill or Less	\$100,000 or Less	199	96.1%	\$4,267	70.8%													
\$100,001 - \$250,000		6	2.9%	\$1,171	19.4%														
\$250,001 - \$1 Million		2	1.0%	\$590	9.8%														
Total		207	100.0%	\$6,028	100.0%														
Small Farm	Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	19	41.3%	\$926	27.6%	99.2%	3	15.0%	63.7%	\$51	3.0%	64.8%	11	55.0%	62.6%	\$550	43.6%	70.7%
		Over \$1 Million	1	2.2%	\$165	4.9%	0.8%	1	5.0%				0	0.0%					
		Total Rev. available	20	43.5%	\$1,091	32.5%	100.0%	4	20.0%				11	55.0%					
		Not Known	26	56.5%	\$2,266	67.5%	0.0%	16	80.0%				9	45.0%					
		Total	46	100.0%	\$3,357	100.0%	100.0%	20	100.0%				20	100.0%					
	Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	37	80.4%	\$1,726	51.4%		15	75.0%	66.4%	\$766	44.5%	23.9%	17	85.0%	67.7%	\$710	56.3%	23.4%
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	9	19.6%	\$1,631	48.6%		5	25.0%	22.6%	\$955	55.5%	38.0%	3	15.0%	19.8%	\$551	43.7%	33.1%
		\$250,001 - \$500,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	11.0%	\$0	0.0%	38.1%	0	0.0%	12.5%	\$0	0.0%	43.6%
		Total	46	100.0%	\$3,357	100.0%		20	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,721	100.0%	100.0%	20	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,261	100.0%	100.0%
	Loan Size & Rev \$1 Mill or Less	\$100,000 or Less	16	84.2%	\$450	48.6%													
\$100,001 - \$250,000		3	15.8%	\$476	51.4%														
\$250,001 - \$500,000		0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%														
Total		19	100.0%	\$926	100.0%														

Originations & Purchases

Aggregate data is unavailable for loans to businesses with revenue over \$1 million or revenue unknown, and for loan size by revenue.
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2015 D&B Information

Geographic Distribution of HMDA Loans

Assessment Area: KY Lexington

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014					2015						
		Bank		Owner Occupied Units	Count		Dollar		Count		Dollar		Count		Dollar			
		#	%		\$ (000s)	%	%	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	
HOME PURCHASE	Low	13	2.1%	\$1,300	1.2%	4.0%	4	1.7%	2.8%	\$368	0.9%	2.2%	6	2.5%	3.3%	\$647	1.5%	2.7%
	Moderate	88	14.4%	\$9,543	8.4%	21.9%	46	19.2%	16.5%	\$4,735	11.9%	11.1%	28	11.7%	16.5%	\$3,223	7.2%	11.5%
	Middle	235	38.5%	\$36,600	32.4%	40.5%	94	39.2%	41.1%	\$14,432	36.2%	36.7%	94	39.2%	39.9%	\$14,598	32.7%	35.7%
	Upper	275	45.0%	\$65,530	58.0%	33.7%	96	40.0%	39.5%	\$20,324	51.0%	49.9%	112	46.7%	40.3%	\$26,129	58.6%	50.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>611</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$112,973</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>240</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$39,859</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>240</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$44,597</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
REFINANCE	Low	18	3.2%	\$1,777	2.0%	4.0%	9	4.9%	4.1%	\$1,242	4.4%	2.6%	9	3.1%	3.2%	\$535	1.2%	2.3%
	Moderate	103	18.1%	\$10,512	11.7%	21.9%	38	20.8%	20.8%	\$3,498	12.3%	15.6%	54	18.5%	17.8%	\$5,697	13.1%	12.8%
	Middle	198	34.7%	\$26,444	29.4%	40.5%	62	33.9%	38.9%	\$8,365	29.4%	35.1%	103	35.3%	38.9%	\$13,509	31.0%	34.9%
	Upper	251	44.0%	\$51,365	57.0%	33.7%	74	40.4%	36.2%	\$15,342	53.9%	46.6%	126	43.2%	40.1%	\$23,870	54.7%	50.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>570</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$90,098</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>183</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$28,447</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>292</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$43,611</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	1	2.6%	\$55	1.6%	4.0%	1	6.7%	5.2%	\$55	4.1%	6.1%	0	0.0%	4.5%	\$0	0.0%	2.3%
	Moderate	9	23.1%	\$591	16.8%	21.9%	4	26.7%	24.2%	\$264	19.8%	16.7%	4	21.1%	21.4%	\$267	14.6%	12.4%
	Middle	18	46.2%	\$1,243	35.4%	40.5%	6	40.0%	41.8%	\$506	38.0%	36.9%	9	47.4%	38.9%	\$596	32.6%	34.8%
	Upper	11	28.2%	\$1,625	46.2%	33.7%	4	26.7%	28.9%	\$505	38.0%	40.3%	6	31.6%	35.3%	\$965	52.8%	50.5%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>39</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$3,514</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>15</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$1,330</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>19</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$1,828</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
MULTI FAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	15.2%	0	0.0%	17.6%	\$0	0.0%	7.5%	0	0.0%	10.5%	\$0	0.0%	25.5%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	40.3%	0	0.0%	44.0%	\$0	0.0%	45.6%	0	0.0%	48.8%	\$0	0.0%	28.6%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	25.8%	0	0.0%	25.3%	\$0	0.0%	41.6%	0	0.0%	27.9%	\$0	0.0%	24.8%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	18.7%	0	0.0%	13.2%	\$0	0.0%	5.3%	0	0.0%	12.8%	\$0	0.0%	21.2%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HMDA TOTALS	Low	32	2.6%	\$3,132	1.5%	4.0%	14	3.2%	3.5%	\$1,665	2.4%	2.8%	15	2.7%	3.4%	\$1,182	1.3%	4.0%
	Moderate	200	16.4%	\$20,646	10.0%	21.9%	88	20.1%	18.5%	\$8,497	12.2%	14.9%	86	15.6%	17.3%	\$9,187	10.2%	13.0%
	Middle	451	37.0%	\$64,287	31.1%	40.5%	162	37.0%	40.3%	\$23,303	33.5%	36.5%	206	37.4%	39.4%	\$28,703	31.9%	34.7%
	Upper	537	44.0%	\$118,520	57.4%	33.7%	174	39.7%	37.7%	\$36,171	51.9%	45.8%	244	44.3%	39.9%	\$50,964	56.6%	48.3%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1,220</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$206,585</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>438</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$69,636</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>551</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$90,036</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2010 ACS Data

Borrower Distribution of HMDA Loans

Assessment Area: KY Lexington

PRODUCT TYPE	Borrower Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014						2015					
		Bank				Families by Family Income %	Count			Dollar			Count			Dollar		
		Count	Dollar				Bank	Agg	%	Bank	Agg	%	Bank	Agg	%	Bank	Agg	
#	%	\$(000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$(000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$(000s)	%	%		
HOME PURCHASE	Low	83	13.6%	\$8,043	7.1%	23.3%	39	16.3%	8.6%	\$3,672	9.2%	4.9%	25	10.4%	8.4%	\$2,661	6.0%	4.8%
	Moderate	146	23.9%	\$19,240	17.0%	16.3%	62	25.8%	21.1%	\$7,854	19.7%	15.6%	57	23.8%	20.6%	\$7,118	16.0%	15.0%
	Middle	128	20.9%	\$18,762	16.6%	20.1%	53	22.1%	20.4%	\$7,387	18.5%	19.2%	47	19.6%	19.9%	\$6,865	15.4%	18.0%
	Upper	239	39.1%	\$63,771	56.4%	40.3%	79	32.9%	31.3%	\$19,404	48.7%	42.0%	107	44.6%	32.2%	\$27,047	60.6%	43.7%
	Unknown	15	2.5%	\$3,157	2.8%	0.0%	7	2.9%	18.6%	\$1,542	3.9%	18.3%	4	1.7%	19.0%	\$906	2.0%	18.6%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>611</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$112,973</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>240</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$39,859</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>240</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$44,597</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
REFINANCE	Low	78	13.7%	\$6,687	7.4%	23.3%	27	14.8%	8.6%	\$2,104	7.4%	4.7%	38	13.0%	8.3%	\$3,324	7.6%	4.3%
	Moderate	122	21.4%	\$11,827	13.1%	16.3%	36	19.7%	16.0%	\$3,536	12.4%	10.6%	68	23.3%	15.2%	\$6,420	14.7%	9.9%
	Middle	147	25.8%	\$20,011	22.2%	20.1%	45	24.6%	19.9%	\$5,745	20.2%	16.4%	82	28.1%	19.2%	\$11,468	26.3%	15.7%
	Upper	197	34.6%	\$47,001	52.2%	40.3%	66	36.1%	32.9%	\$15,430	54.2%	43.6%	89	30.5%	35.4%	\$19,636	45.0%	46.1%
	Unknown	26	4.6%	\$4,572	5.1%	0.0%	9	4.9%	22.5%	\$1,632	5.7%	24.7%	15	5.1%	22.0%	\$2,763	6.3%	24.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>570</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$90,098</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>183</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$28,447</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>292</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$43,611</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	8	20.5%	\$371	10.6%	23.3%	4	26.7%	10.9%	\$174	13.1%	4.2%	3	15.8%	13.5%	\$137	7.5%	6.0%
	Moderate	7	17.9%	\$519	14.8%	16.3%	4	26.7%	16.6%	\$161	12.1%	8.3%	2	10.5%	18.1%	\$333	18.2%	9.4%
	Middle	10	25.6%	\$712	20.3%	20.1%	4	26.7%	23.5%	\$456	34.3%	18.3%	6	31.6%	21.4%	\$256	14.0%	14.6%
	Upper	14	35.9%	\$1,912	54.4%	40.3%	3	20.0%	37.6%	\$539	40.5%	52.8%	8	42.1%	37.5%	\$1,102	60.3%	53.8%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	11.4%	\$0	0.0%	16.3%	0	0.0%	9.6%	\$0	0.0%	16.2%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>39</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$3,514</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>15</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$1,330</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>19</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$1,828</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
MULTI FAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	23.3%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	16.3%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	20.1%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	40.3%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HMDA TOTALS	Low	169	13.9%	\$15,101	7.3%	23.3%	70	16.0%	8.7%	\$5,950	8.5%	4.5%	66	12.0%	8.5%	\$6,122	6.8%	4.3%
	Moderate	275	22.5%	\$31,586	15.3%	16.3%	102	23.3%	19.0%	\$11,551	16.6%	12.9%	127	23.0%	18.4%	\$13,871	15.4%	12.2%
	Middle	285	23.4%	\$39,485	19.1%	20.1%	102	23.3%	20.3%	\$13,588	19.5%	17.0%	135	24.5%	19.6%	\$18,589	20.6%	16.0%
	Upper	450	36.9%	\$112,684	54.5%	40.3%	148	33.8%	31.9%	\$35,373	50.8%	40.0%	204	37.0%	33.4%	\$47,785	53.1%	42.0%
	Unknown	41	3.4%	\$7,729	3.7%	0.0%	16	3.7%	20.1%	\$3,174	4.6%	25.6%	19	3.4%	20.1%	\$3,669	4.1%	25.5%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1,220</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$206,585</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>438</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$69,636</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>551</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$90,036</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>

Originations & Purchases

2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2010 ACS Data

Geographic Distribution of Small Business & Small Farm Loans

Assessment Area: KY Lexington

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014					2015						
		Count		Dollar		Small Businesses	Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar				
#	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	%	#	%	Agg %	\$ 000s	\$ %	Agg \$ %	#	%	Agg %	\$ 000s	\$ %	Agg \$ %		
SMALL BUSINESSES	Low	31	7.0%	\$4,488	8.1%	5.8%	13	6.6%	7.5%	\$1,693	7.3%	8.2%	15	9.1%	7.4%	\$2,422	10.7%	7.8%
	Moderate	106	24.0%	\$18,269	32.9%	23.7%	52	26.3%	20.3%	\$7,623	32.8%	20.4%	30	18.3%	20.3%	\$5,601	24.8%	21.8%
	Middle	149	33.8%	\$18,679	33.6%	38.1%	70	35.4%	37.9%	\$7,842	33.8%	40.6%	56	34.1%	37.9%	\$7,437	33.0%	39.4%
	Upper	155	35.1%	\$14,091	25.4%	32.3%	63	31.8%	33.2%	\$6,061	26.1%	30.6%	63	38.4%	33.3%	\$7,098	31.5%	30.8%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	1.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%	0	0.0%	1.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.3%
	Total	441	100.0%	\$55,527	100.0%	100.0%	198	100.0%	100.0%	\$23,219	100.0%	100.0%	164	100.0%	100.0%	\$22,558	100.0%	100.0%
SMALL FARM					Small Farms													
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	1.0%	0	0.0%	0.7%	\$0	0.0%	0.5%	0	0.0%	1.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.4%
	Moderate	5	14.7%	\$1,375	26.7%	16.0%	3	20.0%	14.0%	\$855	35.6%	12.7%	1	7.7%	14.7%	\$500	19.3%	10.5%
	Middle	19	55.9%	\$2,269	44.0%	47.7%	7	46.7%	51.4%	\$902	37.5%	45.9%	7	53.8%	46.2%	\$1,230	47.4%	46.1%
	Upper	10	29.4%	\$1,511	29.3%	35.3%	5	33.3%	33.8%	\$646	26.9%	40.9%	5	38.5%	36.2%	\$865	33.3%	42.6%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	1.8%	\$0	0.0%	0.4%
Total	34	100.0%	\$5,155	100.0%	100.0%	15	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,403	100.0%	100.0%	13	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,595	100.0%	100.0%	

Originations & Purchases

2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2015 D&B Information

Small Business & Small Farm Lending By Revenue & Loan Size

Assessment Area: KY Lexington

Product Type		Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison													
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014					2015								
		Bank		Total		Businesses	Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar						
		Count	Dollar	Count	Agg		Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg						
#	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	%	#	%	%	\$ 000s	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$ 000s	\$ %	\$ %				
Small Business	Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	257	58.3%	\$11,578	20.9%	92.6%	112	56.6%	47.2%	\$3,575	15.4%	42.0%	96	58.5%	49.9%	\$5,346	23.7%	42.6%	
		Over \$1 Million	111	25.2%	\$35,367	63.7%	7.2%	49	24.7%				41	25.0%						
		Total Rev. available	368	83.5%	\$46,945	84.6%	99.8%	161	81.3%				137	83.5%						
		Rev. Not Known	73	16.6%	\$8,582	15.5%	0.3%	37	18.7%				27	16.5%						
		Total	441	100.0%	\$55,527	100.0%	100.0%	198	100.0%				164	100.0%						
	Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	317	71.9%	\$8,834	15.9%		147	74.2%	91.0%	\$3,737	16.1%	30.3%	113	68.9%	91.6%	\$3,495	15.5%	33.1%	
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	56	12.7%	\$10,531	19.0%		24	12.1%	4.7%	\$4,544	19.6%	18.3%	23	14.0%	4.4%	\$4,257	18.9%	18.1%	
		\$250,001 - \$1 Million	68	15.4%	\$36,162	65.1%		27	13.6%	4.4%	\$14,938	64.3%	51.4%	28	17.1%	4.0%	\$14,806	65.6%	48.8%	
		Total	441	100.0%	\$55,527	100.0%		198	100.0%	100.0%	\$23,219	100.0%	100.0%	164	100.0%	100.0%	\$22,558	100.0%	100.0%	
	Loan Size & Rev \$1 Mill or Less	\$100,000 or Less	230	89.5%	\$4,409	38.1%														
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	20	7.8%	\$3,496	30.2%														
		\$250,001 - \$1 Million	7	2.7%	\$3,673	31.7%														
		Total	257	100.0%	\$11,578	100.0%														
	Small Farm	Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	21	61.8%	\$2,606	50.6%	96.2%	9	60.0%	68.7%	\$1,178	49.0%	79.0%	8	61.5%	64.9%	\$1,342	51.7%	64.5%
			Over \$1 Million	11	32.4%	\$2,462	47.8%	3.8%	5	33.3%				4	30.8%					
Total Rev. available			32	94.2%	\$5,068	98.4%	100.0%	14	93.3%				12	92.3%						
Not Known			2	5.9%	\$87	1.7%	0.0%	1	6.7%				1	7.7%						
Total			34	100.0%	\$5,155	100.0%	100.0%	15	100.0%				13	100.0%						
Loan Size		\$100,000 or Less	20	58.8%	\$992	19.2%		8	53.3%	78.8%	\$561	23.3%	30.6%	6	46.2%	81.0%	\$274	10.6%	31.6%	
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	7	20.6%	\$1,240	24.1%		4	26.7%	14.4%	\$642	26.7%	35.5%	3	23.1%	11.5%	\$598	23.0%	29.6%	
		\$250,001 - \$500,000	7	20.6%	\$2,923	56.7%		3	20.0%	6.8%	\$1,200	49.9%	33.9%	4	30.8%	7.5%	\$1,723	66.4%	38.9%	
		Total	34	100.0%	\$5,155	100.0%		15	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,403	100.0%	100.0%	13	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,595	100.0%	100.0%	
Loan Size & Rev \$1 Mill or Less		\$100,000 or Less	14	66.7%	\$753	28.9%														
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	4	19.0%	\$653	25.1%														
		\$250,001 - \$500,000	3	14.3%	\$1,200	46.0%														
		Total	21	100.0%	\$2,606	100.0%														

Originations & Purchases

Aggregate data is unavailable for loans to businesses with revenue over \$1 million or revenue unknown, and for loan size by revenue.

2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2015 D&B Information

Geographic Distribution of HMDA Loans

Assessment Area: MI Detroit

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014					2015						
		Bank		Owner Occupied Units	Count		Dollar		Count		Dollar		Count		Dollar			
		Count	Dollar		Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg				
#	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	%	%		
HOME PURCHASE	Low	154	3.2%	\$14,980	1.9%	4.5%	20	1.4%	1.2%	\$1,343	0.5%	0.7%	80	3.4%	1.2%	\$8,763	2.3%	0.8%
	Moderate	930	19.4%	\$100,515	12.8%	18.9%	131	8.9%	10.8%	\$12,292	4.6%	6.7%	524	22.0%	12.1%	\$57,789	15.4%	7.5%
	Middle	2,043	42.6%	\$279,728	35.5%	42.0%	655	44.3%	44.5%	\$91,992	34.3%	37.8%	1,049	44.0%	44.4%	\$143,176	38.1%	38.5%
	Upper	1,671	34.8%	\$391,764	49.8%	34.6%	673	45.5%	43.4%	\$162,812	60.7%	54.9%	732	30.7%	42.2%	\$165,593	44.1%	53.2%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>4,798</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$786,987</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>1,479</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$268,439</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>2,385</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$375,321</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
REFINANCE	Low	73	1.7%	\$6,887	1.0%	4.5%	14	1.2%	1.2%	\$1,003	0.5%	0.8%	32	1.6%	0.9%	\$3,381	1.0%	0.5%
	Moderate	750	17.9%	\$79,587	11.7%	18.9%	125	10.7%	10.1%	\$11,864	6.3%	6.3%	382	18.8%	8.6%	\$40,709	11.9%	5.3%
	Middle	1,701	40.7%	\$223,464	32.9%	42.0%	492	42.2%	42.5%	\$63,888	33.9%	36.2%	830	40.9%	42.0%	\$110,053	32.2%	35.3%
	Upper	1,656	39.6%	\$368,712	54.3%	34.6%	536	45.9%	46.1%	\$111,623	59.3%	56.7%	783	38.6%	48.5%	\$187,472	54.9%	58.8%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>4,180</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$678,650</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>1,167</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$188,378</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>2,027</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$341,615</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	8	1.8%	\$482	1.3%	4.5%	2	1.6%	2.4%	\$63	0.7%	0.7%	6	2.4%	2.5%	\$419	1.8%	0.7%
	Moderate	103	22.6%	\$6,839	17.8%	18.9%	18	14.1%	14.3%	\$584	6.3%	5.9%	67	26.6%	12.6%	\$5,385	22.9%	5.3%
	Middle	206	45.2%	\$15,275	39.8%	42.0%	61	47.7%	42.8%	\$3,901	41.9%	35.1%	105	41.7%	42.8%	\$8,778	37.4%	32.2%
	Upper	139	30.5%	\$15,789	41.1%	34.6%	47	36.7%	40.4%	\$4,754	51.1%	58.2%	74	29.4%	42.1%	\$8,894	37.9%	61.8%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>456</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$38,385</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>128</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$9,302</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>252</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$23,476</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
MULTI FAMILY	Multi-Family Units					Low	0	0.0%	12.0%	\$0	0.0%	15.3%	0	0.0%	11.8%	\$0	0.0%	12.3%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	27.7%	0	0.0%	24.4%	\$0	0.0%	17.8%	0	0.0%	17.1%	\$0	0.0%	10.6%
	Middle	1	100.0%	\$2,000	100.0%	37.7%	0	0.0%	43.3%	\$0	0.0%	44.9%	1	100.0%	47.1%	\$2,000	100.0%	54.9%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	17.8%	0	0.0%	20.3%	\$0	0.0%	22.0%	0	0.0%	23.6%	\$0	0.0%	21.7%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.4%	\$0	0.0%	0.5%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$2,000</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$2,000</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HMDA TOTALS	Low	235	2.5%	\$22,349	1.5%	4.5%	36	1.3%	1.3%	\$2,409	0.5%	1.3%	118	2.5%	1.2%	\$12,563	1.7%	1.0%
	Moderate	1,783	18.9%	\$186,941	12.4%	18.9%	274	9.9%	10.7%	\$24,740	5.3%	7.0%	973	20.9%	10.6%	\$103,883	14.0%	6.5%
	Middle	3,951	41.9%	\$520,467	34.6%	42.0%	1,208	43.5%	43.6%	\$159,781	34.3%	37.4%	1,985	42.6%	43.2%	\$264,007	35.6%	37.3%
	Upper	3,466	36.7%	\$776,265	51.5%	34.6%	1,256	45.3%	44.4%	\$279,189	59.9%	54.2%	1,589	34.1%	45.0%	\$361,959	48.8%	55.2%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>9,435</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$1,506,022</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>2,774</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$466,119</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>4,665</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$742,412</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2010 ACS Data

Borrower Distribution of HMDA Loans

Assessment Area: MI Detroit

PRODUCT TYPE	Borrower Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014						2015					
		Bank				Families by Family Income %	Count			Dollar			Count			Dollar		
		Count	Dollar				Bank	Agg	%	Bank	Agg	%	Bank	Agg	%	Bank	Agg	
#	%	\$(000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$(000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$(000s)	%	%		
HOME PURCHASE	Low	1,058	22.1%	\$97,768	12.4%	21.9%	144	9.7%	8.8%	\$10,687	4.0%	4.2%	644	27.0%	9.6%	\$62,392	16.6%	4.9%
	Moderate	1,197	24.9%	\$143,336	18.2%	17.2%	334	22.6%	20.5%	\$38,400	14.3%	14.0%	645	27.0%	20.7%	\$80,916	21.6%	14.6%
	Middle	918	19.1%	\$136,710	17.4%	20.1%	339	22.9%	21.9%	\$51,566	19.2%	19.9%	383	16.1%	22.5%	\$57,367	15.3%	20.6%
	Upper	1,364	28.4%	\$356,417	45.3%	40.9%	561	37.9%	34.4%	\$145,475	54.2%	48.7%	566	23.7%	32.6%	\$146,023	38.9%	46.4%
	Unknown	261	5.4%	\$52,756	6.7%	0.0%	101	6.8%	14.4%	\$22,311	8.3%	13.2%	147	6.2%	14.6%	\$28,623	7.6%	13.5%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>4,798</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$786,987</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>1,479</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$268,439</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>2,385</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$375,321</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
REFINANCE	Low	698	16.7%	\$62,862	9.3%	21.9%	108	9.3%	7.6%	\$8,619	4.6%	4.1%	357	17.6%	6.1%	\$32,164	9.4%	3.1%
	Moderate	757	18.1%	\$78,318	11.5%	17.2%	205	17.6%	15.0%	\$20,257	10.8%	10.1%	376	18.5%	14.0%	\$39,548	11.6%	9.3%
	Middle	838	20.0%	\$108,315	16.0%	20.1%	249	21.3%	20.3%	\$29,877	15.9%	16.9%	374	18.5%	20.3%	\$49,535	14.5%	17.0%
	Upper	1,506	36.0%	\$352,482	51.9%	40.9%	497	42.6%	41.9%	\$108,267	57.5%	53.3%	687	33.9%	40.5%	\$170,921	50.0%	51.8%
	Unknown	381	9.1%	\$76,673	11.3%	0.0%	108	9.3%	15.2%	\$21,358	11.3%	15.5%	233	11.5%	19.0%	\$49,447	14.5%	18.8%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>4,180</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$678,650</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>1,167</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$188,378</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>2,027</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$341,615</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	92	20.2%	\$5,954	15.5%	21.9%	25	19.5%	10.2%	\$792	8.5%	4.0%	61	24.2%	10.5%	\$4,873	20.8%	4.5%
	Moderate	120	26.3%	\$7,721	20.1%	17.2%	31	24.2%	19.4%	\$1,645	17.7%	12.7%	61	24.2%	20.0%	\$4,771	20.3%	11.9%
	Middle	106	23.2%	\$8,400	21.9%	20.1%	34	26.6%	23.7%	\$2,258	24.3%	21.3%	55	21.8%	25.4%	\$4,983	21.2%	20.6%
	Upper	134	29.4%	\$15,793	41.1%	40.9%	38	29.7%	41.0%	\$4,607	49.5%	56.6%	72	28.6%	40.8%	\$8,373	35.7%	58.0%
	Unknown	4	0.9%	\$517	1.3%	0.0%	0	0.0%	5.8%	\$0	0.0%	5.5%	3	1.2%	3.3%	\$476	2.0%	5.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>456</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$38,385</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>128</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$9,302</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>252</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$23,476</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
MULTI FAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	21.9%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	17.2%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	20.1%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	40.9%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Unknown	1	100.0%	\$2,000	100.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	1	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,000	100.0%	100.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$2,000</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$2,000</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HMDA TOTALS	Low	1,848	19.6%	\$166,584	11.1%	21.9%	277	10.0%	8.3%	\$20,098	4.3%	4.0%	1,062	22.8%	8.1%	\$99,429	13.4%	3.9%
	Moderate	2,074	22.0%	\$229,375	15.2%	17.2%	570	20.5%	18.1%	\$60,302	12.9%	11.8%	1,082	23.2%	17.6%	\$125,235	16.9%	11.7%
	Middle	1,862	19.7%	\$253,425	16.8%	20.1%	622	22.4%	21.3%	\$83,701	18.0%	17.8%	812	17.4%	21.6%	\$111,885	15.1%	18.4%
	Upper	3,004	31.8%	\$724,692	48.1%	40.9%	1,096	39.5%	37.8%	\$258,349	55.4%	48.5%	1,325	28.4%	36.6%	\$325,317	43.8%	47.9%
	Unknown	647	6.9%	\$131,946	8.8%	0.0%	209	7.5%	14.5%	\$43,669	9.4%	17.9%	384	8.2%	16.2%	\$80,546	10.8%	18.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>9,435</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$1,506,022</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>2,774</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$466,119</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>4,665</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$742,412</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>

Originations & Purchases

2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2010 ACS Data

Geographic Distribution of Small Business & Small Farm Loans

Assessment Area: MI Detroit

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014						2015					
		Count		Bank Dollar		Small Businesses %	Count		Dollar				Count		Dollar			
		#	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %		Bank #	Agg %	Bank \$ 000s	\$ %	Agg \$ %	Bank #	Agg %	Bank \$ 000s	\$ %	Agg \$ %		
SMALL BUSINESSES	Low	226	6.2%	\$57,342	9.2%	5.8%	84	5.8%	5.1%	\$24,728	9.7%	7.3%	96	6.5%	5.2%	\$22,673	9.2%	7.0%
	Moderate	657	18.0%	\$144,150	23.2%	17.3%	258	17.8%	16.2%	\$60,949	23.9%	19.5%	259	17.7%	16.8%	\$56,585	22.9%	19.9%
	Middle	1,420	38.8%	\$201,273	32.4%	39.2%	596	41.2%	37.3%	\$87,247	34.2%	36.8%	531	36.2%	37.0%	\$74,093	30.0%	36.9%
	Upper	1,335	36.5%	\$211,953	34.1%	37.4%	500	34.5%	39.9%	\$79,818	31.3%	34.8%	572	39.0%	39.7%	\$91,551	37.0%	34.7%
	Unknown	21	0.6%	\$6,064	1.0%	0.3%	10	0.7%	0.5%	\$2,513	1.0%	1.3%	8	0.5%	0.5%	\$2,201	0.9%	1.2%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	1.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.4%	0	0.0%	0.8%	\$0	0.0%	0.3%
	Total	3,659	100.0%	\$620,782	100.0%	100.0%	1,448	100.0%	100.0%	\$255,255	100.0%	100.0%	1,466	100.0%	100.0%	\$247,103	100.0%	100.0%
SMALL FARM					Small Farms													
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	1.5%	0	0.0%	0.9%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%	0	0.0%	1.2%	\$0	0.0%	0.8%
	Moderate	1	5.9%	\$25	4.4%	8.9%	1	16.7%	6.3%	\$25	18.2%	3.8%	0	0.0%	6.6%	\$0	0.0%	6.0%
	Middle	11	64.7%	\$247	43.6%	64.1%	4	66.7%	70.6%	\$103	75.2%	84.9%	4	57.1%	70.0%	\$68	19.7%	82.2%
	Upper	5	29.4%	\$294	51.9%	25.5%	1	16.7%	20.3%	\$9	6.6%	10.1%	3	42.9%	21.0%	\$277	80.3%	10.7%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.6%	\$0	0.0%	0.7%	0	0.0%	0.3%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	1.3%	\$0	0.0%	0.4%	0	0.0%	0.9%	\$0	0.0%	0.3%
Total	17	100.0%	\$566	100.0%	100.0%	6	100.0%	100.0%	\$137	100.0%	100.0%	7	100.0%	100.0%	\$345	100.0%	100.0%	

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2015 D&B Information

Small Business & Small Farm Lending By Revenue & Loan Size

Assessment Area: MI Detroit

Product Type		Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison 1/1/2014-6/30/2016					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison													
		Bank				Total Businesses	2014			2015										
		Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar			Count			Dollar					
		#	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	%	#	%	%	\$ 000s	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$ 000s	\$ %	\$ %		
Small Business	Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	1,768	48.3%	\$130,075	21.0%	91.5%	669	46.2%	40.7%	\$60,300	23.6%	26.6%	697	47.5%	46.3%	\$47,134	19.1%	27.5%	
		Over \$1 Million	1,211	33.1%	\$401,552	64.7%	8.2%	452	31.2%					515	35.1%					
		Total Rev. available	2,979	81.4%	\$531,627	85.7%	99.7%	1,121	77.4%					1,212	82.6%					
		Rev. Not Known	680	18.6%	\$89,155	14.4%	0.3%	327	22.6%					254	17.3%					
		Total	3,659	100.0%	\$620,782	100.0%	100.0%	1,448	100.0%					1,466	100.0%					
	Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	2,304	63.0%	\$77,074	12.4%		893	61.7%	91.4%	\$29,842	11.7%	26.8%	931	63.5%	92.1%	\$31,262	12.7%	29.1%	
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	568	15.5%	\$102,873	16.6%		235	16.2%	3.9%	\$41,880	16.4%	15.2%	223	15.2%	3.6%	\$40,889	16.5%	14.7%	
		\$250,001 - \$1 Million	787	21.5%	\$440,835	71.0%		320	22.1%	4.7%	\$183,533	71.9%	58.0%	312	21.3%	4.3%	\$174,952	70.8%	56.1%	
		Total	3,659	100.0%	\$620,782	100.0%		1,448	100.0%	100.0%	\$255,255	100.0%	100.0%	1,466	100.0%	100.0%	\$247,103	100.0%	100.0%	
	Loan Size & Rev \$1 Mill or Less	\$100,000 or Less	1,504	85.1%	\$33,855	26.0%														
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	118	6.7%	\$19,952	15.3%														
		\$250,001 - \$1 Million	146	8.3%	\$76,268	58.6%														
		Total	1,768	100.0%	\$130,075	100.0%														
	Small Farm	Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	16	94.1%	\$524	92.6%	98.2%	5	83.3%	49.1%	\$95	69.3%	78.0%	7	100.0%	50.8%	\$345	100.0%	67.5%
			Over \$1 Million	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	1.8%	0	0.0%				0	0.0%					
			Total Rev. available	16	94.1%	\$524	92.6%	100.0%	5	83.3%				7	100.0%					
Not Known			1	5.9%	\$42	7.4%	0.0%	1	16.7%				0	0.0%						
Total			17	100.0%	\$566	100.0%	100.0%	6	100.0%				7	100.0%						
Loan Size		\$100,000 or Less	16	94.1%	\$329	58.1%		6	100.0%	83.9%	\$137	100.0%	29.7%	6	85.7%	85.0%	\$108	31.3%	33.7%	
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	1	5.9%	\$237	41.9%		0	0.0%	12.0%	\$0	0.0%	43.6%	1	14.3%	9.0%	\$237	68.7%	29.0%	
		\$250,001 - \$500,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	4.1%	\$0	0.0%	26.7%	0	0.0%	6.0%	\$0	0.0%	37.3%	
		Total	17	100.0%	\$566	100.0%		6	100.0%	100.0%	\$137	100.0%	100.0%	7	100.0%	100.0%	\$345	100.0%	100.0%	
Loan Size & Rev \$1 Mill or Less		\$100,000 or Less	15	93.8%	\$287	54.8%														
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	1	6.3%	\$237	45.2%														
		\$250,001 - \$500,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%														
		Total	16	100.0%	\$524	100.0%														

Originations & Purchases
Aggregate data is unavailable for loans to businesses with revenue over \$1 million or revenue unknown, and for loan size by revenue.
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2015 D&B Information

Geographic Distribution of HMDA Loans

Assessment Area: MI Grand Rapids

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014					2015						
		Bank		Owner Occupied Units	Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar						
		Count	Dollar		Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg						
#	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	%	%		
HOME PURCHASE	Low	74	2.1%	\$6,484	1.3%	2.0%	18	1.3%	1.2%	\$1,415	0.7%	0.8%	37	2.5%	1.6%	\$3,677	1.7%	1.0%
	Moderate	573	16.6%	\$52,493	10.4%	16.4%	194	14.5%	14.6%	\$16,776	8.8%	9.4%	265	18.0%	14.8%	\$24,545	11.4%	9.5%
	Middle	1,801	52.2%	\$243,504	48.4%	54.6%	696	52.2%	53.9%	\$90,895	47.9%	49.5%	787	53.4%	54.3%	\$107,744	50.3%	49.7%
	Upper	999	29.0%	\$200,316	39.8%	27.0%	426	31.9%	30.3%	\$80,526	42.5%	40.3%	385	26.1%	29.4%	\$78,424	36.6%	39.8%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>3,447</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$502,797</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>1,334</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$189,612</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>1,474</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$214,390</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
REFINANCE	Low	59	1.6%	\$3,835	0.8%	2.0%	11	1.1%	1.3%	\$646	0.5%	0.9%	19	1.0%	1.1%	\$1,143	0.5%	0.6%
	Moderate	522	14.1%	\$45,423	9.4%	16.4%	124	12.4%	12.9%	\$11,040	8.8%	9.1%	247	13.6%	11.3%	\$21,683	9.1%	7.4%
	Middle	1,925	52.1%	\$229,120	47.6%	54.6%	555	55.4%	53.1%	\$62,151	49.7%	47.9%	943	52.1%	51.9%	\$115,177	48.3%	46.3%
	Upper	1,188	32.2%	\$203,412	42.2%	27.0%	311	31.1%	32.6%	\$51,208	41.0%	42.1%	601	33.2%	35.7%	\$100,422	42.1%	45.7%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>3,694</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$481,790</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>1,001</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$125,045</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>1,810</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$238,425</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	3	1.0%	\$131	0.6%	2.0%	3	2.9%	1.2%	\$131	2.0%	0.6%	0	0.0%	0.9%	\$0	0.0%	0.5%
	Moderate	76	24.9%	\$3,843	18.7%	16.4%	21	20.6%	15.7%	\$1,196	18.4%	10.7%	37	26.6%	15.9%	\$1,869	20.6%	11.8%
	Middle	140	45.9%	\$9,416	45.7%	54.6%	40	39.2%	53.9%	\$2,251	34.6%	47.3%	74	53.2%	52.5%	\$5,393	59.4%	45.4%
	Upper	86	28.2%	\$7,215	35.0%	27.0%	38	37.3%	29.2%	\$2,933	45.0%	41.4%	28	20.1%	30.7%	\$1,820	20.0%	42.4%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>305</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$20,605</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>102</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$6,511</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>139</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$9,082</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
MULTI FAMILY	Low	1	33.3%	\$83	27.0%	5.3%	0	0.0%	8.5%	\$0	0.0%	13.4%	0	0.0%	8.0%	\$0	0.0%	7.9%
	Moderate	2	66.7%	\$224	73.0%	34.9%	0	0.0%	40.2%	\$0	0.0%	13.0%	1	100.0%	45.3%	\$145	100.0%	36.6%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	50.1%	0	0.0%	43.9%	\$0	0.0%	65.8%	0	0.0%	36.0%	\$0	0.0%	36.6%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	9.7%	0	0.0%	7.3%	\$0	0.0%	7.9%	0	0.0%	10.7%	\$0	0.0%	18.9%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$307</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$145</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HMDA TOTALS	Low	137	1.8%	\$10,533	1.0%	2.0%	32	1.3%	1.2%	\$2,192	0.7%	1.6%	56	1.6%	1.3%	\$4,820	1.0%	1.1%
	Moderate	1,173	15.7%	\$101,983	10.1%	16.4%	339	13.9%	14.2%	\$29,012	9.0%	9.5%	550	16.1%	13.5%	\$48,242	10.4%	9.7%
	Middle	3,866	51.9%	\$482,040	47.9%	54.6%	1,291	53.0%	53.6%	\$155,297	48.4%	50.0%	1,804	52.7%	53.2%	\$228,314	49.4%	47.8%
	Upper	2,273	30.5%	\$410,943	40.9%	27.0%	775	31.8%	31.0%	\$134,667	41.9%	38.9%	1,014	29.6%	31.9%	\$180,666	39.1%	41.3%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>7,449</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$1,005,499</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>2,437</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$321,168</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>3,424</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$462,042</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2010 ACS Data

Borrower Distribution of HMDA Loans

Assessment Area: MI Grand Rapids

PRODUCT TYPE	Borrower Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014						2015					
		Bank				Families by Family Income %	Count			Dollar			Count			Dollar		
		Count	Dollar				Bank	Agg	%	Bank	Agg	%	Bank	Agg	%	Bank	Agg	
#	%	\$(000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$(000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$(000s)	%	%		
HOME PURCHASE	Low	629	18.2%	\$51,941	10.3%	19.8%	229	17.2%	10.8%	\$18,186	9.6%	5.8%	286	19.4%	10.0%	\$24,183	11.3%	5.4%
	Moderate	882	25.6%	\$99,041	19.7%	18.3%	357	26.8%	21.9%	\$37,516	19.8%	15.9%	377	25.6%	23.8%	\$43,465	20.3%	17.2%
	Middle	654	19.0%	\$91,837	18.3%	22.3%	249	18.7%	21.5%	\$33,967	17.9%	20.3%	268	18.2%	21.0%	\$38,064	17.8%	19.7%
	Upper	1,015	29.4%	\$217,654	43.3%	39.5%	411	30.8%	28.4%	\$84,252	44.4%	41.8%	395	26.8%	28.6%	\$87,427	40.8%	41.7%
	Unknown	267	7.7%	\$42,324	8.4%	0.0%	88	6.6%	17.4%	\$15,691	8.3%	16.2%	148	10.0%	16.6%	\$21,251	9.9%	15.9%
	Total	3,447	100.0%	\$502,797	100.0%	100.0%	1,334	100.0%	100.0%	\$189,612	100.0%	100.0%	1,474	100.0%	100.0%	\$214,390	100.0%	100.0%
REFINANCE	Low	507	13.7%	\$38,948	8.1%	19.8%	142	14.2%	8.7%	\$11,054	8.8%	5.0%	242	13.4%	6.7%	\$18,915	7.9%	3.6%
	Moderate	826	22.4%	\$76,816	15.9%	18.3%	203	20.3%	17.8%	\$18,123	14.5%	12.5%	418	23.1%	17.6%	\$39,925	16.7%	12.1%
	Middle	878	23.8%	\$97,911	20.3%	22.3%	248	24.8%	21.2%	\$26,208	21.0%	18.1%	435	24.0%	20.7%	\$49,562	20.8%	17.4%
	Upper	1,271	34.4%	\$234,591	48.7%	39.5%	353	35.3%	32.7%	\$62,587	50.1%	43.9%	596	32.9%	33.9%	\$110,185	46.2%	45.3%
	Unknown	212	5.7%	\$33,524	7.0%	0.0%	55	5.5%	19.6%	\$7,073	5.7%	20.6%	119	6.6%	21.0%	\$19,838	8.3%	21.7%
	Total	3,694	100.0%	\$481,790	100.0%	100.0%	1,001	100.0%	100.0%	\$125,045	100.0%	100.0%	1,810	100.0%	100.0%	\$238,425	100.0%	100.0%
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	48	15.7%	\$2,330	11.3%	19.8%	15	14.7%	10.9%	\$561	8.6%	5.5%	25	18.0%	10.0%	\$1,441	15.9%	5.3%
	Moderate	91	29.8%	\$4,877	23.7%	18.3%	28	27.5%	22.1%	\$1,048	16.1%	14.9%	42	30.2%	21.5%	\$2,456	27.0%	15.7%
	Middle	86	28.2%	\$4,502	21.8%	22.3%	29	28.4%	26.8%	\$1,695	26.0%	22.6%	42	30.2%	24.9%	\$1,766	19.4%	20.4%
	Upper	73	23.9%	\$8,243	40.0%	39.5%	27	26.5%	35.6%	\$2,931	45.0%	50.3%	28	20.1%	40.9%	\$3,197	35.2%	54.2%
	Unknown	7	2.3%	\$653	3.2%	0.0%	3	2.9%	4.6%	\$276	4.2%	6.6%	2	1.4%	2.7%	\$222	2.4%	4.4%
	Total	305	100.0%	\$20,605	100.0%	100.0%	102	100.0%	100.0%	\$6,511	100.0%	100.0%	139	100.0%	100.0%	\$9,082	100.0%	100.0%
MULTI FAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	19.8%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	18.3%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	22.3%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	39.5%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Unknown	3	100.0%	\$307	100.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	1	100.0%	100.0%	\$145	100.0%	100.0%
	Total	3	100.0%	\$307	100.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	1	100.0%	100.0%	\$145	100.0%	100.0%
HMDA TOTALS	Low	1,184	15.9%	\$93,219	9.3%	19.8%	386	15.8%	10.0%	\$29,801	9.3%	5.2%	553	16.2%	8.7%	\$44,539	9.6%	4.5%
	Moderate	1,799	24.2%	\$180,734	18.0%	18.3%	588	24.1%	20.4%	\$56,687	17.7%	13.8%	837	24.4%	21.2%	\$85,846	18.6%	14.6%
	Middle	1,618	21.7%	\$194,250	19.3%	22.3%	526	21.6%	21.6%	\$61,870	19.3%	18.4%	745	21.8%	21.1%	\$89,392	19.3%	18.1%
	Upper	2,359	31.7%	\$460,488	45.8%	39.5%	791	32.5%	30.2%	\$149,770	46.6%	40.0%	1,019	29.8%	31.3%	\$200,809	43.5%	41.9%
	Unknown	489	6.6%	\$76,808	7.6%	0.0%	146	6.0%	17.7%	\$23,040	7.2%	22.6%	270	7.9%	17.8%	\$41,456	9.0%	20.9%
	Total	7,449	100.0%	\$1,005,499	100.0%	100.0%	2,437	100.0%	100.0%	\$321,168	100.0%	100.0%	3,424	100.0%	100.0%	\$462,042	100.0%	100.0%

Originations & Purchases

2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2010 ACS Data

Geographic Distribution of Small Business & Small Farm Loans
Assessment Area: MI Grand Rapids

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014					2015						
		Count		Dollar		Small Businesses	Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar				
		#	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %		%	Bank	Agg	\$ 000s	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$ 000s	\$ %	\$ %
SMALL BUSINESSES	Low	176	6.5%	\$36,893	9.5%	3.6%	70	5.9%	4.5%	\$14,561	9.8%	7.1%	70	6.9%	4.5%	\$13,996	8.8%	7.2%
	Moderate	502	18.5%	\$70,430	18.2%	18.3%	230	19.4%	17.4%	\$27,744	18.8%	18.4%	192	18.8%	17.2%	\$29,614	18.5%	19.1%
	Middle	1,197	44.2%	\$174,108	44.9%	49.4%	535	45.1%	45.8%	\$68,265	46.2%	45.1%	442	43.4%	46.2%	\$69,590	43.6%	44.7%
	Upper	833	30.8%	\$105,966	27.4%	28.7%	351	29.6%	31.0%	\$37,283	25.2%	29.1%	315	30.9%	31.1%	\$46,504	29.1%	28.8%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	1.3%	\$0	0.0%	0.3%	0	0.0%	0.9%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%
	Total	2,708	100.0%	\$387,397	100.0%	100.0%	1,186	100.0%	100.0%	\$147,853	100.0%	100.0%	1,019	100.0%	100.0%	\$159,704	100.0%	100.0%
SMALL FARM					Small Farms													
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.6%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	9.2%	0	0.0%	12.7%	\$0	0.0%	9.7%	0	0.0%	14.0%	\$0	0.0%	13.6%
	Middle	18	75.0%	\$2,636	94.2%	69.7%	10	83.3%	68.3%	\$2,322	97.4%	69.6%	4	66.7%	65.6%	\$168	85.7%	63.3%
	Upper	6	25.0%	\$161	5.8%	20.9%	2	16.7%	18.4%	\$63	2.6%	20.7%	2	33.3%	19.8%	\$28	14.3%	22.9%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.5%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	24	100.0%	\$2,797	100.0%	100.0%	12	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,385	100.0%	100.0%	6	100.0%	100.0%	\$196	100.0%	100.0%	

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2015 D&B Information

Small Business & Small Farm Lending By Revenue & Loan Size

Assessment Area: MI Grand Rapids

Product Type		Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison 1/1/2014-6/30/2016					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison												
		Bank				Total Businesses	2014			2015									
		Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar		Count		Dollar						
		#	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	%	#	%	%	\$ 000s	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$ 000s	\$ %	\$ %	
Small Business	Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	1,339	49.4%	\$61,465	15.9%	89.7%	594	50.1%	39.5%	\$22,966	15.5%	28.1%	469	46.0%	41.7%	\$24,548	15.4%	28.0%
		Over \$1 Million	808	29.8%	\$261,989	67.6%	9.8%	296	25.0%					350	34.3%				
		Total Rev. available	2,147	79.2%	\$323,454	83.5%	99.5%	890	75.1%					819	80.3%				
		Rev. Not Known	561	20.7%	\$63,943	16.5%	0.5%	296	25.0%					200	19.6%				
		Total	2,708	100.0%	\$387,397	100.0%	100.0%	1,186	100.0%					1,019	100.0%				
	Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	1,887	69.7%	\$59,270	15.3%		869	73.3%	84.2%	\$25,487	17.2%	19.7%	676	66.3%	83.6%	\$22,184	13.9%	19.8%
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	359	13.3%	\$63,875	16.5%		142	12.0%	7.1%	\$24,484	16.6%	16.9%	152	14.9%	7.5%	\$27,224	17.0%	17.0%
		\$250,001 - \$1 Million	462	17.1%	\$264,252	68.2%		175	14.8%	8.6%	\$97,882	66.2%	63.3%	191	18.7%	8.9%	\$110,296	69.1%	63.2%
		Total	2,708	100.0%	\$387,397	100.0%		1,186	100.0%	100.0%	\$147,853	100.0%	100.0%	1,019	100.0%	100.0%	\$159,704	100.0%	100.0%
	Loan Size & Rev \$1 Mill or Less	\$100,000 or Less	1,225	91.5%	\$25,778	41.9%													
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	69	5.2%	\$11,603	18.9%													
		\$250,001 - \$1 Million	45	3.4%	\$24,084	39.2%													
		Total	1,339	100.0%	\$61,465	100.0%													
Small Farm	Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	12	50.0%	\$330	11.8%	95.2%	2	16.7%	46.3%	\$48	2.0%	49.3%	4	66.7%	44.1%	\$66	33.7%	58.2%
		Over \$1 Million	8	33.3%	\$2,217	79.3%	4.7%	8	66.7%				0	0.0%					
		Total Rev. available	20	83.3%	\$2,547	91.1%	99.9%	10	83.4%				4	66.7%					
		Not Known	4	16.7%	\$250	8.9%	0.1%	2	16.7%				2	33.3%					
		Total	24	100.0%	\$2,797	100.0%	100.0%	12	100.0%				6	100.0%					
	Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	18	75.0%	\$647	23.1%		6	50.0%	72.6%	\$235	9.9%	22.5%	6	100.0%	77.9%	\$196	100.0%	24.8%
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	2	8.3%	\$350	12.5%		2	16.7%	17.1%	\$350	14.7%	33.6%	0	0.0%	13.2%	\$0	0.0%	29.6%
		\$250,001 - \$500,000	4	16.7%	\$1,800	64.4%		4	33.3%	10.3%	\$1,800	75.5%	44.0%	0	0.0%	8.9%	\$0	0.0%	45.5%
		Total	24	100.0%	\$2,797	100.0%		12	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,385	100.0%	100.0%	6	100.0%	100.0%	\$196	100.0%	100.0%
	Loan Size & Rev \$1 Mill or Less	\$100,000 or Less	12	100.0%	\$330	100.0%													
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%													
		\$250,001 - \$500,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%													
		Total	12	100.0%	\$330	100.0%													

Originations & Purchases

Aggregate data is unavailable for loans to businesses with revenue over \$1 million or revenue unknown, and for loan size by revenue.
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2015 D&B Information

Geographic Distribution of HMDA Loans

Assessment Area: MO St Louis

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014					2015						
		Bank		Owner Occupied Units	Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar						
		#	%		\$ (000s)	%	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	%	%		
HOME PURCHASE	Low	4	1.1%	\$785	0.7%	5.1%	2	1.1%	1.9%	\$549	1.0%	1.0%	2	1.3%	2.1%	\$236	0.6%	1.3%
	Moderate	30	8.1%	\$5,684	5.4%	16.4%	11	5.9%	10.6%	\$2,243	4.0%	6.3%	15	10.0%	10.0%	\$2,454	5.9%	6.2%
	Middle	116	31.4%	\$23,390	22.1%	32.7%	61	32.4%	32.9%	\$12,081	21.6%	25.3%	49	32.7%	34.5%	\$10,466	25.2%	26.8%
	Upper	220	59.5%	\$76,215	71.9%	45.9%	114	60.6%	54.6%	\$40,940	73.4%	67.4%	84	56.0%	53.3%	\$28,308	68.3%	65.7%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>370</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$106,074</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>188</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$55,813</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>150</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$41,464</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
REFINANCE	Low	7	2.2%	\$800	1.0%	5.1%	1	0.9%	2.4%	\$31	0.1%	1.3%	6	3.6%	1.6%	\$769	1.8%	0.9%
	Moderate	24	7.5%	\$2,295	2.8%	16.4%	13	11.9%	11.6%	\$1,279	5.3%	6.7%	8	4.8%	8.8%	\$767	1.8%	5.0%
	Middle	66	20.7%	\$9,921	12.2%	32.7%	34	31.2%	32.2%	\$5,074	21.2%	24.3%	24	14.5%	30.1%	\$3,568	8.3%	22.2%
	Upper	222	69.6%	\$68,433	84.0%	45.9%	61	56.0%	53.8%	\$17,560	73.3%	67.7%	127	77.0%	59.5%	\$37,911	88.1%	71.9%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>319</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$81,449</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>109</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$23,944</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>165</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$43,015</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	1	8.3%	\$6	0.9%	5.1%	0	0.0%	5.2%	\$0	0.0%	1.6%	1	16.7%	5.3%	\$6	2.9%	1.3%
	Moderate	3	25.0%	\$133	20.8%	16.4%	2	33.3%	15.4%	\$111	25.9%	6.4%	1	16.7%	15.1%	\$22	10.5%	7.1%
	Middle	1	8.3%	\$60	9.4%	32.7%	1	16.7%	28.0%	\$60	14.0%	18.3%	0	0.0%	27.4%	\$0	0.0%	20.4%
	Upper	7	58.3%	\$440	68.9%	45.9%	3	50.0%	51.5%	\$258	60.1%	73.7%	4	66.7%	52.2%	\$182	86.7%	71.2%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>12</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$639</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$429</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$210</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
MULTI FAMILY	Low	1	100.0%	\$314	100.0%	12.9%	1	100.0%	16.9%	\$314	100.0%	7.8%	0	0.0%	19.2%	\$0	0.0%	13.3%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	18.9%	0	0.0%	31.9%	\$0	0.0%	12.4%	0	0.0%	31.6%	\$0	0.0%	19.6%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	35.2%	0	0.0%	23.7%	\$0	0.0%	23.4%	0	0.0%	24.8%	\$0	0.0%	15.0%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	32.6%	0	0.0%	27.5%	\$0	0.0%	56.4%	0	0.0%	24.4%	\$0	0.0%	52.1%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.4%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$314</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$314</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HMDA TOTALS	Low	13	1.9%	\$1,905	1.0%	5.1%	4	1.3%	2.3%	\$894	1.1%	1.6%	9	2.8%	2.1%	\$1,011	1.2%	1.6%
	Moderate	57	8.1%	\$8,112	4.3%	16.4%	26	8.6%	11.2%	\$3,633	4.5%	6.8%	24	7.5%	9.7%	\$3,243	3.8%	6.2%
	Middle	183	26.1%	\$33,371	17.7%	32.7%	96	31.6%	32.4%	\$17,215	21.4%	24.7%	73	22.7%	32.2%	\$14,034	16.6%	24.0%
	Upper	449	64.0%	\$145,088	77.0%	45.9%	178	58.6%	54.1%	\$58,758	73.0%	66.9%	215	67.0%	56.1%	\$66,401	78.4%	68.1%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>702</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$188,476</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>304</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$80,500</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>321</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$84,689</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2010 ACS Data

Borrower Distribution of HMDA Loans

Assessment Area: MO St Louis

PRODUCT TYPE	Borrower Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014						2015					
		Bank				Families by Family Income %	Count			Dollar			Count			Dollar		
		Count	Dollar				Bank	Agg	%	Bank	Agg	%	Bank	Agg	%	Bank	Agg	
#	%	\$(000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$(000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$(000s)	%	%		
HOME PURCHASE	Low	17	4.6%	\$1,377	1.3%	20.1%	9	4.8%	8.7%	\$762	1.4%	4.1%	7	4.7%	8.8%	\$536	1.3%	4.2%
	Moderate	81	21.9%	\$13,610	12.8%	16.2%	35	18.6%	18.0%	\$5,650	10.1%	12.0%	36	24.0%	16.9%	\$6,191	14.9%	11.3%
	Middle	46	12.4%	\$7,842	7.4%	19.9%	30	16.0%	18.0%	\$5,422	9.7%	15.8%	13	8.7%	17.7%	\$1,996	4.8%	15.7%
	Upper	209	56.5%	\$79,935	75.4%	43.8%	105	55.9%	32.1%	\$42,165	75.5%	46.3%	86	57.3%	31.5%	\$31,245	75.4%	44.9%
	Unknown	17	4.6%	\$3,310	3.1%	0.0%	9	4.8%	23.2%	\$1,814	3.3%	21.8%	8	5.3%	25.1%	\$1,496	3.6%	23.8%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>370</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$106,074</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>188</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$55,813</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>150</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$41,464</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
REFINANCE	Low	19	6.0%	\$1,803	2.2%	20.1%	10	9.2%	7.0%	\$889	3.7%	3.3%	6	3.6%	5.2%	\$664	1.5%	2.3%
	Moderate	36	11.3%	\$4,298	5.3%	16.2%	11	10.1%	13.4%	\$1,359	5.7%	8.4%	23	13.9%	11.6%	\$2,741	6.4%	7.1%
	Middle	65	20.4%	\$9,950	12.2%	19.9%	25	22.9%	18.2%	\$3,652	15.3%	14.4%	35	21.2%	16.4%	\$5,438	12.6%	12.8%
	Upper	175	54.9%	\$61,016	74.9%	43.8%	50	45.9%	35.8%	\$15,528	64.9%	49.6%	92	55.8%	36.1%	\$32,789	76.2%	48.9%
	Unknown	24	7.5%	\$4,382	5.4%	0.0%	13	11.9%	25.5%	\$2,516	10.5%	24.3%	9	5.5%	30.8%	\$1,383	3.2%	28.9%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>319</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$81,449</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>109</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$23,944</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>165</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$43,015</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	2	16.7%	\$107	16.7%	20.1%	1	16.7%	9.1%	\$53	12.4%	2.5%	1	16.7%	8.7%	\$54	25.7%	2.9%
	Moderate	3	25.0%	\$51	8.0%	16.2%	1	16.7%	17.7%	\$23	5.4%	7.7%	2	33.3%	15.1%	\$28	13.3%	8.2%
	Middle	3	25.0%	\$120	18.8%	19.9%	2	33.3%	20.0%	\$118	27.5%	14.8%	1	16.7%	19.2%	\$2	1.0%	14.7%
	Upper	4	33.3%	\$361	56.5%	43.8%	2	33.3%	41.4%	\$235	54.8%	56.3%	2	33.3%	43.6%	\$126	60.0%	57.2%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	11.9%	\$0	0.0%	18.7%	0	0.0%	13.4%	\$0	0.0%	17.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>12</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$639</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$429</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$210</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
MULTI FAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	20.1%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	16.2%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	19.9%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	43.8%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Unknown	1	100.0%	\$314	100.0%	0.0%	1	100.0%	100.0%	\$314	100.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$314</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$314</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HMDA TOTALS	Low	38	5.4%	\$3,287	1.7%	20.1%	20	6.6%	8.0%	\$1,704	2.1%	3.5%	14	4.4%	7.0%	\$1,254	1.5%	3.1%
	Moderate	120	17.1%	\$17,959	9.5%	16.2%	47	15.5%	16.1%	\$7,032	8.7%	9.8%	61	19.0%	14.3%	\$8,960	10.6%	8.8%
	Middle	114	16.2%	\$17,912	9.5%	19.9%	57	18.8%	18.1%	\$9,192	11.4%	14.2%	49	15.3%	17.0%	\$7,436	8.8%	13.6%
	Upper	388	55.3%	\$141,312	75.0%	43.8%	157	51.6%	33.8%	\$57,928	72.0%	44.6%	180	56.1%	33.9%	\$64,160	75.8%	44.9%
	Unknown	42	6.0%	\$8,006	4.2%	0.0%	23	7.6%	24.1%	\$4,644	5.8%	27.9%	17	5.3%	27.7%	\$2,879	3.4%	29.6%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>702</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$188,476</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>304</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$80,500</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>321</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$84,689</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>

Originations & Purchases

2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2010 ACS Data

Geographic Distribution of Small Business & Small Farm Loans

Assessment Area: MO St Louis

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014					2015						
		Count		Bank Dollar		Small Businesses	Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar				
		#	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	%	#	%	Agg %	\$ 000s	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	Agg %	\$ 000s	\$ %	\$ %
SMALL BUSINESSES	Low	33	11.1%	\$9,522	19.9%	6.2%	11	7.2%	5.3%	\$2,967	13.1%	7.1%	18	13.6%	5.5%	\$5,494	25.4%	6.9%
	Moderate	45	15.1%	\$5,928	12.4%	14.7%	25	16.3%	13.9%	\$3,170	14.0%	16.3%	19	14.4%	14.4%	\$2,608	12.0%	16.6%
	Middle	90	30.2%	\$12,943	27.0%	28.6%	45	29.4%	27.8%	\$6,455	28.5%	26.4%	43	32.6%	27.7%	\$6,225	28.8%	26.6%
	Upper	130	43.6%	\$19,504	40.7%	50.5%	72	47.1%	52.2%	\$10,095	44.5%	49.7%	52	39.4%	51.7%	\$7,319	33.8%	49.4%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%	0	0.0%	0.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.3%	0	0.0%	0.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	0.7%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%	0	0.0%	0.6%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%
	Total	298	100.0%	\$47,897	100.0%	100.0%	153	100.0%	100.0%	\$22,687	100.0%	100.0%	132	100.0%	100.0%	\$21,646	100.0%	100.0%
SMALL FARM					Small Farms													
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	1.3%	0	0.0%	0.7%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%	0	0.0%	2.0%	\$0	0.0%	2.6%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	8.0%	0	0.0%	4.4%	\$0	0.0%	3.7%	0	0.0%	4.7%	\$0	0.0%	0.6%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	30.1%	0	0.0%	31.4%	\$0	0.0%	33.9%	0	0.0%	36.2%	\$0	0.0%	27.4%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	60.4%	0	0.0%	62.8%	\$0	0.0%	62.3%	0	0.0%	57.0%	\$0	0.0%	69.3%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	0.7%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2015 D&B Information

Small Business & Small Farm Lending By Revenue & Loan Size

Assessment Area: MO St Louis

Product Type	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison 1/1/2014-6/30/2016						Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison													
	Bank					Total Businesses	2014			2015										
	Count		Dollar				Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar						
	#	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	%	#	%	%	\$ 000s	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$ 000s	\$ %	\$ %			
Small Business	Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	116	38.9%	\$4,635	9.7%	89.8%	64	41.8%	43.2%	\$1,667	7.3%	30.3%	49	37.1%	45.4%	\$2,865	13.2%	29.6%	
		Over \$1 Million	105	35.2%	\$32,526	67.9%	9.8%	50	32.7%				49	37.1%						
		Total Rev. available	221	74.1%	\$37,161	77.6%	99.6%	114	74.5%				98	74.2%						
		Rev. Not Known	77	25.8%	\$10,736	22.4%	0.3%	39	25.5%				34	25.8%						
		Total	298	100.0%	\$47,897	100.0%	100.0%	153	100.0%				132	100.0%						
	Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	191	64.1%	\$7,012	14.6%		101	66.0%	90.6%	\$3,293	14.5%	24.7%	85	64.4%	91.1%	\$3,466	16.0%	27.0%	
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	49	16.4%	\$9,194	19.2%		25	16.3%	4.3%	\$4,814	21.2%	16.0%	21	15.9%	4.0%	\$3,862	17.8%	15.4%	
		\$250,001 - \$1 Million	58	19.5%	\$31,691	66.2%		27	17.6%	5.1%	\$14,580	64.3%	59.2%	26	19.7%	4.9%	\$14,318	66.1%	57.6%	
		Total	298	100.0%	\$47,897	100.0%		153	100.0%	100.0%	\$22,687	100.0%	100.0%	132	100.0%	100.0%	\$21,646	100.0%	100.0%	
	Loan Size & Rev \$1 Mill or Less	\$100,000 or Less	112	96.6%	\$3,079	66.4%														
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	2	1.7%	\$418	9.0%														
		\$250,001 - \$1 Million	2	1.7%	\$1,138	24.6%														
		Total	116	100.0%	\$4,635	100.0%														
	Small Farm	Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	97.4%	0	0.0%	56.9%	\$0	0.0%	66.4%	0	0.0%	50.3%	\$0	0.0%	60.7%
			Over \$1 Million	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	2.6%	0	0.0%				0	0.0%					
			Total Rev. available	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%				0	0.0%					
Not Known			0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%				0	0.0%						
Total			0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%				0	0.0%						
Loan Size		\$100,000 or Less	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	83.9%	\$0	0.0%	25.8%	0	0.0%	78.5%	\$0	0.0%	22.8%	
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	10.2%	\$0	0.0%	34.3%	0	0.0%	14.1%	\$0	0.0%	33.4%	
		\$250,001 - \$500,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	5.8%	\$0	0.0%	39.9%	0	0.0%	7.4%	\$0	0.0%	43.8%	
		Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	
Loan Size & Rev \$1 Mill or Less		\$100,000 or Less	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%														
	\$100,001 - \$250,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%															
	\$250,001 - \$500,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%															
	Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%															

Originations & Purchases
Aggregate data is unavailable for loans to businesses with revenue over \$1 million or revenue unknown, and for loan size by revenue.
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2015 D&B Information

Geographic Distribution of HMDA Loans

Assessment Area: NC Charlotte

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014					2015						
		Bank		Owner Occupied Units	Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar						
		Count	Dollar		Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg						
#	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	%	%		
HOME PURCHASE	Low	69	4.6%	\$9,233	3.3%	3.4%	20	3.3%	2.1%	\$2,304	2.0%	1.5%	42	6.8%	2.8%	\$6,228	5.8%	2.2%
	Moderate	267	17.9%	\$30,984	11.2%	18.4%	103	17.1%	12.8%	\$11,314	10.0%	7.8%	123	20.0%	13.4%	\$14,522	13.5%	8.3%
	Middle	547	36.6%	\$78,478	28.4%	39.9%	224	37.1%	34.4%	\$32,009	28.3%	25.8%	219	35.6%	35.2%	\$29,912	27.8%	27.2%
	Upper	610	40.8%	\$156,885	56.9%	38.3%	257	42.5%	50.5%	\$67,506	59.7%	64.8%	232	37.7%	48.6%	\$57,060	53.0%	62.3%
	Unknown	1	0.1%	\$268	0.1%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%	0	0.0%	0.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1,494</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$275,848</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>604</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$113,133</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>616</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$107,722</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
REFINANCE	Low	45	2.9%	\$5,539	2.2%	3.4%	7	1.9%	2.6%	\$499	0.9%	6.9%	22	3.0%	1.8%	\$2,735	2.3%	1.1%
	Moderate	256	16.7%	\$27,511	11.0%	18.4%	38	10.1%	13.5%	\$4,113	7.2%	15.3%	126	17.2%	11.6%	\$13,193	11.1%	6.8%
	Middle	570	37.1%	\$71,780	28.7%	39.9%	170	45.3%	37.5%	\$19,467	33.9%	31.9%	261	35.6%	33.8%	\$33,815	28.3%	24.6%
	Upper	663	43.2%	\$144,611	57.9%	38.3%	159	42.4%	46.3%	\$33,034	57.6%	45.9%	324	44.2%	52.8%	\$69,548	58.3%	67.4%
	Unknown	2	0.1%	\$340	0.1%	0.0%	1	0.3%	0.0%	\$235	0.4%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1,536</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$249,781</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>375</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$57,348</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>733</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$119,291</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	4	2.3%	\$203	1.4%	3.4%	1	1.7%	3.6%	\$52	1.1%	1.4%	2	2.6%	2.4%	\$53	0.9%	1.3%
	Moderate	31	18.1%	\$2,042	14.5%	18.4%	6	10.2%	17.2%	\$182	3.7%	8.5%	22	28.6%	16.5%	\$1,701	29.3%	7.3%
	Middle	79	46.2%	\$5,457	38.7%	39.9%	31	52.5%	36.7%	\$2,259	46.2%	24.4%	34	44.2%	35.9%	\$2,110	36.4%	21.5%
	Upper	57	33.3%	\$6,415	45.4%	38.3%	21	35.6%	42.5%	\$2,396	49.0%	65.7%	19	24.7%	45.2%	\$1,938	33.4%	69.9%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>171</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$14,117</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>59</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$4,889</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>77</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$5,802</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
MULTI FAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	14.0%	0	0.0%	22.5%	\$0	0.0%	10.9%	0	0.0%	20.0%	\$0	0.0%	13.9%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	29.7%	0	0.0%	23.6%	\$0	0.0%	13.9%	0	0.0%	29.2%	\$0	0.0%	25.8%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	23.1%	0	0.0%	22.5%	\$0	0.0%	20.8%	0	0.0%	26.9%	\$0	0.0%	27.8%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	33.0%	0	0.0%	31.5%	\$0	0.0%	54.4%	0	0.0%	23.8%	\$0	0.0%	32.5%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HMDA TOTALS	Low	118	3.7%	\$14,975	2.8%	3.4%	28	2.7%	2.4%	\$2,855	1.6%	3.9%	66	4.6%	2.4%	\$9,016	3.9%	2.6%
	Moderate	554	17.3%	\$60,537	11.2%	18.4%	147	14.2%	13.2%	\$15,609	8.9%	10.8%	271	19.0%	12.8%	\$29,416	12.6%	8.9%
	Middle	1,196	37.4%	\$155,715	28.8%	39.9%	425	40.9%	35.5%	\$53,735	30.6%	27.8%	514	36.0%	34.7%	\$65,837	28.3%	26.3%
	Upper	1,330	41.5%	\$307,911	57.0%	38.3%	437	42.1%	48.9%	\$102,936	58.7%	57.5%	575	40.3%	50.0%	\$128,546	55.2%	62.2%
	Unknown	3	0.1%	\$608	0.1%	0.0%	1	0.1%	0.1%	\$235	0.1%	0.1%	0	0.0%	0.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>3,201</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$539,746</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>1,038</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$175,370</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>1,426</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$232,815</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2010 ACS Data

Borrower Distribution of HMDA Loans

Assessment Area: NC Charlotte

PRODUCT TYPE	Borrower Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014						2015					
		Bank				Families by Family Income %	Count		Dollar				Count			Dollar		
		Count	Dollar				Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg			Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg		
#	%	\$(000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$(000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$(000s)	%	%		
HOME PURCHASE	Low	307	20.5%	\$28,568	10.4%	21.0%	127	21.0%	6.3%	\$10,969	9.7%	2.8%	151	24.5%	6.1%	\$14,743	13.7%	2.8%
	Moderate	348	23.3%	\$42,904	15.6%	17.3%	138	22.8%	17.6%	\$16,030	14.2%	10.6%	151	24.5%	18.5%	\$19,575	18.2%	11.3%
	Middle	223	14.9%	\$35,809	13.0%	20.4%	80	13.2%	17.7%	\$12,832	11.3%	14.3%	93	15.1%	18.8%	\$14,701	13.6%	15.4%
	Upper	494	33.1%	\$137,275	49.8%	41.4%	216	35.8%	38.5%	\$60,368	53.4%	54.0%	157	25.5%	38.7%	\$43,473	40.4%	53.6%
	Unknown	122	8.2%	\$31,292	11.3%	0.0%	43	7.1%	19.8%	\$12,934	11.4%	18.2%	64	10.4%	17.9%	\$15,230	14.1%	17.0%
	Total	1,494	100.0%	\$275,848	100.0%	100.0%	604	100.0%	100.0%	\$113,133	100.0%	100.0%	616	100.0%	100.0%	\$107,722	100.0%	100.0%
REFINANCE	Low	189	12.3%	\$17,095	6.8%	21.0%	48	12.8%	6.7%	\$3,999	7.0%	2.3%	86	11.7%	5.0%	\$7,790	6.5%	2.2%
	Moderate	303	19.7%	\$31,157	12.5%	17.3%	76	20.3%	13.9%	\$7,500	13.1%	6.1%	150	20.5%	11.9%	\$14,940	12.5%	6.8%
	Middle	314	20.4%	\$39,038	15.6%	20.4%	84	22.4%	17.0%	\$9,962	17.4%	9.3%	137	18.7%	16.5%	\$17,116	14.3%	12.1%
	Upper	581	37.8%	\$131,831	52.8%	41.4%	135	36.0%	37.7%	\$30,669	53.5%	37.3%	257	35.1%	41.0%	\$57,534	48.2%	55.2%
	Unknown	149	9.7%	\$30,660	12.3%	0.0%	32	8.5%	24.7%	\$5,218	9.1%	45.0%	103	14.1%	25.7%	\$21,911	18.4%	23.8%
	Total	1,536	100.0%	\$249,781	100.0%	100.0%	375	100.0%	100.0%	\$57,348	100.0%	100.0%	733	100.0%	100.0%	\$119,291	100.0%	100.0%
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	37	21.6%	\$1,746	12.4%	21.0%	14	23.7%	9.7%	\$501	10.2%	2.7%	19	24.7%	7.6%	\$1,138	19.6%	2.2%
	Moderate	42	24.6%	\$2,375	16.8%	17.3%	17	28.8%	17.1%	\$1,151	23.5%	6.7%	17	22.1%	16.3%	\$918	15.8%	7.0%
	Middle	42	24.6%	\$3,628	25.7%	20.4%	16	27.1%	20.3%	\$1,705	34.9%	13.9%	18	23.4%	19.9%	\$1,328	22.9%	12.0%
	Upper	50	29.2%	\$6,368	45.1%	41.4%	12	20.3%	43.8%	\$1,532	31.3%	67.5%	23	29.9%	47.8%	\$2,418	41.7%	66.4%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	9.1%	\$0	0.0%	9.3%	0	0.0%	8.4%	\$0	0.0%	12.5%
	Total	171	100.0%	\$14,117	100.0%	100.0%	59	100.0%	100.0%	\$4,889	100.0%	100.0%	77	100.0%	100.0%	\$5,802	100.0%	100.0%
MULTI FAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	21.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	17.3%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	20.4%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	41.4%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%
	Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%
HMDA TOTALS	Low	533	16.7%	\$47,409	8.8%	21.0%	189	18.2%	6.5%	\$15,469	8.8%	2.5%	256	18.0%	5.7%	\$23,671	10.2%	2.4%
	Moderate	693	21.6%	\$76,436	14.2%	17.3%	231	22.3%	16.3%	\$24,681	14.1%	8.5%	318	22.3%	15.9%	\$35,433	15.2%	8.9%
	Middle	579	18.1%	\$78,475	14.5%	20.4%	180	17.3%	17.5%	\$24,499	14.0%	11.9%	248	17.4%	17.9%	\$33,145	14.2%	13.1%
	Upper	1,125	35.1%	\$275,474	51.0%	41.4%	363	35.0%	38.4%	\$92,569	52.8%	45.9%	437	30.6%	39.8%	\$103,425	44.4%	50.7%
	Unknown	271	8.5%	\$61,952	11.5%	0.0%	75	7.2%	21.3%	\$18,152	10.4%	31.3%	167	11.7%	20.7%	\$37,141	16.0%	24.9%
	Total	3,201	100.0%	\$539,746	100.0%	100.0%	1,038	100.0%	100.0%	\$175,370	100.0%	100.0%	1,426	100.0%	100.0%	\$232,815	100.0%	100.0%

Originations & Purchases

2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2010 ACS Data

Geographic Distribution of Small Business & Small Farm Loans

Assessment Area: NC Charlotte

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014						2015					
		Bank		Small Businesses		Count %	Count		Dollar		Count %	Dollar		Count %	Dollar			
		Count #	%	Dollar \$ (000s)	\$ %		%	Bank #	Agg %	Bank \$ 000s		Agg \$ %	Bank #		Agg %	Bank \$ 000s	Agg \$ %	
SMALL BUSINESSES	Low	100	6.6%	\$15,611	10.8%	6.9%	49	8.2%	8.2%	\$8,387	14.7%	10.9%	34	6.0%	8.4%	\$5,580	10.6%	11.7%
	Moderate	238	15.7%	\$27,462	19.1%	17.5%	87	14.6%	14.8%	\$9,471	16.6%	15.8%	95	16.8%	14.8%	\$9,972	18.9%	15.8%
	Middle	530	34.9%	\$46,344	32.2%	33.5%	202	34.0%	31.6%	\$16,389	28.7%	32.1%	198	35.0%	31.7%	\$17,636	33.5%	30.9%
	Upper	637	41.9%	\$50,777	35.2%	41.4%	248	41.8%	42.7%	\$20,483	35.9%	37.7%	234	41.4%	42.6%	\$18,401	34.9%	38.6%
	Unknown	15	1.0%	\$3,876	2.7%	0.7%	8	1.3%	0.9%	\$2,368	4.1%	2.5%	4	0.7%	1.0%	\$1,114	2.1%	2.1%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	1.7%	\$0	0.0%	1.0%	0	0.0%	1.5%	\$0	0.0%	0.9%
	Total	1,520	100.0%	\$144,070	100.0%	100.0%	594	100.0%	100.0%	\$57,098	100.0%	100.0%	565	100.0%	100.0%	\$52,703	100.0%	100.0%
SMALL FARM					Small Farms													
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	1.4%	0	0.0%	1.6%	\$0	0.0%	1.4%	0	0.0%	1.4%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%
	Moderate	2	12.5%	\$354	20.3%	18.3%	1	20.0%	18.0%	\$154	24.8%	15.2%	1	14.3%	18.1%	\$200	33.6%	13.9%
	Middle	11	68.8%	\$1,298	74.3%	57.7%	4	80.0%	65.2%	\$468	75.2%	72.5%	3	42.9%	62.5%	\$300	50.4%	74.1%
	Upper	3	18.8%	\$95	5.4%	22.3%	0	0.0%	14.8%	\$0	0.0%	10.4%	3	42.9%	16.7%	\$95	16.0%	11.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.3%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.3%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	0.4%	\$0	0.0%	0.5%	0	0.0%	1.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.7%
Total	16	100.0%	\$1,747	100.0%	100.0%	5	100.0%	100.0%	\$622	100.0%	100.0%	7	100.0%	100.0%	\$595	100.0%	100.0%	

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2015 D&B Information

Small Business & Small Farm Lending By Revenue & Loan Size

Assessment Area: NC Charlotte

Product Type		Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison 1/1/2014-6/30/2016					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison												
		Bank				Total Businesses	2014			2015									
		Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar		Count		Dollar						
		#	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	%	#	%	%	\$ 000s	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$ 000s	\$ %	\$ %	
Small Business	Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	955	62.8%	\$41,508	28.8%	92.3%	346	58.2%	47.0%	\$19,415	34.0%	35.0%	339	60.0%	52.3%	\$12,620	23.9%	37.9%
		Over \$1 Million	251	16.5%	\$72,786	50.5%	7.4%	88	14.8%				109	19.3%					
		Total Rev. available	1,206	79.3%	\$114,294	79.3%	99.7%	434	73.0%				448	79.3%					
		Rev. Not Known	314	20.7%	\$29,776	20.7%	0.3%	160	26.9%				117	20.7%					
		Total	1,520	100.0%	\$144,070	100.0%	100.0%	594	100.0%				565	100.0%					
	Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	1,232	81.1%	\$36,700	25.5%		477	80.3%	91.9%	\$14,307	25.1%	32.4%	453	80.2%	91.2%	\$13,982	26.5%	31.9%
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	146	9.6%	\$25,432	17.7%		59	9.9%	3.8%	\$10,295	18.0%	15.2%	64	11.3%	4.1%	\$11,112	21.1%	15.7%
		\$250,001 - \$1 Million	142	9.3%	\$81,938	56.9%		58	9.8%	4.3%	\$32,496	56.9%	52.3%	48	8.5%	4.7%	\$27,609	52.4%	52.5%
		Total	1,520	100.0%	\$144,070	100.0%		594	100.0%	100.0%	\$57,098	100.0%	100.0%	565	100.0%	100.0%	\$52,703	100.0%	100.0%
	Loan Size & Rev \$1 Mill or Less	\$100,000 or Less	889	93.1%	\$18,809	45.3%													
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	38	4.0%	\$6,386	15.4%													
		\$250,001 - \$1 Million	28	2.9%	\$16,313	39.3%													
		Total	955	100.0%	\$41,508	100.0%													
Small Farm	Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	8	50.0%	\$749	42.9%	98.5%	1	20.0%	44.5%	\$154	24.8%	47.0%	4	57.1%	46.8%	\$245	41.2%	66.9%
		Over \$1 Million	1	6.3%	\$38	2.2%	1.5%	1	20.0%				0	0.0%					
		Total Rev. available	9	56.3%	\$787	45.1%	100.0%	2	40.0%				4	57.1%					
		Not Known	7	43.8%	\$960	55.0%	0.0%	3	60.0%				3	42.9%					
		Total	16	100.0%	\$1,747	100.0%	100.0%	5	100.0%				7	100.0%					
	Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	9	56.3%	\$553	31.7%		2	40.0%	88.3%	\$138	22.2%	39.3%	5	71.4%	85.7%	\$215	36.1%	35.8%
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	7	43.8%	\$1,194	68.3%		3	60.0%	8.6%	\$484	77.8%	35.1%	2	28.6%	9.2%	\$380	63.9%	31.7%
		\$250,001 - \$500,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	3.1%	\$0	0.0%	25.6%	0	0.0%	5.1%	\$0	0.0%	32.5%
		Total	16	100.0%	\$1,747	100.0%		5	100.0%	100.0%	\$622	100.0%	100.0%	7	100.0%	100.0%	\$595	100.0%	100.0%
	Loan Size & Rev \$1 Mill or Less	\$100,000 or Less	5	62.5%	\$265	35.4%													
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	3	37.5%	\$484	64.6%													
		\$250,001 - \$500,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%													
		Total	8	100.0%	\$749	100.0%													

Originations & Purchases

Aggregate data is unavailable for loans to businesses with revenue over \$1 million or revenue unknown, and for loan size by revenue.
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2015 D&B Information

Geographic Distribution of HMDA Loans

Assessment Area: OH Cleveland

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014					2015						
		Bank		Owner Occupied Units	Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar						
		Count	Dollar		Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg						
#	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	%	%		
HOME PURCHASE	Low	136	2.7%	\$10,611	1.5%	5.2%	23	1.3%	1.6%	\$1,505	0.6%	1.0%	72	3.4%	2.0%	\$5,612	1.9%	1.1%
	Moderate	703	14.0%	\$57,239	8.1%	14.4%	153	8.5%	9.5%	\$11,375	4.3%	5.4%	327	15.4%	10.2%	\$26,667	9.0%	5.9%
	Middle	2,072	41.4%	\$231,144	32.6%	44.0%	782	43.3%	44.4%	\$88,801	33.9%	35.0%	862	40.6%	44.0%	\$93,594	31.5%	35.0%
	Upper	2,097	41.9%	\$410,751	57.9%	36.4%	847	46.9%	44.5%	\$160,075	61.2%	58.6%	862	40.6%	43.9%	\$171,190	57.6%	58.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>5,008</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$709,745</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>1,805</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$261,756</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>2,123</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$297,063</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
REFINANCE	Low	89	3.0%	\$6,075	1.6%	5.2%	10	1.2%	2.1%	\$644	0.6%	1.1%	49	3.6%	1.8%	\$3,605	2.1%	1.0%
	Moderate	394	13.3%	\$28,416	7.6%	14.4%	101	12.0%	10.1%	\$7,214	6.6%	7.6%	185	13.6%	8.9%	\$13,861	8.0%	5.2%
	Middle	1,228	41.6%	\$124,780	33.4%	44.0%	369	43.8%	44.8%	\$36,807	33.6%	40.0%	568	41.7%	42.4%	\$58,023	33.6%	34.4%
	Upper	1,242	42.1%	\$214,735	57.4%	36.4%	363	43.1%	43.0%	\$64,995	59.3%	51.4%	560	41.1%	46.9%	\$97,136	56.3%	59.4%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>2,953</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$374,006</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>843</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$109,660</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>1,362</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$172,625</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	5	1.4%	\$420	1.6%	5.2%	1	1.0%	5.6%	\$9	0.1%	2.1%	2	1.1%	4.4%	\$98	0.7%	2.2%
	Moderate	42	11.5%	\$1,854	7.0%	14.4%	12	11.7%	14.7%	\$400	5.9%	6.9%	25	14.1%	14.3%	\$1,267	9.5%	7.0%
	Middle	166	45.4%	\$9,744	36.5%	44.0%	50	48.5%	43.3%	\$2,533	37.3%	34.4%	80	45.2%	42.2%	\$5,002	37.5%	33.3%
	Upper	153	41.8%	\$14,646	54.9%	36.4%	40	38.8%	36.4%	\$3,856	56.7%	56.7%	70	39.5%	39.1%	\$6,956	52.2%	57.4%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>366</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$26,664</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>103</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$6,798</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>177</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$13,323</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
MULTI FAMILY	Low	2	40.0%	\$142	52.8%	14.9%	0	0.0%	11.6%	\$0	0.0%	21.1%	2	40.0%	13.0%	\$142	52.8%	6.6%
	Moderate	3	60.0%	\$127	47.2%	25.5%	0	0.0%	26.7%	\$0	0.0%	15.3%	3	60.0%	22.5%	\$127	47.2%	12.9%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	38.7%	0	0.0%	42.2%	\$0	0.0%	33.5%	0	0.0%	43.7%	\$0	0.0%	43.4%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	20.9%	0	0.0%	19.4%	\$0	0.0%	30.1%	0	0.0%	20.8%	\$0	0.0%	37.1%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$269</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$269</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HMDA TOTALS	Low	232	2.8%	\$17,248	1.6%	5.2%	34	1.2%	2.0%	\$2,158	0.6%	2.3%	125	3.4%	2.1%	\$9,457	2.0%	1.5%
	Moderate	1,142	13.7%	\$87,636	7.9%	14.4%	266	9.7%	10.0%	\$18,989	5.0%	6.9%	540	14.7%	9.9%	\$41,922	8.7%	6.2%
	Middle	3,466	41.6%	\$365,668	32.9%	44.0%	1,201	43.7%	44.5%	\$128,141	33.9%	36.7%	1,510	41.2%	43.3%	\$156,619	32.4%	35.4%
	Upper	3,492	41.9%	\$640,132	57.6%	36.4%	1,250	45.4%	43.4%	\$228,926	60.5%	54.1%	1,492	40.7%	44.7%	\$275,282	57.0%	56.9%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>8,332</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$1,110,684</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>2,751</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$378,214</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>3,667</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$483,280</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2010 ACS Data

Borrower Distribution of HMDA Loans

Assessment Area: OH Cleveland

PRODUCT TYPE	Borrower Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014						2015					
		Bank				Families by Family Income %	Count			Dollar			Count			Dollar		
		Count	Dollar				Bank	Agg	%	Bank	Agg	%	Bank	Agg	%	Bank	Agg	
#	%	\$(000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$(000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$(000s)	%	%		
HOME PURCHASE	Low	908	18.1%	\$65,723	9.3%	21.2%	200	11.1%	7.6%	\$13,422	5.1%	3.5%	453	21.3%	9.2%	\$33,827	11.4%	4.4%
	Moderate	1,209	24.1%	\$119,326	16.8%	17.6%	466	25.8%	21.0%	\$45,523	17.4%	13.5%	514	24.2%	20.8%	\$50,888	17.1%	14.2%
	Middle	1,152	23.0%	\$150,619	21.2%	21.1%	450	24.9%	21.3%	\$60,155	23.0%	18.5%	478	22.5%	21.5%	\$61,327	20.6%	19.6%
	Upper	1,665	33.2%	\$361,253	50.9%	40.1%	668	37.0%	35.3%	\$139,220	53.2%	50.8%	644	30.3%	32.1%	\$145,227	48.9%	47.2%
	Unknown	74	1.5%	\$12,824	1.8%	0.0%	21	1.2%	14.9%	\$3,436	1.3%	13.7%	34	1.6%	16.4%	\$5,794	2.0%	14.6%
	Total	5,008	100.0%	\$709,745	100.0%	100.0%	1,805	100.0%	100.0%	\$261,756	100.0%	100.0%	2,123	100.0%	100.0%	\$297,063	100.0%	100.0%
REFINANCE	Low	428	14.5%	\$31,812	8.5%	21.2%	79	9.4%	7.0%	\$5,297	4.8%	3.6%	211	15.5%	6.6%	\$15,952	9.2%	3.5%
	Moderate	560	19.0%	\$49,167	13.1%	17.6%	158	18.7%	15.4%	\$13,298	12.1%	9.4%	271	19.9%	14.3%	\$24,778	14.4%	9.4%
	Middle	772	26.1%	\$82,271	22.0%	21.1%	219	26.0%	21.5%	\$22,817	20.8%	15.9%	351	25.8%	20.3%	\$36,792	21.3%	16.7%
	Upper	1,077	36.5%	\$193,673	51.8%	40.1%	350	41.5%	41.3%	\$62,274	56.8%	46.8%	479	35.2%	38.4%	\$87,956	51.0%	49.3%
	Unknown	116	3.9%	\$17,083	4.6%	0.0%	37	4.4%	14.7%	\$5,974	5.4%	24.3%	50	3.7%	20.4%	\$7,147	4.1%	21.1%
	Total	2,953	100.0%	\$374,006	100.0%	100.0%	843	100.0%	100.0%	\$109,660	100.0%	100.0%	1,362	100.0%	100.0%	\$172,625	100.0%	100.0%
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	50	13.7%	\$2,616	9.8%	21.2%	14	13.6%	10.1%	\$411	6.0%	4.5%	31	17.5%	11.2%	\$1,851	13.9%	4.7%
	Moderate	74	20.2%	\$3,730	14.0%	17.6%	22	21.4%	20.4%	\$1,083	15.9%	12.1%	30	16.9%	19.7%	\$1,756	13.2%	10.5%
	Middle	102	27.9%	\$5,418	20.3%	21.1%	31	30.1%	23.3%	\$1,499	22.1%	17.5%	50	28.2%	22.8%	\$2,698	20.3%	18.4%
	Upper	138	37.7%	\$14,558	54.6%	40.1%	34	33.0%	41.1%	\$3,463	50.9%	58.2%	66	37.3%	42.4%	\$7,018	52.7%	59.7%
	Unknown	2	0.5%	\$342	1.3%	0.0%	2	1.9%	5.0%	\$342	5.0%	7.7%	0	0.0%	3.9%	\$0	0.0%	6.6%
	Total	366	100.0%	\$26,664	100.0%	100.0%	103	100.0%	100.0%	\$6,798	100.0%	100.0%	177	100.0%	100.0%	\$13,323	100.0%	100.0%
MULTI FAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	21.2%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	17.6%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	21.1%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	40.1%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Unknown	5	100.0%	\$269	100.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	5	100.0%	100.0%	\$269	100.0%	100.0%
	Total	5	100.0%	\$269	100.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	5	100.0%	100.0%	\$269	100.0%	100.0%
HMDA TOTALS	Low	1,386	16.6%	\$100,151	9.0%	21.2%	293	10.7%	7.5%	\$19,130	5.1%	3.3%	695	19.0%	8.3%	\$51,630	10.7%	3.7%
	Moderate	1,843	22.1%	\$172,223	15.5%	17.6%	646	23.5%	18.8%	\$59,904	15.8%	11.2%	815	22.2%	18.2%	\$77,422	16.0%	11.3%
	Middle	2,026	24.3%	\$238,308	21.5%	21.1%	700	25.4%	21.4%	\$84,471	22.3%	16.4%	879	24.0%	21.0%	\$100,817	20.9%	17.0%
	Upper	2,880	34.6%	\$569,484	51.3%	40.1%	1,052	38.2%	37.8%	\$204,957	54.2%	46.2%	1,189	32.4%	35.0%	\$240,201	49.7%	44.6%
	Unknown	197	2.4%	\$30,518	2.7%	0.0%	60	2.2%	14.6%	\$9,752	2.6%	23.0%	89	2.4%	17.6%	\$13,210	2.7%	23.3%
	Total	8,332	100.0%	\$1,110,684	100.0%	100.0%	2,751	100.0%	100.0%	\$378,214	100.0%	100.0%	3,667	100.0%	100.0%	\$483,280	100.0%	100.0%

Originations & Purchases

2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2010 ACS Data

Geographic Distribution of Small Business & Small Farm Loans

Assessment Area: OH Cleveland

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014					2015						
		Bank		Small Businesses		Count %	Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar				
		Count #	%	Dollar \$ (000s)	\$ %		%	Bank #	Agg %	Bank \$ 000s	Agg \$ %	Bank #	Agg %	Bank \$ 000s	Agg \$ %			
SMALL BUSINESSES	Low	163	7.1%	\$31,989	9.2%	6.9%	64	6.8%	6.0%	\$10,482	7.6%	7.3%	63	7.4%	6.4%	\$13,422	9.6%	7.4%
	Moderate	217	9.5%	\$45,028	12.9%	12.6%	88	9.4%	11.7%	\$19,823	14.3%	13.8%	81	9.5%	11.7%	\$15,582	11.2%	13.5%
	Middle	856	37.3%	\$138,042	39.6%	39.8%	340	36.2%	38.0%	\$54,614	39.4%	38.8%	324	37.9%	37.9%	\$56,242	40.4%	37.0%
	Upper	1,058	46.1%	\$133,518	38.3%	40.5%	446	47.4%	43.1%	\$53,705	38.7%	39.4%	388	45.3%	43.0%	\$54,063	38.8%	41.5%
	Unknown	2	0.1%	\$70	0.0%	0.1%	2	0.2%	0.1%	\$70	0.1%	0.2%	0	0.0%	0.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	1.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.5%	0	0.0%	1.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.4%
	Total	2,296	100.0%	\$348,647	100.0%	100.0%	940	100.0%	100.0%	\$138,694	100.0%	100.0%	856	100.0%	100.0%	\$139,309	100.0%	100.0%
SMALL FARM					Small Farms													
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	1.0%	0	0.0%	0.5%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%	0	0.0%	2.3%	\$0	0.0%	0.6%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	4.7%	0	0.0%	5.4%	\$0	0.0%	2.2%	0	0.0%	4.0%	\$0	0.0%	3.3%
	Middle	6	60.0%	\$263	86.5%	58.2%	0	0.0%	54.8%	\$0	0.0%	42.7%	4	66.7%	58.9%	\$200	88.5%	47.0%
	Upper	4	40.0%	\$41	13.5%	36.1%	1	100.0%	37.6%	\$5	100.0%	54.6%	2	33.3%	34.3%	\$26	11.5%	48.4%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	1.6%	\$0	0.0%	0.4%	0	0.0%	0.6%	\$0	0.0%	0.6%
Total	10	100.0%	\$304	100.0%	100.0%	1	100.0%	100.0%	\$5	100.0%	100.0%	6	100.0%	100.0%	\$226	100.0%	100.0%	

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2015 D&B Information

Small Business & Small Farm Lending By Revenue & Loan Size

Assessment Area: OH Cleveland

Product Type		Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison 1/1/2014-6/30/2016					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison												
		Bank				Total Businesses	2014			2015									
		Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar		Count		Dollar						
		#	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	%	#	%	%	\$ 000s	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$ 000s	\$ %	\$ %	
Small Business	Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	1,204	52.4%	\$53,763	15.4%	90.6%	485	51.6%	41.5%	\$22,210	16.0%	26.8%	415	48.5%	45.5%	\$19,796	14.2%	27.7%
		Over \$1 Million	710	30.9%	\$236,885	67.9%	9.0%	266	28.3%					318	37.1%				
		Total Rev. available	1,914	83.3%	\$290,648	83.3%	99.6%	751	79.9%					733	85.6%				
		Rev. Not Known	382	16.6%	\$57,999	16.6%	0.4%	189	20.1%					123	14.4%				
		Total	2,296	100.0%	\$348,647	100.0%	100.0%	940	100.0%					856	100.0%				
	Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	1,555	67.7%	\$48,955	14.0%		643	68.4%	91.6%	\$18,683	13.5%	31.2%	553	64.6%	91.7%	\$19,408	13.9%	32.5%
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	286	12.5%	\$51,487	14.8%		117	12.4%	3.9%	\$20,825	15.0%	14.9%	123	14.4%	4.0%	\$22,285	16.0%	15.2%
		\$250,001 - \$1 Million	455	19.8%	\$248,205	71.2%		180	19.1%	4.5%	\$99,186	71.5%	53.9%	180	21.0%	4.4%	\$97,616	70.1%	52.2%
		Total	2,296	100.0%	\$348,647	100.0%		940	100.0%	100.0%	\$138,694	100.0%	100.0%	856	100.0%	100.0%	\$139,309	100.0%	100.0%
	Loan Size & Rev \$1 Mill or Less	\$100,000 or Less	1,110	92.2%	\$23,117	43.0%													
\$100,001 - \$250,000		47	3.9%	\$7,485	13.9%														
\$250,001 - \$1 Million		47	3.9%	\$23,161	43.1%														
Total		1,204	100.0%	\$53,763	100.0%														
Small Farm	Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	9	90.0%	\$204	67.1%	98.4%	1	100.0%	45.2%	\$5	100.0%	57.3%	5	83.3%	44.6%	\$126	55.8%	55.9%
		Over \$1 Million	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	1.6%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%				
		Total Rev. available	9	90.0%	\$204	67.1%	100.0%	1	100.0%					5	83.3%				
		Not Known	1	10.0%	\$100	32.9%	0.0%	0	0.0%					1	16.7%				
		Total	10	100.0%	\$304	100.0%	100.0%	1	100.0%					6	100.0%				
	Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	10	100.0%	\$304	100.0%		1	100.0%	93.5%	\$5	100.0%	55.5%	6	100.0%	93.1%	\$226	100.0%	58.3%
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	4.8%	\$0	0.0%	25.0%	0	0.0%	5.1%	\$0	0.0%	23.2%
		\$250,001 - \$500,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	1.6%	\$0	0.0%	19.6%	0	0.0%	1.7%	\$0	0.0%	18.5%
		Total	10	100.0%	\$304	100.0%		1	100.0%	100.0%	\$5	100.0%	100.0%	6	100.0%	100.0%	\$226	100.0%	100.0%
	Loan Size & Rev \$1 Mill or Less	\$100,000 or Less	9	100.0%	\$204	100.0%													
\$100,001 - \$250,000		0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%														
\$250,001 - \$500,000		0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%														
Total		9	100.0%	\$204	100.0%														

Originations & Purchases

Aggregate data is unavailable for loans to businesses with revenue over \$1 million or revenue unknown, and for loan size by revenue.
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2015 D&B Information

Geographic Distribution of HMDA Loans

Assessment Area: OH Columbus

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014					2015						
		Bank		Owner Occupied Units	Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar						
		Count	Dollar		Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg						
#	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	%	%		
HOME PURCHASE	Low	171	6.0%	\$16,879	3.4%	5.1%	12	1.3%	2.6%	\$1,421	0.8%	1.5%	140	10.5%	2.9%	\$14,306	6.6%	1.8%
	Moderate	539	18.9%	\$56,506	11.3%	17.1%	112	12.2%	12.8%	\$12,770	7.2%	7.6%	270	20.2%	13.3%	\$27,099	12.6%	8.1%
	Middle	905	31.7%	\$126,607	25.3%	37.2%	303	32.9%	35.9%	\$44,516	25.1%	29.3%	423	31.6%	35.6%	\$55,523	25.8%	29.3%
	Upper	1,238	43.4%	\$300,905	60.1%	40.7%	493	53.6%	48.8%	\$118,675	66.9%	61.6%	506	37.8%	48.2%	\$118,307	55.0%	60.8%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>2,853</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$500,897</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>920</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$177,382</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>1,339</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$215,235</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
REFINANCE	Low	138	5.9%	\$10,688	2.9%	5.1%	35	4.7%	3.5%	\$2,286	2.1%	3.0%	76	6.8%	2.5%	\$6,145	3.4%	1.4%
	Moderate	381	16.3%	\$35,316	9.6%	17.1%	116	15.6%	14.2%	\$9,099	8.5%	13.9%	169	15.0%	11.6%	\$16,637	9.2%	6.9%
	Middle	740	31.6%	\$89,584	24.3%	37.2%	233	31.4%	36.8%	\$26,628	24.9%	29.8%	358	31.8%	34.1%	\$44,027	24.4%	27.7%
	Upper	1,080	46.2%	\$232,577	63.2%	40.7%	359	48.3%	45.5%	\$69,139	64.5%	53.2%	522	46.4%	51.9%	\$113,290	62.9%	64.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>2,339</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$368,165</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>743</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$107,152</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>1,125</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$180,099</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	14	7.2%	\$458	2.5%	5.1%	4	6.9%	4.0%	\$114	2.0%	3.4%	4	3.8%	3.6%	\$112	1.2%	2.6%
	Moderate	33	16.9%	\$1,594	8.8%	17.1%	9	15.5%	14.9%	\$278	5.0%	6.8%	21	19.8%	15.3%	\$1,145	12.1%	8.1%
	Middle	68	34.9%	\$4,848	26.8%	37.2%	17	29.3%	38.5%	\$1,191	21.3%	32.2%	43	40.6%	37.5%	\$3,085	32.7%	28.3%
	Upper	80	41.0%	\$11,160	61.8%	40.7%	28	48.3%	42.6%	\$4,012	71.7%	57.5%	38	35.8%	43.6%	\$5,097	54.0%	61.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>195</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$18,060</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>58</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$5,595</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>106</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$9,439</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
MULTI FAMILY	Multi-Family Units					Low	0	0.0%	19.1%	\$0	0.0%	7.4%	0	0.0%	22.4%	\$0	0.0%	8.3%
	Moderate	2	50.0%	\$12,300	87.5%	27.2%	2	66.7%	22.4%	\$12,300	87.9%	19.2%	0	0.0%	21.5%	\$0	0.0%	19.1%
	Middle	2	50.0%	\$1,756	12.5%	32.0%	1	33.3%	36.6%	\$1,700	12.1%	39.5%	1	100.0%	35.0%	\$56	100.0%	33.8%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	18.9%	0	0.0%	21.9%	\$0	0.0%	33.8%	0	0.0%	21.1%	\$0	0.0%	38.8%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$14,056</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$14,000</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$56</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HMDA TOTALS	Low	323	6.0%	\$28,025	3.1%	5.1%	51	3.0%	3.0%	\$3,821	1.3%	2.4%	220	8.6%	2.8%	\$20,563	5.1%	2.2%
	Moderate	955	17.7%	\$105,716	11.7%	17.1%	239	13.9%	13.4%	\$34,447	11.3%	10.4%	460	17.9%	12.8%	\$44,881	11.1%	8.6%
	Middle	1,715	31.8%	\$222,795	24.7%	37.2%	554	32.1%	36.3%	\$74,035	24.3%	30.3%	825	32.1%	35.1%	\$102,691	25.4%	29.1%
	Upper	2,398	44.5%	\$544,642	60.4%	40.7%	880	51.0%	47.4%	\$191,826	63.1%	56.9%	1,066	41.5%	49.3%	\$236,694	58.5%	60.1%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>5,391</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$901,178</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>1,724</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$304,129</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>2,571</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$404,829</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2010 ACS Data

Borrower Distribution of HMDA Loans

Assessment Area: OH Columbus

PRODUCT TYPE	Borrower Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014						2015					
		Bank				Families by Family Income %	Count			Dollar			Count			Dollar		
		Count	Dollar				Bank	Agg	%	Bank	Agg	%	Bank	Agg	%	Bank	Agg	
#	%	\$(000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$(000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$(000s)	%	%		
HOME PURCHASE	Low	577	20.2%	\$51,103	10.2%	21.0%	81	8.8%	7.0%	\$6,699	3.8%	3.2%	392	29.3%	8.2%	\$34,905	16.2%	3.9%
	Moderate	628	22.0%	\$75,298	15.0%	16.9%	185	20.1%	18.7%	\$22,971	13.0%	12.5%	300	22.4%	18.8%	\$35,585	16.5%	13.0%
	Middle	515	18.1%	\$79,403	15.9%	20.4%	188	20.4%	19.6%	\$28,946	16.3%	17.2%	230	17.2%	20.4%	\$35,566	16.5%	18.6%
	Upper	1,024	35.9%	\$276,286	55.2%	41.7%	408	44.3%	34.2%	\$108,446	61.1%	47.5%	389	29.1%	33.9%	\$103,847	48.2%	47.8%
	Unknown	109	3.8%	\$18,807	3.8%	0.0%	58	6.3%	20.5%	\$10,320	5.8%	19.5%	28	2.1%	18.6%	\$5,332	2.5%	16.7%
	Total	2,853	100.0%	\$500,897	100.0%	100.0%	920	100.0%	100.0%	\$177,382	100.0%	100.0%	1,339	100.0%	100.0%	\$215,235	100.0%	100.0%
REFINANCE	Low	322	13.8%	\$28,360	7.7%	21.0%	78	10.5%	7.4%	\$6,632	6.2%	3.5%	163	14.5%	5.6%	\$14,794	8.2%	2.8%
	Moderate	445	19.0%	\$43,854	11.9%	16.9%	145	19.5%	15.1%	\$13,232	12.3%	9.1%	219	19.5%	12.6%	\$21,855	12.1%	7.9%
	Middle	516	22.1%	\$63,690	17.3%	20.4%	164	22.1%	19.3%	\$19,585	18.3%	14.5%	250	22.2%	18.9%	\$31,612	17.6%	15.2%
	Upper	953	40.7%	\$213,531	58.0%	41.7%	306	41.2%	38.2%	\$59,333	55.4%	44.6%	456	40.5%	39.3%	\$104,190	57.9%	50.5%
	Unknown	103	4.4%	\$18,730	5.1%	0.0%	50	6.7%	20.0%	\$8,370	7.8%	28.3%	37	3.3%	23.7%	\$7,648	4.2%	23.7%
	Total	2,339	100.0%	\$368,165	100.0%	100.0%	743	100.0%	100.0%	\$107,152	100.0%	100.0%	1,125	100.0%	100.0%	\$180,099	100.0%	100.0%
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	37	19.0%	\$2,378	13.2%	21.0%	9	15.5%	9.9%	\$472	8.4%	3.2%	21	19.8%	8.6%	\$1,454	15.4%	3.1%
	Moderate	47	24.1%	\$2,304	12.8%	16.9%	17	29.3%	18.8%	\$696	12.4%	10.0%	24	22.6%	18.2%	\$1,224	13.0%	9.9%
	Middle	43	22.1%	\$3,372	18.7%	20.4%	12	20.7%	20.5%	\$919	16.4%	14.8%	25	23.6%	20.7%	\$1,989	21.1%	15.8%
	Upper	67	34.4%	\$9,868	54.6%	41.7%	20	34.5%	44.2%	\$3,508	62.7%	58.3%	35	33.0%	45.0%	\$4,634	49.1%	62.3%
	Unknown	1	0.5%	\$138	0.8%	0.0%	0	0.0%	6.7%	\$0	0.0%	13.7%	1	0.9%	7.5%	\$138	1.5%	8.9%
	Total	195	100.0%	\$18,060	100.0%	100.0%	58	100.0%	100.0%	\$5,595	100.0%	100.0%	106	100.0%	100.0%	\$9,439	100.0%	100.0%
MULTI FAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	21.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	16.9%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	20.4%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	41.7%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Unknown	4	100.0%	\$14,056	100.0%	0.0%	3	100.0%	100.0%	\$14,000	100.0%	100.0%	1	100.0%	100.0%	\$56	100.0%	100.0%
	Total	4	100.0%	\$14,056	100.0%	100.0%	3	100.0%	100.0%	\$14,000	100.0%	100.0%	1	100.0%	100.0%	\$56	100.0%	100.0%
HMDA TOTALS	Low	936	17.4%	\$81,841	9.1%	21.0%	168	9.7%	7.2%	\$13,803	4.5%	3.0%	576	22.4%	7.2%	\$51,153	12.6%	3.2%
	Moderate	1,120	20.8%	\$121,456	13.5%	16.9%	347	20.1%	17.4%	\$36,899	12.1%	10.5%	543	21.1%	16.4%	\$58,664	14.5%	10.1%
	Middle	1,074	19.9%	\$146,465	16.3%	20.4%	364	21.1%	19.4%	\$49,450	16.3%	15.1%	505	19.6%	19.8%	\$69,167	17.1%	15.9%
	Upper	2,044	37.9%	\$499,685	55.4%	41.7%	734	42.6%	35.8%	\$171,287	56.3%	43.2%	880	34.2%	36.3%	\$212,671	52.5%	45.1%
	Unknown	217	4.0%	\$51,731	5.7%	0.0%	111	6.4%	20.1%	\$32,690	10.7%	28.2%	67	2.6%	20.4%	\$13,174	3.3%	25.7%
	Total	5,391	100.0%	\$901,178	100.0%	100.0%	1,724	100.0%	100.0%	\$304,129	100.0%	100.0%	2,571	100.0%	100.0%	\$404,829	100.0%	100.0%

Originations & Purchases

2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2010 ACS Data

Geographic Distribution of Small Business & Small Farm Loans

Assessment Area: OH Columbus

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014						2015					
		Bank		Small Businesses		Count #	Agg %	Dollar		Agg %	Count		Dollar					
		Count #	Dollar \$ (000s)	Dollar \$ %	%			Bank \$ 000s	Agg \$ %		Bank \$ %	Agg \$ %						
SMALL BUSINESSES	Low	117	7.3%	\$19,577	12.5%	7.6%	50	7.9%	6.8%	\$8,460	13.5%	8.7%	39	6.4%	7.5%	\$7,174	11.7%	8.9%
	Moderate	196	12.3%	\$31,553	20.1%	17.9%	72	11.4%	21.6%	\$13,811	22.0%	28.3%	82	13.5%	22.8%	\$12,714	20.8%	27.2%
	Middle	442	27.7%	\$45,303	28.8%	32.3%	171	27.1%	28.0%	\$15,149	24.1%	23.9%	173	28.5%	27.5%	\$18,966	31.1%	24.7%
	Upper	835	52.4%	\$60,404	38.5%	42.1%	338	53.5%	42.4%	\$25,329	40.3%	38.3%	311	51.2%	41.0%	\$22,103	36.2%	38.5%
	Unknown	3	0.2%	\$215	0.1%	0.2%	1	0.2%	0.2%	\$100	0.2%	0.3%	2	0.3%	0.2%	\$115	0.2%	0.5%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	1.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.4%	0	0.0%	1.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.3%
	Total	1,593	100.0%	\$157,052	100.0%	100.0%	632	100.0%	100.0%	\$62,849	100.0%	100.0%	607	100.0%	100.0%	\$61,072	100.0%	100.0%
SMALL FARM					Small Farms													
	Low	1	8.3%	\$20	1.6%	1.2%	0	0.0%	0.6%	\$0	0.0%	1.3%	0	0.0%	0.3%	\$0	0.0%	1.0%
	Moderate	1	8.3%	\$71	5.7%	8.6%	1	14.3%	6.9%	\$71	8.7%	6.7%	0	0.0%	6.4%	\$0	0.0%	8.4%
	Middle	5	41.7%	\$1,043	84.0%	59.0%	2	28.6%	59.3%	\$673	82.1%	60.1%	2	100.0%	57.8%	\$195	100.0%	66.2%
	Upper	5	41.7%	\$108	8.7%	31.2%	4	57.1%	32.6%	\$76	9.3%	31.1%	0	0.0%	35.0%	\$0	0.0%	22.9%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	0.6%	\$0	0.0%	0.9%	0	0.0%	0.6%	\$0	0.0%	1.5%
Total	12	100.0%	\$1,242	100.0%	100.0%	7	100.0%	100.0%	\$820	100.0%	100.0%	2	100.0%	100.0%	\$195	100.0%	100.0%	

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2015 D&B Information

Small Business & Small Farm Lending By Revenue & Loan Size

Assessment Area: OH Columbus

Product Type		Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison 1/1/2014-6/30/2016					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison												
		Bank				Total Businesses	2014			2015									
		Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar					
		#	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	%	#	%	%	\$ 000s	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$ 000s	\$ %	\$ %	
Small Business	Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	1,002	62.9%	\$39,603	25.2%	91.9%	365	57.8%	36.4%	\$13,408	21.3%	26.9%	362	59.6%	39.5%	\$13,137	21.5%	27.1%
		Over \$1 Million	286	18.0%	\$82,555	52.6%	7.5%	123	19.5%					108	17.8%				
		Total Rev. available	1,288	80.9%	\$122,158	77.8%	99.4%	488	77.3%					470	77.4%				
		Rev. Not Known	305	19.1%	\$34,894	22.2%	0.6%	144	22.8%					137	22.6%				
		Total	1,593	100.0%	\$157,052	100.0%	100.0%	632	100.0%					607	100.0%				
	Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	1,258	79.0%	\$34,429	21.9%		500	79.1%	90.1%	\$13,479	21.4%	30.8%	479	78.9%	90.3%	\$13,990	22.9%	31.7%
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	164	10.3%	\$30,315	19.3%		64	10.1%	5.1%	\$11,822	18.8%	18.0%	60	9.9%	5.2%	\$11,207	18.4%	18.5%
		\$250,001 - \$1 Million	171	10.7%	\$92,308	58.8%		68	10.8%	4.7%	\$37,548	59.7%	51.3%	68	11.2%	4.5%	\$35,875	58.7%	49.8%
		Total	1,593	100.0%	\$157,052	100.0%		632	100.0%	100.0%	\$62,849	100.0%	100.0%	607	100.0%	100.0%	\$61,072	100.0%	100.0%
	Loan Size & Rev \$1 Mill or Less	\$100,000 or Less	938	93.6%	\$17,923	45.3%													
\$100,001 - \$250,000		30	3.0%	\$4,909	12.4%														
\$250,001 - \$1 Million		34	3.4%	\$16,771	42.3%														
Total		1,002	100.0%	\$39,603	100.0%														
Small Farm	Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	10	83.3%	\$892	71.8%	98.8%	6	85.7%	47.6%	\$645	78.7%	52.0%	1	50.0%	44.5%	\$20	10.3%	51.0%
		Over \$1 Million	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	1.2%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%				
		Total Rev. available	10	83.3%	\$892	71.8%	100.0%	6	85.7%					1	50.0%				
		Not Known	2	16.7%	\$350	28.2%	0.0%	1	14.3%					1	50.0%				
		Total	12	100.0%	\$1,242	100.0%	100.0%	7	100.0%					2	100.0%				
	Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	8	66.7%	\$219	17.6%		5	71.4%	77.2%	\$147	17.9%	23.0%	1	50.0%	80.1%	\$20	10.3%	26.9%
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	3	25.0%	\$525	42.3%		1	14.3%	12.3%	\$175	21.3%	26.1%	1	50.0%	11.8%	\$175	89.7%	28.1%
		\$250,001 - \$500,000	1	8.3%	\$498	40.1%		1	14.3%	10.5%	\$498	60.7%	50.9%	0	0.0%	8.1%	\$0	0.0%	45.0%
		Total	12	100.0%	\$1,242	100.0%		7	100.0%	100.0%	\$820	100.0%	100.0%	2	100.0%	100.0%	\$195	100.0%	100.0%
	Loan Size & Rev \$1 Mill or Less	\$100,000 or Less	8	80.0%	\$219	24.6%													
\$100,001 - \$250,000		1	10.0%	\$175	19.6%														
\$250,001 - \$500,000		1	10.0%	\$498	55.8%														
Total		10	100.0%	\$892	100.0%														

Originations & Purchases

Aggregate data is unavailable for loans to businesses with revenue over \$1 million or revenue unknown, and for loan size by revenue.
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2015 D&B Information

Geographic Distribution of HMDA Loans

Assessment Area: PA Pittsburgh

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014					2015						
		Bank		Owner Occupied Units	Count		Dollar		Count		Dollar		Count		Dollar			
		#	%		\$ (000s)	%	%	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	
HOME PURCHASE	Low	5	1.7%	\$1,280	1.8%	2.7%	3	2.6%	1.4%	\$732	2.8%	1.1%	2	1.8%	1.3%	\$548	1.7%	1.1%
	Moderate	31	10.7%	\$3,616	5.0%	15.8%	10	8.8%	10.1%	\$949	3.6%	5.7%	11	9.7%	9.9%	\$1,692	5.3%	5.5%
	Middle	94	32.5%	\$16,009	22.3%	42.5%	31	27.2%	40.3%	\$5,070	19.2%	30.3%	37	32.7%	40.0%	\$6,804	21.1%	29.4%
	Upper	159	55.0%	\$50,821	70.9%	39.0%	70	61.4%	48.2%	\$19,604	74.4%	62.9%	63	55.8%	48.7%	\$23,156	71.9%	64.1%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>289</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$71,726</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>114</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$26,355</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>113</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$32,200</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
REFINANCE	Low	1	0.6%	\$43	0.2%	2.7%	1	1.7%	1.3%	\$43	0.5%	0.9%	0	0.0%	1.3%	\$0	0.0%	1.0%
	Moderate	15	9.7%	\$1,002	4.3%	15.8%	10	16.7%	11.1%	\$633	8.0%	6.4%	3	3.8%	9.1%	\$179	1.4%	4.8%
	Middle	66	42.9%	\$6,812	29.0%	42.5%	22	36.7%	40.9%	\$1,829	23.1%	31.4%	40	50.0%	38.9%	\$4,598	36.3%	29.2%
	Upper	72	46.8%	\$15,605	66.5%	39.0%	27	45.0%	46.6%	\$5,407	68.3%	61.4%	37	46.3%	50.7%	\$7,881	62.3%	64.9%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>154</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$23,462</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>60</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$7,912</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>80</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$12,658</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	2.7%	0	0.0%	3.1%	\$0	0.0%	2.6%	0	0.0%	3.0%	\$0	0.0%	2.4%
	Moderate	2	14.3%	\$59	8.3%	15.8%	1	20.0%	16.1%	\$8	7.2%	10.1%	1	11.1%	13.7%	\$51	8.5%	8.2%
	Middle	4	28.6%	\$103	14.5%	42.5%	4	80.0%	41.7%	\$103	92.8%	32.8%	0	0.0%	42.8%	\$0	0.0%	35.3%
	Upper	8	57.1%	\$550	77.2%	39.0%	0	0.0%	39.1%	\$0	0.0%	54.4%	8	88.9%	40.4%	\$550	91.5%	54.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>14</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$712</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$111</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$601</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
MULTI FAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	9.4%	0	0.0%	10.8%	\$0	0.0%	39.8%	0	0.0%	10.2%	\$0	0.0%	16.5%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	20.0%	0	0.0%	27.4%	\$0	0.0%	10.9%	0	0.0%	29.8%	\$0	0.0%	24.9%
	Middle	1	100.0%	\$4,450	100.0%	42.7%	0	0.0%	38.9%	\$0	0.0%	27.3%	1	100.0%	32.7%	\$4,450	100.0%	22.9%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	27.6%	0	0.0%	22.9%	\$0	0.0%	22.1%	0	0.0%	27.3%	\$0	0.0%	35.7%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$4,450</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$4,450</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HMDA TOTALS	Low	6	1.3%	\$1,323	1.3%	2.7%	4	2.2%	1.6%	\$775	2.3%	4.7%	2	1.0%	1.5%	\$548	1.1%	2.1%
	Moderate	48	10.5%	\$4,677	4.7%	15.8%	21	11.7%	11.2%	\$1,590	4.6%	6.6%	15	7.4%	10.2%	\$1,922	3.9%	6.6%
	Middle	165	36.0%	\$27,374	27.3%	42.5%	57	31.8%	40.7%	\$7,002	20.4%	30.4%	78	38.4%	39.9%	\$15,852	31.8%	29.1%
	Upper	239	52.2%	\$66,976	66.7%	39.0%	97	54.2%	46.5%	\$25,011	72.8%	58.3%	108	53.2%	48.4%	\$31,587	63.3%	62.1%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>458</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$100,350</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>179</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$34,378</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>203</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$49,909</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2010 ACS Data

Borrower Distribution of HMDA Loans

Assessment Area: PA Pittsburgh

PRODUCT TYPE	Borrower Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014						2015					
		Bank				Families by Family Income %	Count		Dollar				Count		Dollar			
		Count	Dollar				Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg			Bank	Agg	Bank		Agg	
#	%	\$(000s)	\$ %	%	#	%	%	\$(000s)	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$(000s)	\$ %	\$ %		
HOME PURCHASE	Low	12	4.2%	\$956	1.3%	19.2%	4	3.5%	6.0%	\$247	0.9%	2.5%	6	5.3%	7.0%	\$581	1.8%	3.0%
	Moderate	54	18.7%	\$6,558	9.1%	17.0%	18	15.8%	18.8%	\$2,208	8.4%	11.3%	19	16.8%	19.5%	\$2,311	7.2%	11.8%
	Middle	38	13.1%	\$5,289	7.4%	20.8%	15	13.2%	20.9%	\$2,147	8.1%	16.8%	12	10.6%	20.2%	\$1,771	5.5%	16.4%
	Upper	178	61.6%	\$57,870	80.7%	43.0%	74	64.9%	40.8%	\$21,430	81.3%	57.0%	72	63.7%	38.5%	\$26,807	83.3%	55.2%
	Unknown	7	2.4%	\$1,053	1.5%	0.0%	3	2.6%	13.5%	\$323	1.2%	12.4%	4	3.5%	14.8%	\$730	2.3%	13.7%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>289</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$71,726</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>114</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$26,355</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>113</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$32,200</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
REFINANCE	Low	6	3.9%	\$459	2.0%	19.2%	2	3.3%	6.3%	\$96	1.2%	3.1%	3	3.8%	5.6%	\$257	2.0%	2.5%
	Moderate	35	22.7%	\$2,939	12.5%	17.0%	16	26.7%	15.1%	\$1,091	13.8%	9.2%	19	23.8%	14.2%	\$1,848	14.6%	8.6%
	Middle	35	22.7%	\$4,204	17.9%	20.8%	13	21.7%	21.1%	\$1,802	22.8%	15.9%	18	22.5%	20.3%	\$2,026	16.0%	15.2%
	Upper	67	43.5%	\$14,099	60.1%	43.0%	24	40.0%	46.6%	\$4,126	52.1%	59.1%	35	43.8%	45.8%	\$7,674	60.6%	58.5%
	Unknown	11	7.1%	\$1,761	7.5%	0.0%	5	8.3%	11.0%	\$797	10.1%	12.8%	5	6.3%	14.1%	\$853	6.7%	15.3%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>154</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$23,462</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>60</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$7,912</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>80</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$12,658</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	19.2%	0	0.0%	8.8%	\$0	0.0%	3.3%	0	0.0%	9.6%	\$0	0.0%	3.9%
	Moderate	2	14.3%	\$33	4.6%	17.0%	2	40.0%	18.1%	\$33	29.7%	9.7%	0	0.0%	18.8%	\$0	0.0%	11.3%
	Middle	3	21.4%	\$20	2.8%	20.8%	1	20.0%	21.7%	\$8	7.2%	16.9%	2	22.2%	22.3%	\$12	2.0%	18.3%
	Upper	9	64.3%	\$659	92.6%	43.0%	2	40.0%	48.1%	\$70	63.1%	64.4%	7	77.8%	46.6%	\$589	98.0%	61.3%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	3.2%	\$0	0.0%	5.7%	0	0.0%	2.7%	\$0	0.0%	5.1%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>14</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$712</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$111</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$601</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
MULTI FAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	19.2%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	17.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	20.8%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	43.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Unknown	1	100.0%	\$4,450	100.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	1	100.0%	100.0%	\$4,450	100.0%	100.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$4,450</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$4,450</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HMDA TOTALS	Low	18	3.9%	\$1,415	1.4%	19.2%	6	3.4%	6.4%	\$343	1.0%	2.4%	9	4.4%	6.8%	\$838	1.7%	2.7%
	Moderate	91	19.9%	\$9,530	9.5%	17.0%	36	20.1%	17.4%	\$3,332	9.7%	9.6%	38	18.7%	17.5%	\$4,159	8.3%	10.0%
	Middle	76	16.6%	\$9,513	9.5%	20.8%	29	16.2%	20.9%	\$3,957	11.5%	15.0%	32	15.8%	20.3%	\$3,809	7.6%	15.1%
	Upper	254	55.5%	\$72,628	72.4%	43.0%	100	55.9%	43.4%	\$25,626	74.5%	52.6%	114	56.2%	41.7%	\$35,070	70.3%	53.0%
	Unknown	19	4.1%	\$7,264	7.2%	0.0%	8	4.5%	11.9%	\$1,120	3.3%	20.3%	10	4.9%	13.7%	\$6,033	12.1%	19.3%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>458</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$100,350</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>179</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$34,378</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>203</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$49,909</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>

Originations & Purchases

2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2010 ACS Data

Geographic Distribution of Small Business & Small Farm Loans

Assessment Area: PA Pittsburgh

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014						2015					
		Bank		Small Businesses		Count %	Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar				
		Count #	%	Dollar \$ (000s)	\$ %		%	Bank #	Agg %	Bank \$ 000s	\$ %	Agg \$ %	Bank #	%	Agg %	Bank \$ 000s	\$ %	Agg \$ %
SMALL BUSINESSES	Low	13	9.3%	\$1,491	4.6%	4.5%	3	4.6%	4.1%	\$390	2.3%	5.6%	7	12.1%	4.7%	\$781	6.9%	4.4%
	Moderate	24	17.1%	\$7,429	23.1%	13.1%	14	21.5%	11.8%	\$3,029	17.6%	11.1%	9	15.5%	13.5%	\$3,750	32.9%	11.6%
	Middle	49	35.0%	\$14,048	43.7%	41.0%	22	33.8%	40.2%	\$8,646	50.1%	40.1%	20	34.5%	39.1%	\$3,498	30.7%	40.9%
	Upper	53	37.9%	\$9,044	28.1%	40.9%	25	38.5%	42.8%	\$5,042	29.2%	41.8%	22	37.9%	41.6%	\$3,352	29.5%	41.9%
	Unknown	1	0.7%	\$150	0.5%	0.5%	1	1.5%	0.6%	\$150	0.9%	1.4%	0	0.0%	0.6%	\$0	0.0%	1.1%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	0.5%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%	0	0.0%	0.5%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%
	Total	140	100.0%	\$32,162	100.0%	100.0%	65	100.0%	100.0%	\$17,257	100.0%	100.0%	58	100.0%	100.0%	\$11,381	100.0%	100.0%
SMALL FARM					Small Farms													
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	1.3%	0	0.0%	5.3%	\$0	0.0%	0.5%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	6.7%	0	0.0%	5.3%	\$0	0.0%	8.1%	0	0.0%	10.5%	\$0	0.0%	9.6%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	50.3%	0	0.0%	63.2%	\$0	0.0%	77.6%	0	0.0%	47.4%	\$0	0.0%	32.5%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	41.2%	0	0.0%	26.3%	\$0	0.0%	13.8%	0	0.0%	42.1%	\$0	0.0%	58.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.5%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2015 D&B Information

Small Business & Small Farm Lending By Revenue & Loan Size

Assessment Area: PA Pittsburgh

Product Type		Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison 1/1/2014-6/30/2016					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison												
		Bank				Total Businesses	2014			2015									
		Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar					
		#	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	%	#	%	%	\$ 000s	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$ 000s	\$ %	\$ %	
Small Business	Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	47	33.6%	\$1,798	5.6%	90.2%	17	26.2%	46.4%	\$1,012	5.9%	32.1%	26	44.8%	50.5%	\$696	6.1%	34.0%
		Over \$1 Million	56	40.0%	\$26,228	81.5%	9.0%	32	49.2%					18	31.0%				
		Total Rev. available	103	73.6%	\$28,026	87.1%	99.2%	49	75.4%					44	75.8%				
		Rev. Not Known	37	26.4%	\$4,136	12.9%	0.8%	16	24.6%					14	24.1%				
		Total	140	100.0%	\$32,162	100.0%	100.0%	65	100.0%					58	100.0%				
	Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	75	53.6%	\$2,429	7.6%		31	47.7%	90.4%	\$968	5.6%	34.8%	35	60.3%	91.9%	\$1,041	9.1%	38.5%
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	26	18.6%	\$4,875	15.2%		13	20.0%	4.8%	\$2,475	14.3%	16.4%	10	17.2%	4.2%	\$1,860	16.3%	16.3%
		\$250,001 - \$1 Million	39	27.9%	\$24,858	77.3%		21	32.3%	4.8%	\$13,814	80.0%	48.7%	13	22.4%	3.9%	\$8,480	74.5%	45.2%
		Total	140	100.0%	\$32,162	100.0%		65	100.0%	100.0%	\$17,257	100.0%	100.0%	58	100.0%	100.0%	\$11,381	100.0%	100.0%
	Loan Size & Rev \$1 Mill or Less	\$100,000 or Less	43	91.5%	\$768	42.7%													
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	3	6.4%	\$430	23.9%													
		\$250,001 - \$1 Million	1	2.1%	\$600	33.4%													
		Total	47	100.0%	\$1,798	100.0%													
Small Farm	Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	98.5%	0	0.0%	42.1%	\$0	0.0%	35.8%	0	0.0%	42.1%	\$0	0.0%	69.7%
		Over \$1 Million	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	1.5%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%				
		Total Rev. available	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%				
		Not Known	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%				
		Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%				
	Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	94.7%	\$0	0.0%	57.8%	0	0.0%	94.7%	\$0	0.0%	58.8%
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	5.3%	\$0	0.0%	42.2%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
		\$250,001 - \$500,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	5.3%	\$0	0.0%	41.2%
		Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%
	Loan Size & Rev \$1 Mill or Less	\$100,000 or Less	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%													
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%													
		\$250,001 - \$500,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%													
		Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%													

Originations & Purchases

Aggregate data is unavailable for loans to businesses with revenue over \$1 million or revenue unknown, and for loan size by revenue.
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2015 D&B Information

Geographic Distribution of HMDA Loans

Assessment Area: TN Nashville

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014					2015						
		Bank		Owner Occupied Units	Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar						
		Count	Dollar		Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg						
#	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	%	%		
HOME PURCHASE	Low	37	4.1%	\$7,056	3.5%	3.9%	13	4.0%	3.7%	\$2,543	3.7%	2.9%	12	3.3%	3.8%	\$2,269	2.7%	3.2%
	Moderate	110	12.2%	\$18,862	9.2%	13.8%	38	11.8%	11.9%	\$6,109	8.9%	8.3%	40	10.9%	11.8%	\$7,098	8.5%	8.7%
	Middle	319	35.4%	\$54,770	26.8%	41.1%	114	35.4%	38.2%	\$17,805	26.0%	29.3%	138	37.5%	39.0%	\$24,847	29.9%	30.6%
	Upper	436	48.3%	\$123,517	60.5%	41.2%	157	48.8%	46.2%	\$42,127	61.4%	59.5%	178	48.4%	45.4%	\$49,013	58.9%	57.5%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>902</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$204,205</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>322</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$68,584</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>368</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$83,227</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
REFINANCE	Low	30	3.7%	\$3,534	2.2%	3.9%	11	4.4%	3.7%	\$1,361	2.8%	6.1%	13	3.7%	3.0%	\$1,658	2.4%	2.2%
	Moderate	81	9.9%	\$9,178	5.7%	13.8%	24	9.7%	12.3%	\$2,710	5.5%	11.2%	36	10.1%	10.4%	\$4,341	6.3%	6.7%
	Middle	312	38.0%	\$45,251	28.2%	41.1%	79	31.9%	40.0%	\$10,671	21.6%	32.5%	149	42.0%	37.5%	\$21,043	30.8%	28.8%
	Upper	397	48.4%	\$102,755	63.9%	41.2%	134	54.0%	44.0%	\$34,744	70.2%	50.2%	157	44.2%	49.1%	\$41,327	60.4%	62.4%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>820</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$160,718</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>248</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$49,486</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>355</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$68,369</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	2	1.8%	\$67	0.5%	3.9%	1	2.8%	6.3%	\$64	1.5%	4.4%	1	1.9%	4.7%	\$3	0.1%	4.4%
	Moderate	15	13.2%	\$1,323	10.8%	13.8%	4	11.1%	15.3%	\$517	12.1%	7.8%	7	13.2%	12.8%	\$577	10.0%	8.4%
	Middle	43	37.7%	\$3,459	28.2%	41.1%	12	33.3%	42.0%	\$773	18.1%	33.5%	22	41.5%	40.2%	\$1,865	32.4%	27.5%
	Upper	54	47.4%	\$7,412	60.5%	41.2%	19	52.8%	36.4%	\$2,907	68.2%	54.3%	23	43.4%	42.3%	\$3,304	57.5%	59.7%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>114</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$12,261</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>36</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$4,261</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>53</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$5,749</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
MULTI FAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	17.0%	0	0.0%	15.2%	\$0	0.0%	9.3%	0	0.0%	14.8%	\$0	0.0%	8.4%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	29.2%	0	0.0%	36.2%	\$0	0.0%	30.3%	0	0.0%	41.7%	\$0	0.0%	31.8%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	31.9%	0	0.0%	31.4%	\$0	0.0%	38.2%	0	0.0%	25.2%	\$0	0.0%	28.8%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	21.9%	0	0.0%	17.1%	\$0	0.0%	22.2%	0	0.0%	18.3%	\$0	0.0%	31.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HMDA TOTALS	Low	69	3.8%	\$10,657	2.8%	3.9%	25	4.1%	3.8%	\$3,968	3.2%	4.3%	26	3.4%	3.5%	\$3,930	2.5%	3.2%
	Moderate	206	11.2%	\$29,363	7.8%	13.8%	66	10.9%	12.2%	\$9,336	7.6%	10.7%	83	10.7%	11.3%	\$12,016	7.6%	9.6%
	Middle	674	36.7%	\$103,480	27.4%	41.1%	205	33.8%	38.8%	\$29,249	23.9%	30.9%	309	39.8%	38.5%	\$47,755	30.4%	29.8%
	Upper	887	48.3%	\$233,684	62.0%	41.2%	310	51.2%	45.1%	\$79,778	65.2%	54.1%	358	46.1%	46.6%	\$93,644	59.5%	57.4%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1,836</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$377,184</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>606</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$122,331</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>776</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$157,345</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2010 ACS Data

Borrower Distribution of HMDA Loans

Assessment Area: TN Nashville

PRODUCT TYPE	Borrower Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014						2015					
		Bank				Families by Family Income %	Count			Dollar			Count			Dollar		
		Count	Dollar				Bank	Agg	%	Bank	Agg	%	Bank	Agg	%	Bank	Agg	
#	%	\$(000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$(000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$(000s)	%	%		
HOME PURCHASE	Low	61	6.8%	\$6,209	3.0%	19.7%	35	10.9%	6.3%	\$3,189	4.6%	3.0%	17	4.6%	5.8%	\$1,844	2.2%	2.9%
	Moderate	179	19.8%	\$25,446	12.5%	16.9%	70	21.7%	18.2%	\$8,814	12.9%	11.8%	66	17.9%	16.9%	\$9,501	11.4%	11.1%
	Middle	166	18.4%	\$32,898	16.1%	20.6%	61	18.9%	18.1%	\$10,773	15.7%	15.5%	70	19.0%	19.0%	\$14,361	17.3%	16.6%
	Upper	374	41.5%	\$110,556	54.1%	42.7%	127	39.4%	36.6%	\$39,049	56.9%	50.0%	125	34.0%	37.2%	\$35,694	42.9%	49.9%
	Unknown	122	13.5%	\$29,096	14.2%	0.0%	29	9.0%	20.8%	\$6,759	9.9%	19.6%	90	24.5%	21.0%	\$21,827	26.2%	19.5%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>902</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$204,205</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>322</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$68,584</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>368</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$83,227</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
REFINANCE	Low	56	6.8%	\$5,704	3.5%	19.7%	12	4.8%	6.3%	\$1,113	2.2%	2.5%	28	7.9%	4.9%	\$3,238	4.7%	2.3%
	Moderate	163	19.9%	\$17,992	11.2%	16.9%	48	19.4%	14.7%	\$4,996	10.1%	7.8%	68	19.2%	12.6%	\$7,583	11.1%	7.7%
	Middle	162	19.8%	\$24,206	15.1%	20.6%	44	17.7%	16.9%	\$6,289	12.7%	11.2%	73	20.6%	17.1%	\$10,559	15.4%	13.3%
	Upper	349	42.6%	\$91,065	56.7%	42.7%	124	50.0%	35.7%	\$32,472	65.6%	40.6%	127	35.8%	37.0%	\$33,117	48.4%	49.2%
	Unknown	90	11.0%	\$21,751	13.5%	0.0%	20	8.1%	26.4%	\$4,616	9.3%	37.8%	59	16.6%	28.4%	\$13,872	20.3%	27.5%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>820</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$160,718</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>248</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$49,486</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>355</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$68,369</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	3	2.6%	\$165	1.3%	19.7%	1	2.8%	8.1%	\$67	1.6%	3.2%	1	1.9%	8.2%	\$88	1.5%	2.5%
	Moderate	29	25.4%	\$2,081	17.0%	16.9%	11	30.6%	20.3%	\$1,181	27.7%	11.3%	13	24.5%	17.4%	\$580	10.1%	10.3%
	Middle	34	29.8%	\$3,398	27.7%	20.6%	12	33.3%	20.8%	\$731	17.2%	17.0%	14	26.4%	22.7%	\$2,114	36.8%	19.0%
	Upper	43	37.7%	\$5,749	46.9%	42.7%	12	33.3%	39.6%	\$2,282	53.6%	59.7%	22	41.5%	44.1%	\$2,453	42.7%	59.1%
	Unknown	5	4.4%	\$868	7.1%	0.0%	0	0.0%	11.2%	\$0	0.0%	8.8%	3	5.7%	7.5%	\$514	8.9%	9.2%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>114</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$12,261</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>36</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$4,261</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>53</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$5,749</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
MULTI FAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	19.7%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	16.9%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	20.6%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	42.7%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HMDA TOTALS	Low	120	6.5%	\$12,078	3.2%	19.7%	48	7.9%	6.3%	\$4,369	3.6%	2.6%	46	5.9%	5.5%	\$5,170	3.3%	2.5%
	Moderate	371	20.2%	\$45,519	12.1%	16.9%	129	21.3%	17.2%	\$14,991	12.3%	9.8%	147	18.9%	15.3%	\$17,664	11.2%	9.2%
	Middle	362	19.7%	\$60,502	16.0%	20.6%	117	19.3%	17.8%	\$17,793	14.5%	13.2%	157	20.2%	18.4%	\$27,034	17.2%	14.4%
	Upper	766	41.7%	\$207,370	55.0%	42.7%	263	43.4%	36.4%	\$73,803	60.3%	43.8%	274	35.3%	37.3%	\$71,264	45.3%	46.5%
	Unknown	217	11.8%	\$51,715	13.7%	0.0%	49	8.1%	22.4%	\$11,375	9.3%	30.6%	152	19.6%	23.4%	\$36,213	23.0%	27.4%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1,836</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$377,184</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>606</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$122,331</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>776</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$157,345</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>

Originations & Purchases

2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2010 ACS Data

Geographic Distribution of Small Business & Small Farm Loans

Assessment Area: TN Nashville

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014					2015						
		Bank		Dollar		Small Businesses	Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar				
		Count	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %		%	Bank	Agg	%	\$ 000s	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$ 000s	\$ %
SMALL BUSINESSES	Low	50	6.7%	\$1,491	2.8%	7.5%	21	6.8%	8.3%	\$492	1.9%	9.3%	19	6.8%	7.7%	\$512	2.5%	9.3%
	Moderate	101	13.6%	\$8,490	15.8%	16.8%	43	13.9%	17.4%	\$4,261	16.1%	20.7%	33	11.9%	17.0%	\$3,553	17.7%	21.0%
	Middle	207	27.9%	\$16,600	30.9%	32.3%	97	31.4%	27.5%	\$8,054	30.4%	22.8%	71	25.5%	27.4%	\$6,023	29.9%	24.0%
	Upper	369	49.7%	\$19,455	36.2%	42.9%	145	46.9%	44.9%	\$11,154	42.2%	44.7%	145	52.2%	46.1%	\$5,777	28.7%	42.8%
	Unknown	15	2.0%	\$7,771	14.4%	0.5%	3	1.0%	0.9%	\$2,499	9.4%	2.2%	10	3.6%	0.9%	\$4,247	21.1%	2.7%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	1.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%	0	0.0%	0.9%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%
	Total	742	100.0%	\$53,807	100.0%	100.0%	309	100.0%	100.0%	\$26,460	100.0%	100.0%	278	100.0%	100.0%	\$20,112	100.0%	100.0%
SMALL FARM					Small Farms													
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	1.9%	0	0.0%	0.6%	\$0	0.0%	4.7%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	10.7%	0	0.0%	19.0%	\$0	0.0%	7.8%	0	0.0%	21.0%	\$0	0.0%	17.5%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	47.7%	0	0.0%	46.6%	\$0	0.0%	47.0%	0	0.0%	45.2%	\$0	0.0%	51.2%
	Upper	2	100.0%	\$79	100.0%	39.6%	0	0.0%	33.7%	\$0	0.0%	40.5%	1	100.0%	33.3%	\$39	100.0%	30.3%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.5%	\$0	0.0%	1.0%
Total	2	100.0%	\$79	100.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	1	100.0%	100.0%	\$39	100.0%	100.0%	

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2015 D&B Information

Small Business & Small Farm Lending By Revenue & Loan Size

Assessment Area: TN Nashville

Product Type	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison 1/1/2014-6/30/2016						Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison												
	Bank				Total Businesses	2014			2015										
	Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar		Count		Dollar							
	#	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	%	#	%	%	\$ 000s	\$ %	%	#	%	%	\$ 000s	\$ %	\$ %		
Small Business	Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	523	70.5%	\$18,560	34.5%	91.5%	197	63.8%	46.5%	\$7,488	28.3%	35.4%	192	69.1%	51.2%	\$7,461	37.1%	36.9%
		Over \$1 Million	127	17.1%	\$28,866	53.6%	8.2%	59	19.1%				54	19.4%					
		Total Rev. available	650	87.6%	\$47,426	88.1%	99.7%	256	82.9%				246	88.5%					
		Rev. Not Known	92	12.4%	\$6,381	11.9%	0.3%	53	17.2%				32	11.5%					
		Total	742	100.0%	\$53,807	100.0%	100.0%	309	100.0%				278	100.0%					
	Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	652	87.9%	\$17,593	32.7%		263	85.1%	88.5%	\$7,034	26.6%	22.4%	243	87.4%	89.8%	\$6,753	33.6%	24.8%
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	36	4.9%	\$6,540	12.2%		16	5.2%	5.4%	\$2,993	11.3%	17.4%	14	5.0%	4.6%	\$2,521	12.5%	15.7%
		\$250,001 - \$1 Million	54	7.3%	\$29,674	55.1%		30	9.7%	6.1%	\$16,433	62.1%	60.3%	21	7.6%	5.7%	\$10,838	53.9%	59.5%
		Total	742	100.0%	\$53,807	100.0%		309	100.0%	100.0%	\$26,460	100.0%	100.0%	278	100.0%	100.0%	\$20,112	100.0%	100.0%
	Loan Size & Rev \$1 Mill or Less	\$100,000 or Less	500	95.6%	\$10,074	54.3%													
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	10	1.9%	\$1,923	10.4%													
		\$250,001 - \$1 Million	13	2.5%	\$6,563	35.4%													
Total		523	100.0%	\$18,560	100.0%														
Small Farm	Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	1	50.0%	\$40	50.6%	99.0%	0	0.0%	46.6%	\$0	0.0%	68.7%	0	0.0%	50.0%	\$0	0.0%	58.7%
		Over \$1 Million	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	1.0%	0	0.0%				0	0.0%					
		Total Rev. available	1	50.0%	\$40	50.6%	100.0%	0	0.0%				0	0.0%					
		Not Known	1	50.0%	\$39	49.4%	0.0%	0	0.0%				1	100.0%					
		Total	2	100.0%	\$79	100.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%				1	100.0%					
	Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	2	100.0%	\$79	100.0%		0	0.0%	87.7%	\$0	0.0%	27.7%	1	100.0%	91.4%	\$39	100.0%	43.5%
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	7.4%	\$0	0.0%	28.7%	0	0.0%	6.5%	\$0	0.0%	34.2%
		\$250,001 - \$500,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	4.9%	\$0	0.0%	43.5%	0	0.0%	2.2%	\$0	0.0%	22.3%
		Total	2	100.0%	\$79	100.0%		0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	1	100.0%	100.0%	\$39	100.0%	100.0%
	Loan Size & Rev \$1 Mill or Less	\$100,000 or Less	1	100.0%	\$40	100.0%													
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%													
		\$250,001 - \$500,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%													
Total		1	100.0%	\$40	100.0%														

Originations & Purchases

Aggregate data is unavailable for loans to businesses with revenue over \$1 million or revenue unknown, and for loan size by revenue.
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2015 D&B Information

Geographic Distribution of HMDA Loans

Assessment Area: WV Charleston

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014					2015						
		Bank				Owner Occupied Units	Count			Dollar			Count			Dollar		
		Count	Dollar				Bank	Agg		Bank	Agg		Bank	Agg		Bank	Agg	
#	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	%	%		
HOME PURCHASE	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	6	6.5%	\$611	4.1%	14.3%	2	4.4%	11.3%	\$154	2.1%	8.8%	1	3.1%	11.2%	\$120	2.4%	8.9%
	Middle	46	49.5%	\$5,688	38.4%	61.7%	22	48.9%	57.9%	\$2,532	33.9%	51.2%	18	56.3%	57.6%	\$2,571	52.2%	51.9%
	Upper	41	44.1%	\$8,520	57.5%	24.0%	21	46.7%	30.8%	\$4,778	64.0%	40.0%	13	40.6%	31.2%	\$2,232	45.3%	39.1%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>93</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$14,819</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>45</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$7,464</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>32</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$4,923</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
REFINANCE	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	8	11.6%	\$1,275	15.2%	14.3%	2	9.1%	9.1%	\$378	14.2%	7.4%	5	14.3%	9.0%	\$833	18.2%	7.0%
	Middle	38	55.1%	\$3,706	44.1%	61.7%	14	63.6%	60.5%	\$1,426	53.6%	55.2%	16	45.7%	59.0%	\$1,472	32.1%	52.6%
	Upper	23	33.3%	\$3,431	40.8%	24.0%	6	27.3%	30.4%	\$855	32.2%	37.4%	14	40.0%	32.0%	\$2,278	49.7%	40.3%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>69</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$8,412</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>22</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$2,659</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>35</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$4,583</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	1	4.5%	\$44	3.1%	14.3%	0	0.0%	12.0%	\$0	0.0%	8.2%	1	12.5%	13.1%	\$44	8.1%	9.5%
	Middle	12	54.5%	\$793	56.6%	61.7%	5	62.5%	62.2%	\$357	74.2%	60.3%	5	62.5%	58.6%	\$340	62.8%	55.2%
	Upper	9	40.9%	\$565	40.3%	24.0%	3	37.5%	25.8%	\$124	25.8%	31.5%	2	25.0%	28.3%	\$157	29.0%	35.3%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>22</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$1,402</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$481</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$541</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
MULTI FAMILY	Multi-Family Units																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	42.2%	0	0.0%	24.2%	\$0	0.0%	9.7%	0	0.0%	44.0%	\$0	0.0%	53.8%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	33.7%	0	0.0%	45.5%	\$0	0.0%	79.3%	0	0.0%	32.0%	\$0	0.0%	14.8%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	24.1%	0	0.0%	30.3%	\$0	0.0%	11.0%	0	0.0%	24.0%	\$0	0.0%	31.4%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	
HMDA TOTALS	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	15	8.2%	\$1,930	7.8%	14.3%	4	5.3%	10.6%	\$532	5.0%	8.4%	7	9.3%	10.8%	\$997	9.9%	9.3%
	Middle	96	52.2%	\$10,187	41.4%	61.7%	41	54.7%	59.2%	\$4,315	40.7%	55.7%	39	52.0%	58.0%	\$4,383	43.6%	51.5%
	Upper	73	39.7%	\$12,516	50.8%	24.0%	30	40.0%	30.2%	\$5,757	54.3%	35.9%	29	38.7%	31.1%	\$4,667	46.5%	39.2%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>184</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$24,633</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>75</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$10,604</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>75</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$10,047</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2010 ACS Data

Borrower Distribution of HMDA Loans

Assessment Area: WV Charleston

PRODUCT TYPE	Borrower Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014						2015					
		Bank				Families by Family Income	Count			Dollar			Count			Dollar		
		Count	Dollar				Bank	Agg	%	Bank	Agg	%	Bank	Agg	%	Bank	Agg	
#	%	\$(000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$(000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$(000s)	%	%		
HOME PURCHASE	Low	10	10.8%	\$711	4.8%	18.6%	5	11.1%	9.2%	\$421	5.6%	4.4%	2	6.3%	8.1%	\$139	2.8%	4.1%
	Moderate	18	19.4%	\$1,666	11.2%	18.0%	9	20.0%	17.9%	\$822	11.0%	10.8%	6	18.8%	21.4%	\$538	10.9%	15.3%
	Middle	23	24.7%	\$3,124	21.1%	21.2%	8	17.8%	21.6%	\$1,010	13.5%	18.3%	12	37.5%	21.7%	\$1,666	33.8%	19.5%
	Upper	39	41.9%	\$8,827	59.6%	42.2%	21	46.7%	35.8%	\$4,855	65.0%	52.7%	11	34.4%	30.7%	\$2,445	49.7%	44.4%
	Unknown	3	3.2%	\$491	3.3%	0.0%	2	4.4%	15.5%	\$356	4.8%	13.8%	1	3.1%	18.2%	\$135	2.7%	16.6%
	<i>Total</i>	93	100.0%	\$14,819	100.0%	100.0%	45	100.0%	100.0%	\$7,464	100.0%	100.0%	32	100.0%	100.0%	\$4,923	100.0%	100.0%
REFINANCE	Low	5	7.2%	\$375	4.5%	18.6%	1	4.5%	6.2%	\$48	1.8%	3.3%	3	8.6%	6.1%	\$266	5.8%	3.4%
	Moderate	13	18.8%	\$968	11.5%	18.0%	6	27.3%	15.6%	\$404	15.2%	10.3%	6	17.1%	15.7%	\$468	10.2%	9.9%
	Middle	14	20.3%	\$1,196	14.2%	21.2%	6	27.3%	24.5%	\$486	18.3%	19.9%	5	14.3%	21.9%	\$418	9.1%	15.2%
	Upper	35	50.7%	\$5,688	67.6%	42.2%	8	36.4%	41.5%	\$1,640	61.7%	52.4%	21	60.0%	41.6%	\$3,431	74.9%	53.1%
	Unknown	2	2.9%	\$185	2.2%	0.0%	1	4.5%	12.2%	\$81	3.0%	14.1%	0	0.0%	14.7%	\$0	0.0%	18.4%
	<i>Total</i>	69	100.0%	\$8,412	100.0%	100.0%	22	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,659	100.0%	100.0%	35	100.0%	100.0%	\$4,583	100.0%	100.0%
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	2	9.1%	\$49	3.5%	18.6%	0	0.0%	11.4%	\$0	0.0%	3.5%	2	25.0%	14.4%	\$49	9.1%	6.5%
	Moderate	4	18.2%	\$86	6.1%	18.0%	2	25.0%	20.6%	\$13	2.7%	12.8%	1	12.5%	19.4%	\$8	1.5%	11.8%
	Middle	7	31.8%	\$291	20.8%	21.2%	4	50.0%	20.3%	\$208	43.2%	18.3%	2	25.0%	19.9%	\$19	3.5%	16.7%
	Upper	8	36.4%	\$909	64.8%	42.2%	2	25.0%	38.8%	\$260	54.1%	56.3%	3	37.5%	41.7%	\$465	86.0%	58.4%
	Unknown	1	4.5%	\$67	4.8%	0.0%	0	0.0%	8.9%	\$0	0.0%	9.2%	0	0.0%	4.5%	\$0	0.0%	6.6%
	<i>Total</i>	22	100.0%	\$1,402	100.0%	100.0%	8	100.0%	100.0%	\$481	100.0%	100.0%	8	100.0%	100.0%	\$541	100.0%	100.0%
MULTI FAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	18.6%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	18.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	21.2%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	42.2%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%
	<i>Total</i>	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%
HMDA TOTALS	Low	17	9.2%	\$1,135	4.6%	18.6%	6	8.0%	8.1%	\$469	4.4%	3.6%	7	9.3%	8.0%	\$454	4.5%	3.9%
	Moderate	35	19.0%	\$2,720	11.0%	18.0%	17	22.7%	17.1%	\$1,239	11.7%	9.6%	13	17.3%	19.0%	\$1,014	10.1%	12.8%
	Middle	44	23.9%	\$4,611	18.7%	21.2%	18	24.0%	22.4%	\$1,704	16.1%	17.0%	19	25.3%	21.4%	\$2,103	20.9%	17.3%
	Upper	82	44.6%	\$15,424	62.6%	42.2%	31	41.3%	37.9%	\$6,755	63.7%	47.4%	35	46.7%	35.6%	\$6,341	63.1%	47.2%
	Unknown	6	3.3%	\$743	3.0%	0.0%	3	4.0%	14.4%	\$437	4.1%	22.5%	1	1.3%	16.0%	\$135	1.3%	18.8%
	<i>Total</i>	184	100.0%	\$24,633	100.0%	100.0%	75	100.0%	100.0%	\$10,604	100.0%	100.0%	75	100.0%	100.0%	\$10,047	100.0%	100.0%

Originations & Purchases

2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2010 ACS Data

Geographic Distribution of Small Business & Small Farm Loans

Assessment Area: WV Charleston

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014					2015						
		Bank		Small Businesses		Count %	Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar				
		Count #	%	Dollar \$ (000s)	\$ %		Bank #	Agg %	Bank \$ 000s	Agg \$ %	Agg \$ %	Bank #	Agg %	Bank \$ 000s	Agg \$ %	Agg \$ %		
SMALL BUSINESSES	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	20	32.3%	\$3,682	34.5%	29.5%	8	29.6%	26.1%	\$1,537	31.4%	38.6%	11	37.9%	27.6%	\$1,345	30.7%	37.1%
	Middle	26	41.9%	\$5,252	49.3%	45.7%	13	48.1%	45.6%	\$2,635	53.9%	38.6%	12	41.4%	44.1%	\$2,597	59.2%	35.2%
	Upper	16	25.8%	\$1,728	16.2%	24.8%	6	22.2%	25.5%	\$717	14.7%	22.5%	6	20.7%	25.7%	\$444	10.1%	26.4%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	2.8%	\$0	0.0%	0.3%	0	0.0%	2.6%	\$0	0.0%	1.2%
	Total	62	100.0%	\$10,662	100.0%	100.0%	27	100.0%	100.0%	\$4,889	100.0%	100.0%	29	100.0%	100.0%	\$4,386	100.0%	100.0%
SMALL FARM						Small Farms												
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	11.1%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	8.3%	\$0	0.0%	0.4%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	72.2%	0	0.0%	50.0%	\$0	0.0%	95.4%	0	0.0%	66.7%	\$0	0.0%	61.8%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	16.7%	0	0.0%	50.0%	\$0	0.0%	4.6%	0	0.0%	25.0%	\$0	0.0%	37.9%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2015 D&B Information

Small Business & Small Farm Lending By Revenue & Loan Size

Assessment Area: WV Charleston

Product Type		Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison 1/1/2014-6/30/2016					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison												
		Bank				Total Businesses	2014			2015									
		Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar					
		#	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	%	Bank	Agg	%	\$ 000s	\$ %	\$ %	Bank	Agg	%	\$ 000s	\$ %	\$ %	
Small Business	Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	25	40.3%	\$1,963	18.4%	88.7%	6	22.2%	38.0%	\$784	16.0%	31.8%	15	51.7%	44.0%	\$792	18.1%	36.7%
		Over \$1 Million	24	38.7%	\$6,855	64.3%	9.6%	11	40.7%					11	37.9%				
		Total Rev. available	49	79.0%	\$8,818	82.7%	98.3%	17	62.9%					26	89.6%				
		Rev. Not Known	13	21.0%	\$1,844	17.3%	1.7%	10	37.0%					3	10.3%				
		Total	62	100.0%	\$10,662	100.0%	100.0%	27	100.0%					29	100.0%				
	Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	37	59.7%	\$1,747	16.4%		13	48.1%	82.8%	\$641	13.1%	18.7%	21	72.4%	84.8%	\$986	22.5%	20.8%
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	9	14.5%	\$1,939	18.2%		7	25.9%	8.0%	\$1,489	30.5%	17.6%	1	3.4%	7.0%	\$250	5.7%	16.6%
		\$250,001 - \$1 Million	16	25.8%	\$6,976	65.4%		7	25.9%	9.2%	\$2,759	56.4%	63.6%	7	24.1%	8.3%	\$3,150	71.8%	62.6%
		Total	62	100.0%	\$10,662	100.0%		27	100.0%	100.0%	\$4,889	100.0%	100.0%	29	100.0%	100.0%	\$4,386	100.0%	100.0%
	Loan Size & Rev \$1 Mill or Less	\$100,000 or Less	20	80.0%	\$658	33.5%													
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	2	8.0%	\$415	21.1%													
		\$250,001 - \$1 Million	3	12.0%	\$890	45.3%													
		Total	25	100.0%	\$1,963	100.0%													
Small Farm	Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	41.7%	\$0	0.0%	60.6%
		Over \$1 Million	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%				
		Total Rev. available	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%				
		Not Known	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%				
		Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%				
	Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	50.0%	\$0	0.0%	4.6%	0	0.0%	58.3%	\$0	0.0%	13.7%
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	50.0%	\$0	0.0%	95.4%	0	0.0%	25.0%	\$0	0.0%	37.3%
		\$250,001 - \$500,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	16.7%	\$0	0.0%	49.0%
		Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%
	Loan Size & Rev \$1 Mill or Less	\$100,000 or Less	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%													
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%													
		\$250,001 - \$500,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%													
		Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%													

Originations & Purchases

Aggregate data is unavailable for loans to businesses with revenue over \$1 million or revenue unknown, and for loan size by revenue.
2016 FFIEC Census Data and 2015 D&B Information

APPENDIX F
LIMITED-SCOPE LENDING TABLES

Geographic Distribution of HMDA, Small Business, & Small Farm Loans
Assessment Area: GA Augusta

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison 1/1/2014-6/30/2016					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		Bank				Owner Occupied Units	2014			2015								
		Count		Dollar			Bank	Agg	Dollar		Count		Dollar					
		#	%	\$ (000s)	%	%			%	\$ (000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	%	%
HOME PURCHASE	Low	2	2.2%	\$158	1.2%	5.1%	0	0.0%	1.5%	\$0	0.0%	0.8%	1	4.8%	1.4%	\$86	2.5%	0.8%
	Moderate	16	18.0%	\$1,262	9.9%	18.3%	9	18.4%	6.9%	\$593	9.9%	3.8%	4	19.0%	7.7%	\$297	8.5%	4.5%
	Middle	28	31.5%	\$3,097	24.2%	34.3%	19	38.8%	35.8%	\$1,886	31.5%	30.8%	3	14.3%	36.9%	\$474	13.5%	31.8%
	Upper	43	48.3%	\$8,284	64.7%	42.3%	21	42.9%	55.8%	\$3,511	58.6%	64.6%	13	61.9%	53.9%	\$2,651	75.6%	62.8%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>89</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$12,801</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>49</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$5,990</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>21</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$3,508</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
REFINANCE	Low	2	6.5%	\$108	2.7%	5.1%	2	15.4%	2.2%	\$108	6.9%	6.2%	0	0.0%	1.8%	\$0	0.0%	1.3%
	Moderate	4	12.9%	\$335	8.4%	18.3%	2	15.4%	12.3%	\$202	13.0%	41.9%	2	13.3%	12.1%	\$133	7.6%	7.1%
	Middle	8	25.8%	\$738	18.6%	34.3%	3	23.1%	41.1%	\$319	20.5%	35.4%	5	33.3%	36.9%	\$419	23.9%	31.7%
	Upper	17	54.8%	\$2,795	70.3%	42.3%	6	46.2%	44.4%	\$927	59.6%	16.5%	8	53.3%	49.3%	\$1,200	68.5%	59.9%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>31</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$3,976</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>13</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$1,556</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>15</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$1,752</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	5.1%	0	0.0%	4.3%	\$0	0.0%	1.3%	0	0.0%	3.2%	\$0	0.0%	0.7%
	Moderate	3	37.5%	\$138	17.0%	18.3%	2	33.3%	16.9%	\$87	12.9%	7.1%	1	100.0%	14.6%	\$51	100.0%	6.5%
	Middle	2	25.0%	\$153	18.9%	34.3%	1	16.7%	33.2%	\$68	10.1%	27.7%	0	0.0%	34.7%	\$0	0.0%	27.4%
	Upper	3	37.5%	\$519	64.1%	42.3%	3	50.0%	45.6%	\$519	77.0%	63.9%	0	0.0%	47.6%	\$0	0.0%	65.4%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$810</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$674</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$51</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
MULTI-FAMILY	Multi-Family Units																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	10.9%	0	0.0%	23.5%	\$0	0.0%	9.8%	0	0.0%	7.1%	\$0	0.0%	5.6%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	31.3%	0	0.0%	47.1%	\$0	0.0%	12.9%	0	0.0%	39.3%	\$0	0.0%	17.8%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	32.6%	0	0.0%	17.6%	\$0	0.0%	58.0%	0	0.0%	21.4%	\$0	0.0%	25.9%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	25.3%	0	0.0%	11.8%	\$0	0.0%	19.3%	0	0.0%	32.1%	\$0	0.0%	50.7%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	
HMDA TOTALS	Low	4	3.1%	\$266	1.5%	5.1%	2	2.9%	1.9%	\$108	1.3%	4.8%	1	2.7%	1.6%	\$86	1.6%	1.3%
	Moderate	23	18.0%	\$1,735	9.9%	18.3%	13	19.1%	9.0%	\$882	10.7%	31.1%	7	18.9%	9.5%	\$481	9.1%	6.2%
	Middle	38	29.7%	\$3,988	22.7%	34.3%	23	33.8%	37.3%	\$2,273	27.7%	34.3%	8	21.6%	36.8%	\$893	16.8%	31.3%
	Upper	63	49.2%	\$11,598	65.9%	42.3%	30	44.1%	51.8%	\$4,957	60.3%	29.8%	21	56.8%	52.1%	\$3,851	72.5%	61.2%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>128</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$17,587</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>68</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$8,220</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>37</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$5,311</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
SMALL BUSINESSES	Small Businesses																	
	Low	2	14.3%	\$309	38.3%	7.4%	0	0.0%	7.7%	\$0	0.0%	11.5%	2	40.0%	7.1%	\$309	70.2%	10.3%
	Moderate	1	7.1%	\$6	0.7%	16.2%	0	0.0%	10.8%	\$0	0.0%	8.9%	1	20.0%	12.2%	\$6	1.4%	9.7%
	Middle	5	35.7%	\$111	13.8%	32.9%	1	25.0%	32.0%	\$25	13.0%	34.7%	1	20.0%	30.4%	\$25	5.7%	32.3%
	Upper	6	42.9%	\$381	47.2%	43.5%	3	75.0%	47.9%	\$167	87.0%	44.2%	1	20.0%	48.9%	\$100	22.7%	47.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	1.6%	\$0	0.0%	0.7%	0	0.0%	1.5%	\$0	0.0%	0.7%
<i>Total</i>	<i>14</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$807</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$192</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$440</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	
SMALL FARM	Small Farms																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	6.5%	0	0.0%	2.6%	\$0	0.0%	1.9%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	12.9%	0	0.0%	5.3%	\$0	0.0%	2.6%	0	0.0%	9.7%	\$0	0.0%	4.4%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	25.8%	0	0.0%	36.8%	\$0	0.0%	34.4%	0	0.0%	22.6%	\$0	0.0%	20.6%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	54.8%	0	0.0%	55.3%	\$0	0.0%	61.0%	0	0.0%	67.7%	\$0	0.0%	74.9%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2015 D&B Information

Borrower Distribution of HMDA Loans & Small Business/Small Farm Lending by Revenue & Loan Size

Assessment Area: GA Augusta

PRODUCTTYPE	Borrower Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014						2015					
		Bank		Families by Family Income		Count	Count		Dollar		Count	Dollar		Count	Dollar			
		Count	Dollar	%	Bank		Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank		Agg	Bank		Agg			
#	%	\$(000s)	\$ %	%	#	%	%	\$(000s)	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$(000s)	\$ %	\$ %		
HOME PURCHASE	Low	15	16.9%	\$961	7.5%	22.8%	10	20.4%	3.6%	\$542	9.0%	1.6%	4	19.0%	3.8%	\$350	10.0%	1.7%
	Moderate	25	28.1%	\$2,678	20.9%	16.1%	18	36.7%	13.0%	\$2,026	33.8%	8.8%	3	14.3%	14.0%	\$295	8.4%	9.8%
	Middle	22	24.7%	\$3,509	27.4%	18.6%	10	20.4%	21.9%	\$1,405	23.5%	20.1%	7	33.3%	21.1%	\$1,353	38.6%	19.4%
	Upper	24	27.0%	\$5,121	40.0%	42.6%	11	22.4%	35.6%	\$2,017	33.7%	45.7%	5	23.8%	34.4%	\$1,183	33.7%	44.1%
	Unknown	3	3.4%	\$532	4.2%	0.0%	0	0.0%	25.9%	\$0	0.0%	23.8%	2	9.5%	26.7%	\$327	9.3%	25.0%
	Total	89	100.0%	\$12,801	100.0%	100.0%	49	100.0%	100.0%	\$5,990	100.0%	100.0%	21	100.0%	100.0%	\$3,508	100.0%	100.0%
REFINANCE	Low	6	19.4%	\$363	9.1%	22.8%	2	15.4%	3.6%	\$107	6.9%	0.3%	4	26.7%	3.6%	\$256	14.6%	2.0%
	Moderate	3	9.7%	\$337	8.5%	16.1%	2	15.4%	8.6%	\$137	8.8%	0.8%	1	6.7%	7.8%	\$200	11.4%	5.1%
	Middle	8	25.8%	\$1,167	29.4%	18.6%	4	30.8%	12.3%	\$750	48.2%	1.5%	4	26.7%	13.0%	\$417	23.8%	10.3%
	Upper	10	32.3%	\$1,468	36.9%	42.6%	3	23.1%	28.5%	\$360	23.1%	5.0%	6	40.0%	29.2%	\$879	50.2%	34.1%
	Unknown	4	12.9%	\$641	16.1%	0.0%	2	15.4%	47.0%	\$202	13.0%	92.5%	0	0.0%	46.4%	\$0	0.0%	48.5%
	Total	31	100.0%	\$3,976	100.0%	100.0%	13	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,556	100.0%	100.0%	15	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,752	100.0%	100.0%
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	2	25.0%	\$75	9.3%	22.8%	1	16.7%	11.7%	\$24	3.6%	1.9%	1	100.0%	6.8%	\$51	100.0%	2.6%
	Moderate	3	37.5%	\$188	23.2%	16.1%	2	33.3%	15.3%	\$103	15.3%	7.5%	0	0.0%	18.4%	\$0	0.0%	9.3%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	18.6%	0	0.0%	18.9%	\$0	0.0%	13.2%	0	0.0%	18.4%	\$0	0.0%	10.0%
	Upper	3	37.5%	\$547	67.5%	42.6%	3	50.0%	43.4%	\$547	81.2%	49.5%	0	0.0%	47.3%	\$0	0.0%	59.6%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	10.7%	\$0	0.0%	27.8%	0	0.0%	9.0%	\$0	0.0%	18.6%
	Total	8	100.0%	\$810	100.0%	100.0%	6	100.0%	100.0%	\$674	100.0%	100.0%	1	100.0%	100.0%	\$51	100.0%	100.0%
MULTIFAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	22.8%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	16.1%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	18.6%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	42.6%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%
	Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%
HMDA TOTALS	Low	23	18.0%	\$1,399	8.0%	22.8%	13	19.1%	3.9%	\$673	8.2%	0.6%	9	24.3%	3.8%	\$657	12.4%	1.7%
	Moderate	31	24.2%	\$3,203	18.2%	16.1%	22	32.4%	11.7%	\$2,266	27.6%	3.0%	4	10.8%	12.1%	\$495	9.3%	7.8%
	Middle	30	23.4%	\$4,676	26.6%	18.6%	14	20.6%	18.8%	\$2,155	26.2%	6.6%	11	29.7%	18.4%	\$1,770	33.3%	15.5%
	Upper	37	28.9%	\$7,136	40.6%	42.6%	17	25.0%	33.7%	\$2,924	35.6%	16.1%	11	29.7%	33.1%	\$2,062	38.8%	38.5%
	Unknown	7	5.5%	\$1,173	6.7%	0.0%	2	2.9%	31.8%	\$202	2.5%	73.7%	2	5.4%	32.5%	\$327	6.2%	36.6%
	Total	128	100.0%	\$17,587	100.0%	100.0%	68	100.0%	100.0%	\$8,220	100.0%	100.0%	37	100.0%	100.0%	\$5,311	100.0%	100.0%
Small Business Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	7	50.0%	\$145	18.0%	93.3%	1	25.0%	49.9%	\$5	2.6%	44.5%	2	40.0%	52.4%	\$15	3.4%	45.4%
	Over \$1 Million	2	14.3%	\$350	43.4%	6.4%	0	0.0%				1	20.0%					
	Total Rev. available	9	64.3%	\$495	61.4%	99.7%	1	25.0%				3	60.0%					
	Rev. Not Known	5	35.7%	\$312	38.7%	0.3%	3	75.0%				2	40.0%					
	Total	14	100.0%	\$807	100.0%	100.0%	4	100.0%				5	100.0%					
Small Business Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	13	92.9%	\$507	62.8%		4	100.0%	88.0%	\$192	100.0%	26.2%	4	80.0%	88.1%	\$140	31.8%	27.1%
	\$100,001 - \$250,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	6.9%	\$0	0.0%	23.2%	0	0.0%	6.7%	\$0	0.0%	22.6%
	\$250,001 - \$1 Million	1	7.1%	\$300	37.2%		0	0.0%	5.1%	\$0	0.0%	50.6%	1	20.0%	5.2%	\$300	68.2%	50.3%
	Total	14	100.0%	\$807	100.0%		4	100.0%	100.0%	\$192	100.0%	100.0%	5	100.0%	100.0%	\$440	100.0%	100.0%
	Small Farm Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	57.9%	\$0	0.0%	80.3%	0	0.0%	64.5%	\$0	0.0%
Over \$1 Million		0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%				0	0.0%					
Not Known		0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%				0	0.0%					
Total		0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%				0	0.0%					
Small Farm Loan Size		\$100,000 or Less	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	73.7%	\$0	0.0%	27.6%	0	0.0%	80.6%	\$0	0.0%
	\$100,001 - \$250,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	21.1%	\$0	0.0%	44.9%	0	0.0%	12.9%	\$0	0.0%	26.3%
	\$250,001 - \$500,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	5.3%	\$0	0.0%	27.5%	0	0.0%	6.5%	\$0	0.0%	38.3%
	Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%

Originations & Purchases
Aggregate data is unavailable for loans to businesses with revenue over \$1 million or revenue unknown, and for loan size by revenue.
2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2015 D&B Information

Geographic Distribution of HMDA, Small Business, & Small Farm Loans
Assessment Area: FL Cape Coral

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison 1/1/2014-6/30/2016					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		Bank				Owner Occupied Units	2014			2015								
		Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar				
		#	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	Bank	Agg	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %
HOME PURCHASE	Low	3	0.5%	\$397	0.3%	1.3%	1	0.4%	0.4%	\$77	0.2%	0.2%	1	0.4%	1.2%	\$60	0.1%	1.0%
	Moderate	65	9.8%	\$11,060	7.8%	14.2%	27	9.7%	11.9%	\$3,794	7.7%	8.4%	20	8.1%	12.2%	\$3,304	5.9%	8.8%
	Middle	362	54.4%	\$63,255	44.5%	55.6%	154	55.4%	52.8%	\$23,314	47.2%	44.6%	146	59.1%	54.6%	\$27,588	49.0%	47.1%
	Upper	236	35.4%	\$67,539	47.5%	28.9%	96	34.5%	34.8%	\$22,176	44.9%	46.9%	80	32.4%	32.0%	\$25,307	45.0%	43.1%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Total	666	100.0%	\$142,251	100.0%	100.0%	278	100.0%	100.0%	\$49,361	100.0%	100.0%	247	100.0%	100.0%	\$56,259	100.0%	100.0%
REFINANCE	Low	5	1.4%	\$471	0.7%	1.3%	2	1.9%	0.5%	\$194	0.9%	0.3%	2	1.2%	0.4%	\$92	0.3%	0.2%
	Moderate	31	8.6%	\$3,809	5.3%	14.2%	14	13.2%	10.4%	\$1,272	6.1%	7.7%	10	6.2%	9.2%	\$1,867	5.6%	6.5%
	Middle	195	54.3%	\$31,628	43.7%	55.6%	52	49.1%	56.5%	\$7,418	35.5%	47.4%	86	53.4%	56.0%	\$13,935	42.1%	47.3%
	Upper	128	35.7%	\$36,497	50.4%	28.9%	38	35.8%	32.6%	\$11,984	57.4%	44.6%	63	39.1%	34.4%	\$17,242	52.0%	46.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Total	359	100.0%	\$72,405	100.0%	100.0%	106	100.0%	100.0%	\$20,868	100.0%	100.0%	161	100.0%	100.0%	\$33,136	100.0%	100.0%
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	1.3%	0	0.0%	0.7%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%	0	0.0%	1.2%	\$0	0.0%	0.3%
	Moderate	1	4.2%	\$5	0.1%	14.2%	1	10.0%	12.8%	\$5	0.3%	5.9%	0	0.0%	10.1%	\$0	0.0%	4.1%
	Middle	14	58.3%	\$1,900	54.3%	55.6%	4	40.0%	60.8%	\$631	34.6%	53.7%	7	63.6%	60.4%	\$1,083	72.6%	53.4%
	Upper	9	37.5%	\$1,597	45.6%	28.9%	5	50.0%	25.7%	\$1,189	65.2%	40.3%	4	36.4%	28.0%	\$408	27.4%	42.1%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.2%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%
	Total	24	100.0%	\$3,502	100.0%	100.0%	10	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,825	100.0%	100.0%	11	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,491	100.0%	100.0%
MULTI-FAMILY	Multi-Family Units																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	7.8%	0	0.0%	5.3%	\$0	0.0%	16.1%	0	0.0%	6.8%	\$0	0.0%	11.8%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	15.0%	0	0.0%	21.1%	\$0	0.0%	19.8%	0	0.0%	29.5%	\$0	0.0%	54.9%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	39.7%	0	0.0%	42.1%	\$0	0.0%	7.3%	0	0.0%	36.4%	\$0	0.0%	29.4%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	37.4%	0	0.0%	31.6%	\$0	0.0%	56.8%	0	0.0%	27.3%	\$0	0.0%	3.8%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	
HMDA TOTALS	Low	8	0.8%	\$868	0.4%	1.3%	3	0.8%	0.4%	\$271	0.4%	0.7%	3	0.7%	1.0%	\$152	0.2%	1.2%
	Moderate	97	9.2%	\$14,874	6.8%	14.2%	42	10.7%	11.6%	\$5,071	7.0%	8.5%	30	7.2%	11.3%	\$5,171	5.7%	10.0%
	Middle	571	54.4%	\$96,783	44.4%	55.6%	210	53.3%	54.0%	\$31,363	43.5%	44.2%	239	57.0%	55.1%	\$42,606	46.9%	46.5%
	Upper	373	35.6%	\$105,633	48.4%	28.9%	139	35.3%	34.0%	\$35,349	49.1%	46.5%	147	35.1%	32.5%	\$42,957	47.3%	42.3%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Total	1,049	100.0%	\$218,158	100.0%	100.0%	394	100.0%	100.0%	\$72,054	100.0%	100.0%	419	100.0%	100.0%	\$90,886	100.0%	100.0%
SMALL BUSINESSES	Small Businesses																	
	Low	12	2.8%	\$1,633	4.0%	3.1%	2	1.3%	3.2%	\$83	0.6%	5.6%	4	2.2%	3.0%	\$772	4.4%	5.1%
	Moderate	44	10.3%	\$5,094	12.6%	14.6%	16	10.3%	12.8%	\$1,054	7.7%	13.6%	20	10.9%	12.6%	\$3,158	17.8%	15.1%
	Middle	237	55.5%	\$22,340	55.1%	52.8%	85	54.5%	49.5%	\$8,775	64.2%	45.5%	99	54.1%	49.9%	\$9,310	52.5%	43.7%
	Upper	134	31.4%	\$11,512	28.4%	29.4%	53	34.0%	33.6%	\$3,752	27.5%	34.9%	60	32.8%	33.8%	\$4,481	25.3%	35.6%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	0.9%	\$0	0.0%	0.5%	0	0.0%	0.8%	\$0	0.0%	0.5%
Total	427	100.0%	\$40,579	100.0%	100.0%	156	100.0%	100.0%	\$13,664	100.0%	100.0%	183	100.0%	100.0%	\$17,721	100.0%	100.0%	
SMALL FARM	Small Farms																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	1.2%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	1	50.0%	\$6	42.9%	14.6%	0	0.0%	8.5%	\$0	0.0%	10.1%	0	0.0%	8.9%	\$0	0.0%	17.3%
	Middle	1	50.0%	\$8	57.1%	43.9%	1	100.0%	38.3%	\$8	100.0%	45.3%	0	0.0%	48.9%	\$0	0.0%	49.3%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	40.2%	0	0.0%	53.2%	\$0	0.0%	44.6%	0	0.0%	42.2%	\$0	0.0%	33.4%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	2	100.0%	\$14	100.0%	100.0%	1	100.0%	100.0%	\$8	100.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2015 D&B Information

Borrower Distribution of HMDA Loans & Small Business/Small Farm Lending by Revenue & Loan Size

Assessment Area: FL Cape Coral

PRODUCT TYPE	Borrower Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014						2015					
		Bank		Families by Family Income			Count		Dollar				Count		Dollar			
		Count	Dollar	%			Bank	Agg	%			Bank	Agg	%				
#	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %		
HOME PURCHASE	Low	28	4.2%	\$2,641	1.9%	19.0%	15	5.4%	4.4%	\$1,427	2.9%	1.9%	9	3.6%	3.4%	\$898	1.6%	1.6%
	Moderate	98	14.7%	\$11,807	8.3%	19.0%	47	16.9%	15.4%	\$5,390	10.9%	9.1%	36	14.6%	15.1%	\$4,539	8.1%	9.0%
	Middle	111	16.7%	\$16,471	11.6%	21.4%	47	16.9%	17.5%	\$6,037	12.2%	13.3%	43	17.4%	18.0%	\$6,958	12.4%	14.0%
	Upper	349	52.4%	\$93,882	66.0%	40.7%	134	48.2%	50.0%	\$29,603	60.0%	64.5%	118	47.8%	48.7%	\$33,878	60.2%	62.0%
	Unknown	80	12.0%	\$17,450	12.3%	0.0%	35	12.6%	12.7%	\$6,904	14.0%	11.2%	41	16.6%	14.8%	\$9,986	17.8%	13.4%
	Total	666	100.0%	\$142,251	100.0%	100.0%	278	100.0%	100.0%	\$49,361	100.0%	100.0%	247	100.0%	100.0%	\$56,259	100.0%	100.0%
REFINANCE	Low	25	7.0%	\$2,605	3.6%	19.0%	9	8.5%	6.8%	\$1,102	5.3%	3.8%	7	4.3%	4.7%	\$780	2.4%	2.5%
	Moderate	60	16.7%	\$6,289	8.7%	19.0%	14	13.2%	14.9%	\$1,203	5.8%	8.7%	28	17.4%	13.0%	\$3,021	9.1%	7.8%
	Middle	70	19.5%	\$8,856	12.2%	21.4%	23	21.7%	18.8%	\$2,483	11.9%	13.9%	28	17.4%	17.0%	\$3,711	11.2%	12.7%
	Upper	185	51.5%	\$50,808	70.2%	40.7%	54	50.9%	43.6%	\$14,949	71.6%	56.6%	87	54.0%	44.6%	\$23,263	70.2%	56.6%
	Unknown	19	5.3%	\$3,847	5.3%	0.0%	6	5.7%	15.9%	\$1,131	5.4%	17.0%	11	6.8%	20.7%	\$2,361	7.1%	20.5%
	Total	359	100.0%	\$72,405	100.0%	100.0%	106	100.0%	100.0%	\$20,868	100.0%	100.0%	161	100.0%	100.0%	\$33,136	100.0%	100.0%
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	1	4.2%	\$20	0.6%	19.0%	1	10.0%	7.9%	\$20	1.1%	2.0%	0	0.0%	6.1%	\$0	0.0%	1.5%
	Moderate	4	16.7%	\$251	7.2%	19.0%	1	10.0%	18.3%	\$5	0.3%	7.9%	1	9.1%	17.6%	\$143	9.6%	8.7%
	Middle	12	50.0%	\$1,355	38.7%	21.4%	3	30.0%	23.4%	\$229	12.5%	19.6%	8	72.7%	20.5%	\$1,043	70.0%	16.8%
	Upper	7	29.2%	\$1,876	53.6%	40.7%	5	50.0%	46.0%	\$1,571	86.1%	65.8%	2	18.2%	50.9%	\$305	20.5%	63.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	4.4%	\$0	0.0%	4.7%	0	0.0%	4.9%	\$0	0.0%	10.0%
	Total	24	100.0%	\$3,502	100.0%	100.0%	10	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,825	100.0%	100.0%	11	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,491	100.0%	100.0%
MULTIFAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	19.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	19.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	21.4%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	40.7%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%
	Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%
HMDA TOTALS	Low	54	5.1%	\$5,266	2.4%	19.0%	25	6.3%	5.1%	\$2,549	3.5%	2.3%	16	3.8%	3.8%	\$1,678	1.8%	1.7%
	Moderate	162	15.4%	\$18,347	8.4%	19.0%	62	15.7%	15.3%	\$6,598	9.2%	8.7%	65	15.5%	14.6%	\$7,703	8.5%	8.4%
	Middle	193	18.4%	\$26,682	12.2%	21.4%	73	18.5%	18.0%	\$8,749	12.1%	13.1%	79	18.9%	17.8%	\$11,712	12.9%	13.1%
	Upper	541	51.6%	\$146,566	67.2%	40.7%	193	49.0%	48.1%	\$46,123	64.0%	60.6%	207	49.4%	47.6%	\$57,446	63.2%	58.2%
	Unknown	99	9.4%	\$21,297	9.8%	0.0%	41	10.4%	13.5%	\$8,035	11.2%	15.3%	52	12.4%	16.2%	\$12,347	13.6%	18.6%
	Total	1,049	100.0%	\$218,158	100.0%	100.0%	394	100.0%	100.0%	\$72,054	100.0%	100.0%	419	100.0%	100.0%	\$90,886	100.0%	100.0%
Small Business Revenue	Total Businesses																	
	\$1 Million or Less	281	65.8%	\$15,381	37.9%	95.3%	97	62.2%	48.9%	\$4,390	32.1%	35.3%	120	65.6%	54.5%	\$6,045	34.1%	38.0%
	Over \$1 Million	93	21.8%	\$19,894	49.0%	4.5%	31	19.9%				47	25.7%					
	Total Rev. available	374	87.6%	\$35,275	86.9%	99.8%	128	82.1%				167	91.3%					
	Rev. Not Known	53	12.4%	\$5,304	13.1%	0.2%	28	17.9%				16	8.7%					
	Total	427	100.0%	\$40,579	100.0%	100.0%	156	100.0%	100.0%	\$13,664	100.0%	100.0%	183	100.0%	100.0%	\$17,721	100.0%	100.0%
Small Business Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	326	76.3%	\$8,936	22.0%		120	76.9%	95.2%	\$2,995	21.9%	40.1%	140	76.5%	95.5%	\$3,616	20.4%	42.5%
	\$100,001 - \$250,000	47	11.0%	\$8,524	21.0%		18	11.5%	2.4%	\$2,976	21.8%	15.0%	20	10.9%	2.2%	\$3,878	21.9%	13.7%
	\$250,001 - \$1 Million	54	12.6%	\$23,119	57.0%		18	11.5%	2.4%	\$7,693	56.3%	44.9%	23	12.6%	2.3%	\$10,227	57.7%	43.8%
	Total	427	100.0%	\$40,579	100.0%	100.0%	156	100.0%	100.0%	\$13,664	100.0%	100.0%	183	100.0%	100.0%	\$17,721	100.0%	100.0%
	Small Farm Revenue	Total Farms																
		\$1 Million or Less	2	100.0%	\$14	100.0%	95.6%	1	100.0%	59.6%	\$8	100.0%	77.6%	0	0.0%	48.9%	\$0	0.0%
Over \$1 Million		0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	4.1%	0	0.0%				0	0.0%					
Not Known		0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.3%	0	0.0%				0	0.0%					
Total		2	100.0%	\$14	100.0%	100.0%	1	100.0%	100.0%	\$8	100.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%
Small Farm Loan Size		\$100,000 or Less	2	100.0%	\$14	100.0%		1	100.0%	95.7%	\$8	100.0%	56.8%	0	0.0%	86.7%	\$0	0.0%
	\$100,001 - \$250,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	2.1%	\$0	0.0%	13.5%	0	0.0%	11.1%	\$0	0.0%	52.5%
	\$250,001 - \$500,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	2.1%	\$0	0.0%	29.7%	0	0.0%	2.2%	\$0	0.0%	17.9%
	Total	2	100.0%	\$14	100.0%	100.0%	1	100.0%	100.0%	\$8	100.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%

Originations & Purchases
Aggregate data is unavailable for loans to businesses with revenue over \$1 million or revenue unknown, and for loan size by revenue.
2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2015 D&B Information

Geographic Distribution of HMDA, Small Business, & Small Farm Loans
Assessment Area: FL Jacksonville

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison 1/1/2014-6/30/2016					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		Bank				Owner Occupied Units %	2014			2015								
		Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar				
		#	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %	
HOME PURCHASE	Low	4	1.4%	\$233	0.3%	3.1%	3	2.4%	0.8%	\$121	0.4%	0.3%	1	0.9%	0.7%	\$112	0.4%	0.3%
	Moderate	14	4.9%	\$2,898	4.1%	16.5%	3	2.4%	9.3%	\$1,297	4.4%	5.2%	6	5.4%	9.7%	\$638	2.2%	6.0%
	Middle	135	47.4%	\$27,558	39.2%	45.5%	68	54.4%	47.4%	\$14,762	49.6%	41.8%	47	42.3%	47.2%	\$9,080	31.2%	42.2%
	Upper	132	46.3%	\$39,657	56.4%	34.8%	51	40.8%	42.5%	\$13,591	45.7%	52.7%	57	51.4%	42.3%	\$19,255	66.2%	51.5%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>285</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$70,346</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>125</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$29,771</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>111</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$29,085</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
REFINANCE	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	3.1%	0	0.0%	0.9%	\$0	0.0%	0.5%	0	0.0%	0.8%	\$0	0.0%	0.3%
	Moderate	11	8.2%	\$847	3.0%	16.5%	2	4.8%	11.6%	\$73	0.9%	7.4%	5	9.6%	9.5%	\$432	3.5%	5.9%
	Middle	54	40.3%	\$8,151	29.2%	45.5%	22	52.4%	46.3%	\$3,578	46.1%	39.9%	17	32.7%	44.8%	\$2,561	20.5%	38.8%
	Upper	69	51.5%	\$18,909	67.8%	34.8%	18	42.9%	41.2%	\$4,106	52.9%	52.3%	30	57.7%	44.9%	\$9,473	76.0%	55.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>134</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$27,907</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>42</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$7,757</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>52</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$12,466</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	1	9.1%	\$40	5.3%	3.1%	1	33.3%	2.5%	\$40	10.4%	0.8%	0	0.0%	2.2%	\$0	0.0%	1.3%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	16.5%	0	0.0%	12.2%	\$0	0.0%	7.1%	0	0.0%	12.6%	\$0	0.0%	6.6%
	Middle	4	36.4%	\$290	38.4%	45.5%	0	0.0%	46.7%	\$0	0.0%	39.3%	4	57.1%	47.1%	\$290	80.6%	39.1%
	Upper	6	54.5%	\$425	56.3%	34.8%	2	66.7%	38.6%	\$346	89.6%	52.8%	3	42.9%	38.1%	\$70	19.4%	53.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$755</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$386</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$360</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
MULTI-FAMILY	Multi-Family Units																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	5.9%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	3.6%	\$0	0.0%	1.2%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	31.2%	0	0.0%	30.4%	\$0	0.0%	39.9%	0	0.0%	29.1%	\$0	0.0%	18.1%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	36.6%	0	0.0%	52.2%	\$0	0.0%	44.9%	0	0.0%	49.1%	\$0	0.0%	44.1%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	26.3%	0	0.0%	17.4%	\$0	0.0%	15.2%	0	0.0%	18.2%	\$0	0.0%	36.6%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	
HMDA TOTALS	Low	5	1.2%	\$273	0.3%	3.1%	4	2.4%	0.9%	\$161	0.4%	0.3%	1	0.6%	0.8%	\$112	0.3%	0.3%
	Moderate	25	5.8%	\$3,745	3.8%	16.5%	5	2.9%	10.1%	\$1,370	3.6%	7.8%	11	6.5%	9.8%	\$1,070	2.6%	6.4%
	Middle	193	44.9%	\$35,999	36.4%	45.5%	90	52.9%	47.0%	\$18,340	48.4%	41.5%	68	40.0%	46.4%	\$11,931	28.5%	41.2%
	Upper	207	48.1%	\$58,991	59.6%	34.8%	71	41.8%	41.9%	\$18,043	47.6%	50.4%	90	52.9%	43.0%	\$28,798	68.7%	52.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>430</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$99,008</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>170</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$37,914</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>170</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$41,911</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
SMALL BUSINESSES	Small Businesses																	
	Low	13	4.9%	\$3,186	9.8%	3.5%	5	4.7%	3.4%	\$1,276	9.5%	4.7%	7	6.8%	3.2%	\$1,810	14.9%	4.9%
	Moderate	45	17.1%	\$4,973	15.3%	19.3%	19	17.8%	20.2%	\$2,783	20.8%	24.2%	18	17.5%	19.1%	\$1,820	15.0%	22.4%
	Middle	100	38.0%	\$14,042	43.1%	42.1%	41	38.3%	37.7%	\$5,176	38.6%	35.6%	36	35.0%	38.1%	\$5,074	41.7%	36.7%
	Upper	105	39.9%	\$10,358	31.8%	35.1%	42	39.3%	37.5%	\$4,173	31.1%	34.8%	42	40.8%	38.6%	\$3,467	28.5%	35.1%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	1.2%	\$0	0.0%	0.6%	0	0.0%	1.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.9%
<i>Total</i>	<i>263</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$32,559</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>107</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$13,408</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>103</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$12,171</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	
SMALL FARM	Small Farms																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.7%	0	0.0%	1.8%	\$0	0.0%	2.1%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	11.8%	0	0.0%	14.5%	\$0	0.0%	10.8%	0	0.0%	18.2%	\$0	0.0%	17.6%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	48.7%	0	0.0%	41.8%	\$0	0.0%	32.0%	0	0.0%	40.0%	\$0	0.0%	50.6%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	38.8%	0	0.0%	41.8%	\$0	0.0%	55.1%	0	0.0%	41.8%	\$0	0.0%	31.8%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2015 D&B Information

Borrower Distribution of HMDA Loans & Small Business/Small Farm Lending by Revenue & Loan Size

Assessment Area: FL Jacksonville

PRODUCT TYPE	Borrower Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014					2015						
		Bank		Families by Family Income		Count	Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar				
		Count	Dollar	%	Bank		Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg					
#	%	\$(000s)	\$ %	%	#	%	%	\$(000s)	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$(000s)	\$ %	\$ %		
HOME PURCHASE	Low	23	8.1%	\$2,061	2.9%	20.6%	7	5.6%	5.2%	\$542	1.8%	2.1%	14	12.6%	5.0%	\$1,365	4.7%	2.0%
	Moderate	55	19.3%	\$7,655	10.9%	17.6%	25	20.0%	16.2%	\$3,286	11.0%	10.1%	16	14.4%	16.0%	\$1,977	6.8%	9.7%
	Middle	38	13.3%	\$6,645	9.4%	21.8%	13	10.4%	20.8%	\$1,746	5.9%	17.7%	19	17.1%	21.1%	\$3,893	13.4%	17.8%
	Upper	124	43.5%	\$41,105	58.4%	40.1%	55	44.0%	42.0%	\$16,211	54.5%	56.4%	44	39.6%	41.1%	\$17,329	59.6%	54.6%
	Unknown	45	15.8%	\$12,880	18.3%	0.0%	25	20.0%	15.8%	\$7,986	26.8%	13.7%	18	16.2%	16.9%	\$4,521	15.5%	15.8%
	Total	285	100.0%	\$70,346	100.0%	100.0%	125	100.0%	100.0%	\$29,771	100.0%	100.0%	111	100.0%	100.0%	\$29,085	100.0%	100.0%
REFINANCE	Low	13	9.7%	\$1,121	4.0%	20.6%	6	14.3%	6.4%	\$465	6.0%	3.5%	3	5.8%	4.5%	\$405	3.2%	2.2%
	Moderate	23	17.2%	\$2,734	9.8%	17.6%	5	11.9%	12.5%	\$784	10.1%	8.0%	12	23.1%	11.1%	\$1,208	9.7%	6.8%
	Middle	20	14.9%	\$3,142	11.3%	21.8%	10	23.8%	17.9%	\$1,402	18.1%	13.7%	4	7.7%	16.2%	\$865	6.9%	12.5%
	Upper	62	46.3%	\$17,837	63.9%	40.1%	16	38.1%	36.8%	\$4,060	52.3%	48.4%	23	44.2%	38.9%	\$8,325	66.8%	49.2%
	Unknown	16	11.9%	\$3,073	11.0%	0.0%	5	11.9%	26.4%	\$1,046	13.5%	26.5%	10	19.2%	29.3%	\$1,663	13.3%	29.3%
	Total	134	100.0%	\$27,907	100.0%	100.0%	42	100.0%	100.0%	\$7,757	100.0%	100.0%	52	100.0%	100.0%	\$12,466	100.0%	100.0%
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	20.6%	0	0.0%	8.1%	\$0	0.0%	4.1%	0	0.0%	6.5%	\$0	0.0%	3.2%
	Moderate	5	45.5%	\$372	49.3%	17.6%	2	66.7%	16.2%	\$228	59.1%	9.3%	2	28.6%	16.2%	\$135	37.5%	11.9%
	Middle	1	9.1%	\$5	0.7%	21.8%	0	0.0%	23.0%	\$0	0.0%	16.7%	1	14.3%	22.5%	\$5	1.4%	17.2%
	Upper	5	45.5%	\$378	50.1%	40.1%	1	33.3%	44.0%	\$158	40.9%	60.9%	4	57.1%	48.9%	\$220	61.1%	58.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	8.8%	\$0	0.0%	9.0%	0	0.0%	5.9%	\$0	0.0%	9.7%
	Total	11	100.0%	\$755	100.0%	100.0%	3	100.0%	100.0%	\$386	100.0%	100.0%	7	100.0%	100.0%	\$360	100.0%	100.0%
MULTIFAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	20.6%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	17.6%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	21.8%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	40.1%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%
	Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%
HMDA TOTALS	Low	36	8.4%	\$3,182	3.2%	20.6%	13	7.6%	5.7%	\$1,007	2.7%	2.4%	17	10.0%	4.9%	\$1,770	4.2%	2.0%
	Moderate	83	19.3%	\$10,761	10.9%	17.6%	32	18.8%	15.0%	\$4,298	11.3%	8.9%	30	17.6%	14.4%	\$3,320	7.9%	8.5%
	Middle	59	13.7%	\$9,792	9.9%	21.8%	23	13.5%	20.0%	\$3,148	8.3%	15.6%	24	14.1%	19.5%	\$4,763	11.4%	15.6%
	Upper	191	44.4%	\$59,320	59.9%	40.1%	72	42.4%	40.4%	\$20,429	53.9%	51.1%	71	41.8%	40.7%	\$25,874	61.7%	51.0%
	Unknown	61	14.2%	\$15,953	16.1%	0.0%	30	17.6%	18.8%	\$9,032	23.8%	22.1%	28	16.5%	20.5%	\$6,184	14.8%	22.8%
	Total	430	100.0%	\$99,008	100.0%	100.0%	170	100.0%	100.0%	\$37,914	100.0%	100.0%	170	100.0%	100.0%	\$41,911	100.0%	100.0%
Small Business Revenue	Total Businesses																	
	\$1 Million or Less	119	45.2%	\$4,180	12.8%	94.3%	38	35.5%	49.9%	\$1,054	7.9%	32.6%	50	48.5%	54.0%	\$1,974	16.2%	35.6%
	Over \$1 Million	74	28.1%	\$22,472	69.0%	5.5%	27	25.2%					32	31.1%				
	Total Rev. available	193	73.3%	\$26,652	81.8%	99.8%	65	60.7%					82	79.6%				
	Rev. Not Known	70	26.6%	\$5,907	18.1%	0.1%	42	39.3%					21	20.4%				
Total	263	100.0%	\$32,559	100.0%	100.0%	107	100.0%					103	100.0%					
Small Business Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	183	69.6%	\$6,294	19.3%		75	70.1%	94.2%	\$2,614	19.5%	38.4%	71	68.9%	94.7%	\$2,231	18.3%	42.0%
	\$100,001 - \$250,000	44	16.7%	\$7,246	22.3%		17	15.9%	2.7%	\$2,925	21.8%	14.3%	18	17.5%	2.6%	\$2,935	24.1%	13.6%
	\$250,001 - \$1 Million	36	13.7%	\$19,019	58.4%		15	14.0%	3.0%	\$7,869	58.7%	47.3%	14	13.6%	2.7%	\$7,005	57.6%	44.4%
	Total	263	100.0%	\$32,559	100.0%		107	100.0%	100.0%	\$13,408	100.0%	100.0%	103	100.0%	100.0%	\$12,171	100.0%	100.0%
Small Farm Revenue	Total Farms																	
	\$1 Million or Less	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	95.8%	0	0.0%	41.8%	\$0	0.0%	33.4%	0	0.0%	45.5%	\$0	0.0%	33.1%
	Over \$1 Million	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	4.2%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%				
	Not Known	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%				
	Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%				
Small Farm Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	96.4%	\$0	0.0%	66.5%	0	0.0%	92.7%	\$0	0.0%	65.5%
	\$100,001 - \$250,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	3.6%	\$0	0.0%	33.5%	0	0.0%	7.3%	\$0	0.0%	34.5%
	\$250,001 - \$500,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%

Originations & Purchases
Aggregate data is unavailable for loans to businesses with revenue over \$1 million or revenue unknown, and for loan size by revenue.
2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2015 D&B Information

Geographic Distribution of HMDA, Small Business, & Small Farm Loans
Assessment Area: FL Lakeland

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison 1/1/2014-6/30/2016					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		Bank				Owner Occupied Units %	2014			2015								
		Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar				
		#	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %	
HOME PURCHASE	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	1.3%	0	0.0%	0.5%	\$0	0.0%	0.4%	0	0.0%	0.5%	\$0	0.0%	0.3%
	Moderate	21	14.3%	\$1,809	7.8%	19.2%	12	15.0%	12.4%	\$837	7.2%	9.7%	5	11.4%	12.2%	\$481	6.9%	9.7%
	Middle	84	57.1%	\$11,510	49.5%	54.7%	44	55.0%	57.5%	\$4,969	42.9%	56.7%	26	59.1%	56.9%	\$3,699	53.1%	55.5%
	Upper	42	28.6%	\$9,948	42.8%	24.9%	24	30.0%	29.6%	\$5,767	49.8%	33.2%	13	29.5%	30.5%	\$2,789	40.0%	34.6%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>147</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$23,267</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>80</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$11,573</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>44</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$6,969</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
REFINANCE	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	1.3%	0	0.0%	0.6%	\$0	0.0%	0.3%	0	0.0%	0.2%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%
	Moderate	8	12.3%	\$944	10.0%	19.2%	5	20.8%	12.2%	\$547	16.1%	10.9%	3	10.3%	11.1%	\$397	9.3%	8.7%
	Middle	31	47.7%	\$4,018	42.5%	54.7%	12	50.0%	52.0%	\$1,558	45.9%	48.0%	16	55.2%	52.5%	\$2,198	51.3%	50.0%
	Upper	26	40.0%	\$4,492	47.5%	24.9%	7	29.2%	35.2%	\$1,286	37.9%	40.7%	10	34.5%	36.1%	\$1,688	39.4%	41.2%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>65</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$9,454</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>24</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$3,391</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>29</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$4,283</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	1.3%	0	0.0%	0.9%	\$0	0.0%	0.7%	0	0.0%	1.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%
	Moderate	2	16.7%	\$90	12.4%	19.2%	0	0.0%	18.5%	\$0	0.0%	8.0%	0	0.0%	18.0%	\$0	0.0%	12.4%
	Middle	5	41.7%	\$122	16.9%	54.7%	1	50.0%	50.8%	\$60	14.3%	51.1%	2	50.0%	51.8%	\$40	21.6%	48.2%
	Upper	5	41.7%	\$512	70.7%	24.9%	1	50.0%	29.7%	\$360	85.7%	40.2%	2	50.0%	29.1%	\$145	78.4%	39.2%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>12</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$724</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$420</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$185</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
MULTI-FAMILY	Multi-Family Units																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	9.1%	0	0.0%	11.1%	\$0	0.0%	18.0%	0	0.0%	18.9%	\$0	0.0%	11.7%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	22.5%	0	0.0%	25.9%	\$0	0.0%	12.2%	0	0.0%	16.2%	\$0	0.0%	5.7%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	50.7%	0	0.0%	51.9%	\$0	0.0%	69.3%	0	0.0%	59.5%	\$0	0.0%	82.3%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	17.7%	0	0.0%	11.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.6%	0	0.0%	5.4%	\$0	0.0%	0.3%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	
HMDA TOTALS	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	1.3%	0	0.0%	0.6%	\$0	0.0%	3.1%	0	0.0%	0.5%	\$0	0.0%	1.0%
	Moderate	31	13.8%	\$2,843	8.5%	19.2%	17	16.0%	12.7%	\$1,384	9.0%	10.3%	8	10.4%	12.2%	\$878	7.7%	9.2%
	Middle	120	53.6%	\$15,650	46.8%	54.7%	57	53.8%	55.7%	\$6,587	42.8%	56.6%	44	57.1%	55.5%	\$5,937	51.9%	55.8%
	Upper	73	32.6%	\$14,952	44.7%	24.9%	32	30.2%	31.0%	\$7,413	48.2%	30.0%	25	32.5%	31.9%	\$4,622	40.4%	33.9%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>224</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$33,445</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>106</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$15,384</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>77</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$11,437</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
SMALL BUSINESSES	Small Businesses																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	4.0%	0	0.0%	4.8%	\$0	0.0%	8.6%	0	0.0%	4.4%	\$0	0.0%	6.6%
	Moderate	21	18.9%	\$3,083	30.5%	19.4%	8	19.0%	20.0%	\$796	29.7%	18.0%	8	19.0%	19.2%	\$1,782	36.3%	20.1%
	Middle	55	49.5%	\$5,837	57.7%	49.8%	16	38.1%	47.6%	\$1,508	56.2%	48.9%	25	59.5%	49.8%	\$2,850	58.1%	51.8%
	Upper	35	31.5%	\$1,189	11.8%	26.8%	18	42.9%	24.2%	\$380	14.2%	22.1%	9	21.4%	23.9%	\$277	5.6%	19.5%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	3.4%	\$0	0.0%	2.4%	0	0.0%	2.7%	\$0	0.0%	2.0%
<i>Total</i>	<i>111</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$10,109</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>42</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$2,684</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>42</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$4,909</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	
SMALL FARM	Small Farms																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	2.6%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	2.1%	\$0	0.0%	3.6%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	23.0%	0	0.0%	25.3%	\$0	0.0%	16.4%	0	0.0%	22.1%	\$0	0.0%	26.3%
	Middle	1	100.0%	\$14	100.0%	49.7%	0	0.0%	46.7%	\$0	0.0%	46.6%	1	100.0%	42.1%	\$14	100.0%	30.2%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	24.7%	0	0.0%	22.7%	\$0	0.0%	26.6%	0	0.0%	28.4%	\$0	0.0%	34.8%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	5.3%	\$0	0.0%	10.4%	0	0.0%	5.3%	\$0	0.0%	5.1%
<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$14</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$14</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2015 D&B Information

Borrower Distribution of HMDA Loans & Small Business/Small Farm Lending by Revenue & Loan Size

Assessment Area: FL Lakeland

PRODUCT TYPE	Borrower Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison 1/1/2014-6/30/2016					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison												
		Bank				Families by Family Income %	2014			2015									
		Count #	% %	Dollar \$ (000s) \$ %			Count #	% %	Agg %	Dollar \$ (000s) \$ %			Count #	% %	Agg %	Dollar \$ (000s) \$ %			
				Bank		Agg				Bank		Agg				Bank		Agg	
HOME PURCHASE	Low	8	5.4%	\$482	2.1%	20.3%	6	7.5%	3.7%	\$305	2.6%	1.9%	2	4.5%	3.1%	\$177	2.5%	1.6%	
	Moderate	44	29.9%	\$3,956	17.0%	18.6%	29	36.3%	17.2%	\$2,395	20.7%	12.7%	11	25.0%	15.6%	\$1,059	15.2%	11.3%	
	Middle	28	19.0%	\$3,233	13.9%	20.8%	12	15.0%	22.0%	\$1,086	9.4%	20.5%	8	18.2%	23.5%	\$977	14.0%	21.2%	
	Upper	52	35.4%	\$12,280	52.8%	40.3%	27	33.8%	36.0%	\$6,504	56.2%	44.7%	16	36.4%	37.6%	\$3,493	50.1%	46.3%	
	Unknown	15	10.2%	\$3,316	14.3%	0.0%	6	7.5%	21.1%	\$1,283	11.1%	20.1%	7	15.9%	20.2%	\$1,263	18.1%	19.6%	
	Total	147	100.0%	\$23,267	100.0%	100.0%	80	100.0%	100.0%	\$11,573	100.0%	100.0%	44	100.0%	100.0%	\$6,969	100.0%	100.0%	
REFINANCE	Low	6	9.2%	\$600	6.3%	20.3%	4	16.7%	5.3%	\$383	11.3%	3.3%	2	6.9%	4.2%	\$217	5.1%	2.4%	
	Moderate	7	10.8%	\$745	7.9%	18.6%	3	12.5%	11.4%	\$377	11.1%	7.7%	3	10.3%	10.3%	\$252	5.9%	6.8%	
	Middle	17	26.2%	\$2,089	22.1%	20.8%	7	29.2%	17.3%	\$708	20.9%	13.8%	7	24.1%	16.9%	\$1,131	26.4%	13.8%	
	Upper	29	44.6%	\$4,976	52.6%	40.3%	8	33.3%	40.8%	\$1,516	44.7%	48.3%	15	51.7%	39.1%	\$2,439	56.9%	46.1%	
	Unknown	6	9.2%	\$1,044	11.0%	0.0%	2	8.3%	25.2%	\$407	12.0%	26.9%	2	6.9%	29.4%	\$244	5.7%	30.9%	
	Total	65	100.0%	\$9,454	100.0%	100.0%	24	100.0%	100.0%	\$3,391	100.0%	100.0%	29	100.0%	100.0%	\$4,283	100.0%	100.0%	
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	2	16.7%	\$90	12.4%	20.3%	0	0.0%	8.2%	\$0	0.0%	2.0%	0	0.0%	7.6%	\$0	0.0%	2.1%	
	Moderate	1	8.3%	\$12	1.7%	18.6%	0	0.0%	20.6%	\$0	0.0%	9.4%	0	0.0%	18.3%	\$0	0.0%	9.2%	
	Middle	3	25.0%	\$175	24.2%	20.8%	1	50.0%	25.5%	\$60	14.3%	22.2%	2	50.0%	20.4%	\$115	62.2%	20.4%	
	Upper	6	50.0%	\$447	61.7%	40.3%	1	50.0%	41.0%	\$360	85.7%	58.7%	2	50.0%	48.4%	\$70	37.8%	58.7%	
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	4.7%	\$0	0.0%	7.7%	0	0.0%	5.4%	\$0	0.0%	9.6%	
	Total	12	100.0%	\$724	100.0%	100.0%	2	100.0%	100.0%	\$420	100.0%	100.0%	4	100.0%	100.0%	\$185	100.0%	100.0%	
MULTIFAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	20.3%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	18.6%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	20.8%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	40.3%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	
	Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	
HMDA TOTALS	Low	16	7.1%	\$1,172	3.5%	20.3%	10	9.4%	4.3%	\$688	4.5%	1.9%	4	5.2%	3.6%	\$394	3.4%	1.7%	
	Moderate	52	23.2%	\$4,713	14.1%	18.6%	32	30.2%	15.8%	\$2,772	18.0%	9.6%	14	18.2%	14.3%	\$1,311	11.5%	9.4%	
	Middle	48	21.4%	\$5,497	16.4%	20.8%	20	18.9%	20.9%	\$1,854	12.1%	15.9%	17	22.1%	21.6%	\$2,223	19.4%	18.0%	
	Upper	87	38.8%	\$17,703	52.9%	40.3%	36	34.0%	37.4%	\$8,380	54.5%	38.9%	33	42.9%	38.4%	\$6,002	52.5%	43.4%	
	Unknown	21	9.4%	\$4,360	13.0%	0.0%	8	7.5%	21.5%	\$1,690	11.0%	33.7%	9	11.7%	22.1%	\$1,507	13.2%	27.5%	
	Total	224	100.0%	\$33,445	100.0%	100.0%	106	100.0%	100.0%	\$15,384	100.0%	100.0%	77	100.0%	100.0%	\$11,437	100.0%	100.0%	
Small Business	Total Businesses																		
	Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	64	57.7%	\$3,682	36.4%	95.0%	23	54.8%	45.2%	\$725	27.0%	36.2%	20	47.6%	51.5%	\$961	19.6%	34.4%
		Over \$1 Million	15	13.5%	\$3,647	36.1%	4.8%	5	11.9%				6	14.3%					
		Total Rev. available	79	71.2%	\$7,329	72.5%	99.8%	28	66.7%				26	61.9%					
		Rev. Not Known	32	28.8%	\$2,780	27.5%	0.2%	14	33.3%				16	38.1%					
		Total	111	100.0%	\$10,109	100.0%	100.0%	42	100.0%				42	100.0%					
	Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	95	85.6%	\$2,699	26.7%		38	90.5%	95.0%	\$1,078	40.2%	41.4%	34	81.0%	95.6%	\$858	17.5%	43.4%
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	4	3.6%	\$605	6.0%		1	2.4%	2.6%	\$130	4.8%	15.2%	2	4.8%	2.3%	\$310	6.3%	14.5%
		\$250,001 - \$1 Million	12	10.8%	\$6,805	67.3%		3	7.1%	2.4%	\$1,476	55.0%	43.3%	6	14.3%	2.1%	\$3,741	76.2%	42.1%
		Total	111	100.0%	\$10,109	100.0%		42	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,684	100.0%	100.0%	42	100.0%	100.0%	\$4,909	100.0%	100.0%
Small Farm	Total Farms																		
	Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	1	100.0%	\$14	100.0%	91.7%	0	0.0%	53.3%	\$0	0.0%	48.2%	1	100.0%	66.3%	\$14	100.0%	72.9%
		Over \$1 Million	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	8.3%	0	0.0%				0	0.0%					
		Not Known	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%				0	0.0%					
		Total	1	100.0%	\$14	100.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%				1	100.0%					
	Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	1	100.0%	\$14	100.0%		0	0.0%	70.7%	\$0	0.0%	16.3%	1	100.0%	71.6%	\$14	100.0%	19.0%
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	17.3%	\$0	0.0%	33.9%	0	0.0%	13.7%	\$0	0.0%	26.6%
	\$250,001 - \$500,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	12.0%	\$0	0.0%	49.8%	0	0.0%	14.7%	\$0	0.0%	54.4%	
	Total	1	100.0%	\$14	100.0%		0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	1	100.0%	100.0%	\$14	100.0%	100.0%	

Originations & Purchases

Aggregate data is unavailable for loans to businesses with revenue over \$1 million or revenue unknown, and for loan size by revenue.

2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2015 D&B Information

Geographic Distribution of HMDA, Small Business, & Small Farm Loans
Assessment Area: FL Miami

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison 1/1/2014-6/30/2016					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		Bank				Owner Occupied Units	2014			2015								
		Count		Dollar			Bank	Agg	Dollar			Count		Dollar				
		#	%	\$ (000s)	%	%			\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %	
HOME PURCHASE	Low	2	0.5%	\$468	0.3%	2.1%	0	0.0%	1.3%	\$0	0.0%	0.9%	1	0.7%	1.3%	\$262	0.5%	0.9%
	Moderate	37	8.5%	\$6,925	4.3%	23.1%	14	7.7%	16.0%	\$2,186	3.3%	9.5%	14	9.5%	17.7%	\$3,239	6.0%	11.3%
	Middle	131	30.1%	\$34,689	21.7%	36.3%	47	26.0%	37.6%	\$11,921	18.1%	29.4%	48	32.4%	37.6%	\$12,844	23.7%	30.2%
	Upper	264	60.7%	\$117,559	73.4%	38.6%	119	65.7%	44.9%	\$51,358	77.9%	59.9%	85	57.4%	43.3%	\$37,814	69.8%	57.4%
	Unknown	1	0.2%	\$500	0.3%	0.0%	1	0.6%	0.2%	\$500	0.8%	0.3%	0	0.0%	0.2%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%
	Total	435	100.0%	\$160,141	100.0%	100.0%	181	100.0%	100.0%	\$65,965	100.0%	100.0%	148	100.0%	100.0%	\$54,159	100.0%	100.0%
REFINANCE	Low	1	0.4%	\$116	0.1%	2.1%	0	0.0%	1.1%	\$0	0.0%	1.1%	1	0.8%	0.9%	\$116	0.3%	0.5%
	Moderate	31	11.1%	\$6,482	6.4%	23.1%	11	12.5%	14.7%	\$1,986	8.4%	9.8%	12	10.0%	13.9%	\$2,653	7.2%	8.8%
	Middle	86	30.7%	\$15,627	15.5%	36.3%	30	34.1%	33.9%	\$4,827	20.3%	26.5%	37	30.8%	34.3%	\$6,437	17.4%	26.7%
	Upper	162	57.9%	\$78,578	78.0%	38.6%	47	53.4%	50.3%	\$16,944	71.3%	62.5%	70	58.3%	50.9%	\$27,791	75.1%	63.9%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%	0	0.0%	0.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%
	Total	280	100.0%	\$100,803	100.0%	100.0%	88	100.0%	100.0%	\$23,757	100.0%	100.0%	120	100.0%	100.0%	\$36,997	100.0%	100.0%
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	2.1%	0	0.0%	1.7%	\$0	0.0%	1.1%	0	0.0%	1.5%	\$0	0.0%	0.8%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	23.1%	0	0.0%	17.8%	\$0	0.0%	7.3%	0	0.0%	16.1%	\$0	0.0%	7.0%
	Middle	4	36.4%	\$1,760	56.5%	36.3%	2	40.0%	32.6%	\$1,210	62.1%	22.9%	0	0.0%	34.7%	\$0	0.0%	24.7%
	Upper	7	63.6%	\$1,355	43.5%	38.6%	3	60.0%	47.8%	\$739	37.9%	68.7%	3	100.0%	47.7%	\$221	100.0%	67.4%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Total	11	100.0%	\$3,115	100.0%	100.0%	5	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,949	100.0%	100.0%	3	100.0%	100.0%	\$221	100.0%	100.0%
MULTI-FAMILY	Multi-Family Units																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	6.6%	0	0.0%	12.1%	\$0	0.0%	10.0%	0	0.0%	15.0%	\$0	0.0%	10.1%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	31.9%	0	0.0%	43.0%	\$0	0.0%	33.4%	0	0.0%	38.8%	\$0	0.0%	32.2%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	35.8%	0	0.0%	27.8%	\$0	0.0%	39.2%	0	0.0%	28.1%	\$0	0.0%	37.9%
	Upper	1	100.0%	\$32,000	100.0%	25.6%	0	0.0%	17.0%	\$0	0.0%	17.3%	0	0.0%	18.1%	\$0	0.0%	19.8%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.2%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	1	100.0%	\$32,000	100.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	
HMDA TOTALS	Low	3	0.4%	\$584	0.2%	2.1%	0	0.0%	1.3%	\$0	0.0%	1.5%	2	0.7%	1.2%	\$378	0.4%	1.4%
	Moderate	68	9.4%	\$13,407	4.5%	23.1%	25	9.1%	15.8%	\$4,172	4.6%	11.0%	26	9.6%	16.4%	\$5,892	6.4%	11.9%
	Middle	221	30.4%	\$52,076	17.6%	36.3%	79	28.8%	36.2%	\$17,958	19.6%	29.0%	85	31.4%	36.3%	\$19,281	21.1%	29.5%
	Upper	434	59.7%	\$229,492	77.5%	38.6%	169	61.7%	46.6%	\$69,041	75.3%	58.3%	158	58.3%	46.0%	\$65,826	72.0%	57.0%
	Unknown	1	0.1%	\$500	0.2%	0.0%	1	0.4%	0.2%	\$500	0.5%	0.2%	0	0.0%	0.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%
	Total	727	100.0%	\$296,059	100.0%	100.0%	274	100.0%	100.0%	\$91,671	100.0%	100.0%	271	100.0%	100.0%	\$91,377	100.0%	100.0%
SMALL BUSINESSES	Small Businesses																	
	Low	16	4.7%	\$2,435	3.7%	3.3%	4	3.3%	3.4%	\$1,065	4.2%	4.7%	10	6.8%	3.4%	\$1,070	3.9%	4.5%
	Moderate	92	27.3%	\$21,590	32.8%	22.0%	34	27.6%	20.9%	\$7,955	31.1%	25.1%	41	27.7%	21.0%	\$10,285	37.6%	24.3%
	Middle	99	29.4%	\$13,293	20.2%	30.8%	34	27.6%	27.9%	\$5,378	21.0%	24.9%	42	28.4%	28.3%	\$4,949	18.1%	25.9%
	Upper	120	35.6%	\$24,917	37.8%	43.3%	48	39.0%	46.1%	\$10,163	39.7%	42.9%	51	34.5%	45.8%	\$9,992	36.5%	42.8%
	Unknown	10	3.0%	\$3,625	5.5%	0.6%	3	2.4%	1.0%	\$1,010	3.9%	1.8%	4	2.7%	1.0%	\$1,046	3.8%	2.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	0.7%	\$0	0.0%	0.5%	0	0.0%	0.6%	\$0	0.0%	0.5%
Total	337	100.0%	\$65,860	100.0%	100.0%	123	100.0%	100.0%	\$25,571	100.0%	100.0%	148	100.0%	100.0%	\$27,342	100.0%	100.0%	
SMALL FARM	Small Farms																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	3.0%	0	0.0%	2.5%	\$0	0.0%	5.1%	0	0.0%	2.0%	\$0	0.0%	5.6%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	13.3%	0	0.0%	9.8%	\$0	0.0%	10.4%	0	0.0%	11.7%	\$0	0.0%	6.6%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	24.0%	0	0.0%	24.6%	\$0	0.0%	20.3%	0	0.0%	24.1%	\$0	0.0%	11.4%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	59.4%	0	0.0%	61.8%	\$0	0.0%	62.9%	0	0.0%	60.9%	\$0	0.0%	75.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.4%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	1.4%	\$0	0.0%	1.2%	0	0.0%	1.3%	\$0	0.0%	1.3%
Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2015 D&B Information

Borrower Distribution of HMDA Loans & Small Business/Small Farm Lending by Revenue & Loan Size

Assessment Area: FL Miami

PRODUCT TYPE	Borrower Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison												
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014						2015						
		Bank		Families by Family Income		Count	Agg	Dollar			Count	Agg	Dollar						
		Count	Dollar	%	Bank			Agg	%	Bank			Agg	%					
#	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %			
HOME PURCHASE	Low	6	1.4%	\$598	0.4%	22.4%	3	1.7%	2.4%	\$278	0.4%	0.8%	3	2.0%	2.1%	\$320	0.6%	0.8%	
	Moderate	40	9.2%	\$5,950	3.7%	17.3%	20	11.0%	12.1%	\$2,534	3.8%	5.8%	12	8.1%	11.6%	\$2,044	3.8%	6.0%	
	Middle	45	10.3%	\$8,979	5.6%	18.9%	16	8.8%	18.1%	\$3,278	5.0%	12.0%	20	13.5%	18.7%	\$4,247	7.8%	13.1%	
	Upper	290	66.7%	\$127,199	79.4%	41.3%	111	61.3%	51.8%	\$50,333	76.3%	65.2%	92	62.2%	49.6%	\$40,364	74.5%	62.3%	
	Unknown	54	12.4%	\$17,415	10.9%	0.0%	31	17.1%	15.6%	\$9,542	14.5%	16.2%	21	14.2%	17.9%	\$7,184	13.3%	17.9%	
	Total	435	100.0%	\$160,141	100.0%	100.0%	181	100.0%	100.0%	\$65,965	100.0%	100.0%	148	100.0%	100.0%	\$54,159	100.0%	100.0%	
REFINANCE	Low	7	2.5%	\$1,018	1.0%	22.4%	2	2.3%	5.3%	\$260	1.1%	2.4%	4	3.3%	3.5%	\$642	1.7%	1.6%	
	Moderate	23	8.2%	\$2,757	2.7%	17.3%	10	11.4%	9.3%	\$1,026	4.3%	4.6%	10	8.3%	8.4%	\$1,347	3.6%	4.3%	
	Middle	51	18.2%	\$8,910	8.8%	18.9%	17	19.3%	16.0%	\$3,100	13.0%	10.0%	19	15.8%	15.1%	\$3,053	8.3%	10.0%	
	Upper	167	59.6%	\$79,966	79.3%	41.3%	49	55.7%	52.4%	\$17,494	73.6%	62.3%	65	54.2%	50.1%	\$25,680	69.4%	61.7%	
	Unknown	32	11.4%	\$8,152	8.1%	0.0%	10	11.4%	17.0%	\$1,877	7.9%	20.7%	22	18.3%	22.9%	\$6,275	17.0%	22.4%	
	Total	280	100.0%	\$100,803	100.0%	100.0%	88	100.0%	100.0%	\$23,757	100.0%	100.0%	120	100.0%	100.0%	\$36,997	100.0%	100.0%	
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	22.4%	0	0.0%	5.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.9%	0	0.0%	4.3%	\$0	0.0%	0.8%	
	Moderate	3	27.3%	\$236	7.6%	17.3%	2	40.0%	13.3%	\$124	6.4%	4.3%	1	33.3%	11.4%	\$112	50.7%	4.2%	
	Middle	1	9.1%	\$9	0.3%	18.9%	0	0.0%	17.6%	\$0	0.0%	9.5%	1	33.3%	18.6%	\$9	4.1%	10.6%	
	Upper	6	54.5%	\$1,670	53.6%	41.3%	2	40.0%	56.1%	\$625	32.1%	73.1%	1	33.3%	59.4%	\$100	45.2%	70.9%	
	Unknown	1	9.1%	\$1,200	38.5%	0.0%	1	20.0%	7.9%	\$1,200	61.6%	12.3%	0	0.0%	6.4%	\$0	0.0%	13.4%	
	Total	11	100.0%	\$3,115	100.0%	100.0%	5	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,949	100.0%	100.0%	3	100.0%	100.0%	\$221	100.0%	100.0%	
MULTIFAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	22.4%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	17.3%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	18.9%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	41.3%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Unknown	1	100.0%	\$32,000	100.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	
	Total	1	100.0%	\$32,000	100.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	
HMDA TOTALS	Low	13	1.8%	\$1,616	0.5%	22.4%	5	1.8%	3.4%	\$538	0.6%	1.2%	7	2.6%	2.7%	\$962	1.1%	1.0%	
	Moderate	66	9.1%	\$8,943	3.0%	17.3%	32	11.7%	11.1%	\$3,684	4.0%	5.1%	23	8.5%	10.4%	\$3,503	3.8%	5.0%	
	Middle	97	13.3%	\$17,898	6.0%	18.9%	33	12.0%	17.3%	\$6,378	7.0%	10.7%	40	14.8%	17.4%	\$7,309	8.0%	11.2%	
	Upper	463	63.7%	\$208,835	70.5%	41.3%	162	59.1%	51.9%	\$68,452	74.7%	60.6%	158	58.3%	49.9%	\$66,144	72.4%	58.0%	
	Unknown	88	12.1%	\$58,767	19.8%	0.0%	42	15.3%	16.3%	\$12,619	13.8%	22.4%	43	15.9%	19.6%	\$13,459	14.7%	24.8%	
	Total	727	100.0%	\$296,059	100.0%	100.0%	274	100.0%	100.0%	\$91,671	100.0%	100.0%	271	100.0%	100.0%	\$91,377	100.0%	100.0%	
Small Business Revenue	Total Businesses																		
	\$1 Million or Less	146	43.3%	\$8,311	12.6%	94.4%	44	35.8%	51.7%	\$3,330	13.0%	32.4%	69	46.6%	57.4%	\$4,328	15.8%	34.9%	
	Over \$1 Million	122	36.2%	\$45,521	69.1%	5.5%	45	36.6%					56	37.8%					
	Total Rev. available	268	79.5%	\$53,832	81.7%	99.9%	89	72.4%					125	84.4%					
	Rev. Not Known	69	20.5%	\$12,028	18.3%	0.1%	34	27.6%					23	15.5%					
	Total	337	100.0%	\$65,860	100.0%	100.0%	123	100.0%					148	100.0%					
Small Business Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	201	59.6%	\$6,120	9.3%		66	53.7%	96.0%	\$2,273	8.9%	44.3%	94	63.5%	96.4%	\$2,764	10.1%	47.1%	
	\$100,001 - \$250,000	44	13.1%	\$8,090	12.3%		21	17.1%	2.0%	\$3,654	14.3%	13.3%	16	10.8%	1.7%	\$3,036	11.1%	12.3%	
	\$250,001 - \$1 Million	92	27.3%	\$51,650	78.4%		36	29.3%	2.0%	\$19,644	76.8%	42.4%	38	25.7%	1.8%	\$21,542	78.8%	40.5%	
	Total	337	100.0%	\$65,860	100.0%		123	100.0%	100.0%	\$25,571	100.0%	100.0%	148	100.0%	100.0%	\$27,342	100.0%	100.0%	
	Total Farms																		
	\$1 Million or Less	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	93.9%	0	0.0%	50.2%	\$0	0.0%	50.6%	0	0.0%	53.8%	\$0	0.0%	48.6%	
Over \$1 Million	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	6.1%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%						
Not Known	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%						
Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%						
Small Farm Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	94.0%	\$0	0.0%	49.0%	0	0.0%	92.3%	\$0	0.0%	44.1%	
	\$100,001 - \$250,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	3.5%	\$0	0.0%	19.3%	0	0.0%	4.0%	\$0	0.0%	18.7%	
	\$250,001 - \$500,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	2.5%	\$0	0.0%	31.7%	0	0.0%	3.7%	\$0	0.0%	37.2%	
	Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	

Originations & Purchases

Aggregate data is unavailable for loans to businesses with revenue over \$1 million or revenue unknown, and for loan size by revenue.

2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2015 D&B Information

Geographic Distribution of HMDA, Small Business, & Small Farm Loans
Assessment Area: FL Naples

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison 1/1/2014-6/30/2016					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		Bank				Owner Occupied Units	2014			2015								
		Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar				
		#	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %
HOME PURCHASE	Low	17	2.8%	\$3,593	2.0%	2.4%	0	0.0%	1.7%	\$0	0.0%	0.8%	12	4.3%	2.2%	\$2,574	3.2%	1.1%
	Moderate	114	18.4%	\$22,907	12.8%	16.4%	0	0.0%	15.2%	\$0	0.0%	10.1%	56	20.0%	15.0%	\$10,153	12.5%	10.8%
	Middle	289	46.8%	\$65,331	36.5%	46.0%	0	0.0%	48.4%	\$0	0.0%	37.2%	135	48.2%	51.8%	\$31,059	38.3%	41.2%
	Upper	198	32.0%	\$87,204	48.7%	35.2%	0	0.0%	34.7%	\$0	0.0%	51.8%	77	27.5%	31.1%	\$37,289	46.0%	47.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Total	618	100.0%	\$179,035	100.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	280	100.0%	100.0%	\$81,075	100.0%	100.0%
REFINANCE	Low	10	2.8%	\$1,113	1.0%	2.4%	2	20.0%	1.4%	\$150	16.4%	0.6%	6	3.1%	1.3%	\$691	1.3%	0.6%
	Moderate	59	16.5%	\$8,962	8.4%	16.4%	2	20.0%	13.3%	\$110	12.0%	9.1%	33	16.9%	13.0%	\$4,868	9.2%	8.2%
	Middle	178	49.7%	\$35,167	33.1%	46.0%	6	60.0%	49.7%	\$656	71.6%	37.7%	97	49.7%	51.6%	\$20,063	37.7%	40.3%
	Upper	111	31.0%	\$60,882	57.4%	35.2%	0	0.0%	35.7%	\$0	0.0%	52.6%	59	30.3%	34.1%	\$27,580	51.8%	50.9%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Total	358	100.0%	\$106,124	100.0%	100.0%	10	100.0%	100.0%	\$916	100.0%	100.0%	195	100.0%	100.0%	\$53,202	100.0%	100.0%
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	2.4%	0	0.0%	4.7%	\$0	0.0%	0.8%	0	0.0%	0.9%	\$0	0.0%	0.3%
	Moderate	3	14.3%	\$298	7.5%	16.4%	2	100.0%	12.2%	\$80	100.0%	6.8%	1	7.1%	15.4%	\$169	8.0%	8.2%
	Middle	16	76.2%	\$2,619	65.5%	46.0%	0	0.0%	52.8%	\$0	0.0%	38.0%	13	92.9%	59.3%	\$1,938	92.0%	35.5%
	Upper	2	9.5%	\$1,080	27.0%	35.2%	0	0.0%	30.3%	\$0	0.0%	54.4%	0	0.0%	24.5%	\$0	0.0%	56.1%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Total	21	100.0%	\$3,997	100.0%	100.0%	2	100.0%	100.0%	\$80	100.0%	100.0%	14	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,107	100.0%	100.0%
MULTI-FAMILY	Multi-Family Units																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	3.0%	0	0.0%	15.8%	\$0	0.0%	11.4%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	1	100.0%	\$22,000	100.0%	13.8%	1	100.0%	21.1%	\$22,000	100.0%	52.2%	0	0.0%	45.8%	\$0	0.0%	47.1%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	39.5%	0	0.0%	36.8%	\$0	0.0%	32.8%	0	0.0%	20.8%	\$0	0.0%	42.3%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	43.7%	0	0.0%	26.3%	\$0	0.0%	3.6%	0	0.0%	33.3%	\$0	0.0%	10.6%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	1	100.0%	\$22,000	100.0%	100.0%	1	100.0%	100.0%	\$22,000	100.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	
HMDA TOTALS	Low	27	2.7%	\$4,706	1.5%	2.4%	2	15.4%	1.8%	\$150	0.7%	1.0%	18	3.7%	1.9%	\$3,265	2.4%	0.9%
	Moderate	177	17.7%	\$54,167	17.4%	16.4%	5	38.5%	14.7%	\$22,190	96.5%	10.6%	90	18.4%	14.4%	\$15,190	11.1%	11.2%
	Middle	483	48.4%	\$103,117	33.1%	46.0%	6	46.2%	48.8%	\$656	2.9%	37.3%	245	50.1%	51.9%	\$53,060	38.9%	40.9%
	Upper	311	31.2%	\$149,166	47.9%	35.2%	0	0.0%	34.8%	\$0	0.0%	51.2%	136	27.8%	31.8%	\$64,869	47.6%	47.1%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Total	998	100.0%	\$311,156	100.0%	100.0%	13	100.0%	100.0%	\$22,996	100.0%	100.0%	489	100.0%	100.0%	\$136,384	100.0%	100.0%
SMALL BUSINESSES	Small Businesses																	
	Low	4	0.8%	\$61	0.2%	3.0%	0	0.0%	1.9%	\$0	0.0%	1.8%	2	1.1%	2.1%	\$17	0.1%	2.2%
	Moderate	49	10.1%	\$2,878	8.0%	13.4%	9	10.5%	10.8%	\$602	9.2%	10.1%	19	10.2%	10.6%	\$1,297	8.7%	8.7%
	Middle	209	43.2%	\$12,549	34.7%	43.5%	40	46.5%	41.5%	\$1,316	20.1%	41.0%	83	44.4%	41.5%	\$5,578	37.6%	40.7%
	Upper	222	45.9%	\$20,636	57.1%	40.1%	37	43.0%	44.7%	\$4,645	70.8%	46.4%	83	44.4%	45.0%	\$7,942	53.5%	47.9%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	1.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.6%	0	0.0%	0.8%	\$0	0.0%	0.5%
Total	484	100.0%	\$36,124	100.0%	100.0%	86	100.0%	100.0%	\$6,563	100.0%	100.0%	187	100.0%	100.0%	\$14,834	100.0%	100.0%	
SMALL FARM	Small Farms																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	8.7%	0	0.0%	23.1%	\$0	0.0%	21.1%	0	0.0%	31.0%	\$0	0.0%	8.3%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	15.4%	0	0.0%	15.4%	\$0	0.0%	5.9%	0	0.0%	13.8%	\$0	0.0%	6.3%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	51.3%	0	0.0%	42.3%	\$0	0.0%	62.6%	0	0.0%	37.9%	\$0	0.0%	54.7%
	Upper	1	100.0%	\$18	100.0%	24.6%	0	0.0%	15.4%	\$0	0.0%	8.3%	0	0.0%	10.3%	\$0	0.0%	28.9%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	3.8%	\$0	0.0%	2.1%	0	0.0%	6.9%	\$0	0.0%	1.7%
Total	1	100.0%	\$18	100.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2015 D&B Information

Borrower Distribution of HMDA Loans & Small Business/Small Farm Lending by Revenue & Loan Size

Assessment Area: FL Naples

PRODUCT TYPE	Borrower Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014						2015					
		Bank		Families by Family Income			Count		Dollar				Count		Dollar			
		Count	Dollar			%	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg		
#	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %		
HOME PURCHASE	Low	40	6.5%	\$5,623	3.1%	21.1%	0	0.0%	2.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.7%	25	8.9%	2.4%	\$3,991	4.9%	0.9%
	Moderate	125	20.2%	\$19,411	10.8%	18.3%	0	0.0%	9.7%	\$0	0.0%	4.4%	70	25.0%	11.3%	\$10,877	13.4%	5.6%
	Middle	85	13.8%	\$15,321	8.6%	19.0%	0	0.0%	13.3%	\$0	0.0%	7.6%	30	10.7%	13.5%	\$5,170	6.4%	8.5%
	Upper	301	48.7%	\$121,428	67.8%	41.5%	0	0.0%	60.9%	\$0	0.0%	75.1%	112	40.0%	57.5%	\$50,205	61.9%	72.3%
	Unknown	67	10.8%	\$17,252	9.6%	0.0%	0	0.0%	14.0%	\$0	0.0%	12.3%	43	15.4%	15.3%	\$10,832	13.4%	12.8%
	Total	618	100.0%	\$179,035	100.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	280	100.0%	100.0%	\$81,075	100.0%	100.0%
REFINANCE	Low	43	12.0%	\$5,709	5.4%	21.1%	2	20.0%	4.8%	\$110	12.0%	2.0%	24	12.3%	4.3%	\$3,295	6.2%	1.7%
	Moderate	74	20.7%	\$9,919	9.3%	18.3%	0	0.0%	11.3%	\$0	0.0%	5.3%	48	24.6%	11.3%	\$6,377	12.0%	5.5%
	Middle	46	12.8%	\$6,874	6.5%	19.0%	6	60.0%	16.5%	\$486	53.1%	9.7%	23	11.8%	16.2%	\$3,860	7.3%	10.1%
	Upper	162	45.3%	\$74,310	70.0%	41.5%	2	20.0%	54.7%	\$320	34.9%	70.0%	77	39.5%	51.3%	\$32,841	61.7%	66.6%
	Unknown	33	9.2%	\$9,312	8.8%	0.0%	0	0.0%	12.8%	\$0	0.0%	13.1%	23	11.8%	16.9%	\$6,829	12.8%	16.1%
	Total	358	100.0%	\$106,124	100.0%	100.0%	10	100.0%	100.0%	\$916	100.0%	100.0%	195	100.0%	100.0%	\$53,202	100.0%	100.0%
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	1	4.8%	\$158	4.0%	21.1%	0	0.0%	5.1%	\$0	0.0%	1.0%	1	7.1%	5.1%	\$158	7.5%	0.9%
	Moderate	8	38.1%	\$1,051	26.3%	18.3%	0	0.0%	18.1%	\$0	0.0%	5.8%	7	50.0%	16.2%	\$962	45.7%	6.8%
	Middle	6	28.6%	\$648	16.2%	19.0%	2	100.0%	22.4%	\$80	100.0%	12.7%	3	21.4%	23.4%	\$428	20.3%	10.8%
	Upper	5	23.8%	\$1,820	45.5%	41.5%	0	0.0%	47.2%	\$0	0.0%	72.4%	3	21.4%	48.7%	\$559	26.5%	47.8%
	Unknown	1	4.8%	\$320	8.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	7.1%	\$0	0.0%	8.1%	0	0.0%	6.6%	\$0	0.0%	33.7%
	Total	21	100.0%	\$3,997	100.0%	100.0%	2	100.0%	100.0%	\$80	100.0%	100.0%	14	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,107	100.0%	100.0%
MULTIFAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	21.1%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	18.3%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	19.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	41.5%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Unknown	1	100.0%	\$22,000	100.0%	0.0%	1	100.0%	100.0%	\$22,000	100.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%
	Total	1	100.0%	\$22,000	100.0%	100.0%	1	100.0%	100.0%	\$22,000	100.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%
HMDA TOTALS	Low	84	8.4%	\$11,490	3.7%	21.1%	2	15.4%	2.8%	\$110	0.5%	1.0%	50	10.2%	3.1%	\$7,444	5.5%	1.1%
	Moderate	207	20.7%	\$30,381	9.8%	18.3%	0	0.0%	10.3%	\$0	0.0%	4.6%	125	25.6%	11.4%	\$18,216	13.4%	5.4%
	Middle	137	13.7%	\$22,843	7.3%	19.0%	8	61.5%	14.3%	\$566	2.5%	8.0%	56	11.5%	14.6%	\$9,458	6.9%	8.7%
	Upper	468	46.9%	\$197,558	63.5%	41.5%	2	15.4%	58.9%	\$320	1.4%	72.5%	192	39.3%	55.2%	\$83,605	61.3%	68.0%
	Unknown	102	10.2%	\$48,884	15.7%	0.0%	1	7.7%	13.7%	\$22,000	95.7%	14.0%	66	13.5%	15.7%	\$17,661	12.9%	16.8%
	Total	998	100.0%	\$311,156	100.0%	100.0%	13	100.0%	100.0%	\$22,996	100.0%	100.0%	489	100.0%	100.0%	\$136,384	100.0%	100.0%
Small Business Revenue	Total Businesses																	
	\$1 Million or Less	310	64.0%	\$8,611	23.8%	95.0%	64	74.4%	49.3%	\$1,836	28.0%	37.1%	117	62.6%	54.2%	\$2,977	20.1%	41.2%
	Over \$1 Million	74	15.3%	\$17,449	48.3%	4.8%	12	14.0%					34	18.2%				
	Total Rev. available	384	79.3%	\$26,060	72.1%	99.8%	76	88.4%					151	80.8%				
	Rev. Not Known	100	20.7%	\$10,064	27.9%	0.2%	10	11.6%					36	19.3%				
	Total	484	100.0%	\$36,124	100.0%	100.0%	86	100.0%					187	100.0%				
Small Business Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	404	83.5%	\$9,431	26.1%		72	83.7%	94.8%	\$1,681	25.6%	38.3%	154	82.4%	95.5%	\$3,650	24.6%	43.5%
	\$100,001 - \$250,000	43	8.9%	\$8,599	23.8%		6	7.0%	2.7%	\$1,125	17.1%	15.9%	19	10.2%	2.1%	\$3,784	25.5%	13.5%
	\$250,001 - \$1 Million	37	7.6%	\$18,094	50.1%		8	9.3%	2.5%	\$3,757	57.2%	45.7%	14	7.5%	2.4%	\$7,400	49.9%	43.0%
	Total	484	100.0%	\$36,124	100.0%		86	100.0%	100.0%	\$6,563	100.0%	100.0%	187	100.0%	100.0%	\$14,834	100.0%	100.0%
Small Farm Revenue	Total Farms																	
	\$1 Million or Less	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	90.7%	0	0.0%	65.4%	\$0	0.0%	71.9%	0	0.0%	69.0%	\$0	0.0%	77.1%
	Over \$1 Million	1	100.0%	\$18	100.0%	9.3%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%				
	Not Known	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%				
	Total	1	100.0%	\$18	100.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%				
Small Farm Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	1	100.0%	\$18	100.0%		0	0.0%	92.3%	\$0	0.0%	36.1%	0	0.0%	93.1%	\$0	0.0%	37.6%
	\$100,001 - \$250,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	3.8%	\$0	0.0%	14.3%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	\$250,001 - \$500,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	3.8%	\$0	0.0%	49.7%	0	0.0%	6.9%	\$0	0.0%	62.4%
	Total	1	100.0%	\$18	100.0%		0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%

Originations & Purchases

Aggregate data is unavailable for loans to businesses with revenue over \$1 million or revenue unknown, and for loan size by revenue.
2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2015 D&B Information

Geographic Distribution of HMDA, Small Business, & Small Farm Loans
Assessment Area: FL North Port

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison 1/1/2014-6/30/2016					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		Bank				Owner Occupied Units	2014			2015								
		Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar				
		#	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %
HOME PURCHASE	Low	2	0.4%	\$176	0.2%	1.0%	1	0.6%	0.3%	\$103	0.3%	0.1%	1	0.6%	0.3%	\$103	0.3%	0.1%
	Moderate	49	10.5%	\$5,662	5.9%	17.3%	22	12.4%	10.6%	\$2,776	8.6%	7.2%	22	12.4%	10.7%	\$2,776	8.6%	7.5%
	Middle	280	60.0%	\$46,639	48.7%	54.6%	112	62.9%	54.9%	\$17,929	55.4%	43.8%	112	62.9%	56.5%	\$17,929	55.4%	46.3%
	Upper	136	29.1%	\$43,204	45.2%	27.1%	43	24.2%	34.2%	\$11,544	35.7%	48.9%	43	24.2%	32.5%	\$11,544	35.7%	46.1%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>467</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$95,681</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>178</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$32,352</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>178</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$32,352</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
REFINANCE	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	1.0%	0	0.0%	0.4%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%	0	0.0%	0.3%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%
	Moderate	29	11.7%	\$5,658	9.9%	17.3%	15	17.4%	10.8%	\$2,009	9.7%	7.6%	15	17.4%	10.3%	\$2,009	9.7%	7.3%
	Middle	134	54.0%	\$21,662	38.0%	54.6%	44	51.2%	54.8%	\$6,160	29.9%	41.9%	44	51.2%	54.7%	\$6,160	29.9%	43.9%
	Upper	85	34.3%	\$29,678	52.1%	27.1%	27	31.4%	34.1%	\$12,463	60.4%	50.3%	27	31.4%	34.7%	\$12,463	60.4%	48.6%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>248</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$56,998</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>86</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$20,632</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>86</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$20,632</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	1	3.0%	\$5	0.2%	1.0%	0	0.0%	0.7%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%	0	0.0%	0.6%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%
	Moderate	8	24.2%	\$625	26.0%	17.3%	3	20.0%	16.9%	\$295	29.0%	8.7%	3	20.0%	15.1%	\$295	29.0%	8.7%
	Middle	19	57.6%	\$1,149	47.9%	54.6%	9	60.0%	54.4%	\$487	47.8%	44.3%	9	60.0%	57.7%	\$487	47.8%	42.9%
	Upper	5	15.2%	\$621	25.9%	27.1%	3	20.0%	28.0%	\$236	23.2%	46.8%	3	20.0%	26.6%	\$236	23.2%	48.3%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>33</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$2,400</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>15</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$1,018</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>15</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$1,018</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
MULTI-FAMILY	Multi-Family Units																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	1.9%	0	0.0%	5.3%	\$0	0.0%	0.5%	0	0.0%	1.8%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	17.4%	0	0.0%	34.2%	\$0	0.0%	19.4%	0	0.0%	42.9%	\$0	0.0%	25.0%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	43.4%	0	0.0%	36.8%	\$0	0.0%	46.8%	0	0.0%	30.4%	\$0	0.0%	44.2%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	37.3%	0	0.0%	23.7%	\$0	0.0%	33.3%	0	0.0%	25.0%	\$0	0.0%	30.8%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	
HMDA TOTALS	Low	3	0.4%	\$181	0.1%	1.0%	1	0.4%	0.3%	\$103	0.2%	0.1%	1	0.4%	0.3%	\$103	0.2%	0.1%
	Moderate	86	11.5%	\$11,945	7.7%	17.3%	40	14.3%	10.9%	\$5,080	9.4%	8.0%	40	14.3%	10.8%	\$5,080	9.4%	8.4%
	Middle	433	57.9%	\$69,450	44.8%	54.6%	165	59.1%	54.8%	\$24,576	45.5%	43.5%	165	59.1%	55.9%	\$24,576	45.5%	45.4%
	Upper	226	30.2%	\$73,503	47.4%	27.1%	73	26.2%	33.9%	\$24,243	44.9%	48.3%	73	26.2%	33.0%	\$24,243	44.9%	46.1%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>748</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$155,079</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>279</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$54,002</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>279</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$54,002</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
SMALL BUSINESSES	Small Businesses																	
	Low	9	2.0%	\$2,013	4.0%	1.2%	4	2.1%	1.2%	\$472	2.0%	1.6%	4	2.1%	1.3%	\$472	2.0%	2.0%
	Moderate	70	15.8%	\$11,032	22.1%	17.3%	29	15.2%	17.2%	\$5,631	23.4%	20.9%	29	15.2%	17.2%	\$5,631	23.4%	21.8%
	Middle	178	40.3%	\$18,264	36.5%	50.3%	73	38.2%	45.1%	\$9,036	37.5%	40.1%	73	38.2%	45.5%	\$9,036	37.5%	39.4%
	Upper	185	41.9%	\$18,671	37.4%	31.3%	85	44.5%	35.2%	\$8,946	37.1%	36.6%	85	44.5%	35.1%	\$8,946	37.1%	36.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	1.3%	\$0	0.0%	0.8%	0	0.0%	1.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.8%
<i>Total</i>	<i>442</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$49,980</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>191</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$24,085</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>191</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$24,085</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	
SMALL FARM	Small Farms																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	8.4%	0	0.0%	6.6%	\$0	0.0%	1.5%	0	0.0%	3.8%	\$0	0.0%	2.4%
	Middle	1	100.0%	\$10	100.0%	43.7%	1	100.0%	39.5%	\$10	100.0%	36.0%	1	100.0%	39.2%	\$10	100.0%	43.5%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	48.0%	0	0.0%	51.3%	\$0	0.0%	60.6%	0	0.0%	55.7%	\$0	0.0%	53.8%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	2.6%	\$0	0.0%	1.9%	0	0.0%	1.3%	\$0	0.0%	0.3%
<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$10</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$10</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$10</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2015 D&B Information

Geographic Distribution of HMDA, Small Business, & Small Farm Loans
Assessment Area: FL North Port

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison 1/1/2014-6/30/2016					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		Bank				Owner Occupied Units	2014			2015								
		Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar				
		#	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %
HOME PURCHASE	Low	2	0.4%	\$176	0.2%	1.0%	1	0.6%	0.3%	\$103	0.3%	0.1%	0	0.0%	0.3%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%
	Moderate	49	10.5%	\$5,662	5.9%	17.3%	22	12.4%	10.6%	\$2,776	8.6%	7.2%	13	7.1%	10.7%	\$1,509	3.7%	7.5%
	Middle	280	60.0%	\$46,639	48.7%	54.6%	112	62.9%	54.9%	\$17,929	55.4%	43.8%	108	59.3%	56.5%	\$18,303	44.6%	46.3%
	Upper	136	29.1%	\$43,204	45.2%	27.1%	43	24.2%	34.2%	\$11,544	35.7%	48.9%	61	33.5%	32.5%	\$21,211	51.7%	46.1%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>467</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$95,681</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>178</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$32,352</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>182</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$41,023</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
REFINANCE	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	1.0%	0	0.0%	0.4%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%	0	0.0%	0.3%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%
	Moderate	29	11.7%	\$5,658	9.9%	17.3%	15	17.4%	10.8%	\$2,009	9.7%	7.6%	9	8.0%	10.3%	\$2,540	9.6%	7.3%
	Middle	134	54.0%	\$21,662	38.0%	54.6%	44	51.2%	54.8%	\$6,160	29.9%	41.9%	63	55.8%	54.7%	\$10,815	40.8%	43.9%
	Upper	85	34.3%	\$29,678	52.1%	27.1%	27	31.4%	34.1%	\$12,463	60.4%	50.3%	41	36.3%	34.7%	\$13,176	49.7%	48.6%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>248</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$56,998</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>86</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$20,632</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>113</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$26,531</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	1	3.0%	\$5	0.2%	1.0%	0	0.0%	0.7%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%	0	0.0%	0.6%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%
	Moderate	8	24.2%	\$625	26.0%	17.3%	3	20.0%	16.9%	\$295	29.0%	8.7%	4	40.0%	15.1%	\$320	35.8%	8.7%
	Middle	19	57.6%	\$1,149	47.9%	54.6%	9	60.0%	54.4%	\$487	47.8%	44.3%	6	60.0%	57.7%	\$573	64.2%	42.9%
	Upper	5	15.2%	\$621	25.9%	27.1%	3	20.0%	28.0%	\$236	23.2%	46.8%	0	0.0%	26.6%	\$0	0.0%	48.3%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>33</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$2,400</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>15</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$1,018</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$893</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
MULTI-FAMILY	Multi-Family Units																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	1.9%	0	0.0%	5.3%	\$0	0.0%	0.5%	0	0.0%	1.8%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	17.4%	0	0.0%	34.2%	\$0	0.0%	19.4%	0	0.0%	42.9%	\$0	0.0%	25.0%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	43.4%	0	0.0%	36.8%	\$0	0.0%	46.8%	0	0.0%	30.4%	\$0	0.0%	44.2%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	37.3%	0	0.0%	23.7%	\$0	0.0%	33.3%	0	0.0%	25.0%	\$0	0.0%	30.8%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	
HMDA TOTALS	Low	3	0.4%	\$181	0.1%	1.0%	1	0.4%	0.3%	\$103	0.2%	0.1%	0	0.0%	0.3%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%
	Moderate	86	11.5%	\$11,945	7.7%	17.3%	40	14.3%	10.9%	\$5,080	9.4%	8.0%	26	8.5%	10.8%	\$4,369	6.4%	8.4%
	Middle	433	57.9%	\$69,450	44.8%	54.6%	165	59.1%	54.8%	\$24,576	45.5%	43.5%	177	58.0%	55.9%	\$29,691	43.4%	45.4%
	Upper	226	30.2%	\$73,503	47.4%	27.1%	73	26.2%	33.9%	\$24,243	44.9%	48.3%	102	33.4%	33.0%	\$34,387	50.2%	46.1%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>748</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$155,079</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>279</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$54,002</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>305</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$68,447</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
SMALL BUSINESSES	Small Businesses																	
	Low	9	2.0%	\$2,013	4.0%	1.2%	4	2.1%	1.2%	\$472	2.0%	1.6%	4	2.4%	1.3%	\$1,141	6.4%	2.0%
	Moderate	70	15.8%	\$11,032	22.1%	17.3%	29	15.2%	17.2%	\$5,631	23.4%	20.9%	32	19.3%	17.2%	\$4,804	27.0%	21.8%
	Middle	178	40.3%	\$18,264	36.5%	50.3%	73	38.2%	45.1%	\$9,036	37.5%	40.1%	68	41.0%	45.5%	\$6,057	34.0%	39.4%
	Upper	185	41.9%	\$18,671	37.4%	31.3%	85	44.5%	35.2%	\$8,946	37.1%	36.6%	62	37.3%	35.1%	\$5,803	32.6%	36.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	1.3%	\$0	0.0%	0.8%	0	0.0%	1.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.8%
<i>Total</i>	<i>442</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$49,980</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>191</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$24,085</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>166</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$17,805</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	
SMALL FARM	Small Farms																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	8.4%	0	0.0%	6.6%	\$0	0.0%	1.5%	0	0.0%	3.8%	\$0	0.0%	2.4%
	Middle	1	100.0%	\$10	100.0%	43.7%	1	100.0%	39.5%	\$10	100.0%	36.0%	0	0.0%	39.2%	\$0	0.0%	43.5%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	48.0%	0	0.0%	51.3%	\$0	0.0%	60.6%	0	0.0%	55.7%	\$0	0.0%	53.8%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	2.6%	\$0	0.0%	1.9%	0	0.0%	1.3%	\$0	0.0%	0.3%
<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$10</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$10</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2015 D&B Information

Borrower Distribution of HMDA Loans & Small Business/Small Farm Lending by Revenue & Loan Size

Assessment Area: FL North Port

PRODUCT TYPE	Borrower Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison												
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014						2015						
		Bank		Families by Family Income			Count		Dollar				Count		Dollar				
		Count	Dollar	%	%	%	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	%	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg				
#	%	\$(000s)	\$ %	%	#	%	%	\$(000s)	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$(000s)	\$ %	\$ %			
HOME PURCHASE	Low	29	6.2%	\$2,485	2.6%	18.9%	16	9.0%	3.3%	\$1,275	3.9%	1.3%	8	4.4%	4.7%	\$865	2.1%	2.0%	
	Moderate	69	14.8%	\$8,443	8.8%	19.2%	28	15.7%	12.8%	\$3,239	10.0%	7.2%	22	12.1%	14.2%	\$2,660	6.5%	8.3%	
	Middle	99	21.2%	\$14,339	15.0%	21.8%	31	17.4%	18.4%	\$3,771	11.7%	13.6%	45	24.7%	19.5%	\$7,234	17.6%	15.2%	
	Upper	249	53.3%	\$65,942	68.9%	40.1%	92	51.7%	52.5%	\$22,452	69.4%	65.9%	99	54.4%	48.7%	\$28,062	68.4%	62.2%	
	Unknown	21	4.5%	\$4,472	4.7%	0.0%	11	6.2%	13.0%	\$1,615	5.0%	12.0%	8	4.4%	12.9%	\$2,202	5.4%	12.3%	
	Total	467	100.0%	\$95,681	100.0%	100.0%	178	100.0%	100.0%	\$32,352	100.0%	100.0%	182	100.0%	100.0%	\$41,023	100.0%	100.0%	
REFINANCE	Low	17	6.9%	\$1,996	3.5%	18.9%	5	5.8%	6.7%	\$393	1.9%	3.4%	10	8.8%	6.0%	\$1,378	5.2%	2.9%	
	Moderate	45	18.1%	\$5,842	10.2%	19.2%	14	16.3%	12.8%	\$1,508	7.3%	7.3%	24	21.2%	12.7%	\$3,356	12.6%	7.6%	
	Middle	56	22.6%	\$7,021	12.3%	21.8%	18	20.9%	19.8%	\$1,924	9.3%	14.4%	21	18.6%	19.6%	\$2,728	10.3%	14.8%	
	Upper	116	46.8%	\$39,205	68.8%	40.1%	40	46.5%	45.3%	\$15,184	73.6%	58.6%	53	46.9%	42.4%	\$17,758	66.9%	54.8%	
	Unknown	14	5.6%	\$2,934	5.1%	0.0%	9	10.5%	15.4%	\$1,623	7.9%	16.3%	5	4.4%	19.2%	\$1,311	4.9%	20.0%	
	Total	248	100.0%	\$56,998	100.0%	100.0%	86	100.0%	100.0%	\$20,632	100.0%	100.0%	113	100.0%	100.0%	\$26,531	100.0%	100.0%	
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	3	9.1%	\$74	3.1%	18.9%	0	0.0%	7.8%	\$0	0.0%	2.0%	2	20.0%	7.6%	\$9	1.0%	2.4%	
	Moderate	8	24.2%	\$187	7.8%	19.2%	6	40.0%	19.2%	\$139	13.7%	8.5%	1	10.0%	18.8%	\$43	4.8%	10.3%	
	Middle	12	36.4%	\$679	28.3%	21.8%	4	26.7%	20.1%	\$203	19.9%	16.8%	4	40.0%	25.0%	\$442	49.5%	18.5%	
	Upper	10	30.3%	\$1,460	60.8%	40.1%	5	33.3%	47.0%	\$676	66.4%	65.1%	3	30.0%	44.3%	\$399	44.7%	62.8%	
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	6.0%	\$0	0.0%	7.6%	0	0.0%	4.3%	\$0	0.0%	6.0%	
	Total	33	100.0%	\$2,400	100.0%	100.0%	15	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,018	100.0%	100.0%	10	100.0%	100.0%	\$893	100.0%	100.0%	
MULTIFAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	18.9%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	19.2%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	21.8%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	40.1%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	
	Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	
HMDA TOTALS	Low	49	6.6%	\$4,555	2.9%	18.9%	21	7.5%	4.4%	\$1,668	3.1%	1.8%	20	6.6%	5.2%	\$2,252	3.3%	2.1%	
	Moderate	122	16.3%	\$14,472	9.3%	19.2%	48	17.2%	13.0%	\$4,886	9.0%	6.8%	47	15.4%	13.9%	\$6,059	8.9%	7.7%	
	Middle	167	22.3%	\$22,039	14.2%	21.8%	53	19.0%	18.8%	\$5,898	10.9%	13.0%	70	23.0%	19.7%	\$10,404	15.2%	14.3%	
	Upper	375	50.1%	\$106,607	68.7%	40.1%	137	49.1%	50.3%	\$38,312	70.9%	60.2%	155	50.8%	46.5%	\$46,219	67.5%	56.9%	
	Unknown	35	4.7%	\$7,406	4.8%	0.0%	20	7.2%	13.5%	\$3,238	6.0%	18.2%	13	4.3%	14.7%	\$3,513	5.1%	18.9%	
	Total	748	100.0%	\$155,079	100.0%	100.0%	279	100.0%	100.0%	\$54,002	100.0%	100.0%	305	100.0%	100.0%	\$68,447	100.0%	100.0%	
Small Business Revenue	Total Businesses																		
	\$1 Million or Less	256	57.9%	\$7,206	14.4%	95.7%	110	57.6%	50.1%	\$3,514	14.6%	36.2%	90	54.2%	55.4%	\$2,126	11.9%	38.0%	
	Over \$1 Million	118	26.7%	\$35,934	71.9%	4.2%	46	24.1%					51	30.7%					
	Total Rev. available	374	84.6%	\$43,140	86.3%	99.9%	156	81.7%					141	84.9%					
	Rev. Not Known	68	15.4%	\$6,840	13.7%	0.1%	35	18.3%					25	15.1%					
	Total	442	100.0%	\$49,980	100.0%	100.0%	191	100.0%					166	100.0%					
Small Business Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	339	76.7%	\$8,963	17.9%		141	73.8%	95.1%	\$3,739	15.5%	40.2%	129	77.7%	95.4%	\$3,371	18.9%	40.8%	
	\$100,001 - \$250,000	48	10.9%	\$9,467	18.9%		23	12.0%	2.4%	\$4,579	19.0%	15.6%	17	10.2%	2.3%	\$3,204	18.0%	14.3%	
	\$250,001 - \$1 Million	55	12.4%	\$31,550	63.1%		27	14.1%	2.4%	\$15,767	65.5%	44.2%	20	12.0%	2.4%	\$11,230	63.1%	45.0%	
	Total	442	100.0%	\$49,980	100.0%		191	100.0%	100.0%	\$24,085	100.0%	100.0%	166	100.0%	100.0%	\$17,805	100.0%	100.0%	
	Total Farms																		
	\$1 Million or Less	1	100.0%	\$10	100.0%	93.6%	1	100.0%	52.6%	\$10	100.0%	39.5%	0	0.0%	62.0%	\$0	0.0%	43.5%	
Over \$1 Million	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	6.4%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%						
Not Known	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%						
Total	1	100.0%	\$10	100.0%	100.0%	1	100.0%					0	0.0%						
\$100,000 or Less	1	100.0%	\$10	100.0%		1	100.0%	93.4%	\$10	100.0%	46.2%	0	0.0%	91.1%	\$0	0.0%	44.1%		
\$100,001 - \$250,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	5.3%	\$0	0.0%	40.2%	0	0.0%	6.3%	\$0	0.0%	31.5%		
\$250,001 - \$500,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	1.3%	\$0	0.0%	13.6%	0	0.0%	2.5%	\$0	0.0%	24.4%		
Total	1	100.0%	\$10	100.0%		1	100.0%	100.0%	\$10	100.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%		

Originations & Purchases

Aggregate data is unavailable for loans to businesses with revenue over \$1 million or revenue unknown, and for loan size by revenue.

2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2015 D&B Information

Geographic Distribution of HMDA, Small Business, & Small Farm Loans
Assessment Area: IL Carbondale

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison 1/1/2014-6/30/2016					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		Bank				Owner Occupied Units	2014			2015								
		Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar				
		#	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %
HOME PURCHASE	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	3	9.4%	\$104	2.9%	14.3%	3	15.0%	15.1%	\$104	4.7%	11.5%	0	0.0%	14.2%	\$0	0.0%	11.7%
	Middle	19	59.4%	\$2,309	63.9%	58.9%	12	60.0%	50.8%	\$1,402	64.0%	48.8%	4	50.0%	52.3%	\$439	53.3%	49.6%
	Upper	10	31.3%	\$1,203	33.3%	26.8%	5	25.0%	34.1%	\$686	31.3%	39.7%	4	50.0%	33.5%	\$385	46.7%	38.7%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>32</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$3,616</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>20</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$2,192</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$824</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
REFINANCE	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	2	7.4%	\$393	14.3%	14.3%	1	6.7%	12.7%	\$375	21.9%	9.8%	1	10.0%	9.4%	\$18	2.4%	26.8%
	Middle	16	59.3%	\$1,310	47.7%	58.9%	8	53.3%	54.5%	\$655	38.2%	55.1%	7	70.0%	59.3%	\$489	65.3%	44.5%
	Upper	9	33.3%	\$1,045	38.0%	26.8%	6	40.0%	32.8%	\$683	39.9%	35.2%	2	20.0%	31.4%	\$242	32.3%	28.7%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>27</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$2,748</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>15</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$1,713</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$749</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	3	17.6%	\$165	18.9%	14.3%	0	0.0%	14.1%	\$0	0.0%	22.5%	1	12.5%	8.5%	\$73	19.8%	7.0%
	Middle	10	58.8%	\$531	60.8%	58.9%	1	50.0%	60.9%	\$75	78.9%	51.5%	5	62.5%	59.4%	\$165	44.8%	57.0%
	Upper	4	23.5%	\$177	20.3%	26.8%	1	50.0%	25.0%	\$20	21.1%	26.0%	2	25.0%	32.1%	\$130	35.3%	36.1%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>17</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$873</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$95</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$368</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
MULTI-FAMILY	Multi-Family Units																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	53.5%	0	0.0%	50.0%	\$0	0.0%	92.9%	0	0.0%	60.0%	\$0	0.0%	63.5%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	28.2%	0	0.0%	20.0%	\$0	0.0%	2.2%	0	0.0%	30.0%	\$0	0.0%	30.0%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	18.4%	0	0.0%	30.0%	\$0	0.0%	4.9%	0	0.0%	10.0%	\$0	0.0%	6.4%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	
HMDA TOTALS	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	8	10.5%	\$662	9.1%	14.3%	4	10.8%	14.5%	\$479	12.0%	16.3%	2	7.7%	12.4%	\$91	4.7%	18.9%
	Middle	45	59.2%	\$4,150	57.3%	58.9%	21	56.8%	52.5%	\$2,132	53.3%	48.0%	16	61.5%	55.1%	\$1,093	56.3%	47.4%
	Upper	23	30.3%	\$2,425	33.5%	26.8%	12	32.4%	33.0%	\$1,389	34.7%	35.7%	8	30.8%	32.5%	\$757	39.0%	33.8%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>76</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$7,237</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>37</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$4,000</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>26</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$1,941</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
SMALL BUSINESSES	Small Businesses																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	5	25.0%	\$69	35.8%	34.8%	3	42.9%	34.6%	\$24	63.2%	56.7%	2	20.0%	32.9%	\$45	33.6%	43.3%
	Middle	11	55.0%	\$88	45.6%	42.1%	4	57.1%	38.5%	\$14	36.8%	24.5%	6	60.0%	38.4%	\$68	50.7%	33.7%
	Upper	4	20.0%	\$36	18.7%	23.1%	0	0.0%	22.6%	\$0	0.0%	17.7%	2	20.0%	27.1%	\$21	15.7%	22.6%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	4.3%	\$0	0.0%	1.0%	0	0.0%	1.6%	\$0	0.0%	0.4%
<i>Total</i>	<i>20</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$193</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$38</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$134</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	
SMALL FARM	Small Farms																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	3.2%	0	0.0%	3.3%	\$0	0.0%	2.2%	0	0.0%	6.5%	\$0	0.0%	4.1%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	68.1%	0	0.0%	70.0%	\$0	0.0%	82.3%	0	0.0%	89.1%	\$0	0.0%	93.6%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	28.7%	0	0.0%	26.7%	\$0	0.0%	15.5%	0	0.0%	2.2%	\$0	0.0%	2.2%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	2.2%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%
<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2015 D&B Information

Borrower Distribution of HMDA Loans & Small Business/Small Farm Lending by Revenue & Loan Size

Assessment Area: IL Carbondale

PRODUCT TYPE	Borrower Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison												
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014					2015							
		Bank		by Family Income			Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar					
		Count	Dollar			%	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg			
#	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %			
HOME PURCHASE	Low	2	6.3%	\$102	2.8%	21.0%	2	10.0%	4.4%	\$102	4.7%	2.3%	0	0.0%	5.2%	\$0	0.0%	2.3%	
	Moderate	9	28.1%	\$645	17.8%	17.8%	7	35.0%	17.0%	\$517	23.6%	11.8%	2	25.0%	16.3%	\$128	15.5%	11.1%	
	Middle	9	28.1%	\$963	26.6%	20.1%	3	15.0%	23.0%	\$335	15.3%	20.8%	4	50.0%	22.8%	\$425	51.6%	19.9%	
	Upper	12	37.5%	\$1,906	52.7%	41.1%	8	40.0%	38.8%	\$1,238	56.5%	51.2%	2	25.0%	42.2%	\$271	32.9%	55.8%	
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	16.7%	\$0	0.0%	13.9%	0	0.0%	13.5%	\$0	0.0%	10.9%	
	Total	32	100.0%	\$3,616	100.0%	100.0%	20	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,192	100.0%	100.0%	8	100.0%	100.0%	\$824	100.0%	100.0%	
REFINANCE	Low	2	7.4%	\$118	4.3%	21.0%	1	6.7%	5.1%	\$62	3.6%	1.8%	1	10.0%	5.1%	\$56	7.5%	2.2%	
	Moderate	4	14.8%	\$202	7.4%	17.8%	2	13.3%	14.0%	\$134	7.8%	9.4%	2	20.0%	13.4%	\$68	9.1%	5.8%	
	Middle	4	14.8%	\$258	9.4%	20.1%	2	13.3%	20.5%	\$154	9.0%	18.5%	2	20.0%	19.3%	\$104	13.9%	11.9%	
	Upper	17	63.0%	\$2,170	79.0%	41.1%	10	66.7%	49.0%	\$1,363	79.6%	57.1%	5	50.0%	48.3%	\$521	69.6%	46.0%	
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	11.4%	\$0	0.0%	13.3%	0	0.0%	13.9%	\$0	0.0%	34.2%	
	Total	27	100.0%	\$2,748	100.0%	100.0%	15	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,713	100.0%	100.0%	10	100.0%	100.0%	\$749	100.0%	100.0%	
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	1	5.9%	\$60	6.9%	21.0%	0	0.0%	7.6%	\$0	0.0%	2.5%	1	12.5%	5.7%	\$60	16.3%	3.1%	
	Moderate	3	17.6%	\$134	15.3%	17.8%	0	0.0%	21.7%	\$0	0.0%	15.7%	1	12.5%	16.0%	\$50	13.6%	10.3%	
	Middle	3	17.6%	\$195	22.3%	20.1%	0	0.0%	10.9%	\$0	0.0%	6.8%	2	25.0%	25.5%	\$129	35.1%	20.7%	
	Upper	10	58.8%	\$484	55.4%	41.1%	2	100.0%	46.7%	\$95	100.0%	56.9%	4	50.0%	51.9%	\$129	35.1%	64.1%	
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	13.0%	\$0	0.0%	18.2%	0	0.0%	0.9%	\$0	0.0%	1.8%	
	Total	17	100.0%	\$873	100.0%	100.0%	2	100.0%	100.0%	\$95	100.0%	100.0%	8	100.0%	100.0%	\$368	100.0%	100.0%	
MULTIFAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	21.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	17.8%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	20.1%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	41.1%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	
	Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	
HMDA TOTALS	Low	5	6.6%	\$280	3.9%	21.0%	3	8.1%	4.8%	\$164	4.1%	2.0%	2	7.7%	5.2%	\$116	6.0%	2.2%	
	Moderate	16	21.1%	\$981	13.6%	17.8%	9	24.3%	16.2%	\$651	16.3%	10.3%	5	19.2%	15.1%	\$246	12.7%	8.6%	
	Middle	16	21.1%	\$1,416	19.6%	20.1%	5	13.5%	21.2%	\$489	12.2%	18.4%	8	30.8%	21.6%	\$658	33.9%	16.2%	
	Upper	39	51.3%	\$4,560	63.0%	41.1%	20	54.1%	42.5%	\$2,696	67.4%	50.1%	11	42.3%	44.8%	\$921	47.4%	50.9%	
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	15.3%	\$0	0.0%	19.2%	0	0.0%	13.4%	\$0	0.0%	22.1%	
	Total	76	100.0%	\$7,237	100.0%	100.0%	37	100.0%	100.0%	\$4,000	100.0%	100.0%	26	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,941	100.0%	100.0%	
Small Business Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	17	85.0%	\$176	91.2%	91.2%	5	71.4%	34.6%	\$26	68.4%	41.5%	9	90.0%	46.2%	\$129	96.3%	46.6%	
	Over \$1 Million	3	15.0%	\$17	8.8%	7.9%	2	28.6%					1	10.0%					
	Total Rev. available	20	100.0%	\$193	100.0%	99.1%	7	100.0%					10	100.0%					
	Rev. Not Known	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.9%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%					
	Total	20	100.0%	\$193	100.0%	100.0%	7	100.0%					10	100.0%					
	Small Business Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	20	100.0%	\$193	100.0%		7	100.0%	91.5%	\$38	100.0%	29.2%	10	100.0%	89.2%	\$134	100.0%	30.1%
\$100,001 - \$250,000		0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	4.3%	\$0	0.0%	19.0%	0	0.0%	6.0%	\$0	0.0%	22.5%	
\$250,001 - \$1 Million		0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	4.2%	\$0	0.0%	51.9%	0	0.0%	4.7%	\$0	0.0%	47.4%	
Total		20	100.0%	\$193	100.0%		7	100.0%	100.0%	\$38	100.0%	100.0%	10	100.0%	100.0%	\$134	100.0%	100.0%	
Small Farm Revenue		\$1 Million or Less	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	66.7%	\$0	0.0%	44.3%	0	0.0%	69.6%	\$0	0.0%	72.7%
	Over \$1 Million	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%					
	Not Known	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%					
	Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%					
	Small Farm Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	80.0%	\$0	0.0%	34.2%	0	0.0%	78.3%	\$0	0.0%	35.8%
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	13.3%	\$0	0.0%	30.7%	0	0.0%	17.4%	\$0	0.0%	43.5%
\$250,001 - \$500,000		0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	6.7%	\$0	0.0%	35.0%	0	0.0%	4.3%	\$0	0.0%	20.8%	
Total		0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	
Total		0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	

Originations & Purchases
Aggregate data is unavailable for loans to businesses with revenue over \$1 million or revenue unknown, and for loan size by revenue.
2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2015 D&B Information

Geographic Distribution of HMDA, Small Business, & Small Farm Loans
Assessment Area: IL Northern IL

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison 1/1/2014-6/30/2016					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		Bank				Owner Occupied Units	2014			2015								
		Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar				
		#	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %
HOME PURCHASE	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	1.1%	0	0.0%	0.2%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%	0	0.0%	0.4%	\$0	0.0%	0.3%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	11.8%	0	0.0%	11.2%	\$0	0.0%	7.0%	0	0.0%	8.9%	\$0	0.0%	5.5%
	Middle	16	64.0%	\$1,802	65.6%	61.2%	7	70.0%	65.8%	\$707	64.9%	64.2%	8	61.5%	65.6%	\$1,019	70.6%	63.3%
	Upper	9	36.0%	\$945	34.4%	26.0%	3	30.0%	22.8%	\$382	35.1%	28.5%	5	38.5%	25.1%	\$425	29.4%	30.8%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>25</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$2,747</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$1,089</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>13</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$1,444</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
REFINANCE	Low	1	2.0%	\$35	0.9%	1.1%	1	7.7%	0.3%	\$35	3.3%	0.2%	0	0.0%	0.7%	\$0	0.0%	0.3%
	Moderate	3	6.0%	\$143	3.6%	11.8%	1	7.7%	7.1%	\$33	3.1%	3.9%	1	4.5%	7.6%	\$57	3.3%	3.7%
	Middle	26	52.0%	\$1,947	48.8%	61.2%	7	53.8%	60.9%	\$436	41.1%	56.9%	12	54.5%	61.6%	\$877	50.1%	62.9%
	Upper	20	40.0%	\$1,867	46.8%	26.0%	4	30.8%	31.6%	\$556	52.5%	39.0%	9	40.9%	30.1%	\$818	46.7%	33.1%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>50</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$3,992</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>13</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$1,060</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>22</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$1,752</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	1.1%	0	0.0%	3.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.5%	0	0.0%	0.7%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	5	33.3%	\$226	36.1%	11.8%	2	28.6%	7.6%	\$96	34.8%	7.6%	2	40.0%	12.3%	\$108	40.8%	7.3%
	Middle	7	46.7%	\$249	39.8%	61.2%	4	57.1%	67.9%	\$175	63.4%	62.3%	2	40.0%	57.5%	\$49	18.5%	53.2%
	Upper	3	20.0%	\$151	24.1%	26.0%	1	14.3%	21.4%	\$5	1.8%	29.6%	1	20.0%	29.5%	\$108	40.8%	39.5%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>15</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$626</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$276</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$265</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
MULTI-FAMILY	Multi-Family Units																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	17.7%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	20.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.9%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	57.8%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	60.0%	\$0	0.0%	98.5%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	24.4%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	20.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.6%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	
HMDA TOTALS	Low	1	1.1%	\$35	0.5%	1.1%	1	3.3%	0.4%	\$35	1.4%	0.2%	0	0.0%	0.5%	\$0	0.0%	0.3%
	Moderate	8	8.9%	\$369	5.0%	11.8%	3	10.0%	9.6%	\$129	5.3%	16.2%	3	7.5%	8.7%	\$165	4.8%	4.5%
	Middle	49	54.4%	\$3,998	54.3%	61.2%	18	60.0%	64.1%	\$1,318	54.4%	54.5%	22	55.0%	63.5%	\$1,945	56.2%	65.4%
	Upper	32	35.6%	\$2,963	40.2%	26.0%	8	26.7%	25.8%	\$943	38.9%	29.1%	15	37.5%	27.4%	\$1,351	39.0%	29.8%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>90</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$7,365</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>30</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$2,425</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>40</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$3,461</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
SMALL BUSINESSES	Small Businesses																	
	Low	1	2.6%	\$313	18.6%	1.1%	1	5.6%	0.9%	\$313	36.8%	1.0%	0	0.0%	0.9%	\$0	0.0%	0.7%
	Moderate	8	21.1%	\$81	4.8%	15.5%	4	22.2%	13.8%	\$55	6.5%	16.5%	4	23.5%	12.3%	\$26	6.9%	8.9%
	Middle	14	36.8%	\$488	29.0%	59.1%	7	38.9%	54.0%	\$297	34.9%	47.2%	5	29.4%	56.1%	\$131	34.6%	45.0%
	Upper	15	39.5%	\$803	47.7%	24.3%	6	33.3%	27.7%	\$186	21.9%	33.9%	8	47.1%	27.3%	\$222	58.6%	44.3%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	3.6%	\$0	0.0%	1.3%	0	0.0%	3.4%	\$0	0.0%	1.1%
<i>Total</i>	<i>38</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$1,685</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>18</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$851</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>17</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$379</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	
SMALL FARM	Small Farms																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%	0	0.0%	0.5%	\$0	0.0%	0.7%	0	0.0%	0.5%	\$0	0.0%	1.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.9%	0	0.0%	1.6%	\$0	0.0%	4.7%	0	0.0%	2.0%	\$0	0.0%	2.5%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	64.9%	0	0.0%	56.6%	\$0	0.0%	45.8%	0	0.0%	67.2%	\$0	0.0%	68.2%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	34.1%	0	0.0%	40.7%	\$0	0.0%	48.5%	0	0.0%	29.3%	\$0	0.0%	28.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	0.5%	\$0	0.0%	0.3%	0	0.0%	1.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.3%
<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2015 D&B Information

Borrower Distribution of HMDA Loans & Small Business/Small Farm Lending by Revenue & Loan Size

Assessment Area: IL Northern IL

PRODUCT TYPE	Borrower Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014						2015					
		Bank		by Family Income			Count		Dollar				Count		Dollar			
		Count	Dollar			%	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg		Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg			
#	%	\$(000s)	\$ %	%	#	%	%	\$(000s)	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$(000s)	\$ %	\$ %		
HOME PURCHASE	Low	3	12.0%	\$147	5.4%	18.5%	2	20.0%	9.1%	\$94	8.6%	4.8%	1	7.7%	11.1%	\$53	3.7%	6.2%
	Moderate	9	36.0%	\$712	25.9%	18.4%	2	20.0%	21.8%	\$152	14.0%	16.7%	6	46.2%	22.7%	\$484	33.5%	17.9%
	Middle	5	20.0%	\$487	17.7%	22.1%	2	20.0%	22.3%	\$192	17.6%	22.0%	2	15.4%	19.9%	\$157	10.9%	20.4%
	Upper	8	32.0%	\$1,401	51.0%	41.0%	4	40.0%	25.1%	\$651	59.8%	36.9%	4	30.8%	27.0%	\$750	51.9%	38.6%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	21.6%	\$0	0.0%	19.5%	0	0.0%	19.3%	\$0	0.0%	16.9%
	Total	25	100.0%	\$2,747	100.0%	100.0%	10	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,089	100.0%	100.0%	13	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,444	100.0%	100.0%
REFINANCE	Low	5	10.0%	\$219	5.5%	18.5%	1	7.7%	8.5%	\$33	3.1%	5.1%	3	13.6%	10.9%	\$137	7.8%	5.8%
	Moderate	11	22.0%	\$766	19.2%	18.4%	3	23.1%	17.3%	\$244	23.0%	11.9%	4	18.2%	18.6%	\$308	17.6%	12.3%
	Middle	14	28.0%	\$1,013	25.4%	22.1%	2	15.4%	25.1%	\$203	19.2%	21.6%	8	36.4%	20.2%	\$563	32.1%	16.4%
	Upper	19	38.0%	\$1,933	48.4%	41.0%	6	46.2%	33.9%	\$519	49.0%	44.7%	7	31.8%	33.6%	\$744	42.5%	47.9%
	Unknown	1	2.0%	\$61	1.5%	0.0%	1	7.7%	15.2%	\$61	5.8%	16.6%	0	0.0%	16.8%	\$0	0.0%	17.6%
	Total	50	100.0%	\$3,992	100.0%	100.0%	13	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,060	100.0%	100.0%	22	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,752	100.0%	100.0%
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	3	20.0%	\$100	16.0%	18.5%	2	28.6%	9.9%	\$91	33.0%	7.4%	1	20.0%	14.4%	\$9	3.4%	6.2%
	Moderate	5	33.3%	\$121	19.3%	18.4%	3	42.9%	16.8%	\$61	22.1%	13.1%	0	0.0%	16.4%	\$0	0.0%	13.0%
	Middle	5	33.3%	\$357	57.0%	22.1%	1	14.3%	19.8%	\$119	43.1%	26.7%	3	60.0%	30.8%	\$213	80.4%	27.5%
	Upper	2	13.3%	\$48	7.7%	41.0%	1	14.3%	34.4%	\$5	1.8%	47.5%	1	20.0%	36.3%	\$43	16.2%	51.8%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	19.1%	\$0	0.0%	5.3%	0	0.0%	2.1%	\$0	0.0%	1.4%
	Total	15	100.0%	\$626	100.0%	100.0%	7	100.0%	100.0%	\$276	100.0%	100.0%	5	100.0%	100.0%	\$265	100.0%	100.0%
MULTIFAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	18.5%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	18.4%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	22.1%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	41.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%
	Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%
HMDA TOTALS	Low	11	12.2%	\$466	6.3%	18.5%	5	16.7%	8.9%	\$218	9.0%	4.4%	5	12.5%	11.2%	\$199	5.7%	5.6%
	Moderate	25	27.8%	\$1,599	21.7%	18.4%	8	26.7%	19.8%	\$457	18.8%	13.1%	10	25.0%	20.6%	\$792	22.9%	14.2%
	Middle	24	26.7%	\$1,857	25.2%	22.1%	5	16.7%	23.1%	\$514	21.2%	19.5%	13	32.5%	20.8%	\$933	27.0%	17.6%
	Upper	29	32.2%	\$3,382	45.9%	41.0%	11	36.7%	28.9%	\$1,175	48.5%	35.9%	12	30.0%	30.2%	\$1,537	44.4%	40.0%
	Unknown	1	1.1%	\$61	0.8%	0.0%	1	3.3%	19.3%	\$61	2.5%	27.1%	0	0.0%	17.2%	\$0	0.0%	22.7%
	Total	90	100.0%	\$7,365	100.0%	100.0%	30	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,425	100.0%	100.0%	40	100.0%	100.0%	\$3,461	100.0%	100.0%
Small Business Revenue	Total Businesses																	
	\$1 Million or Less	25	65.8%	\$390	23.1%	91.2%	11	61.1%	43.8%	\$222	26.1%	45.0%	13	76.5%	42.9%	\$153	40.4%	31.8%
	Over \$1 Million	7	18.4%	\$896	53.2%	7.1%	3	16.7%				2	11.8%					
	Total Rev. available	32	84.2%	\$1,286	76.3%	98.3%	14	77.8%				15	88.3%					
	Rev. Not Known	6	15.8%	\$399	23.7%	1.7%	4	22.2%				2	11.8%					
	Total	38	100.0%	\$1,685	100.0%	100.0%	18	100.0%				17	100.0%					
Small Business Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	36	94.7%	\$977	58.0%		17	94.4%	95.4%	\$538	63.2%	39.4%	17	100.0%	94.0%	\$379	100.0%	34.1%
	\$100,001 - \$250,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	2.2%	\$0	0.0%	13.0%	0	0.0%	2.8%	\$0	0.0%	13.6%
	\$250,001 - \$1 Million	2	5.3%	\$708	42.0%		1	5.6%	2.4%	\$313	36.8%	47.6%	0	0.0%	3.2%	\$0	0.0%	52.3%
	Total	38	100.0%	\$1,685	100.0%		18	100.0%	100.0%	\$851	100.0%	100.0%	17	100.0%	100.0%	\$379	100.0%	100.0%
Small Farm Revenue	Total Farms																	
	\$1 Million or Less	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	99.2%	0	0.0%	40.7%	\$0	0.0%	54.0%	0	0.0%	41.4%	\$0	0.0%	54.3%
	Over \$1 Million	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.8%	0	0.0%				0	0.0%					
	Not Known	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%				0	0.0%					
	Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%				0	0.0%					
Small Farm Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	72.0%	\$0	0.0%	17.2%	0	0.0%	76.3%	\$0	0.0%	20.4%
	\$100,001 - \$250,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	14.3%	\$0	0.0%	27.7%	0	0.0%	11.1%	\$0	0.0%	23.5%
	\$250,001 - \$500,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	13.8%	\$0	0.0%	55.1%	0	0.0%	12.6%	\$0	0.0%	56.1%
	Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%

Originations & Purchases

Aggregate data is unavailable for loans to businesses with revenue over \$1 million or revenue unknown, and for loan size by revenue.

2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2015 D&B Information

Geographic Distribution of HMDA, Small Business, & Small Farm Loans
Assessment Area: IL Rockford

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison 1/1/2014-6/30/2016					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		Bank				Owner Occupied Units %	2014			2015								
		Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar				
		#	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %	
HOME PURCHASE	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	4.0%	0	0.0%	1.3%	\$0	0.0%	0.7%	0	0.0%	1.8%	\$0	0.0%	1.0%
	Moderate	4	6.8%	\$253	3.6%	19.2%	3	13.6%	15.8%	\$153	7.1%	9.7%	1	4.3%	14.6%	\$100	3.6%	9.0%
	Middle	31	52.5%	\$3,574	51.5%	45.6%	8	36.4%	47.0%	\$834	38.5%	43.6%	14	60.9%	48.1%	\$1,567	56.2%	45.2%
	Upper	24	40.7%	\$3,119	44.9%	31.2%	11	50.0%	35.9%	\$1,179	54.4%	46.0%	8	34.8%	35.5%	\$1,121	40.2%	44.7%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>59</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$6,946</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>22</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$2,166</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>23</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$2,788</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
REFINANCE	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	4.0%	0	0.0%	2.6%	\$0	0.0%	1.2%	0	0.0%	1.2%	\$0	0.0%	0.5%
	Moderate	11	24.4%	\$1,041	22.0%	19.2%	6	26.1%	17.3%	\$502	24.1%	12.9%	4	26.7%	12.9%	\$427	24.5%	8.0%
	Middle	16	35.6%	\$1,408	29.7%	45.6%	10	43.5%	47.2%	\$849	40.8%	44.1%	4	26.7%	47.5%	\$396	22.7%	45.0%
	Upper	18	40.0%	\$2,293	48.4%	31.2%	7	30.4%	32.8%	\$730	35.1%	41.8%	7	46.7%	38.5%	\$921	52.8%	46.6%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>45</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$4,742</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>23</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$2,081</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>15</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$1,744</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	4.0%	0	0.0%	2.6%	\$0	0.0%	1.7%	0	0.0%	2.3%	\$0	0.0%	2.8%
	Moderate	1	25.0%	\$12	9.2%	19.2%	1	50.0%	17.4%	\$12	12.9%	9.0%	0	0.0%	16.9%	\$0	0.0%	14.6%
	Middle	1	25.0%	\$81	62.3%	45.6%	1	50.0%	48.6%	\$81	87.1%	45.4%	0	0.0%	49.8%	\$0	0.0%	45.5%
	Upper	2	50.0%	\$37	28.5%	31.2%	0	0.0%	31.4%	\$0	0.0%	43.9%	2	100.0%	31.1%	\$37	100.0%	37.1%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$130</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$93</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$37</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
MULTI-FAMILY	Multi-Family Units																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	12.7%	0	0.0%	4.4%	\$0	0.0%	2.3%	0	0.0%	6.7%	\$0	0.0%	6.5%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	38.5%	0	0.0%	46.7%	\$0	0.0%	22.4%	0	0.0%	26.7%	\$0	0.0%	19.3%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	36.4%	0	0.0%	42.2%	\$0	0.0%	56.5%	0	0.0%	55.6%	\$0	0.0%	59.3%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	12.5%	0	0.0%	6.7%	\$0	0.0%	18.7%	0	0.0%	11.1%	\$0	0.0%	14.9%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	
HMDA TOTALS	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	4.0%	0	0.0%	1.9%	\$0	0.0%	1.0%	0	0.0%	1.6%	\$0	0.0%	1.1%
	Moderate	16	14.8%	\$1,306	11.1%	19.2%	10	21.3%	16.6%	\$667	15.4%	11.4%	5	12.5%	14.2%	\$527	11.5%	9.1%
	Middle	48	44.4%	\$5,063	42.8%	45.6%	19	40.4%	47.1%	\$1,764	40.6%	44.3%	18	45.0%	48.0%	\$1,963	43.0%	45.8%
	Upper	44	40.7%	\$5,449	46.1%	31.2%	18	38.3%	34.3%	\$1,909	44.0%	43.3%	17	42.5%	36.2%	\$2,079	45.5%	44.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>108</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$11,818</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>47</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$4,340</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>40</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$4,569</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
SMALL BUSINESSES	Small Businesses																	
	Low	1	2.4%	\$25	0.7%	6.2%	0	0.0%	6.0%	\$0	0.0%	6.2%	1	5.0%	5.4%	\$25	2.2%	6.0%
	Moderate	8	19.5%	\$747	21.4%	19.6%	2	11.8%	17.3%	\$392	18.6%	19.5%	4	20.0%	16.6%	\$140	12.3%	22.8%
	Middle	21	51.2%	\$1,576	45.2%	45.7%	11	64.7%	43.8%	\$874	41.5%	47.0%	10	50.0%	46.0%	\$702	61.6%	45.9%
	Upper	11	26.8%	\$1,137	32.6%	28.3%	4	23.5%	31.1%	\$842	39.9%	26.1%	5	25.0%	30.2%	\$272	23.9%	23.8%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%	0	0.0%	0.5%	\$0	0.0%	0.3%	0	0.0%	0.5%	\$0	0.0%	1.1%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	1.4%	\$0	0.0%	0.9%	0	0.0%	1.3%	\$0	0.0%	0.5%
<i>Total</i>	<i>41</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$3,485</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>17</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$2,108</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>20</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$1,139</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	
SMALL FARM	Small Farms																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.5%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	3.5%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	1.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	59.5%	0	0.0%	57.7%	\$0	0.0%	68.9%	0	0.0%	56.9%	\$0	0.0%	61.0%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	36.4%	0	0.0%	42.3%	\$0	0.0%	31.1%	0	0.0%	42.2%	\$0	0.0%	38.8%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2015 D&B Information

Borrower Distribution of HMDA Loans & Small Business/Small Farm Lending by Revenue & Loan Size

Assessment Area: IL Rockford

PRODUCT TYPE	Borrower Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison 1/1/2014-6/30/2016					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison												
		Bank				Families by Family Income %	2014			2015									
		Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar					
		#	%	\$(000s)	\$ %		Bank	Agg	%	Bank	Agg	%	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	%		
HOME PURCHASE	Low	3	5.1%	\$164	2.4%	21.6%	3	13.6%	6.3%	\$164	7.6%	3.4%	0	0.0%	10.6%	\$0	0.0%	6.0%	
	Moderate	15	25.4%	\$1,540	22.2%	17.5%	6	27.3%	19.9%	\$584	27.0%	14.8%	3	13.0%	23.3%	\$269	9.6%	18.8%	
	Middle	19	32.2%	\$2,097	30.2%	21.8%	7	31.8%	20.1%	\$662	30.6%	19.6%	9	39.1%	18.7%	\$1,063	38.1%	19.9%	
	Upper	19	32.2%	\$2,882	41.5%	39.1%	5	22.7%	29.8%	\$571	26.4%	41.6%	9	39.1%	25.4%	\$1,378	49.4%	34.9%	
	Unknown	3	5.1%	\$263	3.8%	0.0%	1	4.5%	23.9%	\$185	8.5%	20.6%	2	8.7%	22.0%	\$78	2.8%	20.4%	
	Total	59	100.0%	\$6,946	100.0%	100.0%	22	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,166	100.0%	100.0%	23	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,788	100.0%	100.0%	
REFINANCE	Low	6	13.3%	\$296	6.2%	21.6%	2	8.7%	7.3%	\$103	4.9%	4.5%	2	13.3%	7.7%	\$107	6.1%	4.5%	
	Moderate	6	13.3%	\$587	12.4%	17.5%	6	26.1%	15.3%	\$587	28.2%	10.8%	0	0.0%	15.9%	\$0	0.0%	11.1%	
	Middle	14	31.1%	\$1,483	31.3%	21.8%	5	21.7%	22.1%	\$473	22.7%	19.4%	7	46.7%	21.0%	\$791	45.4%	17.7%	
	Upper	14	31.1%	\$1,576	33.2%	39.1%	8	34.8%	36.8%	\$712	34.2%	44.3%	3	20.0%	34.7%	\$252	14.4%	42.4%	
	Unknown	5	11.1%	\$800	16.9%	0.0%	2	8.7%	18.5%	\$206	9.9%	21.0%	3	20.0%	20.7%	\$594	34.1%	24.3%	
	Total	45	100.0%	\$4,742	100.0%	100.0%	23	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,081	100.0%	100.0%	15	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,744	100.0%	100.0%	
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	21.6%	0	0.0%	6.1%	\$0	0.0%	3.7%	0	0.0%	8.0%	\$0	0.0%	6.3%	
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	17.5%	0	0.0%	15.0%	\$0	0.0%	8.2%	0	0.0%	21.4%	\$0	0.0%	14.0%	
	Middle	3	75.0%	\$123	94.6%	21.8%	2	100.0%	24.8%	\$93	100.0%	24.4%	1	50.0%	25.5%	\$30	81.1%	21.7%	
	Upper	1	25.0%	\$7	5.4%	39.1%	0	0.0%	43.4%	\$0	0.0%	58.5%	1	50.0%	38.9%	\$7	18.9%	46.5%	
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	10.7%	\$0	0.0%	5.2%	0	0.0%	6.2%	\$0	0.0%	11.6%	
	Total	4	100.0%	\$130	100.0%	100.0%	2	100.0%	100.0%	\$93	100.0%	100.0%	2	100.0%	100.0%	\$37	100.0%	100.0%	
MULTIFAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	21.6%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	17.5%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	21.8%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	39.1%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	
	Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	
HMDA TOTALS	Low	9	8.3%	\$460	3.9%	21.6%	5	10.6%	6.6%	\$267	6.2%	3.7%	2	5.0%	9.3%	\$107	2.3%	5.1%	
	Moderate	21	19.4%	\$2,127	18.0%	17.5%	12	25.5%	17.8%	\$1,171	27.0%	12.6%	3	7.5%	20.2%	\$269	5.9%	14.8%	
	Middle	36	33.3%	\$3,703	31.3%	21.8%	14	29.8%	21.0%	\$1,228	28.3%	18.8%	17	42.5%	19.9%	\$1,884	41.2%	18.2%	
	Upper	34	31.5%	\$4,465	37.8%	39.1%	13	27.7%	32.9%	\$1,283	29.6%	41.1%	13	32.5%	29.6%	\$1,637	35.8%	36.6%	
	Unknown	8	7.4%	\$1,063	9.0%	0.0%	3	6.4%	21.6%	\$391	9.0%	23.9%	5	12.5%	21.0%	\$672	14.7%	25.4%	
	Total	108	100.0%	\$11,818	100.0%	100.0%	47	100.0%	100.0%	\$4,340	100.0%	100.0%	40	100.0%	100.0%	\$4,569	100.0%	100.0%	
Small Business Revenue	Total Businesses																		
	\$1 Million or Less	24	58.5%	\$820	23.5%	90.2%	6	35.3%	40.4%	\$323	15.3%	29.4%	16	80.0%	43.9%	\$474	41.6%	32.4%	
	Over \$1 Million	10	24.4%	\$1,514	43.4%	9.3%	6	35.3%					2	10.0%					
	Total Rev. available	34	82.9%	\$2,334	66.9%	99.5%	12	70.6%					18	90.0%					
	Rev. Not Known	7	17.1%	\$1,151	33.0%	0.6%	5	29.4%					2	10.0%					
	Total	41	100.0%	\$3,485	100.0%	100.0%	17	100.0%					20	100.0%					
Small Business Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	32	78.0%	\$878	25.2%		12	70.6%	94.6%	\$416	19.7%	36.3%	17	85.0%	95.3%	\$339	29.8%	43.7%	
	\$100,001 - \$250,000	5	12.2%	\$1,015	29.1%		2	11.8%	2.5%	\$450	21.3%	14.2%	2	10.0%	2.8%	\$450	39.5%	17.3%	
	\$250,001 - \$1 Million	4	9.8%	\$1,592	45.7%		3	17.6%	2.9%	\$1,242	58.9%	49.5%	1	5.0%	1.8%	\$350	30.7%	39.0%	
	Total	41	100.0%	\$3,485	100.0%		17	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,108	100.0%	100.0%	20	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,139	100.0%	100.0%	
	Total Farms																		
	\$1 Million or Less	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	99.3%	0	0.0%	37.1%	\$0	0.0%	54.0%	0	0.0%	48.0%	\$0	0.0%	53.4%	
Over \$1 Million	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.7%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%						
Not Known	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%						
Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%						
Small Farm Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	73.2%	\$0	0.0%	23.2%	0	0.0%	67.6%	\$0	0.0%	20.4%	
	\$100,001 - \$250,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	19.6%	\$0	0.0%	42.2%	0	0.0%	23.5%	\$0	0.0%	46.6%	
	\$250,001 - \$500,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	7.2%	\$0	0.0%	34.6%	0	0.0%	8.8%	\$0	0.0%	33.1%	
	Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	

Originations & Purchases

Aggregate data is unavailable for loans to businesses with revenue over \$1 million or revenue unknown, and for loan size by revenue.

2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2015 D&B Information

Geographic Distribution of HMDA, Small Business, & Small Farm Loans
Assessment Area: IN Bloomington

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison 1/1/2014-6/30/2016					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		Bank				Owner Occupied Units	2014				2015							
		Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar		Count		Dollar					
		#	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %
HOME PURCHASE	Low	1	0.9%	\$192	1.1%	2.0%	1	2.0%	1.6%	\$192	2.6%	1.6%	0	0.0%	2.1%	\$0	0.0%	1.5%
	Moderate	16	14.4%	\$1,797	10.4%	13.3%	7	14.0%	14.0%	\$816	10.9%	10.0%	5	15.6%	12.5%	\$458	8.1%	8.8%
	Middle	59	53.2%	\$8,698	50.3%	57.4%	26	52.0%	48.0%	\$3,414	45.6%	45.6%	18	56.3%	51.4%	\$3,258	57.9%	49.8%
	Upper	35	31.5%	\$6,619	38.2%	27.3%	16	32.0%	36.4%	\$3,067	41.0%	42.8%	9	28.1%	33.9%	\$1,909	33.9%	40.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>111</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$17,306</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>50</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$7,489</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>32</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$5,625</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
REFINANCE	Low	3	3.4%	\$1,136	10.9%	2.0%	1	2.8%	2.1%	\$79	2.2%	1.7%	2	5.3%	2.2%	\$1,057	20.5%	2.3%
	Moderate	8	9.0%	\$764	7.4%	13.3%	2	5.6%	10.7%	\$229	6.5%	8.1%	3	7.9%	11.7%	\$276	5.4%	8.5%
	Middle	47	52.8%	\$5,189	50.0%	57.4%	20	55.6%	60.5%	\$2,036	57.7%	59.0%	19	50.0%	55.0%	\$2,126	41.2%	49.9%
	Upper	31	34.8%	\$3,288	31.7%	27.3%	13	36.1%	26.7%	\$1,182	33.5%	31.2%	14	36.8%	31.0%	\$1,699	32.9%	39.3%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>89</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$10,377</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>36</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$3,526</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>38</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$5,158</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	2.0%	0	0.0%	3.1%	\$0	0.0%	4.6%	0	0.0%	1.8%	\$0	0.0%	1.6%
	Moderate	2	18.2%	\$30	8.1%	13.3%	2	50.0%	8.7%	\$30	66.7%	3.9%	0	0.0%	10.4%	\$0	0.0%	5.4%
	Middle	6	54.5%	\$61	16.4%	57.4%	2	50.0%	61.2%	\$15	33.3%	54.9%	2	40.0%	60.0%	\$10	3.4%	50.5%
	Upper	3	27.3%	\$281	75.5%	27.3%	0	0.0%	26.9%	\$0	0.0%	36.7%	3	60.0%	27.8%	\$281	96.6%	42.5%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$372</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$45</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$291</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
MULTI-FAMILY	Multi-Family Units																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	16.7%	0	0.0%	13.3%	\$0	0.0%	53.3%	0	0.0%	11.1%	\$0	0.0%	14.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	25.3%	0	0.0%	20.0%	\$0	0.0%	8.1%	0	0.0%	14.8%	\$0	0.0%	3.7%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	34.3%	0	0.0%	46.7%	\$0	0.0%	25.7%	0	0.0%	51.9%	\$0	0.0%	59.4%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	23.7%	0	0.0%	20.0%	\$0	0.0%	12.9%	0	0.0%	22.2%	\$0	0.0%	22.9%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	
HMDA TOTALS	Low	4	1.9%	\$1,328	4.7%	2.0%	2	2.2%	1.9%	\$271	2.5%	7.9%	2	2.7%	2.2%	\$1,057	9.5%	3.4%
	Moderate	26	12.3%	\$2,591	9.2%	13.3%	11	12.2%	12.5%	\$1,075	9.7%	9.0%	8	10.7%	12.1%	\$734	6.6%	7.9%
	Middle	112	53.1%	\$13,948	49.7%	57.4%	48	53.3%	53.2%	\$5,465	49.4%	47.3%	39	52.0%	53.4%	\$5,394	48.7%	51.1%
	Upper	69	32.7%	\$10,188	36.3%	27.3%	29	32.2%	32.4%	\$4,249	38.4%	35.8%	26	34.7%	32.3%	\$3,889	35.1%	37.6%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>211</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$28,055</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>90</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$11,060</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>75</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$11,074</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
SMALL BUSINESSES	Small Businesses																	
	Low	1	1.5%	\$25	0.5%	6.3%	1	3.2%	5.5%	\$25	1.6%	6.1%	0	0.0%	5.4%	\$0	0.0%	4.2%
	Moderate	8	11.9%	\$1,089	19.7%	12.5%	6	19.4%	12.0%	\$147	9.4%	17.1%	1	3.8%	13.1%	\$927	30.3%	19.7%
	Middle	30	44.8%	\$1,570	28.4%	56.3%	10	32.3%	50.9%	\$351	22.5%	48.1%	15	57.7%	52.2%	\$1,141	37.3%	45.2%
	Upper	28	41.8%	\$2,843	51.4%	24.9%	14	45.2%	28.6%	\$1,040	66.5%	28.1%	10	38.5%	27.5%	\$990	32.4%	30.4%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	2.9%	\$0	0.0%	0.7%	0	0.0%	1.8%	\$0	0.0%	0.5%
<i>Total</i>	<i>67</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$5,527</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>31</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$1,563</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>26</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$3,058</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	
SMALL FARM	Small Farms																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.4%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	5.1%	0	0.0%	7.3%	\$0	0.0%	15.6%	0	0.0%	2.4%	\$0	0.0%	2.8%
	Middle	2	66.7%	\$26	24.5%	83.8%	0	0.0%	85.4%	\$0	0.0%	82.2%	1	50.0%	90.5%	\$13	14.0%	93.8%
	Upper	1	33.3%	\$80	75.5%	10.7%	0	0.0%	7.3%	\$0	0.0%	2.2%	1	50.0%	7.1%	\$80	86.0%	3.4%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$106</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$93</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2015 D&B Information

Borrower Distribution of HMDA Loans & Small Business/Small Farm Lending by Revenue & Loan Size

Assessment Area: IN Bloomington

PRODUCT TYPE	Borrower Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison													
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014						2015							
		Bank		Families by Family Income		Count	Bank		Dollar		Count	Bank		Dollar		Count	Bank		Dollar	
		Count	Dollar	%	%		#	%	%	\$ (000s)		\$ %	%	#	%		%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	%
#	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	%				
HOME PURCHASE	Low	11	9.9%	\$929	5.4%	20.8%	3	6.0%	6.4%	\$322	4.3%	3.3%	2	6.3%	6.6%	\$120	2.1%	3.4%		
	Moderate	25	22.5%	\$2,771	16.0%	17.5%	12	24.0%	18.7%	\$1,144	15.3%	13.2%	6	18.8%	17.3%	\$654	11.6%	12.0%		
	Middle	30	27.0%	\$4,128	23.9%	21.3%	13	26.0%	19.4%	\$1,770	23.6%	17.2%	10	31.3%	19.3%	\$1,470	26.1%	16.8%		
	Upper	41	36.9%	\$8,947	51.7%	40.4%	20	40.0%	33.3%	\$3,974	53.1%	43.5%	14	43.8%	36.5%	\$3,381	60.1%	47.6%		
	Unknown	4	3.6%	\$531	3.1%	0.0%	2	4.0%	22.2%	\$279	3.7%	22.9%	0	0.0%	20.3%	\$0	0.0%	20.2%		
	Total	111	100.0%	\$17,306	100.0%	100.0%	50	100.0%	100.0%	\$7,489	100.0%	100.0%	32	100.0%	100.0%	\$5,625	100.0%	100.0%		
REFINANCE	Low	14	15.7%	\$1,137	11.0%	20.8%	5	13.9%	9.9%	\$429	12.2%	5.7%	8	21.1%	6.7%	\$588	11.4%	3.8%		
	Moderate	19	21.3%	\$1,757	16.9%	17.5%	6	16.7%	15.0%	\$579	16.4%	10.2%	8	21.1%	16.2%	\$641	12.4%	10.3%		
	Middle	23	25.8%	\$2,289	22.1%	21.3%	12	33.3%	18.6%	\$1,224	34.7%	16.1%	7	18.4%	17.6%	\$735	14.2%	13.3%		
	Upper	28	31.5%	\$4,634	44.7%	40.4%	10	27.8%	34.0%	\$1,022	29.0%	42.3%	13	34.2%	39.6%	\$2,906	56.3%	51.2%		
	Unknown	5	5.6%	\$560	5.4%	0.0%	3	8.3%	22.6%	\$272	7.7%	25.7%	2	5.3%	19.9%	\$288	5.6%	21.4%		
	Total	89	100.0%	\$10,377	100.0%	100.0%	36	100.0%	100.0%	\$3,526	100.0%	100.0%	38	100.0%	100.0%	\$5,158	100.0%	100.0%		
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	1	9.1%	\$25	6.7%	20.8%	1	25.0%	8.0%	\$25	55.6%	2.8%	0	0.0%	8.4%	\$0	0.0%	2.1%		
	Moderate	6	54.5%	\$171	46.0%	17.5%	2	50.0%	21.7%	\$15	33.3%	15.1%	3	60.0%	24.5%	\$126	43.3%	11.8%		
	Middle	2	18.2%	\$10	2.7%	21.3%	1	25.0%	24.1%	\$5	11.1%	14.4%	1	20.0%	17.6%	\$5	1.7%	13.4%		
	Upper	2	18.2%	\$166	44.6%	40.4%	0	0.0%	37.4%	\$0	0.0%	57.3%	1	20.0%	43.3%	\$160	55.0%	53.2%		
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	8.7%	\$0	0.0%	10.4%	0	0.0%	6.3%	\$0	0.0%	19.6%		
	Total	11	100.0%	\$372	100.0%	100.0%	4	100.0%	100.0%	\$45	100.0%	100.0%	5	100.0%	100.0%	\$291	100.0%	100.0%		
MULTIFAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	20.8%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%		
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	17.5%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%		
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	21.3%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%		
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	40.4%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%		
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%		
	Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%		
HMDA TOTALS	Low	26	12.3%	\$2,091	7.5%	20.8%	9	10.0%	7.7%	\$776	7.0%	3.5%	10	13.3%	6.8%	\$708	6.4%	3.0%		
	Moderate	50	23.7%	\$4,699	16.7%	17.5%	20	22.2%	17.6%	\$1,738	15.7%	10.8%	17	22.7%	17.3%	\$1,421	12.8%	9.8%		
	Middle	55	26.1%	\$6,427	22.9%	21.3%	26	28.9%	19.4%	\$2,999	27.1%	14.7%	18	24.0%	18.4%	\$2,210	20.0%	13.3%		
	Upper	71	33.6%	\$13,747	49.0%	40.4%	30	33.3%	33.7%	\$4,996	45.2%	38.3%	28	37.3%	37.9%	\$6,447	58.2%	42.7%		
	Unknown	9	4.3%	\$1,091	3.9%	0.0%	5	5.6%	21.6%	\$551	5.0%	32.6%	2	2.7%	19.6%	\$288	2.6%	31.1%		
	Total	211	100.0%	\$28,055	100.0%	100.0%	90	100.0%	100.0%	\$11,060	100.0%	100.0%	75	100.0%	100.0%	\$11,074	100.0%	100.0%		
Small Business Revenue	Total Businesses																			
	\$1 Million or Less	45	67.2%	\$2,121	38.4%	92.5%	22	71.0%	34.1%	\$452	28.9%	34.0%	14	53.8%	39.9%	\$1,263	41.3%	36.0%		
	Over \$1 Million	7	10.4%	\$1,915	34.6%	6.8%	1	3.2%				5	19.2%							
	Total Rev. available	52	77.6%	\$4,036	73.0%	99.3%	23	74.2%				19	73.0%							
	Rev. Not Known	15	22.4%	\$1,491	27.0%	0.7%	8	25.8%				7	26.9%							
	Total	67	100.0%	\$5,527	100.0%	100.0%	31	100.0%				26	100.0%							
Small Business Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	57	85.1%	\$1,588	28.7%		28	90.3%	86.7%	\$663	42.4%	23.2%	21	80.8%	87.7%	\$719	23.5%	23.4%		
	\$100,001 - \$250,000	5	7.5%	\$1,000	18.1%		2	6.5%	6.4%	\$400	25.6%	18.6%	2	7.7%	5.7%	\$400	13.1%	17.0%		
	\$250,001 - \$1 Million	5	7.5%	\$2,939	53.2%		1	3.2%	6.9%	\$500	32.0%	58.1%	3	11.5%	6.6%	\$1,939	63.4%	59.6%		
	Total	67	100.0%	\$5,527	100.0%		31	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,563	100.0%	100.0%	26	100.0%	100.0%	\$3,058	100.0%	100.0%		
	Total	67	100.0%	\$5,527	100.0%		31	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,563	100.0%	100.0%	26	100.0%	100.0%	\$3,058	100.0%	100.0%		
Small Farm Revenue	Total Farms																			
	\$1 Million or Less	3	100.0%	\$106	100.0%	99.2%	0	0.0%	65.9%	\$0	0.0%	74.6%	2	100.0%	83.3%	\$93	100.0%	97.0%		
	Over \$1 Million	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.8%	0	0.0%				0	0.0%							
	Not Known	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%				0	0.0%							
	Total	3	100.0%	\$106	100.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%				2	100.0%							
Small Farm Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	3	100.0%	\$106	100.0%		0	0.0%	73.2%	\$0	0.0%	26.4%	2	100.0%	71.4%	\$93	100.0%	28.5%		
	\$100,001 - \$250,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	19.5%	\$0	0.0%	45.3%	0	0.0%	23.8%	\$0	0.0%	56.4%		
	\$250,001 - \$500,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	7.3%	\$0	0.0%	28.3%	0	0.0%	4.8%	\$0	0.0%	15.1%		
	Total	3	100.0%	\$106	100.0%		0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	2	100.0%	100.0%	\$93	100.0%	100.0%		

Origination & Purchases
Aggregate data is unavailable for loans to businesses with revenue over \$1 million or revenue unknown, and for loan size by revenue.
2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2015 D&B Information

Geographic Distribution of HMDA, Small Business, & Small Farm Loans
Assessment Area: IN Fort Wayne

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison 1/1/2014-6/30/2016					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		Bank				Owner Occupied Units	2014			2015								
		Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar				
		#	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %
HOME PURCHASE	Low	7	1.4%	\$299	0.4%	5.5%	2	1.0%	1.2%	\$74	0.3%	0.6%	3	1.5%	1.4%	\$138	0.5%	0.6%
	Moderate	66	13.2%	\$4,733	6.2%	21.9%	26	12.9%	16.2%	\$1,874	6.5%	10.2%	27	13.4%	15.1%	\$1,808	6.1%	8.5%
	Middle	156	31.3%	\$17,693	23.3%	37.3%	65	32.2%	38.3%	\$6,843	23.7%	31.1%	69	34.3%	39.0%	\$7,997	27.1%	35.2%
	Upper	270	54.1%	\$53,346	70.1%	35.3%	109	54.0%	44.3%	\$20,054	69.5%	58.0%	102	50.7%	44.5%	\$19,570	66.3%	55.7%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>499</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$76,071</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>202</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$28,845</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>201</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$29,513</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
REFINANCE	Low	20	4.1%	\$749	1.5%	5.5%	9	5.1%	2.2%	\$389	2.2%	1.2%	9	4.0%	1.7%	\$315	1.3%	0.6%
	Moderate	86	17.5%	\$5,120	10.1%	21.9%	30	16.9%	18.2%	\$1,766	10.1%	12.4%	39	17.2%	14.0%	\$2,357	9.9%	8.6%
	Middle	186	37.9%	\$16,657	32.8%	37.3%	67	37.6%	37.7%	\$6,193	35.4%	32.9%	86	37.9%	36.8%	\$7,796	32.7%	30.6%
	Upper	199	40.5%	\$28,314	55.7%	35.3%	72	40.4%	41.9%	\$9,170	52.3%	53.5%	93	41.0%	47.5%	\$13,375	56.1%	60.3%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>491</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$50,840</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>178</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$17,518</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>227</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$23,843</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	1	4.2%	\$40	3.2%	5.5%	0	0.0%	4.7%	\$0	0.0%	2.5%	1	8.3%	4.1%	\$40	7.5%	1.5%
	Moderate	5	20.8%	\$230	18.3%	21.9%	3	30.0%	25.3%	\$125	21.2%	22.8%	2	16.7%	21.5%	\$105	19.7%	12.1%
	Middle	9	37.5%	\$504	40.1%	37.3%	4	40.0%	33.9%	\$253	42.9%	23.9%	3	25.0%	39.7%	\$118	22.1%	36.8%
	Upper	9	37.5%	\$483	38.4%	35.3%	3	30.0%	36.1%	\$212	35.9%	50.8%	6	50.0%	34.7%	\$271	50.7%	49.6%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>24</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$1,257</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$590</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>12</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$534</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
MULTI-FAMILY	Multi-Family Units																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	10.6%	0	0.0%	9.1%	\$0	0.0%	2.0%	0	0.0%	6.7%	\$0	0.0%	6.5%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	54.8%	0	0.0%	36.4%	\$0	0.0%	66.0%	0	0.0%	53.3%	\$0	0.0%	41.4%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	23.0%	0	0.0%	36.4%	\$0	0.0%	9.5%	0	0.0%	26.7%	\$0	0.0%	19.7%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	11.3%	0	0.0%	18.2%	\$0	0.0%	22.5%	0	0.0%	13.3%	\$0	0.0%	32.4%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.3%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	
HMDA TOTALS	Low	28	2.8%	\$1,088	0.8%	5.5%	11	2.8%	1.7%	\$463	1.0%	0.9%	13	3.0%	1.6%	\$493	0.9%	0.9%
	Moderate	157	15.5%	\$10,083	7.9%	21.9%	59	15.1%	17.3%	\$3,765	8.0%	15.6%	68	15.5%	15.1%	\$4,270	7.9%	10.0%
	Middle	351	34.6%	\$34,854	27.2%	37.3%	136	34.9%	37.9%	\$13,289	28.3%	29.7%	158	35.9%	38.3%	\$15,911	29.5%	33.1%
	Upper	478	47.1%	\$82,143	64.1%	35.3%	184	47.2%	43.0%	\$29,436	62.7%	53.8%	201	45.7%	45.0%	\$33,216	61.6%	56.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1,014</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$128,168</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>390</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$46,953</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>440</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$53,890</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
SMALL BUSINESSES	Small Businesses																	
	Low	26	16.9%	\$3,833	24.5%	9.3%	13	21.0%	10.0%	\$2,219	26.2%	12.2%	7	11.7%	10.4%	\$873	21.5%	13.2%
	Moderate	36	23.4%	\$1,124	7.2%	23.2%	12	19.4%	23.0%	\$430	5.1%	21.7%	21	35.0%	23.0%	\$514	12.7%	22.0%
	Middle	42	27.3%	\$5,479	35.0%	32.8%	14	22.6%	33.5%	\$2,419	28.6%	36.6%	15	25.0%	33.7%	\$1,116	27.5%	36.7%
	Upper	50	32.5%	\$5,231	33.4%	34.4%	23	37.1%	31.9%	\$3,398	40.1%	28.6%	17	28.3%	31.1%	\$1,550	38.2%	27.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.3%	0	0.0%	0.3%	\$0	0.0%	0.5%	0	0.0%	0.5%	\$0	0.0%	0.7%
Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	1.4%	\$0	0.0%	0.3%	0	0.0%	1.4%	\$0	0.0%	0.4%	
<i>Total</i>	<i>154</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$15,667</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>62</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$8,466</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>60</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$4,053</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	
SMALL FARM	Small Farms																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.3%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	2.2%	\$0	0.0%	1.9%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	4.9%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Middle	1	100.0%	\$15	100.0%	53.6%	0	0.0%	62.4%	\$0	0.0%	69.9%	0	0.0%	59.9%	\$0	0.0%	60.8%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	41.2%	0	0.0%	37.6%	\$0	0.0%	30.1%	0	0.0%	38.0%	\$0	0.0%	37.2%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$15</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2016 D&B Information

Borrower Distribution of HMDA Loans & Small Business/Small Farm Lending by Revenue & Loan Size

Assessment Area: IN Fort Wayne

PRODUCT TYPE	Borrower Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014						2015					
		Bank		by Family Income			Count		Dollar				Count		Dollar			
		Count	Dollar			%	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	%	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg			
#	%	\$(000s)	\$ %	%	#	%	%	\$(000s)	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$(000s)	\$ %	\$ %		
HOME PURCHASE	Low	45	9.0%	\$3,157	4.2%	19.5%	14	6.9%	11.4%	\$978	3.4%	6.4%	23	11.4%	11.7%	\$1,628	5.5%	6.3%
	Moderate	150	30.1%	\$15,094	19.8%	18.5%	67	33.2%	22.2%	\$6,160	21.4%	16.6%	63	31.3%	23.4%	\$6,565	22.2%	16.4%
	Middle	125	25.1%	\$16,858	22.2%	22.6%	49	24.3%	19.8%	\$6,354	22.0%	18.9%	50	24.9%	19.8%	\$6,655	22.5%	18.5%
	Upper	176	35.3%	\$40,574	53.3%	39.4%	71	35.1%	27.2%	\$15,245	52.9%	41.0%	63	31.3%	25.3%	\$14,385	48.7%	36.1%
	Unknown	3	0.6%	\$388	0.5%	0.0%	1	0.5%	19.4%	\$108	0.4%	17.1%	2	1.0%	19.8%	\$280	0.9%	22.7%
	Total	499	100.0%	\$76,071	100.0%	100.0%	202	100.0%	100.0%	\$28,845	100.0%	100.0%	201	100.0%	100.0%	\$29,513	100.0%	100.0%
REFINANCE	Low	61	12.4%	\$3,917	7.7%	19.5%	20	11.2%	9.9%	\$1,276	7.3%	5.8%	32	14.1%	6.9%	\$2,037	8.5%	3.6%
	Moderate	142	28.9%	\$11,832	23.3%	18.5%	46	25.8%	18.6%	\$3,965	22.6%	13.3%	73	32.2%	16.6%	\$6,038	25.3%	11.2%
	Middle	118	24.0%	\$11,710	23.0%	22.6%	45	25.3%	21.1%	\$4,211	24.0%	18.9%	57	25.1%	19.2%	\$5,868	24.6%	16.7%
	Upper	154	31.4%	\$21,732	42.7%	39.4%	63	35.4%	28.7%	\$7,746	44.2%	37.7%	55	24.2%	29.3%	\$8,805	36.9%	40.2%
	Unknown	16	3.3%	\$1,649	3.2%	0.0%	4	2.2%	21.6%	\$320	1.8%	24.3%	10	4.4%	28.1%	\$1,095	4.6%	28.3%
	Total	491	100.0%	\$50,840	100.0%	100.0%	178	100.0%	100.0%	\$17,518	100.0%	100.0%	227	100.0%	100.0%	\$23,843	100.0%	100.0%
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	3	12.5%	\$120	9.5%	19.5%	0	0.0%	11.6%	\$0	0.0%	5.2%	3	25.0%	12.2%	\$120	22.5%	6.7%
	Moderate	7	29.2%	\$210	16.7%	18.5%	4	40.0%	21.9%	\$76	12.9%	14.3%	3	25.0%	24.3%	\$134	25.1%	16.7%
	Middle	6	25.0%	\$338	26.9%	22.6%	3	30.0%	24.3%	\$138	23.4%	18.0%	2	16.7%	26.6%	\$121	22.7%	22.6%
	Upper	8	33.3%	\$589	46.9%	39.4%	3	30.0%	34.6%	\$376	63.7%	47.0%	4	33.3%	32.8%	\$159	29.8%	47.7%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	7.6%	\$0	0.0%	15.5%	0	0.0%	4.1%	\$0	0.0%	6.2%
	Total	24	100.0%	\$1,257	100.0%	100.0%	10	100.0%	100.0%	\$590	100.0%	100.0%	12	100.0%	100.0%	\$534	100.0%	100.0%
MULTIFAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	19.5%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	18.5%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	22.6%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	39.4%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%
	Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%
HMDA TOTALS	Low	109	10.7%	\$7,194	5.6%	19.5%	34	8.7%	11.0%	\$2,254	4.8%	5.7%	58	13.2%	10.1%	\$3,785	7.0%	5.2%
	Moderate	299	29.5%	\$27,136	21.2%	18.5%	117	30.0%	21.1%	\$10,201	21.7%	14.3%	139	31.6%	21.1%	\$12,737	23.6%	14.1%
	Middle	249	24.6%	\$28,906	22.6%	22.6%	97	24.9%	20.4%	\$10,703	22.8%	17.3%	109	24.8%	19.9%	\$12,644	23.5%	17.2%
	Upper	338	33.3%	\$62,895	49.1%	39.4%	137	35.1%	28.0%	\$23,367	49.8%	36.9%	122	27.7%	27.0%	\$23,349	43.3%	36.0%
	Unknown	19	1.9%	\$2,037	1.6%	0.0%	5	1.3%	19.6%	\$428	0.9%	25.8%	12	2.7%	21.9%	\$1,375	2.6%	27.5%
	Total	1,014	100.0%	\$128,168	100.0%	100.0%	390	100.0%	100.0%	\$46,953	100.0%	100.0%	440	100.0%	100.0%	\$53,890	100.0%	100.0%
Small Business Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	109	70.8%	\$2,799	17.9%	88.0%	41	66.1%	42.6%	\$1,406	16.6%	39.2%	44	73.3%	43.0%	\$759	18.7%	27.4%
	Over \$1 Million	24	15.6%	\$10,848	69.2%	11.2%	10	16.1%				8	13.3%					
	Total Rev. available	133	86.4%	\$13,647	87.1%	99.2%	51	82.2%				52	86.6%					
	Rev. Not Known	21	13.6%	\$2,020	12.9%	0.9%	11	17.7%				8	13.3%					
	Total	154	100.0%	\$15,667	100.0%	100.0%	62	100.0%				60	100.0%					
Small Business Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	131	85.1%	\$3,475	22.2%		49	79.0%	88.5%	\$1,185	14.0%	26.9%	54	90.0%	87.3%	\$1,441	35.6%	25.2%
	\$100,001 - \$250,000	6	3.9%	\$936	6.0%		3	4.8%	5.8%	\$462	5.5%	18.2%	2	3.3%	6.0%	\$350	8.6%	17.2%
	\$250,001 - \$1 Million	17	11.0%	\$11,256	71.8%		10	16.1%	5.6%	\$6,819	80.5%	54.9%	4	6.7%	6.8%	\$2,262	55.8%	57.5%
	Total	154	100.0%	\$15,667	100.0%		62	100.0%	100.0%	\$8,466	100.0%	100.0%	60	100.0%	100.0%	\$4,053	100.0%	100.0%
Small Farm Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	1	100.0%	\$15	100.0%	99.2%	0	0.0%	66.0%	\$0	0.0%	69.9%	0	0.0%	59.9%	\$0	0.0%	50.7%
	Over \$1 Million	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.8%	0	0.0%				0	0.0%					
	Not Known	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%				0	0.0%					
	Total	1	100.0%	\$15	100.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%				0	0.0%					
Small Farm Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	1	100.0%	\$15	100.0%		0	0.0%	75.9%	\$0	0.0%	31.4%	0	0.0%	65.7%	\$0	0.0%	21.6%
	\$100,001 - \$250,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	17.7%	\$0	0.0%	37.7%	0	0.0%	24.1%	\$0	0.0%	41.8%
	\$250,001 - \$500,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	6.4%	\$0	0.0%	30.8%	0	0.0%	10.2%	\$0	0.0%	36.6%
	Total	1	100.0%	\$15	100.0%		0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%

Originations & Purchases
Aggregate data is unavailable for loans to businesses with revenue over \$1 million or revenue unknown, and for loan size by revenue.
2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2016 D&B Information

Geographic Distribution of HMDA, Small Business, & Small Farm Loans
Assessment Area: IN Lafayette

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison 1/1/2014-6/30/2016					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		Bank				Owner Occupied Units	2014			2015								
		Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar				
		#	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %
HOME PURCHASE	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.8%	0	0.0%	1.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.5%	0	0.0%	0.6%	\$0	0.0%	0.4%
	Moderate	12	17.9%	\$1,032	10.8%	21.9%	4	13.3%	18.5%	\$413	10.0%	12.2%	4	17.4%	18.7%	\$350	10.7%	12.2%
	Middle	39	58.2%	\$6,196	64.9%	54.6%	18	60.0%	56.3%	\$2,493	60.3%	60.7%	15	65.2%	58.1%	\$2,410	73.7%	62.7%
	Upper	16	23.9%	\$2,317	24.3%	22.7%	8	26.7%	24.0%	\$1,231	29.8%	26.5%	4	17.4%	22.5%	\$512	15.6%	24.7%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>67</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$9,545</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>30</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$4,137</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>23</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$3,272</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
REFINANCE	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.8%	0	0.0%	1.5%	\$0	0.0%	0.9%	0	0.0%	1.2%	\$0	0.0%	0.7%
	Moderate	16	14.8%	\$1,406	12.0%	21.9%	2	5.6%	19.4%	\$156	4.5%	13.2%	9	17.6%	18.6%	\$594	11.3%	11.5%
	Middle	66	61.1%	\$7,168	61.1%	54.6%	24	66.7%	55.3%	\$2,009	58.6%	59.2%	29	56.9%	55.5%	\$3,245	61.8%	60.7%
	Upper	26	24.1%	\$3,157	26.9%	22.7%	10	27.8%	23.8%	\$1,264	36.9%	26.7%	13	25.5%	24.6%	\$1,413	26.9%	27.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>108</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$11,731</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>36</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$3,429</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>51</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$5,252</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.8%	0	0.0%	2.8%	\$0	0.0%	3.3%	0	0.0%	2.3%	\$0	0.0%	15.5%
	Moderate	3	27.3%	\$12	5.5%	21.9%	1	16.7%	19.9%	\$2	1.7%	15.6%	1	33.3%	20.3%	\$4	4.5%	10.5%
	Middle	8	72.7%	\$207	94.5%	54.6%	5	83.3%	57.4%	\$113	98.3%	62.1%	2	66.7%	57.9%	\$84	95.5%	54.6%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	22.7%	0	0.0%	19.9%	\$0	0.0%	18.9%	0	0.0%	19.5%	\$0	0.0%	19.4%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$219</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$115</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$88</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
MULTI-FAMILY	Multi-Family Units																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	18.0%	0	0.0%	18.8%	\$0	0.0%	5.0%	0	0.0%	47.3%	\$0	0.0%	45.4%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	40.2%	0	0.0%	52.2%	\$0	0.0%	57.5%	0	0.0%	40.0%	\$0	0.0%	31.5%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	23.8%	0	0.0%	21.7%	\$0	0.0%	32.4%	0	0.0%	9.1%	\$0	0.0%	21.3%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	16.6%	0	0.0%	7.2%	\$0	0.0%	5.2%	0	0.0%	3.6%	\$0	0.0%	1.7%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	1.4%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	
HMDA TOTALS	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.8%	0	0.0%	1.6%	\$0	0.0%	1.2%	0	0.0%	1.4%	\$0	0.0%	5.4%
	Moderate	31	16.7%	\$2,450	11.4%	21.9%	7	9.7%	19.4%	\$571	7.4%	18.3%	14	18.2%	19.0%	\$948	11.0%	13.9%
	Middle	113	60.8%	\$13,571	63.1%	54.6%	47	65.3%	55.5%	\$4,615	60.1%	56.7%	46	59.7%	56.7%	\$5,739	66.6%	57.7%
	Upper	42	22.6%	\$5,474	25.5%	22.7%	18	25.0%	23.5%	\$2,495	32.5%	23.7%	17	22.1%	22.9%	\$1,925	22.4%	22.9%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>186</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$21,495</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>72</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$7,681</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>77</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$8,612</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
SMALL BUSINESSES	Small Businesses																	
	Low	1	3.0%	\$335	6.8%	6.1%	1	5.9%	5.7%	\$335	13.8%	5.6%	0	0.0%	5.2%	\$0	0.0%	4.2%
	Moderate	15	45.5%	\$1,267	25.6%	33.4%	10	58.8%	36.0%	\$797	32.7%	48.0%	4	28.6%	34.3%	\$454	28.3%	42.0%
	Middle	11	33.3%	\$3,194	64.4%	41.9%	4	23.5%	37.7%	\$1,259	51.7%	30.7%	6	42.9%	37.7%	\$1,035	64.5%	28.5%
	Upper	6	18.2%	\$160	3.2%	18.2%	2	11.8%	17.8%	\$45	1.8%	14.0%	4	28.6%	20.1%	\$115	7.2%	24.1%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.4%	0	0.0%	0.2%	\$0	0.0%	0.9%	0	0.0%	0.2%	\$0	0.0%	0.5%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	2.6%	\$0	0.0%	0.7%	0	0.0%	2.5%	\$0	0.0%	0.7%
<i>Total</i>	<i>33</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$4,956</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>17</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$2,436</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>14</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$1,604</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	
SMALL FARM	Small Farms																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	3.4%	0	0.0%	1.8%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%	0	0.0%	2.3%	\$0	0.0%	2.7%
	Middle	2	100.0%	\$400	100.0%	89.9%	1	100.0%	92.7%	\$200	100.0%	90.5%	1	100.0%	89.1%	\$200	100.0%	91.0%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	6.8%	0	0.0%	5.5%	\$0	0.0%	9.4%	0	0.0%	7.8%	\$0	0.0%	4.3%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.8%	\$0	0.0%	2.0%
<i>Total</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$400</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$200</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$200</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2016 D&B Information

Borrower Distribution of HMDA Loans & Small Business/Small Farm Lending by Revenue & Loan Size

Assessment Area: IN Lafayette

PRODUCT TYPE	Borrower Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison												
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014						2015						
		Bank		by Family Income		Count	Count		Dollar		Count	Dollar		Count	Dollar				
		#	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %		%	#	%	%		\$ (000s)	\$ %		\$ %	#	%	%	\$ (000s)
HOME PURCHASE	Low	6	9.0%	\$474	5.0%	21.5%	1	3.3%	7.9%	\$80	1.9%	4.5%	3	13.0%	9.1%	\$260	7.9%	5.3%	
	Moderate	19	28.4%	\$2,056	21.5%	17.6%	8	26.7%	21.3%	\$896	21.7%	15.9%	7	30.4%	22.6%	\$748	22.9%	16.9%	
	Middle	14	20.9%	\$1,619	17.0%	21.4%	7	23.3%	19.6%	\$674	16.3%	18.9%	5	21.7%	22.3%	\$648	19.8%	22.1%	
	Upper	27	40.3%	\$5,261	55.1%	39.5%	13	43.3%	32.2%	\$2,352	56.9%	43.2%	8	34.8%	30.6%	\$1,616	49.4%	42.1%	
	Unknown	1	1.5%	\$135	1.4%	0.0%	1	3.3%	19.0%	\$135	3.3%	17.5%	0	0.0%	15.5%	\$0	0.0%	13.6%	
	Total	67	100.0%	\$9,545	100.0%	100.0%	30	100.0%	100.0%	\$4,137	100.0%	100.0%	23	100.0%	100.0%	\$3,272	100.0%	100.0%	
REFINANCE	Low	19	17.6%	\$1,140	9.7%	21.5%	5	13.9%	8.1%	\$282	8.2%	4.8%	10	19.6%	7.4%	\$596	11.3%	3.8%	
	Moderate	24	22.2%	\$1,776	15.1%	17.6%	9	25.0%	16.8%	\$585	17.1%	12.4%	12	23.5%	15.3%	\$995	18.9%	10.7%	
	Middle	23	21.3%	\$2,481	21.1%	21.4%	7	19.4%	19.4%	\$728	21.2%	16.9%	13	25.5%	19.7%	\$1,360	25.9%	17.0%	
	Upper	35	32.4%	\$5,519	47.0%	39.5%	13	36.1%	32.9%	\$1,679	49.0%	42.8%	14	27.5%	34.1%	\$1,862	35.5%	46.1%	
	Unknown	7	6.5%	\$815	6.9%	0.0%	2	5.6%	22.7%	\$155	4.5%	23.0%	2	3.9%	23.5%	\$439	8.4%	22.4%	
	Total	108	100.0%	\$11,731	100.0%	100.0%	36	100.0%	100.0%	\$3,429	100.0%	100.0%	51	100.0%	100.0%	\$5,252	100.0%	100.0%	
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	1	9.1%	\$12	5.5%	21.5%	1	16.7%	14.3%	\$12	10.4%	7.3%	0	0.0%	7.9%	\$0	0.0%	2.3%	
	Moderate	2	18.2%	\$4	1.8%	17.6%	1	16.7%	20.7%	\$2	1.7%	18.2%	1	33.3%	25.2%	\$2	2.3%	14.0%	
	Middle	4	36.4%	\$97	44.3%	21.4%	3	50.0%	25.1%	\$91	79.1%	19.4%	0	0.0%	21.1%	\$0	0.0%	19.7%	
	Upper	4	36.4%	\$106	48.4%	39.5%	1	16.7%	37.1%	\$10	8.7%	52.4%	2	66.7%	39.1%	\$86	97.7%	44.1%	
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	2.8%	\$0	0.0%	2.8%	0	0.0%	6.8%	\$0	0.0%	19.9%	
	Total	11	100.0%	\$219	100.0%	100.0%	6	100.0%	100.0%	\$115	100.0%	100.0%	3	100.0%	100.0%	\$88	100.0%	100.0%	
MULTIFAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	21.5%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	17.6%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	21.4%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	39.5%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	
	Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	
HMDA TOTALS	Low	26	14.0%	\$1,626	7.6%	21.5%	7	9.7%	8.2%	\$374	4.9%	4.0%	13	16.9%	8.4%	\$856	9.9%	4.3%	
	Moderate	45	24.2%	\$3,836	17.8%	17.6%	18	25.0%	19.5%	\$1,483	19.3%	13.0%	20	26.0%	20.0%	\$1,745	20.3%	13.2%	
	Middle	41	22.0%	\$4,197	19.5%	21.4%	17	23.6%	19.6%	\$1,493	19.4%	16.0%	18	23.4%	21.1%	\$2,008	23.3%	18.2%	
	Upper	66	35.5%	\$10,886	50.6%	39.5%	27	37.5%	32.2%	\$4,041	52.6%	37.7%	24	31.2%	31.9%	\$3,564	41.4%	39.0%	
	Unknown	8	4.3%	\$950	4.4%	0.0%	3	4.2%	20.5%	\$290	3.8%	29.3%	2	2.6%	18.6%	\$439	5.1%	25.3%	
	Total	186	100.0%	\$21,495	100.0%	100.0%	72	100.0%	100.0%	\$7,681	100.0%	100.0%	77	100.0%	100.0%	\$8,612	100.0%	100.0%	
Small Business Revenue	Total Businesses																		
	\$1 Million or Less	19	57.6%	\$979	19.8%	90.4%	8	47.1%	39.4%	\$619	25.4%	36.4%	10	71.4%	43.1%	\$344	21.4%	36.5%	
	Over \$1 Million	11	33.3%	\$3,832	77.3%	8.4%	6	35.3%					4	28.6%					
	Total Rev. available	30	90.9%	\$4,811	97.1%	98.8%	14	82.4%					14	100.0%					
	Rev. Not Known	3	9.1%	\$145	2.9%	1.2%	3	17.6%					0	0.0%					
	Total	33	100.0%	\$4,956	100.0%	100.0%	17	100.0%					14	100.0%					
Small Business Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	25	75.8%	\$964	19.5%		12	70.6%	90.6%	\$494	20.3%	27.6%	12	85.7%	88.8%	\$454	28.3%	25.2%	
	\$100,001 - \$250,000	4	12.1%	\$857	17.3%		3	17.6%	4.9%	\$607	24.9%	19.6%	1	7.1%	5.5%	\$250	15.6%	19.6%	
	\$250,001 - \$1 Million	4	12.1%	\$3,135	63.3%		2	11.8%	4.5%	\$1,335	54.8%	52.8%	1	7.1%	5.6%	\$900	56.1%	55.2%	
	Total	33	100.0%	\$4,956	100.0%		17	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,436	100.0%	100.0%	14	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,604	100.0%	100.0%	
	Total Farms																		
	\$1 Million or Less	1	50.0%	\$200	50.0%	98.8%	0	0.0%	43.6%	\$0	0.0%	51.5%	1	100.0%	43.8%	\$200	100.0%	69.0%	
Over \$1 Million	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	1.2%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%						
Not Known	1	50.0%	\$200	50.0%	0.0%	1	100.0%					0	0.0%						
Total	2	100.0%	\$400	100.0%	100.0%	1	100.0%					1	100.0%						
Small Farm Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	71.8%	\$0	0.0%	16.8%	0	0.0%	70.3%	\$0	0.0%	17.1%	
	\$100,001 - \$250,000	2	100.0%	\$400	100.0%		1	100.0%	14.5%	\$200	100.0%	28.8%	1	100.0%	16.4%	\$200	100.0%	32.1%	
	\$250,001 - \$500,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	13.6%	\$0	0.0%	54.4%	0	0.0%	13.3%	\$0	0.0%	50.8%	
	Total	2	100.0%	\$400	100.0%		1	100.0%	100.0%	\$200	100.0%	100.0%	1	100.0%	100.0%	\$200	100.0%	100.0%	

Originations & Purchases

Aggregate data is unavailable for loans to businesses with revenue over \$1 million or revenue unknown, and for loan size by revenue.

2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2016 D&B Information

Geographic Distribution of HMDA, Small Business, & Small Farm Loans
Assessment Area: IN Northern IN

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison 1/1/2014-6/30/2016					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		Bank				Owner Occupied Units %	2014			2015								
		Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar				
		#	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %	
HOME PURCHASE	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	1	1.1%	\$61	0.6%	4.4%	1	2.5%	6.3%	\$61	1.2%	3.6%	0	0.0%	5.2%	\$0	0.0%	2.7%
	Middle	69	74.2%	\$7,591	69.3%	77.6%	28	70.0%	76.7%	\$3,454	70.1%	72.3%	30	81.1%	77.5%	\$2,890	73.3%	74.7%
	Upper	23	24.7%	\$3,309	30.2%	18.0%	11	27.5%	17.0%	\$1,409	28.6%	24.1%	7	18.9%	17.3%	\$1,053	26.7%	22.6%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Total	93	100.0%	\$10,961	100.0%	100.0%	40	100.0%	100.0%	\$4,924	100.0%	100.0%	37	100.0%	100.0%	\$3,943	100.0%	100.0%
REFINANCE	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	4	5.1%	\$273	3.9%	4.4%	3	10.3%	4.3%	\$214	9.1%	2.9%	1	2.8%	3.7%	\$59	1.8%	2.0%
	Middle	49	62.0%	\$4,078	57.7%	77.6%	21	72.4%	74.5%	\$1,732	73.9%	70.8%	20	55.6%	68.9%	\$1,532	45.8%	67.8%
	Upper	26	32.9%	\$2,716	38.4%	18.0%	5	17.2%	21.2%	\$397	16.9%	26.3%	15	41.7%	27.4%	\$1,752	52.4%	30.2%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Total	79	100.0%	\$7,067	100.0%	100.0%	29	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,343	100.0%	100.0%	36	100.0%	100.0%	\$3,343	100.0%	100.0%
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	1	9.1%	\$8	1.5%	4.4%	1	33.3%	5.3%	\$8	12.5%	3.1%	0	0.0%	1.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.3%
	Middle	7	63.6%	\$311	59.4%	77.6%	2	66.7%	72.4%	\$56	87.5%	65.6%	4	57.1%	79.8%	\$193	48.5%	71.5%
	Upper	3	27.3%	\$205	39.1%	18.0%	0	0.0%	22.4%	\$0	0.0%	31.3%	3	42.9%	19.1%	\$205	51.5%	28.1%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Total	11	100.0%	\$524	100.0%	100.0%	3	100.0%	100.0%	\$64	100.0%	100.0%	7	100.0%	100.0%	\$398	100.0%	100.0%
MULTI-FAMILY	Multi-Family Units																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	11.9%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	40.0%	\$0	0.0%	60.6%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	83.2%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	60.0%	\$0	0.0%	39.4%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	4.9%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	
HMDA TOTALS	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	6	3.3%	\$342	1.8%	4.4%	5	6.9%	5.6%	\$283	3.9%	3.3%	1	1.3%	4.4%	\$59	0.8%	3.2%
	Middle	125	68.3%	\$11,980	64.6%	77.6%	51	70.8%	75.6%	\$5,242	71.5%	71.7%	54	67.5%	74.7%	\$4,615	60.1%	71.5%
	Upper	52	28.4%	\$6,230	33.6%	18.0%	16	22.2%	18.9%	\$1,806	24.6%	25.0%	25	31.3%	20.9%	\$3,010	39.2%	25.3%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Total	183	100.0%	\$18,552	100.0%	100.0%	72	100.0%	100.0%	\$7,331	100.0%	100.0%	80	100.0%	100.0%	\$7,684	100.0%	100.0%
SMALL BUSINESSES	Small Businesses																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	6.9%	0	0.0%	6.2%	\$0	0.0%	6.2%	0	0.0%	4.4%	\$0	0.0%	1.9%
	Middle	31	81.6%	\$1,457	95.6%	76.8%	9	100.0%	75.1%	\$291	100.0%	79.0%	16	76.2%	78.2%	\$981	95.1%	87.1%
	Upper	7	18.4%	\$67	4.4%	16.3%	0	0.0%	14.9%	\$0	0.0%	12.9%	5	23.8%	14.3%	\$51	4.9%	9.7%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	3.8%	\$0	0.0%	2.0%	0	0.0%	3.1%	\$0	0.0%	1.2%
Total	38	100.0%	\$1,524	100.0%	100.0%	9	100.0%	100.0%	\$291	100.0%	100.0%	21	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,032	100.0%	100.0%	
SMALL FARM	Small Farms																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	1.2%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	1.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	68.2%	0	0.0%	79.4%	\$0	0.0%	83.5%	0	0.0%	68.3%	\$0	0.0%	69.9%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	30.7%	0	0.0%	20.6%	\$0	0.0%	16.5%	0	0.0%	30.8%	\$0	0.0%	29.9%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2016 D&B Information

Borrower Distribution of HMDA Loans & Small Business/Small Farm Lending by Revenue & Loan Size

Assessment Area: IN Northern IN

PRODUCT TYPE	Borrower Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison												
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014						2015						
		Bank		by Family Income			Count		Dollar				Count		Dollar				
		Count	Dollar			%	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	%	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	%			
#	%	\$(000s)	\$ %	%	#	%	%	\$(000s)	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$(000s)	\$ %	\$ %			
HOME PURCHASE	Low	14	15.1%	\$1,008	9.2%	16.8%	4	10.0%	11.0%	\$284	5.8%	6.0%	6	16.2%	10.9%	\$427	10.8%	6.2%	
	Moderate	24	25.8%	\$2,286	20.9%	18.9%	14	35.0%	21.9%	\$1,409	28.6%	16.0%	8	21.6%	21.7%	\$557	14.1%	14.7%	
	Middle	29	31.2%	\$3,497	31.9%	23.6%	10	25.0%	18.1%	\$976	19.8%	16.7%	13	35.1%	18.5%	\$1,800	45.7%	18.0%	
	Upper	25	26.9%	\$4,103	37.4%	40.7%	12	30.0%	30.0%	\$2,255	45.8%	45.5%	9	24.3%	28.9%	\$1,092	27.7%	43.2%	
	Unknown	1	1.1%	\$67	0.6%	0.0%	0	0.0%	18.9%	\$0	0.0%	15.9%	1	2.7%	20.0%	\$67	1.7%	17.9%	
	Total	93	100.0%	\$10,961	100.0%	100.0%	40	100.0%	100.0%	\$4,924	100.0%	100.0%	37	100.0%	100.0%	\$3,943	100.0%	100.0%	
REFINANCE	Low	7	8.9%	\$368	5.2%	16.8%	4	13.8%	9.4%	\$167	7.1%	5.3%	3	8.3%	5.8%	\$201	6.0%	3.3%	
	Moderate	17	21.5%	\$1,338	18.9%	18.9%	4	13.8%	21.5%	\$303	12.9%	15.0%	11	30.6%	18.6%	\$878	26.3%	12.1%	
	Middle	24	30.4%	\$1,867	26.4%	23.6%	11	37.9%	21.7%	\$775	33.1%	17.3%	8	22.2%	18.4%	\$683	20.4%	14.9%	
	Upper	27	34.2%	\$3,054	43.2%	40.7%	9	31.0%	33.9%	\$970	41.4%	47.7%	11	30.6%	36.5%	\$1,269	38.0%	50.4%	
	Unknown	4	5.1%	\$440	6.2%	0.0%	1	3.4%	13.5%	\$128	5.5%	14.8%	3	8.3%	20.7%	\$312	9.3%	19.3%	
	Total	79	100.0%	\$7,067	100.0%	100.0%	29	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,343	100.0%	100.0%	36	100.0%	100.0%	\$3,343	100.0%	100.0%	
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	1	9.1%	\$14	2.7%	16.8%	0	0.0%	10.5%	\$0	0.0%	10.0%	1	14.3%	7.4%	\$14	3.5%	3.4%	
	Moderate	3	27.3%	\$200	38.2%	18.9%	1	33.3%	26.3%	\$40	62.5%	15.9%	2	28.6%	31.4%	\$160	40.2%	17.3%	
	Middle	4	36.4%	\$149	28.4%	23.6%	2	66.7%	23.7%	\$24	37.5%	21.2%	2	28.6%	19.1%	\$125	31.4%	13.5%	
	Upper	3	27.3%	\$161	30.7%	40.7%	0	0.0%	35.5%	\$0	0.0%	47.9%	2	28.6%	37.2%	\$99	24.9%	53.2%	
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	3.9%	\$0	0.0%	5.0%	0	0.0%	4.8%	\$0	0.0%	12.5%	
	Total	11	100.0%	\$524	100.0%	100.0%	3	100.0%	100.0%	\$64	100.0%	100.0%	7	100.0%	100.0%	\$398	100.0%	100.0%	
MULTIFAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	16.8%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	18.9%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	23.6%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	40.7%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	
	Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	
HMDA TOTALS	Low	22	12.0%	\$1,390	7.5%	16.8%	8	11.1%	10.4%	\$451	6.2%	5.8%	10	12.5%	8.8%	\$642	8.4%	4.9%	
	Moderate	44	24.0%	\$3,824	20.6%	18.9%	19	26.4%	22.2%	\$1,752	23.9%	15.6%	21	26.3%	21.5%	\$1,595	20.8%	13.6%	
	Middle	57	31.1%	\$5,513	29.7%	23.6%	23	31.9%	19.8%	\$1,775	24.2%	17.0%	23	28.8%	18.5%	\$2,608	33.9%	16.4%	
	Upper	55	30.1%	\$7,318	39.4%	40.7%	21	29.2%	31.7%	\$3,225	44.0%	46.1%	22	27.5%	32.3%	\$2,460	32.0%	45.6%	
	Unknown	5	2.7%	\$507	2.7%	0.0%	1	1.4%	15.9%	\$128	1.7%	15.5%	4	5.0%	18.9%	\$379	4.9%	19.4%	
	Total	183	100.0%	\$18,552	100.0%	100.0%	72	100.0%	100.0%	\$7,331	100.0%	100.0%	80	100.0%	100.0%	\$7,684	100.0%	100.0%	
Small Business Revenue	Total Businesses																		
	\$1 Million or Less	29	76.3%	\$781	51.2%	89.3%	8	88.9%	42.9%	\$241	82.8%	43.5%	15	71.4%	47.2%	\$429	41.6%	37.4%	
	Over \$1 Million	5	13.2%	\$311	20.4%	8.9%	0	0.0%					4	19.0%					
	Total Rev. available	34	89.5%	\$1,092	71.6%	98.2%	8	88.9%					19	90.4%					
	Rev. Not Known	4	10.5%	\$432	28.3%	1.7%	1	11.1%					2	9.5%					
	Total	38	100.0%	\$1,524	100.0%	100.0%	9	100.0%					21	100.0%					
Small Business Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	33	86.8%	\$667	43.8%		8	88.9%	92.3%	\$111	38.1%	37.1%	17	81.0%	92.2%	\$355	34.4%	35.9%	
	\$100,001 - \$250,000	5	13.2%	\$857	56.2%		1	11.1%	4.3%	\$180	61.9%	20.8%	4	19.0%	4.4%	\$677	65.6%	19.9%	
	\$250,001 - \$1 Million	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	3.5%	\$0	0.0%	42.1%	0	0.0%	3.4%	\$0	0.0%	44.2%	
	Total	38	100.0%	\$1,524	100.0%		9	100.0%	100.0%	\$291	100.0%	100.0%	21	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,032	100.0%	100.0%	
	Total Farms																		
	\$1 Million or Less	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	98.5%	0	0.0%	57.8%	\$0	0.0%	72.1%	0	0.0%	46.2%	\$0	0.0%	59.3%	
Over \$1 Million	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	1.5%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%						
Not Known	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%						
Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%						
Small Farm Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	68.6%	\$0	0.0%	21.3%	0	0.0%	69.2%	\$0	0.0%	21.5%	
	\$100,001 - \$250,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	17.6%	\$0	0.0%	30.2%	0	0.0%	20.2%	\$0	0.0%	38.4%	
	\$250,001 - \$500,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	13.7%	\$0	0.0%	48.5%	0	0.0%	10.6%	\$0	0.0%	40.1%	
	Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	

Originations & Purchases

Aggregate data is unavailable for loans to businesses with revenue over \$1 million or revenue unknown, and for loan size by revenue.

2016 FIEEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2016 D&B Information

Geographic Distribution of HMDA, Small Business, & Small Farm Loans
Assessment Area: IN Terre Haute

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison 1/1/2014-6/30/2016					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		Bank				Owner Occupied Units	2014			2015								
		Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar				
		#	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %
HOME PURCHASE	Low	7	2.0%	\$321	1.0%	4.7%	4	2.8%	2.6%	\$208	1.5%	2.1%	2	1.3%	2.7%	\$87	0.6%	3.1%
	Moderate	50	14.2%	\$2,664	8.1%	12.2%	19	13.4%	10.9%	\$921	6.8%	6.0%	25	16.0%	10.3%	\$1,414	10.0%	5.7%
	Middle	210	59.8%	\$19,133	58.1%	59.3%	86	60.6%	56.2%	\$8,004	58.9%	52.0%	96	61.5%	54.1%	\$8,393	59.2%	46.9%
	Upper	84	23.9%	\$10,797	32.8%	23.8%	33	23.2%	30.2%	\$4,460	32.8%	39.9%	33	21.2%	33.0%	\$4,272	30.2%	44.2%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>351</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$32,915</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>142</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$13,593</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>156</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$14,166</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
REFINANCE	Low	9	3.4%	\$362	1.7%	4.7%	3	3.8%	3.8%	\$124	2.1%	5.4%	4	2.9%	2.1%	\$162	1.4%	1.5%
	Moderate	27	10.2%	\$1,397	6.4%	12.2%	8	10.3%	9.9%	\$500	8.5%	6.6%	13	9.5%	6.3%	\$614	5.4%	4.7%
	Middle	159	59.8%	\$12,551	57.7%	59.3%	47	60.3%	58.7%	\$3,360	57.5%	53.8%	81	59.1%	60.4%	\$6,514	56.9%	54.2%
	Upper	71	26.7%	\$7,427	34.2%	23.8%	20	25.6%	27.7%	\$1,864	31.9%	34.1%	39	28.5%	31.0%	\$4,166	36.4%	39.7%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>266</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$21,737</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>78</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$5,848</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>137</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$11,456</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	1	2.4%	\$30	2.0%	4.7%	1	6.7%	6.2%	\$30	10.7%	3.3%	0	0.0%	5.5%	\$0	0.0%	3.6%
	Moderate	7	16.7%	\$67	4.5%	12.2%	2	13.3%	10.9%	\$15	5.4%	5.4%	5	26.3%	13.6%	\$52	6.9%	7.5%
	Middle	24	57.1%	\$879	58.6%	59.3%	6	40.0%	57.3%	\$55	19.6%	56.2%	13	68.4%	58.8%	\$612	81.7%	61.2%
	Upper	10	23.8%	\$523	34.9%	23.8%	6	40.0%	25.6%	\$180	64.3%	35.1%	1	5.3%	22.1%	\$85	11.3%	27.7%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>42</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$1,499</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>15</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$280</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>19</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$749</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
MULTI-FAMILY	Multi-Family Units																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	27.2%	0	0.0%	33.3%	\$0	0.0%	0.6%	0	0.0%	36.4%	\$0	0.0%	26.1%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	12.1%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	9.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	39.7%	0	0.0%	33.3%	\$0	0.0%	7.5%	0	0.0%	27.3%	\$0	0.0%	13.8%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	21.0%	0	0.0%	33.3%	\$0	0.0%	92.0%	0	0.0%	27.3%	\$0	0.0%	60.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	
HMDA TOTALS	Low	17	2.6%	\$713	1.3%	4.7%	8	3.4%	3.4%	\$362	1.8%	3.1%	6	1.9%	2.9%	\$249	0.9%	4.6%
	Moderate	84	12.7%	\$4,128	7.4%	12.2%	29	12.3%	10.6%	\$1,436	7.3%	5.8%	43	13.8%	9.3%	\$2,080	7.9%	5.0%
	Middle	393	59.6%	\$32,563	58.0%	59.3%	139	59.1%	57.1%	\$11,419	57.9%	50.3%	190	60.9%	56.6%	\$15,519	58.8%	46.9%
	Upper	165	25.0%	\$18,747	33.4%	23.8%	59	25.1%	28.9%	\$6,504	33.0%	40.8%	73	23.4%	31.1%	\$8,523	32.3%	43.5%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>659</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$56,151</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>235</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$19,721</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>312</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$26,371</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
SMALL BUSINESSES	Small Businesses																	
	Low	9	14.8%	\$538	7.5%	13.5%	4	13.8%	17.2%	\$166	6.4%	16.5%	3	13.0%	16.0%	\$248	8.2%	16.4%
	Moderate	3	4.9%	\$113	1.6%	10.6%	2	6.9%	11.8%	\$53	2.0%	13.7%	0	0.0%	10.3%	\$0	0.0%	12.4%
	Middle	39	63.9%	\$5,323	74.4%	54.7%	18	62.1%	48.6%	\$1,799	69.2%	49.8%	16	69.6%	51.7%	\$2,202	72.4%	53.3%
	Upper	10	16.4%	\$1,183	16.5%	21.2%	5	17.2%	20.3%	\$583	22.4%	18.7%	4	17.4%	20.0%	\$590	19.4%	17.1%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	2.1%	\$0	0.0%	1.3%	0	0.0%	2.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.8%
<i>Total</i>	<i>61</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$7,157</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>29</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$2,601</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>23</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$3,040</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	
SMALL FARM	Small Farms																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.6%	\$0	0.0%	0.7%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.5%	0	0.0%	0.6%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%	0	0.0%	1.2%	\$0	0.0%	1.4%
	Middle	1	100.0%	\$20	100.0%	79.5%	0	0.0%	81.0%	\$0	0.0%	83.5%	1	100.0%	81.5%	\$20	100.0%	81.3%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	19.8%	0	0.0%	18.4%	\$0	0.0%	16.3%	0	0.0%	16.6%	\$0	0.0%	16.6%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$20</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$20</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2016 D&B Information

Borrower Distribution of HMDA Loans & Small Business/Small Farm Lending by Revenue & Loan Size

Assessment Area: IN Terre Haute

PRODUCT TYPE	Borrower Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014						2015					
		Bank		by Family Income		Count	Count		Dollar		Count	Dollar		Count	Dollar			
		#	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %		%	#	%	%		\$ (000s)	\$ %		\$ %	#	%	%
HOME PURCHASE	Low	52	14.8%	\$2,759	8.4%	20.7%	27	19.0%	10.9%	\$1,542	11.3%	5.8%	21	13.5%	7.5%	\$1,085	7.7%	3.6%
	Moderate	118	33.6%	\$8,544	26.0%	18.5%	45	31.7%	22.5%	\$3,310	24.4%	16.9%	56	35.9%	21.2%	\$3,920	27.7%	13.6%
	Middle	96	27.4%	\$9,487	28.8%	20.3%	38	26.8%	20.3%	\$3,774	27.8%	19.1%	40	25.6%	22.5%	\$4,100	28.9%	19.6%
	Upper	83	23.6%	\$11,904	36.2%	40.6%	31	21.8%	27.8%	\$4,903	36.1%	39.5%	38	24.4%	31.0%	\$4,904	34.6%	42.1%
	Unknown	2	0.6%	\$221	0.7%	0.0%	1	0.7%	18.6%	\$64	0.5%	18.7%	1	0.6%	17.7%	\$157	1.1%	21.1%
	Total	351	100.0%	\$32,915	100.0%	100.0%	142	100.0%	100.0%	\$13,593	100.0%	100.0%	156	100.0%	100.0%	\$14,166	100.0%	100.0%
REFINANCE	Low	34	12.8%	\$1,779	8.2%	20.7%	16	20.5%	10.6%	\$796	13.6%	5.4%	16	11.7%	7.4%	\$849	7.4%	3.4%
	Moderate	66	24.8%	\$4,538	20.9%	18.5%	18	23.1%	20.9%	\$1,217	20.8%	15.6%	34	24.8%	16.2%	\$2,305	20.1%	10.0%
	Middle	74	27.8%	\$5,270	24.2%	20.3%	28	35.9%	22.7%	\$2,426	41.5%	20.2%	31	22.6%	24.7%	\$2,080	18.2%	19.2%
	Upper	89	33.5%	\$9,867	45.4%	40.6%	15	19.2%	31.0%	\$1,364	23.3%	37.3%	55	40.1%	33.9%	\$6,179	53.9%	45.4%
	Unknown	3	1.1%	\$283	1.3%	0.0%	1	1.3%	14.8%	\$45	0.8%	21.5%	1	0.7%	17.9%	\$43	0.4%	21.9%
	Total	266	100.0%	\$21,737	100.0%	100.0%	78	100.0%	100.0%	\$5,848	100.0%	100.0%	137	100.0%	100.0%	\$11,456	100.0%	100.0%
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	7	16.7%	\$214	14.3%	20.7%	4	26.7%	11.1%	\$67	23.9%	5.4%	2	10.5%	9.5%	\$60	8.0%	4.2%
	Moderate	12	28.6%	\$320	21.3%	18.5%	4	26.7%	20.7%	\$25	8.9%	13.1%	6	31.6%	20.4%	\$238	31.8%	15.4%
	Middle	12	28.6%	\$579	38.6%	20.3%	2	13.3%	25.6%	\$61	21.8%	20.9%	5	26.3%	26.1%	\$192	25.6%	23.1%
	Upper	11	26.2%	\$386	25.8%	40.6%	5	33.3%	38.6%	\$127	45.4%	56.5%	6	31.6%	36.9%	\$259	34.6%	49.7%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	3.9%	\$0	0.0%	4.0%	0	0.0%	7.0%	\$0	0.0%	7.7%
	Total	42	100.0%	\$1,499	100.0%	100.0%	15	100.0%	100.0%	\$280	100.0%	100.0%	19	100.0%	100.0%	\$749	100.0%	100.0%
MULTIFAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	20.7%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	18.5%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	20.3%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	40.6%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%
	Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%
HMDA TOTALS	Low	93	14.1%	\$4,752	8.5%	20.7%	47	20.0%	10.9%	\$2,405	12.2%	5.4%	39	12.5%	7.7%	\$1,994	7.6%	3.2%
	Moderate	196	29.7%	\$13,402	23.9%	18.5%	67	28.5%	21.8%	\$4,552	23.1%	15.4%	96	30.8%	19.4%	\$6,463	24.5%	11.4%
	Middle	182	27.6%	\$15,336	27.3%	20.3%	68	28.9%	21.6%	\$6,261	31.7%	18.4%	76	24.4%	23.6%	\$6,372	24.2%	18.0%
	Upper	183	27.8%	\$22,157	39.5%	40.6%	51	21.7%	30.0%	\$6,394	32.4%	37.6%	99	31.7%	32.5%	\$11,342	43.0%	39.9%
	Unknown	5	0.8%	\$504	0.9%	0.0%	2	0.9%	15.7%	\$109	0.6%	23.2%	2	0.6%	16.8%	\$200	0.8%	27.6%
	Total	659	100.0%	\$56,151	100.0%	100.0%	235	100.0%	100.0%	\$19,721	100.0%	100.0%	312	100.0%	100.0%	\$26,371	100.0%	100.0%
Small Business Revenue	Total Businesses																	
	\$1 Million or Less	32	52.5%	\$743	10.4%	88.2%	15	51.7%	39.5%	\$320	12.3%	35.6%	10	43.5%	43.4%	\$157	5.2%	40.0%
	Over \$1 Million	14	23.0%	\$5,425	75.8%	9.8%	6	20.7%					6	26.1%				
	Total Rev. available	46	75.5%	\$6,168	86.2%	98.0%	21	72.4%					16	69.6%				
	Rev. Not Known	15	24.6%	\$989	13.8%	2.1%	8	27.6%					7	30.4%				
Total	61	100.0%	\$7,157	100.0%	100.0%	29	100.0%	100.0%				23	100.0%	100.0%				
Small Business Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	46	75.4%	\$1,255	17.5%		22	75.9%	88.2%	\$547	21.0%	29.1%	18	78.3%	86.7%	\$546	18.0%	26.4%
	\$100,001 - \$250,000	7	11.5%	\$1,056	14.8%		4	13.8%	6.9%	\$558	21.5%	22.2%	2	8.7%	7.3%	\$394	13.0%	21.5%
	\$250,001 - \$1 Million	8	13.1%	\$4,846	67.7%		3	10.3%	4.9%	\$1,496	57.5%	48.7%	3	13.0%	5.9%	\$2,100	69.1%	52.1%
	Total	61	100.0%	\$7,157	100.0%		29	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,601	100.0%	100.0%	23	100.0%	100.0%	\$3,040	100.0%	100.0%
	Total Farms																	
Small Farm Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	1	100.0%	\$20	100.0%	99.5%	0	0.0%	75.2%	\$0	0.0%	74.5%	1	100.0%	69.2%	\$20	100.0%	77.3%
	Over \$1 Million	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.5%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%				
	Not Known	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%				
	Total	1	100.0%	\$20	100.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%					1	100.0%				
	Total Farms																	
Small Farm Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	1	100.0%	\$20	100.0%		0	0.0%	68.3%	\$0	0.0%	24.6%	1	100.0%	68.6%	\$20	100.0%	23.7%
	\$100,001 - \$250,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	20.3%	\$0	0.0%	33.4%	0	0.0%	22.8%	\$0	0.0%	41.7%
	\$250,001 - \$500,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	11.4%	\$0	0.0%	42.0%	0	0.0%	8.6%	\$0	0.0%	34.6%
	Total	1	100.0%	\$20	100.0%		0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	1	100.0%	100.0%	\$20	100.0%	100.0%
	Total Farms																	

Originations & Purchases
Aggregate data is unavailable for loans to businesses with revenue over \$1 million or revenue unknown, and for loan size by revenue.
2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2016 D&B Information

Geographic Distribution of HMDA, Small Business, & Small Farm Loans
Assessment Area: KY Eastern KY

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison 1/1/2014-6/30/2016					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		Bank				Owner Occupied Units	2014			2015								
		Count		Dollar			Bank	Agg	Dollar		Count		Dollar					
		#	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	%			\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %	
HOME PURCHASE	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	1	0.5%	\$84	0.3%	1.9%	0	0.0%	2.2%	\$0	0.0%	1.9%	1	1.5%	2.4%	\$84	0.9%	2.0%
	Middle	46	25.1%	\$5,811	23.0%	28.0%	22	26.2%	28.0%	\$2,837	25.1%	26.3%	18	27.3%	32.7%	\$2,188	23.3%	29.4%
	Upper	136	74.3%	\$19,351	76.6%	70.1%	62	73.8%	69.7%	\$8,461	74.9%	71.7%	47	71.2%	64.7%	\$7,100	75.8%	68.5%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%	0	0.0%	0.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>183</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$25,246</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>84</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$11,298</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>66</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$9,372</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
REFINANCE	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	4	2.1%	\$344	1.5%	1.9%	2	3.1%	2.1%	\$163	2.3%	1.6%	2	2.3%	1.7%	\$181	1.7%	1.4%
	Middle	44	22.6%	\$4,601	20.5%	28.0%	12	18.8%	23.1%	\$1,281	18.2%	21.9%	24	27.3%	23.1%	\$2,438	23.0%	19.9%
	Upper	147	75.4%	\$17,466	77.9%	70.1%	50	78.1%	74.8%	\$5,584	79.5%	76.5%	62	70.5%	75.1%	\$7,966	75.3%	78.7%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>195</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$22,411</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>64</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$7,028</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>88</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$10,585</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	1.9%	0	0.0%	4.1%	\$0	0.0%	3.9%	0	0.0%	1.9%	\$0	0.0%	1.0%
	Middle	3	30.0%	\$69	9.8%	28.0%	1	20.0%	21.9%	\$3	0.8%	19.0%	2	50.0%	31.4%	\$66	50.8%	33.0%
	Upper	7	70.0%	\$633	90.2%	70.1%	4	80.0%	74.0%	\$394	99.2%	77.1%	2	50.0%	66.7%	\$64	49.2%	66.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$702</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$397</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$130</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
MULTI-FAMILY	Multi-Family Units																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	2.6%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	4.7%	0	0.0%	4.2%	\$0	0.0%	1.4%	0	0.0%	5.9%	\$0	0.0%	0.5%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	34.5%	0	0.0%	54.2%	\$0	0.0%	39.9%	0	0.0%	26.5%	\$0	0.0%	50.7%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	58.2%	0	0.0%	41.7%	\$0	0.0%	58.7%	0	0.0%	67.6%	\$0	0.0%	48.8%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	
HMDA TOTALS	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	5	1.3%	\$428	0.9%	1.9%	2	1.3%	2.2%	\$163	0.9%	1.8%	3	1.9%	2.2%	\$265	1.3%	1.7%
	Middle	93	24.0%	\$10,481	21.7%	28.0%	35	22.9%	26.1%	\$4,121	22.0%	24.9%	44	27.8%	29.2%	\$4,692	23.4%	26.9%
	Upper	290	74.7%	\$37,450	77.4%	70.1%	116	75.8%	71.6%	\$14,439	77.1%	73.2%	111	70.3%	68.5%	\$15,130	75.3%	71.3%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%	0	0.0%	0.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>388</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$48,359</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>153</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$18,723</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>158</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$20,087</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
SMALL BUSINESSES	Small Businesses																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%	0	0.0%	0.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	2.9%	0	0.0%	3.2%	\$0	0.0%	2.7%	0	0.0%	3.1%	\$0	0.0%	3.0%
	Middle	24	26.7%	\$3,314	38.5%	32.0%	9	25.0%	30.8%	\$1,269	43.1%	34.5%	9	31.0%	32.1%	\$1,893	42.8%	32.3%
	Upper	66	73.3%	\$5,289	61.5%	64.9%	27	75.0%	63.6%	\$1,678	56.9%	61.7%	20	69.0%	63.4%	\$2,531	57.2%	63.9%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	2.3%	\$0	0.0%	1.1%	0	0.0%	1.4%	\$0	0.0%	0.8%
<i>Total</i>	<i>90</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$8,603</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>36</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$2,947</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>29</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$4,424</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	
SMALL FARM	Small Farms																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.3%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Middle	1	20.0%	\$25	18.8%	24.6%	1	50.0%	25.0%	\$25	45.5%	27.5%	0	0.0%	28.0%	\$0	0.0%	20.2%
	Upper	4	80.0%	\$108	81.2%	75.1%	1	50.0%	75.0%	\$30	54.5%	72.5%	2	100.0%	72.0%	\$48	100.0%	79.8%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$133</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$55</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$48</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2016 D&B Information

Borrower Distribution of HMDA Loans & Small Business/Small Farm Lending by Revenue & Loan Size

Assessment Area: KY Eastern KY

PRODUCT TYPE	Borrower Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014						2015					
		Bank				Families by Family Income %	Count		Dollar				Count		Dollar			
		Count #	%	Dollar \$ (000s)	%		Bank #	Agg %	Bank \$ (000s)	%	%	Bank #	Agg %	Bank \$ (000s)	%	Agg %		
HOME PURCHASE	Low	3	1.6%	\$202	0.8%	14.8%	1	1.2%	1.5%	\$60	0.5%	0.8%	2	3.0%	2.0%	\$142	1.5%	1.0%
	Moderate	33	18.0%	\$3,210	12.7%	13.2%	12	14.3%	11.5%	\$1,098	9.7%	7.6%	17	25.8%	14.6%	\$1,684	18.0%	9.4%
	Middle	56	30.6%	\$6,233	24.7%	17.2%	23	27.4%	23.3%	\$2,614	23.1%	20.0%	17	25.8%	22.2%	\$1,756	18.7%	18.5%
	Upper	89	48.6%	\$15,211	60.3%	54.8%	47	56.0%	43.2%	\$7,396	65.5%	52.6%	30	45.5%	40.6%	\$5,790	61.8%	50.1%
	Unknown	2	1.1%	\$390	1.5%	0.0%	1	1.2%	20.5%	\$130	1.2%	19.1%	0	0.0%	20.6%	\$0	0.0%	21.0%
	Total	183	100.0%	\$25,246	100.0%	100.0%	84	100.0%	100.0%	\$11,298	100.0%	100.0%	66	100.0%	100.0%	\$9,372	100.0%	100.0%
REFINANCE	Low	12	6.2%	\$888	4.0%	14.8%	6	9.4%	4.2%	\$414	5.9%	2.5%	5	5.7%	3.2%	\$450	4.3%	1.6%
	Moderate	24	12.3%	\$1,893	8.4%	13.2%	5	7.8%	8.7%	\$313	4.5%	6.0%	13	14.8%	8.7%	\$1,074	10.1%	5.4%
	Middle	49	25.1%	\$5,206	23.2%	17.2%	20	31.3%	18.7%	\$2,192	31.2%	14.8%	23	26.1%	16.8%	\$2,502	23.6%	13.2%
	Upper	105	53.8%	\$13,538	60.4%	54.8%	31	48.4%	46.8%	\$3,811	54.2%	52.8%	44	50.0%	47.1%	\$5,971	56.4%	54.3%
	Unknown	5	2.6%	\$886	4.0%	0.0%	2	3.1%	21.7%	\$298	4.2%	23.9%	3	3.4%	24.3%	\$588	5.6%	25.6%
	Total	195	100.0%	\$22,411	100.0%	100.0%	64	100.0%	100.0%	\$7,028	100.0%	100.0%	88	100.0%	100.0%	\$10,585	100.0%	100.0%
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	14.8%	0	0.0%	6.1%	\$0	0.0%	3.1%	0	0.0%	3.9%	\$0	0.0%	0.9%
	Moderate	4	40.0%	\$191	27.2%	13.2%	1	20.0%	7.7%	\$115	29.0%	6.0%	3	75.0%	12.1%	\$76	58.5%	6.7%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	17.2%	0	0.0%	21.9%	\$0	0.0%	20.5%	0	0.0%	17.4%	\$0	0.0%	8.9%
	Upper	6	60.0%	\$511	72.8%	54.8%	4	80.0%	58.2%	\$282	71.0%	64.5%	1	25.0%	62.3%	\$54	41.5%	76.2%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	6.1%	\$0	0.0%	5.9%	0	0.0%	4.3%	\$0	0.0%	7.3%
	Total	10	100.0%	\$702	100.0%	100.0%	5	100.0%	100.0%	\$397	100.0%	100.0%	4	100.0%	100.0%	\$130	100.0%	100.0%
MULTIFAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	14.8%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	13.2%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	17.2%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	54.8%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%
	Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%
HMDA TOTALS	Low	15	3.9%	\$1,090	2.3%	14.8%	7	4.6%	2.7%	\$474	2.5%	1.4%	7	4.4%	2.5%	\$592	2.9%	1.1%
	Moderate	61	15.7%	\$5,294	10.9%	13.2%	18	11.8%	10.3%	\$1,526	8.2%	6.8%	33	20.9%	12.3%	\$2,834	14.1%	7.6%
	Middle	105	27.1%	\$11,439	23.7%	17.2%	43	28.1%	21.4%	\$4,806	25.7%	17.7%	40	25.3%	19.9%	\$4,258	21.2%	15.8%
	Upper	200	51.5%	\$29,260	60.5%	54.8%	82	53.6%	44.9%	\$11,489	61.4%	51.6%	75	47.5%	43.5%	\$11,815	58.8%	50.1%
	Unknown	7	1.8%	\$1,276	2.6%	0.0%	3	2.0%	20.7%	\$428	2.3%	22.5%	3	1.9%	21.8%	\$588	2.9%	25.4%
	Total	388	100.0%	\$48,359	100.0%	100.0%	153	100.0%	100.0%	\$18,723	100.0%	100.0%	158	100.0%	100.0%	\$20,087	100.0%	100.0%
Small Business Revenue	Total Businesses																	
	\$1 Million or Less	57	63.3%	\$3,055	35.5%	91.4%	20	55.6%	48.0%	\$564	19.1%	46.3%	17	58.6%	47.8%	\$2,064	46.7%	51.1%
	Over \$1 Million	15	16.7%	\$3,882	45.1%	6.4%	9	25.0%				4	13.8%					
	Total Rev. available	72	80.0%	\$6,937	80.6%	97.8%	29	80.6%				21	72.4%					
	Rev. Not Known	18	20.0%	\$1,666	19.4%	2.2%	7	19.4%				8	27.6%					
	Total	90	100.0%	\$8,603	100.0%	100.0%	36	100.0%				29	100.0%					
Small Business Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	74	82.2%	\$2,481	28.8%		31	86.1%	94.9%	\$907	30.8%	41.1%	20	69.0%	94.8%	\$912	20.6%	45.3%
	\$100,001 - \$250,000	5	5.6%	\$925	10.8%		2	5.6%	2.2%	\$440	14.9%	11.9%	3	10.3%	2.7%	\$485	11.0%	14.8%
	\$250,001 - \$1 Million	11	12.2%	\$5,197	60.4%		3	8.3%	2.9%	\$1,600	54.3%	46.9%	6	20.7%	2.5%	\$3,027	68.4%	39.9%
	Total	90	100.0%	\$8,603	100.0%		36	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,947	100.0%	100.0%	29	100.0%	100.0%	\$4,424	100.0%	100.0%
Small Farm Revenue	Total Farms																	
	\$1 Million or Less	3	60.0%	\$73	54.9%	99.1%	1	50.0%	66.5%	\$25	45.5%	81.7%	1	50.0%	57.1%	\$18	37.5%	77.9%
	Over \$1 Million	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.9%	0	0.0%				0	0.0%					
	Not Known	2	40.0%	\$60	45.1%	0.0%	1	50.0%				1	50.0%					
	Total	5	100.0%	\$133	100.0%	100.0%	2	100.0%				2	100.0%					
Small Farm Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	5	100.0%	\$133	100.0%		2	100.0%	91.5%	\$55	100.0%	51.7%	2	100.0%	93.7%	\$48	100.0%	56.3%
	\$100,001 - \$250,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	6.4%	\$0	0.0%	30.4%	0	0.0%	4.8%	\$0	0.0%	24.3%
	\$250,001 - \$500,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	2.1%	\$0	0.0%	17.8%	0	0.0%	1.6%	\$0	0.0%	19.4%
	Total	5	100.0%	\$133	100.0%		2	100.0%	100.0%	\$55	100.0%	100.0%	2	100.0%	100.0%	\$48	100.0%	100.0%

Originations & Purchases
Aggregate data is unavailable for loans to businesses with revenue over \$1 million or revenue unknown, and for loan size by revenue.
2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2016 D&B Information

Geographic Distribution of HMDA, Small Business, & Small Farm Loans
Assessment Area: KY Western KY

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014						2015					
		Bank		Owner Occupied Units		Count	Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar				
		Count	Dollar	%	Bank		Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg					
#	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	%	%		
HOME PURCHASE	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	4	4.3%	\$272	2.6%	8.2%	2	4.5%	8.5%	\$136	3.1%	6.6%	2	5.9%	9.1%	\$136	3.5%	5.9%
	Middle	47	50.0%	\$5,354	51.7%	55.0%	22	50.0%	45.0%	\$2,401	54.0%	42.3%	14	41.2%	47.3%	\$1,577	40.8%	47.4%
	Upper	43	45.7%	\$4,722	45.6%	36.8%	20	45.5%	46.5%	\$1,911	43.0%	51.1%	18	52.9%	43.6%	\$2,148	55.6%	46.7%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Total	94	100.0%	\$10,348	100.0%	100.0%	44	100.0%	100.0%	\$4,448	100.0%	100.0%	34	100.0%	100.0%	\$3,861	100.0%	100.0%
REFINANCE	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	10	8.2%	\$559	4.9%	8.2%	4	10.8%	10.7%	\$280	9.3%	6.2%	5	7.9%	7.1%	\$248	4.0%	3.2%
	Middle	61	50.0%	\$5,340	46.7%	55.0%	18	48.6%	46.2%	\$1,196	39.5%	45.1%	32	50.8%	41.1%	\$3,111	50.7%	41.4%
	Upper	51	41.8%	\$5,543	48.4%	36.8%	15	40.5%	43.1%	\$1,550	51.2%	48.7%	26	41.3%	51.5%	\$2,775	45.2%	54.9%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.4%	\$0	0.0%	0.4%
	Total	122	100.0%	\$11,442	100.0%	100.0%	37	100.0%	100.0%	\$3,026	100.0%	100.0%	63	100.0%	100.0%	\$6,134	100.0%	100.0%
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	2	7.1%	\$98	5.7%	8.2%	1	11.1%	8.0%	\$31	7.4%	4.9%	1	7.1%	16.8%	\$67	5.8%	12.8%
	Middle	14	50.0%	\$921	53.8%	55.0%	4	44.4%	42.3%	\$170	40.8%	44.9%	7	50.0%	47.7%	\$734	63.7%	55.3%
	Upper	12	42.9%	\$693	40.5%	36.8%	4	44.4%	49.6%	\$216	51.8%	50.2%	6	42.9%	35.5%	\$351	30.5%	31.9%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Total	28	100.0%	\$1,712	100.0%	100.0%	9	100.0%	100.0%	\$417	100.0%	100.0%	14	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,152	100.0%	100.0%
MULTI FAMILY	Multi-Family Units																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	29.3%	0	0.0%	25.0%	\$0	0.0%	21.4%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	43.9%	0	0.0%	50.0%	\$0	0.0%	72.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	26.8%	0	0.0%	25.0%	\$0	0.0%	6.6%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	
HMDA TOTALS	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	16	6.6%	\$929	4.0%	8.2%	7	7.8%	9.2%	\$447	5.7%	6.6%	8	7.2%	9.0%	\$451	4.0%	5.1%
	Middle	122	50.0%	\$11,615	49.4%	55.0%	44	48.9%	45.1%	\$3,767	47.7%	43.7%	53	47.7%	45.0%	\$5,422	48.6%	45.4%
	Upper	106	43.4%	\$10,958	46.6%	36.8%	39	43.3%	45.7%	\$3,677	46.6%	49.7%	50	45.0%	45.8%	\$5,274	47.3%	49.4%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%
	Total	244	100.0%	\$23,502	100.0%	100.0%	90	100.0%	100.0%	\$7,891	100.0%	100.0%	111	100.0%	100.0%	\$11,147	100.0%	100.0%
SMALL BUSINESSES	Small Businesses																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	5	13.2%	\$45	3.9%	16.4%	3	13.6%	15.7%	\$26	2.7%	8.3%	2	18.2%	16.3%	\$19	14.4%	9.0%
	Middle	14	36.8%	\$232	20.0%	50.3%	9	40.9%	44.3%	\$175	18.2%	55.8%	4	36.4%	44.2%	\$47	35.6%	51.6%
	Upper	19	50.0%	\$881	76.1%	33.3%	10	45.5%	36.6%	\$759	79.1%	35.2%	5	45.5%	37.5%	\$66	50.0%	38.4%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	3.3%	\$0	0.0%	0.7%	0	0.0%	1.9%	\$0	0.0%	1.0%
Total	38	100.0%	\$1,158	100.0%	100.0%	22	100.0%	100.0%	\$960	100.0%	100.0%	11	100.0%	100.0%	\$132	100.0%	100.0%	
SMALL FARM	Small Farms																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	2.5%	0	0.0%	2.3%	\$0	0.0%	2.2%	0	0.0%	4.8%	\$0	0.0%	0.8%
	Middle	15	40.5%	\$1,661	23.2%	50.0%	4	28.6%	40.5%	\$691	24.6%	25.6%	7	46.7%	45.6%	\$650	22.6%	30.5%
	Upper	22	59.5%	\$5,505	76.8%	47.5%	10	71.4%	57.3%	\$2,118	75.4%	72.3%	8	53.3%	49.7%	\$2,221	77.4%	68.7%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	37	100.0%	\$7,166	100.0%	100.0%	14	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,809	100.0%	100.0%	15	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,871	100.0%	100.0%	

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2016 D&B Information

Borrower Distribution of HMDA Loans & Small Business/Small Farm Lending by Revenue & Loan Size

Assessment Area: KY Western KY

PRODUCT TYPE	Borrower Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014						2015					
		Bank				Families by Family Income %	Count		Dollar				Count		Dollar			
		Count #	%	Dollar \$ (000s)	%		Bank #	Agg %	Bank \$ (000s)	%	%	Bank #	Agg %	Bank \$ (000s)	%	Agg %		
HOME PURCHASE	Low	1	1.1%	\$116	1.1%	17.3%	0	0.0%	1.9%	\$0	0.0%	0.8%	1	2.9%	2.0%	\$116	3.0%	1.4%
	Moderate	19	20.2%	\$1,423	13.8%	15.3%	7	15.9%	13.4%	\$518	11.6%	8.8%	8	23.5%	15.3%	\$646	16.7%	10.5%
	Middle	29	30.9%	\$3,262	31.5%	20.7%	15	34.1%	24.5%	\$1,452	32.6%	21.7%	10	29.4%	24.9%	\$1,313	34.0%	20.7%
	Upper	42	44.7%	\$5,269	50.9%	46.8%	20	45.5%	40.4%	\$2,289	51.5%	49.1%	14	41.2%	39.2%	\$1,697	44.0%	49.5%
	Unknown	3	3.2%	\$278	2.7%	0.0%	2	4.5%	19.8%	\$189	4.2%	19.6%	1	2.9%	18.6%	\$89	2.3%	17.9%
	Total	94	100.0%	\$10,348	100.0%	100.0%	44	100.0%	100.0%	\$4,448	100.0%	100.0%	34	100.0%	100.0%	\$3,861	100.0%	100.0%
REFINANCE	Low	5	4.1%	\$203	1.8%	17.3%	2	5.4%	3.1%	\$76	2.5%	1.2%	1	1.6%	3.5%	\$53	0.9%	2.0%
	Moderate	19	15.6%	\$1,252	10.9%	15.3%	5	13.5%	11.7%	\$338	11.2%	7.3%	11	17.5%	9.1%	\$728	11.9%	4.9%
	Middle	26	21.3%	\$1,778	15.5%	20.7%	7	18.9%	22.2%	\$394	13.0%	16.4%	15	23.8%	20.3%	\$1,110	18.1%	15.3%
	Upper	69	56.6%	\$7,883	68.9%	46.8%	22	59.5%	50.5%	\$2,164	71.5%	62.6%	34	54.0%	50.6%	\$3,971	64.7%	59.4%
	Unknown	3	2.5%	\$326	2.8%	0.0%	1	2.7%	12.5%	\$54	1.8%	12.6%	2	3.2%	16.4%	\$272	4.4%	18.4%
	Total	122	100.0%	\$11,442	100.0%	100.0%	37	100.0%	100.0%	\$3,026	100.0%	100.0%	63	100.0%	100.0%	\$6,134	100.0%	100.0%
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	1	3.6%	\$4	0.2%	17.3%	0	0.0%	5.8%	\$0	0.0%	4.3%	1	7.1%	11.2%	\$4	0.3%	2.3%
	Moderate	4	14.3%	\$220	12.9%	15.3%	2	22.2%	10.2%	\$97	23.3%	7.3%	0	0.0%	11.2%	\$0	0.0%	6.7%
	Middle	8	28.6%	\$291	17.0%	20.7%	2	22.2%	27.0%	\$76	18.2%	14.6%	4	28.6%	20.6%	\$205	17.8%	20.3%
	Upper	15	53.6%	\$1,197	69.9%	46.8%	5	55.6%	53.3%	\$244	58.5%	66.2%	9	64.3%	52.3%	\$943	81.9%	67.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	3.6%	\$0	0.0%	7.7%	0	0.0%	4.7%	\$0	0.0%	3.6%
	Total	28	100.0%	\$1,712	100.0%	100.0%	9	100.0%	100.0%	\$417	100.0%	100.0%	14	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,152	100.0%	100.0%
MULTIFAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	17.3%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	15.3%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	20.7%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	46.8%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%
	Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%
HMDA TOTALS	Low	7	2.9%	\$323	1.4%	17.3%	2	2.2%	2.7%	\$76	1.0%	1.1%	3	2.7%	3.3%	\$173	1.6%	1.6%
	Moderate	42	17.2%	\$2,895	12.3%	15.3%	14	15.6%	12.5%	\$953	12.1%	8.1%	19	17.1%	12.7%	\$1,374	12.3%	8.3%
	Middle	63	25.8%	\$5,331	22.7%	20.7%	24	26.7%	24.0%	\$1,922	24.4%	19.4%	29	26.1%	22.9%	\$2,628	23.6%	18.7%
	Upper	126	51.6%	\$14,349	61.1%	46.8%	47	52.2%	45.0%	\$4,697	59.5%	53.7%	57	51.4%	44.4%	\$6,611	59.3%	53.7%
	Unknown	6	2.5%	\$604	2.6%	0.0%	3	3.3%	15.9%	\$243	3.1%	17.8%	3	2.7%	16.8%	\$361	3.2%	17.8%
	Total	244	100.0%	\$23,502	100.0%	100.0%	90	100.0%	100.0%	\$7,891	100.0%	100.0%	111	100.0%	100.0%	\$11,147	100.0%	100.0%
Small Business Revenue	Total Businesses																	
	\$1 Million or Less	33	86.8%	\$448	38.7%	89.9%	20	90.9%	43.0%	\$295	30.7%	42.7%	9	81.8%	43.2%	\$97	73.5%	50.7%
	Over \$1 Million	1	2.6%	\$650	56.1%	7.9%	1	4.5%					0	0.0%				
	Total Rev. available	34	89.4%	\$1,098	94.8%	97.8%	21	95.4%					9	81.8%				
	Rev. Not Known	4	10.5%	\$60	5.2%	2.2%	1	4.5%					2	18.2%				
	Total	38	100.0%	\$1,158	100.0%	100.0%	22	100.0%					11	100.0%				
Small Business Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	37	97.4%	\$508	43.9%		21	95.5%	93.6%	\$310	32.3%	29.6%	11	100.0%	94.1%	\$132	100.0%	35.4%
	\$100,001 - \$250,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	2.7%	\$0	0.0%	12.8%	0	0.0%	2.8%	\$0	0.0%	15.0%
	\$250,001 - \$1 Million	1	2.6%	\$650	56.1%		1	4.5%	3.7%	\$650	67.7%	57.7%	0	0.0%	3.1%	\$0	0.0%	49.7%
	Total	38	100.0%	\$1,158	100.0%		22	100.0%	100.0%	\$960	100.0%	100.0%	11	100.0%	100.0%	\$132	100.0%	100.0%
Small Farm Revenue	Total Farms																	
	\$1 Million or Less	24	64.9%	\$4,031	56.3%	98.8%	8	57.1%	62.6%	\$1,084	38.6%	47.8%	10	66.7%	44.9%	\$1,836	63.9%	57.7%
	Over \$1 Million	7	18.9%	\$2,025	28.3%	1.2%	3	21.4%					4	26.7%				
	Not Known	6	16.2%	\$1,110	15.5%	0.0%	3	21.4%					1	6.7%				
	Total	37	100.0%	\$7,166	100.0%	100.0%	14	100.0%					15	100.0%				
Small Farm Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	9	24.3%	\$458	6.4%		4	28.6%	71.0%	\$307	10.9%	23.1%	3	20.0%	73.5%	\$91	3.2%	21.0%
	\$100,001 - \$250,000	22	59.5%	\$3,974	55.5%		7	50.0%	20.6%	\$1,268	45.1%	39.0%	10	66.7%	19.0%	\$1,780	62.0%	41.5%
	\$250,001 - \$500,000	6	16.2%	\$2,734	38.2%		3	21.4%	8.4%	\$1,234	43.9%	37.8%	2	13.3%	7.5%	\$1,000	34.8%	37.5%
	Total	37	100.0%	\$7,166	100.0%		14	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,809	100.0%	100.0%	15	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,871	100.0%	100.0%

Originations & Purchases

Aggregate data is unavailable for loans to businesses with revenue over \$1 million or revenue unknown, and for loan size by revenue.

2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2016 D&B Information

Geographic Distribution of HMDA, Small Business, & Small Farm Loans
Assessment Area: KY Owensboro

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison 1/1/2014-6/30/2016					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		Bank				Owner Occupied Units	2014			2015								
		Count		Dollar			Bank	Agg	Dollar			Count		Dollar				
		#	%	\$ (000s)	%	%			%	\$ (000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	%	%
HOME PURCHASE	Low	1	1.1%	\$66	0.6%	2.6%	1	2.9%	1.9%	\$66	1.6%	1.1%	0	0.0%	2.0%	\$0	0.0%	1.2%
	Moderate	6	6.9%	\$648	5.5%	8.2%	2	5.9%	10.7%	\$254	6.0%	8.0%	3	7.9%	9.5%	\$294	5.5%	7.0%
	Middle	57	65.5%	\$7,152	61.1%	65.3%	21	61.8%	60.7%	\$2,165	51.1%	57.1%	24	63.2%	63.6%	\$3,375	63.5%	58.1%
	Upper	23	26.4%	\$3,841	32.8%	23.9%	10	29.4%	26.7%	\$1,750	41.3%	33.7%	11	28.9%	25.0%	\$1,646	31.0%	33.7%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	87	100.0%	\$11,707	100.0%	100.0%	34	100.0%	100.0%	\$4,235	100.0%	100.0%	38	100.0%	100.0%	\$5,315	100.0%	100.0%
REFINANCE	Low	5	5.7%	\$326	4.4%	2.6%	2	7.1%	2.9%	\$68	2.8%	1.9%	3	6.7%	2.4%	\$258	7.1%	2.0%
	Moderate	10	11.5%	\$509	6.9%	8.2%	3	10.7%	8.6%	\$174	7.2%	6.1%	4	8.9%	8.2%	\$195	5.4%	5.6%
	Middle	49	56.3%	\$3,846	52.1%	65.3%	17	60.7%	64.5%	\$1,459	60.6%	63.6%	25	55.6%	61.0%	\$1,746	48.4%	55.7%
	Upper	23	26.4%	\$2,704	36.6%	23.9%	6	21.4%	24.0%	\$707	29.4%	28.4%	13	28.9%	28.5%	\$1,412	39.1%	36.7%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	87	100.0%	\$7,385	100.0%	100.0%	28	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,408	100.0%	100.0%	45	100.0%	100.0%	\$3,611	100.0%	100.0%
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	2.6%	0	0.0%	2.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.7%	0	0.0%	4.4%	\$0	0.0%	4.1%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	8.2%	0	0.0%	4.6%	\$0	0.0%	1.0%	0	0.0%	8.2%	\$0	0.0%	9.0%
	Middle	2	100.0%	\$70	100.0%	65.3%	1	100.0%	71.9%	\$60	100.0%	87.8%	1	100.0%	59.1%	\$10	100.0%	46.8%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	23.9%	0	0.0%	21.6%	\$0	0.0%	10.5%	0	0.0%	28.3%	\$0	0.0%	40.1%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	2	100.0%	\$70	100.0%	100.0%	1	100.0%	100.0%	\$60	100.0%	100.0%	1	100.0%	100.0%	\$10	100.0%	100.0%
MULTI FAMILY	Multi-Family Units																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	11.4%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	13.1%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	40.0%	\$0	0.0%	11.3%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	60.9%	0	0.0%	87.5%	\$0	0.0%	14.5%	0	0.0%	50.0%	\$0	0.0%	80.3%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	14.5%	0	0.0%	12.5%	\$0	0.0%	85.5%	0	0.0%	10.0%	\$0	0.0%	8.4%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total</i>	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	
HMDA TOTALS	Low	6	3.4%	\$392	2.0%	2.6%	3	4.8%	2.2%	\$134	2.0%	1.2%	3	3.6%	2.2%	\$258	2.9%	1.5%
	Moderate	16	9.1%	\$1,157	6.0%	8.2%	5	7.9%	9.6%	\$428	6.4%	6.6%	7	8.3%	9.1%	\$489	5.5%	6.8%
	Middle	108	61.4%	\$11,068	57.8%	65.3%	39	61.9%	62.6%	\$3,684	55.0%	56.7%	50	59.5%	62.5%	\$5,131	57.4%	57.9%
	Upper	46	26.1%	\$6,545	34.2%	23.9%	16	25.4%	25.5%	\$2,457	36.7%	35.4%	24	28.6%	26.2%	\$3,058	34.2%	33.9%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	176	100.0%	\$19,162	100.0%	100.0%	63	100.0%	100.0%	\$6,703	100.0%	100.0%	84	100.0%	100.0%	\$8,936	100.0%	100.0%
SMALL BUSINESSES	Small Businesses																	
	Low	1	1.7%	\$16	0.2%	9.7%	0	0.0%	8.0%	\$0	0.0%	6.7%	1	3.8%	9.7%	\$16	0.4%	12.8%
	Moderate	10	16.9%	\$1,472	18.8%	10.7%	4	16.0%	11.1%	\$661	21.0%	15.2%	3	11.5%	10.7%	\$411	11.3%	11.1%
	Middle	30	50.8%	\$4,406	56.4%	54.7%	12	48.0%	57.7%	\$2,192	69.7%	55.5%	16	61.5%	58.9%	\$2,161	59.3%	55.0%
	Upper	18	30.5%	\$1,918	24.6%	25.0%	9	36.0%	22.5%	\$294	9.3%	22.4%	6	23.1%	19.7%	\$1,057	29.0%	20.9%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.8%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%	0	0.0%	1.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%
<i>Total</i>	59	100.0%	\$7,812	100.0%	100.0%	25	100.0%	100.0%	\$3,147	100.0%	100.0%	26	100.0%	100.0%	\$3,645	100.0%	100.0%	
SMALL FARM	Small Farms																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.4%	0	0.0%	0.9%	\$0	0.0%	0.5%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.4%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.9%	\$0	0.0%	2.2%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	74.1%	0	0.0%	85.0%	\$0	0.0%	87.0%	0	0.0%	85.9%	\$0	0.0%	86.8%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	25.0%	0	0.0%	14.1%	\$0	0.0%	12.4%	0	0.0%	12.7%	\$0	0.0%	10.8%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.5%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%
<i>Total</i>	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2016 D&B Information

Borrower Distribution of HMDA Loans & Small Business/Small Farm Lending by Revenue & Loan Size

Assessment Area: KY Owensboro

PRODUCT TYPE	Borrower Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014						2015					
		Bank		Families by Family Income			Count		Dollar				Count		Dollar			
		Count	Dollar	%			Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg		
#	%	\$(000s)	\$ %	%	#	%	%	\$(000s)	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$(000s)	\$ %	\$ %		
HOME PURCHASE	Low	8	9.2%	\$672	5.7%	20.3%	3	8.8%	9.8%	\$247	5.8%	5.6%	4	10.5%	8.8%	\$325	6.1%	5.2%
	Moderate	23	26.4%	\$2,184	18.7%	16.1%	6	17.6%	22.7%	\$507	12.0%	17.4%	13	34.2%	20.1%	\$1,170	22.0%	14.8%
	Middle	26	29.9%	\$3,596	30.7%	24.0%	12	35.3%	20.4%	\$1,447	34.2%	19.5%	10	26.3%	21.3%	\$1,551	29.2%	19.7%
	Upper	28	32.2%	\$4,960	42.4%	39.5%	12	35.3%	27.1%	\$1,967	46.4%	37.9%	10	26.3%	29.2%	\$2,041	38.4%	41.1%
	Unknown	2	2.3%	\$295	2.5%	0.0%	1	2.9%	20.0%	\$67	1.6%	19.6%	1	2.6%	20.6%	\$228	4.3%	19.2%
	Total	87	100.0%	\$11,707	100.0%	100.0%	34	100.0%	100.0%	\$4,235	100.0%	100.0%	38	100.0%	100.0%	\$5,315	100.0%	100.0%
REFINANCE	Low	14	16.1%	\$762	10.3%	20.3%	6	21.4%	10.3%	\$282	11.7%	5.7%	7	15.6%	6.6%	\$424	11.7%	3.3%
	Moderate	18	20.7%	\$1,119	15.2%	16.1%	7	25.0%	18.7%	\$431	17.9%	12.5%	9	20.0%	15.5%	\$575	15.9%	10.8%
	Middle	25	28.7%	\$2,117	28.7%	24.0%	8	28.6%	21.6%	\$787	32.7%	18.2%	12	26.7%	19.1%	\$841	23.3%	15.6%
	Upper	30	34.5%	\$3,387	45.9%	39.5%	7	25.0%	29.2%	\$908	37.7%	39.6%	17	37.8%	37.2%	\$1,771	49.0%	45.8%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	20.2%	\$0	0.0%	24.0%	0	0.0%	21.5%	\$0	0.0%	24.6%
	Total	87	100.0%	\$7,385	100.0%	100.0%	28	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,408	100.0%	100.0%	45	100.0%	100.0%	\$3,611	100.0%	100.0%
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	20.3%	0	0.0%	12.4%	\$0	0.0%	3.4%	0	0.0%	8.8%	\$0	0.0%	5.8%
	Moderate	1	50.0%	\$10	14.3%	16.1%	0	0.0%	24.2%	\$0	0.0%	33.3%	1	100.0%	15.7%	\$10	100.0%	10.4%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	24.0%	0	0.0%	28.8%	\$0	0.0%	44.9%	0	0.0%	24.5%	\$0	0.0%	19.2%
	Upper	1	50.0%	\$60	85.7%	39.5%	1	100.0%	30.1%	\$60	100.0%	16.5%	0	0.0%	42.1%	\$0	0.0%	50.6%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	4.6%	\$0	0.0%	1.9%	0	0.0%	8.8%	\$0	0.0%	14.0%
	Total	2	100.0%	\$70	100.0%	100.0%	1	100.0%	100.0%	\$60	100.0%	100.0%	1	100.0%	100.0%	\$10	100.0%	100.0%
MULTIFAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	20.3%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	16.1%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	24.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	39.5%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%
	Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%
HMDA TOTALS	Low	22	12.5%	\$1,434	7.5%	20.3%	9	14.3%	10.1%	\$529	7.9%	5.1%	11	13.1%	8.1%	\$749	8.4%	4.5%
	Moderate	42	23.9%	\$3,313	17.3%	16.1%	13	20.6%	21.5%	\$938	14.0%	15.4%	23	27.4%	18.3%	\$1,755	19.6%	13.0%
	Middle	51	29.0%	\$5,713	29.8%	24.0%	20	31.7%	21.2%	\$2,234	33.3%	18.7%	22	26.2%	20.7%	\$2,392	26.8%	17.8%
	Upper	59	33.5%	\$8,407	43.9%	39.5%	20	31.7%	27.8%	\$2,935	43.8%	34.4%	27	32.1%	32.4%	\$3,812	42.7%	41.3%
	Unknown	2	1.1%	\$295	1.5%	0.0%	1	1.6%	19.4%	\$67	1.0%	26.3%	1	1.2%	20.5%	\$228	2.6%	23.4%
	Total	176	100.0%	\$19,162	100.0%	100.0%	63	100.0%	100.0%	\$6,703	100.0%	100.0%	84	100.0%	100.0%	\$8,936	100.0%	100.0%
Small Business Revenue	Total Businesses																	
	\$1 Million or Less	30	50.8%	\$1,405	18.0%	88.6%	11	44.0%	45.3%	\$184	5.8%	38.6%	15	57.7%	46.8%	\$1,145	31.4%	42.6%
	Over \$1 Million	18	30.5%	\$5,419	69.4%	10.4%	7	28.0%				8	30.8%					
	Total Rev. available	48	81.3%	\$6,824	87.4%	99.0%	18	72.0%				23	88.5%					
	Rev. Not Known	11	18.6%	\$988	12.6%	1.0%	7	28.0%				3	11.5%					
Total	59	100.0%	\$7,812	100.0%	100.0%	25	100.0%	100.0%	\$3,147	100.0%	100.0%	26	100.0%	100.0%	\$3,645	100.0%	100.0%	
Small Business Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	42	71.2%	\$1,315	16.8%		18	72.0%	87.0%	\$542	17.2%	24.9%	18	69.2%	87.2%	\$597	16.4%	28.0%
	\$100,001 - \$250,000	7	11.9%	\$1,601	20.5%		2	8.0%	6.1%	\$450	14.3%	17.9%	5	19.2%	6.7%	\$1,151	31.6%	20.0%
	\$250,001 - \$1 Million	10	16.9%	\$4,896	62.7%		5	20.0%	6.9%	\$2,155	68.5%	57.2%	3	11.5%	6.1%	\$1,897	52.0%	52.0%
	Total	59	100.0%	\$7,812	100.0%		25	100.0%	100.0%	\$3,147	100.0%	100.0%	26	100.0%	100.0%	\$3,645	100.0%	100.0%
	Small Farm Revenue	Total Farms																
\$1 Million or Less		0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	99.1%	0	0.0%	66.5%	\$0	0.0%	62.0%	0	0.0%	66.2%	\$0	0.0%	64.9%
Over \$1 Million		0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.9%	0	0.0%				0	0.0%					
Not Known		0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%				0	0.0%					
Total		0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%				0	0.0%					
Small Farm Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	70.5%	\$0	0.0%	24.8%	0	0.0%	65.7%	\$0	0.0%	24.1%
	\$100,001 - \$250,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	18.5%	\$0	0.0%	31.9%	0	0.0%	19.2%	\$0	0.0%	27.5%
	\$250,001 - \$500,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	11.0%	\$0	0.0%	43.4%	0	0.0%	15.0%	\$0	0.0%	48.3%
	Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%

Originations & Purchases
Aggregate data is unavailable for loans to businesses with revenue over \$1 million or revenue unknown, and for loan size by revenue.
2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2016 D&B Information

Geographic Distribution of HMDA, Small Business, & Small Farm Loans
Assessment Area: MI Battle Creek

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison 1/1/2014-6/30/2016					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		Bank				Owner Occupied Units	2014			2015								
		Count		Dollar			Bank	Agg	Dollar			Count		Dollar				
		#	%	\$ (000s)	%	%			\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %	
HOME PURCHASE	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	4.9%	0	0.0%	0.8%	\$0	0.0%	0.4%	0	0.0%	1.4%	\$0	0.0%	0.9%
	Moderate	42	21.0%	\$3,019	14.6%	22.4%	8	15.4%	16.9%	\$539	9.2%	9.7%	15	17.4%	17.6%	\$1,017	11.2%	10.7%
	Middle	42	21.0%	\$4,368	21.2%	38.9%	16	30.8%	34.4%	\$1,750	30.0%	34.7%	19	22.1%	33.8%	\$2,049	22.5%	34.1%
	Upper	116	58.0%	\$13,243	64.2%	33.7%	28	53.8%	47.8%	\$3,542	60.7%	55.2%	52	60.5%	47.2%	\$6,022	66.3%	54.3%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>200</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$20,630</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>52</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$5,831</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>86</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$9,088</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
REFINANCE	Low	2	2.6%	\$86	1.1%	4.9%	1	3.3%	3.1%	\$36	1.2%	1.4%	0	0.0%	1.4%	\$0	0.0%	3.0%
	Moderate	12	15.6%	\$646	8.5%	22.4%	4	13.3%	15.5%	\$208	6.8%	10.3%	5	17.2%	14.6%	\$284	9.0%	9.2%
	Middle	32	41.6%	\$3,003	39.5%	38.9%	17	56.7%	41.2%	\$1,530	50.2%	39.5%	10	34.5%	41.7%	\$1,154	36.7%	40.4%
	Upper	31	40.3%	\$3,861	50.8%	33.7%	8	26.7%	40.2%	\$1,273	41.8%	48.8%	14	48.3%	42.3%	\$1,707	54.3%	47.4%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>77</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$7,596</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>30</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$3,047</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>29</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$3,145</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	4.9%	0	0.0%	2.9%	\$0	0.0%	1.6%	0	0.0%	1.7%	\$0	0.0%	0.4%
	Moderate	4	23.5%	\$132	13.3%	22.4%	1	16.7%	21.6%	\$25	13.7%	14.8%	1	14.3%	20.7%	\$35	7.3%	10.5%
	Middle	5	29.4%	\$199	20.0%	38.9%	2	33.3%	37.1%	\$60	32.8%	29.5%	3	42.9%	36.4%	\$139	29.0%	35.2%
	Upper	8	47.1%	\$664	66.7%	33.7%	3	50.0%	38.5%	\$98	53.6%	54.1%	3	42.9%	41.2%	\$306	63.8%	54.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>17</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$995</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$183</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$480</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
MULTI FAMILY	Multi-Family Units																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	9.7%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	30.5%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	20.0%	\$0	0.0%	2.2%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	42.9%	0	0.0%	83.3%	\$0	0.0%	99.4%	0	0.0%	60.0%	\$0	0.0%	96.0%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	16.9%	0	0.0%	16.7%	\$0	0.0%	0.6%	0	0.0%	20.0%	\$0	0.0%	1.8%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	
HMDA TOTALS	Low	2	0.7%	\$86	0.3%	4.9%	1	1.1%	1.9%	\$36	0.4%	0.8%	0	0.0%	1.4%	\$0	0.0%	1.7%
	Moderate	58	19.7%	\$3,797	13.0%	22.4%	13	14.8%	16.8%	\$772	8.5%	9.8%	21	17.2%	16.7%	\$1,336	10.5%	9.6%
	Middle	79	26.9%	\$7,570	25.9%	38.9%	35	39.8%	37.5%	\$3,340	36.9%	38.3%	32	26.2%	37.3%	\$3,342	26.3%	40.0%
	Upper	155	52.7%	\$17,768	60.8%	33.7%	39	44.3%	43.8%	\$4,913	54.2%	51.1%	69	56.6%	44.6%	\$8,035	63.2%	48.7%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>294</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$29,221</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>88</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$9,061</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>122</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$12,713</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
SMALL BUSINESSES	Small Businesses																	
	Low	22	18.5%	\$4,997	22.0%	8.0%	11	20.8%	9.9%	\$2,364	22.2%	13.8%	6	15.0%	9.6%	\$2,051	25.6%	14.5%
	Moderate	49	41.2%	\$9,162	40.4%	20.9%	24	45.3%	26.5%	\$4,586	43.0%	34.5%	17	42.5%	26.9%	\$2,747	34.3%	30.3%
	Middle	21	17.6%	\$4,431	19.5%	39.3%	7	13.2%	31.3%	\$1,415	13.3%	28.3%	9	22.5%	33.7%	\$2,342	29.2%	34.2%
	Upper	27	22.7%	\$4,110	18.1%	31.7%	11	20.8%	30.9%	\$2,299	21.6%	23.1%	8	20.0%	28.4%	\$874	10.9%	20.8%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	1.5%	\$0	0.0%	0.3%	0	0.0%	1.3%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%
<i>Total</i>	<i>119</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$22,700</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>53</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$10,664</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>40</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$8,014</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	
SMALL FARM	Small Farms																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.5%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	9.7%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	62.1%	0	0.0%	75.9%	\$0	0.0%	72.9%	0	0.0%	60.7%	\$0	0.0%	76.2%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	27.7%	0	0.0%	24.1%	\$0	0.0%	27.1%	0	0.0%	39.3%	\$0	0.0%	23.8%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2016 D&B Information

Borrower Distribution of HMDA Loans & Small Business/Small Farm Lending by Revenue & Loan Size

Assessment Area: MI Battle Creek

PRODUCT TYPE	Borrower Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison 1/1/2014-6/30/2016					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison												
		Bank		Families by Family Income			2014			2015									
		Count	Dollar			Count		Dollar		Count		Dollar							
		#	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	
HOME PURCHASE	Low	34	17.0%	\$2,568	12.4%	21.0%	8	15.4%	6.8%	\$535	9.2%	3.5%	14	16.3%	7.1%	\$1,216	13.4%	3.9%	
	Moderate	76	38.0%	\$6,421	31.1%	18.1%	16	30.8%	20.9%	\$1,265	21.7%	14.6%	30	34.9%	21.8%	\$2,470	27.2%	15.2%	
	Middle	49	24.5%	\$5,598	27.1%	20.5%	11	21.2%	24.3%	\$1,432	24.6%	22.4%	25	29.1%	24.5%	\$2,783	30.6%	22.9%	
	Upper	39	19.5%	\$5,725	27.8%	40.4%	16	30.8%	34.3%	\$2,449	42.0%	46.6%	17	19.8%	32.8%	\$2,619	28.8%	45.6%	
	Unknown	2	1.0%	\$318	1.5%	0.0%	1	1.9%	13.7%	\$150	2.6%	13.0%	0	0.0%	13.8%	\$0	0.0%	12.4%	
	Total	200	100.0%	\$20,630	100.0%	100.0%	52	100.0%	100.0%	\$5,831	100.0%	100.0%	86	100.0%	100.0%	\$9,088	100.0%	100.0%	
REFINANCE	Low	8	10.4%	\$465	6.1%	21.0%	0	0.0%	7.0%	\$0	0.0%	3.6%	4	13.8%	6.6%	\$297	9.4%	3.8%	
	Moderate	18	23.4%	\$1,233	16.2%	18.1%	9	30.0%	16.0%	\$676	22.2%	10.9%	7	24.1%	14.6%	\$473	15.0%	9.3%	
	Middle	22	28.6%	\$2,136	28.1%	20.5%	9	30.0%	19.5%	\$848	27.8%	16.4%	7	24.1%	20.8%	\$745	23.7%	16.8%	
	Upper	27	35.1%	\$3,558	46.8%	40.4%	11	36.7%	40.7%	\$1,360	44.6%	49.6%	10	34.5%	40.7%	\$1,589	50.5%	49.3%	
	Unknown	2	2.6%	\$204	2.7%	0.0%	1	3.3%	16.8%	\$163	5.3%	19.5%	1	3.4%	17.4%	\$41	1.3%	20.8%	
	Total	77	100.0%	\$7,596	100.0%	100.0%	30	100.0%	100.0%	\$3,047	100.0%	100.0%	29	100.0%	100.0%	\$3,145	100.0%	100.0%	
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	2	11.8%	\$45	4.5%	21.0%	1	16.7%	11.2%	\$25	13.7%	6.2%	0	0.0%	8.5%	\$0	0.0%	3.6%	
	Moderate	1	5.9%	\$90	9.0%	18.1%	0	0.0%	16.9%	\$0	0.0%	11.8%	1	14.3%	17.7%	\$90	18.8%	11.0%	
	Middle	8	47.1%	\$464	46.6%	20.5%	2	33.3%	30.2%	\$57	31.1%	31.4%	4	57.1%	23.8%	\$240	50.0%	19.4%	
	Upper	6	35.3%	\$396	39.8%	40.4%	3	50.0%	38.5%	\$101	55.2%	43.8%	2	28.6%	46.9%	\$150	31.3%	58.9%	
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	3.2%	\$0	0.0%	6.8%	0	0.0%	3.1%	\$0	0.0%	7.1%	
	Total	17	100.0%	\$995	100.0%	100.0%	6	100.0%	100.0%	\$183	100.0%	100.0%	7	100.0%	100.0%	\$480	100.0%	100.0%	
MULTIFAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	21.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	18.1%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	20.5%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	40.4%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	
	Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	
HMDA TOTALS	Low	44	15.0%	\$3,078	10.5%	21.0%	9	10.2%	7.3%	\$560	6.2%	3.5%	18	14.8%	7.0%	\$1,513	11.9%	3.7%	
	Moderate	95	32.3%	\$7,744	26.5%	18.1%	25	28.4%	18.5%	\$1,941	21.4%	12.7%	38	31.1%	18.4%	\$3,033	23.9%	11.9%	
	Middle	79	26.9%	\$8,198	28.1%	20.5%	22	25.0%	22.9%	\$2,337	25.8%	19.7%	36	29.5%	22.9%	\$3,768	29.6%	19.1%	
	Upper	72	24.5%	\$9,679	33.1%	40.4%	30	34.1%	37.2%	\$3,910	43.2%	46.2%	29	23.8%	37.3%	\$4,358	34.3%	45.1%	
	Unknown	4	1.4%	\$522	1.8%	0.0%	2	2.3%	14.1%	\$313	3.5%	17.9%	1	0.8%	14.4%	\$41	0.3%	20.2%	
	Total	294	100.0%	\$29,221	100.0%	100.0%	88	100.0%	100.0%	\$9,061	100.0%	100.0%	122	100.0%	100.0%	\$12,713	100.0%	100.0%	
Small Business Revenue	Total Businesses																		
	\$1 Million or Less	27	22.7%	\$2,167	9.5%	88.6%	15	28.3%	33.5%	\$1,638	15.4%	27.5%	6	15.0%	38.0%	\$362	4.5%	26.8%	
	Over \$1 Million	58	48.7%	\$16,470	72.6%	10.1%	23	43.4%					24	60.0%					
	Total Rev. available	85	71.4%	\$18,637	82.1%	98.7%	38	71.7%					30	75.0%					
	Rev. Not Known	34	28.6%	\$4,063	17.9%	1.3%	15	28.3%					10	25.0%					
	Total	119	100.0%	\$22,700	100.0%	100.0%	53	100.0%					40	100.0%					
Small Business Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	61	51.3%	\$3,280	14.4%		28	52.8%	87.6%	\$1,459	13.7%	25.0%	19	47.5%	88.2%	\$1,099	13.7%	24.9%	
	\$100,001 - \$250,000	35	29.4%	\$6,536	28.8%		14	26.4%	6.6%	\$2,633	24.7%	19.8%	12	30.0%	5.1%	\$2,241	28.0%	15.1%	
	\$250,001 - \$1 Million	23	19.3%	\$12,884	56.8%		11	20.8%	5.8%	\$6,572	61.6%	55.2%	9	22.5%	6.6%	\$4,674	58.3%	60.0%	
	Total	119	100.0%	\$22,700	100.0%		53	100.0%	100.0%	\$10,664	100.0%	100.0%	40	100.0%	100.0%	\$8,014	100.0%	100.0%	
	Total Farms																		
	\$1 Million or Less	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	96.3%	0	0.0%	37.9%	\$0	0.0%	59.6%	0	0.0%	17.9%	\$0	0.0%	40.4%	
Over \$1 Million	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	3.7%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%						
Not Known	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%						
Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%						
Small Farm Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	69.0%	\$0	0.0%	18.5%	0	0.0%	71.4%	\$0	0.0%	15.2%	
	\$100,001 - \$250,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	20.7%	\$0	0.0%	43.4%	0	0.0%	14.3%	\$0	0.0%	28.8%	
	\$250,001 - \$500,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	10.3%	\$0	0.0%	38.0%	0	0.0%	14.3%	\$0	0.0%	55.9%	
	Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	

Originations & Purchases
Aggregate data is unavailable for loans to businesses with revenue over \$1 million or revenue unknown, and for loan size by revenue.
2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2016 D&B Information

Geographic Distribution of HMDA, Small Business, & Small Farm Loans
Assessment Area: MI Jackson

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison 1/1/2014-6/30/2016					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		Bank				Owner Occupied Units	2014			2015								
		Count		Dollar			Bank	Agg	Dollar			Count		Dollar				
		#	%	\$ (000s)	%	%			%	\$ (000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	%	%
HOME PURCHASE	Low	12	3.6%	\$596	1.7%	6.4%	2	1.7%	2.1%	\$65	0.5%	1.1%	5	3.6%	2.6%	\$322	2.1%	1.4%
	Moderate	49	14.7%	\$2,812	8.0%	10.0%	13	11.0%	8.0%	\$679	5.0%	4.1%	16	11.7%	7.0%	\$1,019	6.8%	3.6%
	Middle	158	47.3%	\$16,708	47.7%	54.7%	54	45.8%	55.8%	\$6,305	46.3%	54.3%	69	50.4%	55.6%	\$7,556	50.4%	56.0%
	Upper	115	34.4%	\$14,900	42.6%	28.9%	49	41.5%	34.1%	\$6,555	48.2%	40.5%	47	34.3%	34.9%	\$6,102	40.7%	38.9%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>334</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$35,016</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>118</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$13,604</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>137</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$14,999</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
REFINANCE	Low	2	1.9%	\$107	0.9%	6.4%	1	2.6%	4.0%	\$73	1.6%	2.4%	1	2.0%	2.3%	\$34	0.6%	1.4%
	Moderate	7	6.6%	\$535	4.5%	10.0%	3	7.7%	8.2%	\$204	4.5%	5.4%	4	8.0%	5.6%	\$331	6.0%	3.4%
	Middle	57	53.8%	\$6,412	53.4%	54.7%	23	59.0%	56.6%	\$2,863	63.7%	56.9%	26	52.0%	56.1%	\$2,627	47.9%	54.5%
	Upper	40	37.7%	\$4,952	41.2%	28.9%	12	30.8%	31.2%	\$1,357	30.2%	35.3%	19	38.0%	36.0%	\$2,488	45.4%	40.7%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>106</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$12,006</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>39</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$4,497</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>50</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$5,480</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	1	9.1%	\$50	7.3%	6.4%	1	16.7%	6.5%	\$50	19.2%	3.6%	0	0.0%	4.8%	\$0	0.0%	1.7%
	Moderate	1	9.1%	\$25	3.6%	10.0%	1	16.7%	10.2%	\$25	9.6%	5.8%	0	0.0%	6.7%	\$0	0.0%	3.7%
	Middle	6	54.5%	\$381	55.4%	54.7%	3	50.0%	53.4%	\$104	39.8%	55.5%	2	66.7%	53.6%	\$145	50.9%	47.0%
	Upper	3	27.3%	\$232	33.7%	28.9%	1	16.7%	29.9%	\$82	31.4%	35.2%	1	33.3%	35.0%	\$140	49.1%	47.7%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$688</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$261</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$285</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
MULTI FAMILY	Multi-Family Units																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	25.5%	0	0.0%	66.7%	\$0	0.0%	43.6%	0	0.0%	20.0%	\$0	0.0%	10.9%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	27.1%	0	0.0%	33.3%	\$0	0.0%	56.4%	0	0.0%	20.0%	\$0	0.0%	72.4%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	37.4%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	40.0%	\$0	0.0%	10.8%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	10.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	20.0%	\$0	0.0%	5.9%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	
HMDA TOTALS	Low	15	3.3%	\$753	1.6%	6.4%	4	2.5%	3.3%	\$188	1.0%	2.1%	6	3.2%	2.7%	\$356	1.7%	1.5%
	Moderate	57	12.6%	\$3,372	7.1%	10.0%	17	10.4%	8.3%	\$908	4.9%	5.1%	20	10.5%	6.5%	\$1,350	6.5%	4.0%
	Middle	221	49.0%	\$23,501	49.3%	54.7%	80	49.1%	55.8%	\$9,272	50.5%	54.8%	97	51.1%	55.6%	\$10,328	49.7%	54.8%
	Upper	158	35.0%	\$20,084	42.1%	28.9%	62	38.0%	32.6%	\$7,994	43.5%	38.1%	67	35.3%	35.3%	\$8,730	42.0%	39.7%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>451</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$47,710</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>163</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$18,362</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>190</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$20,764</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
SMALL BUSINESSES	Small Businesses																	
	Low	29	19.9%	\$8,294	29.1%	13.5%	11	17.2%	16.7%	\$3,010	25.6%	27.9%	12	23.5%	17.9%	\$2,978	27.8%	31.2%
	Moderate	47	32.2%	\$10,591	37.2%	13.1%	23	35.9%	16.1%	\$5,139	43.8%	19.2%	16	31.4%	15.3%	\$4,175	38.9%	18.2%
	Middle	35	24.0%	\$5,730	20.1%	46.8%	13	20.3%	39.1%	\$2,055	17.5%	29.6%	13	25.5%	40.9%	\$2,552	23.8%	29.1%
	Upper	35	24.0%	\$3,854	13.5%	26.6%	17	26.6%	26.4%	\$1,534	13.1%	23.0%	10	19.6%	24.4%	\$1,024	9.5%	21.2%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	1.7%	\$0	0.0%	0.3%	0	0.0%	1.5%	\$0	0.0%	0.3%
<i>Total</i>	<i>146</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$28,469</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>64</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$11,738</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>51</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$10,729</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	
SMALL FARM	Small Farms																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.5%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.9%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	60.8%	0	0.0%	63.3%	\$0	0.0%	69.4%	0	0.0%	58.8%	\$0	0.0%	75.8%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	37.7%	0	0.0%	36.7%	\$0	0.0%	30.6%	0	0.0%	41.2%	\$0	0.0%	24.2%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2016 D&B Information

Borrower Distribution of HMDA Loans & Small Business/Small Farm Lending by Revenue & Loan Size

Assessment Area: MI Jackson

PRODUCT TYPE	Borrower Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014					2015						
		Bank				Families by Family Income	Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar				
		Count		Dollar			Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg				
#	%	\$(000s)	\$ %	%	#	%	%	\$(000s)	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$(000s)	\$ %	\$ %		
HOME PURCHASE	Low	53	15.9%	\$3,133	8.9%	20.7%	18	15.3%	7.5%	\$982	7.2%	3.5%	22	16.1%	5.5%	\$1,567	10.4%	2.9%
	Moderate	114	34.1%	\$9,161	26.2%	18.3%	40	33.9%	22.5%	\$3,412	25.1%	15.2%	37	27.0%	22.1%	\$3,119	20.8%	14.6%
	Middle	97	29.0%	\$10,797	30.8%	21.3%	32	27.1%	21.9%	\$3,619	26.6%	20.9%	46	33.6%	23.2%	\$5,181	34.5%	22.0%
	Upper	67	20.1%	\$10,780	30.8%	39.7%	26	22.0%	30.4%	\$4,484	33.0%	43.7%	32	23.4%	32.1%	\$5,132	34.2%	43.0%
	Unknown	3	0.9%	\$1,145	3.3%	0.0%	2	1.7%	17.7%	\$1,107	8.1%	16.6%	0	0.0%	17.1%	\$0	0.0%	17.6%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>334</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$35,016</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>118</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$13,604</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>137</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$14,999</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
REFINANCE	Low	9	8.5%	\$598	5.0%	20.7%	3	7.7%	6.9%	\$274	6.1%	4.4%	4	8.0%	5.1%	\$253	4.6%	2.6%
	Moderate	18	17.0%	\$1,376	11.5%	18.3%	10	25.6%	15.7%	\$743	16.5%	11.6%	7	14.0%	13.8%	\$569	10.4%	9.4%
	Middle	27	25.5%	\$2,774	23.1%	21.3%	6	15.4%	20.5%	\$654	14.5%	19.0%	21	42.0%	19.9%	\$2,120	38.7%	16.5%
	Upper	50	47.2%	\$7,124	59.3%	39.7%	19	48.7%	37.9%	\$2,751	61.2%	45.3%	18	36.0%	39.9%	\$2,538	46.3%	48.1%
	Unknown	2	1.9%	\$134	1.1%	0.0%	1	2.6%	18.9%	\$75	1.7%	19.8%	0	0.0%	21.4%	\$0	0.0%	23.4%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>106</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$12,006</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>39</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$4,497</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>50</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$5,480</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	1	9.1%	\$25	3.6%	20.7%	0	0.0%	11.6%	\$0	0.0%	8.5%	1	33.3%	12.6%	\$25	8.8%	5.8%
	Moderate	1	9.1%	\$25	3.6%	18.3%	1	16.7%	23.7%	\$25	9.6%	16.9%	0	0.0%	14.8%	\$0	0.0%	10.1%
	Middle	5	45.5%	\$285	41.4%	21.3%	3	50.0%	26.1%	\$143	54.8%	19.1%	0	0.0%	24.0%	\$0	0.0%	16.1%
	Upper	4	36.4%	\$353	51.3%	39.7%	2	33.3%	35.3%	\$93	35.6%	51.9%	2	66.7%	44.3%	\$260	91.2%	60.3%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	3.2%	\$0	0.0%	3.6%	0	0.0%	4.3%	\$0	0.0%	7.7%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$688</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$261</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$285</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
MULTIFAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	20.7%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	18.3%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	21.3%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	39.7%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HMDA TOTALS	Low	63	14.0%	\$3,756	7.9%	20.7%	21	12.9%	7.7%	\$1,256	6.8%	3.9%	27	14.2%	6.0%	\$1,845	8.9%	2.8%
	Moderate	133	29.5%	\$10,562	22.1%	18.3%	51	31.3%	20.1%	\$4,180	22.8%	13.8%	44	23.2%	18.2%	\$3,688	17.8%	12.3%
	Middle	129	28.6%	\$13,856	29.0%	21.3%	41	25.2%	21.7%	\$4,416	24.0%	20.0%	67	35.3%	22.0%	\$7,301	35.2%	19.5%
	Upper	121	26.8%	\$18,257	38.3%	39.7%	47	28.8%	33.6%	\$7,328	39.9%	44.1%	52	27.4%	36.2%	\$7,930	38.2%	45.3%
	Unknown	5	1.1%	\$1,279	2.7%	0.0%	3	1.8%	16.9%	\$1,182	6.4%	18.3%	0	0.0%	17.6%	\$0	0.0%	20.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>451</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$47,710</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>163</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$18,362</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>190</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$20,764</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Small Business Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	33	22.6%	\$2,693	9.5%	88.4%	15	23.4%	35.6%	\$1,359	11.6%	21.0%	11	21.6%	39.9%	\$736	6.9%	23.5%
	Over \$1 Million	66	45.2%	\$21,269	74.7%	10.6%	29	45.3%					25	49.0%				
	<i>Total Rev. available</i>	99	67.8%	\$23,962	84.2%	99.0%	44	68.7%					36	70.6%				
	Rev. Not Known	47	32.2%	\$4,507	15.8%	1.0%	20	31.3%					15	29.4%				
	<i>Total</i>	<i>146</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$28,469</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>64</i>	<i>100.0%</i>					<i>51</i>	<i>100.0%</i>				
	Small Business Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	64	43.8%	\$2,737	9.6%		29	45.3%	88.5%	\$1,221	10.4%	25.1%	22	43.1%	88.0%	\$927	8.6%
\$100,001 - \$250,000		52	35.6%	\$8,829	31.0%		23	35.9%	6.6%	\$3,978	33.9%	24.3%	17	33.3%	6.5%	\$2,890	26.9%	22.7%
\$250,001 - \$1 Million		30	20.5%	\$16,903	59.4%		12	18.8%	4.9%	\$6,539	55.7%	50.7%	12	23.5%	5.5%	\$6,912	64.4%	53.9%
<i>Total</i>		<i>146</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$28,469</i>	<i>100.0%</i>		<i>64</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$11,738</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>51</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$10,729</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
Small Farm Revenue		\$1 Million or Less	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	98.6%	0	0.0%	13.3%	\$0	0.0%	20.2%	0	0.0%	38.2%	\$0	0.0%
	Over \$1 Million	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	1.4%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%				
	Not Known	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%				
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>					<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>				
	Small Farm Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	83.3%	\$0	0.0%	26.5%	0	0.0%	85.3%	\$0	0.0%
\$100,001 - \$250,000		0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	6.7%	\$0	0.0%	22.0%	0	0.0%	5.9%	\$0	0.0%	19.2%
\$250,001 - \$500,000		0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	10.0%	\$0	0.0%	51.5%	0	0.0%	8.8%	\$0	0.0%	57.7%
<i>Total</i>		<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>		<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>

Originations & Purchases
Aggregate data is unavailable for loans to businesses with revenue over \$1 million or revenue unknown, and for loan size by revenue.
2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2016 D&B Information

Geographic Distribution of HMDA, Small Business, & Small Farm Loans

Assessment Area: MI Kalamazoo

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014						2015					
		Bank		Owner Occupied Units		Count	Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar				
		Count	Dollar	%	Bank		Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg					
#	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %		
HOME PURCHASE	Low	7	1.2%	\$282	0.3%	3.6%	4	1.6%	1.1%	\$138	0.4%	0.6%	3	1.2%	1.6%	\$144	0.4%	0.8%
	Moderate	60	10.2%	\$5,095	5.8%	13.5%	24	9.4%	9.3%	\$1,935	5.2%	6.4%	25	10.3%	11.0%	\$2,426	6.4%	7.9%
	Middle	302	51.1%	\$39,077	44.6%	56.2%	126	49.4%	53.0%	\$16,161	43.6%	45.1%	121	50.0%	53.7%	\$16,047	42.2%	46.4%
	Upper	222	37.6%	\$43,240	49.3%	26.7%	101	39.6%	36.5%	\$18,852	50.8%	48.0%	93	38.4%	33.6%	\$19,434	51.1%	44.9%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Total	591	100.0%	\$87,694	100.0%	100.0%	255	100.0%	100.0%	\$37,086	100.0%	100.0%	242	100.0%	100.0%	\$38,051	100.0%	100.0%
REFINANCE	Low	11	1.9%	\$560	0.8%	3.6%	6	2.7%	1.5%	\$381	1.5%	0.9%	5	2.1%	1.3%	\$179	0.6%	0.6%
	Moderate	63	11.2%	\$5,111	7.3%	13.5%	27	12.3%	10.6%	\$1,806	7.3%	7.4%	20	8.3%	9.7%	\$1,562	5.1%	7.0%
	Middle	295	52.2%	\$32,947	47.1%	56.2%	123	56.2%	55.7%	\$12,878	52.1%	50.5%	125	51.9%	52.4%	\$14,407	47.5%	45.3%
	Upper	196	34.7%	\$31,403	44.8%	26.7%	63	28.8%	32.3%	\$9,630	39.0%	41.3%	91	37.8%	36.7%	\$14,214	46.8%	47.1%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Total	565	100.0%	\$70,021	100.0%	100.0%	219	100.0%	100.0%	\$24,695	100.0%	100.0%	241	100.0%	100.0%	\$30,362	100.0%	100.0%
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	1	1.8%	\$5	0.2%	3.6%	1	5.3%	3.5%	\$5	0.4%	2.5%	0	0.0%	2.0%	\$0	0.0%	3.3%
	Moderate	9	16.1%	\$297	9.8%	13.5%	3	15.8%	13.9%	\$69	6.2%	8.7%	4	21.1%	11.1%	\$116	11.3%	6.3%
	Middle	37	66.1%	\$1,983	65.3%	56.2%	10	52.6%	50.0%	\$403	36.2%	41.3%	14	73.7%	54.3%	\$902	87.7%	49.5%
	Upper	9	16.1%	\$750	24.7%	26.7%	5	26.3%	32.7%	\$636	57.1%	47.5%	1	5.3%	32.7%	\$10	1.0%	40.9%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Total	56	100.0%	\$3,035	100.0%	100.0%	19	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,113	100.0%	100.0%	19	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,028	100.0%	100.0%
MULTI FAMILY	Multi-Family Units																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	8.9%	0	0.0%	5.6%	\$0	0.0%	2.1%	0	0.0%	5.3%	\$0	0.0%	1.9%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	25.8%	0	0.0%	5.6%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%	0	0.0%	34.2%	\$0	0.0%	27.4%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	52.0%	0	0.0%	55.6%	\$0	0.0%	86.7%	0	0.0%	44.7%	\$0	0.0%	61.0%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	13.3%	0	0.0%	33.3%	\$0	0.0%	11.0%	0	0.0%	15.8%	\$0	0.0%	9.7%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	
HMDA TOTALS	Low	19	1.6%	\$847	0.5%	3.6%	11	2.2%	1.4%	\$524	0.8%	0.8%	8	1.6%	1.5%	\$323	0.5%	0.8%
	Moderate	132	10.9%	\$10,503	6.5%	13.5%	54	11.0%	10.1%	\$3,810	6.1%	6.4%	49	9.8%	10.5%	\$4,104	5.9%	8.9%
	Middle	634	52.3%	\$74,007	46.0%	56.2%	259	52.5%	53.9%	\$29,442	46.8%	49.5%	260	51.8%	53.1%	\$31,356	45.2%	47.0%
	Upper	427	35.2%	\$75,393	46.9%	26.7%	169	34.3%	34.6%	\$29,118	46.3%	43.4%	185	36.9%	34.8%	\$33,658	48.5%	43.2%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Total	1,212	100.0%	\$160,750	100.0%	100.0%	493	100.0%	100.0%	\$62,894	100.0%	100.0%	502	100.0%	100.0%	\$69,441	100.0%	100.0%
SMALL BUSINESSES	Small Businesses																	
	Low	31	6.2%	\$4,363	6.0%	5.0%	10	4.5%	4.9%	\$1,519	5.0%	8.2%	13	7.2%	4.7%	\$1,498	5.3%	6.9%
	Moderate	118	23.6%	\$17,113	23.5%	16.6%	56	25.3%	17.4%	\$5,820	19.2%	17.1%	44	24.4%	17.6%	\$8,406	29.9%	19.7%
	Middle	239	47.8%	\$34,681	47.7%	53.8%	109	49.3%	49.5%	\$16,427	54.3%	50.9%	82	45.6%	50.8%	\$12,601	44.8%	49.6%
	Upper	112	22.4%	\$16,613	22.8%	24.6%	46	20.8%	26.8%	\$6,476	21.4%	23.5%	41	22.8%	25.8%	\$5,645	20.1%	23.6%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	1.4%	\$0	0.0%	0.3%	0	0.0%	1.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%
Total	500	100.0%	\$72,770	100.0%	100.0%	221	100.0%	100.0%	\$30,242	100.0%	100.0%	180	100.0%	100.0%	\$28,150	100.0%	100.0%	
SMALL FARM	Small Farms																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	5	71.4%	\$267	83.4%	19.1%	2	100.0%	29.2%	\$62	100.0%	31.3%	2	66.7%	33.3%	\$125	71.4%	41.6%
	Middle	1	14.3%	\$3	0.9%	66.8%	0	0.0%	58.4%	\$0	0.0%	62.9%	0	0.0%	52.2%	\$0	0.0%	47.3%
	Upper	1	14.3%	\$50	15.6%	13.9%	0	0.0%	12.4%	\$0	0.0%	5.8%	1	33.3%	14.4%	\$50	28.6%	11.1%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	7	100.0%	\$320	100.0%	100.0%	2	100.0%	100.0%	\$62	100.0%	100.0%	3	100.0%	100.0%	\$175	100.0%	100.0%	

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2016 D&B Information

Borrower Distribution of HMDA Loans & Small Business/Small Farm Lending by Revenue & Loan Size

Assessment Area: MI Kalamazoo

PRODUCT TYPE	Borrower Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison												
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014						2015						
		Bank				Families by Family Income	Count		Dollar				Count		Dollar				
		Count	Dollar				Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg			Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg			
#	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %			
HOME PURCHASE	Low	59	10.0%	\$4,171	4.8%	22.7%	21	8.2%	5.4%	\$1,584	4.3%	2.5%	25	10.3%	8.1%	\$1,623	4.3%	3.9%	
	Moderate	141	23.9%	\$13,498	15.4%	16.4%	63	24.7%	19.3%	\$6,102	16.5%	12.4%	53	21.9%	18.2%	\$4,880	12.8%	12.1%	
	Middle	142	24.0%	\$19,283	22.0%	20.5%	56	22.0%	19.8%	\$7,151	19.3%	16.8%	59	24.4%	22.0%	\$8,688	22.8%	18.8%	
	Upper	235	39.8%	\$48,619	55.4%	40.4%	111	43.5%	39.0%	\$21,593	58.2%	53.9%	101	41.7%	36.7%	\$22,381	58.8%	52.5%	
	Unknown	14	2.4%	\$2,123	2.4%	0.0%	4	1.6%	16.6%	\$656	1.8%	14.3%	4	1.7%	15.0%	\$479	1.3%	12.8%	
	Total	591	100.0%	\$87,694	100.0%	100.0%	255	100.0%	100.0%	\$37,086	100.0%	100.0%	242	100.0%	100.0%	\$38,051	100.0%	100.0%	
REFINANCE	Low	43	7.6%	\$3,228	4.6%	22.7%	19	8.7%	7.0%	\$1,307	5.3%	3.9%	19	7.9%	5.9%	\$1,412	4.7%	2.9%	
	Moderate	109	19.3%	\$8,947	12.8%	16.4%	46	21.0%	13.7%	\$3,119	12.6%	8.9%	42	17.4%	13.9%	\$3,851	12.7%	8.9%	
	Middle	155	27.4%	\$16,754	23.9%	20.5%	64	29.2%	20.4%	\$6,325	25.6%	16.2%	69	28.6%	20.0%	\$7,676	25.3%	15.8%	
	Upper	231	40.9%	\$36,829	52.6%	40.4%	76	34.7%	42.2%	\$11,840	47.9%	52.5%	107	44.4%	43.1%	\$16,745	55.2%	53.9%	
	Unknown	27	4.8%	\$4,263	6.1%	0.0%	14	6.4%	16.7%	\$2,104	8.5%	18.5%	4	1.7%	17.1%	\$678	2.2%	18.5%	
	Total	565	100.0%	\$70,021	100.0%	100.0%	219	100.0%	100.0%	\$24,695	100.0%	100.0%	241	100.0%	100.0%	\$30,362	100.0%	100.0%	
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	10	17.9%	\$352	11.6%	22.7%	4	21.1%	8.0%	\$200	18.0%	2.6%	2	10.5%	8.0%	\$11	1.1%	2.5%	
	Moderate	10	17.9%	\$288	9.5%	16.4%	2	10.5%	21.4%	\$9	0.8%	9.8%	4	21.1%	20.4%	\$215	20.9%	10.3%	
	Middle	16	28.6%	\$864	28.5%	20.5%	2	10.5%	23.6%	\$56	5.0%	18.9%	7	36.8%	24.8%	\$551	53.6%	18.9%	
	Upper	20	35.7%	\$1,531	50.4%	40.4%	11	57.9%	43.1%	\$848	76.2%	64.1%	6	31.6%	43.0%	\$251	24.4%	56.0%	
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	3.9%	\$0	0.0%	4.6%	0	0.0%	3.8%	\$0	0.0%	12.4%	
	Total	56	100.0%	\$3,035	100.0%	100.0%	19	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,113	100.0%	100.0%	19	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,028	100.0%	100.0%	
MULTIFAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	22.7%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	16.4%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	20.5%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	40.4%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	
	Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	
HMDA TOTALS	Low	112	9.2%	\$7,751	4.8%	22.7%	44	8.9%	6.1%	\$3,091	4.9%	2.8%	46	9.2%	7.1%	\$3,046	4.4%	3.2%	
	Moderate	260	21.5%	\$22,733	14.1%	16.4%	111	22.5%	17.1%	\$9,230	14.7%	10.4%	99	19.7%	16.4%	\$8,946	12.9%	10.0%	
	Middle	313	25.8%	\$36,901	23.0%	20.5%	122	24.7%	20.2%	\$13,532	21.5%	15.6%	135	26.9%	21.2%	\$16,915	24.4%	16.3%	
	Upper	486	40.1%	\$86,979	54.1%	40.4%	198	40.2%	40.4%	\$34,281	54.5%	50.3%	214	42.6%	39.6%	\$39,377	56.7%	49.5%	
	Unknown	41	3.4%	\$6,386	4.0%	0.0%	18	3.7%	16.1%	\$2,760	4.4%	20.9%	8	1.6%	15.6%	\$1,157	1.7%	21.0%	
	Total	1,212	100.0%	\$160,750	100.0%	100.0%	493	100.0%	100.0%	\$62,894	100.0%	100.0%	502	100.0%	100.0%	\$69,441	100.0%	100.0%	
Small Business Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	244	48.8%	\$13,053	17.9%	89.3%	110	49.8%	44.6%	\$5,496	18.2%	32.8%	77	42.8%	48.9%	\$3,675	13.1%	31.6%	
	Over \$1 Million	136	27.2%	\$45,462	62.5%	9.8%	54	24.4%					58	32.2%					
	Total Rev. available	380	76.0%	\$58,515	80.4%	99.1%	164	74.2%					135	75.0%					
	Rev. Not Known	120	24.0%	\$14,255	19.6%	1.0%	57	25.8%					45	25.0%					
	Total	500	100.0%	\$72,770	100.0%	100.0%	221	100.0%					180	100.0%					
	Small Business Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	339	67.8%	\$11,546	15.9%		160	72.4%	89.7%	\$5,426	17.9%	31.5%	115	63.9%	87.5%	\$3,852	13.7%	27.2%
\$100,001 - \$250,000		71	14.2%	\$12,499	17.2%		23	10.4%	5.3%	\$4,101	13.6%	17.9%	30	16.7%	5.7%	\$5,280	18.8%	16.4%	
\$250,001 - \$1 Million		90	18.0%	\$48,725	67.0%		38	17.2%	5.0%	\$20,715	68.5%	50.6%	35	19.4%	6.8%	\$19,018	67.6%	56.4%	
Total		500	100.0%	\$72,770	100.0%		221	100.0%	100.0%	\$30,242	100.0%	100.0%	180	100.0%	100.0%	\$28,150	100.0%	100.0%	
Small Farm Revenue		\$1 Million or Less	5	71.4%	\$190	59.4%	92.0%	2	100.0%	34.8%	\$62	100.0%	45.9%	2	66.7%	37.8%	\$125	71.4%	50.2%
	Over \$1 Million	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	8.0%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%					
	Not Known	2	28.6%	\$130	40.6%	0.0%	0	0.0%					1	33.3%					
	Total	7	100.0%	\$320	100.0%	100.0%	2	100.0%					3	100.0%					
	Small Farm Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	7	100.0%	\$320	100.0%		2	100.0%	82.0%	\$62	100.0%	22.9%	3	100.0%	78.9%	\$175	100.0%	22.6%
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	5.6%	\$0	0.0%	13.2%	0	0.0%	11.1%	\$0	0.0%	23.7%
\$250,001 - \$500,000		0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	12.4%	\$0	0.0%	63.9%	0	0.0%	10.0%	\$0	0.0%	53.6%	
Total		7	100.0%	\$320	100.0%		2	100.0%	100.0%	\$62	100.0%	100.0%	3	100.0%	100.0%	\$175	100.0%	100.0%	

Originations & Purchases

Aggregate data is unavailable for loans to businesses with revenue over \$1 million or revenue unknown, and for loan size by revenue.
2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2016 D&B Information

Geographic Distribution of HMDA, Small Business, & Small Farm Loans
Assessment Area: MI Lansing

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison 1/1/2014-6/30/2016					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		Bank				Owner Occupied Units	2014			2015								
		Count		Dollar			Bank		Agg	Bank		Agg	Bank		Dollar			
		#	%	\$ (000s)	%		#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %
HOME PURCHASE	Low	17	1.9%	\$891	0.8%	3.6%	10	2.6%	1.9%	\$512	1.0%	0.8%	4	1.1%	1.5%	\$237	0.5%	0.7%
	Moderate	107	12.1%	\$8,518	7.2%	15.0%	43	11.2%	11.7%	\$3,130	6.2%	6.9%	54	15.2%	12.8%	\$4,567	9.8%	7.5%
	Middle	465	52.5%	\$57,953	49.3%	52.9%	205	53.2%	53.3%	\$24,620	49.0%	49.4%	182	51.3%	53.0%	\$22,643	48.7%	49.1%
	Upper	296	33.4%	\$50,299	42.7%	28.5%	127	33.0%	33.1%	\$22,013	43.8%	42.9%	115	32.4%	32.7%	\$19,051	41.0%	42.8%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>885</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$117,661</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>385</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$50,275</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>355</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$46,498</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
REFINANCE	Low	17	2.5%	\$1,074	1.3%	3.6%	9	3.4%	3.1%	\$683	2.4%	1.7%	6	2.2%	1.9%	\$338	1.0%	1.0%
	Moderate	57	8.5%	\$4,158	5.2%	15.0%	24	9.2%	11.9%	\$1,826	6.4%	7.8%	26	9.4%	9.6%	\$1,851	5.4%	5.9%
	Middle	361	54.1%	\$38,865	48.5%	52.9%	142	54.2%	54.0%	\$14,631	51.0%	50.9%	140	50.7%	52.5%	\$15,107	43.9%	48.6%
	Upper	232	34.8%	\$36,054	45.0%	28.5%	87	33.2%	30.9%	\$11,521	40.2%	39.6%	104	37.7%	36.0%	\$17,094	49.7%	44.5%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>667</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$80,151</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>262</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$28,661</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>276</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$34,390</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	3.6%	0	0.0%	2.9%	\$0	0.0%	1.6%	0	0.0%	2.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.9%
	Moderate	3	5.6%	\$121	3.3%	15.0%	2	8.0%	14.6%	\$73	4.4%	7.9%	0	0.0%	15.0%	\$0	0.0%	7.6%
	Middle	39	72.2%	\$2,302	61.9%	52.9%	17	68.0%	51.9%	\$1,042	62.8%	55.8%	13	76.5%	52.2%	\$695	59.9%	52.0%
	Upper	12	22.2%	\$1,293	34.8%	28.5%	6	24.0%	30.5%	\$545	32.8%	34.8%	4	23.5%	30.8%	\$465	40.1%	39.6%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>54</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$3,716</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>25</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$1,660</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>17</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$1,160</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
MULTI FAMILY	Multi-Family Units																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	7.7%	0	0.0%	4.2%	\$0	0.0%	4.4%	0	0.0%	6.1%	\$0	0.0%	9.9%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	28.9%	0	0.0%	25.0%	\$0	0.0%	10.3%	0	0.0%	44.9%	\$0	0.0%	41.2%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	41.5%	0	0.0%	47.9%	\$0	0.0%	38.0%	0	0.0%	38.8%	\$0	0.0%	27.8%
	Upper	1	100.0%	\$5,390	100.0%	19.0%	1	100.0%	18.8%	\$5,390	100.0%	41.8%	0	0.0%	10.2%	\$0	0.0%	21.1%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	2.9%	0	0.0%	4.2%	\$0	0.0%	5.6%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$5,390</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$5,390</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	
HMDA TOTALS	Low	34	2.1%	\$1,965	0.9%	3.6%	19	2.8%	2.5%	\$1,195	1.4%	1.6%	10	1.5%	1.8%	\$575	0.7%	1.4%
	Moderate	167	10.4%	\$12,797	6.2%	15.0%	69	10.3%	12.2%	\$5,029	5.8%	7.6%	80	12.3%	11.9%	\$6,418	7.8%	8.9%
	Middle	865	53.8%	\$99,120	47.9%	52.9%	364	54.1%	53.3%	\$40,293	46.9%	48.6%	335	51.7%	52.7%	\$38,445	46.9%	47.7%
	Upper	541	33.7%	\$93,036	45.0%	28.5%	221	32.8%	31.9%	\$39,469	45.9%	41.5%	223	34.4%	33.6%	\$36,610	44.6%	42.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.7%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1,607</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$206,918</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>673</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$85,986</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>648</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$82,048</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
SMALL BUSINESSES	Small Businesses																	
	Low	41	7.4%	\$7,263	9.2%	3.7%	14	5.8%	4.7%	\$3,148	8.8%	6.2%	17	8.1%	4.4%	\$2,221	7.0%	5.0%
	Moderate	108	19.5%	\$15,617	19.8%	21.6%	53	21.8%	21.3%	\$6,690	18.7%	26.1%	37	17.5%	21.5%	\$6,271	19.9%	26.0%
	Middle	221	40.0%	\$34,354	43.6%	44.3%	93	38.3%	40.5%	\$13,985	39.0%	36.2%	89	42.2%	40.7%	\$15,762	50.0%	38.6%
	Upper	169	30.6%	\$19,044	24.2%	29.1%	74	30.5%	30.5%	\$9,963	27.8%	28.3%	65	30.8%	30.9%	\$6,832	21.7%	28.1%
	Unknown	14	2.5%	\$2,561	3.2%	1.3%	9	3.7%	1.6%	\$2,055	5.7%	2.5%	3	1.4%	1.2%	\$425	1.3%	1.9%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	1.5%	\$0	0.0%	0.6%	0	0.0%	1.4%	\$0	0.0%	0.4%
<i>Total</i>	<i>553</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$78,839</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>243</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$35,841</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>211</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$31,511</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	
SMALL FARM	Small Farms																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.3%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	2.7%	0	0.0%	3.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.5%	0	0.0%	3.9%	\$0	0.0%	3.1%
	Middle	8	100.0%	\$637	100.0%	73.3%	4	100.0%	69.5%	\$321	100.0%	68.3%	3	100.0%	68.0%	\$311	100.0%	72.3%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	23.4%	0	0.0%	26.6%	\$0	0.0%	31.1%	0	0.0%	27.5%	\$0	0.0%	24.5%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.3%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	0.8%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%	0	0.0%	0.7%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%
<i>Total</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$637</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$321</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$311</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2016 D&B Information

Borrower Distribution of HMDA Loans & Small Business/Small Farm Lending by Revenue & Loan Size

Assessment Area: MLLansing

PRODUCT TYPE	Borrower Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison												
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014					2015							
		Bank				Families by Family Income	Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar					
		Count	Dollar				Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg		Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg				
#	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %			
HOME PURCHASE	Low	118	13.3%	\$8,384	7.1%	20.6%	50	13.0%	9.5%	\$3,316	6.6%	4.8%	51	14.4%	9.7%	\$3,891	8.4%	5.0%	
	Moderate	246	27.8%	\$25,256	21.5%	17.8%	107	27.8%	22.4%	\$11,026	21.9%	16.6%	96	27.0%	24.0%	\$9,753	21.0%	17.5%	
	Middle	235	26.6%	\$30,074	25.6%	21.9%	105	27.3%	22.9%	\$13,201	26.3%	22.3%	92	25.9%	22.5%	\$12,063	25.9%	21.9%	
	Upper	268	30.3%	\$51,605	43.9%	39.8%	115	29.9%	29.7%	\$21,686	43.1%	42.5%	108	30.4%	29.2%	\$19,838	42.7%	41.6%	
	Unknown	18	2.0%	\$2,342	2.0%	0.0%	8	2.1%	15.4%	\$1,046	2.1%	13.9%	8	2.3%	14.7%	\$953	2.0%	14.0%	
	Total	885	100.0%	\$117,661	100.0%	100.0%	385	100.0%	100.0%	\$50,275	100.0%	100.0%	355	100.0%	100.0%	\$46,498	100.0%	100.0%	
REFINANCE	Low	56	8.4%	\$4,458	5.6%	20.6%	25	9.5%	8.3%	\$2,405	8.4%	5.1%	17	6.2%	5.8%	\$1,199	3.5%	3.1%	
	Moderate	136	20.4%	\$11,837	14.8%	17.8%	48	18.3%	16.8%	\$3,605	12.6%	12.1%	62	22.5%	15.7%	\$6,004	17.5%	10.9%	
	Middle	198	29.7%	\$21,809	27.2%	21.9%	84	32.1%	22.6%	\$8,768	30.6%	20.4%	79	28.6%	21.0%	\$8,853	25.7%	18.5%	
	Upper	254	38.1%	\$38,690	48.3%	39.8%	96	36.6%	34.3%	\$12,685	44.3%	44.3%	107	38.8%	37.5%	\$16,477	47.9%	47.2%	
	Unknown	23	3.4%	\$3,357	4.2%	0.0%	9	3.4%	18.0%	\$1,198	4.2%	18.1%	11	4.0%	20.0%	\$1,857	5.4%	20.4%	
	Total	667	100.0%	\$80,151	100.0%	100.0%	262	100.0%	100.0%	\$28,661	100.0%	100.0%	276	100.0%	100.0%	\$34,390	100.0%	100.0%	
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	5	9.3%	\$218	5.9%	20.6%	4	16.0%	11.2%	\$161	9.7%	5.9%	1	5.9%	8.4%	\$57	4.9%	4.7%	
	Moderate	18	33.3%	\$1,049	28.2%	17.8%	9	36.0%	23.9%	\$631	38.0%	19.3%	5	29.4%	22.6%	\$140	12.1%	14.9%	
	Middle	11	20.4%	\$803	21.6%	21.9%	6	24.0%	26.5%	\$380	22.9%	26.6%	3	17.6%	26.8%	\$168	14.5%	19.4%	
	Upper	17	31.5%	\$1,434	38.6%	39.8%	5	20.0%	34.5%	\$453	27.3%	44.5%	6	35.3%	38.4%	\$618	53.3%	55.0%	
	Unknown	3	5.6%	\$212	5.7%	0.0%	1	4.0%	3.8%	\$35	2.1%	3.7%	2	11.8%	3.8%	\$177	15.3%	6.1%	
	Total	54	100.0%	\$3,716	100.0%	100.0%	25	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,660	100.0%	100.0%	17	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,160	100.0%	100.0%	
MULTIFAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	20.6%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	17.8%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	21.9%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	39.8%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Unknown	1	100.0%	\$5,390	100.0%	0.0%	1	100.0%	100.0%	\$5,390	100.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	
	Total	1	100.0%	\$5,390	100.0%	100.0%	1	100.0%	100.0%	\$5,390	100.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	
HMDA TOTALS	Low	179	11.1%	\$13,060	6.3%	20.6%	79	11.7%	9.3%	\$5,882	6.8%	4.3%	69	10.6%	8.0%	\$5,147	6.3%	3.9%	
	Moderate	400	24.9%	\$38,142	18.4%	17.8%	164	24.4%	20.6%	\$15,262	17.7%	13.1%	163	25.2%	20.6%	\$15,897	19.4%	13.7%	
	Middle	444	27.6%	\$52,686	25.5%	21.9%	195	29.0%	23.2%	\$22,349	26.0%	19.0%	174	26.9%	22.4%	\$21,084	25.7%	19.1%	
	Upper	539	33.5%	\$91,729	44.3%	39.8%	216	32.1%	31.8%	\$34,824	40.5%	37.8%	221	34.1%	33.5%	\$36,933	45.0%	41.6%	
	Unknown	45	2.8%	\$11,301	5.5%	0.0%	19	2.8%	15.2%	\$7,669	8.9%	25.8%	21	3.2%	15.6%	\$2,987	3.6%	21.6%	
	Total	1,607	100.0%	\$206,918	100.0%	100.0%	673	100.0%	100.0%	\$85,986	100.0%	100.0%	648	100.0%	100.0%	\$82,048	100.0%	100.0%	
Small Business Revenue	Total Businesses																		
	\$1 Million or Less	260	47.0%	\$14,817	18.8%	89.5%	108	44.4%	39.8%	\$6,062	16.9%	31.5%	97	46.0%	45.7%	\$6,513	20.7%	32.5%	
	Over \$1 Million	176	31.8%	\$49,340	62.6%	9.4%	70	28.8%				74	35.1%						
	Total Rev. available	436	78.8%	\$64,157	81.4%	98.9%	178	73.2%				171	81.1%						
	Rev. Not Known	117	21.2%	\$14,682	18.6%	1.1%	65	26.7%				40	19.0%						
	Total	553	100.0%	\$78,839	100.0%	100.0%	243	100.0%	100.0%				211	100.0%	100.0%				
Small Business Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	381	68.9%	\$14,338	18.2%		166	68.3%	89.4%	\$5,878	16.4%	29.8%	143	67.8%	88.7%	\$5,585	17.7%	28.4%	
	\$100,001 - \$250,000	69	12.5%	\$12,916	16.4%		29	11.9%	5.8%	\$4,938	13.8%	21.0%	24	11.4%	5.9%	\$4,581	14.5%	20.0%	
	\$250,001 - \$1 Million	103	18.6%	\$51,585	65.4%		48	19.8%	4.9%	\$25,025	69.8%	49.2%	44	20.9%	5.4%	\$21,345	67.7%	51.6%	
	Total	553	100.0%	\$78,839	100.0%	100.0%	243	100.0%	100.0%	\$35,841	100.0%	100.0%	211	100.0%	100.0%	\$31,511	100.0%	100.0%	
	Small Farm Revenue	Total Farms																	
		\$1 Million or Less	3	37.5%	\$35	5.5%	97.8%	1	25.0%	30.5%	\$15	4.7%	53.9%	1	33.3%	31.4%	\$15	4.8%	58.4%
Over \$1 Million		5	62.5%	\$602	94.5%	2.2%	3	75.0%				2	66.7%						
Not Known		0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%				0	0.0%						
Total		8	100.0%	\$637	100.0%	100.0%	4	100.0%	100.0%				3	100.0%	100.0%				
Small Farm Loan Size		\$100,000 or Less	6	75.0%	\$137	21.5%		3	75.0%	90.6%	\$71	22.1%	44.6%	2	66.7%	86.3%	\$61	19.6%	33.7%
	\$100,001 - \$250,000	2	25.0%	\$500	78.5%		1	25.0%	7.0%	\$250	77.9%	35.7%	1	33.3%	11.8%	\$250	80.4%	46.5%	
	\$250,001 - \$500,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	2.3%	\$0	0.0%	19.7%	0	0.0%	2.0%	\$0	0.0%	19.7%	
	Total	8	100.0%	\$637	100.0%	100.0%	4	100.0%	100.0%	\$321	100.0%	100.0%	3	100.0%	100.0%	\$311	100.0%	100.0%	

Originations & Purchases
Aggregate data is unavailable for loans to businesses with revenue over \$1 million or revenue unknown, and for loan size by revenue.
2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2016 D&B Information

Geographic Distribution of HMDA, Small Business, & Small Farm Loans

Assessment Area: MI Northern MI

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014						2015					
		Bank		Owner Occupied Units			Count		Dollar				Count		Dollar			
		Count	Dollar	Count	Count	Count	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg		
#	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	%	%		
HOME PURCHASE	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	83	9.1%	\$7,515	5.9%	12.7%	35	9.0%	10.2%	\$2,869	5.3%	6.3%	34	9.0%	11.1%	\$3,333	6.0%	6.7%
	Middle	617	67.8%	\$79,139	61.8%	66.6%	256	65.5%	66.8%	\$32,455	60.2%	60.8%	258	68.3%	65.6%	\$34,884	62.7%	59.8%
	Upper	210	23.1%	\$41,340	32.3%	20.7%	100	25.6%	22.7%	\$18,629	34.5%	32.7%	86	22.8%	23.1%	\$17,434	31.3%	33.3%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.2%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%	0	0.0%	0.2%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%
	Total	910	100.0%	\$127,994	100.0%	100.0%	391	100.0%	100.0%	\$53,953	100.0%	100.0%	378	100.0%	100.0%	\$55,651	100.0%	100.0%
REFINANCE	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	59	5.6%	\$5,576	3.7%	12.7%	27	7.6%	10.5%	\$2,839	5.7%	6.9%	27	5.6%	8.8%	\$2,365	3.6%	5.4%
	Middle	691	65.2%	\$90,254	60.4%	66.6%	231	65.4%	66.9%	\$29,005	58.3%	59.6%	327	67.8%	65.5%	\$43,631	67.2%	60.7%
	Upper	310	29.2%	\$53,665	35.9%	20.7%	95	26.9%	22.5%	\$17,894	36.0%	33.4%	128	26.6%	25.7%	\$18,947	29.2%	33.9%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Total	1,060	100.0%	\$149,495	100.0%	100.0%	353	100.0%	100.0%	\$49,738	100.0%	100.0%	482	100.0%	100.0%	\$64,943	100.0%	100.0%
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	7	6.7%	\$195	3.2%	12.7%	3	6.8%	13.3%	\$80	3.3%	7.5%	3	8.6%	12.2%	\$56	3.0%	8.5%
	Middle	69	66.3%	\$3,968	64.9%	66.6%	31	70.5%	67.4%	\$1,707	71.1%	62.2%	22	62.9%	67.2%	\$1,139	60.6%	58.6%
	Upper	28	26.9%	\$1,953	31.9%	20.7%	10	22.7%	19.0%	\$613	25.5%	29.9%	10	28.6%	20.6%	\$685	36.4%	32.8%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.3%	\$0	0.0%	0.3%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Total	104	100.0%	\$6,116	100.0%	100.0%	44	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,400	100.0%	100.0%	35	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,880	100.0%	100.0%
MULTI FAMILY	Multi-Family Units																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	7.7%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	2.8%	\$0	0.0%	10.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	13.4%	0	0.0%	10.5%	\$0	0.0%	4.9%	0	0.0%	2.8%	\$0	0.0%	1.1%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	56.9%	0	0.0%	63.2%	\$0	0.0%	34.5%	0	0.0%	66.7%	\$0	0.0%	76.3%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	21.9%	0	0.0%	21.1%	\$0	0.0%	15.2%	0	0.0%	27.8%	\$0	0.0%	12.6%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%	0	0.0%	5.3%	\$0	0.0%	45.4%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	
HMDA TOTALS	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.5%
	Moderate	149	7.2%	\$13,286	4.7%	12.7%	65	8.2%	10.5%	\$5,788	5.5%	6.6%	64	7.2%	10.2%	\$5,754	4.7%	5.9%
	Middle	1,377	66.4%	\$173,361	61.1%	66.6%	518	65.7%	66.9%	\$63,167	59.5%	59.8%	607	67.8%	65.6%	\$79,654	65.0%	60.9%
	Upper	548	26.4%	\$96,958	34.2%	20.7%	205	26.0%	22.4%	\$37,136	35.0%	32.5%	224	25.0%	24.0%	\$37,066	30.3%	32.5%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.2%	\$0	0.0%	1.1%	0	0.0%	0.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%
	Total	2,074	100.0%	\$283,605	100.0%	100.0%	788	100.0%	100.0%	\$106,091	100.0%	100.0%	895	100.0%	100.0%	\$122,474	100.0%	100.0%
SMALL BUSINESSES	Small Businesses																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.4%	0	0.0%	0.5%	\$0	0.0%	1.4%	0	0.0%	0.3%	\$0	0.0%	0.9%
	Moderate	54	6.2%	\$12,753	9.9%	11.1%	24	6.5%	9.8%	\$3,797	7.3%	10.3%	20	6.0%	10.2%	\$5,595	9.9%	9.6%
	Middle	557	63.7%	\$83,822	65.3%	64.9%	231	62.8%	62.6%	\$35,465	67.7%	67.2%	218	65.1%	63.4%	\$37,152	65.4%	66.5%
	Upper	263	30.1%	\$31,775	24.8%	23.6%	113	30.7%	23.2%	\$13,094	25.0%	19.8%	97	29.0%	23.3%	\$14,036	24.7%	22.2%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	3.9%	\$0	0.0%	1.3%	0	0.0%	2.9%	\$0	0.0%	0.9%
Total	874	100.0%	\$128,350	100.0%	100.0%	368	100.0%	100.0%	\$52,356	100.0%	100.0%	335	100.0%	100.0%	\$56,783	100.0%	100.0%	
SMALL FARM	Small Farms																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%	0	0.0%	0.8%	\$0	0.0%	3.3%	0	0.0%	0.9%	\$0	0.0%	2.8%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	8.1%	0	0.0%	5.1%	\$0	0.0%	7.2%	0	0.0%	5.7%	\$0	0.0%	4.0%
	Middle	9	69.2%	\$921	82.8%	70.8%	1	50.0%	76.5%	\$113	55.7%	70.8%	3	50.0%	77.0%	\$205	67.0%	79.1%
	Upper	4	30.8%	\$191	17.2%	21.0%	1	50.0%	17.3%	\$90	44.3%	18.4%	3	50.0%	15.9%	\$101	33.0%	14.2%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.3%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%	0	0.0%	0.5%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	13	100.0%	\$1,112	100.0%	100.0%	2	100.0%	100.0%	\$203	100.0%	100.0%	6	100.0%	100.0%	\$306	100.0%	100.0%	

Borrower Distribution of HMDA Loans & Small Business/Small Farm Lending by Revenue & Loan Size

Assessment Area: MI Northern MI

PRODUCT TYPE	Borrower Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison												
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014						2015						
		Bank				Families by Family Income	Count		Dollar				Count		Dollar				
		Count	Dollar				Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg			Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg			
#	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %			
HOME PURCHASE	Low	73	8.0%	\$4,714	3.7%	19.2%	31	7.9%	4.8%	\$1,961	3.6%	2.2%	29	7.7%	5.5%	\$1,809	3.3%	2.5%	
	Moderate	196	21.5%	\$17,386	13.6%	18.5%	96	24.6%	17.1%	\$7,970	14.8%	10.7%	64	16.9%	16.0%	\$5,872	10.6%	10.0%	
	Middle	193	21.2%	\$21,976	17.2%	22.2%	67	17.1%	17.4%	\$7,626	14.1%	14.3%	92	24.3%	18.7%	\$10,797	19.4%	14.8%	
	Upper	413	45.4%	\$77,815	60.8%	40.0%	181	46.3%	41.8%	\$33,350	61.8%	55.3%	176	46.6%	42.1%	\$34,444	61.9%	57.1%	
	Unknown	35	3.8%	\$6,103	4.8%	0.0%	16	4.1%	18.9%	\$3,046	5.6%	17.5%	17	4.5%	17.7%	\$2,729	4.9%	15.6%	
	Total	910	100.0%	\$127,994	100.0%	100.0%	391	100.0%	100.0%	\$53,953	100.0%	100.0%	378	100.0%	100.0%	\$55,651	100.0%	100.0%	
REFINANCE	Low	111	10.5%	\$8,377	5.6%	19.2%	47	13.3%	7.8%	\$3,667	7.4%	4.1%	48	10.0%	6.6%	\$3,531	5.4%	3.1%	
	Moderate	208	19.6%	\$17,185	11.5%	18.5%	83	23.5%	15.1%	\$6,702	13.5%	9.1%	85	17.6%	12.5%	\$7,155	11.0%	7.5%	
	Middle	235	22.2%	\$24,551	16.4%	22.2%	72	20.4%	19.7%	\$6,730	13.5%	14.6%	116	24.1%	18.3%	\$12,931	19.9%	13.2%	
	Upper	475	44.8%	\$93,254	62.4%	40.0%	144	40.8%	39.7%	\$31,496	63.3%	52.7%	220	45.6%	43.7%	\$38,152	58.7%	57.5%	
	Unknown	31	2.9%	\$6,128	4.1%	0.0%	7	2.0%	17.8%	\$1,143	2.3%	19.5%	13	2.7%	18.9%	\$3,174	4.9%	18.6%	
	Total	1,060	100.0%	\$149,495	100.0%	100.0%	353	100.0%	100.0%	\$49,738	100.0%	100.0%	482	100.0%	100.0%	\$64,943	100.0%	100.0%	
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	10	9.6%	\$155	2.5%	19.2%	5	11.4%	9.5%	\$53	2.2%	4.5%	3	8.6%	8.2%	\$73	3.9%	3.2%	
	Moderate	31	29.8%	\$1,042	17.0%	18.5%	14	31.8%	22.0%	\$332	13.8%	13.1%	9	25.7%	18.5%	\$337	17.9%	11.9%	
	Middle	31	29.8%	\$1,921	31.4%	22.2%	15	34.1%	23.6%	\$1,031	43.0%	18.5%	12	34.3%	24.4%	\$755	40.2%	18.3%	
	Upper	32	30.8%	\$2,998	49.0%	40.0%	10	22.7%	41.9%	\$984	41.0%	58.4%	11	31.4%	45.1%	\$715	38.0%	60.6%	
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	3.0%	\$0	0.0%	5.5%	0	0.0%	3.9%	\$0	0.0%	5.9%	
	Total	104	100.0%	\$6,116	100.0%	100.0%	44	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,400	100.0%	100.0%	35	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,880	100.0%	100.0%	
MULTIFAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	19.2%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	18.5%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	22.2%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	40.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	
	Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	
HMDA TOTALS	Low	194	9.4%	\$13,246	4.7%	19.2%	83	10.5%	6.2%	\$5,681	5.4%	3.0%	80	8.9%	6.1%	\$5,413	4.4%	2.6%	
	Moderate	435	21.0%	\$35,613	12.6%	18.5%	193	24.5%	16.6%	\$15,004	14.1%	9.9%	158	17.7%	14.7%	\$13,364	10.9%	8.5%	
	Middle	459	22.1%	\$48,448	17.1%	22.2%	154	19.5%	18.7%	\$15,387	14.5%	14.2%	220	24.6%	18.9%	\$24,483	20.0%	13.5%	
	Upper	920	44.4%	\$174,067	61.4%	40.0%	335	42.5%	40.9%	\$65,830	62.1%	53.2%	407	45.5%	42.8%	\$73,311	59.9%	54.6%	
	Unknown	66	3.2%	\$12,231	4.3%	0.0%	23	2.9%	17.6%	\$4,189	3.9%	19.7%	30	3.4%	17.4%	\$5,903	4.8%	20.7%	
	Total	2,074	100.0%	\$283,605	100.0%	100.0%	788	100.0%	100.0%	\$106,091	100.0%	100.0%	895	100.0%	100.0%	\$122,474	100.0%	100.0%	
Small Business Revenue	Total Businesses																		
	\$1 Million or Less	427	48.9%	\$31,070	24.2%	90.3%	170	46.2%	40.1%	\$12,467	23.8%	39.4%	149	44.5%	48.6%	\$12,529	22.1%	46.0%	
	Over \$1 Million	223	25.5%	\$72,750	56.7%	7.9%	92	25.0%					97	29.0%					
	Total Rev. available	650	74.4%	\$103,820	80.9%	98.2%	262	71.2%					246	73.5%					
	Rev. Not Known	224	25.6%	\$24,530	19.1%	1.8%	106	28.8%					89	26.6%					
	Total	874	100.0%	\$128,350	100.0%	100.0%	368	100.0%					335	100.0%					
	Small Business Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	583	66.7%	\$20,183	15.7%		250	67.9%	91.0%	\$8,778	16.8%	31.2%	205	61.2%	88.1%	\$7,330	12.9%	27.4%
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	147	16.8%	\$26,889	20.9%		60	16.3%	4.7%	\$11,043	21.1%	19.0%	64	19.1%	6.3%	\$11,515	20.3%	19.6%
		\$250,001 - \$1 Million	144	16.5%	\$81,278	63.3%		58	15.8%	4.3%	\$32,535	62.1%	49.8%	66	19.7%	5.6%	\$37,938	66.8%	53.0%
		Total	874	100.0%	\$128,350	100.0%		368	100.0%	100.0%	\$52,356	100.0%	100.0%	335	100.0%	100.0%	\$56,783	100.0%	100.0%
		Total Farms																	
Small Farm Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	7	53.8%	\$149	13.4%	97.0%	0	0.0%	60.5%	\$0	0.0%	69.6%	3	50.0%	52.7%	\$46	15.0%	61.2%	
	Over \$1 Million	2	15.4%	\$650	58.5%	3.0%	0	0.0%					1	16.7%					
	Not Known	4	30.8%	\$313	28.1%	0.0%	2	100.0%					2	33.3%					
	Total	13	100.0%	\$1,112	100.0%	100.0%	2	100.0%					6	100.0%					
	Small Farm Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	10	76.9%	\$349	31.4%		1	50.0%	83.8%	\$90	44.3%	35.7%	5	83.3%	88.4%	\$156	51.0%	41.4%
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	2	15.4%	\$263	23.7%		1	50.0%	11.9%	\$113	55.7%	34.6%	1	16.7%	6.8%	\$150	49.0%	21.9%
\$250,001 - \$500,000		1	7.7%	\$500	45.0%		0	0.0%	4.3%	\$0	0.0%	29.8%	0	0.0%	4.8%	\$0	0.0%	36.7%	
Total		13	100.0%	\$1,112	100.0%		2	100.0%	100.0%	\$203	100.0%	100.0%	6	100.0%	100.0%	\$306	100.0%	100.0%	

Originations & Purchases
Aggregate data is unavailable for loans to businesses with revenue over \$1 million or revenue unknown, and for loan size by revenue.
2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2016 D&B Information

Geographic Distribution of HMDA, Small Business, & Small Farm Loans
Assessment Area: MI Southern MI

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison 1/1/2014-6/30/2016					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		Bank				Owner Occupied Units	2014			2015								
		Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar				
		#	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %
HOME PURCHASE	Low	1	0.2%	\$90	0.1%	0.3%	1	0.5%	0.2%	\$90	0.4%	0.1%	0	0.0%	0.2%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%
	Moderate	16	3.0%	\$1,424	2.0%	5.4%	7	3.4%	4.5%	\$633	2.5%	2.7%	5	2.4%	4.9%	\$499	1.9%	2.8%
	Middle	346	64.4%	\$41,583	58.7%	67.6%	134	65.4%	63.5%	\$15,117	60.3%	59.5%	137	66.5%	63.5%	\$16,656	62.1%	58.4%
	Upper	174	32.4%	\$27,776	39.2%	26.7%	63	30.7%	31.8%	\$9,225	36.8%	37.7%	64	31.1%	31.5%	\$9,650	36.0%	38.7%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>537</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$70,873</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>205</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$25,065</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>206</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$26,805</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
REFINANCE	Low	4	0.7%	\$231	0.4%	0.3%	1	0.5%	0.3%	\$112	0.6%	0.2%	3	1.2%	0.4%	\$119	0.4%	0.3%
	Moderate	16	2.8%	\$1,164	1.8%	5.4%	10	5.1%	3.5%	\$658	3.5%	2.1%	2	0.8%	2.8%	\$91	0.3%	2.0%
	Middle	353	61.2%	\$34,356	54.1%	67.6%	115	58.7%	61.4%	\$10,024	52.8%	56.7%	164	63.1%	60.0%	\$16,688	56.3%	54.8%
	Upper	204	35.4%	\$27,758	43.7%	26.7%	70	35.7%	34.9%	\$8,190	43.1%	41.0%	91	35.0%	36.7%	\$12,766	43.0%	42.9%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>577</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$63,509</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>196</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$18,984</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>260</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$29,664</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.3%	0	0.0%	0.4%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%	0	0.0%	0.5%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%
	Moderate	2	2.5%	\$36	0.7%	5.4%	0	0.0%	6.4%	\$0	0.0%	2.9%	1	2.8%	3.4%	\$28	1.2%	2.3%
	Middle	59	72.8%	\$3,647	68.4%	67.6%	24	85.7%	62.3%	\$1,521	78.6%	60.6%	26	72.2%	65.3%	\$1,690	71.5%	60.3%
	Upper	20	24.7%	\$1,648	30.9%	26.7%	4	14.3%	30.9%	\$413	21.4%	36.4%	9	25.0%	30.8%	\$646	27.3%	37.3%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>81</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$5,331</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>28</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$1,934</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>36</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$2,364</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
MULTI FAMILY	Multi-Family Units																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	1.1%	0	0.0%	5.0%	\$0	0.0%	2.0%	0	0.0%	7.7%	\$0	0.0%	3.2%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	8.5%	0	0.0%	5.0%	\$0	0.0%	2.7%	0	0.0%	7.7%	\$0	0.0%	1.1%
	Middle	1	50.0%	\$1,120	76.8%	75.7%	0	0.0%	55.0%	\$0	0.0%	76.3%	0	0.0%	61.5%	\$0	0.0%	65.2%
	Upper	1	50.0%	\$339	23.2%	14.7%	1	100.0%	35.0%	\$339	100.0%	19.0%	0	0.0%	23.1%	\$0	0.0%	30.5%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$1,459</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$339</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	
HMDA TOTALS	Low	5	0.4%	\$321	0.2%	0.3%	2	0.5%	0.2%	\$202	0.4%	0.2%	3	0.6%	0.3%	\$119	0.2%	0.2%
	Moderate	34	2.8%	\$2,624	1.9%	5.4%	17	4.0%	4.3%	\$1,291	2.8%	2.5%	8	1.6%	4.0%	\$618	1.1%	2.4%
	Middle	759	63.4%	\$80,706	57.2%	67.6%	273	63.5%	62.6%	\$26,662	57.6%	58.6%	327	65.1%	62.3%	\$35,034	59.5%	57.0%
	Upper	399	33.3%	\$57,521	40.7%	26.7%	138	32.1%	32.9%	\$18,167	39.2%	38.7%	164	32.7%	33.4%	\$23,062	39.2%	40.3%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1,197</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$141,172</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>430</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$46,322</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>502</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$58,833</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
SMALL BUSINESSES	Small Businesses																	
	Low	14	3.2%	\$1,763	2.4%	1.9%	4	1.9%	2.2%	\$864	2.5%	2.9%	9	6.2%	2.3%	\$884	3.4%	3.5%
	Moderate	41	9.2%	\$11,597	15.7%	5.6%	15	7.2%	7.2%	\$4,080	11.8%	10.9%	14	9.6%	7.9%	\$3,858	15.0%	13.1%
	Middle	262	59.0%	\$42,699	57.6%	65.2%	124	59.9%	57.4%	\$20,031	58.0%	54.4%	90	61.6%	56.7%	\$16,039	62.4%	53.7%
	Upper	127	28.6%	\$18,034	24.3%	27.4%	64	30.9%	29.7%	\$9,563	27.7%	31.2%	33	22.6%	30.3%	\$4,930	19.2%	29.1%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	3.5%	\$0	0.0%	0.6%	0	0.0%	2.9%	\$0	0.0%	0.6%
<i>Total</i>	<i>444</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$74,093</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>207</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$34,538</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>146</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$25,711</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	
SMALL FARM	Small Farms																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	2.2%	0	0.0%	3.5%	\$0	0.0%	3.8%	0	0.0%	3.6%	\$0	0.0%	3.7%
	Middle	17	81.0%	\$4,078	88.5%	72.9%	6	75.0%	73.9%	\$1,800	78.1%	69.8%	6	85.7%	68.1%	\$1,650	99.5%	69.9%
	Upper	4	19.0%	\$532	11.5%	24.8%	2	25.0%	22.2%	\$505	21.9%	26.4%	1	14.3%	28.0%	\$9	0.5%	26.1%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	0.4%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.3%	\$0	0.0%	0.3%
<i>Total</i>	<i>21</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$4,610</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$2,305</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$1,659</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2016 D&B Information

Borrower Distribution of HMDA Loans & Small Business/Small Farm Lending by Revenue & Loan Size

Assessment Area: MI Southern MI

PRODUCT TYPE	Borrower Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014						2015					
		Bank				Families by Family Income	Count		Dollar				Count		Dollar			
		Count	Dollar				Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg			Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg		
#	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %		
HOME PURCHASE	Low	44	8.2%	\$3,008	4.2%	16.9%	19	9.3%	6.8%	\$1,219	4.9%	3.4%	15	7.3%	6.7%	\$1,082	4.0%	3.4%
	Moderate	165	30.7%	\$15,395	21.7%	17.4%	72	35.1%	23.1%	\$6,661	26.6%	16.2%	60	29.1%	22.3%	\$5,717	21.3%	15.5%
	Middle	149	27.7%	\$18,963	26.8%	23.2%	54	26.3%	21.6%	\$6,792	27.1%	20.1%	59	28.6%	22.5%	\$7,472	27.9%	20.8%
	Upper	159	29.6%	\$29,889	42.2%	42.5%	52	25.4%	31.1%	\$9,011	36.0%	44.8%	60	29.1%	32.1%	\$10,298	38.4%	45.8%
	Unknown	20	3.7%	\$3,618	5.1%	0.0%	8	3.9%	17.3%	\$1,382	5.5%	15.5%	12	5.8%	16.4%	\$2,236	8.3%	14.7%
	Total	537	100.0%	\$70,873	100.0%	100.0%	205	100.0%	100.0%	\$25,065	100.0%	100.0%	206	100.0%	100.0%	\$26,805	100.0%	100.0%
REFINANCE	Low	50	8.7%	\$3,253	5.1%	16.9%	24	12.2%	6.4%	\$1,242	6.5%	3.7%	14	5.4%	5.4%	\$916	3.1%	2.8%
	Moderate	131	22.7%	\$10,046	15.8%	17.4%	53	27.0%	17.1%	\$4,158	21.9%	11.4%	49	18.8%	14.5%	\$3,879	13.1%	9.5%
	Middle	143	24.8%	\$15,024	23.7%	23.2%	41	20.9%	20.9%	\$4,078	21.5%	17.6%	76	29.2%	20.8%	\$8,016	27.0%	16.7%
	Upper	239	41.4%	\$33,815	53.2%	42.5%	74	37.8%	38.3%	\$9,046	47.7%	48.8%	114	43.8%	39.8%	\$16,234	54.7%	50.2%
	Unknown	14	2.4%	\$1,371	2.2%	0.0%	4	2.0%	17.4%	\$460	2.4%	18.5%	7	2.7%	19.4%	\$619	2.1%	20.7%
	Total	577	100.0%	\$63,509	100.0%	100.0%	196	100.0%	100.0%	\$18,984	100.0%	100.0%	260	100.0%	100.0%	\$29,664	100.0%	100.0%
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	10	12.3%	\$399	7.5%	16.9%	4	14.3%	9.9%	\$113	5.8%	4.3%	3	8.3%	8.1%	\$108	4.6%	3.8%
	Moderate	23	28.4%	\$1,040	19.5%	17.4%	8	28.6%	21.3%	\$436	22.5%	13.3%	11	30.6%	17.5%	\$447	18.9%	9.5%
	Middle	18	22.2%	\$1,067	20.0%	23.2%	7	25.0%	24.0%	\$504	26.1%	20.5%	9	25.0%	26.8%	\$425	18.0%	22.6%
	Upper	28	34.6%	\$2,501	46.9%	42.5%	7	25.0%	41.1%	\$557	28.8%	50.7%	13	36.1%	43.8%	\$1,384	58.5%	58.8%
	Unknown	2	2.5%	\$324	6.1%	0.0%	2	7.1%	3.7%	\$324	16.8%	11.3%	0	0.0%	3.8%	\$0	0.0%	5.4%
	Total	81	100.0%	\$5,331	100.0%	100.0%	28	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,934	100.0%	100.0%	36	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,364	100.0%	100.0%
MULTIFAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	16.9%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	17.4%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	23.2%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	42.5%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Unknown	2	100.0%	\$1,459	100.0%	0.0%	1	100.0%	100.0%	\$339	100.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%
	Total	2	100.0%	\$1,459	100.0%	100.0%	1	100.0%	100.0%	\$339	100.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%
HMDA TOTALS	Low	104	8.7%	\$6,660	4.7%	16.9%	47	10.9%	6.9%	\$2,574	5.6%	3.5%	32	6.4%	6.3%	\$2,106	3.6%	3.1%
	Moderate	319	26.6%	\$26,481	18.8%	17.4%	133	30.9%	20.6%	\$11,255	24.3%	14.2%	120	23.9%	18.9%	\$10,043	17.1%	12.8%
	Middle	310	25.9%	\$35,054	24.8%	23.2%	102	23.7%	21.5%	\$11,374	24.6%	18.9%	144	28.7%	22.2%	\$15,913	27.0%	19.1%
	Upper	426	35.6%	\$66,205	46.9%	42.5%	133	30.9%	34.6%	\$18,614	40.2%	46.0%	187	37.3%	35.9%	\$27,916	47.4%	47.7%
	Unknown	38	3.2%	\$6,772	4.8%	0.0%	15	3.5%	16.4%	\$2,505	5.4%	17.4%	19	3.8%	16.7%	\$2,855	4.9%	17.3%
	Total	1,197	100.0%	\$141,172	100.0%	100.0%	430	100.0%	100.0%	\$46,322	100.0%	100.0%	502	100.0%	100.0%	\$58,833	100.0%	100.0%
Small Business Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	193	43.5%	\$11,614	15.7%	90.5%	87	42.0%	40.8%	\$5,375	15.6%	30.7%	56	38.4%	45.9%	\$3,147	12.2%	29.5%
	Over \$1 Million	152	34.2%	\$52,719	71.2%	8.0%	65	31.4%					58	39.7%				
	Total Rev. available	345	77.7%	\$64,333	86.9%	98.5%	152	73.4%					114	78.1%				
	Rev. Not Known	99	22.3%	\$9,760	13.2%	1.5%	55	26.6%					32	21.9%				
	Total	444	100.0%	\$74,093	100.0%	100.0%	207	100.0%					146	100.0%				
Small Business Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	293	66.0%	\$10,177	13.7%		138	66.7%	87.0%	\$4,627	13.4%	23.1%	94	64.4%	86.5%	\$3,408	13.3%	24.5%
	\$100,001 - \$250,000	51	11.5%	\$9,055	12.2%		19	9.2%	6.0%	\$3,575	10.4%	17.2%	20	13.7%	7.0%	\$3,596	14.0%	19.7%
	\$250,001 - \$1 Million	100	22.5%	\$54,861	74.0%		50	24.2%	6.9%	\$26,336	76.3%	59.7%	32	21.9%	6.5%	\$18,707	72.8%	55.9%
	Total	444	100.0%	\$74,093	100.0%		207	100.0%	100.0%	\$34,538	100.0%	100.0%	146	100.0%	100.0%	\$25,711	100.0%	100.0%
Small Farm Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	11	52.4%	\$1,810	39.3%	97.1%	4	50.0%	43.7%	\$1,205	52.3%	51.4%	2	28.6%	38.4%	\$259	15.6%	55.9%
	Over \$1 Million	7	33.3%	\$2,450	53.1%	2.9%	2	25.0%					4	57.1%				
	Not Known	3	14.3%	\$350	7.6%	0.0%	2	25.0%					1	14.3%				
	Total	21	100.0%	\$4,610	100.0%	100.0%	8	100.0%					7	100.0%				
Small Farm Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	8	38.1%	\$210	4.6%		2	25.0%	75.4%	\$55	2.4%	22.5%	2	28.6%	78.2%	\$59	3.6%	20.9%
	\$100,001 - \$250,000	4	19.0%	\$950	20.6%		1	12.5%	16.2%	\$250	10.8%	36.6%	2	28.6%	11.1%	\$450	27.1%	26.8%
	\$250,001 - \$500,000	9	42.9%	\$3,450	74.8%		5	62.5%	8.5%	\$2,000	86.8%	40.9%	3	42.9%	10.7%	\$1,150	69.3%	52.3%
	Total	21	100.0%	\$4,610	100.0%		8	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,305	100.0%	100.0%	7	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,659	100.0%	100.0%

Originations & Purchases
Aggregate data is unavailable for loans to businesses with revenue over \$1 million or revenue unknown, and for loan size by revenue.
2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2016 D&B Information

Geographic Distribution of HMDA, Small Business, & Small Farm Loans
Assessment Area: MISaginaw

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison 1/1/2014-6/30/2016					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		Bank				Owner Occupied Units	2014			2015								
		Count		Dollar			Bank	Agg	Dollar			Count		Dollar				
		#	%	\$ (000s)	%	%			%	\$ (000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	%	%
HOME PURCHASE	Low	1	1.4%	\$20	0.2%	3.4%	0	0.0%	0.9%	\$0	0.0%	0.4%	1	3.4%	0.8%	\$20	0.5%	0.4%
	Moderate	9	12.3%	\$608	7.0%	13.2%	7	26.9%	10.0%	\$498	17.5%	9.7%	1	3.4%	8.8%	\$45	1.2%	5.0%
	Middle	28	38.4%	\$2,774	31.8%	52.7%	9	34.6%	49.3%	\$910	32.1%	42.0%	10	34.5%	50.5%	\$946	25.8%	44.4%
	Upper	35	47.9%	\$5,324	61.0%	30.7%	10	38.5%	39.8%	\$1,431	50.4%	47.9%	17	58.6%	39.9%	\$2,653	72.4%	50.2%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>73</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$8,726</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>26</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$2,839</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>29</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$3,664</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
REFINANCE	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	3.4%	0	0.0%	1.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.6%	0	0.0%	1.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.5%
	Moderate	10	9.8%	\$621	5.9%	13.2%	2	5.4%	10.2%	\$81	2.1%	6.1%	5	10.4%	7.5%	\$293	6.4%	4.6%
	Middle	57	55.9%	\$5,427	52.0%	52.7%	21	56.8%	53.0%	\$2,126	54.2%	49.3%	33	68.8%	54.1%	\$3,068	66.5%	50.1%
	Upper	35	34.3%	\$4,393	42.1%	30.7%	14	37.8%	35.8%	\$1,715	43.7%	43.9%	10	20.8%	37.4%	\$1,252	27.1%	44.8%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>102</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$10,441</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>37</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$3,922</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>48</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$4,613</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	3.4%	0	0.0%	2.8%	\$0	0.0%	2.2%	0	0.0%	2.8%	\$0	0.0%	0.7%
	Moderate	1	5.9%	\$232	16.5%	13.2%	0	0.0%	12.4%	\$0	0.0%	7.8%	1	25.0%	12.3%	\$232	45.0%	6.6%
	Middle	10	58.8%	\$630	44.9%	52.7%	5	71.4%	58.5%	\$264	53.2%	59.4%	1	25.0%	56.2%	\$62	12.0%	51.9%
	Upper	6	35.3%	\$541	38.6%	30.7%	2	28.6%	26.3%	\$232	46.8%	30.7%	2	50.0%	28.7%	\$221	42.9%	40.8%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>17</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$1,403</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$496</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$515</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
MULTI FAMILY	Multi-Family Units																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	8.7%	0	0.0%	6.3%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%	0	0.0%	10.5%	\$0	0.0%	1.3%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	24.6%	0	0.0%	12.5%	\$0	0.0%	2.8%	0	0.0%	31.6%	\$0	0.0%	12.4%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	33.7%	0	0.0%	31.3%	\$0	0.0%	27.7%	0	0.0%	21.1%	\$0	0.0%	14.9%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	32.9%	0	0.0%	50.0%	\$0	0.0%	69.3%	0	0.0%	36.8%	\$0	0.0%	71.4%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	
HMDA TOTALS	Low	1	0.5%	\$20	0.1%	3.4%	0	0.0%	1.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.5%	1	1.2%	1.0%	\$20	0.2%	0.5%
	Moderate	20	10.4%	\$1,461	7.1%	13.2%	9	12.9%	10.3%	\$579	8.0%	8.1%	7	8.6%	8.7%	\$570	6.5%	5.3%
	Middle	95	49.5%	\$8,831	42.9%	52.7%	35	50.0%	51.7%	\$3,300	45.5%	44.7%	44	54.3%	52.4%	\$4,076	46.4%	45.3%
	Upper	76	39.6%	\$10,258	49.9%	30.7%	26	37.1%	36.8%	\$3,378	46.5%	46.6%	29	35.8%	37.9%	\$4,126	46.9%	49.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>192</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$20,570</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>70</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$7,257</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>81</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$8,792</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
SMALL BUSINESSES	Small Businesses																	
	Low	12	5.6%	\$561	1.6%	4.2%	5	6.3%	4.7%	\$233	2.2%	6.9%	6	6.7%	4.8%	\$288	1.7%	8.5%
	Moderate	27	12.6%	\$5,522	16.1%	15.3%	10	12.5%	14.7%	\$1,531	14.5%	14.9%	8	9.0%	13.9%	\$2,767	16.6%	14.9%
	Middle	104	48.6%	\$15,992	46.6%	47.7%	39	48.8%	42.8%	\$6,631	62.7%	42.9%	45	50.6%	44.6%	\$7,187	43.2%	42.1%
	Upper	71	33.2%	\$12,253	35.7%	32.8%	26	32.5%	36.1%	\$2,182	20.6%	34.7%	30	33.7%	35.3%	\$6,390	38.4%	33.8%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	1.7%	\$0	0.0%	0.6%	0	0.0%	1.3%	\$0	0.0%	0.8%
<i>Total</i>	<i>214</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$34,328</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>80</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$10,577</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>89</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$16,632</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	
SMALL FARM	Small Farms																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	3.3%	0	0.0%	1.7%	\$0	0.0%	0.3%	0	0.0%	1.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	69.4%	0	0.0%	80.1%	\$0	0.0%	76.5%	0	0.0%	75.6%	\$0	0.0%	77.2%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	27.2%	0	0.0%	18.2%	\$0	0.0%	23.3%	0	0.0%	23.4%	\$0	0.0%	22.6%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2016 D&B Information

Borrower Distribution of HMDA Loans & Small Business/Small Farm Lending by Revenue & Loan Size

Assessment Area: MI Saginaw

PRODUCT TYPE	Borrower Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014					2015						
		Bank		Families by Family Income			Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar				
		Count	Dollar	%	%	%	#	%	%	Bank	Agg	%	#	%	%	Bank	Agg	%
#	\$ (000s)	\$ (000s)	\$ %															
HOME PURCHASE	Low	10	13.7%	\$564	6.5%	20.6%	4	15.4%	10.9%	\$258	9.1%	5.4%	5	17.2%	11.6%	\$230	6.3%	5.8%
	Moderate	15	20.5%	\$1,357	15.6%	17.6%	6	23.1%	20.2%	\$556	19.6%	13.8%	4	13.8%	21.6%	\$389	10.6%	15.2%
	Middle	20	27.4%	\$2,315	26.5%	21.3%	7	26.9%	21.6%	\$666	23.5%	19.0%	8	27.6%	22.0%	\$1,186	32.4%	21.1%
	Upper	26	35.6%	\$4,231	48.5%	40.6%	8	30.8%	30.1%	\$1,271	44.8%	44.1%	11	37.9%	28.7%	\$1,688	46.1%	44.3%
	Unknown	2	2.7%	\$259	3.0%	0.0%	1	3.8%	17.2%	\$88	3.1%	17.7%	1	3.4%	16.1%	\$171	4.7%	13.6%
	Total	73	100.0%	\$8,726	100.0%	100.0%	26	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,839	100.0%	100.0%	29	100.0%	100.0%	\$3,664	100.0%	100.0%
REFINANCE	Low	6	5.9%	\$383	3.7%	20.6%	2	5.4%	9.1%	\$79	2.0%	5.4%	3	6.3%	7.4%	\$193	4.2%	3.9%
	Moderate	16	15.7%	\$1,491	14.3%	17.6%	9	24.3%	18.5%	\$1,013	25.8%	13.2%	4	8.3%	17.0%	\$331	7.2%	12.1%
	Middle	34	33.3%	\$3,342	32.0%	21.3%	11	29.7%	23.0%	\$976	24.9%	18.9%	15	31.3%	22.4%	\$1,310	28.4%	18.8%
	Upper	41	40.2%	\$4,630	44.3%	40.6%	12	32.4%	34.3%	\$1,476	37.6%	45.4%	24	50.0%	35.8%	\$2,562	55.5%	46.6%
	Unknown	5	4.9%	\$595	5.7%	0.0%	3	8.1%	15.1%	\$378	9.6%	17.1%	2	4.2%	17.3%	\$217	4.7%	18.7%
	Total	102	100.0%	\$10,441	100.0%	100.0%	37	100.0%	100.0%	\$3,922	100.0%	100.0%	48	100.0%	100.0%	\$4,613	100.0%	100.0%
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	1	5.9%	\$64	4.6%	20.6%	0	0.0%	14.5%	\$0	0.0%	5.4%	0	0.0%	15.3%	\$0	0.0%	4.1%
	Moderate	2	11.8%	\$10	0.7%	17.6%	1	14.3%	26.2%	\$6	1.2%	14.3%	0	0.0%	22.6%	\$0	0.0%	12.1%
	Middle	6	35.3%	\$460	32.8%	21.3%	3	42.9%	25.5%	\$172	34.7%	25.0%	1	25.0%	23.5%	\$62	12.0%	20.1%
	Upper	8	47.1%	\$869	61.9%	40.6%	3	42.9%	30.3%	\$318	64.1%	51.0%	3	75.0%	35.8%	\$453	88.0%	58.6%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	3.5%	\$0	0.0%	4.3%	0	0.0%	2.8%	\$0	0.0%	5.2%
	Total	17	100.0%	\$1,403	100.0%	100.0%	7	100.0%	100.0%	\$496	100.0%	100.0%	4	100.0%	100.0%	\$515	100.0%	100.0%
MULTIFAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	20.6%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	17.6%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	21.3%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	40.6%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%
	Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%
HMDA TOTALS	Low	17	8.9%	\$1,011	4.9%	20.6%	6	8.6%	10.6%	\$337	4.6%	5.2%	8	9.9%	10.2%	\$423	4.8%	4.7%
	Moderate	33	17.2%	\$2,858	13.9%	17.6%	16	22.9%	20.1%	\$1,575	21.7%	13.1%	8	9.9%	19.8%	\$720	8.2%	13.1%
	Middle	60	31.3%	\$6,117	29.7%	21.3%	21	30.0%	22.5%	\$1,814	25.0%	18.5%	24	29.6%	22.2%	\$2,558	29.1%	19.1%
	Upper	75	39.1%	\$9,730	47.3%	40.6%	23	32.9%	31.7%	\$3,065	42.2%	43.3%	38	46.9%	32.1%	\$4,703	53.5%	43.3%
	Unknown	7	3.6%	\$854	4.2%	0.0%	4	5.7%	15.1%	\$466	6.4%	19.8%	3	3.7%	15.6%	\$388	4.4%	19.9%
	Total	192	100.0%	\$20,570	100.0%	100.0%	70	100.0%	100.0%	\$7,257	100.0%	100.0%	81	100.0%	100.0%	\$8,792	100.0%	100.0%
Small Business Revenue	Total Businesses																	
	\$1 Million or Less	93	43.5%	\$4,467	13.0%	89.3%	34	42.5%	38.9%	\$1,503	14.2%	33.3%	37	41.6%	45.8%	\$1,670	10.0%	33.8%
	Over \$1 Million	73	34.1%	\$22,677	66.1%	9.7%	25	31.3%					35	39.3%				
	Total Rev. available	166	77.6%	\$27,144	79.1%	99.0%	59	73.8%					72	80.9%				
	Rev. Not Known	48	22.4%	\$7,184	20.9%	1.0%	21	26.3%					17	19.1%				
	Total	214	100.0%	\$34,328	100.0%	100.0%	80	100.0%					89	100.0%				
Small Business Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	136	63.6%	\$4,822	14.0%		54	67.5%	89.2%	\$1,962	18.5%	28.1%	51	57.3%	88.1%	\$1,779	10.7%	25.2%
	\$100,001 - \$250,000	32	15.0%	\$5,899	17.2%		13	16.3%	5.4%	\$2,599	24.6%	17.6%	15	16.9%	5.9%	\$2,569	15.4%	17.5%
	\$250,001 - \$1 Million	46	21.5%	\$23,607	68.8%		13	16.3%	5.4%	\$6,016	56.9%	54.4%	23	25.8%	6.1%	\$12,284	73.9%	57.2%
	Total	214	100.0%	\$34,328	100.0%		80	100.0%	100.0%	\$10,577	100.0%	100.0%	89	100.0%	100.0%	\$16,632	100.0%	100.0%
	Total Farms																	
Small Farm Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	99.3%	0	0.0%	64.2%	\$0	0.0%	68.7%	0	0.0%	54.3%	\$0	0.0%	72.7%
	Over \$1 Million	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.7%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%				
	Not Known	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%				
	Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%				
	\$100,000 or Less	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	83.0%	\$0	0.0%	35.1%	0	0.0%	81.2%	\$0	0.0%	38.6%
	\$100,001 - \$250,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	13.6%	\$0	0.0%	41.6%	0	0.0%	15.2%	\$0	0.0%	40.0%
\$250,001 - \$500,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	3.4%	\$0	0.0%	23.3%	0	0.0%	3.6%	\$0	0.0%	21.4%	
Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	

Originations & Purchases

Aggregate data is unavailable for loans to businesses with revenue over \$1 million or revenue unknown, and for loan size by revenue.

2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2016 D&B Information

Geographic Distribution of HMDA, Small Business, & Small Farm Loans

Assessment Area: NC Asheville

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison 1/1/2014-6/30/2016					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		Bank				Owner Occupied Units	2014			2015								
		Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar				
		#	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %
HOME PURCHASE	Low	1	2.0%	\$128	0.9%	1.1%	1	3.1%	1.7%	\$128	1.7%	1.6%	0	0.0%	1.5%	\$0	0.0%	1.7%
	Moderate	1	2.0%	\$539	3.9%	12.8%	1	3.1%	14.9%	\$539	7.3%	11.5%	0	0.0%	14.3%	\$0	0.0%	11.0%
	Middle	37	72.5%	\$8,253	60.3%	63.7%	23	71.9%	61.2%	\$4,206	57.1%	55.3%	9	81.8%	61.4%	\$2,278	83.5%	55.7%
	Upper	12	23.5%	\$4,773	34.9%	22.4%	7	21.9%	22.1%	\$2,492	33.8%	31.5%	2	18.2%	22.8%	\$450	16.5%	31.6%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Total	51	100.0%	\$13,693	100.0%	100.0%	32	100.0%	100.0%	\$7,365	100.0%	100.0%	11	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,728	100.0%	100.0%
REFINANCE	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	1.1%	0	0.0%	1.7%	\$0	0.0%	1.4%	0	0.0%	1.7%	\$0	0.0%	1.6%
	Moderate	5	10.6%	\$909	10.0%	12.8%	3	13.6%	14.3%	\$574	13.7%	10.2%	1	6.7%	15.3%	\$139	5.1%	10.7%
	Middle	35	74.5%	\$5,077	56.1%	63.7%	16	72.7%	61.4%	\$2,216	52.9%	56.6%	13	86.7%	59.2%	\$2,003	73.2%	53.7%
	Upper	7	14.9%	\$3,065	33.9%	22.4%	3	13.6%	22.6%	\$1,398	33.4%	31.8%	1	6.7%	23.8%	\$595	21.7%	33.9%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Total	47	100.0%	\$9,051	100.0%	100.0%	22	100.0%	100.0%	\$4,188	100.0%	100.0%	15	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,737	100.0%	100.0%
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	1.1%	0	0.0%	0.4%	\$0	0.0%	0.6%	0	0.0%	1.4%	\$0	0.0%	2.5%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	12.8%	0	0.0%	17.6%	\$0	0.0%	10.6%	0	0.0%	16.0%	\$0	0.0%	11.4%
	Middle	10	83.3%	\$908	83.5%	63.7%	5	83.3%	61.8%	\$559	98.2%	58.2%	3	75.0%	61.2%	\$182	51.9%	56.8%
	Upper	2	16.7%	\$179	16.5%	22.4%	1	16.7%	20.2%	\$10	1.8%	30.6%	1	25.0%	21.5%	\$169	48.1%	29.3%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Total	12	100.0%	\$1,087	100.0%	100.0%	6	100.0%	100.0%	\$569	100.0%	100.0%	4	100.0%	100.0%	\$351	100.0%	100.0%
MULTI FAMILY	Multi-Family Units																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	6.5%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	15.5%	0	0.0%	18.8%	\$0	0.0%	16.6%	0	0.0%	14.3%	\$0	0.0%	2.9%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	39.4%	0	0.0%	62.5%	\$0	0.0%	13.9%	0	0.0%	53.6%	\$0	0.0%	34.8%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	38.6%	0	0.0%	18.8%	\$0	0.0%	69.5%	0	0.0%	32.1%	\$0	0.0%	62.4%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	
HMDA TOTALS	Low	1	0.9%	\$128	0.5%	1.1%	1	1.7%	1.7%	\$128	1.1%	1.5%	0	0.0%	1.5%	\$0	0.0%	1.6%
	Moderate	6	5.5%	\$1,448	6.1%	12.8%	4	6.7%	14.8%	\$1,113	9.2%	11.3%	1	3.3%	14.8%	\$139	2.4%	10.6%
	Middle	82	74.5%	\$14,238	59.7%	63.7%	44	73.3%	61.3%	\$6,981	57.6%	54.3%	25	83.3%	60.5%	\$4,463	76.7%	54.1%
	Upper	21	19.1%	\$8,017	33.6%	22.4%	11	18.3%	22.2%	\$3,900	32.2%	33.0%	4	13.3%	23.2%	\$1,214	20.9%	33.7%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Total	110	100.0%	\$23,831	100.0%	100.0%	60	100.0%	100.0%	\$12,122	100.0%	100.0%	30	100.0%	100.0%	\$5,816	100.0%	100.0%
SMALL BUSINESSES	Small Businesses																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	3.6%	0	0.0%	4.2%	\$0	0.0%	6.5%	0	0.0%	4.1%	\$0	0.0%	6.8%
	Moderate	3	8.8%	\$46	1.1%	15.1%	1	5.6%	16.9%	\$3	0.1%	20.2%	1	8.3%	17.6%	\$10	1.3%	21.6%
	Middle	23	67.6%	\$2,503	62.5%	51.4%	13	72.2%	46.1%	\$2,027	74.2%	39.5%	8	66.7%	46.8%	\$98	12.4%	37.0%
	Upper	8	23.5%	\$1,456	36.4%	29.9%	4	22.2%	29.9%	\$701	25.7%	32.5%	3	25.0%	29.4%	\$680	86.3%	33.3%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	2.9%	\$0	0.0%	1.3%	0	0.0%	2.1%	\$0	0.0%	1.2%
Total	34	100.0%	\$4,005	100.0%	100.0%	18	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,731	100.0%	100.0%	12	100.0%	100.0%	\$788	100.0%	100.0%	
SMALL FARM	Small Farms																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	3.3%	\$0	0.0%	15.9%	0	0.0%	2.8%	\$0	0.0%	10.4%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	13.2%	0	0.0%	13.3%	\$0	0.0%	22.2%	0	0.0%	2.8%	\$0	0.0%	6.6%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	63.0%	0	0.0%	53.3%	\$0	0.0%	21.8%	0	0.0%	63.9%	\$0	0.0%	57.4%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	23.8%	0	0.0%	23.3%	\$0	0.0%	32.8%	0	0.0%	22.2%	\$0	0.0%	20.5%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	6.7%	\$0	0.0%	7.3%	0	0.0%	8.3%	\$0	0.0%	5.1%
Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2016 D&B Information

Borrower Distribution of HMDA Loans & Small Business/Small Farm Lending by Revenue & Loan Size

Assessment Area: NC Asheville

PRODUCT TYPE	Borrower Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison												
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014						2015						
		Bank		Families by Family Income			Count		Dollar				Count		Dollar				
		Count	Dollar			%	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg			
#	%	\$(000s)	\$ %	%	#	%	%	\$(000s)	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$(000s)	\$ %	\$ %			
HOME PURCHASE	Low	2	3.9%	\$100	0.7%	19.8%	2	6.3%	3.5%	\$100	1.4%	1.4%	0	0.0%	3.2%	\$0	0.0%	1.4%	
	Moderate	6	11.8%	\$871	6.4%	18.5%	3	9.4%	14.1%	\$377	5.1%	8.5%	3	27.3%	15.0%	\$494	18.1%	9.2%	
	Middle	8	15.7%	\$1,284	9.4%	21.4%	6	18.8%	20.6%	\$868	11.8%	16.3%	1	9.1%	21.7%	\$170	6.2%	17.4%	
	Upper	33	64.7%	\$11,180	81.6%	40.2%	20	62.5%	46.0%	\$5,892	80.0%	59.5%	6	54.5%	47.0%	\$1,934	70.9%	60.0%	
	Unknown	2	3.9%	\$258	1.9%	0.0%	1	3.1%	15.8%	\$128	1.7%	14.3%	1	9.1%	13.2%	\$130	4.8%	11.9%	
	Total	51	100.0%	\$13,693	100.0%	100.0%	32	100.0%	100.0%	\$7,365	100.0%	100.0%	11	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,728	100.0%	100.0%	
REFINANCE	Low	3	6.4%	\$393	4.3%	19.8%	2	9.1%	7.3%	\$296	7.1%	3.7%	0	0.0%	4.8%	\$0	0.0%	2.3%	
	Moderate	8	17.0%	\$760	8.4%	18.5%	4	18.2%	14.9%	\$331	7.9%	9.4%	2	13.3%	12.2%	\$274	10.0%	7.4%	
	Middle	10	21.3%	\$1,146	12.7%	21.4%	4	18.2%	21.2%	\$422	10.1%	16.2%	3	20.0%	19.1%	\$342	12.5%	14.7%	
	Upper	19	40.4%	\$5,437	60.1%	40.2%	9	40.9%	40.6%	\$2,535	60.5%	52.5%	6	40.0%	44.9%	\$1,410	51.5%	57.0%	
	Unknown	7	14.9%	\$1,315	14.5%	0.0%	3	13.6%	16.0%	\$604	14.4%	18.1%	4	26.7%	19.0%	\$711	26.0%	18.5%	
	Total	47	100.0%	\$9,051	100.0%	100.0%	22	100.0%	100.0%	\$4,188	100.0%	100.0%	15	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,737	100.0%	100.0%	
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	1	8.3%	\$7	0.6%	19.8%	0	0.0%	9.4%	\$0	0.0%	1.7%	0	0.0%	8.5%	\$0	0.0%	1.4%	
	Moderate	1	8.3%	\$107	9.8%	18.5%	1	16.7%	22.5%	\$107	18.8%	10.2%	0	0.0%	15.7%	\$0	0.0%	9.6%	
	Middle	3	25.0%	\$341	31.4%	21.4%	0	0.0%	20.6%	\$0	0.0%	18.3%	3	75.0%	22.3%	\$341	97.2%	18.4%	
	Upper	7	58.3%	\$632	58.1%	40.2%	5	83.3%	43.4%	\$462	81.2%	59.5%	1	25.0%	45.7%	\$10	2.8%	63.2%	
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	4.1%	\$0	0.0%	10.2%	0	0.0%	7.7%	\$0	0.0%	7.4%	
	Total	12	100.0%	\$1,087	100.0%	100.0%	6	100.0%	100.0%	\$569	100.0%	100.0%	4	100.0%	100.0%	\$351	100.0%	100.0%	
MULTIFAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	19.8%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	18.5%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	21.4%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	40.2%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	
	Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	
HMDA TOTALS	Low	6	5.5%	\$500	2.1%	19.8%	4	6.7%	5.1%	\$396	3.3%	2.1%	0	0.0%	4.0%	\$0	0.0%	1.7%	
	Moderate	15	13.6%	\$1,738	7.3%	18.5%	8	13.3%	14.7%	\$815	6.7%	8.5%	5	16.7%	14.0%	\$768	13.2%	8.2%	
	Middle	21	19.1%	\$2,771	11.6%	21.4%	10	16.7%	20.8%	\$1,290	10.6%	15.7%	7	23.3%	20.6%	\$853	14.7%	15.7%	
	Upper	59	53.6%	\$17,249	72.4%	40.2%	34	56.7%	43.9%	\$8,889	73.3%	55.1%	13	43.3%	46.0%	\$3,354	57.7%	56.5%	
	Unknown	9	8.2%	\$1,573	6.6%	0.0%	4	6.7%	15.6%	\$732	6.0%	18.5%	5	16.7%	15.4%	\$841	14.5%	17.9%	
	Total	110	100.0%	\$23,831	100.0%	100.0%	60	100.0%	100.0%	\$12,122	100.0%	100.0%	30	100.0%	100.0%	\$5,816	100.0%	100.0%	
Small Business Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	32	94.1%	\$3,190	79.7%	92.8%	17	94.4%	48.3%	\$1,981	72.5%	43.4%	11	91.7%	52.7%	\$723	91.8%	43.3%	
	Over \$1 Million	2	5.9%	\$815	20.3%	6.6%	1	5.6%					1	8.3%					
	Total Rev. available	34	100.0%	\$4,005	100.0%	99.4%	18	100.0%					12	100.0%					
	Rev. Not Known	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.6%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%					
	Total	34	100.0%	\$4,005	100.0%	100.0%	18	100.0%					12	100.0%					
	Small Business Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	28	82.4%	\$478	11.9%		14	77.8%	90.9%	\$184	6.7%	28.6%	11	91.7%	90.9%	\$183	23.2%	28.7%
\$100,001 - \$250,000		0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	4.2%	\$0	0.0%	16.0%	0	0.0%	3.8%	\$0	0.0%	14.2%	
\$250,001 - \$1 Million		6	17.6%	\$3,527	88.1%		4	22.2%	4.9%	\$2,547	93.3%	55.3%	1	8.3%	5.3%	\$605	76.8%	57.1%	
Total		34	100.0%	\$4,005	100.0%		18	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,731	100.0%	100.0%	12	100.0%	100.0%	\$788	100.0%	100.0%	
Small Farm Revenue		\$1 Million or Less	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	98.3%	0	0.0%	30.0%	\$0	0.0%	37.7%	0	0.0%	33.3%	\$0	0.0%	33.7%
		Over \$1 Million	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	1.7%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%				
	Not Known	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%					
	Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%					
	Small Farm Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	97.2%	\$0	0.0%	83.8%
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	2.8%	\$0	0.0%	16.2%
\$250,001 - \$500,000		0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
Total		0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	

Originations & Purchases

Aggregate data is unavailable for loans to businesses with revenue over \$1 million or revenue unknown, and for loan size by revenue.

2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2016 D&B Information

Geographic Distribution of HMDA, Small Business, & Small Farm Loans

Assessment Area: NC Hickory

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison 1/1/2014-6/30/2016					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		Bank				Owner Occupied Units	2014			2015								
		Count		Dollar			Bank	Agg	Dollar			Count		Dollar				
		#	%	\$ (000s)	%	%			%	\$ (000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	%	%
HOME PURCHASE	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	5.5%	0	0.0%	3.4%	\$0	0.0%	3.2%	0	0.0%	3.1%	\$0	0.0%	1.6%
	Middle	34	64.2%	\$3,826	47.1%	64.1%	13	61.9%	60.8%	\$1,272	55.8%	49.1%	14	63.6%	60.8%	\$1,843	43.2%	49.5%
	Upper	19	35.8%	\$4,298	52.9%	30.4%	8	38.1%	35.8%	\$1,006	44.2%	47.7%	8	36.4%	36.1%	\$2,419	56.8%	48.9%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Total	53	100.0%	\$8,124	100.0%	100.0%	21	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,278	100.0%	100.0%	22	100.0%	100.0%	\$4,262	100.0%	100.0%
REFINANCE	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	5.5%	0	0.0%	4.3%	\$0	0.0%	3.2%	0	0.0%	2.4%	\$0	0.0%	1.4%
	Middle	17	36.2%	\$1,723	22.5%	64.1%	8	57.1%	59.8%	\$858	46.9%	49.8%	5	27.8%	58.8%	\$466	16.7%	47.3%
	Upper	30	63.8%	\$5,940	77.5%	30.4%	6	42.9%	35.9%	\$971	53.1%	47.0%	13	72.2%	38.8%	\$2,319	83.3%	51.4%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Total	47	100.0%	\$7,663	100.0%	100.0%	14	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,829	100.0%	100.0%	18	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,785	100.0%	100.0%
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	5.5%	0	0.0%	2.7%	\$0	0.0%	0.5%	0	0.0%	4.8%	\$0	0.0%	1.2%
	Middle	4	50.0%	\$148	27.5%	64.1%	1	50.0%	63.3%	\$62	23.4%	52.8%	2	40.0%	64.7%	\$78	29.3%	58.1%
	Upper	4	50.0%	\$391	72.5%	30.4%	1	50.0%	34.0%	\$203	76.6%	46.6%	3	60.0%	30.5%	\$188	70.7%	40.7%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Total	8	100.0%	\$539	100.0%	100.0%	2	100.0%	100.0%	\$265	100.0%	100.0%	5	100.0%	100.0%	\$266	100.0%	100.0%
MULTI FAMILY	Multi-Family Units																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	22.9%	0	0.0%	66.7%	\$0	0.0%	21.0%	0	0.0%	26.7%	\$0	0.0%	4.6%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	60.5%	0	0.0%	16.7%	\$0	0.0%	56.0%	0	0.0%	53.3%	\$0	0.0%	13.5%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	16.7%	0	0.0%	16.7%	\$0	0.0%	23.0%	0	0.0%	20.0%	\$0	0.0%	81.9%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	
HMDA TOTALS	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	5.5%	0	0.0%	3.8%	\$0	0.0%	3.3%	0	0.0%	3.0%	\$0	0.0%	1.7%
	Middle	55	50.9%	\$5,697	34.9%	64.1%	22	59.5%	60.5%	\$2,192	50.1%	49.5%	21	46.7%	60.2%	\$2,387	32.6%	47.1%
	Upper	53	49.1%	\$10,629	65.1%	30.4%	15	40.5%	35.7%	\$2,180	49.9%	47.1%	24	53.3%	36.8%	\$4,926	67.4%	51.2%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Total	108	100.0%	\$16,326	100.0%	100.0%	37	100.0%	100.0%	\$4,372	100.0%	100.0%	45	100.0%	100.0%	\$7,313	100.0%	100.0%
SMALL BUSINESSES	Small Businesses																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	1	2.4%	\$25	2.4%	13.8%	0	0.0%	18.3%	\$0	0.0%	22.6%	1	4.2%	18.7%	\$25	4.4%	31.4%
	Middle	13	31.0%	\$204	19.3%	52.4%	3	30.0%	45.7%	\$71	26.3%	44.1%	7	29.2%	42.8%	\$105	18.6%	39.3%
	Upper	28	66.7%	\$830	78.4%	33.8%	7	70.0%	33.4%	\$199	73.7%	32.5%	16	66.7%	35.4%	\$434	77.0%	28.6%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	2.5%	\$0	0.0%	0.8%	0	0.0%	3.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.8%
Total	42	100.0%	\$1,059	100.0%	100.0%	10	100.0%	100.0%	\$270	100.0%	100.0%	24	100.0%	100.0%	\$564	100.0%	100.0%	
SMALL FARM	Small Farms																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	4.8%	\$0	0.0%	0.8%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Middle	1	100.0%	\$30	100.0%	61.4%	1	100.0%	85.7%	\$30	100.0%	96.6%	0	0.0%	77.3%	\$0	0.0%	88.7%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	38.6%	0	0.0%	9.5%	\$0	0.0%	2.7%	0	0.0%	13.6%	\$0	0.0%	6.2%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	9.1%	\$0	0.0%	5.1%
Total	1	100.0%	\$30	100.0%	100.0%	1	100.0%	100.0%	\$30	100.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2016 D&B Information

Borrower Distribution of HMDA Loans & Small Business/Small Farm Lending by Revenue & Loan Size

Assessment Area: NC Hickory

PRODUCT TYPE	Borrower Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison												
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014						2015						
		Bank		Families by Family Income			Count		Dollar				Count		Dollar				
		Count	Dollar	%	%	%	#	%	%	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	#	%	%	Bank	Agg	
#	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %																\$ %
HOME PURCHASE	Low	6	11.3%	\$465	5.7%	17.8%	3	14.3%	4.9%	\$235	10.3%	2.2%	2	9.1%	5.3%	\$132	3.1%	2.6%	
	Moderate	14	26.4%	\$1,287	15.8%	17.5%	6	28.6%	19.5%	\$550	24.1%	11.5%	3	13.6%	20.4%	\$259	6.1%	12.9%	
	Middle	9	17.0%	\$1,166	14.4%	21.3%	3	14.3%	16.0%	\$390	17.1%	12.7%	5	22.7%	18.9%	\$698	16.4%	15.9%	
	Upper	20	37.7%	\$4,451	54.8%	43.3%	8	38.1%	38.1%	\$1,006	44.2%	52.8%	9	40.9%	37.2%	\$2,515	59.0%	52.3%	
	Unknown	4	7.5%	\$755	9.3%	0.0%	1	4.8%	21.5%	\$97	4.3%	20.8%	3	13.6%	18.3%	\$658	15.4%	16.3%	
	Total	53	100.0%	\$8,124	100.0%	100.0%	21	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,278	100.0%	100.0%	22	100.0%	100.0%	\$4,262	100.0%	100.0%	
REFINANCE	Low	6	12.8%	\$338	4.4%	17.8%	0	0.0%	6.5%	\$0	0.0%	3.3%	3	16.7%	4.6%	\$227	8.2%	2.2%	
	Moderate	6	12.8%	\$602	7.9%	17.5%	3	21.4%	14.7%	\$194	10.6%	9.0%	2	11.1%	14.0%	\$231	8.3%	8.5%	
	Middle	9	19.1%	\$914	11.9%	21.3%	2	14.3%	15.1%	\$97	5.3%	11.8%	5	27.8%	17.3%	\$625	22.4%	12.6%	
	Upper	21	44.7%	\$4,987	65.1%	43.3%	6	42.9%	42.7%	\$1,047	57.2%	51.9%	6	33.3%	41.5%	\$1,371	49.2%	53.7%	
	Unknown	5	10.6%	\$822	10.7%	0.0%	3	21.4%	21.0%	\$491	26.8%	24.0%	2	11.1%	22.6%	\$331	11.9%	23.0%	
	Total	47	100.0%	\$7,663	100.0%	100.0%	14	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,829	100.0%	100.0%	18	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,785	100.0%	100.0%	
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	17.8%	0	0.0%	7.3%	\$0	0.0%	4.8%	0	0.0%	5.4%	\$0	0.0%	1.4%	
	Moderate	2	25.0%	\$70	13.0%	17.5%	1	50.0%	15.3%	\$62	23.4%	8.7%	1	20.0%	16.2%	\$8	3.0%	5.8%	
	Middle	1	12.5%	\$10	1.9%	21.3%	0	0.0%	18.7%	\$0	0.0%	11.2%	1	20.0%	20.4%	\$10	3.8%	14.6%	
	Upper	5	62.5%	\$459	85.2%	43.3%	1	50.0%	48.7%	\$203	76.6%	65.5%	3	60.0%	51.5%	\$248	93.2%	58.4%	
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	10.0%	\$0	0.0%	9.7%	0	0.0%	6.6%	\$0	0.0%	19.8%	
	Total	8	100.0%	\$539	100.0%	100.0%	2	100.0%	100.0%	\$265	100.0%	100.0%	5	100.0%	100.0%	\$266	100.0%	100.0%	
MULTIFAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	17.8%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	17.5%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	21.3%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	43.3%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	
	Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	
HMDA TOTALS	Low	12	11.1%	\$803	4.9%	17.8%	3	8.1%	5.6%	\$235	5.4%	2.7%	5	11.1%	5.0%	\$359	4.9%	2.3%	
	Moderate	22	20.4%	\$1,959	12.0%	17.5%	10	27.0%	17.4%	\$806	18.4%	10.4%	6	13.3%	17.7%	\$498	6.8%	10.5%	
	Middle	19	17.6%	\$2,090	12.8%	21.3%	5	13.5%	15.7%	\$487	11.1%	12.1%	11	24.4%	18.3%	\$1,333	18.2%	13.9%	
	Upper	46	42.6%	\$9,897	60.6%	43.3%	15	40.5%	40.3%	\$2,256	51.6%	52.1%	18	40.0%	39.3%	\$4,134	56.5%	50.3%	
	Unknown	9	8.3%	\$1,577	9.7%	0.0%	4	10.8%	20.9%	\$588	13.4%	22.7%	5	11.1%	19.7%	\$989	13.5%	23.0%	
	Total	108	100.0%	\$16,326	100.0%	100.0%	37	100.0%	100.0%	\$4,372	100.0%	100.0%	45	100.0%	100.0%	\$7,313	100.0%	100.0%	
Small Business	Revenue	Total Businesses																	
		\$1 Million or Less	37	88.1%	\$808	76.3%	87.9%	8	80.0%	44.4%	\$165	61.1%	46.1%	21	87.5%	47.6%	\$418	74.1%	42.8%
		Over \$1 Million	1	2.4%	\$25	2.4%	11.2%	0	0.0%				1	4.2%					
	<i>Total Rev. available</i>	38	90.5%	\$833	78.7%	99.1%	8	80.0%				22	91.7%						
	Rev. Not Known	4	9.5%	\$226	21.3%	0.9%	2	20.0%				2	8.3%						
	Total	42	100.0%	\$1,059	100.0%	100.0%	10	100.0%	100.0%				24	100.0%	100.0%				
Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	42	100.0%	\$1,059	100.0%		10	100.0%	88.8%	\$270	100.0%	26.4%	24	100.0%	88.1%	\$564	100.0%	27.5%	
	\$100,001 - \$250,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	5.5%	\$0	0.0%	17.2%	0	0.0%	5.9%	\$0	0.0%	17.3%	
	\$250,001 - \$1 Million	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	5.7%	\$0	0.0%	56.4%	0	0.0%	6.0%	\$0	0.0%	55.2%	
	Total	42	100.0%	\$1,059	100.0%		10	100.0%	100.0%	\$270	100.0%	100.0%	24	100.0%	100.0%	\$564	100.0%	100.0%	
Small Farm	Revenue	Total Farms																	
		\$1 Million or Less	1	100.0%	\$30	100.0%	95.7%	1	100.0%	38.1%	\$30	100.0%	25.9%	0	0.0%	45.5%	\$0	0.0%	37.6%
		Over \$1 Million	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	4.3%	0	0.0%				0	0.0%					
	Not Known	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%				0	0.0%						
	Total	1	100.0%	\$30	100.0%	100.0%	1	100.0%	100.0%				0	0.0%	100.0%				
	Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	1	100.0%	\$30	100.0%		1	100.0%	90.5%	\$30	100.0%	38.6%	0	0.0%	86.4%	\$0	0.0%	45.5%
\$100,001 - \$250,000		0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	9.5%	\$0	0.0%	61.4%	0	0.0%	13.6%	\$0	0.0%	54.5%	
\$250,001 - \$500,000		0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
Total		1	100.0%	\$30	100.0%		1	100.0%	100.0%	\$30	100.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	

Originations & Purchases
Aggregate data is unavailable for loans to businesses with revenue over \$1 million or revenue unknown, and for loan size by revenue.
2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2016 D&B Information

Geographic Distribution of HMDA, Small Business, & Small Farm Loans

Assessment Area: NC Western NC

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison 1/1/2014-6/30/2016					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		Bank				Owner Occupied Units %	2014			2015								
		Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar				
		#	%	\$ (000s)	%		Bank	Agg	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %
HOME PURCHASE	Low	2	1.4%	\$91	0.5%	1.0%	1	1.6%	0.7%	\$43	0.6%	0.3%	1	2.0%	0.6%	\$48	0.8%	0.3%
	Moderate	4	2.8%	\$398	2.3%	8.2%	2	3.3%	5.8%	\$238	3.3%	4.3%	1	2.0%	4.9%	\$70	1.2%	3.3%
	Middle	114	79.7%	\$13,592	77.8%	74.5%	51	83.6%	69.5%	\$6,169	85.3%	66.6%	40	80.0%	68.2%	\$4,604	76.0%	64.9%
	Upper	23	16.1%	\$3,391	19.4%	16.3%	7	11.5%	24.1%	\$784	10.8%	28.8%	8	16.0%	26.3%	\$1,337	22.1%	31.5%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Total	143	100.0%	\$17,472	100.0%	100.0%	61	100.0%	100.0%	\$7,234	100.0%	100.0%	50	100.0%	100.0%	\$6,059	100.0%	100.0%
REFINANCE	Low	1	0.5%	\$60	0.2%	1.0%	0	0.0%	0.5%	\$0	0.0%	0.3%	0	0.0%	0.4%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%
	Moderate	13	6.2%	\$1,278	4.9%	8.2%	5	7.2%	6.8%	\$504	6.1%	4.9%	5	5.1%	4.9%	\$485	3.9%	3.8%
	Middle	154	73.0%	\$18,466	70.7%	74.5%	48	69.6%	71.1%	\$5,932	71.6%	69.8%	73	73.7%	70.3%	\$8,632	69.1%	66.7%
	Upper	43	20.4%	\$6,314	24.2%	16.3%	16	23.2%	21.5%	\$1,847	22.3%	25.0%	21	21.2%	24.4%	\$3,383	27.1%	29.2%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%
	Total	211	100.0%	\$26,118	100.0%	100.0%	69	100.0%	100.0%	\$8,283	100.0%	100.0%	99	100.0%	100.0%	\$12,500	100.0%	100.0%
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	1.0%	0	0.0%	1.9%	\$0	0.0%	1.1%	0	0.0%	1.6%	\$0	0.0%	0.6%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	8.2%	0	0.0%	5.6%	\$0	0.0%	4.2%	0	0.0%	6.5%	\$0	0.0%	3.7%
	Middle	46	80.7%	\$2,359	73.9%	74.5%	19	73.1%	67.6%	\$855	58.2%	60.9%	16	80.0%	72.9%	\$1,083	83.0%	60.4%
	Upper	11	19.3%	\$835	26.1%	16.3%	7	26.9%	24.9%	\$613	41.8%	33.8%	4	20.0%	19.0%	\$222	17.0%	35.3%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Total	57	100.0%	\$3,194	100.0%	100.0%	26	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,468	100.0%	100.0%	20	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,305	100.0%	100.0%
MULTI FAMILY	Multi-Family Units																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	3.2%	0	0.0%	5.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.5%	0	0.0%	7.4%	\$0	0.0%	0.8%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	7.9%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	14.8%	\$0	0.0%	5.4%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	50.0%	0	0.0%	55.0%	\$0	0.0%	11.9%	0	0.0%	40.7%	\$0	0.0%	37.4%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	38.9%	0	0.0%	40.0%	\$0	0.0%	87.6%	0	0.0%	37.0%	\$0	0.0%	56.3%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	
HMDA TOTALS	Low	3	0.7%	\$151	0.3%	1.0%	1	0.6%	0.7%	\$43	0.3%	0.3%	1	0.6%	0.6%	\$48	0.2%	0.3%
	Moderate	17	4.1%	\$1,676	3.6%	8.2%	7	4.5%	6.2%	\$742	4.4%	4.4%	6	3.6%	5.0%	\$555	2.8%	3.6%
	Middle	314	76.4%	\$34,417	73.6%	74.5%	118	75.6%	70.0%	\$12,956	76.3%	65.6%	129	76.3%	69.2%	\$14,319	72.1%	64.6%
	Upper	77	18.7%	\$10,540	22.5%	16.3%	30	19.2%	23.1%	\$3,244	19.1%	29.8%	33	19.5%	25.2%	\$4,942	24.9%	31.4%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Total	411	100.0%	\$46,784	100.0%	100.0%	156	100.0%	100.0%	\$16,985	100.0%	100.0%	169	100.0%	100.0%	\$19,864	100.0%	100.0%
SMALL BUSINESSES	Small Businesses																	
	Low	4	2.3%	\$126	1.7%	1.9%	1	2.0%	1.7%	\$18	0.7%	2.1%	2	3.2%	1.8%	\$93	3.2%	1.5%
	Moderate	18	10.2%	\$1,609	22.1%	9.0%	7	14.0%	7.9%	\$1,182	45.7%	8.2%	6	9.5%	8.3%	\$229	7.9%	7.4%
	Middle	125	70.6%	\$3,972	54.5%	69.6%	33	66.0%	64.5%	\$831	32.1%	68.7%	43	68.3%	63.9%	\$1,921	66.0%	63.9%
	Upper	30	16.9%	\$1,585	21.7%	19.4%	9	18.0%	20.6%	\$556	21.5%	18.8%	12	19.0%	22.0%	\$669	23.0%	25.1%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	5.4%	\$0	0.0%	2.3%	0	0.0%	3.9%	\$0	0.0%	2.0%
Total	177	100.0%	\$7,292	100.0%	100.0%	50	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,587	100.0%	100.0%	63	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,912	100.0%	100.0%	
SMALL FARM	Small Farms																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.5%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	9.3%	0	0.0%	12.9%	\$0	0.0%	6.6%	0	0.0%	12.4%	\$0	0.0%	5.6%
	Middle	3	60.0%	\$105	76.6%	78.5%	0	0.0%	75.4%	\$0	0.0%	78.5%	1	50.0%	71.9%	\$25	55.6%	82.9%
	Upper	2	40.0%	\$32	23.4%	12.2%	0	0.0%	10.5%	\$0	0.0%	14.2%	1	50.0%	13.0%	\$20	44.4%	10.5%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	1.2%	\$0	0.0%	0.6%	0	0.0%	2.2%	\$0	0.0%	0.9%
Total	5	100.0%	\$137	100.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	2	100.0%	100.0%	\$45	100.0%	100.0%	

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2016 D&B Information

Borrower Distribution of HMDA Loans & Small Business/Small Farm Lending by Revenue & Loan Size

Assessment Area: NC Western NC

PRODUCT TYPE	Borrower Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison 1/1/2014-6/30/2016					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		Bank				Families by Family Income %	2014			2015								
		Count		Dollar			Bank		Agg	Bank		Agg		Bank		Agg		
		#	%	\$(000s)	\$ %		#	%	%	\$(000s)	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$(000s)	\$ %	\$ %
HOME PURCHASE	Low	13	9.1%	\$893	5.1%	21.7%	5	8.2%	4.2%	\$344	4.8%	1.8%	6	12.0%	2.9%	\$396	6.5%	1.2%
	Moderate	39	27.3%	\$3,296	18.9%	17.3%	17	27.9%	14.7%	\$1,569	21.7%	8.6%	15	30.0%	14.6%	\$1,094	18.1%	8.3%
	Middle	37	25.9%	\$4,423	25.3%	20.5%	18	29.5%	18.8%	\$2,277	31.5%	14.3%	11	22.0%	19.6%	\$1,424	23.5%	15.0%
	Upper	54	37.8%	\$8,860	50.7%	40.5%	21	34.4%	46.5%	\$3,044	42.1%	61.0%	18	36.0%	48.0%	\$3,145	51.9%	61.7%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	15.9%	\$0	0.0%	14.2%	0	0.0%	14.8%	\$0	0.0%	13.8%
	Total	143	100.0%	\$17,472	100.0%	100.0%	61	100.0%	100.0%	\$7,234	100.0%	100.0%	50	100.0%	100.0%	\$6,059	100.0%	100.0%
REFINANCE	Low	15	7.1%	\$680	2.6%	21.7%	3	4.3%	5.0%	\$112	1.4%	2.3%	11	11.1%	4.0%	\$524	4.2%	1.7%
	Moderate	43	20.4%	\$3,252	12.5%	17.3%	16	23.2%	13.3%	\$1,368	16.5%	7.4%	16	16.2%	10.5%	\$1,020	8.2%	5.5%
	Middle	51	24.2%	\$5,356	20.5%	20.5%	24	34.8%	20.3%	\$2,314	27.9%	14.5%	20	20.2%	17.4%	\$2,213	17.7%	12.5%
	Upper	93	44.1%	\$15,408	59.0%	40.5%	21	30.4%	47.5%	\$3,805	45.9%	62.1%	48	48.5%	51.9%	\$8,005	64.0%	64.7%
	Unknown	9	4.3%	\$1,422	5.4%	0.0%	5	7.2%	14.0%	\$684	8.3%	13.6%	4	4.0%	16.3%	\$738	5.9%	15.6%
	Total	211	100.0%	\$26,118	100.0%	100.0%	69	100.0%	100.0%	\$8,283	100.0%	100.0%	99	100.0%	100.0%	\$12,500	100.0%	100.0%
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	8	14.0%	\$226	7.1%	21.7%	5	19.2%	8.1%	\$100	6.8%	3.0%	2	10.0%	5.2%	\$102	7.8%	1.4%
	Moderate	17	29.8%	\$604	18.9%	17.3%	5	19.2%	17.1%	\$270	18.4%	9.0%	10	50.0%	14.8%	\$320	24.5%	5.0%
	Middle	13	22.8%	\$714	22.4%	20.5%	7	26.9%	22.4%	\$364	24.8%	15.0%	4	20.0%	19.4%	\$252	19.3%	15.0%
	Upper	18	31.6%	\$1,645	51.5%	40.5%	8	30.8%	49.8%	\$729	49.7%	67.2%	4	20.0%	54.5%	\$631	48.4%	70.6%
	Unknown	1	1.8%	\$5	0.2%	0.0%	1	3.8%	2.5%	\$5	0.3%	5.8%	0	0.0%	6.1%	\$0	0.0%	8.1%
	Total	57	100.0%	\$3,194	100.0%	100.0%	26	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,468	100.0%	100.0%	20	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,305	100.0%	100.0%
MULTIFAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	21.7%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	17.3%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	20.5%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	40.5%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%
	Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%
HMDA TOTALS	Low	36	8.8%	\$1,799	3.8%	21.7%	13	8.3%	4.7%	\$556	3.3%	2.0%	19	11.2%	3.4%	\$1,022	5.1%	1.4%
	Moderate	99	24.1%	\$7,152	15.3%	17.3%	38	24.4%	14.2%	\$3,207	18.9%	7.8%	41	24.3%	12.9%	\$2,434	12.3%	6.8%
	Middle	101	24.6%	\$10,493	22.4%	20.5%	49	31.4%	19.5%	\$4,955	29.2%	13.9%	35	20.7%	18.6%	\$3,889	19.6%	13.4%
	Upper	165	40.1%	\$25,913	55.4%	40.5%	50	32.1%	46.9%	\$7,578	44.6%	59.2%	70	41.4%	49.7%	\$11,781	59.3%	61.1%
	Unknown	10	2.4%	\$1,427	3.1%	0.0%	6	3.8%	14.7%	\$689	4.1%	17.2%	4	2.4%	15.3%	\$738	3.7%	17.3%
	Total	411	100.0%	\$46,784	100.0%	100.0%	156	100.0%	100.0%	\$16,985	100.0%	100.0%	169	100.0%	100.0%	\$19,864	100.0%	100.0%
Small Business Revenue	Total Businesses																	
	\$1 Million or Less	138	78.0%	\$2,902	39.8%	91.2%	32	64.0%	48.0%	\$539	20.8%	45.2%	49	77.8%	52.5%	\$1,163	39.9%	49.4%
	Over \$1 Million	25	14.1%	\$3,424	47.0%	7.3%	9	18.0%					9	14.3%				
	Total Rev. available	163	92.1%	\$6,326	86.8%	98.5%	41	82.0%					58	92.1%				
	Rev. Not Known	14	7.9%	\$966	13.2%	1.5%	9	18.0%					5	7.9%				
Total	177	100.0%	\$7,292	100.0%	100.0%	50	100.0%	100.0%				63	100.0%					
Small Business Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	167	94.4%	\$4,252	58.3%		47	94.0%	91.8%	\$1,262	48.8%	36.2%	58	92.1%	91.5%	\$1,572	54.0%	37.4%
	\$100,001 - \$250,000	8	4.5%	\$1,590	21.8%		2	4.0%	4.6%	\$375	14.5%	19.6%	4	6.3%	4.6%	\$840	28.8%	18.2%
	\$250,001 - \$1 Million	2	1.1%	\$1,450	19.9%		1	2.0%	3.6%	\$950	36.7%	44.2%	1	1.6%	4.0%	\$500	17.2%	44.5%
	Total	177	100.0%	\$7,292	100.0%		50	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,587	100.0%	100.0%	63	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,912	100.0%	100.0%
	Total Farms																	
Small Farm Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	3	60.0%	\$47	34.3%	98.0%	0	0.0%	64.3%	\$0	0.0%	61.7%	1	50.0%	58.9%	\$20	44.4%	65.6%
	Over \$1 Million	2	40.0%	\$90	65.7%	1.8%	0	0.0%					1	50.0%				
	Not Known	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%				
	Total	5	100.0%	\$137	100.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%					2	100.0%				
	Total	5	100.0%	\$137	100.0%		0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	2	100.0%	100.0%	\$45	100.0%	100.0%
Small Farm Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	5	100.0%	\$137	100.0%		0	0.0%	90.1%	\$0	0.0%	43.3%	2	100.0%	88.6%	\$45	100.0%	42.5%
	\$100,001 - \$250,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	6.4%	\$0	0.0%	24.1%	0	0.0%	7.6%	\$0	0.0%	27.7%
	\$250,001 - \$500,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	3.5%	\$0	0.0%	32.6%	0	0.0%	3.8%	\$0	0.0%	29.8%
	Total	5	100.0%	\$137	100.0%		0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	2	100.0%	100.0%	\$45	100.0%	100.0%

Originations & Purchases
Aggregate data is unavailable for loans to businesses with revenue over \$1 million or revenue unknown, and for loan size by revenue.
2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2016 D&B Information

Geographic Distribution of HMDA, Small Business, & Small Farm Loans
Assessment Area: NC Raleigh

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison 1/1/2014-6/30/2016					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		Bank				Owner Occupied Units	2014			2015								
		Count		Dollar			Bank	Agg	Dollar			Bank	Agg	Dollar				
		#	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %				\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %			#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %
HOME PURCHASE	Low	5	1.9%	\$523	0.7%	2.0%	0	0.0%	1.4%	\$0	0.0%	0.9%	2	2.9%	1.6%	\$207	1.0%	1.0%
	Moderate	23	8.8%	\$3,288	4.4%	17.0%	16	11.6%	14.3%	\$2,144	5.6%	9.5%	3	4.3%	14.0%	\$681	3.3%	9.7%
	Middle	74	28.5%	\$17,555	23.7%	38.2%	32	23.2%	45.1%	\$6,476	17.0%	40.3%	23	33.3%	46.1%	\$5,374	26.2%	41.8%
	Upper	158	60.8%	\$52,796	71.2%	42.9%	90	65.2%	39.1%	\$29,504	77.4%	49.2%	41	59.4%	38.3%	\$14,228	69.4%	47.5%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>260</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$74,162</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>138</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$38,124</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>69</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$20,490</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
REFINANCE	Low	2	0.9%	\$667	1.2%	2.0%	0	0.0%	1.9%	\$0	0.0%	1.2%	0	0.0%	1.8%	\$0	0.0%	1.2%
	Moderate	23	10.3%	\$3,819	6.9%	17.0%	5	9.8%	15.6%	\$1,058	8.5%	18.0%	12	11.3%	13.7%	\$1,802	6.4%	9.1%
	Middle	75	33.5%	\$15,305	27.6%	38.2%	15	29.4%	40.4%	\$2,671	21.4%	35.7%	33	31.1%	39.6%	\$6,754	24.1%	34.9%
	Upper	124	55.4%	\$35,708	64.3%	42.9%	31	60.8%	42.1%	\$8,776	70.2%	45.1%	61	57.5%	44.8%	\$19,490	69.5%	54.8%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>224</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$55,499</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>51</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$12,505</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>106</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$28,046</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	2.0%	0	0.0%	2.6%	\$0	0.0%	2.2%	0	0.0%	3.0%	\$0	0.0%	1.9%
	Moderate	1	33.3%	\$78	19.3%	17.0%	1	33.3%	15.1%	\$78	19.3%	9.9%	0	0.0%	14.6%	\$0	0.0%	11.0%
	Middle	1	33.3%	\$324	80.2%	38.2%	1	33.3%	39.0%	\$324	80.2%	32.3%	0	0.0%	38.2%	\$0	0.0%	28.3%
	Upper	1	33.3%	\$2	0.5%	42.9%	1	33.3%	43.3%	\$2	0.5%	55.6%	0	0.0%	44.2%	\$0	0.0%	58.8%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$404</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$404</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
MULTI FAMILY	Multi-Family Units																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	11.1%	0	0.0%	9.6%	\$0	0.0%	2.7%	0	0.0%	12.5%	\$0	0.0%	7.7%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	25.3%	0	0.0%	32.7%	\$0	0.0%	22.3%	0	0.0%	30.4%	\$0	0.0%	25.4%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	30.0%	0	0.0%	25.0%	\$0	0.0%	14.8%	0	0.0%	35.7%	\$0	0.0%	41.3%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	33.5%	0	0.0%	32.7%	\$0	0.0%	60.2%	0	0.0%	21.4%	\$0	0.0%	25.6%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	
HMDA TOTALS	Low	7	1.4%	\$1,190	0.9%	2.0%	0	0.0%	1.6%	\$0	0.0%	1.1%	2	1.1%	1.7%	\$207	0.4%	1.4%
	Moderate	47	9.7%	\$7,185	5.5%	17.0%	22	11.5%	14.8%	\$3,280	6.4%	12.8%	15	8.6%	14.0%	\$2,483	5.1%	10.3%
	Middle	150	30.8%	\$33,184	25.5%	38.2%	48	25.0%	43.4%	\$9,471	18.6%	37.5%	56	32.0%	43.4%	\$12,128	25.0%	39.2%
	Upper	283	58.1%	\$88,506	68.0%	42.9%	122	63.5%	40.2%	\$38,282	75.0%	48.6%	102	58.3%	40.9%	\$33,718	69.5%	49.1%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>487</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$130,065</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>192</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$51,033</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>175</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$48,536</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
SMALL BUSINESSES	Small Businesses																	
	Low	9	4.6%	\$2,702	8.4%	3.6%	3	3.5%	3.4%	\$1,100	8.0%	4.1%	4	5.4%	3.4%	\$429	3.7%	4.1%
	Moderate	24	12.2%	\$2,036	6.3%	16.2%	8	9.4%	15.2%	\$238	1.7%	16.8%	8	10.8%	15.4%	\$828	7.2%	16.2%
	Middle	62	31.5%	\$8,819	27.4%	33.9%	32	37.6%	34.1%	\$4,506	32.6%	33.1%	17	23.0%	34.2%	\$2,413	21.0%	31.5%
	Upper	102	51.8%	\$18,645	57.9%	46.2%	42	49.4%	45.7%	\$7,984	57.7%	44.8%	45	60.8%	45.7%	\$7,821	68.1%	47.4%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%	0	0.0%	0.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.4%	0	0.0%	0.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	1.5%	\$0	0.0%	0.8%	0	0.0%	1.2%	\$0	0.0%	0.7%
<i>Total</i>	<i>197</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$32,202</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>85</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$13,828</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>74</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$11,491</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	
SMALL FARM	Small Farms																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	1.5%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	1.3%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	12.2%	0	0.0%	7.3%	\$0	0.0%	6.6%	0	0.0%	8.8%	\$0	0.0%	11.9%
	Middle	1	100.0%	\$130	100.0%	48.9%	1	100.0%	39.0%	\$130	100.0%	34.7%	0	0.0%	47.5%	\$0	0.0%	45.5%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	37.4%	0	0.0%	53.7%	\$0	0.0%	58.7%	0	0.0%	41.3%	\$0	0.0%	42.3%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	1.3%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%
<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$130</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$130</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	

Borrower Distribution of HMDA Loans & Small Business/Small Farm Lending by Revenue & Loan Size

Assessment Area: NC Raleigh

PRODUCT TYPE	Borrower Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison 1/1/2014-6/30/2016					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison												
		Bank		Families by Family Income			2014			2015									
		Count		Dollar		%	Bank		Dollar			Bank		Agg		Bank		Agg	
		#	%	\$(000s)	\$ %		#	%	%	\$(000s)	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$(000s)	\$ %	\$ %	
HOME PURCHASE	Low	19	7.3%	\$2,546	3.4%	19.5%	12	8.7%	6.4%	\$1,545	4.1%	3.1%	3	4.3%	6.8%	\$410	2.0%	3.4%	
	Moderate	28	10.8%	\$5,365	7.2%	15.8%	18	13.0%	16.5%	\$3,410	8.9%	11.2%	5	7.2%	17.2%	\$887	4.3%	12.0%	
	Middle	26	10.0%	\$6,045	8.2%	19.6%	11	8.0%	19.7%	\$2,383	6.3%	17.7%	7	10.1%	20.3%	\$1,743	8.5%	18.5%	
	Upper	105	40.4%	\$34,494	46.5%	45.1%	46	33.3%	40.8%	\$13,722	36.0%	52.6%	25	36.2%	40.7%	\$9,724	47.5%	52.2%	
	Unknown	82	31.5%	\$25,712	34.7%	0.0%	51	37.0%	16.6%	\$17,064	44.8%	15.4%	29	42.0%	15.0%	\$7,726	37.7%	13.9%	
	Total	260	100.0%	\$74,162	100.0%	100.0%	138	100.0%	100.0%	\$38,124	100.0%	100.0%	69	100.0%	100.0%	\$20,490	100.0%	100.0%	
REFINANCE	Low	9	4.0%	\$1,045	1.9%	19.5%	2	3.9%	6.3%	\$224	1.8%	2.8%	4	3.8%	4.9%	\$544	1.9%	2.5%	
	Moderate	24	10.7%	\$4,307	7.8%	15.8%	4	7.8%	13.5%	\$668	5.3%	7.9%	10	9.4%	12.8%	\$1,949	6.9%	8.6%	
	Middle	33	14.7%	\$6,510	11.7%	19.6%	11	21.6%	17.7%	\$2,041	16.3%	12.9%	11	10.4%	17.4%	\$2,221	7.9%	14.9%	
	Upper	105	46.9%	\$30,171	54.4%	45.1%	19	37.3%	41.5%	\$5,802	46.4%	44.0%	43	40.6%	41.7%	\$13,636	48.6%	52.1%	
	Unknown	53	23.7%	\$13,466	24.3%	0.0%	15	29.4%	21.0%	\$3,770	30.1%	32.4%	38	35.8%	23.1%	\$9,696	34.6%	21.9%	
	Total	224	100.0%	\$55,499	100.0%	100.0%	51	100.0%	100.0%	\$12,505	100.0%	100.0%	106	100.0%	100.0%	\$28,046	100.0%	100.0%	
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	1	33.3%	\$78	19.3%	19.5%	1	33.3%	6.5%	\$78	19.3%	2.4%	0	0.0%	6.8%	\$0	0.0%	3.1%	
	Moderate	1	33.3%	\$2	0.5%	15.8%	1	33.3%	15.4%	\$2	0.5%	9.1%	0	0.0%	14.5%	\$0	0.0%	8.5%	
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	19.6%	0	0.0%	21.2%	\$0	0.0%	20.2%	0	0.0%	20.4%	\$0	0.0%	16.6%	
	Upper	1	33.3%	\$324	80.2%	45.1%	1	33.3%	42.1%	\$324	80.2%	57.5%	0	0.0%	51.3%	\$0	0.0%	64.2%	
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	14.7%	\$0	0.0%	10.8%	0	0.0%	7.1%	\$0	0.0%	7.7%	
	Total	3	100.0%	\$404	100.0%	100.0%	3	100.0%	100.0%	\$404	100.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	
MULTIFAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	19.5%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	15.8%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	19.6%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	45.1%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	
	Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	
HMDA TOTALS	Low	29	6.0%	\$3,669	2.8%	19.5%	15	7.8%	6.4%	\$1,847	3.6%	2.9%	7	4.0%	6.1%	\$954	2.0%	2.9%	
	Moderate	53	10.9%	\$9,674	7.4%	15.8%	23	12.0%	15.5%	\$4,080	8.0%	9.6%	15	8.6%	15.4%	\$2,836	5.8%	10.2%	
	Middle	59	12.1%	\$12,555	9.7%	19.6%	22	11.5%	19.1%	\$4,424	8.7%	15.3%	18	10.3%	19.2%	\$3,964	8.2%	16.3%	
	Upper	211	43.3%	\$64,989	50.0%	45.1%	66	34.4%	41.0%	\$19,848	38.9%	47.3%	68	38.9%	41.3%	\$23,360	48.1%	49.7%	
	Unknown	135	27.7%	\$39,178	30.1%	0.0%	66	34.4%	18.1%	\$20,834	40.8%	24.9%	67	38.3%	18.1%	\$17,422	35.9%	21.0%	
	Total	487	100.0%	\$130,065	100.0%	100.0%	192	100.0%	100.0%	\$51,033	100.0%	100.0%	175	100.0%	100.0%	\$48,536	100.0%	100.0%	
Small Business Revenue	Total Businesses																		
	\$1 Million or Less	92	46.7%	\$6,159	19.1%	91.2%	36	42.4%	48.8%	\$2,500	18.1%	36.6%	34	45.9%	53.4%	\$1,858	16.2%	39.9%	
	Over \$1 Million	74	37.6%	\$21,303	66.2%	7.9%	34	40.0%				29	39.2%						
	Total Rev. available	166	84.3%	\$27,462	85.3%	99.1%	70	82.4%				63	85.1%						
	Rev. Not Known	31	15.7%	\$4,740	14.7%	0.9%	15	17.6%				11	14.9%						
	Total	197	100.0%	\$32,202	100.0%	100.0%	85	100.0%				74	100.0%						
Small Business Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	128	65.0%	\$4,199	13.0%		53	62.4%	91.8%	\$1,777	12.9%	31.7%	50	67.6%	91.5%	\$1,806	15.7%	32.2%	
	\$100,001 - \$250,000	24	12.2%	\$4,628	14.4%		12	14.1%	3.8%	\$2,244	16.2%	15.4%	8	10.8%	3.9%	\$1,665	14.5%	15.0%	
	\$250,001 - \$1 Million	45	22.8%	\$23,375	72.6%		20	23.5%	4.4%	\$9,807	70.9%	52.9%	16	21.6%	4.6%	\$8,020	69.8%	52.8%	
	Total	197	100.0%	\$32,202	100.0%		85	100.0%	100.0%	\$13,828	100.0%	100.0%	74	100.0%	100.0%	\$11,491	100.0%	100.0%	
	Total Farms																		
	\$1 Million or Less	1	100.0%	\$130	100.0%	98.5%	1	100.0%	48.8%	\$130	100.0%	51.3%	0	0.0%	58.8%	\$0	0.0%	74.9%	
Over \$1 Million	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	1.5%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%						
Not Known	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%						
Total	1	100.0%	\$130	100.0%	100.0%	1	100.0%					0	0.0%						
Small Farm Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	74.4%	\$0	0.0%	23.2%	0	0.0%	83.8%	\$0	0.0%	32.1%	
	\$100,001 - \$250,000	1	100.0%	\$130	100.0%		1	100.0%	20.7%	\$130	100.0%	51.0%	0	0.0%	12.5%	\$0	0.0%	41.7%	
	\$250,001 - \$500,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	4.9%	\$0	0.0%	25.8%	0	0.0%	3.8%	\$0	0.0%	26.2%	
	Total	1	100.0%	\$130	100.0%		1	100.0%	100.0%	\$130	100.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	

Originations & Purchases
Aggregate data is unavailable for loans to businesses with revenue over \$1 million or revenue unknown, and for loan size by revenue.
2016 FIEEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2016 D&B Information

Geographic Distribution of HMDA, Small Business, & Small Farm Loans

Assessment Area: OH Dayton

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison 1/1/2014-6/30/2016					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		Bank				Owner Occupied Units	2014			2015								
		Count		Dollar			Bank	Agg	Dollar	Count			Dollar					
		#	%	\$ (000s)	%	%				\$ (000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	%	%
HOME PURCHASE	Low	29	2.3%	\$1,950	1.3%	4.5%	8	1.8%	1.6%	\$621	1.1%	0.9%	14	2.6%	1.6%	\$915	1.4%	1.0%
	Moderate	223	17.8%	\$16,404	10.6%	17.6%	42	9.7%	12.0%	\$2,722	4.8%	7.2%	116	21.3%	12.1%	\$8,539	13.1%	7.4%
	Middle	594	47.5%	\$60,677	39.3%	44.2%	210	48.5%	45.8%	\$21,792	38.5%	38.5%	256	47.1%	44.7%	\$25,815	39.7%	37.3%
	Upper	404	32.3%	\$75,231	48.8%	33.7%	173	40.0%	40.6%	\$31,437	55.6%	53.4%	158	29.0%	41.6%	\$29,794	45.8%	54.3%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Total	1,250	100.0%	\$154,262	100.0%	100.0%	433	100.0%	100.0%	\$56,572	100.0%	100.0%	544	100.0%	100.0%	\$65,063	100.0%	100.0%
REFINANCE	Low	55	3.5%	\$2,916	1.9%	4.5%	15	2.6%	2.2%	\$747	1.4%	1.3%	20	2.8%	1.8%	\$1,007	1.5%	1.0%
	Moderate	294	18.4%	\$18,495	12.2%	17.6%	113	19.8%	14.4%	\$7,086	13.4%	9.3%	136	18.9%	11.8%	\$8,800	12.8%	7.8%
	Middle	750	47.1%	\$62,519	41.4%	44.2%	265	46.5%	44.9%	\$22,397	42.5%	39.9%	337	46.8%	43.8%	\$27,730	40.5%	37.4%
	Upper	495	31.1%	\$67,150	44.4%	33.7%	177	31.1%	38.4%	\$22,519	42.7%	49.6%	227	31.5%	42.6%	\$31,006	45.2%	53.9%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Total	1,594	100.0%	\$151,080	100.0%	100.0%	570	100.0%	100.0%	\$52,749	100.0%	100.0%	720	100.0%	100.0%	\$68,543	100.0%	100.0%
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	5	3.2%	\$109	1.6%	4.5%	2	4.4%	2.4%	\$50	2.5%	1.1%	2	2.5%	3.3%	\$20	0.5%	1.3%
	Moderate	34	21.9%	\$1,060	15.3%	17.6%	11	24.4%	14.1%	\$218	11.1%	6.6%	16	20.3%	12.9%	\$495	13.0%	6.7%
	Middle	85	54.8%	\$3,266	47.0%	44.2%	23	51.1%	48.0%	\$803	40.9%	41.0%	45	57.0%	46.1%	\$1,892	49.8%	42.7%
	Upper	31	20.0%	\$2,513	36.2%	33.7%	9	20.0%	35.6%	\$892	45.4%	51.3%	16	20.3%	37.7%	\$1,395	36.7%	49.3%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Total	155	100.0%	\$6,948	100.0%	100.0%	45	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,963	100.0%	100.0%	79	100.0%	100.0%	\$3,802	100.0%	100.0%
MULTI FAMILY	Multi-Family Units																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	11.4%	0	0.0%	6.8%	\$0	0.0%	1.8%	0	0.0%	6.5%	\$0	0.0%	2.7%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	26.6%	0	0.0%	16.9%	\$0	0.0%	17.9%	0	0.0%	23.9%	\$0	0.0%	26.6%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	42.0%	0	0.0%	62.7%	\$0	0.0%	60.2%	0	0.0%	45.7%	\$0	0.0%	35.0%
	Upper	1	100.0%	\$2,700	100.0%	20.0%	0	0.0%	13.6%	\$0	0.0%	20.1%	1	100.0%	23.9%	\$2,700	100.0%	35.7%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	1	100.0%	\$2,700	100.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	1	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,700	100.0%	100.0%	
HMDA TOTALS	Low	89	3.0%	\$4,975	1.6%	4.5%	25	2.4%	1.9%	\$1,418	1.3%	1.1%	36	2.7%	1.8%	\$1,942	1.4%	1.2%
	Moderate	551	18.4%	\$35,959	11.4%	17.6%	166	15.8%	13.0%	\$10,026	9.0%	8.4%	268	19.9%	12.1%	\$17,834	12.7%	9.2%
	Middle	1,429	47.6%	\$126,462	40.1%	44.2%	498	47.5%	45.6%	\$44,992	40.4%	40.1%	638	47.5%	44.4%	\$55,437	39.6%	37.2%
	Upper	931	31.0%	\$147,594	46.9%	33.7%	359	34.3%	39.5%	\$54,848	49.3%	50.3%	402	29.9%	41.7%	\$64,895	46.3%	52.4%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Total	3,000	100.0%	\$314,990	100.0%	100.0%	1,048	100.0%	100.0%	\$111,284	100.0%	100.0%	1,344	100.0%	100.0%	\$140,108	100.0%	100.0%
SMALL BUSINESSES	Small Businesses																	
	Low	63	6.5%	\$12,441	7.7%	6.7%	23	5.6%	6.3%	\$4,573	6.7%	9.6%	27	7.8%	6.1%	\$6,154	10.0%	8.8%
	Moderate	188	19.3%	\$47,702	29.4%	17.5%	78	19.1%	17.2%	\$18,100	26.6%	22.8%	64	18.5%	16.7%	\$17,964	29.1%	22.3%
	Middle	408	41.9%	\$62,035	38.2%	41.8%	180	44.1%	38.6%	\$27,747	40.8%	36.0%	156	45.1%	39.4%	\$25,449	41.2%	36.6%
	Upper	315	32.3%	\$40,163	24.7%	34.0%	127	31.1%	36.6%	\$17,537	25.8%	31.2%	99	28.6%	36.8%	\$12,249	19.8%	32.1%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	1.3%	\$0	0.0%	0.3%	0	0.0%	1.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%
Total	974	100.0%	\$162,341	100.0%	100.0%	408	100.0%	100.0%	\$67,957	100.0%	100.0%	346	100.0%	100.0%	\$61,816	100.0%	100.0%	
SMALL FARM	Small Farms																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.8%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.3%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	5.6%	0	0.0%	4.8%	\$0	0.0%	6.7%	0	0.0%	3.7%	\$0	0.0%	2.1%
	Middle	11	57.9%	\$726	48.2%	57.9%	2	40.0%	49.5%	\$185	34.0%	47.2%	5	55.6%	49.2%	\$183	32.5%	46.4%
	Upper	8	42.1%	\$779	51.8%	35.7%	3	60.0%	45.6%	\$359	66.0%	46.1%	4	44.4%	46.5%	\$380	67.5%	51.5%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.3%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	19	100.0%	\$1,505	100.0%	100.0%	5	100.0%	100.0%	\$544	100.0%	100.0%	9	100.0%	100.0%	\$563	100.0%	100.0%	

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2016 D&B Information

Borrower Distribution of HMDA Loans & Small Business/Small Farm Lending by Revenue & Loan Size

Assessment Area: OH Dayton

PRODUCT TYPE	Borrower Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison												
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014						2015						
		Bank		Families by Family Income			Count		Dollar				Count		Dollar				
		Count	Dollar		%	%	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	%	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	%			
	#	%	\$(000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$(000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$(000s)	%	%		
HOME PURCHASE	Low	218	17.4%	\$13,728	8.9%	20.8%	48	11.1%	8.3%	\$3,042	5.4%	4.1%	113	20.8%	7.9%	\$7,160	11.0%	3.8%	
	Moderate	313	25.0%	\$25,858	16.8%	18.1%	108	24.9%	20.8%	\$9,126	16.1%	14.1%	132	24.3%	19.1%	\$10,448	16.1%	13.2%	
	Middle	265	21.2%	\$30,284	19.6%	21.0%	93	21.5%	22.2%	\$10,600	18.7%	20.5%	122	22.4%	21.7%	\$14,350	22.1%	19.9%	
	Upper	412	33.0%	\$79,389	51.5%	40.2%	166	38.3%	33.5%	\$31,795	56.2%	47.3%	164	30.1%	33.1%	\$31,400	48.3%	46.6%	
	Unknown	42	3.4%	\$5,003	3.2%	0.0%	18	4.2%	15.2%	\$2,009	3.6%	14.0%	13	2.4%	18.1%	\$1,705	2.6%	16.5%	
	Total	1,250	100.0%	\$154,262	100.0%	100.0%	433	100.0%	100.0%	\$56,572	100.0%	100.0%	544	100.0%	100.0%	\$65,063	100.0%	100.0%	
REFINANCE	Low	275	17.3%	\$16,676	11.0%	20.8%	95	16.7%	7.9%	\$5,703	10.8%	4.8%	124	17.2%	6.8%	\$7,633	11.1%	3.5%	
	Moderate	331	20.8%	\$23,368	15.5%	18.1%	125	21.9%	15.8%	\$8,806	16.7%	11.1%	150	20.8%	12.7%	\$10,744	15.7%	8.3%	
	Middle	367	23.0%	\$32,533	21.5%	21.0%	123	21.6%	20.2%	\$10,551	20.0%	17.3%	173	24.0%	18.3%	\$15,818	23.1%	14.7%	
	Upper	550	34.5%	\$70,365	46.6%	40.2%	199	34.9%	36.8%	\$24,267	46.0%	46.2%	244	33.9%	36.2%	\$31,294	45.7%	44.7%	
	Unknown	71	4.5%	\$8,138	5.4%	0.0%	28	4.9%	19.3%	\$3,422	6.5%	20.6%	29	4.0%	26.0%	\$3,054	4.5%	28.7%	
	Total	1,594	100.0%	\$151,080	100.0%	100.0%	570	100.0%	100.0%	\$52,749	100.0%	100.0%	720	100.0%	100.0%	\$68,543	100.0%	100.0%	
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	38	24.5%	\$941	13.5%	20.8%	12	26.7%	6.9%	\$294	15.0%	3.9%	20	25.3%	9.4%	\$537	14.1%	3.2%	
	Moderate	45	29.0%	\$1,388	20.0%	18.1%	14	31.1%	17.5%	\$357	18.2%	9.5%	25	31.6%	17.0%	\$888	23.4%	8.1%	
	Middle	27	17.4%	\$1,317	19.0%	21.0%	8	17.8%	20.4%	\$263	13.4%	16.7%	14	17.7%	22.8%	\$889	23.4%	19.6%	
	Upper	45	29.0%	\$3,302	47.5%	40.2%	11	24.4%	41.1%	\$1,049	53.4%	59.7%	20	25.3%	46.2%	\$1,488	39.1%	63.5%	
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	14.1%	\$0	0.0%	10.2%	0	0.0%	4.5%	\$0	0.0%	5.6%	
	Total	155	100.0%	\$6,948	100.0%	100.0%	45	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,963	100.0%	100.0%	79	100.0%	100.0%	\$3,802	100.0%	100.0%	
MULTIFAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	20.8%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	18.1%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	21.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	40.2%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Unknown	1	100.0%	\$2,700	100.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	1	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,700	100.0%	100.0%	
	Total	1	100.0%	\$2,700	100.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	1	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,700	100.0%	100.0%	
HMDA TOTALS	Low	531	17.7%	\$31,345	10.0%	20.8%	155	14.8%	8.1%	\$9,039	8.1%	4.1%	257	19.1%	7.5%	\$15,330	10.9%	3.4%	
	Moderate	689	23.0%	\$50,614	16.1%	18.1%	247	23.6%	18.7%	\$18,289	16.4%	12.3%	307	22.8%	16.4%	\$22,080	15.8%	10.2%	
	Middle	659	22.0%	\$64,134	20.4%	21.0%	224	21.4%	21.3%	\$21,414	19.2%	18.3%	309	23.0%	20.4%	\$31,057	22.2%	16.3%	
	Upper	1,007	33.6%	\$153,056	48.6%	40.2%	376	35.9%	35.0%	\$57,111	51.3%	44.7%	428	31.8%	34.8%	\$64,182	45.8%	42.0%	
	Unknown	114	3.8%	\$15,841	5.0%	0.0%	46	4.4%	16.9%	\$5,431	4.9%	20.6%	43	3.2%	20.9%	\$7,459	5.3%	28.1%	
	Total	3,000	100.0%	\$314,990	100.0%	100.0%	1,048	100.0%	100.0%	\$111,284	100.0%	100.0%	1,344	100.0%	100.0%	\$140,108	100.0%	100.0%	
Small Business	Total Businesses																		
	Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	463	47.5%	\$21,509	13.2%	89.0%	176	43.1%	41.8%	\$8,862	13.0%	27.5%	161	46.5%	45.1%	\$7,179	11.6%	29.1%
		Over \$1 Million	338	34.7%	\$121,259	74.7%	10.1%	136	33.3%				132	38.2%					
		Total Rev. available	801	82.2%	\$142,768	87.9%	99.1%	312	76.4%				293	84.7%					
		Rev. Not Known	173	17.8%	\$19,573	12.1%	0.9%	96	23.5%				53	15.3%					
		Total	974	100.0%	\$162,341	100.0%	100.0%	408	100.0%				346	100.0%					
	Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	644	66.1%	\$21,861	13.5%		265	65.0%	88.3%	\$8,885	13.1%	25.9%	226	65.3%	89.4%	\$8,279	13.4%	27.6%
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	135	13.9%	\$24,734	15.2%		62	15.2%	6.0%	\$11,254	16.6%	18.8%	46	13.3%	5.4%	\$8,523	13.8%	17.8%
		\$250,001 - \$1 Million	195	20.0%	\$115,746	71.3%		81	19.9%	5.8%	\$47,818	70.4%	55.3%	74	21.4%	5.3%	\$45,014	72.8%	54.6%
		Total	974	100.0%	\$162,341	100.0%	100.0%	408	100.0%	100.0%	\$67,957	100.0%	100.0%	346	100.0%	100.0%	\$61,816	100.0%	100.0%
Small Farm	Total Farms																		
	Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	13	68.4%	\$382	25.4%	97.8%	3	60.0%	60.4%	\$204	37.5%	58.9%	6	66.7%	55.4%	\$80	14.2%	56.6%
		Over \$1 Million	1	5.3%	\$300	19.9%	2.3%	0	0.0%				1	11.1%					
		Not Known	5	26.3%	\$823	54.7%	0.0%	2	40.0%				2	22.2%					
		Total	19	100.0%	\$1,505	100.0%	100.0%	5	100.0%				9	100.0%					
	Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	14	73.7%	\$308	20.5%		3	60.0%	69.7%	\$90	16.5%	20.3%	7	77.8%	71.1%	\$120	21.3%	19.3%
		\$100,001 - \$250,000	2	10.5%	\$297	19.7%		1	20.0%	18.0%	\$154	28.3%	32.5%	1	11.1%	15.7%	\$143	25.4%	27.8%
		\$250,001 - \$500,000	3	15.8%	\$900	59.8%		1	20.0%	12.3%	\$300	55.1%	47.2%	1	11.1%	13.2%	\$300	53.3%	52.9%
		Total	19	100.0%	\$1,505	100.0%	100.0%	5	100.0%	100.0%	\$544	100.0%	100.0%	9	100.0%	100.0%	\$563	100.0%	100.0%

Originations & Purchases
Aggregate data is unavailable for loans to businesses with revenue over \$1 million or revenue unknown, and for loan size by revenue.
2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2016 D&B Information

Geographic Distribution of HMDA, Small Business, & Small Farm Loans
Assessment Area: OH Lima

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison 1/1/2014-6/30/2016					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		Bank				Owner Occupied Units	2014			2015								
		Count		Dollar			Bank	Agg	Dollar			Count		Dollar				
		#	%	\$ (000s)	%	%			%	\$ (000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	%	%
HOME PURCHASE	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	5.6%	0	0.0%	3.1%	\$0	0.0%	1.4%	0	0.0%	1.5%	\$0	0.0%	0.7%
	Moderate	3	6.7%	\$175	3.3%	10.4%	2	7.4%	6.9%	\$107	3.4%	4.2%	1	8.3%	6.4%	\$68	4.3%	3.6%
	Middle	22	48.9%	\$2,388	44.8%	51.3%	14	51.9%	50.3%	\$1,568	50.0%	45.4%	3	25.0%	50.9%	\$387	24.2%	45.5%
	Upper	20	44.4%	\$2,762	51.9%	32.7%	11	40.7%	39.7%	\$1,464	46.6%	49.0%	8	66.7%	41.3%	\$1,143	71.5%	50.2%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>45</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$5,325</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>27</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$3,139</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>12</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$1,598</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
REFINANCE	Low	8	10.4%	\$343	5.8%	5.6%	4	10.0%	3.5%	\$170	7.1%	1.9%	4	13.3%	3.4%	\$173	6.2%	1.7%
	Moderate	11	14.3%	\$489	8.2%	10.4%	6	15.0%	6.9%	\$212	8.9%	3.8%	3	10.0%	8.3%	\$178	6.3%	4.4%
	Middle	35	45.5%	\$2,810	47.3%	51.3%	17	42.5%	50.6%	\$971	40.6%	46.9%	15	50.0%	51.6%	\$1,493	53.1%	50.8%
	Upper	23	29.9%	\$2,300	38.7%	32.7%	13	32.5%	39.0%	\$1,041	43.5%	47.4%	8	26.7%	36.7%	\$968	34.4%	43.2%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>77</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$5,942</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>40</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$2,394</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>30</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$2,812</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	1	14.3%	\$10	2.2%	5.6%	1	25.0%	2.5%	\$10	5.0%	2.4%	0	0.0%	2.6%	\$0	0.0%	1.1%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	10.4%	0	0.0%	6.3%	\$0	0.0%	5.7%	0	0.0%	7.0%	\$0	0.0%	1.7%
	Middle	5	71.4%	\$396	85.3%	51.3%	2	50.0%	46.3%	\$132	66.0%	46.0%	2	100.0%	62.6%	\$53	100.0%	65.2%
	Upper	1	14.3%	\$58	12.5%	32.7%	1	25.0%	45.0%	\$58	29.0%	45.8%	0	0.0%	27.8%	\$0	0.0%	32.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$464</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$200</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$53</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
MULTI FAMILY	Multi-Family Units																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	13.4%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	25.0%	\$0	0.0%	16.9%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	21.2%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	54.9%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	75.0%	\$0	0.0%	83.1%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	10.4%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	
HMDA TOTALS	Low	9	7.0%	\$353	3.0%	5.6%	5	7.0%	3.2%	\$180	3.1%	1.5%	4	9.1%	2.3%	\$173	3.9%	1.3%
	Moderate	14	10.9%	\$664	5.7%	10.4%	8	11.3%	6.9%	\$319	5.6%	4.0%	4	9.1%	7.1%	\$246	5.5%	3.8%
	Middle	62	48.1%	\$5,594	47.7%	51.3%	33	46.5%	50.3%	\$2,671	46.6%	46.8%	20	45.5%	51.9%	\$1,933	43.3%	48.5%
	Upper	44	34.1%	\$5,120	43.6%	32.7%	25	35.2%	39.6%	\$2,563	44.7%	47.6%	16	36.4%	38.8%	\$2,111	47.3%	46.4%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>129</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$11,731</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>71</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$5,733</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>44</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$4,463</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
SMALL BUSINESSES	Small Businesses																	
	Low	12	27.3%	\$913	31.0%	14.2%	1	8.3%	13.0%	\$25	2.6%	17.4%	6	26.1%	14.2%	\$439	31.9%	24.0%
	Moderate	6	13.6%	\$195	6.6%	10.4%	2	16.7%	9.7%	\$57	6.0%	10.3%	3	13.0%	6.3%	\$88	6.4%	6.6%
	Middle	13	29.5%	\$876	29.7%	48.4%	4	33.3%	45.0%	\$228	24.1%	40.9%	7	30.4%	48.5%	\$528	38.4%	44.1%
	Upper	13	29.5%	\$964	32.7%	27.0%	5	41.7%	30.9%	\$635	67.2%	31.1%	7	30.4%	30.1%	\$320	23.3%	25.1%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	1.4%	\$0	0.0%	0.3%	0	0.0%	0.9%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%
<i>Total</i>	<i>44</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$2,948</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>12</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$945</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>23</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$1,375</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	
SMALL FARM	Small Farms																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	1.5%	\$0	0.0%	1.3%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.4%	0	0.0%	0.8%	\$0	0.0%	0.7%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Middle	1	100.0%	\$12	100.0%	83.2%	0	0.0%	80.8%	\$0	0.0%	79.0%	1	100.0%	87.2%	\$12	100.0%	86.0%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	16.4%	0	0.0%	16.2%	\$0	0.0%	17.6%	0	0.0%	12.8%	\$0	0.0%	14.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	0.8%	\$0	0.0%	1.4%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$12</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$12</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2016 D&B Information

Borrower Distribution of HMDA Loans & Small Business/Small Farm Lending by Revenue & Loan Size

Assessment Area: OHLima

PRODUCT TYPE	Borrower Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison												
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014					2015							
		Bank		Families by Family Income			Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar					
		Count	Dollar	%	%	%	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg			
#	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %			
HOME PURCHASE	Low	3	6.7%	\$173	3.2%	21.5%	0	0.0%	9.9%	\$0	0.0%	5.4%	1	8.3%	8.2%	\$69	4.3%	4.6%	
	Moderate	14	31.1%	\$1,544	29.0%	17.5%	7	25.9%	22.3%	\$691	22.0%	16.3%	4	33.3%	19.9%	\$491	30.7%	14.0%	
	Middle	15	33.3%	\$1,548	29.1%	22.4%	12	44.4%	25.3%	\$1,110	35.4%	24.2%	3	25.0%	22.9%	\$438	27.4%	22.8%	
	Upper	13	28.9%	\$2,060	38.7%	38.7%	8	29.6%	31.1%	\$1,338	42.6%	43.4%	4	33.3%	30.8%	\$600	37.5%	42.9%	
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	11.5%	\$0	0.0%	10.6%	0	0.0%	18.1%	\$0	0.0%	15.7%	
	Total	45	100.0%	\$5,325	100.0%	100.0%	27	100.0%	100.0%	\$3,139	100.0%	100.0%	12	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,598	100.0%	100.0%	
REFINANCE	Low	11	14.3%	\$514	8.7%	21.5%	6	15.0%	8.1%	\$251	10.5%	4.2%	5	16.7%	6.4%	\$263	9.4%	3.1%	
	Moderate	17	22.1%	\$815	13.7%	17.5%	12	30.0%	20.0%	\$573	23.9%	15.0%	5	16.7%	19.2%	\$242	8.6%	12.4%	
	Middle	21	27.3%	\$1,153	19.4%	22.4%	8	20.0%	24.8%	\$439	18.3%	21.2%	10	33.3%	22.8%	\$525	18.7%	18.0%	
	Upper	26	33.8%	\$3,006	50.6%	38.7%	13	32.5%	35.6%	\$1,035	43.2%	46.8%	9	30.0%	35.2%	\$1,424	50.6%	46.9%	
	Unknown	2	2.6%	\$454	7.6%	0.0%	1	2.5%	11.5%	\$96	4.0%	12.7%	1	3.3%	16.4%	\$358	12.7%	19.6%	
	Total	77	100.0%	\$5,942	100.0%	100.0%	40	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,394	100.0%	100.0%	30	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,812	100.0%	100.0%	
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	3	42.9%	\$83	17.9%	21.5%	2	50.0%	8.8%	\$38	19.0%	4.1%	1	50.0%	6.1%	\$45	84.9%	3.0%	
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	17.5%	0	0.0%	21.3%	\$0	0.0%	19.0%	0	0.0%	17.4%	\$0	0.0%	8.4%	
	Middle	1	14.3%	\$8	1.7%	22.4%	0	0.0%	16.3%	\$0	0.0%	11.4%	1	50.0%	29.6%	\$8	15.1%	17.8%	
	Upper	3	42.9%	\$373	80.4%	38.7%	2	50.0%	48.8%	\$162	81.0%	63.9%	0	0.0%	40.0%	\$0	0.0%	66.8%	
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	5.0%	\$0	0.0%	1.6%	0	0.0%	7.0%	\$0	0.0%	4.1%	
	Total	7	100.0%	\$464	100.0%	100.0%	4	100.0%	100.0%	\$200	100.0%	100.0%	2	100.0%	100.0%	\$53	100.0%	100.0%	
MULTIFAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	21.5%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	17.5%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	22.4%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	38.7%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	
	Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	
HMDA TOTALS	Low	17	13.2%	\$770	6.6%	21.5%	8	11.3%	9.2%	\$289	5.0%	4.9%	7	15.9%	7.4%	\$377	8.4%	3.9%	
	Moderate	31	24.0%	\$2,359	20.1%	17.5%	19	26.8%	21.4%	\$1,264	22.0%	15.7%	9	20.5%	19.5%	\$733	16.4%	13.1%	
	Middle	37	28.7%	\$2,709	23.1%	22.4%	20	28.2%	24.7%	\$1,549	27.0%	22.6%	14	31.8%	23.2%	\$971	21.8%	20.6%	
	Upper	42	32.6%	\$5,439	46.4%	38.7%	23	32.4%	33.4%	\$2,535	44.2%	44.1%	13	29.5%	32.9%	\$2,024	45.4%	44.6%	
	Unknown	2	1.6%	\$454	3.9%	0.0%	1	1.4%	11.3%	\$96	1.7%	12.6%	1	2.3%	17.0%	\$358	8.0%	17.8%	
	Total	129	100.0%	\$11,731	100.0%	100.0%	71	100.0%	100.0%	\$5,733	100.0%	100.0%	44	100.0%	100.0%	\$4,463	100.0%	100.0%	
Small Business Revenue	Total Businesses																		
	\$1 Million or Less	22	50.0%	\$886	30.1%	88.3%	5	41.7%	32.7%	\$188	19.9%	25.3%	13	56.5%	30.3%	\$420	30.5%	23.7%	
	Over \$1 Million	9	20.5%	\$1,335	45.3%	10.7%	1	8.3%					5	21.7%					
	Total Rev. available	31	70.5%	\$2,221	75.4%	99.0%	6	50.0%					18	78.2%					
	Rev. Not Known	13	29.5%	\$727	24.7%	1.0%	6	50.0%					5	21.7%					
	Total	44	100.0%	\$2,948	100.0%	100.0%	12	100.0%					23	100.0%					
Small Business Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	39	88.6%	\$1,400	47.5%		10	83.3%	88.3%	\$385	40.7%	21.2%	21	91.3%	87.7%	\$641	46.6%	23.6%	
	\$100,001 - \$250,000	1	2.3%	\$110	3.7%		1	8.3%	5.4%	\$110	11.6%	17.5%	0	0.0%	5.8%	\$0	0.0%	16.1%	
	\$250,001 - \$1 Million	4	9.1%	\$1,438	48.8%		1	8.3%	6.3%	\$450	47.6%	61.4%	2	8.7%	6.5%	\$734	53.4%	60.4%	
	Total	44	100.0%	\$2,948	100.0%		12	100.0%	100.0%	\$945	100.0%	100.0%	23	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,375	100.0%	100.0%	
	Total Farms																		
	\$1 Million or Less	1	100.0%	\$12	100.0%	99.2%	0	0.0%	70.8%	\$0	0.0%	60.3%	1	100.0%	70.7%	\$12	100.0%	73.6%	
Over \$1 Million	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.8%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%						
Not Known	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%						
Total	1	100.0%	\$12	100.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%					1	100.0%						
Small Farm Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	1	100.0%	\$12	100.0%		0	0.0%	60.0%	\$0	0.0%	22.2%	1	100.0%	61.7%	\$12	100.0%	21.6%	
	\$100,001 - \$250,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	26.2%	\$0	0.0%	34.7%	0	0.0%	27.1%	\$0	0.0%	37.8%	
	\$250,001 - \$500,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	13.8%	\$0	0.0%	43.1%	0	0.0%	11.3%	\$0	0.0%	40.6%	
	Total	1	100.0%	\$12	100.0%		0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	1	100.0%	100.0%	\$12	100.0%	100.0%	

Originations & Purchases
Aggregate data is unavailable for loans to businesses with revenue over \$1 million or revenue unknown, and for loan size by revenue.
2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2016 D&B Information

Geographic Distribution of HMDA, Small Business, & Small Farm Loans

Assessment Area: OH Northwest OH

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014						2015					
		Bank		Owner Occupied Units		Count	Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar				
		Count	Dollar	%	Bank		Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg					
#	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %		
HOME PURCHASE	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.3%	0	0.0%	0.2%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%	0	0.0%	0.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%
	Moderate	74	9.5%	\$5,508	5.5%	9.1%	25	7.6%	8.6%	\$1,850	4.7%	5.9%	31	10.3%	8.7%	\$2,115	5.2%	5.9%
	Middle	399	51.1%	\$44,484	44.7%	58.9%	194	59.0%	56.6%	\$20,912	52.8%	52.5%	131	43.7%	54.6%	\$15,186	37.5%	50.2%
	Upper	308	39.4%	\$49,544	49.8%	31.7%	110	33.4%	34.6%	\$16,862	42.6%	41.5%	138	46.0%	36.5%	\$23,231	57.3%	43.8%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Total	781	100.0%	\$99,536	100.0%	100.0%	329	100.0%	100.0%	\$39,624	100.0%	100.0%	300	100.0%	100.0%	\$40,532	100.0%	100.0%
REFINANCE	Low	1	0.1%	\$58	0.1%	0.3%	0	0.0%	0.3%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%	0	0.0%	0.2%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%
	Moderate	87	10.1%	\$6,206	7.3%	9.1%	35	10.5%	7.6%	\$2,431	7.6%	5.5%	30	8.9%	7.3%	\$2,213	6.3%	4.9%
	Middle	453	52.5%	\$40,325	47.6%	58.9%	180	54.1%	56.7%	\$15,853	49.7%	52.3%	181	53.7%	53.8%	\$16,708	47.5%	49.5%
	Upper	322	37.3%	\$38,204	45.1%	31.7%	118	35.4%	35.4%	\$13,601	42.7%	42.0%	126	37.4%	38.7%	\$16,278	46.2%	45.6%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Total	863	100.0%	\$84,793	100.0%	100.0%	333	100.0%	100.0%	\$31,885	100.0%	100.0%	337	100.0%	100.0%	\$35,199	100.0%	100.0%
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	1	0.7%	\$7	0.1%	0.3%	1	1.9%	0.1%	\$7	0.3%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	13	9.5%	\$415	6.2%	9.1%	5	9.6%	8.6%	\$194	7.7%	5.5%	3	5.8%	8.6%	\$99	3.6%	5.7%
	Middle	85	62.0%	\$3,864	57.6%	58.9%	36	69.2%	56.5%	\$1,726	68.3%	54.9%	32	61.5%	56.4%	\$1,365	49.5%	48.8%
	Upper	38	27.7%	\$2,422	36.1%	31.7%	10	19.2%	34.8%	\$601	23.8%	39.6%	17	32.7%	34.9%	\$1,291	46.9%	45.5%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Total	137	100.0%	\$6,708	100.0%	100.0%	52	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,528	100.0%	100.0%	52	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,755	100.0%	100.0%
MULTI FAMILY	Multi-Family Units																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.8%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	22.8%	0	0.0%	45.0%	\$0	0.0%	25.5%	0	0.0%	16.3%	\$0	0.0%	32.3%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	51.6%	0	0.0%	45.0%	\$0	0.0%	58.1%	0	0.0%	53.5%	\$0	0.0%	26.0%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	24.8%	0	0.0%	10.0%	\$0	0.0%	16.4%	0	0.0%	30.2%	\$0	0.0%	41.6%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	
HMDA TOTALS	Low	2	0.1%	\$65	0.0%	0.3%	1	0.1%	0.2%	\$7	0.0%	0.1%	0	0.0%	0.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%
	Moderate	174	9.8%	\$12,129	6.3%	9.1%	65	9.1%	8.3%	\$4,475	6.0%	5.8%	64	9.3%	8.2%	\$4,427	5.6%	6.4%
	Middle	937	52.6%	\$88,673	46.4%	58.9%	410	57.4%	56.6%	\$38,491	52.0%	52.5%	344	49.9%	54.4%	\$33,259	42.4%	49.1%
	Upper	668	37.5%	\$90,170	47.2%	31.7%	238	33.3%	34.9%	\$31,064	42.0%	41.5%	281	40.8%	37.2%	\$40,800	52.0%	44.4%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Total	1,781	100.0%	\$191,037	100.0%	100.0%	714	100.0%	100.0%	\$74,037	100.0%	100.0%	689	100.0%	100.0%	\$78,486	100.0%	100.0%
SMALL BUSINESSES	Small Businesses																	
	Low	1	0.2%	\$6	0.0%	0.4%	0	0.0%	0.3%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%	1	0.5%	0.3%	\$6	0.0%	0.4%
	Moderate	72	16.5%	\$14,053	19.5%	12.6%	27	15.0%	11.2%	\$5,905	20.3%	15.0%	33	17.7%	11.5%	\$6,216	19.3%	15.4%
	Middle	221	50.7%	\$33,175	46.1%	56.5%	92	51.1%	54.3%	\$14,534	49.9%	53.9%	98	52.7%	53.8%	\$15,578	48.3%	49.2%
	Upper	142	32.6%	\$24,707	34.3%	30.5%	61	33.9%	31.9%	\$8,687	29.8%	30.3%	54	29.0%	31.9%	\$10,449	32.4%	34.1%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	2.3%	\$0	0.0%	0.6%	0	0.0%	2.4%	\$0	0.0%	1.0%
Total	436	100.0%	\$71,941	100.0%	100.0%	180	100.0%	100.0%	\$29,126	100.0%	100.0%	186	100.0%	100.0%	\$32,249	100.0%	100.0%	
SMALL FARM	Small Farms																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	1.5%	0	0.0%	0.6%	\$0	0.0%	0.7%	0	0.0%	1.2%	\$0	0.0%	1.4%
	Middle	10	55.6%	\$1,242	73.8%	59.9%	1	25.0%	64.5%	\$13	6.0%	65.0%	7	70.0%	59.1%	\$954	87.1%	59.8%
	Upper	8	44.4%	\$441	26.2%	38.5%	3	75.0%	34.5%	\$205	94.0%	33.1%	3	30.0%	39.2%	\$141	12.9%	38.6%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.5%	\$0	0.0%	1.2%	0	0.0%	0.6%	\$0	0.0%	0.3%
Total	18	100.0%	\$1,683	100.0%	100.0%	4	100.0%	100.0%	\$218	100.0%	100.0%	10	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,095	100.0%	100.0%	

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2016 D&B Information

Borrower Distribution of HMDA Loans & Small Business/Small Farm Lending by Revenue & Loan Size

Assessment Area: OH Northwest OH

PRODUCT TYPE	Borrower Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison												
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014						2015						
		Bank				Families by Family Income	Count		Dollar				Count		Dollar				
		Count	Dollar				Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg			Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg			
#	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %			
HOME PURCHASE	Low	64	8.2%	\$4,102	4.1%	16.8%	27	8.2%	6.3%	\$1,660	4.2%	3.4%	23	7.7%	7.4%	\$1,387	3.4%	4.2%	
	Moderate	197	25.2%	\$16,873	17.0%	17.3%	90	27.4%	23.9%	\$7,707	19.5%	17.5%	66	22.0%	22.7%	\$5,545	13.7%	16.6%	
	Middle	209	26.8%	\$23,560	23.7%	22.1%	82	24.9%	22.7%	\$8,968	22.6%	21.1%	89	29.7%	22.8%	\$10,383	25.6%	21.2%	
	Upper	292	37.4%	\$52,722	53.0%	43.8%	123	37.4%	31.0%	\$20,635	52.1%	43.7%	113	37.7%	30.7%	\$22,086	54.5%	43.7%	
	Unknown	19	2.4%	\$2,279	2.3%	0.0%	7	2.1%	16.1%	\$654	1.7%	14.3%	9	3.0%	16.5%	\$1,131	2.8%	14.3%	
	Total	781	100.0%	\$99,536	100.0%	100.0%	329	100.0%	100.0%	\$39,624	100.0%	100.0%	300	100.0%	100.0%	\$40,532	100.0%	100.0%	
REFINANCE	Low	68	7.9%	\$4,175	4.9%	16.8%	27	8.1%	7.4%	\$1,464	4.6%	4.4%	26	7.7%	5.7%	\$1,857	5.3%	3.0%	
	Moderate	177	20.5%	\$12,605	14.9%	17.3%	73	21.9%	18.7%	\$5,026	15.8%	13.2%	68	20.2%	16.3%	\$5,188	14.7%	11.0%	
	Middle	235	27.2%	\$19,663	23.2%	22.1%	97	29.1%	24.2%	\$7,384	23.2%	20.6%	89	26.4%	22.7%	\$8,233	23.4%	18.8%	
	Upper	362	41.9%	\$46,105	54.4%	43.8%	128	38.4%	36.6%	\$17,303	54.3%	47.5%	148	43.9%	38.2%	\$19,128	54.3%	48.7%	
	Unknown	21	2.4%	\$2,245	2.6%	0.0%	8	2.4%	13.1%	\$708	2.2%	14.3%	6	1.8%	17.1%	\$793	2.3%	18.5%	
	Total	863	100.0%	\$84,793	100.0%	100.0%	333	100.0%	100.0%	\$31,885	100.0%	100.0%	337	100.0%	100.0%	\$35,199	100.0%	100.0%	
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	20	14.6%	\$465	6.9%	16.8%	7	13.5%	7.8%	\$161	6.4%	4.9%	9	17.3%	8.6%	\$225	8.2%	3.4%	
	Moderate	28	20.4%	\$1,466	21.9%	17.3%	10	19.2%	20.4%	\$595	23.5%	14.3%	10	19.2%	20.6%	\$546	19.8%	13.0%	
	Middle	47	34.3%	\$1,893	28.2%	22.1%	20	38.5%	27.9%	\$793	31.4%	22.4%	17	32.7%	25.6%	\$596	21.6%	20.7%	
	Upper	42	30.7%	\$2,884	43.0%	43.8%	15	28.8%	39.0%	\$979	38.7%	52.4%	16	30.8%	40.5%	\$1,388	50.4%	56.9%	
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	4.9%	\$0	0.0%	6.0%	0	0.0%	4.6%	\$0	0.0%	5.9%	
	Total	137	100.0%	\$6,708	100.0%	100.0%	52	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,528	100.0%	100.0%	52	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,755	100.0%	100.0%	
MULTIFAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	16.8%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	17.3%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	22.1%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	43.8%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	
	Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	
HMDA TOTALS	Low	152	8.5%	\$8,742	4.6%	16.8%	61	8.5%	6.8%	\$3,285	4.4%	3.8%	58	8.4%	6.8%	\$3,469	4.4%	3.6%	
	Moderate	402	22.6%	\$30,944	16.2%	17.3%	173	24.2%	21.7%	\$13,328	18.0%	15.7%	144	20.9%	20.1%	\$11,279	14.4%	13.9%	
	Middle	491	27.6%	\$45,116	23.6%	22.1%	199	27.9%	23.6%	\$17,145	23.2%	20.9%	195	28.3%	22.9%	\$19,212	24.5%	19.6%	
	Upper	696	39.1%	\$101,711	53.2%	43.8%	266	37.3%	33.6%	\$38,917	52.6%	45.2%	277	40.2%	34.1%	\$42,602	54.3%	44.6%	
	Unknown	40	2.2%	\$4,524	2.4%	0.0%	15	2.1%	14.4%	\$1,362	1.8%	14.5%	15	2.2%	16.1%	\$1,924	2.5%	18.4%	
	Total	1,781	100.0%	\$191,037	100.0%	100.0%	714	100.0%	100.0%	\$74,037	100.0%	100.0%	689	100.0%	100.0%	\$78,486	100.0%	100.0%	
Small Business Revenue	Total Businesses																		
	\$1 Million or Less	223	51.1%	\$16,606	23.1%	88.7%	86	47.8%	40.5%	\$5,199	17.9%	32.1%	95	51.1%	42.7%	\$8,358	25.9%	32.5%	
	Over \$1 Million	120	27.5%	\$44,230	61.5%	9.4%	49	27.2%				53	28.5%						
	Total Rev. available	343	78.6%	\$60,836	84.6%	98.1%	135	75.0%				148	79.6%						
	Rev. Not Known	93	21.3%	\$11,105	15.4%	1.9%	45	25.0%				38	20.4%						
	Total	436	100.0%	\$71,941	100.0%	100.0%	180	100.0%				186	100.0%						
Small Business Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	290	66.5%	\$9,099	12.6%		118	65.6%	91.2%	\$3,995	13.7%	30.7%	123	66.1%	91.8%	\$3,673	11.4%	31.3%	
	\$100,001 - \$250,000	68	15.6%	\$11,948	16.6%		31	17.2%	4.5%	\$5,352	18.4%	17.7%	27	14.5%	4.0%	\$4,865	15.1%	15.5%	
	\$250,001 - \$1 Million	78	17.9%	\$50,894	70.7%		31	17.2%	4.2%	\$19,779	67.9%	51.6%	36	19.4%	4.3%	\$23,711	73.5%	53.2%	
	Total	436	100.0%	\$71,941	100.0%		180	100.0%	100.0%	\$29,126	100.0%	100.0%	186	100.0%	100.0%	\$32,249	100.0%	100.0%	
	Small Farm Revenue	Total Farms																	
		\$1 Million or Less	12	66.7%	\$462	27.5%	98.8%	4	100.0%	64.8%	\$218	100.0%	69.2%	5	50.0%	67.4%	\$119	10.9%	74.5%
Over \$1 Million		2	11.1%	\$560	33.3%	1.2%	0	0.0%				2	20.0%						
Not Known		4	22.2%	\$661	39.3%	0.0%	0	0.0%				3	30.0%						
Total		18	100.0%	\$1,683	100.0%	100.0%	4	100.0%				10	100.0%						
Small Farm Loan Size		\$100,000 or Less	12	66.7%	\$427	25.4%		3	75.0%	71.7%	\$108	49.5%	25.6%	6	60.0%	73.8%	\$194	17.7%	27.8%
	\$100,001 - \$250,000	5	27.8%	\$941	55.9%		1	25.0%	18.3%	\$110	50.5%	33.8%	3	30.0%	17.8%	\$586	53.5%	36.2%	
	\$250,001 - \$500,000	1	5.6%	\$315	18.7%		0	0.0%	10.0%	\$0	0.0%	40.6%	1	10.0%	8.5%	\$315	28.8%	36.0%	
	Total	18	100.0%	\$1,683	100.0%		4	100.0%	100.0%	\$218	100.0%	100.0%	10	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,095	100.0%	100.0%	

Originations & Purchases
Aggregate data is unavailable for loans to businesses with revenue over \$1 million or revenue unknown, and for loan size by revenue.
2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2016 D&B Information

Geographic Distribution of HMDA, Small Business, & Small Farm Loans

Assessment Area: OH Southwest OH

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014						2015					
		Bank		Owner Occupied Units		Count	Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar				
		Count	Dollar	%	Bank		Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg					
#	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %		
HOME PURCHASE	Low	3	0.5%	\$159	0.2%	1.6%	2	0.8%	0.7%	\$99	0.4%	0.5%	1	0.4%	1.1%	\$60	0.2%	0.6%
	Moderate	112	18.2%	\$9,291	14.5%	17.6%	50	19.3%	12.6%	\$3,883	15.0%	9.9%	40	16.9%	14.5%	\$3,469	13.3%	11.7%
	Middle	455	74.0%	\$48,327	75.3%	72.1%	187	72.2%	73.8%	\$19,588	75.5%	73.6%	180	75.9%	72.6%	\$19,457	74.6%	73.0%
	Upper	45	7.3%	\$6,401	10.0%	8.7%	20	7.7%	12.9%	\$2,383	9.2%	16.0%	16	6.8%	11.8%	\$3,109	11.9%	14.7%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Total	615	100.0%	\$64,178	100.0%	100.0%	259	100.0%	100.0%	\$25,953	100.0%	100.0%	237	100.0%	100.0%	\$26,095	100.0%	100.0%
REFINANCE	Low	2	0.3%	\$102	0.1%	1.6%	0	0.0%	1.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.9%	2	0.7%	0.6%	\$102	0.4%	0.3%
	Moderate	127	17.5%	\$9,495	13.7%	17.6%	53	20.7%	13.2%	\$4,170	17.8%	10.5%	37	12.3%	13.2%	\$2,708	9.3%	10.7%
	Middle	528	72.7%	\$51,986	75.1%	72.1%	179	69.9%	75.3%	\$16,850	72.0%	76.0%	235	78.3%	75.9%	\$23,334	80.2%	76.4%
	Upper	69	9.5%	\$7,624	11.0%	8.7%	24	9.4%	10.5%	\$2,383	10.2%	12.6%	26	8.7%	10.2%	\$2,945	10.1%	12.5%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%	0	0.0%	0.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%
	Total	726	100.0%	\$69,207	100.0%	100.0%	256	100.0%	100.0%	\$23,403	100.0%	100.0%	300	100.0%	100.0%	\$29,089	100.0%	100.0%
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	1	0.6%	\$21	0.3%	1.6%	0	0.0%	1.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%	1	1.4%	1.9%	\$21	0.6%	0.6%
	Moderate	45	28.7%	\$1,859	28.0%	17.6%	14	28.0%	16.4%	\$694	32.4%	16.2%	19	26.4%	15.5%	\$874	26.9%	13.1%
	Middle	102	65.0%	\$4,317	65.1%	72.1%	33	66.0%	74.2%	\$1,313	61.2%	70.9%	48	66.7%	73.5%	\$2,082	64.1%	75.8%
	Upper	9	5.7%	\$435	6.6%	8.7%	3	6.0%	8.4%	\$137	6.4%	12.7%	4	5.6%	9.0%	\$273	8.4%	10.5%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Total	157	100.0%	\$6,632	100.0%	100.0%	50	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,144	100.0%	100.0%	72	100.0%	100.0%	\$3,250	100.0%	100.0%
MULTI FAMILY	Multi-Family Units																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	16.4%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	18.8%	\$0	0.0%	7.1%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	18.5%	0	0.0%	60.0%	\$0	0.0%	31.2%	0	0.0%	31.3%	\$0	0.0%	21.5%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	55.7%	0	0.0%	40.0%	\$0	0.0%	68.8%	0	0.0%	43.8%	\$0	0.0%	49.7%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	9.4%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	6.3%	\$0	0.0%	21.7%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	
HMDA TOTALS	Low	6	0.4%	\$282	0.2%	1.6%	2	0.4%	0.8%	\$99	0.2%	0.6%	4	0.7%	1.0%	\$183	0.3%	0.6%
	Moderate	284	19.0%	\$20,645	14.7%	17.6%	117	20.7%	13.1%	\$8,747	17.0%	10.5%	96	15.8%	14.1%	\$7,051	12.1%	11.5%
	Middle	1,085	72.4%	\$104,630	74.7%	72.1%	399	70.6%	74.4%	\$37,751	73.3%	74.4%	463	76.0%	73.8%	\$44,873	76.8%	74.1%
	Upper	123	8.2%	\$14,460	10.3%	8.7%	47	8.3%	11.7%	\$4,903	9.5%	14.4%	46	7.6%	11.0%	\$6,327	10.8%	13.8%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Total	1,498	100.0%	\$140,017	100.0%	100.0%	565	100.0%	100.0%	\$51,500	100.0%	100.0%	609	100.0%	100.0%	\$58,434	100.0%	100.0%
SMALL BUSINESSES	Small Businesses																	
	Low	7	2.6%	\$256	0.9%	4.9%	3	2.6%	5.2%	\$85	0.7%	5.9%	3	3.9%	5.0%	\$96	1.1%	7.5%
	Moderate	47	17.6%	\$6,632	23.9%	20.9%	18	15.4%	16.9%	\$3,262	28.4%	19.4%	19	24.7%	17.4%	\$2,553	28.3%	23.1%
	Middle	199	74.5%	\$20,370	73.4%	65.7%	90	76.9%	66.6%	\$7,922	68.9%	67.1%	51	66.2%	64.7%	\$6,224	69.0%	60.7%
	Upper	14	5.2%	\$512	1.8%	8.5%	6	5.1%	8.4%	\$225	2.0%	6.5%	4	5.2%	9.0%	\$146	1.6%	7.1%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	2.9%	\$0	0.0%	1.2%	0	0.0%	3.9%	\$0	0.0%	1.6%
Total	267	100.0%	\$27,770	100.0%	100.0%	117	100.0%	100.0%	\$11,494	100.0%	100.0%	77	100.0%	100.0%	\$9,019	100.0%	100.0%	
SMALL FARM	Small Farms																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%	0	0.0%	0.3%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.3%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	3	7.9%	\$74	2.4%	11.4%	0	0.0%	5.5%	\$0	0.0%	2.2%	2	14.3%	7.2%	\$47	3.5%	4.0%
	Middle	33	86.8%	\$2,991	95.9%	79.8%	15	100.0%	85.6%	\$1,214	100.0%	88.1%	10	71.4%	82.9%	\$1,251	92.5%	87.2%
	Upper	2	5.3%	\$54	1.7%	8.6%	0	0.0%	8.6%	\$0	0.0%	9.7%	2	14.3%	9.3%	\$54	4.0%	8.8%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.3%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	38	100.0%	\$3,119	100.0%	100.0%	15	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,214	100.0%	100.0%	14	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,352	100.0%	100.0%	

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2016 D&B Information

Borrower Distribution of HMDA Loans & Small Business/Small Farm Lending by Revenue & Loan Size

Assessment Area: OH Southwest OH

PRODUCT TYPE	Borrower Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014						2015					
		Bank		Families by Family Income		Count	Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar				
		Count	Dollar	%	Bank		Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg			
#	%	\$(000s)	\$ %	%	#	%	%	\$(000s)	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$(000s)	\$ %	\$ %		
HOME PURCHASE	Low	66	10.7%	\$4,043	6.3%	24.2%	30	11.6%	7.3%	\$1,848	7.1%	4.1%	22	9.3%	8.2%	\$1,145	4.4%	4.5%
	Moderate	228	37.1%	\$18,976	29.6%	18.3%	92	35.5%	23.1%	\$7,523	29.0%	16.9%	97	40.9%	23.7%	\$8,499	32.6%	18.0%
	Middle	144	23.4%	\$15,541	24.2%	21.1%	55	21.2%	22.9%	\$5,324	20.5%	21.7%	50	21.1%	24.0%	\$5,670	21.7%	23.2%
	Upper	164	26.7%	\$24,308	37.9%	36.4%	75	29.0%	31.6%	\$10,548	40.6%	43.2%	63	26.6%	27.6%	\$10,270	39.4%	39.2%
	Unknown	13	2.1%	\$1,310	2.0%	0.0%	7	2.7%	15.1%	\$710	2.7%	14.2%	5	2.1%	16.5%	\$511	2.0%	15.1%
	Total	615	100.0%	\$64,178	100.0%	100.0%	259	100.0%	100.0%	\$25,953	100.0%	100.0%	237	100.0%	100.0%	\$26,095	100.0%	100.0%
REFINANCE	Low	80	11.0%	\$5,074	7.3%	24.2%	31	12.1%	9.0%	\$1,786	7.6%	5.5%	36	12.0%	7.6%	\$2,430	8.4%	4.4%
	Moderate	160	22.0%	\$11,905	17.2%	18.3%	59	23.0%	17.7%	\$4,010	17.1%	13.2%	61	20.3%	17.4%	\$4,913	16.9%	12.3%
	Middle	211	29.1%	\$19,426	28.1%	21.1%	64	25.0%	22.9%	\$5,856	25.0%	19.9%	96	32.0%	22.1%	\$9,106	31.3%	19.2%
	Upper	257	35.4%	\$30,735	44.4%	36.4%	97	37.9%	37.0%	\$11,121	47.5%	46.5%	102	34.0%	35.3%	\$12,035	41.4%	44.1%
	Unknown	18	2.5%	\$2,067	3.0%	0.0%	5	2.0%	13.4%	\$630	2.7%	14.9%	5	1.7%	17.6%	\$605	2.1%	20.0%
	Total	726	100.0%	\$69,207	100.0%	100.0%	256	100.0%	100.0%	\$23,403	100.0%	100.0%	300	100.0%	100.0%	\$29,089	100.0%	100.0%
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	31	19.7%	\$747	11.3%	24.2%	11	22.0%	13.4%	\$274	12.8%	5.3%	13	18.1%	13.5%	\$267	8.2%	4.1%
	Moderate	25	15.9%	\$596	9.0%	18.3%	9	18.0%	21.6%	\$366	17.1%	14.6%	12	16.7%	20.6%	\$149	4.6%	11.1%
	Middle	46	29.3%	\$2,554	38.5%	21.1%	14	28.0%	22.6%	\$931	43.4%	23.5%	19	26.4%	24.2%	\$1,068	32.9%	26.6%
	Upper	55	35.0%	\$2,735	41.2%	36.4%	16	32.0%	37.9%	\$573	26.7%	50.9%	28	38.9%	38.4%	\$1,766	54.3%	53.4%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	4.4%	\$0	0.0%	5.7%	0	0.0%	3.2%	\$0	0.0%	4.9%
	Total	157	100.0%	\$6,632	100.0%	100.0%	50	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,144	100.0%	100.0%	72	100.0%	100.0%	\$3,250	100.0%	100.0%
MULTIFAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	24.2%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	18.3%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	21.1%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	36.4%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%
	Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%
HMDA TOTALS	Low	177	11.8%	\$9,864	7.0%	24.2%	72	12.7%	8.4%	\$3,908	7.6%	4.6%	71	11.7%	8.4%	\$3,842	6.6%	4.4%
	Moderate	413	27.6%	\$31,477	22.5%	18.3%	160	28.3%	20.9%	\$11,899	23.1%	15.2%	170	27.9%	21.1%	\$13,561	23.2%	15.3%
	Middle	401	26.8%	\$37,521	26.8%	21.1%	133	23.5%	22.8%	\$12,111	23.5%	20.9%	165	27.1%	23.2%	\$15,844	27.1%	21.4%
	Upper	476	31.8%	\$57,778	41.3%	36.4%	188	33.3%	34.1%	\$22,242	43.2%	44.3%	193	31.7%	31.3%	\$24,071	41.2%	41.0%
	Unknown	31	2.1%	\$3,377	2.4%	0.0%	12	2.1%	13.8%	\$1,340	2.6%	15.0%	10	1.6%	16.0%	\$1,116	1.9%	18.0%
	Total	1,498	100.0%	\$140,017	100.0%	100.0%	565	100.0%	100.0%	\$51,500	100.0%	100.0%	609	100.0%	100.0%	\$58,434	100.0%	100.0%
Small Business Revenue	Total Businesses																	
	\$1 Million or Less	164	61.4%	\$7,579	27.3%	90.2%	69	59.0%	43.3%	\$3,088	26.9%	33.4%	45	58.4%	41.5%	\$2,063	22.9%	33.8%
	Over \$1 Million	51	19.1%	\$14,846	53.5%	7.5%	21	17.9%					13	16.9%				
	Total Rev. available	215	80.5%	\$22,425	80.8%	97.7%	90	76.9%					58	75.3%				
	Rev. Not Known	52	19.5%	\$5,345	19.2%	2.3%	27	23.1%					19	24.7%				
Total	267	100.0%	\$27,770	100.0%	100.0%	117	100.0%	100.0%				77	100.0%	100.0%				
Small Business Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	218	81.6%	\$7,155	25.8%		97	82.9%	94.0%	\$3,010	26.2%	34.1%	60	77.9%	94.8%	\$1,998	22.2%	39.8%
	\$100,001 - \$250,000	14	5.2%	\$2,482	8.9%		6	5.1%	2.6%	\$1,098	9.6%	13.6%	5	6.5%	2.6%	\$954	10.6%	14.8%
	\$250,001 - \$1 Million	35	13.1%	\$18,133	65.3%		14	12.0%	3.4%	\$7,386	64.3%	52.3%	12	15.6%	2.6%	\$6,067	67.3%	45.4%
	Total	267	100.0%	\$27,770	100.0%		117	100.0%	100.0%	\$11,494	100.0%	100.0%	77	100.0%	100.0%	\$9,019	100.0%	100.0%
	Total Farms																	
Small Farm Revenue	\$1 Million or Less	18	47.4%	\$1,058	33.9%	99.3%	6	40.0%	51.1%	\$433	35.7%	64.8%	3	21.4%	54.9%	\$72	5.3%	69.8%
	Over \$1 Million	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.7%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%				
	Not Known	20	52.6%	\$2,061	66.1%	0.0%	9	60.0%					11	78.6%				
	Total	38	100.0%	\$3,119	100.0%	100.0%	15	100.0%					14	100.0%				
	Total Farms																	
Small Farm Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	27	71.1%	\$1,010	32.4%		10	66.7%	76.6%	\$345	28.4%	24.0%	10	71.4%	81.3%	\$362	26.8%	33.8%
	\$100,001 - \$250,000	8	21.1%	\$1,079	34.6%		4	26.7%	13.9%	\$579	47.7%	31.0%	2	14.3%	12.8%	\$250	18.5%	35.4%
	\$250,001 - \$500,000	3	7.9%	\$1,030	33.0%		1	6.7%	9.6%	\$290	23.9%	45.0%	2	14.3%	5.9%	\$740	54.7%	30.7%
	Total	38	100.0%	\$3,119	100.0%		15	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,214	100.0%	100.0%	14	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,352	100.0%	100.0%
	Total Farms																	

Originations & Purchases
Aggregate data is unavailable for loans to businesses with revenue over \$1 million or revenue unknown, and for loan size by revenue.
2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2016 D&B Information

Geographic Distribution of HMDA, Small Business, & Small Farm Loans
Assessment Area: OH Toledo

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison 1/1/2014-6/30/2016					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		Bank				Owner Occupied Units	2014			2015								
		Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar			Count		Dollar				
		#	%	\$ (000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %
HOME PURCHASE	Low	37	3.0%	\$3,012	1.8%	5.8%	7	1.4%	0.9%	\$242	0.4%	0.4%	23	4.7%	1.4%	\$1,946	2.9%	1.0%
	Moderate	130	10.5%	\$9,191	5.5%	12.9%	27	5.3%	6.1%	\$1,654	2.5%	3.3%	67	13.7%	7.4%	\$4,798	7.3%	3.9%
	Middle	537	43.4%	\$54,074	32.6%	49.0%	241	47.4%	48.8%	\$24,183	35.9%	38.4%	194	39.7%	47.3%	\$19,548	29.6%	37.5%
	Upper	534	43.1%	\$99,651	60.1%	32.4%	233	45.9%	44.2%	\$41,330	61.3%	58.0%	205	41.9%	43.8%	\$39,782	60.2%	57.6%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Total	1,238	100.0%	\$165,928	100.0%	100.0%	508	100.0%	100.0%	\$67,409	100.0%	100.0%	489	100.0%	100.0%	\$66,074	100.0%	100.0%
REFINANCE	Low	33	2.9%	\$1,526	1.2%	5.8%	7	1.6%	1.6%	\$316	0.7%	0.9%	21	4.2%	1.5%	\$817	1.4%	0.7%
	Moderate	134	11.7%	\$8,217	6.5%	12.9%	46	10.6%	8.8%	\$2,634	5.7%	5.8%	60	12.0%	7.2%	\$3,702	6.5%	4.4%
	Middle	486	42.4%	\$44,597	35.4%	49.0%	192	44.0%	50.7%	\$16,769	36.1%	43.0%	199	39.8%	46.3%	\$19,148	33.9%	38.4%
	Upper	494	43.1%	\$71,779	56.9%	32.4%	191	43.8%	38.8%	\$26,716	57.5%	50.3%	220	44.0%	44.9%	\$32,875	58.1%	56.6%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Total	1,147	100.0%	\$126,119	100.0%	100.0%	436	100.0%	100.0%	\$46,435	100.0%	100.0%	500	100.0%	100.0%	\$56,542	100.0%	100.0%
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	6	3.7%	\$76	1.1%	5.8%	3	6.8%	4.4%	\$50	2.6%	1.1%	1	1.3%	5.0%	\$10	0.3%	1.5%
	Moderate	23	14.1%	\$481	6.7%	12.9%	3	6.8%	11.9%	\$37	1.9%	4.6%	14	17.5%	10.4%	\$255	7.6%	4.3%
	Middle	84	51.5%	\$3,265	45.5%	49.0%	28	63.6%	52.3%	\$1,014	52.0%	43.5%	36	45.0%	49.9%	\$1,498	44.6%	46.0%
	Upper	50	30.7%	\$3,355	46.7%	32.4%	10	22.7%	31.4%	\$850	43.6%	50.8%	29	36.3%	34.7%	\$1,599	47.6%	48.3%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Total	163	100.0%	\$7,177	100.0%	100.0%	44	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,951	100.0%	100.0%	80	100.0%	100.0%	\$3,362	100.0%	100.0%
MULTI FAMILY	Multi-Family Units																	
	Low	2	40.0%	\$34	0.2%	12.5%	0	0.0%	7.3%	\$0	0.0%	10.4%	2	100.0%	13.8%	\$34	100.0%	3.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	26.9%	0	0.0%	21.8%	\$0	0.0%	18.1%	0	0.0%	27.5%	\$0	0.0%	19.5%
	Middle	2	40.0%	\$9,005	64.1%	44.0%	0	0.0%	32.7%	\$0	0.0%	16.0%	0	0.0%	43.8%	\$0	0.0%	45.6%
	Upper	1	20.0%	\$5,000	35.6%	16.4%	1	100.0%	38.2%	\$5,000	100.0%	55.5%	0	0.0%	15.0%	\$0	0.0%	32.0%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	5	100.0%	\$14,039	100.0%	100.0%	1	100.0%	100.0%	\$5,000	100.0%	100.0%	2	100.0%	100.0%	\$34	100.0%	100.0%	
HMDA TOTALS	Low	78	3.1%	\$4,648	1.5%	5.8%	17	1.7%	1.5%	\$608	0.5%	1.2%	47	4.4%	1.7%	\$2,807	2.2%	1.0%
	Moderate	287	11.2%	\$17,889	5.7%	12.9%	76	7.7%	7.6%	\$4,325	3.6%	5.1%	141	13.2%	7.6%	\$8,755	6.9%	5.1%
	Middle	1,109	43.4%	\$110,941	35.4%	49.0%	461	46.6%	49.7%	\$41,966	34.7%	38.6%	429	40.1%	47.1%	\$40,194	31.9%	38.5%
	Upper	1,079	42.3%	\$179,785	57.4%	32.4%	435	44.0%	41.3%	\$73,896	61.2%	55.1%	454	42.4%	43.5%	\$74,256	58.9%	55.4%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Total	2,553	100.0%	\$313,263	100.0%	100.0%	989	100.0%	100.0%	\$120,795	100.0%	100.0%	1,071	100.0%	100.0%	\$126,012	100.0%	100.0%
SMALL BUSINESSES	Small Businesses																	
	Low	99	8.4%	\$22,889	11.2%	6.1%	42	8.0%	4.4%	\$8,537	9.6%	6.0%	37	8.4%	4.0%	\$10,640	12.3%	6.6%
	Moderate	163	13.8%	\$31,884	15.6%	13.4%	71	13.5%	10.9%	\$14,037	15.8%	12.0%	60	13.7%	10.5%	\$11,309	13.1%	10.0%
	Middle	457	38.7%	\$85,358	41.7%	45.2%	203	38.5%	45.5%	\$34,871	39.3%	50.6%	166	37.8%	44.9%	\$37,874	43.8%	48.6%
	Upper	461	39.1%	\$64,414	31.5%	35.2%	211	40.0%	37.9%	\$31,316	35.3%	31.1%	176	40.1%	39.4%	\$26,598	30.8%	34.5%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.1%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	1.2%	\$0	0.0%	0.3%	0	0.0%	1.2%	\$0	0.0%	0.4%
Total	1,180	100.0%	\$204,545	100.0%	100.0%	527	100.0%	100.0%	\$88,761	100.0%	100.0%	439	100.0%	100.0%	\$86,421	100.0%	100.0%	
SMALL FARM	Small Farms																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.2%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	1.2%	0	0.0%	0.2%	\$0	0.0%	0.4%	0	0.0%	0.2%	\$0	0.0%	0.4%
	Middle	2	33.3%	\$108	78.8%	69.2%	0	0.0%	78.0%	\$0	0.0%	78.8%	2	50.0%	80.8%	\$108	93.1%	82.8%
	Upper	4	66.7%	\$29	21.2%	29.4%	1	100.0%	21.6%	\$15	100.0%	20.8%	2	50.0%	19.0%	\$8	6.9%	16.8%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	0.2%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	6	100.0%	\$137	100.0%	100.0%	1	100.0%	100.0%	\$15	100.0%	100.0%	4	100.0%	100.0%	\$116	100.0%	100.0%	

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2016 D&B Information

Borrower Distribution of HMDA Loans & Small Business/Small Farm Lending by Revenue & Loan Size

Assessment Area: OH Toledo

PRODUCT TYPE	Borrower Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison												
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014						2015						
		Bank				Families by Family Income %	Count		Dollar				Count		Dollar				
		Count	Dollar				Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg			Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg			
#	%	\$(000s)	\$ %	%	#	%	%	\$(000s)	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$(000s)	\$ %	\$ %			
HOME PURCHASE	Low	202	16.3%	\$13,220	8.0%	21.9%	66	13.0%	7.8%	\$3,809	5.7%	3.8%	82	16.8%	8.4%	\$5,406	8.2%	4.2%	
	Moderate	278	22.5%	\$25,257	15.2%	17.0%	130	25.6%	21.3%	\$11,722	17.4%	14.2%	107	21.9%	22.4%	\$9,840	14.9%	15.4%	
	Middle	277	22.4%	\$32,621	19.7%	20.5%	120	23.6%	22.7%	\$14,415	21.4%	20.5%	102	20.9%	23.6%	\$11,564	17.5%	21.2%	
	Upper	449	36.3%	\$89,161	53.7%	40.6%	181	35.6%	34.5%	\$35,485	52.6%	48.9%	182	37.2%	32.8%	\$36,403	55.1%	47.8%	
	Unknown	32	2.6%	\$5,669	3.4%	0.0%	11	2.2%	13.7%	\$1,978	2.9%	12.6%	16	3.3%	12.8%	\$2,861	4.3%	11.4%	
	Total	1,238	100.0%	\$165,928	100.0%	100.0%	508	100.0%	100.0%	\$67,409	100.0%	100.0%	489	100.0%	100.0%	\$66,074	100.0%	100.0%	
REFINANCE	Low	130	11.3%	\$7,735	6.1%	21.9%	41	9.4%	7.7%	\$2,237	4.8%	4.4%	64	12.8%	6.1%	\$3,951	7.0%	3.3%	
	Moderate	229	20.0%	\$17,868	14.2%	17.0%	82	18.8%	17.6%	\$6,413	13.8%	12.4%	107	21.4%	16.1%	\$8,265	14.6%	10.5%	
	Middle	271	23.6%	\$25,447	20.2%	20.5%	119	27.3%	23.7%	\$10,722	23.1%	20.4%	105	21.0%	21.4%	\$10,575	18.7%	18.3%	
	Upper	486	42.4%	\$70,381	55.8%	40.6%	183	42.0%	38.0%	\$25,674	55.3%	48.2%	210	42.0%	40.1%	\$31,474	55.7%	50.4%	
	Unknown	31	2.7%	\$4,688	3.7%	0.0%	11	2.5%	13.0%	\$1,389	3.0%	14.6%	14	2.8%	16.3%	\$2,277	4.0%	17.4%	
	Total	1,147	100.0%	\$126,119	100.0%	100.0%	436	100.0%	100.0%	\$46,435	100.0%	100.0%	500	100.0%	100.0%	\$56,542	100.0%	100.0%	
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	23	14.1%	\$703	9.8%	21.9%	8	18.2%	13.5%	\$272	13.9%	7.1%	9	11.3%	10.2%	\$239	7.1%	3.5%	
	Moderate	46	28.2%	\$1,653	23.0%	17.0%	9	20.5%	21.6%	\$321	16.5%	13.5%	22	27.5%	24.3%	\$779	23.2%	15.2%	
	Middle	45	27.6%	\$1,554	21.7%	20.5%	16	36.4%	26.7%	\$638	32.7%	21.0%	22	27.5%	25.6%	\$756	22.5%	21.8%	
	Upper	49	30.1%	\$3,267	45.5%	40.6%	11	25.0%	33.8%	\$720	36.9%	54.4%	27	33.8%	35.9%	\$1,588	47.2%	54.9%	
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	4.4%	\$0	0.0%	4.0%	0	0.0%	4.1%	\$0	0.0%	4.6%	
	Total	163	100.0%	\$7,177	100.0%	100.0%	44	100.0%	100.0%	\$1,951	100.0%	100.0%	80	100.0%	100.0%	\$3,362	100.0%	100.0%	
MULTIFAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	21.9%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	17.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	20.5%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	40.6%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Unknown	5	100.0%	\$14,039	100.0%	0.0%	1	100.0%	100.0%	\$5,000	100.0%	100.0%	2	100.0%	100.0%	\$34	100.0%	100.0%	
	Total	5	100.0%	\$14,039	100.0%	100.0%	1	100.0%	100.0%	\$5,000	100.0%	100.0%	2	100.0%	100.0%	\$34	100.0%	100.0%	
HMDA TOTALS	Low	355	13.9%	\$21,658	6.9%	21.9%	115	11.6%	8.1%	\$6,318	5.2%	3.8%	155	14.5%	7.6%	\$9,596	7.6%	3.6%	
	Moderate	553	21.7%	\$44,778	14.3%	17.0%	221	22.3%	19.8%	\$18,456	15.3%	12.7%	236	22.0%	20.0%	\$18,884	15.0%	12.7%	
	Middle	593	23.2%	\$59,622	19.0%	20.5%	255	25.8%	23.2%	\$25,775	21.3%	19.2%	229	21.4%	22.7%	\$22,895	18.2%	18.8%	
	Upper	984	38.5%	\$162,809	52.0%	40.6%	375	37.9%	35.6%	\$61,879	51.2%	45.8%	419	39.1%	35.5%	\$69,465	55.1%	45.6%	
	Unknown	68	2.7%	\$24,396	7.8%	0.0%	23	2.3%	13.2%	\$8,367	6.9%	18.4%	32	3.0%	14.1%	\$5,172	4.1%	19.3%	
	Total	2,553	100.0%	\$313,263	100.0%	100.0%	989	100.0%	100.0%	\$120,795	100.0%	100.0%	1,071	100.0%	100.0%	\$126,012	100.0%	100.0%	
Small Business Revenue	Total Businesses																		
	\$1 Million or Less	582	49.3%	\$49,513	24.2%	88.0%	252	47.8%	44.2%	\$24,693	27.8%	31.5%	207	47.2%	48.3%	\$17,184	19.9%	30.8%	
	Over \$1 Million	381	32.3%	\$129,074	63.1%	11.0%	163	30.9%					151	34.4%					
	Total Rev. available	963	81.6%	\$178,587	87.3%	99.0%	415	78.7%					358	81.6%					
	Rev. Not Known	217	18.4%	\$25,958	12.7%	1.0%	112	21.3%					81	18.5%					
	Total	1,180	100.0%	\$204,545	100.0%	100.0%	527	100.0%					439	100.0%					
Small Business Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	706	59.8%	\$23,295	11.4%		320	60.7%	88.2%	\$10,396	11.7%	26.0%	244	55.6%	88.8%	\$8,106	9.4%	26.3%	
	\$100,001 - \$250,000	226	19.2%	\$43,388	21.2%		95	18.0%	5.5%	\$18,066	20.4%	16.7%	89	20.3%	5.3%	\$17,507	20.3%	16.7%	
	\$250,001 - \$1 Million	248	21.0%	\$137,862	67.4%		112	21.3%	6.3%	\$60,299	67.9%	57.3%	106	24.1%	5.8%	\$60,808	70.4%	57.0%	
	Total	1,180	100.0%	\$204,545	100.0%		527	100.0%	100.0%	\$88,761	100.0%	100.0%	439	100.0%	100.0%	\$86,421	100.0%	100.0%	
	Small Farm Revenue	Total Farms																	
		\$1 Million or Less	6	100.0%	\$137	100.0%	97.6%	1	100.0%	82.0%	\$15	100.0%	79.8%	4	100.0%	79.2%	\$116	100.0%	78.0%
Over \$1 Million		0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	2.4%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%					
Not Known		0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%					
Total		6	100.0%	\$137	100.0%	100.0%	1	100.0%					4	100.0%					
Total		6	100.0%	\$137	100.0%		1	100.0%	100.0%	\$15	100.0%	100.0%	4	100.0%	100.0%	\$116	100.0%	100.0%	
Small Farm Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	6	100.0%	\$137	100.0%		1	100.0%	64.7%	\$15	100.0%	24.8%	4	100.0%	67.3%	\$116	100.0%	25.9%	
	\$100,001 - \$250,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	23.4%	\$0	0.0%	37.3%	0	0.0%	21.0%	\$0	0.0%	35.0%	
	\$250,001 - \$500,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	11.8%	\$0	0.0%	38.0%	0	0.0%	11.6%	\$0	0.0%	39.1%	
	Total	6	100.0%	\$137	100.0%		1	100.0%	100.0%	\$15	100.0%	100.0%	4	100.0%	100.0%	\$116	100.0%	100.0%	

Originations & Purchases
Aggregate data is unavailable for loans to businesses with revenue over \$1 million or revenue unknown, and for loan size by revenue.
2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2016 D&B Information

Geographic Distribution of HMDA, Small Business, & Small Farm Loans

Assessment Area: TN Knoxville

PRODUCT TYPE	Tract Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison 1/1/2014-6/30/2016					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison											
		Bank				Owner Occupied Units	2014			2015								
		Count		Dollar			Bank	Agg	Dollar			Count		Dollar				
		#	%	\$ (000s)	%	%			%	\$ (000s)	%	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	%	%
HOME PURCHASE	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	3.2%	0	0.0%	2.0%	\$0	0.0%	1.2%	0	0.0%	1.8%	\$0	0.0%	1.1%
	Moderate	1	1.6%	\$47	0.3%	11.3%	0	0.0%	7.5%	\$0	0.0%	4.9%	1	3.8%	8.2%	\$47	0.7%	5.3%
	Middle	15	24.6%	\$2,312	15.0%	45.2%	4	20.0%	43.3%	\$493	9.6%	33.2%	5	19.2%	45.1%	\$679	10.4%	35.9%
	Upper	45	73.8%	\$13,104	84.7%	40.3%	16	80.0%	47.2%	\$4,667	90.4%	60.7%	20	76.9%	45.0%	\$5,795	88.9%	57.8%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>61</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$15,463</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>20</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$5,160</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>26</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$6,521</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
REFINANCE	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	3.2%	0	0.0%	2.8%	\$0	0.0%	1.5%	0	0.0%	1.8%	\$0	0.0%	0.9%
	Moderate	9	15.3%	\$790	5.7%	11.3%	3	14.3%	9.1%	\$281	6.6%	5.6%	4	19.0%	8.6%	\$373	10.0%	5.7%
	Middle	17	28.8%	\$2,360	17.0%	45.2%	5	23.8%	41.9%	\$666	15.6%	33.2%	8	38.1%	39.9%	\$1,215	32.5%	31.9%
	Upper	33	55.9%	\$10,741	77.3%	40.3%	13	61.9%	46.1%	\$3,323	77.8%	59.7%	9	42.9%	49.6%	\$2,153	57.6%	61.5%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>59</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$13,891</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>21</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$4,270</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>21</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$3,741</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	1	12.5%	\$5	0.8%	3.2%	0	0.0%	2.9%	\$0	0.0%	1.0%	1	25.0%	2.7%	\$5	1.6%	1.5%
	Moderate	1	12.5%	\$20	3.4%	11.3%	0	0.0%	8.8%	\$0	0.0%	4.4%	0	0.0%	12.6%	\$0	0.0%	8.5%
	Middle	1	12.5%	\$132	22.4%	45.2%	0	0.0%	44.8%	\$0	0.0%	34.1%	1	25.0%	45.7%	\$132	42.4%	36.7%
	Upper	5	62.5%	\$432	73.3%	40.3%	3	100.0%	43.5%	\$258	100.0%	60.5%	2	50.0%	38.9%	\$174	55.9%	53.3%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$589</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$258</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$311</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
MULTI FAMILY	Multi-Family Units																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	24.5%	0	0.0%	23.3%	\$0	0.0%	25.0%	0	0.0%	24.6%	\$0	0.0%	15.8%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	20.8%	0	0.0%	25.6%	\$0	0.0%	30.3%	0	0.0%	14.0%	\$0	0.0%	7.0%
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	36.0%	0	0.0%	39.5%	\$0	0.0%	42.5%	0	0.0%	43.9%	\$0	0.0%	36.4%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	18.1%	0	0.0%	11.6%	\$0	0.0%	2.1%	0	0.0%	17.5%	\$0	0.0%	40.8%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.7%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	
HMDA TOTALS	Low	1	0.8%	\$5	0.0%	3.2%	0	0.0%	2.4%	\$0	0.0%	2.4%	1	2.0%	1.9%	\$5	0.0%	2.1%
	Moderate	11	8.6%	\$857	2.9%	11.3%	3	6.8%	8.2%	\$281	2.9%	6.3%	5	9.8%	8.6%	\$420	4.0%	5.6%
	Middle	33	25.8%	\$4,804	16.0%	45.2%	9	20.5%	42.9%	\$1,159	12.0%	33.6%	14	27.5%	43.2%	\$2,026	19.2%	34.6%
	Upper	83	64.8%	\$24,277	81.1%	40.3%	32	72.7%	46.5%	\$8,248	85.1%	57.7%	31	60.8%	46.3%	\$8,122	76.8%	57.7%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	<i>Total</i>	<i>128</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$29,943</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>44</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$9,688</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>51</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$10,573</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>
SMALL BUSINESSES	Small Businesses																	
	Low	3	3.9%	\$75	1.4%	6.0%	1	3.1%	5.9%	\$25	1.2%	6.5%	1	3.1%	5.5%	\$25	1.2%	6.4%
	Moderate	18	23.4%	\$1,083	20.3%	15.1%	8	25.0%	16.0%	\$473	21.8%	17.5%	8	25.0%	14.7%	\$557	26.8%	17.2%
	Middle	24	31.2%	\$2,396	44.9%	33.8%	9	28.1%	30.3%	\$1,269	58.5%	30.1%	9	28.1%	31.9%	\$352	16.9%	30.6%
	Upper	32	41.6%	\$1,785	33.4%	44.8%	14	43.8%	46.3%	\$402	18.5%	45.5%	14	43.8%	46.5%	\$1,148	55.1%	45.4%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.3%	0	0.0%	0.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.1%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	1.4%	\$0	0.0%	0.3%	0	0.0%	1.2%	\$0	0.0%	0.3%
<i>Total</i>	<i>77</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$5,339</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>32</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$2,169</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>32</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$2,082</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	
SMALL FARM	Small Farms																	
	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	2.3%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	6.9%	0	0.0%	8.3%	\$0	0.0%	0.9%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Middle	1	100.0%	\$13	100.0%	52.3%	0	0.0%	50.0%	\$0	0.0%	46.8%	1	100.0%	61.5%	\$13	100.0%	72.5%
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	36.9%	0	0.0%	41.7%	\$0	0.0%	52.2%	0	0.0%	38.5%	\$0	0.0%	27.5%
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	1.5%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
	Tr Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$13</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>\$13</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	<i>100.0%</i>	

Originations & Purchases
2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2016 D&B Information

Borrower Distribution of HMDA Loans & Small Business/Small Farm Lending by Revenue & Loan Size

Assessment Area: TN Knoxville

PRODUCT TYPE	Borrower Income Levels	Bank Lending & Demographic Data Comparison					Bank & Aggregate Lending Comparison												
		1/1/2014-6/30/2016					2014						2015						
		Bank		Families by Family Income			Count		Dollar				Count		Dollar				
		Count	Dollar	%	%	%	Bank	Agg	%	Bank	Agg	%	Bank	Agg	Bank	Agg	%		
#	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	%	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %	#	%	%	\$ (000s)	\$ %	\$ %			
HOME PURCHASE	Low	3	4.9%	\$331	2.1%	18.5%	1	5.0%	6.2%	\$87	1.7%	2.9%	1	3.8%	6.9%	\$120	1.8%	3.4%	
	Moderate	8	13.1%	\$751	4.9%	15.4%	2	10.0%	17.4%	\$119	2.3%	11.4%	3	11.5%	18.0%	\$232	3.6%	12.3%	
	Middle	7	11.5%	\$1,281	8.3%	20.1%	2	10.0%	19.1%	\$319	6.2%	15.8%	4	15.4%	19.6%	\$702	10.8%	17.2%	
	Upper	33	54.1%	\$11,147	72.1%	46.0%	13	65.0%	38.2%	\$4,253	82.4%	53.1%	11	42.3%	36.4%	\$4,147	63.6%	49.7%	
	Unknown	10	16.4%	\$1,953	12.6%	0.0%	2	10.0%	19.1%	\$382	7.4%	16.8%	7	26.9%	19.1%	\$1,320	20.2%	17.4%	
	Total	61	100.0%	\$15,463	100.0%	100.0%	20	100.0%	100.0%	\$5,160	100.0%	100.0%	26	100.0%	100.0%	\$6,521	100.0%	100.0%	
REFINANCE	Low	2	3.4%	\$174	1.3%	18.5%	1	4.8%	7.0%	\$57	1.3%	3.5%	0	0.0%	6.1%	\$0	0.0%	3.1%	
	Moderate	11	18.6%	\$1,005	7.2%	15.4%	6	28.6%	15.6%	\$622	14.6%	10.3%	4	19.0%	13.5%	\$298	8.0%	8.7%	
	Middle	11	18.6%	\$1,798	12.9%	20.1%	5	23.8%	19.0%	\$731	17.1%	15.9%	3	14.3%	17.9%	\$470	12.6%	14.5%	
	Upper	29	49.2%	\$9,690	69.8%	46.0%	8	38.1%	38.1%	\$2,703	63.3%	50.8%	9	42.9%	37.1%	\$1,906	50.9%	48.6%	
	Unknown	6	10.2%	\$1,224	8.8%	0.0%	1	4.8%	20.2%	\$157	3.7%	19.4%	5	23.8%	25.3%	\$1,067	28.5%	25.1%	
	Total	59	100.0%	\$13,891	100.0%	100.0%	21	100.0%	100.0%	\$4,270	100.0%	100.0%	21	100.0%	100.0%	\$3,741	100.0%	100.0%	
HOME IMPROVEMENT	Low	1	12.5%	\$5	0.8%	18.5%	0	0.0%	11.8%	\$0	0.0%	4.3%	1	25.0%	9.0%	\$5	1.6%	3.2%	
	Moderate	2	25.0%	\$252	42.8%	15.4%	1	33.3%	18.0%	\$120	46.5%	10.7%	1	25.0%	17.9%	\$132	42.4%	10.0%	
	Middle	2	25.0%	\$134	22.8%	20.1%	0	0.0%	21.6%	\$0	0.0%	17.5%	1	25.0%	22.6%	\$114	36.7%	23.2%	
	Upper	2	25.0%	\$68	11.5%	46.0%	1	33.3%	41.4%	\$8	3.1%	60.1%	1	25.0%	43.0%	\$60	19.3%	59.4%	
	Unknown	1	12.5%	\$130	22.1%	0.0%	1	33.3%	7.2%	\$130	50.4%	7.3%	0	0.0%	7.5%	\$0	0.0%	4.3%	
	Total	8	100.0%	\$589	100.0%	100.0%	3	100.0%	100.0%	\$258	100.0%	100.0%	4	100.0%	100.0%	\$311	100.0%	100.0%	
MULTIFAMILY	Low	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	18.5%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Moderate	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	15.4%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Middle	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	20.1%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Upper	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	46.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Unknown	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	
	Total	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	
HMDA TOTALS	Low	6	4.7%	\$510	1.7%	18.5%	2	4.5%	6.8%	\$144	1.5%	3.0%	2	3.9%	6.7%	\$125	1.2%	3.0%	
	Moderate	21	16.4%	\$2,008	6.7%	15.4%	9	20.5%	16.8%	\$861	8.9%	10.6%	8	15.7%	16.3%	\$662	6.3%	10.2%	
	Middle	20	15.6%	\$3,213	10.7%	20.1%	7	15.9%	19.1%	\$1,050	10.8%	15.1%	8	15.7%	19.1%	\$1,286	12.2%	15.2%	
	Upper	64	50.0%	\$20,905	69.8%	46.0%	22	50.0%	38.2%	\$6,964	71.9%	50.1%	21	41.2%	36.8%	\$6,113	57.8%	45.8%	
	Unknown	17	13.3%	\$3,307	11.0%	0.0%	4	9.1%	19.0%	\$669	6.9%	21.2%	12	23.5%	21.2%	\$2,387	22.6%	25.8%	
	Total	128	100.0%	\$29,943	100.0%	100.0%	44	100.0%	100.0%	\$9,688	100.0%	100.0%	51	100.0%	100.0%	\$10,573	100.0%	100.0%	
Small Business Revenue	Total Businesses																		
	\$1 Million or Less	40	51.9%	\$1,168	21.9%	88.6%	19	59.4%	43.3%	\$526	24.3%	29.9%	14	43.8%	48.3%	\$333	16.0%	32.6%	
	Over \$1 Million	20	26.0%	\$3,561	66.7%	11.0%	8	25.0%					7	21.9%					
	Total Rev. available	60	77.9%	\$4,729	88.6%	99.6%	27	84.4%					21	65.7%					
	Rev. Not Known	17	22.1%	\$610	11.4%	0.5%	5	15.6%					11	34.4%					
	Total	77	100.0%	\$5,339	100.0%	100.0%	32	100.0%					32	100.0%					
Small Business Loan Size	\$100,000 or Less	66	85.7%	\$2,103	39.4%		27	84.4%	87.6%	\$749	34.5%	21.1%	28	87.5%	88.4%	\$907	43.6%	21.8%	
	\$100,001 - \$250,000	7	9.1%	\$1,001	18.7%		3	9.4%	5.6%	\$420	19.4%	16.9%	3	9.4%	5.0%	\$440	21.1%	15.2%	
	\$250,001 - \$1 Million	4	5.2%	\$2,235	41.9%		2	6.3%	6.8%	\$1,000	46.1%	61.9%	1	3.1%	6.6%	\$735	35.3%	63.0%	
	Total	77	100.0%	\$5,339	100.0%		32	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,169	100.0%	100.0%	32	100.0%	100.0%	\$2,082	100.0%	100.0%	
	Small Farm Revenue	Total Farms																	
		\$1 Million or Less	1	100.0%	\$13	100.0%	97.7%	0	0.0%	50.0%	\$0	0.0%	43.7%	1	100.0%	38.5%	\$13	100.0%	74.8%
Over \$1 Million		0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	2.3%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%					
Not Known		0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%					0	0.0%					
Total		1	100.0%	\$13	100.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%					1	100.0%					
Small Farm Loan Size		\$100,000 or Less	1	100.0%	\$13	100.0%		0	0.0%	83.3%	\$0	0.0%	21.7%	1	100.0%	100.0%	\$13	100.0%	100.0%
	\$100,001 - \$250,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	16.7%	\$0	0.0%	78.3%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	\$250,001 - \$500,000	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	
	Total	1	100.0%	\$13	100.0%		0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	1	100.0%	100.0%	\$13	100.0%	100.0%	
	Total	1	100.0%	\$13	100.0%		0	0.0%	100.0%	\$0	0.0%	100.0%	1	100.0%	100.0%	\$13	100.0%	100.0%	

Originations & Purchases
Aggregate data is unavailable for loans to businesses with revenue over \$1 million or revenue unknown, and for loan size by revenue.
2016 FFIEC Census Data, ACS 2010 data, and 2016 D&B Information

APPENDIX G
LIMITED-SCOPE DEMOGRAPHICS TABLES

Combined Demographics Report

Assessment Area: FL Cape Coral

Income Categories	Tract Distribution		Families by Tract Income		Families < Poverty Level as % of Families by Tract		Families by Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	5	3	4,411	2.7	1,344	30.5	30,892	19
Moderate-income	32	19.2	27,458	16.9	4,214	15.3	30,886	19
Middle-income	80	47.9	86,515	53.1	5,796	6.7	34,898	21.4
Upper-income	48	28.7	44,541	27.3	1,390	3.1	66,249	40.7
Unknown-income	2	1.2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	167	100.0	162,925	100.0	12,744	7.8	162,925	100.0
	Housing Units by Tract	Housing Types by Tract						
		Owner-Occupied			Rental		Vacant	
		#	%	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	10,760	2,430	1.3	22.6	5,440	50.6	2,890	26.9
Moderate-income	61,029	26,066	14.2	42.7	16,593	27.2	18,370	30.1
Middle-income	185,990	102,292	55.6	55	29,224	15.7	54,474	29.3
Upper-income	106,113	53,140	28.9	50.1	10,566	10	42,407	40
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	363,892	183,928	100.0	50.5	61,823	17.0	118,141	32.5
	Total Businesses by Tract	Businesses by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Low-income	1,628	3.3	1,453	3.1	168	7.5	7	8
Moderate-income	7,301	14.7	6,923	14.6	359	16	19	21.6
Middle-income	26,017	52.4	24,997	52.8	983	43.9	37	42
Upper-income	14,661	29.5	13,913	29.4	724	32.3	24	27.3
Unknown-income	50	0.1	44	0.1	5	0.2	1	1.1
Total Assessment Area	49,657	100.0	47,330	100.0	2,239	100.0	88	100.0
Percentage of Total Businesses:			95.3		4.5		.2	
	Total Farms by Tract	Farms by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Low-income	5	1.5	4	1.2	1	7.1	0	0
Moderate-income	50	14.6	48	14.6	2	14.3	0	0
Middle-income	149	43.4	144	43.9	5	35.7	0	0
Upper-income	139	40.5	132	40.2	6	42.9	1	100
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	343	100.0	328	100.0	14	100.0	1	100.0
Percentage of Total Farms:			95.6		4.1		.3	

Combined Demographics Report

Assessment Area: FL Jacksonville

Income Categories	Tract Distribution		Families by Tract Income		Families < Poverty Level as % of Families by Tract		Families by Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	17	6.9	13,392	4.3	4,572	34.1	63,476	20.6
Moderate-income	59	24.1	58,680	19	9,375	16	54,200	17.6
Middle-income	100	40.8	138,333	44.9	10,729	7.8	67,134	21.8
Upper-income	66	26.9	97,882	31.8	3,271	3.3	123,477	40.1
Unknown-income	3	1.2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	245	100.0	308,287	100.0	27,947	9.1	308,287	100.0
	Housing	Housing Types by Tract						
	Units by Tract	Owner-Occupied		Rental		Vacant		
		#	%	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	29,546	9,912	3.1	33.5	13,742	46.5	5,892	19.9
Moderate-income	118,250	52,104	16.5	44.1	46,828	39.6	19,318	16.3
Middle-income	235,074	143,531	45.5	61.1	60,242	25.6	31,301	13.3
Upper-income	161,162	109,891	34.8	68.2	31,843	19.8	19,428	12.1
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	544,032	315,438	100.0	58.0	152,655	28.1	75,939	14.0
	Total Businesses by Tract	Businesses by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	3,172	3.7	2,821	3.5	341	7.2	10	8.5
Moderate-income	17,014	19.8	15,665	19.3	1,318	27.7	31	26.5
Middle-income	35,878	41.8	34,131	42.1	1,698	35.6	49	41.9
Upper-income	29,868	34.8	28,432	35.1	1,409	29.6	27	23.1
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	85,932	100.0	81,049	100.0	4,766	100.0	117	100.0
	Percentage of Total Businesses:		94.3		5.5		.1	
	Total Farms by Tract	Farms by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	4	0.9	3	0.7	1	5.3	0	0
Moderate-income	57	12.6	51	11.8	6	31.6	0	0
Middle-income	216	47.8	211	48.7	5	26.3	0	0
Upper-income	175	38.7	168	38.8	7	36.8	0	0
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	452	100.0	433	100.0	19	100.0	0	.0
	Percentage of Total Farms:		95.8		4.2		.0	

Combined Demographics Report

Assessment Area: FL Lakeland

Income Categories	Tract Distribution		Families by Tract Income		Families < Poverty Level as % of Families by Tract		Families by Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	5	3.2	2,767	1.8	904	32.7	31,848	20.3
Moderate-income	36	23.4	34,777	22.1	6,874	19.8	29,313	18.6
Middle-income	76	49.4	83,287	53	8,566	10.3	32,628	20.8
Upper-income	36	23.4	36,391	23.1	1,765	4.9	63,433	40.3
Unknown-income	1	0.6	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	154	100.0	157,222	100.0	18,109	11.5	157,222	100.0
	Housing Units by Tract	Housing Types by Tract						
		Owner-Occupied			Rental		Vacant	
		#	%	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	6,981	2,045	1.3	29.3	3,592	51.5	1,344	19.3
Moderate-income	62,254	30,950	19.2	49.7	19,029	30.6	12,275	19.7
Middle-income	153,613	88,103	54.7	57.4	32,057	20.9	33,453	21.8
Upper-income	54,699	40,110	24.9	73.3	7,803	14.3	6,786	12.4
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	277,547	161,208	100.0	58.1	62,481	22.5	53,858	19.4
	Total Businesses by Tract	Businesses by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Low-income	1,312	4.1	1,193	4	114	7.5	5	6.4
Moderate-income	6,262	19.8	5,851	19.4	391	25.8	20	25.6
Middle-income	15,762	49.7	14,981	49.8	736	48.6	45	57.7
Upper-income	8,365	26.4	8,084	26.8	273	18	8	10.3
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	31,701	100.0	30,109	100.0	1,514	100.0	78	100.0
Percentage of Total Businesses:			95.0		4.8		.2	
	Total Farms by Tract	Farms by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Low-income	17	2.9	14	2.6	3	6.1	0	0
Moderate-income	138	23.3	125	23	13	26.5	0	0
Middle-income	295	49.8	270	49.7	25	51	0	0
Upper-income	142	24	134	24.7	8	16.3	0	0
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	592	100.0	543	100.0	49	100.0	0	.0
Percentage of Total Farms:			91.7		8.3		.0	

Combined Demographics Report

Assessment Area: FL Miami

Income Categories	Tract Distribution		Families by Tract Income		Families < Poverty Level as % of Families by Tract		Families by Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	71	5.8	56,768	4.3	19,503	34.4	295,368	22.4
Moderate-income	315	25.8	343,146	26	60,590	17.7	228,539	17.3
Middle-income	405	33.2	457,175	34.7	43,085	9.4	249,347	18.9
Upper-income	399	32.7	460,185	34.9	21,483	4.7	544,123	41.3
Unknown-income	29	2.4	103	0	33	32	0	0
Total Assessment Area	1,219	100.0	1,317,377	100.0	144,694	11.0	1,317,37	100.0
	Housing	Housing Types by Tract						
	Units by Tract	Owner-Occupied			Rental		Vacant	
		#	%	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	121,285	27,437	2.1	22.6	69,923	57.7	23,925	19.7
Moderate-income	671,220	307,102	23.1	45.8	253,344	37.7	110,774	16.5
Middle-income	858,247	481,946	36.3	56.2	231,044	26.9	145,257	16.9
Upper-income	793,243	512,499	38.6	64.6	136,062	17.2	144,682	18.2
Unknown-income	549	54	0	9.8	193	35.2	302	55
Total Assessment Area	2,444,544	1,329,038	100.0	54.4	690,566	28.2	424,940	17.4
	Total Businesses by Tract	Businesses by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	16,295	3.4	14,937	3.3	1,316	5	42	6.5
Moderate-income	107,044	22.3	99,557	22	7,357	27.9	130	20
Middle-income	146,441	30.5	139,573	30.8	6,678	25.3	190	29.2
Upper-income	207,089	43.1	196,576	43.3	10,249	38.8	264	40.6
Unknown-income	3,681	0.8	2,857	0.6	799	3	25	3.8
Total Assessment Area	480,550	100.0	453,500	100.0	26,399	100.0	651	100.0
	Percentage of Total Businesses:			94.4		5.5		.1
	Total Farms by Tract	Farms by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	66	3.2	58	3	8	6.4	0	0
Moderate-income	277	13.5	256	13.3	21	16.8	0	0
Middle-income	484	23.6	462	24	21	16.8	1	100
Upper-income	1,220	59.4	1,145	59.4	75	60	0	0
Unknown-income	7	0.3	7	0.4	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	2,054	100.0	1,928	100.0	125	100.0	1	100.0
	Percentage of Total Farms:			93.9		6.1		.0

Combined Demographics Report

Assessment Area: FL Naples

Income Categories	Tract Distribution		Families by Tract Income		Families < Poverty Level as % of Families by Tract		Families by Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	6	8.1	4,181	5.2	1,603	38.3	17,150	21.1
Moderate-income	15	20.3	15,305	18.9	1,780	11.6	14,862	18.3
Middle-income	28	37.8	35,999	44.4	1,934	5.4	15,449	19
Upper-income	24	32.4	25,650	31.6	1,070	4.2	33,674	41.5
Unknown-income	1	1.4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	74	100.0	81,135	100.0	6,387	7.9	81,135	100.0
	Housing	Housing Types by Tract						
	Units by Tract	Owner-Occupied		Rental		Vacant		
		#	%	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	8,154	2,191	2.4	26.9	3,532	43.3	2,431	29.8
Moderate-income	33,145	15,007	16.4	45.3	7,875	23.8	10,263	31
Middle-income	84,139	42,071	46	50	10,889	12.9	31,179	37.1
Upper-income	69,091	32,128	35.2	46.5	5,824	8.4	31,139	45.1
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	194,529	91,397	100.0	47.0	28,120	14.5	75,012	38.6
	Total Businesses by Tract	Businesses by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	876	3	836	3	36	2.5	4	6.9
Moderate-income	3,895	13.3	3,751	13.4	129	9.1	15	25.9
Middle-income	12,705	43.2	12,128	43.5	552	39	25	43.1
Upper-income	11,902	40.5	11,189	40.1	699	49.4	14	24.1
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	29,378	100.0	27,904	100.0	1,416	100.0	58	100.0
	Percentage of Total Businesses:			95.0		4.8		.2
	Total Farms by Tract	Farms by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	25	11.6	17	8.7	8	40	0	0
Moderate-income	35	16.3	30	15.4	5	25	0	0
Middle-income	105	48.8	100	51.3	5	25	0	0
Upper-income	50	23.3	48	24.6	2	10	0	0
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	215	100.0	195	100.0	20	100.0	0	.0
	Percentage of Total Farms:			90.7		9.3		.0

Combined Demographics Report

Assessment Area: FL North Port

Income Categories	Tract Distribution		Families by Tract Income		Families < Poverty Level as % of Families by Tract		Families by Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	4	1.9	4,053	1.7	1,275	31.5	44,705	18.9
Moderate-income	45	21.1	41,877	17.7	6,076	14.5	45,274	19.2
Middle-income	105	49.3	127,260	53.9	8,390	6.6	51,549	21.8
Upper-income	56	26.3	63,083	26.7	2,280	3.6	94,745	40.1
Unknown-income	3	1.4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	213	100.0	236,273	100.0	18,021	7.6	236,273	100.0
	Housing	Housing Types by Tract						
	Units by Tract	Owner-Occupied			Rental		Vacant	
		#	%	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	7,714	2,871	1	37.2	3,716	48.2	1,127	14.6
Moderate-income	97,415	49,425	17.3	50.7	24,481	25.1	23,509	24.1
Middle-income	256,734	156,376	54.6	60.9	42,361	16.5	57,997	22.6
Upper-income	134,191	77,755	27.1	57.9	15,215	11.3	41,221	30.7
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	496,054	286,427	100.0	57.7	85,773	17.3	123,854	25.0
	Total Businesses by Tract	Businesses by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	914	1.3	847	1.2	65	2.1	2	2.1
Moderate-income	12,851	17.6	12,069	17.3	764	24.9	18	19.1
Middle-income	36,425	49.9	35,116	50.3	1,261	41.1	48	51.1
Upper-income	22,836	31.3	21,835	31.3	975	31.8	26	27.7
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	73,026	100.0	69,867	100.0	3,065	100.0	94	100.0
	Percentage of Total Businesses:			95.7		4.2		.1
	Total Farms by Tract	Farms by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Moderate-income	50	8.7	45	8.4	5	13.5	0	0
Middle-income	253	44	235	43.7	18	48.6	0	0
Upper-income	272	47.3	258	48	14	37.8	0	0
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	575	100.0	538	100.0	37	100.0	0	.0
	Percentage of Total Farms:			93.6		6.4		.0

Combined Demographics Report

Assessment Area: GA Augusta

Income Categories	Tract Distribution		Families by Tract Income		Families < Poverty Level as % of Families by Tract		Families by Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	8	11.9	5,822	7.3	2,514	43.2	18,048	22.8
Moderate-income	16	23.9	16,218	20.4	3,791	23.4	12,741	16.1
Middle-income	22	32.8	27,138	34.2	3,031	11.2	14,762	18.6
Upper-income	21	31.3	30,150	38	1,482	4.9	33,777	42.6
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	67	100.0	79,328	100.0	10,818	13.6	79,328	100.0
	Housing Units by Tract	Housing Types by Tract						
		Owner-Occupied			Rental		Vacant	
		#	%	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	12,553	3,869	5.1	30.8	6,094	48.5	2,590	20.6
Moderate-income	30,225	13,899	18.3	46	11,723	38.8	4,603	15.2
Middle-income	44,183	26,076	34.3	59	13,823	31.3	4,284	9.7
Upper-income	45,450	32,191	42.3	70.8	8,246	18.1	5,013	11
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	132,411	76,035	100.0	57.4	39,886	30.1	16,490	12.5
	Total Businesses by Tract	Businesses by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Low-income	1,086	7.8	968	7.4	116	12.9	2	4.5
Moderate-income	2,240	16	2,119	16.2	111	12.4	10	22.7
Middle-income	4,624	33	4,296	32.9	318	35.5	10	22.7
Upper-income	6,061	43.3	5,688	43.5	351	39.2	22	50
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	14,011	100.0	13,071	100.0	896	100.0	44	100.0
Percentage of Total Businesses:			93.3		6.4		.3	
	Total Farms by Tract	Farms by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Low-income	6	6.5	6	6.5	0	0	0	0
Moderate-income	12	12.9	12	12.9	0	0	0	0
Middle-income	24	25.8	24	25.8	0	0	0	0
Upper-income	51	54.8	51	54.8	0	0	0	0
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	93	100.0	93	100.0	0	.0	0	.0
Percentage of Total Farms:			100.0		.0		.0	

Combined Demographics Report

Assessment Area: IL Carbondale

Income Categories	Tract Distribution		Families by Tract Income		Families < Poverty Level as % of Families by Tract		Families by Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	3,653	21
Moderate-income	3	20	2,652	15.2	582	21.9	3,093	17.8
Middle-income	8	53.3	10,030	57.7	1,375	13.7	3,499	20.1
Upper-income	4	26.7	4,710	27.1	364	7.7	7,147	41.1
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	15	100.0	17,392	100.0	2,321	13.3	17,392	100.0
	Housing	Housing Types by Tract						
	Units by Tract	Owner-Occupied			Rental		Vacant	
		#	%	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Moderate-income	6,327	2,768	14.3	43.7	2,484	39.3	1,075	17
Middle-income	16,140	11,403	58.9	70.7	2,882	17.9	1,855	11.5
Upper-income	7,603	5,194	26.8	68.3	1,593	21	816	10.7
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	30,070	19,365	100.0	64.4	6,959	23.1	3,746	12.5
	Total Businesses by Tract	Businesses by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Moderate-income	983	36.1	864	34.8	112	52.3	7	28
Middle-income	1,121	41.2	1,046	42.1	62	29	13	52
Upper-income	620	22.8	575	23.1	40	18.7	5	20
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	2,724	100.0	2,485	100.0	214	100.0	25	100.0
	Percentage of Total Businesses:		91.2		7.9		.9	
	Total Farms by Tract	Farms by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Moderate-income	3	3.2	3	3.2	0	0	0	0
Middle-income	64	68.1	64	68.1	0	0	0	0
Upper-income	27	28.7	27	28.7	0	0	0	0
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	94	100.0	94	100.0	0	.0	0	.0
	Percentage of Total Farms:		100.0		.0		.0	

Combined Demographics Report

Assessment Area: IL Northern IL

Income Categories	Tract Distribution		Families by Tract Income		Families < Poverty Level as % of Families by Tract		Families by Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	1	2.5	471	1.2	179	38	7,067	18.5
Moderate-income	6	15	4,787	12.5	961	20.1	7,026	18.4
Middle-income	23	57.5	23,447	61.5	2,036	8.7	8,413	22.1
Upper-income	10	25	9,447	24.8	433	4.6	15,646	41
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	40	100.0	38,152	100.0	3,609	9.5	38,152	100.0
	Housing	Housing Types by Tract						
	Units by Tract	Owner-Occupied			Rental		Vacant	
		#	%	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	991	451	1.1	45.5	331	33.4	209	21.1
Moderate-income	9,190	4,995	11.8	54.4	3,233	35.2	962	10.5
Middle-income	38,273	25,882	61.2	67.6	8,808	23	3,583	9.4
Upper-income	14,357	10,979	26	76.5	2,296	16	1,082	7.5
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	62,811	42,307	100.0	67.4	14,668	23.4	5,836	9.3
	Total Businesses by Tract	Businesses by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	66	1.2	55	1.1	10	2.5	1	1.1
Moderate-income	867	15.6	784	15.5	78	19.7	5	5.3
Middle-income	3,263	58.8	2,993	59.1	214	54.2	56	59.6
Upper-income	1,355	24.4	1,230	24.3	93	23.5	32	34
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	5,551	100.0	5,062	100.0	395	100.0	94	100.0
	Percentage of Total Businesses:			91.2		7.1		1.7
	Total Farms by Tract	Farms by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	1	0.1	1	0.1	0	0	0	0
Moderate-income	8	0.9	8	0.9	0	0	0	0
Middle-income	553	64.9	548	64.9	5	71.4	0	0
Upper-income	290	34	288	34.1	2	28.6	0	0
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	852	100.0	845	100.0	7	100.0	0	.0
	Percentage of Total Farms:			99.2		.8		.0

Combined Demographics Report

Assessment Area: IL Rockford

Income Categories	Tract Distribution		Families by Tract Income		Families < Poverty Level as % of Families by Tract		Families by Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	10	11.9	6,057	6.8	2,713	44.8	19,206	21.6
Moderate-income	23	27.4	18,388	20.7	3,375	18.4	15,530	17.5
Middle-income	32	38.1	38,590	43.4	2,825	7.3	19,397	21.8
Upper-income	18	21.4	25,789	29	822	3.2	34,691	39.1
Unknown-income	1	1.2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	84	100.0	88,824	100.0	9,735	11.0	88,824	100.0
	Housing Units by Tract	Housing Types by Tract						
		Owner-Occupied			Rental		Vacant	
		#	%	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	12,757	3,748	4	29.4	6,142	48.1	2,867	22.5
Moderate-income	35,596	18,010	19.2	50.6	12,830	36	4,756	13.4
Middle-income	60,267	42,700	45.6	70.9	12,909	21.4	4,658	7.7
Upper-income	36,262	29,171	31.2	80.4	4,864	13.4	2,227	6.1
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	144,882	93,629	100.0	64.6	36,745	25.4	14,508	10.0
	Total Businesses by Tract	Businesses by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Low-income	836	6.5	719	6.2	110	9.2	7	9.7
Moderate-income	2,589	20	2,287	19.6	285	23.8	17	23.6
Middle-income	5,893	45.6	5,328	45.7	535	44.6	30	41.7
Upper-income	3,562	27.6	3,298	28.3	246	20.5	18	25
Unknown-income	47	0.4	23	0.2	24	2	0	0
Total Assessment Area	12,927	100.0	11,655	100.0	1,200	100.0	72	100.0
Percentage of Total Businesses:			90.2		9.3		.6	
	Total Farms by Tract	Farms by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Low-income	2	0.5	2	0.5	0	0	0	0
Moderate-income	14	3.5	14	3.5	0	0	0	0
Middle-income	239	59.6	237	59.5	2	66.7	0	0
Upper-income	146	36.4	145	36.4	1	33.3	0	0
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	401	100.0	398	100.0	3	100.0	0	.0
Percentage of Total Farms:			99.3		.7		.0	

Combined Demographics Report

Assessment Area: IN Bloomington

Income Categories	Tract Distribution		Families by Tract Income		Families < Poverty Level as % of Families by Tract		Families by Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	4	11.1	1,013	3.1	406	40.1	6,796	20.8
Moderate-income	6	16.7	4,701	14.4	959	20.4	5,697	17.5
Middle-income	18	50	18,461	56.6	1,480	8	6,946	21.3
Upper-income	8	22.2	8,432	25.9	522	6.2	13,168	40.4
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	36	100.0	32,607	100.0	3,367	10.3	32,607	100.0
	Housing Units by Tract	Housing Types by Tract						
		Owner-Occupied			Rental		Vacant	
		#	%	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	5,105	699	2	13.7	3,600	70.5	806	15.8
Moderate-income	12,077	4,684	13.3	38.8	5,733	47.5	1,660	13.7
Middle-income	34,468	20,242	57.4	58.7	9,830	28.5	4,396	12.8
Upper-income	16,708	9,610	27.3	57.5	5,361	32.1	1,737	10.4
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	68,358	35,235	100.0	51.5	24,524	35.9	8,599	12.6
	Total Businesses by Tract	Businesses by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Low-income	421	6.3	384	6.3	37	8.1	0	0
Moderate-income	862	13	770	12.5	92	20.3	0	0
Middle-income	3,738	56.3	3,458	56.3	242	53.3	38	86.4
Upper-income	1,615	24.3	1,526	24.9	83	18.3	6	13.6
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	6,636	100.0	6,138	100.0	454	100.0	44	100.0
Percentage of Total Businesses:			92.5		6.8		.7	
	Total Farms by Tract	Farms by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Low-income	1	0.4	1	0.4	0	0	0	0
Moderate-income	12	5.1	12	5.1	0	0	0	0
Middle-income	198	83.9	196	83.8	2	100	0	0
Upper-income	25	10.6	25	10.7	0	0	0	0
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	236	100.0	234	100.0	2	100.0	0	.0
Percentage of Total Farms:			99.2		.8		.0	

Combined Demographics Report

Assessment Area: IN Fort Wayne

Income Categories	Tract Distribution		Families by Tract Income		Families < Poverty Level as % of Families by Tract		Families by Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	13	13.5	7,141	7.9	2,499	35	17,575	19.5
Moderate-income	28	29.2	21,707	24.1	3,335	15.4	16,622	18.5
Middle-income	31	32.3	31,172	34.7	1,755	5.6	20,342	22.6
Upper-income	23	24	29,906	33.3	608	2	35,387	39.4
Unknown-income	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	96	100.0	89,926	100.0	8,197	9.1	89,926	100.0
	Housing	Housing Types by Tract						
	Units by Tract	Owner-Occupied			Rental		Vacant	
		#	%	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	15,659	5,298	5.5	33.8	6,678	42.6	3,683	23.5
Moderate-income	45,540	21,023	21.9	46.2	18,527	40.7	5,990	13.2
Middle-income	50,296	35,743	37.3	71.1	10,436	20.7	4,117	8.2
Upper-income	39,987	33,844	35.3	84.6	4,183	10.5	1,960	4.9
Unknown-income	75	0	0	0	75	100	0	0
Total Assessment Area	151,557	95,908	100.0	63.3	39,899	26.3	15,750	10.4
	Total Businesses by Tract	Businesses by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	1,448	10.1	1,175	9.3	266	16.6	7	5.6
Moderate-income	3,437	24	2,928	23.2	473	29.6	36	28.8
Middle-income	4,705	32.8	4,132	32.8	541	33.8	32	25.6
Upper-income	4,698	32.8	4,335	34.4	313	19.6	50	40
Unknown-income	47	0.3	40	0.3	7	0.4	0	0
Total Assessment Area	14,335	100.0	12,610	100.0	1,600	100.0	125	100.0
	Percentage of Total Businesses:		88.0		11.2		.9	
	Total Farms by Tract	Farms by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	1	0.3	1	0.3	0	0	0	0
Moderate-income	18	4.8	18	4.9	0	0	0	0
Middle-income	202	54	199	53.6	3	100	0	0
Upper-income	153	40.9	153	41.2	0	0	0	0
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	374	100.0	371	100.0	3	100.0	0	.0
	Percentage of Total Farms:		99.2		.8		.0	

Combined Demographics Report

Assessment Area: IN Lafayette

Income Categories	Tract Distribution		Families by Tract Income		Families < Poverty Level as % of Families by Tract		Families by Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	3	7.5	1,351	3.4	467	34.6	8,478	21.5
Moderate-income	12	30	10,013	25.4	1,877	18.7	6,957	17.6
Middle-income	17	42.5	20,007	50.7	1,349	6.7	8,446	21.4
Upper-income	6	15	8,115	20.6	308	3.8	15,605	39.5
Unknown-income	2	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	40	100.0	39,486	100.0	4,001	10.1	39,486	100.0
	Housing	Housing Types by Tract						
	Units by Tract	Owner-Occupied			Rental		Vacant	
		#	%	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	5,454	313	0.8	5.7	4,271	78.3	870	16
Moderate-income	22,220	8,432	21.9	37.9	11,820	53.2	1,968	8.9
Middle-income	32,073	21,071	54.6	65.7	8,597	26.8	2,405	7.5
Upper-income	13,621	8,735	22.7	64.1	4,240	31.1	646	4.7
Unknown-income	302	6	0	2	166	55	130	43
Total Assessment Area	73,670	38,557	100.0	52.3	29,094	39.5	6,019	8.2
	Total Businesses by Tract	Businesses by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	350	6.1	313	6.1	34	7.1	3	4.5
Moderate-income	1,982	34.8	1,719	33.4	253	52.6	10	15.2
Middle-income	2,350	41.3	2,157	41.9	151	31.4	42	63.6
Upper-income	990	17.4	937	18.2	43	8.9	10	15.2
Unknown-income	21	0.4	20	0.4	0	0	1	1.5
Total Assessment Area	5,693	100.0	5,146	100.0	481	100.0	66	100.0
	Percentage of Total Businesses:		90.4		8.4		1.2	
	Total Farms by Tract	Farms by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Moderate-income	15	3.6	14	3.4	1	20	0	0
Middle-income	376	89.7	372	89.9	4	80	0	0
Upper-income	28	6.7	28	6.8	0	0	0	0
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	419	100.0	414	100.0	5	100.0	0	.0
	Percentage of Total Farms:		98.8		1.2		.0	

Combined Demographics Report

Assessment Area: IN Northern IN

Income Categories	Tract Distribution		Families by Tract Income		Families < Poverty Level as % of Families by Tract		Families by Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	3,149	16.8
Moderate-income	1	6.3	1,026	5.5	163	15.9	3,550	18.9
Middle-income	12	75	14,584	77.6	1,498	10.3	4,441	23.6
Upper-income	3	18.8	3,176	16.9	151	4.8	7,646	40.7
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	16	100.0	18,786	100.0	1,812	9.6	18,786	100.0
	Housing	Housing Types by Tract						
	Units by Tract	Owner-Occupied		Rental		Vacant		
		#	%	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Moderate-income	1,484	899	4.4	60.6	530	35.7	55	3.7
Middle-income	25,262	15,987	77.6	63.3	4,604	18.2	4,671	18.5
Upper-income	5,499	3,716	18	67.6	451	8.2	1,332	24.2
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	32,245	20,602	100.0	63.9	5,585	17.3	6,058	18.8
	Total Businesses by Tract	Businesses by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Moderate-income	199	7.2	169	6.9	28	11.4	2	4.3
Middle-income	2,122	77.2	1,887	76.8	193	78.5	42	89.4
Upper-income	429	15.6	401	16.3	25	10.2	3	6.4
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	2,750	100.0	2,457	100.0	246	100.0	47	100.0
	Percentage of Total Businesses:		89.3		8.9		1.7	
	Total Farms by Tract	Farms by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Moderate-income	5	1.5	4	1.2	1	20	0	0
Middle-income	233	68.3	229	68.2	4	80	0	0
Upper-income	103	30.2	103	30.7	0	0	0	0
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	341	100.0	336	100.0	5	100.0	0	.0
	Percentage of Total Farms:		98.5		1.5		.0	

Combined Demographics Report

Assessment Area: IN Terre Haute

Income Categories	Tract Distribution		Families by Tract Income		Families < Poverty Level as % of Families by Tract		Families by Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	6	13.6	2,956	7	1,221	41.3	8,747	20.7
Moderate-income	7	15.9	5,268	12.4	968	18.4	7,817	18.5
Middle-income	24	54.5	24,327	57.4	2,389	9.8	8,605	20.3
Upper-income	7	15.9	9,801	23.1	401	4.1	17,183	40.6
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	44	100.0	42,352	100.0	4,979	11.8	42,352	100.0
	Housing Units by Tract	Housing Types by Tract						
		Owner-Occupied			Rental		Vacant	
		#	%	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	7,768	2,164	4.7	27.9	3,925	50.5	1,679	21.6
Moderate-income	10,079	5,633	12.2	55.9	3,074	30.5	1,372	13.6
Middle-income	40,970	27,393	59.3	66.9	8,959	21.9	4,618	11.3
Upper-income	15,568	10,986	23.8	70.6	3,290	21.1	1,292	8.3
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	74,385	46,176	100.0	62.1	19,248	25.9	8,961	12.0
	Total Businesses by Tract	Businesses by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Low-income	779	14.6	639	13.5	132	25.3	8	7.3
Moderate-income	587	11	498	10.6	74	14.2	15	13.6
Middle-income	2,888	54	2,580	54.7	239	45.8	69	62.7
Upper-income	1,095	20.5	1,000	21.2	77	14.8	18	16.4
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	5,349	100.0	4,717	100.0	522	100.0	110	100.0
Percentage of Total Businesses:			88.2		9.8		2.1	
	Total Farms by Tract	Farms by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Low-income	1	0.2	1	0.2	0	0	0	0
Moderate-income	2	0.5	2	0.5	0	0	0	0
Middle-income	327	79.6	325	79.5	2	100	0	0
Upper-income	81	19.7	81	19.8	0	0	0	0
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	411	100.0	409	100.0	2	100.0	0	.0
Percentage of Total Farms:			99.5		.5		.0	

Combined Demographics Report

Assessment Area: KY Eastern KY

Income Categories	Tract Distribution		Families by Tract Income		Families < Poverty Level as % of Families by Tract		Families by Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	1	2.2	113	0.2	57	50.4	7,357	14.8
Moderate-income	2	4.4	1,088	2.2	321	29.5	6,548	13.2
Middle-income	14	31.1	14,677	29.5	2,353	16	8,538	17.2
Upper-income	28	62.2	33,811	68	2,952	8.7	27,246	54.8
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	45	100.0	49,689	100.0	5,683	11.4	49,689	100.0
	Housing Units by Tract	Housing Types by Tract						
		Owner-Occupied			Rental		Vacant	
		#	%	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	353	6	0	1.7	290	82.2	57	16.1
Moderate-income	2,298	977	1.9	42.5	1,029	44.8	292	12.7
Middle-income	26,329	14,050	28	53.4	9,096	34.5	3,183	12.1
Upper-income	55,380	35,168	70.1	63.5	15,076	27.2	5,136	9.3
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	84,360	50,201	100.0	59.5	25,491	30.2	8,668	10.3
	Total Businesses by Tract	Businesses by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Low-income	18	0.2	14	0.2	3	0.6	1	0.6
Moderate-income	228	3.1	192	2.9	19	4.1	17	10.4
Middle-income	2,362	32.4	2,133	32	165	35.6	64	39
Upper-income	4,682	64.2	4,324	64.9	276	59.6	82	50
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	7,290	100.0	6,663	100.0	463	100.0	164	100.0
Percentage of Total Businesses:			91.4		6.4		2.2	
	Total Farms by Tract	Farms by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Low-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Moderate-income	1	0.3	1	0.3	0	0	0	0
Middle-income	84	24.3	84	24.6	0	0	0	0
Upper-income	260	75.4	257	75.1	3	100	0	0
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	345	100.0	342	100.0	3	100.0	0	.0
Percentage of Total Farms:			99.1		.9		.0	

Combined Demographics Report

Assessment Area: KY Western KY

Income Categories	Tract Distribution		Families by Tract Income		Families < Poverty Level as % of Families by Tract		Families by Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	3,724	17.3
Moderate-income	3	13	2,206	10.2	576	26.1	3,292	15.3
Middle-income	11	47.8	11,745	54.4	1,468	12.5	4,455	20.7
Upper-income	8	34.8	7,622	35.3	576	7.6	10,102	46.8
Unknown-income	1	4.3	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	23	100.0	21,573	100.0	2,620	12.1	21,573	100.0
	Housing	Housing Types by Tract						
	Units by Tract	Owner-Occupied			Rental		Vacant	
		#	%	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Moderate-income	4,580	1,917	8.2	41.9	1,764	38.5	899	19.6
Middle-income	19,599	12,816	55	65.4	3,690	18.8	3,093	15.8
Upper-income	12,465	8,569	36.8	68.7	2,305	18.5	1,591	12.8
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	36,644	23,302	100.0	63.6	7,759	21.2	5,583	15.2
	Total Businesses by Tract	Businesses by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Moderate-income	442	16.5	394	16.4	38	18	10	17.2
Middle-income	1,363	51	1,208	50.3	119	56.4	36	62.1
Upper-income	866	32.4	800	33.3	54	25.6	12	20.7
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	2,671	100.0	2,402	100.0	211	100.0	58	100.0
	Percentage of Total Businesses:		89.9		7.9		2.2	
	Total Farms by Tract	Farms by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Moderate-income	6	2.4	6	2.5	0	0	0	0
Middle-income	123	50.2	121	50	2	66.7	0	0
Upper-income	116	47.3	115	47.5	1	33.3	0	0
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	245	100.0	242	100.0	3	100.0	0	.0
	Percentage of Total Farms:		98.8		1.2		.0	

Combined Demographics Report

Assessment Area: KY Owensboro

Income Categories	Tract Distribution		Families by Tract Income		Families < Poverty Level as % of Families by Tract		Families by Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	2	8.7	1,031	4.1	376	36.5	5,122	20.3
Moderate-income	2	8.7	2,507	9.9	688	27.4	4,066	16.1
Middle-income	14	60.9	16,253	64.5	1,398	8.6	6,054	24
Upper-income	5	21.7	5,414	21.5	283	5.2	9,963	39.5
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	23	100.0	25,205	100.0	2,745	10.9	25,205	100.0
	Housing	Housing Types by Tract						
	Units by Tract	Owner-Occupied			Rental		Vacant	
		#	%	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	2,552	685	2.6	26.8	1,395	54.7	472	18.5
Moderate-income	4,500	2,147	8.2	47.7	1,817	40.4	536	11.9
Middle-income	26,219	17,096	65.3	65.2	6,990	26.7	2,133	8.1
Upper-income	8,178	6,272	23.9	76.7	1,242	15.2	664	8.1
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	41,449	26,200	100.0	63.2	11,444	27.6	3,805	9.2
	Total Businesses by Tract	Businesses by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	367	10.3	306	9.7	54	14.6	7	19.4
Moderate-income	400	11.2	337	10.7	61	16.5	2	5.6
Middle-income	1,935	54.2	1,728	54.7	186	50.3	21	58.3
Upper-income	865	24.3	790	25	69	18.6	6	16.7
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	3,567	100.0	3,161	100.0	370	100.0	36	100.0
	Percentage of Total Businesses:			88.6		10.4		1.0
	Total Farms by Tract	Farms by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	1	0.4	1	0.4	0	0	0	0
Moderate-income	1	0.4	1	0.4	0	0	0	0
Middle-income	168	74.3	166	74.1	2	100	0	0
Upper-income	56	24.8	56	25	0	0	0	0
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	226	100.0	224	100.0	2	100.0	0	.0
	Percentage of Total Farms:			99.1		.9		.0

Combined Demographics Report

Assessment Area: MI Battle Creek

Income Categories	Tract Distribution		Families by Tract Income		Families < Poverty Level as % of Families by Tract		Families by Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	4	10.3	2,231	6.2	950	42.6	7,528	21
Moderate-income	11	28.2	8,557	23.9	1,780	20.8	6,475	18.1
Middle-income	15	38.5	13,975	39	1,308	9.4	7,362	20.5
Upper-income	9	23.1	11,096	30.9	403	3.6	14,494	40.4
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	39	100.0	35,859	100.0	4,441	12.4	35,859	100.0
	Housing Units by Tract	Housing Types by Tract						
		Owner-Occupied			Rental		Vacant	
		#	%	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	4,442	1,908	4.9	43	1,766	39.8	768	17.3
Moderate-income	16,141	8,720	22.4	54	5,161	32	2,260	14
Middle-income	24,036	15,138	38.9	63	6,033	25.1	2,865	11.9
Upper-income	16,483	13,125	33.7	79.6	2,074	12.6	1,284	7.8
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	61,102	38,891	100.0	63.6	15,034	24.6	7,177	11.7
	Total Businesses by Tract	Businesses by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Low-income	400	8.6	331	8	66	14.1	3	4.9
Moderate-income	1,048	22.6	859	20.9	167	35.8	22	36.1
Middle-income	1,770	38.1	1,620	39.3	124	26.6	26	42.6
Upper-income	1,427	30.7	1,307	31.7	110	23.6	10	16.4
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	4,645	100.0	4,117	100.0	467	100.0	61	100.0
Percentage of Total Businesses:			88.6		10.1		1.3	
	Total Farms by Tract	Farms by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Low-income	1	0.5	1	0.5	0	0	0	0
Moderate-income	20	9.3	20	9.7	0	0	0	0
Middle-income	134	62.6	128	62.1	6	75	0	0
Upper-income	59	27.6	57	27.7	2	25	0	0
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	214	100.0	206	100.0	8	100.0	0	.0
Percentage of Total Farms:			96.3		3.7		.0	

Combined Demographics Report

Assessment Area: MI Jackson

Income Categories	Tract Distribution		Families by Tract Income		Families < Poverty Level as % of Families by Tract		Families by Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	7	18.4	3,595	8.7	1,179	32.8	8,584	20.7
Moderate-income	5	13.2	4,507	10.9	815	18.1	7,592	18.3
Middle-income	16	42.1	22,242	53.6	2,058	9.3	8,846	21.3
Upper-income	9	23.7	11,164	26.9	525	4.7	16,486	39.7
Unknown-income	1	2.6	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	38	100.0	41,508	100.0	4,577	11.0	41,508	100.0
	Housing	Housing Types by Tract						
	Units by Tract	Owner-Occupied			Rental		Vacant	
		#	%	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	7,776	2,933	6.4	37.7	3,740	48.1	1,103	14.2
Moderate-income	8,910	4,625	10	51.9	2,930	32.9	1,355	15.2
Middle-income	35,507	25,197	54.7	71	6,044	17	4,266	12
Upper-income	16,903	13,294	28.9	78.6	1,849	10.9	1,760	10.4
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	69,096	46,049	100.0	66.6	14,563	21.1	8,484	12.3
	Total Businesses by Tract	Businesses by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	814	14.9	652	13.5	153	26.5	9	16.7
Moderate-income	764	14	634	13.1	128	22.1	2	3.7
Middle-income	2,497	45.7	2,265	46.8	202	34.9	30	55.6
Upper-income	1,391	25.4	1,285	26.6	93	16.1	13	24.1
Unknown-income	2	0	0	0	2	0.3	0	0
Total Assessment Area	5,468	100.0	4,836	100.0	578	100.0	54	100.0
	Percentage of Total Businesses:			88.4		10.6		1.0
	Total Farms by Tract	Farms by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	1	0.5	1	0.5	0	0	0	0
Moderate-income	2	0.9	2	0.9	0	0	0	0
Middle-income	132	61.4	129	60.8	3	100	0	0
Upper-income	80	37.2	80	37.7	0	0	0	0
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	215	100.0	212	100.0	3	100.0	0	.0
	Percentage of Total Farms:			98.6		1.4		.0

Combined Demographics Report

Assessment Area: MI Kalamazoo

Income Categories	Tract Distribution		Families by Tract Income		Families < Poverty Level as % of Families by Tract		Families by Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	7	9.6	4,086	5.1	1,657	40.6	18,243	22.7
Moderate-income	15	20.5	11,507	14.3	2,064	17.9	13,205	16.4
Middle-income	35	47.9	44,470	55.3	4,429	10	16,485	20.5
Upper-income	15	20.5	20,362	25.3	1,000	4.9	32,492	40.4
Unknown-income	1	1.4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	73	100.0	80,425	100.0	9,150	11.4	80,425	100.0
	Housing	Housing Types by Tract						
	Units by Tract	Owner-Occupied			Rental		Vacant	
		#	%	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	9,471	3,192	3.6	33.7	4,941	52.2	1,338	14.1
Moderate-income	26,881	11,923	13.5	44.4	10,914	40.6	4,044	15
Middle-income	78,506	49,538	56.2	63.1	19,686	25.1	9,282	11.8
Upper-income	31,132	23,498	26.7	75.5	4,860	15.6	2,774	8.9
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	145,990	88,151	100.0	60.4	40,401	27.7	17,438	11.9
	Total Businesses by Tract	Businesses by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	684	5.5	557	5	122	10	5	4.2
Moderate-income	2,158	17.3	1,849	16.6	283	23.2	26	21.7
Middle-income	6,707	53.7	6,000	53.8	648	53.1	59	49.2
Upper-income	2,940	23.5	2,742	24.6	168	13.8	30	25
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	12,489	100.0	11,148	100.0	1,221	100.0	120	100.0
	Percentage of Total Businesses:			89.3		9.8		1.0
	Total Farms by Tract	Farms by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	1	0.2	1	0.2	0	0	0	0
Moderate-income	94	20.3	81	19.1	13	35.1	0	0
Middle-income	304	65.8	284	66.8	20	54.1	0	0
Upper-income	63	13.6	59	13.9	4	10.8	0	0
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	462	100.0	425	100.0	37	100.0	0	.0
	Percentage of Total Farms:			92.0		8.0		.0

Combined Demographics Report

Assessment Area: MI Lansing

Income Categories	Tract Distribution		Families by Tract Income		Families < Poverty Level as % of Families by Tract		Families by Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	8	6.1	5,794	5.1	1,782	30.8	23,205	20.6
Moderate-income	28	21.4	18,575	16.5	3,478	18.7	20,063	17.8
Middle-income	57	43.5	57,489	50.9	4,343	7.6	24,731	21.9
Upper-income	28	21.4	31,036	27.5	1,321	4.3	44,895	39.8
Unknown-income	10	7.6	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	131	100.0	112,894	100.0	10,924	9.7	112,894	100.0
	Housing	Housing Types by Tract						
	Units by Tract	Owner-Occupied			Rental		Vacant	
		#	%	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	11,204	4,384	3.6	39.1	5,360	47.8	1,460	13
Moderate-income	41,429	18,507	15	44.7	17,194	41.5	5,728	13.8
Middle-income	97,061	65,073	52.9	67	24,125	24.9	7,863	8.1
Upper-income	47,591	35,062	28.5	73.7	9,762	20.5	2,767	5.8
Unknown-income	1,223	31	0	2.5	904	73.9	288	23.5
Total Assessment Area	198,508	123,057	100.0	62.0	57,345	28.9	18,106	9.1
	Total Businesses by Tract	Businesses by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	667	3.8	579	3.7	84	5.1	4	2.1
Moderate-income	4,008	22.8	3,409	21.6	544	32.8	55	28.4
Middle-income	7,647	43.4	6,981	44.3	573	34.5	93	47.9
Upper-income	5,034	28.6	4,580	29.1	419	25.2	35	18
Unknown-income	251	1.4	203	1.3	41	2.5	7	3.6
Total Assessment Area	17,607	100.0	15,752	100.0	1,661	100.0	194	100.0
	Percentage of Total Businesses:			89.5		9.4		1.1
	Total Farms by Tract	Farms by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	2	0.3	2	0.3	0	0	0	0
Moderate-income	20	2.6	20	2.7	0	0	0	0
Middle-income	553	73.1	542	73.3	11	64.7	0	0
Upper-income	177	23.4	173	23.4	4	23.5	0	0
Unknown-income	4	0.5	2	0.3	2	11.8	0	0
Total Assessment Area	756	100.0	739	100.0	17	100.0	0	.0
	Percentage of Total Farms:			97.8		2.2		.0

Combined Demographics Report

Assessment Area: MI Northern MI

Income Categories	Tract Distribution		Families by Tract Income		Families < Poverty Level as % of Families by Tract		Families by Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	1	0.6	351	0.2	217	61.8	30,966	19.2
Moderate-income	25	14.5	19,855	12.3	3,633	18.3	29,821	18.5
Middle-income	109	63	107,752	66.9	11,538	10.7	35,764	22.2
Upper-income	29	16.8	33,023	20.5	1,713	5.2	64,430	40
Unknown-income	9	5.2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	173	100.0	160,981	100.0	17,101	10.6	160,981	100.0
	Housing	Housing Types by Tract						
	Units by Tract	Owner-Occupied			Rental		Vacant	
		#	%	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	2,187	20	0	0.9	1,930	88.2	237	10.8
Moderate-income	62,831	24,194	12.7	38.5	7,801	12.4	30,836	49.1
Middle-income	236,906	126,694	66.6	53.5	33,609	14.2	76,603	32.3
Upper-income	65,337	39,459	20.7	60.4	8,948	13.7	16,930	25.9
Unknown-income	31	0	0	0	0	0	31	100
Total Assessment Area	367,292	190,367	100.0	51.8	52,288	14.2	124,637	33.9
	Total Businesses by Tract	Businesses by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	107	0.4	94	0.4	13	0.6	0	0
Moderate-income	3,123	11.4	2,746	11.1	285	13.2	92	18.3
Middle-income	17,847	65.1	16,071	64.9	1,436	66.3	340	67.5
Upper-income	6,331	23.1	5,828	23.6	431	19.9	72	14.3
Unknown-income	10	0	8	0	2	0.1	0	0
Total Assessment Area	27,418	100.0	24,747	100.0	2,167	100.0	504	100.0
	Percentage of Total Businesses:		90.3		7.9		1.8	
	Total Farms by Tract	Farms by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	2	0.1	2	0.1	0	0	0	0
Moderate-income	123	7.9	122	8.1	1	2.1	0	0
Middle-income	1,095	70.7	1,062	70.8	33	70.2	0	0
Upper-income	328	21.2	315	21	13	27.7	0	0
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	1,548	100.0	1,501	100.0	47	100.0	0	.0
	Percentage of Total Farms:		97.0		3.0		.0	

Combined Demographics Report

Assessment Area: MI Southern MI

Income Categories	Tract Distribution		Families by Tract Income		Families < Poverty Level as % of Families by Tract		Families by Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	1	1.2	466	0.5	127	27.3	16,089	16.9
Moderate-income	8	9.5	5,734	6	1,281	22.3	16,579	17.4
Middle-income	55	65.5	64,275	67.4	7,119	11.1	22,165	23.2
Upper-income	18	21.4	24,926	26.1	1,352	5.4	40,568	42.5
Unknown-income	2	2.4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	84	100.0	95,401	100.0	9,879	10.4	95,401	100.0
	Housing	Housing Types by Tract						
	Units by Tract	Owner-Occupied			Rental		Vacant	
		#	%	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	987	292	0.3	29.6	529	53.6	166	16.8
Moderate-income	9,930	5,717	5.4	57.6	2,691	27.1	1,522	15.3
Middle-income	105,157	72,110	67.6	68.6	18,203	17.3	14,844	14.1
Upper-income	37,591	28,484	26.7	75.8	4,320	11.5	4,787	12.7
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	153,665	106,603	100.0	69.4	25,743	16.8	21,319	13.9
	Total Businesses by Tract	Businesses by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	235	1.9	209	1.9	24	2.4	2	1.1
Moderate-income	784	6.4	620	5.6	154	15.6	10	5.5
Middle-income	7,963	64.9	7,238	65.2	605	61.4	120	66.3
Upper-income	3,292	26.8	3,041	27.4	202	20.5	49	27.1
Unknown-income	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	12,275	100.0	11,109	100.0	985	100.0	181	100.0
	Percentage of Total Businesses:		90.5		8.0		1.5	
	Total Farms by Tract	Farms by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Moderate-income	28	2.4	26	2.2	2	5.7	0	0
Middle-income	864	72.5	843	72.9	21	60	0	0
Upper-income	299	25.1	287	24.8	12	34.3	0	0
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	1,191	100.0	1,156	100.0	35	100.0	0	.0
	Percentage of Total Farms:		97.1		2.9		.0	

Combined Demographics Report

Assessment Area: MI Saginaw

Income Categories	Tract Distribution		Families by Tract Income		Families < Poverty Level as % of Families by Tract		Families by Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	9	8.8	4,968	4.8	2,246	45.2	21,368	20.6
Moderate-income	21	20.6	15,091	14.5	3,214	21.3	18,273	17.6
Middle-income	50	49	52,426	50.4	4,818	9.2	22,174	21.3
Upper-income	21	20.6	31,494	30.3	1,285	4.1	42,164	40.6
Unknown-income	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	102	100.0	103,979	100.0	11,563	11.1	103,979	100.0
	Housing Units by Tract	Housing Types by Tract						
		Owner-Occupied			Rental		Vacant	
		#	%	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	10,281	3,999	3.4	38.9	4,012	39	2,270	22.1
Moderate-income	31,043	15,626	13.2	50.3	10,700	34.5	4,717	15.2
Middle-income	82,690	62,294	52.7	75.3	13,458	16.3	6,938	8.4
Upper-income	47,359	36,362	30.7	76.8	8,220	17.4	2,777	5.9
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	171,373	118,281	100.0	69.0	36,390	21.2	16,702	9.7
	Total Businesses by Tract	Businesses by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Low-income	639	4.7	510	4.2	122	9.1	7	5
Moderate-income	2,174	15.8	1,881	15.3	274	20.5	19	13.6
Middle-income	6,472	47.1	5,846	47.7	540	40.4	86	61.4
Upper-income	4,451	32.4	4,023	32.8	400	29.9	28	20
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	13,736	100.0	12,260	100.0	1,336	100.0	140	100.0
Percentage of Total Businesses:			89.3		9.7		1.0	
	Total Farms by Tract	Farms by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Low-income	1	0.1	1	0.1	0	0	0	0
Moderate-income	23	3.3	23	3.3	0	0	0	0
Middle-income	488	69.6	483	69.4	5	100	0	0
Upper-income	189	27	189	27.2	0	0	0	0
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	701	100.0	696	100.0	5	100.0	0	.0
Percentage of Total Farms:			99.3		.7		.0	

Combined Demographics Report

Assessment Area: NC Asheville

Income Categories	Tract Distribution		Families by Tract Income		Families < Poverty Level as % of Families by Tract		Families by Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	2	3.6	858	1.4	388	45.2	12,085	19.8
Moderate-income	8	14.3	8,676	14.2	1,555	17.9	11,260	18.5
Middle-income	33	58.9	37,618	61.8	2,970	7.9	13,045	21.4
Upper-income	13	23.2	13,734	22.6	851	6.2	24,496	40.2
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	56	100.0	60,886	100.0	5,764	9.5	60,886	100.0
	Housing	Housing Types by Tract						
	Units by Tract	Owner-Occupied		Rental		Vacant		
		#	%	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	2,403	750	1.1	31.2	1,492	62.1	161	6.7
Moderate-income	16,861	8,598	12.8	51	6,343	37.6	1,920	11.4
Middle-income	66,490	42,753	63.7	64.3	16,716	25.1	7,021	10.6
Upper-income	25,125	15,025	22.4	59.8	7,632	30.4	2,468	9.8
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	110,879	67,126	100.0	60.5	32,183	29.0	11,570	10.4
	Total Businesses by Tract	Businesses by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	591	4	489	3.6	100	10.3	2	2.4
Moderate-income	2,275	15.4	2,065	15.1	202	20.8	8	9.4
Middle-income	7,457	50.6	7,021	51.4	389	40	47	55.3
Upper-income	4,402	29.9	4,093	29.9	281	28.9	28	32.9
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	14,725	100.0	13,668	100.0	972	100.0	85	100.0
	Percentage of Total Businesses:			92.8		6.6		.6
	Total Farms by Tract	Farms by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Moderate-income	30	13	30	13.2	0	0	0	0
Middle-income	146	63.2	143	63	3	75	0	0
Upper-income	55	23.8	54	23.8	1	25	0	0
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	231	100.0	227	100.0	4	100.0	0	.0
	Percentage of Total Farms:			98.3		1.7		.0

Combined Demographics Report

Assessment Area: NC Hickory

Income Categories	Tract Distribution		Families by Tract Income		Families < Poverty Level as % of Families by Tract		Families by Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	7,151	17.8
Moderate-income	4	12.9	2,931	7.3	718	24.5	7,027	17.5
Middle-income	18	58.1	25,670	64	2,423	9.4	8,548	21.3
Upper-income	9	29	11,485	28.7	580	5.1	17,360	43.3
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	31	100.0	40,086	100.0	3,721	9.3	40,086	100.0
	Housing	Housing Types by Tract						
	Units by Tract	Owner-Occupied		Rental		Vacant		
		#	%	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Moderate-income	6,339	2,358	5.5	37.2	3,052	48.1	929	14.7
Middle-income	42,774	27,253	64.1	63.7	10,754	25.1	4,767	11.1
Upper-income	18,326	12,936	30.4	70.6	2,898	15.8	2,492	13.6
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	67,439	42,547	100.0	63.1	16,704	24.8	8,188	12.1
	Total Businesses by Tract	Businesses by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Moderate-income	1,015	15.6	789	13.8	218	30	8	13.3
Middle-income	3,358	51.5	3,005	52.4	329	45.3	24	40
Upper-income	2,145	32.9	1,937	33.8	180	24.8	28	46.7
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	6,518	100.0	5,731	100.0	727	100.0	60	100.0
	Percentage of Total Businesses:		87.9		11.2		.9	
	Total Farms by Tract	Farms by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Moderate-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Middle-income	56	60.9	54	61.4	2	50	0	0
Upper-income	36	39.1	34	38.6	2	50	0	0
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	92	100.0	88	100.0	4	100.0	0	.0
	Percentage of Total Farms:		95.7		4.3		.0	

Combined Demographics Report

Assessment Area: NC Western NC

Income Categories	Tract Distribution		Families by Tract Income		Families < Poverty Level as % of Families by Tract		Families by Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	1	1.3	1,144	1.2	494	43.2	20,056	21.7
Moderate-income	9	11.4	7,346	7.9	1,665	22.7	16,050	17.3
Middle-income	54	68.4	69,872	75.5	9,469	13.6	18,992	20.5
Upper-income	15	19	14,176	15.3	981	6.9	37,440	40.5
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	79	100.0	92,538	100.0	12,609	13.6	92,538	100.0
	Housing	Housing Types by Tract						
	Units by Tract	Owner-Occupied		Rental		Vacant		
		#	%	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	2,663	969	1	36.4	1,326	49.8	368	13.8
Moderate-income	16,623	8,099	8.2	48.7	4,432	26.7	4,092	24.6
Middle-income	127,672	73,621	74.5	57.7	29,587	23.2	24,464	19.2
Upper-income	37,715	16,091	16.3	42.7	7,585	20.1	14,039	37.2
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	184,673	98,780	100.0	53.5	42,930	23.2	42,963	23.3
	Total Businesses by Tract	Businesses by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	265	2	233	1.9	31	3.2	1	0.5
Moderate-income	1,238	9.4	1,088	9	128	13.3	22	10.9
Middle-income	9,146	69.2	8,389	69.6	623	65	134	66.7
Upper-income	2,562	19.4	2,341	19.4	177	18.5	44	21.9
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	13,211	100.0	12,051	100.0	959	100.0	201	100.0
	Percentage of Total Businesses:			91.2		7.3		1.5
	Total Farms by Tract	Farms by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Moderate-income	48	9.7	45	9.3	3	33.3	0	0
Middle-income	386	78.1	380	78.5	5	55.6	1	100
Upper-income	60	12.1	59	12.2	1	11.1	0	0
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	494	100.0	484	100.0	9	100.0	1	100.0
	Percentage of Total Farms:			98.0		1.8		.2

Combined Demographics Report

Assessment Area: NC Raleigh

Income Categories	Tract Distribution		Families by Tract Income		Families < Poverty Level as % of Families by Tract		Families by Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	13	7	9,359	4.4	2,642	28.2	41,774	19.5
Moderate-income	31	16.6	38,232	17.9	4,967	13	33,734	15.8
Middle-income	64	34.2	79,569	37.2	4,469	5.6	41,937	19.6
Upper-income	76	40.6	86,648	40.5	2,240	2.6	96,377	45.1
Unknown-income	3	1.6	14	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	187	100.0	213,822	100.0	14,318	6.7	213,822	100.0
	Housing	Housing Types by Tract						
	Units by Tract	Owner-Occupied			Rental		Vacant	
		#	%	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	20,908	4,237	2	20.3	13,964	66.8	2,707	12.9
Moderate-income	72,857	36,537	17	50.1	29,294	40.2	7,026	9.6
Middle-income	129,418	82,231	38.2	63.5	36,694	28.4	10,493	8.1
Upper-income	132,035	92,466	42.9	70	30,003	22.7	9,566	7.2
Unknown-income	60	14	0	23.3	46	76.7	0	0
Total Assessment Area	355,278	215,485	100.0	60.7	110,001	31.0	29,792	8.4
	Total Businesses by Tract	Businesses by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	1,838	3.8	1,597	3.6	226	5.9	15	3.4
Moderate-income	8,219	16.8	7,227	16.2	905	23.5	87	19.8
Middle-income	16,395	33.6	15,079	33.9	1,228	31.9	88	20
Upper-income	22,286	45.7	20,557	46.2	1,479	38.4	250	56.8
Unknown-income	48	0.1	34	0.1	14	0.4	0	0
Total Assessment Area	48,786	100.0	44,494	100.0	3,852	100.0	440	100.0
	Percentage of Total Businesses:		91.2		7.9		.9	
	Total Farms by Tract	Farms by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	6	1.5	6	1.5	0	0	0	0
Moderate-income	51	12.8	48	12.2	3	50	0	0
Middle-income	194	48.6	192	48.9	2	33.3	0	0
Upper-income	148	37.1	147	37.4	1	16.7	0	0
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	399	100.0	393	100.0	6	100.0	0	.0
	Percentage of Total Farms:		98.5		1.5		.0	

Combined Demographics Report

Assessment Area: OH Dayton

Income Categories	Tract Distribution		Families by Tract Income		Families < Poverty Level as % of Families by Tract		Families by Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	26	10.3	16,030	6.5	6,277	39.2	51,071	20.8
Moderate-income	60	23.7	48,365	19.7	8,513	17.6	44,326	18.1
Middle-income	103	40.7	105,071	42.8	7,877	7.5	51,442	21
Upper-income	63	24.9	75,972	31	2,800	3.7	98,599	40.2
Unknown-income	1	0.4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	253	100.0	245,438	100.0	25,467	10.4	245,438	100.0
	Housing	Housing Types by Tract						
	Units by Tract	Owner-Occupied			Rental		Vacant	
		#	%	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	37,561	11,502	4.5	30.6	16,766	44.6	9,293	24.7
Moderate-income	98,335	44,751	17.6	45.5	39,402	40.1	14,182	14.4
Middle-income	178,166	112,632	44.2	63.2	49,683	27.9	15,851	8.9
Upper-income	113,532	85,878	33.7	75.6	21,240	18.7	6,414	5.6
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	427,594	254,763	100.0	59.6	127,091	29.7	45,740	10.7
	Total Businesses by Tract	Businesses by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	2,346	7.1	1,972	6.7	366	10.9	8	2.8
Moderate-income	6,004	18.2	5,148	17.5	814	24.3	42	14.7
Middle-income	13,693	41.5	12,271	41.8	1,271	38	151	53
Upper-income	10,954	33.2	9,977	34	893	26.7	84	29.5
Unknown-income	6	0	5	0	1	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	33,003	100.0	29,373	100.0	3,345	100.0	285	100.0
	Percentage of Total Businesses:			89.0		10.1		.9
	Total Farms by Tract	Farms by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	6	0.8	6	0.8	0	0	0	0
Moderate-income	47	5.9	44	5.6	3	16.7	0	0
Middle-income	462	57.8	453	57.9	9	50	0	0
Upper-income	285	35.6	279	35.7	6	33.3	0	0
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	800	100.0	782	100.0	18	100.0	0	.0
	Percentage of Total Farms:			97.8		2.3		.0

Combined Demographics Report

Assessment Area: OH Lima

Income Categories	Tract Distribution		Families by Tract Income		Families < Poverty Level as % of Families by Tract		Families by Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	5	15.2	2,373	8.5	923	38.9	5,960	21.5
Moderate-income	6	18.2	3,434	12.4	882	25.7	4,848	17.5
Middle-income	16	48.5	13,728	49.4	1,270	9.3	6,217	22.4
Upper-income	6	18.2	8,234	29.7	269	3.3	10,744	38.7
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	33	100.0	27,769	100.0	3,344	12.0	27,769	100.0
	Housing	Housing Types by Tract						
	Units by Tract	Owner-Occupied			Rental		Vacant	
		#	%	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	4,765	1,622	5.6	34	2,151	45.1	992	20.8
Moderate-income	6,812	3,024	10.4	44.4	2,634	38.7	1,154	16.9
Middle-income	22,008	14,870	51.3	67.6	5,283	24	1,855	8.4
Upper-income	11,504	9,470	32.7	82.3	1,665	14.5	369	3.2
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	45,089	28,986	100.0	64.3	11,733	26.0	4,370	9.7
	Total Businesses by Tract	Businesses by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	571	14.9	481	14.2	86	21.1	4	10.3
Moderate-income	422	11	351	10.4	68	16.7	3	7.7
Middle-income	1,828	47.8	1,633	48.4	169	41.4	26	66.7
Upper-income	1,003	26.2	912	27	85	20.8	6	15.4
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	3,824	100.0	3,377	100.0	408	100.0	39	100.0
	Percentage of Total Businesses:		88.3		10.7		1.0	
	Total Farms by Tract	Farms by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Moderate-income	1	0.4	1	0.4	0	0	0	0
Middle-income	205	83.3	203	83.2	2	100	0	0
Upper-income	40	16.3	40	16.4	0	0	0	0
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	246	100.0	244	100.0	2	100.0	0	.0
	Percentage of Total Farms:		99.2		.8		.0	

Combined Demographics Report

Assessment Area: OH Northwest OH

Income Categories	Tract Distribution		Families by Tract Income		Families < Poverty Level as % of Families by Tract		Families by Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	1	0.6	1,063	0.5	378	35.6	34,674	16.8
Moderate-income	22	12.4	21,982	10.7	4,349	19.8	35,562	17.3
Middle-income	102	57.6	119,970	58.2	10,467	8.7	45,556	22.1
Upper-income	50	28.2	63,025	30.6	3,448	5.5	90,248	43.8
Unknown-income	2	1.1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	177	100.0	206,040	100.0	18,642	9.0	206,040	100.0
	Housing	Housing Types by Tract						
	Units by Tract	Owner-Occupied			Rental		Vacant	
		#	%	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	2,071	749	0.3	36.2	859	41.5	463	22.4
Moderate-income	41,546	20,221	9.1	48.7	15,471	37.2	5,854	14.1
Middle-income	194,757	130,746	58.9	67.1	41,766	21.4	22,245	11.4
Upper-income	98,856	70,286	31.7	71.1	15,614	15.8	12,956	13.1
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	337,230	222,002	100.0	65.8	73,710	21.9	41,518	12.3
	Total Businesses by Tract	Businesses by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	109	0.4	94	0.4	13	0.5	2	0.4
Moderate-income	3,440	13	2,962	12.6	428	17.1	50	9.9
Middle-income	14,948	56.4	13,275	56.5	1,379	55.2	294	58.4
Upper-income	8,017	30.2	7,181	30.5	679	27.2	157	31.2
Unknown-income	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	26,516	100.0	23,514	100.0	2,499	100.0	503	100.0
	Percentage of Total Businesses:			88.7		9.4		1.9
	Total Farms by Tract	Farms by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	2	0.1	2	0.1	0	0	0	0
Moderate-income	47	1.6	45	1.5	2	5.6	0	0
Middle-income	1,797	60	1,773	59.9	24	66.7	0	0
Upper-income	1,149	38.4	1,139	38.5	10	27.8	0	0
Unknown-income	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	2,996	100.0	2,960	100.0	36	100.0	0	.0
	Percentage of Total Farms:			98.8		1.2		.0

Combined Demographics Report

Assessment Area: OH Southwest OH

Income Categories	Tract Distribution		Families by Tract Income		Families < Poverty Level as % of Families by Tract		Families by Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	6	5.9	2,280	2.1	806	35.4	26,722	24.2
Moderate-income	20	19.8	20,322	18.4	4,347	21.4	20,184	18.3
Middle-income	66	65.3	79,212	71.8	9,621	12.1	23,341	21.1
Upper-income	9	8.9	8,584	7.8	463	5.4	40,151	36.4
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	101	100.0	110,398	100.0	15,237	13.8	110,398	100.0
	Housing	Housing Types by Tract						
	Units by Tract	Owner-Occupied			Rental		Vacant	
		#	%	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	7,126	1,808	1.6	25.4	4,214	59.1	1,104	15.5
Moderate-income	37,396	20,113	17.6	53.8	11,126	29.8	6,157	16.5
Middle-income	126,394	82,293	72.1	65.1	30,169	23.9	13,932	11
Upper-income	14,520	9,906	8.7	68.2	3,270	22.5	1,344	9.3
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	185,436	114,120	100.0	61.5	48,779	26.3	22,537	12.2
	Total Businesses by Tract	Businesses by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	667	5.1	577	4.9	79	8.1	11	3.7
Moderate-income	2,777	21.3	2,460	20.9	258	26.4	59	19.7
Middle-income	8,516	65.2	7,742	65.7	558	57	216	72
Upper-income	1,095	8.4	997	8.5	84	8.6	14	4.7
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	13,055	100.0	11,776	100.0	979	100.0	300	100.0
	Percentage of Total Businesses:			90.2		7.5		2.3
	Total Farms by Tract	Farms by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	2	0.1	2	0.2	0	0	0	0
Moderate-income	153	11.4	152	11.4	1	11.1	0	0
Middle-income	1,067	79.8	1,060	79.8	7	77.8	0	0
Upper-income	115	8.6	114	8.6	1	11.1	0	0
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	1,337	100.0	1,328	100.0	9	100.0	0	.0
	Percentage of Total Farms:			99.3		.7		.0

Combined Demographics Report

Assessment Area: OH Toledo

Income Categories	Tract Distribution		Families by Tract Income		Families < Poverty Level as % of Families by Tract		Families by Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	27	16.4	13,612	8.8	5,839	42.9	33,679	21.9
Moderate-income	30	18.2	22,795	14.8	4,869	21.4	26,141	17
Middle-income	70	42.4	71,136	46.2	5,970	8.4	31,518	20.5
Upper-income	36	21.8	46,344	30.1	1,800	3.9	62,558	40.6
Unknown-income	2	1.2	9	0	9	100	0	0
Total Assessment Area	165	100.0	153,896	100.0	18,487	12.0	153,896	100.0
	Housing Units by Tract	Housing Types by Tract						
		Owner-Occupied			Rental		Vacant	
		#	%	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	29,729	9,428	5.8	31.7	14,002	47.1	6,299	21.2
Moderate-income	50,186	20,988	12.9	41.8	21,748	43.3	7,450	14.8
Middle-income	123,686	79,951	49	64.6	32,924	26.6	10,811	8.7
Upper-income	69,199	52,928	32.4	76.5	11,902	17.2	4,369	6.3
Unknown-income	129	0	0	0	110	85.3	19	14.7
Total Assessment Area	272,929	163,295	100.0	59.8	80,686	29.6	28,948	10.6
	Total Businesses by Tract	Businesses by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Low-income	1,423	6.4	1,206	6.1	211	8.6	6	2.8
Moderate-income	3,050	13.7	2,631	13.4	399	16.3	20	9.2
Middle-income	10,088	45.2	8,884	45.2	1,087	44.3	117	53.9
Upper-income	7,732	34.7	6,907	35.2	752	30.7	73	33.6
Unknown-income	21	0.1	17	0.1	3	0.1	1	0.5
Total Assessment Area	22,314	100.0	19,645	100.0	2,452	100.0	217	100.0
Percentage of Total Businesses:			88.0		11.0		1.0	
	Total Farms by Tract	Farms by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
		#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Low-income	2	0.3	1	0.2	1	6.7	0	0
Moderate-income	9	1.4	7	1.2	2	13.3	0	0
Middle-income	430	69	421	69.2	9	60	0	0
Upper-income	182	29.2	179	29.4	3	20	0	0
Unknown-income	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	623	100.0	608	100.0	15	100.0	0	.0
Percentage of Total Farms:			97.6		2.4		.0	

Combined Demographics Report

Assessment Area: TN Knoxville

Income Categories	Tract Distribution		Families by Tract Income		Families < Poverty Level as % of Families by Tract		Families by Family Income	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	9	8	5,871	5.4	2,820	48	20,076	18.5
Moderate-income	17	15.2	12,941	11.9	2,089	16.1	16,784	15.4
Middle-income	46	41.1	47,624	43.8	3,530	7.4	21,859	20.1
Upper-income	38	33.9	42,295	38.9	1,501	3.5	50,012	46
Unknown-income	2	1.8	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	112	100.0	108,731	100.0	9,940	9.1	108,731	100.0
	Housing	Housing Types by Tract						
	Units by Tract	Owner-Occupied			Rental		Vacant	
		#	%	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	17,639	3,846	3.2	21.8	11,483	65.1	2,310	13.1
Moderate-income	28,481	13,518	11.3	47.5	12,505	43.9	2,458	8.6
Middle-income	83,290	54,082	45.2	64.9	23,456	28.2	5,752	6.9
Upper-income	62,759	48,161	40.3	76.7	10,515	16.8	4,083	6.5
Unknown-income	307	0	0	0	289	94.1	18	5.9
Total Assessment Area	192,476	119,607	100.0	62.1	58,248	30.3	14,621	7.6
	Total Businesses by Tract	Businesses by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	1,174	6.6	944	6	228	11.6	2	2.4
Moderate-income	2,815	15.7	2,396	15.1	397	20.2	22	26.8
Middle-income	5,932	33.2	5,352	33.8	558	28.4	22	26.8
Upper-income	7,909	44.2	7,097	44.8	776	39.5	36	43.9
Unknown-income	50	0.3	46	0.3	4	0.2	0	0
Total Assessment Area	17,880	100.0	15,835	100.0	1,963	100.0	82	100.0
	Percentage of Total Businesses:			88.6		11.0		.5
	Total Farms by Tract	Farms by Tract & Revenue Size						
		Less Than or = \$1 Million		Over \$1 Million		Revenue Not Reported		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Low-income	3	2.3	3	2.3	0	0	0	0
Moderate-income	9	6.8	9	6.9	0	0	0	0
Middle-income	70	52.6	68	52.3	2	66.7	0	0
Upper-income	49	36.8	48	36.9	1	33.3	0	0
Unknown-income	2	1.5	2	1.5	0	0	0	0
Total Assessment Area	133	100.0	130	100.0	3	100.0	0	.0
	Percentage of Total Farms:			97.7		2.3		.0

APPENDIX H

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

Aggregate lending: The number of loans originated and purchased by all reporting lenders in specified income categories as a percentage of the aggregate number of loans originated and purchased by all reporting lenders in the metropolitan area/assessment area.

Census tract: A small subdivision of metropolitan and other densely populated counties. Census tract boundaries do not cross county lines; however, they may cross the boundaries of metropolitan statistical areas. Census tracts usually have between 2,500 and 8,000 persons, and their physical size varies widely depending upon population density. Census tracts are designed to be homogeneous with respect to population characteristics, economic status, and living conditions to allow for statistical comparisons.

Community development: All Agencies have adopted the following language. Affordable housing (including multifamily rental housing) for low- or moderate-income individuals; community services targeted to low- or moderate-income individuals; activities that promote economic development by financing businesses or farms that meet the size eligibility standards of the Small Business Administration's Development Company or Small Business Investment Company programs (13 CFR 121.301) or have gross annual revenues of \$1 million or less; or, activities that revitalize or stabilize low- or moderate-income geographies.

Effective September 1, 2005, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation have adopted the following additional language as part of the revitalize or stabilize definition of community development. Activities that revitalize or stabilize:

- (i) Low-or moderate-income geographies;
- (ii) Designated disaster areas; or
- (iii) Distressed or underserved Non-metropolitan middle-income geographies designated by the Board, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, and Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, based on-
 - a. Rates of poverty, unemployment, and population loss; or
 - b. Population size, density, and dispersion. Activities that revitalize and stabilize geographies designated based on population size, density, and dispersion if they help to meet essential community needs, including needs of low- and moderate-income individuals.

Consumer loan(s): A loan(s) to one or more individuals for household, family, or other personal expenditures. A consumer loan does not include a home mortgage, small business, or small farm loan. This definition includes the following categories: motor vehicle loans, credit card loans, home equity loans, other secured consumer loans, and other unsecured consumer loans.

Family: Includes a householder and one or more other persons living in the same household who are related to the householder by birth, marriage, or adoption. The number of family households always equals the number of families; however, a family household may also include non-relatives living with the family. Families are classified by type as either a married-couple family or other family, which is further classified into ‘male householder’ (a family with a male householder and no wife present) or ‘female householder’ (a family with a female householder and no husband present).

Full-scope review: Performance under the Lending, Investment, and Service Tests is analyzed considering performance context, quantitative factors (for example, geographic distribution, borrower distribution, and total number and dollar amount of investments), and qualitative factors (for example, innovativeness, complexity, and responsiveness).

Geography: A census tract delineated by the United States Bureau of the Census in the most recent decennial census.

Home Mortgage Disclosure Act (HMDA): The statute that requires certain mortgage lenders that do business or have banking offices in a metropolitan statistical area to file annual summary reports of their mortgage lending activity. The reports include such data as the race, gender, and the income of applications, the amount of loan requested, and the disposition of the application (for example, approved, denied, and withdrawn).

Home mortgage loans: Includes home purchase and home improvement loans as defined in the HMDA regulation. This definition also includes multifamily (five or more families) dwelling loans, loans for the purchase of manufactured homes and refinancings of home improvement and home purchase loans.

Household: Includes all persons occupying a housing unit. Persons not living in households are classified as living in group quarters. In 100 percent tabulations, the count of households always equals the count of occupied housing units.

Limited-scope review: Performance under the Lending, Investment, and Service Tests is analyzed using only quantitative factors (for example, geographic distribution, borrower distribution, total number and dollar amount of investments, and branch distribution).

Low-income: Individual income that is less than 50 percent of the area median income, or a median family income that is less than 50 percent, in the case of a geography.

Market share: The number of loans originated and purchased by the institution as a percentage of the aggregate number of loans originated and purchased by all reporting lenders in the metropolitan area/assessment area.

Metropolitan area (MA): A metropolitan statistical area (MSA) or a metropolitan division (MD) as defined by the Office of Management and Budget. A MSA is a core area containing at least one urbanized area of 50,000 or more inhabitants, together with adjacent communities having a high degree of economic and social integration with that core. A MD is a division of a MSA based on specific criteria including commuting patterns. Only a MSA that has a population of at least 2.5 million may be divided into MDs.

Middle-income: Individual income that is at least 80 percent and less than 120 percent of the area median income, or a median family income that is at least 80 percent and less than 120 percent, in the case of a geography.

Moderate-income: Individual income that is at least 50 percent and less than 80 percent of the area median income, or a median family income that is at least 50 percent and less than 80 percent, in the case of a geography.

Multifamily: Refers to a residential structure that contains five or more units.

Other products: Includes any unreported optional category of loans for which the institution collects and maintains data for consideration during a CRA examination. Examples of such activity include consumer loans and other loan data an institution may provide concerning its lending performance.

Owner-occupied units: Includes units occupied by the owner or co-owner, even if the unit has not been fully paid for or is mortgaged.

Qualified investment: A qualified investment is defined as any lawful investment, deposit, membership share, or grant that has as its primary purpose community development.

Rated area: A rated area is a state or multi-state metropolitan area. For an institution with domestic branches in only one state, the institution's CRA rating would be the state rating. If an institution maintains domestic branches in more than one state, the institution will receive a rating for each state in which those branches are located. If an institution maintains domestic branches in two or more states within a multi-state metropolitan area, the institution will receive a rating for the multi-state metropolitan area.

Small loan(s) to business(es): A loan included in 'loans to small businesses' as defined in the Consolidated Report of Condition and Income (Call Report) and the Thrift Financial Reporting (TFR) instructions. These loans have original amounts of \$1 million or less and typically are either secured by nonfarm or nonresidential real estate or are classified as commercial and industrial loans. However, thrift institutions may also exercise the option to report loans secured by nonfarm residential real estate as "small business loans" if the loans are reported on the TFR as nonmortgage, commercial loans.

Small loan(s) to farm(s): A loan included in 'loans to small farms' as defined in the instructions for preparation of the Consolidated Report of Condition and Income (Call Report). These loans have original amounts of \$500,000 or less and are either secured by farmland, or are classified as loans to finance agricultural production and other loans to farmers.

Upper-income: Individual income that is more than 120 percent of the area median income, or a median family income that is more than 120 percent, in the case of a geography.

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2022
Commission File Number 001-33653



FIFTH THIRD BANCORP
(Exact name of Registrant specified in its charter)

Ohio
(State or other jurisdiction
of incorporation or organization)

31-0854434
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification Number)

38 Fountain Square Plaza
Cincinnati, Ohio 45263
(Address of principal executive offices)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (800) 972-3030

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

<u>Title of each class:</u>	<u>Trading Symbol(s):</u>	<u>Name of each exchange on which registered:</u>		
Common Stock, Without Par Value	FITB	The	NASDAQ	Stock Market LLC
Depository Shares Representing a 1/1000th Ownership Interest in a Share of 6.625% Fixed-to-Floating Rate Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series I	FITBI	The	NASDAQ	Stock Market LLC
Depository Shares Representing a 1/40th Ownership Interest in a Share of 6.00% Non-Cumulative Perpetual Class B Preferred Stock, Series A	FITBP	The	NASDAQ	Stock Market LLC
Depository Shares Representing a 1/1000th Ownership Interest in a Share of 4.95% Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series K	FITBO	The	NASDAQ	Stock Market LLC

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes: No:

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes: No:

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes: No:

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically every Interactive Data File required to be submitted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit such files). Yes: No:

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, a smaller reporting company or an emerging growth company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," "smaller reporting company" and "emerging growth company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company Emerging growth company

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has filed a report on and attestation to its management's assessment of the effectiveness of its internal control over financial reporting under Section 404(b) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act (15 U.S.C. 7262(b)) by the registered public accounting firm that prepared or issued its audit report.

If securities are registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act, indicate by check mark whether the financial statements of the registrant included in the filing reflect the correction of an error to previously issued financial statements.

Indicate by check mark whether any of those error corrections are restatements that required a recovery analysis of incentive-based compensation received by any of the registrant's executive officers during the relevant recovery period pursuant to §240.10D-1(b).

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes: No:

There were 678,585,140 shares of the Bancorp's Common Stock, without par value, outstanding as of January 31, 2023. The Aggregate Market Value of the Voting Stock held by non-affiliates of the Bancorp was \$20,129,206,051 as of June 30, 2022.

17 Fifth Third Bancorp

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

This report incorporates into a single document the requirements of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”) with respect to annual reports on Form 10-K and annual reports to shareholders. Sections of the Bancorp’s Proxy Statement for the 2023 Annual Meeting of Shareholders are incorporated by reference into Part III of this report.

Only those sections of this 2022 Annual Report to Shareholders that are specified in this Cross Reference Index constitute part of the registrant’s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2022. No other information contained in this 2022 Annual Report to Shareholders shall be deemed to constitute any part of this Form 10-K nor shall any such information be incorporated into the Form 10-K and shall not be deemed “filed” as part of the registrant’s Form 10-K.

10-K CROSS REFERENCE INDEX

PART I

Item 1.	Business	20
	Employees	20, 70
	Segment Information	72, 211
	Average Balance Sheets	66
	Analysis of Net Interest Income and Net Interest Income Changes	65
	Investment Securities Portfolio	82, 140
	Loan and Lease Portfolio	81, 143
	Risk Elements of Loan and Lease Portfolio	89
	Deposits	84
	Return on Equity and Assets	57
	Short-term Borrowings	86, 172
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	30
Item 1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	47
Item 2.	Properties	47
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings	47
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	47
	Information about our Executive Officers	48
PART II		
Item 5.	Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	50
Item 7.	Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	54
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	117
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	117
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	215
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	215
Item 9B.	Other Information	217
Item 9C.	Disclosure Regarding Foreign Jurisdictions that Prevent Inspection	217
PART III		
Item 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	217
Item 11.	Executive Compensation	217
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	217
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	217
Item 14.	Principal Accounting Fees and Services	217
PART IV		
Item 15.	Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules	218
Item 16.	Form 10–K Summary	222
SIGNATURES		223

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report contains statements that we believe are "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Rule 175 promulgated thereunder, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and Rule 3b-6 promulgated thereunder. All statements other than statements of historical fact are forward-looking statements. These statements relate to our financial condition, results of operations, plans, objectives, future performance, capital actions or business. They usually can be identified by the use of forward-looking language such as "will likely result," "may," "are expected to," "is anticipated," "potential," "estimate," "forecast," "projected," "intends to," or may include other similar words or phrases such as "believes," "plans," "trend," "objective," "continue," "remain," or similar expressions, or future or conditional verbs such as "will," "would," "should," "could," "might," "can," or similar verbs. You should not place undue reliance on these statements, as they are subject to risks and uncertainties, including but not limited to the risk factors set forth in the Risk Factors section in Item 1A in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Moreover, you should treat these statements as speaking only as of the date they are made and based only on information then actually known to us. There are a number of important factors that could cause future results to differ materially from historical performance and these forward-looking statements. Factors that might cause such a difference include, but are not limited to: (1) effects of the global COVID-19 pandemic; (2) deteriorating credit quality; (3) loan concentration by location or industry of borrowers or collateral; (4) problems encountered by other financial institutions; (5) inadequate sources of funding or liquidity; (6) unfavorable actions of rating agencies; (7) inability to maintain or grow deposits; (8) limitations on the ability to receive dividends from subsidiaries; (9) cyber-security risks; (10) Fifth Third's ability to secure confidential information and deliver products and services through the use of computer systems and telecommunications networks; (11) failures by third-party service providers; (12) inability to manage strategic initiatives and/or organizational changes; (13) inability to implement technology system enhancements; (14) failure of internal controls and other risk management systems; (15) losses related to fraud, theft, misappropriation or violence; (16) inability to attract and retain skilled personnel; (17) adverse impacts of government regulation; (18) governmental or regulatory changes or other actions; (19) failures to meet applicable capital requirements; (20) regulatory objections to Fifth Third's capital plan; (21) regulation of Fifth Third's derivatives activities; (22) deposit insurance premiums; (23) assessments for the orderly liquidation fund; (24) replacement of LIBOR; (25) weakness in the national or local economies; (26) global political and economic uncertainty or negative actions; (27) changes in interest rates and the effects of inflation; (28) changes and trends in capital markets; (29) fluctuation of Fifth Third's stock price; (30) volatility in mortgage banking revenue; (31) litigation, investigations, and enforcement proceedings by governmental authorities; (32) breaches of contractual covenants, representations and warranties; (33) competition and changes in the financial services industry; (34) changing retail distribution strategies, customer preferences and behavior; (35) difficulties in identifying, acquiring or integrating suitable strategic partnerships, investments or acquisitions; (36) potential dilution from future acquisitions; (37) loss of income and/or difficulties encountered in the sale and separation of businesses, investments or other assets; (38) results of investments or acquired entities; (39) changes in accounting standards or interpretation or declines in the value of Fifth Third's goodwill or other intangible assets; (40) inaccuracies or other failures from the use of models; (41) effects of critical accounting policies and judgments or the use of inaccurate estimates; (42) weather-related events, other natural disasters, or health emergencies (including pandemics); (43) the impact of reputational risk created by these or other developments on such matters as business generation and retention, funding and liquidity; (44) changes in law or requirements imposed by Fifth Third's regulators impacting our capital actions, including dividend payments and stock repurchases; and (45) Fifth Third's ability to meet its environmental and/or social targets, goals and commitments. We expressly disclaim any obligation or undertaking to release publicly any updates or revisions to any forward-looking statements contained herein to reflect any change in our expectations or any changes in events, conditions or circumstances on which any such statement is based, except as may be required by law, and we claim the protection of the safe harbor for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. The information contained herein is intended to be reviewed in its totality, and any stipulations, conditions or provisos that apply to a given piece of information in one part of this report should be read as applying mutatis mutandis to every other instance of such information appearing herein.

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

General Information

Fifth Third Bancorp (the “Bancorp” or “Fifth Third”), an Ohio corporation organized in 1975, is a bank holding company (“BHC”) as defined by the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended (the “BHCA”), and has elected to be treated as a financial holding company (“FHC”) under the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act of 1999 (“GLBA”) and regulations of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the “FRB”).

The Bancorp is a diversified financial services company headquartered in Cincinnati, Ohio and is the indirect holding company of Fifth Third Bank, National Association (the “Bank”). As of December 31, 2022, Fifth Third had \$207 billion in assets and operates 1,087 full-service Banking Centers and 2,132 Fifth Third branded ATMs in Ohio, Kentucky, Indiana, Michigan, Illinois, Florida, Tennessee, West Virginia, Georgia, North Carolina and South Carolina. The Bancorp operates three main businesses: Commercial Banking, Consumer and Small Business Banking and Wealth & Asset Management. Fifth Third is among the largest money managers in the Midwest and, as of December 31, 2022, had \$510 billion in assets under care, of which it managed \$55 billion for individuals, corporations and not-for-profit organizations. Investor information and press releases can be viewed on the Bancorp’s Investor Relations website at ir.53.com. Information on or accessible through our website is not deemed to be incorporated into this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Website references in this Annual Report are merely textual references. Fifth Third’s common stock is traded on the NASDAQ® Global Select Market under the symbol “FITB.”

The Bancorp’s subsidiaries provide a wide range of financial products and services to the commercial, financial, retail, governmental, educational, energy and healthcare sectors. This includes a variety of checking, savings and money market accounts, wealth management solutions, payments and commerce solutions, insurance services and credit products such as commercial loans and leases, mortgage loans, credit cards, installment loans and auto loans. These products and services are delivered through a variety of channels including the Bancorp’s banking centers, other offices, telephone sales, the internet and mobile applications. The Bank has deposit insurance provided by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (the “FDIC”) through the Deposit Insurance Fund (the “DIF”). Refer to Exhibit 21 filed as an attachment to this Annual Report on Form 10-K for a list of subsidiaries of the Bancorp as of February 15, 2023.

Additional information regarding the Bancorp’s businesses is included in Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Availability of Financial Information

The Bancorp files reports with the SEC. Those reports include the annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and annual proxy statement, as well as any amendments to those reports. The SEC maintains an internet site that contains reports, proxy and information statements and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC at www.sec.gov. The Bancorp’s annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, annual proxy statement and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act are accessible at no cost on the Bancorp’s Investor Relations website at ir.53.com on a same day basis after they are electronically filed with or furnished to the SEC.

Information about the Bancorp’s Code of Business Conduct and Ethics (as amended from time to time), is available on Fifth Third’s corporate website at www.53.com. In addition, any future waivers from a provision of the Fifth Third Code of Business Conduct and Ethics covering any of Fifth Third’s directors or executive officers (including Fifth Third’s principal executive officer, principal financial officer, and principal accounting officer or controller) will be posted at this internet address.

Competition

The Bancorp, primarily through the Bank, competes for deposits, loans and other banking services in its principal geographic markets as well as in selected national markets as opportunities arise. In addition to traditional financial institutions, the Bancorp competes with securities dealers, brokers, mortgage bankers, investment advisors, specialty finance, telecommunications, technology and insurance companies as well as large retailers. These companies compete across geographic boundaries and provide customers with meaningful alternatives to traditional banking services in nearly all significant products. The increasingly competitive environment is a result primarily of changes in regulation, changes in technology, product delivery systems and the accelerating pace of consolidation among financial service providers. These competitive trends are likely to continue.

Human Capital Resources

The Bancorp’s human capital programs are designed to attract, develop and retain a workforce that reflects the communities it serves. As of December 31, 2022, the Bancorp had 19,319 full-time equivalent employees, compared to 19,112 as of December 31, 2021. These employees support the organization’s ambition to be the One Bank people most value and trust by upholding its four Core Values: Be Respectful & Inclusive, Take Accountability, Work as One Bank and Act with Integrity.

Equality, Equity and Inclusion

Fifth Third believes that inclusion and diversity are essential to living its Core Values, serving its customers, delivering financial performance and being recognized as a leader in building an engaging workplace, a strong supplier base and vibrant communities. As of December 31, 2022, the Bancorp's employees were approximately 58% female and approximately 28% persons of color: 72% White, 13% Black/African American, 8% Hispanic/Latino, 5% Asian, and 2% Other.

The Bancorp has continued to focus on accelerating racial equality, equity and inclusion as a key priority. The Bancorp has an Executive Diversity Leadership Council which continues to lead concentrated strategies across several key workstreams that focus on employees, customers, and the community.

To support its commitment, the Bancorp has invested in the ongoing growth and expansion of its employee Business Resource Groups ("BRGs"). These groups: African American, Asian & Pacific Islander, Individuals with Disabilities, Latino, LGBTQ+, Military, Women's, Young Professionals, and Sustainability support the Bancorp's three BRG Pillars; to drive business innovation, encourage community volunteerism, and provide an environment that supports employee development, engagement and networking. In 2022, Fifth Third launched a new Sustainability BRG. The group focuses on social, environmental and related matters which include, but are not limited to, community engagement initiatives, philanthropy, environmental programs and corporate governance practices.

The Bancorp continued to drive meaningful change in our inclusion and diversity efforts by progressing towards the Six Bold Goals it plans to achieve by 2025:

- Complete Unconscious Bias Awareness training for 100% of employees
- Ensure the diversity of the Bancorp's workforce matches the markets it serves
- Grow leadership positions at each management level for women and persons of color
- Create a work environment where there is no disparity in race or gender
- Advance the Bancorp as a leader in diversity and inclusion
- Achieve and sustain a 10% supplier diversity spend

Progressing on these goals, the Bancorp has seen an increase in diversity of its workforce in seven of the nine states in its branch network footprint that include 250 or more employees and continues to be recognized in various nationwide rankings for advancing as a leader in inclusion and diversity. The Bancorp has also continued to progress towards its goal of achieving and sustaining a 10% supplier diversity spend during the year ended December 31, 2022.

Engagement and Development

Fifth Third believes that an engaged workforce is one of its most valuable assets in sustaining its success. The Bancorp's continuous listening strategy forms the foundation of its employee culture. The Bancorp's holistic approach to collecting, measuring and responding to employee feedback enhances engagement with employees at critical points during their careers and during times of change in business or work environments. Feedback is collected through a variety of methods, including the Employee Viewpoints Survey which includes questions around engagement, inclusion, customer experience and the Bancorp's culture.

Each year, the Bancorp requires all employees and contingent workers to complete courses related to risk and compliance on topics that support strong risk management behaviors and accountability. In addition, the Bancorp's learning and development strategy delivers personalized and accessible experiences that fuel career growth and help retain talent. Employees completed over 775,000 training hours during 2022, including content covering unconscious bias.

Total Rewards – Compensation and Benefits

The Bancorp is committed to providing competitive compensation programs that attract and retain top talent to drive its business strategy, effectively manage risk within incentive programs designed to pay for performance, consider applicable regulatory expectations with attention to corporate values and behavioral expectations, and align with the creation of long-term shareholder value.

The Bancorp continuously analyzes its compensation and benefits programs and practices with the objective of providing all employees with an equal opportunity to maximize their potential. In 2022, the Bancorp raised its minimum wage to \$20 per hour and concurrently provided a wage adjustment for its first four job levels. These changes resulted in a compensation increase for more than 40% of the Bancorp's employees. The Bancorp continues to honor a footprint-wide ban on salary history, which means that the Bancorp does not ask for a candidate's current salary to use as a factor in determining an employment offer.

The Bancorp offers a holistic suite of benefits that demonstrates its commitment to its employees' physical, financial and personal health and well-being. In addition to traditional benefit offerings, the Bancorp offers a 401(k) retirement program that pays a match up to 7% of an employee's eligible compensation, parental bonding leave, telemedicine services, and tools that help find the highest quality and lowest cost treatment options. These services help assist employees in maintaining a healthy work-life balance.

In 2022, the Bancorp conducted a Total Rewards Survey to assess which components of its compensation and benefit programs were most important to employees. In response to feedback obtained in this survey, the Bancorp made enhancements to its benefits packages, effective

in 2023. These included minimizing benefit cost increases on its medical plans, transitioning to a new paid time off structure that provides employees more control and flexibility to manage their time away and updating its short-term disability program to enhance the value it provides to employees.

Recruitment and Retention

In 2022, the Bancorp continued to face a rapidly changing work environment and workforce. The Bancorp responded proactively by reinforcing its employee value proposition which centers around inclusion and diversity, employee health and wellness and career development. Through strategic actions and decisions as well as its human capital policies, programs and practices, the Bancorp helped support a culture of belonging and performance where employees feel valued and motivated to succeed.

Full year 2022 turnover decreased to 21.0% from 21.2% in 2021. Fifth Third executed several retention strategies in 2022 to address trends in employee attrition including a focus on employee development and career progression, workplace flexibility and continued employee listening strategies.

The Bancorp's multicultural recruitment strategy strengthens the organization by developing an employee base that reflects the communities it serves while also enhancing the lives of tomorrow's leaders. The Bancorp has strong partnerships with diversity-focused affinity groups, both with universities and partner organizations, that drive engagement with a diverse candidate population which includes women, minorities, LGBTQ+ communities, individuals with disabilities and veterans.

Acquisitions and Investments

The Bancorp's strategy for growth includes strengthening its presence in core markets and broadening its product offerings while taking into account the integration and other risks of growth. The Bancorp evaluates strategic acquisition and investment opportunities and conducts due diligence activities in connection with possible transactions. As a result, discussions, and in some cases, negotiations regarding acquisitions and investments may take place and future transactions involving cash, debt or equity securities may occur. These typically involve the payment of a premium over book value and current market price, and therefore, some dilution of book value and net income per share may occur with any future transactions.

Regulation and Supervision

In addition to the generally applicable state and federal laws governing businesses and employers, the Bancorp and the Bank are subject to extensive regulation and supervision under federal and state laws and regulations applicable to financial institutions and their parent companies. Virtually all aspects of the business of the Bancorp and the Bank are subject to specific requirements or restrictions and general regulatory oversight. The principal objectives of state and federal banking laws and regulations and the supervision, regulation and examination of banks and their parent companies (such as the Bank and the Bancorp) by bank regulatory agencies are the maintenance of the safety and soundness of financial institutions, the maintenance of the federal deposit insurance system and the protection of consumers or classes of consumers, rather than the protection of shareholders or debtholders of a bank or the parent company of a bank. The Bancorp and its subsidiaries are subject to an extensive regulatory framework of complex and comprehensive federal and state laws and regulations addressing the provision of banking and other financial services and other aspects of the Bancorp's businesses and operations. The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act ("Dodd-Frank") and legislation modifying Dodd-Frank, the Economic Growth, Regulatory Relief and Consumer Protection Act of 2018 ("EGRRCPA"), will continue to impact the Bancorp and the Bank. To the extent the following material describes statutory or regulatory provisions, it is qualified in its entirety by reference to the particular statute or regulation.

Both the scope of the laws and regulations and the intensity of the supervision to which the Bancorp and its subsidiaries are subject increased in response to the financial crisis, as well as other factors, such as technological and market changes. Regulatory enforcement and fines have also increased across the banking and financial services sector. Many of these changes have occurred as a result of Dodd-Frank and its implementing regulations, most of which are now in place. While the regulatory environment has recently been in a period of rebalancing the post financial crisis framework, the Bancorp expects that its business will remain subject to extensive regulation and supervision.

On May 24, 2018, the EGRRCPA was signed into law. Among other regulatory changes, the EGRRCPA amends various sections of Dodd-Frank, including section 165, which was revised to raise the asset thresholds for determining the application of enhanced prudential standards for BHCs. The EGRRCPA's increased asset thresholds took effect immediately for BHCs with total consolidated assets less than \$100 billion, with the exception of risk committee requirements, which now apply to publicly-traded BHCs with \$50 billion or more of consolidated assets. BHCs with consolidated assets between \$100 billion and \$250 billion, including the Bancorp, were subject to the enhanced prudential standards that applied to them before enactment of EGRRCPA until December 31, 2019, when rules adopted by the FRB that tailor the applicability of enhanced prudential standards and capital and liquidity requirements for BHCs with \$100 billion or more in total consolidated assets became effective, as described in detail below.

On October 10, 2019, the FRB adopted a rule that adjusts the thresholds at which certain enhanced prudential standards ("EPS") apply to BHCs with \$100 billion or more in total consolidated assets (the "EPS Tailoring Rule") and the FRB, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (the "OCC") and FDIC adopted a rule that similarly adjusts the thresholds at which certain other capital and liquidity standards apply to BHCs and banks with \$100 billion or more in total consolidated assets (the "Capital and Liquidity Tailoring Rule" and, together with the EPS Tailoring Rule, the "Tailoring Rules"). The Tailoring Rules establish four risk-based categories of institutions, and the extent to

which enhanced prudential standards and certain other capital and liquidity standards apply to these BHCs and banks depends on the banking organization's category. Under the Tailoring Rules, the Bancorp and the Bank each qualify as a Category IV banking organization subject to the least restrictive of the requirements applicable to firms with \$100 billion or more in total consolidated assets.

Regulators

The Bancorp and/or the Bank are subject to regulation and supervision primarily by the FRB, the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (the "CFPB") and the OCC and additionally by certain other functional regulators and self-regulatory organizations. The Bancorp is also subject to regulation by the SEC by virtue of its status as a public company and due to the nature of some of its businesses. The Bank is also subject to regulation by the FDIC, which insures the Bank's deposits as permitted by law.

The federal and state laws and regulations that are applicable to banks and to BHCs regulate, among other matters, the scope of the Bancorp's and the Bank's businesses, their activities, their investments, their capital and liquidity levels, their ability to make capital distributions (such as share repurchases and dividends), their reserves against deposits, the timing of the availability of deposited funds, the amount of loans to individual and related borrowers and the nature, the amount of and collateral for certain loans, and the amount of interest that may be charged on loans, as applicable. Various federal and state consumer laws and regulations also affect the services provided to consumers.

The Bancorp and the Bank are required to file various reports with and are subject to examination by various regulators, including the FRB, the OCC, and the CFPB. The FRB, the OCC and the CFPB have the authority to issue orders for BHCs and banks to cease and desist from certain banking practices and violations of conditions imposed by, or violations of agreements with, the FRB, the OCC and the CFPB. Some of the Bancorp's and the Bank's regulators are also empowered to assess civil money penalties against companies or individuals in certain situations, such as when there is a violation of a law or regulation. Applicable state and federal laws also grant the Bancorp's regulators the authority to impose additional requirements and restrictions on the activities of the Bancorp and the Bank and, in some situations, the imposition of such additional requirements and restrictions will not be publicly available information.

The following discussion describes certain elements of the comprehensive regulatory framework applicable to the Bancorp and its subsidiaries. This discussion is not intended to describe all laws and regulations applicable to the Bancorp, the Bank, and the Bancorp's other subsidiaries.

Acquisitions

The BHCA requires the prior approval of the FRB for a BHC to acquire substantially all the assets of a bank or to acquire direct or indirect ownership or control of more than 5% of any class of the voting shares of any bank, BHC or savings association, or to merge or consolidate with any BHC.

The BHCA generally prohibits a BHC from engaging in, or acquiring a direct or indirect interest in or control of more than 5% of any class of the voting shares of a company that is not a bank or a BHC that engages directly or indirectly in activities other than those of banking, managing or controlling banks or furnishing services to its banking subsidiaries, except that it may engage in and may own shares of companies engaged in certain activities the FRB has determined to be so closely related to banking or managing or controlling banks as to be proper incident thereto.

Financial Holding Companies

The Bancorp is registered as a BHC with the FRB under the BHCA and qualifies for and has elected to become an FHC. An FHC is permitted to engage directly or indirectly in a broader range of activities than those permitted for a BHC under the BHCA. Permitted activities for an FHC include securities underwriting and dealing, insurance underwriting and brokerage, merchant banking and other activities that are declared by the FRB, in cooperation with the Treasury Department, to be "financial in nature or incidental thereto" or are declared by the FRB unilaterally to be "complementary" to financial activities. In addition, an FHC is allowed to conduct permissible new financial activities or acquire permissible non-bank financial companies with after-the-fact notice to the FRB. A BHC may elect to become an FHC if the BHC is well-capitalized and is well managed and each of its banking subsidiaries is well-capitalized, is well managed and has at least a "Satisfactory" rating under the Community Reinvestment Act ("CRA"). To maintain FHC status, a BHC must continue to meet these requirements. The failure to meet such requirements could result in material restrictions on the activities of the FHC and may also adversely affect the FHC's ability to enter into certain transactions (including mergers and acquisitions) or obtain necessary approvals in connection therewith, as well as loss of FHC status. If restrictions are imposed on the activities of an FHC, such information may not necessarily be available to the public.

Dividends

The Bancorp is a legal entity separate and distinct from its subsidiaries and depends in part upon dividends received from its direct and indirect subsidiaries, including the Bank, to fund its activities, including its ability to make capital distributions, such as paying dividends or repurchasing shares. Under federal law, there are various limitations on the extent to which the Bank can declare and pay dividends to the Bancorp, including those related to regulatory capital requirements, general regulatory oversight to prevent unsafe or unsound practices, and federal banking law requirements concerning the payment of dividends out of net profits, surplus, and available earnings. Certain contractual restrictions also may limit the ability of the Bank to pay dividends to the Bancorp. No assurances can be given that the Bank will, in any circumstances, pay dividends to the Bancorp.

The Bancorp's ability to declare and pay dividends is similarly limited by federal banking law and FRB regulations and policy. The FRB has authority to prohibit BHCs from making capital distributions if they would be deemed to be an unsafe or unsound practice. The FRB has indicated generally that it may be an unsafe or unsound practice for BHCs to pay dividends unless a BHC's net income is sufficient to fund the dividends and the expected rate of earnings retention is consistent with the organization's capital needs, asset quality and overall financial condition. In addition, the Bancorp's ability to make capital distributions, including paying dividends and repurchasing shares, is subject to the Bancorp complying with the automatic restrictions on capital distributions under the FRBs "Capital Rules" process discussed below (see Regulatory Capital Requirements below).

Source of Strength

A BHC, including the Bancorp, is expected to act as a source of financial and managerial strength to each of its banking subsidiaries and to commit resources to their support. This support may be required at times when the BHC may not have the resources to provide it or when doing so is not otherwise in the interests of the Bancorp or its shareholders or creditors. The FRB may require a BHC to make capital injections into a troubled subsidiary bank and may charge the BHC with engaging in unsafe and unsound practices if the BHC fails to commit resources to such a subsidiary bank or if it undertakes actions that the FRB believes might jeopardize the BHC's ability to commit resources to such subsidiary bank.

Under these requirements, the Bancorp may in the future be required to provide financial assistance to the Bank should it experience financial distress. Capital loans by the Bancorp to the Bank would be subordinate in right of payment to deposits and certain other debts of the Bank. In the event of the Bancorp's bankruptcy, any commitment by the Bancorp to a federal bank regulatory agency to maintain the capital of the Bank would be assumed by the bankruptcy trustee and entitled to a priority of payment.

FDIC Assessments

The DIF provides insurance coverage for certain deposits, up to a standard maximum deposit insurance amount of \$250,000 per depositor per account ownership category and is funded through assessments on insured depository institutions, based on the risk each institution poses to the DIF. The Bank accepts customer deposits that are insured by the DIF and, therefore, must pay insurance premiums. The FDIC may increase the Bank's insurance premiums based on various factors, including the FDIC's assessment of its risk profile.

As of June 30, 2020, the DIF reserve ratio fell to 1.30%, below the statutory minimum of 1.35%. The FDIC, as required under the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, established a plan on September 15, 2020 to restore the DIF reserve ratio to meet or exceed the statutory minimum of 1.35% within eight years. On October 18, 2022, the FDIC adopted an amended restoration plan to increase the likelihood that the reserve ratio would be restored to at least 1.35% by September 30, 2028. The FDIC's amended restoration plan increases the initial base deposit insurance assessment rate schedules uniformly by 2 basis points, beginning in the first quarterly assessment period of 2023. The FDIC could further increase the deposit insurance assessments for certain insured depository institutions, including the Bank, if the DIF reserve ratio is not restored as projected.

Transactions with Affiliates

Federal banking laws restrict transactions between a bank and its affiliates, including a parent BHC. The Bank is subject to these restrictions, which include quantitative and qualitative limits on the amounts and types of transactions that may take place, including extensions of credit to affiliates, investments in the stock or securities of affiliates, purchases of assets from affiliates and certain other transactions with affiliates. These restrictions also require that credit transactions with affiliates be collateralized and that transactions with affiliates be on market terms or better for the bank. Generally, a bank's covered transactions with any affiliate are limited to 10% of the bank's capital stock and surplus and covered transactions with all affiliates are limited to 20% of the bank's capital stock and surplus. Dodd-Frank expanded the scope of these regulations, including by applying them to the credit exposure arising under derivative transactions, repurchase and reverse repurchase agreements, and securities borrowing and lending transactions. Federal banking laws also place similar restrictions on loans and other extensions of credit by FDIC-insured banks, such as the Bank, and their subsidiaries to their directors, executive officers, and principal shareholders.

Community Reinvestment Act

The CRA generally requires insured depository institutions, including the Bank, to identify the communities they serve and to make loans and investments and provide services that meet the credit needs of those communities. The CRA requires the OCC to evaluate the performance of national banks (including the Bank) with respect to these CRA obligations. Depository institutions must maintain comprehensive records of their CRA activities for purposes of these examinations. The OCC must take into account the institution's record of performance in meeting the credit needs of the entire community served, including low-and moderate-income neighborhoods. For purposes of CRA examinations, the OCC rates each institution's compliance with the CRA as "Outstanding," "Satisfactory," "Needs to Improve" or "Substantial Noncompliance." The Bank received an "Outstanding" rating on its most recent CRA performance examination from the OCC.

The CRA requires the relevant federal bank regulatory agency to consider a bank's CRA assessment when considering the bank's application to conduct certain mergers or acquisitions or to open or relocate a branch office. The FRB also must consider the CRA record of each subsidiary bank of a BHC in connection with any acquisition or merger application filed by the BHC. An unsatisfactory CRA record could substantially delay or result in the denial of an approval or application by the Bancorp or the Bank.

On May 5, 2022, the OCC, FRB, and FDIC issued a notice of proposed rulemaking to provide for a coordinated approach to modernize their respective CRA regulations, such that all banks will be subject to the same set of CRA rules. No final rule has been issued, but the rulemaking may affect the Bank's CRA compliance obligations in the future.

Regulatory Capital Requirements

The Bancorp and the Bank are subject to certain risk-based capital and leverage ratio requirements under the capital adequacy rules (the "Capital Rules") adopted by the FRB, for the Bancorp, and by the OCC, for the Bank. These quantitative calculations are minimums, and the FRB and OCC may determine that a banking organization, based on its size, complexity, or risk profile, must maintain a higher level of capital in order to operate in a safe and sound manner. Failure to be well-capitalized or to meet minimum capital requirements could result in certain mandatory and possible additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have an adverse material effect on the Bancorp's operations or financial condition. Failure to be well-capitalized or to meet minimum capital requirements could also result in restrictions on the Bancorp's or the Bank's ability to pay dividends or otherwise distribute capital or to receive regulatory approval of applications. Under the Capital Rules, the Bancorp's and the Bank's assets, exposures, and certain off-balance sheet items are subject to risk weights used to determine the institutions' risk-weighted assets pursuant to the federal banking agencies' Standardized Approach to risk-weighting of assets. These risk-weighted assets are used to calculate the following minimum capital ratios for the Bancorp and the Bank:

- Common Equity Tier 1 ("CET1") Capital Ratio, equal to the ratio of CET1 capital to risk-weighted assets. CET1 capital primarily includes common shareholders' equity subject to certain regulatory adjustments and deductions, including with respect to goodwill, intangible assets, certain deferred tax assets, and accumulated other comprehensive income ("AOCI"). The Bancorp has elected to exclude certain AOCI components, with the result that those components are not recognized in the Bancorp's CET1. The FDIC, FRB and OCC have jointly issued rules for institutions that do not apply advanced approaches to regulatory capital, including the Bancorp and the Bank. These rules simplified the capital treatment of certain items (including mortgage servicing assets, deferred tax assets and investments in the capital of unconsolidated financial institutions) and simplified the recognition and calculation of minority interests that are includable in regulatory capital. The advanced approaches to regulatory capital are generally required for large, internationally active banking organizations including those designated as global systemically important bank holding companies and those with total assets or cross-jurisdictional activity in excess of certain thresholds.
- Tier 1 Risk-Based Capital Ratio, equal to the ratio of Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets. Tier 1 capital is primarily comprised of CET1 capital, perpetual preferred stock and certain qualifying capital instruments.
- Total Risk-Based Capital Ratio, equal to the ratio of total capital, including CET1 capital, Tier 1 capital, and Tier 2 capital, to risk-weighted assets. Tier 2 capital primarily includes qualifying subordinated debt and qualifying allowance for loan and lease losses ("ALLL"). Tier 2 capital also includes, among other things, certain trust preferred securities.
- Leverage Ratio, equal to the ratio of Tier 1 capital to quarterly average assets (net of goodwill, certain other intangible assets, and certain other deductions).

In August 2020, the U.S. federal banking agencies adopted a final rule altering the definition of eligible retained income in their respective capital rules. Under the new rule, eligible retained income is the greater of a firm's (i) net income for the four preceding calendar quarters, net of any distributions and associated tax effects not already reflected in net income, and (ii) average net income over the preceding four quarters. An institution's eligible retained income, when considered in conjunction with capital ratios and the stress capital buffer, provides limitations on capital distributions (including dividends and share repurchases) and certain executive compensation arrangements for the quarter following the calculation. As of December 31, 2022, the Bancorp was permitted to use 100% of its eligible retained income for these purposes in the first quarter of 2023. This definition applies with respect to all of the Bancorp's capital requirements. In addition, in December 2018, the U.S. federal banking agencies finalized rules that would permit BHCs and banks to phase-in, for regulatory capital purposes, the day-one impact of ASU 2016-13 ("CECL") on retained earnings over a period of three years. As part of their response to the COVID-19 pandemic, the U.S. federal banking agencies issued another final rule for additional transitional relief to regulatory capital related to the impact of the adoption of CECL. The final rule provides banking organizations that adopted CECL in the 2020 calendar year with the option to delay for two years the estimated impact of CECL on regulatory capital, followed by the aforementioned three-year transition period to phase out the aggregate amount of benefit during the initial two-year delay for a total five-year transition. The estimated impact of CECL on regulatory capital (modified CECL transitional amount) is calculated as the sum of the day-one impact on retained earnings upon adoption of CECL (CECL transitional amount) and the calculated change in the ACL relative to the day-one ACL upon adoption of CECL multiplied by a scaling factor of 25%. The scaling factor is used to approximate the difference in the ACL under CECL relative to the incurred loss methodology. The modified CECL transitional amount was calculated each quarter for the first two years of the five-year transition. The amount of the modified CECL transition amount was then fixed as of December 31, 2021 and that amount will be subject to the three-year phase out.

The Capital Rules also require banking organizations to maintain a stress capital buffer to avoid becoming subject to certain limitations on capital distributions and discretionary bonuses to executive officers (see Stress Buffer Requirements below). For more information related to the stress capital buffer, refer to Note 29 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

The total minimum regulatory capital ratios and well-capitalized minimum ratios are reflected in the table below. The FRB has not yet revised the well-capitalized standard for BHCs to reflect the higher capital requirements imposed under the Capital Rules. For purposes of the FRB's Regulation Y, including determining whether a BHC meets the requirements to be an FHC, BHCs, such as the Bancorp, must maintain

[Table of Contents](#)

a Tier 1 Risk-Based Capital Ratio of 6.0% or greater and a Total Risk-Based Capital Ratio of 10.0% or greater. If the FRB were to apply the same or a very similar well-capitalized standard to BHCs as that applicable to the Bank, the Bancorp's capital ratios as of December 31, 2022, would exceed such revised well-capitalized standard. The FRB may require BHCs, including the Bancorp, to maintain capital ratios substantially in excess of mandated minimum levels, depending upon general economic conditions and a BHC's particular condition, risk profile, and growth plans.

The following table presents the minimum regulatory capital ratios, minimum ratio plus stress capital buffer, and well-capitalized minimums compared with the Bancorp's and the Bank's regulatory capital ratios as of December 31, 2022, calculated using the regulatory capital methodology applicable during 2022:

Regulatory Capital Ratios:

	Minimum Regulatory Capital Ratio	Minimum Ratio + Stress Capital Buffer ^(a)	Well-Capitalized Minimums ^(b)	Actual at December 31, 2022
CET1 risk-based capital ratio:				
Fifth Third Bancorp	4.50 %	7.00	N/A	9.28
Fifth Third Bank, National Association	4.50	7.00	6.50	11.31
Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio:				
Fifth Third Bancorp	6.00	8.50	6.00	10.53
Fifth Third Bank, National Association	6.00	8.50	8.00	11.31
Total risk-based capital ratio:				
Fifth Third Bancorp	8.00	10.50	10.00	12.79
Fifth Third Bank, National Association	8.00	10.50	10.00	12.81
Leverage ratio:				
Fifth Third Bancorp	4.00	N/A	N/A	8.56
Fifth Third Bank, National Association	4.00	N/A	5.00	9.23

(a) Reflects the stress capital buffer of 2.5% applicable during 2022.

(b) Reflects the well-capitalized standard applicable to the Bancorp under FRB Regulation Y and the well-capitalized standard applicable to the Bank.

Liquidity Regulation

As a result of the Tailoring Rules, the Bancorp, as a Category IV banking organization, is now exempt from the liquidity coverage ratio requirement, but remains subject to internal liquidity stress tests and standards.

Capital Planning and Stress Testing

BHCs with \$100 billion or more in consolidated assets, including the Bancorp, generally must submit capital plans to the FRB on an annual basis. In March 2020, the FRB adopted a final rule to integrate the annual capital planning and stress testing requirements with certain ongoing regulatory capital requirements for large BHCs. As a result, the FRB's annual CCAR process is now used to calibrate the Bancorp's stress capital buffer requirement. Among other changes, the revised capital plan rule also eliminates the assumption that the Bancorp's balance sheet assets would increase over the planning horizon. In addition, provided that the Bancorp is otherwise in compliance with automatic restrictions on distributions under the Capital Rules, the Bancorp will no longer be required to seek prior approval to make capital distributions in excess of those included in its capital plan. The Bancorp is required to provide the FRB notice within 15 days after making any capital distributions in excess of those included in its capital plan.

Under its CCAR process, the FRB annually evaluates capital adequacy, internal capital adequacy, assessment processes and capital distribution plans of BHCs with \$100 billion or more in total consolidated assets. The CCAR process is intended to help ensure that those BHCs have robust, forward-looking capital planning processes that account for each company's unique risks and that permit continued operations during times of economic and financial stress. The mandatory elements of the capital plan are an assessment of the expected uses and sources of capital over a nine-quarter planning horizon, a description of all planned capital actions over the planning horizon, a discussion of any expected changes to the BHC's business plan that are likely to have a material impact on its capital adequacy or liquidity, a detailed description of the BHC's process for assessing capital adequacy and the BHC's capital policy.

As a result of the EPS Tailoring Rule, the Bancorp is subject to a quantitative assessment of capital through supervisory stress tests every two years, with the next required assessment in 2024. These supervisory stress tests are forward-looking quantitative evaluations of the impact of stressful economic and financial market conditions on the Bancorp's capital. Additionally, under the EPS Tailoring Rule, the Bancorp is no longer required to file semi-annual, company-run stress tests with the FRB and publicly disclose the results.

Stress Buffer Requirements

In March 2020, the FRB issued a final rule amending regulatory capital rules, capital plan rules and stress test rules. Under the final rule, the capital conservation buffer was replaced with a stress capital buffer requirement. During each supervisory stress testing cycle, the FRB will use the Bancorp's supervisory stress test to determine its stress capital buffer, subject to a floor of 2.5%. Similar to the capital conservation buffer, the Bancorp must maintain capital ratios above the sum of its minimum risk-based capital ratios and the stress capital buffer to avoid certain limitations on capital distributions and discretionary bonuses to executive officers. The final rule is applicable to BHCs with \$100

billion or more in total consolidated assets and was effective on October 1, 2020. The FRB uses the supervisory stress test to determine the Bancorp's stress capital buffer, subject to a floor of 2.5%. The Bancorp's stress capital buffer requirement has been 2.5% since the introduction of this framework and was most recently affirmed in June of 2022 as part of the FRB's 2022 supervisory stress test with an effective date of October 1, 2022. The Bancorp's capital ratios have exceeded the stress capital buffer requirement for all periods presented.

Enhanced Prudential Standards

Pursuant to Title I of Dodd-Frank, certain U.S. BHCs are subject to enhanced prudential standards and early remediation requirements. As a result, the Bancorp is subject to more stringent standards, including liquidity and capital requirements, leverage limits, stress testing, resolution planning, and risk management standards, than those applicable to smaller institutions. Certain larger banking organizations are subject to additional enhanced prudential standards.

As discussed above, under the EPS Tailoring Rule, the Bancorp, as a Category IV banking organization, is subject to the least restrictive enhanced prudential standards applicable to firms with \$100 billion or more in total consolidated assets. As compared to enhanced prudential standards that were applicable to the Bancorp, under the EPS Tailoring Rule, the Bancorp is no longer subject to company-run stress testing requirements and is subject to less frequent supervisory stress tests, less frequent internal liquidity stress tests, and reduced liquidity risk management requirements.

Heightened Governance and Risk Management Standards

The OCC has published guidelines documenting expectations for the governance and risk management practices of certain large financial institutions, including the Bank. The guidelines require covered institutions to establish and adhere to a written governance framework in order to manage and control their risk-taking activities. In addition, the guidelines provide standards for the institutions' boards of directors to oversee the risk governance framework. The Bank currently has a written governance framework and associated controls.

Privacy and Data Security

The OCC, FRB, FDIC and other bank regulatory agencies have adopted guidelines for safeguarding confidential, personal customer information. The guidelines require each financial institution, under the supervision and ongoing oversight of its board of directors or an appropriate committee thereof, to create, implement and maintain a comprehensive written information security program designed to ensure the security and confidentiality of customer information, protect against any anticipated threats or hazards to the security or integrity of such information and protect against unauthorized access to or use of such information that could result in substantial harm or inconvenience to any customer. In addition, various U.S. regulators, including the OCC, FRB and the SEC, have increased their focus on cyber security through guidance, examinations and regulations. The Bancorp has adopted a customer information security program that has been approved by the Bancorp's Board of Directors.

The GLBA requires financial institutions to implement policies and procedures regarding the disclosure of nonpublic personal information about consumers. In general, the statute requires explanations to consumers on policies and procedures regarding the disclosure of such nonpublic personal information and, except as otherwise required by law, prohibits disclosing such information except as provided in the banking subsidiary's policies and procedures. The Bancorp's banking subsidiary has implemented a privacy policy.

States are also increasingly proposing or enacting legislation that relates to data privacy and data protection such as the California Consumer Privacy Act. The Bancorp continues to assess the requirements of such laws and proposed legislation and their applicability to the Bancorp. Moreover, these laws, and proposed legislation, are still subject to revision or formal guidance and they may be interpreted or applied in a manner inconsistent with our understanding.

Like other lenders, the Bank and other of the Bancorp's subsidiaries use credit bureau data in their underwriting activities. Use of such data is regulated under the Fair Credit Reporting Act ("FCRA"), and the FCRA also regulates reporting information to credit bureaus, prescreening individuals for credit offers, sharing of information between affiliates, and using affiliate data for marketing purposes. Similar state laws may impose additional requirements on the Bancorp and its subsidiaries.

Anti-Money Laundering and Economic Sanctions

The Bancorp is subject to federal laws that are designed to counter money laundering and terrorist financing, and transactions with certain persons, companies or foreign governments sanctioned by the United States. These include the Bank Secrecy Act, the Money Laundering Control Act, the USA PATRIOT Act and regulations for the International Emergency Economic Powers Act and the Trading with the Enemy Act, as administered by the United States Treasury Department's Office of Foreign Assets Control. These laws obligate depository institutions and broker-dealers to verify their customers' identity, conduct customer due diligence, report on suspicious activity, file reports of transactions in currency and conduct enhanced due diligence on certain accounts. They also prohibit U.S. persons from engaging in transactions with certain designated restricted countries and persons. Depository institutions and broker-dealers are required by their federal regulators to maintain robust policies and procedures in order to ensure compliance with these obligations.

Failure to comply with these laws or maintain an adequate compliance program can lead to significant monetary penalties and reputational damage and federal regulators evaluate the effectiveness of an applicant in combating money laundering when determining whether to approve a proposed bank merger, acquisition, restructuring, or other expansionary activity. There have been a number of significant

enforcement actions by regulators, as well as state attorneys general and the Department of Justice, against banks, broker-dealers and non-bank financial institutions with respect to these laws and some have resulted in substantial penalties, including criminal pleas. The Bancorp's Board has approved policies and procedures that the Bancorp believes comply with these laws.

Executive Compensation

Pursuant to Dodd-Frank, each public company must give its shareholders the opportunity to vote on the compensation of its executives at least once every three years. The SEC also adopted rules on disclosure and voting requirements for golden parachute compensation that is payable to named executive officers in connection with sale transactions.

The SEC's rules also direct the stock exchanges to prohibit listing classes of equity securities of a company if a company's compensation committee members are not independent. The rules also provide that a company's compensation committee may only select a compensation consultant, legal counsel or other advisor after taking into consideration factors to be identified by the SEC that affect the independence of a compensation consultant, legal counsel or other advisor.

The rules implementing the pay ratio provisions of Dodd-Frank require companies to disclose the ratio of the compensation of its chief executive officer to the median compensation of its employees. For a registrant with a fiscal year ending on December 31, such as the Bancorp, the pay ratio was first required as part of its executive compensation disclosure in its annual proxy statement or Form 10-K filed starting in 2018.

Dodd-Frank provides that the SEC must issue rules directing the stock exchanges to prohibit listing any security of a company unless the company develops and implements a policy providing for disclosure of the policy of the company on incentive-based compensation that is based on financial information required to be reported under the securities laws. In the event the company is required to prepare an accounting restatement due to the material noncompliance of the company with any financial reporting requirement under the securities laws, the company will recover from any current or former executive officer of the company who received incentive-based compensation during the three-year period preceding the date on which the company is required to prepare the restatement based on the erroneous data, any exceptional compensation above what would have been paid under the restatement.

On August 25, 2022, the SEC finalized rules implementing the pay versus performance disclosure requirement mandated by Dodd-Frank. Under the new rules, reporting companies are required to include a tabular and narrative disclosure of specified executive compensation and financial performance measures for the five most recently completed fiscal years in proxy statements for fiscal years ending on or after December 16, 2022. Additionally, companies are required to use the company information in the table to give a clear description of the relationships between compensation actually paid to specified executive officers to the cumulative total shareholder return, net income, and company-selected financial measures.

The SEC has issued rules (as required by Dodd-Frank) requiring that each company disclose in the proxy materials for its annual meetings whether an employee or board member is permitted to purchase financial instruments designed to hedge or offset decreases in the market value of equity securities granted as compensation or otherwise held by the employee or board member.

The Bancorp's compensation practices are also subject to oversight by the FRB. The scope and content of compensation regulation in the financial industry are continuing to develop, and the regulations and resulting market practices are expected to continue to evolve over a number of years. In June 2016, the SEC and the federal banking agencies issued a proposed rule to implement the incentive-based compensation provisions of section 956 of Dodd-Frank. The proposal would establish new requirements for incentive-based compensation at institutions with assets of at least \$1 billion. No final rule has been issued, but the Biden Administration may revisit this proposal.

Debit Card Interchange Fees

Dodd-Frank includes a set of rules requiring that interchange transaction fees for electronic debit transactions be reasonable and proportional to certain costs associated with processing the transactions. Interchange fees for electronic debit transactions are limited to 21 cents plus 0.05% of the transaction, plus an additional one cent per transaction fraud adjustment. These rules impose requirements regarding routing and exclusivity of electronic debit transactions, and generally require that debit cards be usable in at least two unaffiliated networks.

Resolution Planning

In past years, the Bancorp was required to submit annually to the FRB and the FDIC a resolution plan for the orderly resolution of the Bancorp and its significant legal entities under the U.S. Bankruptcy Code or other applicable insolvency laws in a rapid and orderly fashion in the event of future material financial distress or failure. In October 2019, the FRB and the FDIC adopted amendments to their resolution planning rule to adjust the thresholds at which certain resolution planning requirements apply to BHCs with \$100 billion or more in total consolidated assets, including the Bancorp. As a result of these amendments, the Bancorp is no longer required to submit an annual resolution plan to the FRB and the FDIC.

In addition, the Bank is required to periodically file a separate resolution plan with the FDIC. EGRRCPA did not change the FDIC's rules that require the Bank to periodically file a separate resolution plan. In April 2019, the FDIC released an advanced notice of proposed rulemaking with respect to the FDIC's bank resolution plan requirements that requested comments on how to better tailor bank resolution

plans to a firm's size, complexity, and risk profile. Until the FDIC's revisions to its bank resolution plan requirement are finalized, no bank resolution plans will be required to be filed.

In June 2021, the FDIC issued a public policy statement describing how the FDIC will implement certain aspects of the FDIC's resolution plan rule with respect to banks with \$100 billion or more in total assets. Further, in August 2021, the FDIC informed the Bank of certain requirements applicable to the resolution plan submission required under the FDIC's rule with a submission due date of on or before December 1, 2022. The Bank submitted the resolution plan as required.

Proprietary Trading and Investing in Certain Funds

Dodd-Frank sets forth restrictions on banking organizations' ability to engage in proprietary trading and to have certain ownership interests in and relationships with certain covered funds, such as private equity and hedge funds (the "Volcker Rule"). The Volcker Rule generally prohibits any banking entity from engaging in short-term proprietary trading for its own account, but permits transactions in certain securities (such as securities of the U.S. government), transactions on behalf of customers and activities such as market making, underwriting and risk-mitigating hedging. In addition, the Volcker Rule limits the sponsorship of or investment in a covered fund by any banking entity. The Volcker Rule also prohibits certain types of transactions between a banking entity and any covered fund that is sponsored by the banking entity or for which it serves as investment manager or investment advisor, similar to those transactions between banks and their affiliates that are limited as described above. The FRB granted extensions to banking entities, including the Bancorp, to conform to the requirements of the Volcker Rule with respect to "illiquid funds," as defined in the Volcker Rule. The Bancorp is also required to maintain a satisfactory Volcker Rule compliance program.

As of October 2019, the FRB, OCC, FDIC, Commodity Futures Trading Commission ("CFTC") and SEC finalized amendments to the Volcker Rule. These amendments tailor the Volcker Rule's compliance requirements to the amount of a firm's trading activity, revise the definition of trading account, clarify certain key provisions in the Volcker Rule, and modify the information companies are required to provide to federal agencies. These amendments to the Volcker Rule are not material to our investing and trading activities.

In June 2020, the five federal agencies finalized amendments to the Volcker Rule's restrictions on ownership interests in and relationships with covered funds. Among other things, these amendments permit banking entities to have relationships with and offer additional financial services to additional types of funds and investment vehicles. These requirements do not currently have a material impact and are not expected to have a future material impact on the Bancorp's investing and trading activities.

Derivatives

Title VII of Dodd-Frank imposes a regulatory structure on the over-the-counter derivatives market, including requirements for clearing, exchange trading, capital margin, segregation trade reporting, and recordkeeping. Title VII also requires certain persons to register as a swap dealer or a security-based swap dealer. The Bank is provisionally registered with the CFTC as a swap dealer. The CFTC and U.S. banking regulators have finalized most rules applicable to the over-the-counter derivatives markets and swap dealers, and the SEC has finalized most of its rules related to security-based swaps. The CFTC's Title VII regulations are applicable to the Bank's activity as a swap dealer and include rules related to internal and external business conduct standards, reporting and recordkeeping, mandatory clearing for certain swaps, and trade documentation and confirmation requirements. In addition, the U.S. banking regulators have finalized regulations applicable to the Bank regarding mandatory posting and collection of margin by certain swap counterparties and segregation of customer funds. The Bank is not currently subject to regulation as a security-based swap dealer.

Consumer Protection Regulation and Supervision

The Bancorp is subject to supervision and regulation by the CFPB with respect to federal consumer protection laws. The Bancorp is also subject to certain state consumer protection laws, and under Dodd-Frank, state attorneys general and other state officials are empowered to enforce certain federal consumer protection laws and regulations. State authorities have increased their focus on and enforcement of consumer protection rules. These federal and state consumer protection laws apply to a broad range of our activities and to various aspects of our business and include laws relating to interest rates, fair lending, disclosures of credit terms and estimated transaction costs to consumer borrowers, debt collection practices, the use of and the provision of information to consumer reporting agencies, and the prohibition of unfair, deceptive, or abusive acts or practices in connection with the offer, sale, or provision of consumer financial products and services.

The CFPB has promulgated many mortgage-related final rules since it was established under Dodd-Frank, including rules related to the ability to repay and qualified mortgage standards, mortgage servicing standards, loan originator compensation standards, high-cost mortgage requirements, Home Mortgage Disclosure Act requirements, and appraisal and escrow standards for higher priced mortgages. The mortgage-related final rules issued by the CFPB have materially restructured the origination, servicing, and securitization of residential mortgages in the United States. These rules have impacted, and will continue to impact, the business practices of mortgage lenders, including the Bancorp.

Future Legislative and Regulatory Initiatives

Federal and state legislators as well as regulatory agencies may introduce or enact new laws and rules, or amend existing laws and rules, that may affect the regulation of financial institutions and their holding companies. The impact of any future legislative or regulatory changes cannot be predicted. However, such changes could affect the Bancorp's business, financial condition and results of operations.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

The risks and uncertainties listed below present risks that could have a material impact on the Bancorp's financial condition, the results of its operations or its business. Some of these risks and uncertainties are interrelated and the occurrence of one or more of them may exacerbate the effect of others. The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only ones Fifth Third faces. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to Fifth Third or that Fifth Third currently believes to be immaterial may also adversely affect its business. See "Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements" elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for more information.

RISK FACTORS SUMMARY

The following is a summary of the Risk Factors disclosure in this Item 1A:

CREDIT RISKS

- Deteriorating credit quality has adversely impacted Fifth Third in the past and may adversely impact Fifth Third in the future.
- Fifth Third may have more credit risk and higher credit losses to the extent loans are concentrated by exposure to individual borrowers, location or industry of the borrowers or collateral.
- Problems encountered by financial institutions larger than or similar to Fifth Third could adversely affect financial markets generally and have direct and indirect adverse effects on Fifth Third.
- Inability to refinance in capital markets could cause a default that impacts Fifth Third borrowers.

LIQUIDITY RISKS

- Fifth Third must maintain adequate sources of funding and liquidity.
- Fifth Third and/or the holders of its securities could be adversely affected by unfavorable ratings from rating agencies.
- If Fifth Third is unable to maintain or grow its deposits, it may be subject to paying higher funding costs.
- The Bancorp's ability to receive dividends from its subsidiaries accounts for most of its revenue and could affect its liquidity and ability to pay dividends.

OPERATIONAL RISKS

- Fifth Third is exposed to cyber security risks that create both operational and reputational risk for the Bank and its customers across all lines of business.
- Fifth Third relies on its systems and certain third-party service providers and certain failures (including those driven by climate-related weather events) could materially adversely affect operations.
- Fifth Third may not be able to effectively manage organizational changes and implement key initiatives in a timely fashion, or at all, due to competing priorities which could adversely affect its business, results of operations, financial condition and reputation.
- Fifth Third may not be able to successfully implement future information technology system enhancements, which could adversely affect Fifth Third's business operations and profitability.
- Fifth Third's framework for managing risks may not be effective in mitigating its risk and loss.
- Fifth Third may experience losses related to fraud, theft or violence.
- Fifth Third could suffer if it fails to attract and retain skilled personnel.
- Fifth Third may experience operational disruption from the effects of climate change.

LEGAL AND REGULATORY COMPLIANCE RISKS

- Fifth Third and/or its affiliates are or may become involved from time to time in information-gathering requests, investigations and litigation, regulatory or other enforcement proceedings by various governmental regulatory agencies and law enforcement authorities, as well as self-regulatory agencies which may lead to adverse consequences.
- Fifth Third may be required to repurchase residential mortgage loans or reimburse investors and others as a result of breaches in contractual representations and warranties.
- Fifth Third is subject to extensive governmental regulation which could adversely impact Fifth Third or the businesses in which Fifth Third is engaged.
- Fifth Third could suffer from unauthorized use of intellectual property.
- Fifth Third is subject to various regulatory requirements that may limit its operations and potential growth.
- Fifth Third could face serious negative consequences if its third-party service providers, business partners or investments fail to comply with applicable laws, rules or regulations.
- As a regulated entity, the Bancorp is subject to certain capital requirements that may limit its operations, potential growth and ability to pay or increase dividends on its common stock or to repurchase its capital stock.
- Regulation of Fifth Third by the CFTC imposes additional operational and compliance costs.
- Deposit insurance premiums levied against the Bank may increase if the number of bank failures increase or the cost of resolving failed banks increases.

- If an orderly liquidation of a systemically important BHC or non-bank financial company were triggered, Fifth Third could face assessments for the Orderly Liquidation Fund.

MARKET RISKS: INTEREST RATE RISKS AND PRICE RISKS

- The replacement of LIBOR could adversely affect Fifth Third's revenue or expenses and the value of those assets or obligations.
- Weakness in the U.S. economy, including within Fifth Third's geographic footprint, has adversely affected Fifth Third in the past and may adversely affect Fifth Third in the future.
- Global and domestic political, social and economic uncertainties and changes may adversely affect Fifth Third.
- Changes in interest rates could affect Fifth Third's income and cash flows.
- Changes and trends in the capital markets may affect Fifth Third's income and cash flows.
- Fifth Third's stock price is volatile.
- Fifth Third's mortgage banking net revenue can be volatile from quarter to quarter.

STRATEGIC RISKS

- If Fifth Third does not respond to intense competition and rapid changes in the financial services industry or otherwise adapt to changing customer preferences, its financial performance may suffer.
- Changes in retail distribution strategies and consumer behavior may adversely impact Fifth Third's investments in its bank premises and equipment and other assets and may lead to increased expenditures to change its retail distribution channel.
- Difficulties in identifying suitable opportunities or combining the operations of acquired entities or assets with Fifth Third's own operations or assessing the effectiveness of businesses in which Fifth Third makes strategic investments or with which Fifth Third enters into strategic contractual relationships may prevent Fifth Third from achieving the expected benefits from these acquisitions, investments or relationships.
- Future acquisitions may dilute current shareholders' ownership of Fifth Third and may cause Fifth Third to become more susceptible to adverse economic events.
- Fifth Third may sell or consider selling one or more of its businesses or investments. Should it determine to sell such a business or investment, it may not be able to generate gains on sale or related increases in shareholders' equity commensurate with desirable levels. Moreover, if Fifth Third sold such businesses or investments, the loss of income could have an adverse effect on its earnings and future growth.

REPUTATION RISKS

- Damage to Fifth Third's reputation could harm its business.
- Fifth Third is subject to environmental, social and governance ("ESG") risks that could adversely affect its reputation, the trading price of its common stock and/or its business, operations, and earnings.

GENERAL BUSINESS RISKS

- Changes in accounting standards or interpretations could impact Fifth Third's reported earnings and financial condition.
- Fifth Third uses models for business planning purposes that may not adequately predict future results.
- The preparation of financial statements requires Fifth Third to make subjective determinations and use estimates that may vary from actual results and materially impact its results of operations or financial position.
- The effects of global climate change, natural disasters or health emergencies may have an effect on the performance of Fifth Third's loan portfolios, thereby adversely impacting its results of operations.
- Societal responses to climate change could adversely affect Fifth Third's business and performance, including indirectly through impacts on Fifth Third's customers.
- Potential noncompliance with evolving federal and state laws governing cannabis-related businesses ("CRBs") could subject Fifth Third to liabilities.
- The COVID-19 pandemic creates significant risks and uncertainties for Fifth Third's business.

CREDIT RISKS

Deteriorating credit quality has adversely impacted Fifth Third in the past and may adversely impact Fifth Third in the future.

When Fifth Third lends money or commits to lend money, the Bancorp incurs credit risk or the risk of loss if borrowers do not repay their loans, leases, credit cards, derivative obligations, or other credit obligations. The performance of these credit portfolios significantly affects the Bancorp's financial results and condition. If the current economic environment were to deteriorate, more customers may have difficulty in repaying their credit obligations which could result in a higher level of credit losses and reserves for credit losses. Fifth Third reserves for credit losses by establishing reserves through a charge to earnings. The amount of these reserves is based on Fifth Third's assessment of credit losses expected to be incurred in the credit portfolios including unfunded credit commitments. The process for determining the amount of the ALLL and the reserve for unfunded commitments is critical to Fifth Third's financial results and condition. Such determination

requires difficult, subjective and complex judgments about the environment, including analysis of economic or market conditions that may impair the ability of borrowers to repay their loans.

Fifth Third may underestimate the credit losses expected to be incurred in its portfolios and have credit losses in excess of the amount reserved. Fifth Third may increase the reserve because of changing economic or market conditions, including falling home prices or higher unemployment, or other factors such as changes in borrower's behavior or changing protections in credit agreements. As an example, borrowers may "strategically default," or discontinue making payments on their real estate-secured loans if the value of the real estate is less than what they owe, even if they are still financially able to make the payments.

Fifth Third believes that both the ALLL and the reserve for unfunded commitments are adequate to cover expected losses at December 31, 2022; however, there is no assurance that they will be sufficient to cover future credit losses associated with exposures existing at December 31, 2022, especially if economic conditions decline, including but not limited to housing and employment conditions. In the event of significant deterioration in economic or market conditions, Fifth Third may be required to increase reserves in future periods, which would reduce earnings.

For more information, refer to the Credit Risk Management subsection of the Risk Management section and the ALLL and Reserve for Unfunded Commitments subsections of the Critical Accounting Policies section of Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Fifth Third may have more credit risk and higher credit losses to the extent loans are concentrated by exposure to individual borrowers, location or industry of the borrowers or collateral.

Fifth Third's credit risk and credit losses can increase if its loans are concentrated among individual borrowers, borrowers engaged in the same or similar activities, industries or geographies or to borrowers who as a group may be uniquely or disproportionately affected by economic or market conditions. Deterioration in economic conditions, including housing conditions or commodity and real estate values in certain states or locations could result in materially higher credit losses if loans are concentrated in those locations or by other factors. Fifth Third has significant exposure to businesses in certain economic sectors such as manufacturing, real estate, financial services, insurance and healthcare, and weaknesses in those businesses may adversely impact Fifth Third's business, results of operations or financial condition. Additionally, Fifth Third has a substantial portfolio of commercial and residential real estate loans and weaknesses in residential or commercial real estate markets may adversely impact Fifth Third's business, results of operations or financial condition.

Certain industries, including segments of the healthcare industry (including skilled nursing, physician offices and surgery/outpatient centers) that were impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic continue to struggle with recovery. Additionally, many other affected businesses that have reopened are experiencing increased costs and labor shortages, which create wide-ranging effects on several industries.

Problems encountered by financial institutions larger than or similar to Fifth Third could adversely affect financial markets generally and have direct and indirect adverse effects on Fifth Third.

Fifth Third has exposure to counterparties in the financial services industry and other industries and routinely executes transactions with such counterparties, including brokers and dealers, commercial banks, investment banks, mutual and hedge funds and other institutional clients. Many of Fifth Third's transactions with other financial institutions expose Fifth Third to credit risk in the event of default of a counterparty or client. In addition, Fifth Third's credit risk may be affected when the collateral it holds cannot be realized or is liquidated at prices not sufficient to recover the full amount of the loan or derivative exposure. The commercial soundness of many financial institutions may be closely interrelated as a result of credit, trading, clearing or other relationships between the institutions. As a result, concerns about, or a default or threatened default by, one institution could lead to significant market-wide liquidity and credit problems, losses or defaults by other institutions. This is sometimes referred to as "systemic risk" and may adversely affect financial intermediaries, such as clearing agencies, clearing houses, banks, securities firms and exchanges, with which the Bancorp interacts on a daily basis, and therefore could adversely affect Fifth Third.

Inability to refinance in capital markets could cause a default that impacts Fifth Third borrowers.

Some Fifth Third customers rely on additional sources of capital from outside the Bancorp. If capital markets are disrupted or unavailable to these borrowers such that they cannot obtain funds for refinancing, those borrowers may experience a shortfall that would leave them unable to honor short-term and/or long-term obligations to the Bancorp.

LIQUIDITY RISKS

Fifth Third must maintain adequate sources of funding and liquidity.

Fifth Third must maintain adequate funding sources in the normal course of business to support its operations and fund outstanding liabilities, as well as meet regulatory expectations. Fifth Third primarily relies on bank deposits to be a low cost and stable source of funding for the loans it makes and the operation of its business. Core deposits, which include transaction deposits and certificates of deposit \$250,000 or less, have historically provided Fifth Third with a sizeable source of relatively stable and low-cost funds (average core deposits funded 78% of average total assets for the year ending December 31, 2022). In addition to customer deposits, sources of liquidity include investments in the

32 Fifth Third Bancorp

securities portfolio, Fifth Third's sale or securitization of loans in secondary markets, the pledging of loans and investment securities to access secured borrowing facilities through the FHLB and the FRB, and Fifth Third's ability to raise funds in money and capital markets.

Fifth Third's liquidity and ability to fund and operate its business could be materially adversely affected by a variety of conditions and factors, including financial and credit market disruptions and volatility or a lack of market or customer confidence in financial markets in general similar to what occurred during the financial crisis in 2008 and early 2009, which may result in a loss of customer deposits or outflows of cash or collateral and/or ability to access capital markets on favorable terms.

Other conditions and factors that could materially adversely affect Fifth Third's liquidity and funding include:

- a lack of market or customer confidence in Fifth Third or negative news about Fifth Third or the financial services industry generally, which also may result in a loss of customer deposits and/or negatively affect Fifth Third's ability to access the capital markets;
- the loss of customer deposits due to competition from other banks or due to alternative investments;
- inability to sell or securitize loans or other assets;
- increased collateral requirements;
- increased regulatory requirements;
- reductions in one or more of Fifth Third's credit ratings;
- increased utilization of revolving lines of credit by customers; and
- systematic failure of financial market utilities relied upon by Fifth Third to settle intrabank payment activity.

A reduction in Fifth Third's credit rating could adversely affect its ability to borrow funds, including by raising the cost of borrowings substantially and could cause creditors and business counterparties to raise collateral requirements or take other actions that could adversely affect Fifth Third's ability to raise capital. Many of the above conditions and factors may be caused by events over which Fifth Third has little or no control such as what occurred during the financial crisis. There can be no assurance that significant disruption and volatility in the financial markets will not occur again in the future.

Regulatory changes relating to liquidity and risk management may also negatively impact Fifth Third's results of operations and competitive position. Various regulations have been adopted to impose more stringent liquidity requirements for large financial institutions, including Fifth Third. These regulations address, among other matters, liquidity stress testing and minimum liquidity requirements. The application of certain of these regulations to banking organizations, such as Fifth Third, have been modified, including in connection with the implementation of the Tailoring Rules in the EGRRCPA.

If Fifth Third is unable to continue to fund assets through customer bank deposits or access capital markets on favorable terms or if Fifth Third suffers an increase in borrowing costs or otherwise fails to manage liquidity effectively, Fifth Third's liquidity, operating margins and financial results and condition may be materially adversely affected. Fifth Third may also need to raise additional capital and liquidity through the issuance of stock, which could dilute the ownership of existing stockholders, or reduce or even eliminate common stock dividends or share repurchases to preserve capital and liquidity.

Fifth Third and/or the holders of its securities could be adversely affected by unfavorable ratings from rating agencies.

Fifth Third's ability to access the capital markets is important to its overall funding profile. This access is affected by the ratings assigned by rating agencies to Fifth Third, certain of its subsidiaries and particular classes of securities they issue. The interest rates that Fifth Third pays on its securities are also influenced by, among other things, the credit ratings that it, its subsidiaries and/or its securities receive from recognized rating agencies. A downgrade to Fifth Third or its subsidiaries' credit rating could affect its ability to access the capital markets, increase its borrowing costs and negatively impact its profitability. A ratings downgrade to Fifth Third, its subsidiaries or their securities could also create obligations or liabilities of Fifth Third under the terms of its outstanding securities that could increase Fifth Third's costs or otherwise have a negative effect on its results of operations or financial condition.

Additionally, a downgrade of the credit rating of any particular security issued by Fifth Third or its subsidiaries could negatively affect the ability of the holders of that security to sell the securities and the prices at which any such securities may be sold.

Other rating agencies may also take actions to downgrade their ratings of the securities issued by Fifth Third or its subsidiaries. There can be no assurances that Fifth Third or its subsidiaries will retain any specific rating from any specific rating agency.

If Fifth Third is unable to maintain or grow its deposits, it may be subject to paying higher funding costs.

The total amount that Fifth Third pays for funding costs is dependent, in part, on Fifth Third's ability to maintain or grow its deposits. If Fifth Third is unable to sufficiently maintain or grow its deposits to meet liquidity objectives, it may be subject to paying higher funding costs. Fifth Third competes with banks and other financial services companies for deposits. If competitors raise the rates they pay on deposits, Fifth Third's funding costs may increase, either because Fifth Third raises rates to avoid losing deposits or because Fifth Third loses deposits and must rely on more expensive sources of funding. Also, customers typically move money from bank deposits to alternative investments during rising interest rate environments. Customers may also move noninterest-bearing deposits to interest-bearing accounts increasing the cost of those deposits. Checking and savings account balances and other forms of customer deposits may decrease when customers perceive alternative investments, such as the stock market, as providing a better risk/return trade-off. Fifth Third's bank customers could take their money out of the Bank and put it in alternative investments, causing Fifth Third to lose a lower cost source of funding. Higher funding costs reduce Fifth Third's net interest margin and net interest income.

The Bancorp's ability to receive dividends from its subsidiaries accounts for most of its revenue and could affect its liquidity and ability to pay dividends.

Fifth Third Bancorp is a separate and distinct legal entity from its subsidiaries. Fifth Third Bancorp typically receives substantially all of its revenue from dividends from its subsidiaries. These dividends are the principal source of funds to pay dividends on Fifth Third Bancorp's stock and interest and principal on its debt. The ability of Fifth Third Bancorp's subsidiaries to pay dividends or make other payments or distributions depends on their respective operating results and may be restricted by, among other things, regulatory constraints, prevailing economic conditions (including interest rates), and financial, business and other factors, many of which are beyond the control of Fifth Third Bancorp. Various federal and/or state laws and regulations, as well as regulatory expectations, limit the amount of dividends that the Bancorp's banking subsidiary and certain nonbank subsidiaries may pay to the Bancorp. Regulatory scrutiny of liquidity and capital levels at bank holding companies and insured depository institutions has resulted in increased regulatory focus on all aspects of capital planning, including dividends and other distributions to shareholders of banks such as the parent bank holding companies. In addition, Fifth Third Bancorp's right to participate in a distribution of assets upon a subsidiary's liquidation or reorganization is subject to the prior claims of that subsidiary's creditors.

Regulatory limitations on the Bancorp's ability to receive dividends from its subsidiaries, economic conditions and other financial or business factors could have a material adverse effect on its liquidity and ability to pay dividends on stock or interest and principal on its debt and to engage in share repurchases. For further information, refer to Regulation and Supervision in Item 1 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K and Note 3 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

OPERATIONAL RISKS

Fifth Third is exposed to cyber security risks that create both operational and reputational risk for the Bank and its customers across all lines of business.

In today's digital world, more and more of Fifth Third's business is conducted primarily via digital and mobile technology and information management systems. This includes the use of cloud computing, digital applications and third-party providers that host and store sensitive employee and customer information. Failures, interruptions of service or breaches in the security of these environments occur across the financial services industry with some frequency and, if a material event of this nature occurred at Fifth Third or one of its third-party providers, this could result in disruptions to Fifth Third's accounting, deposit, lending and other systems, and adversely affect its customer relationships. While Fifth Third heavily invests in information security, technical resiliency, business continuity and disaster recovery planning, and has policies and procedures designed to detect, limit, and prevent the impact of these possible events, there can be no assurance that any such failure, interruption or security breach will not occur or, if any does occur, that it can be remediated in such a way to eliminate the risk.

There will always be efforts on the part of threat actors to breach information security at financial institutions or with respect to financial transactions. There have been several recent instances involving financial services, credit bureaus and consumer-based companies reporting the unauthorized disclosure of client or customer information or the destruction or theft of corporate data, by both private individuals and foreign governments. In addition, because the techniques used to cause such security breaches change frequently, often are not recognized until launched against a target and may originate from less regulated and remote areas around the world, Fifth Third may be unable to proactively address these techniques or to implement adequate preventative measures. Despite Fifth Third's efforts to prevent a cyber-attack, a successful cyber-attack could persist for an extended period of time before being detected, and, following detection, it could take considerable time for Fifth Third to obtain full and reliable information about the cyber security incident and the extent, amount and type of information compromised. During the course of an investigation, Fifth Third may not necessarily know the full effects of the incident or how to remediate it, and actions and decisions that are taken or made in an effort to mitigate risk may further increase the costs and other negative consequences of the incident. Furthermore, financial services companies are regularly the target of cyber-attacks such as distributed denial of service attacks and ransomware attacks. The unintentional or willful acts or omissions of employees also remains the primary avenue through which threat actors attempt to gain access to company networks, information systems and data.

An emerging risk is the use of third- and fourth-party providers to host critical data and platforms for Fifth Third, or in some cases provide IT services to Fifth Third domestically and internationally. Fifth Third must make investments in its ability to oversee third- and fourth-party

providers and its failure to do so could result in customer losses, operational issues, litigation, regulatory actions and reputational loss. Industry trends are moving more to cloud providers, Software as a Service partners and hosted platforms that traditionally resided inside Fifth Third's firewall and data centers. These emerging risks are further heightened through the increasing use of near real-time money movement solutions such as Zelle, and increase the difficulty to detect, prevent and recover fraudulent transactions. These emerging risks are increasing the costs of Fifth Third's investment in technology and cyber security and require further investment in cyber-related and data loss event insurance which Fifth Third has in place. Though Fifth Third has insurance against some cyber security risks and attacks, it may not be sufficient to offset the impact of a material loss event. Future investment in these areas could have higher than expected costs and/or result in operating inefficiencies, which could increase the costs associated with the implementation as well as ongoing operations.

If personal, confidential or proprietary information of customers or clients in the Bancorp's or such vendors' or other third-parties' possession were to be mishandled or misused, the Bancorp could suffer significant regulatory consequences, reputational damage and financial loss.

Fifth Third relies on its systems and certain third-party service providers and certain failures (including those driven by climate-related weather events) could materially adversely affect operations.

Fifth Third's operations, including its financial and accounting systems, use computer systems and telecommunications networks operated by both Fifth Third and third-party service providers. Fifth Third may not be sufficiently resilient and may not recover from significant operational events in a timely manner which could create operational and reputational risks. Additionally, Fifth Third collects, processes and stores sensitive consumer data by utilizing those and other systems and networks. Fifth Third has security, backup and recovery systems in place, as well as a business continuity plan to ensure the systems will not be inoperable. Fifth Third also has security to prevent unauthorized access to the systems. In addition, Fifth Third requires its third-party service providers to maintain similar controls. However, Fifth Third cannot be certain that the measures will be successful.

A security breach in these systems or the loss or corruption of confidential information such as business results, transaction records and related information could adversely impact Fifth Third's ability to provide timely and accurate financial information in compliance with legal and regulatory requirements, which could result in sanctions from regulatory authorities, significant reputational harm and the loss of customer confidence in Fifth Third. Additionally, security breaches or the loss, theft or corruption of customer information such as social security numbers, credit card numbers, account balances or other information could result in losses by Fifth Third's customers, litigation, regulatory sanctions, lost customers and revenue, increased costs and significant reputational harm.

Fifth Third's necessary dependence upon automated systems to record and process its transaction volume poses the risk that technical system flaws or employee errors, tampering or manipulation of those systems will result in losses and may be difficult to detect. Fifth Third may also be subject to disruptions of its operating systems arising from events that are beyond its control (for example, computer viruses or electrical or telecommunications outages).

Third-party service providers with which the Bancorp does business both domestically and offshore, as well as vendors and other third parties with which the Bancorp's customers do business, can also be sources of operational risk to the Bancorp, particularly where processes are highly concentrated or activities of customers are beyond the Bancorp's security and control systems, such as through the use of the internet, personal computers, tablets, smart phones and other mobile services. Security breaches affecting the Bancorp's customers, or systems breakdowns or failures, security breaches or employee misconduct affecting such other third-party service providers, may require the Bancorp to take steps to protect the integrity of its own operational systems or to safeguard confidential information of the Bancorp or its customers, thereby increasing the Bancorp's operational costs and potentially diminishing customer satisfaction. If personal, confidential or proprietary information of customers or clients in the Bancorp's or such vendors' or other third parties' possession were to be mishandled or misused, the Bancorp could suffer significant regulatory consequences, reputational damage and financial loss. Such mishandling or misuse could include circumstances where, for example, such information was erroneously provided to parties who are not permitted to have the information, either through the fault of the Bancorp's systems, employees or counterparties, or where such information was intercepted or otherwise compromised by threat actors. The Bancorp may be subject to disruptions of its operating systems arising from events that are wholly or partially beyond the Bancorp's control, which may include, for example, security breaches; electrical or telecommunications outages; failures of computer components or servers or other damage to the Bancorp's property or assets; natural disasters or severe weather conditions; health emergencies; or events arising from local or larger-scale political events, including outbreaks of hostilities or terrorist acts. While the Bancorp believes that its current business continuity plans are both sufficient and adequate, there can be no assurance that such plans will fully mitigate all potential business continuity risks to the Bancorp or its customers and clients.

Any failures or disruptions of the Bancorp's systems or operations could give rise to losses in service to customers and clients, adversely affect the Bancorp's business and results of operations by subjecting the Bancorp to losses or liability, or require the Bancorp to expend significant resources to correct the failure or disruption, as well as by exposing the Bancorp to reputational harm, litigation, regulatory fines or penalties or losses not covered by insurance. The Bancorp could also be adversely affected if it loses access to information or services from a third-party service provider as a result of a security breach or system or operational failure, or disruption affecting the third-party service provider. Fifth Third's insurance may be inadequate to compensate for failures by, or affecting, third-party service providers upon which Fifth Third relies.

Fifth Third may not be able to effectively manage organizational changes and implement key initiatives in a timely fashion, or at all, due to competing priorities which could adversely affect its business, results of operations, financial condition and reputation.

Fifth Third is subject to rapid changes in technology, regulation and product innovation, and faces intense competition for customers, sources of revenue, capital, services, qualified employees and other essential business resources. In order to meet these challenges, Fifth Third is or may be engaged in numerous critical strategic initiatives at the same time. Accomplishing these initiatives may be complex, time intensive and require significant financial, technological, management and other resources. These initiatives may consume management's attention and may compete for limited resources. In addition, organizational changes may need to be implemented throughout Fifth Third as a result of the new products, services, partnerships and processes that arise from the execution of these various strategic initiatives. Fifth Third may have difficulty managing these organizational changes and executing these initiatives effectively in a timely fashion, or at all. Fifth Third's failure to do so could expose it to litigation or regulatory action and may damage Fifth Third's business, results of operations, financial condition and reputation.

Fifth Third may not be able to successfully implement future information technology system enhancements, which could adversely affect Fifth Third's business operations and profitability.

Fifth Third invests significant resources in information technology system enhancements in order to provide functionality and security at an appropriate level for ongoing product development and process re-engineering. Fifth Third may not be able to successfully implement and integrate future system enhancements, which could adversely impact the ability to provide timely and accurate financial information in compliance with legal and regulatory requirements, which could result in sanctions from regulatory authorities. Such sanctions could include fines and result in reputational harm and have other negative effects. Failure to properly utilize system enhancements that are implemented in the future could result in impairment charges that adversely impact Fifth Third's financial condition and results of operations and could result in significant costs to remediate or replace the defective components. In addition, Fifth Third may incur significant training, licensing, maintenance, consulting and amortization expenses during and after systems implementations, and any such costs may continue for an extended period of time. A failure to maintain or enhance Fifth Third's competitive position with respect to technology, whether because of a failure to anticipate client expectations or other necessary changes, a failure in the performance of technological developments or an untimely roll out of developments, may cause Fifth Third to lose market share or incur additional expense.

Fifth Third's framework for managing risks may not be effective in mitigating its risk and loss.

Fifth Third's risk management framework seeks to mitigate risk and loss. Fifth Third has established processes and procedures intended to identify, measure, monitor, report and manage the types of risk to which it is subject, including liquidity risk, credit risk, interest rate risk, price risk, legal and regulatory compliance risk, strategic risk, reputational risk and operational risk related to its employees, systems and vendors, among others. Fifth Third also considers the physical and transition risks arising from climate change to be transverse risk drivers that impact all of these material risks and have therefore integrated climate change considerations into its risk management framework. Any system of control and any system to reduce risk exposure, however well designed and operated, is based in part on certain assumptions and can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurances that the objectives of the system are met. A failure in Fifth Third's internal controls could have a significant negative impact not only on its earnings, but also on the perception that customers, regulators and investors may have of Fifth Third. Fifth Third continues to devote a significant amount of effort, time and resources to improving its controls and ensuring compliance with complex regulations.

Additionally, instruments, systems and strategies used to hedge or otherwise manage exposure to various types of interest rate, price, legal and regulatory compliance, credit, liquidity, operational and business risks and enterprise-wide risk could be less effective than anticipated. As a result, Fifth Third may not be able to effectively mitigate its risk exposures in particular market environments or against particular types of risk. If Fifth Third's risk management framework proves ineffective, Fifth Third could incur litigation, negative regulatory consequences, reputational damages among other adverse consequences and Fifth Third could suffer unexpected losses that may affect its financial condition or results of operations.

Fifth Third may experience losses related to fraud, theft or violence.

Fifth Third has experienced, and may experience again in the future, losses incurred due to customer or employee fraud, theft or physical violence. Additionally, physical violence may negatively affect Fifth Third's key personnel, facilities or systems. These losses may be material and negatively affect Fifth Third's results of operations, financial condition or prospects. These losses could also lead to significant reputational risks and other effects. The industry fraud threat continues to evolve, including but not limited to, card fraud, check fraud, electronic fraud, wire fraud, social engineering and phishing attacks for identity theft and account takeover. Nationally, reported incidents of fraud and other financial crimes have increased. Increased use of the internet and telecommunications technologies (including mobile devices) to conduct financial and other business transactions and operations, coupled with the increased sophistication and activities of organized crime, perpetrators of fraud, hackers, terrorists and others increases Fifth Third's security risks. Fifth Third continues to invest in fraud prevention in the forms of people and systems designed to prevent, detect and mitigate the customer and financial impacts.

Fifth Third could suffer if it fails to attract and retain skilled personnel.

Fifth Third's success depends, in large part, on its ability to attract and retain key individuals. Competition for qualified candidates in the activities and markets that Fifth Third serves is intense, which may increase Fifth Third's expenses and may result in Fifth Third not being

able to hire candidates or retain them. If Fifth Third is not able to hire qualified candidates or retain its key personnel, Fifth Third may be unable to execute its business strategies and may suffer adverse consequences to its business, operations and financial condition.

Compensation paid by financial institutions such as Fifth Third is heavily regulated, particularly under Dodd-Frank, which affects the amount and form of compensation Fifth Third pays to hire and retain talented employees. If Fifth Third is unable to attract and retain qualified employees, or do so at rates necessary to maintain its competitive position, or if compensation costs required to attract and retain employees become more expensive, Fifth Third's performance, including its competitive position, could be materially adversely affected.

Fifth Third may experience operational disruption from the effects of climate change.

Fifth Third faces operational risk from the effects of climate change as an increase in severe weather may cause closures, damage to infrastructure, or damage to Fifth Third's physical locations that may disrupt the physical operation of the Bancorp. These interruptions may impair Fifth Third's ability to operate and may interfere with its ability to carry out business and serve clients and customers.

LEGAL AND REGULATORY COMPLIANCE RISKS

Fifth Third and/or its affiliates are or may become involved from time to time in information-gathering requests, investigations and litigation, regulatory or other enforcement proceedings by various governmental regulatory agencies and law enforcement authorities, as well as self-regulatory agencies which may lead to adverse consequences.

Fifth Third and/or its affiliates are or may become involved from time to time in information-gathering requests, reviews, investigations and proceedings (both formal and informal) by governmental regulatory agencies and law enforcement authorities, as well as self-regulatory agencies, regarding their respective customers and businesses, as well as their sales practices, data security, product offerings, compensation practices and other compliance issues. Also, a violation of law or regulation by another financial institution may give rise to an inquiry or investigation by regulators or other authorities of the same or similar practices by Fifth Third. In addition, the complexity of the federal and state regulatory and enforcement regimes in the U.S. means that a single event or topic may give rise to numerous and overlapping investigations and regulatory proceedings. Furthermore, Fifth Third and certain of its directors and officers have been named from time to time as defendants in various class actions and other litigation relating to Fifth Third's business and activities, as well as regulatory or other enforcement proceedings. Past, present and future litigation have included or could include claims for substantial compensatory and/or punitive damages or claims for indeterminate amounts of damages. Enforcement authorities may seek admissions of wrongdoing and, in some cases, criminal pleas as part of the resolutions of matters and any such resolution of a matter involving Fifth Third which could lead to increased exposure to private litigation, could adversely affect Fifth Third's reputation and could result in limitations on Fifth Third's ability to do business in certain jurisdictions.

Each of the matters described above may result in material adverse consequences, including without limitation, adverse judgments, settlements, fines, penalties, injunctions or other actions, amendments and/or restatements of Fifth Third's SEC filings and/or financial statements, as applicable, and/or determinations of material weaknesses in its disclosure controls and procedures. In addition, responding to information-gathering requests, reviews, investigations and proceedings, regardless of the ultimate outcome of the matter, could be time-consuming and expensive.

Like other large financial institutions and companies, Fifth Third is also subject to risk from potential employee misconduct, including non-compliance with policies and improper use or disclosure of confidential information. Substantial legal liability or significant regulatory or other enforcement action against Fifth Third could materially adversely affect its business, financial condition or results of operations and/or cause significant reputational harm to its business. The outcome of lawsuits and regulatory proceedings may be difficult to predict or estimate. Although Fifth Third establishes accruals for legal proceedings when information related to the loss contingencies represented by those matters indicates both that a loss is probable and that the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated, Fifth Third does not have accruals for all legal proceedings where it faces a risk of loss. In addition, due to the inherent subjectivity of the assessments and unpredictability of the outcome of legal proceedings, amounts accrued may not represent the ultimate loss to Fifth Third from the legal proceedings in question. Thus, Fifth Third's ultimate losses may be higher, and possibly significantly so, than the amounts accrued for legal loss contingencies, which could adversely affect Fifth Third's results of operations.

In addition, there has been a trend of public settlements with governmental agencies that may adversely affect other financial institutions, to the extent such settlements are used as a template for future settlements. The uncertain regulatory enforcement environment makes it difficult to estimate probable losses, which can lead to substantial disparities between legal reserves and actual settlements or penalties.

For further information on specific legal and regulatory proceedings, refer to Note 19 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Fifth Third may be required to repurchase residential mortgage loans or reimburse investors and others as a result of breaches in contractual representations and warranties.

Fifth Third sells residential mortgage loans to various parties, including government-sponsored enterprises ("GSE") and other financial institutions that purchase residential mortgage loans for investment or private label securitization. Fifth Third may be required to repurchase residential mortgage loans, indemnify the securitization trust, investor or insurer, or reimburse the securitization trust, investor or insurer, for credit losses incurred on loans in the event of a breach of contractual representations or warranties that is not remedied within a specified

period (usually 60 days or less) after Fifth Third receives notice of the breach. Contracts for residential mortgage loan sales to the GSEs include various types of specific remedies and penalties that could be applied to inadequate responses to repurchase requests. As a result, Fifth Third has established reserves in its consolidated financial statements for probable losses related to the residential mortgage loans it has sold. If economic conditions or the housing market deteriorate or future investor repurchase demand and Fifth Third's success at appealing such repurchase requests differ from expectations, Fifth Third could have increased repurchase obligations and increased loss severity on repurchases, requiring material additions to the repurchase reserve. Due to uncertainties relating to these factors, there can be no assurance that the reserves Fifth Third establishes will be adequate or that the total amount of losses incurred will not have a material adverse effect on Fifth Third's financial condition or results of operations.

Fifth Third is subject to extensive governmental regulation which could adversely impact Fifth Third or the businesses in which Fifth Third is engaged. Government regulation and legislation subject Fifth Third and other financial institutions to restrictions, oversight and/or costs that may have an impact on Fifth Third's business, financial condition, results of operations or the price of its common stock.

Fifth Third is subject to extensive federal and state regulation, supervision and legislation that govern almost all aspects of its operations and limit the businesses in which Fifth Third may engage. These laws and regulations may change from time to time and are primarily intended for the protection of consumers, borrowers and depositors and are not designed to protect security-holders. In the past decade, the scope of the laws and regulations and the intensity of the supervision to which Fifth Third is subject increased in response to the 2008-2009 financial crisis as well as other factors such as technological and market changes. Compliance with these laws and regulations has resulted in and will continue to result in additional costs, which could be significant, and may have a material and adverse effect on Fifth Third's results of operations. In addition, if Fifth Third does not appropriately comply with current or future legislation and regulations, especially those that apply to its consumer operations, which has been an area of heightened focus, Fifth Third may be subject to fines, penalties or judgments, or material regulatory restrictions on its businesses, which could adversely affect operations and, in turn, financial results. Additionally, actions by regulatory agencies or significant litigation against Fifth Third could cause it to devote significant time and resources to defending itself and may lead to penalties that materially affect Fifth Third and its shareholders. Future changes in laws or regulations (including tax laws and regulations such as the Inflation Reduction Act) or their interpretations or enforcement may also be materially adverse to Fifth Third and its shareholders or may require Fifth Third to expend significant time and resources to comply with such requirements. In addition, as climate change issues become more prevalent, the U.S. and foreign governments are beginning to respond to these issues. The increasing government focus on climate change may result in new environmental regulations, including disclosure required by the SEC, that could result in additional compliance costs.

The Biden Administration has sought to implement a regulatory agenda that is significantly different than that of the Trump Administration. This agenda could include a heightened focus on the risks arising from climate change, fair lending, consumer protection, Bank Secrecy Act and anti-money laundering requirements, topics related to social equity, executive compensation, and increased capital and liquidity, as well as limits on share buybacks and dividends. In addition, mergers and acquisitions could be hindered by increased antitrust and other regulatory scrutiny. Reform proposals are also expected for the short-term wholesale markets. It is uncertain which, if any, of these policies would be implemented and what their impact would be on Fifth Third.

Fifth Third cannot predict whether any pending or future legislation will be adopted or the substance and impact of any such new legislation on Fifth Third. Changes in regulation and supervisory and enforcement focus could affect Fifth Third in a substantial way and could have an adverse effect on its business, financial condition and results of operations. Additionally, legislation or regulatory reform could affect the behaviors of third parties that Fifth Third deals within the course of business, such as rating agencies, insurance companies and investors.

In addition, changes in laws or regulations that affect Fifth Third's customers and business partners could negatively affect Fifth Third's revenues and expenses. Certain changes in laws such as tax law reforms that impose limitations on the deductibility of interest may decrease the demand for Fifth Third's products or services and could negatively affect its revenues and results of operations. Other changes in laws or regulations could cause Fifth Third's third-party service providers and other vendors to increase the prices they charge to Fifth Third and negatively affect Fifth Third's expenses and financial results.

Fifth Third could suffer from unauthorized use of intellectual property.

Fifth Third develops for itself, and licenses from others, intellectual property for use in conducting its business. This intellectual property has been, and may be, subject to misappropriation or infringement by third parties as well as claims that Fifth Third's use of certain technology or other intellectual property infringes on rights owned by others. Fifth Third has been, and may be, subject to disputes and/or litigation concerning these claims and could be held responsible for significant damages covering past activities and substantial fees to continue to engage in these activities in the future. Fifth Third may also be unable to acquire rights to use certain intellectual property that is important for its business and may be unable to effectively engage in critical business activities. If Fifth Third is unable to protect or acquire rights to use intellectual property it owns or licenses, it may lose certain competitive advantages, incur expenses and/or lose revenue and may suffer harm to its business results and financial condition.

Fifth Third is subject to various regulatory requirements that may limit its operations and potential growth.

Under federal and state laws and regulations pertaining to the safety and soundness of insured depository institutions and their holding companies, the FRB, the FDIC, the CFPB and the OCC have the authority to compel or restrict certain actions by the Bancorp and the Bank. The Bancorp and the Bank are subject to such supervisory authority and, more generally, must, in certain instances, obtain prior regulatory approval before engaging in certain activities or corporate decisions. There can be no assurance that such approvals, if required, would be forthcoming or that such approvals would be granted in a timely manner. Failure to receive any such approval, if required, could limit or impair the Bancorp's operations, restrict its growth, ability to compete, innovate or participate in industry consolidation and/or affect its dividend policy. Such actions and activities that may be subject to prior approval include, but are not limited to, increasing dividends or other capital distributions by the Bancorp or the Bank, entering into a merger or acquisition transaction, acquiring or establishing new branches, and entering into certain new businesses.

Failure by the Bancorp or the Bank to meet the applicable eligibility requirements for FHC status (including capital and management requirements and that the Bank maintain at least a "Satisfactory" CRA rating) may result in restrictions on certain activities of the Bancorp, including the commencement of new activities and mergers with or acquisitions of other financial institutions and could ultimately result in the loss of FHC status.

Fifth Third and other financial institutions are subject to scrutiny from government authorities, including bank regulatory authorities, stemming from broader systemic regulatory concerns, including with respect to stress testing, liquidity and capital levels, asset quality, provisioning, AML/BSA, fair lending, consumer compliance and other prudential matters and efforts to ensure that financial institutions take steps to improve their risk management and prevent future crises.

In this regard, government authorities, including the bank regulatory agencies and law enforcement, are also pursuing aggressive enforcement actions with respect to compliance and other legal matters involving financial activities, which heightens the risks associated with actual and perceived compliance failures and may also adversely affect Fifth Third's ability to enter into certain transactions or engage in certain activities, or obtain necessary regulatory approvals in connection therewith. The government enforcement authority includes, among other things, the ability to assess significant civil or criminal monetary penalties, fines, or restitution; to issue cease and desist or removal orders; and to initiate injunctive actions against banking organizations and institution-affiliated parties. These enforcement actions may be initiated for violations of laws and regulations and unsafe or unsound practices.

In some cases, regulatory agencies may take supervisory actions that may not be publicly disclosed, which restrict or limit a financial institution. Finally, as part of Fifth Third's regular examination process, the Bancorp and the Bank's respective regulators may advise it and its banking subsidiary to operate under various restrictions as a prudential matter. Such supervisory actions or restrictions, if and in whatever manner imposed, could negatively affect Fifth Third's ability to engage in new activities and certain transactions, as well as have a material adverse effect on Fifth Third's business and results of operations and may not be publicly disclosed.

Fifth Third could face serious negative consequences if its third-party service providers, business partners or investments fail to comply with applicable laws, rules or regulations.

Fifth Third is expected to oversee the legal and regulatory compliance of its business endeavors, including those performed by third-party service providers, business partners, other vendors and certain companies in which Fifth Third has invested. Legal authorities and regulators could hold Fifth Third responsible for failures by these parties to comply with applicable laws, rules or regulations. These failures could expose Fifth Third to significant litigation or regulatory action that could limit its activities or impose significant fines or other financial losses. Additionally, Fifth Third could be subject to significant litigation from consumers or other parties harmed by these failures and could suffer significant losses of business and revenue, as well as reputational harm as a result of these failures.

As a regulated entity, the Bancorp is subject to certain capital requirements that may limit its operations, potential growth and ability to pay or increase dividends on its common stock or to repurchase its capital stock.

As a BHC and an FHC, the Bancorp is subject to the comprehensive, consolidated supervision and regulation of the FRB, including risk-based and leverage capital requirements, investment practices, dividend policy and growth. The Bancorp must maintain certain risk-based and leverage capital ratios as required by the FRB which can change depending upon general economic conditions and the Bancorp's particular condition, risk profile and growth plans. Compliance with the capital requirements, including leverage ratios, may limit operations that require the intensive use of capital and could adversely affect the Bancorp's ability to expand or maintain present business levels.

Failure by the Bank to meet applicable capital requirements could subject it to a variety of enforcement actions available to the federal regulatory authorities. These include limitations on the ability of the Bancorp to pay dividends and/or repurchase shares, the issuance by the regulatory authority of a capital directive to increase capital, loss of FHC status and the termination of deposit insurance by the FDIC.

The Bancorp is subject to the stress capital buffer requirement and must maintain capital ratios above its buffered minimum (regulatory minimum plus stress capital buffer) in order to avoid certain limitations on capital distributions and discretionary bonuses to executive officers. The FRB uses the supervisory stress test to determine the Bancorp's stress capital buffer, subject to a floor of 2.5%. The Bancorp's stress capital buffer requirement has been 2.5% since the introduction of this framework and was most recently affirmed as part of the FRB's 2022 supervisory stress test for the period from October 1, 2022 to September 30, 2023, based on the Bancorp's 2022 supervisory stress

testing results, subject to potential adjustments by the FRB. Further changes to applicable capital and liquidity requirements could result in unexpected or new limitations on the Bancorp's ability to pay dividends and engage in share repurchases.

Regulation of Fifth Third by the CFTC imposes additional operational and compliance costs.

The CFTC and SEC are primarily responsible for regulation of the U.S. derivatives markets. While most of the provisions related to derivatives markets are now in effect, several additional requirements await final regulations from the relevant regulatory agencies for derivatives, including the CFTC and the SEC. As a result of this regulatory regime, the CFTC has a meaningful supervisory role with respect to some of Fifth Third's businesses. The Bank is provisionally registered as a swap dealer with the CFTC and is subject to certain requirements, including real time trade reporting and robust record keeping requirements, business conduct requirements (including daily valuations, disclosure of material risks associated with swaps and disclosure of material incentives and conflicts of interest) and mandatory clearing and exchange trading of certain swaps designated by the relevant regulatory agencies as required to be cleared. Fifth Third's derivatives activity is also subject to the U.S. banking regulators' margin and segregation requirements for uncleared swaps. These requirements collectively impose implementation and ongoing compliance burdens on Fifth Third and introduce additional legal risk, including as a result of antifraud and anti-manipulation provisions and private rights of action. These rules raise the costs and liquidity burden associated with Fifth Third's derivatives activities and could have an adverse effect on its business, financial condition and results of operations. For more information, refer to Regulation and Supervision—Derivatives in Item 1 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Deposit insurance premiums levied against the Bank may increase if the number of bank failures increase or the cost of resolving failed banks increases.

The FDIC maintains a Deposit Insurance Fund ("DIF") to protect insured depositors in the event of bank failures. The DIF is funded by fees assessed on insured depository institutions including the Bank. Future deposit premiums paid by the Bank depend on FDIC rules, which are subject to change, the level of the DIF and the magnitude and cost of future bank failures. The Bank may be required to pay significantly higher FDIC premiums if market developments change such that the DIF balance is reduced or the FDIC changes its rules to require higher premiums.

If an orderly liquidation of a systemically important BHC or non-bank financial company were triggered, Fifth Third could face assessments for the Orderly Liquidation Fund.

Dodd-Frank created authority for the orderly liquidation of systemically important BHCs and non-bank financial companies and is based on the FDIC's bank resolution model. The Secretary of the U.S. Treasury may trigger liquidation under this authority only after consultation with the President of the United States and after receiving a recommendation from the board of the FDIC and the FRB upon a two-thirds vote. Liquidation proceedings will be funded by the Orderly Liquidation Fund established under Dodd-Frank, which will borrow from the U.S. Treasury and impose risk-based assessments on covered financial companies. Risk-based assessments would be made, first, on entities that received more in the resolution than they would have received in the liquidation to the extent of such excess and second, if necessary, on, among others, bank holding companies with total consolidated assets of \$50 billion or more, such as Fifth Third. Any such assessments may adversely affect Fifth Third's business, financial condition or results of operations.

MARKET RISKS: INTEREST RATE RISKS AND PRICE RISKS

The replacement of LIBOR could adversely affect Fifth Third's revenue or expenses and the value of those assets or obligations.

London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") and certain other "benchmarks" are the subject of recent national, international and other regulatory guidance and proposals for reform. These reforms may cause such benchmarks to perform differently than in the past or have other consequences which cannot be predicted. On July 27, 2017, the United Kingdom's Financial Conduct Authority (the "FCA"), which regulates LIBOR, publicly announced that it would stop persuading or compelling banks to submit LIBOR rates after 2021. Since then, central banks around the world, including the Federal Reserve, have commissioned working groups of market participants and official sector representatives with the goal of finding suitable replacements for LIBOR.

On March 5, 2021, the FCA and ICE Benchmark Administration, Limited announced that the publication of the one-week and two-month U.S. Dollar ("USD") LIBOR maturities and non-USD LIBOR maturities would cease immediately after December 31, 2021, with the remaining USD LIBOR maturities ceasing immediately after June 30, 2023. In the United States, the Alternative Rates Reference Committee (the "ARRC"), a group of market participants convened in 2014 to help ensure a successful transition away from USD LIBOR, identified the Secured Overnight Financing Rate ("SOFR") as its preferred alternative rate. SOFR is a broad measure of the cost of borrowing cash overnight collateralized by Treasury securities, given the depth and robustness of the U.S. Treasury repurchase market. The composition and characteristics of SOFR are not the same as those of LIBOR, and SOFR is fundamentally different from LIBOR for two key reasons: (1) SOFR is a secured rate, while LIBOR is an unsecured rate, and (2) SOFR is an overnight rate, while LIBOR is a forward-looking rate that represents interbank funding over different maturities. Notably, other countries are introducing their own local-currency-denominated alternative reference rates for short-term lending, such as the Sterling Overnight Index Average ("SONIA"), the Swiss Average Rate Overnight ("SARON"), and the Tokyo Overnight Average Rate ("TONAR"), among others. On March 15, 2022, President Biden signed the Adjustable Interest Rate (LIBOR) Act (the "LIBOR Act") into law. The LIBOR Act offers a federal solution for transitioning legacy instruments that lack sufficient provisions addressing LIBOR's cessation by outlining a uniform process to govern the transition from LIBOR to a replacement rate. The LIBOR Act also establishes a safe harbor for lenders, shielding lenders from litigation as a result of their choice of a replacement rate (such as SOFR) per FRB recommendations. On December 16, 2022, the FRB issued its final regulations which carry out the terms of the LIBOR Act. These regulations: (i) address the applicability of the LIBOR Act to various LIBOR contracts, (ii) identify the

FRB-selected benchmark replacements for various types of LIBOR contracts, (iii) include certain benchmark replacement conforming changes, (iv) address the issue of preemption and (v) provide other clarifications, definitions and information. The regulations will become effective on February 27, 2023, which is thirty (30) days after the regulations were published in the Federal Register.

The market transition away from LIBOR to an alternative reference rate, such as SOFR, is complex and subjects Fifth Third to financial, legal and operational risks. In particular, any such transition could:

- adversely affect the interest rates paid or received on, and the revenue and expenses associated with, the Bancorp's floating rate obligations, loans, deposits, derivatives and other financial instruments tied to LIBOR rates, or other securities or financial arrangements given LIBOR's role in determining market interest rates globally;
- adversely affect the value of the Bancorp's floating rate obligations, loans, deposits, derivatives and other financial instruments tied to LIBOR rates, or other securities or financial arrangements given LIBOR's role in determining market interest rates globally;
- prompt inquiries or other actions from regulators in respect of the Bancorp's preparation and readiness for the replacement of LIBOR with an alternative reference rate;
- result in disputes, litigation or other actions with counterparties regarding the interpretation and enforceability of certain fallback language in LIBOR-based securities; and
- require the transition to or development of appropriate systems and analytics to effectively transition the Bancorp's risk management processes from LIBOR-based products to those based on the applicable alternative pricing benchmark, such as SOFR or reformed SONIA.

The manner and impact of this transition, as well as the effect of these developments on Fifth Third's funding costs, loan and investment and trading securities portfolios, asset-liability management, and business, is uncertain.

Weakness in the U.S. economy, including within Fifth Third's geographic footprint, has adversely affected Fifth Third in the past and may adversely affect Fifth Third in the future.

Fifth Third has been, and will continue to be, impacted by general business and economic conditions in the United States. These conditions include short-term and long-term interest rates, inflation, money supply, political issues, legislative and regulatory changes, fluctuations in both debt and equity capital markets, broad trends in industry and finance, unemployment and the strength of the U.S. economy and the local economies in which Fifth Third operates, all of which are beyond Fifth Third's control. Deterioration or continued weakness in any of these conditions could result in a decrease in demand for Fifth Third's products and services.

Worldwide financial markets have recently experienced periods of extraordinary disruption and volatility, which have been driven by factors such as the COVID-19 pandemic and the Russia/Ukraine conflict, resulting in heightened credit risk, reduced valuation of investments, decreased economic activity, heightened risk of cyberattacks, and inflation. Moreover, many companies have experienced reduced liquidity and uncertainty as to their ability to raise capital during such periods of market disruption and volatility. In the event that these conditions recur or result in a prolonged economic downturn, Fifth Third's results of operations, financial position and/or liquidity could be materially and adversely affected. These market conditions may affect the Bancorp's ability to access debt and equity capital markets. In addition, as a result of recent financial and political events, Fifth Third may face increased regulation. Many of the other risk factors discussed in this Risk Factors section identify risks that result from, or are impacted by, a financial economic downturn. These include risks related to Fifth Third's investments portfolio, the competitive environment and regulatory developments.

Global and domestic political, social and economic uncertainties and changes may adversely affect Fifth Third.

Global financial markets, including the United States, face political and economic uncertainties (such as recent budget deficit concerns and political conflict over legislation to raise the U.S. government's debt limit) that may delay investment and hamper economic activity. International events such as trade disputes, separatist movements, leadership changes and political and military conflicts (such as the ongoing military tension between Russia and Ukraine) could adversely affect global financial activity and markets and could negatively affect the U.S. economy. Additionally, the FRB and other major central banks have begun the process of removing or reducing monetary accommodation, increasing the risk of recession and may also negatively impact asset values and credit spreads that were impacted by extraordinary monetary stimulus. These potential negative effects on financial markets and economic activity could lead to reduced revenues, increased costs, increased credit risks and volatile markets, could adversely impact Fifth Third's ability to raise liquidity via money and capital markets, and could negatively impact Fifth Third's businesses, results of operations and financial condition.

Changes in interest rates could affect Fifth Third's income and cash flows.

Fifth Third's income and cash flows depend to a great extent on the difference between the interest rates earned on interest-earning assets such as loans and investment securities and the interest rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities such as deposits and borrowings. These rates are highly sensitive to many factors that are beyond Fifth Third's control, including general economic conditions in the U.S. or abroad and the policies of various governmental and regulatory agencies (in particular, the FRB). Changes in monetary policy, including changes in interest rates and inflation, could influence the origination of loans, the prepayment speed of loans, the purchase of investments, the generation of deposits and the rates received on loans and investment securities and paid on deposits or other sources of funding as well as customers' ability to repay loans. For example, a tightening of the money supply by the FRB could reduce the demand for a borrower's products and services. This could adversely affect the borrower's earnings and ability to repay a loan, which could have a material adverse effect on Fifth Third's financial condition and results of operations. The impact of these changes may be magnified if Fifth Third does not effectively manage the relative sensitivity of its assets and liabilities to changes in market interest rates. Fluctuations in these areas may adversely affect

Fifth Third, its customers and its shareholders. In response to the outbreak of the COVID-19 pandemic and its economic consequences, the FRB lowered its target for the federal funds rate to a range of 0% to 0.25%, but a recent pronounced rise in inflation has prompted the FRB to raise certain benchmark interest rates to combat it. As inflation increases and market interest rates rise, the value of Fifth Third's investment securities, particularly those that have fixed rates or longer maturities, could decrease. Increasing rates would also increase debt service requirements for some of Fifth Third's borrowers and may adversely affect those borrowers' ability to pay as contractually obligated and could result in additional delinquencies or charge-offs. Further, the increase in market interest rates is likely to reduce Fifth Third's loan origination volume, particularly refinance volume, and/or reduce its interest rate spread, which could have an adverse effect on Fifth Third's profitability and results of operations. Conversely, a lowering in interest rates would likely further reduce the interest Fifth Third earns on loans and other earning assets. Fifth Third cannot predict the nature or timing of future changes in monetary policies or the precise effects that they may have on Fifth Third's activities and financial results.

Changes and trends in the capital markets may affect Fifth Third's income and cash flows.

Fifth Third enters into and maintains trading and investment positions in the capital markets on its own behalf and manages investment positions on behalf of its customers. These investment positions include derivative financial instruments. The revenues and profits Fifth Third derives from managing proprietary and customer trading and investment positions are dependent on market prices. Market changes and trends may result in a decline in wealth and asset management revenue or investment or trading losses that may impact Fifth Third. Losses on behalf of its customers could expose Fifth Third to reputational issues, litigation, credit risks or loss of revenue from those clients and customers. Additionally, losses in Fifth Third's trading and investment positions could lead to a loss with respect to those investments and may adversely affect Fifth Third's income, cash flows and funding costs.

Fifth Third's stock price is volatile.

Fifth Third's stock price has been volatile in the past and several factors could cause the price to fluctuate substantially in the future. These factors include, without limitation:

- actual or anticipated variations in earnings;
- changes in analysts' recommendations or projections;
- Fifth Third's announcements of developments related to its businesses;
- operating and stock performance of other companies deemed to be peers;
- actions by government regulators and changes in the regulatory regime;
- new technology used or services offered by traditional and non-traditional competitors;
- news reports of trends, concerns and other issues related to the financial services industry;
- U.S. and global economic conditions;
- natural disasters;
- geopolitical conditions such as acts or threats of terrorism, military conflicts and withdrawal from the EU by EU member countries.

The price for shares of Fifth Third's common stock may fluctuate significantly in the future, and these fluctuations may be unrelated to Fifth Third's performance. General market price declines or market volatility in the future could adversely affect the price for shares of Fifth Third's common stock and the current market price of such shares may not be indicative of future market prices.

Fifth Third's mortgage banking net revenue can be volatile from quarter to quarter.

Fifth Third earns revenue from the fees it receives for originating mortgage loans and for servicing mortgage loans. When rates rise, the demand for mortgage loans tends to fall, reducing the revenue Fifth Third receives from loan originations. At the same time, revenue from mortgage servicing rights ("MSR") can increase through increases in fair value. When rates fall, mortgage originations tend to increase and the value of MSRs tends to decline, also with some offsetting revenue effect. Even though the origination of mortgage loans can act as a "natural hedge," the hedge is not perfect, either in amount or timing. For example, the negative effect on revenue from a decrease in the fair value of residential MSRs is immediate, but any offsetting revenue benefit from more originations and the MSRs relating to the new loans would accrue over time. It is also possible that even if interest rates were to fall, mortgage originations may also fall or any increase in mortgage originations may not be enough to offset the decrease in the MSRs value caused by the lower rates.

Fifth Third typically uses derivatives and other instruments to hedge its mortgage banking interest rate and price risks. Fifth Third generally does not hedge all of its risks and the fact that Fifth Third attempts to hedge any of the risks does not mean Fifth Third will be successful. Hedging is a complex process, requiring sophisticated models and constant monitoring. Fifth Third may use hedging instruments tied to U.S. Treasury rates, LIBOR, SOFR or Eurodollars that may not perfectly correlate with the value or income being hedged. Fifth Third could incur significant losses from its hedging activities. There may be periods where Fifth Third elects not to use derivatives and other instruments to hedge mortgage banking interest rate and price risks.

STRATEGIC RISKS

If Fifth Third does not respond to intense competition and rapid changes in the financial services industry or otherwise adapt to changing customer preferences, its financial performance may suffer.

Fifth Third's ability to deliver strong financial performance and returns on investment to shareholders will depend in part on its ability to expand the scope of available financial services to meet the needs and demands of its customers. In addition to the challenge of competing

against other banks in attracting and retaining customers for traditional banking services, Fifth Third's competitors also include securities dealers, brokers, mortgage bankers, investment advisors and specialty finance, telecommunications, technology and insurance companies as well as large retailers who seek to offer one-stop financial services in addition to other products and services desired by consumers that may include services that banks have not been able or allowed to offer to their customers in the past or may not be currently able or allowed to offer. Many of these other firms may be significantly larger than Fifth Third and may have access to customers and financial resources that are beyond Fifth Third's capability. Fifth Third competes with these firms with respect to capital, access to capital, revenue generation, products, services, transaction execution, innovation, reputation, talent and price.

This increasingly competitive environment is primarily a result of changes in customer preferences, regulation, changes in technology and product delivery systems, as well as the accelerating pace of consolidation among financial service providers. Rapidly changing technology and consumer preferences may require Fifth Third to effectively implement new technology-driven products and services in order to compete and meet customer demands. Fifth Third may not be able to do so or be successful in marketing these products and services to its customers. As a result, Fifth Third's ability to effectively compete to retain or acquire new business may be impaired, and its business, financial condition or results of operations, may be adversely affected.

Fifth Third may make strategic investments and may expand an existing line of business or enter into new lines of business to remain competitive. If Fifth Third's chosen strategies are not appropriate to allow Fifth Third to effectively compete or Fifth Third does not execute them in an appropriate or timely manner, Fifth Third's business and results may suffer. Additionally, these strategies, products and lines of business may bring with them unforeseeable or unforeseen risks and may not generate the expected results or returns, which could adversely affect Fifth Third's results of operations or future growth prospects and cause Fifth Third to fail to meet its stated goals and expectations.

Changes in retail distribution strategies and consumer behavior may adversely impact Fifth Third's investments in its bank premises and equipment and other assets and may lead to increased expenditures to change its retail distribution channel.

Fifth Third has significant investments in bank premises and equipment for its branch network including its 1,087 full-service banking centers, 14 parcels of land held for the development of future banking centers and 45 properties that are developed or in the process of being developed as branches, as well as its retail work force and other branch banking assets. Advances in technology such as e-commerce, telephone, internet and mobile banking, and in-branch self-service technologies including automatic teller machines and other equipment, as well as changing work arrangements and customer preferences for these other methods of accessing Fifth Third's products and services, could affect the value of Fifth Third's branch network or other retail distribution assets and may cause it to change its retail distribution strategy, close and/or sell certain branches or parcels of land held for development and restructure or reduce its remaining branches and work force. Further advances in technology and/or changes in customer preferences could have additional changes in Fifth Third's retail distribution strategy and/or branch network. These actions could lead to losses on these assets or could adversely impact the carrying value of other long-lived assets and may lead to increased expenditures to renovate and reconfigure remaining branches or to otherwise reform its retail distribution channel.

Difficulties in identifying suitable opportunities or combining the operations of acquired entities or assets with Fifth Third's own operations or assessing the effectiveness of businesses in which Fifth Third makes strategic investments or with which Fifth Third enters into strategic contractual relationships may prevent Fifth Third from achieving the expected benefits from these acquisitions, investments or relationships.

Inherent uncertainties exist when assessing, acquiring or integrating the operations of another business or investment or relationship opportunity. Fifth Third may not be able to fully achieve its strategic objectives and planned operating efficiencies relevant to an acquisition or strategic relationship. In addition, the markets and industries in which Fifth Third and its potential acquisition and investment targets operate are highly competitive. Acquisition or investment targets may lose customers or otherwise perform poorly or unprofitably, or in the case of an acquired business or strategic relationship, cause Fifth Third to lose customers or perform poorly or unprofitably. Future acquisition and investment activities and efforts to monitor newly acquired businesses or reap the benefits of a new strategic relationship may require Fifth Third to devote substantial time and resources and may cause these acquisitions, investments and relationships to be unprofitable or cause Fifth Third to be unable to pursue other business opportunities.

After completing an acquisition, Fifth Third may find that certain material information was not adequately disclosed during the due diligence process or that certain items were not accounted for properly in accordance with financial accounting and reporting standards. Fifth Third may also not realize the expected benefits of the acquisition due to lower financial results pertaining to the acquired entity or assets. For example, Fifth Third could experience higher charge-offs than originally anticipated related to the acquired loan portfolio. Additionally, acquired companies or businesses may increase Fifth Third's risk of regulatory action or restrictions related to the operations of the acquired business.

Future acquisitions may dilute current shareholders' ownership of Fifth Third and may cause Fifth Third to become more susceptible to adverse economic events.

Future business acquisitions could be material to Fifth Third and it may issue additional shares of stock to pay for those acquisitions, which would dilute current shareholders' ownership interests. Acquisitions also could require Fifth Third to use substantial cash or other liquid assets or to incur debt. In those events, Fifth Third could become more susceptible to economic downturns, dislocations in capital markets and competitive pressures.

Fifth Third may sell or consider selling one or more of its businesses or investments. Should it determine to sell such a business or investment, it may not be able to generate gains on sale or related increases in shareholders' equity commensurate with desirable levels. Moreover, if Fifth Third sold such businesses or investments, the loss of income could have an adverse effect on its earnings and future growth.

Fifth Third owns, or owns a minority stake in, as applicable, several non-strategic businesses, investments and other assets that are not significantly synergistic with its core financial services businesses or, in the future, may no longer be aligned with Fifth Third's strategic plans or regulatory expectations. If Fifth Third were to sell one or more of its businesses or investments, it would be subject to market forces that may affect the timing or pricing of such sale or result in an unsuccessful sale. If Fifth Third were to complete the sale of any of its businesses, investments and/or interests in third parties, it would lose the income from the sold businesses and/or interests, including those accounted for under the equity method of accounting, and such loss of income could have an adverse effect on its future earnings and growth. Additionally, Fifth Third may encounter difficulties in separating the operations of any businesses it sells, which may affect its business or results of operations.

REPUTATION RISKS

Damage to Fifth Third's reputation could harm its business.

Fifth Third's actual or alleged conduct in activities, such as certain sales and lending practices, data security, corporate governance and acquisitions, inappropriate behavior or misconduct of employees, failure to deliver minimum or required standards of service or quality, association with particular customers, business partners, investments or vendors, as well as developments from any of the other risks described above, may result in negative public opinion at large (or with certain segments of the public) and may damage Fifth Third's reputation. Actions taken by government regulators, shareholder activists and community organizations may also damage Fifth Third's reputation. Additionally, whereas negative public opinion once was primarily driven by adverse news coverage in traditional media, the advent and expansion of social media facilitates the rapid dissemination of information or misinformation. Though Fifth Third monitors social media channels, the potential remains for rapid and widespread dissemination of inaccurate, misleading or false information or other negative information that could damage Fifth Third's reputation. Negative public opinion can adversely affect Fifth Third's ability to attract and keep customers and can increase the risk that it will be a target of litigation and regulatory action.

Fifth Third is subject to environmental, social and governance ("ESG") risks that could adversely affect its reputation, the trading price of its common stock and/or its business, operations, and earnings.

Certain organizations that provide corporate governance and other corporate risk information to investors and shareholders have developed scores and ratings to evaluate companies based upon ESG metrics. Currently, there are no universal standards for such scores or ratings, but ESG evaluations are becoming more important to the reputation and success of many businesses, including financial institutions. Views about ESG-related issues are diverse, dynamic, and rapidly changing, and if Fifth Third were to fail to maintain appropriate ESG practices and disclosures or be subject to certain ESG scores or ratings, Fifth Third could face potential negative ESG-related publicity in traditional and social media, including based on the identity of those Fifth Third chooses to do business with and the public's view of those customers. If Fifth Third or its relationships with customers, vendors and suppliers were to become the subject of such negative publicity, Fifth Third's ability to attract and retain customers and employees may be negatively impacted and its stock price may also be impacted.

Companies are facing increasing scrutiny from customers, regulators, investors, and other stakeholders related to their ESG practices and disclosure. For Fifth Third and others in the financial services industry, this focus extends to the practices and disclosures of the customers, counterparties, and service providers with whom Fifth Third chooses to do business. Investor advocacy groups, investment funds and influential investors are also increasingly focused on these practices, especially as they relate to the environment, health and safety, diversity, labor conditions and human rights, and certain investors are beginning to incorporate the business risks and opportunities of climate change and the adequacy of companies' responses to climate change and other ESG matters as part of their investment theses. New government regulations could also result in new or more stringent forms of ESG oversight and expand mandatory and voluntary reporting, diligence and disclosure. Failure to adapt to or comply with regulatory requirements or investor or stakeholder expectations and standards could negatively impact Fifth Third's reputation, ability to do business with certain partners, access to capital, and its stock price. While Fifth Third has sustainability and corporate responsibility initiatives there can be no assurance that regulators, customers, investors, and employees will determine that these programs are sufficiently robust. In addition, there can be no assurance that Fifth Third will be able to attain its announced goals related to its sustainability and corporate responsibility programs, as statements regarding its goals reflect Fifth Third's current plans and aspirations and are not guarantees that it will be able to achieve them within the timelines it announces or at all. Actual or perceived shortcomings with respect to these ESG initiatives and reporting can impact Fifth Third's ability to hire and retain employees, increase its customer base, or attract and retain certain types of investors. In addition, certain organizations that provide corporate governance and other corporate risk information to investors and shareholders have developed scores and ratings to evaluate companies based upon ESG metrics. Collecting, measuring, and reporting ESG information and metrics can be costly, difficult and time consuming, is subject to evolving reporting standards, and can present numerous operational, reputational, financial, legal and other risks, any of which could have a material impact, including on Fifth Third's reputation and stock price. Inadequate processes to collect and review this information prior to disclosure could be subject to potential liability related to such information.

Activists are increasingly targeting financial firms with public criticism for their relationships with clients that are engaged in certain industries (such as those which are carbon intensive), including businesses whose products are or are perceived to be harmful to health, the environment, the global climate, or the social good. Activist criticism of Fifth Third's relationships with clients in sensitive industries could potentially engender dissatisfaction among stakeholders with how Fifth Third addresses environmental or social concerns through business activities which could negatively affect its business or reputation.

Conversely, states throughout the Bank's footprint have taken actions or proposed measures to limit the state's ability to do business with financial institutions or other businesses identified as discriminating against certain industries (such as those which are carbon intensive) or practices based on environmental or social criteria. Although Fifth Third has a defined risk management approach for client selection, Fifth Third could be inherently exposed to reputational, financial and legal risk, and its ability to retain and attract customers and employees may be negatively impacted as a result of these contrasting arguments in how a financial institution should address these issues.

GENERAL BUSINESS RISKS

Changes in accounting standards or interpretations could impact Fifth Third's reported earnings and financial condition.

The accounting standard setters, including the FASB, the SEC and other regulatory agencies, periodically change the financial accounting and reporting standards that govern the preparation of Fifth Third's consolidated financial statements. These changes can be hard to predict and can materially impact how Fifth Third records and reports its financial condition and results of operations. In some cases, Fifth Third could be required to apply a new or revised standard retroactively, which would result in the recasting of Fifth Third's prior period financial statements.

Fifth Third uses models for business planning purposes that may not adequately predict future results.

Fifth Third uses financial models to aid in its planning for various purposes including its capital and liquidity needs and other purposes. The models used may not accurately account for all variables, may fail to predict outcomes accurately, and/or may overstate or understate certain effects. As a result of these potential failures, Fifth Third may not adequately prepare for future events and may suffer losses or other setbacks due to these failures.

Also, information Fifth Third provides to the public or to its regulators based on models could be inaccurate or misleading due to inadequate design or implementation, for example. Decisions that its regulators make, including those related to capital distributions to its shareholders, could be affected adversely due to the perception that the models used to generate the relevant information are unreliable or inadequate.

The preparation of financial statements requires Fifth Third to make subjective determinations and use estimates that may vary from actual results and materially impact its results of operations or financial position.

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make significant estimates that affect the financial statements. If new information arises that results in a material change to a reserve amount, such a change could result in a change to previously announced financial results. Refer to the Critical Accounting Policies section of Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation for more information regarding management's significant estimates.

The effects of global climate change, natural disasters or health emergencies may have an effect on the performance of Fifth Third's loan portfolios, thereby adversely impacting its results of operations.

Fifth Third's footprint stretches from the upper Midwestern to lower Southeastern regions of the United States and it has offices in many other areas of the country. Some of these regions have experienced weather events including hurricanes, tornadoes, fires and other natural disasters. The nature and level of these events and the impact of global climate change upon their frequency and severity cannot be predicted. If large scale events occur, they may significantly impact its loan portfolios by damaging properties pledged as collateral as well as impairing its borrowers' ability to repay their loans.

Additionally, the impact of widespread health emergencies may adversely impact Fifth Third's results of operations, such as the potential impact from the COVID-19 pandemic. If its borrowers are adversely affected due to a widespread health emergency that impacts Fifth Third employees, vendors or economic growth generally, Fifth Third's financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected.

Societal responses to climate change could adversely affect Fifth Third's business and performance, including indirectly through impacts on Fifth Third's customers.

Concerns over the long-term impacts of climate change have led and may continue to lead to governmental efforts around the world to mitigate those impacts. Consumers and businesses also may change their behavior on their own as a result of these concerns. Fifth Third and its customers will need to respond to new laws and regulations, as well as consumer and business preferences resulting from climate change concerns. Fifth Third and its customers may face cost increases, asset value reductions, operating process changes, and the like. The impact on Fifth Third's customers will likely vary depending on their specific attributes, including reliance on or role in carbon intensive activities that may be negatively affected by economic transition towards a lower-carbon economy. Fifth Third could experience a drop in demand for its products and services, particularly in certain sectors. In addition, Fifth Third could face reductions in creditworthiness on the part of some customers or in the value of assets securing loans. Fifth Third's efforts to take these risks into account in making lending and other decisions,

including by increasing business relationships with climate-friendly companies, may not be effective in protecting Fifth Third from the negative impact of new laws and regulations or changes in consumer or business behavior.

Potential noncompliance with evolving federal and state laws governing cannabis-related businesses (“CRBs”) could subject Fifth Third to liabilities.

While a significant majority of states have legalized some form of marijuana, it remains a Schedule I controlled substance under federal law. Hemp is no longer classified as a Schedule I controlled substance under federal law; however, the regulatory scheme governing hemp has not been fully developed. Further, the “naked eye” cannot distinguish between legal hemp and illegal marijuana under federal law. There are a number of states where Fifth Third operates with laws permitting medicinal or recreational marijuana, which increases the probability of individuals or entities using bank products or services to sell, distribute, cultivate, manufacture or profit from marijuana. This, and the divergence and continued changes in laws governing CRBs results in challenges to Fifth Third to maintain compliance with them, particularly in connection with Fifth Third’s commercial and consumer lending and capital markets businesses. While Fifth Third monitors regulatory developments in this area to avoid noncompliance, Fifth Third cannot assure that it will be at all times fully compliant with CRB-related laws, which could result in significant fines, penalties or other losses.

The COVID-19 pandemic creates significant risks and uncertainties for Fifth Third’s business.

In March 2020, the World Health Organization declared novel coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) a global pandemic. The COVID-19 pandemic negatively impacted the global economy, disrupted global supply chains, created significant volatility and disruption in financial markets and increased unemployment levels, all of which may again become heightened concerns upon subsequent waves of infection or future developments.

In addition, an increase in the remote work force resulting from the COVID-19 pandemic and the potential for a long-term change in remote work practices may also increase risks related to cybersecurity and information security. The operation of a hybrid workplace may negatively impact Fifth Third’s ability to attract and retain qualified personnel. Differences in the demands, expectations and priorities of the workforce may require Fifth Third to rethink and amend its recruiting and retention strategies in order to attract and keep new employees.

The extent to which the consequences of the COVID-19 pandemic continue to impact the Bancorp’s business, results of operations, and financial condition, as well as its regulatory capital and liquidity ratios, will depend on highly uncertain future developments, including the scope and duration of the pandemic (including the possibility of further surges of COVID-19 and any virus variants, which may or may not respond to available vaccinations), the timing and efficacy of the vaccination program in the U.S. and further actions taken by governmental authorities and other third parties in response to the pandemic. Government actions to mitigate the economic suffering caused by the COVID-19 pandemic may not be successful or may result in increased pressure on the banking sector, which could adversely affect the Bancorp’s business, results of operations and financial condition more substantially over a longer period of time. In addition, the unique historical nature of the pandemic and the unprecedented level of governmental response may also significantly impact the Bancorp’s ability to effectively manage its business or predict future performance.

The Biden Administration announced that May 11, 2023 will be the final day of the COVID-19 public health emergency declaration. As the COVID-19 pandemic subsides, the U.S. economy may require some time to fully recover from its effects, the length of which is unknown. The effects of the COVID-19 pandemic may heighten many of the other risks described in Item 1A. Risk Factors of the Bancorp’s Annual Report on Form 10-K and any subsequent Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q or Current Report on Form 8-K, including, but not limited to, risks of credit deterioration, interest rate changes, rating agency actions, governmental actions, market volatility, theft, fraud, security breaches and technology interruptions.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

There are no SEC staff comments regarding the Bancorp's periodic or current reports under the Exchange Act that are pending resolution.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

The Bancorp's executive offices and the main office of the Bank are located on Fountain Square Plaza in downtown Cincinnati, Ohio in a 32-story office tower and a five-story office building with an attached parking garage known as the Fifth Third Center and the William S. Rowe Building, respectively. The Bancorp's main operations campus is located in Cincinnati, Ohio, and is comprised of a three-story building with an attached parking garage known as the George A. Schaefer, Jr. Operations Center, and a two-story building with surface parking known as the Madisonville Office Building. The Bank owns 100% of these buildings.

At December 31, 2022, the Bancorp, through its banking and non-banking subsidiaries, operated 1,087 banking centers, of which 744 were owned, 203 were leased and 140 for which the buildings are owned but the land is leased. The banking centers are located in the states of Ohio, Kentucky, Indiana, Michigan, Illinois, Florida, Tennessee, West Virginia, Georgia, North Carolina and South Carolina. The Bancorp's significant owned properties are owned free from mortgages and major encumbrances.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Refer to Note 19 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Part II, Item 8 of this report for information regarding legal proceedings, which is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

INFORMATION ABOUT OUR EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

Officers are appointed annually by the Board of Directors at the meeting of Directors immediately following the Annual Meeting of Shareholders. The names, ages and positions of the Executive Officers of the Bancorp as of February 24, 2023 are listed below along with their business experience during the past five years:

Timothy N. Spence, 44. President and Chief Executive Officer since July 2022. Mr. Spence has been President since October 2020. Previously, Mr. Spence was Executive Vice President and Head of Consumer Bank, Payments, and Strategy of the Bancorp from August 2018 to October 2020, Head of Payments, Strategy and Digital Solutions from 2017 to 2020, and Chief Strategy Officer of the Bancorp from September 2015 to October 2020. He also previously served as a senior partner in the Financial Services practice at Oliver Wyman since 2006, a global strategy and risk management consulting firm.

Greg D. Carmichael, 61. Executive Chairman of the Bancorp since July 2022. Mr. Carmichael has been Chairman of the Board since February 2018. Previously, Mr. Carmichael was Chief Executive Officer from November 2015 to July 2022. He was also President of the Bancorp from September 2012 to October 2020, Chief Operating Officer of the Bancorp from June 2006 to August 2015, Executive Vice President of the Bancorp from June 2006 to September 2012 and Chief Information Officer of the Bancorp from June 2003 to June 2006.

Kristine R. Garrett, 64. Executive Vice President, Group Regional President and Head of Wealth & Asset Management since July 2022. Ms. Garrett has been Executive Vice President and Head of Wealth & Asset Management since November 2020. Previously, she was Senior Vice President and Head of Wealth & Asset Management from July 2019 to November 2020 and Head of Fifth Third Private Bank from October 2017 until July 2019. Previously, she was President of Private Wealth in Chicago at CIBC U.S. from 2009 to 2017.

Kala J. Gibson, 50. Executive Vice President and Chief Corporate Responsibility Officer since February 2022. Mr. Gibson has been an Executive Vice President of the Bancorp since June 2019. Previously, Mr. Gibson served as Head of Business Banking and Chief Enterprise Corporate Responsibility Officer from December 2020 to February 2022, Head of Business Banking from September 2013 to December 2020, Senior Vice President from September 2011 to June 2019, and Business Banking Executive for Fifth Third's East Michigan Region from July 2011 to September 2013.

Howard Hammond, 57. Executive Vice President and Head of Consumer Bank since February 2021. Previously, he was Senior Vice President and Head of Retail Banking and Retail Brokerage from April 2020 through February 2021, Head of Retail and Brokerage Distribution from June 2019 through April 2020, and Head Managing Director of Fifth Third Securities from March 2006 through June 2019.

Mark D. Hazel, 57. Executive Vice President and Controller of the Bancorp since February 2010. Mr. Hazel has been an Executive Vice President of the Bancorp since September 2021. Previously, Mr. Hazel was the Assistant Bancorp Controller since 2006 and was the Controller of Nonbank entities since 2003.

Kevin P. Lavender, 61. Executive Vice President and Head of Commercial Bank of the Bancorp since January 2020. Mr. Lavender has been Executive Vice President of the Bank since 2016 and was the Head of Corporate Banking from 2016 to January 2020. Previously, Mr. Lavender was Senior Vice President and Managing Director of Large Corporate and Specialized Lending from January 2009 to 2016 and the Senior Vice President and Head of National Healthcare Lending from December 2005 to January 2009.

James C. Leonard, 53. Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer since November 2020. Mr. Leonard has been an Executive Vice President of the Bancorp since September 2015. Previously, Mr. Leonard was Chief Risk Officer from February 2020 to November 2020, Treasurer of the Bancorp from October 2013 to January 2020, Senior Vice President from October 2013 to September 2015, the Director of Business Planning and Analysis from 2006 to 2013 and the Chief Financial Officer of the Commercial Banking Division from 2001 to 2006.

Nancy C. Pinckney, 59. Executive Vice President and Chief Human Resources Officer since September 2021. Previously, Ms. Pinckney was Senior Vice President and Director of Human Capital Business Consulting from February 2012 through September 2021 and Director of Employee Relations from March 2010 to February 2012. Prior to that, she held various positions within Fifth Third's human resources division.

Jude A. Schramm, 50. Executive Vice President and Chief Information Officer since March 2018. Previously, Mr. Schramm served as Chief Information Officer for GE Aviation and held various positions at GE beginning in 2001.

Robert P. Shaffer, 53. Executive Vice President and Chief Risk Officer since November 2020. Previously, Mr. Shaffer was Chief Human Resource Officer from February 2017 to November 2020 and Chief Auditor from August 2007 to February 2017. He was named Executive Vice President in 2010 and Senior Vice President in 2004. Prior to that, he held various positions within Fifth Third's audit division.

Richard L. Stein, 53. Executive Vice President and Chief Credit Officer since November 2020. Mr. Stein has been an Executive Vice President of the Bancorp since April 2016. Previously, Mr. Stein was Chief Credit Officer from March 2018 through November 2020, Head

of the Commercial Bank from March 2016 through March 2018 and Senior Vice President and Chief Credit Officer from November 2014 through March 2016.

Melissa S. Stevens, 48. Executive Vice President and Chief Marketing Officer since February 2023. Previously, Ms. Stevens was Chief Digital Officer and Head of Digital, Marketing, Design and Innovation from November 2020 to February 2023. She also served as Senior Vice President, Chief Digital Officer, and Head of Omnichannel Banking Experiences, Design, and Innovation from May 2016 through November 2020. Prior to joining Fifth Third, she served in several senior management positions at Citigroup, including Chief Operating Officer and Managing Director of Citi FinTech from November 2015 through April 2016.

Susan B. Zaunbrecher, 63. Executive Vice President and Chief Legal Officer of the Bancorp since May 2018. Previously, Ms. Zaunbrecher was a partner at the law firm Dinsmore and Shohl LLP, where she practiced for 28 years and served as the Chair of the Corporate Department and a member of the firm's board of directors and executive committee.

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

The Bancorp's common stock is traded in the over-the-counter market and is listed under the symbol "FITB" on the NASDAQ® Global Select Market System.

See a discussion of dividend limitations that the subsidiaries can pay to the Bancorp discussed in Note 3 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, which is incorporated herein by reference. Additionally, as of December 31, 2022, the Bancorp had 34,165 common shareholders of record.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased ^(a)	Average Price Paid Per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
October 1 - October 31, 2022	73,176	\$ 33.08	—	40,785,269
November 1 - November 30, 2022	23,625	34.93	—	40,785,269
December 1 - December 31, 2022	3,089,094	32.47	3,079,462	37,705,807
Total	3,185,895	\$ 32.51	3,079,462	37,705,807

(a) Includes 106,433 shares repurchased during the fourth quarter of 2022 in connection with various employee compensation plans of the Bancorp. These purchases do not count against the maximum number of shares that may yet be purchased under the Board of Directors' authorization.

See further discussion on share repurchase transactions and stock-based compensation in Note 24 and Note 25 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, which is incorporated herein by reference.

50 Fifth Third Bancorp


The following performance graphs do not constitute soliciting material and should not be deemed filed or incorporated by reference into any other Company filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, except to the extent the Bancorp specifically incorporates the performance graphs by reference therein.

Total Return Analysis


The graphs below summarize the cumulative return experienced by the Bancorp’s shareholders over the five and ten year periods ended December 31, 2022, respectively, compared to the S&P 500 Stock, the S&P Banks and the KBW Banks indices.

FIFTH THIRD BANCORP VS. MARKET INDICES

5 Year Return



10 Year Return





FIFTH THIRD BANCORP
2022 ANNUAL REPORT
FINANCIAL CONTENTS

Glossary of Abbreviations and Acronyms		53
Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations		
Overview		54
Non-GAAP Financial Measures		59
Recent Accounting Standards		61
Critical Accounting Policies		61
Statements of Income Analysis		65
Business Segment Review		72
Balance Sheet Analysis		81
Risk Management - Overview		88
Credit Risk Management		89
Interest Rate and Price Risk Management		106
Liquidity Risk Management		112
Operational Risk Management		114
Legal and Regulatory Compliance Risk Management		115
Capital Management		116
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm		118
Financial Statements		
Consolidated Balance Sheets		120
Consolidated Statements of Income		121
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income		122
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity		123
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows		125
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements		
Summary of Significant Accounting and Reporting Policies		
Supplemental Cash Flow Information	126 Long-Term Debt	173
Restrictions on Dividends and Capital Actions	139 Commitments, Contingent Liabilities and Guarantees	177
Investment Securities	139 Legal and Regulatory Proceedings	181
Loans and Leases	140 Related Party Transactions	183
Credit Quality and the Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses	143 Income Taxes	185
Bank Premises and Equipment	145 Retirement and Benefit Plans	187
Operating Lease Equipment	155 Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	190
Lease Obligations – Lessee	156 Common, Preferred and Treasury Stock	192
Goodwill	156 Stock-Based Compensation	194
Intangible Assets	158 Other Noninterest Income and Other Noninterest Expense	197
Variable Interest Entities	159 Earnings Per Share	198
Sales of Receivables and Servicing Rights	160 Fair Value Measurements	199
Derivative Financial Instruments	163 Regulatory Capital Requirements and Capital Ratios	208
Other Assets	165 Parent Company Financial Statements	209
Short-Term Borrowings	171 Business Segments	211
Management's Assessment as to the Effectiveness of Internal Control over Financial Reporting	172 Subsequent Event	214
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm		
Consolidated Ten Year Comparison	215	
Directors and Officers	216	
Corporate Information	224	
	225	

GLOSSARY OF ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

Fifth Third Bancorp provides the following list of abbreviations and acronyms as a tool for the reader that are used in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, the Consolidated Financial Statements and the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

ACL: Allowance for Credit Losses	HTM: Held-To-Maturity
AFS: Available-For-Sale	IPO: Initial Public Offering
ALCO: Asset Liability Management Committee	IRC: Internal Revenue Code
ALLL: Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses	IRLC: Interest Rate Lock Commitment
AOCI: Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	ISDA: International Swaps and Derivatives Association, Inc.
APR: Annual Percentage Rate	LIBOR: London Interbank Offered Rate
ARM: Adjustable Rate Mortgage	LIHTC: Low-Income Housing Tax Credit
ASC: Accounting Standards Codification	LLC: Limited Liability Company
ASU: Accounting Standards Update	LTV: Loan-to-Value Ratio
ATM: Automated Teller Machine	MD&A: Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations
BHC: Bank Holding Company	MSR: Mortgage Servicing Right
BOLI: Bank Owned Life Insurance	N/A: Not Applicable
bps: Basis Points	NAV: Net Asset Value
CCAR: Comprehensive Capital Analysis and Review	NI: Net Interest Income
CD: Certificate of Deposit	NM: Not Meaningful
CDC: Fifth Third Community Development Corporation and Fifth Third Community Development Company, LLC	OAS: Option-Adjusted Spread
CECL: Current Expected Credit Loss	OCC: Office of the Comptroller of the Currency
CET1: Common Equity Tier 1	OCl: Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)
CFPB: United States Consumer Financial Protection Bureau	OREO: Other Real Estate Owned
C&I: Commercial and Industrial	PCD: Purchased Credit Deteriorated
DCF: Discounted Cash Flow	PPP: Paycheck Protection Program
DTCC: Depository Trust & Clearing Corporation	PSA: Performance Share Award
DTI: Debt-to-Income Ratio	RCC: Risk and Compliance Committee
ERM: Enterprise Risk Management	ROU: Right-of-Use
ERMC: Enterprise Risk Management Committee	RSA: Restricted Stock Award
EVE: Economic Value of Equity	RSU: Restricted Stock Unit
FASB: Financial Accounting Standards Board	SAR: Stock Appreciation Right
FDIC: Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation	SBA: Small Business Administration
FHA: Federal Housing Administration	SEC: United States Securities and Exchange Commission
FHLB: Federal Home Loan Bank	SOFR: Secured Overnight Financing Rate
FHLMC: Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation	TBA: To Be Announced
FICO: Fair Isaac Corporation (credit rating)	TDR: Troubled Debt Restructuring
FINRA: Financial Industry Regulatory Authority	TILA: Truth in Lending Act
FNMA: Federal National Mortgage Association	TRA: Tax Receivable Agreement
FOMC: Federal Open Market Committee	TruPS: Trust Preferred Securities
FRB: Federal Reserve Bank	U.S.: United States of America
FTE: Fully Taxable Equivalent	USD: United States Dollar
FTP: Funds Transfer Pricing	U.S. GAAP: United States Generally Accepted Accounting Principles
FTS: Fifth Third Securities, Inc.	VA: United States Department of Veterans Affairs
GDP: Gross Domestic Product	VIE: Variable Interest Entity
GNMA: Government National Mortgage Association	VRDN: Variable Rate Demand Note
GSE: United States Government Sponsored Enterprise	

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following is Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of certain significant factors that have affected Fifth Third Bancorp's (the "Bancorp" or "Fifth Third") financial condition and results of operations during the periods included in the Consolidated Financial Statements, which are a part of this filing. Reference to the Bancorp incorporates the parent holding company and all consolidated subsidiaries. The Bancorp's banking subsidiary is referred to as the Bank.

OVERVIEW

This overview of MD&A highlights selected information in the financial results of the Bancorp and may not contain all of the information that is important to you. For a more complete understanding of trends, events, commitments, uncertainties, liquidity, capital resources and critical accounting policies and estimates, you should carefully read this entire document. Each of these items could have an impact on the Bancorp's financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. In addition, refer to the Glossary of Abbreviations and Acronyms in this report for a list of terms included as a tool for the reader of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The abbreviations and acronyms identified therein are used throughout this MD&A, as well as the Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Net interest income, net interest margin, net interest rate spread and the efficiency ratio are presented in MD&A on an FTE basis. The FTE basis adjusts for the tax-favored status of income from certain loans and leases and securities held by the Bancorp that are not taxable for federal income tax purposes. The Bancorp believes this presentation to be the preferred industry measurement of net interest income as it provides a relevant comparison between taxable and non-taxable amounts. The FTE basis for presenting net interest income is a non-GAAP measure. For further information, refer to the Non-GAAP Financial Measures section of MD&A.

The Bancorp's revenues are dependent on both net interest income and noninterest income. For the year ended December 31, 2022, net interest income on an FTE basis and noninterest income provided 67% and 33% of total revenue, respectively. The Bancorp derives the majority of its revenues within the U.S. from customers domiciled in the U.S. Revenue from foreign countries and external customers domiciled in foreign countries was immaterial to the Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended December 31, 2022. Changes in interest rates, credit quality, economic trends and the capital markets are primary factors that drive the performance of the Bancorp. As discussed later in the Risk Management section of MD&A, risk identification, measurement, monitoring, control and reporting are important to the management of risk and to the financial performance and capital strength of the Bancorp.

Net interest income is the difference between interest income earned on assets such as loans, leases and securities, and interest expense incurred on liabilities such as deposits, other short-term borrowings and long-term debt. Net interest income is affected by the general level of interest rates, the relative level of short-term and long-term interest rates, changes in interest rates and changes in the amount and composition of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. Generally, the rates of interest the Bancorp earns on its assets and pays on its liabilities are established for a period of time. The change in market interest rates over time exposes the Bancorp to interest rate risk through potential adverse changes to net interest income and financial position. The Bancorp manages this risk by continually analyzing and adjusting the composition of its assets and liabilities based on their payment streams and interest rates, the timing of their maturities and their sensitivity to changes in market interest rates. Additionally, in the ordinary course of business, the Bancorp enters into certain derivative transactions as part of its overall strategy to manage its interest rate and prepayment risks. The Bancorp is also exposed to the risk of loss on its loan and lease portfolio as a result of changing expected cash flows caused by borrower credit events, such as loan defaults and inadequate collateral.

Noninterest income is derived from service charges on deposits, wealth and asset management revenue, commercial banking revenue, card and processing revenue, leasing business revenue, mortgage banking net revenue, other noninterest income and net securities gains or losses. Noninterest expense includes compensation and benefits, technology and communications, net occupancy expense, equipment expense, leasing business expense, marketing expense, card and processing expense and other noninterest expense.

Current Economic Conditions

Robust demand, labor shortages and supply chain constraints have led to persistent inflationary pressures throughout the economy. In response to these inflationary pressures, the FRB raised benchmark interest rates and may continue to raise interest rates in response to economic conditions, particularly a continued high rate of inflation. Amidst these uncertainties, some financial markets continued to experience volatility.

Changes in interest rates can affect numerous aspects of the Bancorp's business and may impact the Bancorp's future performance. If financial markets remain volatile, this may impact the future performance of various segments of the Bancorp's business, including the value of the Bancorp's investment securities portfolio. The Bancorp continues to closely monitor the pace of inflation and the impacts of inflation on the larger market, including labor and supply chain impacts.

For further discussion on current economic conditions, refer to the Credit Risk Management subsection of the Risk Management section of MD&A. Additionally, refer to the Interest Rate and Price Risk Management subsection of the Risk Management section of MD&A for additional information about the Bancorp's interest rate risk management activities.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Accelerated Share Repurchase Transactions

The Bancorp entered into and settled a number of accelerated share repurchase transactions during the years ended December 31, 2022 and 2021. As part of these transactions, the Bancorp entered into forward contracts in which the final number of shares delivered at settlement was based generally on a discount to the average daily volume-weighted average price of the Bancorp's common stock during the term of these repurchase agreements. Refer to Note 24 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information on share repurchase activity. For further information on a subsequent event related to capital actions, refer to Note 32 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Senior Notes Offerings

During the year ended December 31, 2022, the Bancorp (including both the parent company and its banking subsidiary) issued and sold fixed-rate/floating-rate senior notes in a number of debt offerings. These transactions included:

- A Bancorp issuance on April 25, 2022 of \$1 billion of fixed-rate/floating-rate senior notes which included \$400 million of notes maturing on April 25, 2028 and \$600 million of notes maturing on April 25, 2033.
- A Bancorp issuance on July 28, 2022 of \$1 billion of fixed-rate/floating-rate senior notes maturing on July 28, 2030.
- A Bancorp issuance on October 27, 2022 of \$1 billion of fixed-rate/floating-rate senior notes maturing on October 27, 2028.
- A Bank issuance on October 27, 2022 of \$1 billion of fixed-rate/floating-rate senior notes maturing on October 27, 2025.

In each of these transactions, the parent company and banking subsidiary entered into interest rate swaps designated as fair value hedges to convert the fixed-rate period of the notes to a floating rate of interest. For more information, refer to Note 17 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Business Combination

During the second quarter of 2022, the Bancorp completed the acquisition of a national point-of-sale consumer lender specializing in home improvement and solar energy installation loans originated through a network of contractors and installers. The acquisition was accounted for under the acquisition method of accounting which generally requires assets acquired and liabilities assumed to be recorded at their estimated fair values at acquisition date. These fair value estimates are considered preliminary as of December 31, 2022 and are subject to change for up to one year after the acquisition date as additional information becomes available. For more information on the acquisition, refer to Notes 10 and 11 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

LIBOR Transition

In July 2017, the Chief Executive of the United Kingdom Financial Conduct Authority (the "FCA"), which regulates LIBOR, announced that the FCA would stop persuading or compelling banks to submit rates for the calculation of LIBOR to the administrator of LIBOR after 2021. Since then, central banks around the world, including the Federal Reserve, have commissioned working groups of market participants and official sector representatives with the goal of finding suitable replacements for LIBOR.

On March 5, 2021, the FCA and ICE Benchmark Administration, Limited announced that the publication of the one-week and two-month USD LIBOR maturities and non-USD LIBOR maturities would cease immediately after December 31, 2021, with the remaining USD LIBOR maturities ceasing immediately after June 30, 2023. In the United States, the Alternative Rates Reference Committee (the "ARRC"), a group of market participants convened in 2014 to help ensure a successful transition away from USD LIBOR, identified SOFR as its preferred alternative rate. SOFR is a measure of the cost of borrowing cash overnight, collateralized by U.S. Treasury securities, and is based on directly observable U.S. Treasury-backed repurchase transactions. The composition and characteristics of SOFR are not the same as those of LIBOR, and SOFR is fundamentally different from LIBOR for two key reasons: (1) SOFR is a secured rate, while LIBOR is an unsecured rate, and (2) SOFR is an overnight rate, while LIBOR is a forward-looking rate that represents interbank funding over different maturities. As a result, there can be no assurance that SOFR, however calculated, will perform the same way as LIBOR would have at any time, including, as a result of changes in interest and yield rates in the market, market volatility, or global or regional economic, financial, political, regulatory, judicial or other events.

On March 15, 2022, President Biden signed the Adjustable Interest Rate (LIBOR) Act (the "LIBOR Act") into law. The LIBOR Act offers a federal solution for transitioning legacy instruments that lack sufficient provisions addressing LIBOR's cessation by outlining a uniform process to govern the transition from LIBOR to a replacement rate. The LIBOR Act also establishes a safe harbor for lenders, shielding lenders from litigation as a result of their choice of a replacement rate (such as SOFR) per FRB recommendations. On December 16, 2022, the FRB issued its final regulations which carry out the terms of the LIBOR Act. These regulations: (i) address the applicability of the LIBOR Act to various LIBOR contracts, (ii) identify the FRB-selected benchmark replacements for various types of LIBOR contracts, (iii) include certain benchmark replacement conforming changes, (iv) address the issue of preemption and (v) provide other clarifications, definitions and information. The regulations will become effective on February 27, 2023, which is thirty (30) days after the regulations were published in the Federal Register.

The Bancorp's LIBOR transition plan is organized around key work streams, including continued engagement with central banks and industry working groups and regulators, active client engagement, comprehensive review of legacy documentation, internal operational and technological readiness, and risk management, among other things, to facilitate the transition to alternative reference rates.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Although the full impact of LIBOR reforms and actions remains unclear, the Bancorp has discontinued entering into new LIBOR-based contracts in accordance with regulatory guidance, except for permissible limited use, such as part of hedging and risk management programs. During the fourth quarter of 2021, the Bancorp expanded its offering of alternative reference rate products, including SOFR. In addition, the Bancorp is continuing its transition of existing LIBOR-based exposures to an appropriate alternative reference rate on or before June 30, 2023. As of December 31, 2022, the Bancorp had substantial exposure to LIBOR-based products throughout several of its lines of business. These exposures included derivative contracts with a total notional value of approximately \$96 billion, loans outstanding of approximately \$24 billion, preferred stock of approximately \$1.4 billion and long-term debt of approximately \$237 million. The Bancorp currently estimates that approximately 8% of the existing exposures will mature before June 30, 2023. For the contracts that will not mature prior to June 30, 2023, an additional portion of these contracts is subject to contractual terms specifying alternative reference rates ("fallback provisions") that would become effective upon cessation of LIBOR's publication. Existing exposures without fallback provisions are expected to either be amended prior to June 30, 2023 to include such provisions or to transition to an alternative reference rate pursuant to the terms of the LIBOR Act and its related regulations.

For a further discussion of the various risks the Bancorp faces in connection with the replacement of LIBOR on its operations, see "Risk Factors—Market Risks—The replacement of LIBOR could adversely affect Fifth Third's revenue or expenses and the value of those assets or obligations." in Item 1A. Risk Factors of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Key Performance Indicators

The Bancorp, as a banking institution, utilizes various key indicators of financial condition and operating results in managing and monitoring the performance of the business. In addition to traditional financial metrics, such as revenue and expense trends, the Bancorp monitors other financial measures that assist in evaluating growth trends, capital strength and operational efficiencies. The Bancorp analyzes these key performance indicators against its past performance, its forecasted performance and with the performance of its peer banking institutions. These indicators may change from time to time as the operating environment and businesses change.

The following are some of the key indicators used by management to assess the Bancorp's business performance, including those which are considered in the Bancorp's compensation programs:

- CET1 Capital Ratio: CET1 capital divided by risk-weighted assets as defined by the Basel III standardized approach to risk-weighting of assets
- Return on Average Tangible Common Equity (non-GAAP): Tangible net income available to common shareholders divided by average tangible common equity
- Return on Average Common Equity, Excluding AOCI (non-GAAP): Net income available to common shareholders divided by total equity, excluding AOCI and preferred stock
- Net Interest Margin (non-GAAP): Net interest income on an FTE basis divided by average interest-earning assets
- Efficiency Ratio (non-GAAP): Noninterest expense divided by the sum of net interest income on an FTE basis and noninterest income
- Earnings Per Share, Diluted: Net income allocated to common shareholders divided by average common shares outstanding after the effect of dilutive stock-based awards
- Nonperforming Portfolio Assets Ratio: Nonperforming portfolio assets divided by portfolio loans and leases and OREO
- Net Charge-off Ratio: Net losses charged-off divided by average portfolio loans and leases
- Return on Average Assets: Net income divided by average assets
- Loan-to-Deposit Ratio: Total loans divided by total deposits
- Household Growth: Change in the number of consumer households with retail relationship-based checking accounts

The list of indicators above is intended to summarize some of the most important metrics utilized by management in evaluating the Bancorp's performance and does not represent an all-inclusive list of all performance measures that may be considered relevant or important to management or investors.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

TABLE 1: Earnings Summary

For the years ended December 31 (\$ in millions, except per share data)	2022	2021	2020
Income Statement Data			
Net interest income (U.S. GAAP)	\$ 5,609	4,770	4,782
Net interest income (FTE) ^{(a)/(b)}	5,625	4,782	4,795
Noninterest income	2,766	3,118	2,830
Total revenue (FTE) ^{(a)/(b)}	8,391	7,900	7,625
Provision for (benefit from) credit losses	563	(377)	1,097
Noninterest expense	4,719	4,748	4,718
Net income	2,446	2,770	1,427
Net income available to common shareholders	2,330	2,659	1,323
Common Share Data			
Earnings per share - basic	\$ 3.38	3.78	1.84
Earnings per share - diluted	3.35	3.73	1.83
Cash dividends declared per common share	1.26	1.14	1.08
Book value per share	22.26	29.43	29.46
Market value per share	32.81	43.55	27.57
Financial Ratios			
Return on average assets	1.18 %	1.34	0.73
Return on average common equity	13.7	12.8	6.4
Return on average tangible common equity ^(b)	19.7	16.6	8.4
Dividend payout	37.3	30.2	58.7

(a) Amounts presented on an FTE basis. The FTE adjustments were \$16, \$12 and \$13 for the years ended December 31, 2022, 2021 and 2020, respectively.

(b) These are non-GAAP measures. For further information, refer to the Non-GAAP Financial Measures section of MD&A.

Earnings Summary

The Bancorp's net income available to common shareholders for the year ended December 31, 2022 was \$2.3 billion, or \$3.35 per diluted share, which was net of \$116 million in preferred stock dividends. The Bancorp's net income available to common shareholders for the year ended December 31, 2021 was \$2.7 billion, or \$3.73 per diluted share, which was net of \$111 million in preferred stock dividends.

Net interest income on an FTE basis (non-GAAP) was \$5.6 billion for the year ended December 31, 2022, an increase of \$843 million compared to the prior year. Net interest income benefited from increases in market interest rates, resulting in increases in yields on average loans and leases and average other short-term investments for the year ended December 31, 2022 compared to the prior year. Net interest income also benefited from increases in average taxable securities and average commercial and industrial loans for the year ended December 31, 2022 compared to the prior year. These positive impacts were partially offset by an increase in rates paid on average interest-bearing core deposits, an increase in the average balance of FHLB advances and an increase in rates paid on average long-term debt as well as a decrease in interest income recognized from PPP loans for the year ended December 31, 2022 compared to the prior year. Net interest margin on an FTE basis (non-GAAP) was 3.02% for the year ended December 31, 2022 compared to 2.59% for the year ended December 31, 2021.

The provision for credit losses was \$563 million for the year ended December 31, 2022 compared to a benefit from credit losses of \$377 million in the prior year. Provision expense increased for the year ended December 31, 2022 compared to the prior year primarily driven by factors which caused increases in the ACL during the year ended December 31, 2022 including deterioration in forecasted macroeconomic conditions and higher period-end loan and lease balances, primarily driven by commercial and industrial loan growth, originations of point-of-sale solar energy installation loans in the second half of 2022 and loans acquired in a business acquisition completed in the second quarter of 2022. Net losses charged off as a percent of average portfolio loans and leases were 0.19% and 0.16% for the years ended December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively. At December 31, 2022, nonperforming portfolio assets as a percent of portfolio loans and leases and OREO decreased to 0.44% compared to 0.47% at December 31, 2021. For further discussion on credit quality, refer to the Credit Risk Management subsection of the Risk Management section of MD&A as well as Note 6 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Noninterest income decreased \$352 million for the year ended December 31, 2022 compared to the year ended December 31, 2021 primarily due to an increase in securities losses as well as decreases in commercial banking revenue, other noninterest income, leasing business revenue and mortgage banking net revenue.

Noninterest expense decreased \$29 million for the year ended December 31, 2022 compared to the year ended December 31, 2021 primarily due to decreases in compensation and benefits expense and card and processing expense, partially offset by increases in technology and communications, other noninterest expense and marketing expense.

For more information on net interest income, noninterest income and noninterest expense, refer to the Statements of Income Analysis section of MD&A.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Capital Summary

The Bancorp calculated its regulatory capital ratios under the Basel III standardized approach to risk-weighting of assets and pursuant to the five-year transition provision option to phase in the effects of CECL on regulatory capital as of December 31, 2022. As of December 31, 2022, the Bancorp's capital ratios, as defined by the U.S. banking agencies, were:

- CET1 capital ratio: 9.28%;
- Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio: 10.53%;
- Total risk-based capital ratio: 12.79%;
- Leverage ratio: 8.56%

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

NON-GAAP FINANCIAL MEASURES

The following are non-GAAP financial measures which provide useful insight to the reader of the Consolidated Financial Statements but should be supplemental to primary U.S. GAAP measures and should not be read in isolation or relied upon as a substitute for the primary U.S. GAAP measures. The Bancorp encourages readers to consider its Consolidated Financial Statements in their entirety and not to rely on any single financial measure.

The FTE basis adjusts for the tax-favored status of income from certain loans and leases and securities held by the Bancorp that are not taxable for federal income tax purposes. The Bancorp believes this presentation to be the preferred industry measurement of net interest income as it provides a relevant comparison between taxable and non-taxable amounts.

The following table reconciles the non-GAAP financial measures of net interest income on an FTE basis, interest income on an FTE basis, net interest margin, net interest rate spread and the efficiency ratio to U.S. GAAP:

TABLE 2: Non-GAAP Financial Measures - Financial Measures and Ratios on an FTE basis

For the years ended December 31 (\$ in millions)	2022	2021	2020
Net interest income (U.S. GAAP)	\$ 5,609	4,770	4,782
Add: FTE adjustment	16	12	13
Net interest income on an FTE basis (1)	\$ 5,625	4,782	4,795
Interest income (U.S. GAAP)	\$ 6,587	5,211	5,572
Add: FTE adjustment	16	12	13
Interest income on an FTE basis (2)	\$ 6,603	5,223	5,585
Interest expense (3)	\$ 978	441	790
Noninterest income (4)	2,766	3,118	2,830
Noninterest expense (5)	4,719	4,748	4,718
Average interest-earning assets (6)	186,326	184,378	172,688
Average interest-bearing liabilities (7)	119,624	115,469	119,018
Ratios:			
Net interest margin on an FTE basis (1) / (6)	3.02 %	2.59	2.78
Net interest rate spread on an FTE basis ((2) / (6)) - ((3) / (7))	2.72	2.45	2.57
Efficiency ratio on an FTE basis (5) / ((1) + (4))	56.2	60.1	61.9

Pre-provision net revenue is net interest income plus noninterest income minus noninterest expense. The Bancorp believes this measure is important because it provides a ready view of the Bancorp's pre-tax earnings before the impact of provision expense.

The following table reconciles the non-GAAP financial measure of pre-provision net revenue to U.S. GAAP:

TABLE 3: Non-GAAP Financial Measures - Pre-Provision Net Revenue

For the years ended December 31 (\$ in millions)	2022	2021	2020
Net interest income (U.S. GAAP)	\$ 5,609	4,770	4,782
Add: Noninterest income	2,766	3,118	2,830
Less: Noninterest expense	4,719	4,748	4,718
Pre-provision net revenue	\$ 3,656	3,140	2,894

The Bancorp believes return on average tangible common equity is an important measure for comparative purposes with other financial institutions, but is not defined under U.S. GAAP, and therefore is considered a non-GAAP financial measure. This measure is useful for evaluating the performance of a business as it calculates the return available to common shareholders without the impact of intangible assets and their related amortization.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following table reconciles the non-GAAP financial measure of return on average tangible common equity to U.S. GAAP:

TABLE 4: Non-GAAP Financial Measures - Return on Average Tangible Common Equity

For the years ended December 31 (\$ in millions)	2022	2021	2020
Net income available to common shareholders (U.S. GAAP)	\$ 2,330	2,659	1,323
Add: Intangible amortization, net of tax	37	34	38
Tangible net income available to common shareholders (1)	\$ 2,367	2,693	1,361
Average Bancorp shareholders' equity (U.S. GAAP)	\$ 19,080	22,812	22,555
Less: Average preferred stock	2,116	2,116	1,916
Average goodwill	4,779	4,366	4,258
Average intangible assets	168	142	172
Average tangible common equity (2)	\$ 12,017	16,188	16,209
Return on average tangible common equity (1) / (2)	19.7 %	16.6	8.4

The Bancorp considers various measures when evaluating capital utilization and adequacy, including the tangible equity ratio and tangible common equity ratio, in addition to capital ratios defined by the U.S. banking agencies. These calculations are intended to complement the capital ratios defined by the U.S. banking agencies for both absolute and comparative purposes. As U.S. GAAP does not include capital ratio measures, the Bancorp believes there are no comparable U.S. GAAP financial measures to these ratios. These ratios are not formally defined by U.S. GAAP or codified in the federal banking regulations and, therefore, are considered to be non-GAAP financial measures.

The following table reconciles non-GAAP capital ratios to U.S. GAAP:

TABLE 5: Non-GAAP Financial Measures - Capital Ratios

As of December 31 (\$ in millions)	2022	2021
Total Bancorp Shareholders' Equity (U.S. GAAP)	\$ 17,327	22,210
Less: Preferred stock	2,116	2,116
Goodwill	4,915	4,514
Intangible assets	169	156
AOCI	(5,110)	1,207
Tangible common equity, excluding AOCI (1)	15,237	14,217
Add: Preferred stock	2,116	2,116
Tangible equity (2)	\$ 17,353	16,333
Total Assets (U.S. GAAP)	\$ 207,452	211,116
Less: Goodwill	4,915	4,514
Intangible assets	169	156
AOCI, before tax	(6,468)	1,528
Tangible assets, excluding AOCI (3)	\$ 208,836	204,918
Ratios:		
Tangible equity as a percentage of tangible assets (2) / (3)	8.31 %	7.97
Tangible common equity as a percentage of tangible assets (1) / (3)	7.30	6.94

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

RECENT ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements provides a discussion of the significant new accounting standard applicable to the Bancorp during 2022 and the expected impact of significant accounting standards issued, but not yet required to be adopted.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The Bancorp's Consolidated Financial Statements are prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. Certain accounting policies require management to exercise judgment in determining methodologies, economic assumptions and estimates that may materially affect the Bancorp's financial position, results of operations and cash flows. The Bancorp's critical accounting policies include the accounting for the ALLL, reserve for unfunded commitments, valuation of servicing rights, fair value measurements, goodwill and legal contingencies. There have been no material changes to the valuation techniques or models described below during the year ended December 31, 2022.

ALLL

The Bancorp disaggregates its portfolio loans and leases into portfolio segments for purposes of determining the ALLL. The Bancorp's portfolio segments include commercial, residential mortgage and consumer. The Bancorp further disaggregates its portfolio segments into classes for purposes of monitoring and assessing credit quality based on certain risk characteristics. For an analysis of the Bancorp's ALLL by portfolio segment and credit quality information by class, refer to Note 6 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

The Bancorp maintains the ALLL to absorb the amount of credit losses that are expected to be incurred over the remaining contractual terms of the related loans and leases. Contractual terms are adjusted for expected prepayments but are not extended for expected extensions, renewals or modifications except in circumstances where the Bancorp reasonably expects to execute a TDR with the borrower or where certain extension or renewal options are embedded in the original contract and not unconditionally cancellable by the Bancorp. Accrued interest receivable on loans is presented in the Consolidated Financial Statements as a component of other assets. When accrued interest is deemed to be uncollectible (typically when a loan is placed on nonaccrual status), interest income is reversed. The Bancorp follows established policies for placing loans on nonaccrual status, so uncollectible accrued interest receivable is reversed in a timely manner. As a result, the Bancorp has elected not to measure a reserve for accrued interest receivable as part of its ALLL. However, the Bancorp does record a reserve for the portion of accrued interest receivable that it expects to be uncollectible. For additional information on the Bancorp's accounting policies related to nonaccrual loans and leases, refer to Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Credit losses are charged and recoveries are credited to the ALLL. The ALLL is maintained at a level the Bancorp considers to be adequate and is based on ongoing quarterly assessments and evaluations of the collectability of loans and leases, including historical credit loss experience, current and forecasted market and economic conditions and consideration of various qualitative factors that, in management's judgment, deserve consideration in estimating expected credit losses. Provisions for credit losses are recorded for the amounts necessary to adjust the ALLL to the Bancorp's current estimate of expected credit losses on portfolio loans and leases. The Bancorp's strategy for credit risk management includes a combination of conservative exposure limits significantly below legal lending limits and conservative underwriting, documentation and collections standards. The strategy also emphasizes diversification on a geographic, industry and customer level, regular credit examinations and quarterly management reviews of large credit exposures and loans experiencing deterioration of credit quality. Refer to the Credit Risk Management section of MD&A for additional information.

The Bancorp's methodology for determining the ALLL requires significant management judgment and includes an estimate of expected credit losses on a collective basis for groups of loans and leases with similar risk characteristics and specific allowances for loans and leases which are individually evaluated.

Larger commercial loans and leases included within aggregate borrower relationship balances exceeding \$1 million that exhibit probable or observed credit weaknesses, as well as loans that have been modified in a TDR, are individually evaluated for an ALLL. The Bancorp considers the current value of collateral, credit quality of any guarantees, the guarantor's liquidity and willingness to cooperate, the loan or lease structure and other factors when determining the amount of the ALLL. Other factors may include the borrower's susceptibility to risks presented by the forecasted macroeconomic environment, the industry and geographic region of the borrower, size and financial condition of the borrower, cash flow and leverage of the borrower and the Bancorp's evaluation of the borrower's management. Significant management judgment is required when evaluating which of these factors are most relevant in individual circumstances, and when estimating the amount of expected credit losses based on those factors. When loans and leases are individually evaluated, allowances are determined based on management's estimate of the borrower's ability to repay the loan or lease given the availability of collateral and other sources of cash flow, as well as an evaluation of legal options available to the Bancorp. Allowances for individually evaluated loans and leases that are collateral-dependent are measured based on the fair value of the underlying collateral, less expected costs to sell where applicable. Individually evaluated loans and leases that are not collateral-dependent are measured based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate. The Bancorp evaluates the collectability of both principal and interest when assessing the need for a loss accrual. Specific allowances on individually evaluated commercial loans and leases, including TDRs, are reviewed quarterly and adjusted as necessary based on changing borrower and/or collateral conditions and actual collection and charge-off experience.

Consumer and residential mortgage loans that have been modified in a TDR are individually evaluated for an ALLL. Allowances for individually evaluated loans that are collateral-dependent are typically measured based on the fair value of the underlying collateral, less expected costs to sell where applicable. Individually evaluated loans that are not collateral-dependent are measured based on the present value

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate and a modeled expected credit loss amount. The Bancorp evaluates the collectability of both principal and interest when assessing the need for a loss accrual. Specific allowances on individually evaluated consumer and residential mortgage loans are reviewed quarterly and adjusted as necessary based on changing borrower and/or collateral conditions and actual collection and charge-off experience.

Expected credit losses are estimated on a collective basis for loans and leases that are not individually evaluated. These include commercial loans and leases that do not meet the criteria for individual evaluation as well as homogeneous loans in the residential mortgage and consumer portfolio segments. For collectively evaluated loans and leases, the Bancorp uses models to forecast expected credit losses based on the probability of a loan or lease defaulting, the expected balance at the estimated date of default and the expected loss percentage given a default. The estimate of the expected balance at the time of default considers prepayments and, for loans with available credit, expected utilization rates. The Bancorp's expected credit loss models were developed based on historical credit loss experience and observations of migration patterns for various credit risk characteristics (such as internal credit risk grades, external credit ratings or scores, delinquency status, loan-to-value trends, etc.) over time, with those observations evaluated in the context of concurrent macroeconomic conditions. The Bancorp developed its models from historical observations capturing a full economic cycle when possible.

The Bancorp's expected credit loss models consider historical credit loss experience, current market and economic conditions, and forecasted changes in market and economic conditions if such forecasts are considered reasonable and supportable. Generally, the Bancorp considers its forecasts to be reasonable and supportable for a period of up to three years from the estimation date. For periods beyond the reasonable and supportable forecast period, expected credit losses are estimated by reverting to historical loss information without adjustment for changes in economic conditions. This reversion is phased in over a two-year period. The Bancorp evaluates the length of its reasonable and supportable forecast period, its reversion period and reversion methodology at least annually, or more often if warranted by economic conditions or other circumstances.

The Bancorp also considers qualitative factors in determining the ALLL. These considerations inherently require significant management judgment to determine the appropriate factors to be considered and the extent of their impact on the ALLL estimate. Qualitative factors are used to capture characteristics in the portfolio that impact expected credit losses but that are not fully captured within the Bancorp's expected credit loss models. These include adjustments for changes in policies or procedures in underwriting, monitoring or collections, lending and risk management personnel and results of internal audit and quality control reviews. These may also include adjustments, when deemed necessary, for specific idiosyncratic risks such as geopolitical events, natural disasters and their effects on regional borrowers and changes in product structures. Qualitative factors may also be used to address the impacts of unforeseen events on key inputs and assumptions within the Bancorp's expected credit loss models, such as the reasonable and supportable forecast period, changes to historical loss information or changes to the reversion period or methodology. When evaluating the adequacy of allowances, consideration is also given to regional geographic concentrations and the closely associated effect that changing economic conditions may have on the Bancorp's customers.

Overall, the collective evaluation process requires significant management judgment when determining the estimation methodology and inputs into the models, as well as in evaluating the reasonableness of the modeled results and the appropriateness of qualitative adjustments. The Bancorp's forecasts of market and economic conditions and the internal risk grades assigned to loans and leases in the commercial portfolio segment are examples of inputs to the expected credit loss models that require significant management judgment. These inputs have the potential to drive significant variability in the resulting ALLL.

Refer to the Allowance for Credit Losses subsection of the Risk Management section of MD&A for a discussion on the Bancorp's ALLL sensitivity analysis.

Reserve for Unfunded Commitments

The reserve for unfunded commitments is maintained at a level believed by management to be sufficient to absorb estimated expected credit losses related to unfunded credit facilities and is included in other liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The determination of the adequacy of the reserve is based upon expected credit losses over the remaining contractual life of the commitments, taking into consideration the current funded balance and estimated exposure over the reasonable and supportable forecast period. This process takes into consideration the same risk elements that are analyzed in the determination of the adequacy of the Bancorp's ALLL, as previously discussed. Net adjustments to the reserve for unfunded commitments are included in the provision for credit losses in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

Valuation of Servicing Rights

When the Bancorp sells loans through either securitizations or individual loan sales in accordance with its investment policies, it often obtains servicing rights. The Bancorp may also purchase servicing rights. The Bancorp has elected to measure all existing classes of its residential mortgage servicing rights at fair value at each reporting date with changes in the fair value of servicing rights reported in earnings in the period in which the changes occur. Servicing rights are valued using internal OAS models. Significant management judgment is necessary to identify key economic assumptions used in estimating the fair value of the servicing rights including the prepayment speeds of the underlying loans, the weighted-average life, the OAS and the weighted-average coupon rate, as applicable. The primary risk of material changes to the value of the servicing rights resides in the potential volatility in the economic assumptions used, particularly the prepayment speeds. In order to assist in the assessment of the fair value of servicing rights, the Bancorp obtains external valuations of the servicing rights portfolio from

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

third parties and participates in peer surveys that provide additional confirmation of the reasonableness of the key assumptions utilized in the internal OAS model. For additional information on servicing rights, refer to Note 13 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Fair Value Measurements

The Bancorp measures certain financial assets and liabilities at fair value in accordance with U.S. GAAP, which defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The Bancorp employs various valuation approaches to measure fair value including the market, income and cost approaches. The market approach uses prices or relevant information generated by market transactions involving identical or comparable assets or liabilities. The income approach involves discounting future amounts to a single present amount and is based on current market expectations about those future amounts. The cost approach is based on the amount that currently would be required to replace the service capacity of the asset.

U.S. GAAP establishes a fair value hierarchy which prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value into three broad levels. The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3). A financial instrument's categorization within the fair value hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the instrument's fair value measurement. For additional information on the fair value hierarchy and fair value measurements, refer to Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

The Bancorp's fair value measurements involve various valuation techniques and models, which involve inputs that are observable, when available. Valuation techniques and parameters used for measuring assets and liabilities are reviewed and validated by the Bancorp on a quarterly basis. Additionally, the Bancorp monitors the fair values of significant assets and liabilities using a variety of methods including the evaluation of pricing runs and exception reports based on certain analytical criteria, comparison to previous trades and overall review and assessments for reasonableness. The level of management judgment necessary to determine fair value varies based upon the methods used in the determination of fair value. Financial instruments that are measured at fair value using quoted prices in active markets (Level 1) require minimal judgment. The valuation of financial instruments when quoted market prices are not available (Levels 2 and 3) may require significant management judgment to assess whether quoted prices for similar instruments exist, the impact of changing market conditions including reducing liquidity in the capital markets and the use of estimates surrounding significant unobservable inputs. Table 6 provides a summary of the fair value of financial instruments carried at fair value on a recurring basis and the amounts of financial instruments valued using Level 3 inputs.

TABLE 6: Fair Value Summary

As of (\$ in millions)	December 31, 2022		December 31, 2021	
	Balance	Level 3	Balance	Level 3
Assets carried at fair value	\$ 57,002	1,876	43,685	1,287
As a percent of total assets	27 %	1	21	1
Liabilities carried at fair value	\$ 4,130	203	2,310	222
As a percent of total liabilities	2 %	—	1	—

Refer to Note 28 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further information on fair value measurements including a description of the valuation methodologies used for significant financial instruments.

Goodwill

Business combinations entered into by the Bancorp typically include the recognition of goodwill. U.S. GAAP requires goodwill to be tested for impairment at the reporting unit level on an annual basis, which the Bancorp performs as of September 30 each year, and more frequently if events or circumstances indicate that there may be impairment.

Impairment exists when a reporting unit's carrying amount of goodwill exceeds its implied fair value. In testing goodwill for impairment, U.S. GAAP permits the Bancorp to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. In this qualitative assessment, the Bancorp evaluates events and circumstances which may include, but are not limited to, the general economic environment, banking industry and market conditions, the overall financial performance of the Bancorp, the performance of the Bancorp's common stock, the key financial performance metrics of the Bancorp's reporting units and events affecting the reporting units to determine if it is not more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If the quantitative impairment test is required or the decision to bypass the qualitative assessment is elected, the Bancorp performs the goodwill impairment test by comparing the fair value of a reporting unit with its carrying amount, including goodwill. If the carrying amount of the reporting unit exceeds its fair value, an impairment loss is recognized in an amount equal to that excess, limited to the total amount of goodwill allocated to that reporting unit. A recognized impairment loss cannot be reversed in future periods even if the fair value of the reporting unit subsequently recovers.

The fair value of a reporting unit is the price that would be received to sell the unit as a whole in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. As none of the Bancorp's reporting units are publicly traded, individual reporting unit fair value determinations cannot be directly correlated to the Bancorp's stock price. The determination of the fair value of a reporting unit is a

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

subjective process that involves the use of estimates and judgments, particularly related to cash flows, the appropriate discount rates and an applicable control premium. The determination of the fair value of the Bancorp's reporting units includes both an income-based approach and a market-based approach. The income-based approach utilizes the reporting unit's forecasted cash flows (including a terminal value approach to estimate cash flows beyond the final year of the forecast) and the reporting unit's estimated cost of equity as the discount rate. Significant management judgment is necessary in the preparation of each reporting unit's forecasted cash flows surrounding expectations for earnings projections, growth and credit loss expectations and actual results may differ from forecasted results. Additionally, the Bancorp determines its market capitalization based on the average of the closing price of the Bancorp's stock during the month including the measurement date, incorporating an additional control premium, and compares this market-based fair value measurement to the aggregate fair value of the Bancorp's reporting units in order to corroborate the results of the income approach. Refer to Note 10 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further information regarding the Bancorp's goodwill.

Legal Contingencies

The Bancorp and its subsidiaries are parties to numerous claims and lawsuits as well as threatened or potential actions or claims concerning matters arising from the conduct of its business activities. The outcome of claims or litigation and the timing of ultimate resolution are inherently difficult to predict and significant judgment may be required in the determination of both the probability of loss and whether the amount of the loss is reasonably estimable. The Bancorp's estimates are subjective and are based on the status of legal and regulatory proceedings, the merit of the Bancorp's defenses and consultation with internal and external legal counsel. An accrual for a potential litigation loss is established when information related to the loss contingency indicates both that a loss is probable and that the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated. Refer to Note 19 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further information regarding the Bancorp's legal proceedings.

64 Fifth Third Bancorp

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS
STATEMENTS OF INCOME ANALYSIS

Net Interest Income

Net interest income is the interest earned on loans and leases (including yield-related fees), securities and other short-term investments less the interest incurred on core deposits and wholesale funding. The net interest margin is calculated by dividing net interest income by average interest-earning assets. Net interest rate spread is the difference between the average yield earned on interest-earning assets and the average rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities. Net interest margin is typically greater than net interest rate spread due to the interest income earned on those assets that are funded by noninterest-bearing liabilities, or free funding, such as demand deposits or shareholders' equity.

Tables 7 and 8 present the components of net interest income, net interest margin and net interest rate spread for the years ended December 31, 2022, 2021 and 2020, as well as the relative impact of changes in the average balance sheet and changes in interest rates on net interest income. Nonaccrual loans and leases and loans and leases held for sale have been included in the average loan and lease balances. Average outstanding securities balances are based on amortized cost with any unrealized gains or losses included in average other assets.

Net interest income on an FTE basis (non-GAAP) was \$5.6 billion for the year ended December 31, 2022, an increase of \$843 million compared to the prior year. Net interest income benefited from increases in market interest rates, resulting in increases in yields of 54 bps on average loans and leases and 81 bps on average other short-term investments for the year ended December 31, 2022 compared to the prior year. Net interest income also benefited from increases in average taxable securities and average commercial and industrial loans of \$16.1 billion and \$6.7 billion, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2022 compared to the prior year. These positive impacts were partially offset by an increase in rates paid on average interest-bearing core deposits of 35 bps, an increase in the average balance of FHLB advances of \$3.7 billion and an increase in rates paid on average long-term debt of 61 bps for the year ended December 31, 2022 compared to the prior year. Interest income recognized from PPP loans decreased to \$43 million for the year ended December 31, 2022 compared to \$189 million for the prior year.

Net interest rate spread on an FTE basis (non-GAAP) was 2.72% during the year ended December 31, 2022 compared to 2.45% during the year ended December 31, 2021. Yields on average interest-earning assets increased 71 bps, partially offset by a 44 bps increase in rates paid on average interest-bearing liabilities for the year ended December 31, 2022 compared to the year ended December 31, 2021.

Net interest margin on an FTE basis (non-GAAP) was 3.02% for the year ended December 31, 2022 compared to 2.59% for the year ended December 31, 2021. Net interest margin for the year ended December 31, 2022 was positively impacted by the previously mentioned increase in the net interest rate spread. Net interest margin results are expected to continue increasing, albeit at a slower pace, driven by the continued repricing of the Bancorp's fixed-rate assets portfolios into higher rates and the benefits of expected continued growth of the Bancorp's other consumer loan portfolio, partially offset by the impact of rising rates on deposits and other interest-bearing liabilities.

Interest income on an FTE basis (non-GAAP) from loans and leases increased \$876 million from the year ended December 31, 2021 driven by the previously mentioned increases in market interest rates and average commercial and industrial loans, partially offset by lower income from PPP loans. For more information on the Bancorp's loan and lease portfolio, refer to the Loans and Leases subsection of the Balance Sheet Analysis section of MD&A. Interest income on an FTE basis (non-GAAP) from investment securities and other short-term investments increased \$504 million from the year ended December 31, 2021 primarily due to the previously mentioned increases in average taxable securities and yields on average other short-term investments.

Interest expense on average core deposits increased \$354 million from the year ended December 31, 2021 primarily due to an increase in the cost of average interest-bearing core deposits to 40 bps for the year ended December 31, 2022 from 5 bps for the year ended December 31, 2021, as a result of increasing short-term interest rates. Refer to the Deposits subsection of the Balance Sheet Analysis section of MD&A for additional information on the Bancorp's deposits.

Interest expense on average wholesale funding increased \$183 million for the year ended December 31, 2022 compared to the year ended December 31, 2021 primarily due to the previously mentioned increase in the average balance of FHLB advances and increase in rates paid on average long-term debt, partially offset by a decrease in the average balance of long-term debt of \$1.2 billion from the prior year. Refer to the Borrowings subsection of the Balance Sheet Analysis section of MD&A for additional information on the Bancorp's borrowings. During the year ended December 31, 2022, average wholesale funding represented 15% of average interest-bearing liabilities compared to 13% for the year ended December 31, 2021. For more information on the Bancorp's interest rate risk management, including estimated earnings sensitivity to changes in market interest rates, see the Interest Rate and Price Risk Management subsection of the Risk Management section of MD&A.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

TABLE 7: Consolidated Average Balance Sheets and Analysis of Net Interest Income on an FTE Basis

For the years ended									
December 31	2022			2021			2020		
	Average	Interest	Average	Average	Interest	Average	Average	Interest	Average
(\$ in millions)	Balance	Earned/Paid	Yield/ Rate	Balance	Earned/Paid	Yield/ Rate	Balance	Earned/Paid	Yield/ Rate
Assets:									
Interest-earning assets:									
Loans and leases: ^(a)									
Commercial and industrial loans	\$ 55,618	2,401	4.32 %	\$ 48,966	1,735	3.54 %	\$ 53,814	1,954	3.63 %
Commercial mortgage loans	10,723	415	3.87	10,396	313	3.01	11,011	391	3.54
Commercial construction loans	5,458	239	4.38	5,783	181	3.13	5,509	201	3.65
Commercial leases	2,828	85	3.02	3,130	92	2.94	3,038	104	3.43
Total commercial loans and leases	74,627	3,140	4.21	68,275	2,321	3.40	73,372	2,650	3.61
Residential mortgage loans	19,731	645	3.27	21,359	695	3.26	17,828	622	3.49
Home equity	3,971	177	4.46	4,565	164	3.59	5,679	222	3.90
Indirect secured consumer loans	16,914	560	3.31	15,156	508	3.35	12,454	490	3.93
Credit card	1,737	221	12.73	1,783	219	12.28	2,230	260	11.64
Other consumer loans	3,581	220	6.16	2,979	180	6.03	2,848	192	6.76
Total consumer loans	45,934	1,823	3.97	45,842	1,766	3.85	41,039	1,786	4.35
Total loans and leases	\$ 120,561	4,963	4.12 %	\$ 114,117	4,087	3.58 %	\$ 114,411	4,436	3.88 %
Securities:									
Taxable	\$ 52,218	1,493	2.86 %	\$ 36,164	1,074	2.97 %	\$ 36,109	1,114	3.08 %
Exempt from income taxes ^(a)	1,128	31	2.72	854	20	2.33	233	6	2.61
Other short-term investments	12,419	116	0.94	33,243	42	0.13	21,935	29	0.13
Total interest-earning assets	\$ 186,326	6,603	3.54 %	\$ 184,378	5,223	2.83 %	\$ 172,688	5,585	3.23 %
Cash and due from banks	3,093			3,055			2,978		
Other assets	19,490			21,050			20,933		
Allowance for loan and lease losses	(1,980)			(2,159)			(2,369)		
Total assets	\$ 206,929			\$ 206,324			\$ 194,230		
Liabilities and Equity:									
Interest-bearing liabilities:									
Interest checking deposits	\$ 45,835	297	0.65 %	\$ 45,850	26	0.06 %	\$ 46,890	126	0.27 %
Savings deposits	23,445	32	0.14	20,531	4	0.02	16,440	10	0.06
Money market deposits	29,326	67	0.23	30,631	12	0.04	29,879	88	0.29
Foreign office deposits	170	1	0.74	164	—	0.04	185	—	0.21
CDs \$250,000 or less	2,342	9	0.40	3,214	10	0.31	5,247	66	1.25
Total interest-bearing core deposits	101,118	406	0.40	100,390	52	0.05	98,641	290	0.29
CDs over \$250,000	1,688	41	2.45	530	7	1.30	2,208	31	1.41
Other deposits	—	—	—	—	—	—	71	1	0.76
Federal funds purchased	381	6	1.69	333	—	0.12	385	2	0.58
Securities sold under repurchase agreements	482	1	0.17	594	—	0.02	610	2	0.28
FHLB advances	3,733	98	2.63	—	—	—	470	3	0.65
Derivative collateral and other borrowed money	329	9	2.94	513	2	0.30	629	9	1.44
Long-term debt	11,893	417	3.50	13,109	380	2.89	16,004	452	2.82
Total interest-bearing liabilities	\$ 119,624	978	0.82 %	\$ 115,469	441	0.38 %	\$ 119,018	790	0.66 %
Demand deposits	60,185			62,028			47,111		
Other liabilities	8,040			6,015			5,546		
Total liabilities	\$ 187,849			\$ 183,512			\$ 171,675		
Total equity	\$ 19,080			\$ 22,812			\$ 22,555		
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 206,929			\$ 206,324			\$ 194,230		
Net interest income (FTE) ^(b)	\$ 5,625			\$ 4,782			\$ 4,795		
Net interest margin (FTE) ^(b)			3.02 %			2.59 %			2.78 %
Net interest rate spread (FTE) ^(b)			2.72			2.45			2.57
Interest-bearing liabilities to interest-earning assets			64.20			62.63			68.92

(a) The FTE adjustments included in the above table were \$16, \$12 and \$13 for the years ended December 31, 2022, 2021, and 2020, respectively.

(b) Net interest income (FTE), net interest margin (FTE) and net interest rate spread (FTE) are non-GAAP measures. For further information, refer to the Non-GAAP Financial Measures section of MD&A.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

 TABLE 8: Changes in Net Interest Income Attributable to Volume and Yield/Rate^(a)

For the years ended December 31 (\$ in millions)	2022 Compared to 2021			2021 Compared to 2020		
	Volume	Yield/Rate	Total	Volume	Yield/Rate	Total
Assets:						
Interest-earning assets:						
Loans and leases:						
Commercial and industrial loans	\$ 255	411	666	(172)	(47)	(219)
Commercial mortgage loans	10	92	102	(22)	(56)	(78)
Commercial construction loans	(11)	69	58	10	(30)	(20)
Commercial leases	(9)	2	(7)	3	(15)	(12)
Total commercial loans and leases	245	574	819	(181)	(148)	(329)
Residential mortgage loans	(53)	3	(50)	117	(44)	73
Home equity	(23)	36	13	(41)	(17)	(58)
Indirect secured consumer loans	58	(6)	52	97	(79)	18
Credit card	(6)	8	2	(55)	14	(41)
Other consumer loans	37	3	40	9	(21)	(12)
Total consumer loans	13	44	57	127	(147)	(20)
Total loans and leases	\$ 258	618	876	(54)	(295)	(349)
Securities:						
Taxable	\$ 461	(42)	419	1	(41)	(40)
Exempt from income taxes	7	4	11	15	(1)	14
Other short-term investments	(42)	116	74	14	(1)	13
Total change in interest income	\$ 684	696	1,380	(24)	(338)	(362)
Liabilities:						
Interest-bearing liabilities:						
Interest checking deposits	\$ —	271	271	(3)	(97)	(100)
Savings deposits	1	27	28	2	(8)	(6)
Money market deposits	(1)	56	55	2	(78)	(76)
Foreign office deposits	—	1	1	—	—	—
CDs \$250,000 or less	(3)	2	(1)	(19)	(37)	(56)
Total interest-bearing core deposits	(3)	357	354	(18)	(220)	(238)
CDs over \$250,000	24	10	34	(22)	(2)	(24)
Other deposits	—	—	—	(1)	—	(1)
Federal funds purchased	—	6	6	—	(2)	(2)
Securities sold under repurchase agreements	—	1	1	—	(2)	(2)
FHLB advances	98	—	98	(3)	—	(3)
Derivative collateral and other borrowed money	(1)	8	7	—	(7)	(7)
Long-term debt	(37)	74	37	(83)	11	(72)
Total change in interest expense	\$ 81	456	537	(127)	(222)	(349)
Total change in net interest income	\$ 603	240	843	103	(116)	(13)

(a) Changes in interest not solely due to volume or yield/rate are allocated in proportion to the absolute dollar amount of change in volume and yield/rate.

Provision for Credit Losses

The Bancorp provides, as an expense, an amount for expected credit losses within the loan and lease portfolio and the portfolio of unfunded commitments and letters of credit that is based on factors previously discussed in the Critical Accounting Policies section of MD&A. The provision is recorded to bring the ALLL and reserve for unfunded commitments to a level deemed appropriate by the Bancorp to cover losses expected in the portfolios. Actual credit losses on loans and leases are charged against the ALLL. The amount of loans and leases actually removed from the Consolidated Balance Sheets are referred to as charge-offs. Net charge-offs include current period charge-offs less recoveries on previously charged-off loans and leases.

The provision for credit losses was \$563 million for the year ended December 31, 2022 compared to a benefit from credit losses of \$377 million in the prior year. Provision expense increased for the year ended December 31, 2022 compared to the prior year primarily driven by factors which caused increases in the ACL during the year ended December 31, 2022 including deterioration in forecasted macroeconomic conditions and higher period-end loan and lease balances. The increase in period-end loan and lease balances was primarily driven by commercial and industrial loan growth, originations of point-of-sale solar energy installation loans in the second half of 2022 and loans acquired in a business acquisition completed in the second quarter of 2022. The economic forecasts used to estimate the ACL at December 31, 2022 were generally less favorable than those used at December 31, 2021, reflecting an inflationary environment, rising

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

interest rates and higher oil prices. The benefit from credit losses for year ended December 31, 2021 was driven by decreases in the ACL in response to improved economic forecasts and improved commercial and consumer credit quality.

The ALLL increased \$302 million from December 31, 2021 to \$2.2 billion at December 31, 2022. At December 31, 2022, the ALLL as a percent of portfolio loans and leases increased to 1.81%, compared to 1.69% at December 31, 2021. The reserve for unfunded commitments increased \$34 million from December 31, 2021 to \$216 million at December 31, 2022. At December 31, 2022, the ACL as a percent of portfolio loans and leases increased to 1.98%, compared to 1.85% at December 31, 2021.

Refer to the Credit Risk Management subsection of the Risk Management section of MD&A as well as Note 6 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for more detailed information on the provision for credit losses, including an analysis of loan and lease portfolio composition, nonperforming assets, net charge-offs and other factors considered by the Bancorp in assessing the credit quality of the loan and lease portfolio and determining the level of the ACL.

Noninterest Income

Noninterest income decreased \$352 million for the year ended December 31, 2022 compared to the year ended December 31, 2021. The following table presents the components of noninterest income:

TABLE 9: Components of Noninterest Income

For the years ended December 31 (\$ in millions)	2022	2021	2020
Service charges on deposits	\$ 589	600	559
Wealth and asset management revenue	570	586	520
Commercial banking revenue	565	637	528
Card and processing revenue	409	402	352
Leasing business revenue	237	300	276
Mortgage banking net revenue	215	270	320
Other noninterest income	265	332	211
Securities (losses) gains, net	(82)	(7)	62
Securities (losses) gains, net - non-qualifying hedges on mortgage servicing rights	(2)	(2)	2
Total noninterest income	\$ 2,766	3,118	2,830

Service charges on deposits

Service charges on deposits decreased \$11 million for the year ended December 31, 2022 compared to the year ended December 31, 2021 due to a decrease in service charges on both commercial and consumer deposits. Service charges on commercial deposits were \$434 million for the year ended December 31, 2022, a decrease of \$3 million from the prior year primarily due to higher treasury management earnings credits driven by market interest rates, partially offset by an increase in commercial treasury management fees. Service charges on consumer deposits were \$155 million for the year ended December 31, 2022, a decrease of \$8 million from the prior year primarily due to a decrease in consumer deposit fees driven by the elimination of non-sufficient funds fees during 2022.

Wealth and asset management revenue

Wealth and asset management revenue decreased \$16 million for the year ended December 31, 2022 compared to the year ended December 31, 2021 primarily due to decreases in private client service fees and securities income. The Bancorp's trust and registered investment advisory businesses had approximately \$510 billion and \$554 billion in total assets under care as of December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively, and managed \$55 billion and \$65 billion in assets for individuals, corporations and not-for-profit organizations as of December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively.

Commercial banking revenue

Commercial banking revenue decreased \$72 million for the year ended December 31, 2022 compared to the year ended December 31, 2021 primarily due to decreases in corporate bond fees, loan syndication fees, merger and acquisition fees and equity capital markets fees, partially offset by an increase in contract revenue from commercial customer derivatives as well as an increase in foreign exchange fees.

Card and processing revenue

Card and processing revenue increased \$7 million for the year ended December 31, 2022 compared to the year ended December 31, 2021 primarily due to an increase in credit card interchange, partially offset by increased reward costs as well as a decrease in other electronic funds transfer income. The increases in credit card interchange and reward costs were primarily driven by an increase in consumer and business card spend volumes.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Leasing business revenue

Leasing business revenue decreased \$63 million for the year ended December 31, 2022 compared to the year ended December 31, 2021 primarily driven by decreases in lease syndication fees and leasing business solutions revenue, partially offset by an increase in lease remarketing fees. The decrease in leasing business solutions revenue was related to the disposition of LaSalle Solutions during the second quarter of 2022.

Mortgage banking net revenue

Mortgage banking net revenue decreased \$55 million for the year ended December 31, 2022 compared to the year ended December 31, 2021.

The following table presents the components of mortgage banking net revenue:

TABLE 10: Components of Mortgage Banking Net Revenue

For the years ended December 31 (\$ in millions)	2022	2021	2020
Origination fees and gains on loan sales	\$ 91	285	315
Net mortgage servicing revenue:			
Gross mortgage servicing fees	310	247	263
Net valuation adjustments on MSR and free-standing derivatives purchased to economically hedge MSRs	(186)	(262)	(258)
Net mortgage servicing revenue	124	(15)	5
Total mortgage banking net revenue	\$ 215	270	320

Origination fees and gains on loan sales decreased \$194 million for the year ended December 31, 2022 compared to the year ended December 31, 2021 primarily driven by decreases in gain on sale margins and lower volumes of residential mortgage loan originations and sales as well as the impact of gains recognized during the year ended December 31, 2021 from sales of government-guaranteed loans that were previously in forbearance programs. Residential mortgage loan originations decreased to \$14.0 billion for the year ended December 31, 2022 from \$19.0 billion for the year ended December 31, 2021 due primarily to the impact of higher market interest rates on refinance activity.

The following table presents the components of net valuation adjustments on the MSR portfolio and the impact of the non-qualifying hedging strategy.

TABLE 11: Components of Net Valuation Adjustments on MSRs

For the years ended December 31 (\$ in millions)	2022	2021	2020
Changes in fair value and settlement of free-standing derivatives purchased to economically hedge the MSR portfolio	\$ (363)	(123)	307
Changes in fair value:			
Due to changes in inputs or assumptions ^(a)	355	142	(311)
Other changes in fair value ^(b)	(178)	(281)	(254)
Net valuation adjustments on MSR and free-standing derivatives purchased to economically hedge MSRs	\$ (186)	(262)	(258)

^(a)Primarily reflects changes in prepayment speed and OAS assumptions which are updated based on market interest rates.

^(b)Primarily reflects changes due to realized cash flows and the passage of time.

For the years ended December 31, 2022 and 2021, the Bancorp recognized income of \$177 million and losses of \$139 million, respectively, in mortgage banking net revenue for valuation adjustments on the MSR portfolio. The valuation adjustments on the MSR portfolio included increases of \$355 million and \$142 million for the years ended December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively, due to changes in market rates and other inputs in the valuation model, including future prepayment speeds and OAS assumptions. Mortgage rates increased during the year ended December 31, 2022 which caused a reduction in modeled prepayment speeds. There was also an increase in the modeled OAS assumptions for the year ended December 31, 2022. The fair value of the MSR portfolio also decreased \$178 million and \$281 million as a result of contractual principal payments and actual prepayment activity for the years ended December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively.

Further detail on the valuation of MSRs can be found in Note 13 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. The Bancorp maintains a non-qualifying hedging strategy to manage a portion of the risk associated with changes in the valuation of the MSR portfolio. Refer to Note 14 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for more information on the free-standing derivatives used to economically hedge the MSR portfolio.

In addition to the derivative positions used to economically hedge the MSR portfolio, the Bancorp acquires various securities as a component of its non-qualifying hedging strategy. The Bancorp recognized net losses of \$2 million during both the years ended December 31, 2022 and 2021 recorded in securities (losses) gains, net - non-qualifying hedges on mortgage servicing rights in the Bancorp's Consolidated Statements of Income.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The Bancorp's total residential mortgage loans serviced at December 31, 2022 and 2021 were \$120.2 billion and \$106.8 billion, respectively, with \$103.2 billion and \$89.2 billion, respectively, of residential mortgage loans serviced for others.

Other noninterest income

The following table presents the components of other noninterest income:

TABLE 12: Components of Other Noninterest Income

For the years ended December 31 (\$ in millions)	2022	2021	2020
Private equity investment income	\$ 70	81	75
BOLI income	64	61	63
Cardholder fees	54	50	44
Income from the TRA associated with Worldpay, Inc.	46	46	74
Banking center income	24	23	20
Equity method investment income	22	30	12
Consumer loan fees	19	17	20
Gains on contract sales	3	62	2
Loss on swap associated with the sale of Visa, Inc. Class B Shares	(84)	(86)	(103)
Other, net	47	48	4
Total other noninterest income	\$ 265	332	211

Other noninterest income decreased \$67 million for the year ended December 31, 2022 compared to the year ended December 31, 2021 primarily due to decreases in gains on contract sales and private equity investment income.

Gains on contract sales decreased \$59 million for the year ended December 31, 2022 compared to December 31, 2021 as the prior year included the recognition of a \$60 million gain on the sale of the Bancorp's HSA deposit portfolio which was completed in the third quarter of 2021. Private equity investment income decreased \$11 million for the year ended December 31, 2022 compared to the prior year primarily driven by losses recognized on certain private equity investments.

Noninterest Expense

Noninterest expense decreased \$29 million for the year ended December 31, 2022 compared to the year ended December 31, 2021.

The following table presents the components of noninterest expense:

TABLE 13: Components of Noninterest Expense

For the years ended December 31 (\$ in millions)	2022	2021	2020
Compensation and benefits	\$ 2,554	2,626	2,590
Technology and communications	416	388	362
Net occupancy expense	307	312	350
Equipment expense	145	138	130
Leasing business expense	131	137	140
Marketing expense	118	107	104
Card and processing expense	80	89	121
Other noninterest expense	968	951	921
Total noninterest expense	\$ 4,719	4,748	4,718
Efficiency ratio on an FTE basis ^(a)	56.2 %	60.1	61.9

^(a)This is a non-GAAP measure. For further information, refer to the Non-GAAP Financial Measures section of MD&A.

Compensation and benefits expense decreased \$72 million for the year ended December 31, 2022 compared to the year ended December 31, 2021 primarily driven by decreases in non-qualified deferred compensation expense and performance-based compensation, partially offset by the impact of a special broad-based compensation bonus granted in the first quarter of 2022, the additional personnel costs of an acquired business and the impact of raising the Bancorp's minimum wage in the third quarter of 2022. Full-time equivalent employees totaled 19,319 at December 31, 2022 compared to 19,112 at December 31, 2021.

Technology and communications expense increased \$28 million for the year ended December 31, 2022 compared to the year ended December 31, 2021 primarily driven by increased investment in strategic initiatives and technology.

Marketing expense increased \$11 million for the year ended December 31, 2022 compared to the year ended December 31, 2021 primarily due to an increase in account acquisition programs.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Card and processing expense decreased \$9 million for the year ended December 31, 2022 compared to the year ended December 31, 2021 primarily due to contract renegotiations with a third-party vendor, partially offset by increased association network charges as a result of increased credit card spend.

The following table presents the components of other noninterest expense:

TABLE 14: Components of Other Noninterest Expense

For the years ended December 31 (\$ in millions)	2022	2021	2020
Loan and lease	\$ 167	217	162
FDIC insurance and other taxes	132	114	118
Losses and adjustments	91	69	100
Data processing	82	79	75
Travel	60	34	27
Professional service fees	54	63	49
Intangible amortization	47	44	48
Postal and courier	40	37	36
Other, net	295	294	306
Total other noninterest expense	\$ 968	951	921

Other noninterest expense increased \$17 million for the year ended December 31, 2022 compared to the year ended December 31, 2021 primarily due to increases in travel expense, losses and adjustments and FDIC insurance and other taxes, partially offset by a decrease in loan and lease expense.

Travel expense increased \$26 million for the year ended December 31, 2022 compared to the year ended December 31, 2021 due to an increase in travel as a result of the gradual cessation of travel restrictions related to the COVID-19 pandemic. Losses and adjustments increased \$22 million for the year ended December 31, 2022 compared to the year ended December 31, 2021 primarily due to a reduction in the net benefit from changes in credit valuation adjustments on customer accommodation derivatives and an increase in operational losses. FDIC insurance and other taxes increased \$18 million for the year ended December 31, 2022 compared to the year ended December 31, 2021 primarily as a result of an increase in the FDIC insurance assessment rate. Loan and lease expense decreased \$50 million for the year ended December 31, 2022 compared to the year ended December 31, 2021 primarily driven by a decrease in loan servicing expenses related to the Bancorp's sales of certain government-guaranteed residential mortgage loans that were previously in forbearance programs and serviced by a third party.

Applicable Income Taxes

Applicable income tax expense for all periods presented includes the benefit from tax-exempt income, tax-advantaged investments, certain gains on sales of leveraged leases that are exempt from federal taxation and tax credits (and other related tax benefits), partially offset by the effect of proportional amortization of qualifying LIHTC investments and certain nondeductible expenses. The tax credits are primarily associated with the Low-Income Housing Tax Credit program established under Section 42 of the IRC, the New Markets Tax Credit program established under Section 45D of the IRC, the Rehabilitation Investment Tax Credit program established under Section 47 of the IRC and the Qualified Zone Academy Bond program established under Section 1397E of the IRC.

The effective tax rates for the years ended December 31, 2022 and 2021 were primarily impacted by \$219 million and \$193 million, respectively, of low-income housing tax credits and other tax benefits and \$26 million and \$23 million, respectively, of tax benefits from tax exempt income and were partially offset by \$189 million and \$163 million, respectively, of proportional amortization related to qualifying LIHTC investments.

The Bancorp's income before income taxes, applicable income tax expense and effective tax rate are as follows:

TABLE 15: Applicable Income Taxes

For the years ended December 31 (\$ in millions)	2022	2021	2020
Income before income taxes	\$ 3,093	3,517	1,797
Applicable income tax expense	647	747	370
Effective tax rate	21.0 %	21.2	20.6

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

BUSINESS SEGMENT REVIEW

During the third quarter of 2022, the Bancorp reorganized its management reporting structure and now reports on three business segments: Commercial Banking, Consumer and Small Business Banking and Wealth and Asset Management. Additional information on each business segment is included in Note 31 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. Prior period results have been adjusted to conform to the new segment presentation. Results of the Bancorp's business segments are presented based on its management structure and management accounting practices. The structure and accounting practices are specific to the Bancorp; therefore, the financial results of the Bancorp's business segments are not necessarily comparable with similar information for other financial institutions. The Bancorp refines its methodologies from time to time as management's accounting practices and businesses change.

The Bancorp manages interest rate risk centrally at the corporate level. By employing an FTP methodology, the business segments are insulated from most benchmark interest rate volatility, enabling them to focus on serving customers through the origination of loans and acceptance of deposits. The FTP methodology assigns charge and credit rates to classes of assets and liabilities, respectively, based on the estimated amount and timing of cash flows for each transaction. Assigning the FTP rate based on matching the duration of cash flows allocates interest income and interest expense to each business segment so its resulting net interest income is insulated from future changes in benchmark interest rates. The Bancorp's FTP methodology also allocates the contribution to net interest income of the asset-generating and deposit-providing businesses on a duration-adjusted basis to better attribute the driver of the performance. As the asset and liability durations are not perfectly matched, the residual impact of the FTP methodology is captured in General Corporate and Other. The charge and credit rates are determined using the FTP rate curve, which is based on an estimate of Fifth Third's marginal borrowing cost in the wholesale funding markets. The FTP curve is constructed using the U.S. swap curve, brokered CD pricing and unsecured debt pricing. The Bancorp's FTP methodology was not adjusted during the years ended December 31, 2022, 2021 and 2020.

The Bancorp adjusts the FTP charge and credit rates as dictated by changes in interest rates for various interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities and by the review of behavioral assumptions, such as prepayment rates on interest-earning assets and the estimated durations for indeterminate-lived deposits. Key assumptions, including the credit rates provided for deposit accounts, are reviewed annually. Credit rates for deposit products and charge rates for loan products may be reset more frequently in response to changes in market conditions. In general, the charge rates on assets have increased since December 31, 2021 as they were affected by the prevailing level of interest rates and by the duration and repricing characteristics of the portfolio. The credit rates for deposit products also increased since December 31, 2021, due to higher interest rates and modified assumptions. Thus, net interest income for asset-generating business segments was negatively impacted by the rates charged on assets while deposit-providing business segments were positively impacted during the year ended December 31, 2022.

The Bancorp's methodology for allocating provision for credit losses to the business segments includes charges or benefits associated with changes in criticized commercial loan levels in addition to actual net charge-offs experienced by the loans and leases owned by each business segment. Provision for credit losses attributable to loan and lease growth and changes in ALLL factors is captured in General Corporate and Other. The financial results of the business segments include allocations for shared services and headquarters expenses. Additionally, the business segments form synergies by taking advantage of relationship depth opportunities and funding operations by accessing the capital markets as a collective unit.

The following table summarizes net income (loss) by business segment:

TABLE 16: Net Income (Loss) by Business Segment

For the years ended December 31 (\$ in millions)	2022	2021	2020
Income Statement Data			
Commercial Banking	\$ 1,649	1,554	398
Consumer and Small Business Banking	1,309	220	357
Wealth and Asset Management	198	94	102
General Corporate and Other	(710)	902	570
Net income	\$ 2,446	2,770	1,427

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Commercial Banking

Commercial Banking offers credit intermediation, cash management and financial services to large and middle-market businesses and government and professional customers. In addition to the traditional lending and depository offerings, Commercial Banking products and services include global cash management, foreign exchange and international trade finance, derivatives and capital markets services, asset-based lending, real estate finance, public finance, commercial leasing and syndicated finance.

The following table contains selected financial data for the Commercial Banking segment:

TABLE 17: Commercial Banking

For the years ended December 31 (\$ in millions)	2022	2021	2020
Income Statement Data			
Net interest income (FTE) ^(a)	\$ 2,552	1,604	2,022
Provision for (benefit from) credit losses	33	(597)	1,086
Noninterest income:			
Commercial banking revenue	563	633	527
Service charges on deposits	372	385	358
Leasing business revenue	237	300	276
Other noninterest income	168	179	172
Noninterest expense:			
Compensation and benefits	639	644	606
Leasing business expense	131	137	140
Other noninterest expense	1,053	992	1,063
Income before income taxes (FTE)	2,036	1,925	460
Applicable income tax expense ^{(a)/(b)}	387	371	62
Net income	\$ 1,649	1,554	398
Average Balance Sheet Data			
Commercial loans and leases, including held for sale	\$ 70,904	62,571	68,175
Demand deposits	35,147	38,220	27,724
Interest checking deposits	21,341	22,452	26,058
Savings and money market deposits	6,019	7,825	8,003
Certificates of deposit	108	117	157
Foreign office deposits	170	164	185

(a)Includes FTE adjustments of \$10, \$8 and \$13 for the years ended December 31, 2022, 2021 and 2020, respectively.

(b)Applicable income tax expense for all periods includes the tax benefit from tax-exempt income, tax-advantaged investments and tax credits partially offset by the effect of certain nondeductible expenses. Refer to the Applicable Income Taxes subsection of the Statements of Income Analysis section of MD&A for additional information.

Comparison of the year ended 2022 with 2021

Net income was \$1.6 billion for both the years ended December 31, 2022 and 2021 as an increase in net interest income on an FTE basis was partially offset by an increase in provision for credit losses as well as a decrease in noninterest income and an increase in noninterest expense.

Net interest income on an FTE basis increased \$948 million from the year ended December 31, 2021 primarily driven by increases in yields on and average balances of commercial loans and leases as well as increases in FTP credit rates on deposits. These positive impacts were partially offset by increases in FTP charges on commercial loans and leases as well as increases in rates paid on interest checking deposits and savings and money market deposits.

The provision for credit losses was \$33 million for the year ended December 31, 2022 compared to a benefit from credit losses of \$597 million for the year ended December 31, 2021. The benefit from credit losses for the year ended December 31, 2021 was primarily driven by a decrease in commercial criticized asset levels during that period. The increase in provision expense for the year ended December 31, 2022 was primarily driven by an increase in net charge-offs on commercial and industrial loans. Net charge-offs as a percent of average portfolio loans and leases increased to 12 bps for the year ended December 31, 2022 compared to 9 bps for the year ended December 31, 2021.

Noninterest income decreased \$157 million from the year ended December 31, 2021 driven by decreases in commercial banking revenue, leasing business revenue, service charges on deposits and other noninterest income. Commercial banking revenue decreased \$70 million from the year ended December 31, 2021 primarily due to decreases in corporate bond fees, loan syndication fees, merger and acquisition fees and equity capital markets fees, partially offset by an increase in contract revenue from commercial customer derivatives as well as an increase in foreign exchange fees. Leasing business revenue decreased \$63 million from the year ended December 31, 2021 primarily driven by decreases in lease syndication fees and leasing business solutions revenue, partially offset by an increase in lease remarketing fees. The decrease in leasing business solutions revenue was related to the disposition of LaSalle Solutions during the second quarter of 2022. Service charges on deposits decreased \$13 million from the year ended December 31, 2021 primarily due to a decrease in commercial deposit fees

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

driven by higher earnings credit rates. Other noninterest income decreased \$11 million from the year ended December 31, 2021 primarily driven by the recognition of securities losses, partially offset by an increase in private equity investment income.

Noninterest expense increased \$50 million from the year ended December 31, 2021 driven by an increase in other noninterest expense, partially offset by decreases in leasing business expense and compensation and benefits. Other noninterest expense increased \$61 million from the year ended December 31, 2021 primarily as a result of increases in allocated expenses related to information technology support services and cash management services, a reduction in the net benefit from changes in credit valuation adjustments on customer accommodation derivatives as well as increases in FDIC insurance and other taxes and travel expenses. Leasing business expense decreased \$6 million from the year ended December 31, 2021 primarily due to a decrease in operating lease equipment depreciation. Compensation and benefits decreased \$5 million from the year ended December 31, 2021 primarily as a result of a decrease in incentive compensation, partially offset by an increase in base compensation.

Average commercial loans and leases increased \$8.3 billion from the year ended December 31, 2021 primarily due to an increase in average commercial and industrial loans partially offset by decreases in average commercial construction loans and average commercial leases. Average commercial and industrial loans increased from the year ended December 31, 2021 primarily driven by increased revolving line of credit utilization and increased production. Average commercial construction loans decreased from the year ended December 31, 2021 as payoffs exceeded draws on existing commitments and loan originations. Average commercial leases decreased from the year ended December 31, 2021 primarily as a result of a planned reduction in indirect non-relationship-based lease originations.

Average deposits decreased \$6.0 billion from the year ended December 31, 2021 primarily due to decreases in average demand deposits, average savings and money market deposits and average interest checking deposits. Average demand deposits decreased \$3.1 billion from the year ended December 31, 2021 primarily as a result of lower average balances per commercial customer account and balance migration into interest checking deposits. Average savings and money market deposits decreased \$1.8 billion from the year ended December 31, 2021 primarily as a result of lower average balances per commercial customer account. Average interest checking deposits decreased \$1.1 billion from the year ended December 31, 2021 primarily as a result of lower average balances per commercial customer account, partially offset by balance migration from demand deposits. Lower average commercial customer account balances in demand deposits, money market deposits and interest checking deposits included the impact of deliberate runoff during the second quarter of 2022 of certain higher cost commercial deposits.

Comparison of the year ended 2021 with 2020

Net income was \$1.6 billion for the year ended December 31, 2021 compared to net income of \$398 million for the year ended December 31, 2020. The increase in net income was primarily driven by a decrease in provision for credit losses as well as an increase in noninterest income and a decrease in noninterest expense, partially offset by a decrease in net interest income on an FTE basis.

Net interest income on an FTE basis decreased \$418 million from the year ended December 31, 2020 primarily driven by decreases in yields on and average balances of commercial loans and leases as well as decreases in FTP credit rates on interest checking deposits, demand deposits and savings and money market deposits. These negative impacts were partially offset by decreases in FTP charge rates on loans and leases as well as decreases in rates paid on and average balances of interest checking deposits and savings and money market deposits.

The benefit from credit losses was \$597 million for the year ended December 31, 2021 compared to a provision for credit losses of \$1.1 billion for the year ended December 31, 2020. The decrease for the year ended December 31, 2021 was primarily driven by a decrease in commercial criticized asset levels as well as decreases in net charge-offs on commercial loans and leases. Net charge-offs as a percent of average portfolio loans and leases decreased to 9 bps for the year ended December 31, 2021 compared to 36 bps for the year ended December 31, 2020.

Noninterest income increased \$164 million from the year ended December 31, 2020 primarily driven by increases in commercial banking revenue, service charges on deposits and leasing business revenue. Commercial banking revenue increased \$106 million from the year ended December 31, 2020 primarily due to increases in loan syndication fees, business lending fees and institutional sales partially offset by a decrease in bridge fees. Service charges on deposits increased \$27 million from the year ended December 31, 2020 primarily due to an increase in commercial deposit fees primarily due to growth in volume-based service revenues, with continued benefit from lower earnings credit rates. Leasing business revenue increased \$24 million from the year ended December 31, 2020 primarily due to an increase in lease syndication fees partially offset by a decrease in lease remarketing fees.

Noninterest expense decreased \$36 million from the year ended December 31, 2020 primarily driven by a decrease in other noninterest expense partially offset by an increase in compensation and benefits. Other noninterest expense decreased \$71 million from the year ended December 31, 2020 primarily as a result of a decline in credit valuation adjustments on derivatives associated with customer accommodation contracts and decreases in allocated expenses related to cash management services. Compensation and benefits increased \$38 million from the year ended December 31, 2020 primarily as a result of increases in incentive compensation and employee benefits expense driven by strong performance in fees related to business growth and expansion initiatives during the year ended December 31, 2021 as well as an increase in base compensation.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Average commercial loans and leases decreased \$5.6 billion from the year ended December 31, 2020 primarily due to decreases in average commercial and industrial loans and average commercial mortgage loans partially offset by an increase in average commercial construction loans. Average commercial and industrial loans decreased from the year ended December 31, 2020 primarily driven by elevated revolving line of credit utilization during the year ended December 31, 2020 as well as paydowns in excess of loan originations. Average commercial mortgage loans decreased from the year ended December 31, 2020 as payoffs exceeded loan originations. Average commercial construction loans increased from the year ended December 31, 2020 as draws on existing commitments exceeded payoffs.

Average deposits increased \$6.7 billion from the year ended December 31, 2020 primarily due to an increase in average demand deposits, partially offset by decreases in average interest checking deposits and average savings and money market deposits. Average demand deposits increased \$10.5 billion from the year ended December 31, 2020 primarily as a result of commercial customers maintaining increased levels of liquidity driven by the amount of fiscal and monetary stimulus, as well as growth in the number of accounts and migration of balances from interest checking deposits. Average interest checking deposits decreased \$3.6 billion from the year ended December 31, 2020 primarily as a result of the aforementioned balance migration into demand deposits and lower average balances per commercial customer account. Average savings and money market deposits decreased \$178 million from the year ended December 31, 2020 primarily as a result of a decline in average balances per commercial customer account.

Consumer and Small Business Banking

Consumer and Small Business Banking provides a full range of deposit and loan products to individuals and small businesses through a network of full-service banking centers and relationships with indirect and correspondent loan originators in addition to providing products designed to meet the specific needs of small businesses, including cash management services. Consumer and Small Business Banking includes the Bancorp's residential mortgage, home equity loans and lines of credit, credit cards, automobile and other indirect lending and other consumer lending activities. Residential mortgage activities include the origination, retention and servicing of residential mortgage loans, sales and securitizations of those loans and all associated hedging activities. Indirect lending activities include extending loans to consumers through automobile dealers, motorcycle dealers, powersport dealers, recreational vehicle dealers and marine dealers. Other consumer lending activities include home improvement and solar energy installation loans originated through a network of contractors and installers.

The following table contains selected financial data for the Consumer and Small Business Banking segment:

TABLE 18: Consumer and Small Business Banking

For the years ended December 31 (\$ in millions)	2022	2021	2020
Income Statement Data			
Net interest income	\$ 3,131	1,685	1,942
Provision for credit losses	139	120	229
Noninterest income:			
Card and processing revenue	308	312	269
Service charges on deposits	216	214	200
Mortgage banking net revenue	214	267	315
Wealth and asset management revenue	204	206	172
Other noninterest income	111	108	82
Noninterest expense:			
Compensation and benefits	828	833	821
Net occupancy and equipment expense	234	235	226
Card and processing expense	72	85	116
Other noninterest expense	1,255	1,242	1,136
Income before income taxes	1,656	277	452
Applicable income tax expense	347	57	95
Net income	\$ 1,309	220	357
Average Balance Sheet Data			
Consumer loans, including held for sale	\$ 43,049	43,072	38,424
Commercial loans, including held for sale	1,727	928	645
Demand deposits	23,600	22,932	18,642
Interest checking deposits	15,191	14,633	12,319
Savings and money market deposits	43,054	40,647	35,722
Certificates of deposit	2,543	3,292	5,367

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Comparison of the year ended 2022 with 2021

Net income was \$1.3 billion for the year ended December 31, 2022 compared to net income of \$220 million for the year ended December 31, 2021. The increase was primarily driven by an increase in net interest income, partially offset by a decrease in noninterest income and an increase in provision for credit losses.

Net interest income increased \$1.4 billion from the year ended December 31, 2021 primarily due to increases in FTP credit rates on deposits. This positive impact was partially offset by an increase in FTP charge rates on loans and leases as well as increases in rates paid on and average balances of savings and money market deposits.

Provision for credit losses increased \$19 million from the year ended December 31, 2021 primarily due to increases in net charge-offs on indirect secured consumer loans and other consumer loans, partially offset by a decrease in net charge-offs on credit card. Net charge-offs as a percent of average portfolio loans and leases increased to 33 bps for the year ended December 31, 2022 compared to 32 bps for the year ended December 31, 2021.

Noninterest income decreased \$54 million from the year ended December 31, 2021 primarily driven by a decrease in mortgage banking net revenue. Refer to the Noninterest Income subsection of the Statements of Income Analysis section of MD&A for additional information on the fluctuations in mortgage banking net revenue.

Noninterest expense decreased \$6 million from the year ended December 31, 2021 primarily due to decreases in card and processing expense and compensation and benefits, partially offset by an increase in other noninterest expense. Card and processing expense decreased \$13 million from the year ended December 31, 2021 primarily due to contract renegotiations with a third-party vendor, partially offset by increased association network charges as a result of increased credit card spend. Compensation and benefits decreased \$5 million from the year ended December 31, 2021 primarily driven by a decrease in incentive compensation related to lower residential mortgage origination volumes, partially offset by the incremental impact of acquired businesses. Other noninterest expense increased \$13 million from the year ended December 31, 2021 primarily due to increases in marketing expense and FDIC insurance and other taxes as well as allocated expenses related to information technology support services. These increases were partially offset by a decrease in loan servicing expenses related to the Bancorp's sales of certain government-guaranteed residential mortgage loans that were previously in forbearance programs and serviced by a third party.

Average consumer loans decreased \$23 million from the year ended December 31, 2021 primarily driven by decreases in average residential mortgage loans and average home equity, partially offset by increases in average indirect secured consumer loans and average other consumer loans. Average residential mortgage loans decreased from the year ended December 31, 2021 primarily due to decreases in residential mortgage loans held for sale as the Bancorp sold government-guaranteed loans that were previously in forbearance programs. Average home equity decreased from the year ended December 31, 2021 as payoffs exceeded loan originations and new advances. Average indirect secured consumer loans increased from the year ended December 31, 2021 primarily driven by higher demand and other favorable market conditions as well as lower indirect automobile prepayments and increased loan production in non-automobile indirect loans. Average other consumer loans increased from the year ended December 31, 2021 primarily driven by originations of point-of-sale solar energy installation loans in the second half of 2022, in addition to loans acquired in a business acquisition completed in the second quarter of 2022. Average commercial loans increased \$799 million from the year ended December 31, 2021 primarily driven by increases in average commercial and industrial loans and average commercial mortgage loans as loan originations exceeded payoffs.

Average deposits increased \$2.9 billion from the year ended December 31, 2021 driven by increases in average savings and money market deposits, average demand deposits and average interest checking deposits, partially offset by a decrease in average certificates of deposit. Average savings and money market deposits increased \$2.4 billion, average demand deposits increased \$668 million and average interest checking deposits increased \$558 million from the year ended December 31, 2021 primarily as a result of higher balances per customer account as well as growth in the number of accounts. Average certificates of deposit decreased \$749 million from the year ended December 31, 2021 primarily due to lower offering rates during the first three quarters of 2022, partially offset by higher offering rates during the fourth quarter of 2022.

Comparison of the year ended 2021 with 2020

Net income was \$220 million for the year ended December 31, 2021 compared to net income of \$357 million for the year ended December 31, 2020. The decrease was primarily driven by a decrease in net interest income and an increase in noninterest expense, partially offset by a decrease in provision for credit losses and an increase in noninterest income.

Net interest income decreased \$257 million from the year ended December 31, 2020 primarily due to decreases in FTP credit rates on deposits, partially offset by decreases in FTP charge rates on loans and decreases in rates paid on deposits. Interest income on loans was negatively impacted by decreases in yields, but these impacts were substantially offset by increases in average balances of consumer and commercial loans.

Provision for credit losses decreased \$109 million from the year ended December 31, 2020 primarily due to decreases in net charge-offs on loans and leases, driven by a decrease in net charge-offs on credit card, for the year ended December 31, 2021. Net charge-offs as a percent of

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

average portfolio loans and leases decreased to 32 bps for the year ended December 31, 2021 compared to 60 bps for the year ended December 31, 2020.

Noninterest income increased \$69 million from the year ended December 31, 2020 driven by increases in card and processing revenue, wealth and asset management revenue, other noninterest income and service charges on deposits. These increases were partially offset by a decrease in mortgage banking net revenue. Card and processing revenue increased \$43 million from the year ended December 31, 2020 primarily as a result of an increase in consumer customer spend volume, partially offset by increased reward costs. Wealth and asset management revenue increased \$34 million from the year ended December 31, 2020 primarily due to increases in broker income and private client service fees. Other noninterest income increased \$26 million from the year ended December 31, 2020 primarily driven by decreases in net losses on disposition and impairment of bank premises and equipment as well as increases in cardholder fees and banking center income. Service charges on deposits increased \$14 million from the year ended December 31, 2020 driven by increases in both consumer deposit fees and commercial deposit fees. Mortgage banking net revenue decreased \$48 million from the year ended December 31, 2020, primarily driven by decreases in origination fees and gains on loans sales and gross mortgage servicing fees.

Noninterest expense increased \$96 million from the year ended December 31, 2020 primarily due to increases in other noninterest expense, compensation and benefits and net occupancy and equipment expense, partially offset by a decrease in card and processing expense. Other noninterest expense increased \$106 million from the year ended December 31, 2020 primarily due to an increase in loan and lease expense driven by an increase in loan servicing expenses associated with the Bancorp's purchases of certain government-guaranteed residential mortgage loans in forbearance programs. The increase was also driven by increases in losses and adjustments and marketing expense. Compensation and benefits increased \$12 million from the year ended December 31, 2020 primarily due to an increase in incentive compensation resulting from the increased mortgage origination activity for the year ended December 31, 2021. Net occupancy and equipment expense increased \$9 million from the year ended December 31, 2020 primarily due to increases in allocated occupancy costs. Card and processing expense decreased \$31 million from the year ended December 31, 2020 primarily driven by contract renegotiations with a third-party vendor.

Average consumer loans increased \$4.6 billion from the year ended December 31, 2020 primarily driven by increases in average residential mortgage loans and average indirect secured consumer loans, partially offset by decreases in average home equity and average credit card. The increase in average residential mortgage loans was primarily driven by the Bancorp's purchases of certain government-guaranteed loans in forbearance programs. The increase in average indirect secured consumer loans was primarily driven by higher demand and other favorable market conditions, which contributed to increased loan production. Average home equity decreased as payoffs exceeded loan originations and new advances. The decrease in average credit card was primarily driven by the cumulative impacts from the COVID-19 pandemic, including accelerated paydown activity due to the amount of fiscal stimulus programs and lower consumer demand for credit. Average commercial loans increased \$283 million from the year ended December 31, 2020 primarily driven by increases in average commercial and industrial loans and average commercial mortgage loans.

Average deposits increased \$9.5 billion from the year ended December 31, 2020 driven by increases in average savings and money market deposits, average demand deposits and average interest checking deposits, partially offset by a decrease in average certificates of deposit. Average savings and money market deposits increased \$4.9 billion, average demand deposits increased \$4.3 billion and average interest checking deposits increased \$2.3 billion from the year ended December 31, 2020 primarily as a result of higher balances per customer account due to the amount of fiscal stimulus, uncertainty regarding the COVID-19 pandemic and decreased consumer outflows. Average certificates of deposits decreased \$2.1 billion from the year ended December 31, 2020 primarily due to lower offering rates on certificates.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Wealth and Asset Management

Wealth and Asset Management provides a full range of wealth management services for individuals, companies and not-for-profit organizations. Wealth and Asset Management is made up of three main businesses: FTS, an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of the Bancorp; Fifth Third Private Bank; and Fifth Third Institutional Services. FTS offers full service retail brokerage services to individual clients and broker-dealer services to the institutional marketplace. Fifth Third Private Bank offers wealth management strategies to high net worth and ultra-high net worth clients through wealth planning, investment management, banking, insurance, trust and estate services. Fifth Third Institutional Services provides advisory services for institutional clients including middle market businesses, non-profits, states and municipalities.

The following table contains selected financial data for the Wealth and Asset Management segment:

TABLE 19: Wealth and Asset Management

For the years ended December 31 (\$ in millions)	2022	2021	2020
Income Statement Data			
Net interest income	\$ 262	88	139
(Benefit from) provision for credit losses	—	(1)	3
Noninterest income:			
Wealth and asset management revenue	540	558	498
Other noninterest income	5	12	28
Noninterest expense:			
Compensation and benefits	218	205	218
Other noninterest expense	338	335	315
Income before income taxes	251	119	129
Applicable income tax expense	53	25	27
Net income	\$ 198	94	102
Average Balance Sheet Data			
Loans and leases, including held for sale	\$ 4,413	3,852	3,659
Deposits	12,725	11,480	11,085

Comparison of the year ended 2022 with 2021

Net income was \$198 million for the year ended December 31, 2022 compared to net income of \$94 million for the year ended December 31, 2021. The increase in net income was primarily driven by an increase in net interest income partially offset by a decrease in noninterest income and an increase in noninterest expense.

Net interest income increased \$174 million from the year ended December 31, 2021 primarily driven by an increase in FTP credits on deposits as well as increases in yields on and average balances of loans and leases. These positive impacts were partially offset by increases in rates paid on average deposits as well as an increase in FTP charges on loans and leases for the year ended December 31, 2022 compared to the prior year.

Noninterest income decreased \$25 million from the year ended December 31, 2021 primarily due to a decrease in wealth and asset management revenue, which decreased \$18 million from the year ended December 31, 2021 primarily as a result of decreases in private client service fees and securities income.

Noninterest expense increased \$16 million from the year ended December 31, 2021 primarily due to an increase in compensation and benefits. Compensation and benefits increased \$13 million from the year ended December 31, 2021 primarily as a result of increases in incentive compensation and base compensation.

Average loans and leases increased \$561 million from the year ended December 31, 2021 primarily driven by an increase in average commercial and industrial loans as a result of higher loan production.

Average deposits increased \$1.2 billion from the year ended December 31, 2021 primarily driven by increases in average savings and money market deposits, average interest checking deposits and average demand deposits as a result of higher average balances per customer account.

Comparison of the year ended 2021 with 2020

Net income was \$94 million for the year ended December 31, 2021 compared to net income of \$102 million for the year ended December 31, 2020. The decrease in net income was primarily driven by a decrease in net interest income and an increase in noninterest expense partially offset by an increase in noninterest income and a decrease in provision for credit losses.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Net interest income decreased \$51 million from the year ended December 31, 2020 primarily driven by decreases in FTP credit rates on deposits as well as decreases in yields on average loans and leases. These negative impacts were partially offset by decreases in rates paid on average interest-bearing deposits as well as decreases in FTP charge rates on loans and leases.

The benefit from credit losses was \$1 million for the year ended December 31, 2021 compared to a provision for credit losses of \$3 million for the year ended December 31, 2020. The decrease was primarily driven by a decrease in net charge-offs on residential mortgage loans.

Noninterest income increased \$44 million from the year ended December 31, 2020 due to an increase in wealth and asset management revenue partially offset by a decrease in other noninterest income. Wealth and asset management revenue increased \$60 million from the year ended December 31, 2020 primarily as a result of increases in private client service fees and broker income partially offset by a decrease in institutional fees. Other noninterest income decreased \$16 million from the year ended December 31, 2020 primarily due to a decrease in insurance income driven by the sale of the Bancorp's property and casualty insurance business in the fourth quarter of 2020.

Noninterest expense increased \$7 million from the year ended December 31, 2020 due to an increase in other noninterest expense partially offset by a decrease in compensation and benefits. Other noninterest expense increased \$20 million from the year ended December 31, 2020 primarily due to increases in expenses associated with intercompany revenue sharing agreements. Compensation and benefits decreased \$13 million from the year ended December 31, 2020 primarily as a result of a decrease in base compensation which included a decline due to the sale of the Bancorp's property and casualty insurance business in the fourth quarter of 2020.

Average loans and leases increased \$193 million from the year ended December 31, 2020 primarily driven by increases in average other consumer loans and average residential mortgage loans as a result of higher loan production, partially offset by a decrease in average home equity.

Average deposits increased \$395 million from the year ended December 31, 2020 primarily driven by increases in average savings and money market deposits, average interest checking deposits and average demand deposits as a result of higher average balances per customer account due to the amount of fiscal stimulus, uncertainty regarding the COVID-19 pandemic and decreased consumer spending.

General Corporate and Other

General Corporate and Other includes the unallocated portion of the investment securities portfolio, securities gains and losses, certain non-core deposit funding, unassigned equity, unallocated provision for credit losses expense or a benefit from the reduction of the ACL, the payment of preferred stock dividends and certain support activities and other items not attributed to the business segments.

Comparison of the year ended 2022 with 2021

Net interest income on an FTE basis decreased \$1.7 billion from the year ended December 31, 2021 primarily driven by an increase in FTP credits on deposits allocated to the business segments, a decrease in interest income on PPP loans and an increase in interest expense on other short-term borrowings. These negative impacts were partially offset by an increase in the benefit related to FTP charge rates on loans and leases allocated to the business segments and an increase in interest income on investment securities.

The provision for credit losses increased \$290 million from the year ended December 31, 2021 primarily driven by factors which caused increases in the ACL during the year ended December 31, 2022 including deterioration in forecasted macroeconomic conditions and higher period-end loan and lease balances, partially offset by the impact of allocations to the business segments.

Noninterest income decreased \$119 million from the year ended December 31, 2021 primarily driven by a \$60 million gain on the sale of the Bancorp's HSA deposit portfolio in the third quarter of 2021, a decrease in private equity investment income and an increase in net securities losses.

Noninterest expense decreased \$92 million from the year ended December 31, 2021 primarily driven by a decrease in compensation and benefits due to a decrease in non-qualified deferred compensation expense. The decrease also included the impact of an increase in corporate overhead allocations from General Corporate and Other to the other business segments partially offset by increases in technology and communications expense and travel expense.

Comparison of the year ended 2021 with 2020

Net interest income on an FTE basis increased \$709 million from the year ended December 31, 2020 primarily driven by decreases in FTP credit rates on deposits allocated to the business segments, an increase in interest income on PPP loans and decreases in interest expense on long-term debt and deposits. These positive impacts were partially offset by a decrease in the benefit related to FTP charge rates on loans and leases allocated to the business segments and a decrease in interest income on investment securities.

The provision for credit losses was \$101 million for the year ended December 31, 2021 compared to a benefit from credit losses of \$221 million for the year ended December 31, 2020. The increase for the year ended December 31, 2021 was primarily driven by an increase in the benefits provided to the business segments associated with the decline in the level of commercial criticized assets owned by the business segments.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Noninterest income increased \$38 million from the year ended December 31, 2020 primarily driven by the recognition of a gain on the sale of the Bancorp's HSA deposit portfolio in the third quarter of 2021, an increase in private equity investment income, a decrease in the loss on the swap associated with the sale of Visa, Inc. Class B shares and a decrease in net losses on disposition and impairment of bank premises and equipment. These impacts were partially offset by the recognition of securities losses of \$15 million for the year ended December 31, 2021 compared to securities gains of \$62 million for the year ended December 31, 2020.

Noninterest expense decreased \$10 million from the year ended December 31, 2020 primarily driven by a decrease in net occupancy expense, partially offset by a decrease in corporate overhead allocations from General Corporate and Other to the other business segments and increases in technology and communications expense and equipment expense.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS
BALANCE SHEET ANALYSIS

Loans and Leases

The Bancorp classifies its commercial loans and leases based upon primary purpose and consumer loans based upon product or collateral. Table 20 summarizes end of period loans and leases, including loans and leases held for sale, and Table 21 summarizes average total loans and leases, including average loans and leases held for sale.

TABLE 20: Components of Total Loans and Leases (including loans and leases held for sale)

As of December 31 (\$ in millions)	2022	2021
Commercial loans and leases:		
Commercial and industrial loans ^(a)	\$ 57,305	51,666
Commercial mortgage loans	11,020	10,329
Commercial construction loans	5,433	5,241
Commercial leases	2,704	3,053
Total commercial loans and leases	\$ 76,462	70,289
Consumer loans:		
Residential mortgage loans	18,562	20,791
Home equity	4,039	4,084
Indirect secured consumer loans	16,552	16,783
Credit card	1,874	1,766
Other consumer loans	4,998	2,752
Total consumer loans	\$ 46,025	46,176
Total loans and leases	\$ 122,487	116,465
Total portfolio loans and leases (excluding loans and leases held for sale)	\$ 121,480	112,050

(a) Includes \$94 million and \$1.3 billion as of December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively, related to the SBA's Paycheck Protection Program.

Total loans and leases, including loans and leases held for sale, increased \$6.0 billion, or 5%, from December 31, 2021 primarily as a result of a \$6.2 billion, or 9%, increase in commercial loans and leases.

Commercial loans and leases increased \$6.2 billion from December 31, 2021 due to increases in commercial and industrial loans, commercial mortgage loans and commercial construction loans, partially offset by a decrease in commercial leases. Commercial and industrial loans increased \$5.6 billion, or 11%, from December 31, 2021 primarily as a result of increased revolving line of credit utilization and stronger production, partially offset by PPP loan forgiveness and paydowns. Commercial mortgage loans increased \$691 million, or 7%, from December 31, 2021 as loan originations exceeded payoffs. Commercial construction loans increased \$192 million, or 4%, from December 31, 2021 as draws on existing commitments and loan originations exceeded payoffs. Commercial leases decreased \$349 million, or 11%, from December 31, 2021 primarily as a result of a planned reduction in indirect non-relationship-based lease originations.

Consumer loans decreased \$151 million from December 31, 2021 primarily due to decreases in residential mortgage loans and indirect secured consumer loans, partially offset by increases in other consumer loans and credit card. Residential mortgage loans decreased \$2.2 billion, or 11%, from December 31, 2021 primarily due to decreases in residential mortgage loans held for sale as the Bancorp sold government-guaranteed loans that were previously in forbearance programs. Indirect secured consumer loans decreased \$231 million, or 1%, from December 31, 2021 primarily driven by indirect automobile payoffs exceeding loan production, partially offset by increased loan production in non-automobile indirect loans. Other consumer loans increased \$2.2 billion, or 82%, from December 31, 2021 primarily driven by originations of point-of-sale solar energy installation loans in the second half of 2022, in addition to loans acquired in a business acquisition completed in the second quarter of 2022. Credit card increased \$108 million, or 6%, from December 31, 2021 primarily due to increases in balance-active customers and average balances per active account.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

TABLE 21: Components of Average Loans and Leases (including average loans and leases held for sale)

For the years ended December 31 (\$ in millions)	2022	2021
Commercial loans and leases:		
Commercial and industrial loans	\$ 55,618	48,966
Commercial mortgage loans	10,723	10,396
Commercial construction loans	5,458	5,783
Commercial leases	2,828	3,130
Total commercial loans and leases	\$ 74,627	68,275
Consumer loans:		
Residential mortgage loans	19,731	21,359
Home equity	3,971	4,565
Indirect secured consumer loans	16,914	15,156
Credit card	1,737	1,783
Other consumer loans	3,581	2,979
Total consumer loans	\$ 45,934	45,842
Total average loans and leases	\$ 120,561	114,117
Total average portfolio loans and leases (excluding loans and leases held for sale)	\$ 118,069	108,737

Average loans and leases, including average loans and leases held for sale, increased \$6.4 billion, or 6%, from December 31, 2021 primarily as result of a \$6.4 billion, or 9%, increase in average commercial loans and leases.

Average commercial loans and leases increased \$6.4 billion from December 31, 2021 due to increases in average commercial and industrial loans and average commercial mortgage loans, partially offset by decreases in average commercial construction loans and average commercial leases. Average commercial and industrial loans increased \$6.7 billion, or 14%, from December 31, 2021 primarily driven by increased revolving line of credit utilization and increased production, partially offset by PPP loan forgiveness and paydowns. Average commercial mortgage loans increased \$327 million, or 3%, from December 31, 2021 as loan originations exceeded payoffs. Average commercial construction loans decreased \$325 million, or 6%, from December 31, 2021 as payoffs exceeded draws on existing commitments and loan originations. Average commercial leases decreased \$302 million, or 10%, from December 31, 2021 primarily as a result of a planned reduction in indirect non-relationship-based lease originations.

Average consumer loans increased \$92 million from December 31, 2021 primarily due to increases in average indirect secured consumer loans and average other consumer loans, partially offset by decreases in average residential mortgage loans and average home equity. Average indirect secured consumer loans increased \$1.8 billion, or 12%, from December 31, 2021 primarily driven by higher demand and other favorable market conditions as well as lower indirect automobile prepayments and increased loan production in non-automobile indirect loans. Average other consumer loans increased \$602 million, or 20%, from December 31, 2021 primarily driven by originations of point-of-sale solar energy installation loans in the second half of 2022, in addition to loans acquired in a business acquisition completed in the second quarter of 2022. Average residential mortgage loans decreased \$1.6 billion, or 8%, from December 31, 2021 primarily due to decreases in residential mortgage loans held for sale as the Bancorp sold government-guaranteed loans that were previously in forbearance programs. Average home equity decreased \$594 million, or 13%, from December 31, 2021 as payoffs exceeded loan originations and new advances.

Investment Securities

The Bancorp uses investment securities as a means of managing interest rate risk, providing collateral for pledging purposes and for liquidity risk management. Total investment securities were \$52.2 billion and \$39.0 billion at December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively. The taxable available-for-sale debt and other investment securities portfolio had an effective duration of 5.4 at December 31, 2022 compared to 4.8 at December 31, 2021.

Debt securities are classified as available-for-sale when, in management's judgment, they may be sold in response to, or in anticipation of, changes in market conditions. Securities that management has the intent and ability to hold to maturity are classified as held-to-maturity and reported at amortized cost. Debt securities are classified as trading when bought and held principally for the purpose of selling them in the near term. At December 31, 2022, the Bancorp's investment portfolio consisted primarily of AAA-rated available-for-sale debt and other securities. The Bancorp held an immaterial amount of below-investment grade available-for-sale debt and other securities at both December 31, 2022 and 2021.

During the years ended December 31, 2022 and 2021, the Bancorp recognized \$1 million and \$19, respectively, of impairment losses on available-for-sale debt and other securities, included in securities (losses) gains, net, in the Consolidated Statements of Income. These losses related to certain securities in unrealized loss positions that the Bancorp intended to sell prior to recovery of their amortized cost bases. The Bancorp did not consider these losses to be credit-related.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

At both December 31, 2022 and 2021, the Bancorp completed its evaluation of the available-for-sale debt and other securities in an unrealized loss position and did not recognize an allowance for credit losses. The Bancorp did not recognize provision expense for both the years ended December 31, 2022 and 2021 related to available-for-sale debt and other securities in an unrealized loss position.

The following table summarizes the end of period components of investment securities:

TABLE 22: Components of Investment Securities

As of December 31 (\$ in millions)	2022	2021
Available-for-sale debt and other securities (amortized cost basis):		
U.S. Treasury and federal agencies securities	\$ 2,683	85
Obligations of states and political subdivisions securities	18	18
Mortgage-backed securities:		
Agency residential mortgage-backed securities	12,604	8,432
Agency commercial mortgage-backed securities	29,824	18,236
Non-agency commercial mortgage-backed securities	5,235	4,364
Asset-backed securities and other debt securities	6,292	5,287
Other securities ^(a)	874	519
Total available-for-sale debt and other securities	\$ 57,530	36,941
Held-to-maturity securities (amortized cost basis):		
Obligations of states and political subdivisions securities	\$ 3	6
Asset-backed securities and other debt securities	2	2
Total held-to-maturity securities	\$ 5	8
Trading debt securities (fair value):		
U.S. Treasury and federal agencies securities	\$ 45	84
Obligations of states and political subdivisions securities	14	32
Agency residential mortgage-backed securities	8	105
Asset-backed securities and other debt securities	347	291
Total trading debt securities	\$ 414	512
Total equity securities (fair value)	\$ 317	376

^(a)Other securities consist of FHLB, FRB and DTCC restricted stock holdings that are carried at cost.

On an amortized cost basis, available-for-sale debt and other securities increased \$20.6 billion from December 31, 2021 as a result of the deployment of excess short-term investments into longer duration assets in the investment portfolio.

On an amortized cost basis, available-for-sale debt and other securities were 30% and 20% of total interest-earning assets at December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively. The estimated weighted-average life of the debt securities in the available-for-sale debt and other securities portfolio was 6.8 years and 6.6 years at December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively. In addition, at December 31, 2022 and 2021, the debt securities in the available-for-sale debt and other securities portfolio had a weighted-average yield of 2.97% and 2.77%, respectively.

Information presented in Table 23 is on a weighted-average life basis, anticipating future prepayments. Yield information is presented on an FTE basis and is computed using amortized cost balances and reflects the impact of prepayments. Maturity and yield calculations for the total available-for-sale debt and other securities portfolio exclude other securities that have no stated yield or maturity. Total net unrealized losses on the available-for-sale debt and other securities portfolio were \$6.0 billion at December 31, 2022 compared to net unrealized gains of \$1.2 billion at December 31, 2021. The fair value of investment securities is impacted by interest rates, credit spreads, market volatility and liquidity conditions. The fair value of the Bancorp's investment securities portfolio generally decreases when interest rates increase or when credit spreads widen.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

TABLE 23: Characteristics of Available-for-Sale Debt and Other Securities

As of December 31, 2022 (\$ in millions)	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Weighted-Average Life (in years)	Weighted-Average Yield	
U.S. Treasury and federal agencies securities:					
Average life after one year through five years	\$ 1,165	1,081	3.8	2.12	%
Average life after five years through ten years	1,518	1,414	5.9	2.71	
Total	\$ 2,683	2,495	5.0	2.45	%
Obligations of states and political subdivisions securities:					
Average life within one year	17	17	0.2	1.80	
Average life after ten years	1	1	13.9	7.00	
Total	\$ 18	18	1.0	2.11	%
Agency residential mortgage-backed securities:					
Average life within one year	32	31	0.6	2.35	
Average life after one year through five years	950	881	3.5	2.82	
Average life after five years through ten years	10,455	9,348	8.1	3.03	
Average life after ten years	1,167	977	11.9	3.17	
Total	\$ 12,604	11,237	8.1	3.03	%
Agency commercial mortgage-backed securities: ^(a)					
Average life within one year	67	66	0.6	3.35	
Average life after one year through five years	7,714	7,143	3.7	2.80	
Average life after five years through ten years	16,675	14,710	7.5	2.87	
Average life after ten years	5,368	4,403	12.2	2.89	
Total	\$ 29,824	26,322	7.4	2.86	%
Non-agency commercial mortgage-backed securities:					
Average life within one year	267	262	0.8	3.72	
Average life after one year through five years	2,861	2,686	2.9	3.24	
Average life after five years through ten years	2,107	1,767	8.4	2.75	
Total	\$ 5,235	4,715	5.0	3.07	%
Asset-backed securities and other debt securities:					
Average life within one year	373	351	0.7	3.17	
Average life after one year through five years	3,528	3,277	3.0	3.36	
Average life after five years through ten years	2,391	2,214	6.7	3.83	
Total	\$ 6,292	5,842	4.3	3.53	%
Other securities	874	874			
Total available-for-sale debt and other securities	\$ 57,530	51,503	6.8	2.97	%

(a) Taxable-equivalent yield adjustments included in the above table are 0.15% and 0.03% for securities with an average life greater than 10 years and in total, respectively.

Other Short-Term Investments

Other short-term investments primarily include overnight interest-earning investments, including reserves held at the FRB. The Bancorp uses other short-term investments as part of its liquidity risk management tools. Other short-term investments were \$8.4 billion and \$34.6 billion at December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively. The decrease of \$26.2 billion from December 31, 2021 was primarily attributable to purchases of investment securities, a decline in core deposit balances and loan growth during the year ended December 31, 2022.

Deposits

The Bancorp's deposit balances represent an important source of funding and revenue growth opportunity. The Bancorp continues to focus on core deposit growth in its retail and commercial franchises by improving customer satisfaction, building full relationships and offering competitive rates. Average core deposits represented 78% and 79% of average total assets for the years ended December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following table presents the end of period components of deposits:

TABLE 24: Components of Deposits

As of December 31 (\$ in millions)	2022	2021
Demand	\$ 53,125	65,088
Interest checking	51,653	48,870
Savings	23,469	22,227
Money market	28,220	30,263
Foreign office	182	121
Total transaction deposits	156,649	166,569
CDs \$250,000 or less	3,809	2,486
Total core deposits	160,458	169,055
CDs over \$250,000 ^(a)	3,232	269
Total deposits	\$ 163,690	169,324

(a)Includes retail brokered certificates of deposit.

Core deposits decreased \$8.6 billion, or 5%, from December 31, 2021, driven by a decrease in transaction deposits, partially offset by an increase in CDs \$250,000 or less. Transaction deposits decreased \$9.9 billion, or 6%, from December 31, 2021 primarily due to decreases in demand deposits and money market deposits, partially offset by increases in interest checking deposits and savings deposits. Demand deposits decreased \$12.0 billion, or 18%, from December 31, 2021 primarily as a result of lower balances per commercial customer account and balance migration into interest checking deposits, partially offset by consumer balance growth. Money market deposits decreased \$2.0 billion, or 7%, from December 31, 2021 primarily as a result of lower balances per commercial customer account, balance migration into interest checking deposits and lower balances per consumer customer account due to inflationary pressures. Interest checking deposits increased \$2.8 billion, or 6%, from December 31, 2021 primarily as a result of balance migration from demand deposits and money market deposits, partially offset by lower balances per customer account. Lower commercial customer account balances in demand deposits, money market deposits and interest checking deposits included the impact of deliberate runoff during the second quarter of 2022 of certain higher cost commercial deposits. Savings deposits increased \$1.2 billion, or 6%, from December 31, 2021 primarily as a result of consumer balance growth and balance migration from interest checking deposits. CDs \$250,000 or less increased \$1.3 billion, or 53%, from December 31, 2021 primarily due to higher offering rates.

CDs over \$250,000 increased \$3.0 billion from December 31, 2021 primarily due to an increase in retail brokered certificates of deposit issued.

The following table presents the components of average deposits for the years ended December 31:

TABLE 25: Components of Average Deposits

(\$ in millions)	2022	2021
Demand	\$ 60,185	62,028
Interest checking	45,835	45,850
Savings	23,445	20,531
Money market	29,326	30,631
Foreign office	170	164
Total transaction deposits	158,961	159,204
CDs \$250,000 or less	2,342	3,214
Total core deposits	161,303	162,418
CDs over \$250,000 ^(a)	1,688	530
Total average deposits	\$ 162,991	162,948

(a)Includes retail brokered certificates of deposit.

On an average basis, core deposits decreased \$1.1 billion, or 1%, from December 31, 2021 due to a decrease in average CDs \$250,000 or less and a decrease in average transaction deposits. Average CDs \$250,000 or less decreased \$872 million, or 27%, primarily due to lower offering rates during the first three quarters of 2022, partially offset by higher offering rates during the fourth quarter of 2022. Average transaction deposits decreased \$243 million from December 31, 2021, primarily driven by decreases in average demand deposits and average money market deposits, partially offset by an increase in average savings deposits. Average demand deposits decreased \$1.8 billion, or 3%, from December 31, 2021 primarily as a result of lower average balances per commercial customer account and balance migration into interest checking deposits, partially offset by higher average balances per consumer customer account. Average money market deposits decreased \$1.3 billion, or 4%, from December 31, 2021 primarily as a result of lower average balances per commercial customer account and balance migration into interest checking deposits, partially offset by higher average balances per consumer customer account. Average interest checking deposits decreased \$15 million from December 31, 2021 primarily as a result of lower average balances per commercial customer

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

account, partially offset by balance migration from demand deposits and money market deposits. Lower average commercial customer account balances in demand deposits, money market deposits and interest checking deposits included the impact of deliberate runoff during the second quarter of 2022 of certain higher cost commercial deposits. Average savings deposits increased \$2.9 billion, or 14%, from December 31, 2021 primarily as a result of consumer balance growth.

Average CDs over \$250,000 increased \$1.2 billion from December 31, 2021 primarily due to an increase in retail brokered certificates of deposit issued.

Contractual maturities

The contractual maturities of CDs as of December 31, 2022 are summarized in the following table:

TABLE 26: Contractual Maturities of CDs^(a)

(\$ in millions)	
Next 12 months	\$ 6,746
13-24 months	162
25-36 months	77
37-48 months	45
49-60 months	7
After 60 months	4
Total CDs	\$ 7,041

(a)Includes CDs \$250,000 or less and CDs over \$250,000.

Deposit insurance

The FDIC generally provides a standard amount of insurance of \$250,000 per depositor, per insured bank, for each account ownership category defined by the FDIC. Depositors may qualify for coverage of accounts over \$250,000 if they have funds in different ownership categories and all FDIC requirements are met. All deposits that an account holder has in the same ownership category at the same bank are added together and insured up to the standard insurance amount. As of December 31, 2022 and December 31, 2021, approximately \$69.4 billion and \$80.2 billion, respectively, of the Bancorp's domestic deposits were uninsured. At December 31, 2022 and December 31, 2021, approximately \$727 million and \$468 million, respectively, of the Bancorp's time deposits were not fully insured. The estimated uninsured portions of those time deposits were \$306 million and \$236 million at December 31, 2022 and December 31, 2021, respectively. Where information is not readily available to determine the amount of insured deposits, the amount of uninsured deposits is estimated, consistent with the methodologies and assumptions utilized in providing information to the Bank's regulators.

Borrowings

The Bancorp accesses a variety of short-term and long-term funding sources. Borrowings with original maturities of one year or less are classified as short-term and include federal funds purchased and other short-term borrowings. Total average borrowings as a percent of average interest-bearing liabilities were 14% at December 31, 2022 compared to 13% at December 31, 2021.

The following table summarizes the end of period components of borrowings:

TABLE 27: Components of Borrowings

As of December 31 (\$ in millions)	2022	2021
Federal funds purchased	\$ 180	281
Other short-term borrowings	4,838	980
Long-term debt	13,714	11,821
Total borrowings	\$ 18,732	13,082

Total borrowings increased \$5.7 billion, or 43%, from December 31, 2021 primarily due to increases in other short-term borrowings and long-term debt. Other short-term borrowings increased \$3.9 billion from December 31, 2021 primarily due to increased funding needs resulting from an increase in investment securities, loan growth and a decline in core deposit balances. The level of other short-term borrowings can fluctuate significantly from period to period depending on funding needs and the sources that are used to satisfy those needs. For further information on the components of other short-term borrowings, refer to Note 16 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. Long-term debt increased \$1.9 billion from December 31, 2021 primarily driven by the issuances of senior fixed-rate/floating-rate notes in April, July and October of 2022 totaling \$4.0 billion. These increases were partially offset by the early redemptions under the par call options of \$1.5 billion of notes, \$460 million of fair value adjustments associated with interest rate swaps hedging long-term debt and \$182 million of paydowns on long-term debt associated with loan securitizations during the year ended December 31, 2022. For additional information regarding the long-term debt issuances, refer to Note 17 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following table summarizes the components of average borrowings:

TABLE 28: Components of Average Borrowings

For the years ended December 31 (\$ in millions)	2022	2021
Federal funds purchased	\$ 381	333
Other short-term borrowings	4,544	1,107
Long-term debt	11,893	13,109
Total average borrowings	\$ 16,818	14,549

Total average borrowings increased \$2.3 billion, or 16%, compared to December 31, 2021 primarily due to an increase in average other short-term borrowings, partially offset by a decrease in average long-term debt. Average other short-term borrowings increased \$3.4 billion compared to December 31, 2021 primarily due to increased funding needs resulting from an increase in investment securities, loan growth and a decline in core deposit balances. Average long-term debt decreased \$1.2 billion compared to December 31, 2021 primarily driven by the early redemptions under the par call options of \$1.5 billion of notes, \$460 million of fair value adjustments associated with interest rate swaps hedging long-term debt and \$182 million of paydowns on long-term debt associated with loan securitizations during the year ended December 31, 2022, partially offset by the issuances of senior fixed-rate/floating-rate notes in April, July and October of 2022 totaling \$4.0 billion. Information on the average rates paid on borrowings is discussed in the Net Interest Income subsection of the Statements of Income Analysis section of MD&A. In addition, refer to the Liquidity Risk Management subsection of the Risk Management section of MD&A for a discussion on the role of borrowings in the Bancorp's liquidity management.

87 Fifth Third Bancorp

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

RISK MANAGEMENT – OVERVIEW

Effective risk management is critical to the Bancorp's ongoing success and ensures that the Bancorp operates in a safe and sound manner, complies with applicable laws and regulations and safeguards the Bancorp's brand and reputation. Risks are inherent in the Bancorp's business and are influenced by both internal and external factors. The Bancorp is responsible for managing these risks effectively to deliver through-the-cycle value and performance for the Bancorp's shareholders, customers, employees and communities.

Fifth Third's Enterprise Risk Management Framework, which is approved annually by the Capital Committee, ERM, RCC and the Board of Directors, includes the following key elements:

- The Bancorp ensures transparency and escalation of risk through defined risk policies and a governance structure that includes the RCC, ERM and other management-level risk committees and councils.
- The Bancorp establishes a risk appetite in alignment with its strategic, financial and capital plans. The Bancorp's risk appetite is defined using quantitative metrics and qualitative measures to ensure prudent risk taking and drive balanced decision making. The Bancorp's goal is to ensure that aggregate residual risks do not exceed the Bancorp's risk appetite, and that risks taken are supportive of the Bancorp's portfolio diversification and profitability objectives. The Board and executive management approve the risk appetite, which is considered in the development of business strategies and forms the basis for enterprise risk management.
- The core principles that define the Bancorp's risk appetite are as follows:
 - Act with integrity in all activities.
 - Understand the risks taken and ensure that they are in alignment with the Bancorp's business strategies and risk appetite.
 - Avoid risks that cannot be understood, managed or monitored.
 - Provide transparency of risk to the Bancorp's management and Board by escalating risks and issues as necessary.
 - Ensure Fifth Third's products and services are aligned to the Bancorp's core customer base and are designed, delivered and maintained to provide value and benefit to the Bancorp's customers and to Fifth Third.
 - Only offer products or services that are appropriate or suitable for the Bancorp's customers.
 - Focus on providing operational excellence by providing reliable, accurate and efficient services to meet the Bancorp's customers' needs.
 - Maintain a strong financial position to ensure the Bancorp meets its strategic objectives through all economic cycles and is able to access the capital markets at all times, even under stressed conditions.
 - Protect the Bancorp's reputation by thoroughly understanding the consequences of business strategies, products and processes.
 - Conduct the Bancorp's business in compliance with all applicable laws, rules and regulations and in alignment with internal policies and procedures.
- Fifth Third's core values and culture provide the foundation for sound risk management practices by establishing expectations for appropriate conduct and accountability across the organization. All employees are expected to conduct themselves in alignment with Fifth Third's Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, which may be found on www.53.com, while carrying out their responsibilities. Fifth Third's Corporate Reputation Risk Committee provides oversight of business conduct policies, programs and strategies, and monitors reporting of potential misconduct, trends or themes across the enterprise. Prudent risk management is a responsibility that is expected from all employees and is a foundational element of Fifth Third's culture.
- The Bancorp manages eight defined risk types to a prescribed appetite. The risk types are credit risk, liquidity risk, interest rate risk, price risk, legal and regulatory compliance risk, operational risk, reputation risk and strategic risk.
- The Bancorp identifies and monitors existing and potential risks that may impact the company's risk profile, including emerging risks that create uncertainties and/or would have broad implications if materialized (e.g. global pandemics, climate change, etc.). Enhanced monitoring and action plans are implemented as necessary to proactively mitigate risk.
- Fifth Third's Risk Management Process provides a consistent and integrated approach for managing risks. The five components of the Risk Management Process are: identify, assess, manage, monitor and report. The Bancorp has also established processes and programs to manage and report concentration risks, to ensure robust talent, performance and compensation management, and to aggregate risks across the enterprise.

Fifth Third drives accountability for managing risk through its Three Lines of Defense structure:

- The first line of defense is comprised of front-line units (and enterprise-wide functions that support front-line units) that create risk or are involved in risk-taking activities and are accountable for managing risk. These groups are the Bancorp's primary risk takers and are responsible for implementing effective internal controls and maintaining processes for identifying, assessing, and managing the risks associated with their activities consistent with established risk appetite and limits.
- The second line of defense, or Independent Risk Management, consists of Risk Management, Compliance and Credit Risk Review. The second line is responsible for developing enterprise frameworks and policies to govern risk-taking activities, overseeing risk-taking of the organization, advising on controlling that risk, assessing risks and issues independent of the first line of defense, and providing input on key risk decisions. Risk Management complements the front line's management of risk-taking activities through its monitoring and reporting responsibilities, including adherence to the Bancorp Risk Appetite. Additionally, the second line of defense is responsible for identifying, assessing, managing, monitoring and reporting on aggregate risks enterprise-wide.
- The third line of defense is Internal Audit, which provides oversight of the first and second lines of defense, and independent assurance to the Board on the effectiveness of governance, risk management and internal controls.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

CREDIT RISK MANAGEMENT

The objective of the Bancorp's credit risk management strategy is to quantify and manage credit risk on an aggregate portfolio basis, as well as to limit the risk of loss resulting from the failure of a borrower or counterparty to honor its financial or contractual obligations to the Bancorp. The Bancorp's credit risk management strategy is based on three core principles: conservatism, diversification and monitoring. The Bancorp believes that effective credit risk management begins with conservative lending practices which are described below. These practices include the use of intentional risk-based limits for single name exposures and counterparty selection criteria designed to reduce or eliminate exposure to borrowers who have higher than average default risk and defined weaknesses in financial performance. The Bancorp carefully designs and monitors underwriting, documentation and collection standards. The Bancorp's credit risk management strategy also emphasizes diversification on a geographic, industry and customer level as well as ongoing portfolio monitoring and timely management reviews of large credit exposures and credits experiencing deterioration of credit quality. Credit officers with the authority to extend credit are delegated specific authority amounts based on risk and exposure amount, the use of which is closely monitored. Underwriting activities are centrally managed, and Credit Risk Management manages the policy and the authority delegation process directly. The Credit Risk Review function provides independent and objective assessments of the quality of underwriting and documentation, the accuracy of risk grades and the charge-off, nonaccrual and reserve analysis process. The Bancorp's credit review process and overall assessment of the adequacy of the ACL is based on quarterly assessments of the estimated losses expected in the loan and lease portfolio. The Bancorp uses these assessments to maintain an adequate ACL and record any necessary charge-offs. Additional loans and leases with probable or observed credit weaknesses receive enhanced monitoring and undergo a periodic review. Refer to Note 6 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further information on the Bancorp's credit grade categories, which are derived from standard regulatory rating definitions. In addition, stress testing is performed on various commercial and consumer portfolios utilizing various models. For certain portfolios, such as real estate and leveraged lending, stress testing is performed at the individual loan level during credit underwriting.

In addition to the individual review of larger commercial loans that exhibit probable or observed credit weaknesses, the commercial credit review process includes the use of two risk grading systems. The first of these risk grading systems is based on regulatory guidance for credit risk systems. These ratings are used by the Bancorp to monitor and manage its credit risk. The Bancorp also separately maintains a dual risk rating system for credit approval and pricing, portfolio monitoring and capital allocation that includes a "through-the-cycle" rating philosophy for assessing a borrower's creditworthiness. This "through-the-cycle" rating philosophy uses a grading scale that assigns ratings based on average default rates through an entire business cycle for borrowers with similar financial performance. The dual risk rating system includes thirteen grade categories for estimating probabilities of default and an additional eleven grade categories for estimating losses given an event of default. The probability of default and loss given default evaluations are not separated in the regulatory risk rating system.

The Bancorp utilizes internally developed models to estimate expected credit losses for portfolio loans and leases. For loans and leases that are collectively evaluated, the Bancorp utilizes these models to forecast expected credit losses over a reasonable and supportable forecast period based on the probability of a loan or lease defaulting, the expected balance at the estimated date of default and the expected loss percentage given a default. Refer to Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information about the Bancorp's processes for developing these models, estimating credit losses for periods beyond the reasonable and supportable forecast period and for estimating credit losses for individually evaluated loans.

For the commercial portfolio segment, the estimated probabilities of default are primarily based on the probability of default ratings assigned under the through-the-cycle dual risk rating system and historical observations of how those ratings migrate to a default over time in the context of macroeconomic conditions. For loans with available credit, the estimate of the expected balance at the time of default considers expected utilization rates, which are primarily based on macroeconomic conditions and the utilization history of similar borrowers under those economic conditions. The estimates for loss severity are primarily based on collateral type and coverage levels and the susceptibility of those characteristics to changes in macroeconomic conditions.

For collectively evaluated loans in the consumer and residential mortgage portfolio segments, the Bancorp's expected credit loss models primarily utilize the borrower's FICO score and delinquency history in combination with macroeconomic conditions when estimating the probability of default. The estimates for loss severity are primarily based on collateral type and coverage levels and the susceptibility of those characteristics to changes in macroeconomic conditions. The expected balance at the estimated date of default is also especially impactful in the expected credit loss models for portfolio classes which generally have longer terms (such as residential mortgage loans and home equity) and portfolio classes containing a high concentration of loans with revolving privileges (such as home equity). The estimate of the expected balance at the time of default considers expected prepayment and utilization rates where applicable, which are primarily based on macroeconomic conditions and the utilization history of similar borrowers under those economic conditions. The Bancorp also utilizes various scoring systems, analytical tools and portfolio performance monitoring processes to assess the credit risk of the consumer and residential mortgage portfolios.

Overview

With nominal growth significantly above trend and inflationary pressures building in 2022, the Federal Reserve engaged in the most aggressive monetary tightening cycle since the early 1980's. The target federal funds rate increased 425 bps compared to market expectations entering the year of only 75 bps in rate hikes. At the same time the Federal Reserve began the process of reducing their holdings of Treasury

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

and Agency MBS securities at a pace of \$95 billion per month. Financial markets experienced one of their worst years on record as the tightening in monetary policy resulted in negative returns for both equities and bonds.

Financial market volatility remained high throughout the fourth quarter of 2022 as investors assessed the impact of the FOMC's aggressive rate hikes on the macroeconomic outlook. As the Federal Reserve continues to tighten monetary policy, investors have become more concerned about a recession and its impact on economic growth and corporate earnings in 2023. Although employment data has remained resilient, other economic reports for consumer spending and business investment along with sentiment surveys have reflected a slowing economy.

With the FOMC moving monetary policy into a restrictive setting, the increase in real yields along with the inversion of the yield curve are signaling increasing risks of a recession. At the December 2022 FOMC meeting, FRB officials indicated monetary policy would need to remain restrictive throughout 2023 to achieve a better balance of supply and demand in the labor market. FRB officials have indicated a positive real federal funds rate will be necessary to return personal consumption expenditures inflation to their long-term target of 2%. The real federal funds rate has been negative since 2007. This adjustment to a positive real federal funds rate may be disruptive to economic activity in 2023.

Commercial Portfolio

The Bancorp's credit risk management strategy seeks to minimize concentrations of risk through diversification. The Bancorp has commercial loan concentration limits based on industry, lines of business within the commercial segment, geography and credit product type. The risk within the commercial loan and lease portfolio is managed and monitored through an underwriting process utilizing detailed origination policies, continuous loan level reviews, monitoring of industry concentration and product type limits and continuous portfolio risk management reporting.

The Bancorp is closely monitoring various economic conditions and their impacts on commercial borrowers, including the pace of inflation, rising interest rates, labor and supply chain issues, and changes in consumer discretionary spending patterns, among others. The Bancorp maintains focus on disciplined client selection, adherence to underwriting policy and attention to borrower and industry concentrations.

The Bancorp provides loans to a variety of customers ranging from large multinational firms to middle market businesses, sole proprietors and high net worth individuals. The origination policies for commercial and industrial loans outline the risks and underwriting requirements for loans to businesses in various industries. Included in the policies are maturity and amortization terms, collateral and leverage requirements, cash flow coverage measures and hold limits. The Bancorp aligns credit and sales teams with specific industry expertise to better monitor and manage different industry segments of the portfolio.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following table provides detail on commercial loans and leases by industry classification (as defined by the North American Industry Classification System), by loan size and by state, illustrating the diversity and granularity of the Bancorp's commercial loans and leases:

TABLE 29: Commercial Loan and Lease Portfolio (excluding loans and leases held for sale)

As of December 31 (\$ in millions)	2022			2021		
	Outstanding	Exposure	Nonaccrual	Outstanding	Exposure	Nonaccrual
By Industry:						
Real estate	\$ 11,275	17,938	25	10,370	16,067	37
Manufacturing	11,024	21,174	88	11,131	22,082	82
Financial services and insurance	9,927	20,674	—	9,196	18,562	—
Business services	5,971	10,240	4	5,149	9,481	23
Healthcare	5,576	7,838	28	5,011	7,608	6
Wholesale trade	5,538	10,620	4	4,733	9,266	6
Retail trade	4,495	10,570	9	4,053	10,001	2
Accommodation and food	4,340	7,028	10	4,354	7,089	28
Mining	3,634	6,811	—	2,512	5,023	16
Communication and information	3,428	6,944	—	2,969	6,665	24
Construction	2,945	6,265	15	2,918	6,111	6
Transportation and warehousing	2,621	4,664	2	2,774	4,628	8
Utilities	1,862	4,172	—	1,446	3,698	—
Entertainment and recreation	1,729	3,053	67	1,401	2,948	86
Other services	1,088	1,484	9	1,140	1,501	8
Agribusiness	456	651	—	355	616	1
Public administration	343	451	1	606	856	3
Individuals	76	117	—	61	93	—
Other	61	62	1	89	90	1
Total	\$ 76,389	140,756	263	70,268	132,385	337
By Loan Size:						
Less than \$1 million	4 %	3	17	5	3	14
\$1 million to \$5 million	7	6	12	8	6	14
\$5 million to \$10 million	5	4	17	6	5	8
\$10 million to \$25 million	14	12	28	15	14	42
\$25 million to \$50 million	23	22	26	24	24	22
Greater than \$50 million	47	53	—	42	48	—
Total	100 %	100	100	100	100	100
By State:						
Illinois	9 %	9	30	11	9	29
Ohio	9	11	8	10	12	4
California	9	8	3	8	8	2
Texas	9	9	9	8	8	6
Florida	7	7	6	8	7	2
Michigan	5	5	5	6	5	9
Georgia	4	4	1	3	4	8
Indiana	3	3	—	3	4	2
Tennessee	3	3	2	3	3	3
North Carolina	3	2	2	2	2	1
Kentucky	2	2	1	2	2	1
South Carolina	2	2	—	2	1	—
Other	35	35	33	34	35	33
Total	100 %	100	100	100	100	100

The origination policies for commercial real estate outline the risks and underwriting requirements for owner and nonowner-occupied and construction lending. Included in the policies are maturity and amortization terms, maximum LTVs, minimum debt service coverage ratios, construction loan monitoring procedures, appraisal requirements, pre-leasing requirements (as applicable), pro forma analysis requirements and interest rate sensitivity. The Bancorp requires a valuation of real estate collateral, which may include third-party appraisals, be performed at the time of origination and renewal in accordance with regulatory requirements and on an as-needed basis when market conditions justify. The Bancorp maintains an appraisal review department to order and review third-party appraisals in accordance with regulatory requirements. Collateral values on nonaccrual assets with relationships exceeding \$1 million are reviewed quarterly to assess the appropriateness of the

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

value ascribed in the assessment of charge-offs and specific reserves. Additionally, collateral values are also reviewed at least annually for all criticized assets.

The Bancorp assesses all real estate and non-real estate collateral securing a loan and considers all cross-collateralized loans in the calculation of the LTV ratio. The following tables provide detail on the most recent LTV ratios for commercial mortgage loans greater than \$1 million, excluding commercial mortgage loans that are individually evaluated for an ACL. The Bancorp does not typically aggregate the LTV ratios for commercial mortgage loans less than \$1 million.

TABLE 30: Commercial Mortgage Loans Outstanding by LTV, Loans Greater Than \$1 Million

As of December 31, 2022 (\$ in millions)	LTV > 100%	LTV 80-100%	LTV < 80%
Commercial mortgage owner-occupied loans	\$ 63	533	3,566
Commercial mortgage nonowner-occupied loans	4	65	4,510
Total	\$ 67	598	8,076

TABLE 31: Commercial Mortgage Loans Outstanding by LTV, Loans Greater Than \$1 Million

As of December 31, 2021 (\$ in millions)	LTV > 100%	LTV 80-100%	LTV < 80%
Commercial mortgage owner-occupied loans	\$ 166	416	3,164
Commercial mortgage nonowner-occupied loans	46	120	4,197
Total	\$ 212	536	7,361

The Bancorp views nonowner-occupied commercial real estate as a higher credit risk product compared to some other commercial loan portfolios due to the higher volatility of the industry.

The following tables provide an analysis of nonowner-occupied commercial real estate loans by state (excluding loans held for sale):

 TABLE 32: Nonowner-Occupied Commercial Real Estate (excluding loans held for sale)^(a)

As of December 31, 2022 (\$ in millions)	For the Year Ended December 31, 2022				
	Outstanding	Exposure	90 Days Past Due	Nonaccrual	Net Charge-offs
By State:					
Illinois	\$ 1,401	1,695	—	22	—
Florida	1,127	1,864	—	—	—
Ohio	1,061	1,462	—	—	—
Michigan	837	1,145	—	1	—
Texas	788	1,356	—	—	—
Georgia	382	920	—	—	—
North Carolina	344	549	—	1	—
Indiana	338	529	—	—	—
All other states	4,018	6,229	—	—	3
Total	\$ 10,296	15,749	—	24	3

^(a)Included in commercial mortgage loans and commercial construction loans in the Loans and Leases subsection of the Balance Sheet Analysis section of MD&A.
92 Fifth Third Bancorp

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

 TABLE 33: Nonowner-Occupied Commercial Real Estate (excluding loans held for sale)^(a)

As of December 31, 2021 (\$ in millions)	For the Year Ended December 31, 2021				
	Outstanding	Exposure	90 Days Past Due	Nonaccrual	Net (Recoveries) Charge-offs
By State:					
Illinois	\$ 1,498	1,711	—	21	2
Florida	1,126	1,753	—	—	—
Ohio	1,165	1,536	—	—	—
Michigan	844	1,049	—	—	—
Texas	735	1,132	—	—	—
Georgia	326	766	—	—	—
North Carolina	239	403	—	1	—
Indiana	307	563	—	—	—
All other states	3,847	5,330	—	10	(3)
Total	\$ 10,087	14,243	—	32	(1)

^(a)Included in commercial mortgage loans and commercial construction loans in the Loans and Leases subsection of the Balance Sheet Analysis section of MD&A.

Consumer Portfolio

Consumer credit risk management utilizes a framework that encompasses consistent processes for identifying, assessing, managing, monitoring and reporting credit risk. These processes are supported by a credit risk governance structure that includes Board oversight, policies, risk limits and risk committees.

The Bancorp's consumer portfolio is materially comprised of five categories of loans: residential mortgage loans, home equity, indirect secured consumer loans, credit card and other consumer loans. The Bancorp has identified certain credit characteristics within these five categories of loans which it believes represent a higher level of risk compared to the rest of the consumer loan portfolio. The Bancorp does not update LTVs for the consumer portfolio subsequent to origination except as part of the charge-off process for real estate secured loans. The Bancorp actively manages the consumer portfolio through concentration limits, which mitigate credit risk through limiting the exposure to lower FICO scores, higher LTVs and specific geographic concentration risks.

The Bancorp continues to ensure that underwriting standards and guidelines adequately account for the broader economic conditions that the consumer portfolio faces in a rising-rate environment. Guidelines are designed to ensure that the various consumer products fall within the Bancorp's risk appetite. These guidelines will be monitored and adjusted as deemed appropriate in response to the prevailing economic conditions while remaining within the Bancorp's risk tolerance limits.

The payment structures for certain variable rate products (such as residential mortgage loans, home equity and credit card) are susceptible to changes in benchmark interest rates. With continued increases in interest rates, minimum payments on these products also increase, raising the potential for the environment to be disruptive to some borrowers. The Bancorp actively monitors the portion of its consumer portfolio that is susceptible to increases in minimum payments and continues to assess the impact on the overall risk appetite and soundness of the portfolio.

Residential mortgage portfolio

The Bancorp manages credit risk in the residential mortgage portfolio through underwriting guidelines that limit exposure to higher LTVs and lower FICO scores. Additionally, the portfolio is governed by concentration limits that ensure geographic, product and channel diversification. The Bancorp may also package and sell loans in the portfolio.

The Bancorp does not originate residential mortgage loans that permit customers to defer principal payments or make payments that are less than the accruing interest. The Bancorp originates both fixed-rate and ARM loans. Within the ARM portfolio, approximately \$514 million of ARM loans will have rate resets during the next twelve months. Of these resets, substantially all are expected to experience an increase in rate, with an average increase of approximately 1.97%. Underlying characteristics of these borrowers are relatively strong with a weighted-average origination DTI of 35% and weighted-average origination LTV of 72%.

Certain residential mortgage products have characteristics that may increase the Bancorp's credit loss rates in the event of a decline in housing values. These types of mortgage products offered by the Bancorp include loans with high LTVs, multiple loans secured by the same collateral that when combined result in an LTV greater than 80% and interest-only loans. The Bancorp has deemed residential mortgage loans with greater than 80% LTVs and no mortgage insurance as loans that represent a higher level of risk.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following table provides an analysis of the residential mortgage portfolio loans outstanding by LTV at origination as of:

TABLE 34: Residential Mortgage Portfolio Loans by LTV at Origination

As of December 31 (\$ in millions)	2022			2021		
	Outstanding	Weighted-Average LTV		Outstanding	Weighted-Average LTV	
LTV ≤ 80%	\$ 12,395	61.9	%	\$ 12,207	62.5	%
LTV > 80%, with mortgage insurance ^(a)	3,092	94.7		2,227	94.9	
LTV > 80%, no mortgage insurance	2,141	90.5		1,963	90.8	
Total	\$ 17,628	71.3	%	\$ 16,397	70.9	%

^(a)Includes loans with either borrower or lender paid mortgage insurance.

The following tables provide an analysis of the residential mortgage portfolio loans outstanding by state with a greater than 80% LTV at origination and no mortgage insurance:

TABLE 35: Residential Mortgage Portfolio Loans, LTV Greater Than 80% at Origination, No Mortgage Insurance

As of December 31, 2022 (\$ in millions)	Outstanding	90 Days Past Due	Nonaccrual	For the Year Ended
				December 31, 2022
				Net Charge-offs
By State:				
Ohio	\$ 500	1	9	—
Illinois	430	—	5	—
Florida	347	—	3	—
Michigan	163	—	2	—
Indiana	157	—	2	—
North Carolina	147	—	—	—
Kentucky	112	—	1	—
All other states	285	—	7	—
Total	\$ 2,141	1	29	—

TABLE 36: Residential Mortgage Portfolio Loans, LTV Greater Than 80% at Origination, No Mortgage Insurance

As of December 31, 2021 (\$ in millions)	Outstanding	90 Days Past Due	Nonaccrual	For the Year Ended
				December 31, 2021
				Net (Recoveries) Charge-offs
By State:				
Ohio	\$ 460	5	4	—
Illinois	398	3	2	(1)
Florida	305	2	1	—
Michigan	153	2	—	—
Indiana	135	2	—	—
North Carolina	134	—	—	—
Kentucky	104	1	—	—
All other states	274	3	1	—
Total	\$ 1,963	18	8	(1)

Home equity portfolio

The Bancorp's home equity portfolio is primarily comprised of home equity lines of credit. Beginning in the first quarter of 2013, the Bancorp's newly originated home equity lines of credit have a 10-year interest-only draw period followed by a 20-year amortization period. The home equity line of credit previously offered by the Bancorp was a revolving facility with a 20-year term, minimum payments of interest-only and a balloon payment of principal at maturity. Approximately 37% of the outstanding balances of the Bancorp's portfolio of home equity lines of credit have a balloon structure at maturity. Peak maturity years for the balloon home equity lines of credit are 2025 to 2028 and approximately 15% of the balances mature before 2025.

The ALLL provides coverage for expected losses in the home equity portfolio. The allowance attributable to the portion of the home equity portfolio that has not been restructured in a TDR is determined on a pooled basis using a probability of default, loss given default and exposure at default model framework to generate expected losses. The expected losses for the home equity portfolio are dependent upon loan delinquency, FICO scores, LTV, loan age and their historical correlation with macroeconomic variables including unemployment and the home price index. The expected losses generated from models are adjusted by certain qualitative adjustment factors to reflect risks associated

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

with current conditions and trends. The qualitative factors include adjustments for changes in policies or procedures in underwriting, monitoring or collections, economic conditions, portfolio mix, lending and risk management personnel, results of internal audit and quality control reviews, collateral values and geographic concentrations.

The home equity portfolio is managed in two primary groups: loans outstanding with a combined LTV greater than 80% and those loans with an LTV of 80% or less based upon appraisals at origination. For additional information on these loans, refer to Table 38 and Table 39. Of the total \$4.0 billion of outstanding home equity loans:

- 78% reside within the Bancorp's Midwest footprint of Ohio, Michigan, Illinois, Indiana and Kentucky as of December 31, 2022;
- 39% are in senior lien positions and 61% are in junior lien positions at December 31, 2022;
- 78% of non-delinquent borrowers made at least one payment greater than the minimum payment during the year ended December 31, 2022; and
- The portfolio had a weighted-average refreshed FICO score of 751 at December 31, 2022.

The Bancorp actively manages lines of credit and makes adjustments in lending limits when it believes it is necessary based on FICO score deterioration and property devaluation. The Bancorp does not routinely obtain appraisals on performing loans to update LTVs after origination. However, the Bancorp monitors the local housing markets by reviewing various home price indices and incorporates the impact of the changing market conditions in its ongoing credit monitoring processes. For junior lien home equity loans which become 60 days or more past due, the Bancorp tracks the performance of the senior lien loans in which the Bancorp is the servicer and utilizes consumer credit bureau attributes to monitor the status of the senior lien loans that the Bancorp does not service. If the senior lien loan is found to be 120 days or more past due, the junior lien home equity loan is placed on nonaccrual status unless both loans are well-secured and in the process of collection. Additionally, if the junior lien home equity loan becomes 120 days or more past due and the senior lien loan is also 120 days or more past due, the junior lien home equity loan is assessed for charge-off. Refer to the Analysis of Nonperforming Assets subsection of the Risk Management section of MD&A and Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for more information.

The following table provides an analysis of home equity portfolio loans outstanding disaggregated based upon refreshed FICO score:

TABLE 37: Home Equity Portfolio Loans Outstanding by Refreshed FICO Score

As of December 31 (\$ in millions)	2022		2021	
	Outstanding	% of Total	Outstanding	% of Total
Senior Liens:				
FICO ≤ 659	\$ 122	3 %	\$ 143	3 %
FICO 660-719	205	5	228	6
FICO ≥ 720	1,262	31	1,333	33
Total senior liens	\$ 1,589	39 %	\$ 1,704	42 %
Junior Liens:				
FICO ≤ 659	211	5	245	6
FICO 660-719	433	11	430	10
FICO ≥ 720	1,806	45	1,705	42
Total junior liens	\$ 2,450	61 %	\$ 2,380	58 %
Total	\$ 4,039	100 %	\$ 4,084	100 %

The Bancorp believes that home equity portfolio loans with a greater than 80% LTV (including senior liens, if applicable) present a higher level of risk. The following table provides an analysis of the home equity portfolio loans outstanding in a senior and junior lien position by LTV at origination:

TABLE 38: Home Equity Portfolio Loans Outstanding by LTV at Origination

As of December 31 (\$ in millions)	2022		2021	
	Outstanding	Weighted-Average LTV	Outstanding	Weighted-Average LTV
Senior Liens:				
LTV ≤ 80%	\$ 1,395	52.1 %	\$ 1,485	53.5 %
LTV > 80%	194	88.8	219	88.8
Total senior liens	\$ 1,589	56.8 %	\$ 1,704	58.3 %
Junior Liens:				
LTV ≤ 80%	1,628	65.6	1,479	66.4
LTV > 80%	822	89.2	901	89.7
Total junior liens	\$ 2,450	74.1 %	\$ 2,380	76.0 %
Total	\$ 4,039	67.2 %	\$ 4,084	68.4 %

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following tables provide an analysis of home equity portfolio loans outstanding by state with a LTV greater than 80% (including senior liens, if applicable) at origination:

TABLE 39: Home Equity Portfolio Loans Outstanding with an LTV Greater than 80% at Origination

As of December 31, 2022 (\$ in millions)	For the Year Ended December 31, 2022				
	Outstanding	Exposure	90 Days Past Due	Nonaccrual	Net (Recoveries) Charge-offs
By State:					
Ohio	\$ 315	859	—	8	(1)
Illinois	165	367	1	4	(1)
Michigan	160	432	—	3	(1)
Indiana	99	260	—	2	—
Kentucky	84	219	—	1	—
Florida	77	191	—	2	—
All other states	116	295	—	3	(1)
Total	\$ 1,016	2,623	1	23	(4)

TABLE 40: Home Equity Portfolio Loans Outstanding with an LTV Greater than 80% at Origination

As of December 31, 2021 (\$ in millions)	For the Year Ended December 31, 2021				
	Outstanding	Exposure	90 Days Past Due	Nonaccrual	Net (Recoveries) Charge-offs
By State:					
Ohio	\$ 351	894	—	8	—
Illinois	181	373	1	5	(1)
Michigan	190	468	—	4	(1)
Indiana	108	256	—	3	—
Kentucky	89	223	—	2	—
Florida	79	170	—	1	(1)
All other states	122	275	—	4	(1)
Total	\$ 1,120	2,659	1	27	(4)

Indirect secured consumer portfolio

The indirect secured consumer portfolio is comprised of \$14.0 billion of automobile loans and \$2.6 billion of indirect motorcycle, powersport, recreational vehicle and marine loans as of December 31, 2022. All concentration and guideline changes are monitored monthly to ensure alignment with original credit performance and return projections.

The following table provides an analysis of indirect secured consumer portfolio loans outstanding disaggregated based upon FICO score at origination:

TABLE 41: Indirect Secured Consumer Portfolio Loans Outstanding by FICO Score at Origination

As of December 31 (\$ in millions)	2022		2021	
	Outstanding	% of Total	Outstanding	% of Total
FICO ≤ 659	\$ 248	1 %	\$ 312	2 %
FICO 660-719	3,564	22	3,745	22
FICO ≥ 720	12,740	77	12,726	76
Total	\$ 16,552	100 %	\$ 16,783	100 %

It is a common industry practice to advance on these types of loans an amount in excess of the collateral value due to the inclusion of negative equity trade-in, maintenance/warranty products, taxes, title and other fees paid at closing. The Bancorp monitors its exposure to these higher risk loans.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following table provides an analysis of indirect secured consumer portfolio loans outstanding by LTV at origination:

TABLE 42: Indirect Secured Consumer Portfolio Loans Outstanding by LTV at Origination

As of December 31 (\$ in millions)	2022			2021		
	Outstanding	Weighted-Average LTV		Outstanding	Weighted-Average LTV	
LTV ≤ 100%	\$ 12,087	79.6	%	\$ 12,327	79.5	%
LTV > 100%	4,465	110.5		4,456	111.1	
Total	\$ 16,552	87.9	%	\$ 16,783	88.1	%

The following table provides an analysis of the Bancorp's indirect secured consumer portfolio loans outstanding with an LTV greater than 100% at origination as of and for the years ended:

TABLE 43: Indirect Secured Consumer Portfolio Loans Outstanding with an LTV Greater than 100% at Origination

(\$ in millions)	Outstanding	90 Days Past		Net Charge-offs
		Due and Accruing	Nonaccrual	
December 31, 2022	\$ 4,465	—	16	23
December 31, 2021	4,456	6	16	11

Credit card portfolio

The credit card portfolio consists of predominantly prime accounts with 98% of balances existing within the Bancorp's footprint at both December 31, 2022 and December 31, 2021. At both December 31, 2022 and 2021, 72% of the outstanding balances were originated through branch-based relationships with the remainder coming from direct mail campaigns and online acquisitions.

The following table provides an analysis of the Bancorp's outstanding credit card portfolio disaggregated based upon FICO score at origination:

TABLE 44: Credit Card Portfolio Loans Outstanding by FICO Score at Origination

As of December 31 (\$ in millions)	2022			2021		
	Outstanding	% of Total		Outstanding	% of Total	
FICO ≤ 659	\$ 80	4	%	\$ 82	5	%
FICO 660-719	528	28		518	29	
FICO ≥ 720	1,266	68		1,166	66	
Total	\$ 1,874	100	%	\$ 1,766	100	%

Other consumer portfolio loans

Other consumer portfolio loans are comprised of secured and unsecured loans originated through the Bancorp's branch network, home improvement and solar energy installation loans originated through a network of contractors and installers, and other point-of-sale loans originated or purchased in connection with third-party companies. The Bancorp closely monitors the credit performance of point-of-sale loans. Loans originated in connection with one third-party company are impacted by certain credit loss protection coverage provided by that company. The Bancorp discontinued origination of new loans with this third-party company in September 2022.

The following table provides an analysis of other consumer portfolio loans outstanding by product type:

TABLE 45: Other Consumer Portfolio Loans Outstanding by Product Type

As of December 31 (\$ in millions)	2022			2021		
	Outstanding	% of Total		Outstanding	% of Total	
Point-of-sale	\$ 2,297	46	%	\$ —	—	%
Third-party point-of-sale	1,262	25		1,464	53	
Other secured	909	18		797	29	
Unsecured	530	11		491	18	
Total	\$ 4,998	100	%	\$ 2,752	100	%

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Analysis of Nonperforming Assets

Nonperforming assets include nonaccrual loans and leases for which ultimate collectability of the full amount of the principal and/or interest is uncertain; restructured commercial, credit card and consumer loans which do not meet the requirements to be classified as a performing asset; and certain other assets, including OREO and other repossessed property. A summary of nonperforming assets is included in Table 46. For further information on the Bancorp's policies related to accounting for delinquent and nonperforming loans and leases, refer to the Nonaccrual Loans and Leases section of Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Nonperforming assets were \$539 million at December 31, 2022 compared to \$542 million at December 31, 2021. At December 31, 2022, an immaterial amount of nonaccrual loans were held for sale, compared to \$15 million at December 31, 2021.

Nonperforming portfolio assets as a percent of portfolio loans and leases and OREO were 0.44% and 0.47% at December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively. Nonaccrual loans and leases secured by real estate were 42% of nonaccrual loans and leases as of December 31, 2022 compared to 33% as of December 31, 2021.

Portfolio commercial nonaccrual loans and leases were \$263 million at December 31, 2022, a decrease of \$74 million from December 31, 2021. Portfolio consumer nonaccrual loans were \$252 million at December 31, 2022, an increase of \$91 million from December 31, 2021. Refer to Table 47 for a rollforward of the portfolio nonaccrual loans and leases.

OREO and other repossessed property was \$24 million and \$29 million at December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively. The Bancorp recognized an immaterial amount and \$6 million in losses on the transfer, sale or write-down of OREO properties during the years ended December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively.

During the years ended December 31, 2022 and 2021, approximately \$34 million and \$33 million, respectively, of interest income would have been recognized if the nonaccrual and restructured loans and leases on nonaccrual status had been current in accordance with their contractual terms. Although these values help demonstrate the costs of carrying nonaccrual credits, the Bancorp does not expect to recover the full amount of interest as nonaccrual loans and leases are generally carried below their principal balance.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

TABLE 46: Summary of Nonperforming Assets and Delinquent Loans and Leases

As of December 31 (\$ in millions)	2022	2021
Nonaccrual portfolio loans and leases:		
Commercial and industrial loans	\$ 90	116
Commercial mortgage loans	10	42
Commercial construction loans	1	6
Commercial leases	—	4
Residential mortgage loans ^(c)	53	10
Home equity	43	47
Indirect secured consumer loans	19	5
Other consumer loans	5	1
Nonaccrual portfolio restructured loans:		
Commercial and industrial loans	125	163
Commercial mortgage loans	30	6
Commercial construction loans	7	—
Residential mortgage loans ^(c)	71	23
Home equity	24	30
Indirect secured consumer loans	10	22
Credit card	27	23
Total nonaccrual portfolio loans and leases^(b)	515	498
OREO and other repossessed property	24	29
Total nonperforming portfolio loans and leases and OREO	539	527
Nonaccrual loans held for sale	—	15
Total nonperforming assets	\$ 539	542
Total portfolio loans and leases 90 days past due and still accruing:		
Commercial and industrial loans	\$ 11	17
Commercial mortgage loans	—	1
Commercial construction loans	—	1
Commercial leases	2	—
Residential mortgage loans ^{(a)(c)}	7	72
Home equity	1	1
Indirect secured consumer loans	—	9
Credit card	18	15
Other consumer loans	1	1
Total portfolio loans and leases 90 days past due and still accruing	\$ 40	117
Nonperforming portfolio assets as a percent of portfolio loans and leases and OREO	0.44 %	0.47
Nonperforming portfolio loans and leases as a percent of portfolio loans and leases	0.42	0.44
ACL as a percent of nonperforming portfolio loans and leases	468	416
ACL as a percent of nonperforming portfolio assets	447	394

(a) Information for all periods presented excludes advances made pursuant to servicing agreements for GNMA mortgage pools whose repayments are insured by the FHA or guaranteed by the VA. These advances were \$212 and \$349 as of December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively. The Bancorp recognized losses of \$2 for both the years ended December 31, 2022 and 2021 due to claim denials and curtailments associated with these insured or guaranteed loans.

(b) Includes \$15 and \$26 of nonaccrual government insured commercial loans whose repayments are insured by the SBA as of December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively, of which \$11 are restructured nonaccrual government insured commercial loans as of both December 31, 2022 and 2021.

(c) During the first quarter of 2022, the Bancorp amended its definition of nonperforming loans to include residential mortgage loans that are past due 150 days or more and not fully or partially guaranteed by government agencies.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following tables provide a rollforward of portfolio nonaccrual loans and leases, by portfolio segment:

TABLE 47: Rollforward of Portfolio Nonaccrual Loans and Leases

For the year ended December 31, 2022 (\$ in millions)	Residential			Total
	Commercial	Mortgage ^(a)	Consumer	
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 337	33	128	498
Transfers to nonaccrual status	262	146	154	562
Transfers to accrual status	(7)	(28)	(65)	(100)
Transfers to held for sale	(23)	—	—	(23)
Loan paydowns/payoffs	(180)	(23)	(52)	(255)
Transfers to OREO	—	(6)	—	(6)
Charge-offs	(131)	(1)	(37)	(169)
Draws/other extensions of credit	5	3	—	8
Balance, end of period	\$ 263	124	128	515

^(a)During the first quarter of 2022, the Bancorp amended its definition of nonperforming loans to include residential mortgage loans that are past due 150 days or more and not fully or partially guaranteed by government agencies.

TABLE 48: Rollforward of Portfolio Nonaccrual Loans and Leases

For the year ended December 31, 2021 (\$ in millions)	Residential			Total
	Commercial	Mortgage	Consumer	
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 638	60	136	834
Transfers to nonaccrual status	239	43	163	445
Transfers to accrual status	(27)	(68)	(88)	(183)
Transfers to held for sale	(90)	—	—	(90)
Loan paydowns/payoffs	(333)	(1)	(54)	(388)
Transfers to OREO	(1)	(2)	—	(3)
Charge-offs	(119)	—	(30)	(149)
Draws/other extensions of credit	30	1	1	32
Balance, end of period	\$ 337	33	128	498

Troubled Debt Restructurings

A loan is accounted for as a TDR if the Bancorp, for economic or legal reasons related to the borrower's financial difficulties, grants a concession to the borrower that it would not otherwise consider. TDRs include concessions granted under reorganization, arrangement or other provisions of the Federal Bankruptcy Act. A TDR typically involves a modification of terms such as a reduction of the stated interest rate or remaining principal amount of the loan, a reduction of accrued interest or an extension of the maturity date at a stated interest rate lower than the current market rate for a new loan with similar risk. Refer to Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further information on the Bancorp's accounting for TDRs.

Consumer restructured loans on accrual status totaled \$725 million and \$675 million at December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively. As of December 31, 2022, the percentages of restructured residential mortgage loans, home equity and credit card that were past due 30 days or more from their modified terms were 29%, 19% and 33%, respectively.

The following tables summarize portfolio TDRs by loan type and delinquency status:

TABLE 49: Accruing and Nonaccruing Portfolio TDRs

As of December 31, 2022 (\$ in millions)	Accruing			Nonaccruing	Total
	Current	30-89 Days Past Due	90 Days or More Past Due		
Commercial loans ^(a)	\$ 254	—	—	162	416
Residential mortgage loans ^(b)	396	28	65	71	560
Home equity	126	6	—	24	156
Indirect secured consumer loans	77	10	—	10	97
Credit card	15	2	—	27	44
Total	\$ 868	46	65	294	1,273

^(a)Excludes restructured nonaccrual loans held for sale.

^(b)Information includes advances made pursuant to servicing agreements for GNMA mortgage pools whose repayments are insured by the FHA or guaranteed by the VA or USDA. As of December 31, 2022, these advances represented \$199 of current loans, \$21 of 30-89 days past due loans, \$65 of 90 days or more past due loans and \$22 of nonaccrual loans.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

TABLE 50: Accruing and Nonaccruing Portfolio TDRs

As of December 31, 2021 (\$ in millions)	Accruing			Nonaccruing	Total
	Current	30-89 Days Past Due	90 Days or More Past Due		
Commercial loans ^(a)	\$ 156	—	1	169	326
Residential mortgage loans ^(b)	328	17	92	23	460
Home equity	141	5	1	30	177
Indirect secured consumer loans	66	4	—	22	92
Credit card	18	3	—	23	44
Total	\$ 709	29	94	267	1,099

(a) Excludes restructured nonaccrual loans held for sale.

(b) Information includes advances made pursuant to servicing agreements for GNMA mortgage pools whose repayments are insured by the FHA or guaranteed by the VA. As of December 31, 2021, these advances represented \$144 of current loans, \$14 of 30-89 days past due loans and \$69 of 90 days or more past due loans.

Analysis of Net Loan Charge-offs

Net charge-offs were 19 bps and 16 bps of average portfolio loans and leases for the years ended December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively. Table 51 provides a summary of credit loss experience and net charge-offs as a percentage of average portfolio loans and leases outstanding by loan category.

The ratio of commercial loan and lease net charge-offs as a percent of average portfolio commercial loans and leases increased to 13 bps during the year ended December 31, 2022, compared to 10 bps during the same period in the prior year primarily due to an increase in net charge-offs on commercial and industrial loans of \$36 million, partially offset by a decrease in net charge-offs on commercial mortgage loans of \$9 million.

The ratio of consumer loan net charge-offs as a percent of average portfolio consumer loans increased to 29 bps during the year ended December 31, 2022, compared to 26 bps during the same period in the prior year primarily due to increases in net charge-offs on indirect secured consumer loans and other consumer loans of \$22 million and \$11 million, respectively, partially offset by a decrease in net charge-offs on credit card of \$18 million.

101 Fifth Third Bancorp

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

TABLE 51: Summary of Credit Loss Experience

For the years ended December 31 (\$ in millions)	2022	2021	2020
Losses charged-off:			
Commercial and industrial loans	\$ (121)	(103)	(210)
Commercial mortgage loans	—	(13)	(46)
Commercial construction loans	(3)	—	—
Commercial leases	(7)	(3)	(26)
Residential mortgage loans	(3)	(3)	(9)
Home equity	(9)	(7)	(14)
Indirect secured consumer loans	(68)	(51)	(67)
Credit card	(68)	(91)	(147)
Other consumer loans ^(a)	(83)	(73)	(92)
Total losses charged-off	\$ (362)	(344)	(611)
Recoveries of losses previously charged-off:			
Commercial and industrial loans	\$ 25	43	12
Commercial mortgage loans	1	5	1
Commercial construction loans	1	—	—
Commercial leases	3	4	3
Residential mortgage loans	5	7	7
Home equity	11	11	9
Indirect secured consumer loans	32	37	35
Credit card	16	21	21
Other consumer loans ^(a)	41	42	52
Total recoveries of losses previously charged-off	\$ 135	170	140
Net losses charged-off:			
Commercial and industrial loans	\$ (96)	(60)	(198)
Commercial mortgage loans	1	(8)	(45)
Commercial construction loans	(2)	—	—
Commercial leases	(4)	1	(23)
Residential mortgage loans	2	4	(2)
Home equity	2	4	(5)
Indirect secured consumer loans	(36)	(14)	(32)
Credit card	(52)	(70)	(126)
Other consumer loans	(42)	(31)	(40)
Total net losses charged-off	\$ (227)	(174)	(471)
Net losses charged-off as a percent of average portfolio loans and leases:			
Commercial and industrial loans	0.17 %	0.12	0.37
Commercial mortgage loans	(0.01)	0.08	0.41
Commercial construction loans	0.04	—	—
Commercial leases	0.13	(0.02)	0.76
Total commercial loans and leases	0.13 %	0.10	0.36
Residential mortgage loans	(0.01)	(0.03)	0.02
Home equity	(0.05)	(0.09)	0.08
Indirect secured consumer loans	0.21	0.09	0.26
Credit card	2.98	3.93	5.63
Other consumer loans	1.15	1.06	1.39
Total consumer loans	0.29 %	0.26	0.52
Total net losses charged-off as a percent of average portfolio loans and leases	0.19 %	0.16	0.42

(a) For the years ended December 31, 2022, 2021 and 2020, the Bancorp recorded \$32, \$33 and \$42, respectively, in both losses charged off and recoveries of losses previously charged off related to customer defaults on point-of-sale consumer loans for which the Bancorp obtained recoveries under third-party credit enhancements.

Allowance for Credit Losses

The allowance for credit losses is comprised of the ALLL and the reserve for unfunded commitments. As described in Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, the Bancorp maintains the ALLL to absorb the amount of credit losses that are expected to be incurred over the remaining contractual terms of the related loans and leases (as adjusted for prepayments and reasonably expected TDRs). The Bancorp's methodology for determining the ALLL includes an estimate of expected credit losses on a collective basis for groups of loans and

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

leases with similar risk characteristics and specific allowances for loans and leases which are individually evaluated. For collectively evaluated loans and leases, the Bancorp uses quantitative models to forecast expected credit losses based on the probability of a loan or lease defaulting, the expected balance at the estimated date of default and the expected loss percentage given a default. The Bancorp's expected credit loss models consider historical credit loss experience, current market and economic conditions, and forecasted changes in market and economic conditions if such forecasts are considered reasonable and supportable.

The Bancorp also considers qualitative factors in determining the ALLL. Qualitative adjustments are used to capture characteristics in the portfolio that impact expected credit losses which are not fully captured within the Bancorp's expected credit loss models. These factors include adjustments for changes in policies or procedures in underwriting, monitoring or collections, lending and risk management personnel and results of internal audit and quality control reviews. In addition, the qualitative adjustment framework can be utilized to address specific idiosyncratic risks such as geopolitical events, natural disasters or changes in current economic conditions that are not reflected in the quantitative credit loss models, and their effects on regional borrowers and changes in product structures. Qualitative factors may also be used to address the impacts of unforeseen events on key inputs and assumptions within the Bancorp's expected credit loss models, such as the reasonable and supportable forecast period, changes to historical loss information or changes to the reversion period or methodology.

In addition to the ALLL, the Bancorp maintains a reserve for unfunded commitments recorded in other liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The methodology used to determine the adequacy of this reserve is similar to the Bancorp's methodology for determining the ALLL. The provision for unfunded commitments is included in the provision for credit losses in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

For the commercial portfolio segment, the estimates for probability of default are primarily based on internal ratings assigned to each commercial borrower on a 13-point scale and historical observations of how those ratings migrate to a default over time in the context of macroeconomic conditions. For loans with available credit, the estimate of the expected balance at the time of default considers expected utilization rates, which are primarily based on macroeconomic conditions and the utilization history of similar borrowers under those economic conditions. The estimates for loss severity are primarily based on collateral type and coverage levels and the susceptibility of those characteristics to changes in macroeconomic conditions.

For collectively evaluated loans in the consumer and residential mortgage portfolio segments, the Bancorp's expected credit loss models primarily utilize the borrower's FICO score and delinquency history in combination with macroeconomic conditions when estimating the probability of default. The estimates for loss severity are primarily based on collateral type and coverage levels and the susceptibility of those characteristics to changes in macroeconomic conditions. The expected balance at the estimated date of default is also especially impactful in the expected credit loss models for portfolio classes which generally have longer terms (such as residential mortgage loans and home equity) and portfolio classes containing a high concentration of loans with revolving privileges (such as home equity). The estimate of the expected balance at the time of default considers expected prepayment and utilization rates where applicable, which are primarily based on macroeconomic conditions and the utilization history of similar borrowers under those economic conditions.

At both December 31, 2022 and 2021, the Bancorp used three forward-looking economic scenarios during the reasonable and supportable forecast period in its expected credit loss models to address the inherent imprecision in macroeconomic forecasting. Each of the three scenarios was developed by a third party that is subject to the Bancorp's Third-Party Risk Management program including oversight by the Bancorp's independent model risk management group. The scenarios included a most likely outcome (Baseline) and two less probable scenarios with one being more favorable than the Baseline and the other being less favorable. The more favorable alternative scenario (Upside) depicted a stronger near-term growth outlook while the less favorable outlook (Downside) depicted a moderate recession.

The Baseline scenario was developed such that the expectation is that the economy will perform better than the projection 50% of the time and worse than the projection 50% of the time. The Upside scenario was developed such that there is a 10% probability that the economy will perform better than the projection and a 90% probability that it will perform worse. The Downside scenario was developed such that there is a 90% probability that the economy will perform better than the projection and a 10% probability that it will perform worse.

December 31, 2022 ACL

The ACL as of December 31, 2022 was impacted by several factors, including growth in portfolio loan and lease balances, primarily concentrated in the commercial and industrial loan and other consumer loan portfolios and deterioration in the forecasted macroeconomic conditions in each of the three forecast scenarios. As a result of these factors, the Bancorp incorporated a combination of quantitative model-based estimates and qualitative adjustments. As of December 31, 2022, the Bancorp's economic scenarios included estimates of the expected impacts of the changes in economic conditions caused by inflationary and rising interest rate pressures and the ongoing Russia-Ukraine conflict. At December 31, 2022, the Bancorp assigned an 80% probability weighting to the Baseline scenario and 10% to each the Upside and Downside scenarios.

The Baseline scenario assumed real GDP growth for the year ended 2022 at 1.8% with the forecast decreasing to 0.7% in 2023, and then increasing to 2.1% in 2024 and 2.7% in 2025. The Baseline scenario also assumed an average unemployment rate of 3.7% for the year ended 2022, increasing to an average of 4.0% in the forecast for both 2023 and 2024, modestly decreasing to an average of 3.8% in 2025. Lastly, the Baseline scenario assumed continued increases to the target federal funds rate, peaking at an average of 4.6% in 2023, and decreasing to an average of 3.9% and 3.0% in 2024 and 2025, respectively. The Upside scenario assumed a faster than anticipated resolution to the Russia-

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Ukraine conflict with related improvement to economic measures. The Upside scenario assumed that on an average annual basis, the change in real GDP is 3.4% in 2023, decreasing to 2.9% and 2.0% in 2024 and 2025, respectively. The Upside scenario also assumed an unemployment rate at an annual average of 3.4% in both 2023 and 2024, slightly declining to 3.3% in 2025. In the Upside scenario, the forecast for the federal funds rate was consistent with the Baseline scenario but with slightly lower increases resulting from an improving inflation outlook. The Downside scenario included significant worsening of the Russia-Ukraine conflict resulting in continued inflation, causing the U.S. economy to fall into a recession in the first quarter of 2023. The Downside scenario assumed that real GDP will decline throughout 2023, at an average of (1.5%), recovering to an average growth rate of 0.2% and 3.2% in 2024 and 2025, respectively. The Downside scenario unemployment rate peaks at 7.8% in the first quarter of 2024 and decreases to an average of 5.9% in 2025. In the Downside scenario, the forecast for the federal funds rate included higher increases than the Baseline scenario through the fourth quarter of 2023, followed by rapid decreases through 2025.

The Bancorp's quantitative credit loss models are sensitive to changes in economic forecast assumptions over the reasonable and supportable forecast period. Applying a 100% probability weighting to the Downside scenario rather than using the probability-weighted three scenario approach would result in an increase in the quantitative ACL of approximately \$2.2 billion. This sensitivity calculation only reflects the impact of changing the probability weighting of the scenarios in the quantitative credit loss models and excludes any additional considerations associated with the qualitative component of the ACL that might be warranted if probability weights were adjusted.

The following table provides a rollforward of the Bancorp's ACL:

TABLE 52: Changes in Allowance for Credit Losses

For the years ended December 31 (\$ in millions)	2022	2021	2020
ALLL:			
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 1,892	2,453	1,202
Losses charged-off ^(a)	(362)	(344)	(611)
Recoveries of losses previously charged-off ^(a)	135	170	140
Provision for (benefit from) loan and lease losses	529	(387)	1,079
Impact of adoption of ASU 2016-13	—	—	643
Balance, end of period	\$ 2,194	1,892	2,453
Reserve for unfunded commitments:			
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 182	172	144
Provision for the reserve for unfunded commitments	34	10	18
Impact of adoption of ASU 2016-13	—	—	10
Balance, end of period	\$ 216	182	172

^(a)For the years ended December 31, 2022, 2021 and 2020, the Bancorp recorded \$32, \$33 and \$42, respectively, in both losses charged-off and recoveries of losses previously charged-off related to customer defaults on point-of-sale consumer loans for which the Bancorp obtained recoveries under third-party credit enhancements.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following table provides an attribution of the Bancorp's ALLL to portfolio loans and leases:

TABLE 53: Attribution of Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses to Portfolio Loans and Leases

As of December 31 (\$ in millions)	2022	2021
Attributed ALLL:		
Commercial and industrial loans	\$ 776	711
Commercial mortgage loans	246	304
Commercial construction loans	90	72
Commercial leases	15	15
Residential mortgage loans	245	235
Home equity	133	123
Indirect secured consumer loans	187	119
Credit card	254	209
Other consumer loans	248	104
Total ALLL	\$ 2,194	1,892
Portfolio loans and leases:		
Commercial and industrial loans	\$ 57,232	51,659
Commercial mortgage loans	11,020	10,316
Commercial construction loans	5,433	5,241
Commercial leases	2,704	3,052
Residential mortgage loans ^(a)	17,628	16,397
Home equity	4,039	4,084
Indirect secured consumer loans	16,552	16,783
Credit card	1,874	1,766
Other consumer loans	4,998	2,752
Total portfolio loans and leases	\$ 121,480	112,050
Attributed ALLL as a percent of respective portfolio loans and leases:		
Commercial and industrial loans	1.36 %	1.38
Commercial mortgage loans	2.23	2.95
Commercial construction loans	1.66	1.37
Commercial leases	0.55	0.49
Residential mortgage loans	1.39	1.43
Home equity	3.29	3.01
Indirect secured consumer loans	1.13	0.71
Credit card	13.55	11.83
Other consumer loans	4.96	3.78
Total ALLL as a percent of portfolio loans and leases	1.81 %	1.69
Total ACL as a percent of portfolio loans and leases	1.98	1.85

(a) Includes \$123 and \$154 of residential mortgage loans measured at fair value at December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively.

The Bancorp's ALLL may vary significantly from period to period based on changes in economic conditions, economic forecasts and the composition and credit quality of the Bancorp's loan and lease portfolio. For additional information on the Bancorp's methodology for measuring the ACL, refer to Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

INTEREST RATE AND PRICE RISK MANAGEMENT

Interest rate risk is the risk to earnings or capital arising from movement of interest rates. This risk primarily impacts the Bancorp's income categories through changes in interest income on earning assets and the cost of interest-bearing liabilities, and through fee items that are related to interest sensitive activities such as mortgage origination and servicing income and through earnings credits earned on commercial deposits that offset commercial deposit fees. Price risk is the risk to earnings or capital arising from changes in the value of financial instruments and portfolios due to movements in interest rates, volatilities, foreign exchange rates, equity prices and commodity prices. Management considers interest rate risk a prominent market risk in terms of its potential impact on earnings. Interest rate risk may occur for any one or more of the following reasons:

- Assets and liabilities mature or reprice at different times;
- Short-term and long-term market interest rates change by different amounts; or
- The expected maturities of various assets or liabilities shorten or lengthen as interest rates change.

In addition to the direct impact of interest rate changes on NII and interest-sensitive fees, interest rates can impact earnings through their effect on loan and deposit demand, credit losses, mortgage origination volumes, the value of servicing rights and other sources of the Bancorp's earnings. Changes in interest rates and other market factors can impact earnings through changes in the value of portfolios, if not appropriately hedged. Stability of the Bancorp's net income is largely dependent upon the effective management of interest rate risk and to a lesser extent price risk. Management continually reviews the Bancorp's on- and off-balance sheet composition, earnings flows, and hedging strategies and models interest rate risk and price risk exposures, and possible actions to manage these risks, given numerous possible future interest rate and market factor scenarios. A series of policy limits and key risk indicators are employed to ensure that risks are managed within the Bancorp's risk tolerance for interest rate risk and price risk.

In addition to the traditional forms of interest rate risk discussed in this section, the Bancorp is exposed to interest rate risk associated with the retirement and replacement of LIBOR. For more information on the LIBOR transition, refer to the Overview section of MD&A.

The Commercial Banking and Wealth and Asset Management lines of business manage price risk for capital markets sales and trading activities related to their respective businesses. The Consumer and Small Business Banking line of business manages price risk for the origination and sale of conforming residential mortgage loans to government agencies and government-sponsored enterprises. The Bancorp's Treasury department manages interest rate risk and price risk for all other activities. Independent oversight is provided by ERM, and key risk indicators and Board-approved policy limits are used to ensure risks are managed within the Bancorp's risk tolerance.

The Bancorp's Market Risk Management Committee, which includes senior management representatives and reports to the Corporate Credit Committee (accountable to the ERMC), provides oversight and monitors price risk for the capital markets sales and trading activities. The Bancorp's ALCO, which includes senior management representatives and is accountable to the ERMC, provides oversight and monitors interest rate and price risks for Mortgage and Treasury activities.

Net Interest Income Sensitivity

The Bancorp employs a variety of measurement techniques to identify and manage its interest rate risk, including the use of an NII simulation model to analyze the sensitivity of NII to changes in interest rates. The model is based on contractual and estimated cash flows and repricing characteristics for all of the Bancorp's assets, liabilities and off-balance sheet exposures and incorporates market-based assumptions regarding the effect of changing interest rates on the prepayment rates of certain assets and attrition rates of certain liabilities. The model also includes senior management's projections of the future volume and pricing of each of the product lines offered by the Bancorp as well as other pertinent assumptions. The NII simulation model does not represent a forecast of the Bancorp's net interest income but is a tool utilized to assess the risk of the impact of changing market interest rates across a range of market interest rate environments. As a result, actual results will differ from simulated results for multiple reasons, which may include actual balance sheet composition differences, timing, magnitude and frequency of interest rate changes, deviations from projected customer behavioral assumptions as well as from changes in market conditions and management strategies.

As of December 31, 2022, the Bancorp's interest rate risk exposure is governed by a risk framework that utilizes the change in NII over 12-month and 24-month horizons under parallel ramped increases and decreases in interest rates. Policy limits are utilized for scenarios assuming a 200 bps increase and a 200 bps decrease in interest rates over twelve months. The Bancorp routinely analyzes various potential and extreme scenarios, including parallel ramps and shocks as well as steepening and other non-parallel shifts in rates, to assess where risks to net interest income persist or develop as changes in the balance sheet and market rates evolve. Additionally, the Bancorp routinely evaluates its exposures to changes in the bases between interest rates.

In order to recognize the risk of noninterest-bearing demand deposit balance run-off in a rising interest rate environment, the Bancorp's NII sensitivity modeling assumes that approximately \$1 billion of additional demand deposit balances run-off over 24 months for each 100 bps increase in short-term market interest rates. Similarly, the Bancorp's NII sensitivity modeling incorporates approximately \$1 billion of incremental growth in noninterest-bearing deposit balances over 24 months for each 100 bps decrease in short-term market interest rates. The incremental balance run-off and growth are modeled to flow into and out of funding products that reprice in conjunction with short-term market rate changes.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Another important deposit modeling assumption is the amount by which interest-bearing deposit rates will increase or decrease when market interest rates increase or decrease. This deposit repricing sensitivity is known as the beta, and it represents the expected amount by which the Bancorp's interest-bearing deposit rates will change for a given change in short-term market rates. The Bancorp utilizes dynamic deposit beta models to adjust assumed repricing sensitivity depending on market rate levels. The dynamic beta models were developed utilizing the Bancorp's performance during prior interest rate cycles. During the year ended December 31, 2022, the Bancorp's actual deposit repricing has been slower than what was experienced in prior interest rate cycles, and as a result, the Bancorp has outperformed previous NII simulation expectations. Using the dynamic beta models, the Bancorp's NII sensitivity modeling assumes weighted-average rising-rate interest-bearing deposit betas at the end of the ramped parallel scenarios of 68% and 70%, for a 100 bps and 200 bps increase in rates, respectively. In the event of rate cuts, this approach assumes a weighted-average falling-rate interest-bearing deposit beta at the end of the ramped 200 bps decrease in rates of 60% at December 31, 2022, while also maintaining that deposit rates themselves will not become negative. The modeling assumes no lag between the timing of changes in market rates and the timing of deposit repricing despite such timing lags having occurred in prior rate cycles. In addition, assumed deposit migration from low-beta deposit products to more rate-sensitive deposit products contribute additional beta of 5%-10% across the rising-rate ramps, resulting in an effective beta of approximately 75-80% in our baseline NII sensitivity profile. Given the performance during the year ended December 31, 2022, the Bancorp may continue to exceed the NII simulation expectations. However, the actual performance will be dependent on market conditions, the level of competition for deposits and the magnitude of continued interest rate increases. The Bancorp provides sensitivity analysis in Tables 55 and 56 for key assumptions related to its deposit modeling, including beta and DDA balance performance.

The Bancorp continually evaluates the sensitivity of its interest rate risk measures to these important deposit modeling assumptions. The Bancorp also regularly monitors the sensitivity of other important modeling assumptions, such as loan and security prepayments and early withdrawals on fixed-rate customer liabilities.

The following table shows the Bancorp's estimated NII sensitivity profile and ALCO policy limits as of December 31:

TABLE 54: Estimated NII Sensitivity Profile and ALCO Policy Limits

	2022				2021			
	% Change in NII (FTE)		ALCO Policy Limit		% Change in NII (FTE)		ALCO Policy Limit	
	12 Months	13-24 Months	12 Months	13-24 Months	12 Months	13-24 Months	12 Months	13-24 Months
Change in Interest Rates (bps)								
+200 Ramp over 12 months	(2.93) %	(3.17)	(4.00)	(6.00)	10.68 %	20.60	(4.00)	(6.00)
+100 Ramp over 12 months	(1.31)	(1.14)	N/A	N/A	6.13	12.66	N/A	N/A
-25 Ramp over 3 months	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	(2.12)	(2.99)	N/A	N/A
-200 Ramp over 12 months	(0.68)	(4.69)	(8.00)	(12.00)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

At December 31, 2022, the Bancorp's NII sensitivity is liability sensitive in years one and two due to the previously discussed deposit dynamic beta and balance migration assumptions. The Bancorp's NII simulation projects a decrease in both year one and year two NII under the parallel 200 bps ramp decrease in interest rates. Reductions in the yield of the commercial loan portfolio would be expected to be only partially offset by a decline in the cost of interest-bearing deposits in certain falling-rate scenarios. However, continued re-positioning into securities with less near-term principal cash flows and the execution of additional receive-fixed hedges have added significant protection from declining rates. The changes in the estimated NII sensitivity profile compared to December 31, 2021 were primarily attributable to significant deployment of cash and other short-term investments into long-term fixed-rate securities and higher market interest rates driving higher expected betas.

Tables 55 and 56 provide the sensitivity of the Bancorp's estimated NII profile at December 31, 2022 to changes to certain deposit balance and deposit repricing sensitivity (betas) assumptions.

The following table includes the Bancorp's estimated NII sensitivity profile with an immediate \$2 billion decrease and an immediate \$2 billion increase in demand deposit balances as of December 31, 2022:

TABLE 55: Estimated NII Sensitivity Profile at December 31, 2022 with a \$2 Billion Change in Demand Deposit Assumption

	% Change in NII (FTE)			
	Immediate \$2 Billion Balance Decrease		Immediate \$2 Billion Balance Increase	
	12 Months	13-24 Months	12 Months	13-24 Months
Change in Interest Rates (bps)				
+200 Ramp over 12 months	(4.63) %	(4.95)	(1.24)	(1.39)
+100 Ramp over 12 months	(2.84)	(2.36)	0.22	0.08
-200 Ramp over 12 months	(1.70)	(5.36)	0.35	(4.03)

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following table includes the Bancorp's estimated NII sensitivity profile with a 10% increase and a 10% decrease to the corresponding deposit beta assumptions as of December 31, 2022:

TABLE 56: Estimated NII Sensitivity Profile at December 31, 2022 with Deposit Beta Assumptions Changes

Change in Interest Rates (bps)	% Change in NII (FTE)			
	Betas 10% Higher ^(a)		Betas 10% Lower ^(a)	
	12 Months	13-24 Months	12 Months	13-24 Months
+200 Ramp over 12 months	(4.23) %	(5.44)	(1.64)	(0.90)
+100 Ramp over 12 months	(1.94)	(2.24)	(0.67)	(0.04)
-200 Ramp over 12 months	0.19	(3.39)	(1.56)	(6.03)

(a)Applies a +/- 10% multiple on assumed betas and includes deposit migration assumptions based on the changes in rates.

Economic Value of Equity Sensitivity

The Bancorp also uses EVE as a measurement tool in managing interest rate risk. Whereas the NII sensitivity analysis highlights the impact on forecasted NII on an FTE basis (non-GAAP) over one- and two-year time horizons, EVE is a point-in-time analysis of the economic sensitivity of current positions that incorporates all cash flows over their estimated remaining lives. The EVE of the balance sheet is defined as the discounted present value of all asset and net derivative cash flows less the discounted value of all liability cash flows. Due to this longer horizon, the sensitivity of EVE to changes in the level of interest rates is a measure of longer-term interest rate risk. EVE values only the current balance sheet and does not incorporate the balance growth assumptions used in the NII sensitivity analysis. As with the NII simulation model, assumptions about the timing and variability of existing balance sheet cash flows are critical in the EVE analysis. Particularly important are assumptions driving loan and security prepayments and the expected balance attrition and pricing of indeterminate-lived deposits.

The following table shows the Bancorp's estimated EVE sensitivity profile as of December 31:

TABLE 57: Estimated EVE Sensitivity Profile

Change in Interest Rates (bps)	2022			2021		
	% Change in EVE	ALCO	Policy Limit	% Change in EVE	ALCO	Policy Limit
+200 Shock	(7.53) %		(12.00)	4.51		(12.00)
+100 Shock	(2.72)			3.16		N/A
-25 Shock	N/A			(1.24)		N/A
-200 Shock	1.24		(12.00)	N/A		N/A

The EVE sensitivity is negative in a +200 bps rising-rate scenario and positive in a -200 bps falling-rate scenario at December 31, 2022. The changes in the estimated EVE sensitivity profile from December 31, 2021 were primarily related to the significant deployment of cash and other short-term investments into long-term fixed-rate securities, the execution of new forward-starting receive-fixed hedging transactions and increased deposit repricing betas.

While an instantaneous shift in spot interest rates followed by forward projections is used in this analysis to provide an estimate of exposure, the Bancorp believes that a gradual shift in interest rates would have a much more modest impact. Since EVE measures the discounted present value of cash flows over the estimated lives of instruments, the change in EVE does not directly correlate to the degree that earnings would be impacted over a shorter time horizon (e.g., the current fiscal year). Further, EVE does not account for factors such as future balance sheet growth, changes in product mix, changes in yield curve relationships and changing product spreads that could mitigate or exacerbate the impact of changes in interest rates. The NII simulations and EVE analyses do not necessarily include certain actions that management may undertake to manage risk in response to actual changes in interest rates.

The Bancorp regularly evaluates its exposures to a static balance sheet forecast, LIBOR, SOFR, Prime Rate and other basis risks, yield curve twist risks and embedded options risks. In addition, the impacts on NII on an FTE basis and EVE of extreme changes in interest rates are modeled, wherein the Bancorp employs the use of yield curve shocks and environment-specific scenarios.

Use of Derivatives to Manage Interest Rate Risk

An integral component of the Bancorp's interest rate risk management strategy is its use of derivative instruments to minimize significant fluctuations in earnings caused by changes in market interest rates. Examples of derivative instruments that the Bancorp may use as part of its interest rate risk management strategy include interest rate swaps, interest rate floors, interest rate caps, forward contracts, forward starting interest rate swaps, options, swaptions and TBA securities.

Tables 58 and 59 show all swap and floor positions that are utilized for purposes of managing the Bancorp's exposures to the variability of interest rates. These positions are used to convert the contractual interest rate index of agreed-upon amounts of assets and liabilities (i.e., notional amounts) to another interest rate index, to hedge the exposure to changes in fair value of a recognized asset attributable to changes in

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

the benchmark interest rate or to hedge forecasted transactions for the variability in cash flows attributable to the contractually specified interest rate. The volume, maturity and mix of portfolio swaps change frequently as the Bancorp adjusts its broader interest rate risk management objectives and the balance sheet positions to be hedged. For further information, refer to Note 14 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

The following tables present additional information about the interest rate swaps and floors used in Fifth Third's asset and liability management activities:

TABLE 58: Weighted-Average Maturity, Receive Rate and Pay Rate on Qualifying Hedging Instruments

As of December 31, 2022 (\$ in millions)	Notional Amount	Fair Value	Remaining (years)	Fixed Rate	Index
Interest rate swaps related to C&I loans – cash flow – receive-fixed	\$ 8,000	(76)	1.0	3.02 %	1 ML
Interest rate swaps related to C&I loans – cash flow – receive-fixed – forward starting ^(a)	11,000	22	8.3	3.05	1 ML
Interest rate swaps related to commercial mortgage and commercial construction loans – cash flow – receive-fixed	4,000	(25)	2.1	0.99	1 ML
Interest rate swaps related to commercial mortgage and commercial construction loans – cash flow – receive-fixed – forward starting ^(a)	4,000	5	9.1	3.50	1 ML
Interest rate swaps related to long-term debt – fair value – receive-fixed	5,955	(69)	5.9	5.18	1 ML / 3 ML / SOFR
Total interest rate swaps	\$ 32,955	(143)			
Interest rate floors related to C&I loans – cash flow – receive-fixed	\$ 3,000	4	2.0	2.25	1 ML

(a) Forward starting swaps will become effective on various dates between February 2023 and February 2025.

TABLE 59: Weighted-Average Maturity, Receive Rate and Pay Rate on Qualifying Hedging Instruments

As of December 31, 2021 (\$ in millions)	Notional Amount	Fair Value	Remaining (years)	Fixed Rate	Index
Interest rate swaps related to C&I loans – cash flow – receive-fixed	\$ 8,000	(1)	2.0	3.02 %	1 ML
Interest rate swaps related to commercial mortgage and commercial construction loans – cash flow – receive-fixed	4,000	—	3.1	0.99	1 ML
Interest rate swaps related to long-term debt – fair value – receive-fixed	1,955	391	8.4	4.93	1 ML / 3 ML
Interest rate swaps related to available-for-sale debt and other securities – fair value – receive-floating / pay-fixed	445	7	7.4	2.40	1 ML
Total interest rate swaps	\$ 14,400	397			
Interest rate floors related to C&I loans – cash flow – receive-fixed	\$ 3,000	122	3.0	2.25	1 ML

Additionally, as part of its overall risk management strategy relative to its residential mortgage banking activities, the Bancorp enters into forward contracts accounted for as free-standing derivatives to economically hedge IRLCs that are also considered free-standing derivatives. The Bancorp economically hedges its exposure to residential mortgage loans held for sale through the use of forward contracts and mortgage options as well. See the Residential Mortgage Servicing Rights and Price Risk section for the discussion of the use of derivatives to economically hedge this exposure.

The Bancorp also enters into derivative contracts with major financial institutions to economically hedge market risks assumed in interest rate derivative contracts with commercial customers. Generally, these contracts have similar terms in order to protect the Bancorp from market volatility. Credit risk arises from the possible inability of the counterparties to meet the terms of their contracts, which the Bancorp minimizes through collateral arrangements, approvals, limits and monitoring procedures. The Bancorp has risk limits and internal controls in place to help ensure excessive risk is not being taken in providing this service to customers. These controls include an independent determination of interest rate volatility and potential future exposure on these contracts and counterparty credit approvals performed by independent risk management. For further information, including the notional amount and fair values of these derivatives, refer to Note 14 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Portfolio Loans and Leases and Interest Rate Risk

Although the Bancorp's portfolio loans and leases contain both fixed and floating/adjustable-rate products, the rates of interest earned by the Bancorp on the outstanding balances are generally established for a period of time. The interest rate sensitivity of loans and leases is directly related to the length of time the rate earned is established.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following table summarizes the carrying value of the Bancorp's portfolio loans and leases, excluding interest receivable, disaggregated by scheduled principal repayment, as of December 31, 2022:

TABLE 60: Cash Flows from Portfolio Loans and Leases

(\$ in millions)	Due in 1 year or less	Due after 1 year through 5 years	Due after 5 years through 15 years	Due after 15 years	Total
Commercial and industrial loans	\$ 12,116	41,633	3,459	24	57,232
Commercial mortgage loans	3,109	6,321	1,530	60	11,020
Commercial construction loans	1,723	3,426	251	33	5,433
Commercial leases	639	1,595	393	77	2,704
Total commercial loans and leases	17,587	52,975	5,633	194	76,389
Residential mortgage loans	756	3,115	7,042	6,715	17,628
Home equity	179	851	659	2,350	4,039
Indirect secured consumer loans	3,418	10,555	2,166	413	16,552
Credit card	1,874	—	—	—	1,874
Other consumer loans	1,036	2,217	1,529	216	4,998
Total consumer loans	7,263	16,738	11,396	9,694	45,091
Total portfolio loans and leases	\$ 24,850	69,713	17,029	9,888	121,480

The following table displays a summary of cash flows, excluding interest receivable, occurring after one year for both fixed and floating/adjustable-rate loans and leases as of December 31, 2022:

TABLE 61: Cash Flows from Portfolio Loans and Leases Occurring After One Year

(\$ in millions)	Interest Rate	
	Fixed	Floating or Adjustable
Commercial and industrial loans	\$ 5,165	39,951
Commercial mortgage loans	1,595	6,316
Commercial construction loans	82	3,628
Commercial leases	2,065	—
Total commercial loans and leases	8,907	49,895
Residential mortgage loans	13,971	2,901
Home equity	183	3,677
Indirect secured consumer loans	13,120	14
Other consumer loans	3,674	288
Total consumer loans	30,948	6,880
Total portfolio loans and leases	\$ 39,855	56,775

Residential Mortgage Servicing Rights and Price Risk

The fair value of the residential MSR portfolio was \$1.7 billion and \$1.1 billion at December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively. The value of servicing rights can fluctuate sharply depending on changes in interest rates and other factors. Generally, as interest rates decline and loans are prepaid to take advantage of refinancing, the total value of existing servicing rights declines because no further servicing fees are collected on repaid loans. For further information on the significant drivers and components of the valuation adjustments on MSRs, refer to the Noninterest Income subsection of the Statements of Income Analysis section of MD&A. The Bancorp maintains a non-qualifying hedging strategy relative to its mortgage banking activity in order to manage a portion of the risk associated with changes in the value of its MSR portfolio as a result of changing interest rates. The Bancorp may adjust its hedging strategy to reflect its assessment of the composition of its MSR portfolio, the cost of hedging and the anticipated effectiveness of the hedges given the economic environment. Refer to Note 13 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion on servicing rights and the instruments used to hedge price risk on MSRs.

Foreign Currency Risk

The Bancorp may enter into foreign exchange derivative contracts to economically hedge certain foreign denominated loans. The derivatives are classified as free-standing instruments with the revaluation gain or loss being recorded in other noninterest income in the Consolidated Statements of Income. The balance of the Bancorp's foreign denominated loans at December 31, 2022 and 2021 was \$1.0 billion and \$995 million, respectively. The Bancorp also enters into foreign exchange contracts for the benefit of commercial customers to hedge their exposure to foreign currency fluctuations. Similar to the hedging of price risk from interest rate derivative contracts entered into with commercial customers, the Bancorp also enters into foreign exchange contracts with major financial institutions to economically hedge a substantial portion of the exposure from client driven foreign exchange activity. The Bancorp has risk limits and internal controls in place to help ensure excessive risk is not being taken in providing this service to customers. These controls include an independent determination of currency volatility and potential future exposure on these contracts, counterparty credit approvals and country limits performed by independent risk management.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Commodity Risk

The Bancorp also enters into commodity contracts for the benefit of commercial customers to hedge their exposure to commodity price fluctuations. Similar to the hedging of foreign exchange and price risk from interest rate derivative contracts, the Bancorp also enters into commodity contracts with major financial institutions to economically hedge a substantial portion of the exposure from client driven commodity activity. The Bancorp may also offset this risk with exchange-traded commodity contracts. The Bancorp has risk limits and internal controls in place to help ensure excessive risk is not taken in providing this service to customers. These controls include an independent determination of commodity volatility and potential future exposure on these contracts and counterparty credit approvals performed by independent risk management.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

LIQUIDITY RISK MANAGEMENT

The goal of liquidity management is to provide adequate funds to meet changes in loan and lease demand, unexpected levels of deposit withdrawals and other contractual obligations. Mitigating liquidity risk is accomplished by maintaining liquid assets in the form of cash and investment securities, maintaining sufficient unused borrowing capacity in the debt markets and delivering consistent growth in core deposits. A summary of certain obligations and commitments to make future payments under contracts is included in Note 18 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

The Bancorp's Treasury department manages funding and liquidity based on point-in-time metrics as well as forward-looking projections, which incorporate different sources and uses of funds under base and stress scenarios. Liquidity risk is monitored and managed by the Treasury department with independent oversight provided by ERM, and a series of Policy Limits and Key Risk Indicators are established to ensure risks are managed within the Bancorp's risk tolerance. The Bancorp maintains a contingency funding plan that provides for liquidity stress testing, which assesses the liquidity needs under varying market conditions, time horizons, asset growth rates and other events. The contingency plan provides for ongoing monitoring of unused borrowing capacity and available sources of contingent liquidity to prepare for unexpected liquidity needs and to cover unanticipated events that could affect liquidity. The contingency plan also outlines the Bancorp's response to various levels of liquidity stress and actions that should be taken during various scenarios.

Liquidity risk is monitored and managed for both Fifth Third Bancorp and its subsidiaries. The Bancorp receives substantially all of its liquidity from dividends from its subsidiaries, primarily Fifth Third Bank, National Association. Subsidiary dividends are supplemented with term debt to enable the Bancorp to maintain sufficient liquidity to meet its cash obligations, including debt service and scheduled maturities, common and preferred dividends, unfunded commitments to subsidiaries and other planned capital actions in the form of share repurchases. Liquidity resources are more limited at the Bancorp, making its liquidity position more susceptible to market disruptions. Bancorp liquidity is assessed using a cash coverage horizon, ensuring the entity maintains sufficient liquidity to withstand a period of sustained market disruption while meeting its anticipated obligations over an extended stressed horizon.

The Bancorp's ALCO, which includes senior management representatives and is accountable to the ERMC, monitors and manages liquidity and funding risk within Board-approved policy limits. In addition to the risk management activities of ALCO, the Bancorp has a liquidity risk management function as part of ERM that provides independent oversight of liquidity risk management.

Sources of Funds

The Bancorp's primary sources of funds relate to cash flows from loan and lease repayments, payments from securities related to sales and maturities, the sale or securitization of loans and leases and funds generated by core deposits, in addition to the use of public and private debt offerings.

Table 60 of the Interest Rate and Price Risk Management subsection of the Risk Management section of MD&A presents information about the timing of cash flows from loan and lease repayments. Of the \$51.5 billion of securities in the Bancorp's available-for-sale debt and other securities portfolio at December 31, 2022, \$4.6 billion in principal and interest is expected to be received in the next 12 months and an additional \$4.9 billion is expected to be received in the next 13 to 24 months. For further information on the Bancorp's securities portfolio, refer to the Investment Securities subsection of the Balance Sheet Analysis section of MD&A.

Asset-driven liquidity is provided by the Bancorp's ability to sell or securitize loans and leases. In order to reduce the exposure to interest rate fluctuations and to manage liquidity, the Bancorp has developed securitization and sale procedures for several types of interest-sensitive assets. A majority of the long-term, fixed-rate single-family residential mortgage loans underwritten according to FHLMC or FNMA guidelines are sold for cash upon origination. Additional assets such as certain other residential mortgage loans, certain commercial loans and leases, home equity loans, automobile loans and other consumer loans are also capable of being securitized or sold. The Bancorp sold loans and leases totaling \$13.5 billion during the year ended December 31, 2022 compared to \$17.5 billion during the year ended December 31, 2021. For further information, refer to Note 13 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Core deposits have historically provided the Bancorp with a sizeable source of relatively stable and low-cost funds. The Bancorp's average core deposits and average shareholders' equity funded 87% and 90% of its average total assets for the years ended December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively. In addition to core deposit funding, the Bancorp also accesses a variety of other short-term and long-term funding sources, which include the use of the FHLB system. Management does not rely on any one source of liquidity and manages availability in response to changing balance sheet needs.

In June of 2022, the Board of Directors authorized \$5.0 billion of debt or other securities for issuance, of which \$3.0 billion of debt or other securities were available for issuance as of December 31, 2022. The Bancorp is authorized to file any necessary registration statements with the SEC to permit ready access to the public securities markets; however, access to these markets may depend on market conditions. The Bancorp issued and sold fixed-rate/floating-rate senior notes of \$1 billion in April of 2022, \$1 billion in July of 2022 and \$1 billion in October of 2022 as further discussed in Note 17 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

As of December 31, 2022, the Bank's global bank note program had a borrowing capacity of \$25.0 billion, of which \$20.3 billion was available for issuance. The Bank issued and sold fixed-rate/floating-rate senior notes of \$1 billion in October of 2022 as further discussed in

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Note 17 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. Additionally, at December 31, 2022, the Bank had approximately \$51.0 billion of borrowing capacity available through secured borrowing sources, including the FRB and FHLB.

Current Liquidity Position

The Bancorp maintains a strong liquidity profile driven by strong core deposit funding and over \$100 billion in current available liquidity. The Bancorp is managing liquidity prudently in the current environment and maintains a liquidity profile focused on core deposit and stable long-term funding sources, while supplementing with a variety of secured and unsecured wholesale funding sources across the maturity spectrum, which allows for the effective management of concentration and rollover risk. A significant portion of the Bancorp's excess cash and other short-term investments have been invested in long-term fixed-rate securities since the start of 2022. The Bancorp's investment portfolio remains highly concentrated in liquid and readily marketable instruments and is a significant source of secured borrowing capacity.

As of December 31, 2022, the Bancorp (parent company) has sufficient liquidity to meet contractual obligations and all preferred and common dividends without accessing the capital markets or receiving upstream dividends from the Bank subsidiary for 24 months.

The Bancorp and its subsidiaries, on a consolidated basis, have certain obligations and commitments to make future payments under various types of contracts. In addition to commitments to extend credit and letters of credit (which are further discussed in Note 18 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements), these include deposits, lease obligations, partnership investment commitments, derivative contracts, borrowings, and pension benefit payments. Refer to the Deposits subsection of the Balance Sheet Analysis section of MD&A and Notes 9, 12, 14, 16, 17 and 22 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information on these contractual obligations.

Credit Ratings

The cost and availability of financing to the Bancorp and Bank are impacted by its credit ratings. A downgrade to the Bancorp's or Bank's credit ratings could affect its ability to access the credit markets and increase its borrowing costs, thereby adversely impacting the Bancorp's or Bank's financial condition and liquidity. Key factors in maintaining high credit ratings include a stable and diverse earnings stream, strong credit quality, strong capital ratios and diverse funding sources, in addition to disciplined liquidity monitoring procedures.

The Bancorp's and Bank's credit ratings are summarized in Table 62. The ratings reflect the ratings agency's view on the Bancorp's and Bank's capacity to meet financial commitments.*

**As an investor, you should be aware that a security rating is not a recommendation to buy, sell or hold securities, that it may be subject to revision or withdrawal at any time by the assigning rating organization and that each rating should be evaluated independently of any other rating. Additional information on the credit rating ranking within the overall classification system is located on the website of each credit rating agency.*

TABLE 62: Agency Ratings

As of February 24, 2023	Moody's	Standard and Poor's	Fitch	DBRS Morningstar
Fifth Third Bancorp:				
Short-term borrowings	No rating	A-2	F1	R-1L
Senior debt	Baa1	BBB+	A-	A
Subordinated debt	Baa1	BBB	BBB+	AL
Fifth Third Bank, National Association:				
Short-term borrowings	P-2	A-2	F1	R-1M
Short-term deposit	P-1	No rating	F1	No rating
Long-term deposit	A1	No rating	A	AH
Senior debt	A3	A-	A-	AH
Subordinated debt	A3	BBB+	BBB+	A
Rating Agency Outlook for Fifth Third Bancorp and Fifth Third Bank, National Association:				
	Stable	Stable	Stable	Stable

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

OPERATIONAL RISK MANAGEMENT

Operational risk is the risk to current or projected financial condition and resilience arising from inadequate or failed internal processes or systems, human errors or misconduct or adverse external events that are neither market- nor credit-related. Operational risk is inherent in the Bancorp's activities and can manifest itself in various ways, including fraudulent acts, business interruptions, inappropriate behavior of employees, unintentional failure to comply with applicable laws and regulations, poor design or delivery of products and services, cyber-security or physical security incidents and privacy breaches or failure of third parties to perform in accordance with their arrangements. These events could result in financial losses, litigation and regulatory fines, as well as other damage to the Bancorp. The Bancorp's risk management goal is to keep operational risk at appropriate levels consistent with the Bancorp's risk appetite, financial strength, the characteristics of its businesses, the markets in which it operates and the competitive and regulatory environment to which it is subject.

To control, monitor and govern operational risk, the Bancorp maintains an overall Enterprise Risk Management Framework which comprises governance oversight, risk assessment, capital measurement, monitoring and reporting as well as a formal three lines of defense approach. ERM is responsible for prescribing the framework to the lines of business and corporate functions and providing independent oversight of its implementation (second line of defense). Business Controls groups are in place in each of the lines of business to ensure consistent implementation and execution of managing day-to-day operational risk (first line of defense).

The Bancorp's enterprise risk management framework consists of five integrated components, including identifying, assessing, managing, monitoring and independent governance reporting of risk. The corporate Operational Risk Management function within Enterprise Risk is responsible for developing and overseeing the implementation of the Bancorp's approach to managing operational risk. This includes providing governance, awareness and training, tools, guidance and oversight to support implementation of key risk programs and systems as they relate to operational risk management. These include programs, such as risk and control self-assessments, product delivery risk assessments, scenario analysis, new product/initiative risk reviews, key risk indicators, Third-Party Risk Management, cyber-security risk management, review of operational losses and monitoring of significant organizational or process changes. The function is also responsible for developing reports that support the proactive management of operational risk across the enterprise. The lines of business and corporate functions are responsible for managing the operational risks associated with their areas in accordance with the enterprise risk management framework. The framework is intended to enable the Bancorp to function with a sound and well-controlled operational environment. These processes support the Bancorp's goals to minimize future operational losses and strengthen the Bancorp's performance by maintaining sufficient capital to absorb operational losses that are incurred.

The Bancorp also maintains a robust information security program to support the management of cyber-security risk within the organization with a focus on prevention, detection and recovery processes. Fifth Third utilizes a wide array of techniques to secure its operations and proprietary information such as Board-approved policies and programs, network monitoring and testing, access controls and dedicated security personnel. Fifth Third has adopted the National Institute of Standards and Technology Cybersecurity Framework for the management and deployment of cyber-security controls and is an active participant in the financial sector information sharing organization structure, known as the Financial Services Information Sharing and Analysis Center. To ensure resiliency of key Bancorp functions, Fifth Third also employs redundancy protocols that include a robust business continuity function that works to mitigate any potential impacts to Fifth Third customers and its systems.

Fifth Third also focuses on the reporting and escalation of operational control issues to senior management and the Board of Directors. The Operational Risk Committee is the key committee that oversees and supports Fifth Third in the management of operational risk across the enterprise. The Information Security Governance Committee and Model Risk Committee report to the Operational Risk Committee and are responsible for governance of information security and model risks. The Operational Risk Committee reports to the ERMC, which reports to the RCC of the Board of Directors of Fifth Third Bancorp and Fifth Third Bank, National Association.

Increased external threats have elevated fraud and cyber-security risks. The Bancorp's strategic initiatives also have the potential to increase operational risk as changes to process and technology are implemented. Additionally, to the extent utilized in executing these changes, other factors such as increased reliance on third parties and increased use of emerging and cloud-based technologies may also introduce additional operational risk considerations. These risks continue to be carefully managed and monitored to ensure effective controls are in place, with appropriate oversight and governance by the second line of defense.

The Bancorp is aware of and actively monitoring climate-related risks. Climate-related risks could impact the Bancorp in the form of physical risks due to acute or chronic weather related events that could disrupt the operations of the Bancorp, or could impair the ability of clients to meet financial obligations. The Bancorp also faces transition risk resulting from economic transition towards a lower-carbon future which may negatively impact some clients or present credit, strategic or reputational risks to the Bancorp.

Climate risk is a priority for management and accordingly the Board oversees both the RCC and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. The RCC is responsible for overseeing the development and implementation of Fifth Third's Enterprise Risk Management Framework including climate risks. In the course of business, the Bancorp's Environmental Risk Group works with partners to manage or mitigate environmental risks including climate-related risks. As part of its larger environmental, social and governance responsibilities the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is responsible for overseeing climate strategy and climate-related issues in the context of stakeholder concerns.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

LEGAL AND REGULATORY COMPLIANCE RISK MANAGEMENT

Legal and regulatory compliance risk is the risk of legal or regulatory sanctions, financial loss or damage to reputation as a result of noncompliance with (i) applicable laws, regulations, rules and other regulatory requirements (including but not limited to the risk of consumers experiencing economic loss or other legal harm as a result of noncompliance with consumer protection laws, regulations and requirements); (ii) internal policies and procedures, standards of best practice or codes of conduct; and (iii) principles of integrity and fair dealing applicable to Fifth Third's activities and functions. Legal risks include the risk of actions against the institution that result in unenforceable contracts, lawsuits, legal sanctions, or adverse judgments, which disrupt or otherwise negatively affect the operations or condition of the institution. Failure to effectively manage such risks can elevate the risk level or manifest itself as other types of key risks, including reputational or operational risk. Fifth Third focuses on managing legal and regulatory compliance risk in accordance with the Bancorp's integrated enterprise risk management framework, which ensures consistent processes for identifying, assessing, managing, monitoring and reporting risks. The Bancorp's risk management goal is to keep compliance risk at appropriate levels, consistent with the Bancorp's risk appetite.

To mitigate such risks, Compliance Risk Management provides independent oversight to foster consistency and sufficiency in the execution of the program, and ensures that lines of business and support functions are adequately identifying, assessing and monitoring legal and regulatory compliance risks and adopting proper mitigation strategies. Moreover, such strategies are modified from time to time to respond to new or emerging risks in the environment. Compliance Risk Management and the Legal Division provide guidance to the lines of business and enterprise functions, which are ultimately responsible for managing such risks associated with their areas. The Chief Compliance Officer is responsible for formulating and directing the strategy, development, implementation, communication and maintenance of the Compliance Risk Management program, which implements key compliance processes, including but not limited to, executive- and board-level governance and reporting routines, compliance-related policies, risk assessments, key risk indicators, issues tracking, regulatory change management and regulatory compliance testing and monitoring. As part of Compliance Risk Management, the Financial Crimes Division conducts and oversees anti-money laundering and economic sanctions processes. Compliance Risk Management partners with the Community and Economic Development team to oversee the Bancorp's compliance with the Community Reinvestment Act.

Fifth Third also reports and escalates legal and regulatory compliance issues to senior management and the Board of Directors. The Management Compliance Committee, which is chaired by the Chief Compliance Officer, is the key committee that oversees and supports Fifth Third in the management of compliance risk across the enterprise. The Management Compliance Committee oversees Bancorp-wide compliance issues, industry best practices, legislative developments, regulatory concerns and other leading indicators of legal and regulatory compliance risk. The Management Compliance Committee reports to the ERM, which reports to the RCC of the Board of Directors of Fifth Third Bancorp and Fifth Third Bank, National Association.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

CAPITAL MANAGEMENT

Management regularly reviews the Bancorp's capital levels to help ensure it is appropriately positioned under various operating environments. The Bancorp has established a Capital Committee which is responsible for making capital plan recommendations to management. These recommendations are reviewed by the ERMC and the annual capital plan is approved by the Board of Directors. The Capital Committee is responsible for execution and oversight of the capital actions of the capital plan.

Regulatory Capital Ratios

The Basel III Final Rule sets minimum regulatory capital ratios as well as defines the measure of "well-capitalized" for insured depository institutions. For additional information regarding the prescribed capital ratios, refer to Note 29 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

The Bancorp is subject to the stress capital buffer requirement and must maintain capital ratios above its buffered minimum (regulatory minimum plus stress capital buffer) in order to avoid certain limitations on capital distributions and discretionary bonuses to executive officers. The FRB uses the supervisory stress test to determine the Bancorp's stress capital buffer, subject to a floor of 2.5%. The Bancorp's stress capital buffer requirement has been 2.5% since the introduction of this framework and was most recently affirmed as part of the FRB's 2022 supervisory stress test with an effective date of October 1, 2022. The Bancorp's capital ratios have exceeded the stress capital buffer requirement for all periods presented.

The Bancorp adopted ASU 2016-13 on January 1, 2020 and elected the five-year transition phase-in option for the impact of CECL on regulatory capital with its regulatory filings as of March 31, 2020. The Bancorp's modified CECL transition amount became subject to the phase-out provisions of the final rule on January 1, 2022, and will be fully phased-out by January 1, 2025. The impact of the modified CECL transition amount on the Bancorp's regulatory capital at December 31, 2022 was an increase in capital of approximately \$373 million. On a fully phased-in basis, the Bancorp's CET1 ratio would be reduced by 21 bps as of December 31, 2022.

The following table summarizes the Bancorp's capital ratios as of December 31:

TABLE 63: Capital Ratios

(\$ in millions)	2022	2021	2020
Average total Bancorp shareholders' equity as a percent of average assets	9.22 %	11.06	11.61
Tangible equity as a percent of tangible assets ^{(a),(b)}	8.31	7.97	8.18
Tangible common equity as a percent of tangible assets ^{(a),(b)}	7.30	6.94	7.11
Regulatory capital: ^(c)			
CET1 capital	\$ 15,670	14,781	14,682
Tier 1 capital	17,786	16,897	16,797
Total regulatory capital	21,606	20,789	21,412
Risk-weighted assets	168,909	154,860	141,974
Regulatory capital ratios: ^(c)			
CET1 capital	9.28 %	9.54	10.34
Tier 1 risk-based capital	10.53	10.91	11.83
Total risk-based capital	12.79	13.42	15.08
Leverage	8.56	8.27	8.49

^(a)These are non-GAAP measures. For further information, refer to the Non-GAAP Financial Measures section of MD&A.

^(b)Excludes AOCI.

^(c)Regulatory capital ratios as of December 31, 2022 are calculated pursuant to the five-year transition provision option to phase in the effects of CECL on regulatory capital.

Capital Planning

In 2011, the FRB adopted the capital plan rule, which requires BHCs with consolidated assets of \$50 billion or more to submit annual capital plans to the FRB for review. Under the rule, these capital plans must include detailed descriptions of the following: the BHC's internal processes for assessing capital adequacy; the policies governing capital actions such as common stock issuances, dividends and share repurchases; and all planned capital actions over a nine-quarter planning horizon. Furthermore, each BHC must report to the FRB the results of stress tests conducted by the BHC under a number of scenarios that assess the sources and uses of capital under baseline and stressed economic conditions.

Under the Enhanced Prudential Standards tailoring rules, the Bancorp is subject to Category IV standards, under which the Bancorp is no longer required to file semi-annual, company-run stress tests with the FRB and publicly disclose the results. However, the Bancorp is required to develop and maintain a capital plan approved by the Board of Directors on an annual basis. As an institution subject to Category IV standards, the Bancorp is subject to the FRB's supervisory stress tests every two years, the Board capital plan rule and certain FR Y-14 reporting requirements. The supervisory stress tests are forward-looking quantitative evaluations of the impact of stressful economic and financial market conditions on the Bancorp's capital. The Bancorp became subject to Category IV standards on December 31, 2019, and the requirements outlined above apply to the stress test cycle that started on January 1, 2020. The Bancorp was subject to the 2022 supervisory

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

stress test conducted by the FRB and submitted the Board-approved capital plan and information contained in Schedule C - Regulatory Capital Instruments as required.

Dividend Policy and Stock Repurchase Program

The Bancorp's common stock dividend policy and stock repurchase program reflect its earnings outlook, desired payout ratios, the need to maintain adequate capital levels, the ability of its subsidiaries to pay dividends and the need to comply with safe and sound banking practices as well as meet regulatory requirements and expectations. The Bancorp declared dividends per common share of \$1.26 and \$1.14 during the years ended December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively.

In June of 2019, the Board of Directors authorized the Bancorp to repurchase up to 100 million common shares in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions and to utilize any derivative or similar instrument to effect share repurchase transactions. Under this authorization, the Bancorp entered into and settled one accelerated share repurchase transaction during the year ended December 31, 2022. Refer to Note 24 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information on the accelerated share repurchase activity.

The following table summarizes shares authorized for repurchase as part of publicly announced plans or programs:

TABLE 64: Share Repurchases

For the years ended December 31	2022	2021
Shares authorized for repurchase at January 1	40,785,269	76,437,348
Additional authorizations	—	—
Share repurchases ^(a)	(3,079,462)	(35,652,079)
Shares authorized for repurchase at December 31	37,705,807	40,785,269
Average price paid per share ^(a)	\$ 32.47	39.07

(a) Excludes 1,891,160 and 2,793,463 shares repurchased during the years ended December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively, in connection with various employee compensation plans. These purchases are not included in the calculation for average price paid per share and do not count against the maximum number of shares that may yet be repurchased under the Board of Directors' authorization.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

This information is set forth in the Interest Rate and Price Risk Management section of Item 7 (Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations) of this Report and is incorporated herein by reference. This information contains certain statements that we believe are forward-looking statements. Refer to page 19 for cautionary information regarding forward-looking statements.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

117 Fifth Third Bancorp

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the shareholders and the Board of Directors of Fifth Third Bancorp:

Opinion on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Fifth Third Bancorp and subsidiaries (the "Bancorp") as of December 31, 2022 and 2021, the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, changes in equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2022, and the related notes (collectively referred to as the "financial statements"). In our opinion, the financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Bancorp as of December 31, 2022 and 2021, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2022, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (PCAOB), the Bancorp's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2022, based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated February 24, 2023 expressed an unqualified opinion on the Bancorp's internal control over financial reporting.

Basis for Opinion

These financial statements are the responsibility of the Bancorp's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Bancorp's financial statements based on our audits. We are a public accounting firm registered with the PCAOB and are required to be independent with respect to the Bancorp in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement, whether due to error or fraud. Our audits included performing procedures to assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to error or fraud, and performing procedures that respond to those risks. Such procedures included examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. Our audits also included evaluating the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

Critical Audit Matter

The critical audit matter communicated below is a matter arising from the current-period audit of the financial statements that was communicated or required to be communicated to the audit committee and that (1) relates to accounts or disclosures that are material to the financial statements and (2) involved our especially challenging, subjective, or complex judgments. The communication of critical audit matters does not alter in any way our opinion on the financial statements, taken as a whole, and we are not, by communicating the critical audit matter below, providing a separate opinion on the critical audit matter or on the accounts or disclosures to which it relates.

Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses ("ALLL") — Qualitative Factors — Commercial Loans—Refer to Note 1 and Note 6 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Critical Audit Matter Description

The Bancorp maintains the ALLL to absorb the amount of credit losses that are expected to be incurred over the remaining contractual terms of the related loans and leases. The Bancorp's methodology for determining the ALLL includes an estimate of expected credit losses on a collective basis for groups of loans and leases with similar risk characteristics and specific allowances for loans and leases which are individually evaluated.

For collectively evaluated loans and leases, the Bancorp uses models to forecast expected credit losses based on the probability of a loan or lease defaulting, the expected balance at the estimated date of default and the expected loss percentage given a default. The Bancorp also considers qualitative factors in determining the ALLL. These considerations inherently require significant management judgment to determine the appropriate factors to be considered and the extent of their impact on the ALLL estimate. Qualitative factors are used to capture characteristics in the portfolio that impact expected credit losses but that are not fully captured within the Bancorp's expected credit loss models. When evaluating the adequacy of allowances, consideration is given to the effect that changing economic conditions may have on the Bancorp's customers.

[Table of Contents](#)

Overall, the collective evaluation process requires significant management judgment when determining the estimation methodology and inputs into the models, as well as in evaluating the reasonableness of the modeled results and the appropriateness of qualitative adjustments.

At December 31, 2022, the key qualitative factors included adjustments to the expected credit losses on the commercial loan portfolio associated with the current economic environment.

The ALLL for the commercial portfolio segment was \$1.1 billion at December 31, 2022, which includes adjustments for the qualitative factors noted above.

Considering the estimation and judgment in determining adjustments for such qualitative factors, our audit of the ALLL and the related disclosures involved subjective judgment about the qualitative adjustments to the commercial portfolio segment ALLL.

How the Critical Audit Matter Was Addressed in the Audit

Our audit procedures related to the qualitative adjustments for the commercial portfolio segment ALLL included the following, among others:

- We tested the effectiveness of the Bancorp's controls over the qualitative adjustments to the ALLL.
- We assessed the reasonableness of, and evaluated support for, key qualitative adjustments based on market conditions, external market data and commercial portfolio performance metrics.
- We tested the completeness and accuracy and evaluated the relevance of the key data used as inputs to the qualitative adjustment estimation process, including:
 - Portfolio segment loan balances and other borrower-specific data
 - Relevant macroeconomic indicators and data
- With the assistance of our credit specialists, we tested the mathematical accuracy of the underlying support used as a basis for the qualitative adjustments.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

Cincinnati, Ohio
February 24, 2023

We have served as the Company's auditor since 1970.

119 Fifth Third Bancorp

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

As of December 31 (\$ in millions, except share data)	2022	2021
Assets		
Cash and due from banks	\$ 3,466	2,994
Other short-term investments ^(a)	8,351	34,572
Available-for-sale debt and other securities ^(b)	51,503	38,110
Held-to-maturity securities ^(c)	5	8
Trading debt securities	414	512
Equity securities	317	376
Loans and leases held for sale ^(d)	1,007	4,415
Portfolio loans and leases ^{(a)(e)}	121,480	112,050
Allowance for loan and lease losses ^(a)	(2,194)	(1,892)
Portfolio loans and leases, net	119,286	110,158
Bank premises and equipment ^(f)	2,187	2,120
Operating lease equipment	627	616
Goodwill	4,915	4,514
Intangible assets	169	156
Servicing rights	1,746	1,121
Other assets ^(a)	13,459	11,444
Total Assets	\$ 207,452	211,116
Liabilities		
Deposits:		
Noninterest-bearing deposits	\$ 53,125	65,088
Interest-bearing deposits	110,565	104,236
Total deposits	163,690	169,324
Federal funds purchased	180	281
Other short-term borrowings	4,838	980
Accrued taxes, interest and expenses	1,822	2,233
Other liabilities ^(a)	5,881	4,267
Long-term debt ^(a)	13,714	11,821
Total Liabilities	\$ 190,125	188,906
Equity		
Common stock ^(g)	\$ 2,051	2,051
Preferred stock ^(h)	2,116	2,116
Capital surplus	3,684	3,624
Retained earnings	21,689	20,236
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income	(5,110)	1,207
Treasury stock ^(g)	(7,103)	(7,024)
Total Equity	\$ 17,327	22,210
Total Liabilities and Equity	\$ 207,452	211,116

(a) Includes \$17 and \$24 of other short-term investments, \$185 and \$322 of portfolio loans and leases, \$(2) and \$(2) of ALLL, \$2 and \$2 of other assets, \$9 and \$1 of other liabilities and \$118 and \$263 of long-term debt from consolidated VIEs that are included in their respective captions above at December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively. For further information, refer to Note 12.

(b) Amortized cost of \$57,530 and \$36,941 at December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively.

(c) Fair value of \$5 and \$8 at December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively.

(d) Includes \$600 and \$1,023 of residential mortgage loans held for sale measured at fair value at December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively.

(e) Includes \$123 and \$154 of residential mortgage loans measured at fair value at December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively.

(f) Includes \$24 of bank premises and equipment held for sale at both December 31, 2022 and 2021. For further information, refer to Note 7.

(g) Common shares: Stated value \$2.22 per share; authorized 2,000,000,000; outstanding at December 31, 2022 – 683,385,880 (excludes 240,506,701 treasury shares), 2021 – 682,777,664 (excludes 241,114,917 treasury shares).

(h) 500,000 shares of no par value preferred stock were authorized at both December 31, 2022 and 2021. There were 422,000 unissued shares of undesignated no par value preferred stock at both December 31, 2022 and 2021. Each issued share of no par value preferred stock has a liquidation preference of \$25,000. 500,000 shares of no par value Class B preferred stock were authorized at both December 31, 2022 and 2021. There were 300,000 unissued shares of undesignated no par value Class B preferred stock at both December 31, 2022 and 2021. Each issued share of no par value Class B preferred stock has a liquidation preference of \$1,000.

Refer to the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

For the years ended December 31 (\$ in millions, except share data)	2022	2021	2020
Interest Income			
Interest and fees on loans and leases	\$ 4,954	4,079	4,424
Interest on securities	1,517	1,090	1,119
Interest on other short-term investments	116	42	29
Total interest income	6,587	5,211	5,572
Interest Expense			
Interest on deposits	447	59	322
Interest on federal funds purchased	6	—	2
Interest on other short-term borrowings	108	2	14
Interest on long-term debt	417	380	452
Total interest expense	978	441	790
Net Interest Income	5,609	4,770	4,782
Provision for (benefit from) credit losses	563	(377)	1,097
Net Interest Income After Provision for (Benefit from) Credit Losses	5,046	5,147	3,685
Noninterest Income			
Service charges on deposits	589	600	559
Wealth and asset management revenue	570	586	520
Commercial banking revenue	565	637	528
Card and processing revenue	409	402	352
Leasing business revenue	237	300	276
Mortgage banking net revenue	215	270	320
Other noninterest income	265	332	211
Securities (losses) gains, net	(82)	(7)	62
Securities (losses) gains, net - non-qualifying hedges on mortgage servicing rights	(2)	(2)	2
Total noninterest income	2,766	3,118	2,830
Noninterest Expense			
Compensation and benefits	2,554	2,626	2,590
Technology and communications	416	388	362
Net occupancy expense	307	312	350
Equipment expense	145	138	130
Leasing business expense	131	137	140
Marketing expense	118	107	104
Card and processing expense	80	89	121
Other noninterest expense	968	951	921
Total noninterest expense	4,719	4,748	4,718
Income Before Income Taxes	3,093	3,517	1,797
Applicable income tax expense	647	747	370
Net Income	2,446	2,770	1,427
Dividends on preferred stock	116	111	104
Net Income Available to Common Shareholders	\$ 2,330	2,659	1,323
Earnings per share - basic	\$ 3.38	3.78	1.84
Earnings per share - diluted	\$ 3.35	3.73	1.83
Average common shares outstanding - basic	688,633,659	702,188,552	714,729,585
Average common shares outstanding - diluted	694,952,038	711,197,805	719,735,415

Refer to the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

121 Fifth Third Bancorp

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

For the years ended December 31 (\$ in millions)	2022	2021	2020
Net Income	\$ 2,446	2,770	1,427
Other Comprehensive (Loss) Income, Net of Tax:			
Net unrealized (losses) gains on available-for-sale debt securities:			
Unrealized holding (losses) gains arising during the year	(5,478)	(1,043)	1,153
Reclassification adjustment for net (gains) losses included in net income	(2)	3	(34)
Net unrealized (losses) gains on cash flow hedge derivatives:			
Unrealized holding (losses) gains arising during the year	(774)	(142)	483
Reclassification adjustment for net gains included in net income	(77)	(223)	(187)
Defined benefit pension plans, net:			
Net actuarial gain (loss) arising during the year	9	4	(9)
Reclassification of amounts to net periodic benefit costs	5	7	7
Other	—	—	(4)
Other comprehensive (loss) income, net of tax	(6,317)	(1,394)	1,409
Comprehensive (Loss) Income	\$ (3,871)	1,376	2,836

Refer to the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.
122 Fifth Third Bancorp

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN EQUITY

(\$ in millions, except per share data)	Common Stock	Preferred Stock	Capital Surplus	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Treasury Stock	Total Equity
Balance at December 31, 2019	\$ 2,051	1,770	3,599	18,315	1,192	(5,724)	21,203
Impact of cumulative effect of change in accounting principle				(472)			(472)
Balance at January 1, 2020	\$ 2,051	1,770	3,599	17,843	1,192	(5,724)	20,731
Net income				1,427			1,427
Other comprehensive income, net of tax					1,409		1,409
Cash dividends declared:							
Common stock (\$1.08 per share)				(780)			(780)
Preferred stock: ^(a)							
Series H (\$1,275.00 per share)				(31)			(31)
Series I (\$1,656.24 per share)				(30)			(30)
Series J (\$1,043.48 per share)				(12)			(12)
Series K (\$1,237.52 per share)				(12)			(12)
Series L (\$468.75 per share)				(7)			(7)
Class B, Series A (\$60.00 per share)				(12)			(12)
Issuance of preferred stock		346					346
Impact of stock transactions under stock compensation plans, net			36			46	82
Other				(2)		2	—
Balance at December 31, 2020	\$ 2,051	2,116	3,635	18,384	2,601	(5,676)	23,111
Net income				2,770			2,770
Other comprehensive loss, net of tax					(1,394)		(1,394)
Cash dividends declared:							
Common stock (\$1.14 per share)				(805)			(805)
Preferred stock: ^(a)							
Series H (\$1,275.00 per share)				(31)			(31)
Series I (\$1,656.24 per share)				(30)			(30)
Series J (\$839.62 per share)				(10)			(10)
Series K (\$1,237.50 per share)				(12)			(12)
Series L (\$1,125.00 per share)				(16)			(16)
Class B, Series A (\$60.00 per share)				(12)			(12)
Shares acquired for treasury						(1,393)	(1,393)
Impact of stock transactions under stock compensation plans, net			(11)			44	33
Other				(2)		1	(1)
Balance at December 31, 2021	\$ 2,051	2,116	3,624	20,236	1,207	(7,024)	22,210

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN EQUITY (continued)

(\$ in millions, except per share data)	Common Stock	Preferred Stock	Capital Surplus	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive (Loss) Income	Treasury Stock	Total Equity
Balance at December 31, 2021	\$ 2,051	2,116	3,624	20,236	1,207	(7,024)	22,210
Net income				2,446			2,446
Other comprehensive loss, net of tax					(6,317)		(6,317)
Cash dividends declared:							
Common stock (\$1.26 per share)				(877)			(877)
Preferred stock: ^(a)							
Series H (\$1,275.00 per share)				(31)			(31)
Series I (\$1,656.24 per share)				(30)			(30)
Series J (\$1,249.19 per share)				(15)			(15)
Series K (\$1,237.50 per share)				(12)			(12)
Series L (\$1,125.00 per share)				(16)			(16)
Class B, Series A (\$60.00 per share)				(12)			(12)
Shares acquired for treasury						(100)	(100)
Impact of stock transactions under stock compensation plans, net			60			21	81
Balance at December 31, 2022	\$ 2,051	2,116	3,684	21,689	(5,110)	(7,103)	17,327

(a) Refer to Note 24 for further information on dividends declared for preferred stock.

Refer to the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

124 Fifth Third Bancorp

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

For the years ended December 31 (\$ in millions)	2022	2021	2020
Operating Activities			
Net income	\$ 2,446	2,770	1,427
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Provision for (benefit from) credit losses	563	(377)	1,097
Depreciation, amortization and accretion	436	349	492
Stock-based compensation expense	165	120	123
Benefit from deferred income taxes	(60)	(14)	(162)
Securities losses (gains), net	84	16	(69)
MSR fair value adjustment	(177)	139	565
Net gains on sales of loans and fair value adjustments on loans held for sale	(126)	(335)	(291)
Net (gains) losses on disposition and impairment of bank premises and equipment and operating lease equipment	(1)	10	26
Gain on sale of HSA deposit portfolio	—	(60)	—
Gain on the TRA associated with Worldpay, Inc.	(46)	(46)	(74)
Proceeds from sales of loans held for sale	13,123	17,204	12,481
Loans originated or purchased for sale, net of repayments	(10,239)	(16,888)	(14,767)
Dividends representing return on equity investments	50	55	17
Net change in:			
Equity and trading debt securities	70	15	12
Other assets	646	(37)	(855)
Accrued taxes, interest and expenses and other liabilities	(506)	(217)	349
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities	6,428	2,704	371
Investing Activities			
Proceeds from sales:			
AFS securities and other investments	4,359	3,125	1,743
Loans and leases	155	718	157
Bank premises and equipment	2	19	33
Proceeds from repayments / maturities of AFS and HTM securities and other investments	4,495	6,079	3,646
Purchases:			
AFS securities and other investments	(29,714)	(11,713)	(5,266)
Bank premises and equipment	(348)	(309)	(305)
MSRs	(213)	(381)	(44)
Proceeds from settlement of BOLI	49	24	19
Proceeds from sales and dividends representing return of equity investments	87	63	69
Net cash received for divestitures	66	—	19
Net cash paid on acquisitions	(917)	(297)	(23)
Net cash paid on sale of HSA deposit portfolio	—	(431)	—
Net change in:			
Other short-term investments	26,224	(1,172)	(31,446)
Portfolio loans and leases	(8,992)	(3,721)	(451)
Operating lease equipment	(124)	28	(53)
Net Cash Used in Investing Activities	(4,871)	(7,968)	(31,902)
Financing Activities			
Net change in deposits	(5,994)	10,734	32,019
Net change in other short-term borrowings and federal funds purchased	3,757	(193)	182
Dividends paid on common and preferred stock	(927)	(897)	(858)
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt	4,026	562	2,557
Repayment of long-term debt	(1,762)	(3,603)	(2,799)
Repurchases of treasury stock and related forward contract	(100)	(1,393)	—
Issuance of preferred stock	—	—	346
Other	(85)	(99)	(47)
Net Cash (Used in) Provided by Financing Activities	(1,085)	5,111	31,400
Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Due from Banks	472	(153)	(131)
Cash and Due from Banks at Beginning of Period	2,994	3,147	3,278
Cash and Due from Banks at End of Period	\$ 3,466	2,994	3,147

Refer to the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. Note 2 contains cash payments related to interest and income taxes in addition to non-cash investing and financing activities.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Summary of Significant Accounting and Reporting Policies

Nature of Operations

Fifth Third Bancorp, an Ohio corporation, conducts its principal lending, deposit gathering, transaction processing and service advisory activities through its banking and non-banking subsidiaries from banking centers located throughout the Midwestern and Southeastern regions of the United States as well as through other offices, telephone sales, the internet and mobile applications.

Basis of Presentation

The Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of the Bancorp and its majority-owned subsidiaries and VIEs in which the Bancorp has been determined to be the primary beneficiary. Other entities, including certain joint ventures in which the Bancorp has the ability to exercise significant influence over operating and financial policies of the investee, but upon which the Bancorp does not possess control, are accounted for by the equity method and not consolidated. The investments in those entities in which the Bancorp does not have the ability to exercise significant influence are generally carried at fair value unless the investment does not have a readily determinable fair value. The Bancorp accounts for equity investments without a readily determinable fair value using the measurement alternative to fair value, representing the cost of the investment minus any impairment recorded and plus or minus changes resulting from observable price changes in orderly transactions for an identical or a similar investment of the same issuer. Intercompany transactions and balances among consolidated entities have been eliminated.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Cash and Due from Banks

Cash and due from banks consist of currency and coin, cash items in the process of collection and due from banks. Currency and coin includes both U.S. and foreign currency owned and held at Fifth Third offices and that is in-transit to the FRB. Cash items in the process of collection include checks and drafts that are drawn on another depository institution or the FRB that are payable immediately upon presentation in the U.S. Balances due from banks include noninterest-bearing balances that are funds on deposit at other depository institutions or the FRB.

Investment Securities

Debt securities are classified as held-to-maturity, available-for-sale or trading on the date of purchase. Only those securities which management has the intent and ability to hold to maturity are classified as held-to-maturity and reported at amortized cost. Debt securities are classified as available-for-sale when, in management's judgment, they may be sold in response to, or in anticipation of, changes in market conditions. Debt securities are classified as trading when bought and held principally for the purpose of selling them in the near term. Trading debt securities are reported at fair value with unrealized gains and losses included in noninterest income. Available-for-sale debt securities are reported at fair value with unrealized gains and losses, net of related deferred income taxes, included in OCI. For available-for-sale debt securities hedged in a fair value hedge, the amortized cost basis of the hedged items (excluding unrealized gains and losses) includes the cumulative fair value hedging basis adjustments. Changes in the fair value of these securities which are attributable to changes in the hedged risk are recognized in earnings instead of OCI. Accrued interest receivable on investment securities is presented in the Consolidated Balance Sheets as a component of other assets.

Available-for-sale debt securities with unrealized losses are reviewed quarterly to determine if the decline in fair value is the result of a credit loss or other factors. An allowance for credit losses is recorded against available-for-sale securities to reflect the amount of the unrealized loss attributable to credit; however, this impairment is limited by the amount that the fair value is less than the amortized cost basis. Any remaining unrealized loss is recognized through OCI. Changes in the allowance for credit losses are recognized in earnings.

The determination of whether or not a credit loss exists is based on consideration of the cash flows expected to be collected from the debt security. The Bancorp develops these expectations after considering various factors such as agency ratings, the financial condition of the issuer or underlying obligors, payment history, payment structure of the security, industry and market conditions, underlying collateral and other factors which may be relevant based on the facts and circumstances pertaining to individual securities.

If the Bancorp intends to sell the debt security or will more likely than not be required to sell the debt security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, then the allowance for credit losses, if previously recorded, is written off and the security's amortized cost is written down to the security's fair value at the reporting date, with any incremental impairment recorded as a charge to noninterest income.

Held-to-maturity debt securities are assessed periodically to determine if a valuation allowance is necessary to absorb credit losses expected to occur over the remaining contractual life of the securities. The carrying amount of held-to-maturity debt securities is presented net of the valuation allowance for credit losses when such an allowance is deemed necessary.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Equity securities with readily determinable fair values not accounted for under the equity method are reported at fair value with unrealized gains and losses included in noninterest income in the Consolidated Statements of Income. Equity securities without readily determinable fair values are measured at cost minus impairment, if any, plus or minus changes as a result of an observable price change for the identical or similar investment of the same issuer. At each quarterly reporting period, the Bancorp performs a qualitative assessment to evaluate whether impairment indicators are present. If qualitative indicators are identified, the investment is measured at fair value with the impairment loss included in noninterest income in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

The fair value of a security is determined based on quoted market prices. If quoted market prices are not available, fair value is determined based on quoted prices of similar instruments.

Premiums on purchased callable debt securities are amortized to the earliest call date if the call feature meets certain criteria. Otherwise, premiums are amortized to maturity similar to discounts on callable debt securities.

Realized securities gains or losses are reported within noninterest income in the Consolidated Statements of Income. The cost of securities sold is based on the specific identification method.

Portfolio Loans and Leases

Basis of accounting

Portfolio loans and leases are generally reported at the principal amount outstanding, net of unearned income, deferred direct loan origination fees and costs and any direct principal charge-offs. Direct loan origination fees and costs are deferred and the net amount is amortized over the contractual life or estimated life, if prepayments are estimated, of the related loans as a yield adjustment. Interest income is recognized based on the principal balance outstanding computed using the effective interest method.

Loans and leases acquired by the Bancorp through a purchase business combination are recorded at fair value as of the acquisition date. Purchased loans and finance leases (including both sales-type leases and direct financing leases) are evaluated for evidence of credit deterioration at acquisition and recorded at their initial fair value. For loans and finance leases that do not exhibit evidence of more-than-insignificant credit deterioration since origination, the Bancorp does not carry over the acquired company's ALLL, but upon acquisition will record an ALLL and provision for credit losses reflective of credit losses expected to be incurred over the remaining contractual life of the acquired loans. Premiums and discounts reflected in the initial fair value are amortized over the contractual life of the loan as an adjustment to yield.

For loans and finance leases that exhibit evidence of more-than-insignificant credit quality deterioration since origination, the Bancorp's estimate of expected credit losses is added to the ALLL upon acquisition and to the initial purchase price of the loans and leases to determine the initial amortized cost basis for the purchased financial assets with credit deterioration. Any resulting difference between the initial amortized cost basis (as adjusted for expected credit losses) and the par value of the loans and leases at the acquisition date represents the non-credit premium or discount, which is amortized over the contractual life of the loan or lease as an adjustment to yield. This method of accounting for loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality does not apply to loans carried at fair value or residential mortgage loans held for sale.

The Bancorp's lease portfolio consists of sales-type, direct financing and leveraged leases. Leases are classified as sales-type if the Bancorp transfers control of the underlying asset to the lessee. The Bancorp classifies leases that do not meet any of the criteria for a sales-type lease as a direct financing lease if the present value of the sum of the lease payments and any residual value guaranteed by the lessee and/or any other third party equals or exceeds substantially all of the fair value of the underlying asset and the collection of the lease payments and residual value guarantee is probable. Sales-type and direct financing leases are carried at the aggregate of lease payments plus estimated residual value of the leased property, less unearned income. Interest income on sales-type and direct financing leases is recognized over the term of the lease to achieve a constant periodic rate of return on the outstanding investment.

Leveraged leases, entered into before January 1, 2019, are carried at the aggregate of lease payments (less nonrecourse debt payments) plus estimated residual value of the leased property, less unearned income. Interest income on leveraged leases is recognized over the term of the lease to achieve a constant rate of return on the outstanding investment in the lease, net of the related deferred income tax liability, in the years in which the net investment is positive. Leveraged lease accounting is no longer applied for leases entered into or modified after the Bancorp's adoption of ASU 2016-02, Leases, on January 1, 2019.

Nonaccrual loans and leases

The Bancorp places loans and leases on nonaccrual status when full repayment of principal and interest is not expected, unless the loan or lease is well-secured and in the process of collection. When a loan is placed on nonaccrual status, the accrual of interest, amortization of loan premium, accretion of loan discount and amortization/accretion of deferred net direct loan origination fees or costs are discontinued and all

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

previously accrued and unpaid interest is reversed against income. The Bancorp utilizes the following policies to determine when full repayment of principal and interest on a loan or lease is not expected:

- Commercial loans are placed on nonaccrual status when there is a clear indication that the borrower's cash flows may not be sufficient to meet payments as they become due. Such loans are also placed on nonaccrual status when the principal or interest is past due 90 days or more, unless the loan is fully or partially guaranteed by a government agency.
- Residential mortgage loans are placed on nonaccrual status when principal and interest payments become past due 150 days or more, unless repayment of the loan is fully or partially guaranteed by a government agency. Residential mortgage loans may stay on nonaccrual status for an extended time as the foreclosure process typically lasts longer than 180 days. The Bancorp maintains a reserve for the portion of accrued interest receivable that it estimates will be uncollectible, at the portfolio level, for residential mortgage loans which are past due 90 days or more and on accrual status. This reserve is recorded as a component of other assets on the Bancorp's Consolidated Balance Sheets, consistent with the classification of the related accrued interest receivable.
- Residential mortgage loans that have been modified in a TDR and subsequently become past due 90 days or more are placed on nonaccrual status unless the loan is fully or partially guaranteed by a government agency.
- Home equity loans and lines of credit are placed on nonaccrual status if principal or interest has been in default for 90 days or more. Home equity loans and lines of credit that have been in default for 60 days or more are also placed on nonaccrual status if the senior lien has been in default 120 days or more.
- Credit card accounts that have been modified in a TDR are placed on nonaccrual status at the time of the modification. Subsequent to the modification, accounts are placed on nonaccrual status when required payments become past due 90 days or more.
- Indirect secured consumer loans and other consumer loans are generally placed on nonaccrual status when principal or interest becomes past due 90 days or more.
- Loans discharged in a Chapter 7 bankruptcy and not reaffirmed by the borrower are classified as collateral-dependent TDRs and placed on nonaccrual status regardless of the borrower's payment history or capacity to repay in the future.

Well-secured loans are collateralized by perfected security interests in real and/or personal property for which the Bancorp estimates proceeds from the sale would be sufficient to recover the outstanding principal and accrued interest balance of the loan and pay all costs to sell the collateral. The Bancorp considers a loan in the process of collection if collection efforts or legal action is proceeding and the Bancorp expects to collect funds sufficient to bring the loan current or recover the entire outstanding principal and accrued interest balance in the near future.

Nonaccrual loans and leases may be returned to accrual status when all delinquent principal and interest payments become current in accordance with the loan agreement and the remaining principal and interest payments are reasonably assured of repayment in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan agreement, or when the loan is both well-secured and in the process of collection. Nonaccrual loans that have been modified in a TDR may not be returned to accrual status unless such loans have sustained repayment performance of six months or more and are reasonably assured of repayment in accordance with the restructured terms. Loans discharged in a Chapter 7 bankruptcy and not reaffirmed by the borrower may be returned to accrual status provided there is a sustained payment history of twelve months or more after bankruptcy and collectability is reasonably assured for all remaining contractual payments.

Except for loans discharged in a Chapter 7 bankruptcy that are not reaffirmed by the borrower, accruing residential mortgage loans, home equity loans and lines of credit, indirect secured consumer loans and other consumer loans modified as part of a TDR are maintained on accrual status, provided there is reasonable assurance of repayment and of performance according to the modified terms based upon a current, well-documented credit evaluation. Accruing commercial loans modified as part of a TDR are maintained on accrual status provided there is a sustained payment history of six months or more prior to the modification and collectability is reasonably assured for all remaining contractual payments under the modified terms. TDRs of commercial loans and credit card loans that do not have a sustained payment history of six months or more in accordance with their modified terms remain on nonaccrual status until a six-month payment history is sustained.

Nonaccrual loans and leases are generally accounted for on the cost recovery method due to the existence of doubt as to the collectability of the remaining amortized cost basis of nonaccrual assets. Under the cost recovery method, any payments received are applied to reduce principal. Once the entire amortized cost basis is collected, additional payments received are treated as recoveries of amounts previously charged-off until recovered in full, and any subsequent payments are treated as interest income. In certain circumstances when the remaining amortized cost basis of a nonaccrual loan or lease is deemed to be fully collectible, the Bancorp may utilize the cash basis method to account for interest payments received on a nonaccrual loan or lease. Under the cash basis method, interest income is recognized when cash is received, to the extent such income would have been accrued on the loan's remaining balance at the contractual rate.

The Bancorp records a charge-off to the ALLL when all or a portion of a loan or lease is deemed to be uncollectible, after considering the net realizable value of any underlying collateral. Commercial loans and leases on nonaccrual status, including those modified in a TDR, as well as criticized commercial loans with aggregate borrower relationships exceeding \$1 million, are subject to an individual review to identify charge-offs. The Bancorp does not have an established delinquency threshold for partially or fully charging off commercial loans and leases. The Bancorp records charge-offs on consumer loans in accordance with applicable regulatory guidelines, which are primarily based on a loan's delinquency status.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Restructured loans and leases

A loan is accounted for as a TDR if the Bancorp, for economic or legal reasons related to the borrower's financial difficulties, grants a concession to the borrower that it would not otherwise consider. TDRs include concessions granted under reorganization, arrangement or other provisions of the Federal Bankruptcy Act. A TDR typically involves a modification of terms such as a reduction of the stated interest rate or remaining principal amount of the loan, a reduction of accrued interest or an extension of the maturity date at a stated interest rate lower than the current market rate for a new loan with similar risk.

Upon modification of a loan, the Bancorp measures the expected credit loss as either the difference between the amortized cost of the loan and the fair value of collateral less cost to sell or the difference between the estimated future cash flows expected to be collected on the modified loan, discounted at the original effective yield of the loan, and the carrying value of the loan.

Loans and Leases Held for Sale

Loans and leases held for sale primarily represent conforming fixed-rate residential mortgage loans originated or acquired with the intent to sell in the secondary market and jumbo residential mortgage loans, commercial loans, other residential mortgage loans and other consumer loans that management has the intent to sell. Loans and leases held for sale may be carried at the lower of cost or fair value, or carried at fair value where the Bancorp has elected the fair value option of accounting under U.S. GAAP. The Bancorp has elected to measure certain groups of loans held for sale under the fair value option, including certain residential mortgage loans originated as held for sale and certain purchased commercial loans designated as held for sale at acquisition. For loans in which the Bancorp has not elected the fair value option, the lower of cost or fair value is determined at the individual loan level.

The fair value of residential mortgage loans held for sale for which the fair value election has been made is estimated based upon mortgage-backed securities prices and spreads to those prices or, for certain ARM loans, DCF models that may incorporate the anticipated portfolio composition, credit spreads of asset-backed securities with similar collateral and market conditions. The anticipated portfolio composition includes the effects of interest rate spreads and discount rates due to loan characteristics such as the state in which the loan was originated, the loan amount and the ARM margin. These fair value marks are recorded as a component of noninterest income in mortgage banking net revenue in the Consolidated Statements of Income. For residential mortgage loans that it has originated as held for sale, the Bancorp generally has commitments to sell these loans in the secondary market. Gains or losses on sales are recognized in mortgage banking net revenue in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

Management's intent to sell residential mortgage loans classified as held for sale may change over time due to such factors as changes in the overall liquidity in markets or changes in characteristics specific to certain loans held for sale. Consequently, these loans may be reclassified to loans held for investment and, thereafter, reported within the Bancorp's residential mortgage class of portfolio loans and leases. In such cases, if the fair value election was made, the residential mortgage loans will continue to be measured at fair value, which is based on mortgage-backed securities prices, interest rate risk and an internally developed credit component.

Loans and leases held for sale are placed on nonaccrual status consistent with the Bancorp's nonaccrual policies for portfolio loans and leases.

Other Real Estate Owned

OREO, which is included in other assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets, represents property acquired through foreclosure or other proceedings and branch-related real estate no longer intended to be used for banking purposes. OREO is carried at the lower of cost or fair value, less costs to sell. All OREO property is periodically evaluated for impairment and decreases in carrying value are recognized as reductions in other noninterest income in the Consolidated Statements of Income. For government-guaranteed mortgage loans, upon foreclosure, a separate other receivable is recognized if certain conditions are met for the amount of the loan balance (principal and interest) expected to be recovered from the guarantor. This receivable is also included in other assets, separate from OREO, in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

ALLL

The Bancorp disaggregates its portfolio loans and leases into portfolio segments for purposes of determining the ALLL. The Bancorp's portfolio segments include commercial, residential mortgage and consumer. The Bancorp further disaggregates its portfolio segments into classes for purposes of monitoring and assessing credit quality based on certain risk characteristics. Classes within the commercial portfolio segment include commercial and industrial, commercial mortgage owner-occupied, commercial mortgage nonowner-occupied, commercial construction and commercial leasing. The residential mortgage portfolio segment is also considered a class. Classes within the consumer portfolio segment include home equity, indirect secured consumer, credit card and other consumer loans. For an analysis of the Bancorp's ALLL by portfolio segment and credit quality information by class, refer to Note 6.

The Bancorp maintains the ALLL to absorb the amount of credit losses that are expected to be incurred over the remaining contractual terms of the related loans and leases. Contractual terms are adjusted for expected prepayments but are not extended for expected extensions, renewals or modifications except in circumstances where the Bancorp reasonably expects to execute a TDR with the borrower or where certain extension or renewal options are embedded in the original contract and not unconditionally cancellable by the Bancorp.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Accrued interest receivable on loans is presented in the Consolidated Financial Statements as a component of other assets. When accrued interest is deemed to be uncollectible (typically when a loan is placed on nonaccrual status), interest income is reversed. The Bancorp follows established policies for placing loans on nonaccrual status, so uncollectible accrued interest receivable is reversed in a timely manner. As a result, the Bancorp has elected not to measure a reserve for accrued interest receivable as part of its ALLL. However, the Bancorp does record a reserve for the portion of accrued interest receivable that it expects to be uncollectible. Refer to the Portfolio Loans and Leases section for additional information.

Credit losses are charged and recoveries are credited to the ALLL. The ALLL is maintained at a level the Bancorp considers to be adequate and is based on ongoing quarterly assessments and evaluations of the collectability of loans and leases, including historical credit loss experience, current and forecasted market and economic conditions and consideration of various qualitative factors that, in management's judgment, deserve consideration in estimating expected credit losses. Provisions for credit losses are recorded for the amounts necessary to adjust the ALLL to the Bancorp's current estimate of expected credit losses on portfolio loans and leases.

The Bancorp's methodology for determining the ALLL includes an estimate of expected credit losses on a collective basis for groups of loans and leases with similar risk characteristics and specific allowances for loans and leases which are individually evaluated.

Larger commercial loans and leases included within aggregate borrower relationship balances exceeding \$1 million that exhibit probable or observed credit weaknesses, as well as loans that have been modified in a TDR, are individually evaluated for an ALLL. The Bancorp considers the current value of collateral, credit quality of any guarantees, the guarantor's liquidity and willingness to cooperate, the loan or lease structure and other factors when determining the amount of the ALLL. Other factors may include the borrower's susceptibility to risks presented by the forecasted macroeconomic environment, the industry and geographic region of the borrower, size and financial condition of the borrower, cash flow and leverage of the borrower and the Bancorp's evaluation of the borrower's management. When loans and leases are individually evaluated, allowances are determined based on management's estimate of the borrower's ability to repay the loan or lease given the availability of collateral and other sources of cash flow, as well as an evaluation of legal options available to the Bancorp. Allowances for individually evaluated loans and leases that are collateral-dependent are measured based on the fair value of the underlying collateral, less expected costs to sell where applicable. Individually evaluated loans and leases that are not collateral-dependent are measured based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate. The Bancorp evaluates the collectability of both principal and interest when assessing the need for a loss accrual. Specific allowances on individually evaluated commercial loans and leases, including TDRs, are reviewed quarterly and adjusted as necessary based on changing borrower and/or collateral conditions and actual collection and charge-off experience.

Consumer and residential mortgage loans that have been modified in a TDR are individually evaluated for an ALLL. Allowances for individually evaluated loans that are collateral-dependent are typically measured based on the fair value of the underlying collateral, less expected costs to sell where applicable. Individually evaluated loans that are not collateral-dependent are measured based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate and a modeled expected credit loss amount. The Bancorp evaluates the collectability of both principal and interest when assessing the need for a loss accrual. Specific allowances on individually evaluated consumer and residential mortgage loans are reviewed quarterly and adjusted as necessary based on changing borrower and/or collateral conditions and actual collection and charge-off experience.

Expected credit losses are estimated on a collective basis for loans and leases that are not individually evaluated. These include commercial loans and leases that do not meet the criteria for individual evaluation as well as homogeneous loans in the residential mortgage and consumer portfolio segments. For collectively evaluated loans and leases, the Bancorp uses models to forecast expected credit losses based on the probability of a loan or lease defaulting, the expected balance at the estimated date of default and the expected loss percentage given a default. The estimate of the expected balance at the time of default considers prepayments and, for loans with available credit, expected utilization rates. The Bancorp's expected credit loss models were developed based on historical credit loss experience and observations of migration patterns for various credit risk characteristics (such as internal credit risk grades, external credit ratings or scores, delinquency status, loan-to-value trends, etc.) over time, with those observations evaluated in the context of concurrent macroeconomic conditions. The Bancorp developed its models from historical observations capturing a full economic cycle when possible.

The Bancorp's expected credit loss models consider historical credit loss experience, current market and economic conditions, and forecasted changes in market and economic conditions if such forecasts are considered reasonable and supportable. Generally, the Bancorp considers its forecasts to be reasonable and supportable for a period of up to three years from the estimation date. For periods beyond the reasonable and supportable forecast period, expected credit losses are estimated by reverting to historical loss information without adjustment for changes in economic conditions. This reversion is phased in over a two-year period. The Bancorp evaluates the length of its reasonable and supportable forecast period, its reversion period and reversion methodology at least annually, or more often if warranted by economic conditions or other circumstances.

The Bancorp also considers qualitative factors in determining the ALLL. Qualitative factors are used to capture characteristics in the portfolio that impact expected credit losses but that are not fully captured within the Bancorp's expected credit loss models. These include adjustments for changes in policies or procedures in underwriting, monitoring or collections, lending and risk management personnel and results of internal audit and quality control reviews. These may also include adjustments, when deemed necessary, for specific idiosyncratic risks such

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

as geopolitical events, natural disasters and their effects on regional borrowers, and changes in product structures. Qualitative factors may also be used to address the impacts of unforeseen events on key inputs and assumptions within the Bancorp's expected credit loss models, such as the reasonable and supportable forecast period, changes to historical loss information or changes to the reversion period or methodology.

When evaluating the adequacy of allowances, consideration is also given to regional geographic concentrations and the closely associated effect that changing economic conditions may have on the Bancorp's customers.

Reserve for Unfunded Commitments

The reserve for unfunded commitments is maintained at a level believed by management to be sufficient to absorb estimated expected credit losses related to unfunded credit facilities and is included in other liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The determination of the adequacy of the reserve is based upon expected credit losses over the remaining contractual life of the commitments, taking into consideration the current funded balance and estimated exposure over the reasonable and supportable forecast period. This process takes into consideration the same risk elements that are analyzed in the determination of the adequacy of the Bancorp's ALLL, as previously discussed. Net adjustments to the reserve for unfunded commitments are included in the provision for credit losses in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

Loan Sales and Securitizations

The Bancorp periodically sells loans through either securitizations or individual loan sales in accordance with its investment policies. The sold loans are removed from the Consolidated Balance Sheet and a net gain or loss is recognized in the Consolidated Financial Statements at the time of sale. The Bancorp typically isolates the loans through the use of a VIE and thus is required to assess whether the entity holding the sold or securitized loans is a VIE and whether the Bancorp is the primary beneficiary and therefore consolidator of that VIE. If the Bancorp holds the power to direct activities most significant to the economic performance of the VIE and has the obligation to absorb losses or right to receive benefits that could potentially be significant to the VIE, then the Bancorp will generally be deemed the primary beneficiary of the VIE. If the Bancorp is determined not to be the primary beneficiary of a VIE but holds a variable interest in the entity, such variable interests are accounted for under the equity method of accounting or other accounting standards as appropriate. Refer to Note 12 for further information on consolidated and non-consolidated VIEs.

The Bancorp's loan sales and securitizations are generally structured with servicing retained, which often results in the recording of servicing rights. The Bancorp may also purchase servicing rights. The Bancorp has elected to measure all existing classes of its residential mortgage servicing rights portfolio at fair value with changes in the fair value of servicing rights reported in mortgage banking net revenue in the Consolidated Statements of Income in the period in which the changes occur.

Servicing rights are valued using internal OAS models. Key economic assumptions used in estimating the fair value of the servicing rights include the prepayment speeds of the underlying loans, the weighted-average life, the OAS and the weighted-average coupon rate, as applicable. The primary risk of material changes to the value of the servicing rights resides in the potential volatility in the economic assumptions used, particularly the prepayment speeds. In order to assist in the assessment of the fair value of servicing rights, the Bancorp obtains external valuations of the servicing rights portfolio from third parties and participates in peer surveys that provide additional confirmation of the reasonableness of the key assumptions utilized in the internal OAS model.

Fees received for servicing loans owned by investors are based on a percentage of the outstanding monthly principal balance of such loans and are included in noninterest income in the Consolidated Statements of Income as loan payments are received. Costs of servicing loans are charged to expense as incurred.

Reserve for Representation and Warranty Provisions

Conforming residential mortgage loans sold to unrelated third parties are generally sold with representation and warranty provisions. A contractual liability arises only in the event of a breach of these representations and warranties and, in general, only when a loss results from the breach. The Bancorp may be required to repurchase any previously sold loan or indemnify (make whole) the investor or insurer for which the representation or warranty of the Bancorp proves to be inaccurate, incomplete or misleading. The Bancorp establishes a residential mortgage repurchase reserve related to various representations and warranties that reflects management's estimate of losses based on a combination of factors.

The Bancorp's estimation process requires management to make subjective and complex judgments about matters that are inherently uncertain, such as future demand expectations, economic factors and the specific characteristics of the loans subject to repurchase. Such factors incorporate historical investor audit and repurchase demand rates, appeals success rates, historical loss severity and any additional information obtained from the GSEs regarding future mortgage repurchase and file request criteria. At the time of a loan sale, the Bancorp records a representation and warranty reserve at the estimated fair value of the Bancorp's guarantee and continually updates the reserve during the life of the loan as losses in excess of the reserve become probable and reasonably estimable. The provision for the estimated fair value of the representation and warranty guarantee arising from the loan sales is recorded as an adjustment to the gain on sale, which is included in other noninterest income in the Consolidated Statements of Income at the time of sale. Updates to the reserve are recorded in other noninterest expense in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Legal Contingencies

The Bancorp and its subsidiaries are parties to numerous claims and lawsuits as well as threatened or potential actions or claims concerning matters arising from the conduct of its business activities. The outcome of claims or litigation and the timing of ultimate resolution are inherently difficult to predict and significant judgment may be required in the determination of both the probability of loss and whether the amount of the loss is reasonably estimable. The Bancorp's estimates are subjective and are based on the status of legal and regulatory proceedings, the merit of the Bancorp's defenses and consultation with internal and external legal counsel. An accrual for a potential litigation loss is established when information related to the loss contingency indicates both that a loss is probable and that the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated. This accrual is included in other liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets and is adjusted from time to time as appropriate to reflect changes in circumstances. Legal expenses are recorded in other noninterest expense in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

Bank Premises and Equipment and Other Long-Lived Assets

Bank premises and equipment, including leasehold improvements, and operating lease equipment are carried at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization. Generally, depreciation is calculated using the straight-line method based on estimated useful lives of the assets for book purposes, while accelerated depreciation is used for income tax purposes. Amortization of leasehold improvements is generally computed using the straight-line method over the lives of the related leases or useful lives of the related assets, whichever is shorter. Whenever events or changes in circumstances dictate, the Bancorp tests its long-lived assets for impairment by determining whether the sum of the estimated undiscounted future cash flows attributable to a long-lived asset or asset group is less than the carrying amount of the long-lived asset or asset group through a probability-weighted approach. In the event the carrying amount of the long-lived asset or asset group is not recoverable, an impairment loss is measured as the amount by which the carrying amount of the long-lived asset or asset group exceeds its fair value. Maintenance, repairs and minor improvements are charged to noninterest expense in the Consolidated Statements of Income as incurred. Lease payments received for operating lease equipment are recognized in leasing business revenue in the Consolidated Statements of Income over the lease term on a straight-line basis unless another systematic and rational basis is more representative of the pattern in which benefit is expected to be derived from use of the underlying equipment.

Lessee Accounting

ROU assets and lease liabilities are recognized for all leases unless the initial term of the lease is twelve months or less. Lease costs for operating leases are recognized on a straight-line basis over the lease term unless another systematic basis is more representative of the pattern of consumption. The lease term includes any renewal period that the Bancorp is reasonably certain to exercise. The Bancorp uses its incremental borrowing rate to discount the lease payments if the rate implicit in the lease is not readily determinable. Variable lease payments associated with operating leases are recognized in the period in which the obligation for payments is incurred.

For finance leases, the lease liability is measured using the effective interest method such that the liability is increased for interest based on the discount rate that is implicit in the lease or the Bancorp's incremental borrowing rate if the implicit rate cannot be readily determined, offset by a decrease in the liability resulting from the periodic lease payments. The ROU asset associated with the finance lease is amortized on a straight-line basis unless there is another systematic and rational basis that better reflects how the benefits of the underlying assets are consumed over the lease term. The period over which the ROU asset is amortized is generally the lesser of the remaining lease term or the remaining useful life of the leased asset. Variable lease payments associated with finance leases are recognized in the period in which the obligation for those payments is incurred.

When the lease liability is remeasured to reflect changes to the lease payments as a result of a lease modification, the ROU asset is adjusted for the amount of the lease liability remeasurement. If a lease modification reduces the scope of a lease, the ROU asset would be reduced proportionately based on the change in the lease liability and the difference between the lease liability adjustment and the resulting ROU asset adjustment would be recognized as a gain or loss in the Consolidated Statements of Income. Additionally, the amortization of the ROU asset is adjusted prospectively from the date of remeasurement.

The Bancorp performs impairment assessments for ROU assets when events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying values may not be recoverable. Any impairment loss is recognized in net occupancy expense in the Consolidated Statements of Income. Refer to the Bank Premises and Equipment and Other Long-Lived Assets section of this note for further information.

Derivative Financial Instruments and Hedge Accounting

The Bancorp accounts for its derivatives as either assets or liabilities measured at fair value through adjustments to AOCI and/or current earnings, as appropriate. On the date the Bancorp enters into a derivative contract, the Bancorp designates the derivative instrument as either a fair value hedge, cash flow hedge or as a free-standing derivative instrument. For a fair value hedge, changes in the fair value of the derivative instrument and changes in the fair value of the hedged asset or liability attributable to the hedged risk are recorded in current period net income. For a cash flow hedge, changes in the fair value of the derivative instrument are recorded in AOCI and subsequently reclassified to net income in the same period(s) that the hedged transaction impacts net income. For free-standing derivative instruments, changes in fair values are reported in current period net income.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

When entering into a hedge transaction, the Bancorp formally documents the relationship between the hedging instrument and the hedged item, as well as the risk management objective and strategy for undertaking the hedge transaction before the end of the quarter in which the transaction is consummated. This process includes linking the derivative instrument designated as a fair value or cash flow hedge to a specific asset or liability on the balance sheet or to specific forecasted transactions and the risk being hedged, along with a formal assessment at the inception of the hedge as to the effectiveness of the derivative instrument in offsetting changes in fair values or cash flows of the hedged item. The Bancorp continues to assess hedge effectiveness on an ongoing basis using either a qualitative or a quantitative assessment (regression analysis). Additionally, the Bancorp may also utilize the shortcut method to evaluate hedge effectiveness for certain qualifying hedges with matched terms that permit the assumption of perfect offset. If the shortcut method is no longer appropriate, the Bancorp would apply the long-haul method identified at inception of the hedging transaction for assessing hedge effectiveness as long as the hedge is highly effective. If it is determined that the derivative instrument is not highly effective as a hedge, hedge accounting is discontinued. For fair value hedges, if hedge accounting is discontinued, the cumulative basis adjustments related to the hedged asset or liability are amortized to earnings in the same manner as other components of the carrying amount of that asset or liability. For cash flow hedges, upon discontinuation of hedge accounting, any amounts in AOCI related to that relationship should affect earnings at the same time and in the same manner in which the hedged transaction affects earnings. However, if it becomes probable that the forecasted transaction will not occur, any related amounts in AOCI are reclassified to earnings immediately.

Investments in Qualified Affordable Housing Projects

The Bancorp invests in projects to create affordable housing and revitalize business and residential areas. These investments are classified as other assets on the Bancorp's Consolidated Balance Sheets. Investments in affordable housing projects that qualify for LIHTC are accounted for using the proportional amortization method. Under the proportional amortization method, the initial cost of the investment is amortized in proportion to the tax credits and other benefits received and recognized as a component of applicable income tax expense in the Consolidated Statements of Income. Investments which do not meet the qualification criteria for the proportional amortization method are accounted for using the equity method of accounting with impairment associated with the investments recognized in other noninterest expense in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

Deposits

Deposits generally include the unpaid balance of cash or its equivalent received or held by the Bank for its commercial and consumer customers. Deposits are classified as either transactional or non-transactional and include both interest-bearing and noninterest-bearing balances. Interest expense incurred on interest-bearing deposits is recognized in accordance with applicable guidance in U.S. GAAP for these liabilities and includes certain ongoing deposit placement fees paid on custodial accounts.

Income Taxes

The Bancorp accounts for income taxes using the asset and liability method, which requires the recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities for expected future tax consequences. Under the asset and liability method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined by applying the federal and state tax rates to the differences between financial statement carrying amounts and the corresponding tax bases of assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets are also recorded for any tax attributes, such as tax credits and net operating loss carryforwards. The net balances of deferred tax assets and liabilities are reported in other assets and accrued taxes, interest and expenses in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Any effect of a change in federal or state tax rates on deferred tax assets and liabilities is recognized in income tax expense in the period that includes the enactment date. The Bancorp reflects the expected amount of income tax to be paid or refunded during the year as current income tax expense or benefit. Accrued taxes represent the net expected amount due to and/or from taxing jurisdictions and are reported in accrued taxes, interest and expenses in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The Bancorp uses the deferral method of accounting on investments that generate investment tax credits. Under this method, the investment tax credits are recognized as a reduction to the related asset.

The Bancorp evaluates the realization of deferred tax assets based on all positive and negative evidence available at the balance sheet date. Realization of deferred tax assets is based on the Bancorp's judgment about relevant factors affecting their realization, including the taxable income within any applicable carry back periods, future projected taxable income, the reversal of taxable temporary differences and tax planning strategies. The Bancorp records a valuation allowance for deferred tax assets where the Bancorp does not believe that it is more likely than not that the deferred tax assets will be realized.

Income tax benefits from uncertain tax positions are recognized in the financial statements only if the Bancorp believes that it is more likely than not that the uncertain tax position will be sustained based solely on the technical merits of the tax position and consideration of the relevant taxing authority's widely understood administrative practices and precedents. If the Bancorp does not believe that it is more likely than not that an uncertain tax position will be sustained, the Bancorp records a liability for the uncertain tax position. If the Bancorp believes that it is more likely than not that an uncertain tax position will be sustained, the Bancorp only records a tax benefit for the portion of the uncertain tax position where the likelihood of realization is greater than 50% upon settlement with the relevant taxing authority that has full knowledge of all relevant information. The Bancorp recognizes interest expense, interest income and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits within applicable income tax expense in the Consolidated Statements of Income. Refer to Note 21 for further discussion regarding income taxes.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing net income available to common shareholders by the weighted-average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the period. Earnings per diluted share is computed by dividing adjusted net income available to common shareholders by the weighted-average number of shares of common stock outstanding, adjusted for the impact of potentially dilutive common shares arising from the exercise or settlement of stock-based awards and the settlement of outstanding forward contracts.

The Bancorp calculates earnings per share pursuant to the two-class method. The two-class method is an earnings allocation formula that determines earnings per share separately for common stock and participating securities according to dividends declared and participation rights in undistributed earnings. For purposes of calculating earnings per share under the two-class method, restricted shares that contain nonforfeitable rights to dividends are considered participating securities until vested. While the dividends declared per share on such restricted shares are the same as dividends declared per common share outstanding, the dividends recognized on such restricted shares may be less because dividends paid on restricted shares that are expected to be forfeited are reclassified to compensation expense during the period when forfeiture is expected.

Goodwill

Business combinations entered into by the Bancorp typically include the recognition of goodwill. U.S. GAAP requires goodwill to be tested for impairment at the reporting unit level on an annual basis, which the Bancorp performs as of September 30 each year, and more frequently if events or circumstances indicate that there may be impairment.

Impairment exists when a reporting unit's carrying amount of goodwill exceeds its implied fair value. In testing goodwill for impairment, U.S. GAAP permits the Bancorp to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. In this qualitative assessment, the Bancorp evaluates events and circumstances which may include, but are not limited to, the general economic environment, banking industry and market conditions, the overall financial performance of the Bancorp, the performance of the Bancorp's common stock, the key financial performance metrics of the Bancorp's reporting units and events affecting the reporting units to determine if it is not more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If the quantitative impairment test is required or the decision to bypass the qualitative assessment is elected, the Bancorp performs the goodwill impairment test by comparing the fair value of a reporting unit with its carrying amount, including goodwill. If the carrying amount of the reporting unit exceeds its fair value, an impairment loss is recognized in an amount equal to that excess, limited to the total amount of goodwill allocated to that reporting unit. A recognized impairment loss cannot be reversed in future periods even if the fair value of the reporting unit subsequently recovers.

The fair value of a reporting unit is the price that would be received to sell the unit as a whole in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. As none of the Bancorp's reporting units are publicly traded, individual reporting unit fair value determinations cannot be directly correlated to the Bancorp's stock price. The determination of the fair value of a reporting unit is a subjective process that involves the use of estimates and judgments, particularly related to cash flows, the appropriate discount rates and an applicable control premium. The determination of the fair value of the Bancorp's reporting units includes both an income-based approach and a market-based approach. The income-based approach utilizes the reporting unit's forecasted cash flows (including a terminal value approach to estimate cash flows beyond the final year of the forecast) and the reporting unit's estimated cost of equity as the discount rate. Significant management judgment is necessary in the preparation of each reporting unit's forecasted cash flows surrounding expectations for earnings projections, growth and credit loss expectations and actual results may differ from forecasted results. Additionally, the Bancorp determines its market capitalization based on the average of the closing price of the Bancorp's stock during the month including the measurement date, incorporating an additional control premium, and compares this market-based fair value measurement to the aggregate fair value of the Bancorp's reporting units in order to corroborate the results of the income approach. Refer to Note 10 for further information regarding the Bancorp's goodwill.

Fair Value Measurements

The Bancorp measures certain financial assets and liabilities at fair value in accordance with U.S. GAAP, which defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The Bancorp employs various valuation approaches to measure fair value including the market, income and cost approaches. The market approach uses prices or relevant information generated by market transactions involving identical or comparable assets or liabilities. The income approach involves discounting future amounts to a single present amount and is based on current market expectations about those future amounts. The cost approach is based on the amount that currently would be required to replace the service capacity of the asset.

U.S. GAAP establishes a fair value hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value into three broad levels. The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3). A financial instrument's categorization within the fair value hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the instrument's fair value measurement. The three levels within the fair value hierarchy are described as follows:

Level 1 – Quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the Bancorp has the ability to access at the measurement date.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Level 2 – Inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Level 2 inputs include: quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active; inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability; and inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means.

Level 3 – Unobservable inputs for the asset or liability for which there is little, if any, market activity at the measurement date. Unobservable inputs reflect the Bancorp's own assumptions about what market participants would use to price the asset or liability. The inputs are developed based on the best information available in the circumstances, which might include the Bancorp's own financial data such as internally developed pricing models and DCF methodologies, as well as instruments for which the fair value determination requires significant management judgment.

The Bancorp's fair value measurements involve various valuation techniques and models, which involve inputs that are observable, when available. Valuation techniques and parameters used for measuring assets and liabilities are reviewed and validated by the Bancorp on a quarterly basis. Additionally, the Bancorp monitors the fair values of significant assets and liabilities using a variety of methods including the evaluation of pricing runs and exception reports based on certain analytical criteria, comparison to previous trades and overall review and assessments for reasonableness. The Bancorp may, as a practical expedient, measure the fair value of certain investments on the basis of the net asset value per share of the investment, or its equivalent. Any investments which are valued using this practical expedient are not classified in the fair value hierarchy. Refer to Note 28 for further information on fair value measurements.

Stock-Based Compensation

The Bancorp recognizes compensation expense for the grant-date fair value of stock-based awards that are expected to vest over the requisite service period. All awards, both those with cliff vesting and graded vesting, are expensed on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period. Awards to employees that meet eligible retirement status are expensed immediately. As compensation expense is recognized, a deferred tax asset is recorded that represents an estimate of the future tax deduction from exercise or release of restrictions. At the time awards are exercised, cancelled, expire or restrictions are released, the Bancorp recognizes an adjustment to income tax expense for the difference between the previously estimated tax deduction and the actual tax deduction realized. For further information on the Bancorp's stock-based compensation plans, refer to Note 25.

Pension Plans

The Bancorp uses an expected long-term rate of return applied to the fair market value of assets as of the beginning of the year and the expected cash flow during the year for calculating the expected investment return on all pension plan assets. Amortization of the net gain or loss resulting from experience different from that assumed and from changes in assumptions (excluding asset gains and losses not yet reflected in market-related value) is included as a component of net periodic benefit cost. If, as of the beginning of the year, that net gain or loss exceeds 10% of the greater of the projected benefit obligation and the market-related value of plan assets, the amortization is that excess divided by the average remaining service period of participating employees expected to receive benefits under the plan. The Bancorp uses a third-party actuary to compute the remaining service period of participating employees. This period reflects expected turnover, pre-retirement mortality and other applicable employee demographics.

Revenue Recognition

The Bancorp's interest income is derived from loans and leases, investment securities and other short-term investments. The Bancorp recognizes interest income in accordance with the applicable guidance in U.S. GAAP for these assets. Refer to the Portfolio Loans and Leases and Investment Securities sections of this footnote for further information.

The Bancorp generally measures noninterest income revenue based on the amount of consideration the Bancorp expects to be entitled for the transfer of goods or services to a customer, then recognizes this revenue when or as the Bancorp satisfies its performance obligations under the contract, except in transactions where U.S. GAAP provides other applicable guidance. When the amount of consideration is variable, the Bancorp will only recognize revenue to the extent that it is probable that the cumulative amount recognized will not be subject to a significant reversal in the future. Substantially all of the Bancorp's contracts with customers have expected durations of one year or less and payments are typically due when or as the services are rendered or shortly thereafter. When third parties are involved in providing goods or services to customers, the Bancorp recognizes revenue on a gross basis when it has control over those goods or services prior to transfer to the customer; otherwise, revenue is recognized for the net amount of any fee or commission. The Bancorp excludes sales taxes from the recognition of revenue and recognizes the incremental costs of obtaining contracts as an expense if the period of amortization for those costs would be one year or less. The following provides additional information about the components of noninterest income:

- Commercial banking revenue consists primarily of service fees and other income related to loans to commercial clients, underwriting revenue recognized by the Bancorp's broker-dealer subsidiary and fees for other services provided to commercial clients. Revenue related to loans is recognized in accordance with the Bancorp's policies for portfolio loans and leases. Underwriting revenue is generally recognized on the trade date, which is when the Bancorp's performance obligations are satisfied.
- Service charges on deposits consist primarily of treasury management fees for commercial clients, monthly service charges on consumer deposit accounts, transaction-based fees (such as overdraft fees and wire transfer fees), and other deposit account-related charges. The Bancorp's performance obligations for treasury management fees and consumer deposit account service charges are

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

typically satisfied over time while performance obligations for transaction-based fees are typically satisfied at a point in time. Revenues are recognized on an accrual basis when or as the services are provided to the customer, net of applicable discounts, waivers and reversals. Payments are typically collected from customers directly from the related deposit account at the time the transaction is processed and/or at the end of the customer's statement cycle (typically monthly).

- Wealth and asset management revenue consists primarily of service fees for investment management, custody, and trust administration services provided to commercial and consumer clients. The Bancorp's performance obligations for these services are generally satisfied over time and revenues are recognized monthly based on the fee structure outlined in individual contracts. Transaction prices are most commonly based on the market value of assets under management or care and/or a fee per transaction processed. The Bancorp also offers certain services for which the performance obligations are satisfied and revenue is recognized at a point in time, when the services are performed. Wealth and asset management revenue also includes trailing commissions received from investments and annuities held in customer accounts, which are recognized in revenue when the Bancorp determines that it has satisfied its performance obligations and has sufficient information to estimate the amount of the commissions to which it expects to be entitled.
- Card and processing revenue consists primarily of ATM fees and interchange fees earned when the Bancorp's credit and debit cards are processed through card association networks. The Bancorp's performance obligations are generally complete when the transactions generating the fees are processed. Revenue is recognized on an accrual basis as such services are performed, net of certain costs not controlled by the Bancorp (primarily interchange fees charged by credit card associations and expenses of certain transaction-based rewards programs offered to customers).
- Leasing business revenue consists primarily of operating lease income, leasing business solutions revenue, lease remarketing fees and lease syndication fees from lease arrangements to commercial clients. Revenue related to leases is recognized either in accordance with the Bancorp's policies for portfolio loans and leases or when the Bancorp's performance obligations are satisfied.
- Mortgage banking net revenue consists primarily of origination fees and gains on loan sales, mortgage servicing fees and the impact of MSRs. Refer to the Loans and Leases Held for Sale and Loan Sales and Securitizations sections of this footnote for further information.
- Other noninterest income includes certain fees derived from loans, BOLI income, gains and losses on other assets, and other miscellaneous revenues and gains.

Other

Securities and other property held by Fifth Third Wealth and Asset Management, a division of the Bancorp's banking subsidiary, in a fiduciary or agency capacity are not included in the Consolidated Balance Sheets because such items are not assets of the subsidiaries.

Other short-term investments have original maturities less than one year and primarily include interest-bearing balances that are funds on deposit at other depository institutions or the FRB. The Bancorp uses other short-term investments as part of its liquidity risk management activities.

The Bancorp purchases life insurance policies on the lives of certain directors, officers and employees and is the owner and beneficiary of the policies. The Bancorp invests in these policies, known as BOLI, to provide an efficient form of funding for long-term retirement and other employee benefits costs. Certain BOLI policies have a stable value agreement through either a large, well-rated bank or multi-national insurance carrier that provides limited cash surrender value protection from declines in the value of each policy's underlying investments. The Bancorp records these BOLI policies within other assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets at each policy's respective cash surrender value, with changes recorded in other noninterest income in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

Intangible assets are amortized on either a straight-line or an accelerated basis over their estimated useful lives and, based on the type of intangible asset, the amortization expense may be recorded in either leasing business revenue or other noninterest expense in the Consolidated Statements of Income. The Bancorp reviews intangible assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that carrying amounts may not be recoverable.

Securities sold under repurchase agreements are accounted for as secured borrowings and included in other short-term borrowings in the Consolidated Balance Sheets at the amounts at which the securities were sold plus accrued interest.

Acquisitions of treasury stock are carried at cost. Reissuance of shares in treasury for acquisitions, exercises of stock-based awards or other corporate purposes is recorded based on the specific identification method.

Advertising costs are generally expensed as incurred.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

ACCOUNTING AND REPORTING DEVELOPMENTS

Standard Adopted in 2022

The Bancorp adopted the following new accounting standard effective January 1, 2022:

ASU 2020-06 – Debt-Debt with Conversion and Other Options (Subtopic 470-20) and Derivatives and Hedging – Contracts in Entity's Own Equity (Subtopic 815-40): Accounting for Convertible Instruments and Contracts in an Equity's Own Equity

In August 2020, the FASB issued ASU 2020-06, which simplified the accounting for certain financial instruments with characteristics of liabilities and equity, including convertible instruments and contracts on an entity's own equity. The Bancorp adopted the amended guidance on January 1, 2022 using the modified retrospective transition method. The adoption did not have a material impact on the Bancorp's Consolidated Financial Statements.

Significant Accounting Standards Issued but Not Yet Adopted

The following significant accounting standards were issued but not yet adopted by the Bancorp as of December 31, 2022:

ASU 2021-08 – Business Combinations (Topic 805): Accounting for Contract Assets and Contract Liabilities from Contracts with Customers

In October 2021, the FASB issued ASU 2021-08, which provided guidance on the accounting for revenue contracts with customers which are acquired in a business combination. The amendments generally state that an acquirer accounts for an acquired revenue contract with a customer as if it had originated the contract. The amendments also provide certain practical expedients for acquirers when recognizing and measuring acquired contract assets and liabilities. The Bancorp adopted the amended guidance on January 1, 2023 on a prospective basis and will apply the amendments for business combinations occurring on or after the adoption date.

ASU 2022-01 – Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815): Fair Value Hedging-Portfolio Layer Method

In March 2022, the FASB issued ASU 2022-01, which clarifies the guidance in ASC 815 on fair value hedge accounting of interest rate risk for portfolios of financial assets and renames the last-of-layer method the portfolio layer method. Under current guidance, the last-of-layer method enables an entity to apply fair value hedging to a stated amount of a closed portfolio of prepayable financial assets without having to consider prepayment risk or credit risk when measuring those assets. ASU 2022-01 expands the scope of this guidance to allow entities to apply the portfolio layer method to portfolios of all financial assets, including both prepayable and nonprepayable financial assets. It allows entities to designate multiple layers within a single closed portfolio as individual hedged items. Further, ASU 2022-01 clarifies that the fair value basis adjustments should be adjusted at the portfolio level and should not be allocated to individual assets within the portfolio. The Bancorp adopted the amended guidance on January 1, 2023 on a prospective basis, except for the amendments related to fair value basis adjustments that, if applicable, were required to be applied on a modified retrospective basis. The adoption did not have a material impact on the Bancorp's Consolidated Financial Statements.

ASU 2022-02 – Financial Instruments-Credit Losses (Topic 326): Troubled Debt Restructurings and Vintage Disclosures

In March 2022, the FASB issued ASU 2022-02, which eliminates the accounting guidance on troubled debt restructurings for creditors in ASC 310-40 and requires entities to evaluate all receivable modifications under ASC 310-20 to determine whether a modification made to a borrower results in a new loan or a continuation of the existing loan. The amended guidance adds enhanced disclosures for creditors with respect to loan refinancings and restructurings for borrowers experiencing financial difficulty. The amended guidance also requires disclosure of current period gross charge-offs by year of origination within the vintage disclosures required by ASC 326. The Bancorp adopted the amended guidance on January 1, 2023 on a prospective basis, except for the amendments impacting the measurement of the ACL for TDRs and reasonably expected TDRs, which were adopted on a modified retrospective basis. Upon adoption, the Bancorp recorded a decrease to the ACL of approximately \$49 million and a cumulative-effect adjustment to retained earnings of approximately \$37 million, net of tax. The Bancorp will be subject to the amended disclosure requirements beginning with the filing of its Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the first quarter of 2023.

ASU 2022-03 – Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820): Fair Value Measurement of Equity Securities Subject to Contractual Sale Restrictions

In June 2022, the FASB issued ASU 2022-03, which clarifies the guidance in ASC 820 on the fair value measurement of an equity security that is subject to contractual sale restrictions, stating that such restrictions are not considered part of the unit of account of the security and therefore are not considered in measuring fair value. The amended guidance also requires disclosure of the fair value of equity securities subject to contractual sale restrictions and certain additional information about those restrictions. The amended guidance is effective for the Bancorp on January 1, 2024, with early adoption permitted, and is to be applied prospectively.

ASU 2022-04 – Liabilities-Supplier Finance Programs (Subtopic 405-50): Disclosure of Supplier Finance Program Obligations

In September 2022, the FASB issued ASU 2022-04, which provides guidance on the disclosure requirements for supplier finance programs. The amendments require that a buyer in a supplier finance program disclose sufficient qualitative and quantitative information about the program to allow a user of financial statements to understand the program's nature, activity during the period, changes from period to period, and potential magnitude. The Bancorp adopted the amended guidance on January 1, 2023 on a retrospective basis, except for the amendments related to disclosure of rollforward information, which are required to be adopted on January 1, 2024 on a prospective basis. The adoption did not have a material impact on the Bancorp's Consolidated Financial Statements.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Reference Rate Reform and LIBOR Transition

In March 2020, the FASB issued ASU 2020-04, which provides optional expedients and exceptions for applying U.S. GAAP to contracts, hedging relationships and other transactions affected by reference rate reform if certain criteria are met. The amendments in the ASU apply only to contracts, hedging relationships and other transactions that reference LIBOR or another reference rate expected to be discontinued because of reference rate reform. In January 2021, the FASB issued ASU 2021-01, which clarified that the optional expedients and exceptions in Topic 848 for contract modifications and hedge accounting also apply to derivatives that are affected by the discounting transition. The expedients and exceptions provided by the amendments did not apply to contract modifications made and hedging relationships entered into or evaluated after December 31, 2022, except for hedging relationships existing as of December 31, 2022 for which an entity had elected certain optional expedients and that are retained through the end of the hedging relationship. Subsequently, in December 2022, the FASB issued ASU 2022-06 which deferred the sunset date of Topic 848 from December 31, 2022 to December 31, 2024, after which entities will no longer be permitted to apply the relief in Topic 848. The amendments in ASU 2020-04 (as amended) are effective for the Bancorp as of March 12, 2020 and may be applied through December 31, 2024. The Bancorp is in the process of evaluating and applying, as applicable, the optional expedients and exceptions in accounting for eligible contract modifications, eligible existing hedging relationships and new hedging relationships available through December 31, 2024.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

2. Supplemental Cash Flow Information

Cash payments related to interest and income taxes in addition to non-cash investing and financing activities are presented in the following table for the years ended December 31:

(\$ in millions)	2022	2021	2020
Cash Payments:			
Interest	\$ 869	465	825
Income taxes	272	607	491
Transfers:			
Portfolio loans and leases to loans and leases held for sale ^(a)	\$ 105	447	926
Loans and leases held for sale to portfolio loans and leases	409	49	49
Portfolio loans and leases to OREO	8	8	12
Loans and leases held for sale to OREO	1	—	2
Bank premises and equipment to OREO	24	21	2
Supplemental Disclosures:			
Net additions to lease liabilities under operating leases	\$ 152	66	47
Net additions to lease liabilities under finance leases	27	35	106

(a) Includes \$167 and \$794 for the years ended December 31, 2021 and 2020, respectively, of residential mortgage loans previously sold to GNMA which the Bancorp was initially deemed to have regained effective control over under ASC Topic 860 and which were recorded as portfolio loans. The Bancorp subsequently repurchased these loans and classified them as held for sale.

3. Restrictions on Dividends and Capital Actions

Restrictions on Cash Dividends

The principal source of income and funds for the Bancorp (parent company) are dividends from its subsidiaries. The dividends paid by the Bancorp's banking subsidiary are subject to regulations and limitations prescribed by state and federal supervisory agencies. The Bancorp's indirect banking subsidiary did not pay dividends during the year ended December 31, 2022 and paid the Bancorp's direct nonbank subsidiary holding company, which in turn paid the Bancorp, \$3.0 billion in dividends during the year ended December 31, 2021. The Bancorp's nonbank subsidiaries are also limited by certain federal and state statutory provisions and regulations covering the amount of dividends that may be paid in any given year.

Capital Actions

The Bancorp is subject to restrictions on its capital actions, primarily as a result of supervisory policies set by the FRB. The Bancorp is required to develop and maintain a capital plan that governs its capacity to pay dividends and execute share repurchases and this plan is required to be submitted to the FRB periodically. As part of its capital plan, the Bancorp increased its quarterly common stock dividend to \$0.33 per share in the third quarter of 2022. Additionally, the Bancorp entered into and settled accelerated share repurchase transactions during the year ended December 31, 2022. For more information related to these transactions, refer to Note 24.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

4. Investment Securities

The following tables provide the amortized cost, unrealized gains and losses and fair value for the major categories of the available-for-sale debt and other securities and held-to-maturity securities portfolios as of December 31:

(\$ in millions)	2022			Fair Value
	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Gains	Unrealized Losses	
Available-for-sale debt and other securities:				
U.S. Treasury and federal agencies securities	\$ 2,683	—	(188)	2,495
Obligations of states and political subdivisions securities	18	—	—	18
Mortgage-backed securities:				
Agency residential mortgage-backed securities	12,604	5	(1,372)	11,237
Agency commercial mortgage-backed securities	29,824	11	(3,513)	26,322
Non-agency commercial mortgage-backed securities	5,235	—	(520)	4,715
Asset-backed securities and other debt securities	6,292	3	(453)	5,842
Other securities ^(a)	874	—	—	874
Total available-for-sale debt and other securities	\$ 57,530	19	(6,046)	51,503
Held-to-maturity securities:				
Obligations of states and political subdivisions securities	\$ 3	—	—	3
Asset-backed securities and other debt securities	2	—	—	2
Total held-to-maturity securities	\$ 5	—	—	5

(a) Other securities consist of FHLB, FRB and DTCC restricted stock holdings of \$381, \$491 and \$2, respectively, at December 31, 2022, that are carried at cost.

(\$ in millions)	2021			Fair Value
	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Gains	Unrealized Losses	
Available-for-sale debt and other securities:				
U.S. Treasury and federal agencies securities	\$ 85	1	—	86
Obligations of states and political subdivisions securities	18	—	—	18
Mortgage-backed securities:				
Agency residential mortgage-backed securities	8,432	368	(18)	8,782
Agency commercial mortgage-backed securities	18,236	784	(69)	18,951
Non-agency commercial mortgage-backed securities	4,364	128	(13)	4,479
Asset-backed securities and other debt securities	5,287	32	(44)	5,275
Other securities ^(a)	519	—	—	519
Total available-for-sale debt and other securities	\$ 36,941	1,313	(144)	38,110
Held-to-maturity securities:				
Obligations of states and political subdivisions securities	\$ 6	—	—	6
Asset-backed securities and other debt securities	2	—	—	2
Total held-to-maturity securities	\$ 8	—	—	8

(a) Other securities consist of FHLB, FRB and DTCC restricted stock holdings of \$30, \$486 and \$3, respectively, at December 31, 2021, that are carried at cost.

The following table provides the fair value of trading debt securities and equity securities as of December 31:

(\$ in millions)	2022	2021
Trading debt securities	\$ 414	512
Equity securities	317	376

The amounts reported in the preceding tables exclude accrued interest receivable on investment securities of \$131 million and \$82 million at December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively, which is presented as a component of other assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

The Bancorp uses investment securities as a means of managing interest rate risk, providing collateral for pledging purposes and for liquidity risk management. As part of managing interest rate risk, the Bancorp acquires securities as a component of its MSR non-qualifying hedging strategy, with net gains or losses recorded in securities (losses) gains, net – non-qualifying hedges on mortgage servicing rights in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table presents securities (losses) gains recognized in the Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended December 31:

(\$ in millions)	2022	2021	2020
Available-for-sale debt and other securities:			
Realized gains	\$ 16	34	47
Realized losses	(13)	(19)	(2)
Impairment losses	(1)	(19)	—
Net realized gains (losses) on available-for-sale debt and other securities	\$ 2	(4)	45
Trading debt securities:			
Net realized (losses) gains	(2)	(2)	2
Net unrealized gains (losses)	11	(3)	—
Net trading debt securities gains (losses)	\$ 9	(5)	2
Equity securities:			
Net realized gains	1	7	10
Net unrealized (losses) gains	(96)	(7)	7
Net equity securities (losses) gains	\$ (95)	—	17
Total (losses) gains recognized in income from available-for-sale debt and other securities, trading debt securities and equity securities ^(a)	\$ (84)	(9)	64

^(a)Excludes an immaterial amount and \$7 of net securities losses for the years ended December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively, and \$5 of net securities gains for the year ended December 31, 2020, related to securities held by FTS to facilitate the timely execution of customer transactions. These (losses) gains are included in commercial banking revenue and wealth and asset management revenue in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

The Bancorp recognized impairment losses on available-for-sale debt and other securities of \$1 million and \$19 million during the years ended December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively. These losses related to certain securities in unrealized loss positions that the Bancorp intended to sell prior to recovery of their amortized cost bases. The Bancorp did not consider these losses to be credit-related.

At both December 31, 2022 and 2021, the Bancorp completed its evaluation of the available-for-sale debt and other securities in an unrealized loss position and did not recognize an allowance for credit losses. The Bancorp did not recognize provision expense related to available-for-sale debt and other securities in an unrealized loss position during both the years ended December 31, 2022 and 2021.

At December 31, 2022 and 2021, investment securities with a fair value of \$11.0 billion and \$11.2 billion, respectively, were pledged to secure borrowings, public deposits, trust funds, derivative contracts and for other purposes as required or permitted by law.

The expected maturity distribution of the Bancorp's mortgage-backed securities and the contractual maturity distribution of the remainder of the Bancorp's available-for-sale debt and other securities and held-to-maturity securities as of December 31, 2022 are shown in the following table:

(\$ in millions)	Available-for-Sale Debt and Other		Held-to-Maturity	
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
Debt securities: ^(a)				
Due in 1 year or less	\$ 381	374	3	3
Due after 1 year through 5 years	13,506	12,557	—	—
Due after 5 years through 10 years	31,661	28,101	—	—
Due after 10 years	11,108	9,597	2	2
Other securities	874	874	—	—
Total	\$ 57,530	51,503	5	5

^(a)Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities when a right to call or prepay obligations exists with or without call or prepayment penalties.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table provides the fair value and gross unrealized losses on available-for-sale debt and other securities in an unrealized loss position, aggregated by investment category and length of time the individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position as of December 31:

(\$ in millions)	Less than 12 months		12 months or more		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
2022						
U.S. Treasury and federal agencies securities	\$ 2,400	(188)	—	—	2,400	(188)
Obligations of states and political subdivisions securities	—	—	1	—	1	—
Agency residential mortgage-backed securities	10,078	(1,170)	938	(202)	11,016	(1,372)
Agency commercial mortgage-backed securities	22,083	(2,487)	3,697	(1,026)	25,780	(3,513)
Non-agency commercial mortgage-backed securities	3,621	(272)	1,059	(248)	4,680	(520)
Asset-backed securities and other debt securities	3,164	(178)	2,495	(275)	5,659	(453)
Total	\$ 41,346	(4,295)	8,190	(1,751)	49,536	(6,046)
2021						
Agency residential mortgage-backed securities	\$ 935	(10)	161	(8)	1,096	(18)
Agency commercial mortgage-backed securities	2,886	(49)	424	(20)	3,310	(69)
Non-agency commercial mortgage-backed securities	1,052	(13)	—	—	1,052	(13)
Asset-backed securities and other debt securities	2,870	(34)	367	(10)	3,237	(44)
Total	\$ 7,743	(106)	952	(38)	8,695	(144)

At December 31, 2022 and 2021, \$42 million and \$2 million, respectively, of unrealized losses in the available-for-sale debt and other securities portfolio were related to non-rated securities.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

5. Loans and Leases

The Bancorp diversifies its loan and lease portfolio by offering a variety of loan and lease products with various payment terms and rate structures. The Bancorp's commercial loan and lease portfolio consists of lending to various industry types. Management periodically reviews the performance of its loan and lease products to evaluate whether they are performing within acceptable interest rate and credit risk levels and changes are made to underwriting policies and procedures as needed. The Bancorp maintains an allowance to absorb loan and lease losses that are expected to be incurred over the remaining contractual terms of the related loans and leases. For further information on credit quality and the ALLL, refer to Note 6.

The following table provides a summary of commercial loans and leases classified by primary purpose and consumer loans classified based upon product or collateral as of December 31:

(\$ in millions)	2022	2021
Loans and leases held for sale:		
Commercial and industrial loans	\$ 73	7
Commercial mortgage loans	—	13
Commercial leases	—	1
Residential mortgage loans	934	4,394
Total loans and leases held for sale	\$ 1,007	4,415
Portfolio loans and leases:		
Commercial and industrial loans ^(a)	\$ 57,232	51,659
Commercial mortgage loans	11,020	10,316
Commercial construction loans	5,433	5,241
Commercial leases	2,704	3,052
Total commercial loans and leases	\$ 76,389	70,268
Residential mortgage loans	\$ 17,628	16,397
Home equity	4,039	4,084
Indirect secured consumer loans	16,552	16,783
Credit card	1,874	1,766
Other consumer loans	4,998	2,752
Total consumer loans	\$ 45,091	41,782
Total portfolio loans and leases	\$ 121,480	112,050

(a) Includes \$94 million and \$1.3 billion as of December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively, related to the SBA's Paycheck Protection Program.

Portfolio loans and leases are recorded net of unearned income, which totaled \$238 million and \$244 million as of December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively. Additionally, portfolio loans and leases are recorded net of unamortized premiums and discounts, deferred direct loan origination fees and costs and fair value adjustments (associated with acquired loans or loans designated as fair value upon origination) which totaled a net premium of \$146 million and \$498 million as of December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively. The amortized cost basis of loans and leases excludes accrued interest receivable of \$518 million and \$332 million at December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively, which is presented as a component of other assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

The Bancorp's FHLB and FRB borrowings are primarily secured by loans. The Bancorp had loans of \$15.9 billion and \$15.3 billion at December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively, pledged to the FHLB, and loans of \$57.1 billion and \$50.9 billion at December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively, pledged to the FRB.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table presents a summary of the total loans and leases owned by the Bancorp and net charge-offs (recoveries) as of and for the years ended December 31:

(\$ in millions)	Carrying Value		90 Days Past Due and Still Accruing ^(a)		Net Charge-Offs (Recoveries)	
	2022	2021	2022	2021	2022	2021
Commercial and industrial loans	\$ 57,305	51,666	11	17	96	60
Commercial mortgage loans	11,020	10,329	—	1	(1)	8
Commercial construction loans	5,433	5,241	—	1	2	—
Commercial leases	2,704	3,053	2	—	4	(1)
Residential mortgage loans	18,562	20,791	7	72	(2)	(4)
Home equity	4,039	4,084	1	1	(2)	(4)
Indirect secured consumer loans	16,552	16,783	—	9	36	14
Credit card	1,874	1,766	18	15	52	70
Other consumer loans	4,998	2,752	1	1	42	31
Total loans and leases	\$ 122,487	116,465	40	117	227	174
Less: Loans and leases held for sale	1,007	4,415				
Total portfolio loans and leases	\$ 121,480	112,050				

(a) Excludes government guaranteed residential mortgage loans.

The following table presents the components of the net investment in portfolio leases as of December 31:

(\$ in millions) ^(a)	2022	2021
Net investment in direct financing leases:		
Lease payment receivable (present value)	\$ 570	886
Unguaranteed residual assets (present value)	107	147
Net premium on acquired leases	—	1
Net investment in sales-type leases:		
Lease payment receivable (present value)	1,704	1,678
Unguaranteed residual assets (present value)	76	55

(a) Excludes \$247 and \$285 of leveraged leases at December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively.

Interest income recognized in the Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended December 31, 2022, 2021 and 2020 was \$29 million, \$42 million and \$64 million, respectively, for direct financing leases and \$50 million, \$42 million and \$28 million, respectively, for sales-type leases.

The following table presents undiscounted cash flows for both direct financing and sales-type leases for 2023 through 2027 and thereafter as well as a reconciliation of the undiscounted cash flows to the total lease receivables as follows:

As of December 31, 2022 (\$ in millions)	Direct Financing Leases	Sales-Type Leases
2023	\$ 188	502
2024	148	440
2025	100	376
2026	83	211
2027	44	156
Thereafter	44	155
Total undiscounted cash flows	\$ 607	1,840
Less: Difference between undiscounted cash flows and discounted cash flows	37	136
Present value of lease payments (recognized as lease receivables)	\$ 570	1,704

The lease residual value represents the present value of the estimated fair value of the leased equipment at the end of the lease. The Bancorp performs quarterly reviews of residual values associated with its leasing portfolio considering factors such as the subject equipment, structure of the transaction, industry, prior experience with the lessee and other factors that impact the residual value to assess for impairment. The Bancorp maintained an allowance of \$15 million at both December 31, 2022 and 2021 to cover the losses that are expected to be incurred over the remaining contractual terms of the related leases, including the potential losses related to the lease residual value. Refer to Note 6 for additional information on credit quality and the ALLL.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

6. Credit Quality and the Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses

The Bancorp disaggregates ALLL balances and transactions in the ALLL by portfolio segment. Credit quality related disclosures for loans and leases are further disaggregated by class.

Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses

The following tables summarize transactions in the ALLL by portfolio segment for the years ended December 31:

2022 (\$ in millions)	Commercial	Residential Mortgage	Consumer	Total
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 1,102	235	555	1,892
Losses charged off ^(a)	(131)	(3)	(228)	(362)
Recoveries of losses previously charged off ^(a)	30	5	100	135
Provision for loan and lease losses	126	8	395	529
Balance, end of period	\$ 1,127	245	822	2,194

^(a)The Bancorp recorded \$32 in both losses charged-off and recoveries of losses previously charged-off related to customer defaults on point-of-sale consumer loans for which the Bancorp obtained recoveries under third-party credit enhancements.

2021 (\$ in millions)	Commercial	Residential Mortgage	Consumer	Total
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 1,456	294	703	2,453
Losses charged off ^(a)	(119)	(3)	(222)	(344)
Recoveries of losses previously charged off ^(a)	52	7	111	170
Benefit from loan and lease losses	(287)	(63)	(37)	(387)
Balance, end of period	\$ 1,102	235	555	1,892

^(a)The Bancorp recorded \$33 in both losses charged-off and recoveries of losses previously charged-off related to customer defaults on point-of-sale consumer loans for which the Bancorp obtained recoveries under third-party credit enhancements.

2020 (\$ in millions)	Commercial	Residential Mortgage	Consumer	Unallocated	Total
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 710	73	298	121	1,202
Impact of adoption of ASU 2016-13 ^(a)	160	196	408	(121)	643
Losses charged off ^(b)	(282)	(9)	(320)	—	(611)
Recoveries of losses previously charged off ^(b)	16	7	117	—	140
Provision for loan and lease losses	852	27	200	—	1,079
Balance, end of period	\$ 1,456	294	703	—	2,453

^(a)Includes \$31, \$2 and \$1 in Commercial, Residential Mortgage and Consumer, respectively, related to the initial recognition of an ALLL on PCD loans.

^(b)The Bancorp recorded \$42 in both losses charged-off and recoveries of losses previously charged-off related to customer defaults on point-of-sale consumer loans for which the Bancorp obtained recoveries under third-party credit enhancements.

The following tables provide a summary of the ALLL and related loans and leases classified by portfolio segment:

As of December 31, 2022 (\$ in millions)	Commercial	Residential Mortgage	Consumer	Total
ALLL:^(a)				
Individually evaluated	\$ 30	47	45	122
Collectively evaluated	1,097	198	777	2,072
Total ALLL	\$ 1,127	245	822	2,194
Portfolio loans and leases:^(b)				
Individually evaluated	\$ 531	560	297	1,388
Collectively evaluated	75,858	16,945	27,166	119,969
Total portfolio loans and leases	\$ 76,389	17,505	27,463	121,357

^(a)Includes \$2 related to commercial leveraged leases at December 31, 2022.

^(b)Excludes \$123 of residential mortgage loans measured at fair value and includes \$247 of commercial leveraged leases, net of unearned income, at December 31, 2022.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

As of December 31, 2021 (\$ in millions)	Commercial	Residential Mortgage	Consumer	Total
ALLL:^(a)				
Individually evaluated	\$ 77	46	41	164
Collectively evaluated	1,025	189	514	1,728
Total ALLL	\$ 1,102	235	555	1,892
Portfolio loans and leases:^(b)				
Individually evaluated	\$ 579	460	313	1,352
Collectively evaluated	69,689	15,783	25,072	110,544
Total portfolio loans and leases	\$ 70,268	16,243	25,385	111,896

(a)Includes \$2 related to commercial leveraged leases at December 31, 2021.

(b)Excludes \$154 of residential mortgage loans measured at fair value and includes \$285 of commercial leveraged leases, net of unearned income, at December 31, 2021.

Credit Risk Profile

Commercial Portfolio Segment

For purposes of monitoring the credit quality and risk characteristics of its commercial portfolio segment, the Bancorp disaggregates the segment into the following classes: commercial and industrial, commercial mortgage owner-occupied, commercial mortgage nonowner-occupied, commercial construction and commercial leases.

To facilitate the monitoring of credit quality within the commercial portfolio segment, the Bancorp utilizes the following categories of credit grades: pass, special mention, substandard, doubtful and loss. The five categories, which are derived from standard regulatory rating definitions, are assigned upon initial approval of credit to borrowers and updated periodically thereafter.

Pass ratings, which are assigned to those borrowers that do not have identified potential or well-defined weaknesses and for which there is a high likelihood of orderly repayment, are updated at least annually based on the size and credit characteristics of the borrower. All other categories are updated on a quarterly basis during the month preceding the end of the calendar quarter.

The Bancorp assigns a special mention rating to loans and leases that have potential weaknesses that deserve management's close attention. If left uncorrected, these potential weaknesses may, at some future date, result in the deterioration of the repayment prospects for the loan or lease or the Bancorp's credit position.

The Bancorp assigns a substandard rating to loans and leases that are inadequately protected by the current sound worth and paying capacity of the borrower or of the collateral pledged. Substandard loans and leases have well-defined weaknesses or weaknesses that could jeopardize the orderly repayment of the debt. Loans and leases in this grade also are characterized by the distinct possibility that the Bancorp will sustain some loss if the deficiencies noted are not addressed and corrected.

The Bancorp assigns a doubtful rating to loans and leases that have all the attributes of a substandard rating with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions and values, highly questionable and improbable. The possibility of loss is extremely high, but because of certain important and reasonable specific pending factors that may work to the advantage of and strengthen the credit quality of the loan or lease, its classification as an estimated loss is deferred until its more exact status may be determined. Pending factors may include a proposed merger or acquisition, liquidation proceeding, capital injection, perfecting liens on additional collateral or refinancing plans.

Loans and leases classified as loss are considered uncollectible and are charged off in the period in which they are determined to be uncollectible. Because loans and leases in this category are fully charged off, they are not included in the following tables.

For loans and leases that are collectively evaluated, the Bancorp utilizes models to forecast expected credit losses over a reasonable and supportable forecast period based on the probability of a loan or lease defaulting, the expected balance at the estimated date of default and the expected loss percentage given a default. For the commercial portfolio segment, the estimates for probability of default are primarily based on internal ratings assigned to each commercial borrower on a 13-point scale and historical observations of how those ratings migrate to a default over time in the context of macroeconomic conditions. For loans with available credit, the estimate of the expected balance at the time of default considers expected utilization rates, which are primarily based on macroeconomic conditions and the utilization history of similar borrowers under those economic conditions. The estimates for loss severity are primarily based on collateral type and coverage levels and the susceptibility of those characteristics to changes in macroeconomic conditions. Refer to Note 1 for additional information about the Bancorp's processes for developing these models, estimating credit losses for periods beyond the reasonable and supportable forecast period and for estimating credit losses for individually evaluated loans.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following tables present the amortized cost basis of the Bancorp's commercial portfolio segment, by class and vintage, disaggregated by credit risk grade:

As of December 31, 2022 (\$ in millions)	Term Loans and Leases by Origination Year						Revolving Loans	Revolving Loans Converted to Term Loans	Total
	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	Prior			
Commercial and industrial loans:									
Pass	\$ 3,825	3,098	994	445	269	488	44,521	—	53,640
Special mention	65	24	15	36	10	24	1,221	—	1,395
Substandard	150	77	233	26	7	107	1,597	—	2,197
Doubtful	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total commercial and industrial loans	\$ 4,040	3,199	1,242	507	286	619	47,339	—	57,232
Commercial mortgage owner-occupied loans:									
Pass	\$ 1,177	826	522	257	160	264	1,624	—	4,830
Special mention	17	15	13	12	13	2	56	—	128
Substandard	51	14	20	73	11	25	106	—	300
Doubtful	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total commercial mortgage owner-occupied loans	\$ 1,245	855	555	342	184	291	1,786	—	5,258
Commercial mortgage nonowner-occupied loans:									
Pass	\$ 1,127	462	490	397	220	170	2,453	—	5,319
Special mention	1	84	26	—	—	23	88	—	222
Substandard	65	19	18	1	1	17	100	—	221
Doubtful	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total commercial mortgage nonowner-occupied loans	\$ 1,193	565	534	398	221	210	2,641	—	5,762
Commercial construction loans:									
Pass	\$ 82	31	93	8	35	7	4,684	—	4,940
Special mention	—	—	—	—	—	—	293	—	293
Substandard	53	—	—	—	—	2	145	—	200
Doubtful	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total commercial construction loans	\$ 135	31	93	8	35	9	5,122	—	5,433
Commercial leases:									
Pass	\$ 584	664	306	192	146	696	—	—	2,588
Special mention	—	4	2	4	7	19	—	—	36
Substandard	1	20	2	4	21	32	—	—	80
Doubtful	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total commercial leases	\$ 585	688	310	200	174	747	—	—	2,704
Total commercial loans and leases:									
Pass	\$ 6,795	5,081	2,405	1,299	830	1,625	53,282	—	71,317
Special mention	83	127	56	52	30	68	1,658	—	2,074
Substandard	320	130	273	104	40	183	1,948	—	2,998
Doubtful	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total commercial loans and leases	\$ 7,198	5,338	2,734	1,455	900	1,876	56,888	—	76,389

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

As of December 31, 2021 (\$ in millions)	Term Loans and Leases by Origination Year						Revolving Loans	Revolving Loans Converted to Term Loans	Total
	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	Prior			
Commercial and industrial loans:									
Pass	\$ 4,266	2,291	1,198	552	356	752	39,486	—	48,901
Special mention	37	22	12	29	22	5	665	—	792
Substandard	19	52	36	69	52	115	1,623	—	1,966
Doubtful	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total commercial and industrial loans	\$ 4,322	2,365	1,246	650	430	872	41,774	—	51,659
Commercial mortgage owner-occupied loans:									
Pass	\$ 1,082	804	471	296	183	331	1,141	—	4,308
Special mention	—	31	46	17	2	40	69	—	205
Substandard	22	38	3	12	3	27	91	—	196
Doubtful	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total commercial mortgage owner-occupied loans	\$ 1,104	873	520	325	188	398	1,301	—	4,709
Commercial mortgage nonowner-occupied loans:									
Pass	\$ 635	733	595	284	141	302	1,977	—	4,667
Special mention	89	12	11	5	7	9	162	—	295
Substandard	160	78	4	3	9	3	388	—	645
Doubtful	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total commercial mortgage nonowner-occupied loans	\$ 884	823	610	292	157	314	2,527	—	5,607
Commercial construction loans:									
Pass	\$ 50	69	11	37	—	9	4,488	—	4,664
Special mention	—	39	—	—	—	—	193	—	232
Substandard	17	—	—	—	—	—	328	—	345
Doubtful	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total commercial construction loans	\$ 67	108	11	37	—	9	5,009	—	5,241
Commercial leases:									
Pass	\$ 1,019	436	284	231	233	776	—	—	2,979
Special mention	4	4	5	9	—	8	—	—	30
Substandard	7	3	8	10	13	2	—	—	43
Doubtful	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total commercial leases	\$ 1,030	443	297	250	246	786	—	—	3,052
Total commercial loans and leases:									
Pass	\$ 7,052	4,333	2,559	1,400	913	2,170	47,092	—	65,519
Special mention	130	108	74	60	31	62	1,089	—	1,554
Substandard	225	171	51	94	77	147	2,430	—	3,195
Doubtful	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total commercial loans and leases	\$ 7,407	4,612	2,684	1,554	1,021	2,379	50,611	—	70,268

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Age Analysis of Past Due Commercial Loans and Leases

The following tables summarize the Bancorp's amortized cost basis in portfolio commercial loans and leases, by age and class:

As of December 31, 2022 (\$ in millions)	Current Loans and Leases ^(a)	Past Due			Total Loans and Leases	90 Days Past Due and Still Accruing
		30-89 Days ^(a)	90 Days or More ^(a)	Total Past Due		
		Commercial loans and leases:				
Commercial and industrial loans ^(b)	\$ 57,092	98	42	140	57,232	11
Commercial mortgage owner-occupied loans	5,241	14	3	17	5,258	—
Commercial mortgage nonowner-occupied loans	5,756	6	—	6	5,762	—
Commercial construction loans	5,424	7	2	9	5,433	—
Commercial leases	2,698	4	2	6	2,704	2
Total portfolio commercial loans and leases	\$ 76,211	129	49	178	76,389	13

(a) Includes accrual and nonaccrual loans and leases.

(b) Includes loans related to the SBA's Paycheck Protection Program, of which an immaterial amount were 30-89 days past due and \$2 were 90 days or more past due.

As of December 31, 2021 (\$ in millions)	Current Loans and Leases ^(a)	Past Due			Total Loans and Leases	90 Days Past Due and Still Accruing
		30-89 Days ^(a)	90 Days or More ^(a)	Total Past Due		
		Commercial loans and leases:				
Commercial and industrial loans ^(b)	\$ 51,549	61	49	110	51,659	17
Commercial mortgage owner-occupied loans	4,701	4	4	8	4,709	1
Commercial mortgage nonowner-occupied loans	5,606	—	1	1	5,607	—
Commercial construction loans	5,241	—	—	—	5,241	1
Commercial leases	3,035	16	1	17	3,052	—
Total portfolio commercial loans and leases	\$ 70,132	81	55	136	70,268	19

(a) Includes accrual and nonaccrual loans and leases.

(b) Includes loans related to the SBA's Paycheck Protection Program, of which \$20 were 30-89 days past due and \$6 were 90 days or more past due.

Residential Mortgage and Consumer Portfolio Segments

For purposes of monitoring the credit quality and risk characteristics of its consumer portfolio segment, the Bancorp disaggregates the segment into the following classes: home equity, indirect secured consumer loans, credit card and other consumer loans. The Bancorp's residential mortgage portfolio segment is also a separate class.

The Bancorp considers repayment performance as the best indicator of credit quality for residential mortgage and consumer loans, which includes both the delinquency status and performing versus nonperforming status of the loans. The delinquency status of all residential mortgage and consumer loans and the performing versus nonperforming status are presented in the following tables.

For collectively evaluated loans in the consumer and residential mortgage portfolio segments, the Bancorp's expected credit loss models primarily utilize the borrower's FICO score and delinquency history in combination with macroeconomic conditions when estimating the probability of default. The estimates for loss severity are primarily based on collateral type and coverage levels and the susceptibility of those characteristics to changes in macroeconomic conditions. The expected balance at the estimated date of default is also especially impactful in the expected credit loss models for portfolio classes which generally have longer terms (such as residential mortgage loans and home equity) and portfolio classes containing a high concentration of loans with revolving privileges (such as home equity). The estimate of the expected balance at the time of default considers expected prepayment and utilization rates where applicable, which are primarily based on macroeconomic conditions and the utilization history of similar borrowers under those economic conditions. Refer to Note 1 for additional information about the Bancorp's process for developing these models and its process for estimating credit losses for periods beyond the reasonable and supportable forecast period.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following tables present the amortized cost basis of the Bancorp's residential mortgage and consumer portfolio segments, by class and vintage, disaggregated by both age and performing versus nonperforming status:

As of December 31, 2022 (\$ in millions)	Term Loans by Origination Year						Revolving Loans	Revolving Loans Converted to Term Loans	Total
	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	Prior			
Residential mortgage loans:									
Performing:									
Current ^(a)	\$ 3,195	5,440	2,981	1,051	344	4,336	—	—	17,347
30-89 days past due	4	4	3	1	2	15	—	—	29
90 days or more past due	—	—	1	—	1	5	—	—	7
Total performing	3,199	5,444	2,985	1,052	347	4,356	—	—	17,383
Nonperforming	—	3	4	4	7	104	—	—	122
Total residential mortgage loans^(b)	\$ 3,199	5,447	2,989	1,056	354	4,460	—	—	17,505
Home equity:									
Performing:									
Current	\$ 46	3	7	15	17	94	3,741	18	3,941
30-89 days past due	—	—	—	—	—	2	28	—	30
90 days or more past due	—	—	—	—	—	1	—	—	1
Total performing	46	3	7	15	17	97	3,769	18	3,972
Nonperforming	—	—	—	—	—	8	58	1	67
Total home equity	\$ 46	3	7	15	17	105	3,827	19	4,039
Indirect secured consumer loans:									
Performing:									
Current	\$ 6,034	5,875	2,600	1,217	416	239	—	—	16,381
30-89 days past due	34	42	28	22	11	5	—	—	142
90 days or more past due	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total performing	6,068	5,917	2,628	1,239	427	244	—	—	16,523
Nonperforming	4	6	7	6	4	2	—	—	29
Total indirect secured consumer loans	\$ 6,072	5,923	2,635	1,245	431	246	—	—	16,552
Credit card:									
Performing:									
Current	\$ —	—	—	—	—	—	1,808	—	1,808
30-89 days past due	—	—	—	—	—	—	21	—	21
90 days or more past due	—	—	—	—	—	—	18	—	18
Total performing	—	—	—	—	—	—	1,847	—	1,847
Nonperforming	—	—	—	—	—	—	27	—	27
Total credit card	\$ —	—	—	—	—	—	1,874	—	1,874
Other consumer loans:									
Performing:									
Current	\$ 2,704	540	355	169	112	146	908	26	4,960
30-89 days past due	14	6	3	2	2	2	3	—	32
90 days or more past due	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	—	1
Total performing	2,718	546	358	171	114	148	912	26	4,993
Nonperforming	2	1	—	—	—	1	1	—	5
Total other consumer loans	\$ 2,720	547	358	171	114	149	913	26	4,998
Total residential mortgage and consumer loans:									
Performing:									
Current	\$ 11,979	11,858	5,943	2,452	889	4,815	6,457	44	44,437
30-89 days past due	52	52	34	25	15	24	52	—	254
90 days or more past due	—	—	1	—	1	6	19	—	27
Total performing	12,031	11,910	5,978	2,477	905	4,845	6,528	44	44,718
Nonperforming	6	10	11	10	11	115	86	1	250
Total residential mortgage and consumer loans^(b)	\$ 12,037	11,920	5,989	2,487	916	4,960	6,614	45	44,968

(a) Information includes advances made pursuant to servicing agreements for GNMA mortgage pools whose repayments are insured by the FHA or guaranteed by the VA. As of December 31, 2022, \$81 of these loans were 30-89 days past due and \$147 were 90 days or more past due. The Bancorp recognized \$2 of losses during the year ended December 31, 2022 due to claim denials and curtailments associated with these insured or guaranteed loans.

(b) Excludes \$123 of residential mortgage loans measured at fair value at December 31, 2022, including \$1 of 30-89 days past due loans and \$2 of nonperforming loans.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

As of December 31, 2021 (\$ in millions)	Term Loans by Origination Year						Revolving Loans	Revolving Loans Converted to Term Loans	Total
	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	Prior			
Residential mortgage loans:									
Performing:									
Current ^(a)	\$ 5,886	3,309	1,294	418	954	4,261	—	—	16,122
30-89 days past due	1	1	1	1	1	13	—	—	18
90 days or more past due	—	2	4	3	9	52	—	—	70
Total performing	5,887	3,312	1,299	422	964	4,326	—	—	16,210
Nonperforming	—	—	1	—	2	30	—	—	33
Total residential mortgage loans^(b)	\$ 5,887	3,312	1,300	422	966	4,356	—	—	16,243
Home equity:									
Performing:									
Current	\$ 2	6	13	18	2	113	3,815	12	3,981
30-89 days past due	—	—	—	—	—	3	22	—	25
90 days or more past due	—	—	—	—	—	1	—	—	1
Total performing	2	6	13	18	2	117	3,837	12	4,007
Nonperforming	—	—	—	—	—	9	67	1	77
Total home equity	\$ 2	6	13	18	2	126	3,904	13	4,084
Indirect secured consumer loans:									
Performing:									
Current	\$ 8,732	4,206	2,221	902	389	194	—	—	16,644
30-89 days past due	26	24	25	17	8	3	—	—	103
90 days or more past due	2	2	2	2	1	—	—	—	9
Total performing	8,760	4,232	2,248	921	398	197	—	—	16,756
Nonperforming	—	12	5	5	3	2	—	—	27
Total indirect secured consumer loans	\$ 8,760	4,244	2,253	926	401	199	—	—	16,783
Credit card:									
Performing:									
Current	\$ —	—	—	—	—	—	1,710	—	1,710
30-89 days past due	—	—	—	—	—	—	18	—	18
90 days or more past due	—	—	—	—	—	—	15	—	15
Total performing	—	—	—	—	—	—	1,743	—	1,743
Nonperforming	—	—	—	—	—	—	23	—	23
Total credit card	\$ —	—	—	—	—	—	1,766	—	1,766
Other consumer loans:									
Performing:									
Current	\$ 692	530	275	174	105	47	913	—	2,736
30-89 days past due	3	2	3	2	1	—	2	1	14
90 days or more past due	—	—	1	—	—	—	—	—	1
Total performing	695	532	279	176	106	47	915	1	2,751
Nonperforming	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	—	1
Total other consumer loans	\$ 695	532	279	176	106	47	916	1	2,752
Total residential mortgage and consumer loans:									
Performing:									
Current	\$ 15,312	8,051	3,803	1,512	1,450	4,615	6,438	12	41,193
30-89 days past due	30	27	29	20	10	19	42	1	178
90 days or more past due	2	4	7	5	10	53	15	—	96
Total performing	15,344	8,082	3,839	1,537	1,470	4,687	6,495	13	41,467
Nonperforming	—	12	6	5	5	41	91	1	161
Total residential mortgage and consumer loans^(b)	\$ 15,344	8,094	3,845	1,542	1,475	4,728	6,586	14	41,628

(a) Information includes advances made pursuant to servicing agreements for GNMA mortgage pools whose repayments are insured by the FHA or guaranteed by the VA. As of December 31, 2021, \$49 of these loans were 30-89 days past due and \$139 were 90 days or more past due. The Bancorp recognized \$2 of losses during the year ended December 31, 2021 due to claim denials and curtailments associated with these insured or guaranteed loans.

(b) Excludes \$154 of residential mortgage loans measured at fair value at December 31, 2021, including \$2 of 30-89 days past due loans and \$2 of 90 days or more past due loans.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Collateral-Dependent Loans and Leases

The Bancorp considers a loan or lease to be collateral-dependent when the borrower is experiencing financial difficulty and repayment is expected to be provided substantially through the operation or sale of the collateral. When a loan or lease is collateral-dependent, its fair value is generally based on the fair value less cost to sell of the underlying collateral.

The following table presents the amortized cost basis of the Bancorp's collateral-dependent loans and leases, by portfolio class, as of:

(\$ in millions)	December 31, 2022	December 31, 2021
Commercial loans and leases:		
Commercial and industrial loans	\$ 433	467
Commercial mortgage owner-occupied loans	14	22
Commercial mortgage nonowner-occupied loans	27	31
Commercial construction loans	56	56
Commercial leases	1	3
Total commercial loans and leases	\$ 531	579
Residential mortgage loans	57	60
Consumer loans:		
Home equity	46	58
Indirect secured consumer loans	6	8
Total consumer loans	\$ 52	66
Total portfolio loans and leases	\$ 640	705

Nonperforming Assets

Nonperforming assets include nonaccrual loans and leases for which ultimate collectability of the full amount of the principal and/or interest is uncertain; restructured commercial, credit card and consumer loans which do not meet the requirements to be classified as a performing asset; and certain other assets, including OREO and other repossessed property.

The following table presents the amortized cost basis of the Bancorp's nonaccrual loans and leases, by class, and OREO and other repossessed property, as of:

(\$ in millions)	December 31, 2022			December 31, 2021		
	With an ALLL	No Related ALLL	Total	With an ALLL	No Related ALLL	Total
Commercial loans and leases:						
Commercial and industrial loans	\$ 114	101	215	151	128	279
Commercial mortgage owner-occupied loans	9	7	16	10	13	23
Commercial mortgage nonowner-occupied loans	20	4	24	22	3	25
Commercial construction loans	6	2	8	6	—	6
Commercial leases	—	—	—	3	1	4
Total nonaccrual portfolio commercial loans and leases	\$ 149	114	263	192	145	337
Residential mortgage loans	81	43	124	14	19	33
Consumer loans:						
Home equity	45	22	67	53	24	77
Indirect secured consumer loans	26	3	29	21	6	27
Credit card	27	—	27	23	—	23
Other consumer loans	5	—	5	1	—	1
Total nonaccrual portfolio consumer loans	\$ 103	25	128	98	30	128
Total nonaccrual portfolio loans and leases ^{(a)(b)}	\$ 333	182	515	304	194	498
OREO and other repossessed property	—	24	24	—	29	29
Total nonperforming portfolio assets ^{(a)(b)}	\$ 333	206	539	304	223	527

(a) Excludes an immaterial amount and \$15 of nonaccrual loans held for sale as of December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively.

(b) Includes \$15 and \$26 of nonaccrual government insured commercial loans whose repayments are insured by the SBA as of December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively, of which \$11 are restructured nonaccrual government insured commercial loans as of both December 31, 2022 and 2021.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The Bancorp recognized an immaterial amount of interest income on nonaccrual loans and leases for both the years ended December 31, 2022 and 2021.

The Bancorp's amortized cost basis of consumer mortgage loans secured by residential real estate properties for which formal foreclosure proceedings are in process according to local requirements of the applicable jurisdiction was \$154 million and \$84 million as of December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively.

Troubled Debt Restructurings

A loan is accounted for as a TDR if the Bancorp, for economic or legal reasons related to the borrower's financial difficulties, grants a concession to the borrower that it would not otherwise consider. TDRs include concessions granted under reorganization, arrangement or other provisions of the Federal Bankruptcy Act. Within each of the Bancorp's loan classes, TDRs typically involve either a reduction of the stated interest rate of the loan, an extension of the loan's maturity date with a stated rate lower than the current market rate for a new loan with similar risk, or in limited circumstances, a reduction of the principal balance of the loan or the loan's accrued interest. Modifying the terms of a loan may result in an increase or decrease to the ALLL depending upon the terms modified, the method used to measure the ALLL for a loan prior to modification, the extent of collateral, and whether any charge-offs were recorded on the loan before or at the time of modification. Refer to the ALLL section of Note 1 for information on the Bancorp's ALLL methodology. Upon modification of a loan, the Bancorp measures the expected credit loss as either the difference between the amortized cost of the loan and the fair value of collateral less cost to sell or the difference between the estimated future cash flows expected to be collected on the modified loan, discounted at the original effective yield of the loan, and the carrying value of the loan. The resulting measurement may result in the need for minimal or no allowance regardless of which is used because it is probable that all cash flows will be collected under the modified terms of the loan. In addition, if the stated interest rate was increased in a TDR that is not collateral-dependent, the cash flows on the modified loan, using the pre-modification interest rate as the discount rate, often exceed the amortized cost basis of the loan. Conversely, upon a modification that reduces the stated interest rate on a loan that is not collateral-dependent, the Bancorp recognizes an increase to the ALLL. If a TDR involves a reduction of the principal balance of the loan or the loan's accrued interest, that amount is charged off to the ALLL. Loans discharged in a Chapter 7 bankruptcy and not reaffirmed by the borrower are treated as nonaccrual collateral-dependent loans with a charge-off recognized to reduce the carrying values of such loans to the fair value of the related collateral less costs to sell.

The Bancorp had commitments to lend additional funds to borrowers whose terms have been modified in a TDR, consisting of line of credit and letter of credit commitments of \$130 million and \$60 million, respectively, as of December 31, 2022 compared to \$121 million and \$66 million, respectively, as of December 31, 2021.

The following tables provide a summary of portfolio loans, by class, modified in a TDR by the Bancorp during the years ended December 31:

2022 (\$ in millions)	Number of Loans Modified in a TDR During the Year ^(a)	Amortized Cost Basis of Loans Modified in a TDR During the Year	Increase (Decrease) to ALLL Upon Modification	Charge-offs Recognized Upon Modification
Commercial loans:				
Commercial and industrial loans	89	\$ 234	3	9
Commercial mortgage owner-occupied loans	12	7	—	—
Commercial mortgage nonowner-occupied loans	7	24	—	—
Commercial construction loans	3	10	(2)	—
Residential mortgage loans	1,073	163	7	—
Consumer loans:				
Home equity	231	16	(3)	—
Indirect secured consumer loans	3,394	63	2	—
Credit card	5,282	28	12	—
Total portfolio loans	10,091	\$ 545	19	9

^(a)Represents number of loans post-modification and excludes loans previously modified in a TDR.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

2021 (\$ in millions)	Number of Loans Modified in a TDR During the Year ^(a)	Amortized Cost Basis of Loans Modified in a TDR During the Year	Increase (Decrease) to ALLL Upon Modification	Charge-offs Recognized Upon Modification
Commercial loans:				
Commercial and industrial loans	86	\$ 150	1	—
Commercial mortgage owner-occupied loans	10	8	—	—
Commercial mortgage nonowner-occupied loans	5	29	—	—
Commercial construction loans	1	34	—	—
Residential mortgage loans	519	93	4	—
Consumer loans:				
Home equity	206	10	(3)	—
Indirect secured consumer loans	4,567	96	1	—
Credit card	5,488	30	9	1
Total portfolio loans	10,882	\$ 450	12	1

^(a)Represents number of loans post-modification and excludes loans previously modified in a TDR.

2020 (\$ in millions)	Number of Loans Modified in a TDR During the Year ^(a)	Amortized Cost Basis of Loans Modified in a TDR During the Year	Increase (Decrease) to ALLL Upon Modification	Charge-offs Recognized Upon Modification
Commercial loans:				
Commercial and industrial loans	124	\$ 305	26	7
Commercial mortgage owner-occupied loans	43	58	(11)	—
Commercial mortgage nonowner-occupied loans	19	44	(2)	—
Commercial construction loans	3	21	1	—
Residential mortgage loans	424	58	1	—
Consumer loans:				
Home equity	147	7	(4)	—
Indirect secured consumer loans	70	—	—	—
Credit card	5,701	32	11	1
Total portfolio loans	6,531	\$ 525	22	8

^(a)Represents number of loans post-modification and excludes loans previously modified in a TDR.

The Bancorp considers TDRs that become 90 days or more past due under the modified terms as subsequently defaulted. For commercial loans not subject to individual evaluation for an ALLL, the applicable commercial models are applied for purposes of determining the ALLL as well as qualitatively assessing whether those loans are reasonably expected to be further restructured prior to their maturity date and, if so, the impact such a restructuring would have on the remaining contractual life of the loans. When a residential mortgage, home equity, indirect secured consumer or other consumer loan that has been modified in a TDR subsequently defaults, the present value of expected cash flows used in the measurement of the expected credit loss is generally limited to the expected net proceeds from the sale of the loan's underlying collateral and any resulting collateral shortfall is reflected as a charge-off or an increase in ALLL. The Bancorp recognizes an ALLL for the entire balance of the credit card loans modified in a TDR that subsequently default.

The following tables provide a summary of TDRs that subsequently defaulted during the years ended December 31, 2022, 2021 and 2020 and were within 12 months of the restructuring date:

December 31, 2022 (\$ in millions) ^(a)	Number of Contracts	Amortized Cost
Commercial loans:		
Commercial and industrial loans	8	\$ —
Commercial mortgage owner-occupied loans	2	—
Commercial mortgage nonowner-occupied loans	1	—
Commercial construction loans	1	2
Residential mortgage loans	247	33
Consumer loans:		
Home equity	24	1
Indirect secured consumer loans	157	3
Credit card	356	1
Total portfolio loans	796	\$ 40

^(a)Excludes all loans held for sale and loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality which were accounted for within a pool.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2021 (\$ in millions) ^(a)	Number of Contracts	Amortized Cost
Commercial loans:		
Commercial and industrial loans	7	1
Commercial mortgage owner-occupied loans	3	1
Commercial mortgage nonowner-occupied loans	2	25
Residential mortgage loans	82	10
Consumer loans:		
Home equity	28	1
Indirect secured consumer loans	130	2
Credit card	215	1
Total portfolio loans	467	41

^(a)Excludes all loans held for sale and loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality which were accounted for within a pool.

December 31, 2020 (\$ in millions) ^(a)	Number of Contracts	Amortized Cost
Commercial loans:		
Commercial and industrial loans	13	\$ 5
Commercial mortgage owner-occupied loans	8	3
Commercial mortgage nonowner-occupied loans	3	11
Residential mortgage loans	149	23
Consumer loans:		
Home equity	6	—
Indirect secured consumer loans	18	—
Credit card	260	1
Total portfolio loans	457	\$ 43

^(a)Excludes all loans held for sale and loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality which were accounted for within a pool.

7. Bank Premises and Equipment

The following table provides a summary of bank premises and equipment as of December 31:

(\$ in millions)	Estimated Useful Life	2022	2021
Equipment	2 - 20 years	\$ 2,492	2,392
Buildings ^(a)	1 - 30 years	1,699	1,668
Land and improvements ^(a)		640	645
Leasehold improvements	1 - 30 years	568	517
Construction in progress ^(a)		124	84
Bank premises and equipment held for sale:			
Land and improvements		17	18
Buildings		7	6
Accumulated depreciation and amortization		(3,360)	(3,210)
Total bank premises and equipment		\$ 2,187	2,120

^(a)At December 31, 2022 and 2021, land and improvements, buildings and construction in progress included \$27 and \$39, respectively, associated with parcels of undeveloped land intended for future branch expansion.

Depreciation and amortization expense related to bank premises and equipment, including amortization of finance lease ROU assets, was \$273 million, \$270 million and \$256 million for the years ended December 31, 2022, 2021 and 2020, respectively.

The Bancorp monitors changing customer preferences associated with the channels it uses for banking transactions to evaluate the efficiency, competitiveness and quality of the customer service experience in its consumer distribution network. As part of this ongoing assessment, the Bancorp may determine that it is no longer fully committed to maintaining full-service banking centers at certain locations. Similarly, the Bancorp may also determine that it is no longer fully committed to building banking centers on certain parcels of land which had previously been held for future branch expansion. The Bancorp closed a total of 43 banking centers throughout its footprint during the year ended December 31, 2022.

The Bancorp performs assessments of the recoverability of long-lived assets when events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying values may not be recoverable. Impairment losses associated with such assessments and lower of cost or market adjustments were \$9 million, \$7 million and \$30 million for the years ended December 31, 2022, 2021 and 2020, respectively. The recognized impairment losses were recorded in other noninterest income in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

8. Operating Lease Equipment

Operating lease equipment was \$627 million and \$616 million at December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively, net of accumulated depreciation of \$338 million and \$304 million at December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively. The Bancorp recorded lease income of \$146 million, \$152 million and \$156 million relating to lease payments for operating leases in leasing business revenue in the Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended December 31, 2022, 2021 and 2020, respectively. Depreciation expense related to operating lease equipment was \$121 million, \$124 million and \$126 million for the years ended December 31, 2022, 2021 and 2020, respectively. The Bancorp received payments of \$147 million and \$155 million related to operating leases during the years ended December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively.

The Bancorp performs assessments of the recoverability of long-lived assets when events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying values may not be recoverable. As a result of these recoverability assessments, the Bancorp recognized \$2 million, \$25 million and \$7 million of impairment losses associated with operating lease assets for the years ended December 31, 2022, 2021 and 2020, respectively. The recognized impairment losses were recorded in leasing business revenue in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

The following table presents future lease payments receivable from operating leases for 2023 through 2027 and thereafter:

As of December 31, 2022 (\$ in millions)	Undiscounted Cash Flows
2023	\$ 139
2024	106
2025	80
2026	51
2027	25
Thereafter	25
Total operating lease payments	\$ 426

9. Lease Obligations - Lessee

The Bancorp leases certain banking centers, ATM sites, land for owned buildings and equipment. The Bancorp's lease agreements typically do not contain any residual value guarantees or any material restrictive covenants.

The following table provides a summary of lease assets and lease liabilities as of December 31:

(\$ in millions)	Consolidated Balance Sheets Caption	2022	2021
Assets			
Operating lease right-of-use assets	Other assets	\$ 508	427
Finance lease right-of-use assets	Bank premises and equipment	150	145
Total right-of-use assets^(a)		\$ 658	572
Liabilities			
Operating lease liabilities	Accrued taxes, interest and expenses	\$ 599	520
Finance lease liabilities	Long-term debt	156	149
Total lease liabilities		\$ 755	669

(a) Operating and finance lease right-of-use assets are recorded net of accumulated amortization of \$255 and \$66, respectively, as of December 31, 2022, and \$198 and \$47, respectively, as of December 31, 2021.

The following table presents the components of lease costs for the years ended December 31:

(\$ in millions)	Consolidated Statements of Income Caption	2022	2021	2020
Lease costs:				
Amortization of ROU assets	Net occupancy and equipment expense	\$ 19	18	11
Interest on lease liabilities	Interest on long-term debt	5	4	3
Total finance lease costs		\$ 24	22	14
Operating lease cost	Net occupancy expense	\$ 84	80	110
Short-term lease cost	Net occupancy expense	1	2	1
Variable lease cost	Net occupancy expense	28	31	29
Sublease income	Net occupancy expense	(3)	(3)	(3)
Total operating lease costs		\$ 110	110	137
Total lease costs		\$ 134	132	151

The Bancorp performs impairment assessments for ROU assets when events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying values may not be recoverable. In addition to the lease costs disclosed in the table above, the Bancorp recognized \$2 million, \$3 million and \$8 million of impairment losses and termination charges for the ROU assets related to certain operating leases for the years ended

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2022, 2021 and 2020, respectively. The recognized losses were recorded in net occupancy expense in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

The following table presents undiscounted cash flows for both operating leases and finance leases for 2023 through 2027 and thereafter as well as a reconciliation of the undiscounted cash flows to the total lease liabilities:

As of December 31, 2022 (\$ in millions)	Operating Leases	Finance Leases	Total
2023	\$ 90	21	111
2024	85	21	106
2025	78	14	92
2026	68	9	77
2027	61	8	69
Thereafter	350	128	478
Total undiscounted cash flows	\$ 732	201	933
Less: Difference between undiscounted cash flows and discounted cash flows	133	45	178
Present value of lease liabilities	\$ 599	156	755

The following table presents the weighted-average remaining lease term and weighted-average discount rate as of December 31:

	2022	2021
Weighted-average remaining lease term (years):		
Operating leases	10.80	8.92
Finance leases	15.31	14.70
Weighted-average discount rate:		
Operating leases	3.35 %	2.88
Finance leases	2.94	2.74

The following table presents information related to lease transactions for the years ended December 31:

(\$ in millions)	2022	2021	2020
Cash paid for amounts included in the measurement of lease liabilities: ^(a)			
Operating cash flows from operating leases	\$ 90	88	91
Operating cash flows from finance leases	5	4	3
Financing cash flows from finance leases	23	16	11
Gains on sale-leaseback transactions	4	2	3

(a) The cash flows related to the short-term and variable lease payments are not included in the amounts in the table as they were not included in the measurement of lease liabilities.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

10. Goodwill

Business combinations entered into by the Bancorp typically result in the recognition of goodwill. Acquisition activity includes acquisitions in the respective period in addition to purchase accounting adjustments related to previous acquisitions. During the third quarter of 2022, the Bancorp reorganized its management reporting structure and now reports on three business segments, which are also reporting units: Commercial Banking, Consumer and Small Business Banking and Wealth and Asset Management. In conjunction with this reorganization, the Bancorp reallocated a portion of its goodwill from Consumer and Small Business Banking to Commercial Banking using a relative fair value approach for the portions of the business which were transferred between reporting units. Refer to Note 31 for additional information.

The Bancorp completed its annual goodwill impairment test as of September 30, 2022 and the estimated fair values of the Commercial Banking, Consumer and Small Business Banking and Wealth and Asset Management reporting units exceeded their carrying values, including goodwill.

Changes in the net carrying amount of goodwill, by reporting unit, for the years ended December 31, 2022 and 2021 were as follows:

(\$ in millions)	Commercial Banking	Consumer and Small Business Banking	Wealth and Asset Management	General Corporate and Other	Total
Goodwill	\$ 2,730	2,262	231	—	5,223
Accumulated impairment losses	(750)	(215)	—	—	(965)
Net carrying value as of December 31, 2020	\$ 1,980	2,047	231	—	4,258
Acquisition activity	—	256	—	—	256
Net carrying value as of December 31, 2021	\$ 1,980	2,303	231	—	4,514
Acquisition activity	—	440	—	—	440
Reallocation of goodwill	378	(378)	—	—	—
Sale of businesses	(34)	—	(5)	—	(39)
Net carrying value as of December 31, 2022	\$ 2,324	2,365	226	—	4,915

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

11. Intangible Assets

Intangible assets consist of core deposit intangibles, developed technology, customer relationships, and other intangible assets which include trade names, backlog, operating leases and non-compete agreements. Intangible assets are amortized on either a straight-line or an accelerated basis over their estimated useful lives and, based on the type of intangible asset, the amortization expense may be recorded in either leasing business revenue or other noninterest expense in the Consolidated Statements of Income. The increase in the gross carrying amount of intangible assets from the year ended December 31, 2021 reflects acquisition activity during 2022, which included the recognition of \$44 million in developed technology, \$12 million in customer relationships, \$7 million in trade name and \$3 million of backlog. These assets will be amortized over their remaining useful life, which was estimated to be 5, 12, 5 and 5 years, respectively, at the time of acquisition.

The details of the Bancorp's intangible assets are shown in the following table:

(\$ in millions)	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount
As of December 31, 2022			
Core deposit intangibles	\$ 229	(182)	47
Developed technology	106	(17)	89
Customer relationships	30	(7)	23
Other	20	(10)	10
Total intangible assets	\$ 385	(216)	169
As of December 31, 2021			
Core deposit intangibles	\$ 229	(153)	76
Developed technology	62	(3)	59
Customer relationships	25	(7)	18
Other	15	(12)	3
Total intangible assets	\$ 331	(175)	156

As of December 31, 2022, all of the Bancorp's intangible assets were being amortized. Amortization expense recognized on intangible assets was \$48 million, \$47 million and \$55 million for the years ended December 31, 2022, 2021 and 2020, respectively. The Bancorp's projections of amortization expense shown in the following table are based on existing asset balances as of December 31, 2022. Future amortization expense may vary from these projections.

Estimated amortization expense for the years ending December 31, 2023 through 2027 is as follows:

(\$ in millions)	Total
2023	\$ 43
2024	35
2025	28
2026	22
2027	14

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

12. Variable Interest Entities

The Bancorp, in the normal course of business, engages in a variety of activities that involve VIEs, which are legal entities that lack sufficient equity at risk to finance their activities without additional subordinated financial support or the equity investors of the entities as a group lack any of the characteristics of a controlling interest. The Bancorp evaluates its interest in certain entities to determine if these entities meet the definition of a VIE and whether the Bancorp is the primary beneficiary and should consolidate the entity based on the variable interests it held both at inception and when there is a change in circumstances that requires a reconsideration. If the Bancorp is determined to be the primary beneficiary of a VIE, it must account for the VIE as a consolidated subsidiary. If the Bancorp is determined not to be the primary beneficiary of a VIE but holds a variable interest in the entity, such variable interests are accounted for under the equity method of accounting or other accounting standards as appropriate.

Consolidated VIEs

The Bancorp has consolidated VIEs related to an automobile loan securitization and a solar loan securitization where it has determined that it is the primary beneficiary. The following table provides a summary of assets and liabilities carried on the Consolidated Balance Sheets for the consolidated VIEs as of:

(\$ in millions)	December 31, 2022	December 31, 2021
Assets:		
Other short-term investments	\$ 17	24
Indirect secured consumer loans	141	322
Other consumer loans	44	—
ALLL	(2)	(2)
Other assets	2	2
Total assets	\$ 202	346
Liabilities:		
Other liabilities	\$ 9	1
Long-term debt	118	263
Total liabilities	\$ 127	264

As a result of a business acquisition in the second quarter of 2022, the Bancorp acquired interests in a previously completed securitization transaction in which solar loans were transferred to a bankruptcy remote trust which was deemed to be a VIE. Additionally, the Bancorp previously completed a securitization transaction in which the Bancorp transferred certain consumer automobile loans to a bankruptcy remote trust which was deemed to be a VIE. In each of these securitization transactions, the primary purposes of the VIEs were to issue asset-backed securities with varying levels of credit subordination and payment priority, as well as residual interests, and to provide access to liquidity for originated loans. The Bancorp retained residual interests in the VIEs and, therefore, has an obligation to absorb losses and a right to receive benefits from the VIEs that could potentially be significant to the VIEs. In addition, the Bancorp retained servicing rights for the underlying loans and, therefore, holds the power to direct the activities of the VIEs that most significantly impact the economic performance of the VIEs. As a result, the Bancorp concluded that it is the primary beneficiary of the VIEs and has consolidated these VIEs. The assets of the VIEs are restricted to the settlement of the asset-backed securities and other obligations of the VIEs. The third-party holders of the asset-backed notes do not have recourse to the general assets of the Bancorp.

The economic performance of the VIEs is most significantly impacted by the performance of the underlying loans. The principal risks to which the VIEs are exposed include credit risk and prepayment risk. The credit and prepayment risks are managed through credit enhancements in the form of reserve accounts, overcollateralization, excess interest on the loans and the subordination of certain classes of asset-backed securities to other classes.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Non-consolidated VIEs

The following tables provide a summary of assets and liabilities carried on the Consolidated Balance Sheets related to non-consolidated VIEs for which the Bancorp holds an interest, but is not the primary beneficiary of the VIE, as well as the Bancorp's maximum exposure to losses associated with its interests in the entities as of:

December 31, 2022 (\$ in millions)	Total Assets	Total Liabilities	Maximum Exposure
CDC investments	\$ 1,856	653	1,856
Private equity investments	186	—	349
Loans provided to VIEs	4,374	—	6,438
Lease pool entities	61	—	61
Solar loan securitizations	10	—	10
December 31, 2021 (\$ in millions)	Total Assets	Total Liabilities	Maximum Exposure
CDC investments	\$ 1,705	580	1,705
Private equity investments	133	—	257
Loans provided to VIEs	3,386	—	4,873
Lease pool entities	68	—	68

CDC investments

CDC invests in projects to create affordable housing and revitalize business and residential areas. CDC generally co-invests with other unrelated companies and/or individuals and typically makes investments in a separate legal entity that owns the property under development. The entities are usually formed as limited partnerships and LLCs and CDC typically invests as a limited partner/investor member in the form of equity contributions. The economic performance of the VIEs is driven by the performance of their underlying investment projects as well as the VIEs' ability to operate in compliance with the rules and regulations necessary for the qualification of tax credits generated by equity investments. The Bancorp has determined that it is not the primary beneficiary of these VIEs because it lacks the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of the underlying project or the VIEs' ability to operate in compliance with the rules and regulations necessary for the qualification of tax credits generated by equity investments. This power is held by the managing members who exercise full and exclusive control of the operations of the VIEs. For information regarding the Bancorp's accounting for these investments, refer to Note 1.

The Bancorp's funding requirements are limited to its invested capital and any additional unfunded commitments for future equity contributions. The Bancorp's maximum exposure to loss as a result of its involvement with the VIEs is limited to the carrying amounts of the investments, including the unfunded commitments. The carrying amounts of these investments, which are included in other assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets, and the liabilities related to the unfunded commitments, which are included in other liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets, are included in the previous tables for all periods presented. The Bancorp has no other liquidity arrangements or obligations to purchase assets of the VIEs that would expose the Bancorp to a loss. In certain arrangements, the general partner/managing member of the VIE has guaranteed a level of projected tax credits to be received by the limited partners/investor members, thereby minimizing a portion of the Bancorp's risk.

At December 31, 2022 and 2021, the Bancorp's CDC investments included \$1.6 billion and \$1.4 billion, respectively, of investments in affordable housing tax credits recognized in other assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The unfunded commitments related to these investments were \$643 million and \$573 million at December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively. The unfunded commitments as of December 31, 2022 are expected to be funded from 2023 to 2039.

The Bancorp has accounted for all of its qualifying LIHTC investments using the proportional amortization method of accounting. The following table summarizes the impact to the Consolidated Statements of Income related to these investments for the years ended December 31:

Consolidated Statements of Income Caption ^(a)		2022	2021	2020
Proportional amortization	Applicable income tax expense	\$ 189	163	150
Tax credits and other benefits	Applicable income tax expense	(219)	(193)	(175)

^(a)The Bancorp did not recognize impairment losses resulting from the forfeiture or ineligibility of tax credits or other circumstances during the years ended December 31, 2022, 2021 and 2020.

Private equity investments

The Bancorp invests as a limited partner in private equity investments which provide the Bancorp an opportunity to obtain higher rates of return on invested capital, while also providing strategic opportunities in certain cases. Each of the limited partnerships has an unrelated third-party general partner responsible for appointing the fund manager. The Bancorp has not been appointed fund manager for any of these private equity investments. The funds finance primarily all of their activities from the partners' capital contributions and investment returns. The Bancorp has determined that it is not the primary beneficiary of the funds because it does not have the obligation to absorb the funds' expected losses or the right to receive the funds' expected residual returns that could potentially be significant to the funds and lacks the

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of the funds. The Bancorp, as a limited partner, does not have substantive participating or substantive kick-out rights over the general partner. Therefore, the Bancorp accounts for its investments in these limited partnerships under the equity method of accounting.

The Bancorp is exposed to losses arising from the negative performance of the underlying investments in the private equity investments. As a limited partner, the Bancorp's maximum exposure to loss is limited to the carrying amounts of the investments plus unfunded commitments. The carrying amounts of these investments, which are included in other assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets, are presented in previous tables. Also, at December 31, 2022 and 2021, the Bancorp's unfunded commitment amounts to the private equity funds were \$163 million and \$124 million, respectively. As part of previous commitments, the Bancorp made capital contributions to private equity investments of \$44 million and \$17 million during the years ended December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively.

Loans provided to VIEs

The Bancorp has provided funding to certain unconsolidated VIEs sponsored by third parties. These VIEs are generally established to finance certain consumer and small business loans originated by third parties. The entities are primarily funded through the issuance of a loan from the Bancorp or a syndication through which the Bancorp is involved. The sponsor/administrator of the entities is responsible for servicing the underlying assets in the VIEs. Because the sponsor/administrator, not the Bancorp, holds the servicing responsibilities, which include the establishment and employment of default mitigation policies and procedures, the Bancorp does not hold the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of the entity and, therefore, is not the primary beneficiary.

The principal risk to which these entities are exposed is credit risk related to the underlying assets. The Bancorp's maximum exposure to loss is equal to the carrying amounts of the loans and unfunded commitments to the VIEs. The Bancorp's outstanding loans to these VIEs are included in commercial loans in Note 5. As of December 31, 2022 and 2021, the Bancorp's unfunded commitments to these entities were \$2.1 billion and \$1.5 billion, respectively. The loans and unfunded commitments to these VIEs are included in the Bancorp's overall analysis of the ALLL and reserve for unfunded commitments, respectively. The Bancorp does not provide any implicit or explicit liquidity guarantees or principal value guarantees to these VIEs.

Lease pool entities

The Bancorp is a co-investor with other unrelated leasing companies in three LLCs designed for the purpose of purchasing pools of residual interests in leases which have been originated or purchased by the other investing member. For each LLC, the leasing company is the managing member and has full authority over the day-to-day operations of the entity. While the Bancorp holds more than 50% of the equity interests in each LLC, the operating agreements require both members to consent to significant corporate actions, such as liquidating the entity or removing the manager. In addition, the Bancorp has a preference with regards to distributions such that all of the Bancorp's equity contribution for each pool must be distributed, plus a pre-defined rate of return, before the other member may receive distributions. The leasing company is also entitled to the return of its investment plus a pre-defined rate of return before any residual profits are distributed to the members.

The lease pool entities are primarily subject to risk of losses on the lease residuals purchased. The Bancorp's maximum exposure to loss is equal to the carrying amount of the investments. The Bancorp has determined that it is not the primary beneficiary of these VIEs because it does not have the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of the entities. This power is held by the leasing company, who as managing member controls the servicing of the leases and collection of the proceeds on the residual interests.

Solar loan securitizations

As a result of a business acquisition in the second quarter of 2022, the Bancorp acquired interests in previously completed securitization transactions in which solar loans were transferred to bankruptcy remote trusts which were deemed to be VIEs. In each of these securitization transactions, the primary purposes of the VIEs were to issue asset-backed securities with varying levels of credit subordination and payment priority, as well as residual interests, and to provide access to liquidity for originated loans. The Bancorp retained certain risk retention interests in the classes of securities issued by the VIEs and retained servicing rights for the underlying loans. The Bancorp's maximum exposure to loss is equal to the carrying amount of the investments. The Bancorp has determined that it is not the primary beneficiary of the VIEs because it does not have the obligation to absorb the VIEs expected losses or the right to receive the VIEs expected residual returns that could potentially be significant to the VIEs. The risk retention interests held by the Bancorp were included in available-for-sale debt and other securities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

13. Sales of Receivables and Servicing Rights

Residential Mortgage Loan Sales

The Bancorp sold fixed and adjustable-rate residential mortgage loans during the years ended December 31, 2022, 2021 and 2020. In those sales, the Bancorp obtained servicing responsibilities and provided certain standard representations and warranties; however, the investors have no recourse to the Bancorp's other assets for failure of debtors to pay when due. The Bancorp receives servicing fees based on a percentage of the outstanding balance. The Bancorp identifies classes of servicing assets based on financial asset type and interest rates.

Information related to residential mortgage loan sales and the Bancorp's mortgage banking activity, which is included in mortgage banking net revenue in the Consolidated Statements of Income, for the years ended December 31 is as follows:

(\$ in millions)	2022	2021	2020
Residential mortgage loan sales ^(a)	\$ 13,307	16,900	11,827
Origination fees and gains on loan sales	91	285	315
Gross mortgage servicing fees	310	247	263

^(a)Represents the unpaid principal balance at the time of the sale.

Servicing Rights

The Bancorp measures all of its mortgage servicing rights at fair value with changes in fair value reported in mortgage banking net revenue in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

The following table presents changes in the servicing rights related to residential mortgage loans for the years ended December 31:

(\$ in millions)	2022	2021
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 1,121	656
Servicing rights originated	235	223
Servicing rights purchased	213	381
Changes in fair value:		
Due to changes in inputs or assumptions ^(a)	355	142
Other changes in fair value ^(b)	(178)	(281)
Balance, end of period	\$ 1,746	1,121

^(a)Primarily reflects changes in prepayment speed and OAS assumptions which are updated based on market interest rates.

^(b)Primarily reflects changes due to realized cash flows and the passage of time.

The Bancorp maintains a non-qualifying hedging strategy to manage a portion of the risk associated with changes in the value of the MSR portfolio. This strategy may include the purchase of free-standing derivatives and various available-for-sale debt and trading debt securities. The interest income, mark-to-market adjustments and gain or loss from sale activities associated with these portfolios are expected to economically hedge a portion of the change in value of the MSR portfolio caused by fluctuating OAS, earnings rates and prepayment speeds. The fair value of the servicing asset is based on the present value of expected future cash flows.

The following table presents activity related to valuations of the MSR portfolio and the impact of the non-qualifying hedging strategy for the years ended December 31:

(\$ in millions)	2022	2021	2020
Securities (losses) gains, net - non-qualifying hedges on mortgage servicing rights	\$ (2)	(2)	2
Changes in fair value and settlement of free-standing derivatives purchased to economically hedge the MSR portfolio ^(a)	(363)	(123)	307
MSR fair value adjustment due to changes in inputs or assumptions ^(a)	355	142	(311)

^(a)Included in mortgage banking net revenue in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

The key economic assumptions used in measuring the servicing rights related to residential mortgage loans that continued to be held by the Bancorp at the date of sale, securitization, or purchase resulting from transactions completed during the years ended December 31 were as follows:

	2022			2021		
	Weighted-Average Life (in years)	Prepayment Speed (annual)	OAS (bps)	Weighted-Average Life (in years)	Prepayment Speed (annual)	OAS (bps)
Fixed-rate	7.6	9.2 %	753	6.5	10.7 %	693
Adjustable-rate	2.8	29.0	803	2.7	28.8	626

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

At December 31, 2022 and 2021, the Bancorp serviced \$103.2 billion and \$89.2 billion, respectively, of residential mortgage loans for other investors. The value of MSRs that continue to be held by the Bancorp is subject to credit, prepayment and interest rate risks on the sold financial assets. The weighted-average coupon of the MSR portfolio was 3.59% and 3.45% at December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively

At December 31, 2022, the sensitivity of the current fair value of residual cash flows to immediate 10%, 20% and 50% adverse changes in prepayment speed assumptions and immediate 10% and 20% adverse changes in OAS for servicing rights related to residential mortgage loans are as follows:

(\$ in millions) ^(a)	Fair Value	Weighted-Average Life (in years)	Prepayment Speed Assumption						OAS Assumption	
			Impact of Adverse Change on Fair Value			OAS (bps)	Impact of Adverse Change on Fair Value			
			Rate	10%	20%		50%	10%	20%	
Fixed-rate	\$ 1,741	9.1	5.1	% \$ (37)	(71)	(159)	734	\$ (51)	(100)	
Adjustable-rate	5	5.2	20.3	(1)	(1)	(2)	1,204	—	—	

(a) The impact of the weighted-average default rate on the current fair value of residual cash flows for all scenarios is immaterial.

These sensitivities are hypothetical and should be used with caution. As the figures indicate, changes in fair value based on these variations in the assumptions typically cannot be extrapolated because the relationship of the change in assumption to the change in fair value may not be linear. The Bancorp believes that variations of these levels are reasonably possible; however, there is the potential that adverse changes in key assumptions could be even greater. Also, in the previous table, the effect of a variation in a particular assumption on the fair value of the interests that continue to be held by the Bancorp is calculated without changing any other assumption; in reality, changes in one factor may result in changes in another (for example, increases in market interest rates may result in lower prepayments), which might magnify or counteract these sensitivities.

164 Fifth Third Bancorp

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

14. Derivative Financial Instruments

The Bancorp maintains an overall risk management strategy that incorporates the use of derivative instruments to reduce certain risks related to interest rate, prepayment and foreign currency volatility. Additionally, the Bancorp holds derivative instruments for the benefit of its commercial customers and for other business purposes. The Bancorp does not enter into unhedged speculative derivative positions.

The Bancorp's interest rate risk management strategy involves modifying the repricing characteristics of certain financial instruments so that changes in interest rates do not adversely affect the Bancorp's net interest margin and cash flows. Derivative instruments that the Bancorp may use as part of its interest rate risk management strategy include interest rate swaps, interest rate floors, interest rate caps, forward contracts, forward starting interest rate swaps, options, swaptions and TBA securities. Interest rate swap contracts are exchanges of interest payments, such as fixed-rate payments for floating-rate payments, based on a stated notional amount and maturity date. Interest rate floors protect against declining rates, while interest rate caps protect against rising interest rates. Forward contracts are contracts in which the buyer agrees to purchase, and the seller agrees to make delivery of, a specific financial instrument at a predetermined price or yield. Options provide the purchaser with the right, but not the obligation, to purchase or sell a contracted item during a specified period at an agreed upon price. Swaptions are financial instruments granting the owner the right, but not the obligation, to enter into or cancel a swap.

Prepayment volatility arises mostly from changes in fair value of the largely fixed-rate MSR portfolio, mortgage loans and mortgage-backed securities. The Bancorp may enter into various free-standing derivatives (principal-only swaps, interest rate swaptions, interest rate floors, mortgage options, TBA securities and interest rate swaps) to economically hedge prepayment volatility. Principal-only swaps are total return swaps based on changes in the value of the underlying mortgage principal-only trust. TBA securities are a forward purchase agreement for a mortgage-backed securities trade whereby the terms of the security are undefined at the time the trade is made.

Foreign currency volatility occurs as the Bancorp enters into certain loans denominated in foreign currencies. Derivative instruments that the Bancorp may use to economically hedge these foreign denominated loans include foreign exchange swaps and forward contracts.

The Bancorp also enters into derivative contracts (including foreign exchange contracts, commodity contracts and interest rate contracts) for the benefit of commercial customers and other business purposes. The Bancorp economically hedges significant exposures related to these free-standing derivatives by entering into offsetting third-party contracts with approved, reputable and independent counterparties with substantially matching terms and currencies. Credit risk arises from the possible inability of counterparties to meet the terms of their contracts. The Bancorp's exposure is limited to the replacement value of the contracts rather than the notional, principal or contract amounts. Credit risk is minimized through credit approvals, limits, counterparty collateral and monitoring procedures.

The fair value of derivative instruments is presented on a gross basis, even when the derivative instruments are subject to master netting arrangements. Derivative instruments with a positive fair value are reported in other assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets while derivative instruments with a negative fair value are reported in other liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Cash collateral payables and receivables associated with the derivative instruments are not added to or netted against the fair value amounts with the exception of certain variation margin payments that are considered legal settlements of the derivative contracts. For derivative contracts cleared through certain central clearing parties who have modified their rules to treat variation margin payments as settlements, the variation margin payments are applied to net the fair value of the respective derivative contracts.

The Bancorp's derivative assets include certain contractual features in which the Bancorp requires the counterparties to provide collateral in the form of cash and securities to offset changes in the fair value of the derivatives, including changes in the fair value due to credit risk of the counterparty. As of December 31, 2022 and 2021, the balance of collateral held by the Bancorp for derivative assets was \$1.3 billion and \$1.1 billion, respectively. For derivative contracts cleared through certain central clearing parties whose rules treat variation margin payments as settlements of the derivative contract, the payments for variation margin of \$1.0 billion and \$771 million were applied to reduce the respective derivative contracts and were also not included in the total amount of collateral held as of December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively. The credit component negatively impacting the fair value of derivative assets associated with customer accommodation contracts was \$9 million and \$20 million as of December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively.

In measuring the fair value of derivative liabilities, the Bancorp considers its own credit risk, taking into consideration collateral maintenance requirements of certain derivative counterparties and the duration of instruments with counterparties that do not require collateral maintenance. When necessary, the Bancorp posts collateral primarily in the form of cash and securities to offset changes in fair value of the derivatives, including changes in fair value due to the Bancorp's credit risk. As of December 31, 2022 and 2021, the balance of collateral posted by the Bancorp for derivative liabilities was \$913 million and \$1.3 billion, respectively. Additionally, \$1.0 billion and \$570 million of variation margin payments were applied to the respective derivative contracts to reduce the Bancorp's derivative liabilities as of December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively, and were also not included in the total amount of collateral posted. Certain of the Bancorp's derivative liabilities contain credit-risk related contingent features that could result in the requirement to post additional collateral upon the occurrence of specified events. As of December 31, 2022 and 2021, the fair value of the additional collateral that could be required to be posted as a result of the credit-risk related contingent features being triggered was immaterial to the Bancorp's Consolidated Financial Statements. The posting of collateral has been determined to remove the need for further consideration of credit risk. As a result, the Bancorp determined that the impact of the Bancorp's credit risk to the valuation of its derivative liabilities was immaterial to the Bancorp's Consolidated Financial Statements.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The Bancorp holds certain derivative instruments that qualify for hedge accounting treatment and are designated as either fair value hedges or cash flow hedges. Derivative instruments that do not qualify for hedge accounting treatment, or for which hedge accounting is not established, are held as free-standing derivatives. All customer accommodation derivatives are held as free-standing derivatives.

The following tables reflect the notional amounts and fair values for all derivative instruments included in the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of:

December 31, 2022 (\$ in millions)	Notional Amount	Fair Value	
		Derivative Assets	Derivative Liabilities
Derivatives Designated as Qualifying Hedging Instruments			
Fair value hedges:			
Interest rate swaps related to long-term debt	\$ 5,955	126	195
Total fair value hedges		126	195
Cash flow hedges:			
Interest rate floors related to C&I loans	3,000	4	—
Interest rate swaps related to C&I loans	8,000	—	76
Interest rate swaps related to C&I loans - forward starting ^(c)	11,000	22	—
Interest rate swaps related to commercial mortgage and commercial construction loans	4,000	—	25
Interest rate swaps related to commercial mortgage and commercial construction loans - forward starting ^(c)	4,000	5	—
Total cash flow hedges		31	101
Total derivatives designated as qualifying hedging instruments		157	296
Derivatives Not Designated as Qualifying Hedging Instruments			
Free-standing derivatives - risk management and other business purposes:			
Interest rate contracts related to MSR portfolio	2,975	62	17
Forward contracts related to residential mortgage loans held for sale ^(b)	1,869	9	7
Swap associated with the sale of Visa, Inc. Class B Shares	3,358	—	195
Foreign exchange contracts	156	1	—
Interest-only strips	58	4	—
Interest rate contracts for collateral management	12,000	9	1
Interest rate contracts for LIBOR transition	597	—	—
Total free-standing derivatives - risk management and other business purposes		85	220
Free-standing derivatives - customer accommodation:			
Interest rate contracts ^(a)	83,605	998	1,663
Interest rate lock commitments	216	2	1
Commodity contracts	16,122	1,478	1,350
TBA securities	62	—	—
Foreign exchange contracts	25,322	453	422
Total free-standing derivatives - customer accommodation		2,931	3,436
Total derivatives not designated as qualifying hedging instruments		3,016	3,656
Total		\$ 3,173	3,952

^(a)Derivative assets and liabilities are presented net of variation margin of \$694 and \$37, respectively.

^(b)Includes forward sale and forward purchase contracts which are utilized to manage market risk on residential mortgage loans held for sale and the related interest rate lock commitments.

^(c)Forward starting swaps will become effective on various dates between February 2023 and February 2025.

166 Fifth Third Bancorp

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2021 (\$ in millions)	Notional Amount	Fair Value	
		Derivative Assets	Derivative Liabilities
Derivatives Designated as Qualifying Hedging Instruments			
Fair value hedges:			
Interest rate swaps related to long-term debt	\$ 1,955	393	2
Interest rate swaps related to available-for-sale debt and other securities	445	7	—
Total fair value hedges		400	2
Cash flow hedges:			
Interest rate floors related to C&I loans	3,000	122	—
Interest rate swaps related to C&I loans	8,000	—	1
Interest rate swaps related to commercial mortgage and commercial construction loans	4,000	—	—
Total cash flow hedges		122	1
Total derivatives designated as qualifying hedging instruments		522	3
Derivatives Not Designated as Qualifying Hedging Instruments			
Free-standing derivatives - risk management and other business purposes:			
Interest rate contracts related to MSR portfolio	6,260	140	—
Forward contracts related to residential mortgage loans held for sale ^(b)	1,952	2	2
Swap associated with the sale of Visa, Inc. Class B Shares	3,545	—	214
Foreign exchange contracts	158	—	1
Interest rate contracts for collateral management	12,000	5	4
Interest rate contracts for LIBOR transition	2,372	—	—
Total free-standing derivatives - risk management and other business purposes		147	221
Free-standing derivatives - customer accommodation:			
Interest rate contracts ^(a)	76,061	578	232
Interest rate lock commitments	673	12	—
Commodity contracts	12,376	1,326	1,260
TBA securities	55	—	—
Foreign exchange contracts	23,148	323	297
Total free-standing derivatives - customer accommodation		2,239	1,789
Total derivatives not designated as qualifying hedging instruments		2,386	2,010
Total		\$ 2,908	2,013

(a) Derivative assets and liabilities are presented net of variation margin of \$104 and \$472, respectively.

(b) Includes forward sale and forward purchase contracts which are utilized to manage market risk on residential mortgage loans held for sale and the related interest rate lock commitments.

Fair Value Hedges

The Bancorp may enter into interest rate swaps to convert its fixed-rate funding to floating-rate or to hedge the exposure to changes in fair value of a recognized asset attributable to changes in the benchmark interest rate. Decisions to enter into these interest rate swaps are made primarily through consideration of the asset/liability mix of the Bancorp, the desired asset/liability sensitivity and interest rate levels. As of December 31, 2022, certain interest rate swaps met the criteria required to qualify for the shortcut method of accounting that permits the assumption of perfect offset. For all designated fair value hedges of interest rate risk as of December 31, 2022 that were not accounted for under the shortcut method of accounting, the Bancorp performed an assessment of hedge effectiveness using regression analysis with changes in the fair value of the derivative instrument and changes in the fair value of the hedged asset or liability attributable to the hedged risk recorded in the same income statement line in current period net income.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table reflects the changes in fair value of interest rate contracts, designated as fair value hedges and the changes in fair value of the related hedged items attributable to the risk being hedged, as well as the line items in the Consolidated Statements of Income in which the corresponding gains or losses are recorded:

For the years ended December 31 (\$ in millions)	Consolidated Statements of Income Caption	2022	2021	2020
Long-term debt:				
Change in fair value of interest rate swaps hedging long-term debt	Interest on long-term debt	\$ (460)	(138)	134
Change in fair value of hedged long-term debt attributable to the risk being hedged	Interest on long-term debt	460	138	(133)
Available-for-sale debt and other securities:				
Change in fair value of interest rate swaps hedging available-for-sale debt and other securities	Interest on securities	8	7	—
Change in fair value of hedged available-for-sale debt and other securities attributable to the risk being hedged	Interest on securities	(8)	(7)	—

The following amounts were recorded in the Consolidated Balance Sheets related to cumulative basis adjustments for fair value hedges as of December 31:

(\$ in millions)	Consolidated Balance Sheets Caption	2022	2021
Long-term debt:			
Carrying amount of the hedged items	Long-term debt	\$ 5,865	2,339
Cumulative amount of fair value hedging adjustments included in the carrying amount of the hedged items	Long-term debt	(64)	396
Available-for-sale debt and other securities:			
Carrying amount of the hedged items ^(a)	Available-for-sale debt and other securities	—	465
Cumulative amount of fair value hedging adjustments included in the carrying amount of the hedged items	Available-for-sale debt and other securities	—	(8)
Cumulative amount of fair value hedging adjustments remaining for hedged items for which hedge accounting has been discontinued	Available-for-sale debt and other securities	(14)	—

(a) The carrying amount represents the amortized cost basis of the hedged items (which excludes unrealized gains and losses) plus the fair value hedging adjustments.

Cash Flow Hedges

The Bancorp may enter into interest rate swaps to convert floating-rate assets and liabilities to fixed rates or to hedge certain forecasted transactions for the variability in cash flows attributable to the contractually specified interest rate. The assets or liabilities may be grouped in circumstances where they share the same risk exposure that the Bancorp desires to hedge. The Bancorp may also enter into interest rate caps and floors to limit cash flow variability of floating rate assets and liabilities. As of December 31, 2022, all hedges designated as cash flow hedges were assessed for effectiveness using regression analysis. The entire change in the fair value of the interest rate swap included in the assessment of hedge effectiveness is recorded in AOCI and reclassified from AOCI to current period earnings when the hedged item affects earnings. As of December 31, 2022, the maximum length of time over which the Bancorp is hedging its exposure to the variability in future cash flows is 109 months.

Reclassified gains and losses on interest rate contracts related to commercial and industrial loans are recorded within interest income in the Consolidated Statements of Income. As of December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively, \$498 million of net deferred losses, net of tax, and \$353 million of net deferred gains, net of tax, on cash flow hedges were recorded in AOCI in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. As of December 31, 2022, \$253 million in net unrealized losses, net of tax, recorded in AOCI are expected to be reclassified into earnings during the next 12 months. This amount could differ from amounts actually recognized due to changes in interest rates, hedge de-designations or the addition of other hedges subsequent to December 31, 2022.

During both the years ended December 31, 2022 and 2021, there were no gains or losses reclassified from AOCI into earnings associated with the discontinuance of cash flow hedges because it was probable that the original forecasted transaction would no longer occur by the end of the originally specified time period or within the additional period of time as defined by U.S. GAAP.

The following table presents the pre-tax net (losses) gains recorded in the Consolidated Statements of Income and in the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income relating to derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges:

For the years ended December 31 (\$ in millions)	2022	2021	2020
Amount of pre-tax net (losses) gains recognized in OCI	\$ (1,006)	(185)	611
Amount of pre-tax net gains reclassified from OCI into net income	99	293	237

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Free-Standing Derivative Instruments – Risk Management and Other Business Purposes

As part of its overall risk management strategy relative to its mortgage banking activity, the Bancorp may enter into various free-standing derivatives (principal-only swaps, interest rate swaptions, interest rate floors, mortgage options, TBA securities and interest rate swaps) to economically hedge changes in fair value of its largely fixed-rate MSR portfolio. Principal-only swaps hedge the spread between mortgage rates and LIBOR because these swaps appreciate in value as a result of tightening spreads. Principal-only swaps also provide prepayment protection by increasing in value when prepayment speeds increase, as opposed to MSRs that lose value in a faster prepayment environment. Receive fixed/pay floating interest rate swaps and swaptions increase in value when interest rates do not increase as quickly as expected.

The Bancorp enters into forward contracts and mortgage options to economically hedge the change in fair value of certain residential mortgage loans held for sale due to changes in interest rates. These contracts generally settle within one year or less. IRLCs issued on residential mortgage loan commitments that will be held for sale are also considered free-standing derivative instruments and the interest rate exposure on these commitments is economically hedged primarily with forward contracts. Revaluation gains and losses from free-standing derivatives related to mortgage banking activity are recorded as a component of mortgage banking net revenue in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

In conjunction with the sale of Visa, Inc. Class B Shares in 2009, the Bancorp entered into a total return swap in which the Bancorp will make or receive payments based on subsequent changes in the conversion rate of the Class B Shares into Class A Shares. This total return swap is accounted for as a free-standing derivative. Refer to Note 28 for further discussion of significant inputs and assumptions used in the valuation of this instrument.

The Bancorp entered into certain interest rate swap contracts for the purpose of managing its collateral positions across two central clearing parties. These interest rate swaps were perfectly offsetting positions that allowed the Bancorp to lower the cash posted as required initial margin at the clearing parties, which reduced its credit exposure to the clearing parties. Given that all relevant terms for these interest rate swaps are offsetting, these trades create no additional market risk for the Bancorp.

As part of the LIBOR to SOFR transition, the Bancorp received certain interest rate swap contracts from the two central clearing parties that are moving from an Effective Federal Funds Rate discounting curve to a SOFR discounting curve. The purpose of these interest rate swaps was to neutralize the impact on collateral requirements due to the change in discounting curves implemented by the central clearing parties.

The net (losses) gains recorded in the Consolidated Statements of Income relating to free-standing derivative instruments used for risk management and other business purposes are summarized in the following table:

For the years ended December 31 (\$ in millions)	Consolidated Statements of Income Caption	2022	2021	2020
Interest rate contracts:				
Forward contracts related to residential mortgage loans held for sale	Mortgage banking net revenue	\$ 3	15	(12)
Interest rate contracts related to MSR portfolio	Mortgage banking net revenue	(363)	(123)	307
Foreign exchange contracts:				
Foreign exchange contracts for risk management purposes	Other noninterest income	12	(3)	(3)
Equity contracts:				
Swap associated with sale of Visa, Inc. Class B Shares	Other noninterest income	(84)	(86)	(103)

Free-Standing Derivative Instruments – Customer Accommodation

The majority of the free-standing derivative instruments the Bancorp enters into are for the benefit of its commercial customers. These derivative contracts are not designated against specific assets or liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets or to forecasted transactions and, therefore, do not qualify for hedge accounting. These instruments include foreign exchange derivative contracts entered into for the benefit of commercial customers involved in international trade to hedge their exposure to foreign currency fluctuations and commodity contracts to hedge such items as natural gas and various other derivative contracts. The Bancorp may economically hedge significant exposures related to these derivative contracts entered into for the benefit of customers by entering into offsetting contracts with approved, reputable, independent counterparties with substantially matching terms. The Bancorp hedges its interest rate exposure on commercial customer transactions by executing offsetting swap agreements with primary dealers. Revaluation gains and losses on interest rate, foreign exchange, commodity and other commercial customer derivative contracts are recorded as a component of commercial banking revenue or other noninterest income in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

The Bancorp enters into risk participation agreements, under which the Bancorp assumes credit exposure relating to certain underlying interest rate derivative contracts. The Bancorp only enters into these risk participation agreements in instances in which the Bancorp has participated in the loan that the underlying interest rate derivative contract was designed to hedge. The Bancorp will make payments under these agreements if a customer defaults on its obligation to perform under the terms of the underlying interest rate derivative contract. As of December 31, 2022 and 2021, the total notional amount of the risk participation agreements was \$3.7 billion and \$3.8 billion, respectively,

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

and the fair value was a liability of \$7 million and \$8 million, respectively, which is included in other liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. As of December 31, 2022, the risk participation agreements had a weighted-average remaining life of 3.3 years.

The Bancorp's maximum exposure in the risk participation agreements is contingent on the fair value of the underlying interest rate derivative contracts in an asset position at the time of default. The Bancorp monitors the credit risk associated with the underlying customers in the risk participation agreements through the same risk grading system currently utilized for establishing loss reserves in its loan and lease portfolio.

Risk ratings of the notional amount of risk participation agreements under this risk rating system are summarized in the following table as of December 31:

(\$ in millions)	2022	2021
Pass	\$ 3,597	3,733
Special mention	81	13
Substandard	32	34
Total	\$ 3,710	3,780

The net gains (losses) recorded in the Consolidated Statements of Income relating to free-standing derivative instruments used for customer accommodation are summarized in the following table:

For the years ended December 31 (\$ in millions)	Consolidated Statements of Income Caption	2022	2021	2020
Interest rate contracts:				
Interest rate contracts for customers (contract revenue)	Commercial banking revenue	\$ 48	38	36
Interest rate contracts for customers (credit portion of fair value adjustment)	Other noninterest expense	10	21	(22)
Interest rate lock commitments	Mortgage banking net revenue	16	149	271
Commodity contracts:				
Commodity contracts for customers (contract revenue)	Commercial banking revenue	44	23	15
Commodity contracts for customers (credit losses)	Other noninterest expense	—	(1)	(1)
Commodity contracts for customers (credit portion of fair value adjustment)	Other noninterest expense	—	—	(2)
Foreign exchange contracts:				
Foreign exchange contracts for customers (contract revenue)	Commercial banking revenue	70	61	55
Foreign exchange contracts for customers (contract revenue)	Other noninterest expense	8	2	(11)
Foreign exchange contracts for customers (credit portion of fair value adjustment)	Other noninterest expense	(3)	—	(1)

Offsetting Derivative Financial Instruments

The Bancorp's derivative transactions are generally governed by ISDA Master Agreements and similar arrangements, which include provisions governing the setoff of assets and liabilities between the parties. When the Bancorp has more than one outstanding derivative transaction with a single counterparty, the setoff provisions contained within these agreements generally allow the non-defaulting party the right to reduce its liability to the defaulting party by amounts eligible for setoff, including the collateral received as well as eligible offsetting transactions with that counterparty, irrespective of the currency, place of payment or booking office. The Bancorp's policy is to present its derivative assets and derivative liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets on a gross basis, even when provisions allowing for setoff are in place. However, for derivative contracts cleared through certain central clearing parties who have modified their rules to treat variation margin payments as settlements, the fair value of the respective derivative contracts is reported net of the variation margin payments.

Collateral amounts included in the tables below consist primarily of cash and highly rated government-backed securities and do not include variation margin payments for derivative contracts with legal rights of setoff for both periods shown.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table provides a summary of offsetting derivative financial instruments:

	Gross Amount Recognized in the Consolidated Balance Sheets ^(a)	Gross Amounts Not Offset in the Consolidated Balance Sheets		Net Amount
		Derivatives	Collateral ^(b)	
As of December 31, 2022				
Derivative assets	\$ 3,171	(1,405)	(887)	879
Derivative liabilities	3,951	(1,405)	(406)	2,140
As of December 31, 2021				
Derivative assets	\$ 2,896	(837)	(548)	1,511
Derivative liabilities	2,013	(837)	(712)	464

(a) Amount does not include IRLCs because these instruments are not subject to master netting or similar arrangements.

(b) Amount of collateral received as an offset to asset positions or pledged as an offset to liability positions. Collateral values in excess of related derivative amounts recognized in the Consolidated Balance Sheets were excluded from this table.

15. Other Assets

The following table provides the components of other assets included in the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31:

(\$ in millions)	2022	2021
Derivative instruments	\$ 3,173	2,908
Accounts receivable and drafts-in-process	2,579	2,560
Partnership investments	2,153	2,022
Bank owned life insurance	2,056	2,041
Deferred tax assets	1,553	6
Accrued interest and fees receivable	703	465
Operating lease right-of-use assets	508	427
Worldpay, Inc. TRA receivable	183	317
Prepaid expenses	145	139
Income tax receivable	74	237
OREO and other repossessed property	24	29
Other	308	293
Total other assets	\$ 13,459	11,444

In conjunction with Worldpay, Inc.'s IPO in 2012, the Bancorp entered into two TRAs with Worldpay, Inc. The TRAs provide for payments by Worldpay, Inc. to the Bancorp of 85% of the cash savings actually realized as a result of the increase in tax basis that results from the historical or future purchase of equity in Worldpay Holding, LLC from the Bancorp or from the exchange of equity units in Worldpay Holding, LLC for cash or Class A Stock, as well as any tax benefits attributable to payments made under the TRA.

During the fourth quarter of 2019, the Bancorp entered into an agreement with Fidelity National Information Services, Inc. and Worldpay, Inc. under which Worldpay, Inc. may be obligated to pay up to approximately \$366 million to the Bancorp to terminate and settle a portion of the remaining TRA cash flows, totaling an estimated \$720 million, upon the exercise of certain call options by Worldpay, Inc. or certain put options by the Bancorp. In 2019, the Bancorp recognized a gain of approximately \$345 million in other noninterest income associated with these options. The Worldpay, Inc. TRA receivable associated with this transaction, recorded in other assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets, was \$183 million and \$317 million as of December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively.

Separate from the impact of the TRA settlement agreement discussed above, the Bancorp recognized \$46 million, \$46 million and \$74 million in other noninterest income in the Consolidated Statements of Income associated with the TRA during the years ended December 31, 2022, 2021 and 2020, respectively. The Bancorp expects to receive approximately \$33 million of future payments through 2025 under the TRA that are not subject to the call or put options. These remaining cash flows will be recognized in future periods when the related uncertainties are resolved.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

16. Short-Term Borrowings

Borrowings with original maturities of one year or less are classified as short-term and include federal funds purchased and other short-term borrowings. Federal funds purchased are excess balances in reserve accounts held at the FRB that the Bancorp purchased from other member banks on an overnight basis. Other short-term borrowings may include securities sold under repurchase agreements, derivative collateral, FHLB advances and other borrowings with original maturities of one year or less.

The following table summarizes short-term borrowings and weighted-average rates:

(\$ in millions)	2022			2021		
	Amount	Rate		Amount	Rate	
As of December 31:						
Federal funds purchased	\$ 180	4.22 %		\$ 281	0.13 %	
Other short-term borrowings	4,838	3.75		980	0.04	
Average for the years ended December 31:						
Federal funds purchased	\$ 381	1.69 %		\$ 333	0.12 %	
Other short-term borrowings	4,544	2.39		1,107	0.15	
Maximum month-end balance for the years ended December 31:						
Federal funds purchased	\$ 1,312			\$ 365		
Other short-term borrowings	8,606			1,353		

The following table presents a summary of the Bancorp's other short-term borrowings as of December 31:

(\$ in millions)	2022	2021
FHLB advances	\$ 4,300	—
Securities sold under repurchase agreements	388	544
Derivative collateral	124	436
Other borrowed money	26	—
Total other short-term borrowings	\$ 4,838	980

The Bancorp's securities sold under repurchase agreements are accounted for as secured borrowings and are collateralized by securities included in available-for-sale debt and other securities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. These securities are subject to changes in market value and, therefore, the Bancorp may increase or decrease the level of securities pledged as collateral based upon these movements in market value. As of both December 31, 2022 and 2021, all securities sold under repurchase agreements were secured by agency residential mortgage-backed securities and the repurchase agreements had an overnight remaining contractual maturity.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

17. Long-Term Debt

The following table is a summary of the Bancorp's long-term borrowings at December 31:

(\$ in millions)	Maturity	Interest Rate	2022	2021
Parent Company				
Senior:				
Fixed-rate notes	2022	2.60%	\$ —	700
Fixed-rate notes	2022	3.50%	—	500
Fixed-rate notes	2023	1.625%	500	499
Fixed-rate notes	2024	3.65%	1,498	1,496
Fixed-rate notes	2025	2.375%	748	748
Fixed-rate notes	2027	2.55%	747	746
Fixed-rate/floating-rate notes ^(c)	2027	1.707%	448	496
Fixed-rate notes	2028	3.95%	648	647
Fixed-rate/floating-rate notes ^(c)	2028	4.055%	381	—
Fixed-rate/floating-rate notes ^(c)	2028	6.361%	1,012	—
Fixed-rate/floating-rate notes ^(c)	2030	4.772%	936	—
Fixed-rate/floating-rate notes ^(c)	2033	4.337%	556	—
Subordinated:^(a)				
Fixed-rate notes	2024	4.30%	749	749
Fixed-rate notes	2038	8.25%	1,108	1,346
Subsidiaries				
Senior:				
Floating-rate notes ^{(a)(e)}	2022	0.772%	—	300
Fixed-rate notes	2023	1.80%	650	649
Fixed-rate notes	2025	3.95%	723	795
Fixed-rate/floating-rate notes ^(c)	2025	5.852%	999	—
Fixed-rate notes	2027	2.25%	599	598
Subordinated:^(a)				
Fixed-rate notes	2026	3.85%	749	748
Fixed-rate notes	2027	4.00%	173	172
Junior subordinated:				
Floating-rate debentures ^{(a)(b)}	2035	6.189% - 6.459%	53	54
FHLB advances ^(d)	2023 - 2047	3.81%	21	44
Notes associated with consolidated VIEs:				
Automobile loan securitization, fixed-rate notes	2023 - 2026	2.64% - 2.69%	75	250
Solar loan securitization, fixed-rate notes	2038	4.05% - 7.00%	39	—
Other	2023 - 2052	Varies	302	284
Total			\$ 13,714	11,821

(a) In aggregate, \$1.9 billion and \$2.5 billion qualifies as Tier 2 capital for regulatory capital purposes for the years ended December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively.

(b) These rates reflect the floating rates as of December 31, 2022.

(c) This rate reflects the fixed rate in effect as of December 31, 2022.

(d) This rate reflects the weighted-average rate as of December 31, 2022.

(e) These rates reflect the floating rates as of December 31, 2021.

The Bancorp pays down long-term debt in accordance with contractual terms over maturity periods summarized in the previous table. The aggregate annual maturities of long-term debt obligations (based on final maturity dates) as of December 31, 2022 are presented in the following table:

(\$ in millions)	Parent Company	Subsidiaries	Total
2023	\$ 500	669	1,169
2024	2,247	12	2,259
2025	748	1,772	2,520
2026	—	843	843
2027	1,195	789	1,984
Thereafter	4,641	298	4,939
Total	\$ 9,331	4,383	13,714

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

At December 31, 2022, the Bancorp's long-term borrowings consisted of outstanding principal balances of \$13.8 billion, net discounts of \$19 million, debt issuance costs of \$32 million and reductions for mark-to-market adjustments on its hedged debt of \$64 million. At December 31, 2021, the Bancorp's long-term borrowings consisted of outstanding principal balances of \$11.5 billion, net discounts of \$16 million, debt issuance costs of \$24 million and additions for mark-to-market adjustments on its hedged debt of \$396 million. The Bancorp was in compliance with all debt covenants at December 31, 2022 and 2021.

*Parent Company Long-Term Borrowings**Senior notes*

On March 14, 2018, the Bancorp issued and sold \$650 million of senior notes to third-party investors. The senior notes bear a fixed-rate of interest of 3.95% per annum. The notes are unsecured, senior obligations of the Bancorp. Payment of the full principal amounts of the notes is due upon maturity on March 14, 2028. These fixed-rate senior notes will be redeemable by the Bancorp, in whole or in part, on or after the date that is 30 days prior to the maturity date at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest up to, but excluding, the redemption date.

On January 25, 2019, the Bancorp issued and sold \$1.5 billion of senior notes to third-party investors. The senior notes bear a fixed-rate of interest of 3.65% per annum. The notes are unsecured, senior obligations of the Bancorp. Payment of the full principal amounts of the notes is due upon maturity on January 25, 2024. These fixed-rate senior notes will be redeemable by the Bancorp, in whole or in part, on or after the date that is 30 days prior to the maturity date at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest up to, but excluding, the redemption date.

On October 28, 2019, the Bancorp issued and sold \$750 million of senior notes to third-party investors. The senior notes bear a fixed-rate of interest of 2.375% per annum. The notes are unsecured, senior obligations of the Bancorp. Payment of the full principal amounts of the notes is due upon maturity on January 28, 2025. These notes will be redeemable at the Bancorp's option, in whole or in part, at any time or from time to time, on or after April 25, 2020, and prior to December 29, 2024, in each case at a redemption price, plus accrued and unpaid interest thereon, if any, to, but excluding, the redemption date, equal to the greater of (i) 100% of the aggregate principal amount of the notes being redeemed on that redemption date; and (ii) the sum of the present values of the remaining scheduled payments of principal and interest on the notes being redeemed that would be due if the notes to be redeemed matured on December 29, 2024 discounted to the redemption date on a semi-annual basis at the applicable treasury rate plus 15 bps. Additionally, these notes will be redeemable by the Bancorp, in whole or in part, on or after the date that is 30 days prior to the maturity date at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the notes to be redeemed plus accrued and unpaid interest thereon to, but excluding, the redemption date.

On May 5, 2020, the Bancorp issued and sold \$1.25 billion in aggregate principal amount of senior fixed-rate notes. The notes consisted of \$500 million of 1.625% senior fixed-rate notes, with a maturity of three years, due on May 5, 2023; and \$750 million of 2.55% senior fixed-rate notes, with a maturity of seven years, due on May 5, 2027. The 1.625% and 2.55% senior fixed-rate notes will be redeemable on or after April 5, 2023 and April 5, 2027, respectively (the respective "Applicable Par Call Date"), in whole or in part, at any time and from time to time, at the Bancorp's option at a redemption price equal to 100% of the aggregate principal amount of the senior fixed-rate notes being redeemed, plus accrued and unpaid interest thereon, if any, to, but excluding, the redemption date. Additionally, the 1.625% and 2.55% senior fixed-rate notes will be redeemable at the Bancorp's option, in whole or in part, at any time or from time to time, on or after November 2, 2020, and prior to the notes' respective Applicable Par Call Date, in each case at a redemption price, plus accrued and unpaid interest thereon, if any, to, but excluding, the redemption date, equal to the greater of: (a) 100% of the aggregate principal amount of the senior fixed-rate notes being redeemed on that redemption date; and (b) the sum of the present values of the remaining scheduled payments of principal and interest on the senior fixed-rate notes being redeemed that would be due if the senior fixed-rate notes to be redeemed matured on their respective Applicable Par Call Date (not including any portion of such payments of interest accrued to the redemption date) discounted to the redemption date on a semi-annual basis (assuming a 360-day year consisting of twelve 30-day months) at the applicable Treasury Rate plus either 25 bps (for the 1.625% senior fixed-rate notes) or 35 bps (for the 2.55% senior fixed-rate notes), as the case may be.

On November 1, 2021, the Bancorp issued and sold \$500 million of fixed-rate/floating-rate senior notes which will mature on November 1, 2027. The senior notes bear a fixed rate of interest of 1.707% per annum to, but excluding, November 1, 2026. From, and including, November 1, 2026 until, but excluding, November 1, 2027, the senior notes will have an interest rate of compounded SOFR plus 0.685%. The Bancorp entered into interest rate swaps designated as fair value hedges to convert the fixed-rate period of the notes to a floating rate of one-month LIBOR plus 57 bps, and the Bancorp paid a rate of 4.69% at December 31, 2022. The notes will be redeemable in whole, but not in part, by the Bancorp on November 1, 2026, the date that is one year prior to the maturity date, at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the notes, plus accrued and unpaid interest thereon, if any, to, but excluding, the redemption date. In addition, the notes will be redeemable, in whole or in part, by the Bancorp on or after the date that is 30 days prior to the maturity date at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the notes being redeemed, plus accrued and unpaid interest thereon, if any, to, but excluding, the redemption date.

On April 25, 2022, the Bancorp issued and sold \$1 billion of fixed-rate/floating-rate senior notes. \$400 million of the notes will bear interest at a rate of 4.055% per annum to, but excluding, April 25, 2027, followed by an interest rate of compounded SOFR plus 1.355% until maturity on April 25, 2028. The remaining \$600 million of the notes will bear interest at a rate of 4.337% per annum to, but excluding, April 25, 2032, followed by an interest rate of compounded SOFR plus 1.660% until maturity on April 25, 2033. The Bancorp entered into interest

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

rate swaps designated as fair value hedges to convert the fixed-rate periods of the notes to floating rates of one-month LIBOR plus 1.239% and one-month LIBOR plus 1.543% for the notes due April 25, 2028 and the notes due April 25, 2033, respectively. The Bancorp paid rates on these swaps of 5.63% and 5.93%, respectively, at December 31, 2022. Each tranche of notes is redeemable in whole at par plus accrued and unpaid interest one year prior to its maturity date, or may be wholly or partially redeemed 30 days or 90 days prior to maturity for the 2028 notes and the 2033 notes, respectively.

On July 28, 2022, the Bancorp issued and sold \$1 billion of fixed-rate/floating-rate senior notes which will mature on July 28, 2030. The senior notes bear interest at a rate of 4.772% per annum to, but excluding, July 28, 2029. From, and including July 28, 2029 until, but excluding July 28, 2030, the senior notes will bear interest at a rate of compounded SOFR plus 2.127%. The Bancorp entered into interest rate swaps designated as fair value hedges to convert the fixed-rate period of the notes to a floating rate of compounded SOFR plus 2.132%, and the Bancorp paid a rate of 6.43% at December 31, 2022. The senior notes are redeemable in whole at par plus accrued and unpaid interest one year prior to their maturity date, or may be wholly or partially redeemed 60 days prior to maturity.

On October 27, 2022, the Bancorp issued and sold \$1 billion of fixed-rate/floating-rate senior notes which will mature on October 27, 2028. The senior notes will bear interest at a rate of 6.361% per annum to, but excluding, October 27, 2027. From, and including October 27, 2027 until, but excluding October 27, 2028, the senior notes will bear interest at a rate of compounded SOFR plus 2.192%. The Bancorp entered into interest rate swaps designated as fair value hedges to convert the fixed-rate period of the notes to a floating rate of compounded SOFR plus 2.193%, and the Bancorp paid a rate of 6.49% at December 31, 2022. The senior notes are redeemable in whole at par plus accrued and unpaid interest one year prior to their maturity date, or may be wholly or partially redeemed on or after 30 days prior to maturity. Additionally, the senior notes are redeemable at the Bancorp's option, in whole or in part, beginning 180 days after the issue date and prior to October 27, 2027, at the greater of: (a) the aggregate principal amount of the senior notes being redeemed, or (b) the discounted present value of the remaining scheduled payments of principal and interest that would be due if the senior notes being redeemed matured on October 27, 2027.

Subordinated debt

The Bancorp has entered into interest rate swaps to convert part of its subordinated fixed-rate notes due in 2038 to a floating rate. Of the \$1.0 billion in 8.25% subordinated fixed-rate notes due in 2038, the Bancorp entered into interest rate swaps designated as fair value hedges to convert \$705 million of the notes to a floating rate of three-month LIBOR plus 3.05%, and the Bancorp paid a rate of 7.81% on the hedged portion of these notes at December 31, 2022.

On November 20, 2013, the Bancorp issued and sold \$750 million of 4.30% unsecured subordinated fixed-rate notes which will mature on January 16, 2024. These fixed-rate notes will be redeemable by the Bancorp, in whole or in part, on or after the date that is 30 days prior to the maturity date at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest up to, but excluding, the redemption date.

*Subsidiary Long-Term Borrowings**Senior and subordinated debt*

Medium-term senior notes and subordinated bank notes with maturities ranging from one year to 30 years can be issued by the Bancorp's banking subsidiary. Under the Bancorp's banking subsidiary's global bank note program, the Bank's capacity to issue its senior and subordinated unsecured bank notes is \$25.0 billion. As of December 31, 2022, \$20.3 billion was available for future issuance under the global bank note program.

On March 15, 2016, the Bank issued and sold, under its bank notes program, \$750 million of 3.85% subordinated fixed-rate notes due on March 15, 2026. These bank notes will be redeemable by the Bank, in whole or in part, on or after the date that is 30 days prior to the maturity date at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest up to, but excluding, the redemption date.

On July 26, 2018 the Bank issued and sold, under its bank notes program, \$750 million of 3.95% senior fixed-rate notes due on July 28, 2025. The Bank entered into interest rate swaps designated as fair value hedges to convert these fixed-rate notes to a floating rate of one-month LIBOR plus 1.04%, and the Bancorp paid a rate of 5.43% at December 31, 2022. These bank notes will be redeemable by the Bank, in whole or in part, on or after the date that is 30 days prior to the maturity date at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest up to, but excluding, the redemption date.

As a result of the MB Financial, Inc. acquisition in March 2019, the Bank assumed \$175 million of 4.00% subordinated fixed-rate notes due on December 1, 2027. These bank notes will be redeemable by the Bank, in whole or in part, on any interest payment date on or after December 1, 2022 at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest up to, but excluding, the redemption date. From December 1, 2022 until maturity, the bank notes pay interest quarterly on the first day of March, June, September and December.

On January 31, 2020, the Bank issued and sold, under its bank notes program, \$1.25 billion in aggregate principal amount of senior fixed-rate notes. The bank notes consisted of \$650 million of 1.80% senior fixed-rate notes, with a maturity of three years, due on January 30, 2023; and

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

\$600 million of 2.25% senior fixed-rate notes, with a maturity of seven years, due on February 1, 2027. On or after the date that is 30 days before the maturity date, the 1.80% senior fixed-rate notes will be redeemable, in whole or in part, at any time and from time to time, at the Bank's option at a redemption price equal to 100% of the aggregate principal amount of the 1.80% senior fixed-rate notes being redeemed, plus accrued and unpaid interest thereon, if any, to, but excluding, the redemption date. The 2.25% senior fixed-rate notes will be redeemable at the Bank's option, in whole or in part, at any time or from time to time, on or after July 31, 2020, and prior to January 4, 2027 (the "Applicable Par Call Date"), in each case at a redemption price, plus accrued and unpaid interest thereon, if any, to, but excluding, the redemption date, equal to the greater of: (a) 100% of the aggregate principal amount of the 2.25% senior fixed-rate notes being redeemed on that redemption date; and (b) the sum of the present values of the remaining scheduled payments of principal and interest on the 2.25% senior fixed-rate notes being redeemed that would be due if the 2.25% senior fixed-rate notes to be redeemed matured on the Applicable Par Call Date (not including any portion of such payments of interest accrued to the redemption date) discounted to the redemption date on a semiannual basis (assuming a 360-day year consisting of twelve 30-day months) at the applicable Treasury Rate plus the Applicable Spread for the Notes to be redeemed. Additionally, on or after January 4, 2027, the 2.25% senior fixed-rate notes will also be redeemable, in whole or in part, at any time and from time to time, at the Bank's option at a redemption price equal to 100% of the aggregate principal amount of the 2.25% senior fixed-rate notes being redeemed, plus accrued and unpaid interest thereon, if any, to, but excluding, the redemption date.

On October 27, 2022, under its bank notes program, the Bank issued and sold \$1 billion of fixed-rate/floating-rate senior notes which will mature on October 27, 2025. The senior notes will bear interest at a rate of 5.852% per annum to, but excluding, October 27, 2024. From, and including October 27, 2024 until, but excluding October 27, 2025, the senior notes will bear interest at a rate of compounded SOFR plus 1.230%. The Bank entered into interest rate swaps designated as fair value hedges to convert the fixed-rate period of the notes to a floating rate of compounded SOFR plus 1.218%, and the Bank paid a rate of 5.52% at December 31, 2022. The senior notes are redeemable in whole at par plus accrued and unpaid interest one year prior to their maturity date, or may be wholly or partially redeemed on or after 30 days prior to maturity.

Junior subordinated debt

The junior subordinated floating-rate debentures due in 2035 were assumed by the Bancorp's direct nonbank subsidiary holding company as part of the acquisition of First Charter in June 2008. The obligation was issued to First Charter Capital Trust I and II. The notes of First Charter Capital Trust I and II pay a floating rate at three-month LIBOR plus 1.69% and 1.42%, respectively. The Bancorp's nonbank subsidiary holding company has fully and unconditionally guaranteed all obligations under the acquired TruPS issued by First Charter Capital Trust I and II.

FHLB advances

At December 31, 2022, FHLB advances have a weighted-average rate of 3.81%, with interest payable monthly. The Bancorp has pledged \$18.6 billion of loans and securities to secure its borrowing capacity at the FHLB which is partially utilized to fund \$21 million in FHLB advances that are outstanding. The FHLB advances mature as follows: \$9 million in 2023, an immaterial amount in 2024, \$5 million in 2025, an immaterial amount in 2026, an immaterial amount in 2027, and \$7 million thereafter.

Notes associated with consolidated VIEs

As discussed in Note 12, the Bancorp was determined to be the primary beneficiary of various VIEs associated with certain automobile and solar loan securitizations. Third-party holders of this debt do not have recourse to the general assets of the Bancorp. Approximately \$114 million of outstanding notes related to these VIEs are included in long-term debt in the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2022.

176 Fifth Third Bancorp

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

18. Commitments, Contingent Liabilities and Guarantees

The Bancorp, in the normal course of business, enters into financial instruments and various agreements to meet the financing needs of its customers. The Bancorp also enters into certain transactions and agreements to manage its interest rate and prepayment risks, provide funding, equipment and locations for its operations and invest in its communities. These instruments and agreements involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit risk, counterparty risk and market risk in excess of the amounts recognized in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The creditworthiness of counterparties for all instruments and agreements is evaluated on a case-by-case basis in accordance with the Bancorp's credit policies. The Bancorp's significant commitments, contingent liabilities and guarantees in excess of the amounts recognized in the Consolidated Balance Sheets are discussed in the following sections.

Commitments

The Bancorp has certain commitments to make future payments under contracts. The following table reflects a summary of significant commitments as of December 31:

(\$ in millions)	2022	2021
Commitments to extend credit	\$ 83,437	80,641
Letters of credit	2,009	1,953
Forward contracts related to residential mortgage loans held for sale	1,869	1,952
Capital commitments for private equity investments	163	124
Purchase obligations	113	160
Capital expenditures	94	78

Commitments to extend credit

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend, typically having fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses that may require payment of a fee. Since many of the commitments to extend credit may expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash flow requirements. The Bancorp is exposed to credit risk in the event of nonperformance by the counterparty for the amount of the contract. Fixed-rate commitments are also subject to market risk resulting from fluctuations in interest rates and the Bancorp's exposure is limited to the replacement value of those commitments. As of December 31, 2022 and 2021, the Bancorp had a reserve for unfunded commitments, including letters of credit, totaling \$216 million and \$182 million, respectively, included in other liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The Bancorp monitors the credit risk associated with commitments to extend credit using the same standard regulatory risk rating systems utilized for its loan and lease portfolio.

Risk ratings of outstanding commitments to extend credit under this risk rating system are summarized in the following table as of December 31:

(\$ in millions)	2022	2021
Pass	\$ 81,345	78,298
Special mention	976	1,058
Substandard	1,116	1,285
Total commitments to extend credit	\$ 83,437	80,641

Letters of credit

Standby and commercial letters of credit are conditional commitments issued to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party and expire as summarized in the following table as of December 31, 2022:

(\$ in millions)	
Less than 1 year ^(a)	\$ 951
1 - 5 years ^(a)	1,052
Over 5 years	6
Total letters of credit	\$ 2,009

^(a)Includes \$1 and \$2 issued on behalf of commercial customers to facilitate trade payments in U.S. dollars and foreign currencies which expire in less than 1 year and between 1 - 5 years, respectively.

Standby letters of credit accounted for approximately 99% of total letters of credit at both December 31, 2022 and 2021 and are considered guarantees in accordance with U.S. GAAP. Approximately 67% and 71% of the total standby letters of credit were collateralized as of December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively. In the event of nonperformance by the customers, the Bancorp has rights to the underlying collateral, which can include commercial real estate, physical plant and property, inventory, receivables, cash and marketable securities. The reserve related to these standby letters of credit, which was included in the total reserve for unfunded commitments, was \$22 million and \$24 million at December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively. The Bancorp monitors the credit risk associated with letters of credit using the same standard regulatory risk rating systems utilized for its loan and lease portfolio.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Risk ratings of outstanding letters of credit under this risk rating system are summarized in the following table as of December 31:

(\$ in millions)	2022	2021
Pass	\$ 1,827	1,778
Special mention	47	40
Substandard	135	135
Total letters of credit	\$ 2,009	1,953

At December 31, 2022 and 2021, the Bancorp had outstanding letters of credit that were supporting certain securities issued as VRDNs. The Bancorp facilitates financing for its commercial customers, which consist of companies and municipalities, by marketing the VRDNs to investors. The VRDNs pay interest to holders at a rate of interest that fluctuates based upon market demand. The VRDNs generally have long-term maturity dates, but can be tendered by the holder for purchase at par value upon proper advance notice. When the VRDNs are tendered, a remarketing agent generally finds another investor to purchase the VRDNs to keep the securities outstanding in the market. As of December 31, 2022 and 2021, total VRDNs, of which FTS was the remarketing agent for all, were \$423 million and \$464 million, respectively. As remarketing agent, FTS is responsible for actively remarketing VRDNs to other investors when they have been tendered. If another investor is not identified, FTS may choose to purchase the VRDNs into inventory at its discretion while it continues to remarket them. If FTS purchases the VRDNs into inventory, it can subsequently tender back the VRDNs to the issuer's trustee with proper advance notice. The Bancorp issued letters of credit, as a credit enhancement, to \$102 million and \$118 million of the VRDNs remarketed by FTS at December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively. These letters of credit are included in the total letters of credit balance provided in the previous tables. The Bancorp held \$3 million and \$1 million of these VRDNs in its portfolio and classified them as trading debt securities at December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively.

Forward contracts related to residential mortgage loans held for sale

The Bancorp enters into forward contracts to economically hedge the change in fair value of certain residential mortgage loans held for sale due to changes in interest rates. The outstanding notional amounts of these forward contracts are included in the summary of significant commitments table for all periods presented.

Other commitments

The Bancorp has entered into a limited number of agreements for work related to banking center construction and to purchase goods or services.

*Contingent Liabilities**Legal claims*

There are legal claims pending against the Bancorp and its subsidiaries that have arisen in the normal course of business. Refer to Note 19 for additional information regarding these proceedings.

Guarantees

The Bancorp has performance obligations upon the occurrence of certain events under financial guarantees provided in certain contractual arrangements as discussed in the following sections.

Residential mortgage loans sold with representation and warranty provisions

Conforming residential mortgage loans sold to unrelated third parties are generally sold with representation and warranty provisions. A contractual liability arises only in the event of a breach of these representations and warranties and, in general, only when a loss results from the breach. The Bancorp may be required to repurchase any previously sold loan, or indemnify or make whole the investor or insurer for which the representation or warranty of the Bancorp proves to be inaccurate, incomplete or misleading. For more information on how the Bancorp establishes the residential mortgage repurchase reserve, refer to Note 1.

As of both December 31, 2022 and 2021, the Bancorp maintained reserves related to loans sold with representation and warranty provisions totaling \$9 million included in other liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

The Bancorp uses the best information available when estimating its mortgage representation and warranty reserve; however, the estimation process is inherently uncertain and imprecise and, accordingly, losses in excess of the amounts reserved as of December 31, 2022 are reasonably possible. The Bancorp currently estimates that it is reasonably possible that it could incur losses related to mortgage representation and warranty provisions in an amount up to approximately \$11 million in excess of amounts reserved. This estimate was derived by modifying the key assumptions to reflect management's judgment regarding reasonably possible adverse changes to those assumptions. The actual repurchase losses could vary significantly from the recorded mortgage representation and warranty reserve or this estimate of reasonably possible losses, depending on the outcome of various factors, including those previously discussed.

During both the years ended December 31, 2022 and 2021, the Bancorp paid an immaterial amount in the form of make-whole payments and repurchased \$63 million and \$42 million, respectively, in outstanding principal of loans to satisfy investor demands. Total repurchase demand

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

requests during the years ended December 31, 2022 and 2021 were \$104 million and \$64 million, respectively. Total outstanding repurchase demand inventory was \$25 million and \$18 million at December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively.

Margin accounts

FTS, an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of the Bancorp, guarantees the collection of all margin account balances held by its brokerage clearing agent for the benefit of its customers. FTS is responsible for payment to its brokerage clearing agent for any loss, liability, damage, cost or expense incurred as a result of customers failing to comply with margin or margin maintenance calls on all margin accounts. The margin account balances held by the brokerage clearing agent were \$14 million and \$20 million at December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively. In the event of customer default, FTS has rights to the underlying collateral provided. Given the existence of the underlying collateral provided and negligible historical credit losses, the Bancorp does not maintain a loss reserve related to the margin accounts.

Long-term borrowing obligations

The Bancorp had certain fully and unconditionally guaranteed long-term borrowing obligations issued by wholly-owned issuing trust entities of \$62 million at both December 31, 2022 and 2021.

Visa litigation

The Bancorp, as a member bank of Visa prior to Visa's reorganization and IPO (the "IPO") of its Class A common shares (the "Class A Shares") in 2008, had certain indemnification obligations pursuant to Visa's certificate of incorporation and bylaws and in accordance with its membership agreements. In accordance with Visa's bylaws prior to the IPO, the Bancorp could have been required to indemnify Visa for the Bancorp's proportional share of losses based on the pre-IPO membership interests. As part of its reorganization and IPO, the Bancorp's indemnification obligation was modified to include only certain known or anticipated litigation (the "Covered Litigation") as of the date of the restructuring. This modification triggered a requirement for the Bancorp to recognize a liability equal to the fair value of the indemnification liability.

In conjunction with the IPO, the Bancorp received 10.1 million of Visa's Class B common shares (the "Class B Shares") based on the Bancorp's membership percentage in Visa prior to the IPO. The Class B Shares are not transferable (other than to another member bank) until the later of the third anniversary of the IPO closing or the date on which the Covered Litigation has been resolved; therefore, the Bancorp's Class B Shares were classified in other assets and accounted for at their carryover basis of \$0. Visa deposited \$3 billion of the proceeds from the IPO into a litigation escrow account, established for the purpose of funding judgments in, or settlements of, the Covered Litigation. Since then, when Visa's litigation committee determined that the escrow account was insufficient, Visa issued additional Class A Shares and deposited the proceeds from the sale of the Class A Shares into the litigation escrow account. When Visa funded the litigation escrow account, the Class B Shares were subjected to dilution through an adjustment in the conversion rate of Class B Shares into Class A Shares.

In 2009, the Bancorp completed the sale of Visa, Inc. Class B Shares and entered into a total return swap in which the Bancorp will make or receive payments based on subsequent changes in the conversion rate of the Class B Shares into Class A Shares. The swap terminates on the later of the third anniversary of Visa's IPO or the date on which the Covered Litigation is settled. Refer to Note 28 for additional information on the valuation of the swap. The counterparty to the swap as a result of its ownership of the Class B Shares will be impacted by dilutive adjustments to the conversion rate of the Class B Shares into Class A Shares caused by any Covered Litigation losses in excess of the litigation escrow account. If actual judgments in, or settlements of, the Covered Litigation significantly exceed current expectations, then additional funding by Visa of the litigation escrow account and the resulting dilution of the Class B Shares could result in a scenario where the Bancorp's ultimate exposure associated with the Covered Litigation (the "Visa Litigation Exposure") exceeds the value of the Class B Shares owned by the swap counterparty (the "Class B Value"). In the event the Bancorp concludes that it is probable that the Visa Litigation Exposure exceeds the Class B Value, the Bancorp would record a litigation reserve liability and a corresponding amount of other noninterest expense for the amount of the excess. Any such litigation reserve liability would be separate and distinct from the fair value derivative liability associated with the total return swap.

As of the date of the Bancorp's sale of the Visa Class B Shares and through December 31, 2022, the Bancorp has concluded that it is not probable that the Visa Litigation Exposure will exceed the Class B value. Based on this determination, upon the sale of Class B Shares, the Bancorp reversed its net Visa litigation reserve liability and recognized a free-standing derivative liability associated with the total return swap. The fair value of the swap liability was \$195 million and \$214 million at December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively. Refer to Note 14 and Note 28 for further information.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

After the Bancorp's sale of the Class B Shares, Visa has funded additional amounts into the litigation escrow account which have resulted in further dilutive adjustments to the conversion of Class B Shares into Class A Shares, and along with other terms of the total return swap, required the Bancorp to make cash payments in varying amounts to the swap counterparty as follows:

Period (\$ in millions)	Visa Funding Amount	Bancorp Cash Payment Amount
Q2 2010	\$ 500	20
Q4 2010	800	35
Q2 2011	400	19
Q1 2012	1,565	75
Q3 2012	150	6
Q3 2014	450	18
Q2 2018	600	26
Q3 2019	300	12
Q4 2021	250	11
Q2 2022	600	25
Q4 2022	350	(a)

(a) The Bancorp made a cash payment of \$15 million to the swap counterparty on January 13, 2023 as a result of the Visa escrow funding in the fourth quarter of 2022.
180 Fifth Third Bancorp

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

19. Legal and Regulatory Proceedings

*Litigation**Visa/MasterCard Merchant Interchange Litigation*

In April 2006, the Bancorp was added as a defendant in a consolidated antitrust class action lawsuit originally filed against Visa®, MasterCard® and several other major financial institutions in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York (In re: Payment Card Interchange Fee and Merchant Discount Antitrust Litigation, Case No. 5-MD-1720). The plaintiffs, merchants operating commercial businesses throughout the U.S. and trade associations, claimed that the interchange fees charged by card-issuing banks were unreasonable and sought injunctive relief and unspecified damages. In addition to being a named defendant, the Bancorp is currently also subject to a possible indemnification obligation of Visa as discussed in Note 18 and has also entered into judgment and loss sharing agreements with Visa, MasterCard and certain other named defendants. In October 2012, the parties to the litigation entered into a settlement agreement that was initially approved by the trial court but reversed by the U.S. Second Circuit Court of Appeals and remanded to the district court for further proceedings. More than 500 of the merchants who requested exclusion from the class filed separate federal lawsuits against Visa, MasterCard and certain other defendants alleging similar antitrust violations. These individual federal lawsuits were transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York. While the Bancorp is only named as a defendant in one of the individual federal lawsuits, it may have obligations pursuant to indemnification arrangements and/or the judgment or loss sharing agreements noted above. On September 17, 2018, the defendants in the consolidated class action signed a second settlement agreement (the "Amended Settlement Agreement") resolving the claims seeking monetary damages by the proposed plaintiffs' class (the "Plaintiff Damages Class") and superseding the original settlement agreement entered into in October 2012. The Amended Settlement Agreement included, among other terms, a release from participating class members for liability for claims that accrue no later than five years after the Amended Settlement Agreement becomes final. The Amended Settlement Agreement provided for a total payment by all defendants of approximately \$6.24 billion, composed of approximately \$5.34 billion held in escrow plus an additional \$900 million in new funds. Pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement, \$700 million of the additional \$900 million has been returned to the defendants due to the level of opt-outs from the class. The Bancorp's allocated share of the settlement is within existing reserves, including funds maintained in escrow. On December 13, 2019, the Court entered an order granting final approval for the settlement, which is currently pending appeal. The settlement does not resolve the claims of the separate proposed plaintiffs' class seeking injunctive relief or the claims of merchants who have opted out of the proposed class settlement and are pursuing, or may in the future decide to pursue, private lawsuits. On September 27, 2021, the Court entered an order certifying a class of merchants pursuing claims for injunctive relief. The ultimate outcome in this matter, including the timing of resolution, remains uncertain. Refer to Note 18 for further information.

Klopfenstein v. Fifth Third Bank

On August 3, 2012, William Klopfenstein and Adam McKinney filed a lawsuit against Fifth Third Bank in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio (Klopfenstein et al. v. Fifth Third Bank), alleging that the 120% APR that Fifth Third disclosed on its Early Access program was misleading. Early Access is a deposit-advance program offered to eligible customers with checking accounts. The plaintiffs sought to represent a nationwide class of customers who used the Early Access program and repaid their cash advances within 30 days. On October 31, 2012, the case was transferred to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio. In 2013, four similar putative class action lawsuits were filed against Fifth Third Bank in federal courts throughout the country (Lori and Danielle Laskaris v. Fifth Third Bank, Janet Fyock v. Fifth Third Bank, Jesse McQuillen v. Fifth Third Bank, and Brian Harrison v. Fifth Third Bank). Those four lawsuits were transferred to the Southern District of Ohio and consolidated with the original lawsuit as In re: Fifth Third Early Access Cash Advance Litigation (Case No. 1:12-CV-851). On behalf of a putative class, the plaintiffs sought unspecified monetary and statutory damages, injunctive relief, punitive damages, attorneys' fees, and pre- and post-judgment interest. On March 30, 2015, the court dismissed all claims alleged in the consolidated lawsuit except a claim under the TILA. On May 28, 2019, the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals reversed the dismissal of plaintiffs' breach of contract claim and remanded for further proceedings. The plaintiffs' claimed damages for the alleged breach of contract claim exceed \$440 million, plus prejudgment interest. On March 26, 2021, the trial court granted plaintiffs' motion for class certification. The court has set a trial date for April 17, 2023.

Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection v. Fifth Third Bank, National Association

On March 9, 2020, the CFPB filed a lawsuit against Fifth Third in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois entitled CFPB v. Fifth Third Bank, National Association, Case No. 1:20-CV-1683 (N.D. Ill.) (ABW), alleging violations of the Consumer Financial Protection Act, TILA, and Truth in Savings Act related to Fifth Third's alleged opening of unspecified numbers of allegedly unauthorized credit card, savings, checking, online banking and early access accounts from 2010 through 2016. The CFPB seeks unspecified amounts of civil monetary penalties as well as unspecified customer remediation. On February 12, 2021, the court granted Fifth Third's motion to transfer venue to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio. The case is currently in discovery and no trial date has been set.

Shareholder Litigation

On July 31, 2020, a putative shareholder class action lawsuit captioned Dr. Steven Fox, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated v. Fifth Third Bancorp, et al., Case No. 2020CH05219 was filed on behalf of former shareholders of MB Financial, Inc. in the Cook County, Illinois Circuit Court. The suit brings claims for violation of Sections 11 and 12(a)(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, alleging that the Bancorp and certain of its officers and directors made material misstatements and omissions regarding an alleged improper cross-selling strategy in filings made in connection with the Bancorp's merger with MB Financial, Inc. On March 19, 2021, the trial court denied the

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

defendants' motion to dismiss. The parties have reached an agreement in principle to resolve the matter, subject to documentation and court approval. The Bancorp does not expect the settlement to have a material impact on the Bancorp's Consolidated Financial Statements.

In addition, shareholder derivative lawsuits have been filed seeking monetary damages on behalf of the Bancorp alleging certain claims against various officers and directors relating to an alleged improper cross-selling strategy. Five lawsuits have been consolidated into a single action pending in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Illinois captioned *In re Fifth Third Bancorp Derivative Litigation*, Case No. 1:20-cv-04115. Those cases consist of: (1) *Pemberton v. Carmichael, et al.*, Case No. 20-cv-4115 (filed July 13, 2020); (2) *Meyer v. Carmichael, et al.*, Case No. 20-cv-4244 (filed July 17, 2020); (3) *Cox v. Carmichael, et al.*, Case No. 20-cv-4660 (filed August 7, 2020); (4) *Hansen v. Carmichael, et al.*, Case No. 20-cv-5339 (filed September 10, 2020); and (5) *Reese v. Carmichael, et al.*, Case No. 1:21-cv-01631 (filed November 4, 2020 originally as Case No. 20-cv-866 in the Southern District of Ohio). On March 31, 2022, the district court granted the defendants' motion to dismiss those cases without prejudice. On April 29, 2022, plaintiffs filed an amended complaint. Also separately pending in the Hamilton County, Ohio Court of Common Pleas is *Sandys v. Carmichael, et al.*, Case No. A2004539 (filed December 28, 2020) and *The City of Miami Firefighters' and Police Officers' Retirement Trust v. Carmichael, et al.*, Case No. A2200330 (filed January 27, 2022). On April 18, 2022, the Sandys shareholder voluntarily dismissed the lawsuit without prejudice. The case brought by The City of Miami Firefighters' and Police Officers' Retirement Trust is pending.

The Bancorp has also received several shareholder demands under Ohio Rev. Code § 1701.37(c) and lawsuits have been filed arising out of the same. Finally, the Bancorp has received shareholder demands that the Bancorp's Board of Directors investigate and commence a civil action for failure to detect and/or prevent the alleged illegal cross-selling strategy.

Howards v. Fifth Third Bank

On March 8, 2018, Plaintiff Troy Howards filed a putative class action against Fifth Third Bank in the United States District Court for the Central District of California (Case No. 1:18-CV-869, S.D. OH 2018), alleging that Fifth Third improperly charged certain fees related to insufficient funds, customer overdrafts, and out-of-network ATM use. Venue was subsequently transferred to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio. Plaintiff filed claims for breach of contract, breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, for violation of the California Unfair Competition Law (Ca. Bus. & Prof. Code sec. 17200, et seq.), and the California Consumer Legal Remedies Act (Cal. Civ. Code sec. 1750 et seq.). Plaintiff seeks to represent putative nationwide classes and California classes of consumers allegedly charged improper repeated insufficient funds fees, improper overdraft fees, and fees for out-of-network ATM use from the beginning of the applicable statute of limitations to present. Plaintiff seeks damages of restitution and disgorgement in the amount of the allegedly unlawfully charged fees, damages proved at trial together with interest as allowed by applicable law. Fifth Third filed a motion to dismiss all claims. On February 6, 2023, the trial court issued an order dismissing the Plaintiff's breach of contract claim with respect to out-of-network ATM fees and dismissing the two claims for violations of California consumer protection statutes. The Court denied Fifth Third's motion to dismiss as it relates to the claims for breach of contract and breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing for certain customer overdrafts and insufficient funds fees. The case is now set to begin discovery, and no trial date has been set.

Other litigation

The Bancorp and its subsidiaries are not parties to any other material litigation. However, there are other litigation matters that arise in the normal course of business. While it is impossible to ascertain the ultimate resolution or range of financial liability with respect to these contingent matters, management believes that the resulting liability, if any, from these other actions would not have a material effect upon the Bancorp's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Governmental Investigations and Proceedings

The Bancorp and/or its affiliates are or may become involved in information-gathering requests, reviews, investigations and proceedings (both formal and informal) by various governmental regulatory agencies and law enforcement authorities, including but not limited to the FRB, OCC, CFPB, SEC, FINRA, U.S. Department of Justice, etc., as well as state and other governmental authorities and self-regulatory bodies regarding their respective businesses. For example, the Bancorp's broker-dealer and investment advisory subsidiaries are cooperating with an investigation by the SEC regarding compliance with certain record-keeping requirements for business-related electronic communications on unapproved channels. The SEC is conducting similar investigations of record-keeping practices at other financial institutions. Additional matters will likely arise from time to time. Any of these matters may result in material adverse consequences or reputational harm to the Bancorp, its affiliates and/or their respective directors, officers and other personnel, including adverse judgments, findings, settlements, fines, penalties, orders, injunctions or other actions, amendments and/or restatements of the Bancorp's SEC filings and/or financial statements, as applicable, and/or determinations of material weaknesses in our disclosure controls and procedures. Investigations by regulatory authorities may from time to time result in civil or criminal referrals to law enforcement. Additionally, in some cases, regulatory authorities may take supervisory actions that are considered to be confidential supervisory information which may not be publicly disclosed.

Reasonably Possible Losses in Excess of Accruals

The Bancorp and its subsidiaries are parties to numerous claims and lawsuits as well as threatened or potential actions or claims concerning matters arising from the conduct of its business activities. The outcome of claims or litigation and the timing of ultimate resolution are inherently difficult to predict. The following factors, among others, contribute to this lack of predictability: claims often include significant legal uncertainties, damages alleged by plaintiffs are often unspecified or overstated, discovery may not have started or may not be complete

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

and material facts may be disputed or unsubstantiated. As a result of these factors, the Bancorp is not always able to provide an estimate of the range of reasonably possible outcomes for each claim. An accrual for a potential litigation loss is established when information related to the loss contingency indicates both that a loss is probable and that the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated. Any such accrual is adjusted from time to time thereafter as appropriate to reflect changes in circumstances. The Bancorp also determines, when possible (due to the uncertainties described above), estimates of reasonably possible losses or ranges of reasonably possible losses, in excess of amounts accrued. Under U.S. GAAP, an event is “reasonably possible” if “the chance of the future event or events occurring is more than remote but less than likely” and an event is “remote” if “the chance of the future event or events occurring is slight.” Thus, references to the upper end of the range of reasonably possible loss for cases in which the Bancorp is able to estimate a range of reasonably possible loss mean the upper end of the range of loss for cases for which the Bancorp believes the risk of loss is more than slight. For matters where the Bancorp is able to estimate such possible losses or ranges of possible losses, the Bancorp currently estimates that it is reasonably possible that it could incur losses related to legal and regulatory proceedings in an aggregate amount up to approximately \$150 million in excess of amounts accrued, with it also being reasonably possible that no losses will be incurred in these matters. The estimates included in this amount are based on the Bancorp’s analysis of currently available information, and as new information is obtained the Bancorp may change its estimates.

For these matters and others where an unfavorable outcome is reasonably possible but not probable, there may be a range of possible losses in excess of the established accrual that cannot be estimated. Based on information currently available, advice of counsel, available insurance coverage and established accruals, the Bancorp believes that the eventual outcome of the actions against the Bancorp and/or its subsidiaries, including the matters described above, will not, individually or in the aggregate, have a material adverse effect on the Bancorp’s consolidated financial position. However, in the event of unexpected future developments, it is possible that the ultimate resolution of those matters, if unfavorable, may be material to the Bancorp’s results of operations for any particular period, depending, in part, upon the size of the loss or liability imposed and the operating results for the applicable period.

20. Related Party Transactions

The Bancorp maintains written policies and procedures covering related party transactions with principal shareholders, directors and executives of the Bancorp. These procedures cover transactions such as employee-stock purchase loans, personal lines of credit, residential secured loans, overdrafts, letters of credit and increases in indebtedness. Such transactions are subject to the Bancorp’s normal underwriting and approval procedures. Prior to approving a loan to a related party, Compliance Risk Management must review and determine whether the transaction requires approval from or a post notification to the Bancorp’s Board of Directors. At December 31, 2022 and 2021, certain directors, executive officers, principal holders of Bancorp common stock and their related interests were indebted, including undrawn commitments to lend, to the Bancorp’s banking subsidiary.

The following table summarizes the Bancorp’s lending activities with its principal shareholders, directors, executives and their related interests at December 31:

(\$ in millions)	2022	2021
Commitments to lend, net of participations:		
Directors and their affiliated companies	\$ 183	157
Executive officers	5	7
Total	\$ 188	164
Outstanding balance on loans, net of participations and undrawn commitments	\$ 85	115

The commitments to lend are in the form of loans and guarantees for various business and personal interests. This indebtedness was incurred in the ordinary course of business on substantially the same terms, including interest rates and collateral, as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with unrelated parties. This indebtedness does not involve more than the normal risk of repayment or present other features unfavorable to the Bancorp.

Coforge Business Process Solutions Private Limited

As of December 31, 2022, the Bancorp owns 100% of Fifth Third Mauritius Holdings Limited, which owns 40% of Coforge Business Process Solutions Private Limited (formerly known as SLK Global Solutions Private Limited), and accounts for this investment under the equity method of accounting. During the second quarter of 2021, Coforge Limited acquired a controlling interest in SLK Global Solutions Private Limited. As part of this transaction, the Bancorp sold a 9% interest in SLK Global Solutions Private Limited to Coforge Limited and recognized a gain of \$12 million as a result of the transaction. The Bancorp recognized \$7 million, \$3 million and \$5 million in other noninterest income in the Consolidated Statements of Income as part of its equity method investment in Coforge Business Process Solutions Private Limited for the years ended December 31, 2022, 2021 and 2020, respectively. The Bancorp received cash distributions of \$9 million, \$5 million and \$1 million during the years ended December 31, 2022, 2021 and 2020, respectively. The Bancorp’s investment in Coforge Business Process Solutions Private Limited was \$17 million and \$19 million at December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively. The Bancorp paid Coforge Business Process Solutions Private Limited \$26 million, \$21 million and \$27 million for their process and software services during the years ended December 31, 2022, 2021 and 2020, respectively, which are included in other noninterest expense in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

CDC investments

The Bancorp holds equity investments in non-consolidated VIEs related to CDC. The Bancorp had loans outstanding to these VIEs of \$10 million and \$22 million at December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively, as well as unfunded commitment balances of \$16 million and \$36 million at December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively. The Bancorp also held \$73 million and \$51 million of deposits for these entities at December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively. For further information on CDC investments, refer to Note 12.

184 Fifth Third Bancorp

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

21. Income Taxes

The Bancorp and its subsidiaries file a consolidated federal income tax return. The following is a summary of applicable income taxes included in the Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended December 31:

(\$ in millions)	2022	2021	2020
Current income tax expense:			
U.S. Federal income taxes	\$ 570	657	463
State and local income taxes	126	102	69
Foreign income taxes	11	2	—
Total current income tax expense	707	761	532
Deferred income tax (benefit) expense:			
U.S. Federal income taxes	(31)	(21)	(140)
State and local income taxes	(29)	8	(23)
Foreign income taxes	—	(1)	1
Total deferred income tax benefit	(60)	(14)	(162)
Applicable income tax expense	\$ 647	747	370

The current U.S. Federal income taxes above include proportional amortization for qualifying LIHTC investments of \$189 million, \$163 million and \$150 million for the years ended December 31, 2022, 2021 and 2020, respectively.

The following is a reconciliation between the statutory U.S. Federal income tax rate and the Bancorp's effective tax rate for the years ended December 31:

	2022	2021	2020
Statutory tax rate	21.0 %	21.0	21.0
Increase (decrease) resulting from:			
State taxes, net of federal benefit	2.5	2.5	2.0
Tax-exempt income	(0.8)	(0.6)	(1.5)
LIHTC investment and other tax benefits	(7.1)	(5.5)	(9.7)
LIHTC investment proportional amortization	6.1	4.6	8.3
Other tax credits	(0.4)	(0.2)	(0.4)
Other, net	(0.3)	(0.6)	0.9
Effective tax rate	21.0 %	21.2	20.6

Other tax credits in the rate reconciliation table include New Markets, Rehabilitation Investment and Qualified Zone Academy Bond tax credits. Tax-exempt income in the rate reconciliation table includes interest on municipal bonds, interest on tax-exempt lending, income on life insurance policies held by the Bancorp and certain gains on sales of leases that are exempt from federal taxation.

The following table provides a reconciliation of the beginning and ending amounts of the Bancorp's unrecognized tax benefits:

(\$ in millions)	2022	2021	2020
Unrecognized tax benefits at January 1	\$ 102	100	65
Gross increases for tax positions taken during prior period	3	10	29
Gross decreases for tax positions taken during prior period	(5)	(4)	(3)
Gross increases for tax positions taken during current period	11	11	12
Settlements with taxing authorities	—	—	(1)
Lapse of applicable statute of limitations	(17)	(15)	(2)
Unrecognized tax benefits at December 31 ^(a)	\$ 94	102	100

(a) With the exception of \$6 in 2020, all amounts represent unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would affect the annual effective tax rate.

The Bancorp's unrecognized tax benefits as of December 31, 2022, 2021 and 2020 primarily related to state income tax exposures from taking tax positions where the Bancorp believes it is likely that, upon examination, a state would take a position contrary to the position taken by the Bancorp.

While it is reasonably possible that the amount of the unrecognized tax benefits with respect to certain of the Bancorp's uncertain tax positions could increase or decrease during the next twelve months, the Bancorp believes it is unlikely that its unrecognized tax benefits will change by a material amount during the next twelve months.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Deferred income taxes are comprised of the following items at December 31:

(\$ in millions)	2022	2021
Deferred tax assets:		
Other comprehensive income	\$ 1,595	\$ —
Allowance for loan and lease losses	461	397
Deferred compensation	105	106
Reserves for unfunded commitments	45	38
Reserves	34	30
Federal net operating loss carryforwards	31	15
State deferred taxes	20	—
State net operating loss carryforwards	14	3
Other	231	187
Total deferred tax assets	\$ 2,536	\$ 776
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Lease financing	\$ 561	\$ 553
MSRs and related economic hedges	120	116
Goodwill and intangible assets	78	68
Bank premises and equipment	66	65
Investments in joint ventures and partnership interests	61	61
Other comprehensive income	—	367
State deferred taxes	—	6
Other	101	51
Total deferred tax liabilities	\$ 987	\$ 1,287
Total net deferred tax asset (liability)	1,549	(511)

At December 31, 2022 and 2021, the Bancorp recorded deferred tax assets of \$14 million and \$3 million, respectively, related to state net operating loss carryforwards. The deferred tax assets relating to state net operating losses are presented net of specific valuation allowances of \$5 million and \$4 million at December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively. If these carryforwards are not utilized, they will expire in varying amounts through 2042.

The Bancorp has determined that a valuation allowance is not needed against the remaining deferred tax assets as of December 31, 2022 or 2021. The Bancorp considered all of the positive and negative evidence available to determine whether it is more likely than not that the deferred tax assets will ultimately be realized and, based upon that evidence, the Bancorp believes it is more likely than not that the deferred tax assets recorded at December 31, 2022 and 2021 will ultimately be realized. The Bancorp reached this conclusion as it is expected that the Bancorp's remaining deferred tax assets will be realized through the reversal of its existing taxable temporary differences, its projected future taxable income and tax-planning strategies.

The statute of limitations for the Bancorp's federal income tax returns remains open for tax years 2019 through 2022. On occasion, as various state and local taxing jurisdictions examine the returns of the Bancorp and its subsidiaries, the Bancorp may agree to extend the statute of limitations for a reasonable period of time. Otherwise, the statutes of limitations for state income tax returns remain open only for tax years in accordance with each state's statutes.

Any interest and penalties incurred in connection with income taxes are recorded as a component of applicable income tax expense in the Consolidated Financial Statements. During the years ended December 31, 2022, 2021 and 2020, the Bancorp recognized \$1 million, \$1 million and \$3 million, respectively, of interest expense in connection with income taxes. At December 31, 2022 and 2021, the Bancorp had accrued interest liabilities, net of the related tax benefits, of \$8 million and \$7 million, respectively. No material liabilities were recorded for penalties related to income taxes.

Retained earnings at December 31, 2022 and 2021 included \$157 million in allocations of earnings for bad debt deductions of former thrift subsidiaries for which no income tax has been provided. Under current tax law, if certain of the Bancorp's subsidiaries use these bad debt reserves for purposes other than to absorb bad debt losses, they will be subject to federal income tax at the current corporate tax rate.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

22. Retirement and Benefit Plans

The Bancorp's qualified defined benefit plan's benefits were frozen in 1998, except for grandfathered employees. The Bancorp's other defined benefit retirement plans consist of non-qualified plans which are frozen and funded on an as-needed basis. A majority of these plans were obtained in acquisitions and are included with the qualified defined benefit plan in the following tables ("the Plan"). The Bancorp recognizes the overfunded or underfunded status of the Plan in other assets and accrued taxes, interest and expenses, respectively, in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

The following table summarizes the defined benefit retirement plans as of and for the years ended December 31:

(\$ in millions)	2022	2021
Fair value of plan assets at January 1	\$ 152	173
Actual return on assets	(27)	(3)
Contributions	2	1
Settlement	(11)	(12)
Benefits paid	(7)	(7)
Fair value of plan assets at December 31	\$ 109	152
Projected benefit obligation at January 1	\$ 176	203
Interest cost	5	4
Settlement	(11)	(12)
Actuarial gain	(43)	(12)
Benefits paid	(7)	(7)
Projected benefit obligation at December 31	\$ 120	176
Underfunded projected benefit obligation at December 31	\$ (11)	(24)
Accumulated benefit obligation at December 31 ^(a)	\$ 120	176

^(a)Since the Plan's benefits are frozen, the rate of compensation increase is no longer an assumption used to calculate the accumulated benefit obligation. Therefore, the accumulated benefit obligation was the same as the projected benefit obligation at both December 31, 2022 and 2021.

The following table summarizes net periodic benefit cost and other changes in the Plan's assets and benefit obligations recognized in OCI for the years ended December 31:

(\$ in millions)	2022	2021	2020
Components of net periodic benefit cost:			
Interest cost	\$ 5	4	6
Expected return on assets	(4)	(4)	(4)
Amortization of net actuarial loss	3	6	6
Settlement	3	3	3
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 7	9	11
Other changes in plan assets and benefit obligations recognized in other comprehensive income:			
Net actuarial (gain) loss	\$ (11)	(5)	12
Amortization of net actuarial loss	(3)	(6)	(6)
Settlement	(3)	(3)	(3)
Total recognized in other comprehensive income	(17)	(14)	3
Total recognized in net periodic benefit cost and other comprehensive income	\$ (10)	(5)	14

Fair Value Measurements of Plan Assets

The following tables summarize Plan assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31:

2022 (\$ in millions)	Fair Value Measurements Using ^(a)			Total Fair Value
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
Cash equivalents	\$ 8	—	—	8
Debt securities:				
U.S. Treasury and federal agencies securities	54	3	—	57
Asset-backed securities and other debt securities ^(b)	—	44	—	44
Total debt securities	\$ 54	47	—	101
Total Plan assets	\$ 62	47	—	109

^(a)For further information on fair value hierarchy levels, refer to Note 1.

^(b)Includes corporate bonds.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

2021 (\$ in millions)	Fair Value Measurements Using ^(a)			Total Fair Value
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
Cash equivalents	\$ 5	—	—	5
Mutual and exchange-traded funds	51	—	—	51
Debt securities:				
U.S. Treasury and federal agencies securities	54	5	—	59
Mortgage-backed securities:				
Non-agency commercial mortgage-backed securities	—	1	—	1
Asset-backed securities and other debt securities ^(b)	—	36	—	36
Total debt securities	\$ 54	42	—	96
Total Plan assets	\$ 110	42	—	152

(a) For further information on fair value hierarchy levels, refer to Note 1.

(b) Includes corporate bonds.

The following is a description of the valuation methodologies used for instruments measured at fair value, as well as the general classification of such instruments pursuant to the valuation hierarchy.

Cash equivalents

Cash equivalents are comprised of money market mutual funds that invest in short-term money market instruments that are issued and payable in U.S. dollars. The Plan measures its cash equivalent funds that are exchange-traded using the fund's quoted price, which is in an active market. Therefore, these investments are classified within Level 1 of the valuation hierarchy.

Mutual and exchange-traded funds

The Plan measures its mutual and exchange-traded funds, which are registered with the SEC, using the funds' quoted prices which are available in an active market. Therefore, these investments are classified within Level 1 of the valuation hierarchy. The mutual and exchange-traded funds held by the Plan are open-ended funds and are required to publicly publish their NAV on a daily basis. The funds are also required to transact and use the daily NAV as a basis for transactions. Therefore, the NAV reflects the fair value of the Plan's investment.

Debt securities

Where quoted prices are available in an active market, securities are classified within Level 1 of the valuation hierarchy. Level 1 securities include U.S. Treasury securities. If quoted market prices are not available, then fair values are estimated using pricing models which primarily utilize quoted prices of securities with similar characteristics. Examples of such instruments, which are classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy, include federal agencies securities, non-agency commercial mortgage-backed securities and asset-backed securities and other debt securities.

Plan Assumptions

The Plan's assumptions are evaluated annually and are updated as necessary. The discount rate assumption reflects the yield on a portfolio of high quality fixed-income instruments that have a similar duration to the Plan's liabilities. The expected long-term rate of return assumption reflects the average return expected on the assets invested to provide for the Plan's liabilities. In determining the expected long-term rate of return, the Bancorp evaluated actuarial and economic inputs, including long-term inflation rate assumptions and broad equity and bond indices long-term return projections, as well as actual long-term historical plan performance.

The following table summarizes the weighted-average plan assumptions for the years ended December 31:

	2022	2021	2020
For measuring benefit obligations at year end:			
Discount rate	5.37 %	2.85	2.26
For measuring net periodic benefit cost:			
Discount rate	3.69	2.26	3.05
Expected return on plan assets	3.91	2.43	2.64

Lowering both the expected rate of return on the plan assets and the discount rate by 0.25% would have increased the 2022 pension expense by approximately \$1 million.

Based on the actuarial assumptions, the Bancorp expects to contribute \$2 million to the Plan in 2023. Estimated pension benefit payments are \$14 million for 2023, \$13 million for 2024, \$13 million for 2025, \$12 million for 2026 and \$12 million for 2027. The total estimated payments for the years 2028 through 2032 is \$47 million.

Investment Policies and Strategies

The Bancorp's policy for the investment of Plan assets is to employ investment strategies that achieve a range of weighted-average target asset allocations relating to equity securities, fixed-income securities (including U.S. Treasury and federal agencies securities, mortgage-

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

backed securities, asset-backed securities, corporate bonds and municipal bonds), alternative strategies (including traditional mutual funds, precious metals and commodities) and cash.

The following table provides the Bancorp's targeted and actual weighted-average asset allocations by asset category, with mutual and exchange-traded funds incorporated according to their underlying investments, for the years ended December 31:

	Targeted Range	2022	2021
Equity securities	0-55 %	—	—
Fixed-income securities	50-100	92	96
Alternative strategies	0-5	—	—
Cash or cash equivalents	0-100	8	4
Total		100 %	100

Plan Management's objective is to achieve and maintain a fully-funded status of the qualified defined benefit plan while also minimizing the risk of excess assets. As a result, the portfolio assets of the qualified defined benefit plan will continue to increase the weighting of long duration fixed income, or liability matching assets, as the funded status increases. There were no significant concentrations of risk associated with the investments of the Plan at December 31, 2022.

Permitted asset classes of the Plan include cash and cash equivalents, fixed-income (domestic and non-U.S. bonds), equities (U.S., non-U.S., emerging markets and real estate investment trusts), equipment leasing and mortgages. The Plan utilizes derivative instruments including puts, calls, straddles or other option strategies, as approved by management.

Fifth Third Bank, National Association, as Trustee, is expected to manage Plan assets in a manner consistent with the Plan agreement and other regulatory, federal and state laws. The Fifth Third Bank Pension, 401(k) and Medical Plan Committee (the "Committee") is the plan administrator. The Trustee is required to provide to the Committee monthly and quarterly reports covering a list of Plan assets, portfolio performance, transactions and asset allocation. The Trustee is also required to keep the Committee apprised of any material changes in the Trustee's outlook and recommended investment policy. There were no fees paid by the Plan for investment management, accounting or administrative services provided by the Trustee for the years ended December 31, 2022, 2021 and 2020.

Other Information on Retirement and Benefit Plans

The Bancorp has a qualified defined contribution savings plan that allows participants to make voluntary 401(k) contributions on a pre-tax or Roth basis, subject to statutory limitations. Expenses recognized for matching contributions to the Bancorp's qualified defined contribution savings plan were \$111 million, \$108 million and \$105 million for the years ended December 31, 2022, 2021 and 2020, respectively. The Bancorp did not make profit sharing contributions during the years ended December 31, 2022, 2021 and 2020. In addition, the Bancorp has a non-qualified defined contribution plan that allows certain employees to make voluntary contributions into a deferred compensation plan. Expenses recognized by the Bancorp for its non-qualified defined contribution plan were \$7 million, \$5 million and \$5 million for the years ended December 31, 2022, 2021 and 2020, respectively.

189 Fifth Third Bancorp

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

23. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

The tables below present the activity of the components of OCI and AOCI for the years ended December 31:

2022 (\$ in millions)	Total OCI			Total AOCI		
	Pre-tax Activity	Tax Effect	Net Activity	Beginning Balance	Net Activity	Ending Balance
Unrealized holding losses on available-for-sale debt securities						
arising during the year	\$ (7,194)	1,716	(5,478)			
Reclassification adjustment for net gains on available-for-sale debt securities included in net income	(2)	—	(2)			
Net unrealized losses on available-for-sale debt securities	(7,196)	1,716	(5,480)	891	(5,480)	(4,589)
Unrealized holding losses on cash flow hedge derivatives arising during the year	(1,006)	232	(774)			
Reclassification adjustment for net gains on cash flow hedge derivatives included in net income	(99)	22	(77)			
Net unrealized losses on cash flow hedge derivatives	(1,105)	254	(851)	353	(851)	(498)
Net actuarial gain arising during the year	11	(2)	9			
Reclassification of amounts to net periodic benefit costs	6	(1)	5			
Defined benefit pension plans, net	17	(3)	14	(33)	14	(19)
Other	—	—	—	(4)	—	(4)
Total	\$ (8,284)	1,967	(6,317)	1,207	(6,317)	(5,110)

2021 (\$ in millions)	Total OCI			Total AOCI		
	Pre-tax Activity	Tax Effect	Net Activity	Beginning Balance	Net Activity	Ending Balance
Unrealized holding losses on available-for-sale debt securities						
arising during the year	\$ (1,366)	323	(1,043)			
Reclassification adjustment for net losses on available-for-sale debt securities included in net income	4	(1)	3			
Net unrealized gains on available-for-sale debt securities	(1,362)	322	(1,040)	1,931	(1,040)	891
Unrealized holding losses on cash flow hedge derivatives arising during the year	(185)	43	(142)			
Reclassification adjustment for net gains on cash flow hedge derivatives included in net income	(293)	70	(223)			
Net unrealized gains on cash flow hedge derivatives	(478)	113	(365)	718	(365)	353
Net actuarial gain arising during the year	5	(1)	4			
Reclassification of amounts to net periodic benefit costs	9	(2)	7			
Defined benefit pension plans, net	14	(3)	11	(44)	11	(33)
Other	—	—	—	(4)	—	(4)
Total	\$ (1,826)	432	(1,394)	2,601	(1,394)	1,207

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

2020 (\$ in millions)	Total OCI			Total AOCI		
	Pre-tax Activity	Tax Effect	Net Activity	Beginning Balance	Net Activity	Ending Balance
Unrealized holding gains on available-for-sale debt securities arising during the year	\$ 1,514	(361)	1,153			
Reclassification adjustment for net gains on available-for-sale debt securities included in net income	(45)	11	(34)			
Net unrealized gains on available-for-sale debt securities	1,469	(350)	1,119	812	1,119	1,931
Unrealized holding gains on cash flow hedge derivatives arising during the year	611	(128)	483			
Reclassification adjustment for net gains on cash flow hedge derivatives included in net income	(237)	50	(187)			
Net unrealized gains on cash flow hedge derivatives	374	(78)	296	422	296	718
Net actuarial loss arising during the year	(12)	3	(9)			
Reclassification of amounts to net periodic benefit costs	9	(2)	7			
Defined benefit pension plans, net	(3)	1	(2)	(42)	(2)	(44)
Other	(4)	—	(4)	—	(4)	(4)
Total	\$ 1,836	(427)	1,409	1,192	1,409	2,601

The table below presents reclassifications out of AOCI for the years ended December 31:

(\$ in millions)	Consolidated Statements of Income Caption	2022	2021	2020
		Net unrealized (losses) gains on available-for-sale debt securities: ^(b)		
Net gains (losses) included in net income	Securities (losses) gains, net	\$ 2	(4)	45
	Income before income taxes	2	(4)	45
	Applicable income tax expense	—	1	(11)
	Net income	2	(3)	34
Net unrealized (losses) gains on cash flow hedge derivatives: ^(b)				
Interest rate contracts related to C&I, commercial mortgage and commercial construction loans	Interest and fees on loans and leases	99	293	237
	Income before income taxes	99	293	237
	Applicable income tax expense	(22)	(70)	(50)
	Net income	77	223	187
Net periodic benefit costs: ^(b)				
Amortization of net actuarial loss	Compensation and benefits ^(a)	(3)	(6)	(6)
Settlements	Compensation and benefits ^(a)	(3)	(3)	(3)
	Income before income taxes	(6)	(9)	(9)
	Applicable income tax expense	1	2	2
	Net income	(5)	(7)	(7)
Total reclassifications for the period	Net income	\$ 74	213	214

(a) This AOCI component is included in the computation of net periodic benefit cost. Refer to Note 22 for information on the computation of net periodic benefit cost.

(b) Amounts in parentheses indicate reductions to net income.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

24. Common, Preferred and Treasury Stock

The table presents a summary of the share activity within common, preferred and treasury stock for the years ended:

(\$ in millions, except share data)	Common Stock		Preferred Stock		Treasury Stock	
	Value	Shares	Value	Shares	Value	Shares
December 31, 2019	\$ 2,051	923,892,581	\$ 1,770	264,000	\$ (5,724)	214,976,952
Issuance of preferred shares, Series L	—	—	346	14,000	—	—
Impact of stock transactions under stock compensation plans, net	—	—	—	—	46	(3,818,518)
Other	—	—	—	—	2	(26,178)
December 31, 2020	\$ 2,051	923,892,581	\$ 2,116	278,000	\$ (5,676)	211,132,256
Shares acquired for treasury	—	—	—	—	(1,393)	35,652,079
Impact of stock transactions under stock compensation plans, net	—	—	—	—	44	(5,621,878)
Other	—	—	—	—	1	(47,540)
December 31, 2021	\$ 2,051	923,892,581	\$ 2,116	278,000	\$ (7,024)	241,114,917
Shares acquired for treasury	—	—	—	—	(100)	3,079,462
Impact of stock transactions under stock compensation plans, net	—	—	—	—	21	(3,687,834)
Other	—	—	—	—	—	156
December 31, 2022	\$ 2,051	923,892,581	\$ 2,116	278,000	\$ (7,103)	240,506,701

Preferred Stock—Series L

On July 30, 2020, the Bancorp issued in a registered public offering 350,000 depositary shares, representing 14,000 shares of 4.50% fixed-rate reset non-cumulative perpetual preferred stock, Series L, for net proceeds of approximately \$346 million. Each preferred share has a \$25,000 liquidation preference. The preferred stock accrues dividends on a non-cumulative basis at an annual rate of 4.50% through but excluding September 30, 2025. From, and including, September 30, 2025 and for each dividend reset period thereafter, dividends will accrue on the Series L preferred stock, on a non-cumulative basis, at a rate equal to the five-year U.S. Treasury rate as of the most recent reset dividend determination date plus 4.215%. Dividends will be payable, when, as and if declared by the Bancorp's Board of Directors, quarterly in arrears on each of March 31, June 30, September 30 and December 31, beginning on September 30, 2020. Subject to obtaining all required regulatory approvals, on any dividend payment date on or after September 30, 2025, the Bancorp may redeem the Series L preferred stock and the related depositary shares in whole or in part, at 100% of their liquidation preference, plus an amount equal to any declared and unpaid dividends, without accumulation of any undeclared dividends. In addition, the Series L preferred stock and the related depositary shares may be redeemed, subject to obtaining all required regulatory approvals, in whole but not in part, at any time, following the occurrence of a regulatory capital event, at 100% of their liquidation preference, plus an amount equal to any declared and unpaid dividends, without accumulation of any undeclared dividends. The Series L preferred shares are not convertible into Bancorp common shares or any other securities.

Preferred Stock—Series K

On September 17, 2019, the Bancorp issued, in a registered public offering 10,000,000 depositary shares, representing 10,000 shares of 4.95% non-cumulative Series K perpetual preferred stock, for net proceeds of approximately \$242 million. Each preferred share has a \$25,000 liquidation preference. Subject to any required regulatory approval, the Bancorp may redeem the Series K preferred shares at its option in whole or in part, on any dividend payment date on or after September 30, 2024 and may redeem in whole, but not in part, at any time following a regulatory capital event. The Series K preferred shares are not convertible into Bancorp common shares or any other securities.

Preferred Stock—Class B, Series A

On August 26, 2019, the Bancorp issued 200,000 shares of 6.00% non-cumulative perpetual Class B preferred stock, Series A. Each preferred share has a \$1,000 liquidation preference. These shares were issued to the holders of MB Financial, Inc.'s 6.00% non-cumulative perpetual preferred stock, Series C, in conjunction with the merger of MB Financial, Inc. with and into Fifth Third Bancorp. This transaction resulted in the elimination of the noncontrolling interest in MB Financial, Inc. which was previously reported in the Bancorp's Consolidated Financial Statements. The newly issued shares of Class B preferred stock, Series A were recognized by the Bancorp at the carrying value previously assigned to the MB Financial, Inc. Series C preferred stock prior to the transaction.

Preferred Stock—Series J

On June 5, 2014, the Bancorp issued, in a registered public offering, 300,000 depositary shares, representing 12,000 shares of 4.90% fixed to floating-rate non-cumulative Series J perpetual preferred stock, for net proceeds of \$297 million. Each preferred share has a \$25,000 liquidation preference. The preferred stock accrued dividends, on a non-cumulative semi-annual basis, at an annual rate of 4.90% through but excluding September 30, 2019, at which time it converted to a quarterly floating-rate dividend of three-month LIBOR plus 3.129%. Subject to any required regulatory approval, the Bancorp may redeem the Series J preferred shares at its option, in whole or in part, at any time on or

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

after September 30, 2019, or any time prior following a regulatory capital event. The Series J preferred shares are not convertible into Bancorp common shares or any other securities.

Preferred Stock—Series I

On December 9, 2013, the Bancorp issued, in a registered public offering, 18,000,000 depository shares, representing 18,000 shares of 6.625% fixed to floating-rate non-cumulative Series I perpetual preferred stock, for net proceeds of \$441 million. Each preferred share has a \$25,000 liquidation preference. The preferred stock accrues dividends, on a non-cumulative quarterly basis, at an annual rate of 6.625% through but excluding December 31, 2023, at which time it converts to a quarterly floating-rate dividend of three-month LIBOR plus 3.71%. Subject to any required regulatory approval, the Bancorp may redeem the Series I preferred shares at its option in whole or in part, at any time on or after December 31, 2023 and may redeem in whole but not in part, following a regulatory capital event at any time prior to December 31, 2023. The Series I preferred shares are not convertible into Bancorp common shares or any other securities.

Preferred Stock—Series H

On May 16, 2013, the Bancorp issued, in a registered public offering, 600,000 depository shares, representing 24,000 shares of 5.10% fixed to floating-rate non-cumulative Series H perpetual preferred stock, for net proceeds of \$593 million. Each preferred share has a \$25,000 liquidation preference. The preferred stock accrues dividends, on a non-cumulative semi-annual basis, at an annual rate of 5.10% through but excluding June 30, 2023, at which time it converts to a quarterly floating-rate dividend of three-month LIBOR plus 3.033%. Subject to any required regulatory approval, the Bancorp may redeem the Series H preferred shares at its option in whole or in part, at any time on or after June 30, 2023 and may redeem in whole but not in part, following a regulatory capital event at any time prior to June 30, 2023. The Series H preferred shares are not convertible into Bancorp common shares or any other securities.

Treasury Stock

In June of 2019, the Board of Directors authorized the Bancorp to repurchase up to 100 million common shares in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions and to utilize any derivative or similar instrument to effect share repurchase transactions.

Under this authorization, the Bancorp entered into and settled a number of accelerated share repurchase transactions during the years ended December 31, 2022 and 2021. As part of these transactions, the Bancorp entered into forward contracts in which the final number of shares delivered at settlement was based generally on a discount to the average daily volume-weighted average price of the Bancorp's common stock during the term of these repurchase agreements. The accelerated share repurchases were treated as two separate transactions: (i) the repurchase of treasury shares on the repurchase date and (ii) a forward contract indexed to the Bancorp's common stock.

The following table presents a summary of the Bancorp's accelerated share repurchase transactions that were entered into and settled during the years ended December 31, 2022 and 2021:

Repurchase Date	Amount (\$ in millions)	Shares Repurchased on Repurchase Date	Shares Received from Forward Contract	Total Shares Repurchased	Final Settlement Date
January 26, 2021	\$ 180	4,951,456	366,939	5,318,395	March 31, 2021
April 23, 2021	347	7,894,807	675,295	8,570,102	June 11, 2021
July 27, 2021 ^(a)	550	13,065,958	1,413,211	14,479,169	September 29, 2021
October 29, 2021	316	6,211,841	1,072,572	7,284,413	December 2, 2021
December 8, 2022	100	2,636,476	442,986	3,079,462	December 19, 2022

(a) This accelerated share repurchase transaction consisted of two supplemental confirmations each with a notional amount of \$275 million.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

25. Stock-Based Compensation

Stock-based awards are eligible for issuance under the Bancorp's Incentive Compensation Plan to executives, directors and key employees of the Bancorp and its subsidiaries. The 2021 Incentive Compensation Plan was approved by shareholders on April 13, 2021 and authorized the issuance of up to 50 million shares as equity compensation. The plan authorizes the issuance of SARs, RSAs, RSUs, stock options, performance share or unit awards, dividend or dividend equivalent rights and stock awards. As of December 31, 2022, there were 36.8 million shares available for future issuance. Based on total stock-based awards outstanding (including SARs, RSUs, stock options and PSAs) and shares remaining for future grants under the 2021 Incentive Compensation Plan, the potential dilution to which the Bancorp's common shareholders are exposed due to the potential that stock-based compensation will be awarded to executives, directors or key employees of the Bancorp and its subsidiaries is 8%. SARs, RSUs, stock options and PSAs outstanding represent 3% of the Bancorp's issued shares at December 31, 2022.

All of the Bancorp's stock-based awards are to be settled with stock. The Bancorp has historically used treasury stock to settle stock-based awards, when available. SARs, issued at fair value based on the closing price of the Bancorp's common stock on the date of grant, have terms up to ten years and vest and typically become exercisable ratably over a three year period of continued employment. The Bancorp does not grant discounted SARs or stock options, re-price previously granted SARs or stock options or grant reload stock options. RSUs are typically released after three or four years or ratably over three or four years of continued employment and receive dividend equivalents. Dividend equivalents are accrued and paid in cash when the underlying shares are distributed, except for certain RSUs which have the rights to receive dividend equivalents paid in cash at each dividend payment date. For PSAs that are eligible to receive dividend equivalents, the accrued cash dividends are adjusted by the payout percentage achieved on the underlying awards. Stock options were previously issued at fair value based on the closing price of the Bancorp's common stock on the date of grant, had up to ten year terms and vested and became fully exercisable ratably over a three or four-year period of continued employment. PSAs have three-year cliff vesting terms with performance conditions as defined by the plan. All of the Bancorp's executive stock-based awards contain an annual performance hurdle of 2% return on tangible common equity. If this threshold is not met in any one of the three years during the performance period, one-third of PSAs are forfeited. Additionally, if this threshold is not met, all SARs and RSUs that would vest in the next year may also be forfeited at the discretion of the Human Capital and Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors. The Bancorp met this threshold as of December 31, 2022.

Stock-based compensation expense was \$165 million, \$120 million and \$123 million for the years ended December 31, 2022, 2021 and 2020, respectively, and is included in compensation and benefits expense in the Consolidated Statements of Income. The total related income tax benefit recognized was \$34 million, \$25 million and \$26 million for the years ended December 31, 2022, 2021 and 2020, respectively.

Stock Appreciation Rights

The Bancorp uses assumptions, which are evaluated and revised as necessary, in estimating the grant-date fair value of each SAR grant.

The weighted-average assumptions were as follows for the years ended December 31:

	2022	2021	2020
Expected life (in years)		7	7
Expected volatility	31 %	29	24
Expected dividend yield	3.4	3.2	3.2
Risk-free interest rate	2.7	0.9	1.5

The expected life is generally derived from historical exercise patterns and represents the amount of time that SARs granted are expected to be outstanding. The expected volatility is based on a combination of historical and implied volatilities of the Bancorp's common stock. The expected dividend yield is based on annual dividends divided by the Bancorp's stock price. Annual dividends are based on projected dividends, estimated using an expected long-term dividend payout ratio, over the estimated life of the awards. The risk-free interest rate for periods within the contractual life of the SARs is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant.

The grant-date fair value of SARs is measured using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The weighted-average grant-date fair value of SARs granted was \$12.76, \$7.84 and \$6.82 per share for the years ended December 31, 2022, 2021 and 2020, respectively. The total grant-date fair value of SARs that vested during the years ended December 31, 2022, 2021 and 2020 was \$2 million, \$8 million and \$15 million, respectively.

At December 31, 2022, there was \$2 million of stock-based compensation expense related to outstanding SARs not yet recognized. The expense is expected to be recognized over an estimated remaining weighted-average period at December 31, 2022 of 1.8 years.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table summarizes SARs activity for the years ended December 31:

SARs (in thousands, except per share data)	2022		2021		2020	
	Number of SARs	Weighted-Average Grant Price Per Share	Number of SARs	Weighted-Average Grant Price Per Share	Number of SARs	Weighted-Average Grant Price Per Share
Outstanding at January 1	11,185	\$ 20.47	19,258	\$ 18.83	21,449	\$ 18.38
Granted	304	46.96	322	33.53	365	29.64
Exercised	(2,358)	17.05	(8,367)	17.20	(2,420)	16.10
Forfeited or expired	(19)	30.43	(28)	23.01	(136)	25.50
Outstanding at December 31	9,112	\$ 22.22	11,185	\$ 20.47	19,258	\$ 18.83
Exercisable at December 31	8,487	\$ 20.97	10,515	\$ 19.80	17,979	\$ 18.19

The following table summarizes outstanding and exercisable SARs by grant price per share at December 31, 2022.

SARs (in thousands, except per share data)	Outstanding SARs			Exercisable SARs		
	Number of SARs	Weighted-Average Grant Price Per Share	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Life (in years)	Number of SARs	Weighted-Average Grant Price Per Share	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Life (in years)
\$10.01-\$20.00	4,845	\$ 17.56	2.2	4,845	\$ 17.56	2.2
\$20.01-\$30.00	3,449	24.95	3.5	3,335	24.78	3.3
\$30.01-\$40.00	568	33.41	7.2	307	33.28	6.1
Over \$40.00	250	49.51	9.1	—	—	—
All SARs	9,112	\$ 22.22	3.2	8,487	\$ 20.97	2.8

Restricted Stock Units

The total grant-date fair value of RSUs that were released during the years ended December 31, 2022, 2021 and 2020 was \$110 million, \$99 million and \$107 million, respectively. At December 31, 2022, there was \$183 million of stock-based compensation expense related to outstanding RSUs not yet recognized. The expense is expected to be recognized over an estimated remaining weighted-average period at December 31, 2022 of 2.4 years.

The following table summarizes RSUs activity for the years ended December 31:

RSUs (in thousands, except per unit data)	2022		2021		2020	
	Units	Weighted-Average Grant-Date Fair Value Per Unit	Units	Weighted-Average Grant-Date Fair Value Per Unit	Units	Weighted-Average Grant-Date Fair Value Per Unit
Outstanding at January 1	9,487	\$ 30.67	9,466	\$ 28.38	10,006	\$ 27.30
Granted	4,682	47.11	4,186	34.25	4,177	28.75
Released	(3,608)	30.54	(3,432)	28.87	(4,076)	26.19
Forfeited	(655)	37.12	(733)	29.80	(641)	27.70
Outstanding at December 31	9,906	\$ 38.04	9,487	\$ 30.67	9,466	\$ 28.38

The following table summarizes outstanding RSUs by grant-date fair value per unit at December 31, 2022.

RSUs (in thousands)	Outstanding RSUs	
	Units	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Life (in years)
Under \$25.00	431	0.2
\$25.01-\$30.00	2,249	0.4
\$30.01-\$35.00	2,471	1.2
\$35.01-\$40.00	1,021	1.3
\$40.01-\$45.00	165	1.9
\$45.01 and over	3,569	1.6
All RSUs	9,906	1.1

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Stock Options

There were no stock options granted during the years ended December 31, 2022, 2021 and 2020. The Bancorp generally utilizes the Black-Scholes option pricing model to measure the fair value of stock option grants.

The total intrinsic value of stock options exercised was \$2 million, \$7 million and \$3 million for the years ended December 31, 2022, 2021 and 2020, respectively. Cash received from stock options exercised was \$1 million, \$6 million and \$5 million for the years ended December 31, 2022, 2021 and 2020, respectively. The tax benefit realized from exercised stock options was immaterial for the year ended December 31, 2022 and \$1 million for both the years ended December 31, 2021 and 2020. An immaterial amount of stock options vested during the years ended December 31, 2022, 2021 and 2020. As of December 31, 2022, the aggregate intrinsic value of both outstanding stock options and exercisable stock options was \$3 million.

The following table summarizes stock options activity for the years ended December 31:

Stock Options (in thousands, except per share data)	2022		2021		2020	
	Number of Options	Weighted-Average Exercise Price Per Share	Number of Options	Weighted-Average Exercise Price Per Share	Number of Options	Weighted-Average Exercise Price Per Share
Outstanding at January 1	409	\$ 21.51	793	\$ 20.81	1,381	\$ 20.15
Exercised	(97)	21.06	(384)	20.06	(440)	17.48
Forfeited or expired	—	—	—	—	(148)	23.99
Outstanding at December 31	312	\$ 21.65	409	\$ 21.51	793	\$ 20.81
Exercisable at December 31	312	\$ 21.65	386	\$ 21.31	725	\$ 20.34

The following table summarizes outstanding and exercisable stock options by exercise price per share at December 31, 2022.

Stock Options (in thousands, except per share data)	Outstanding Stock Options			Exercisable Stock Options		
	Number of Options	Weighted-Exercise Price Per Share	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Life (in years)	Number of Options	Weighted-Exercise Price Per Share	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Life (in years)
Under \$10.00	2	\$ 8.98	3.6	2	\$ 8.98	3.6
\$10.01-\$20.00	172	18.40	2.2	172	18.40	2.2
\$20.01-\$30.00	138	25.86	3.0	138	25.86	3.0
All stock options	312	\$ 21.65	2.6	312	\$ 21.65	2.6

Other Stock-Based Compensation

PSAs are payable contingent upon the Bancorp achieving certain predefined performance targets over a three-year measurement period. Depending on performance, between zero and 1.2 million shares may be released to settle the PSAs outstanding at December 31, 2022 once the applicable performance periods are completed. Awards granted during the years ended December 31, 2022, 2021 and 2020 will be entirely settled in stock. The performance targets are based on the Bancorp's performance relative to a defined peer group. PSAs use a performance-based metric based on return on tangible common equity in relation to peers. During the years ended December 31, 2022, 2021 and 2020, approximately 288 thousand, 251 thousand and 280 thousand PSAs, respectively, were granted by the Bancorp. These awards were granted at a weighted-average grant-date fair value of \$47.03, \$33.53 and \$29.64 per unit during the years ended December 31, 2022, 2021 and 2020, respectively.

The Bancorp sponsors an employee stock purchase plan that allows qualifying employees to purchase shares of the Bancorp's common stock with a 15% match. During the years ended December 31, 2022, 2021 and 2020, there were approximately 520 thousand, 470 thousand and 884 thousand shares, respectively, purchased by participants and the Bancorp recognized stock-based compensation expense of \$2 million in each of the respective years. As of December 31, 2022, there were approximately 2.7 million shares available for future issuance, which represents the remaining shares of Fifth Third common stock under the Bancorp's 1993 Stock Purchase Plan, as amended and restated, including an additional 1.5 million shares approved by shareholders on March 28, 2007 and an additional 12 million shares approved by shareholders on April 21, 2009.

196 Fifth Third Bancorp

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

26. Other Noninterest Income and Other Noninterest Expense

The following table presents the major components of other noninterest income and other noninterest expense for the years ended December 31:

(\$ in millions)	2022	2021	2020
Other noninterest income:			
Private equity investment income	\$ 70	87	75
BOLI income	64	67	63
Cardholder fees	54	50	44
Income from the TRA associated with Worldpay, Inc.	40	40	74
Banking center income	24	27	20
Equity method investment income	22	30	12
Consumer loan fees	19	17	20
Gains on contract sales	3	6	2
Loss on swap associated with the sale of Visa, Inc. Class B Shares	(84)	(86)	(103)
Other, net	47	40	4
Total other noninterest income	\$ 265	332	211
Other noninterest expense:			
Loan and lease	\$ 167	217	162
FDIC insurance and other taxes	132	114	118
Losses and adjustments	91	69	100
Data processing	82	79	75
Travel	60	34	27
Professional service fees	54	63	49
Intangible amortization	47	44	48
Postal and courier	40	37	36
Other, net	295	294	306
Total other noninterest expense	\$ 968	951	921

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

27. Earnings Per Share

The following table provides the calculation of earnings per share and the reconciliation of earnings per share and earnings per diluted share for the years ended December 31:

(\$ in millions, except per share data)	2022			2021			2020		
	Income	Average Shares	Per Share Amount	Income	Average Shares	Per Share Amount	Income	Average Shares	Per Share Amount
Earnings Per Share:									
Net income available to common shareholders	\$ 2,330			2,659			1,323		
Less: Income allocated to participating securities	2			7			6		
Net income allocated to common shareholders	\$ 2,328	689	3.38	2,652	702	3.78	1,317	715	1.84
Earnings Per Diluted Share:									
Net income available to common shareholders	\$ 2,330			2,659			1,323		
Effect of dilutive securities:									
Stock-based awards	—	6		—	9		—	5	
Net income available to common shareholders plus assumed conversions	2,330			2,659			1,323		
Less: Income allocated to participating securities	2			7			6		
Net income allocated to common shareholders plus assumed conversions	\$ 2,328	695	3.35	2,652	711	3.73	1,317	720	1.83

Shares are excluded from the computation of earnings per diluted share when their inclusion has an anti-dilutive effect on earnings per share. The diluted earnings per share computation for the years ended December 31, 2022, 2021 and 2020 excludes 3 million, an immaterial amount and 7 million shares, respectively, of stock-based awards because their inclusion would have been anti-dilutive.

198 Fifth Third Bancorp

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

28. Fair Value Measurements

The Bancorp measures certain financial assets and liabilities at fair value in accordance with U.S. GAAP, which defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. U.S. GAAP also establishes a fair value hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value into three broad levels. For more information regarding the fair value hierarchy and how the Bancorp measures fair value, refer to Note 1.

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

The following tables summarize assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of:

December 31, 2022 (\$ in millions)	Fair Value Measurements Using			Total Fair Value
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
Assets:				
Available-for-sale debt and other securities:				
U.S. Treasury and federal agencies securities	\$ 2,495	—	—	2,495
Obligations of states and political subdivisions securities	—	18	—	18
Mortgage-backed securities:				
Agency residential mortgage-backed securities	—	11,237	—	11,237
Agency commercial mortgage-backed securities	—	26,322	—	26,322
Non-agency commercial mortgage-backed securities	—	4,715	—	4,715
Asset-backed securities and other debt securities	—	5,842	—	5,842
Available-for-sale debt and other securities ^(a)	2,495	48,134	—	50,629
Trading debt securities:				
U.S. Treasury and federal agencies securities	23	22	—	45
Obligations of states and political subdivisions securities	—	14	—	14
Agency residential mortgage-backed securities	—	8	—	8
Asset-backed securities and other debt securities	—	347	—	347
Trading debt securities	23	391	—	414
Equity securities	306	11	—	317
Residential mortgage loans held for sale	—	600	—	600
Residential mortgage loans ^(b)	—	—	123	123
Servicing rights	—	—	1,746	1,746
Derivative assets:				
Interest rate contracts	12	1,222	7	1,241
Foreign exchange contracts	—	454	—	454
Commodity contracts	56	1,422	—	1,478
Derivative assets ^(c)	68	3,098	7	3,173
Total assets	\$ 2,892	52,234	1,876	57,002
Liabilities:				
Derivative liabilities:				
Interest rate contracts	\$ 7	1,970	8	1,985
Foreign exchange contracts	—	422	—	422
Equity contracts	—	—	195	195
Commodity contracts	92	1,258	—	1,350
Derivative liabilities ^(d)	99	3,650	203	3,952
Short positions:				
U.S. Treasury and federal agencies securities	66	—	—	66
Asset-backed securities and other debt securities	—	112	—	112
Short positions ^(d)	66	112	—	178
Total liabilities	\$ 165	3,762	203	4,130

(a) Excludes FHLB, FRB and DTCC restricted stock holdings totaling \$381, \$491 and \$2, respectively, at December 31, 2022.

(b) Includes residential mortgage loans originated as held for sale and subsequently transferred to held for investment.

(c) Included in other assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

(d) Included in other liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2021 (\$ in millions)	Fair Value Measurements Using			Total Fair Value
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
Assets:				
Available-for-sale debt and other securities:				
U.S. Treasury and federal agencies securities	\$ 86	—	—	86
Obligations of states and political subdivisions securities	—	18	—	18
Mortgage-backed securities:				
Agency residential mortgage-backed securities	—	8,782	—	8,782
Agency commercial mortgage-backed securities	—	18,951	—	18,951
Non-agency commercial mortgage-backed securities	—	4,479	—	4,479
Asset-backed securities and other debt securities	—	5,275	—	5,275
Available-for-sale debt and other securities ^(a)	86	37,505	—	37,591
Trading debt securities:				
U.S. Treasury and federal agencies securities	72	12	—	84
Obligations of states and political subdivisions securities	—	32	—	32
Agency residential mortgage-backed securities	—	105	—	105
Asset-backed securities and other debt securities	—	291	—	291
Trading debt securities	72	440	—	512
Equity securities	365	11	—	376
Residential mortgage loans held for sale	—	1,023	—	1,023
Residential mortgage loans ^(b)	—	—	154	154
Servicing rights	—	—	1,121	1,121
Derivative assets:				
Interest rate contracts	2	1,245	12	1,259
Foreign exchange contracts	—	323	—	323
Commodity contracts	26	1,300	—	1,326
Derivative assets ^(c)	28	2,868	12	2,908
Total assets	\$ 551	41,847	1,287	43,685
Liabilities:				
Derivative liabilities:				
Interest rate contracts	\$ 2	231	8	241
Foreign exchange contracts	—	298	—	298
Equity contracts	—	—	214	214
Commodity contracts	285	975	—	1,260
Derivative liabilities ^(d)	287	1,504	222	2,013
Short positions:				
U.S. Treasury and federal agencies securities	96	—	—	96
Asset-backed securities and other debt securities	—	201	—	201
Short positions ^(d)	96	201	—	297
Total liabilities	\$ 383	1,705	222	2,310

(a) Excludes FHLB, FRB and DTCC restricted stock holdings totaling \$30, \$486 and \$3, respectively, at December 31, 2021.

(b) Includes residential mortgage loans originated as held for sale and subsequently transferred to held for investment.

(c) Included in other assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

(d) Included in other liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

The following is a description of the valuation methodologies used for significant instruments measured at fair value, as well as the general classification of such instruments pursuant to the valuation hierarchy.

Available-for-sale debt and other securities, trading debt securities and equity securities

Where quoted prices are available in an active market, securities are classified within Level 1 of the valuation hierarchy. Level 1 securities include U.S. Treasury securities and equity securities. If quoted market prices are not available, then fair values are estimated using pricing models which primarily utilize quoted prices of securities with similar characteristics. Level 2 securities may include federal agencies securities, obligations of states and political subdivisions securities, agency residential mortgage-backed securities, agency and non-agency commercial mortgage-backed securities, asset-backed securities and other debt securities and equity securities. These securities are generally valued using a market approach based on observable prices of securities with similar characteristics.

Residential mortgage loans held for sale

For residential mortgage loans held for sale for which the fair value election has been made, fair value is estimated based upon mortgage-backed securities prices and spreads to those prices or, for certain ARM loans, DCF models that may incorporate the anticipated portfolio

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

composition, credit spreads of asset-backed securities with similar collateral and market conditions. The anticipated portfolio composition includes the effect of interest rate spreads and discount rates due to loan characteristics such as the state in which the loan was originated, the loan amount and the ARM margin. Residential mortgage loans held for sale that are valued based on mortgage-backed securities prices are classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy as the valuation is based on external pricing for similar instruments. ARM loans classified as held for sale are also classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy due to the use of observable inputs in the DCF model. These observable inputs include interest rate spreads from agency mortgage-backed securities market rates and observable discount rates.

Residential mortgage loans

For residential mortgage loans for which the fair value election has been made, and that are reclassified from held for sale to held for investment, the fair value estimation is based on mortgage-backed securities prices, interest rate risk and an internally developed credit component. Therefore, these loans are transferred from Level 2 to Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy. An adverse change in the loss rate or severity assumption would result in a decrease in fair value of the related loans.

Servicing rights

MSRs do not trade in an active, open market with readily observable prices. While sales of MSRs do occur, the precise terms and conditions typically are not readily available. Accordingly, the Bancorp estimates the fair value of MSRs using internal OAS models with certain unobservable inputs, primarily prepayment speed assumptions, OAS and weighted-average lives, resulting in a classification within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy. Refer to Note 13 for further information on the assumptions used in the valuation of the Bancorp's MSRs.

Derivatives

Exchange-traded derivatives valued using quoted prices and certain over-the-counter derivatives valued using active bids are classified within Level 1 of the valuation hierarchy. Most of the Bancorp's derivative contracts are valued using DCF or other models that incorporate current market interest rates, credit spreads assigned to the derivative counterparties and other market parameters and, therefore, are classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy. Such derivatives include basic and structured interest rate, foreign exchange and commodity swaps and options. Derivatives that are valued based upon models with significant unobservable market parameters are classified within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy. As of December 31, 2022 and 2021, derivatives classified as Level 3, which are valued using models containing unobservable inputs, consisted primarily of a total return swap associated with the Bancorp's sale of Visa, Inc. Class B Shares as well as IRLCs, which utilize internally generated loan closing rate assumptions as a significant unobservable input in the valuation process.

Under the terms of the total return swap, the Bancorp will make or receive payments based on subsequent changes in the conversion rate of the Visa, Inc. Class B Shares into Class A Shares. Additionally, the Bancorp will make a quarterly payment based on Visa's stock price and the conversion rate of the Visa, Inc. Class B Shares into Class A Shares until the date on which the Covered Litigation is settled. The fair value of the total return swap was calculated using a DCF model based on unobservable inputs consisting of management's estimate of the probability of certain litigation scenarios, the timing of the resolution of the Covered Litigation and Visa litigation loss estimates in excess, or shortfall, of the Bancorp's proportional share of escrow funds.

An increase in the loss estimate or a delay in the resolution of the Covered Litigation would result in an increase in the fair value of the derivative liability; conversely, a decrease in the loss estimate or an acceleration of the resolution of the Covered Litigation would result in a decrease in the fair value of the derivative liability. Refer to Note 18 for additional information on the Covered Litigation.

The net asset fair value of the Bancorp's IRLCs at December 31, 2022 was \$1 million. Immediate decreases in current interest rates of 25 bps and 50 bps would result in increases in the fair value of the IRLCs of approximately \$2 million and \$3 million, respectively. Immediate increases of current interest rates of 25 bps and 50 bps would result in decreases in the fair value of the IRLCs of approximately \$2 million and \$4 million, respectively. Immediate changes in the assumed loan closing rates, either adverse or favorable, of 10% and 20% would result in changes in the fair value of IRLCs of approximately an immaterial amount. These sensitivities are hypothetical and should be used with caution, as changes in fair value based on a variation in assumptions typically cannot be extrapolated because the relationship of the change in assumptions to the change in fair value may not be linear.

Short positions

Where quoted prices are available in an active market, short positions are classified within Level 1 of the valuation hierarchy. Level 1 securities include U.S. Treasury securities. If quoted market prices are not available, then fair values are estimated using pricing models which primarily utilize quoted prices of securities with similar characteristics and therefore are classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy. Level 2 securities include asset-backed and other debt securities.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following tables are a reconciliation of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3):

For the year ended December 31, 2022 (\$ in millions)	Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)				
	Residential Mortgage Loans	Servicing Rights	Interest Rate Derivatives, Net ^(a)	Equity Derivatives	Total Fair Value
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 154	1,121	4	(214)	1,065
Total gains (losses) (realized/unrealized): ^(b)					
Included in earnings	(18)	177	22	(84)	97
Purchases/originations	—	448	1	—	449
Settlements	(23)	—	(28)	103	52
Transfers into Level 3 ^(c)	10	—	—	—	10
Balance, end of period	\$ 123	1,746	(1)	(195)	1,673
The amount of total gains (losses) for the period included in earnings attributable to the change in unrealized gains or losses relating to instruments still held at December 31, 2022	\$ (18)	311	6	(84)	215

(a) Net interest rate derivatives include derivative assets and liabilities of \$7 and \$8, respectively, as of December 31, 2022.

(b) There were no unrealized gains or losses for the period included in other comprehensive income for instruments still held at December 31, 2022.

(c) Includes certain residential mortgage loans originated as held for sale that were transferred to held for investment.

For the year ended December 31, 2021 (\$ in millions)	Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)				
	Residential Mortgage Loans	Servicing Rights	Interest Rate Derivatives, Net ^(a)	Equity Derivatives	Total Fair Value
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 161	656	53	(201)	669
Total (losses) gains (realized/unrealized): ^(b)					
Included in earnings	(2)	(139)	153	(86)	(74)
Purchases/originations	—	604	(3)	—	601
Settlements	(54)	—	(199)	73	(180)
Transfers into Level 3 ^(c)	49	—	—	—	49
Balance, end of period	\$ 154	1,121	4	(214)	1,065
The amount of total gains (losses) for the period included in earnings attributable to the change in unrealized gains or losses relating to instruments still held at December 31, 2021	\$ (2)	78	15	(86)	5

(a) Net interest rate derivatives include derivative assets and liabilities of \$12 and \$8, respectively, as of December 31, 2021.

(b) There were no unrealized gains or losses for the period included in other comprehensive income for instruments still held at December 31, 2021.

(c) Includes certain residential mortgage loans originated as held for sale that were transferred to held for investment.

For the year ended December 31, 2020 (\$ in millions)	Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)				
	Residential Mortgage Loans	Servicing Rights	Interest Rate Derivatives, Net ^(a)	Equity Derivatives	Total Fair Value
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 183	993	10	(163)	1,023
Total (losses) gains (realized/unrealized): ^(b)					
Included in earnings	3	(565)	272	(103)	(393)
Purchases/originations	—	228	4	—	232
Settlements	(74)	—	(233)	65	(242)
Transfers into Level 3 ^(c)	49	—	—	—	49
Balance, end of period	\$ 161	656	53	(201)	669
The amount of total (losses) gains for the period included in earnings attributable to the change in unrealized gains or losses relating to instruments still held at December 31, 2020	\$ 3	(227)	58	(103)	(269)

(a) Net interest rate derivatives include derivative assets and liabilities of \$61 and \$8, respectively, as of December 31, 2020.

(b) There were no unrealized gains or losses for the period included in other comprehensive income for instruments still held at December 31, 2020.

(c) Includes certain residential mortgage loans originated as held for sale that were transferred to held for investment.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The total gains and losses included in earnings for assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) were recorded in the Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended December 31, 2022, 2021 and 2020 as follows:

(\$ in millions)	2022	2021	2020
Mortgage banking net revenue	\$ 177	9	(291)
Commercial banking revenue	4	3	2
Other noninterest income	(84)	(86)	(104)
Total gains (losses)	\$ 97	(74)	(393)

The total gains and losses included in earnings attributable to changes in unrealized gains and losses related to Level 3 assets and liabilities still held at December 31, 2022, 2021 and 2020 were recorded in the Consolidated Statements of Income as follows:

(\$ in millions)	2022	2021	2020
Mortgage banking net revenue	\$ 295	88	(167)
Commercial banking revenue	4	3	2
Other noninterest income	(84)	(86)	(104)
Total gains (losses)	\$ 215	5	(269)

The following tables present information about significant unobservable inputs related to the Bancorp's material categories of Level 3 financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis:

As of December 31, 2022 (\$ in millions)

Financial Instrument	Fair Value	Valuation Technique	Significant Unobservable Inputs	Range of Inputs	Weighted-Average
Residential mortgage loans	\$ 123	Loss rate model	Interest rate risk factor	(24.1) - 2.4%	(12.3) % ^(a)
			Credit risk factor	— - 22.9%	0.5 % ^(a)
Servicing rights	1,746	DCF	Prepayment speed	— - 100.0%	(Fixed) 5.1 % ^(b)
					(Adjustable) 20.3 % ^(b)
			OAS (bps)	542 - 1,513	(Fixed) 734 ^(b)
IRLCs, net	1	DCF	Loan closing rates	34.7 - 97.5%	(Adjustable) 1,204 ^(b)
Swap associated with the sale of Visa, Inc. Class B Shares	(195)	DCF	Timing of the resolution of the Covered Litigation	Q1 2024 - Q1 2027	Q2 2025 ^(d)

(a) Unobservable inputs were weighted by the relative carrying value of the instruments.

(b) Unobservable inputs were weighted by the relative unpaid principal balance of the instruments.

(c) Unobservable inputs were weighted by the relative notional amount of the instruments.

(d) Unobservable inputs were weighted by the probability of the final funding date of the instruments.

As of December 31, 2021 (\$ in millions)

Financial Instrument	Fair Value	Valuation Technique	Significant Unobservable Inputs	Range of Inputs	Weighted-Average
Residential mortgage loans	\$ 154	Loss rate model	Interest rate risk factor	(8.5) - 8.8%	0.4 % ^(a)
			Credit risk factor	— - 28.5%	0.3 % ^(a)
Servicing rights	1,121	DCF	Prepayment speed	— - 100.0%	(Fixed) 10.7 % ^(b)
					(Adjustable) 20.6 % ^(b)
			OAS (bps)	479 - 1,587	(Fixed) 686 ^(b)
IRLCs, net	12	DCF	Loan closing rates	8.9 - 97.2%	(Adjustable) 1,087 ^(b)
Swap associated with the sale of Visa, Inc. Class B Shares	(214)	DCF	Timing of the resolution of the Covered Litigation	Q1 2023 - Q2 2025	Q1 2024 ^(d)

(a) Unobservable inputs were weighted by the relative carrying value of the instruments.

(b) Unobservable inputs were weighted by the relative unpaid principal balance of the instruments.

(c) Unobservable inputs were weighted by the relative notional amount of the instruments.

(d) Unobservable inputs were weighted by the probability of the final funding date of the instruments.

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis

Certain assets and liabilities are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. These assets and liabilities are not measured at fair value on an ongoing basis; however, they are subject to fair value adjustments in certain circumstances, such as when there is evidence of impairment.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following tables provide the fair value hierarchy and carrying amount of all assets that were held as of December 31, 2022 and 2021, and for which a nonrecurring fair value adjustment was recorded during the years ended December 31, 2022 and 2021, and the related gains and losses from fair value adjustments on assets sold during the period as well as assets still held as of the end of the period.

As of December 31, 2022 (\$ in millions)	Fair Value Measurements Using				Total	Total (Losses) Gains	
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	For the year ended December 31, 2022			
Commercial loans held for sale	\$ —	—	40	40	40	(1)	
Commercial loans and leases	—	—	162	162	162	(83)	
Consumer and residential mortgage loans	—	—	109	109	109	1	
OREO	—	—	3	3	3	—	
Bank premises and equipment	—	—	19	19	19	(9)	
Operating lease equipment	—	—	1	1	1	(2)	
Private equity investments	—	9	1	10	10	(8)	
Total	\$ —	9	335	344	344	(102)	

As of December 31, 2021 (\$ in millions)	Fair Value Measurements Using				Total	Total (Losses) Gains	
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	For the year ended December 31, 2021			
Commercial loans held for sale	\$ —	—	2	2	2	2	
Commercial loans and leases	—	—	236	236	236	(29)	
Consumer and residential mortgage loans	—	—	125	125	125	(1)	
OREO	—	—	7	7	7	(6)	
Bank premises and equipment	—	—	11	11	11	(6)	
Operating lease equipment	—	—	13	13	13	(21)	
Private equity investments	—	1	14	15	15	38	
Total	\$ —	1	408	409	409	(23)	

The following tables present information as of December 31, 2022 and 2021 about significant unobservable inputs related to the Bancorp's material categories of Level 3 financial assets and liabilities measured on a nonrecurring basis:

As of December 31, 2022 (\$ in millions)						
Financial Instrument	Fair Value	Valuation Technique	Significant Unobservable Inputs	Ranges of Inputs	Weighted-Average	
Commercial loans held for sale	\$ 40	Comparable company analysis	Market comparable transactions	NM	NM	
Commercial loans and leases	162	Appraised value	Collateral value	NM	NM	
Consumer and residential mortgage loans	109	Appraised value	Collateral value	NM	NM	
OREO	3	Appraised value	Appraised value	NM	NM	
Bank premises and equipment	19	Appraised value	Appraised value	NM	NM	
Operating lease equipment	1	Appraised value	Appraised value	NM	NM	
Private equity investments	1	Comparable company analysis	Market comparable transactions	NM	NM	

As of December 31, 2021 (\$ in millions)						
Financial Instrument	Fair Value	Valuation Technique	Significant Unobservable Inputs	Ranges of Inputs	Weighted-Average	
Commercial loans held for sale	\$ 2	Comparable company analysis	Market comparable transactions	NM	NM	
Commercial loans and leases	236	Appraised value	Collateral value	NM	NM	
Consumer and residential mortgage loans	125	Appraised value	Collateral value	NM	NM	
OREO	7	Appraised value	Appraised value	NM	NM	
Bank premises and equipment	11	Appraised value	Appraised value	NM	NM	
Operating lease equipment	13	Appraised value	Appraised value	NM	NM	
Private equity investments	14	Comparable company analysis	Market comparable transactions	NM	NM	

Commercial loans held for sale

The Bancorp estimated the fair value of certain commercial loans held for sale during the years ended December 31, 2022 and 2021, resulting in a negative fair value adjustment of \$1 million and a positive fair value adjustment of \$1 million during the years ended December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively. These valuations were based on quoted prices for similar assets in active markets (Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy), appraisals of the underlying collateral or by applying unobservable inputs such as an estimated market discount to the unpaid principal balance of the loans or the appraised values of the assets (Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy). The Bancorp recognized losses on the sale of

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

certain commercial loans held for sale of an immaterial amount and gains of \$1 million during the years ended December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively.

Portfolio loans and leases

During the years ended December 31, 2022 and 2021, the Bancorp recorded nonrecurring adjustments to certain collateral-dependent portfolio loans and leases. When a loan is collateral-dependent, the fair value of the loan is generally based on the fair value less cost to sell of the underlying collateral supporting the loan and therefore these loans were classified within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy. In cases where the amortized cost basis of the loan or lease exceeds the estimated net realizable value of the collateral, then an ALLL is recognized, or a charge-off once the remaining amount is considered uncollectible.

OREO

During the years ended December 31, 2022 and 2021, the Bancorp recorded nonrecurring adjustments to certain commercial and residential real estate properties and branch-related real estate no longer intended to be used for banking purposes classified as OREO and measured at the lower of carrying amount or fair value. These nonrecurring losses were primarily due to declines in real estate values of the properties recorded in OREO. For the years ended December 31, 2022 and 2021, these losses include an immaterial amount and \$5 million in losses, respectively, recorded as charge-offs on new OREO properties transferred from loans during the respective periods and an immaterial amount recorded as positive fair value adjustments and \$1 million recorded as negative fair value adjustments for properties subsequent to their transfer into OREO, respectively. The fair value amounts are generally based on appraisals of the property values, resulting in a classification within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy. In cases where the carrying amount exceeds the fair value, less costs to sell, an impairment loss is recognized. The previous tables reflect the fair value measurements of the properties before deducting the estimated costs to sell.

Bank premises and equipment

The Bancorp performs assessments of the recoverability of long-lived assets when events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying values may not be recoverable. These properties were written down to their lower of cost or market values. At least annually thereafter, the Bancorp will review these properties for market fluctuations. The fair value amounts were generally based on appraisals of the property values, resulting in a classification within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy. For further information on bank premises and equipment, refer to Note 7.

Operating lease equipment

The Bancorp performs assessments of the recoverability of long-lived assets when events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying values may not be recoverable. When evaluating whether an individual asset is impaired, the Bancorp considers the current fair value of the asset, the changes in overall market demand for the asset and the rate of change in advancements associated with technological improvements that impact the demand for the specific asset under review. As part of this ongoing assessment, the Bancorp determined that the carrying values of certain operating lease equipment were not recoverable and, as a result, the Bancorp recorded an impairment loss equal to the amount by which the carrying value of the assets exceeded the fair value. The fair value amounts were generally based on appraised values of the assets, resulting in a classification within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy.

Private equity investments

The Bancorp accounts for its private equity investments using the measurement alternative to fair value, except for those accounted for under the equity method of accounting. Under the measurement alternative, the Bancorp carries each investment at its cost basis minus impairment, if any, plus or minus changes resulting from observable price changes in orderly transactions for identical or similar investments of the same issuer. The Bancorp recognized gains of \$4 million and \$41 million during the years ended December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively, resulting from observable price changes. The carrying value of the Bancorp's private equity investments still held as of December 31, 2022 includes a cumulative \$40 million of positive adjustments as a result of observable price changes since January 1, 2018. Because these adjustments are based on observable transactions in inactive markets, they are classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

For private equity investments which are accounted for using the measurement alternative to fair value, the Bancorp qualitatively evaluates each investment quarterly to determine if impairment may exist. If necessary, the Bancorp then measures impairment by estimating the value of its investment and comparing that to the investment's carrying value, whether or not the Bancorp considers the impairment to be temporary. These valuations are typically developed using a DCF method, but other methods may be used if more appropriate for the circumstances. These valuations are based on unobservable inputs and therefore are classified in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. The Bancorp recognized impairments of \$12 million and \$3 million during the years ended December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively. The carrying value of the Bancorp's private equity investments still held as of December 31, 2022 includes a cumulative \$34 million of impairment charges recognized since adoption of the measurement alternative to fair value on January 1, 2018.

Fair Value Option

The Bancorp elected to measure certain residential mortgage loans held for sale under the fair value option as allowed under U.S. GAAP. Electing to measure residential mortgage loans held for sale at fair value reduces certain timing differences and better matches changes in the value of these assets with changes in the value of derivatives used as economic hedges for these assets. Management's intent to sell residential mortgage loans classified as held for sale may change over time due to such factors as changes in the overall liquidity in markets

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

or changes in characteristics specific to certain loans held for sale. Consequently, these loans may be reclassified to loans held for investment and maintained in the Bancorp's loan portfolio. In such cases, the loans will continue to be measured at fair value.

Fair value changes recognized in earnings for residential mortgage loans held at December 31, 2022 and 2021 for which the fair value option was elected, as well as the changes in fair value of the underlying IRLCs, included losses of \$10 million and gains of \$28 million, respectively. These losses and gains are reported in mortgage banking net revenue in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

Valuation adjustments related to instrument-specific credit risk for residential mortgage loans measured at fair value negatively impacted the fair value of those loans by \$1 million and an immaterial amount at December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively. Interest on loans measured at fair value is accrued as it is earned using the effective interest method and is reported as interest income in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

The following table summarizes the difference between the fair value and the unpaid principal balance for residential mortgage loans measured at fair value as of:

(\$ in millions)	Aggregate Fair Value	Aggregate Unpaid Principal Balance	Difference
December 31, 2022			
Residential mortgage loans measured at fair value	\$ 723	733	(10)
Past due loans of 30-89 days	1	1	—
Nonaccrual loans	2	2	—
December 31, 2021			
Residential mortgage loans measured at fair value	\$ 1,177	1,149	28
Past due loans of 90 days or more	3	3	—

The Bancorp may invest in certain hybrid financial instruments with embedded derivatives that are not clearly and closely related to the host contracts. The Bancorp elected to measure the entire instrument at fair value with changes in fair value recognized in earnings. The Bancorp did not hold these investments as of December 31, 2022 and the carrying value of these investments was \$89 million as of December 31, 2021 and the investments were classified as trading debt securities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Fair value changes recognized in earnings included gains of \$11 million and losses of \$3 million for the years ended December 31, 2022 and 2021, respectively, reported in securities (losses) gains, net in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

206 Fifth Third Bancorp

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Fair Value of Certain Financial Instruments

The following tables summarize the carrying amounts and estimated fair values for certain financial instruments, excluding financial instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis:

As of December 31, 2022 (\$ in millions)	Net Carrying Amount	Fair Value Measurements Using			Total Fair Value
		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
Financial assets:					
Cash and due from banks	\$ 3,466	3,466	—	—	3,466
Other short-term investments	8,351	8,351	—	—	8,351
Other securities	874	—	874	—	874
Held-to-maturity securities	5	—	—	5	5
Loans and leases held for sale	407	—	—	414	414
Portfolio loans and leases:					
Commercial loans and leases	75,262	—	—	75,104	75,104
Consumer and residential mortgage loans	43,901	—	—	42,193	42,193
Total portfolio loans and leases, net	\$ 119,163	—	—	117,297	117,297
Financial liabilities:					
Deposits	\$ 163,690	—	163,634	—	163,634
Federal funds purchased	180	180	—	—	180
Other short-term borrowings	4,838	—	4,829	—	4,829
Long-term debt	13,778	13,218	411	—	13,629

As of December 31, 2021 (\$ in millions)	Net Carrying Amount	Fair Value Measurements Using			Total Fair Value
		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
Financial assets:					
Cash and due from banks	\$ 2,994	2,994	—	—	2,994
Other short-term investments	34,572	34,572	—	—	34,572
Other securities	519	—	519	—	519
Held-to-maturity securities	8	—	—	8	8
Loans and leases held for sale	3,392	—	—	3,405	3,405
Portfolio loans and leases:					
Commercial loans and leases	69,166	—	—	69,924	69,924
Consumer and residential mortgage loans	40,838	—	—	41,632	41,632
Total portfolio loans and leases, net	\$ 110,004	—	—	111,556	111,556
Financial liabilities:					
Deposits	\$ 169,324	—	169,316	—	169,316
Federal funds purchased	281	281	—	—	281
Other short-term borrowings	980	—	980	—	980
Long-term debt	11,425	12,091	387	—	12,478

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

29. Regulatory Capital Requirements and Capital Ratios

The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System issued capital adequacy guidelines pursuant to which it assesses the adequacy of capital in examining and supervising a BHC. These guidelines include quantitative measures that assign risk weightings to assets and off-balance sheet items, define and set minimum regulatory capital requirements as well as the measure of “well-capitalized” status. Additionally, the U.S. banking agencies issued similar guidelines for minimum regulatory capital requirements and “well-capitalized” measurements for banking subsidiaries.

The following table summarizes the prescribed capital ratios for the Bancorp and its banking subsidiary.

	Minimum	Well-Capitalized
CET1 capital:		
Fifth Third Bancorp	4.50 %	N/A
Fifth Third Bank, National Association	4.50	6.50
Tier 1 risk-based capital:		
Fifth Third Bancorp	6.00	6.00
Fifth Third Bank, National Association	6.00	8.00
Total risk-based capital:		
Fifth Third Bancorp	8.00	10.00
Fifth Third Bank, National Association	8.00	10.00
Leverage:		
Fifth Third Bancorp	4.00	N/A
Fifth Third Bank, National Association	4.00	5.00

Failure to meet the minimum capital requirements or falling below the “well-capitalized” measure can initiate certain actions by regulators that could have a direct material effect on the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bancorp. The Bancorp is subject to the stress capital buffer requirement and must maintain capital ratios above its buffered minimum (regulatory minimum plus stress capital buffer) in order to avoid certain limitations on capital distributions and discretionary bonuses to executive officers. The FRB uses the supervisory stress test to determine the Bancorp’s stress capital buffer, subject to a floor of 2.5%. The Bancorp’s stress capital buffer requirement has been 2.5% since the introduction of this framework and was most recently affirmed as part of the FRB’s 2022 supervisory stress test with an effective date of October 1, 2022. The Bancorp’s capital ratios have exceeded the stress capital buffer requirement for all periods presented.

The Bancorp and its banking subsidiary, Fifth Third Bank, National Association, had CET1 capital, Tier 1 risk-based capital, Total risk-based capital and Leverage ratios above the “well-capitalized” levels at both December 31, 2022 and 2021. To continue to qualify for financial holding company status pursuant to the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act of 1999, the Bancorp’s banking subsidiary must, among other things, maintain “well-capitalized” capital ratios.

The following table presents capital and risk-based capital and leverage ratios for the Bancorp and its banking subsidiary at December 31:

(\$ in millions)	2022		2021	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
CET1 capital:				
Fifth Third Bancorp	\$ 15,670	9.28	% \$ 14,781	9.54
Fifth Third Bank, National Association	18,952	11.31	16,723	10.90
Tier 1 risk-based capital:				
Fifth Third Bancorp	17,786	10.53	16,897	10.91
Fifth Third Bank, National Association	18,952	11.31	16,723	10.90
Total risk-based capital:				
Fifth Third Bancorp	21,606	12.79	20,789	13.42
Fifth Third Bank, National Association	21,463	12.81	18,917	12.33
Leverage: ^(a)				
Fifth Third Bancorp	17,786	8.56	16,897	8.27
Fifth Third Bank, National Association	18,952	9.23	16,723	8.29

(a) Quarterly average assets are a component of the Leverage ratio and for this purpose do not include goodwill and any other intangible assets and other investments that the U.S. banking agencies determine should be deducted from Tier 1 capital.

208 Fifth Third Bancorp

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

30. Parent Company Financial Statements

Condensed Statements of Income (Parent Company Only)

For the years ended December 31 (\$ in millions)	2022	2021	2020
Income			
Dividends from consolidated nonbank subsidiaries ^(a)	\$ 165	3,040	1,285
Securities (losses) gains, net	(9)	1	1
Interest	11	11	17
Total income	167	3,052	1,303
Expenses			
Interest	311	250	266
Other	19	30	26
Total expenses	330	280	292
(Loss) Income Before Income Taxes and Equity in Undistributed Earnings of Subsidiaries	(163)	2,772	1,011
Applicable income tax benefit	(76)	(62)	(65)
(Loss) Income Before Equity in Undistributed Earnings of Subsidiaries	(87)	2,834	1,076
Equity in undistributed earnings	2,533	(64)	351
Net Income Attributable to Bancorp	\$ 2,446	2,770	1,427
Other Comprehensive Income	—	—	—
Comprehensive Income Attributable to Bancorp	\$ 2,446	2,770	1,427

(a) Includes dividends paid by the Bancorp's indirect banking subsidiary to the Bancorp's direct nonbank subsidiary holding company of \$3.0 billion and \$1.3 billion for the years ended December 31, 2021 and 2020, respectively. The Bancorp's indirect banking subsidiary did not pay dividends during the year ended December 31, 2022.

Condensed Balance Sheets (Parent Company Only)

As of December 31 (\$ in millions)	2022	2021
Assets		
Cash	\$ 120	122
Other short-term investments	5,667	6,234
Available-for-sale debt and other securities	1,000	—
Equity securities	34	49
Loans to nonbank subsidiaries	60	192
Investment in nonbank subsidiaries	20,256	23,877
Goodwill	80	80
Other assets	326	431
Total Assets	\$ 27,543	30,985
Liabilities		
Other short-term borrowings	\$ 121	361
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	764	487
Long-term debt (external)	9,331	7,927
Total Liabilities	\$ 10,216	8,775
Equity		
Common stock	\$ 2,051	2,051
Preferred stock	2,116	2,116
Capital surplus	3,684	3,624
Retained earnings	21,689	20,236
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income	(5,110)	1,207
Treasury stock	(7,103)	(7,024)
Total Equity	17,327	22,210
Total Liabilities and Equity	\$ 27,543	30,985

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Condensed Statements of Cash Flows (Parent Company Only)

For the years ended December 31 (\$ in millions)	2022	2021	2020
Operating Activities			
Net income	\$ 2,446	2,770	1,427
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Amortization and accretion	7	7	7
(Benefit from) provision for deferred income taxes	(3)	(1)	—
Securities losses (gains), net	9	(1)	(1)
Equity in undistributed earnings	(2,533)	64	(351)
Net change in:			
Equity securities	6	1	—
Other assets	(115)	(40)	(1)
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	45	(80)	—
Net Cash (Used in) Provided by Operating Activities	(138)	2,720	1,081
Investing Activities			
Purchase of securities issued by subsidiary	(1,000)	—	—
Net change in:			
Other short-term investments	567	(656)	(855)
Loans to nonbank subsidiaries	132	158	94
Net Cash Used in Investing Activities	(301)	(498)	(761)
Financing Activities			
Net change in other short-term borrowings	(240)	(89)	91
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt	2,986	498	1,243
Repayment of long-term debt	(1,200)	(250)	(1,100)
Dividends paid on common and preferred stock	(927)	(897)	(858)
Repurchase of treasury stock and related forward contract	(100)	(1,393)	—
Issuance of preferred stock	—	—	346
Other, net	(82)	(89)	(40)
Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Financing Activities	437	(2,220)	(318)
(Decrease) Increase in Cash	(2)	2	2
Cash at Beginning of Period	122	120	118
Cash at End of Period	\$ 120	122	120

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

31. Business Segments

Results of the Bancorp's business segments are presented based on its management structure and management accounting practices. The structure and accounting practices are specific to the Bancorp; therefore, the financial results of the Bancorp's business segments are not necessarily comparable with similar information for other financial institutions. The Bancorp refines its methodologies from time to time as management's accounting practices and businesses change.

During the third quarter of 2022, the Bancorp reorganized its management reporting structure and now reports on three business segments: Commercial Banking, Consumer and Small Business Banking and Wealth and Asset Management. This reorganization primarily involved merging the Bancorp's previously reported Branch Banking and Consumer Lending segments and the transfer of certain portions of the newly combined segment to the Commercial Banking segment. These portions primarily included the loans, deposits and other services provided to relationship manager assigned lower middle-market business customers, along with the income and expenses associated with those customers. Prior period results have been adjusted to conform to the new segment presentation.

The Bancorp manages interest rate risk centrally at the corporate level. By employing an FTP methodology, the business segments are insulated from most benchmark interest rate volatility, enabling them to focus on serving customers through the origination of loans and acceptance of deposits. The FTP methodology assigns charge and credit rates to classes of assets and liabilities, respectively, based on the estimated amount and timing of the cash flows for each transaction. Assigning the FTP rate based on matching the duration of cash flows allocates interest income and interest expense to each business segment so its resulting net interest income is insulated from future changes in benchmark interest rates. The Bancorp's FTP methodology also allocates the contribution to net interest income of the asset-generating and deposit-providing businesses on a duration-adjusted basis to better attribute the driver of the performance. As the asset and liability durations are not perfectly matched, the residual impact of the FTP methodology is captured in General Corporate and Other. The charge and credit rates are determined using the FTP rate curve, which is based on an estimate of Fifth Third's marginal borrowing cost in the wholesale funding markets. The FTP curve is constructed using the U.S. swap curve, brokered CD pricing and unsecured debt pricing. The Bancorp's FTP methodology was not adjusted during the years ended December 31, 2022, 2021 and 2020.

The Bancorp adjusts the FTP charge and credit rates as dictated by changes in interest rates for various interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities and by the review of behavioral assumptions, such as prepayment rates on interest-earning assets and the estimated durations for indeterminate-lived deposits. Key assumptions, including the credit rates provided for deposit accounts, are reviewed annually. Credit rates for deposit products and charge rates for loan products may be reset more frequently in response to changes in market conditions.

The Bancorp's methodology for allocating provision for credit losses to the business segments includes charges or benefits associated with changes in criticized commercial loan levels in addition to actual net charge-offs experienced by the loans and leases owned by each business segment. Provision for credit losses attributable to loan and lease growth and changes in ALLL factors is captured in General Corporate and Other. The financial results of the business segments include allocations for shared services and headquarters expenses. Additionally, the business segments form synergies by taking advantage of relationship depth opportunities and funding operations by accessing the capital markets as a collective unit.

The following is a description of each of the Bancorp's business segments and the products and services they provide to their respective client bases.

Commercial Banking offers credit intermediation, cash management and financial services to large and middle-market businesses and government and professional customers. In addition to the traditional lending and depository offerings, Commercial Banking products and services include global cash management, foreign exchange and international trade finance, derivatives and capital markets services, asset-based lending, real estate finance, public finance, commercial leasing and syndicated finance.

Consumer and Small Business Banking provides a full range of deposit and loan products to individuals and small businesses through a network of full-service banking centers and relationships with indirect and correspondent loan originators in addition to providing products designed to meet the specific needs of small businesses, including cash management services. Consumer and Small Business Banking includes the Bancorp's residential mortgage, home equity loans and lines of credit, credit cards, automobile and other indirect lending and other consumer lending activities. Residential mortgage activities include the origination, retention and servicing of residential mortgage loans, sales and securitizations of those loans and all associated hedging activities. Indirect lending activities include extending loans to consumers through automobile dealers, motorcycle dealers, powersport dealers, recreational vehicle dealers and marine dealers. Other consumer lending activities include home improvement and solar energy installation loans originated through a network of contractors and installers.

Wealth and Asset Management provides a full range of wealth management services for individuals, companies and not-for-profit organizations. Wealth and Asset Management is made up of three main businesses: FTS, an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of the Bancorp; Fifth Third Private Bank; and Fifth Third Institutional Services. FTS offers full service retail brokerage services to individual clients and broker-dealer services to the institutional marketplace. Fifth Third Private Bank offers wealth management strategies to high net worth and ultra-high net worth clients through wealth planning, investment management, banking, insurance, trust and estate services. Fifth Third

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Institutional Services provides advisory services for institutional clients including middle market businesses, non-profits, states and municipalities.

The following tables present the results of operations and assets by business segment for the years ended December 31:

2022 (\$ in millions)	Commercial Banking	Consumer and Small Business Banking	Wealth and Asset Management	General Corporate and Other	Eliminations	Total
Net interest income	\$ 2,542	3,131	262	(326)	—	5,609
Provision for credit losses	33	139	—	391	—	563
Net interest income after provision for credit losses	2,509	2,992	262	(717)	—	5,046
Noninterest income:						
Service charges on deposits	372	216	1	—	—	589
Wealth and asset management revenue	3	204	540	—	(177) ^(a)	570
Commercial banking revenue	563	3	1	(2)	—	565
Card and processing revenue	87	308	2	12	—	409
Leasing business revenue	237 ^(c)	—	—	—	—	237
Mortgage banking net revenue	—	214	1	—	—	215
Other noninterest income ^(b)	111	110	—	44	—	265
Securities losses, net	(33)	—	—	(49)	—	(82)
Securities losses, net -non-qualifying hedges on MSRs	—	(2)	—	—	—	(2)
Total noninterest income	1,340	1,053	545	5	(177)	2,766
Noninterest expense:						
Compensation and benefits	639	828	218	869	—	2,554
Technology and communications	11	22	1	382	—	416
Net occupancy expense ^(d)	40	196	13	58	—	307
Equipment expense	27	38	—	80	—	145
Leasing business expense	131	—	—	—	—	131
Marketing expense	5	58	1	54	—	118
Card and processing expense	11	72	1	(4)	—	80
Other noninterest expense	959	1,175	322	(1,311)	(177)	968
Total noninterest expense	1,823	2,389	556	128	(177)	4,719
Income (loss) before income taxes	2,026	1,656	251	(840)	—	3,093
Applicable income tax expense (benefit)	377	347	53	(130)	—	647
Net income (loss)	1,649	1,309	198	(710)	—	2,446
Total goodwill	\$ 2,324	2,365	226	—	—	4,915
Total assets	\$ 83,535	83,697	14,253	25,967 ^(e)	—	207,452

(a) Revenue sharing agreements between Wealth and Asset Management and Consumer and Small Business Banking are eliminated in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

(b) Includes impairment charges of \$6 recorded in Consumer and Small Business Banking and \$3 recorded in General Corporate and Other for bank premises and equipment. For more information, refer to Note 7 and Note 28.

(c) Includes impairment charges of \$2 for operating lease equipment. For more information, refer to Note 8 and Note 28.

(d) Includes impairment losses and termination charges of \$2 for ROU assets related to certain operating leases. For more information, refer to Note 9.

(e) Includes bank premises and equipment of \$24 classified as held for sale. For more information, refer to Note 7.

212 Fifth Third Bancorp

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

2021 (\$ in millions)	Commercial Banking	Consumer and Small Business Banking	Wealth and Asset Management	General Corporate and Other	Eliminations	Total
Net interest income	\$ 1,596	1,685	88	1,401	—	4,770
(Benefit from) provision for credit losses	(597)	120	(1)	101	—	(377)
Net interest income after (benefit from) provision						
for credit losses	2,193	1,565	89	1,300	—	5,147
Noninterest income:						
Service charges on deposits	385	214	1	—	—	600
Wealth and asset management revenue	2	206	558	—	(180) ^(a)	586
Commercial banking revenue	633	2	2	—	—	637
Card and processing revenue	78	312	2	10	—	402
Leasing business revenue	300 ^(c)	—	—	—	—	300
Mortgage banking net revenue	—	267	3	—	—	270
Other noninterest income ^(b)	91	108	4	129	—	332
Securities (losses) gains, net	8	—	—	(15)	—	(7)
Securities losses, net -non-qualifying hedges on MSRs	—	(2)	—	—	—	(2)
Total noninterest income	1,497	1,107	570	124	(180)	3,118
Noninterest expense:						
Compensation and benefits	644	833	205	944	—	2,626
Technology and communications	17	16	1	354	—	388
Net occupancy expense ^(d)	37	197	15	63	—	312
Equipment expense	26	38	—	74	—	138
Leasing business expense	137	—	—	—	—	137
Marketing expense	7	41	2	57	—	107
Card and processing expense	7	85	1	(4)	—	89
Other noninterest expense	898	1,185	316	(1,268)	(180)	951
Total noninterest expense	1,773	2,395	540	220	(180)	4,748
Income before income taxes	1,917	277	119	1,204	—	3,517
Applicable income tax expense	363	57	25	302	—	747
Net income	1,554	220	94	902	—	2,770
Total goodwill	\$ 1,980	2,303	231	—	—	4,514
Total assets	\$ 75,387	85,455	13,836	36,438 ^(e)	—	211,116

(a) Revenue sharing agreements between Wealth and Asset Management and Consumer and Small Business Banking are eliminated in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

(b) Includes impairment charges of \$6 recorded in Consumer and Small Business Banking and \$1 recorded in General Corporate and Other for bank premises and equipment. For more information, refer to Note 7 and Note 28.

(c) Includes impairment charges of \$25 for operating lease equipment. For more information, refer to Note 8 and Note 28.

(d) Includes impairment losses and termination charges of \$3 for ROU assets related to certain operating leases. For more information, refer to Note 9.

(e) Includes bank premises and equipment of \$24 classified as held for sale. For more information, refer to Note 7.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

2020 (\$ in millions)	Commercial Banking	Consumer and Small Business Banking	Wealth and Asset Management	General Corporate and Other	Eliminations	Total
Net interest income	\$ 2,009	1,942	139	692	—	4,782
Provision for (benefit from) credit losses	1,086	229	3	(221)	—	1,097
Net interest income after provision for (benefit from) credit losses	923	1,713	136	913	—	3,685
Noninterest income:						
Service charges on deposits	358	200	1	—	—	559
Wealth and asset management revenue	3	172	498	—	(153) ^(a)	520
Commercial banking revenue	527	2	2	(3)	—	528
Card and processing revenue	68	269	2	13	—	352
Leasing business revenue	276 ^(c)	—	—	—	—	276
Mortgage banking net revenue	—	315	5	—	—	320
Other noninterest income ^(b)	101	78	18	14	—	211
Securities gains, net	—	—	—	62	—	62
Securities gains, net -non-qualifying hedges on MSRs	—	2	—	—	—	2
Total noninterest income	1,333	1,038	526	86	(153)	2,830
Noninterest expense:						
Compensation and benefits	606	821	218	945	—	2,590
Technology and communications	13	12	1	336	—	362
Net occupancy expense ^(d)	32	185	12	121	—	350
Equipment expense	27	41	1	61	—	130
Leasing business expense	140	—	—	—	—	140
Marketing expense	9	34	2	59	—	104
Card and processing expense	7	116	1	(3)	—	121
Other noninterest expense	975	1,090	298	(1,289)	(153)	921
Total noninterest expense	1,809	2,299	533	230	(153)	4,718
Income before income taxes	447	452	129	769	—	1,797
Applicable income tax expense	49	95	27	199	—	370
Net income	398	357	102	570	—	1,427
Total goodwill	\$ 1,980	2,047	231	—	—	4,258
Total assets	\$ 71,801	77,169	12,466	43,244 ^(e)	—	204,680

(a) Revenue sharing agreements between Wealth and Asset Management and Consumer and Small Business Banking are eliminated in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

(b) Includes impairment charges of \$15 recorded in Consumer and Small Business Banking and \$15 recorded in General Corporate and Other for bank premises and equipment. For more information, refer to Note 7.

(c) Includes impairment charges of \$7 for operating lease equipment. For more information, refer to Note 8.

(d) Includes impairment losses and termination charges of \$8 for ROU assets related to certain operating leases. For more information, refer to Note 9.

(e) Includes bank premises and equipment of \$35 classified as held for sale. For more information, refer to Note 7.

32. Subsequent Event

On January 20, 2023, the Bancorp entered into an accelerated share repurchase transaction with a counterparty pursuant to which the Bancorp paid \$200 million on January 24, 2023 to repurchase shares of its outstanding common stock. The Bancorp is repurchasing the shares of its common stock as part of its Board-approved 100 million share repurchase program previously announced on June 18, 2019. The Bancorp expects the settlement of the transaction to occur on or before March 30, 2023.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

EVALUATION OF DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The Bancorp conducted an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Bancorp's management, including the Bancorp's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Bancorp's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). Based on the foregoing, as of the end of the period covered by this report, the Bancorp's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Bancorp's disclosure controls and procedures were effective, in all material respects, to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports the Bancorp files and submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported as and when required and information is accumulated and communicated to management including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

MANAGEMENT'S ASSESSMENT AS TO THE EFFECTIVENESS OF INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

The management of Fifth Third Bancorp is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control, designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The Bancorp's management assessed the effectiveness of the Bancorp's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2022. Management's assessment is based on the criteria established in the *Internal Control — Integrated Framework (2013)* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and was designed to provide reasonable assurance that the Bancorp maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2022. Based on this assessment, management believes that the Bancorp maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2022. The Bancorp's independent registered public accounting firm, that audited the Bancorp's consolidated financial statements included in this annual report, has issued an audit report on our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2022. This report appears on page [216](#) of the annual report.

CHANGES IN INTERNAL CONTROLS

The Bancorp's management also conducted an evaluation of internal control over financial reporting to determine whether any changes occurred during the year covered by this report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Bancorp's internal control over financial reporting. Based on this evaluation, there has been no such change during the year covered by this report.

/s/ Timothy N. Spence

Timothy N. Spence
President and Chief Executive Officer
February 24, 2023

/s/ James C. Leonard

James C. Leonard
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
February 24, 2023

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the shareholders and Board of Directors of Fifth Third Bancorp:

Opinion on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

We have audited the internal control over financial reporting of Fifth Third Bancorp and subsidiaries (the "Bancorp") as of December 31, 2022, based on criteria established in *Internal Control — Integrated Framework (2013)* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). In our opinion, the Bancorp maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2022, based on criteria established in *Internal Control — Integrated Framework (2013)* issued by COSO.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (PCAOB), the consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2022, of the Bancorp and our report dated February 24, 2023 expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements.

Basis for Opinion

The Bancorp's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Assessment as to the Effectiveness of Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Bancorp's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit. We are a public accounting firm registered with the PCAOB and are required to be independent with respect to the Bancorp in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

Definition and Limitations of Internal Control over Financial Reporting

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

Cincinnati, Ohio
February 24, 2023
216 Fifth Third Bancorp

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

ITEM 9C. DISCLOSURE REGARDING FOREIGN JURISDICTIONS THAT PREVENT INSPECTION

Not applicable.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The information required by this item relating to the Executive Officers of the Registrant is included in PART I under "INFORMATION ABOUT OUR EXECUTIVE OFFICERS."

The information required by this item concerning Directors and the nomination process is incorporated herein by reference under the caption "Election of Directors" of the Bancorp's Proxy Statement for the 2023 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

The information required by this item concerning the Audit Committee and Code of Business Conduct and Ethics is incorporated herein by reference under the captions "Corporate Governance" and "Board of Directors, Its Committees, Meetings, and Functions" of the Bancorp's Proxy Statement for the 2023 Annual Meeting of Shareholders. Fifth Third's Code of Business Conduct and Ethics is available on Fifth Third's corporate website at www.53.com. In addition, any future amendments to, or waivers from, a provision of the Fifth Third Code of Business Conduct and Ethics that applies to Fifth Third's directors or executive officers (including Fifth Third's principal executive officer, principal financial officer, and principal accounting officer or controller) will be posted at this internet address.

The information required by this item concerning Delinquent Section 16(a) Reports is incorporated herein by reference under the caption "Delinquent Section 16(a) Reports" of the Bancorp's Proxy Statement for the 2023 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference under the captions "Compensation Discussion and Analysis," "Compensation of Named Executive Officers," "Board of Directors Compensation," "CEO Pay Ratio," "Human Capital and Compensation Committee Report" and "Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation" of the Bancorp's Proxy Statement for the 2023 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Security ownership information of certain beneficial owners and management is incorporated herein by reference under the captions "Certain Beneficial Owners," "Election of Directors," "Compensation Discussion and Analysis," "Board of Directors Compensation," and "Compensation of Named Executive Officers" of the Bancorp's Proxy Statement for the 2023 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

The information required by this item concerning Equity Compensation Plan information is included in Note 25 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference under the captions "Certain Transactions", "Election of Directors", "Corporate Governance" and "Board of Directors, Its Committees, Meetings, and Functions" of the Bancorp's Proxy Statement for the 2023 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference under the caption "Principal Independent External Audit Firm Fees" of the Bancorp's Proxy Statement for the 2023 Annual Meeting of Shareholders. The Bancorp's principal independent external audit firm is Deloitte & Touche LLP, whose PCAOB Firm ID is 34.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

	Pages
Public Accounting Firm	118 , 216
Fifth Third Bancorp and Subsidiaries Consolidated Financial Statements	120
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	126

The schedules for the Bancorp and its subsidiaries are omitted because of the absence of conditions under which they are required, or because the information is set forth in the Consolidated Financial Statements or the notes thereto.

The following lists the Exhibits to the Annual Report on Form 10-K:

2.1	Agreement and Plan of Merger by and among Fifth Third Bancorp, Fifth Third Financial Corporation and MB Financial, Inc. dated as of May 20, 2018. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Registrants Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on May 22, 2018.
3.1	Amended Articles of Incorporation of Fifth Third Bancorp. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC on May 7, 2021.
3.2	Regulations of Fifth Third Bancorp, as Amended as of April 12, 2022. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC on May 9, 2022.
4.1	Indenture, dated as of May 23, 2003, between Fifth Third Bancorp and Wilmington Trust Company, as Trustee. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on May 22, 2003.
4.2	First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of December 20, 2006, between Fifth Third Bancorp and Wilmington Trust Company, as Trustee. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.14 to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006.
4.3	Global Securities dated as of March 4, 2008 representing Fifth Third Bancorp's \$500,000,000 8.25% Subordinated Notes due 2038. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2008. (1)
4.4	Indenture for Senior Debt Securities dated as of April 30, 2008 between Fifth Third Bancorp and Wilmington Trust Company, as trustee. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on May 6, 2008.
4.5	First Supplemental Indenture dated as of January 25, 2011 between Fifth Third Bancorp and Wilmington Trust Company, as Trustee, to the Indenture for Senior Debt Securities dated as of April 30, 2008 between Fifth Third and the Trustee. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on January 25, 2011.
4.6	Second Supplemental Indenture dated as of March 7, 2012 between Fifth Third Bancorp and Wilmington Trust Company, as Trustee, to the Indenture for Senior Debt Securities dated as of April 30, 2008 between Fifth Third Bancorp and the Wilmington Trust Company. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on March 7, 2012.
4.7	Deposit Agreement dated as of May 16, 2013, between Fifth Third Bancorp, as issuer, Wilmington Trust, National Association, as depository and calculation agent, American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, LLC, as transfer agent and registrar, and the holders from time to time of the depository receipts issued thereunder. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on May 16, 2013.
4.8	Form of Certificate Representing the 5.10% Fixed-to-Floating Rate Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series H, of Fifth Third Bancorp. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on May 16, 2013.
4.9	Form of Depository Receipt for the 5.10% Fixed-to-Floating Rate Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series H, of Fifth Third Bancorp. Incorporated by reference as Exhibit A to Exhibit 4.3 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on May 16, 2013.
4.10	Global Security dated as of November 20, 2013 representing Fifth Third Bancorp's \$500,000,000 4.30% Subordinated Notes due 2024. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on November 20, 2013. (2)
4.11	Deposit Agreement dated December 9, 2013, between Fifth Third Bancorp, as issuer, Wilmington Trust, National Association, as depository and calculation agent, American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, LLC as transfer agent and registrar, and the holders from time to time of the depository receipts issued thereunder. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 9, 2013.
4.12	Form of Certificate Representing the 6.625% Fixed-to-Floating Rate Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series I, of Fifth Third Bancorp. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 9, 2013.
4.13	Form of Depository Receipt for the 6.625% Fixed-to-Floating Rate Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series I, of Fifth Third Bancorp. Incorporated by reference as Exhibit A to Exhibit 4.3 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 9, 2013.
4.14	Deposit Agreement dated June 5, 2014, among Fifth Third Bancorp, as issuer, Wilmington Trust, National Association, as depository and calculation agent, American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, LLC as transfer agent and registrar, and the holders from time to time of the depository receipts issued thereunder. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on June 5, 2014.
4.15	Form of Certificate Representing the 4.90% Fixed-to-Floating Rate Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series J, of Fifth Third Bancorp. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on June 5, 2014.
4.16	Form of Depository Receipt for the 4.90% Fixed-to-Floating Rate Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series J, of Fifth Third Bancorp. Incorporated by reference as Exhibit A to Exhibit 4.3 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on June 5, 2014.
4.17	Third Supplemental Indenture dated as of February 28, 2014 between Fifth Third Bancorp and Wilmington Trust Company, as Trustee, to the Indenture for Senior Debt Securities dated as of April 30, 2008 between Fifth Third Bancorp and the Trustee. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on February 28, 2014.
4.18	Fourth Supplemental Indenture dated as of July 27, 2015 between Fifth Third Bancorp and Wilmington Trust Company, as Trustee, to the Indenture for Senior Debt Securities dated as of April 30, 2008 between Fifth Third Bancorp and the Trustee. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on July 27, 2015.
4.19	Fifth Supplemental Indenture dated as of June 15, 2017 between Fifth Third Bancorp and Wilmington Trust Company, as Trustee, to the Indenture for Senior Debt Securities dated as of April 30, 2008 between Fifth Third Bancorp and the Trustee. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on June 15, 2017.
4.20	Sixth Supplemental Indenture dated as of March 14, 2018 between Fifth Third Bancorp and Wilmington Trust Company, as Trustee, to the Indenture for Senior Debt Securities dated as of April 30, 2008 between Fifth Third Bancorp and the Trustee. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on March 14, 2018.

[Table of Contents](#)

4.21	Form of 3.950% Senior Notes due 2028. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on March 14, 2018.
4.22	Seventh Supplemental Indenture dated as of June 5, 2018 between Fifth Third Bancorp and Wilmington Trust Company, as Trustee, to the Indenture for Senior Debt Securities dated as of April 30, 2008 between Fifth Third Bancorp and the Trustee. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on June 5, 2018.
4.23	Amendment dated as of August 31, 2018 to Seventh Supplemental Indenture dated as of June 5, 2018 between Fifth Third Bancorp and Wilmington Trust Company, as Trustee, to the Indenture for Senior Debt Securities dated as of April 30, 2008 between Fifth Third Bancorp and the Trustee. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2018.
4.24	Eighth Supplemental Indenture dated as of January 25, 2019 between Fifth Third Bancorp and Wilmington Trust Company, as Trustee, to the Indenture for Senior Debt Securities dated as of April 30, 2008 between Fifth Third Bancorp and the Trustee. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on January 25, 2019.
4.25	Form of 3.650% Senior Notes due 2024. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on January 25, 2019.
4.26	Second Amended and Restated Deposit Agreement, dated as of August 26, 2019, among Fifth Third Bancorp, as issuer, and American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, LLC, as depositary, transfer agent and registrar, and the holders from time to time of the depositary receipts issued. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's Form 8-A filed with the SEC on August 26, 2019.
4.27	Form of depositary receipt representing the Depositary Shares (included as Exhibit A to Exhibit 4.34). Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's Form 8-A filed with the SEC on August 26, 2019.
4.28	Deposit Agreement dated September 17, 2019, between Fifth Third Bancorp, as issuer, American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, LLC, as depositary, transfer agent and registrar, relating to receipts, Depositary Shares and related 4.95% Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series K. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on September 17, 2019.
4.29	Form of Certificate Representing the 4.95% Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series K, of Fifth Third Bancorp. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on September 17, 2019.
4.30	Form of Depositary Receipt for the 4.95% Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series K, of Fifth Third Bancorp. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on September 17, 2019.
4.31	Ninth Supplemental Indenture dated as of October 28, 2019 between Fifth Third Bancorp and Wilmington Trust Company, as Trustee, to the Indenture for Senior Debt Securities dated as of April 30, 2008 between Fifth Third Bancorp and the Trustee. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on October 28, 2019.
4.32	Form of 2.375% Senior Notes due 2025. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on October 28, 2019.
4.33	Tenth Supplemental Indenture dated as of May 5, 2020 between Fifth Third Bancorp and Wilmington Trust Company, as Trustee, to the Indenture for Senior Debt Securities dated as of April 30, 2008 between Fifth Third Bancorp and the Trustee. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on May 5, 2020.
4.34	Form of 1.625% Senior Notes due 2023. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on May 5, 2020.
4.35	Form of 2.550% Senior Notes due 2027. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on May 5, 2020.
4.36	Form of Certificate Representing the 4.500% Fixed-Rate Reset Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series L, of Fifth Third Bancorp. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on July 30, 2020.
4.37	Deposit Agreement dated July 30, 2020, between Fifth Third Bancorp, as issuer, American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, LLC, as depositary, transfer agent and registrar, and the holders from time to time of depositary receipts issued. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on July 30, 2020.
4.38	Form of Depositary Receipt for the 4.500% Fixed-Rate Reset Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series L, of Fifth Third Bancorp. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit A of Exhibit 4.3 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on July 30, 2020.
4.39	Eleventh Supplemental Indenture dated as of November 1, 2021 between Fifth Third Bancorp and Wilmington Trust Company, as Trustee, to the Indenture for Senior Debt Securities dated as of April 30, 2008 between Fifth Third Bancorp and the Trustee. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on November 1, 2021.
4.40	Form of 1.707% Fixed Rate/Floating Rate Senior Notes due 2027. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on November 1, 2021.
4.41	Twelfth Supplemental Indenture dated as of April 25, 2022 between Fifth Third Bancorp and Wilmington Trust Company, as Trustee, to the Indenture for Senior Debt Securities dated as of April 30, 2008 between Fifth Third Bancorp and the Trustee. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on April 25, 2022.
4.42	Form of 4.055% Fixed Rate/Floating Rate Senior Notes due 2028. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on April 25, 2022.
4.43	Form of 4.337% Fixed Rate/Floating Rate Senior Notes due 2033. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on April 25, 2022.
4.44	Thirteenth Supplemental Indenture dated as of July 28, 2022 between Fifth Third Bancorp and Wilmington Trust Company, as Trustee, to the Indenture for Senior Debt Securities dated as of April 30, 2008 between Fifth Third Bancorp and the Trustee, as amended by Article 4 of the Twelfth Supplemental Indenture dated April 25, 2022 between Fifth Third Bancorp and the Trustee. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on July 28, 2022.
4.45	Form of 4.772% Fixed Rate/Floating Rate Senior Notes due 2030. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on July 28, 2022.
4.46	Fourteenth Supplemental Indenture dated as of October 27, 2022 between Fifth Third Bancorp and Wilmington Trust Company, as Trustee, to the Indenture for Senior Debt Securities dated as of April 30, 2008 between Fifth Third Bancorp and the Trustee, as amended by Article 4 of the Twelfth Supplemental Indenture dated April 25, 2022 between Fifth Third Bancorp and the Trustee. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on October 27, 2022.
4.47	Form of 6.361% Fixed Rate/Floating Rate Senior Notes due 2028. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on October 27, 2022.
4.48	Certain instruments defining the rights of holders of long-term debt securities of the Registrant and its subsidiaries are omitted pursuant to Item 601(b)(4)(iii) of Regulation S-K. The Registrant hereby undertakes to furnish to the SEC, upon request, copies of any such instruments.
4.49	Description of Registrant's Securities. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.44 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on February 25, 2022.

[Table of Contents](#)

10.1	Fifth Third Bancorp Unfunded Deferred Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors (as amended and restated effective as of September 1, 2020). Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2020.*
10.2	Fifth Third Bancorp Master Profit Sharing Plan, as Amended and Restated. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011.*
10.3	First Amendment to Fifth Third Bancorp Master Profit Sharing Plan, as Amended and Restated. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011.*
10.4	Second Amendment to Fifth Third Bancorp Master Profit Sharing Plan, as Amended and Restated. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012.*
10.5	Third Amendment to Fifth Third Bancorp Master Profit Sharing Plan, as Amended and Restated. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 of the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2013.*
10.6	Fifth Third Bancorp 401(k) Savings Plan, as Amended and Restated effective January 1, 2020. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2019.*
10.7	The Fifth Third Bancorp Master Retirement Plan, as Amended and Restated. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014.*
10.8	First Amendment to The Fifth Third Bancorp Master Retirement Plan, as Amended and Restated. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015.*
10.9	Second Amendment to The Fifth Third Bancorp Master Retirement Plan, as Amended and Restated. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016.*
10.10	Third Amendment to The Fifth Third Bancorp Master Retirement Plan, as Amended and Restated. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017.*
10.11	Fourth Amendment to The Fifth Third Bancorp Master Retirement Plan, as Amended and Restated. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018.*
10.12	First Amendment to the Fifth Third Bancorp 2008 Incentive Compensation Plan. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.22 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018.*
10.13	Fifth Third Bancorp 2011 Incentive Compensation Plan. Incorporated by reference to Annex 1 to the Registrant's Proxy Statement dated March 10, 2011.*
10.14	First Amendment to the Fifth Third Bancorp 2011 Incentive Compensation Plan. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.24 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018.*
10.15	Fifth Third Bancorp 2014 Incentive Compensation Plan. Incorporated by reference to Annex A to the Registrant's Proxy Statement dated March 6, 2014.*
10.16	First Amendment to the Fifth Third Bancorp 2014 Incentive Compensation Plan. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.26 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018.*
10.17	Fifth Third Bancorp 2017 Incentive Compensation Plan. Incorporated by reference to Annex A to the Registrant's Proxy Statement dated March 9, 2017.*
10.18	First Amendment to the Fifth Third Bancorp 2017 Incentive Compensation Plan. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.28 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018.*
10.19	Fifth Third Bancorp 2019 Incentive Compensation Plan. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to the Registrant's Form S-8 Registration Statement filed on April 16, 2019 (Registration Statement No. 333-230900).*
10.20	Fifth Third Bancorp 2021 Incentive Compensation Plan. Incorporated by reference to Annex A to the Registrant's Proxy Statement filed on March 2, 2021.*
10.21	Amended and Restated Fifth Third Bancorp 1993 Stock Purchase Plan. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011.*
10.22	Fifth Third Bancorp Non-qualified Deferred Compensation Plan (as amended and restated effective as of September 1, 2020). Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2020.*
10.23	Fifth Third Bancorp Stock Option Gain Deferral Plan. Incorporated by reference to Annex 5 to the Registrant's Proxy Statement dated February 9, 2001.*
10.24	Amendment No. 1 to Fifth Third Bancorp Stock Option Gain Deferral Plan. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on May 26, 2005.*
10.25	Amended and Restated First National Bankshares of Florida, Inc. 2003 Incentive Plan. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to First National Bankshares of Florida, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003.*
10.26	Fifth Third Bancorp Executive Change in Control Severance Plan, effective January 1, 2015. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on November 21, 2014.*
10.27	First Amendment to the Fifth Third Bancorp Executive Change in Control Severance Plan. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.40 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018.*
10.28	Second Amendment to the Fifth Third Bancorp Executive Change in Control Severance Plan. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 23, 2021.*
10.29	Fifth Third Bank, National Association Executive Severance Benefits Plan. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 23, 2021.*
10.30	Stock Appreciation Right Award Agreement. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2013.*
10.31	Stock Appreciation Right Award Agreement. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.34 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014.*
10.32	Restricted Stock Unit Agreement (for Directors). Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.36 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014.*
10.33	Master Confirmation for accelerated share repurchase transaction between Fifth Third Bancorp and Deutsche Bank AG, London Branch, with Deutsche Bank Securities Inc. acting as agent. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2013.**

[Table of Contents](#)

10.34	Master Confirmation, as supplemented by a Supplemental Confirmation, for accelerated share repurchase transaction dated October 20, 2014 between Fifth Third Bancorp and Deutsche Bank AG, London Branch. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.38 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014.**
10.35	Master Confirmation, as supplemented by a Supplemental Confirmation, for accelerated share repurchase transaction dated July 29, 2015 between Fifth Third Bancorp and Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2015.**
10.36	Master Confirmation, as supplemented by a Supplemental Confirmation, for accelerated share repurchase transaction dated April 27, 2015 between Fifth Third Bancorp and Barclays Bank PLC, through its agent Barclays Capital Inc. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2015.**
10.37	Master Confirmation, dated January 22, 2015, and Supplemental Confirmation, for accelerated share repurchase transaction dated January 22, 2015 between Fifth Third Bancorp and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2015.**
10.38	Bancorp Director Pay Program. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2016.*
10.39	2016 Restricted Stock Unit Grant Agreement (for Directors). Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.48 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016.*
10.40	2017 Stock Appreciation Right Award Agreement (for Executive Officers). Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.49 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016.*
10.41	Long-Term Incentive Award Overview February 2017 Grants. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.52 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016.*
10.42	Restricted Stock Unit Grant Agreement (for Directors) for Fifth Third Bancorp 2017 Incentive Compensation Plan. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2017.*
10.43	2018 Stock Appreciation Right Award Agreement (for Executive Officers). Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.67 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017.*
10.44	Long-Term Incentive Award Overview 2018 Grants. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.70 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017.*
10.45	2018 Restricted Stock Unit Grant Agreement (for Directors). Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2018.*
10.46	2018 Long-Term Incentive Compensation Program Overview February 2019 Grants. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.74 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018.*
10.47	2019 Performance Share Award Agreement. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.75 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018.*
10.48	2019 Restricted Stock Unit Agreement (for Executive Officers). Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.76 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018.*
10.49	2019 Stock Appreciation Right Award Agreement (for Executive Officers). Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.77 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018.*
10.50	2019 Long-Term Incentive Compensation Program Overview February 2020 Grants. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.72 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2019.*
10.51	2020 Performance Share Award Agreement. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.73 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2019.*
10.52	2020 Restricted Stock Unit Agreement (for Executive Officers). Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.74 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2019.*
10.53	2020 Stock Appreciation Right Award Agreement (for Executive Officers). Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.75 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2019.*
10.54	2019 Restricted Stock Unit Grant Agreement (for Directors). Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.76 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2019.*
10.55	2020 Restricted Stock Unit Grant Agreement (for Directors). Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2020.*
10.56	2020 Long-Term Incentive Compensation Program Overview February 2021 Grants. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.63 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2020.*
10.57	2021 Performance Share Award Agreement. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.64 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2020.*
10.58	2021 Restricted Stock Unit Agreement (for Executive Officers). Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.65 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2020.*
10.59	2021 Stock Appreciation Right Award Agreement (for Executive Officers). Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.66 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2020.*
10.60	2021 Restricted Stock Unit Grant Agreement (for Directors). Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2021.*
10.61	2021 Long-Term Incentive Compensation Program Overview February 2022 Grants. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.71 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2021.*
10.62	2022 Performance Share Award Agreement. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.72 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2021.*
10.63	2022 Restricted Stock Unit Agreement (for Executive Officers). Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.73 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2021.*
10.64	2022 Stock Appreciation Right Award Agreement (for Executive Officers). Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.74 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2021.*
10.65	2022 Restricted Stock Unit Grant Agreement (for Directors). Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2022.
10.66	2022 Long-Term Incentive Compensation Program Overview February 2023 Grants.*
10.67	2023 Performance Share Award Agreement.*

[Table of Contents](#)

10.68	2023 Restricted Stock Unit Agreement (for Executive Officers).*
10.69	2023 Stock Appreciation Right Award Agreement (for Executive Officers).*
10.70	Master Confirmation, as supplemented by two Supplemental Confirmations, for accelerated share repurchase transaction dated March 11, 2019 between Fifth Third Bancorp and JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association, London Branch. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2019.**
10.71	Master Confirmation dated as of August 5, 2019, as supplemented by a Supplemental Confirmation dated August 5, 2019, for accelerated share repurchase transaction between Fifth Third Bancorp and Citibank, N.A. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2019.***
10.72	Supplemental Confirmation dated December 6, 2022, to Master Confirmation dated July 29, 2015, for accelerated share repurchase transaction between Fifth Third Bancorp and Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC.***
10.73	Supplemental Confirmation dated January 20, 2023, to Master Confirmation dated July 29, 2015, for accelerated share repurchase transaction between Fifth Third Bancorp and Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC.***
21	Fifth Third Bancorp Subsidiaries, as of February 15, 2023.
23	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm-Deloitte & Touche LLP.
31(i)	Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 by Chief Executive Officer.
31(ii)	Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 by Chief Financial Officer.
32(i)	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 by Chief Executive Officer.
32(ii)	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 by Chief Financial Officer.
101.INSXBRL	Instance Document.
101.SCHXBRL	Taxonomy Extension Schema Document.
101.CALXBRL	Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document.
101.DEF XBRL	Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document.
101.LABXBRL	Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document.
101.PREXBRL	Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document.
104	Cover Page Interactive Data File (formatted as Inline XBRL and contained in Exhibit 101).

(1)Fifth Third Bancorp also entered into an identical security on March 4, 2008 representing an additional \$500,000,000 of its 8.25% Subordinated Notes due 2038.

(2)Fifth Third Bancorp also entered into an identical security on November 20, 2013 representing an additional \$250,000,000 in principal amount of its 4.30% Subordinated Notes due 2024.

* Denotes management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

** An application for confidential treatment for selected portions of this exhibit has been filed with the SEC.

*** Selected portions of this exhibit have been omitted in accordance with Item 601(b)(10) of Regulation S-K.

ITEM 16. FORM 10-K SUMMARY

None.

222 Fifth Third Bancorp

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

FIFTH THIRD BANCORP

Registrant

/s/ Timothy N. Spence

Timothy N. Spence

President and CEO

Principal Executive Officer

February 24, 2023

Pursuant to requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed on February 24, 2023 by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities indicated.

OFFICERS:

/s/ Timothy N. Spence

Timothy N. Spence

President and CEO

Principal Executive Officer

/s/ James C. Leonard

James C. Leonard

Executive Vice President and CFO

Principal Financial Officer

/s/ Mark D. Hazel

Mark D. Hazel

Executive Vice President and Controller

Principal Accounting Officer

DIRECTORS:

/s/ Greg D. Carmichael

Greg D. Carmichael

Executive Chairman

/s/ Nicholas K. Akins

Nicholas K. Akins

Lead Independent Director

/s/ B. Evan Bayh III

B. Evan Bayh III

/s/ Jorge L. Benitez

Jorge L. Benitez

/s/ Katherine B. Blackburn

Katherine B. Blackburn

/s/ Emerson L. Brumback

Emerson L. Brumback

/s/ Linda W. Clement-Holmes

Linda W. Clement-Holmes

/s/ C. Bryan Daniels

C. Bryan Daniels

/s/ Mitchell S. Feiger

Mitchell S. Feiger

/s/ Thomas H. Harvey

Thomas H. Harvey

/s/ Gary R. Heminger
Gary R. Heminger
/s/ Jewell D. Hoover
Jewell D. Hoover
/s/ Eileen A. Mallesch
Eileen A. Mallesch
/s/ Michael B. McCallister
Michael B. McCallister
/s/ Timothy N. Spence
Timothy N. Spence
/s/ Marsha C. Williams
Marsha C. Williams

CONSOLIDATED TEN YEAR COMPARISON
AVERAGE ASSETS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31 (\$ IN MILLIONS)

Year	Interest-Earning Assets				Total	Cash and Due from Banks	Other Assets	Total Average Assets
	Loans and Leases	Other Short-Term Investments	Investment Securities					
2022	\$ 120,561	12,419	53,346	186,326	3,093	19,490	206,929	
2021	114,117	33,243	37,018	184,378	3,055	21,050	206,324	
2020	114,411	21,935	36,342	172,688	2,978	20,933	194,230	
2019	107,794	2,140	35,470	145,404	2,748	16,903	163,936	
2018	93,876	1,476	33,553	128,905	2,200	12,203	142,183	
2017	92,731	1,390	32,172	126,293	2,224	13,236	140,527	
2016	94,320	1,866	30,099	126,285	2,303	14,870	142,173	
2015	93,339	3,258	26,987	123,584	2,608	15,100	139,999	
2014	91,127	3,043	21,823	115,993	2,892	14,443	131,847	
2013	89,093	2,417	16,444	107,954	2,482	15,025	123,704	

AVERAGE DEPOSITS AND SHORT-TERM BORROWINGS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31 (\$ IN MILLIONS)

Year	Deposits							Short-Term Borrowings ^(b)	Total
	Demand	Interest Checking	Savings	Money Market	Certificates of Deposit ^(a)	Foreign Office and Other	Total		
2022	\$ 60,185	45,835	23,445	29,326	4,030	170	162,991	4,925	167,916
2021	62,028	45,850	20,531	30,631	3,744	164	162,948	1,440	164,388
2020	47,111	46,890	16,440	29,879	7,455	256	148,031	2,094	150,125
2019	34,343	36,658	14,041	25,879	9,974	474	121,369	2,313	123,682
2018	32,634	29,818	13,330	21,769	6,532	839	104,922	3,120	108,042
2017	35,093	26,382	13,958	20,231	6,335	665	102,664	3,715	106,379
2016	35,862	25,143	14,346	19,523	6,745	830	102,449	3,351	105,800
2015	35,164	26,160	14,951	18,152	6,920	874	102,221	2,641	104,862
2014	31,755	25,382	16,080	14,670	7,691	1,828	97,406	2,331	99,737
2013	29,925	23,582	18,440	9,467	10,099	1,518	93,031	3,527	96,558

INCOME FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31 (\$ IN MILLIONS, EXCEPT PER SHARE DATA)

Year	Interest Income	Interest Expense	Noninterest Income	Noninterest Expense	Net Income Available to Common Shareholders	Per Share		
						Earnings	Diluted Earnings	Dividends Declared
2022	\$ 6,587	978	2,766	4,719	2,330	3.38	3.35	1.26
2021	5,211	441	3,118	4,748	2,659	3.78	3.73	1.14
2020	5,572	790	2,830	4,718	1,323	1.84	1.83	1.08
2019	6,254	1,457	3,536	4,660	2,419	3.38	3.33	0.94
2018	5,183	1,043	2,790	3,958	2,118	3.11	3.06	0.74
2017	4,489	691	3,224	3,782	2,105	2.86	2.81	0.60
2016	4,193	578	2,696	3,737	1,472	1.92	1.91	0.53
2015	4,028	495	3,003	3,643	1,610	2.00	1.97	0.52
2014	4,030	451	2,473	3,619	1,384	1.65	1.63	0.51
2013	3,973	412	3,227	3,978	1,799	2.05	2.02	0.47

MISCELLANEOUS AT DECEMBER 31 (\$ IN MILLIONS, EXCEPT PER SHARE DATA)

Year	Equity									
	Common Shares Outstanding	Common Stock	Preferred Stock	Capital Surplus	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive (Loss) Income	Treasury Stock	Total	Book Value Per Share	Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses
2022	683,385,880	\$ 2,051	2,116	3,684	21,689	(5,110)	(7,103)	17,327	22.26	2,194
2021	682,777,664	2,051	2,116	3,624	20,236	1,207	(7,024)	22,210	29.43	1,892
2020	712,760,325	2,051	2,116	3,635	18,384	2,601	(5,676)	23,111	29.46	2,453
2019	708,915,629	2,051	1,770	3,599	18,315	1,192	(5,724)	21,203	27.41	1,202
2018	646,630,857	2,051	1,331	2,873	16,578	(112)	(6,471)	16,250	23.07	1,103
2017	693,804,893	2,051	1,331	2,790	14,957	73	(5,002)	16,200	21.43	1,196
2016	750,479,299	2,051	1,331	2,756	13,290	59	(3,433)	16,054	19.62	1,253
2015	785,080,314	2,051	1,331	2,666	12,224	197	(2,764)	15,705	18.31	1,272
2014	824,046,952	2,051	1,331	2,646	11,034	429	(1,972)	15,519	17.22	1,322
2013	855,305,745	2,051	1,034	2,561	10,156	82	(1,295)	14,589	15.85	1,582

(a) Includes CDs \$250,000 or less and CDs over \$250,000.

(b) Includes federal funds purchased and other short-term borrowings.

FIFTH THIRD BANCORP DIRECTORS
Greg D. Carmichael

DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS
FIFTH THIRD BANCORP OFFICERS
Timothy N. Spence

REGIONAL PRESIDENTS
Michael Ash
David Briggs
Timothy Elsbrock
Lee Fite
David Girodat
Kimberly Halbauer
Mark Heckler
Francie Henry
Randy Koporc
Tom Partridge
Cary Putrino
Jim Weiss
Thomas G. Welch, Jr.
Joseph Yurosek

FIFTH THIRD BANCORP BOARD
COMMITTEES

Audit Committee
Eileen A. Mallesch, Chair
Katherine B. Blackburn
Thomas H. Harvey
Jewell D. Hoover
Michael B. McCallister

Finance Committee
Gary R. Heminger, Chair
Nicholas K. Akins
Jorge L. Benitez
Emerson L. Brumback
Thomas H. Harvey
Eileen A. Mallesch
Michael B. McCallister
Marsha C. Williams

Human Capital and Compensation
Committee
Michael B. McCallister, Chair
Nicholas K. Akins
Emerson L. Brumback
Gary R. Heminger
Eileen A. Mallesch
Marsha C. Williams

Nominating and Corporate Governance
Committee
Thomas H. Harvey, Chair
Nicholas K. Akins
B. Evan Bayh, III
Jorge L. Benitez
Katherine B. Blackburn
Marsha C. Williams

Risk and Compliance Committee
Emerson L. Brumback, Chair
Linda W. Clement-Holmes
C. Bryan Daniels
Gary R. Heminger
Jewell D. Hoover
Eileen A. Mallesch

Technology Committee
Jorge L. Benitez, Chair
B. Evan Bayh, III

Linda W. Clement-Holmes
C. Bryan Daniels
Thomas H. Harvey

*Executive Chairman
Fifth Third Bancorp*

President & Chief Executive Officer

Nicholas K. Akins, Lead Director
*Executive Chair
American Electric Power Company*

Greg D. Carmichael
Executive Chairman

Kristine R. Garrett
*Executive Vice President,
Group Regional President &
Head of Wealth & Asset Management*

B. Evan Bayh, III
*Senior Advisor
Apollo Global Management*

Jorge L. Benitez
*Retired Chief Executive Officer
North America of Accenture plc*

Kala J. Gibson
*Executive Vice President &
Chief Corporate Responsibility Officer*

Katherine B. Blackburn
*Executive Vice President
Cincinnati Bengals, Inc.*

Howard Hammond
*Executive Vice President &
Head of Consumer Bank*

Emerson L. Brumback
*Retired President & Chief Operating Officer
M&T Bank*

Mark D. Hazel
*Executive Vice President &
Controller*

Linda W. Clement-Holmes
*Retired Chief Information Officer
The Procter & Gamble Company*

Kevin P. Lavender
*Executive Vice President &
Head of Commercial Bank*

C. Bryan Daniels
*Founding Partner
Prairie Capital*

James C. Leonard
*Executive Vice President &
Chief Financial Officer*

Mitchell S. Feiger
*Retired CEO and President
MB Financial, Inc.*

Nancy C. Pinckney
*Executive Vice President &
Chief Human Resource Officer*

Thomas H. Harvey
*Chief Executive Officer
Energy Innovation: Policy and Technology, LLC*

Jude A. Schramm
*Executive Vice President &
Chief Information Officer*

Gary R. Heminger
*Retired Chief Executive Officer & Chairman
Marathon Petroleum Corporation*

Robert P. Shaffer
*Executive Vice President &
Chief Risk Officer*

Jewell D. Hoover

Richard L. Stein

*Retired Senior Official
Comptroller of the Currency*

*Executive Vice President &
Chief Credit Officer*

*Eileen A. Mallesch
Retired Chief Financial Officer
Nationwide Property & Casualty Segment,
Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company*

*Melissa S. Stevens
Executive Vice President &
Chief Marketing Officer*

*Michael B. McCallister
Retired Chairman & Chief Executive Officer
Humana, Inc.*

*Susan B. Zaunbrecher
Executive Vice President &
Chief Legal Officer*

*Timothy N. Spence
President & Chief Executive Officer
Fifth Third Bancorp*

*Marsha C. Williams
Retired Chief Financial Officer
Orbitz Worldwide, Inc*

Long-Term Incentive Overview



Long-Term Incentive Compensation Program Overview

Awards Granted in 2023

Category 1 Covered Executives

There are three primary components of compensation at Fifth Third Bank: Base Salary, Variable Compensation (VC), and Long-Term Incentive Compensation (LTI). The following pages, the Fifth Third Bancorp 2021 Incentive Compensation Plan ("Plan") and the applicable award agreements provide key details of the LTI program for awards granted in 2023. Please review this information carefully to understand how this element of your compensation will be awarded and delivered.

Compensation Philosophy at Fifth Third Bank

Fifth Third Bank pays for performance, both on an individual and a group basis (i.e. division or region). We structure our market-based compensation programs to target pay at the median of our peers for median performance and to provide upside and downside performance above and below median. We expect that our highest performers will receive a significantly larger share of cash incentive and long-term incentive awards with the lowest performers receiving little to no awards.

Awards to be granted to eligible employees in February of 2023 will be delivered as follows:

Band	Performance Shares	Restricted Stock Units	Stock Appreciation Rights
Bands A-B & Other Category 1 Covered Executives	50%	35%	15%

2023 Performance Share Awards

Performance Shares – An Overview:

A Performance Share is a long-term incentive compensation vehicle granted pursuant to the Plan that gives participants the opportunity to receive a value subject to achievement of specific performance goals tied to the grant. The grant remains subject to forfeiture over a multi-year performance period with shares earned based on the achievement of the pre-determined performance metrics and goals set forth below.

The Performance Period for Performance Shares is three years. For grants made in February 2023 the performance period will run from Jan. 1, 2023 through Dec. 31, 2025, with payout, if any, occurring in February 2026 (as also outlined in the Award Agreement).

Performance Definition and Goals:

For Performance Shares, there are four performance criteria that are measured and assessed before any shares are earned: a core performance metric of Return on Average Common Equity (ROACE), two threshold goals of Efficiency Ratio and Return on Tangible Common Equity (ROTCE) and the Individual Risk Performance Evaluation. ROACE and the Efficiency Ratio are used to determine payout levels. The ROTCE and Risk Performance Evaluation are used to determine whether portions of grants should be forfeited. Each metric and how it is measured is described below:

Return on Average Common Equity (ROACE)

The core performance metric for Performance Shares is Return on Average Common Equity (ROACE). Fifth Third Bancorp's ROACE is measured against the Bank's revised peer group as follows:

- Citizen's Financial Group, Inc.
- Comerica Incorporated
- First Horizon Corporation*
- U.S. Bancorp
- First Citizens Bancshares, Inc.
- Huntington Bancshares Incorporated
- KeyCorp
- M&T Bank Corporation
- PNC Financial Services Group, Inc.
- Regions Financial Corporation
- Truist Financial Corporation
- Zions Bancorporation

*At the time of the 2023 performance share grant, should the acquisition still be pending inclusion as a peer for purposes of this plan will be evaluated.

ROACE Calculation: the number of performance shares earned is dependent upon the ROACE achieved by Fifth Third Bancorp during the Performance Period commencing Jan. 1, 2023 and ending Dec. 31, 2025 relative to the Peer Group set forth above. For this purpose, ROACE is calculated as cumulative adjusted net income available to common shareholders divided by average adjusted Bancorp common shareholders' equity during the Performance Period. Adjusted net income available to common shareholders shall be determined based upon the financial results for each of the three fiscal years during the Performance Period, adjusted for the following items:

- Changes in tax laws, generally accepted accounting principles, or other laws or provisions affecting reported results
- Significant legal and regulatory settlements
- Asset write-downs, write-offs, dispositions, or sales resulting from a change in business strategy
- Mark-to-Market impacts on the Visa swap and gains associated with the redemption or sale of Visa shares
- Merger-related, restructuring, early debt extinguishment, and other-than-temporary impairment charges
- Gains or losses on securities

To the extent possible, the Human Capital and Compensation Committee (“the Committee”) also makes similar adjustments to the reported performances of peer group members.

Changes in the peer group resulting from M&A activity (e.g., a merger of peers, or a peer participating in an M&A transaction whereby they are not the surviving entity) will have an impact on the performance calculation. If such a change occurs, the Committee will review the situation, including time elapsed in each performance period to determine if the competitor should remain in the performance evaluation or be removed.

For performance periods where the peer or peers remain in the performance group, we will recognize the final reported financial performance of impacted peer(s) prior to the close of the M&A transaction, with any adjustments as noted above. The impacted peer(s) ROACE, will then be adjusted by the average change in overall remaining peer group’s performance throughout the Performance Period.

Average adjusted Bancorp common shareholders’ equity shall be determined based upon reported financial results for each of the three fiscal years during the Performance Period, adjusted to exclude accumulated other comprehensive income.

At the end of the three-year Performance Period, the percentile rank for ROACE will be determined based on cumulative adjusted results for Fifth Third Bancorp and the peer institutions above. The performance level payout will be determined according to the payout grid below.

Prior to payment, the Committee will certify the results achieved and will retain the ability to reduce the payout percentage at its discretion.

Efficiency Ratio

Efficiency Ratio is cumulative adjusted non-interest expense for the Performance Period divided by the cumulative adjusted revenue for such period based on reported financial results. The revenue adjustments exclude the same items as ROACE over the Performance Period. The Efficiency Ratio Performance Goal acts as a threshold goal and is applied following the end of the Performance Period.

The Efficiency Ratio Performance Goal works such that regardless of the percentage payout determined by the ROACE calculation, in order for the payout percentage to be above 100%, the average annual Efficiency Ratio during the Performance Period must be less than 65%. If the Committee certifies that average Efficiency Ratio during the Performance Period is higher than 65%, the maximum payout percentage for performance shares will be 100%.

For example: If Fifth Third Bancorp’s three-year cumulative ROACE performance places Fifth Third in 3rd ranking among peer banks and the Efficiency Ratio is less than 65%, the 2023 performance share award will payout at 150%. In this example, if Efficiency Ratio was higher than 65%, the payout would be capped at 100%.

Payout Grid

In 2022, the payout calculation was changed from a tiered approach in ranking vs. peers for payout to an interpolated calculation approach in ranking vs. peers

Rank	Payout Percentage	Rank	Ticker	ROACE	Payout
1	150%	1	Peer 1	14.06%	150%
2	150%	2	Peer 2	13.99%	150%
3	150%	3	Peer 3	13.96%	150%
4	Interpolated relative to top quartile performance	4	Peer 4	13.40%	124%
5		5	Peer 5	13.19%	114%
6	100%	6	Peer 6	12.88%	100%
7	100%	7	Peer 7	12.81%	100%
8	Interpolated relative to bottom quartile performance	8	Peer 8	12.42%	92%
9		9	Peer 9	10.53%	56%
10	0%	10	Peer 10	10.22%	0%
11	0%	11	Peer 11	9.74%	0%
12	0%	12	Peer 12	9.70%	0%

Payout Example

Assumptions	Example 1	Example 2
ROACE Percentile Rank	3rd	3rd
Efficiency Ratio	62%	67%
Payout	150%	100%

Performance Shares Earned

Following the end of the Performance Period, the Committee shall determine the level of ROACE, ROTCE and Efficiency Ratio achieved during the Performance Period and will certify results as such. The actual number of Performance Shares earned, if any, will be determined by multiplying the participant's number of granted Performance Shares by the percentage payout result according to the ROACE payout grid reduced as appropriate by the Efficiency Ratio.

The number of performance shares that will be earned are subject to additional performance- based vesting provisions discussed in the "Additional Information for all Types of LTI" section. It is possible earned shares can be further reduced for failure to meet these additional provisions.

Except as otherwise provided herein, participants must be employed by Fifth Third on the distribution date in order to earn any Performance Shares.

Distribution

Participants shall receive a number of shares of Fifth Third Bancorp stock equal to the number of Performance Shares earned within 70 days following the end of the Performance Period (or, if later, the date on the which it has been determined the extent to which the Performance Goals have been met). It is expected that the Committee will certify performance for Performance Shares in February 2026. The distribution of stock shall be net of any applicable taxes that Fifth Third is required to withhold. The Plan Administrator shall reduce an appropriate portion of the Fifth Third stock otherwise distributable to a participant to satisfy the withholding liability.

Please note that at this time the IRS allows employers to withhold only a statutory minimum amount of taxes. Tax withholding rates cannot be increased.

Dividend Equivalents

The 2023 performance share grant will earn dividend equivalent payments on performance shares each time a dividend is declared (typically quarterly). The amount of the dividend equivalents earned will be determined by multiplying a participant's number of unvested Performance Shares by the stated dividend amount. Dividend equivalents will be accrued in cash and will be paid out when the underlying Performance Shares are earned and distributed.

Until distribution, any calculated dividends will be attached to the underlying Performance Share grant and viewable on the Fidelity website. When the shares are earned and approved for distribution, all accrued cash dividends attached to the shares will be adjusted according to the percent payout achieved and then will be paid in cash, net of any applicable taxes, through Fifth Third Payroll.

Impact of Termination

Except as otherwise provided below or in the Award Agreement, if the employment or service of a participant terminates for any reason other than death, Disability, or Retirement, as defined in "Additional Information for All Types of LTI", after the Performance Period but prior to distribution date, all Performance Shares shall be forfeited and no payment shall be made with respect thereto. Participants who terminate employment during the Performance Period due to death or Disability as defined in "Additional Information for All Types of LTI" shall earn Performance Shares determined by: multiplying the participant's number of Performance Shares granted by the appropriate percentage payout set forth in the Performance Level grid, calculated using the most recently reported financial results (reduced as needed by the Efficiency Ratio threshold and any portion forfeited due to failure to meet ROTCE and Risk Performance Evaluation Goals).

Participants who Retire, as defined in the "Additional Information for All Types of LTI" section below, or whose employment is terminating involuntarily without Cause or voluntarily for Good Reason, shall continue to be eligible to receive Performance Shares as set forth in Performance Shares Earned section above as if the participant remained employed through the distribution date; provided however, that following leaving the bank, participant's Performance Shares shall not be subject to forfeiture based upon a Risk Performance Evaluation rating for any full calendar year in which participant did not work through Dec. 31.

2023 Restricted Stock Units

Restricted Stock Units – An Overview

A Restricted Stock Unit (RSU) granted pursuant to the Plan is a long-term incentive vehicle that gives a participant a conditional right to Fifth Third Bancorp common stock following a multi-year vesting period. The units are considered “restricted” or “conditional” until they vest.

Restricted Stock Unit Vesting (also referred to as “Distribution”)

On the anniversary of the grant date over a three-year vesting period, one-third of the Restricted Stock Unit grant will vest. On the vesting date (or, “distribution date”), one-third of the granted units convert to Fifth Third Bancorp common stock and shares are issued and registered in each participant’s name by the Bancorp. These shares are delivered to the participant’s Fidelity Brokerage Account net of any applicable taxes that Fifth Third is required to withhold. The Plan Administrator shall reduce an appropriate portion of the Fifth Third stock otherwise distributable to satisfy the withholding liability unless an election is made on Fidelity’s website to pay the tax obligations with cash available in the participant’s Fidelity brokerage account. If the cash election is chosen, there must be enough cash in the brokerage account to cover the entire tax obligation owed one full week before the vest date. Please note that at this time the IRS allows employers to withhold only statutory minimum amount of taxes. Tax withholding rates cannot be increased.

The number of RSUs that vest each year are subject to additional performance-based vesting provisions discussed in the “Additional Information for All Types of LTI” section.

Dividend Equivalents

Dividend equivalents will be earned each time a dividend is declared (typically quarterly). The amount of the dividend equivalents earned will be determined by multiplying a participant’s number of unvested RSUs by the stated dividend amount. The 2023 RSU grant dividend equivalents will be accrued in cash and will be paid out when the underlying RSUs are earned and distributed.

Until distribution, any calculated dividends will be attached to the underlying RSUs and viewable on the Fidelity website. When the shares are earned and approved for distribution, all accrued cash dividends attached to the shares will be paid in cash, net of any applicable taxes, through Fifth Third Payroll.

Impact of Termination

Except as otherwise provided below or in the Award Agreement, if the employment or service of a participant terminates for any reason other than death, Disability, or Retirement, as defined in “Additional Information for All Types of LTI”, all unvested Restricted Stock Units shall be forfeited and no distribution shall be made with respect thereto.

Participants who terminate employment due to Death or Disability as defined in “Additional Information for All Types of LTI”, shall immediately vest in all unvested Restricted Stock Units upon death or disability. Distribution of the shares of Fifth Third Common Stock will be made following such date.

Participants who Retire or whose employment is terminated without Cause or voluntarily for Good Reason as defined in “Additional Information for All Types of LTI”, shall continue to vest in Restricted Stock Units and distribution of shares of Fifth Third common stock shall be made on the applicable annual vesting dates.

2023 Stock Appreciation Rights

Stock Appreciation Rights – An Overview

A Stock Appreciation Right Award (SAR) is a long-term incentive vehicle granted pursuant to the Plan that gives a Participant a conditional right to receive Fifth Third common stock of a value equal to any appreciation in the value of Fifth Third common stock between the Grant Date of the award and the date the Stock Appreciation Right is exercised following vesting.

Stock Appreciation Rights Vesting

Stock Appreciation Rights will vest in equal installments over the multi-year period set forth in the Award Agreement. Stock Appreciation Rights granted in February 2023 will vest in one-third increments over three years.

The number of SARs that vest each year are subject to additional performance-based vesting provisions discussed in the “Additional Information for All Types of LTI” section.

Exercise of Stock Appreciation Rights

Participants holding vested Stock Appreciation Rights may initiate an exercise at netbenefits.fidelity.com indicating the number of Stock Appreciation Rights they would like to exercise. At exercise, stock is received at a value equal to the appreciation of the stock from the grant date to the date the rights are exercised. Stock Appreciation Rights are payable and settled in stock net of any applicable taxes at the time of exercise.

Stock Appreciation Rights: Sample Exercise

The example at right shows the potential value of your SARs assuming:

- You are granted 1,000 SARs in February 2023.
- The grant price is \$30 (fair market value on the date of the grant).
- You are 100% vested in 2026 (1/3 every year).
- You exercise 1,000 SARs when the stock is valued at \$40 per share.

Assumptions:

Calculation:

SARs granted	1,000	Market Value per share at \$40 exercise	
Grant price	\$30	Grant Price	\$30
Exercise Date	5/3/2027	Increase in value per share	\$10
Market value per share at \$40 exercise		Total gain (\$10 * 1,000)	\$10,000
SARs Exercised	1,000	Taxes Withheld (35%)	\$3,500
Tax Rate	35%	Gain net of taxes	\$6,500
		Number of shares to 162* employee (\$6,500 / \$40)	

*In the event of fractional shares, the participant will receive cash equivalent to the fractional share value deposited into his/her Fidelity account. The above is for illustration purposes only and not a guarantee of future stock price appreciation

Grant Expiration Date

Each unexercised Stock Appreciation Right shall expire upon the 10th anniversary of its Grant Date set forth in the Award Agreement.

If an expiration date falls on a day where the NASDAQ market is not in session (i.e. over a weekend) the grant will expire at market close on the LAST trading day before the expiration date. Example: the ten-year anniversary date (expiration date) falls on a Saturday; the last day to exercise the SAR would be before market close on the last trading day before the expiration date, Friday.

NOTE: For any SAR that is at least \$0.01 “in-the-money” at 4pm EST on the expiration date (i.e. FITB stock price is higher than the exercise price of the grant), Fidelity will initiate an automatic exercise of all shares set to expire. This “auto-exercise” feature ensures that any benefit attached to an award at expiration is realized and not lost.

Impact of Termination

Except as otherwise provided herein or in the Award Agreement, if the employment or service of a participant terminates for any reason, a participant shall have 90 days from the separation date to exercise any vested or “exercisable” Stock Appreciation Rights held as of the separation date.

Except as otherwise provided below or in the Award Agreement, if the employment or service of a participant terminates for any reason other than death, Disability, or Retirement, as defined in “Additional Information for All Types of LTI”, all unvested Stock Appreciation Rights shall be forfeited and no payment shall be made with respect thereto.

Participants who terminate employment due to Death or Disability as defined in “Additional Information for All Types of LTI”, may immediately exercise all Stock Appreciation Rights granted to participant (whether or not vested and exercisable as of the date of death or disability) on or before the expiration date set forth in the Award Agreement.

Participants who Retire or whose employment is terminated without Cause or voluntarily for Good Reason as defined in “Additional Information for All Types of LTI”, shall continue to vest in Stock Appreciation Rights on the applicable vesting dates. Such awards shall be exercisable following the applicable vesting dates until the expiration dates.

How many SARs will I receive?

Each SAR is assigned an economic value based on the stock price at the time of grant, as well as other factors including the term of the SAR, shares available for awards and the volatility of Fifth Third stock. For example, if the economic value assigned to each SAR was \$11.33, an individual receiving a long-term incentive award of \$100,000 would receive 15 percent of that award (\$15,000) in SARs. The number of SARs representing that \$15,000 of value was calculated in this way: \$15,000 divided by \$11.33 equals 1,324 SARs.

An Overview of Performance Shares, Restricted Stock Units, and Stock Appreciation Rights

The following is an overview of the key characteristics of each

Feature	Performance Shares	Restricted Stock Units	Stock Appreciation Rights
Definition	A performance share is a long-term incentive compensation vehicle that vests over a multi-year period, and derives value based on an achievement of predetermined long-term performance objectives.	Restricted stock units (RSUs) are equivalent to shares of common stock that cannot be sold until the vesting restrictions lapse.	A stock appreciation right (SAR) is not an actual share of stock but rather the right to receive stock at a value equal to the appreciation of the stock from the grant date to the date the SAR is exercised.
Value	The value of the performance shares will be based on the achievement of the performance goals.	The value of the unit equals the stock market's price.	When you exercised your SARs, you will receive shares equal to the difference between the value at grant and the then current fair market value.
Vesting	Vesting of performance shares is three years. The performance period is Jan. 1, 2023 – Dec. 31, 2026	Vesting of your RSUs may vary by grant. For this annual grant, restricted stock will vest 1/3 per year over three years on the anniversary date of the grant.	Vesting of your SARs may vary by grant. For this annual grant, SARs will vest 1/3 per year over three years on the anniversary date of the grant.
Grant Price	Not applicable	Not applicable	The closing price of the stock on the date of grant.
Grant Term	Not applicable	Not applicable	10 years from the date of the grant.
Dividends	You are eligible to earn dividend equivalents on your unvested performance shares.	You are eligible to earn dividend equivalents on your unvested RSUs.	You are not eligible to earn dividends or dividend equivalents on unexercised SARs.
Voting Rights	You do not have voting rights on your performance shares.	You do not have voting rights on your unvested RSUs.	You do not have voting rights on your SARs.
Taxation	You are subject to tax on the market value of the award at the end of the performance period. Accumulated dividend equivalents will be subject to ordinary income tax. Taxes are reflected on your pay statements and W-2.	You are subject to tax on the market value of the award at the vesting date. Accumulated dividend equivalents will be subject to ordinary income tax. Taxes are reflected on your pay statements and W-2.	You are subject to tax on the increase in value between the grant date and the date on which you exercise your SARs. Taxes are reflected on your pay statements and W-2.
Transactions*	Upon vesting, you can: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Hold the shares. •Sell the shares. •Transfer the shares. 	Upon vesting, you can: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Hold the shares. •Sell the shares. •Transfer the shares. 	Upon vesting, you can. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Exercise the SARs prior to expiration. •Hold, sell, or transfer any shares that are paid to you as stock.

*subject to insider trading restrictions, market conditions, and the stock ownership policy

Additional Information for All Types of LTI

Grant Notification and Accepting your Award

Managers will communicate an award amount. Awards will be housed at Fidelity Investments. Once an LTI award is viewable on the Fidelity website, participants will receive an internal email communication containing a link to accept the award. This email will contain instructions for navigating the Fidelity website; www.netbenefits.fidelity.com. Awards must be accepted by following the instructions contained within that email within six weeks of the email date.

Performance-based Vesting Applicable to RSUs and SARs

Adjusted Return on Tangible Common Equity (ROTCE)

ROTCE means the adjusted return on tangible common equity of Fifth Third Bancorp. Returns are calculated as cumulative adjusted net income available to common shareholders for the three fiscal years during the Performance Period divided by average tangible common equity (TCE). TCE is calculated as the weighted average sum of reported average Bancorp shareholder's equity less average preferred stock, goodwill, and intangible assets, other servicing rights (excluding mortgage servicing rights) and accumulated other comprehensive income for each of the three fiscal years during the Performance Period.

Adjusted net income available to common shareholders shall be determined based upon reported financial results for each of the three fiscal years during the Performance Period, adjusted for the following items:

- changes in tax laws, generally accepted accounting principles, or other laws or provisions affecting reported results
- significant legal and regulatory settlements
- asset write-downs, write-offs, dispositions, or sales resulting from a change in business strategy
- mark-to-market impacts on the Visa swap and gains associated with the redemption or sale of Visa shares
- merger-related, restructuring, early debt extinguishment, and other-than-temporary impairment charges
- gains or losses on securities

To the extent possible, the Committee also makes similar adjustments to the reported performance of peer group members.

ROTCE (determined in the same manner for all award types) for Fifth Third Bancorp for the fiscal year ending immediately prior to the anniversary date of the grant must meet or exceed 2 percent. If the ROTCE threshold is not met in any one of the three years during the vesting period (2023, 2024, 2025), one-third of the Performance Share grant will be forfeited and one-third of the RSU and the SAR grants may be forfeited at the Committee discretion. In addition, the Committee has discretion to forfeit up to 100 percent of all unvested grants of any type.

Individual Annual Risk Performance Evaluation

The vesting of LTI is also subject to an individual risk management performance vesting condition. A participant's individual Annual Risk Performance Evaluation is completed by the chief risk officer of Fifth Third Bancorp. For any fiscal year ending during the vesting period for which a Participant receives a rating less than "Achieves" on the annual Risk Performance Evaluation, the Committee has the discretion on an individual case-by-case basis to forfeit up to 100 percent of the Performance Shares, and unvested RSUs and SARs. In making its decision, the Committee will take into consideration the magnitude of the event and the accountability level of the participant.

Designation of a Beneficiary

Beneficiaries must be designated at Fidelity which allows a person or persons to receive any rights to which you would be entitled under the Long-Term Incentive Plan and all of the proceeds of your Fidelity brokerage account, including vested Fifth Third shares, in the event of your death.

Our ability to release awards timely to your beneficiary depends on various state laws and regulations. To assist in this process, it is imperative to designate a beneficiary on your Fidelity profile. If a participant does not establish a beneficiary, the estate shall be deemed to be the beneficiary. The process to release awards to the estate is dependent on when the estate provides adequate evidence, as applicable, to take control of the Fidelity account.

To designate a beneficiary at Fidelity, log onto your account at [Fidelity.com](https://www.fidelity.com) > Customer Service > Update Your Profile > Beneficiaries. Then, complete the steps that follow.

Non-Transferability

LTI awards may not be assigned, transferred or pledged in any manner, and may be exercised only by a Participant during his or her lifetime. In the event of a participant's death, the beneficiary (or if none, the estate) shall have the right to exercise any stock appreciation rights or sell any restricted stock held by the participant at death in accordance with Plan terms.

Retirement

Retirement means termination of employment as a Fifth Third employee by a participant who is at least 55 years of age, who also has completed five or more years of consecutive service, and for whom the combination of age and years of service is greater than or equal to 65. For purposes of retirement eligibility calculation, no partial years are considered. In addition, a break in service of any length will reset your service years.

NOTE: For the purposes of Stock Appreciation Rights; anyone meeting age 50 with five or more years of consecutive service, and for whom the combination of age and years of service is greater than or equal to 60, will be able to retain their VESTED stock appreciation rights for the full remaining term of the grant.

Impact of Awards on Other Terms and Conditions of Employment

The granting of an award is at the sole discretion of Fifth Third. Fifth Third is not obligated to make any award or permit any award to be made in the future. Nothing in these awards constitutes an obligation or guarantee with respect to the value of any award.

By accepting a grant agreement, you will be accepting and entering into the Confidential Information and Non-Solicitation Agreement attached to your grant agreement. Please be sure to read and understand this agreement prior to accepting your award.

Finding the Plans

A general description of the tax effect of this award is included in the prospectus for Fifth Third's equity compensation plans. You can locate the 2021 Incentive Compensation Plan and the 2021 Incentive Compensation Plan Prospectus by logging on to your Fidelity account at www.netbenefits.fidelity.com.

Defined Terms

Whenever used in the LTI Plan Overview, the following capitalized terms shall have the meanings set forth below

"Cause" means any of the following as determined by the Company:

- The Participant has committed a felony or an intentional act of gross misconduct, moral turpitude, fraud, embezzlement or theft;
- The Company or any subsidiary has been ordered or directed by any federal or state regulatory agency with jurisdiction to terminate or suspend the Participant's employment, and such order or directive has not been vacated or reversed upon appeal; or
- After being notified in writing by the Company to cease any particular Competitive Activity (as defined in the CIC Severance Plan), the Participant continues such Competitive Activity and the Company has determined that such act is materially harmful to the Company and/or any affiliate.

"Detrimental Activity" means any of the following as determined by the Company:

- Any activity that, in the Company's judgment and sole discretion, causes, or could reasonably be expected to cause, harm to the Company's performance or reputation. Examples of conduct that could rise to the level of "Detrimental Activity" include but are not limited to significant violation of Company policy and significant poor performance that continues after warning of such performance.

"Good Reason" means the occurrence of any one or more of the following without the Participant's written consent:

- a material diminution in the Participant's authority, duties or responsibilities (other than those which occur in connection with the Company's succession planning, as determined by the Company in its sole discretion), provided that in no event shall the fact of a Participant ceasing to serve on the Enterprise Committee in and of itself constitute Good Reason;
- a material diminution by the Company in the Participant's base compensation;
- a material (at least 50 miles) change in the geographic location in which the executive must perform services; or
- any other action or inaction that constitutes a material breach by the Company of the Plan.

"Disability" means totally and permanently disabled as from time to time defined under the Long-Term Disability Plan of the Company or a Subsidiary applicable to Employee, or in the case where there is no applicable plan, permanent and total disability as defined in Section 22(e)(3) of the Code (or any successor Section); provided, however, that to the extent an amount payable under this Plan which constitutes deferred compensation subject to Section 409A the Code would become payable upon Disability. "Disability" for purposes of such payment shall not be deemed to have occurred unless the disability also satisfies the requirements of Treasury Regulation Section 1.409A-3.

“Retire” or “Retirement” means separation from service as an Employee, Director or Consultant for any reason (other than Death, Disability, Involuntary Without Cause, or under circumstances determined by the Company or a Subsidiary to constitute cause) on or after attaining the age and/or a combination of age and years of service with the Company and/or Subsidiary, if any, provided by the Committee in the applicable Award Agreement or any amendment or modification thereof as constituting “Retirement” for purposes of such Award. Additional information on age requirements is described in the Retirement section above.

Stock Ownership Guidelines

Stock ownership guidelines for executives were updated in 2021.

Executive Level	Multiple of Base Salary
CEO (Pay Band A)	6x
Enterprise Executives (Pay Band B)	3x
Other Section 16 Officers (Pay Band C)	2x

Executives designated as Section 16 officers are required to retain 75% of net, after tax shares received from stock appreciation right exercises and restricted stock unit and performance share award vestings until the minimum ownership guidelines are met. Once these guidelines are met, executives must retain 25% of net, after tax shares until two times their guidelines are met.

Please note that all shares obtained from awards made under any one of Fifth Third's Incentive Compensation Plans apply to this requirement, regardless of when an individual became an executive or Section 16 officer.

Ownership will include shares owned individually and by immediate family members, restricted stock not yet vested, and shares purchased through the employee stock purchase plan.

Executives have up to five years to achieve the share ownership requirements highlighted above.

Section 16 executive officers are prohibited from engaging in speculative trading or hedging strategies with respect to Fifth Third Bancorp securities. Any hedged shares for non-Section 16 Officers are excluded from the calculation of ownership levels when analyzing progress towards meeting the stock ownership guidelines.

Note: All executive compensation plans, including Long-Term Incentive Compensation Plans, are automatically amended as necessary to comply with requirement and/or limitations under Company policy, any laws, rules, regulations, or regulatory agreements up to and including revocation of the award.

The shareholder-approved 2021 Incentive Compensation Plan governs all awards. This material is an overview for reference.



Performance Share Award Agreement

Participant Name

It is my pleasure to inform you that you are hereby granted a Performance Share Award (“Award”), subject to the terms and conditions contained in this Award Agreement, the applicable Long-Term Incentive Compensation Program Overview (“Overview”) and the terms of the Fifth Third Bancorp 2021 Incentive Compensation Plan (the “Plan”) (collectively, the Award Agreement, Overview, and Plan shall be referred to herein as the “Award Terms”).

Grant Date of Performance Share Award
Performance Period

Performance Shares Granted
Performance Goals

Grant Date

The three-year period beginning January 1st of the year of grant and ending December 31st three years later

Quantity Granted

Return on Average Common Equity (ROACE) Relative to Peer Group, Adjusted Return on Tangible Common Equity (ROTCE), Efficiency Ratio, and Risk Performance Evaluation Rating of “Achieves” or above

These Performance Shares will vest on the third anniversary of the Grant Date subject to achievement of Performance Goals. The number of vested Performance Shares earned as part of this Award, if any, and the value of such Award will be determined following the end of the 3-year Performance Period based upon Performance Goals achieved. Details regarding the Performance Goals and their impact on the number of Performance Shares earned and forfeiture of Performance Shares are contained in the Overview.

Separation from employment impacts the vesting and earning of this Award. For details on the impact of employment separations, including the definition of Retirement applicable to this Award, please review the Award Terms.

Any bonus, commission, compensation, or award granted to you under the Plan is subject to recovery, or “clawback” by the Company in such amount and with respect to such time period as the Committee shall determine to be required by policy, applicable law, rules, or regulations if the payments were based on materially inaccurate financial statements or any other materially inaccurate performance metric criteria, or as otherwise required by law. In addition, all executive compensation plans and awards are automatically amended as necessary to comply with the requirements and/or limitations under any other laws, rules, regulations, or regulatory agreements up to and including a revocation of this Award.

Acceptance of this Award confirms your agreement to the Award Terms (copies of which were delivered with this Award Agreement) including the Confidential Information and Non-Solicitation Agreement located on the following pages. In the event of any conflict between the terms of this Award Agreement and the Plan, the terms of the Plan shall control. In addition, you confirm that you have received, or have access to, the 2021 Incentive Compensation Plan Prospectus.

This Award will expire by its own terms unless accepted within 60 days.

For Fifth Third Bancorp:



Grant Date

Tim Spence
President and Chief Executive Officer

Acceptance Date
Signature

Document constitutes part of a prospectus covering securities that have been registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION AND NON-SOLICITATION AGREEMENT

This Confidential Information and Non-Solicitation Agreement ("Agreement") is made by and between Fifth Third Bancorp (which includes its subsidiaries and/or affiliated entities, hereinafter collectively referred to as "the Company") and the undersigned Employee.

RECITALS

- A. The Company is a diversified financial services company that operates four main businesses - Commercial Banking, Branch Banking, Consumer Lending, and Wealth and Asset Management;
- B. The Company has informed Employee herein that the execution of this Agreement, being in the best interests of the Company, is a condition of employment of the Employee or, in the case of an existing employee, to the continued employment of the Employee by the Company; and
- C. The Company has informed Employee herein that the execution of this Agreement is a condition of the receipt of any Long-Term Incentive Award issued under the Fifth Third Bancorp 2021 Incentive Compensation Plan.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the Recitals and the mutual covenants contained herein, it is mutually agreed as follows:

AGREEMENT

SECTION 1. COVENANT NOT TO USE CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

- A. As a necessary function of Employee's employment with the Company, Employee will have access to, use, receive, and otherwise acquire various kinds of customer, business, and technical information relating to the Company's business that is of a confidential nature to the Company, whether or not such information is specifically labeled as "confidential". Employee agrees that such confidential information includes, for example, the following:

Current, prospective and former customer names and information, including but not limited to contact, financial and account information; product information; compensation plans and arrangements, including incentive compensation plans; performance specifications; pricing, profit margin, and other financial information; product specifications; vendor information; Company training, reference and/or educational materials; Company forecasts/plans/pipelines; objectives and strategies; quality control and/or compliance standards; business referrals, suppliers, and customer lists; unpublished works of any nature whether or not copyrightable; business plans; Company research and/or development materials relating to the Company's business; information contained in pending patent applications; inventions, technical improvements, and ideas; and all other information and knowledge in whatever form used or useful in management, marketing, purchasing, finance, or operations of the Company's business and any compilation of such information and all other similar information used by the Company that is not available to those outside of the Company (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Confidential Information")

- B. Employee also understands that he or she will occupy a position of confidence and trust with respect to the Company's Confidential Information during his or her employment. Employee acknowledges and agrees that such Confidential Information is not generally known outside of the Company, that the Company has taken measures to guard the secrecy of its Confidential Information, that such information is extremely valuable and an essential asset of the Company's business, and that such information, if disclosed without authorization to a third party or used by Employee for purposes other than conducting the Company business would cause irreparable harm to the Company and/or its customers.
- C. Employee further agrees that, during Employee's employment with the Company and following his or her termination for whatever reason, Employee will not disclose or use, directly or indirectly, or authorize or permit anyone under his or her direction to disclose to anyone, any Confidential Information of the Company that he or she obtains during the course of his or her employment relating to or otherwise concerning the business of the Company, whether or not acquired, originated, or developed in whole or in part by Employee.
- D. The obligations set forth herein shall not apply to any trade secrets or Confidential Information that has become generally known to competitors of the Company through no act or omission of Employee, nor shall the obligations set forth herein apply to disclosures made pursuant to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. However, Employee agrees that after termination of employment he or she will not compile pieces of information from several sources and assemble them together in any manner in an attempt to circumvent a violation of his or her confidentiality obligations to the Company or attempt to demonstrate thereby that any of the Confidential Information is in the public domain.
- E. Under the federal Defend Trade Secrets Act of 2016, Employee shall not be held criminally or civilly liable under any federal or state trade secret law for the disclosure of a trade secret that: (a) is made (i) in confidence to a federal, state, or local government official, either directly or indirectly, or to an attorney; and (ii) solely for the purpose of reporting or investigating a suspected violation of law; or (b) is made to Employee's attorney in relation to a lawsuit for retaliation against Employee for reporting a suspected violation of law; or (c) is made in a complaint or other document filed in a lawsuit or other proceeding, if such filing is made under seal.
- F. Employee understands that nothing contained in this Plan limits Employee's ability to file a charge or complaint with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, the National Labor Relations Board, the Occupational Safety and Health Administration, the Securities and Exchange Commission or any other federal, state or local governmental agency or commission ("Government Agencies"). Employee further understands that this Plan does not limit Employee's ability to communicate with any Government Agencies or otherwise participate in any investigation or proceeding that may be conducted by any Government Agency, including providing documents or other information, without notice to the Company.

SECTION II. COVENANT PROHIBITING COMPETITION AND SOLICITATION OF CUSTOMERS

Confidential Information of the Company gained by Employee during employment is developed by the Company through substantial expenditures of time, effort, and financial resources, and constitutes valuable and unique property of the Company. Employee acknowledges, understands, and agrees that the foregoing makes it necessary for the protection of the Company's business that Employee does not divert business of the Company's

customers from the Company and that he or she maintain the confidentiality and integrity of Confidential Information. Therefore, Employee agrees that during his or her employment and for a period of one (1) year thereafter he or she will not:

- A. Enter into an ownership, consulting or employment arrangement with, or render services for, any individual or entity rendering services or handling products competitive with the Company in any geographic region or territory in which Employee worked or for which I had responsibility during the twenty-four (24) month period preceding Employee's departure from the Company;
- B. Directly or indirectly solicit, divert, entice or take away any customers, business or prospective business with whom he or she had contact, involvement or responsibility during his or her employment with the Company, or attempt to do so for the sale of any product or service that competes with a product or service offered by the Company;
- C. Directly or indirectly solicit, divert, entice or take away any potential customer identified, selected or targeted by the Company with whom he or she had contact, involvement or responsibility during his or her employment with the Company, or attempt to do so for the sale of any product or service that competes with a product or service offered by the Company; or
- D. Accept or provide assistance in the accepting of (including, but not limited to, providing any service, information or assistance or other facilitation or other involvement) business or orders from customers or any potential customers of the Company with whom he or she has had contact, involvement, or responsibility on behalf of any third party or otherwise for his or her own benefit.

Nothing contained in this Section shall preclude Employee from accepting employment with or creating his or her own company, firm, or business that competes with the Company so long as his or her activities do not violate any of the terms of this Agreement.

SECTION III. COVENANT NOT TO SOLICIT EMPLOYEES

Employee agrees that during his or her employment with the Company and for a period of one (1) year thereafter, he or she will not directly or indirectly, recruit, hire or attempt to neither recruit or hire, directly or by assisting others, any other employee of Fifth Third, nor encourage any such employee to leave the employment of Fifth Third. Among other things, this paragraph means that Participant agrees not to engage in discussions with any officer, manager, employee, or independent contractor of Fifth Third in an attempt to induce or encourage the individual to end his or her relationship with Fifth Third, not to share any Fifth Third officer, manager, employee, or independent contractor's name or contact information with any other person or entity so that the person or entity can speak to Fifth Third's officer, manager, employee, or independent contractor about potentially leaving Fifth Third, and not to participate in any interviewing or hiring of a Fifth Third officer, manager, employee, or independent contractor.

SECTION IV. EMPLOYEE WARRANTIES

Employee represents and warrants that his or her employment with the Company and the performance of this Agreement will not violate any express or implied obligation to any former employer or other party. Employee further represents that he or she has not brought with him or her and will not use or disclose during his or her employment with the Company any information, documents, or materials subject to any legally enforceable restrictions or obligations as to confidentiality or secrecy. Furthermore, Employee shall not make any agreements with or commitments to any person, firm, or corporation that would prevent, restrict, or hinder the performance of Employee's duties and obligations under this Agreement. In addition, Employee agrees that he or she shall share a copy of this Agreement with any subsequent employer in order to ensure that there is no violation hereof, and Employee consents to the Company sharing a copy of this Agreement with any such employer.

SECTION V. OTHER PROVISIONS

- A. *Restrictive Covenant Breach*: If you breach any of the terms of the restrictive covenants in Sections I., II., or III. of this Grant Agreement, (1) the terms of those Sections will be extended by the period of the breach, and (2) any and all Restricted Stock Units granted under this Agreement that are not yet vested shall be immediately and irrevocably forfeited. This paragraph does not constitute Fifth Third's exclusive remedy for any violation of your restrictive covenant obligations, and Fifth Third may seek additional legal or equitable remedies, including injunctive relief, for any such violation or breach.
- B. *Governing Law*: This Agreement and all the rights, duties and remedies of the parties hereunder shall be governed by the laws of the state of Ohio. The Company shall have the right to specifically enforce the covenants contained in this Agreement, in addition to any other legal, equitable (including specifically, but not limited to temporary restraining orders or preliminary or permanent injunctive relief) or other remedies as may be available to the Company for Employee's breach of any such covenants.
- C. *Severability*: If any provision of this Agreement is declared invalid or unenforceable, such provision shall be deemed modified to the extent necessary and possible to render it valid and enforceable.
- D. *Waiver/Modification*: No waiver or modification of this Agreement will be valid unless in writing and duly executed by the party against whom enforcement is sought. Failure of the Company to enforce any provision of this Agreement shall not be construed as a waiver of such provision or of the right of the Company thereafter to enforce each and every provision.
- E. *At-Will Nature of Employment*: Employee understands that nothing in this Agreement requires him or her to continue employment with the Company for any particular length of time or requires that the Company continue to employ Employee for any particular length of time.
- F. *Successors/Assigns*: The terms and provisions of this Agreement shall be binding on and inure to the benefit of the successors and assigns of the Company (including but not limited to any corporate successor of The Company) and Employee's heirs, executors and personal representatives. As part of this provision, Employee understands and agrees that should Employee become employed by another entity owned or otherwise affiliated with Fifth Third Bancorp (such as its subsidiaries, divisions or unincorporated affiliates), the obligations of this Agreement follow Employee to such other entity automatically and without further action, and that entity becomes the "Company" within the meaning of this Agreement.
- G. *Obligation to Comply With Other Laws*: The duties Employee owes the Company under this Agreement shall be deemed to include federal, state and common law obligations of employees to their employers. This Agreement is intended, amongst other things, to supplement the provisions of state trade secret law and duties Employee owes the Company under common law, including but not limited to the duty of loyalty, and does not in any way supersede any of the obligations or duties Employee otherwise owe the Company.
- H. *Obligation to Comply With Other Agreements*: This Agreement is in addition to and not in lieu of other non-solicitation, non-disclosure, and non-competition obligations Employee may owe to the Company.
- I. *Attorney's Fees*: If the Company must enforce any of its rights under this Agreement through legal proceedings, Employee agrees to reimburse the Company for all reasonable costs, expenses, and attorney's fees incurred by it in connection with the enforcement of its rights.
- J. *Injunctive Relief*: Employee acknowledges that should Employee violate any of the provisions of this Agreement, the Company will suffer irreparable harm and not have adequate an adequate remedy at law. Accordingly, Employee agrees that the Company may seek injunctive relief to restrain any such violation, as well as equitable relief, in a court of competent jurisdiction.

K. *Counterparts*: This Agreement may be signed in counterparts.

THE PARTIES HERETO ACKNOWLEDGE THAT THEY HAVE READ THIS AGREEMENT, UNDERSTAND IT, AND AGREE TO BE BOUND BY ITS TERMS. They further acknowledge that they have exercised due diligence in reviewing this Agreement, and that each has been advised to, and has had adequate opportunity to consult with legal counsel or other advisors to the extent that each deemed such consultation necessary.



Restricted Stock Unit Grant Agreement

[Participant Name]

It is my pleasure to inform you that you are hereby granted an award of Restricted Stock Units ("Grant") subject to the terms and conditions of this Grant Agreement, the applicable Long-Term Incentive Compensation Program Overview ("Overview") and the terms of the Fifth Third Bancorp 2021 Incentive Compensation Plan (the "Plan") (collectively, the Grant Agreement, Overview, and Plan shall be referred to herein as the "Grant Terms").

Grant Date of Restricted Stock Units
Total Number of Restricted Units Granted
Performance Goals

[Grant Date]
[Units Granted]
Adjusted Return on Tangible Common Equity (ROTCE)
and Annual Risk Performance Evaluation Rating of
"Achieves" or Above

This Restricted Stock Unit Grant will vest in three equal annual installments on the first, second, and third anniversaries of the Grant Date ("Anniversary Date(s)") subject to achievement of Performance Goals. If Performance Goals are not met for the fiscal year ended immediately prior to an Anniversary Date, then the annual installment of the grant that otherwise was scheduled to vest on that Anniversary Date, as well as any other unvested installments, may be forfeited at the discretion of the Committee. Details regarding the Performance Goals and their impact on forfeiture of Restricted Stock Units are contained in the Overview.

Separation from employment impacts the vesting and delivery of this Grant. For details on the impact of employment separations, including the definition of Retirement applicable to this Award, please review the Grant Terms.

Any bonus, commission, compensation, or awards granted to you under the Plan is subject to recovery, or "clawback" by the Company in such amount and with respect to such time period as the Committee shall determine to be required by policy, applicable laws, rules, or regulations if the payments were based on materially inaccurate financial statements or any other materially inaccurate performance metric criteria, or as otherwise required by law. In addition, all executive compensation plans and awards are automatically amended as necessary to comply with the requirements and/or limitations under any other laws, rules, regulations, or regulatory agreements up to and including a revocation of this Grant.

Acceptance of this Grant confirms your agreement to the Grant Terms (copies of which were delivered with this Agreement) including the Confidential Information and Non-Solicitation Agreement located on the following pages. In the event of any conflict between the terms of this Grant Agreement and the Plan, the terms of the Plan shall control. In addition, you confirm that you have received, or have access to, the 2021 Incentive Compensation Plan Prospectus.

This Grant will expire by its own terms unless accepted within 60 days.

For Fifth Third Bancorp:

Grant Date

Tim Spence
President and Chief Executive Officer

Acceptance Date

Signature

This document constitutes part of a prospectus covering securities that have been registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION AND NON-SOLICITATION AGREEMENT

This Confidential Information and Non-Solicitation Agreement ("Agreement") is made by and between Fifth Third Bancorp (which includes its subsidiaries and/or affiliated entities, hereinafter collectively referred to as "the Company") and the undersigned Employee.

RECITALS

- A. The Company is a diversified financial services company that operates four main businesses - Commercial Banking, Branch Banking, Consumer Lending, and Wealth and Asset Management.
- B. The Company has informed Employee herein that the execution of this Agreement, being in the best interests of the Company, is a condition of employment of the Employee or, in the case of an existing employee, to the continued employment of the Employee by the Company; and
- C. The Company has informed Employee herein that the execution of this Agreement is a condition of the receipt of any Long-Term Incentive Award issued under the Fifth Third 2021 Incentive Compensation Plan.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the Recitals and the mutual covenants contained herein, it is mutually agreed as follows:

AGREEMENT

SECTION I. COVENANT NOT TO USE CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

- A. As a necessary function of Employee's employment with the Company, Employee will have access to, use, receive, and otherwise acquire various kinds of customer, business, and technical information relating to the Company's business that is of a confidential nature to the Company, whether or not such information is specifically labeled as "confidential". Employee agrees that such confidential information includes, for example, the following:

Current, prospective and former customer names and information, including but not limited to contact, financial and account information; product information; compensation plans and arrangements, including incentive compensation plans; performance specifications; pricing, profit margin, and other financial information; product specifications; vendor information; Company training, reference and/or educational materials; Company forecasts/plans/pipelines; objectives and strategies; quality control and/or compliance standards; business referrals, suppliers, and customer lists; unpublished works of any nature whether or not copyrightable; business plans; Company research and/or development materials relating to the Company's business; information contained in pending patent applications; inventions, technical improvements, and ideas; and all other information and knowledge in whatever form used or useful in management, marketing, purchasing, finance, or operations of the Company's business and any compilation of such information and all other similar information used by the Company that is not available to those outside of the Company (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Confidential Information")

- B. Employee also understands that he or she will occupy a position of confidence and trust with respect to the Company's Confidential Information during his or her employment. Employee acknowledges and agrees that such Confidential Information is not generally known outside of the Company, that the Company has taken measures to guard the secrecy of its Confidential Information, that such information is extremely valuable and an essential asset of the Company's business, and that such information, if disclosed without authorization to a third party or used by Employee for purposes other than conducting the Company business would cause irreparable harm to the Company and/or its customers.
- C. Employee further agrees that, during Employee's employment with the Company and following his or her termination for whatever reason, Employee will not disclose or use, directly or indirectly, or authorize or permit anyone under his or her direction to disclose to anyone, any Confidential Information of the Company that he or she obtains during the course of his or her employment relating to or otherwise concerning the business of the Company, whether or not acquired, originated, or developed in whole or in part by Employee.
- D. The obligations set forth herein shall not apply to any trade secrets or Confidential Information that has become generally known to competitors of the Company through no act or omission of Employee, nor shall the obligations set forth herein apply to disclosures made pursuant to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. However, Employee agrees that after termination of employment he or she will not compile pieces of information from several sources and assemble them together in any manner in an attempt to circumvent a violation of his or her confidentiality obligations to the Company or attempt to demonstrate thereby that any of the Confidential Information is in the public domain.
- E. Under the federal Defend Trade Secrets Act of 2016, Employee shall not be held criminally or civilly liable under any federal or state trade secret law for the disclosure of a trade secret that: (a) is made (i) in confidence to a federal, state, or local government official, either directly or indirectly, or to an attorney; and (ii) solely for the purpose of reporting or investigating a suspected violation of law; or (b) is made to Employee's attorney in relation to a lawsuit for retaliation against Employee for reporting a suspected violation of law; or (c) is made in a complaint or other document filed in a lawsuit or other proceeding, if such filing is made under seal.
- F. Employee understands that nothing contained in this Plan limits Employee's ability to file a charge or complaint with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, the National Labor Relations Board, the Occupational Safety and Health Administration, the Securities and Exchange Commission or any other federal, state or local governmental agency or commission ("Government Agencies"). Employee further understands that this Plan does not limit Employee's ability to communicate with any Government Agencies or otherwise participate in any investigation or proceeding that may be conducted by any Government Agency, including providing documents or other information, without notice to the Company.

SECTION II. COVENANT PROHIBITING COMPETITION AND SOLICITATION OF CUSTOMERS

Confidential Information of the Company gained by Employee during employment is developed by the Company through substantial expenditures of time, effort, and financial resources, and constitutes valuable and unique property of the Company. Employee acknowledges, understands, and agrees that the foregoing makes it necessary for the protection of the Company's business that Employee does not divert business of the Company's customers from the Company and that he or she maintain the confidentiality and integrity of Confidential Information. Therefore, Employee agrees that during his or her employment and for a period of one (1) year thereafter he or she will not:

- A. Enter into an ownership, consulting or employment arrangement with, or render services for, any individual or entity rendering services or handling products competitive with the Company in any geographic region or territory in which Employee worked or for which Employee had responsibility during the twenty-four (24) month period preceding Employee's departure from the Company;
- B. Directly or indirectly solicit, divert, entice or take away any customers, business or prospective business with whom he or she had contact, involvement or responsibility during his or her employment with the Company, or attempt to do so for the sale of any product or service that competes with a product or service offered by the Company;
- C. Directly or indirectly solicit, divert, entice or take away any potential customer identified, selected or targeted by the Company with whom he or she had contact, involvement or responsibility during his or her employment with the Company, or attempt to do so for the sale of any product or service that competes with a product or service offered by the Company; or
-

D. Accept or provide assistance in the accepting of (including, but not limited to, providing any service, information or assistance or other facilitation or other involvement) business or orders from customers or any potential customers of the Company with whom he or she has had contact, involvement, or responsibility on behalf of any third party or otherwise for his or her own benefit.

Nothing contained in this Section shall preclude Employee from accepting employment with or creating his or her own company, firm, or business that competes with the Company so long as his or her activities do not violate any of the terms of this Agreement.

SECTION III. COVENANT NOT TO SOLICIT EMPLOYEES

Employee agrees that during his or her employment with the Company and for a period of one (1) year thereafter he or she will not directly or indirectly solicit, induce, confer or discuss with any employee of the Company or attempt to solicit, induce, confer or discuss with any employee of the Company the prospect of leaving the employ of the Company or the subject of employment by some other person or organization. Employee further agrees that during his or her employment with the Company and for a period of one (1) year thereafter he or she will not directly or indirectly hire or attempt to hire any employee of the Company.

SECTION IV. EMPLOYEE WARRANTIES

Employee represents and warrants that his or her employment with the Company and the performance of this Agreement will not violate any express or implied obligation to any former employer or other party. Employee further represents that he or she has not brought with him or her and will not use or disclose during his or her employment with the Company any information, documents, or materials subject to any legally enforceable restrictions or obligations as to confidentiality or secrecy. Furthermore, Employee shall not make any agreements with or commitments to any person, firm, or corporation that would prevent, restrict, or hinder the performance of Employee's duties and obligations under this Agreement. In addition, Employee agrees that he or she shall share a copy of this Agreement with any subsequent employer in order to ensure that there is no violation hereof, and Employee consents to the Company sharing a copy of this Agreement with any such employer.

SECTION V. OTHER PROVISIONS

- A. Restrictive Covenant Breach:** If you breach any of the terms of the restrictive covenants in Sections I., II., or III. of this Grant Agreement, (1) the terms of those Sections will be extended by the period of the breach, and (2) any and all Restricted Stock Units granted under this Agreement that are not yet vested shall be immediately and irrevocably forfeited. This paragraph does not constitute Fifth Third's exclusive remedy for any violation of your restrictive covenant obligations, and Fifth Third may seek additional legal or equitable remedies, including injunctive relief, for any such violation or breach.
- B. Governing Law:** This Agreement and all the rights, duties and remedies of the parties hereunder shall be governed by the laws of the state of Ohio. The Company shall have the right to specifically enforce the covenants contained in this Agreement, in addition to any other legal, equitable (including specifically, but not limited to temporary restraining orders or preliminary or permanent injunctive relief) or other remedies as may be available to the Company for Employee's breach of any such covenants.
- C. Severability:** If any provision of this Agreement is declared invalid or unenforceable, such provision shall be deemed modified to the extent necessary and possible to render it valid and enforceable.
- D. Waiver/Modification:** No waiver or modification of this Agreement will be valid unless in writing and duly executed by the party against whom enforcement is sought. Failure of the Company to enforce any provision of this Agreement shall not be construed as a waiver of such provision or of the right of the Company thereafter to enforce each and every provision.
- E. At-Will Nature of Employment:** Employee understands that nothing in this Agreement requires him or her to continue employment with the Company for any particular length of time or requires that the Company continue to employ Employee for any particular length of time.
- F. Successors/Assigns:** The terms and provisions of this Agreement shall be binding on and inure to the benefit of the successors and assigns of the Company (including but not limited to any corporate successor of The Company) and Employee's heirs, executors and personal representatives. As part of this provision, Employee understands and agrees that should Employee become employed by another entity owned or otherwise affiliated with Fifth Third Bancorp (such as its subsidiaries, divisions or unincorporated affiliates), the obligations of this Agreement follow Employee to such other entity automatically and without further action, and that entity becomes the "Company" within the meaning of this Agreement.
- G. Obligation to Comply With Other Laws:** The duties Employee owes the Company under this Agreement shall be deemed to include federal, state and common law obligations of employees to their employers. This Agreement is intended, amongst other things, to supplement the provisions of state trade secret law and duties Employee owes the Company under common law, including but not limited to the duty of loyalty, and does not in any way supersede any of the obligations or duties Employee otherwise owe the Company.
- H. Obligation to Comply With Other Agreements:** This Agreement is in addition to and not in lieu of other non-solicitation, non-disclosure, and non-competition obligations Employee may owe to the Company.
- I. Attorney's Fees:** If the Company must enforce any of its rights under this Agreement through legal proceedings, Employee agrees to reimburse the Company for all reasonable costs, expenses, and attorney's fees incurred by it in connection with the enforcement of its rights.
- J. Injunctive Relief:** Employee acknowledges that should Employee violate any of the provisions of this Agreement, the Company will suffer irreparable harm and not have adequate an adequate remedy at law. Accordingly, Employee agrees that the Company may seek injunctive relief to restrain any such violation, as well as equitable relief, in a court of competent jurisdiction.
- K. Counterparts:** This Agreement may be signed in counterparts.

THE PARTIES HERETO ACKNOWLEDGE THAT THEY HAVE READ THIS AGREEMENT, UNDERSTAND IT, AND AGREE TO BE BOUND BY ITS TERMS. They further acknowledge that they have exercised due diligence in reviewing this Agreement, and that each has been advised to, and has had adequate opportunity to consult with legal counsel or other advisors to the extent that each deemed such consultation necessary.



Stock Appreciation Right Award Agreement

[Participant Name]

It is my pleasure to inform you that you are hereby granted an award of Stock Appreciation Rights ("SARs") subject to the terms and conditions of this Award Agreement, the applicable Long-Term Incentive Award Overview ("Overview"), and the terms of the Fifth Third Bancorp 2021 Incentive Compensation Plan (the "Plan") (collectively, the Award Agreement, Overview, and Plan shall be referred to herein as the "Award Terms"):

Date of Grant	[Grant Date]
Total Number of SARs Granted	[Quantity Granted]
Grant Date Price per Share of Stock	[Grant Price]
Expiration Date	[Expiration Date]
Performance Goals	Adjusted Return on Tangible Common Equity (ROTCE), Annual Risk Performance Evaluation rating of "Achieves" or above

These Stock Appreciation Rights will vest in three equal annual installments on the first, second, and third anniversaries of the Grant Date ("Anniversary Date(s)") subject to achievement of Performance Goals. The number of Stock Appreciation Rights earned as part of this Award on each applicable Anniversary Date, if any, will be determined following the end of the fiscal year ended immediately prior to such Anniversary Date based upon the Performance Goals achieved. Details regarding the Performance Goals and their impact on forfeiture of Stock Appreciation Rights are contained in the Overview.

Upon exercise, you will be entitled to a payment in the form of Fifth Third shares of stock with a fair market value equal to the fair market value of a share of Fifth Third stock at the date of exercise in excess of the Grant Date price per share of stock, multiplied by the number of SARs exercised.

Separation of employment impacts the vesting and earning of this Award. For details on the impact of employment separations, including the definition of Retirement applicable to this Award, please review the Award Terms. Please note, if you should voluntarily leave the Company at any point during the life of this Award, you will have 90 days from your termination date to exercise any vested rights that have accumulated.

Any bonus, commission, compensation, or awards granted to you under the Plan is subject to recovery, or "clawback" by the Company in such amount and with respect to such time period as the Committee shall determine to be required by policy, applicable law, rules, or regulations if the payments were based on materially inaccurate financial statements or any other materially inaccurate performance metric criteria, or as otherwise required by law. In addition, all executive compensation plans and awards are automatically amended as necessary to comply with the requirements and/or limitations under any other laws, rules, regulations, or regulatory agreements up to and including a revocation of this Award.

Acceptance of this Award confirms your agreement to the Award Terms, copies of which were delivered with this Award Agreement, including the Confidential Information and Non-Solicitation Agreement located on the following pages. In the event of any conflict between the terms of this Award Agreement and the Plan, the terms of the Plan shall control. In addition, you confirm that you have received, or have access to, the 2021 Incentive Compensation Plan Prospectus.

This Award will expire by its own terms unless accepted within 60 days.

For Fifth Third Bancorp:

Grant Date

Tim Spence

President and Chief Executive Officer

Acceptance Date
Signature

This document constitutes part of a prospectus covering securities that have been registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION AND NON-SOLICITATION AGREEMENT

This Confidential Information and Non-Solicitation Agreement ("Agreement") is made by and between Fifth Third Bancorp (which includes its subsidiaries and/or affiliated entities, hereinafter collectively referred to as "the Company") and the undersigned Employee.

RECITALS

- A. The Company is a diversified financial services company that operates four main businesses - Commercial Banking, Branch Banking, Consumer Lending, and Wealth and Asset Management;
 - B. The Company has informed Employee herein that the execution of this Agreement, being in the best interests of the Company, is a condition of employment of the Employee or, in the case of an existing employee, to the continued employment of the Employee by the Company; and
 - C. The Company has informed Employee herein that the execution of this Agreement is a condition of the receipt of any Long-Term Incentive Award issued under the Fifth Third Bancorp 2021 Incentive Compensation Plan,
- NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the Recitals and the mutual covenants contained herein, it is mutually agreed as follows:

AGREEMENT

SECTION I. COVENANT NOT TO USE CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

- A. As a necessary function of Employee's employment with the Company, Employee will have access to, use, receive, and otherwise acquire various kinds of customer, business, and technical information relating to the Company's business that is of a confidential nature to the Company, whether or not such information is specifically labeled as "confidential". Employee agrees that such confidential information includes, for example, the following:

Current, prospective and former customer names and information, including but not limited to contact, financial and account information; product information; compensation plans and arrangements, including incentive compensation plans; performance specifications; pricing, profit margin, and other financial information; product specifications; vendor information; Company training, reference and/or educational materials; Company forecasts/plans/pipelines; objectives and strategies; quality control and/or compliance standards; business referrals, suppliers, and customer lists; unpublished works of any nature whether or not copyrightable; business plans; Company research and/or development materials relating to the Company's business; information contained in pending patent applications; inventions, technical improvements, and ideas; and all other information and knowledge in whatever form used or useful in management, marketing, purchasing, finance, or operations of the Company's business and any compilation of such information and all other similar information used by the Company that is not available to those outside of the Company (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Confidential Information")

- B. Employee also understands that he or she will occupy a position of confidence and trust with respect to the Company's Confidential Information during his or her employment. Employee acknowledges and agrees that such Confidential Information is not generally known outside of the Company, that the Company has taken measures to guard the secrecy of its Confidential Information, that such information is extremely valuable and an essential asset of the Company's business, and that such information, if disclosed without authorization to a third party or used by Employee for purposes other than conducting the Company business would cause irreparable harm to the Company and/or its customers.
- C. Employee further agrees that, during Employee's employment with the Company and following his or her termination for whatever reason, Employee will not disclose or use, directly or indirectly, or authorize or permit anyone under his or her direction to disclose to anyone, any Confidential Information of the Company that he or she obtains during the course of his or her employment relating to or otherwise concerning the business of the Company, whether or not acquired, originated, or developed in whole or in part by Employee.
- D. The obligations set forth herein shall not apply to any trade secrets or Confidential Information that has become generally known to competitors of the Company through no act or omission of Employee, nor shall the obligations set forth herein apply to disclosures made pursuant to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. However, Employee agrees that after termination of employment he or she will not compile pieces of information from several sources and assemble them together in any manner in an attempt to circumvent a violation of his or her confidentiality obligations to the Company or attempt to demonstrate thereby that any of the Confidential Information is in the public domain.
- E. Under the federal Defend Trade Secrets Act of 2016, Employee shall not be held criminally or civilly liable under any federal or state trade secret law for the disclosure of a trade secret that: (a) is made (i) in confidence to a federal, state, or local government official, either directly or indirectly, or to an attorney; and (ii) solely for the purpose of reporting or investigating a suspected violation of law; or (b) is made to Employee's attorney in relation to a lawsuit for retaliation against Employee for reporting a suspected violation of law; or (c) is made in a complaint or other document filed in a lawsuit or other proceeding, if such filing is made under seal.
- F. Employee understands that nothing contained in this Plan limits Employee's ability to file a charge or complaint with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, the National Labor Relations Board, the Occupational Safety and Health Administration, the Securities and Exchange Commission or any other federal, state or local governmental agency or commission ("Government Agencies"). Employee further understands that this Plan does not limit Employee's ability to communicate with any Government Agencies or otherwise participate in any investigation or proceeding that may be conducted by any Government Agency, including providing documents or other information, without notice to the Company.

SECTION II. COVENANT PROHIBITING COMPETITION AND SOLICITATION OF CUSTOMERS

Confidential Information of the Company gained by Employee during employment is developed by the Company through substantial expenditures of time, effort, and financial resources, and constitutes valuable and unique property of the Company. Employee acknowledges, understands, and agrees that the foregoing makes it necessary for the protection of the Company's business that Employee does not divert business of the Company's customers from the Company and that he or she maintain the confidentiality and integrity of Confidential Information. Therefore, Employee agrees that during his or her employment and for a period of one (1) year thereafter he or she will not:

- A. Enter into an ownership, consulting or employment arrangement with, or render services for, any individual or entity rendering services or handling products competitive with the Company in any geographic region or territory in which Employee worked or for which Employee had responsibility during the twenty-four (24) month period preceding Employee's departure from the Company.

- B. Directly or indirectly solicit, divert, entice or take away any customers, business or prospective business with whom he or she had contact, involvement or responsibility during his or her employment with the Company, or attempt to do so for the sale of any product or service that competes with a product or service offered by the Company;
- C. Directly or indirectly solicit, divert, entice or take away any potential customer identified, selected or targeted by the Company with whom he or she had contact, involvement or responsibility during his or her employment with the Company, or attempt to do so for the sale of any product or service that competes with a product or service offered by the Company; or
- D. Accept or provide assistance in the accepting of (including, but not limited to, providing any service, information or assistance or other facilitation or other involvement) business or orders from customers or any potential customers of the Company with whom he or she has had contact, involvement, or responsibility on behalf of any third party or otherwise for his or her own benefit.
-

Nothing contained in this Section shall preclude Employee from accepting employment with or creating his or her own company, firm, or business that competes with the Company so long as his or her activities do not violate any of the terms of this Agreement.

SECTION III. COVENANT NOT TO SOLICIT EMPLOYEES

Employee agrees that during his or her employment with the Company and for a period of one (1) year thereafter, he or she will not directly or indirectly, recruit, hire or attempt to recruit or hire, directly or by assisting others, any other employee of Fifth Third, nor encourage any such employee to leave the employment of Fifth Third. Among other things, this paragraph means that Participant agrees not to engage in discussions with any officer, manager, employee, or independent contractor of Fifth Third in an attempt to induce or encourage the individual to end his or her relationship with Fifth Third, not to share any Fifth Third officer, manager, employee, or independent contractor's name or contact information with any other person or entity so that the person or entity can speak to Fifth Third's officer, manager, employee, or independent contractor about potentially leaving Fifth Third, and not to participate in any interviewing or hiring of a Fifth Third officer, manager, employee, or independent contractor.

SECTION IV. EMPLOYEE WARRANTIES

Employee represents and warrants that his or her employment with the Company and the performance of this Agreement will not violate any express or implied obligation to any former employer or other party. Employee further represents that he or she has not brought with him or her and will not use or disclose during his or her employment with the Company any information, documents, or materials subject to any legally enforceable restrictions or obligations as to confidentiality or secrecy. Furthermore, Employee shall not make any agreements with or commitments to any person, firm, or corporation that would prevent, restrict, or hinder the performance of Employee's duties and obligations under this Agreement. In addition, Employee agrees that he or she shall share a copy of this Agreement with any subsequent employer in order to ensure that there is no violation hereof, and Employee consents to the Company sharing a copy of this Agreement with any such employer.

SECTION V. OTHER PROVISIONS

- A. *Restrictive Covenant Breach*: If you breach any of the terms of the restrictive covenants in Sections I., II., or III. of this Grant Agreement, (1) the terms of those Sections will be extended by the period of the breach, and (2) any and all Restricted Stock Units granted under this Agreement that are not yet vested shall be immediately and irrevocably forfeited. This paragraph does not constitute Fifth Third's exclusive remedy for any violation of your restrictive covenant obligations, and Fifth Third may seek additional legal or equitable remedies, including injunctive relief, for any such violation or breach.
- B. *Governing Law*: This Agreement and all the rights, duties and remedies of the parties hereunder shall be governed by the laws of the state of Ohio. The Company shall have the right to specifically enforce the covenants contained in this Agreement, in addition to any other legal, equitable (including specifically, but not limited to temporary restraining orders or preliminary or permanent injunctive relief) or other remedies as may be available to the Company for Employee's breach of any such covenants.
- C. *Severability*: If any provision of this Agreement is declared invalid or unenforceable, such provision shall be deemed modified to the extent necessary and possible to render it valid and enforceable.
- D. *Waiver/Modification*: No waiver or modification of this Agreement will be valid unless in writing and duly executed by the party against whom enforcement is sought. Failure of the Company to enforce any provision of this Agreement shall not be construed as a waiver of such provision or of the right of the Company thereafter to enforce each and every provision.
- E. *At-Will Nature of Employment*: Employee understands that nothing in this Agreement requires him or her to continue employment with the Company for any particular length of time or requires that the Company continue to employ Employee for any particular length of time.
- F. *Successors/Assigns*: The terms and provisions of this Agreement shall be binding on and inure to the benefit of the successors and assigns of the Company (including but not limited to any corporate successor of The Company) and Employee's heirs, executors and personal representatives. As part of this provision, Employee understands and agrees that should Employee become employed by another entity owned or otherwise affiliated with Fifth Third Bancorp (such as its subsidiaries, divisions or unincorporated affiliates), the obligations of this Agreement follow Employee to such other entity automatically and without further action, and that entity becomes the "Company" within the meaning of this Agreement.
- G. *Obligation to Comply With Other Laws*: The duties Employee owes the Company under this Agreement shall be deemed to include federal, state and common law obligations of employees to their employers. This Agreement is intended, amongst other things, to supplement the provisions of state trade secret law and duties Employee owes the Company under common law, including but not limited to the duty of loyalty, and does not in any way supersede any of the obligations or duties Employee otherwise owe the Company.
- H. *Obligation to Comply With Other Agreements*: This Agreement is in addition to and not in lieu of other non-solicitation, non-disclosure, and non-competition obligations Employee may owe to the Company.
- I. *Attorney's Fees*: If the Company must enforce any of its rights under this Agreement through legal proceedings, Employee agrees to reimburse the Company for all reasonable costs, expenses, and attorney's fees incurred by it in connection with the enforcement of its rights.
- J. *Injunctive Relief*: Employee acknowledges that should Employee violate any of the provisions of this Agreement, the Company will suffer irreparable harm and not have adequate an adequate remedy at law. Accordingly, Employee agrees that the Company may seek injunctive relief to restrain any such violation, as well as equitable relief, in a court of competent jurisdiction.
- K. *Counterparts*: This Agreement may be signed in counterparts.

THE PARTIES HERETO ACKNOWLEDGE THAT THEY HAVE READ THIS AGREEMENT, UNDERSTAND IT, AND AGREE TO BE BOUND BY ITS TERMS. They further acknowledge that they have exercised due diligence in reviewing this Agreement, and that each has been advised to, and has had adequate opportunity to consult with legal counsel or other advisors to the extent that each deemed such consultation necessary.

*CERTAIN INFORMATION IDENTIFIED WITH A MARK OF [**] HAS BEEN EXCLUDED FROM THIS EXHIBIT BECAUSE IT IS BOTH (I) NOT MATERIAL AND (II) WOULD BE COMPETITIVELY HARMFUL IF PUBLICLY DISCLOSED

Exhibit 10.72

SUPPLEMENTAL CONFIRMATION

To: Fifth Third Bancorp
Fifth Third Center
Cincinnati, Ohio 45263
From: Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC
Subject: Accelerated Stock Buyback
Date: December 6, 2022

The purpose of this Supplemental Confirmation is to confirm the terms and conditions of the Transaction entered into between Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC (“Morgan Stanley”) and Fifth Third Bancorp (“Counterparty”) (together, the “Contracting Parties”) on the Trade Date specified below. This Supplemental Confirmation is a binding contract between Morgan Stanley and Counterparty as of the relevant Trade Date for the Transaction referenced below.

1. This Supplemental Confirmation supplements, forms part of, and is subject to the Master Confirmation dated as of July 29, 2015 (the “Master Confirmation”) between the Contracting Parties, as amended and supplemented from time to time. All provisions contained in the Master Confirmation govern this Supplemental Confirmation except as expressly modified below.

2. The terms of the Transaction to which this Supplemental Confirmation relates are as follows:

Trade Date:	December 6, 2022
Forward Price Adjustment Amount:	[**]*
Calculation Period Start Date:	December 7, 2022
Scheduled Termination Date:	December 27, 2022
First Acceleration Date:	[**]*
Prepayment Amount:	USD 100,000,000
Prepayment Date:	December 8, 2022
Initial Shares:	2,636,476 Shares; <i>provided</i> that if, in connection with the Transaction, Morgan Stanley is unable to borrow or otherwise acquire a number of Shares equal to the Initial Shares for delivery to Counterparty on the Initial Share Delivery Date, the Initial Shares delivered on the Initial Share Delivery Date shall be reduced to such number of Shares that Morgan Stanley is able to so borrow or otherwise acquire, and Morgan Stanley shall use reasonable good faith efforts to borrow or otherwise acquire a number of Shares equal to the shortfall in the Initial Share Delivery and to deliver such additional

Shares as soon as reasonably practicable. The aggregate of all Shares delivered to Counterparty in respect of the Transaction pursuant to this paragraph shall be the "Initial Shares" for purposes of "Number of Shares to be Delivered" in the Master Confirmation.

Initial Share Delivery Date:	December 8, 2022
Ordinary Dividend Amount:	[**]*
Scheduled Ex-Dividend Dates:	N/A
Termination Price:	[**]*
Reserved Shares:	6,203,474
Additional Relevant Days:	The 5 th Exchange Business Days immediately following the Calculation Period.

3. Counterparty represents and warrants to Morgan Stanley that neither it nor any "affiliated purchaser" (as defined in Rule 10b-18 under the Exchange Act) has made any purchases of blocks pursuant to the proviso in Rule 10b-18(b)(4) under the Exchange Act during either (i) the four full calendar weeks immediately preceding the Trade Date or (ii) during the calendar week in which the Trade Date occurs.

4. US QFC Stay Rules

The parties agree that (i) to the extent that prior to the date hereof all parties have adhered to the 2018 ISDA U.S. Resolution Stay Protocol (the "Protocol"), the terms of the Protocol are incorporated into and form a part of this Agreement, and for such purposes this Agreement shall be deemed a Protocol Covered Agreement and each party shall be deemed to have the same status as Regulated Entity and/or Adhering Party as applicable to it under the Protocol; (ii) to the extent that prior to the date hereof the parties have executed a separate agreement the effect of which is to amend the qualified financial contracts between them to conform with the requirements of the QFC Stay Rules (the "Bilateral Agreement"), the terms of the Bilateral Agreement are incorporated into and form a part of this Agreement and each party shall be deemed to have the status of "Covered Entity" or "Counterparty Entity" (or other similar term) as applicable to it under the Bilateral Agreement; or (iii) if clause (i) and clause (ii) do not apply, the terms of Section 1 and Section 2 and the related defined terms (together, the "Bilateral Terms") of the form of bilateral template entitled "Full-Length Omnibus (for use between U.S. G-SIBs and Corporate Groups)" published by ISDA on November 2, 2018 (currently available on the 2018 ISDA U.S. Resolution Stay Protocol page at www.isda.org and, a copy of which is available upon request), the effect of which is to amend the qualified financial contracts between the parties thereto to conform with the requirements of the QFC Stay Rules, are hereby incorporated into and form a part of this Agreement, and for such purposes this Agreement shall be deemed a "Covered Agreement," Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC shall be deemed "Covered Entities" and Fifth Third Bancorp shall be deemed a "Counterparty Entity." In the event that, after the date of this Agreement, all parties hereto become adhering parties to the Protocol, the terms of the Protocol will replace the terms of this section. In the event of any inconsistencies between this Agreement and the terms of the Protocol, the Bilateral Agreement or the Bilateral Terms (each, the "QFC Stay Terms"), as applicable, the QFC Stay Terms will govern. Terms used in this paragraph without definition shall have the meanings assigned to them under the QFC Stay Rules. For purposes of this paragraph, references to "this Agreement" include any related credit enhancements entered into between the parties or provided by one to the other.

"*QFC Stay Rules*" means the regulations codified at 12 C.F.R. 252.2, 252.81-8, 12 C.F.R. 382.1-7 and 12 C.F.R. 47.1-8, which, subject to limited exceptions, require an express recognition of the stay-and-transfer powers of the FDIC under the Federal Deposit Insurance Act and the Orderly Liquidation Authority under Title II of the Dodd Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act and the override of default rights related directly or indirectly to the entry of an affiliate into certain insolvency proceedings and any restrictions on the transfer of any covered affiliate credit enhancements.

5. This Supplemental Confirmation may be executed in any number of counterparts, all of which shall constitute one and the same instrument, and any party hereto may execute this Supplemental Confirmation by signing and delivering one or more counterparts.

Counterparty hereby agrees (a) to check this Supplemental Confirmation carefully and immediately upon receipt so that errors or discrepancies can be promptly identified and rectified and (b) to confirm that the foregoing (in the exact form provided by Morgan Stanley) correctly sets forth the terms of the agreement between Morgan Stanley and Counterparty with respect to any particular Transaction to which this Master Confirmation relates, by manually signing this Master Confirmation or this page hereof as evidence of agreement to such terms and providing the other information requested herein and immediately returning an executed copy to Morgan Stanley.

Yours faithfully,

MORGAN STANLEY & CO. LLC

By: /s/ DARREN MCCARLEY
Name: Darren McCarley
Title: Managing Director

Agreed and Accepted By:

FIFTH THIRD BANCORP

By: /s/ BRYAN PRESTON
Name: Bryan Preston
Title: EVP & Treasurer

[Signature Page to Supplemental Confirmation]

*CERTAIN INFORMATION IDENTIFIED WITH A MARK OF [**] HAS BEEN EXCLUDED FROM THIS EXHIBIT BECAUSE IT IS BOTH (I) NOT MATERIAL AND (II) WOULD BE COMPETITIVELY HARMFUL IF PUBLICLY DISCLOSED

Exhibit 10.73

SUPPLEMENTAL CONFIRMATION

To: Fifth Third Bancorp
Fifth Third Center
Cincinnati, Ohio 45263
From: Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC
Subject: Accelerated Stock Buyback
Date: January 20, 2023

The purpose of this Supplemental Confirmation is to confirm the terms and conditions of the Transaction entered into between Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC (“Morgan Stanley”) and Fifth Third Bancorp (“Counterparty”) (together, the “Contracting Parties”) on the Trade Date specified below. This Supplemental Confirmation is a binding contract between Morgan Stanley and Counterparty as of the relevant Trade Date for the Transaction referenced below.

1. This Supplemental Confirmation supplements, forms part of, and is subject to the Master Confirmation dated as of July 29, 2015 (the “Master Confirmation”) between the Contracting Parties, as amended and supplemented from time to time. All provisions contained in the Master Confirmation govern this Supplemental Confirmation except as expressly modified below.

2. The terms of the Transaction to which this Supplemental Confirmation relates are as follows:

Trade Date:	January 20, 2023
Forward Price Adjustment Amount:	[**]*
Calculation Period Start Date:	January 23, 2023
Scheduled Termination Date:	March 28, 2023
First Acceleration Date:	[**]*
Prepayment Amount:	USD 200,000,000
Prepayment Date:	January 24, 2023
Initial Shares:	4,911,875 Shares; <i>provided</i> that if, in connection with the Transaction, Morgan Stanley is unable to borrow or otherwise acquire a number of Shares equal to the Initial Shares for delivery to Counterparty on the Initial Share Delivery Date, the Initial Shares delivered on the Initial Share Delivery Date shall be reduced to such number of Shares that Morgan Stanley is able to so borrow or otherwise acquire, and Morgan Stanley shall use reasonable good faith efforts to borrow or otherwise acquire a number of Shares equal to the shortfall in the Initial Share Delivery and to deliver such additional

Shares as soon as reasonably practicable. The aggregate of all Shares delivered to Counterparty in respect of the Transaction pursuant to this paragraph shall be the "Initial Shares" for purposes of "Number of Shares to be Delivered" in the Master Confirmation.

Initial Share Delivery Date:	January 24, 2023
Ordinary Dividend Amount:	[**]*
Scheduled Ex-Dividend Dates:	N/A
Termination Price:	[**]*
Reserved Shares:	11,557,353
Additional Relevant Days:	The 5 th Exchange Business Days immediately following the Calculation Period.

3. Counterparty represents and warrants to Morgan Stanley that neither it nor any "affiliated purchaser" (as defined in Rule 10b-18 under the Exchange Act) has made any purchases of blocks pursuant to the proviso in Rule 10b-18(b)(4) under the Exchange Act during either (i) the four full calendar weeks immediately preceding the Trade Date or (ii) during the calendar week in which the Trade Date occurs.

4. US QFC Stay Rules

The parties agree that (i) to the extent that prior to the date hereof all parties have adhered to the 2018 ISDA U.S. Resolution Stay Protocol (the "Protocol"), the terms of the Protocol are incorporated into and form a part of this Agreement, and for such purposes this Agreement shall be deemed a Protocol Covered Agreement and each party shall be deemed to have the same status as Regulated Entity and/or Adhering Party as applicable to it under the Protocol; (ii) to the extent that prior to the date hereof the parties have executed a separate agreement the effect of which is to amend the qualified financial contracts between them to conform with the requirements of the QFC Stay Rules (the "Bilateral Agreement"), the terms of the Bilateral Agreement are incorporated into and form a part of this Agreement and each party shall be deemed to have the status of "Covered Entity" or "Counterparty Entity" (or other similar term) as applicable to it under the Bilateral Agreement; or (iii) if clause (i) and clause (ii) do not apply, the terms of Section 1 and Section 2 and the related defined terms (together, the "Bilateral Terms") of the form of bilateral template entitled "Full-Length Omnibus (for use between U.S. G-SIBs and Corporate Groups)" published by ISDA on November 2, 2018 (currently available on the 2018 ISDA U.S. Resolution Stay Protocol page at www.isda.org and, a copy of which is available upon request), the effect of which is to amend the qualified financial contracts between the parties thereto to conform with the requirements of the QFC Stay Rules, are hereby incorporated into and form a part of this Agreement, and for such purposes this Agreement shall be deemed a "Covered Agreement," Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC shall be deemed "Covered Entities" and Fifth Third Bancorp shall be deemed a "Counterparty Entity." In the event that, after the date of this Agreement, all parties hereto become adhering parties to the Protocol, the terms of the Protocol will replace the terms of this section. In the event of any inconsistencies between this Agreement and the terms of the Protocol, the Bilateral Agreement or the Bilateral Terms (each, the "QFC Stay Terms"), as applicable, the QFC Stay Terms will govern. Terms used in this paragraph without definition shall have the meanings assigned to them under the QFC Stay Rules. For purposes of this paragraph, references to "this Agreement" include any related credit enhancements entered into between the parties or provided by one to the other.

"*QFC Stay Rules*" means the regulations codified at 12 C.F.R. 252.2, 252.81-8, 12 C.F.R. 382.1-7 and 12 C.F.R. 47.1-8, which, subject to limited exceptions, require an express recognition of the stay-and-transfer powers of the FDIC under the Federal Deposit Insurance Act and the Orderly Liquidation Authority under Title II of the Dodd Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act and the override of default rights related directly or indirectly to the entry of an affiliate into certain insolvency proceedings and any restrictions on the transfer of any covered affiliate credit enhancements.

5. This Supplemental Confirmation may be executed in any number of counterparts, all of which shall constitute one and the same instrument, and any party hereto may execute this Supplemental Confirmation by signing and delivering one or more counterparts.

Counterparty hereby agrees (a) to check this Supplemental Confirmation carefully and immediately upon receipt so that errors or discrepancies can be promptly identified and rectified and (b) to confirm that the foregoing (in the exact form provided by Morgan Stanley) correctly sets forth the terms of the agreement between Morgan Stanley and Counterparty with respect to any particular Transaction to which this Master Confirmation relates, by manually signing this Master Confirmation or this page hereof as evidence of agreement to such terms and providing the other information requested herein and immediately returning an executed copy to Morgan Stanley.

Yours faithfully,

MORGAN STANLEY & CO. LLC

By: /s/ DARREN MCCARLEY

Name: Darren McCarley

Title: Managing Director

Agreed and Accepted By:

FIFTH THIRD BANCORP

By: /s/ BRYAN PRESTON

Name: Bryan Preston

Title: EVP & Treasurer

[Signature Page to Supplemental Confirmation]

FIFTH THIRD BANCORP SUBSIDIARIES
As of February 15, 2023

Name	Jurisdiction of Incorporation
Fifth Third Financial Corporation	Ohio
Fifth Third Bank, National Association	United States
53 AMRC Solar Trust	Delaware
53 ANEE Solar Trust	Delaware
53 APA Solar Trust	Delaware
53 BR Solar Trust	Delaware
53 GEC Solar Trust	Delaware
53 GSE Solar Trust	Delaware
53 GSE Solar Trust 2	Delaware
53 HS Solar Trust	Delaware
53 KE Solar Trust	Delaware
53 NR Solar Trust	Delaware
53 RCE Solar Trust	Delaware
53 SP Solar Trust	Delaware
53 SS Solar Trust	Delaware
53 TGE Solar Trust	Delaware
Ashland Management Agency, LLC	Delaware
Dividend Finance, Inc.	Delaware
Cloud Nine Solar LLC	Delaware
Dividend Solar, Inc.	Delaware
DS Global Holdings LLC	Delaware
Dividend HI Warehouse Depositor I LLC	Delaware
Dividend Solar Depositor 2017-1 LLC	Delaware
Dividend Solar Loans 2017-1 LLC	Delaware
Dividend Solar Depositor 2018-1 LLC	Delaware
Dividend Solar Loans 2018-1 LLC	Delaware
Dividend Solar Depositor 2018-2 LLC	Delaware
Dividend Solar Loans 2018-2 LLC	Delaware
Dividend Solar Depositor 2019-1 LLC	Delaware
Dividend Solar Loans 2019-1 LLC	Delaware
Dividend Solar Finance LLC	Delaware
Dividend Warehouse Depositor II LLC	Delaware
DSWH Depositor LLC	Delaware
Figtree Company, Inc.	Delaware
Figtree PACE Bond Holdings LLC	Delaware
Fifth Third Auto Leasing Trust	Delaware
Fifth Third Commercial Funding, Inc.	Nevada
Fifth Third Community Development Company, LLC	Delaware
5/3 14th & Main Investment Fund LLC	Ohio
5/3 BS IV Investment Fund LLC	Ohio
5/3 CityLink Investment Fund LLC	Ohio
5/3 Keyer Row Investment Fund LLC	Ohio
5/3 Warsaw Creative Investment Fund LLC	Ohio
5/3 Willkommen Investment Fund LLC	Ohio
5/3 Willkommen Investment Fund 2 LLC	Ohio
BBIF Investor Fund LLC	Ohio

Boss Cox Investment Fund LLC	Ohio
Canal Place Investment Fund LLC	Ohio
Carver Loan Pool Investment Fund LLC	Ohio
Fifth Third New Markets Development Company II LLC	Ohio
Fifth Third SUB-CDE 1 LLC	Ohio
Fifth Third SUB-CDE 2 LLC	Ohio
Fifth Third SUB-CDE 3 LLC	Ohio
Fifth Third SUB-CDE 4 LLC	Ohio
Fifth Third SUB-CDE 5 LLC	Ohio
Fifth Third SUB-CDE 6 LLC	Ohio
Fifth Third SUB-CDE 7 LLC	Ohio
Fifth Third SUB-CDE 8 LLC	Ohio
Fifth Third SUB-CDE 9 LLC	Ohio
Fifth Third SUB-CDE 10 LLC	Ohio
Five Rivers Investment Fund LLC	Delaware
Gads Hill Investment Fund LLC	Illinois
HostDime Investment Fund LLC	Ohio
HostDime Investment Fund 2, LLC	Ohio
Life Connection Investment Fund LLC	Delaware
PSII Investor LLC	Ohio
Score Small Business Loan Pool, LLC	Illinois
Score Small Business Loan Pool 2, LLC	Illinois
Score Small Business Loan Pool 3, LLC	Illinois
Score Small Business Loan Pool 4, LLC	Delaware
Fifth Third Holdings, LLC	Delaware
Fifth Third Holdings Funding, LLC	Delaware
Fifth Third Auto Trust 2019-1	Delaware
Fifth Third Auto Trust 2020-1	Delaware
Fifth Third Insurance Agency, Inc.	Ohio
Fifth Third International Company	Kentucky
Fifth Third Securities, Inc.	Ohio
Fifth Third Wealth Advisor LLC	Ohio
FTB SR Solar Trust	Delaware
GNB Management, LLC	Delaware
GNB Realty, LLC	Delaware
Mainstreet Investment Advisors, LLC	Illinois
MB1200 Corporation	Illinois
1208 N Ocean Boulevard LLC	Florida
Acquisition Properties II, LLC	Illinois
Fuschia Properties LLC	Illinois
Acquisition Properties VII, LLC	Illinois
BB Development I, LLC	Illinois
BB Development VII, LLC	Illinois
BCB Development III, LLC	Illinois
BCB Development XXXI, LLC	Illinois
HCB Development I, LLC	Illinois
MB777, LLC	Illinois
MB797, LLC	Illinois
MB848, LLC	Illinois
MB851, LLC	Illinois
MB854, LLC	Illinois
MB876, LLC	Illinois
MB878, LLC	Illinois

MB899, LLC	Illinois
MB1776, LLC	Illinois
MB1803, LLC	Illinois
MB1807, LLC	Illinois
MB1810, LLC	Illinois
MB1815, LLC	Illinois
MB1829, LLC	Illinois
MB1841, LLC	Illinois
MB1846, LLC	Illinois
MB1853, LLC	Illinois
MB1861, LLC	Illinois
MB1864, LLC	Illinois
MB1868, LLC	Illinois
MB1871, LLC	Illinois
MB1875, LLC	Illinois
MB1877, LLC	Illinois
MB1883, LLC	Illinois
MB1884, LLC	Illinois
MB1897, LLC	Illinois
MBAA SFR LLC	Illinois
NCB Development III, LLC	Illinois
NCB Development XXV, LLC	Illinois
NCB Development XXVIII, LLC	Illinois
NCB Development XXXII, LLC	Illinois
Privet Properties, LLC	Illinois
Ragweed Properties, LLC	Illinois
Scherston Real Estate Investments, LLC	Illinois
Spring Green Properties, LLC	Illinois
MB Financial Center, LLC	Delaware
MB Financial Center Land Owner, LLC	Illinois
MB Financial International, Inc.	United States
Fifth Third Business Capital Canada, Inc.	Canada
Old Kent Mortgage Services, Inc.	Michigan
Fifth Third Mortgage – MI, LLC	Delaware
PCR MB, LLC	Illinois
Provide, Inc.	Delaware
Summit MFR Leasing, LLC	Delaware
Summit MFR Leasing II, LLC	Delaware
Walnut & Vine Holdings, LLC	Delaware
Fifth Third Acquisition Holdings, LLC	Delaware
Franklin Street Advisors, Inc.	North Carolina
Franklin Street Trust Company	North Carolina
Hammond Hanlon Camp LLC	Delaware
H2C Securities, Inc.	Illinois
Walnut & Vine Properties II, LLC	Delaware
Fifth Third Capital Holdings, LLC	Delaware
Fifth Third Community Development Corporation	Indiana
Community Loan Fund New Market II, LLC	Ohio
Community Loan Fund New Market III, LLC	Ohio
Fifth Third New Markets Development Co., LLC	Ohio
Memorial Hall Investment Fund, LLC	Ohio
Fifth Third Investment Company	Ohio
Fifth Third Global Services, Inc.	Ohio

Fifth Third Mauritius Holdings Limited
First Charter Capital Trust I
First Charter Capital Trust II
Vista Settlement Services, LLC

Mauritius
Delaware
Delaware
Delaware

CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

We consent to the incorporation by reference in the following Registration Statements on Form S-3, Form S-4, and Form S-8 of our reports dated February 24, 2023, relating to the consolidated financial statements of Fifth Third Bancorp and subsidiaries (the "Bancorp") and the effectiveness of the Bancorp's internal control over financial reporting, appearing in this Annual Report on Form 10-K of the Bancorp for the year ended December 31, 2022:

Form S-8

No. 33-34075
No. 333-52182
No. 333-52188
No. 333-58249
No. 333-58618
No. 333-72910
No. 333-114001
No. 333-116535
No. 333-119280
No. 333-147192
No. 333-147533
No. 333-157687
No. 333-158742
No. 333-175258
No. 333-197320
No. 333-214542
No. 333-215865
No. 333-217354
No. 333-227468
No. 333-225761
No. 333-230486
No. 333-230900
No. 333-251914
No. 333-255215

Form S-3

No. 33-54134
No. 333-165689
No. 333-187546
No. 333-210429
No. 333-230568
No. 333-263894

Form S-4

No. 333-225761
No. 333-232335

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

Cincinnati, Ohio
February 24, 2023

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT
TO SECTION 302 OF THE
SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

I, Timothy N. Spence, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this report on Form 10-K of Fifth Third Bancorp (the "Registrant");
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The Registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the Registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the Registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the Registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the Registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The Registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the Registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the Registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the Registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ Timothy N. Spence

Timothy N. Spence
President and Chief Executive Officer
February 24, 2023

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT
TO SECTION 302 OF THE
SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

I, James C. Leonard, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this report on Form 10-K of Fifth Third Bancorp (the "Registrant");
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The Registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the Registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the Registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the Registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the Registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The Registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the Registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the Registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the Registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ James C. Leonard

James C. Leonard
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
February 24, 2023

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Annual Report of Fifth Third Bancorp (the "Registrant") on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2022 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Timothy N. Spence, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Registrant, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Registrant.

/s/ Timothy N. Spence

Timothy N. Spence
President and Chief Executive Officer
February 24, 2023

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Annual Report of Fifth Third Bancorp (the "Registrant") on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2022 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, James C. Leonard, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Registrant, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Registrant.

/s/ James C. Leonard

James C. Leonard
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
February 24, 2023



FIFTH THIRD BANCORP

SECRETARY CERTIFICATE

The undersigned does hereby certify that he is the duly elected, qualified, and acting Assistant Secretary of Fifth Third Bancorp (the "Bancorp") and the undersigned does hereby certify that:

1. In my role as Assistant Secretary of the Bancorp, I am familiar with the Bancorp subsidiaries and their officers including, but not limited to, Fifth Third Financial Corporation and Fifth Third Bank, National Association.

2. The individual listed below has achieved the title set forth opposite his name and is acting in his capacity at this date.

Name	Subsidiary	Title
H. Samuel Lind	Fifth Third Bancorp	Assistant Corporate Secretary
	Fifth Third Financial Corporation	Assistant Secretary
	Fifth Third Bank, National Association	Assistant Corporate Secretary

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my name as of the 12th day of October, 2023.

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Shaun Patsy".

Shaun B. Patsy
Assistant Secretary